1 **Cassava planting material movement and grower behaviour in Zambia: implications for disease**
2 **management**
3

4 Anna Maria Szyniszewska[1,2], Patrick Chiza Chikoti[3], Mathias Tembo[3], Rabson Mulenga[3], Frank van den Bosch[2]
5 and Christopher Finn McQuaid[4]
6
[1] Department of Plant Sciences, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, CB2 3EA, United Kingdom
[2] Biointeractions and Crop Protection Department, Rothamsted Research, Harpenden, AL5 2JQ, United
Kingdom
[3] Zambia Agriculture Research Institute, Plant Protection and Quarantine Division, Mt. Makulu
Research Station, Private Bag 7, Chilanga, Zambia
[4] Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London,
WC1E 7HT, United Kingdom
14
15
Corresponding author: aniasz@gmail.com, ORCID: 0000-0002-4897-3878

Keywords: cassava, grower behaviour, disease knowledge, clean seed system, cassava mosaic disease, planting

material movement

Abstract

Cassava is an important food crop for most small-holder growers across sub-Saharan Africa, where production

is largely limited by the presence of two viral diseases: cassava mosaic disease (CMD) and cassava brown streak

disease (CBSD), both propagated by a vector whitefly and via human-mediated movement of infected cassava

stems. Despite its importance, there is limited knowledge of growers' behaviour related to planting material

movement, as well as growers' perception and knowledge of cassava diseases, which have major implications

for disease spread and control. This study was conducted to address this knowledge gap by surveying small-

holder growers in Zambia. A total of 96 subsistence cassava growers across five provinces were surveyed

between 2015 and 2017. Most growers interviewed used planting materials from their own fields (94%) or those

of nearby (<10 km) family and friends, although some large transactions with markets, middlemen, and NGOs

occurred over longer distances. At the same time, information related to cassava diseases and uninfected

planting material only reached 48% of growers, where those with access to information were more concerned

about the disease. These data provide a basis for future planning of cassava clean seed systems to control virus

diseases, emphasising the critical role of grower knowledge, and consequently education, in success of these

systems. In particular, we highlight the importance of extension workers in this education process, as well as

farmer's groups and the media.

Introduction

Cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz) is one of the most important root crops in Zambia, and is a staple consumed throughout the year in Western, North Western, Luapula, and Northern provinces. Despite the importance of the crop, Zambia suffers from very low average national yields of 5.8 tonnes per hectare (t/ha) (FAOSTAT 2018). This is considerably lower than the reported average yield of neighbouring countries: Malawi (22 t/ha), Angola (10.9 t/ha) and Democratic Republic of Congo (8.1 t/ha) (FAOSTAT 2018). This is in part due to the high prevalence in most of the cassava-growing areas of cassava mosaic disease (CMD, caused by cassava mosaic geminiviruses family *Geminiviridae*, genus *Begomovirus*) (Chikoti et al. 2013). This disease is the most prevalent and devastating disease of cassava in sub-Saharan Africa, causing considerable losses in yield (Legg et al. 2006; Muimba-Kankolongo et al. 1997; Szyniszewska et al. 2017; Thresh et al. 1997). To make matters worse, in 2017 cassava brown streak disease (CBSD, caused by potyviruses, family *potyviridae*, genus *Ipomovirus*), was confirmed in both Northern and Luapula provinces of Zambia (Mulenga et al. 2018). Both diseases are transmitted by the whitefly vector *Bemisia tabaci* (order *Hemiptera*, family *Aleyrodidae*), and through human-mediated vegetative propagation of infected planting material (Maruthi et al. 2017). Both CMD and CBSD are of great concern across sub-Saharan Africa because of their detrimental impact on root yield and quality (Abaca et al. 2012; Alvarez et al. 2012; Mbanzibwa et al. 2011; Winter et al. 2010). Both diseases increase poverty by dramatic loss in yield, and continue to deteriorate the livelihoods of millions of Africans (Legg and Thresh 2003; Patil et al. 2015).

Strategies for disease mitigation include the removal of infected plants (rouging), the adoption of resistant cultivars, and the use of disease-free planting material (known as "clean seed"). Each strategy faces particular challenges; difficulty in identifying infected plants, a paucity of resistant varieties (in particular those resistant to both viruses), or an unacceptable increase in costs (Legg et al. 2011; Patil et al. 2015; Rwegasira and Rey 2012). To understand which strategy is most likely to be successful, it is important to understand the decision-making process of a grower; what risks and costs are acceptable under what circumstances. Recent work has shown that this can have significant impact on the long-term success of disease control, and may represent the difference between success and failure (Carrasco et al. 2012; Legg et al. 2017; McQuaid et al. 2017; Milne et al. 2015). At the same time, in order to attempt control on a regional scale, without which any local attempts at control will ultimately fail, it is important to understand how the viruses spread between fields and across distance. This is particularly relevant in the context of grower behaviour when considering the movement of planting material,

which has been shown to be key in the spread of cassava viruses (Legg et al. 2014; Legg et al. 2011; McQuaid

et al. 2017; Patil et al. 2015).

Recently there have been a number of surveys assessing the impact and extent of CMD and CBSD in sub-

Saharan Africa. Much of the work has concentrated on assessing the per-field disease incidence and severity on

a regional level (Alicai et al. 2007; Chikoti et al. 2013; Gondwe et al. 2003; Hillocks et al. 1999, 2002; Mbewe

et al. 2015; Mulenga et al. 2018; Rwegasira and Rey 2012). Conducted surveys were based on field

observations, without assessing growers' knowledge in terms of (i) capacity to identify the viral diseases of

cassava, (ii) practices related to sourcing and exchange of planting material, and (iii) control strategies.

Although it has been shown that both CMD and CBSD pandemics depend strongly on the exchange of planting

material (McQuaid et al. 2017), and that growers often share their cuttings with friends and family (Houngue et

al. 2018; Kombo et al. 2012; Ntawuruhunga et al. 2007; Teeken et al. 2018) there is lack of studies that assess

the distances over which this material is moved depending on sources or destinations. Thus, the primary

objective of this study was to obtain insight into the nature of the flow of cassava planting material into and out

of the growers' fields (specifically the volume moved over distance) depending on sources or destinations. The

second objective was to ascertain grower knowledge of diseases, their symptoms and prevalence in the area, and

the sources and preferences that growers had for obtaining this information. This information was gathered

through a survey of growers across the country. The results of this work can be used to inform and improve

disease control strategies, particularly those aimed at the recent outbreak of CBSD in Zambia. In particular, this

work reveals the benefit and necessity of grower education programs, particularly through media and extension

workers, to make growers active actors in control of the diseases.

Data and Methods

Agro-ecological context of the study area

The study was conducted in five provinces of Zambia: Western, Luapula, Central, Northern and Eastern, which

are among the major cassava growing areas and are known to have CMD. These provinces represent various

environmental conditions (Figure 1). Northern and Luapula provinces are located in the Agro-Ecological Zone

(AEZ) III, which comprises part of Central African plateau and receives over 1000 mm of rainfall annually with

a monomodal rainfall pattern (Saasa 2003; The World Bank 2006). The area has up to 190 days of growing

season and is not prone to drought. The most practiced traditional farming systems by growers in this region are

mainly based on "slash and burn" and shifting cultivation. Main crops grown include cassava, maize, sunflower,

coffee, tea and many others (Ngoma 2008). Western, Central and Eastern provinces are located in a slightly

drier AEZ II with a growing season of 120 to 150 days and receiving about 800 to 1000 mm of rainfall per

annum (Jain 2007; The World Bank 2006). The farming system is mostly commercial and the major crops

grown include maize, wheat, groundnuts and soy bean. In Luapula and Northern, the rainy season occurs

between November and April, while in Eastern, Western and Central provinces the rainy season occurs between

December and April. The rainy season is followed with a long dry spell lasting from May to October.

Sample selection

A total of 96 smallholder cassava growers were randomly selected along the major roads and were asked for

permission before conducting the questionnaires and field samplings. 26 growers were interviewed in 2015 in

the Eastern (10), Luapula (4) and Northern (12) provinces, and 72 growers were interviewed in 2017 in Central

(15), Eastern (15), Luapula (15), Northern (14) and Western (13) provinces (Figure 1, Table 1). The research

team comprised the principal investigator and two research assistants conversant with the local languages and

with experience in cassava production for easy identification of the local varieties, CMD and CBSD symptoms.

The study was conducted between January and May in both years. During this period, most plants were assumed

to be between three to nine months old, as the rainy season generally starts in November in most parts of the

country. Three to nine months after planting is generally believed to be ideal for capturing foliar and root

symptoms before the plants shed their leaves.

Questionnaires

Structured interview with a mix of closed- and open-ended questions were conducted with cassava growers who

voluntarily agreed to participate (Szyniszewska et al. 2019). The questionnaire was pre-tested on a small group

of growers before the survey and adjustments were made to ensure that the right information was obtained

during the actual interviews. To encourage wider participation, the interviews and discussions were conducted

in the local languages familiar to most growers in respective regions: Bemba for Northern, Luapula and Central

provinces; Lozi for Western Province and Nyanja for Eastern Province. Some of the questions asked were

repeated and rephrased to enable growers to understand and respond fully. The rephrasing was done without

changing the original meaning of the questions.

In the first section of the survey general information on growers' field location, altitude and field size was

recorded. Growers were asked about varieties grown, planting and harvesting frequencies, and variety

preferences and reasons.

The second section of the survey comprised questions related to the trade of planting material: sourcing and

exchange. Growers were asked how many bags went to or were obtained from their own fields, their stores,

friends or family, markets, middlemen, NGOs or research stations, and how far away those sources or recipients

were located. Growers were also asked about their favourite source of planting material and how frequently they

use various sources.

The third section of the surveys assessed growers' knowledge of CMD and CBSD in terms of symptom

recognition, presence of the diseases in their fields and surrounding areas, and the method of disease spread.

The fourth and final section of the questionnaire was related to the sources and frequencies of obtaining

information related to cassava diseases, certified clean seed systems (CSS) and the ranking of sources viewed as

important to the grower. Growers were also asked about the factors that influence their decisions related to

disease control, including disease pressure, their concern about the disease, and market prices that would

encourage them to use CSSs.

Disease incidence and severity

Plants at the fields visited were assessed for disease symptom presence and severity based on foliar symptoms.

In each field a total of 30 plants were inspected, 15 plants on each diagonal line across the field (Sseruwagi et

al., 20014). The plants were scored for the presence or absence of symptoms of CMD and CBSD. CMD

symptom severity was recorded on each plant using a five point rating scale (Hahn et al. 1980), where 1 = no

disease symptoms; 2 = mild chlorotic pattern over entire leaflets or mild distortion at the base of leaflets only

with the remainder of the leaflets appearing green and healthy; 3 = moderate mosaic pattern throughout the leaf,

narrowing and distortion of the lower one-third of leaflets; 4 = severe mosaic, distortion of two thirds of the

leaflets and general reduction of leaf size, and 5 = severe mosaic and/or distortion of the entire leaf and plant

stunting. The presence or absence of CBSD symptoms on the leaves and stems was recorded for each plant

using a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 = no apparent symptoms; 2 = slight leaf feathery chlorosis with no stem lesions;

3 = pronounced leaf feathery chlorosis, mild stem lesions and no dieback; 4 = severe leaf feathery chlorosis,

severe stem lesions and no dieback, and 5 = defoliation, severe stem lesions and dieback (Gondwe et al. 2003).

Disease identification

To confirm the identity of the viral pathogens causing disease symptoms observed in the growers' fields, at least

three symptomatic leaf samples were collected and transported to Mount Makulu Research Station Laboratory

for analysis. Total DNA was extracted from leaf samples using methods described by (Dellaporta et al. 1983).

Following DNA extraction, PCR was conducted using primer pairs designed by Pita et al. (2001) in the

amplification of the begomoviruses associated with CMD. Considering that CBSD foliar symptoms were not

observed on plants in any of the growers' cassava fields visited, no reverse transcription (RT)- PCR was

performed on any of the samples collected.

The grower's responses, disease incidence and symptom severity were analysed using the R language for

statistical computing (R Core Team 2016). Frequency distributions were plotted to illustrate and compare

response rates for each category. Set of descriptive statistics including means and standard errors and cross

tabulations were conducted. Results were expressed as percentages of the frequency of responses obtained from

growers, excluding records where data were not available (thus totals may differ in each question) and plotted

with *ggplot2* package (Wickham 2016). Logistic regression was run using 'glm' function in *lme4* package in R

(Bates et al. 2015, p. 4) and chi-square contingency table test was performed using 'chisq.test' function.

Results

Field properties

Most growers' fields were small (mean 0.59 ha) and planted annually (92.9% of participants) (Table 1).

Harvesting was based on need (40% of participants), from which we conclude that those surveyed were

primarily small-scale subsistence growers. Incidence of CMD was generally high (range of 26.1 to 69.8%),

while CBSD was not observed.

Varieties grown and preferences

Growers typically plant more than one variety of cassava in their fields (66.5% of visited locations). Good taste

and associated sweetness (30 growers) and good yield and big tubers (21 growers) were the most commonly

cited traits dictating varietal choice (Supplementary Figure 1). 14 growers indicated that availability of planting

material and early maturing (13) were important to them. Among six preference criteria dictating choice of

planting material (Figure 2) varietal preference was the highest ranked while availability related answers were

ranked second and third.

Planting material movement and trade

Most of the planting material was recycled (83 respondents), stored (11) or thrown away (52) (Figure 3). While

sharing did occur with family and friends (55 and 39 respondents respectively) this was generally within the

same or nearby villages with 94% of recipients located within a radius of 1-10 km.

However, some movement did occur over a greater scale, including large transactions that moved planting

material long distances to markets (100 bags on an average of 7.43 km), middlemen (9.5 bags on an average of

55 km), or NGOs (15 bags on an average of 28.5 km).

CMD and CBSD knowledge

Most of the growers surveyed (81%) had no knowledge of what CMD was. Having surmised it was a disease,

most (60.5%) were unable to recognise it by its symptoms, or identify its means of dispersal (75.6%) or it's

effect (39%). Higher CMD incidence in the field was a significant predictor of grower's disease knowledge in a

logistic regression (p < 0.0001). Nearly half of the respondents (44%) did not know whether the disease had an

impact in their area, and another 44% observed the impact in some way. Of those that felt the impact of the

disease, 25.9% identified lost yield. Disease incidence did not prove to be a significant predictor of the answer

whether or not the disease had an impact in the area.

None of the growers had knowledge about CBSD and none of them knew how to recognise the disease.

Disease concerns

Overall, when asked how concerned they were about CMD on a scale from 1 (least worried) to 10 (very

worried), 53% of growers responded they had very low levels of worry (1-3), 17% of respondents were

moderately worried (4-6) and 28% were extremely worried (7-10). When we grouped them by how informed

they were, growers with no information were less concerned compared to those that were informed (Figure 4).

Disease control and management

Lack of CMD management was observed among the growers. Two thirds of the respondents (74.7%) declared

that they do not institute any control measures. In contrast, of the few growers that applied control measures, we

found that 5 growers indicated using planting healthy material; 2 growers were seeking help from agricultural

officers, rouged the diseased plants, and sprayed for insects. Majority of the growers who used control measures

were located in the Eastern province (8 out of 11). Growers who control cited sources of planting information as

mostly their own experience (7), two cited agricultural extension officers and one respondent cited parents and

one a cooperative group.

Due to the combination of lack of knowledge of CBSD and lack of empirical observation of the disease in the

fields both by the growers and surveyors, growers did not control for CBSD in any way.

Certified clean seed (CCS) – sourcing and knowledge

Nearly half of the growers interviewed (46%) were aware of CCS, and nearly half of them would seek it from

agricultural extension workers. At the same time, of those who were unaware of CCS, the majority (28%) would

be happy to use them if available, and no respondents indicated that they would not be happy to use CSS if it

were provided or available.

Information sources

Among the surveyed growers 21.4% identified agricultural extension workers as a source of information, while

30% relied on information on cassava planting practices passed on from their parents and grandparents and

27.4% relied on their own experience in farming as their source of knowledge.

Information on cassava diseases and CCS reached half of the respondents on at least one occasion (50.6% and

51.8% respectively), although no single source of information reached the majority of individuals. The most

frequent sources of information included nearby friends, family and neighbours, and the radio.

In terms of growers' preferences for information, extension workers, radio and people within the village were

clearly favoured (Figure 5), while the village leader or distant friends or relatives were least preferred.

Nearly 90% of growers who were aware of CMD had access to frequent information, whilst the majority of

growers who were unaware of the disease had no access to information (Figure 6). Most informed respondents

were located within Northern and Eastern provinces, where over half of respondents had often heard about

CMD from various information sources. The least informed respondents were located in Luapula and Western

provinces, where over two thirds of the growers reported never receiving information about CMD.

Making decisions

High yield, cost and lack of disease were the most frequently reported factors (27.4%, 25% and 22.6%,

respectively) that would influence growers' decision on whether or not to use certified clean planting material.

Surprisingly, few growers (3.6%) would consider adoption of CCS if it was free. Majority of interviewed

growers indicated they would consider adoption of the CCS or would control for CMD if 2 to 4 neighbours

would be affected or use it (Supplementary Figure 2).

We compared growers who controlled for disease compared to self-declared CMD-related knowledge. Growers

were classified as having knowledge, some knowledge or no knowledge. In those three categories 40%, 18%

and 8% of growers respectively controlled for the disease. However, differences between these groups were not

statistically different (chi-square test $p = 0.19$). The price of clean seed did play a role in decision-making, with

the intention to buy clean seed linearly decreasing with increasing price.

Discussion

Cassava virus diseases constitute a major constraint to the production of cassava in sub-Saharan Africa, yet there have been few studies looking into one of the key aspects of disease spread or control; the knowledge and decision-making of the cassava growers themselves. It is widely acknowledged that the burden of these diseases can be amplified within an individual field by replanting infected material (Samura et al. 2017), and on a larger scale by sharing planting material between fields (McQuaid et al. 2017; McQuaid et al. 2017; Patil et al. 2015), yet there are no studies that the authors are aware of that investigate the physical properties of human mediated transmission. This is critical from the point of view of disease management and control, in particular for a complete understanding of disease spread to support those decisions.

According to our survey, cassava seed trade is largely informal in Zambia, except for a limited number of commercial growers involved in the production and sale of planting materials. Growers mostly recycle materials from their own fields, attributing this to variety preference as well as the fact that the material is readily available. This is supported by previous studies which have shown that a majority of planting material is recycled within the same field, while a considerable portion is also exchanged with close friends or family (Chikoti et al. 2016; Gnonlonfin et al. 2011; Houngue et al. 2018; Ntawuruhunga et al. 2007; Teeken et al. 2018). Although markets, organisations and middle-men are rarely involved in the movement of planting material, the large scale of the distances and quantities of material moved in each of these transactions highlighted by our study does indicate that they could be responsible for the movement of disease across large distances, which previous work has demonstrated could be severely detrimental to disease control (Legg et al. 2014; McQuaid et al. 2017; McQuaid et al. 2017). Indeed, it appears that trade may occur over distances greater than previously thought, which could increase its importance in dispersal of CMD and CBSD still further (McQuaid et al. 2017).

In general, most growers indicated that markets were more than 7 km from their homesteads. The closer to a market a household is, the higher the probability of adoption of improved varieties by that household due to greater market accessibility (Salasya et al. 2007). Growers further away from markets are at a disadvantage, as they may lack market information and thus be more inclined to subsistence production. As a result, they may be less interested in the use of improved varieties as long as traditional varieties provide subsistence for the family. Growers are also, of course, sensitive to the price of seed, and an increase in the price of improved seed relative to the local variety will reduce the adoption rate (Langyintuo and Mekuria 2008). From our study, however, it

appears more likely that a lack of knowledge and access will be a more significant hindrance, which must be

considered when implementing clean seed systems.

Our work supports numerous previous studies that have shown that culinary properties and taste of planting

material is as important, if not more important, in planting material selection than properties of more economic

traits such as yield, while the appearance of disease makes little to no difference on choice (Houngue et al.

2018; Kombo et al. 2012; Njukwe et al. 2013; Ntawuruhunga et al. 2007). With this in mind, efforts to use clean

(and possibly disease-resistant or tolerant) planting material to control disease epidemics need to address

growers' varietal preferences and needs (Evenson and Gollin 2003; Kiros-Meles and Abang 2008). If new

varieties are not suited to local tastes there will likely be low levels of adoption, a factor to be considered by

both cassava breeders and clean planting material producers alike. At the same time, the importance of yield in

varietal choice presents an opportunity to educate and reassure growers about the economic advantages of clean

seed systems and the adoption of improved varieties.

Indeed, the lack of attention given by growers to the appearance of disease in a plant, or the decision to try and

control for the disease, appears to be primarily due to a striking lack of knowledge about disease despite its

widespread prevalence in growers' fields. While this is unsurprising for CBSD, CMD has been present across

the country for more than two decades, incurring yield losses of between 50 – 70% (Muimba-Kankolongo et al.

1997). This is a reflection of the scarcity of information available to growers; only half of growers received any

information on disease or its control at some point, and few received information frequently or on a regular

basis. Access to information is critical towards decision making, and translates into concern about disease

management at the very least, as our results show.

This lack of awareness in turn could lead to the failure of disease control measures implemented at a wider

level, where it is necessary for a large proportion of growers to engage in disease management in order for

effective, sustainable control to work (McQuaid et al. 2017). It is certainly highly likely that this lack of

awareness, combined with high incidence, likely contributes significantly to the spread of the disease. At the

same time, the high use of growers' own planting materials, due to a lack of alternative sources, likely results in

material susceptible to pests and diseases with a low genetic potential - similar observations have been made in

Malawi (Chipeta et al. 2016).

This underscores the importance of established agents such as extension workers to provide information to

growers. Regular visits of highly trusted extension workers are required to provide growers with information on

innovation, general crop production, marketing and disease control strategies. Although in our study extension

workers were the most trusted source of information, only a small proportion of growers were reached by them.

Our results demonstrate the need of grower education to improve knowledge and create awareness that is vital in

controlling disease. Although other sources of information, such as radio, TV, or mobile phone apps can

certainly be helpful in reaching growers and should not be ignored, to bridge the gap between scientific and

indigenous knowledge, substantial effort should be invested in extension workers to train growers in disease

recognition, the impact of the disease, its means of spread and most importantly its control. Reducing the

presence of cassava virus diseases, and increasing the yields of small-holder growers across Zambia and East

Africa, will not happen without well-informed growers acting on individual level.

Conclusion

For the first time, we have shown both how far and how much cassava planting material moves due to trade. It

appears that trade is likely responsible for much of the spread of viral diseases, where growers are unaware of

this effect, as well as the disease itself, and consequently do little to prevent it. Elsewhere we see that grower

awareness and education can be vital to engagement with disease control measures, so this lack of awareness

highlights the need for grower education. The optimal manner in which to achieve this is through widely-trusted

extension workers, although a number of other avenues such as farmers' groups and radio are also important.

In conclusion, in order to control cassava virus diseases, we need clean seed systems and improved (resistant or

tolerant) varieties. For these to be effective, growers need to be educated in the diseases, and to achieve this we

need to utilise and strengthen the existing extension worker network as well as make use of farmer's groups and

the media.

Authors contributions

316 C.F.M. and F.v.d.B conceived the study, designed the questionnaire and drafted the manuscript. A.M.S.
317 analysed the data and drafted the manuscript. P.C.C. led the fieldwork and drafted the manuscript. M.T and
318 R.M. carried out the fieldwork and drafted the manuscript. All authors gave final approval for publication.

Acknowledgments

A. M.S., C.F.M. and F.v.d.B. gratefully acknowledge funding from the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation grant

to the University of Cambridge. Rothamsted Research receives support from the Biotechnology and Biological

Sciences Research Council, United Kingdom.

P.C.C., M.T and R.M. are grateful to Mikocheni Agricultural Research Institute for the financial assistance

through grant number OPP1052391 with funds provided by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (BMGF).

All authors are indebted to all the participating farmers for providing responses and allowing surveyors to access

their cassava fields.

Conflict of interest

The authors declared that they have no conflict of interest

References

Abaca, A., Kawuki, R., Tukamuhabwa, P., Baguma, Y., Pariyo, A., Alicai, T., et al. (2012). Evaluation of local

and elite cassava genotypes for resistance to cassava brown streak disease in Uganda. *J Agron*, *11*, 65–

72.

Alicai, T., Omongo, C. A., Maruthi, M. N., Hillocks, R. J., Baguma, Y., Kawuki, R., et al. (2007). Re-

emergence of Cassava Brown Streak Disease in Uganda. *Plant Disease*, *91*(1), 24–29. doi:10.1094/PD-

91-0024

Alvarez, E., Llano, G. A., & Meija, J. F. (2012). Cassava Diseases in Latin America, African and Asia. In *The*

cassava handbook: a reference manual based on the Asian regional cassava training course, held in

Thailand. Bangkok: Centro Internacional de Agricultura Tropical (CIAT).

Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B., & Walker, S. (2015). Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4.

Journal of Statistical Software, *67*(1), 1–48. doi:doi:10.18637/jss.v067.i01

Carrasco, L. R., Cook, D., Baker, R., MacLeod, A., Knight, J. D., & Mumford, J. D. (2012). Towards the

integration of spread and economic impacts of biological invasions in a landscape of learning and

imitating agents. *Ecological Economics*, *76*, 95–103. doi:10.1016/j.ecolecon.2012.02.009

Chikoti, P. C., Melis, R., & Shanahan, P. (2016). Farmer's Perception of Cassava Mosaic Disease, Preferences

and Constraints in Lupaula Province of Zambia. *American Journal of Plant Sciences*, *07*, 1129.

doi:10.4236/ajps.2016.77108

Chikoti, P. C., Ndunguru, J., Melis, R., Tairo, F., Shanahan, P., & Sseruwagi, P. (2013). Cassava mosaic disease

and associated viruses in Zambia: occurrence and distribution. *International Journal of Pest*

Management, *59*(1), 63–72. doi:10.1080/09670874.2012.752887

Chipeta, M. M., Shanahan, P., Melis, R., Sibiya, J., & Benesi, I. R. M. (2016). Farmers' knowledge of cassava

brown streak disease and its management in Malawi. *International Journal of Pest Management*, *62*(3),

175–184. doi:10.1080/09670874.2016.1167268

Dellaporta, S. L., Wood, J., & Hicks, J. B. (1983). A plant DNA minipreparation: version II. *Plant molecular*
biology reporter, *1*(4), 19–21.

Evenson, R. E., & Gollin, D. (2003). Assessing the Impact of the Green Revolution, 1960 to 2000. *Science*,
300(5620), 758–762. doi:10.1126/science.1078710

FAOSTAT. (2018). Statistical data. *Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome*.
www.fao.org/faostat/. Accessed 7 January 2018

Gnonlonfin, G. J. B., Koudande, D. O., Sanni, A., & Brimer, L. (2011). Farmers' perceptions on characteristics
of cassava (Manihot esculenta Crantz) varieties used for chips production in rural areas in Benin, West
Africa. *International Journal of Biological and Chemical Sciences*, *5*(3). doi:10.4314/ijbcs.v5i3.72166

Gondwe, F. M. T., Mahungu, N. M., Hillocks, R. J., Raya, M. D., Moyo, C. C., Soko, M. M., et al. (2003).
Economic losses experienced by small-scale farmers in Malawi due to cassava brown streak virus
disease. *Cassava Brown Streak Virus Disease: Past, Present, and Future*.

Hahn, S. K., Terry, E. R., & Leuschner, K. (1980). Breeding cassava for resistance to cassava mosaic disease.
Euphytica, *29*(3), 673–683.

Hillocks, R. J., Raya, M. D., & Thresh, J. M. (1999). Factors affecting the distribution, spread and symptom
expression of cassava brown streak disease in Tanzania. *African Journal of Root and Tuber Crops*, *3*,
57–61.

Hillocks, R. J., Thresh, J. M., Tomas, J., Botao, M., Macia, R., & Zavier, R. (2002). Cassava brown streak
disease in northern Mozambique. *International Journal of Pest Management*, *48*(3), 178–181.
doi:10.1080/09670870110087376

Houngue, J. A., Pita, J. S., Cacaï, G. H. T., Zandjanakou-Tachin, M., Abidjo, E. A. E., & Ahanhanzo, C. (2018).
Survey of farmers' knowledge of cassava mosaic disease and their preferences for cassava cultivars in
three agro-ecological zones in Benin. *Journal of Ethnobiology and Ethnomedicine*, *14*(1), 29.
doi:10.1186/s13002-018-0228-5

Jain, S. (2007). *An empirical economic assessment of impacts of climate change on agriculture in Zambia*. The
World Bank.

Kiros-Meles, A., & Abang, M. M. (2008). Farmers' knowledge of crop diseases and control strategies in the
Regional State of Tigrai, northern Ethiopia: implications for farmer–researcher collaboration in disease
management. *Agriculture and Human Values*, *25*(3), 433. doi:10.1007/s10460-007-9109-6

Kombo, G. R., Dansi, A., Loko, L. Y., Orkwor, G. C., Vodouhè, R., Assogba, P., & Magema, J. M. (2012).

Diversity of cassava (Manihot esculenta Crantz) cultivars and its management in the department of

Bouenza in the Republic of Congo. *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution*, *59*(8), 1789–1803.

doi:10.1007/s10722-012-9803-0

Langyintuo, A. S., & Mekuria, M. (2008). Assessing the influence of neighborhood effects on the adoption of

improved agricultural technologies in developing agriculture.

Legg, J., Ndalahwa, M., Yabeja, J., Ndyetabula, I., Bouwmeester, H., Shirima, R., & Mtunda, K. (2017).

Community phytosanitation to manage cassava brown streak disease. *Virus Research*, *241*, 236–253.

doi:10.1016/j.virusres.2017.04.020

Legg, J. P., Jeremiah, S. C., Obiero, H. M., Maruthi, M. N., Ndyetabula, I., Okao-Okuja, G., et al. (2011).

Comparing the regional epidemiology of the cassava mosaic and cassava brown streak virus pandemics

in Africa. *Virus Research*, *159*(2), 161–170. doi:10.1016/j.virusres.2011.04.018

Legg, J. P., Owor, B., Sseruwagi, P., & Ndunguru, J. (2006). Cassava Mosaic Virus Disease in East and Central

Africa: Epidemiology and Management of A Regional Pandemic. *Advances in Virus Research*, *67*,

355–418. doi:10.1016/S0065-3527(06)67010-3

Legg, J. P., & Thresh, J. M. (2003). Cassava virus diseases in Africa. In *Proceedings of the First International*

Conference on Plant Virology in Sub-Saharan Africa (4–8 June 2001, Ibadan, Nigeria), IITA, Ibadan,

Nigeria (pp. 517–522).

Legg, J., Somado, E. A., Barker, I., Beach, L., Ceballos, H., Cuellar, W., et al. (2014). A global alliance

declaring war on cassava viruses in Africa. *Food Security*, *6*(2), 231–248. doi:10.1007/s12571-014-

0340-x

Maruthi, M. N., Jeremiah, S. C., Mohammed, I. U., & Legg, J. P. (2017). The role of the whitefly, Bemisia

tabaci (Gennadius), and farmer practices in the spread of cassava brown streak ipomoviruses. *Journal*

of Phytopathology, *165*(11–12), 707–717. doi:10.1111/jph.12609

Mbanzibwa, D. R., Tian, Y. P., Tugume, A. K., Mukasa, S. B., Tairo, F., Kyamanywa, S., et al. (2011).

Simultaneous virus-specific detection of the two cassava brown streak-associated viruses by RT-PCR

reveals wide distribution in East Africa, mixed infections, and infections in Manihot glaziovii. *Journal*

of virological methods, *171*(2), 394–400.

Mbewe, W., Kumar, P. L., Changadeya, W., Ntawuruhunga, P., & Legg, J. (2015). Diversity, Distribution and

Effects on Cassava Cultivars of Cassava Brown Streak Viruses in Malawi. *Journal of Phytopathology*,

163(6), 433–443. doi:10.1111/jph.12339

McQuaid, C. F., Gilligan, C. A., & Bosch, F. van den. (2017). Considering behaviour to ensure the success of a

disease control strategy. *Royal Society Open Science*, *4*(12), 170721. doi:10.1098/rsos.170721

McQuaid, C. F., van den Bosch, F., Szyniszewska, A., Alicai, T., Pariyo, A., Chikoti, P. C., & Gilligan, C. A.

(2017). Spatial dynamics and control of a crop pathogen with mixed-mode transmission. *PLoS*

computational biology, *13*(7), e1005654.

Milne, A. E., Bell, J. R., Hutchison, W. D., Bosch, F. van den, Mitchell, P. D., Crowder, D., et al. (2015). The

Effect of Farmers' Decisions on Pest Control with Bt Crops: A Billion Dollar Game of Strategy. *PLOS*

Computational Biology, *11*(12), e1004483. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1004483

Muimba-Kankolongo, A., Chalwe, A., Sisupo, P., & Kang, M. S. (1997). Distribution, prevalence and outlook

for control of cassava mosaic disease in Zambia. *Roots*, *4*(1), 2–7.

Mulenga, R. M., Boykin, L. M., Chikoti, P. C., Sichilima, S., Ng'uni, D., & Alabi, O. J. (2018). Cassava Brown

Streak Disease and Ugandan cassava brown streak virus Reported for the First Time in Zambia. *Plant*

Disease, *102*(7), 1410–1418. doi:10.1094/PDIS-11-17-1707-RE

Ngoma, J. (2008). *Effect on Climate Change on Maize Production in Zambia*.

http://urn.kb.se/resolve?urn=urn:nbn:se:liu:diva-16058. Accessed 8 January 2019

Njukwe, E., Hanna, R., Kirscht, H., & Araki, S. (2013). Farmers Perception and Criteria for Cassava Variety

Preference in Cameroon. doi:10.14989/185091

Ntawuruhunga, P., Legg, J., Okidi, J., Okao-Okuja, G., Tadu, G., & Remington, T. (2007). Southern Sudan,

Equatoria region, cassava baseline survey technical report. *IITA, Ibadan*.

Patil, B. L., Legg, J. P., Kanju, E., & Fauquet, C. M. (2015). Cassava brown streak disease: a threat to food

security in Africa. *Journal of General Virology*, *96*(5), 956–968. doi:10.1099/jgv.0.000014

Pita, J. S., Fondong, V. N., Sangaré, A., Otim-Nape, G. W., Ogwal, S., & Fauquet, C. M. (2001).

Recombination, pseudorecombination and synergism of geminiviruses are determinant keys to the

epidemic of severe cassava mosaic disease in Uganda. *Journal of General Virology*, *82*(3), 655–665.

doi:10.1099/0022-1317-82-3-655

R Core Team. (2016). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. *R Foundation for Statistical*

Computing. Vienna, Austria. https://www.r-project.org/. Accessed 10 March 2017

Rwegasira, G. M., & Rey, C. M. (2012). Relationship between symptoms expression and virus detection in

cassava brown virus streak-infected plants. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, *4*(7), 246.

Saasa, O. (2003). Agricultural Intensification in Zambia: the role of policies and policy processes. *Institute of*

Economic and Social Research, University of Zambia.

Salasya, B., Mwangi, W. M., Mwabu, D., & Diallo, A. (2007). Factors influencing adoption of stress-tolerant

maize hybrid (WH 502) in western Kenya. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, *2*(10), 544–551.

Samura, A. E., Lakoh, K. A., Nabay, O., Fomba, S. N., & Koroma, J. P. (2017). Effect of Cassava Mosaic

Disease (CMD) on Yield and Profitability of Cassava and Gari Production Enterprises in Sierra Leone.

Journal of Agricultural Science, *9*(2), 205.

Szyniszewska, A., Chikoti, C. P., Tembo, M., Mulenga, R., van den Bosch, F., & McQuaid, C. F. (2019).

Cassava growers behaviour and planting material movement questionnaire data from Zambia 20015

and 2017. *figshare*. Dataset. https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.757821

Szyniszewska, A. M., Busungu, C., Boni, S. B., Shirima, R. R., Bouwmeester, H., & Legg, J. P. (2017). Spatial

Analysis of Temporal Changes in the Pandemic of Severe Cassava Mosaic Disease in North-Western

Tanzania. *Phytopathology*, (ja). http://apsjournals.apsnet.org/doi/abs/10.1094/PHYTO-03-17-0105-FI

Teeken, B., Olaosebikan, O., Haleegoah, J., Oladejo, E., Madu, T., Bello, A., et al. (2018). Cassava Trait

Preferences of Men and Women Farmers in Nigeria: Implications for Breeding. *Economic Botany*.

doi:10.1007/s12231-018-9421-7

The World Bank. (2006). Climate Change and African Agriculture in Africa, Policy Note No. 27, CEEPA. *The*

World Bank. http://www.ceepa.co.za/docs/POLICY

Thresh, J. M., Otim-Nape, G. W., Legg, J. P., & Fargette, D. (1997). African cassava mosaic virus disease: the

magnitude of the problem. *African Journal of Root and Tuber Crops*, *2*(1/2), 13–19.

Wickham, H. (2016). *ggplot2: elegant graphics for data analysis*. New York: Springer-Verlag.

Winter, S., Koerbler, M., Stein, B., Pietruszka, A., Paape, M., & Butgereitt, A. (2010). Analysis of cassava

brown streak viruses reveals the presence of distinct virus species causing cassava brown streak disease

in East Africa. *Journal of General Virology*, *91*(5), 1365–1372. doi:10.1099/vir.0.014688-0

Tables

Province	Number of respondents		Mean field size [ha]	Median number of varieties	Planting frequency			CMD			
								Incidence		Severity	
	2015	2017			Biennial	Yearly	Twice a year	Mean	SE	Mean	SE
Central	-	15	0.26	2	0	2	2	36.7%	7.6%	2.93	0.189
Eastern	10	15	0.82	1	0	22	2	26.1%	7.3%	2.81	0.327
Luapula	4	15	0.29	3	1	18	0	47.9%	6.0%	2.74	0.156
Northern	12	14	0.45	2	0	23	0	43.8%	6.5%	2.82	0.142
Western	-	13	1.25	3	0	12	1	68.9%	6.0%	3.72	0.062

469 Table 1. Summary of the number and per-province distribution of the respondents, average field size, variety
470 number, planting frequencies and CMD incidence and severity scores. Incidence is calculated based on visual
471 foliar symptoms. Mean severity scores are derived per field from symptomatic plants only. No visual CBSD
472 symptoms were reported in the study.
473

Figures

Figure 1. Locations of the interviewed growers in 2015 and 2017 in five provinces of Zambia, field sizes and

CMD disease incidence (proportion of infected plants within the field).

Figure 2. Planting material (A) choice reason and (B) preferred source. Ranking 1 represents most preferred.

Figure 3. Total number of (A) bags of planting material moved and (B) individual transactions.

Figure 4. How worried are you about cassava mosaic disease, on a scale of 1 to 10 where 1 is the least worried

and 10 is the most worried.

Figure 5. (A) Frequency of hearing information about cassava diseases from various sources and (B) ranking of

information sources.

Figure 6. Disease knowledge vs frequency of obtained information (A) and by province (B).

Supplementary Figure 1. Different cassava traits dictating varietal choice cited by respondents.

Supplementary Figure 2. After how many neighbours have the disease would you think about control?

Legend

Year

△ 2015

○ 2017

Field size

○	○	○	○	•	•	No data
○	○	○	○	●	●	0 - 0.5 ha
○	○	○	●	●	●	0.5 - 1 ha
○	○	○	●	●	●	over 1 ha

No data | No disease | < 0.25 | 0.26 - 0.50 | 0.51 - 0.75 | 0.76 - 1

CMD Incidence