Capturing cell type-specific chromatin structural patterns by applying topic modeling to single-cell Hi-C data

Hyeon-Jin Kim[1], Galip Gürkan Yardımcı[1], Giancarlo Bonora[1], Vijay Ramani[1,2], Jie Liu[1], Ruolan Qiu[1], Choli Lee[1], Jennifer Hesson[3,5], Carol B. Ware[3,5], Jay Shendure[1], Zhijun Duan[*4,5], and William Stafford Noble[*1,6]

[1]Department of Genome Sciences, University of Washington
[2]Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, University of California San Francisco
[3]Department of Comparative Medicine, University of Washington
[4]Division of Hematology, Department of Medicine, University of Washington
[5]Institute for Stem Cell and Regenerative Medicine, University of Washington
[6]Paul G. Allen School of Computer Science & Engineering, University of Washington

Abstract

Single-cell Hi-C (scHi-C) interrogates genome-wide chromatin interaction in individual cells, allowing us to gain insights into 3D genome organization. However, the extremely sparse nature of scHi-C data poses a significant barrier to analysis, limiting our ability to tease out hidden biological information. In this work, we approach this problem by applying topic modeling to scHi-C data. Topic modeling is well-suited for discovering latent topics in a collection of discrete data. For our analysis, we generate twelve different single-cell combinatorial indexed Hi-C (sciHi-C) libraries from five human cell lines (GM12878, H1Esc, HFF, IMR90, and HAP1), consisting over 25,000 cells. We demonstrate that topic modeling is able to successfully capture cell type differences from sciHi-C data in the form of "chromatin topics." We further show enrichment of particular compartment structures associated with locus pairs in these topics.

1 Introduction

Single-cell chromosome conformation capture methods, such as single-cell Hi-C (scHi-C) [1–3], enable quantitative assessment of 3D conformation of chromosomes in individual cells. The re-

---

*To whom correspondence should be addressed: zjduan@uw.edu and william-noble@uw.edu

sulting data can be used to detect cell-to-cell variations in genome-wide chromatin interactions and has the potential to interrogate chromosome structural heterogeneity in different cell types, thereby extracting important biological features that are otherwise hidden in bulk Hi-C data. However, the low coverage and consequent sparse nature of scHi-C data present a major computational barrier to the analysis. In each individual cell, scHi-C measures only a very small fraction of extant chromosomal contacts. Thus, to a much greater extent than in bulk Hi-C, the absence of an observed contact between two genomic loci does not provide strong evidence for the absence of a contact between those loci.

Currently, a limited number of methods have been developed for the analysis of scHi-C data. We previously developed a similarity-based embedding method called HiCRep/MDS to project scHi-C data into low dimensional space and arrange cells according to their cell-cycle phases [4]. This approach leverages a similarity measure, stratum adjusted correlation coefficient, that was developed for comparing bulk Hi-C matrices [5], combined with multidimensional scaling (MDS) [6] to preserve distances between individual scHi-C contact maps. However, this method is computationally costly. Furthermore, although HiCRep/MDS can very accurately recover cell cycle information from several Hi-C data sets, the method frequently fails to capture chromatin structural differences between cell types [7].

More recently, Zhou *et al.* applied linear convolutions and random walks to scHi-C matrices to cluster cells according to their cell types [7]. This method uses imputation to reduce the sparsity of scHi-C matrices and identify chromatin structures that are similar to topologically associated domains within single cells. Despite the benefits this method offers, it lacks the ability to capture a set of genomic interactions that distinguish between cell types and to allow us to biologically interpret what features drove the clustering.

To overcome these limitations, we turned our attention to topic modeling. Topic modeling is mainly used in natural language processing to tackle challenging problems in text mining such as discovering hidden structures (or "topics") in large collections of sparse, discrete data. One widely used approach to topic modeling is latent Dirichlet allocation (LDA), an unsupervised generative probabilistic model for a collection of documents [8]. In this setting, each document is represented as a vector of word frequencies. The LDA models this vector as a mixture of topics, where each topic is represented as a probabilistic distribution over words. LDA then assumes that a document is generated by the following steps: (1) choosing a topic mixture for the document according to a Dirichlet distribution over some specified number of topics, and (2) generating words in the document by iteratively sampling a topic from the topic mixture and selecting a word from the topic's multinomial distribution [9]. With this generative model, the LDA identifies a set of topics that have most likely generated a given collection of documents. In the end, LDA generates two matrices, one that describes the relationship between topics and document and the other describing the relationship between topics and words [10]. In the first matrix, topics with the highest contributions are those that best characterize a document. In the second matrix, words with the highest contributions in a topic provide a sense of what the topic might represent.

Recently, González-Blas *et al.* applied LDA topic modeling to single-cell epigenomic data to simultaneously discover cell types and latent topics that pertains to topic-specific regulatory programs [11]. This approach applied topic modeling to single-cell ATAC-seq data to predict cell type-specific gene regulatory networks in the human brain.

Motivated by this work, we hypothesized that LDA could be employed to extract biologically meaningful structure from single-cell Hi-C data. In our setting, each cell corresponds to a doc-

Table 1: Summary statistics of all twelve sciHi-C libraries.

Library name	Reads		# of cells	Contacts			Median	Mean
	Total	Uniquely mapped		Total	Cis (%)	Trans (%)		
H1Esc.R1*	63,240,218	12,954,489	855	9,109,529	76.22	23.78	2,502	3,910
H1Esc.R2*	50,703,317	9,555,243	619	6,793,079	76.84	23.16	2,243	3,542
H1Esc.R3	149,829,576	21,987,800	582	6,460,047	76.84	23.16	2,210	3,468
H1Esc.R4	125,695,434	27,374,918	1870	20,068,026	76.55	23.45	2,887	4,779
H1Esc-HFF.R1*	68,169,271	15,864,003	743	10,929,148	82.98	17.02	3,010	6,887
H1Esc-HFF.R2	139,027,186	35,451,029	1,130	19,411,330	82.97	17.03	4102	10,052
H1Esc-HFF.R3	102,731,846	38,571,777	952	15,726,319	82.28	17.72	4,476	8,262
HFF-GM12878.R1**	226,857,558	57,912,094	1,518	19,102,636	79.91	20.09	4,949	8,262
HFF-GM12878.R2	104,551,648	38,851,010	1,953	24,532,338	80.36	19.64	5,192	8,430
GM12878-IMR90.R1	119,101,401	39,878,116	467	4,422,045	82.06	17.94	6,408	7,495
IMR90-HAP1.R1	31,475,172	11,888,626	2,002	8,348,732	91.55	8.45	3,185	4,170
IMR90-HAP1.R2	187,170,930	68,338,360	1,998	21,769,917	91.73	8.27	7,864	10,896

All libraries have two two technical replicates, except those marked with * (one replicate) and ** (three replicates). The total number of reads indicate total number of sequenced reads, whereas uniquely mapped reads indicate uniquely mapped reads (MAPQ >= 30) that are associated with their barcodes and before filtering out cells. The number of cells and contact counts are computed after processing the libraries as described in Ramani *et al.* The median and mean contact counts are reported here per cell.

ument, and each locus pair corresponds to a word. We aimed to uncover features of chromatin structures—chromatin topics—that are characteristic of particular cell types. In our work, we generate single-cell Hi-C data from five human cell lines (GM12878, H1Esc, HFF, IMR90, and HAP1) using single-cell combinatorial indexed Hi-C (sciHi-C) [3] and show that applying topic modeling to sciHi-C data allows us to successfully cluster cells by cell types and discover cell type-specific topics of locus pairs. We demonstrate how the LDA identifies locus pairs that characterize each topic, and we show that the topics carry information about cell type-specific locus pair compartment structure.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Data sets

Twelve human sciHi-C libraries were generated from five human cell lines (Table 1), which are available via the 4D Nucleome data portal (`http://4dnucleome.org`) [12].

Cell culture Human embryonic stem cells (hESC) H1, HFF-hTERT clone #6 (HFFc6), GM12878, IMR90 and HAP1 cells were obtained through the 4D Nucleome Consortium and cultured following the respective protocols standardized by the 4D Nucleome Consortium (4DN SOPs, `https://www.4dnucleome.org/cell-lines.html`).

SciHi-C library construction SciHi-C libraries were generated as previously described in Ramani *et al.* [3] with some modifications. Briefly, cells grown at the exponential phase were fixed by incubating with formaldehyde at room temperature ($25°$ C) for 10 min. The final concentration of formaldehyde was 2% for HFFc6, GM12878, IMR90 and HAP1 cells, and 3.5% for H1ESCs.

Crosslinking was quenched by incubating with 0.125 M glycine on ice for 15 min. Crosslinked cells were then permeabilized with their nuclei intact and subjected to DpnII digestion to fragment the chromatin. Nuclei were then distributed to 96 wells, wherein the first-round barcodes were introduced through ligation of barcoded biotinylated double-stranded bridge adaptors. Intact nuclei were then pooled and subjected to proximity ligation, followed by dilution and redistribution to a second 96-well plate (no more than 25 nuclei per well). Crosslinking was reversed by incubating the nuclei in 2x NEB buffer 2 at $65°$ C overnight, followed by digestion with restriction enzymes Alu I and Hae III at $37°$ C overnight. After dA-tailing, second round barcodes were introduced through ligation of barcoded Y-adaptors. A total of 0.8 volume of Ampure beads were then added to each well, and all reaction samples in the 96-well plate were once again pooled and purified, and biotinylated junctions were then purified with Dynabeads M-280 streptavidin beads. Illumina sequencing libraries were amplified by PCR (13–15 cycles were used for each 96-well sample) and purified by two rounds of 0.8 volume Ampure beads. Libraries were sequenced by 2×250 bp paired-end run on a HiSeq-2500.

Mapping   Reads were processed according to the protocol described in Ramani *et al.* [3], mapping to human genome assembly hg19 using Bowtie2 2.2.3 [13] with default settings. As in previous work, cells with fewer than 1,000 unique reads, cis/trans-chromosomal contact ratio lower than 1, or uniquely mapped read percentage lower than 95% were filtered out. After this mapping step, the data covers 25,223 cells with a mean coverage of 6,608 sciHi-C contacts per cell. The sciHi-C contacts for each cell were aggregated into a square contact map using bins of 500 kb.

2.2   Topic modeling

To apply topic modeling to sciHi-C data, we adapted the protocol that González-Blas *et al.* proposed for single-cell RNA-seq and ATAC-seq data. As in their cisTopic model, we treated cells as documents, but in our case the role of words is played by genomic locus pairs (LPs). For our analysis, we only considered intra-chromosomal locus pairs that are within 10 Mb of one another. With sciHi-C data binned at 500 kb resolution and only considering the contacts in autosomal chromosomes (chr 1–22), this range includes 111,340 unique locus pairs. In our data, these locus pairs receive 69.6% of the observed contacts.

To adjust for potential biases due to varying coverage per cell, we discarded cells with low contact counts and downsampled the remaining cells to a common coverage. Specifically, among all of the 25,223 cells in our 12 libraries, we computed the median contact count (2,432 contacts) across the 111,340 proximal locus pairs. Cells with fewer than this median number of contacts were discarded. For each of the remaining 12,613 cells, reads were randomly downsampled so that each cell had exactly 2,432 reads. Finally, the counts were binarized, and each cell was represented as a 111,340-dimensional bit vector.

2.3   Testing for topic specificity

The two-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) test determines whether two empirical distribution functions significantly differ from one another [14]. We conducted one-sided, two-sample KS tests on the cell-topic weight distributions from two different cell types to determine cell type

Figure 1: **Topic modeling of sciHi-C data.** (A) SciHi-C data was binned at 500kb resolution and processed into a cell-by-locus pair (LP) matrix. The resulting cell-LP matrix was provided as input to LDA to produce cell-topic and LP-topic matrices. Only intra-chromosomal contacts spanning distances <10 Mb were considered for analysis. (B) The output cell-topic matrix is projected into 2D using t-SNE and can also be used to select cell type-specific topics. (C) The output LP-topic matrix can be used for selecting a subset of locus pairs that are most representative of each topic and for performing locus pair compartment comparison.

specificity of topics. Since there are total of five cell types in our datasets, we conducted four separate pairwise KS test for each cell type for each topic, to ensure that the topic is specific to only the cell type we are comparing with. For example, if we were to test whether topic 1 is specific to GM12878, we would perform the one-sided KS test on the topic 1 weight distributions of H1Esc and GM12878, HFF and GM12878, IMR90 and GM12878, and HAP1 and GM12878. If the Benjamini-Hochberg (BH) adjusted p-values for all four tests were below the significance level at 0.01, then the topic was assigned to that cell type.

3   Results

3.1   Applying topic modeling to sciHi-C datasets

We used topic modeling to decompose our sciHi-C data into a collection of topics (Figure 1). To do so, we first filtered out cells that did not meet the criteria described in Section 2.2. The remaining cells were represented in a binary matrix with 12,613 row (cells) and 111,340 columns (locus pairs), which was then used as an input for LDA. To select the number of topics, we ran LDA over a range of numbers of topics, selecting the value 50 that yielded the maximum log-likelihood on held-out data (Supplementary Figure S1). The output of this analysis consists of two matrices: a $12,613 \times 50$ cell-topic matrix and a $111,340 \times 50$ LP-topic matrix.

To evaluate whether topic modeling successfully extracted biological information from the sparse sciHi-C data, we applied t-SNE dimensionality reduction [15] to the resulting cell-topic

Figure 2: **Visualization of cell-topic and LP-topic matrices.** (A) The cell-topic matrix was reduced to 2D with t-SNE. Cells are observed to be mainly group by type. (B) The LP-topic matrix is visualized as a heatmap, where the columns are locus pairs and rows are topics. Both the topics and locus pairs were clustered based on their Euclidean distances.

matrix (Figure 2A). Most notably, the data exhibits strong clustering of cells by their cell types, suggesting that cells of the same cell type have similar topic contribution profiles. An exception is HFF and IMR90 cells, which are embedded close together, likely reflecting the fact that they are both fibroblasts (foreskin and fetal lung, respectively). An additional cluster was observed in the embedding, composed of cells from all five cell types. The identity of this cluster is unknown, although the cells in the cluster exhibit high numbers of distinct contacts. We are still investigating what this cluster might represent.

We also investigated the effect of potential confounders on the learned embedding. Visualization of the data with color according to sequencing library shows little evidence of batch effects (Supplementary Figure S2). Similarly, repeating the LDA using data that was not downsampled led to a similar separation of cell types in the 2D t-SNE space (Supplementary Figure S3A). Within each cell type, we observe some clustering by coverage (Supplementary Figure S3B), suggesting that the effect of coverage on the learned model is small relative to the differences between cell types.

3.2 Identifying and characterizing cell type-specific topics

Visualization of the LP-topic matrix (Figure 2B) suggested that many of the topics are highly associated with particular subpopulations of cells. Accordingly, to better understand this topical structure, we used a Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) test to identify topics that are specifically enriched for each of the five cell types (Section 2.3). This test assigned a total of 11, 17, 5, 3, and 2 topics specific to GM12878, H1Esc, HAP1, HFF, and IMR90, respectively (Figure 3).

Figure 3: **Identification of cell type-specific topics.** Each panel plots the maximum KS statistic (y-axis) for each topic, with topics sorted by significance. Topics indicated by *** show significant associated with the specified cell type at a significance level of $p = 0.01$ after BH correction.

Figure 4: **Analysis of compartment calls for cell type-specific topic associated locus pairs within cell type.** (A) Each locus pair is assigned a pairwise compartment call. As an example, the locus pair enclosed in the a black box is assigned "AB" in GM12878. (B) The proportions of GM12878 compartment labels were computed for LPs in topic 38, which is a GM12878 specific topic (left), and for LPs in all topics to estimate expected proportions (right). (C) A heatmap showing the $\log_2$ ratio of observed over expected proportion of each LP compartment structure in topics specific to GM12878, H1Esc, and HFF. The figure only includes topics whose enrichments are deemed significant at $\alpha = 0.01$ according to a chi-square test after BH correction.

3.2.1 Examining topic associated locus pair compartment structure within cell type

To understand what each cell type-specific topic captures in the sciHi-C data, we evaluated the Hi-C compartments of the locus pairs that characterize each topic. Previous analysis of bulk Hi-C data suggests that chromatin can be usefully segregated into two compartments, A and B, where the A compartment is associated with euchromatin and the B compartment with heterochromatin [16]. Because these compartment structures vary by cell type [16, 17], we hypothesized that cell type-specific topics might be enriched for locus-pairs the exhibit cell type-specific compartment assignments.

Our compartment analysis consists of three steps: (1) assigning compartment labels to LPs in a cell type-specific fashion, (2) assigning topic labels to LPs based on the LP-topic matrix, and (3) evaluating whether a particular locus pair compartment structure is enriched in each cell type-specific topic.

For the first step, we used the aggregated sciHi-C data to assign compartments to GM12878, H1Esc, and HFF at a resolution of 500kb. IMR90 and HAP1 were excluded from this analysis due to low coverage of the cells. Using these compartment calls, each locus-pair was assigned one of three labels in each cell type, based on the cell type-specific compartment assignments at the midpoint of each locus: (1) both loci are active (AA), (2) both loci are inactive (BB), or (3) one locus is active and the other inactive (AB) (Figure 4A).

For the second step, a visualization of the LP-topic matrix suggested that a small number of locus pairs contribute strongly to each topic (Figure 2B). Accordingly, we identified topic-specific LPs as the top 1% of locus pairs based on the topic weights.

Figure 5: **Quantifying differences in compartment assignment of topic-associated locus pairs between cell types.** (A) A locus pair is tri-labeled, one label for each cell type. Here, the locus pairs in topic 6 (GM12878 specific) were visualized for GM12878, H1Esc, and HFF, colored by compartment labels. The purple box highlights a locus pair that exhibits different compartment configurations between cell types. (B) Examples of LP compartment comparison between cell types. The first two rows show locus pairs that are unique to GM12878, whereas the last two rows show locus pairs that are not. (C) A heatmap showing the $\log_2$ ratio of observed over expected proportion of each cell type-specific compartment structure in topics specific to GM12878, H1Esc, and HFF. The figure only includes topics whose enrichments are deemed significant at $\alpha = 0.01$ according to a chi-square test with BH FDR control.

Finally, we computed the observed proportion of each compartment label among LPs associated with each cell type-specific topic. To ascertain which particular compartment structure is enriched in each topic, we estimated the expected proportion of each compartment using the compartment labels of all topic-associated LPs. We then compared the observed proportions with the expected to measure enrichment of LP compartment structures (Figure 4B).

Our compartment analysis showed that each cell type-specific topic contains LPs that are enriched for a distinct compartment structure. We assessed the significance of differences in the expected and observed proportions using a chi-square test for independence at the significance level of 0.01 with BH FDR correction. From the list of topics that were found to be specific to GM12878, H1Esc, and HFF, the chi-square test identified a total of 9, 14, and 2 topics, respectively, that exhibit significantly different LP compartment composition from the expected. When we examined the locus pairs in each cell type-specific topic, we observed varying patterns of enrichment in compartment structure. Specifically, more than half of the GM12878-specific topics are enriched for the AA compartment, whereas in H1Esc all topics but one were highly enriched for the AB compartment (Figure 4C). HFF has only three associated topics, which appear to show enrichment for AA (one topic) and BB (two topics). Overall, these observations support our hypothesis that topics can capture cell type-specific locus pair compartment information.

3.2.2 Investigating differences in topic-associated locus pair compartment assignments between cell types

To further explore this idea, we next compared locus pair compartment structures across cell types. In this analysis, a locus pair is assigned three compartment labels, one label for each cell type

(Figure 5A–B). To pursue the hypothesis that cell type differences may be captured in LPs of cell type-specific topics, we assessed cell type compartment differences for LPs that are associated with each cell type-specific topic.

First, for each set of cell type-specific topic LPs, we assigned three sets of compartment labels, corresponding to GM12878, H1Esc, and HFF. This yield a tri-label for each LP. We then compared the compartment labels between cell types and computed the total number of each compartment type (AA, AB, and BB) that is observed to be unique in the assigned cell type. For example, if a locus pair that was associated with GM12878-specific topic was assigned AA in GM12878 and BB in both H1Esc and HFF, we counted this locus pair as unique to GM12878 (Figure 5B). Using this approach, we computed the proportion of each compartment label that is unique to the assigned cell type for LPs in each topic.

In a similar fashion to Section 3.2.1, we then estimated the expected proportion of cell type-specific LP compartment labels using all topic-associated LPs, to measure the enrichment of a particular compartment structure in each cell type. We performed a chi-square test ($\alpha = 0.01$, with BH correction) to determine the significance of the differences in observed and expected proportions. This analysis identified similar but fewer topics compared to the previous analysis: 6, 5, and 1 topics for GM12878, H1Esc, and HFF, respectively. In this analysis, we identified a new HFF-specific topic 29 that was not found to be significantly enriched for any LP compartments in Section 3.2.1.

Overall, we observed an over-representation of particular compartment structures when the compartment labels of topic-associated LPs were compared between cell types. The same pattern of enrichment was observed for LPs in H1Esc-specific topics as previously, in which LPs were distinctly AB in H1Esc (Figure 5C). Interestingly, the compartment labels of locus pairs that are unique to GM12878 and HFF are only enriched for the AA compartment, in contrast to the pattern observed in the previous compartment analysis. Indeed, when we took the set of LPs that are AA in each of the topics specific to GM12878 and HFF and computed the proportion of compartment labels in the other cell types, we observed a higher proportion of LPs that are in the BB compartment than expected (Supplementary Figure S4). These observations suggest that active chromatin interactions (both LPs in the A compartment) are largely captured by cell type-specific topics, just as cisTopic was able to distinguish cell types from the single-cell ATAC-seq data by detecting cell type-specific accessible regions of chromatin [11].

4   Discussion

We have demonstrated that topic modeling of sciHi-C data identifies topics that successfully recapitulate cell type structure in the data, and that the topics themselves exhibit statistically significant patterns of enrichment relative to chromatin compartments. The decomposition of the sciHi-C data into the LP-topic and cell-topic matrices enables visualization of structure that is not otherwise apparent in the data. This decomposition also facilitates investigation of the "meaning" of topics relative to features such as chromatin compartment structure.

Topic modeling offers several important benefits in the context of sciHi-C data. The method was specifically developed to operate on sparse data such as sciHi-C data. The topics themselves potentially provides clues about which locus pairs are important in driving differences among cell types. In contrast, the existing single-cell Hi-C analysis method HiCRep/MDS [4] only provides

an embedding of the data, without offering the topic structure, and is much more computationally expensive than the topic modeling approach.

In future, we plan to investigate alternative ways to interpret the topics produced by our model. In our analysis, we investigated whether topics are associated with cell type-specific locus pair compartment structures. A more complete understanding of this structure may require also investigating finer-scale chromatin properties, such as topologically associating domain structure, or complementary genomic or epigenomic measurements of transcription, factor binding, or histone modifications. Such analysis is challenging because of the necessarily coarse scale (500 kb bin size in this work) of the topic modeling. Ideally, statistical procedures that jointly take into account sciHi-C data alongside bulk Hi-C and complementary linear genomic measurements are required to fully understand the relationships between 3D chromatin structure and fundamental cellular processes such as transcription and replication.

Acknowledgments This work was supported by National Institutes of Health award U54 DK107979.

References

[1] T. Nagano, Y. Lubling, T. J. Stevens, S. Schoenfelder, E. Yaffe, W. Dean, E. D. Laue, A. Tanay, and P. Fraser. Single-cell Hi-C reveals cell-to-cell variability in chromosome structure. *Nature*, 502(7469):59–64, 2013.

[2] T. Nagano, Y. Lubling, C. Várnai, C. Dudley, W. Leung, Y. Baran, N. M. Cohen, S. Wingett, P. Fraser, and A. Tanay. Cell-cycle dynamics of chromosomal organization at single-cell resolution. *Nature*, 547:61–67, 2017.

[3] V. Ramani, X. Deng, R. Qiu, K. L. Gunderson, F. J. Steemers, C. M. Disteche, W. S. Noble, Z. Duan, and J. Shendure. Massively multiplex single-cell Hi-C. *Nature Methods*, 14(3):263–266, 2017.

[4] J. Liu, D. Lin, G. Yardimci, and W. S. Noble. Unsupervised embedding of single-cell Hi-C data (preprint in advance of publication). *Bioinformatics (Proceedings of the ISMB)*, 34:96–104, 2018.

[5] T. Yang, F. Zhang, G. G. Yardımcı, F. Song, R. C. Hardison, W. S. Noble, F. Yue, and Q. Li. HiCRep: assessing the reproducibility of Hi-C data using a stratum-adjusted correlation coefficient. *Genome Research*, 27(11):1939–1949, 2017.

[6] J. Kruskal. Multidimensional scaling by optimizing goodness of fit to a nonmetric hypothesis. *Psychometrika*, 29:1–27, 1964.

[7] J. Zhou, J. Ma, Y. Chen, C. Cheng, B. Bao, J. Peng, T. J. Sejnowski, J. R. Dixon, and J. R. Ecker. Hicluster: A robust single-cell hi-c clustering method based on convolution and random walk. *bioRxiv*, 2018.

[8] D. M. Blei, A. Y. Ng, and M. I. Jordan. Latent Dirichlet allocation. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 3(5):993–1022, 2003.

[9] T. Griffiths and M. Steyvers. Finding scientific topics. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A*, 101:5228–5235, 2004.

[10] L. Liu, L. Tang, W. Dong, S. Yao, and W. Zhou. An overview of topic modeling and its current applications in bioinformatics. *Springerplus*, 5:1608, 2016.

[11] C. B. González-Blas, L. Minnoye, D. Papasokrati, S. Aibar, G. Hulselmans, V. Christiaens, K. Davie, J. Wouters, and S. Aerts. *Cis-topic* modelling of single-cell epigenomes. *bioRxiv*, 2018.

[12] J. Dekker, A. S. Belmont, M. Guttman, V. O. Leshyk, J. T. Lis, S. Lomvardas, L. A. Mirny, C. C. O?Shea, P. J. Park, B. Ren, J. C. Ritland Politz, J. Shendure, S. Zhong, and the 4D Nucleome Network. The 4d nucleome project. *Nature*, 549:219–226, 2017.

[13] B. Langmead and S. L. Salzberg. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat. Methods*, 9(4):357–359, Apr 2012.

[14] F. J. Massey Jr. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test for goodness of fit. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 46(253):68–78, 1951.

[15] Laurens Van der Maaten and Geoffrey Hinton. Visualizing data using t-SNE. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 9(2579-2605):85, 2008.

[16] E. Lieberman-Aiden, N. L. van Berkum, L. Williams, M. Imakaev, T. Ragoczy, A. Telling, I. Amit, B. R. Lajoie, P. J. Sabo, M. O. Dorschner, R. Sandstrom, B. Bernstein, M. A. Bender, M. Groudine, A. Gnirke, J. Stamatoyannopoulos, L. A. Mirny, E. S. Lander, and J. Dekker. Comprehensive mapping of long-range interactions reveals folding principles of the human genome. *Science*, 326(5950):289–293, 2009.

[17] J. Fortin and K. D. Hansen. Reconstructing A/B compartments as revealed by Hi-C using long-range correlations in epigenetic data. *Genome Biology*, 16, 2015.

5 Supplemental Information

Figure S1: **Selecting the number of topics.** (Left) The figure plots the log-likelihood value at the last iteration of training as a function of the number of topics (5–140). (Right) The boxed portion of the left plot is zoomed in to highlight the highest log-likelihood at 50 topics.

Figure S2: **Topic modeling results shows little batch effects.** (A) The 2D t-SNE projection of cell-topic matrix from Figure 2A. (B) The same plot colored by library.

Figure S3: **Topic modelling is moderately affected by coverage.** Topic modeling was applied to the same data without downsampling at 50 topics. The 2D projection of t-SNE reduced cell-topic matrix was colored by cell type (A) and by coverage (B). Cells cluster by type and, within each cell type, by coverage.

Figure S4: **Analysis of compartment labels of AA LPs in the cell type-specific topics.** For each GM12878- and HFF-specific topic, the locus pairs that are AA in the assigned cell type were assigned compartment labels in the other two cell types and their proportions were computed. Similar to the main compartment analysis, the observed proportions were compared with the expected proportions obtained from LPs in all topics. The comparison was done pairwise and enrichment was calculated for (A) H1Esc and (B) HFF compartment labels of AA LPs in GM12878-specific topics, and (A) GM12878 and (B) H1Esc compartment labels of AA LPs in HFF-specific topics. The figure only includes topics whose enrichments are deemed significant at $\alpha = 0.01$ according to a chi-square test with BH FDR control.