Genome-wide expression analysis identifies core components during iron

starvation in hexaploid wheat

Gazaldeep Kaur[1,2*], Vishnu Shukla[1,3*], Anil Kumar[1,2], Mandeep Kaur[1,2], Parul Goel[1],

Palvinder Singh[1], Anuj Shukla[1], Jaspreet Kaur[2], Jagtar Singh[2], Shrikant Mantri[1], Hatem

Rouached[4] and Ajay Kumar Pandey[1#]

Author affiliations

[1]National Agri-Food Biotechnology Institute (Department of Biotechnology), Sector 81,

Knowledge City, Mohali-140306, Punjab, India.

[2]Department of Biotechnology, Panjab University, Chandigarh, India.

[3]UIET, University Institute of Engineering and Technology, Panjab University,

Chandigarh, India

[4]BPMP, Université de Montpellier, INRA, CNRS, Montpellier SupAgro, 34060

Montpellier, France

[*]*Authors contributed equally*

[#]*Corresponding author*

Dr. Ajay K Pandey, Scientist-E.

National Agri-Food Biotechnology Institute (Department of Biotechnology), Sector 81,

Knowledge City, Mohali-140306, Punjab, India.

Telephone: +91-1724990124

Email: pandeyak@nabi.res.in; pandeyak1974@gmail.com

Running Title: Fe starvation response in hexaploid wheat roots

Abstract

Iron is one of essential micronutrient for all organisms. Its deficiency causes a severe loss in

crops yield. Nevertheless, our current understanding on major crops response to Fe

deficiency remains limited. Herein, we investigated the effect of Fe deprivation at both

transcriptomic and metabolic levels in hexaploid wheat. A genome-wide gene expression

reprogramming was observed with a total of 5854 genes showing differential expression in

roots of wheat subjected to Fe-starved medium. Subsequent, analysis revealed a

predominance of strategy-II mode of Fe uptake, with induced genome bias contribution from

the A and B genomes. In general, the predominance of genes encoding for nicotianamine

synthase, yellow stripe like transporters, metal transporters, ABC transporters and zinc-

induced facilitator-like protein was noticed. Our transcriptomic data were in agreement with

the GC-MS analysis that showed an enhancement of accumulation of various metabolites

such as fumarate, malonate, succinate and xylofuranose, which could be linked for enhancing

Fe-mobilization. Interestingly, Fe starvation causes a significant temporal increase of

glutathione-S-transferase both at transcriptional and enzymatic activity, which indicate the

important role of glutathione in the response to Fe starvation in wheat roots. Taken together,

our result provides new insight on wheat response to Fe starvation and lays foundation to

design strategies to improve Fe nutrition in crops.

Key words: iron starvation, *Triticum aestivum*, glutathione metabolism, transcriptome, gene

expression, genome bias.

Introduction

Iron (Fe) is among the essential micronutrients in plants that participate as a catalytic cofactor

in several key processes including photosynthesis, respiration, chlorophyll biosynthesis and

nitrogen fixation (Kim and Rees, 1992; Morrissey and Guerinot, 2009; Li et al., 2017). The

bioavailability of Fe in soil is strongly dependant on its solubility, aerobic and calcareous soil

condition, pH and the presence of natural ligands secreted by plant roots (Marshner H., 1995;

Morissey et al., 2009; Thomine et al., 2013). To circumvent the above challenges for Fe

uptake by the roots, plants have adapted majorly two modes of uptake strategies. Strategy-I,

mostly predominant in Eudicot species, primarily relies on the enrichment of rhizospheric

regions with protons (H^+) and other reducing agents (Hell and Stephan, 2003; Santi et al.,

2005; Santi and Schmidt 2009; Kobayashi and Nishizawa 2012). In contrast, the

graminaceous species follow the strategy-II mode of uptake, which involves the transport of

Fe in the complex-chelated form (Mori et al., 1999; Kobayashi and Nishizawa, 2012;

Connorton et al., 2017). The primary components involved in chelation are the

phytosiderophores (PS) secreted by plant cells in the rhizospheric region mainly by efflux

transporters (Morrissey and Gueirnot, 2009; Kobayashi and Nishizawa, 2012). One of the

main components of these secreted siderophores involved in Fe chelation is referred as

mugineic acids (MAs). The transporters involved in the secretion of the MAs are identified to

be a transporter of mugineic acid; TOM proteins (Nozoye et al., 2011). The complex chelated

form of Fe-PS is taken up by the specific root transporter referred as yellow stripe-like

transporter proteins (YSL) (Curie et al., 2001; Gross et al., 2003, Yordem et al., 2011).

Subsequently, Fe is transported in the plant organelles by multiple partners including

specialized long distance, tissue specific transporters as reported in monocots (e.g rice,

maize) and eudicots (e.g. Arabidopsis) (Kim et al., 2006; Waters et al., 2011). In other

monocots such as maize and rice, presence of genetic components for both strategy-I and II

were reported (Ishimaru et al., 2006; Inoue et al., 2009; Lee et al., 2009; Zanin et al., 2017).

At the metabolome level analytical approaches utilizing GC-MC and LC-MS were explored

to study the components of Fe starvation in plants (Palmer et al., 2014; Kabir et al., 2012).

However, the metabolic activity predominant for Fe uptake in roots of wheat crop remains

unelucidated.

Microarrays have been successfully used to investigate the global transcriptional

changes in Arabidopsis plants grown in Fe starved conditions (Thimm et al., 2001; Buckhout

et al., 2009; Yang et al., 2010). Transcriptome analysis of Fe-starved plants is characterised

by an important change in gene expression of several transcriptional regulators such as

transcription factors (TFs) (Colangelo et al., 2004; Kobayashi et al., 2009; Long et al., 2010;

Li et al., 2016; Connorton et al., 2017) or key genes related to phytohormone homeostasis

(Schmidt et al., 2000; Hindt and Guerinot, 2012). Fe starvation also leads to changes in the

abundance of transcripts related to plant metabolism and genes involved in signalling

pathway to modulate nutrient uptake. Additionally, genes involved in ethylene/auxin

signalling or linked with certain other macronutrients like nitrogen, sulphur and phosphorus

are also significantly expressed (Romera et al., 1994; Zheng et al., 2009; Romera, 2011;

Borlotti et al., 2012; Zuchi et al., 2015; Lin et al., 2016; Zanin et al., 2017; Garnica et al.,

2018). Therefore, transcriptome is powerful approach to help understand the network for how

plants respond to Fe. The development of transcriptome technology (RNA sequencing),

availability of genome sequence for hexaploid wheat (genome A, B, D) (International Wheat

Genome Consortium, 2014) combined with metabolomic approaches will help in gaining

knowledge on wheat response to nutritional stress (e.g. –Fe) (Borrill et al., 2018).

Hexaploid wheat is one of the important crops and is also a good, affordable source of

nutrition. Fe deficiency strongly affects plant growth and productivity (Yousfi et al., 2009;

Bocchini et al., 2015). Our current knowledge on how wheat regulates Fe homeostasis

remains limited; and the question how wheat responds to Fe starvation at transcriptional and

metabolomic levels remains to be answered. In our study, using RNA-seq analysis, we report

the first transcriptome data in root of hexaploid wheat in response to Fe starvation. Our

metabolite profiling was in agreement with the transcriptome data. Collectively our results

provide the first insight on molecular and biochemical responses of hexaploid wheat under Fe

starvation.

123   Materials and methods

Plant materials, starvation conditions and plant sampling

Bread wheat variety 'C-306' was used for all the experimental purpose. Once the endosperm

started browning it was removed from the developing seedlings. After overnight stratification

at 4°C, wheat seeds were germinated for 5 days in distilled water. A total of thirty-two

seedlings were used for each treatment. The Seedlings were then transferred to PhytaBox^{TM}

and grown in the Hogland's nutrient solution. After 7 days, nutrient solutions were replaced

on the basis of different treatments. For Fe starvation (-Fe) 1 µM Fe (III) EDTA was used as

Fe source. For control plants (+Fe) concentrations of nutrients were unchanged in above-

mentioned Hoagland's solution containing (20 µM Fe (III) EDTA). Treated seedlings were

grown in the described medium for 20 days in growth chamber set at 20 ± 1 °C, 50–70%

relative humidity and photon rate of 300 μmol quanta m^{-2} s^{-1} with 16 h day/8 h night

cycle. For sampling, roots and shoots were collected at different time points after starvation

(5, 10, 15 and 20 days). On the basis of distinct phenotype, samples collected at 20 days after

starvation (DAS) were used for transcriptome analysis. A total of four biological replicates

(each containing 10-12 seedlings) were used. Subsequently, RNA extractions were performed

independently for each of the pools. Prior to RNA sequencing, quality of RNA was checked

and extractions derived from two similar replicates were pooled together thereby generating

two experimental samples for each of the respective conditions. Remaining samples were

snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C. To distinctively observe primary root and

1st order lateral root, individual plants were moved onto a 150mm wide petriplate filled with

distilled water and characteristics were manually examined. Each treatment consisted of 6-8

wheat seedlings with two experimental replicates to ascertain root characteristics.

RNA extraction and Illumina sequencing

Total RNA was extracted from the treated root samples along with the control by Trizol

(Invitrogen) as per the manufacturer's instruction. The quality and quantity were checked on

the 1% denaturing RNA agarose gel and Nanodrop respectively. Subsequently, all the RNA

used for library preparations were checked for their RNA integrity number $\geq$ 8.5 using

Bioanalyzer (Agilent, USA). The quality control (QC) passed RNA samples were then

processed for library preparation. The Paired-ended (PE) libraries were prepared from the

total RNA using illumina TruSeq stranded mRNA library prep kit as per the instructions

(Illumina Inc., USA). The generated mean of the libraries fragment size distribution was 559

bp, 584 bp, 546 bp and 604 bp for the samples. The generated libraries were sequenced on

the NextSeq 500 using 2 X 150 bp chemistry. The raw reads were processed further before

the sorted high-quality reads were mapped to the reference genome.

RNA-Seq analysis

Adapter clipping and quality trimming of the raw reads were performed using Trimmomatic-

0.35. The sequenced raw reads were processed to obtain high quality clean reads. Ambiguous

reads (reads with unknown nucleotides "N" larger than 5%) and low-quality sequences (more

than 10% quality threshold QV<20 phred score) were removed. A minimum length of 100

nts after trimming was applied. Finally, high-quality (QV>20), paired-end reads were used

for reference based read mapping. The genome of *Triticum aestivum* L. was taken as

reference for analysis. The Gene Feature Format files were downloaded from Ensembl Plants

(TGACv1.37). The reads were mapped to the reference genome using TopHat v2.1.1 with

default parameters. Cufflinks v2.2.1 program assembled the transcriptome data from RNA-

seq data and quantified their expression. Mapped reads were subjected to Cufflinks, followed

by Cuffmerge and Cuffdiff. Log2 Fold Change (FC) values greater than one was considered

up-regulated whereas less than 1 were considered as down-regulated. These genes were

further categorized on the basis of statistical significance ($p<0.05$) and the False Discovery

Rate (FDR 0.05) after Benjamin-Hochberg corrections for multiple testing for their

significant expression.

Comprehensive gene annotation of wheat sequences was done using KOBAS 3.0 (Xie

et al., 2011) annotate module by alignment with Rice and *Arabidopsis* sequences (BLASTP,

cutoff 1e-5). MapMan was used to visualize the pathways involving wheat differentially

expressed genes (DEGs). Pathway enrichment analysis was performed using KOBAS

standalone tool. MeV was used to construct heatmaps for selected DEGs using the

normalized expression values of genes. The data generated from this study has been

deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus of NCBI and will be accessible with the GEO

series accession number after deposition.

Gene annotation filtering and functional enrichment analysis

Significant sets of DEGs under iron starvation were further mapped using GO and Mapman.

The   GO   annotation   was   downloaded   from   ensembl   plants

(https://plants.ensembl.org/biomart/martview). Mercator (Lohse et al., 2013) was used to

build MapMan mapping file for TGACv1 sequences and DEGs were visualized in MapMan

v3.1.1 tool (http://mapman.gabipd.org/web/guest/mapmanstore; Usadel et al., 2009). For

functional categorization of DEGs that were positively and negatively correlated with iron

starvation, BINGO version 3.0.3 (Maere et al., 2005) was used to perform GO enrichment

analysis with hypergeometric test and considered significant terms with an FDR value below

0.05. For gene ontology mapping, GO_full.obo ontology file was downloaded from GO

consortium. Mapman classification was used to categorize DEGs into transcriptional factors.

The results were visualized as network using EnrichmentMap version 2.2.1 (Merico et al.,

2010) and gene expression overview in various pathways were visualized in Mapman tool.

Homoeolog specific expression analysis for genome bias

To identify wheat homoeologous triplets; **e**nsembl biomart TGACv1 was used to extract all

possible homoeologous relations. This led to 86,830 pairwise homoeologous relations. An in-

house script was used to select only the triplets where contribution from each genome was

1:1:1 (A:B:D), thus generating 16,850 triplets. Further, triplets resulting from potential

translocation events were not considered, i.e., only homoeolog triplets from same

chromosome (eg., 1A, 1B, 1D triplet is accepted, whereas, 2A, 3B, 3D is rejected) were taken

for analysis. Finally, 15,604 triplets (15,604*3 = 46,812 genes) were used for studying

genome induction biasness in response to iron stress. Paired end reads were aligned to the

reference (selected scaffolds from genome that harbour 15,604 triplets) using TopHat v2.1.1

with a specific argument (--b2-very-sensitive) (Powell et al., 2017), which leads to more

stringent alignments as required for homoeologs. The Cufflinks pipeline was used to obtain

FPKM values and differentially expressed genes.

Further, relative abundance levels and expression bias for homoeologs was studied by

considering the expression of FPKM $\geq$ 1 in both the control as well as Fe starved conditions.

For this, the normalised relative expression for each homoeolog within a triad was calculated.

For example, the relative expression from A will be represented as:

$$Relative\ expression_A = \frac{\text{FPKM}_A}{FPKM_A + FPKM_B + FPKM_D}$$

Thus, relative expression levels of A, B and D homoeologs within each triad were calculated

similarly. Seven homoeolog expression bias categories were defined as described earlier by

Ramirez-Gonzalez et al., (2018). In total, seven categories that includes defined as one

balanced category and six unbalanced homoeolog-suppressed/homoeolog-dominant (from

either of the genomes) were listed during the ideal relative expression of A, B and D.

Eucledian diatance (using cdist function from rdist package, R3.3.2) was calculated between

normalised relative expression for each triad and the seven ideal categories. The shortest

distance was used as a deciding factor to group the triads into the seven respective categories.

Quantitative real time-PCR (qRT-PCR)

For validation of the gene expression, qRT-PCR analysis was performed. An aliquot of the

total RNA (2 µg) isolated from the above experiments were used for expression validation

using qRT-PCR method. Genomic DNA present in trace amount was removed by DNaseI

treatment using a Turbo DNA-free kit (Invitrogen, ThermoFisher, USA). Further, cDNA was

synthesized from two micrograms of DNA-free RNA using Superscript III first strand

(Invitrogen) with random hexamer primers following the manufacturer's guidelines. For

qPCR reaction, gene-specific primers of each gene were designed from conserved regions of

all three homeolog sequences (Supplementary Table S1). qRT-PCR was performed using

QuantiTect SYBR Green RT-PCR mastermix (Qiagen, USA) with programs recommend ed

by the manufacturer in the ABI 7700 sequence detector (Applied Biosystems, USA). ADP-

ribosylation factor (ARF) and Actin were used as internal controls. Two independent

experimental replicates with four technical replicates were performed for each sample. The

relative amount of gene expression was calculated using $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method.

Metabolite extraction and GC-MS profiling

Extraction of total metabolites was performed similarly as previously described (Wang et al.,

2018). Roots of plant grown under -Fe and +Fe were sampled in triplicate manner and dried

for 1 week. Each of 50 mg crushed samples was extracted (precooled; 300 µl methanol, 30 µl

2 mg ml^{-1} nonadecanoic acid methylester and 30 µl 0.2 mg ml^{-1} sorbitol) for 15 minutes

(70°C, 1000rpm). Further, at room temperature 200 µl chloroform was added and shaken for

5 min (37°C, 1000rpm). To obtain phase separation, 400 µl H_2O was added to each sample,

vortexed and centrifuged (10 min, 13,000 rpm). The upper polar phase nearly 200 µl was

finally aliquoted for complete drying.

For GC-MS analysis, metabolites were subjected to methoxyamination and

trimethylsilylation. Dried polar phase were shaken for 1.5 hr at 30°C in 40 µl of MeOX (40

mg ml^{-1} methoxyaminhydrochloride in pyridine) followed by 30 min shaking at 37°C in 80 µl

BSTFA mixture (70 µl N,O-bis(trimethylsilyl)trifluoroacetamide + 10 µl alkane mix). The

derivatized metabolites were analyzed with a GC instrument (Agilent technologies 7890,

USA) coupled with mass spectrometry. Measurement from an injection volume of 1 µl was

taken in split-less mode in DB-5 column (30 m × 0.25 mm, 0.25 µm film thickness, Agilent)

using helium as carrier gas. Metabolites were separated as described by Wagner et al., 2013.

Qualitative analysis of chromatograms was performed in MassHunter Qualitative analysis

Sp1 workstation (Agilent, USA). Identification and annotation of each compound was

supervised manually using AMDIS software and NIST08 database

(http://www.nist.gov/srd/mslist.html). Data were normalized to sample weight and internal

control (sorbitol). Statistical analysis was performed as described earlier (Quanbeck et al.,

2012). Log2 ratio of metabolite abundances in –Fe was plotted against +Fe. Delta method

approximation was used to calculate standard errors (se) of log-ratio, se log-ratio = 1/ln

$2\sqrt{[(SE_T /T)^2 + (SE_C /C)^2]}$, where SE_T and SE_C are standard errors of average –Fe and +Fe

metabolite abundances.

Measurement of glutathione-S-transferase (GST) activity

Activity measurement of GST was performed in the wheat roots subjected to –Fe for 10, 15

and 20 days of treatment along with the control plants (no stress) as mentioned in plant

materials. The estimation was done using Glutathione-S-transferases assay kit (Sigma, USA).

Briefly, 100 mg of tissue was used for total protein extraction. Equal amount of total protein

(25 µg) was used as a source of enzyme and 1-Chloro-2,4-dinitrobenzene (DNB) was used as

a substrate. The resulting GS-DNB conjugate was measured at 340 nm wavelength during the

time course of the reaction. The direct increase in absorption was measured and GST activity

was calculated as described in the manufacturer instruction kit.

Elemental analysis using inductive coupled plasma-mass spectroscopy and nitrate estimation

Elemental analysis was performed using Inductive Coupled Plasma-MS (ICP-MS).Metal

analysis was performed as described earlier (Bhati et al*.,* 2016; Aggarwal, 2018). Briefly, the

mature seeds were grounded to fine powder and subsequently subjected to the microwave-

digested with HNO_3 (SuraPure[TM], Merck). Respective metal standards were also prepared for

analysis. Three independent replicates were performed for each time point.

Nitrate content in wheat root was measured according to method described previously

(Cataldo et al*.,* 1975). Briefly, 1 g of fresh tissue was homogenized in 6 ml of deionised water

and centrifuged at 30,000g for 15 min. The 100 µl of supernatant was added to 400 µl of

salicylic acid (w/v dissolved in conc. H_2SO_4.). After mixing well the reaction was kept at

room temperature for 20 mints. 2N NaOH (9.5ml) was then added slowly to raise the pH

above 12. The samples were allowed to cool and readings were taken at 410nm in

spectrophotometer.

Results

Fe starvation affects wheat growth capacity and nutrients uptake

Fe starvation is known to affect plant growth capacity. In order to determine the effect of Fe

starvation, wheat plants of one-week old seedlings, grown on complete medium (presence of

Fe) were transferred to Fe starvation media for additional days. After 20 days of starvation

(DAS) the wheat seedlings started showing phenotypic symptoms including visible chlorosis

and therefore detailed study was performed for this time point. In response to Fe starvation,

plants showed decrease in the shoot biomass with an enhanced chlorosis phenotype and

shortening of root system compared to wheat grown in presence of Fe (Fig. 1A and B). Root

of Fe-starved wheat showed decrease in number of lateral roots and significant reduction in
primary root length in comparison to control plants (Fig. 1C and D). Earlier studies have
suggested that changes in root system and Fe supply not only affect the Fe accumulation
capacity but also impacts the uptake of other nutrients such as Zn, Cd etc. (Sperotto et al.,
2012; Shukla et al., 2017). Therefore, we assessed the effect of Fe starvation stress on the
uptake of Zn, Mn, Cu, and Mg in wheat undergoing Fe stress (Table 1). Out data indicated
increased uptake of nutrient elements such as Zn, Mn, Cu, and Mg in roots but accumulation
in shoots was either unaltered or decreased. This suggests that roots are an important tissue
for understanding the molecular networks for how wheat could respond to Fe starvation.
311
Differential expression analysis, homoeolog (A, B and D) induction and expression bias
during –Fe response
The effect of Fe starvation on the root transcriptome was investigated, that has not been
investigated till date. To perform this, RNAseq technology was used to identify the changes
in the transcripts of wheat roots, where plants were grown in presence or absence of Fe (20
DAS). Our analysis resulted in 87 million quality filtered reads, with an average of nearly 22
million reads from each sample (more than 87% reads had a quality score greater than Q30).
Filtered reads from the four libraries had a mapping rate ranging from 81.7% to 85.4% when
mapped against release-37 of the wheat genome using TopHat (Supplementary Table S2). As
quality check, a strong correlation within the two biological replicates from each condition
was observed, while a clear variation was seen between the two conditions (Fig. 2A). We
thereafter analysed the expression values as FPKMs (fragment per kilobase of transcript per
Million mapped reads), calculated by using Cufflinks software. Differentially expressed
genes (DEGs) were then identified by calculating logFC (log2 fold change) and performing
statistical tests between FPKM values from control and stressed samples using CuffDiff.
50,610 genes had an FPKM of greater than or equal to 1 in at least one of the two conditions
were considered to be "expressed transcript". In all, 7221 genes had logFC > 0 and 8010 had
logFC < 0 (Fig. 2B). On setting up a criterion of logFC of more than 1 for up-regulated
genes, and that of less than -1 for down-regulated genes and an FDR < 0.05, a total of 3478
genes were highly expressed, whereas, 2376 were down- regulated under –Fe condition in
wheat roots (Fig. 2C). Interestingly, 45 genes were also induced exclusively during starvation
condition when compared to the control samples (Supplementary Table S3).
Our data allowed us to analyse the chromosomal distribution of the DEGs under -Fe
condition. While all chromosomes contributed the DEGs, the highest number of genes was

mapped on chromosome 2 of wheat genome (Fig. 3A). Equal representation of transcripts was observed for the chromosome 7 and 5. For the remaining chromosomes, 1, 3, 4 and 6 showed 15, 13, 12 and 10 % distribution of DEGs, respectively. In polyploidy crops like wheat, homoeolog induction bias could impact plant response to various stresses (Liu et al., 2015; Powell et al., 2016). To determine the extent of induction bias (A, B and D) in wheat during –Fe starvation, homoeolog specific expression analysis was performed. Starting from a list of 8,473 gene triplets present/expressed (Supplementary Table S4), 'accepted triplets', most of homoeolog triplets (8,349) showed no significant biasness in expression (A = B = D). Out of these, 8,321 triplets appeared to be unaffected by -Fe stress, while 22 and 6 homoeologous triplets were up- and down-regulated respectively. Homoeolog expression bias was observed in 124 homoeolog triplets. Eighty-seven triplets had only one of the homoeologs differentially expressed (up- or down-regulated). Forty-seven in the category '1UP' with A > B = D, B > A = D and D > A = B, 40 in category named '1DOWN' having A < B = D, B < A = D or D < A = B. Table 2, provides the list of genes with significant induction predominance occurring from the A and B genomes in response to Fe starvation (Table 2). Few of the prominent transcripts exclusively induced by these two genomes include transcripts related to MYB TFs, metal transporters, zinc transporters, RINGH-H2 type proteins, genes belonging to major facilitator superfamily proteins etc.. Additionally, 37 triplets had two of the homoeologs differentially expressed while the third showed normal expression even under Fe stress (Fig. 3B). '2UP' category includes AB > D, AD > B and BD > A while '2DOWN' includes AB < D, AD < B and BD < A (Fig. 3B). This suggests that during Fe starvation, the additive homoeolog contribution from either A or B was highest.

For polyploid genomes such as wheat, the interaction of its sub-genomes is known to affect the final phenotype or contribute towards trait development (Borrill et al., 2015). To check the homoeolog/sub-genome expression biasness under –Fe condition, effect on the expression of transcripts form the genomes was perfromed by comparing the relative normalised expression for each homoeolog within a triad. This resulted seven combinations including one balanced category and six homoeolog specific dominance or suppression. Our analysis reflected that most of the triads were falling under the balanced category (Table 3 and Fig.3C). This category was represented by 77% and 77.89% of the total triads for control and Fe starvation condition. Triads with unbalanced expression varied in the range of 0.94 to 7.09 for all the 6 sub-categories across the two conditions (Table 3). Our analysis revealed that maximum genome specific biasness was observed for A and B on both the conditions. Interestingly, D genome was least suppressed with a representation of 5.15 % of the total

triads taken in consideration in control when compared to 4.93 % in Fe starvation. 89 %

triads showed conserved balanced/unbalanced contribution across both conditions. Overall, a

higher relative abundance of D genome (Control: 33.94 %; Fe starvation: 33.95 %) was noted

as compared to the A (Control 33.06 %; Fe starvation: 33.00 %) and B (Control: 33.04 %; Fe

starvation: 33.95 %) genomes.

Identification of genes differentially regulated during –Fe in wheat

To identify the transcripts those are differentially expressed in roots in response to Fe

starvation, top 50 genes either up-regulated or down-regulated were shortlisted (Fig. 4).

Expression of most of the highly up-regulated transcripts ranged from 12 to 4.8 log2 fold

change (Supplementary Table S3) indicating their higher fold accumulation under Fe

starvation compared to the control conditions. Categorically, these highly induced genes

belong to the sub-family of nicotinamine synthase (NAS). On the similar lines,

deoxymugineic acids (DMA) biosynthesis genes were highly induced under Fe starvation

(Fig. 4, left panel). Genes belonging to the Major Facilitator Superfamily including an ABC

transporter, zinc-induced facilitator like transporters (ZIFL), sulphate transporters were also

induced under Fe limiting condition. Others transcripts encoding for thaumatin like proteins

etc. were also induced. The down-regulation of genes ranged from -7.1 to -2.85-fold at the

level of log2 scale (Supplementary Table S3). Interestingly, multiple genes encoding for

nitrate transporters were highly down-regulated under Fe limiting condition (Fig. 4, right

panel). Two genes encoding for cytochrome-P450 also showed down-regulation. Among the

others, genes encoding for Histone deacetylase, peptidases A1 containing domain protein,

cinnamyl alcohol dehydrogenase, dirigent protein were also highly down-regulated. In

addition to this, forty-five genes showed exclusive transcript abundance under Fe starvation

(Supplementary Table S3). No transcript for any of these genes was detected in the control

root samples, suggesting their high specificity for Fe response. Some of the transcripts

responding to Fe starvation encodes for metallothionine, metal transporters, vacuolar iron

transporters (VIT1) and nicotianamine synthase 2 (NAS2). This suggests that in wheat few

components involved in Fe uptake could actually respond exclusive to Fe starvation.

Identification of transcriptional regulatory genes during Fe starvation

To address an important question on how the –Fe signal is connected to the transcriptional

machinery in wheat, genes encoding TFs were identified. Earlier the TFs involved in the

response to Fe starvation were identified in model plant Arabidopsis including POPEYE

(PYE), basic helix-loop helix (bHLH), FIT, ethylene insensitive-3 (EIN3), EIN3-like1 (EIL1)

(Long et al., 2010, Mai et al., 2016, Bauer et al., 2011, Ivanov et al., 2012). Consistently, our

transcriptome analysis showed that all homoeologs for PYE encoding a bHLH transcription

factor and BRUTUS-like (BTS) that is a putative hemerythrin E3 ligase protein show higher

expression in wheat roots subjected to Fe starvation (Supplementary Table S5). The analysis

of regulatory genes those are highly influenced by Fe starvation in wheat let to identification

of 41 significantly up-regulated TFs family members (>log2FC) (Supplementary Table S5).

The TFs family members belong to categories like APETALA2 ethylene-responsive element

binding proteins (AP2/EREBP), WRKY, C2H2, Zinc finger proteins (including C3HC4),

NAM, bHLH hemerythrin and U-box (Fig. 5A). Out of these, transcripts encoding for

AP2/EREBP followed by WRKY and bHLH were the most abundant in wheat. Genes

encoding for AP2-EREBP, WRKY and C2H2 type of TFs also showed high predominance

(Supplementary Table S5 and Supplementary Fig. S1). However, TFs like MADS, PHD and

homeobox (HB) domain containing proteins largely represented high number of down-

regulated genes. Finally, to ascertain regulatory functions under iron starvation, enrichment

mapping of significant DEGs those were classified into transcriptional factors category was

performed. Interestingly, genes involved in regulation of gene expression were clustered with

primary and nucleotide metabolism related genes in both positive and negative correlation.

This functional cluster was in positive correlation with TFs involved in gene ontology (GO)

categories such as "vacuolar transport" and "DNA topoisomerase III activity". However, two

separate clusters "cellular response to auxin stimulus and signalling" and "cell-fate

specification" were in negative correlation with "regulation of gene expression" and;

"primary and nucleotide metabolism" (Fig. 5B). This suggested important regulatory role of

TFs involved in auxin signalling and cell-fate specification in Fe starvation response control,

thereby controlling the network of Fe homeostasis.

Functional enrichment network of Fe starved related genes

Gene ontology annotations and classification of DEGs was performed to get the overview of

processes those are representation of cellular, molecular and biological functions. Analysis

was further extended to cluster analysis using Cytoscape plugins, BINGO and Enrichment

Map (Maere et al., 2005; Merico et al., 2010). A total of 5854 DEG's were found to be

differentially-expressed in response to Fe starvation; significant GO categories were assigned

to all DEGs. The DEGs annotated for GO terms were visualized using WEGO tool (Fig. 6A).

For molecular function, twenty terms were categorized, most were catalytic activity,

heterocyclic compound binding, organic cyclic compound binding, ion binding. Twenty-four

biological processes mostly representing metabolic process were identified (Supplementary

Table S6). For cellular component, 14 terms were categorized, most common being

membrane, membrane part and intrinsic component of membrane (Fig. 5A). Overall, catalytic

activity and binding activities were most significantly enriched GO terms in –Fe condition

(Fig. 6B). Further mapping of DEGs to databases such as, Kyoto encyclopedia of genes and

genomes (KEGG) pathway (Xie et al., 2011) and MapManv (Thimm et al., 2004) revealed

enrichment related to phenyl-propanoid biosynthesis, amino acid biosynthesis and carbon

metabolism, and glutathione metabolism (Fig. 6C and Supplementary Fig. S2). The role of

glutathione in response to Fe starvation is intriguing and deserves further investigations.

GO category "integral to membrane" found to be associated with pathways clustered

into "metal ion and trans-membrane transport" and "photosynthesis" related GO categories

(Fig. 6A). Clustered pathways involved in "response to nutrient levels" and "Sulphur amino

acid metabolism" was also enriched (Fig. 7A). Few other up-regulated clustered pathway

genes involved fall into the category "response to phosphate starvation". In the enriched

network of down-regulated DEGs, genes involved in iron and other metal homeostasis were

associated with two different clusters, mainly included genes related to lipid, ketone and

carboxylic metabolism, in addition to those involved in nitric acid and salicylic acid response

(Fig. 7B). Second cluster contains transcripts related to purine and adenine nucleotide

binding and pyrophosphatase activity. Thus, whole set of significant up-regulated and down-

regulated DEGs were clustered in distinct cellular processes suggesting differential

transcriptional response under Fe starvation.

Prolific expression of genes involved in strategy-II mode of Fe uptake in wheat

In general, the predominance of pathway genes encoding the components for strategy-II

mode Fe uptake was observed during starvation. Especially, genes encoding for NAS,

metallothionein, probable metal transporters and other gene families of transporters were

highly induced under Fe starvation in the roots (Supplementary Table S6). All the genes

encoding for S-adenosylmethionine, a precursor of mugineic acid biosynthesis and its

pathway genes were highly induced in roots under Fe starved condition. In addition to

extremely elevated expression of numerous *TaNAS* transcripts, a few genes were exclusively

detected under Fe stress. All the homoeologs of genes encoding for nicotianamine

aminotransferase-*TaNAAT and TaDMAS* localized on chromosome 1 and 4 respectively were

highly expressed under Fe starvation. Similarly, YSL genes also showed significant higher

transcript accumulation under Fe starvation condition. Especially, all the homoeologs of
TaYSL9 and *TaYSL1A* showed high expression under starvation condition (Supplementary
Table S7). Therefore, under Fe starvation, the DMAS biosynthesis genes were highly
induced. On the similar lines, genes encoding for NRAMP also showed high transcript
abundance in roots subjected to Fe starvation (Table S7). The validation of the expression of
few strategy-II uptake genes was also done by quantitative real time-PCR (qRT-PCR). Our
results for qRT-PCR validate our inference from the RNAseq analysis. Almost all of the
strategy-II genes tested for their expression showed a very high fold expression in Fe starved
roots as compared to the control (Supplementary Fig. S3). Highest expression was obtained
for ZIFL4, DMAS1, NAAT1, NAS1 those are the prime components for strategy-II mediated
uptake.

Interestingly, transcripts of few genes involved in the strategy-I mode of Fe uptake
were also present (Supplementary Table S8). An important component of strategy-I pathway
that includes H^+-ATPase (AHA) subfamily genes was not differentially expressed under Fe
limiting conditions (Supplementary Table S8). This was in agreement with the qRT-PCR
analysis where, either no change or down-regulation of AHA genes was observed
(Supplementary Fig. S3) in wheat roots. Metallo-reductases are important components of
strategy-I represented by ferric-chelate reductase (FRO). Most of the transcripts encoding for
wheat FROs do not show significant changes in starved roots as compared to control except
for one transcript. Surprisingly, iron regulated transporters (IRTs;
TRIAE_CS42_7DS_TGACv1_622068_AA2032200;
TRIAE_CS42_4AL_TGACv1_289466_AA0971640) were significantly expressed in Fe
starved wheat roots, suggesting its involvement in wheat under metal stress. The high
expression of *TaIRT1*was also confirmed by qRT-PCR (Supplementary Fig. S3).
496
Glutathione-S-transferases are involved in the response to Fe starvation in wheat
Glutathione mediated conjugation of multiple metabolites plays important role during the
metal stress (Zhang et al.*,* 2013). Our transcriptome data revealed the enhanced expression of
multiple glutathione-S-transferases (GST) in Fe-starved wheat root as compared to control
condition (Supplementary Table S9). To correlate the expression response with its enzymatic
activity, temporal response of GST was measured in wheat roots under –Fe conditions. Using
the GST functional assay, the activity was determined in wheat roots of plants grown for 10,
15 and 20 days after Fe starvation. Our activity assays showed significant increase in the
GST activity under –Fe condition, which peaked at 10 and 20 days after the beginning of Fe

starvation compared to control plants grown in presence of Fe (Fig. 8A). Therefore, our data

demonstrate that the increase of GST transcripts abundance is correlated with a significant

increase in glutathione activity. This result is indicative for an important role of glutathione

in response to Fe starvation in wheat.

Fe starvation causes an accumulation of organic acids and polyhydroxy acids in wheat

To obtain a comparative insight of the metabolite profile of wheat roots of plants grown in

absence or presence or Fe; GC-MS profiling analysis was performed. Metabolites were

extracted from the roots of three replicate pools of plants each containing eight seedlings.

Qualitative processing of each chromatogram for peak area and identification was performed

in MassHunter version B.05.00 software coupled with NIST11 compound library. The

compound annotation was determined by comparing individual resolved peaks to library

searches based on mass spectra and compounds chromatographic retention indices.

Interestingly, analysis resulted in identification of 54 metabolites and further 39 annotated

metabolites were analysed for their response ratio (Supplementary Table S10). To compare

the change under Fe-starvation, metabolite abundances (-Fe roots/control roots) were

calculated and expressed in Log2 fold change values. Fe-starvation significantly affected

accumulation of 22 metabolites that includes organic acids, polyhydroxy acids, amino acids

and some of the sugars, fatty acids and phosphates (Fig. 8B). Amongst treatment-specific

changes, few organic acids such as fumaric acid, acetic acid and malonic acid showed higher

level of accumulation in Fe starved roots as compared to control. In contrast, citric acid,

malic acid, valeric acid and aconitic acid were significantly lowered in Fe starved roots when

compared to control samples. In Fe-starved roots, the accumulation of amino acids mainly, L-

Valine showed significant increase while hydroxyl butyric acid and pyroglutamic acid was

lowered in comparison with control roots. Polyhydroxy acids like gluconic acid and glyceric

acid were also significantly high in Fe starved roots, whereas level of hexonic acid and

arabinoic acid was found to be low. Taken together, our results showed that during Fe

starvation wheat roots undergo reprograming for metabolic changes to maintain the Fe-flux.

Discussion

How plants maintain nutrient homeostasis is a fascinating question in plant biology. In this

direction, *A. thaliana*, with its fully sequenced small genome has provided some basic

information. Developing our knowledge on nutrient homeostasis in crops, especially those

having complex genome, such as hexaploid wheat is more challenging. This is due the

complexity of the genome and unavailability of genomic sequence until recently.
Nevertheless, lately the development of genome sequence information and genomics-based
techniques reduced these barriers. In this context, the current study was undertaken to gain
insight into the response of hexaploid wheat exposed to Fe starvation that is known to
severely affect crop production. In this study we report the first transcriptome of wheat
(cultivar C306) in response to Fe starvation. Our analysis revealed that wheat a) utilizes
primarily strategy-II mode of Fe uptake, b) accumulates transcripts encoding for methionine-
salvage pathway coupled with enhanced GST activity and c) accumulates specific
metabolites including malonic acid, xylofuranose, so as to efficiently mobilize soil Fe. Our
systematic analysis of expression data revealed that transcripts show induction biasness for A
and B genomes of wheat in response to –Fe condition. Overall, this work provides first
insight for the molecular changes occurring in roots of Fe-starved wheat.

Wheat subjected to Fe starvation show physiological defect such as decrease in the
root growth. This phenotype was consistent with the previous report showing negative impact
on the root growth of wheat seedlings under Fe stress (Garnica et al., 2018). Our analysis also
suggested down-regulation of few nitrate transporters those were previously referred as
TaNRT2.2 and *TaNRT2.3* (Buchner and Hawkesford, 2014). These observations suggest that
Fe starvation represses nitrate transporters, which in turn leads to lower accumulation of
nitrate level in roots (Supplementary Fig. S4). Although impact of Fe starvation on the nitrate
metabolism has not been studied in detail, yet similar decrease in nitrate levels were also
observed in cucumber shoots subjected to –Fe condition (Borlotti et al., 2012). This
observation further reinforces the existence of an interaction between nutrients in plants,
which is recently gaining attention (Rouached and Rhee, 2017).

Due to the low availability of micronutrients in soil, plants are equipped in recruiting
two major modes of strategy for Fe uptake (Kobayashi and Nishizawa, 2012). Cereals such as
maize predominantly utilize strategy-II mode of uptake, unlike rice, which is characterized
for utilizing the combination of both the strategy (I and II) for uptake (Li et al., 2014; Zanin
et al., 2017). Nevertheless, the comprehensive study to identify the molecular players
involved during Fe limiting conditions in wheat was not yet attempted. Our RNAseq based
analysis, strongly suggested an increase in the transcript abundance of genes for strategy-II
mode of Fe uptake. Worth to mention, with the exception of conserved genes like IRT1 (iron
regulated transporter) prime genes for strategy-I uptake mechanism like FRO (Ferric
reduction oxidase), proton H-ATPase (AHA-like) also present during starved condition but at
very low abundance. These results signify that wheat utilizes strategy-II mediated uptake of

Fe through roots and the IRTs might be conserved in its function for Fe transport across the
plant kingdom.

The series of events during strategy-II uptake mechanism used by some plant species
involves the secretion of certain specific metabolites that facilitate conjugation of Fe to form
Fe-complexes. Transport of these Fe-complexes occurs via membrane transporters including
ZIFLs (Nozoye et al., 2011; Nozoye et al., 2015). Wheat ZIFL gene shows high transcript
accumulation and is closest homolog of OsTOM1 from rice, thereby speculating its role in Fe
acquisition. Utilizing gain- and loss of function approaches OsTOM1 has been demonstrated
to be a DMA effluxer for its role in enhancing mobilization and thereby improving Fe uptake
(Nozoye et al., 2011). Altogether, the high similarity and response under Fe starvation, it is
tempting to propose wheat ZIFL (ZIFL4) as a functional transporter of DMA. Although,
other ZIFL were also significantly expressed but functional wheat transporter for DMA needs
to be deciphered. Upon careful analysis of the RNAseq data, it was observed that multiple
such efflux transporters are represented in the list that are highly abundant under Fe
starvation. During our study, we identified *TaDMAS1* encoding for deoxymugineic acid
synthase that was highly responsive for Fe starvation (Supplementary TableS7). Earlier,
TaDMAS1 was reported as a gene that was broadly expressed across the tissue and was
regulated during Fe-starved condition. These observations support the notion that TaDMAS1
has potential to enhance the seed iron storage capacity (Beasely et al., 2017). Previous studies
have shown that the phytosiderophores release mechanism in wheat is effective when the
three genomes A, B and D come together indicating synergistic action to induce its
biosynthesis and release. During our analysis, genome induction biasness for A and B
genome of the hexaploid-wheat was observed. Interestingly, these genomes were also
suggested to be important during starvation of other micronutrients like Zn (Tolay et. al.,
2001). These observations provide clue for the importance of A and B genomes during
micronutrient starvation in hexaploid wheat when compared to their progenitor. In depth
analysis confirms the minimal suppression for the expression bias for the D-genome derived
transcripts as compared to A or B. These observations support the previous analysis wherein,
suppression of D genome was significantly less frequent in multiple tissue (Ramirez-
Gonzalez et al., 2018). Therefore, such studies are important to pin-point actively
expressed/induced homoeolog that could be target for genetic improvement. However, the
genome biasness and its association with the secretion of siderophores, genotypic variability
in a complex wheat genome is yet to be investigated.

On the similar lines, multiple genes for the salvage pathway were highly up-regulated
including NAS1, NAS2 and NAAT1 (Supplementary Table S3 and Supplementary Table
S7). The contribution of these transcripts arises from different genomes suggesting that all
the genomes of wheat are capable of responding to the starvation condition. Based on our
RNAseq analysis it is likely that the gene encoding for *TaYS1A* and *TaYSL9* could be the
putative transporter for Fe-siderophore complex. Previous, studies have indicated the high
response of *TaYS1A* under Fe starvation in roots and shoots (Supplementary Fig. S3 and
Kumar et al., 2018). Out of the closest orthologs of wheat-*YS1A,* HvYS1A and ZmYS1A,
HvYS1A has been shown to be involved in specific transport of Fe whereas, ZmYS1 was
reported to have broad substrate specificity (Schaaf et al., 2004). Worth to mention that our
RNAseq data revealed a conserved function of genes from monocots and dicots during the Fe
regulation and known important Fe regulators such as PYE and BRUTUS also showed
differential expression in wheat roots (Ivanov et al., 2012). Same for TFs spanning to gene
families such as MYB (Rubio et al., 2001), bHLH (Colangelo et al., 2004; Jakoby et al.,
2004; Ogo et al., 2007), C2H2, NAC, AP2-ERB (Kim et al., 2012), and WRKY (Devaiah et
al., 2007) were characterized in Arabidopsis and rice for their involvement in nutrient uptake
which were found to be highly represented in root of Fe-starved wheat. Overall, based on the
information obtained from our studies future research focus should be to gain better insights
of the molecular functions of these genes using tilling population in wheat and/or
heterologous system.

Members of ABC-B transporters were also reported to participate in oxidative stress
that was linked with metal stress including Fe starvation (Kispal et al., 1997; Schaedler et al.,
2014). During our analysis we have identified an ABC-B subfamily transporter referred as
TaABCB25 that showed very high expression during Fe starvation (Fig. 4). The closest
ortholog for wheat ABCB25 transporters were identified in rice (OsABCB25), Arabidopsis
(AtABCB27) and yeast (Atm1) (Supplementary Fig. S5A). The temporal-induction of
TaABCC25 was also confirmed in roots subjected to Fe starvation (Supplementary Fig. S5B).
Interestingly, wheat ABCB25 ortholog in yeast referred as Atm encode a mitochondrial ABC
transporter (Atm1p) is involved in the transport of metals bound to glutathione derivatives
into vacuoles and also involved in maturation of Fe-sulphur cluster (Kispal et al., 1997; Sipos
et al., 2002). Similarly, *Arabidopsis thaliana* ABCB27 was shown to have the ability to
transport glutathione conjugate metabolite precursors for the Fe-Sulfur cluster assembly
(Schaedler et al., 2014). Based on the presence of domain structure these ABCB transporters
from rice, Arabidopsis and wheat qualify as half-size transporter (Supplementary Fig. S5C).

In graminaceous species, glutathione related activity was observed to be highly up-regulated
under Fe deficient condition supporting our observation in the current study (Bashir et al.,
2007). Enhanced GST activity in wheat under Fe starvation and abundance of *TaABCB25* in
roots, warrants further study of this gene for its role in mobilizing micronutrient uptake.
Glutathione and GST plays an essential role during Fe starvation related responses
in *Arabidopsis* and also quench reactive molecules to protect the cell from oxidative damage
and prevent chlorophyll loss (Ramirez et al., 2013; Shanmugan et al., 2015; Kumar and
Trivedi, 2018). Our analysis also suggests that high transcript and enzyme activity of GST
could be linked with the primary metabolism by accumulating fumarate. In *Arabidopsis*, it
has been shown that GST catalyses Glutathione-dependent isomerization of maleyl-
acetoacetate into fumaryl-acetoacetate eventually leading to accumulation of fumarate and
acetoacetate (Dixon et al., 2002). Altogether, this supports the notion that wheat ABC
transporters might play a significant role in mobilization of metabolite/organic acid or
siderophores during Fe limiting conditions.

A schematic representation of data provides a summary of the metabolic and
molecular events that occur during Fe starvation in wheat roots (Fig. 9). In conclusion, in this
study, the core components for Fe starvation response in hexaploid wheat have been
identified to provide a better understanding on the molecular events that participate during Fe
starvation in wheat roots. The information here will help to design strategy not only to
improve plant response to Fe starvation in wheat, but also to foster Fe uptake and
accumulation, which is required to boost productivity and grain nutritional quality through Fe
biofortification programs. Complementary approaches, analytical and transcriptome analysis
reinforce the importance of primary metabolism for reprogramming organic acids and amino
acids thereby responding to Fe homeostasis in wheat. In particular, we provide line of
evidence for the role of GST in the response to –Fe in roots. Taken together, data presented in
this study will help in deepening our insight and design strategy to improve plant response to
Fe starvation, enhance Fe uptake and accumulation, which are required to improve the
productivity and grain nutritional quality through Fe biofortification programs.

Acknowledgement
Authors thank Executive Director for facilities and support. This research was funded by the
NABI-CORE grant to AKP and partial support from DST-SERB grant (PDF/2016/001355) to
PG. GK and AK acknowledge NABI-JRF Fellowships.Technical help from Jagdeep for the
GC-MS analysis is highly appreciated. DBT-eLibrary Consortium (DeLCON) is

acknowledged for providing timely support and access to e-resources for this work. The wheat genome resources developed by International Wheat Genome Sequencing Consortium is highly appreciated. We would like to thank Abhijeet Panwar (CDAC-Pune) for his help in the preparation of the Sankey plot.

Reference

Aggarwal S, Kumar A, Bhati KK, Kaur G, Shukla V, Tiwari S, Pandey AK. 2018. RNAi-Mediated Downregulation of Inositol Pentakisphosphate Kinase (IPK1) in Wheat Grains Decreases Phytic Acid Levels and Increases Fe and Zn Accumulation. Frontiers in Plant Science **9**.

Bashir K, Nagasaka S, Itai RN, Kobayashi T, Takahashi M, Nakanishi H, Mori S, Nishizawa NK. 2007. Expression and enzyme activity of glutathione reductase is upregulated by Fe-deficiency in graminaceous plants. Plant molecular biology **65**, 277–84.

Bauer P, Blondet E. 2011. Transcriptome analysis of *ein3 eil1* mutants in response to iron deficiency. Plant Signaling & Behavior **6**, 1669–1671.

Beasley JT, Bonneau JP, Johnson AAT. 2017. Characterisation of the nicotianamine aminotransferase and deoxymugineic acid synthase genes essential to Strategy II iron uptake in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). (W Ma, Ed.). PloS one **12**, e0177061.

Bhati KK, Alok A, Kumar A, Kaur J, Tiwari S, Pandey AK. 2016. Silencing of *ABCC13* transporter in wheat reveals its involvement in grain development, phytic acid accumulation and lateral root formation. Journal of Experimental Botany **67**, 4379–4389.

Bocchini M, Bartucca ML, Ciancaleoni S, Mimmo T, Cesco S, Pii Y, Albertini E, Del Buono D. 2015. Iron deficiency in barley plants: phytosiderophore release, iron translocation, and DNA methylation. Frontiers in Plant Science **6**, 514.

Borlotti A, Vigani G, Zocchi G. 2012. Iron deficiency affects nitrogen metabolism in cucumber (Cucumis sativus L.) plants. BMC Plant Biology **12**, 189.

Borrill P, Harrington SA, Uauy C. 2018. Applying the latest advances in genomics and phenomics for trait discovery in polyploid wheat. The Plant Journal **97**, 56-72.

Buchner P, Hawkesford MJ. 2014. Complex phylogeny and gene expression patterns of members of the NITRATE TRANSPORTER 1/PEPTIDE TRANSPORTER family (NPF) in wheat. Journal of experimental botany **65**, 5697–710.

Buckhout TJ, Yang TJ, Schmidt W. 2009. Early iron-deficiency-induced transcriptional changes in Arabidopsis roots as revealed by microarray analyses. BMC Genomics **10**, 147.

Cataldo DA, Maroon M, Schrader LE, Youngs VL. 1975. Rapid colorimetric determination of nitrate in plant tissue by nitration of salicylic acid. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis **6**, 71–80.

Colangelo EP, Guerinot M Lou. 2004. The Essential Basic Helix-Loop-Helix Protein FIT1 Is Required for the Iron Deficiency Response. The Plant Cell Online **16**, 3400–3412.

Connorton JM, Balk J, Rodríguez-Celma J. 2017. Iron homeostasis in plants – a brief overview. Metallomics **9**, 813–823.

Connorton JM, Jones ER, Rodríguez-Ramiro I, Fairweather-Tait S, Uauy C, Balk J. 2017. Wheat Vacuolar Iron Transporter TaVIT2 Transports Fe and Mn and Is Effective for Biofortification. Plant Physiology **174**, 2434–2444.

Curie C, Panaviene Z, Loulergue C, Dellaporta SL, Briat J-F, Walker EL. 2001. Maize yellow stripe1 encodes a membrane protein directly involved in Fe(III) uptake. Nature **409**, 346–349.

Devaiah BN, Karthikeyan AS, Raghothama KG. 2007. WRKY75 Transcription Factor Is a Modulator of Phosphate Acquisition and Root Development in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiology **143**, 1789–1801.

Dixon DP, Davis BG, Edwards R. 2002. Functional Divergence in the Glutathione Transferase Superfamily in Plants. Journal of Biological Chemistry **277**, 30859–30869.

Garnica M, Bacaicoa E, Mora V, San Francisco S, Baigorri R, Zamarreño AM, Garcia-Mina JM. 2018. Shoot iron status and auxin are involved in iron deficiency-induced phytosiderophores release in wheat. BMC Plant Biology **18**, 105.

Gross J, Stein RJ, Fett-Neto AG, Fett JP. 2003. Iron homeostasis related genes in rice. Genetics and Molecular Biology **26**, 477–497.

Hell R, Stephan UW. 2003. Iron uptake, trafficking and homeostasis in plants. Planta **216**, 541–51.

Hindt MN, Guerinot M Lou. 2012. Getting a sense for signals: regulation of the plant iron deficiency response. Biochimica et biophysica acta **1823**, 1521–30.

Inoue H, Kobayashi T, Nozoye T, Takahashi M, Kakei Y, Suzuki K, Nakazono M, Nakanishi H, Mori S, Nishizawa NK. 2009. Rice OsYSL15 is an iron-regulated iron(III)-deoxymugineic acid transporter expressed in the roots and is essential for iron uptake in early growth of the seedlings. The Journal of biological chemistry **284**, 3470–9.

Ishimaru Y, Suzuki M, Tsukamoto T, *et al*. 2006. Rice plants take up iron as an Fe^{3+}-phytosiderophore and as Fe^{2+}. The Plant Journal **45**, 335–346.

Ivanov R, Brumbarova T, Bauer P. 2012. Fitting into the Harsh Reality: Regulation of Iron-deficiency Responses in Dicotyledonous Plants. Molecular Plant **5**, 27–42.

Jakoby M, Wang H-Y, Reidt W, Weisshaar B, Bauer P. 2004. *FRU (BHLH029)* is required for induction of iron mobilization genes in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. FEBS Letters **577**, 528–534.

Kabir AH, Paltridge NG, Roessner U, Stangoulis JCR. 2013. Mechanisms associated with Fe-deficiency tolerance and signaling in shoots of *Pisum sativum*. Physiologia Plantarum **147**, 381–395.

Kim J, Rees DC. 1992. Structural models for the metal centers in the nitrogenase molybdenum-iron protein. Science (New York, N.Y.) **257**, 1677–82.

Kim MJ, Ruzicka D, Shin R, Schachtman DP. 2012. The Arabidopsis AP2/ERF Transcription Factor RAP2.11 Modulates Plant Response to Low-Potassium Conditions. Molecular Plant **5**, 1042–1057.

Kim SA, Punshon T, Lanzirotti A, Li L, Alonso JM, Ecker JR, Kaplan J, Guerinot ML. 2006. Localization of Iron in Arabidopsis Seed Requires the Vacuolar Membrane Transporter VIT1. Science **314**, 1295–1298.

Kispal G, Csere P, Guiard B, Lill R. 1997. The ABC transporter Atm1p is required for mitochondrial iron homeostasis. FEBS letters **418**, 346–50.

Kobayashi T, Itai RN, Ogo Y, Kakei Y, Nakanishi H, Takahashi M, Nishizawa NK. 2009. The rice transcription factor IDEF1 is essential for the early response to iron deficiency, and induces vegetative expression of late embryogenesis abundant genes. The Plant Journal **60**, 948–961.

Kobayashi T, Nishizawa NK. 2012. Iron Uptake, Translocation, and Regulation in Higher Plants. Annual Review of Plant Biology **63**, 131–152.

Kumar A, Kaur G, Goel P, Bhati KK, Kaur M, Shukla V, Pandey AK. 2018. Genome-wide analysis of oligopeptide transporters and detailed characterization of yellow stripe transporter genes in hexaploid wheat. Functional & Integrative Genomics.

Kumar S, Trivedi PK. 2018. Glutathione S-Transferases: Role in Combating Abiotic Stresses Including Arsenic Detoxification in Plants. Frontiers in Plant Science **9**, 751.

Lee S, Chiecko JC, Kim SA, Walker EL, Lee Y, Guerinot ML, An G. 2009. Disruption of OsYSL15 Leads to Iron Inefficiency in Rice Plants. Plant Physiology **150**, 786–800.

Li W, Lan P. 2017. The Understanding of the Plant Iron Deficiency Responses in Strategy I Plants and the Role of Ethylene in This Process by Omic Approaches. Frontiers in plant science **8**, 40.

Li X, Zhang H, Ai Q, Liang G, Yu D. 2016. Two bHLH Transcription Factors, bHLH34 and bHLH104, Regulate Iron Homeostasis in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant Physiology **170**, 2478–2493.

Li Y, Wang N, Zhao F, Song X, Yin Z, Huang R, Zhang C. 2014. Changes in the transcriptomic profiles of maize roots in response to iron-deficiency stress. Plant Molecular Biology **85**, 349–363.

Lin XY, Ye YQ, Fan SK, Jin CW, Zheng SJ. 2016. Increased Sucrose Accumulation Regulates Iron-Deficiency Responses by Promoting Auxin Signaling in Arabidopsis Plants. Plant Physiology **170**, 907–920.

Liu Z, Xin M, Qin J, Peng H, Ni Z, Yao Y, Sun Q. 2015. Temporal transcriptome profiling reveals expression partitioning of homeologous genes contributing to heat and drought acclimation in wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). BMC Plant Biology **15**, 152.

Lohse M, Nagel A, Herter T, May P, Schroda M, Zrenner R, Tohge T, Fernie AR, Stitt M, Usadel B. 2014. Mercator: a fast and simple web server for genome scale functional annotation of plant sequence data. Plant, Cell & Environment **37**, 1250–1258.

Long TA, Tsukagoshi H, Busch W, Lahner B, Salt DE, Benfey PN. 2010. The bHLH Transcription Factor POPEYE Regulates Response to Iron Deficiency in Arabidopsis Roots. The Plant Cell Online **22**, 2219–2236.

Maere S, Heymans K, Kuiper M. 2005. BiNGO: a Cytoscape plugin to assess overrepresentation of Gene Ontology categories in Biological Networks. Bioinformatics **21**, 3448–3449.

Mai H-J, Pateyron S, Bauer P. 2016. Iron homeostasis in Arabidopsis thaliana: transcriptomic analyses reveal novel FIT-regulated genes, iron deficiency marker genes and functional gene networks. BMC Plant Biology **16**, 211.

Marschner H. 1995.Mineral nutrition of higher plants.second edition. 889pp. London: Academic Press, £29.95 (paperback). Annals of Botany 78, 527–528.

Mayer KFX, Rogers J, Dole el J, *et al.* 2014. A chromosome-based draft sequence of the hexaploid bread wheat (Triticum aestivum) genome. Science **345**, 1251788–1251788.

Merico D, Isserlin R, Stueker O, Emili A, Bader GD. 2010. Enrichment Map: A Network-Based Method for Gene-Set Enrichment Visualization and Interpretation (T Ravasi, Ed.). PLoS ONE **5**, e13984.

Mori S. 1999. Iron acquisition by plants. Current Opinion in Plant Biology **2**, 250–253.

Morrissey J, Guerinot M Lou. 2009. Iron Uptake and Transport in Plants: The Good, the Bad, and the Ionome. Chemical Reviews **109**, 4553–4567.

Nozoye T, Nagasaka S, Kobayashi T, Sato Y, Uozumi N, Nakanishi H, Nishizawa NK. 2015. The Phytosiderophore Efflux Transporter TOM2 Is Involved in Metal Transport in Rice. Journal of Biological Chemistry **290**, 27688–27699.

Nozoye T, Nagasaka S, Kobayashi T, Takahashi M, Sato Y, Sato Y, Uozumi N, Nakanishi H, Nishizawa NK. 2011. Phytosiderophore Efflux Transporters Are Crucial for Iron Acquisition in Graminaceous Plants. Journal of Biological Chemistry **286**, 5446–5454.

Ogo Y, Nakanishi Itai R, Nakanishi H, Kobayashi T, Takahashi M, Mori S, Nishizawa NK. 2007. The rice bHLH protein OsIRO2 is an essential regulator of the genes involved in Fe uptake under Fe-deficient conditions. The Plant Journal **51**, 366–377.

Palmer L, Dias D, Boughton B, Roessner U, Graham R, Stangoulis JC. 2014. Metabolite profiling of wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) phloem exudate. Plant Methods **10**, 27.

Powell JJ, Fitzgerald TL, Stiller J, Berkman PJ, Gardiner DM, Manners JM, Henry RJ, Kazan K. 2017. The defence-associated transcriptome of hexaploid wheat displays homoeolog expression and induction bias. Plant Biotechnology Journal **15**, 533–543.

Quanbeck SM, Brachova L, Campbell AA, et al. 2012. Metabolomics as a Hypothesis-Generating Functional Genomics Tool for the Annotation of Arabidopsis thaliana Genes of "Unknown Function". Frontiers in Plant Science **3**, 15.

Ramírez L, Bartoli CG, Lamattina L. 2013. Glutathione and ascorbic acid protect Arabidopsis plants against detrimental effects of iron deficiency. Journal of experimental botany **64**, 3169–78.

Ramírez-González RH, Borrill P, Lang D, et al. 2018. The transcriptional landscape of polyploid wheat. Science, **361** (6403), p.eaar6089.

Romera FJ, Alcantara E. 1994. Iron-Deficiency Stress Responses in Cucumber (Cucumis sativus L.) Roots (A Possible Role for Ethylene?). Plant physiology **105**, 1133–1138.

Romera FJ, García MJ, Alcántara E, Pérez-Vicente R. 2011. Latest findings about the interplay of auxin, ethylene and nitric oxide in the regulation of Fe deficiency responses by Strategy I plants. Plant signaling & behavior **6**, 167–70.

Rouached H, Rhee SY. 2017. System-level understanding of plant mineral nutrition in the big data era. Current Opinion in Systems Biology **4**, 71–77.

Rubio V. 2001. A conserved MYB transcription factor involved in phosphate starvation signaling both in vascular plants and in unicellular algae. Genes & Development **15**, 2122–2133.

Santi S, Cesco S, Varanini Z, Pinton R. 2005. Two plasma membrane H+-ATPase genes are differentially expressed in iron-deficient cucumber plants. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry **43**, 287–292.

Santi S, Schmidt W. 2009. Dissecting iron deficiency-induced proton extrusion in Arabidopsis roots. New Phytologist **183**, 1072–1084.

Schaaf G, Ludewig U, Erenoglu BE, Mori S, Kitahara T, von Wirén N. 2004. ZmYS1 Functions as a Proton-coupled Symporter for Phytosiderophore- and Nicotianamine-chelated Metals. Journal of Biological Chemistry **279**, 9091–9096.

Schaedler TA, Thornton JD, Kruse I, Schwarzländer M, Meyer AJ, van Veen HW, Balk J. 2014. A Conserved Mitochondrial ATP-binding Cassette Transporter Exports Glutathione Polysulfide for Cytosolic Metal Cofactor Assembly. Journal of Biological Chemistry **289**, 23264–23274.

Schmidt W, Tittel J, Schikora A. 2000. Role of hormones in the induction of iron deficiency responses in Arabidopsis roots. Plant physiology **122**, 1109–18.

Shanmugam V, Wang Y-W, Tsednee M, Karunakaran K, Yeh K-C. 2015. Glutathione plays an essential role in nitric oxide-mediated iron-deficiency signaling and iron-deficiency tolerance in Arabidopsis. The Plant journal : for cell and molecular biology **84**, 464–77.

Shukla D, Rinehart CA, Sahi S V. 2017. Comprehensive study of excess phosphate response reveals ethylene mediated signaling that negatively regulates plant growth and development. Scientific Reports **7**, 3074.

Sipos K, Lange H, Fekete Z, Ullmann P, Lill R, Kispal G. 2002. Maturation of cytosolic iron-sulfur proteins requires glutathione. The Journal of biological chemistry **277**, 26944–9.

Sperotto RA, Vasconcelos MW, Grusak MA, Fett JP. 2012. Effects of different Fe supplies on mineral partitioning and remobilization during the reproductive development of rice (Oryza sativa L.). Rice **5**, 27.

Thimm O, Essigmann B, Kloska S, Altmann T, Buckhout TJ. 2001. Response of Arabidopsis to iron deficiency stress as revealed by microarray analysis. Plant physiology **127**, 1030–43.

Thimm O, Bläsing O, Gibon Y, Nagel A, Meyer S, Krüger P, Selbig J, Müller LA, Rhee SY, Stitt M. 2004. MAPMAN: a user-driven tool to display genomics data sets onto

diagrams of metabolic pathways and other biological processes. The Plant journal□: for cell and molecular biology **37**, 914–39.

Thomine S, Vert G. 2013. Iron transport in plants: better be safe than sorry. Current opinion in plant biology **16**, 322–7.

Tolay I, Erenoglu B, Römheld V, Braun HJ, Cakmak I. 2001. Phytosiderophore release in Aegilops tauschii and Triticum species under zinc and iron deficiencies. Journal of experimental botany **52**, 1093–9.

Usadel B, Poree F, Nagel A, Lohse M, Czedik-Eysenberg A, Stitt M. 2009. A guide to using MapMan to visualize and compare Omics data in plants: a case study in the crop species, Maize. Plant, Cell & Environment **32**, 1211–1229.

Wagner DS, Pirhalla JL, Bowers GD. 2013. Metabolite structure analysis by high-resolution MS: supporting drug-development studies. Bioanalysis **5**, 463–479.

Wang Y, Lysøe E, Armarego-Marriott T, Erban A, Paruch L, van Eerde A, Bock R, Liu-Clarke J. 2018. Transcriptome and metabolome analyses provide insights into root and root-released organic anion responses to phosphorus deficiency in oat. Journal of Experimental Botany 69, 3759–3771.

Waters BM, Sankaran RP. 2011. Moving micronutrients from the soil to the seeds: Genes and physiological processes from a biofortification perspective. Plant Science **180**, 562–574.

Xie C, Mao X, Huang J, Ding Y, Wu J, Dong S, Kong L, Gao G, Li C-Y, Wei L. 2011. KOBAS 2.0: a web server for annotation and identification of enriched pathways and diseases. Nucleic acids research **39**, W316-22.

Yang TJW, Lin WD, Schmidt W. 2010. Transcriptional Profiling of the Arabidopsis Iron Deficiency Response Reveals Conserved Transition Metal Homeostasis Networks. Plant Physiology **152**, 2130–2141.

Yordem BK, Conte SS, Ma JF, Yokosho K, Vasques KA, Gopalsamy SN, Walker EL. 2011. Brachypodium distachyon as a new model system for understanding iron homeostasis in grasses: phylogenetic and expression analysis of Yellow Stripe-Like (YSL) transporters. Annals of Botany **108**, 821–833.

Yousfi S, Rabhi M, Abdelly C, Gharsalli M. 2009. Iron deficiency tolerance traits in wild (Hordeum maritimum) and cultivated barley (Hordeum vulgare). Comptes Rendus Biologies **332**, 523–533.

Zanin L, Venuti S, Zamboni A, Varanini Z, Tomasi N, Pinton R. 2017. Transcriptional and physiological analyses of Fe deficiency response in maize reveal the presence of Strategy I components and Fe/P interactions. BMC genomics **18**, 154.

Zhang Y, Liu J, Zhou Y, Gong T, Wang J, Ge Y. 2013. Enhanced phytoremediation of mixed heavy metal (mercury)–organic pollutants (trichloroethylene) with transgenic alfalfa co-expressing glutathione S-transferase and human P450 2E1. Journal of Hazardous Materials **260**, 1100–1107.

Zheng L, Huang F, Narsai R, *et al.* 2009. Physiological and Transcriptome Analysis of Iron and Phosphorus Interaction in Rice Seedlings. Plant Physiology **151**, 262–274.

Zuchi sabrina, Watanabe M, Hubberten H-M, *et al.* 2015. The interplay between sulfur and iron nutrition in tomato. Plant Physiology **169**, pp.00995.2015.

Table 1: Metal concentration in roots and shoots of wheat seedlings subjected to –Fe stress.

Treatments	ROOTS					SHOOTS				
	Fe	Zn	Mn	Mg	Cu	Fe	Zn	Mn	Mg	Cu
+Fe+P	106.73 ± 14.2	14.6 ± 3.2	15.8 ± 2.0	542 ± 70	7.4 ± 1.1	72.9 ± 1.7	26.8 ± .09	17.4 ± .05	1031 ± 2.3	10.4 ± 0.6
-Fe+P	66.8 ± 17	**40**.4 ± 12	**38.2** ± 8.9	**912** ± 213	**17.9** ± 3.7	28.6 ± 1.6	22.3 ± 1.05	22.1 ± 1.9	1013 ± 48	5.1 ± 0.3

Table 2: List of genes showing bias expression contribution from either A or B genome.

Gene	logFold change	RAP-DB description
A genome		
TRIAE_CS42_3AL_TGACv1_197291_AA0665720	3.01	Myb transcription factor domain containing protein.
TRIAE_CS42_2AL_TGACv1_094922_AA0304900	2.8	Similar to Prolyl endopeptidase (EC 3.4.21.26) (Post-proline cleaving enzyme) (PE).
TRIAE_CS42_5AL_TGACv1_379416_AA1256390	2.44	Similar to Solute carrier family 35, member F1.
TRIAE_CS42_2AL_TGACv1_094606_AA0300340	2.18	RmlC-like jelly roll fold domain containing protein.
TRIAE_CS42_3AS_TGACv1_211026_AA0683370	3.36	Protein of unknown function DUF1399 family protein.
TRIAE_CS42_7AL_TGACv1_557470_AA1781720	3.47	Heavy metal-transporting P1B-ATPase, Root-to-shoot cadmium (Cd) translocation
TRIAE_CS42_6AL_TGACv1_471682_AA1512850	2.26	Similar to CRT/DRE binding factor 1.
TRIAE_CS42_6AS_TGACv1_485332_AA1543320	1.85	Zinc/iron permease family protein.
TRIAE_CS42_2AL_TGACv1_092944_AA0267670	2.43	Heavy metal transport/detoxification protein domain containing protein.
TRIAE_CS42_5AL_TGACv1_375378_AA1220660	2.21	Similar to SUSIBA2 (WRKY protein).
TRIAE_CS42_1AL_TGACv1_000708_AA0017440	2.57	Chlorophyll a-b binding protein 2, chloroplast precursor (LHCII type I CAB-2) (LHCP).

TRIAE_CS42_2AL_TGACv1_093154_AA0273510	1.86	C2 domain containing protein.
TRIAE_CS42_5AL_TGACv1_374025_AA1188170	2.66	Nodulin-like domain containing protein.
TRIAE_CS42_3AL_TGACv1_197522_AA0666570	2.22	Lipase, GDSL domain containing protein.
TRIAE_CS42_7AL_TGACv1_556100_AA1755640	2.34	Bifunctional inhibitor/plant lipid transfer protein/seed storage domain containing protein.
TRIAE_CS42_4AS_TGACv1_306527_AA1009640	2.2	Multi antimicrobial extrusion protein MatE family protein.
TRIAE_CS42_7AS_TGACv1_570336_AA1834060	1.98	Major facilitator superfamily protein.
TRIAE_CS42_2AL_TGACv1_093456_AA0280470	2.15	Glycolipid transfer protein domain domain containing protein.
TRIAE_CS42_1AL_TGACv1_002205_AA0039730	-2.09	Similar to IAA8 (Fragment).
TRIAE_CS42_4AL_TGACv1_290815_AA0989640	-2	Lipase, class 3 family protein.
TRIAE_CS42_5AL_TGACv1_374561_AA1203290	-2.24	Cinnamyl alcohol dehydrogenase (EC 1.1.1.195).
TRIAE_CS42_5AL_TGACv1_374359_AA1197930	-2.36	Similar to Lipoxygenase L-2 (EC 1.13.11.12).
TRIAE_CS42_6AL_TGACv1_472625_AA1524260	-1.89	RAG1-activating protein 1 homologue domain containing protein.
TRIAE_CS42_7AL_TGACv1_558250_AA1791610	-2.32	Similar to Pleiotropic drug resistance protein 3.
TRIAE_CS42_2AL_TGACv1_097246_AA0323500	-1.72	Similar to Peroxidase (EC 1.11.1.7).
TRIAE_CS42_7AL_TGACv1_556210_AA1758330	-2.21	Similar to Kaurene synthase A (Fragment).
TRIAE_CS42_1AL_TGACv1_000555_AA0014640	-1.84	No apical meristem (NAM) protein domain containing protein.
TRIAE_CS42_6AL_TGACv1_471077_AA1502240	-1.79	Similar to OSIGBa0145M07.8 protein.
TRIAE_CS42_7AL_TGACv1_559906_AA1801190	-1.68	Similar to H0801D08.12 protein.
TRIAE_CS42_7AL_TGACv1_558101_AA1790150	-1.76	Similar to Acyl-ACP thioesterase (Fragment).
TRIAE_CS42_6AL_TGACv1_472321_AA1520860	-2.06	Similar to Subtilisin-like protease (Fragment).
B Genome		
TRIAE_CS42_5BS_TGACv1_423346_AA1374840	2.19	Similar to Calmodulin NtCaM13.
TRIAE_CS42_3B_TGACv1_224030_AA0791180	2.2	Similar to IN2-2 protein.
TRIAE_CS42_2BL_TGACv1_129296_AA0377070	2.46	Similar to OSIGBa0127A14.7 protein.
TRIAE_CS42_6BL_TGACv1_499646_AA1588130	2.32	TGF-beta receptor, type I/II extracellular region family protein.
TRIAE_CS42_7BS_TGACv1_592587_AA1940840	2.25	Similar to RING-H2 finger protein ATL1R (RING-H2 finger protein ATL8).
TRIAE_CS42_2BS_TGACv1_146290_AA0461590	2.64	
TRIAE_CS42_5BL_TGACv1_404610_AA1306090	1.84	Similar to Senescence-associated protein SAG102.
TRIAE_CS42_5BL_TGACv1_405319_AA1324840	2.77	Similar to Transporter associated with antigen processing-like protein.
TRIAE_CS42_7BL_TGACv1_577614_AA1879540	2.69	Peptidase A1 domain containing protein.
TRIAE_CS42_4BS_TGACv1_329166_AA1098520	2.32	Similar to Alcohol dehydrogenase.
TRIAE_CS42_4BL_TGACv1_321683_AA1064050	2.56	Protein of unknown function DUF1262 family protein.
TRIAE_CS42_7BL_TGACv1_591489_AA1920550	NA	Similar to zinc transporter 4.
TRIAE_CS42_7BL_TGACv1_577301_AA1871590	2.41	Delta-tonoplast intrinsic protein.
TRIAE_CS42_4BS_TGACv1_329309_AA1100040	NA	Similar to Major facilitator superfamily antiporter.
TRIAE_CS42_2BL_TGACv1_129348_AA0379680	2	Hypothetical conserved gene.
TRIAE_CS42_2BS_TGACv1_148847_AA0495340	4.34	Helix-loop-helix DNA-binding domain containing protein.
TRIAE_CS42_2BL_TGACv1_130820_AA0418390	1.88	
TRIAE_CS42_1BL_TGACv1_031794_AA0120680	-2.79	Divalent ion symporter domain containing protein.
TRIAE_CS42_5BL_TGACv1_406838_AA1350360	-2.29	Similar to anther-specific proline-rich protein APG.

TRIAE_CS42_2BL_TGACv1_130182_AA0405730	-2.09	Protein of unknown function DUF3741 domain containing protein.
TRIAE_CS42_4BS_TGACv1_330685_AA1108490	-2.83	Serine/threonine protein kinase-related domain containing protein.
TRIAE_CS42_2BS_TGACv1_147909_AA0488910	-2.28	Delayed-early response protein/equilibrative nucleoside transporter family protein.
TRIAE_CS42_3B_TGACv1_224656_AA0799480	-1.83	Glyoxalase/bleomycin resistance protein/dioxygenase domain containing protein.
TRIAE_CS42_7BL_TGACv1_577476_AA1876230	-2.93	Protein kinase, catalytic domain domain containing protein.
TRIAE_CS42_6BL_TGACv1_499809_AA1592210	-1.88	Cupredoxin domain containing protein.
TRIAE_CS42_7BL_TGACv1_576755_AA1853830	-2.04	Cellulase (EC 3.2.1.4).
TRIAE_CS42_2BL_TGACv1_130686_AA0416110	-2.3	Similar to OSIGBa0096P03.3 protein.
TRIAE_CS42_2BS_TGACv1_146035_AA0453750	NA	Conserved hypothetical protein.
TRIAE_CS42_1BL_TGACv1_030699_AA0098220	-1.91	Lipase, class 3 family protein.
TRIAE_CS42_2BL_TGACv1_129634_AA0391150	-1.78	2OG-Fe(II) oxygenase domain containing protein.

Table 3: Percentage of homoeolog triads categorised into ideal genome bias categories in control and Fe starved conditions.

	Control	-Fe
Balanced	77.07%	77.89%
A-suppressed	6.90%	6.90%
B-suppressed	7.09%	6.82%
D-suppressed	5.15%	4.93%
A-dominant	1.12%	0.94%
B-dominant	1.24%	1.18%
D-dominant	1.43%	1.32%

Legends for Figures:

Fig. 1. Effect of Fe-starvation (-Fe) on the growth parameters of wheat seedlings post 20 days after starvation. (A) Phenotype of wheat seedlings exposed to Fe starvation. (B) Total biomass of roots and shoots of wheat seedlings after 20 DAS. 12-15 seedlings were collected for calculating the fresh tissue weight (in grams). (C)Number of first order lateral roots in roots subjected to –Fe condition and control plants (+Fe). (D) Primary root length of wheat roots. 10-12 seedlings were used for measuring the total primary root length of wheat seedlings under –Fe and +Fe condition. # indicate significant difference at $p<0.05$.

Fig. 2. Volcano plots, Principal component and analysis of differentially expressed genes modulated by Fe starvation in wheat roots. (A) Principal component analysis of samples from control and –Fe conditions. (B) Volcano plot of DEGs, the x-axis shows the fold change difference in the expression of genes in iron starved condition w.r.t. control, and the y-axis

indicates the adjusted P-values for the differences in expression. Genes without significant differences are indicated by grey dots. The up-regulated genes are represented by red dots, and the down-regulated genes are represented by green dots in the scatter plots. (C) Number of DEGs (-1 < logFC > 1) in –Fe;

Fig. 3. Genomics distribution and homoeolog bias studies during Fe starvation. (A) Chromosomal inclination of DEGs. (B) Pie charts showing (left panel) genome bias in triads where one of the homoeologs was up/down-regulated. A, B and D depict the sub-genome to which the DE homoeolog belongs; (right Panel) distribution of triads for which two of the homoeologs were differentially expressed. AB refers to the triads for which up/down regulation was observed in the homoeologs belonging to A and B subgenomes, while the D genome homoeolog behaved normal w.r.t control. Up/down regulation was observed in the homoeologs belonging to A and B sub-genomes, while the D genome homoeolog behaved normal with respect to control. (C) Sankey diagram depicting the homoeolog expression bias in Control and Fe starvation. Homoeolog triads were classified into seven categories based on relative normalised expression within each triad. Nodes flowing from Control to -Fe (Fe starvation) represent the triads with same as well as changed expression patterns across both conditions. Distinct colors represent the flow of triads belonging to the seven categories into same category under -Fe or transition into a different category.

Fig. 4. Gene ontology (GO) categories of the differentially expressed unigenes and its analysis. (A) WEGO plot describing GO annotation and classification of DEGs, with bars showing the number of genes belonging to respective terms (grey bars for down-regulated genes and red bars for up-regulated genes). Percentage and number of genes were calculated for the three broad main categories; (B) Enriched GO terms in DEGs under –Fe condition, y-axis depicting the significance of GO term enrichment. (C) Table showing top 20 enriched KEGG pathways in the up-regulated genes under iron starvation condition. Y-axis showing names of the pathways, x-axis showing number of genes enriched in respective pathways.

Fig. 5. Co-expression/hub genes and function enrichment network for identified DEG's. Function enrichment network for DEG's associated under Iron starvation in wheat roots with high significance (FDR ≤ 0.05) for (A) Up-regulated and (B) Down-regulated genes. Enriched GO functional categories are clustered with correlated DEG's and represented by node circles.

Fig. 6. Top up-regulated and down-regulated genes in –Fe condition, annotated via KOBAS using rice RAP-DB/RefSeq annotations as reference. The heat map shows top 50 genes those are highly up-regulated (red-left panel) and down-regulated (green-right panel) genes

identified in wheat roots under –Fe condition with respect to control roots. For expression analysis, FPKM values were obtained using Cufflinks, and CuffDiff was used to identify DEGs by calculating significant changes in transcript expression between the stressed and normal samples (FDR≤0.05).

Fig. 7. Transcriptional factors (TFs) significantly associated with Fe starvation (FDR ≤ 0.05) in wheat roots. (A) List of TFs in response to Fe starvation stress. Blue lines represent up-regulated DEG's and Red lines represent down-regulated DEG's. (B) Co-expression/hub genes and network analysis using Fe responsive TFs(FDR ≤ 0.05) in wheat roots. Circles indicate the process associated with it (green for down-regulated genes; Blue for up-regulated genes). Enriched GO functional categories are clustered with correlated DEG's and represented by node circles.

Fig. 8. Measurement of GST activity and metabolite profiling of wheat roots subjected to Fe starvation. (A) Glutathione-S-transferase activity of wheat roots under –Fe and +Fe condition. (B) Metabolite profiling of amino acids, sugars, polyols, organic acids and related compounds. Change in abundance of significant (P < 0.05) metabolites identified by GC-MS in Fe-starved roots. Abundance variation of each metabolite is represented in Log2 fold values of response ratio (-Fe/+Fe) of metabolite concentrations. Values are means of three biological replicates with bar representing Log ratio of standard error. * indicate significant difference at p<0.01; # indicate significant difference at p<0.05.

Fig. 9. Schematic representation of describing the core components involved in Fe starvation. The red font indicates the genes/metabolite those were highly up-regulated/high-accumulation during our study; whereas the green font indicates down-regulated/low accumulation. Methionine salvage pathway Bins indicate the level of the gene expression levels for the transcript indicated next to it. (Abbreviation used: FBP: fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase I, PFP: diphosphate-dependent phosphofructokinase, ALDO: fructose-bisphosphate aldolase, GAPDH: glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase, PGAM: 2,3-bisphosphoglycerate-dependent phosphoglycerate mutase, PDC: pyruvate decarboxylase, GLYK: D-glycerate 3-kinase, GlyA: glycine hydroxymethyltransferase, ltaE: threonine aldolase, BMT2: homocysteine S-methyltransferase, metE: homocysteine methyltransferase, metK: S-adenosylmethionine synthetase, SamDC: S-adenosylmethionine decarboxylase, SRM: spermidine synthase, MTN: 5'-methylthioadenosine nucleosidase, mtnK: 5-methylthioribose kinase, mtnA: methylthioribose-1-phosphate isomerase, DEP1: enolase-phosphatase E1, mtnC: enolase-phosphatase E1, mtnD: 1,2-dihydroxy-3-keto-5-methylthiopentene dioxygenase, TyrAT: tyrosine aminotransferase, NAS: nicotianamine synthase, NAAT: nicotianamine aminotransferase, DMAS: 3"-deamino-3"-oxonicotianamine reductase, YSL: Yellow Stripe Like, maiA: maleylacetoacetate isomerase, AAT: aspartate aminotransferase, TAT: tyrosine aminotransferase, HPD: 4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase, GGT: gamma-

glutamyltranspeptidase, AOS3: hydroperoxide dehydratase, OPR: 12-oxophytodienoic acid reductase, ACX: acyl-CoA oxidase, MFP2: enoyl-CoA hydratase, ACAT: acetyl-CoA acyltransferase 1)

Supporting information

Supplementary Fig. S1: MapMan visualization depicting the differentially expressed transcription factors families, with red and blue colored bins for up and down-regulated transcripts, respectively. Numbers in the scale represent fold changes in expression levels expressed as Log2.

Supplementary Fig. S2: Overview of genes modulated by the Fe starvation in wheat roots. MapMan overview demonstrating differentially expressed transcripts under iron starvation in general metabolic pathways. Log2 fold change values of DEGs were imported into MapMan. Read and blue bins represent up-regulation and down-regulation, respectively, in terms of log2 fold change, as shown by the scale.

Supplementary Fig. S3: qRT-PCR validation of selected genes form the DEG's during Fe-deficient roots after 20 days of starvation. A total of 2 µg of RNA (DNA free) was used for cDNA synthesis and qRT-PCR was performed using gene specific primers (Supplementary Table S1). C_t values were normalized against wheat *ARF1* as an internal control.

Supplementary Fig. S4: Estimation of Nitrate levels under Fe-starvation using salicylic acid method. Three Biological replicate root samples of 10, 15 and 20 days after starvation (DAS) were completely dried for the extraction. Yellow coloration (in test tubes: lower panel) represents level of nitrate in the sample. Potassium Nitrate was used as standard in 0-70 µg concentrations.

Supplementary Fig. S5: Characterization of wheat ABCB25 transporter. (A) Phylogeny analysis of TaABCB25 along with its closest orthologs from rice, arabidopsis and yeast. (B) Expression analysis of *TaABCC25* in roots of wheat seedlings subjected to Fe starvation. Wheat seedlings (5-7 days old) were subjected to Fe stress and samples were harvested after 12 hour, 3, 6, 9 and 15 days(d) post starvation. The relative qRT-PCR was performed using wheat ADP-ribosylation factor (ARF) as an internal control gene. Fold accumulation was calculated with respect to the control roots. (C) Schematic comparision of different domains of AtABCB27, OsABCB25 and TaABC25. The number indiacates the predicted amino acid position of the TM domains.

Supplementary Table S1: List of primers used in the current study. *wheat genes named according to rice RAP-DB/RefSeq based on KOBAS annotation.

Supplementary Table S2: Summary of filtered and mapped reads for each sample. Obtained RNA-seq reads were quality filtered using Trimmomatic v0.35. TopHat was used to map the obtained reads to the wheat genome (TGACv1).

Supplementary Table S3: DEGs in response to Fe starvation in wheat roots List of up-regulated genes, downregulated genes (sheet 2), genes exclusively expressed in response to Fe starvation (sheet 3). Table enlists Control and Fe starvation expression values, logFC for Fe starvation wrt Control samples. Each DEG is annotated with information like rice ortholog, gene definition, KEGG Orthology, Pathways and Pfam domains, which were obtained through KOBAS 3.0 stand-alone tool, using *Oryza sativa* RAP-DB and RefSeq as reference.

Supplementary Table S4: List of 8473 homoeolog triads that were used for homoeolog induction and expression biasness analysis.

Supplementary Table S5: Expression profiles of genes/gene of different transcription factors those are differentially Up- and down regulated. MapMan was used to identify TFs and categorize them into TF families. Table gives logFC value for starvation *vs* control for each TF showing significantly altered expression. A gradient of red and green is used for up-regulated and down-regulated TFs respectively.

Supplementary Table S6: Gene Ontology analysis of up- and down-regulated genes in response to Fe starvation. WEGO tool was used to categorize DEGs into GO categories and identify significant GO terms. Table lists the number and percentage of up- and down-regulated genes as well as p-values for each GO term.

Supplementary Table S7: Expression profiles of genes/gene families involved in strategy-II mode of Fe uptake. Strategy-II components of Fe uptake were identified and classified based on screening wheat genes annotated by KOBAS for respective KO IDs for NAS, NAAT, YSL and DMAS genes.

Supplementary Table S8: Expression profiles of genes/gene families involved in strategy-I mode of Fe uptake. Strategy-I components of Fe uptake were identified and classified based on screening wheat genes annotated by KOBAS for respective KO IDs for AHA, IRT, FRO, PEZ genes.

Supplementary Table S9: Expression profiles of genes involved during the process of glutathione mediated detoxification process. DEGs mapped to glutathione metabolism were identified using KOBAS. Table lists expression values and annotation for genes. Red color denotes up-regulated genes and green color represent down-regulation.

Supplementary Table S10: GC-MS analysis of wheat roots subjected to 20 days of Fe starvation.

Figure 1

(A)

(B)

(C)

(A) -Fe DEGs distribution

(B)

(C)

Figure 3

Figure 4

(A)

(B)

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 7

(A)

(B)

Sugar conjugates	α-D-Glucopyranoside
	α-D-Mannopyranoside
Phosphates	Phosphoric acid
Amino Acids	β-Amino isobutyric acid
	Hydroxybutyric acid
	pyroglutamic acid
	L- l-Valine
Sugars	D-Turanose
	Maltose
	D-Erythro-Pentopyranose
	D-Xylofuranose
	2-Desoxy-pentos-3-ulose
	4-Ketoglucose
	Hexopyranose
	Galactose
	Glucose
	Fructose
	β-DL-Arabinopyranose
	β-D-Xylopyranose
Polyols	Glycerol
	Ribitol
	Myo-Inositol
Fatty Acids	Linoleic acid
	Linolenic acid
Polyhydroxy Acids	Gluconic acid
	Glyceric acid
	Arabino-Hexonic acid
	Arabinonic acid
Acids	Citric acid
	Erythro-Pentonic acid
	Pentanoic acid
	Aconitic acid
	Malic acid
	Lactic acid
	Succinic acid
	Malonic acid
	Acetic acid
	Fumaric acid

Log2 fold (-Fe roots/control roots)

Figure 8

Figure 9