MoMI-G: Modular Multi-scale Integrated Genome Graph Browser

Toshiyuki T. Yokoyama, Yoshitaka Sakamoto, Masahide Seki, Yutaka Suzuki, Masahiro Kasahara[*]

Affiliations

Department of Computational Biology and Medical Sciences, Graduate School of Frontier Sciences,

The University of Tokyo, Chiba, Japan

[*]Correspondence should be addressed to

Masahiro Kasahara

E-mail: mkasa@k.u-tokyo.ac.jp

Tel/Fax: +81 4 7136 4110

ABSTRACT

Genome graph is an emerging approach for representing structural variants on genomes with branches. For example, representing structural variants of cancer genomes as a genome graph is more natural than representing such genomes as differences from the reference genome. However, there is currently no visualization method for large genome graphs, such as human cancer genomes. To this end, we developed MOdular Multi-scale Integrated Genome graph browser, MoMI-G, a web-based genome graph browser that can visualize genome graphs with structural variants and supporting evidences such as read alignments, read depth, and annotations.

KEYWORDS

Structural Variant; Genome Graphs; Genome Browser; Visualization; Variation Graphs; Long-read Sequencing;

INTRODUCTION

Structural Variants (SVs), which are often characterized as 50 bp or larger genomic rearrangements of chromosomal segments, are associated with various human diseases [1–3]. For example, some fusion genes caused by SVs are known oncogenes [4]. Identifying SVs and interpreting their potential impacts are critical steps toward cataloguing the variations in the human genome and mechanistic understanding of genetic diseases and cancers.

SV visualization is a very important step in an SV calling process, because it enables the manual inspection of SVs for achieving two goals: The first is to better understand the relationships between SVs and other genomic features, and the second is to ensure a smaller number of false positives.

For the first goal, SV visualization tools should be able to simultaneously display multiple intervals along with their relationships, even when the breakpoints are distant or when SVs are nested. Older SV visualization tools focus on visualizing only canonical SVs (insertion, deletion, inversion, duplication, and translocation) [5,6], because they account for a significant portion of the identified SVs at that time. However, as long-read sequencing technologies reveal an increasing number of SVs, SV visualization with the existing tools becomes more challenging. For example, a large inversion is often identified as two separate translocations at the two breakpoints of the inversion; one might not be able to immediately recognize that the two translocation events are explained by a single large inversion. Another example is a nested SV. When there is a large inversion that contains several smaller SVs, such as insertion of transposons or deletions, the nested SVs often obscure the relationship between genomic regions that are distant in the reference genome, but are actually close in the target genome. To this end, we employed genome graphs as a theoretical backbone for providing more systematic way of presenting SVs with varying complexities, including nested and large SVs [7]. Genome graphs can represent SVs more naturally than those that represent SVs as differences from a

reference genome (e.g., VCF). However, there is no visualization method for large genome graphs,

such as human cancer genomes.

For the second goal, manual inspection of SVs identified using SV calling tools is important,

because these tools are not yet accurate enough; human experts are required to accurately and reliably

distinguish true positive SVs from false positive ones. For example, SVs identified by using reads

obtained by different sequencing platforms are often not concordant [8], suggesting that the algorithms

of SV callers need further improvement. Therefore, SV candidates need to be manually inspected using

read alignments and genomic annotations [9]. Developers of SV callers may wish to track down false

positives, so they can improve the algorithms. Biologists may wish to filter out false positives through

manual inspection to find genuine causal SVs. However, manual inspection by using existing SV

visualization tools occasionally becomes very difficult for certain cases. For example, for nested SVs

and long reads spanning over multiple breakpoints, existing tools cannot show the read alignments in

multiple intervals at a glance, making it unrealistic to manually judge the authenticity of candidate

SVs. Another example is that tandem duplications identified by SV callers are often inaccurate. This

is presumably because the accuracy for finding tandem duplications has not been optimized for real

biological data [8,10,11].

To achieve these two goals, we developed MoMI-G (pronounced mo-me-jee), a genome

graph browser that visualizes SVs using variation graphs (Fig. 1, Additional file 1: Supplemental Fig.

1), a variant of genome graphs [12]. Herein, we describe the use cases and features of MoMI-G using

the LC-2/ad human lung adenocarcinoma cell line that carries a CCDC6-RET fusion gene [13–16],

and CHM1, a human hydatidiform mole cell line that originates from a single haploid [17]. MoMI-G

helps in understanding the entire picture of SVs, even those that are nested or large, regardless of their

size. MoMI-G allows researchers to obtain novel biological knowledge by comparing a reference

genome with an individual genome by using a variation graph.

A.

B.

C.

Figure 1. Overview of MoMI-G. A user typically selects one of the preset combinations of view

modules. The user can customize the window by adding or removing view modules, if necessary.

Three examples showing views of different scales are shown. Comprehensive descriptions for all

modules are shown in Additional file 1: Supplemental Fig. 1.

(A) Chromosome-scale view: Circos Plot (left) shows the distribution of SVs over all chromosomes.

Arcs are chromosomes. Curves represent SVs. Feature Table (right) shows a filtered/sorted list of

the SVs in an input VCF file.

(B) Gene-scale view: SequenceTubeMap (top) shows the graphical view of the genomic region

selected in Circos Plot, Feature Table, or Interval Card Deck. A rounded rectangle is a node that

represents a piece of a genomic sequence. The thick lines spanning over nodes are paths; the

horizontal thick black line with light/dark shades is a chromosome of the reference genome, and

the blue line indicates one end of an inversion. The color of lines indicating SVs is assigned

arbitrarily. Read alignments are shown as gray thin lines, suggesting that the inversion here is

likely heterozygous. Interval Card Deck (bottom) queues a list of genomic intervals for candidate

SVs selected by using Circos Plot or Feature Table for rapidly screening hundreds of candidate

intervals.

(C) Nucleotide-scale view: SequenceTubeMap can show nucleotides.

RESULTS

MoMI-G is a web-based genome browser developed as a single-page application implemented in

TypeScript and with React. Because users need different types of views, even for the same data,

MoMI-G provides three groups of view modules for the analysis of SVs at different scales, namely

chromosome-scale, gene-scale, and nucleotide-scale view groups (Additional file 1: Supplemental

Table 1). Users can use one or more view modules in a single window.

The input of MoMI-G is a variation graph, read alignment (optional), and annotations

(optional). MoMI-G accepts a succinct representation of a vg variation graph, which is an XG file, as

a variation graph. A script that converts a FASTA file of a reference genome and a common variant

format (VCF) file into an XG file is included in the MoMI-G package, although the VCF format cannot

represent some types of SVs that the XG format can represent, such as nested insertions. Read

alignment data on the graph need to be represented as a graph alignment map (GAM) file; alternatively,

users can convert a binary alignment map (BAM) file into a GAM file, although this is not

recommended due to some limitations.

We show three examples from two samples to demonstrate the utility of MoMI-G. One of

the examples is a large inversion, and the other is nested SVs that are difficult to visualize using

existing tools. We also show nested SVs from the CHM1 dataset [17]. For all the examples, we used

the Amazon EC2 instance type t2.large with 8 GB of memory and 2.4 GHz Intel Xeon processor as

the MoMI-G server; the server requirement for this tool is minimal. MoMI-G supports common

browsers, including Chrome, Safari, and Firefox.

Data model used in MoMI-G and MoMI-G tools

To our knowledge, no publicly available SV visualization tools are available for large and nested SVs

with alignments of long reads. Thus, we aimed to develop a genome graph browser at the earliest so

that users can obtain new biological knowledge from real data. We used an existing library,

SequenceTubeMap (https://github.com/vgteam/sequenceTubeMap), for visualizing a variation

subgraph, rather than developing our own library from scratch.

SequenceTubeMap is a JavaScript library that visualizes multiple related sequences such as

haplotypes. A variation graph used in SequenceTubeMap is a set of nodes and paths, where a node

represents part of a DNA sequence, and a path represents (part of) a haplotype. Edges are implicitly

represented by adjacent nodes in paths.

MoMI-G accepts variation graphs in which SVs are represented by paths so that

SequenceTubeMap can visualize them. A deletion is represented by a path that skips over a sequence

that other paths pass through. Similarly, an insertion is represented by a path that passes through an

extra sequence that other paths do not visit; an inversion is represented by a path where part of the

sequence in other paths is reversed; and, a duplication is represented by a path that passes through the

same sequence twice or more.

The MoMI-G package includes a set of scripts (MoMI-G tools) that convert a VCF file into

the variation graph format. We used MoMI-G tools for generating the input variation graphs;

alternatively, users can generate variation graphs on their own. See the method section and Additional

file 1: Supplemental Figure 2 for details of the MoMI-G tools. Briefly, MoMI-G tools convert a VCF

record into a path in the output variation graph. A deletion is converted into a path that starts, at most,

1 Mbp before one breakend of the deletion, traverses to the breakend, jumps to the other breakend,

and proceeds for a certain length (<1 Mbp). Note that the sequences flanking the deletion are added to

indicate the edge representing the deletion because edges are implicitly represented in

SequenceTubeMap. Insertions, inversions, and duplications are similarly represented by paths with

flanking sequences.

Visualization Examples

Revealing a large SV: A large inversion and a subsequent short deletion

Using MoMI-G, we show an example of a complex SV that involves two SVs identified by Sniffles,

each of which connects two different points on a chromosome. This complex SV can be considered a

large inversion and two flanking deletions. Previous studies involving the use of whole genome

sequencing or RNA-seq with the Illumina HiSeq or Nanopore MinION identified the CCDC6-RET

fusion gene in LC-2/ad [14–16,18]. However, those studies focused only on the region around the

CCDC6-RET fusion point, and the entire picture, including the other end of the inversion, was unclear.

To address this issue, we explored the wider region around CCDC6-RET with MoMI-G.

First, we sequenced the genome of LC-2/ad with Oxford Nanopore MinION R9.5 pore

chemistry and merged reads with those from a previous study (accession No. DRX143541-

DRX143544) [18]. We generated 3.5 M reads to 12.8× coverage in total and then aligned them with

GRCh38. The average length of the aligned reads was 16 kb (Additional file 1: Supplemental Table

2). We detected 11,316 SVs in the VCF format, including the previously known CCDC6-RET fusion

gene, on the nuclear DNA of LC-2/ad cell line (Additional file 1: Supplemental Table 3). See the

methods section for details.

The distance between RET (chr10: 43,075,069–43,132,349) and CCDC6 (chr10:

59,786,748–59,908,656) is about 17 Mbp in GRCh38. We confirmed that a CCDC6-RET fusion gene

exists in LC-2/ad (Fig. 2A). This fusion gene is presumably caused by an inversion, although only one

end of the inversion was found. We found an unknown novel adjacency that well explains the other

end of the inversion (Fig. 2B, Additional file 1: Supplemental Table 4). MoMI-G was able to display

the relationships between the two breakends of the inversion, enabling users to understand large SVs.

We explored the read alignments around the fusion and found that the fusion was heterozygous (Fig.

2C). MoMI-G is the first stand-alone genome graph browser that can display long-read alignments

over branching sequences that represent a heterozygous SV.

Further, we found that the large inversion was flanked by two small deletions. These

deletions are explained by a single deletion event following the large inversion event (Fig. 2D). The

loss of the RET-CCDC6 fusion gene corresponds to the two small deletions on GRCh38. A simple

explanation is that a deletion occurred after the inversion event, but not vice versa, in favor of the

smaller number of mutation events.

Next, we attempted to estimate the breakpoints of the large inversion before the deletion

occurred. There were two possible scenarios for the positions of the two breakends of the large

inversion. The first is that RET-CCDC6 and CCDC6-RET were generated by a large inversion and

then RET-CCDC6 was lost. The second is that CCDC6 was first broken by a large inversion, and a

subsequent small deletion led to CCDC6-RET. Previous studies support the former scenario. First, the

RET gene often tends to be disrupted in thyroid cancer by paracentric inversion of the long arm of

chromosome 10, or by chromosomal fusion [19]. Second, in a previous study, two clinical samples

had both RET-CCDC6 and CCDC6-RET in the genome [20]. Both studies suggested that an inversion

disrupted both CCDC6 and RET, and then a small deletion disrupted RET-CCDC6. We could never

recognize these two deletions flanking the large inversion without simultaneously observing both the

inversion records in VCF.

Nested SVs with alignment coverage

Visualizing nested SVs is necessary for evaluating the output of SV callers. However, most existing

genome browsers cannot visualize nested SVs as well as the relationships between them. Genome

browsers, including IGV [21], collapse SVs into intervals between breakpoints, and thus the

topological relationships between nested SVs are not shown. MoMI-G can visualize nested SVs as a

variation graph (Fig. 3).

Visualizing nested SVs in a pseudodiploid genome

We show an example of nested SVs in a pseudodiploid genome visualized using MoMI-G. We

downloaded a CHM1 genome with an SV list previously generated in a whole-genome resequencing

study with PacBio sequencers from human hydatidiform [17]. The SV list includes insertions,

deletions, and inversions for GRCh37/hg19. We converted the BED file of the SV list of CHM1 to a

VCF file, and then filtered out deletions of less than 1,000 bp to focus on medium to large SVs. We

found nested SVs for which existing genome browsers do not intuitively show the relationships

between them (Fig. 4). This example indicates that four insertions and deletions occur in the large

inversion.

A.

B.

C.

D.

Figure 2. Example of CCDC6-RET

(A) CCDC6-RET shown in MoMI-G (compressed view). The thickest black line is chromosome 10 (reference genome). Note that the two distinct intervals of chromosome 10 are shown, which correspond to the RET (left interval) and CCDC6 (right interval) genes. The blue line represents an inversion identified by Sniffles, showing the CCDC6-RET fusion event. The other lines are gene annotations in hg38; the orange, red, and purple lines indicate two isoforms of RET, and the brown line is CCDC6. (B) CCDC6-RET with read alignments shown as grey lines. Further, some alignments do not support the inversion, suggesting that CCDC6-RET is heterozygous. (C) The entire picture of the inversion that caused CCDC6-RET. This inversion is too large to span by a single read; thus, it was identified as two independent fusion events at both the ends of the inversion, which would be difficult to understand if the two fusion events are visualized separately. The red line is a translocation that was not analyzed in this study. (D) Putative evolution process of LC-2/ad at the CCDC6-RET site. First, a long inversion generated two fusion genes, CCDC6-RET and RET-CCDC6. Second, a large deletion caused the loss of RET-CCDC6.

Figure 3. Nested SVs called by Sniffles in LC-2/ad

The thin black lines are repeat annotations. The brown and purple lines are gene annotations. The red

and orange lines are an end of an inversion called by Sniffles. There are two possibilities for the

genome structure: one is that MUC3A and its flanking region are a duplication, and the internal region

of MUC12 is an inverted duplication. The other is that MUC3A and its flanking region are an inverted

duplication, and the internal region of MUC12 is a duplication. Several read alignments support the

former interpretation. Although SVs called from the Illumina reads did not include any of the SVs

shown here, the alignment coverage by the Illumina reads is consistent with both duplications. Note

that the y-axis of the blue thin line on the chromosome showing the alignment coverage is logarithmic.

Figure 4. Nested SVs in CHM1

The black line represents a part of chromosome 5, where a large inversion is shown as the brown line.

The other lines are smaller SVs included in the large inversion. Because CHM1 is a pseudodiploid

genome, all the SVs shown in this figure must be on the same haplotype, although MoMI-G tools

assume diploid (polyploid) genomes and show the inner SVs as heterozygous SVs.

User-interface Design

The optimal way of visualizing SVs might vary. To rapidly explore the distribution of SVs in a genome,

users might wish to use Circos-like plots. Other users might intend to focus on local graph structures

of SVs that contain a few genes. In another scenario, a user might want to explore individual

nucleotides. To address this issue, MoMI-G provides a customizable view in which users can place

any combination of view modules. Further, preset view layouts are available for users' convenience.

Enabling easy manual inspection of detected SVs

Manual inspection, which includes determining if an SV is heterozygous or homozygous, confirming

what part of a gene is affected by that SV, and determining the reason why an SV is called based on

read alignments, is an important part of validating called SVs. As variants called by SV callers increase,

the burden of manual inspection also increases, underscoring the importance of visualization both to

inspect individual SV calls for filtering out false positives and to ensure that a filtered set of SVs is of

high confidence [22,23]. MoMI-G helps with the efficient inspection of SVs by using (1) Feature Table,

which is an SV list, (2) Interval Card Deck, which is genomic coordinate stacks, and (3) shortcut keys.

The usage is as follows: (1) one can filter SVs using Feature Table, after which SVs are selected, and

then (2) the listed variants are stacked on Interval Card Deck at the bottom of the window. In Interval

Card Deck, intervals are displayed as cards, and the interval at the top (leftmost) card of the deck is

shown on SequenceTubeMap. Each card can be dragged, and the order of cards can be changed. If one

double-clicks on a card, the card moves to the top of the deck. A tag can be added for a card for later

reference. Further, a card can be locked to avoid unintended modification or disposal, and the gene

name can be input with autocompletion for specifying the interval of a card.

When the interval to view is changed, only part of the view that needs an update is re-

rendered, whereas most genome browsers working on web interface require rendering the entire view.

Interval Card Deck enables the rapid assessment of hundreds of intervals. Moreover, deciding whether

an SV should be discarded or held becomes easier with shortcut keys. After all SVs are inspected, a

set of SVs held on the Interval Card Deck is obtained, which might be a set of interesting SVs or a set

of manually validated SVs. MoMI-G enables the rapid inspection of hundreds of SVs, providing a tool

for validating hundreds of SVs or for selecting interesting SVs.

Input requirements

MoMI-G inputs an XG format as a variation graph. Users can specify a GAM file with an index that

contains read alignment. They can convert a BAM file into GAM using MoMI-G tools or can generate

the GAM file on their own. When a BED file of genes is provided, users can specify a genomic interval

by gene name. A configuration file is written in YAML. MoMI-G also accepts bigBed and bigWig

formats [24] for visualizing annotations (e.g., repeats, genes, alignment depth, and GC content) on the

reference genome. The bigBed and bigWig need to be extended for genome graphs in the future. The

list of formats that are accepted by MoMI-G is shown in Table 1.

Table 1. MoMI-G data files

File type	Extension	Description
A succinct index of variation graphs	.xg	Variation graphs displayed in MoMI-G.
Graphical alignment/map	.gam	Read alignment. (optional)
Comma-separated values	.csv	SV list for chromosome-scale view. (optional)
Browser extensible data	.bed	Used for converting gene names to genomic intervals. Also used for autocompletion of gene names. (optional)
Compressed binary indexed BED	.bb	Annotations. (optional)
Compressed binary indexed wiggle	.bw	Annotations. (optional)

DISCUSSION

300 We developed a genome graph browser, MoMI-G, that visualizes SVs on a variation graph. Existing

301 visualization tools for SVs show either one SV at a time, or all SVs together; the former does not allow

302 the understanding of the relationships between SVs, whereas the latter is useless when the target

genome is very large and the whole variation graphs are too complicated to view in a single screen (i.e., the hairball problem). MoMI-G allows viewing only part of the genome, which resolves the hairball problem, while providing an intuitive view for multiple SVs, including large and nested SVs. Further, MoMI-G enables the manual inspection of complex SVs by providing integrated multiple view modules; users can filter SVs, validate them with read alignments, and interpret them with genomic annotations.

We used vg as a server-side library and SequenceTubeMap as a client-side library for subgraph retrieval and visualization of genome graphs, because to our knowledge, these are the only combinations that are publicly available. We found that significant amounts of engineering efforts are required for an even better user experience. For example, vg is a standalone command line application that exits immediately after the given query is processed; therefore, it does not have a function to keep the succinct index on memory for later use; every time only part of the genome is retrieved, the entire index of several gigabytes is loaded, which is unnecessary overhead. SequenceTubeMap displays inversions and duplications as loops; however, we found that new users occasionally find it difficult to recognize the connections between nodes. Visualizing SVs is still an open problem.

The currently available tools and formats for SV analysis have many problems. First, different SV callers output different VCF records even for the same SV. For example, depending on SV callers, an inversion with both boundaries identified at a base pair level is represented by one of the following: (1) a single inversion record, (2) two inversion records at both ends, (3) two breakend records at both ends, or (4) four breakend records at both ends (a variant of (3), but the records are duplicated for both the strands). Thus, developing a universal tool for variant graph construction is difficult. Second, certain types of nested SVs, such as an insertion within an insertion, are impossible to represent in a VCF file without tricks, although variant graphs can easily handle these SVs.

Therefore, generating a variation graph from a VCF file including SVs is not ideal. We need an SV

caller that directly outputs variation graphs.

Fostering the ecosystem around variation graphs is important for delivering their benefits to

end users, as noted in the ecosystem around the SAM/BAM formats that spurred development of

production-ready tools for end users. MoMI-G is the first step toward such a goal, because the

availability of tools ranging from upstream analysis, such as read alignment to visualization, is critical

for the entire ecosystem.

This is the one million genome era that requires rapid and memory-sufficient data structure

to allow alignments and store haplotype information. Because most parts of the genome are shared

between individuals, we need to focus on differences for reducing computation time and resources.

Therefore, genome graph is considered promising, especially for human variation analysis. Moreover,

new visualization methods as well as genome analysis methods are required. Genome graph browsers

should be able to handle even thousands of genomes in the near future. MoMI-G is a step forward for

visualizing genome graphs and could allow the development of new algorithms on genome graphs.

METHODS

Datasets

High-molecular-weight (HMW) gDNA was extracted from lung cancer cell line, LC-2/ad by using a

Smart DNA prep(a) kit (Analykjena). WGS data were produced from MinION 1D sequencing (SQK-

LSK108), MinION 1D^2 sequencing (SQK-LSK308), and MinION Rapid sequencing (SQK-

RAD003). For MinION 1D sequencing, 4 μg HMW gDNA was quantified using Tape Station. DNA

repair was performed using NEBNext FFPE DNA Repair Mix (M6630, NEB). End-prep was

performed using NEBNext Ultra II End Repair/dA-Tailing Module (E7546L, NEB). Adapter ligation

was performed using NEBNext Blunt/TA Ligase Master Mix (M0367L, NEB) and the Ligation

Sequencing Kit 1D (SQK-LSK108, Oxford Nanopore Technologies). Libraries were sequenced for 48

h with MinION (R9.5 chemistry, Oxford Nanopore Technologies). For MinION 1D^2 sequencing, the

protocol was the same as that for 1D excluding adapter ligation by using Ligation Sequencing Kit

1D^2 (SQK-LSK308, Oxford Nanopore Technologies). The library for MinION Rapid sequencing

was prepared according to Sequencing Kit Rapid (SQK-RAD003, Oxford Nanopore Technologies).

Nanopore data alignment

Nanopore WGS data were aligned against the GRCh38 human reference genome by using NGM-LR

with "-x ont" option (version 0.2.6) for calling SVs with Sniffles version 1.0.7 [8]. MinION 1D^2

sequencing can produce a 1D^2 read, which integrates the information of a read and its complementary

read into one read. MinION 1D^2 sequencing produces two types of fastq files, 1D and 1D^2; there

was some redundancy between 1D and 1D^2 reads. Therefore, redundant 1D reads were removed, and

only 1D^2 reads were used. Moreover, some redundant 1D^2 reads were found. These reads were

removed from 1D^2 files and used as 1D reads. The percentage of the primary aligned reads was

54.7% (Additional file 1: Supplemental Table 2). This is because we did not filter out reads excluding

1D-fail reads of Rapid sequencing for alignment.

SV calling

Sniffles (version 1.0.7) with a parameter "-s 5" was used to call SVs. The minimum number of

supporting reads was determined such that we could detect the known large deletion of CDKN2A [14],

but alignment bias for a reference genome reduces the call rate of insertions compared to that of

deletions [17,25]. We detected 11,316 records as a VCF file of SVs, including CCDC6-RET, on the

nuclear DNA of LC-2/ad cell line (Additional file 1: Supplemental Table 3). Illumina HiSeq 2000

Paired-end WGS data (DDBJ accession number, DRX015205) aligned against GRCh38 by using bwa

(version 7.15) [26] were used for calling SVs with Lumpy (version 0.2.13) [27], delly (version 0.7.7)

[28] and manta (version 1.0.3) [29]. The results of these SV callers are listed in Additional file 1:

Supplemental Table 5. The four lists of SV candidates detected using Sniffles, Lumpy, delly, and manta

were merged using SURVIVOR (version 1.0.0) [30] with "5 sv_lists 1000 1 1 0 0" option for clustering

SV candidates (Additional file 1: Supplemental Fig. 3). Further, we filtered the merged candidates

based on the following three criteria: (1) Remove SVs of 0 bp to 1 kbp in length to focus on large SVs,

(2) Remove all insertions and non-canonical SV types: INVDUP, DEL/INV, and DUP/INS (technically

unnecessary, but we aimed at cross-validating SV candidates from Illumina reads with which

insertions and non-canonical SV types are hard to call), and (3) Remove SVs overlapping with the

intervals of the 10X default blacklist

(https://github.com/10XGenomics/supernova/blob/master/tenkit/lib/python/tenkit/sv_data/10X_GRC

h38_no_alt_decoy/default_sv_blacklist.bed) for reducing false positives. After filtering, we obtained

1,790 SV records.

Constructing a variation graph

We constructed a variation graph, including a reference genome (GRCh38) and an individual genome

(either LC-2/ad or CHM1). We developed scripts that we call MoMI-G tools. MoMI-G tools follow

the procedure in SplitThreader Graph [31].

1. Extract a breakpoint list from a VCF file generated by Sniffles.

2. Construct an initial variation graph with each chromosome of the GRCh38 primary assembly as

a single node.

3. Split nodes every 1 Mbp due to the limitation in the implementation of vg used during the

development of MoMI-G; otherwise, vg aborted with an error. The latest version of vg does not

have this limitation.

4.   For each breakpoint in the breakpoint list, split the node of the graph that contains the breakpoint

into two nodes at the breakpoint.

5.   Create paths that represent the chromosomes in the reference genome.

6.   Create paths that represent SVs, each of which corresponds to one record in the input VCF file.

Further, add a node to the graph when the type of SV is an insertion.

The representation of SVs varies between SV callers: the same SV can be described in different ways

in the current VCF format. Although vg has a "construct" subcommand that constructs a variation

graph from a pair of a reference genome and a VCF file, "vg construct" is incompatible with the output

of SV callers we know of for the following reason. For example, an INV record in VCF means either

a single inversion (both ends included) or only one end of the inversion, depending on the

implementation of SV callers. We wrote custom scripts, MoMI-G tools, for Sniffles.

In general, to display read alignments, we recommend aligning against a variation graph by

directly using "vg map" instead of converting read alignments against the linear reference genomes

into the GAM format. Nevertheless, we intended to inspect the results of Sniffles; therefore, we wrote

a custom script to convert alignments against the linear reference (BAM file) into a GAM file by using

"vg annotate," during which CIGAR is lost; "vg annotate" was originally designed for placing

annotations in BED/GFF files on paths on variation graphs and not for alignments. One possible

solution to this issue is making SV callers graph-aware.

Inspection of SV candidates

We modified and integrated SequenceTubeMap into MoMI-G so that it can visualize a variation graph

converted from SVs that shows the difference between a reference genome and an individual genome.

The modifications made to SequenceTubeMap are shown in Additional file 1: Supplemental Table 6.

One can click on the download button for downloading an SVG image generated by

SequenceTubeMap so that a vector image can be used for publication.

Visualizing annotations

Ideally, MoMI-G provides annotations on variation graphs. However, annotations available in public

databases are for the linear reference genome. MoMI-G can display annotations in the bigWig/bigBed

formats. In particular, for human reference genomes, GRCh19/hg37 and GRCh38/hg38, MoMI-G

provides an interface for retrieving Ensembl gene annotations from the Ensembl SPARQL endpoint

[32] via SPARQList REST API (https://github.com/dbcls/sparqlist). The orientation of genes is shown

in the legend of SequenceTubeMap. Further, if one clicks on a gene name, the website of the gene

information in TogoGenome [33] opens.

Miscellaneous modules

Threshold Filter: Threshold Filter has two use cases: First, one can toggle checkboxes to select whether

to show inter-chromosomal SVs and/or intra-chromosomal SVs; second, one can filter SVs with a

slider based on the custom priority (possibly given by SV callers) of each SV.

Annotation Table: Annotation Table shows all annotations that are displayed on the

SequenceTubeMap. Moreover, annotations can be downloaded as a BED file.

Linear Genome Browser: To provide a compatible view of a selected genomic region, we integrated

Pileup.js [34] into MoMI-G.

Backend server

The MoMI-G backend server caches subgraphs once a client requests a genomic interval on a path. It

then retrieves annotations from bigWig and bigBed with a range query and provides JSON API with

which the client can make queries.

DECLARATIONS

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

Newly obtained long-read sequencing data of LC-2/ad were deposited in the DDBJ with accession

numbers DRA007941 (DRX156303-DRX156310). Datasets included in this article are also provided

in the database, DBTSS/DBKERO [35]. The source code of MoMI-G is available at

https://github.com/MoMI-G/MoMI-G/ under the MIT license. It is written in TypeScript and was

tested on Linux and Mac operating systems. Further, we have included an example dataset and

annotations as a Docker image.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

This work was supported in part by Information-technology Promotion Agency, Japan (IPA) and

Exploratory IT Human Resources Project (The MITOU Program) in the fiscal year 2017 and in part

by JSPS KAKENHI (Grant Number, 16H06279).

Authors' contributions

All authors were involved in study design; TY wrote all code of MoMI-G; Y. Sakamoto preformed

MinION sequencing and SV analysis on LC-2/ad; TY, Y. Sakamoto, and MK drafted the manuscript;

MS and Y. Suzuki supervised the sequencing analysis; and MK supervised the study. All authors read

and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We thank all testers who provided testing and feedback for MoMI-G. We also thank Kazuyuki Shudo

at the Tokyo Institute of Technology and Toshiaki Katayama at the Database Center for Life Science

(DBCLS) of Research Organization of Information and Systems (ROIS), Japan, for their useful and

insightful discussions, Sarun Sereewattanawoot and Ayako Suzuki at the University of Tokyo for

initial contributions to Illumina read alignment.

REFERENCES

1. Stankiewicz P, Lupski JR. Structural Variation in the Human Genome and its Role in

Disease. Annu Rev Med. 2010;61:437–55.

2. Weischenfeldt J, Symmons O, Spitz F, Korbel JO. Phenotypic impact of genomic structural

variation: Insights from and for human disease. Nat. Rev. Genet. Nature Publishing Group;

2013;125–38.

3. Sedlazeck FJ, Lee H, Darby CA, Schatz MC. Piercing the dark matter: bioinformatics of

long-range sequencing and mapping. Nat Rev Genet. Springer US; 2018;19:329–46.

4. Mertens F, Johansson B, Fioretos T, Mitelman F. The emerging complexity of gene fusions

in cancer. Nat Rev Cancer. Nature Publishing Group; 2015;15:371–81.

5. Quinlan AR, Hall IM. Characterizing complex structural variation in germline and somatic

genomes. Trends Genet. Elsevier Ltd; 2012;28:43–53.

6. Collins RL, Brand H, Redin CE, Hanscom C, Antolik C, Stone MR, et al. Defining the

diverse spectrum of inversions, complex structural variation, and chromothripsis in the morbid

human genome. Genome Biol. Genome Biology; 2017;18:36.

7. Paten B, Novak AM, Eizenga JM, Garrison E. Genome graphs and the evolution of genome

inference. Genome Res. 2017;665–76.

8. Sedlazeck FJ, Rescheneder P, Smolka M, Fang H, Nattestad M, von Haeseler A, et al.

Accurate detection of complex structural variations using single-molecule sequencing. Nat

Methods. Springer US; 2018;15:461–8.

9. Guan P, Sung W-K. Structural variation detection using next-generation sequencing data.

Methods. Elsevier Inc.; 2016;102:36–49.

10. English AC, Salerno WJ, Reid JG. PBHoney: identifying genomic variants via long-read

discordance and interrupted mapping. BMC Bioinformatics. 2014;15:180.

11. Cretu Stancu M, van Roosmalen MJ, Renkens I, Nieboer MM, Middelkamp S, de Ligt J, et

al. Mapping and phasing of structural variation in patient genomes using nanopore sequencing.

Nat Commun. 2017;8:1326.

12. Garrison E, Sirén J, Novak AM, Hickey G, Eizenga JM, Dawson ET, et al. Variation graph

toolkit improves read mapping by representing genetic variation in the reference. Nat

Biotechnol. Nature Publishing Group; 2018;36:875–9.

13. Matsubara D, Kanai Y, Ishikawa S, Ohara S, Yoshimoto T, Sakatani T, et al. Identification

of CCDC6-RET Fusion in the Human Lung Adenocarcinoma Cell Line, LC-2/ad. J Thorac

Oncol. International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer; 2012;7:1872–6.

14. Suzuki A, Makinoshima H, Wakaguri H, Esumi H, Sugano S, Kohno T, et al. Aberrant

transcriptional regulations in cancers: Genome, transcriptome and epigenome analysis of lung

adenocarcinoma cell lines. Nucleic Acids Res. 2014;42:13557–72.

15. Suzuki A, Matsushima K, Makinoshima H, Sugano S, Kohno T, Tsuchihara K, et al. Single-

cell analysis of lung adenocarcinoma cell lines reveals diverse expression patterns of individual

cells invoked by a molecular target drug treatment. Genome Biol. 2015;16:66.

16. Suzuki A, Suzuki M, Mizushima-Sugano J, Frith MC, Makałowski W, Kohno T, et al.

Sequencing and phasing cancer mutations in lung cancers using a long-read portable sequencer.

DNA Res. 2017;24:585–96.

17. Chaisson MJP, Huddleston J, Dennis MY, Sudmant PH, Malig M, Hormozdiari F, et al.

Resolving the complexity of the human genome using single-molecule sequencing. Nature.

Nature Publishing Group; 2015;517:608–11.

18. Sereewattanawoot S, Suzuki A, Seki M, Sakamoto Y, Kohno T, Sugano S, et al.

Identification of potential regulatory mutations using multi-omics analysis and haplotyping of

lung adenocarcinoma cell lines. Sci Rep. 2018;8:4926.

19. Santoro M, Carlomagno F. Central role of RET in thyroid cancer. Cold Spring Harb

Perspect Biol. 2013;5:1–17.

20. Mizukami T, Shiraishi K, Shimada Y, Ogiwara H, Tsuta K, Ichikawa H, et al. Molecular

mechanisms underlying oncogenic RET fusion in lung adenocarcinoma. J Thorac Oncol.

International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer; 2014;9:622–30.

21. Thorvaldsdóttir H, Robinson JT, Mesirov JP. Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV): High-

performance genomics data visualization and exploration. Brief Bioinform. 2013;14:178–92.

22. Munro JE, Dunwoodie SL, Giannoulatou E. SVPV: A structural variant prediction viewer

for paired-end sequencing datasets. Bioinformatics. 2017;33:2032–3.

23. Wöste M, Dugas M. VIPER: A web application for rapid expert review of variant calls.

Bioinformatics. 2018;34:1928–9.

24. Kent WJ, Zweig AS, Barber G, Hinrichs AS, Karolchik D. BigWig and BigBed: Enabling

browsing of large distributed datasets. Bioinformatics. 2010;26:2204–7.

25. Nattestad M, Goodwin S, Ng K, Baslan T, Sedlazeck FJ, Rescheneder P, et al. Complex

rearrangements and oncogene amplifications revealed by long-read DNA and RNA sequencing

of a breast cancer cell line. Genome Res. 2018;28:1126–35.

26. Li H, Durbin R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform.

Bioinformatics. 2009;25:1754–60.

27. Layer RM, Chiang C, Quinlan AR, Hall IM. LUMPY: a probabilistic framework for

structural variant discovery. Genome Biol. 2014;15:R84.

28. Rausch T, Zichner T, Schlattl A, Stütz AM, Benes V, Korbel JO. DELLY: Structural variant

discovery by integrated paired-end and split-read analysis. Bioinformatics. 2012;28:333–9.

29. Chen X, Schulz-Trieglaff O, Shaw R, Barnes B, Schlesinger F, Källberg M, et al. Manta:

Rapid detection of structural variants and indels for germline and cancer sequencing

applications. Bioinformatics. 2016;32:1220–2.

30. Jeffares DC, Jolly C, Hoti M, Speed D, Shaw L, Rallis C, et al. Transient structural

variations have strong effects on quantitative traits and reproductive isolation in fission yeast.

Nat Commun. 2017;8:1–11.

31. Nattestad M, Alford MC, Sedlazeck FJ, Schatz MC. SplitThreader : Exploration and

analysis of rearrangements in cancer genomes. bioRxiv. 2016;1–8.

32. Jupp S, Malone J, Bolleman J, Brandizi M, Davies M, Garcia L, et al. The EBI RDF

platform: Linked open data for the life sciences. Bioinformatics. 2014;30:1338–9.

33. Katayama T, Kawashima S, Okamoto S, Moriya Y, Chiba H, Naito Y, et al.

TogoGenome/TogoStanza: modularized Semantic Web genome database. Database. 2019;2019.

34. Vanderkam D, Aksoy BA, Hodes I, Perrone J, Hammerbacher J. Pileup.js: A JavaScript

library for interactive and in-browser visualization of genomic data. Bioinformatics.

2016;32:2378–9.

35. Suzuki A, Kawano S, Mitsuyama T, Suyama M, Kanai Y, Shirahige K, et al.

DBTSS/DBKERO for integrated analysis of transcriptional regulation. Nucleic Acids Res.

Oxford University Press; 2018;46:D229–38

ADDITIONAL FILES

575   Additional file 1: Additional figures and tables referenced in the manuscript. (PDF)