Large scale automated phylogenomical analysis of bacterial whole-genome isolates and the

Evergreen platform

Judit Szarvas[1] & Johanne Ahrenfeldt[1], Jose Luis Bellod Cisneros[1], Martin Christen Frølund Thomsen[1], Frank M.

Aarestrup[1], Ole Lund[1]

[1]Research Group for Genomic Epidemiology, National Food Institute, Technical University of Denmark, Kongens

Lyngby, Denmark.

Abstract

Public health authorities whole-genome sequence thousands of pathogenic isolates each month for microbial

diagnostics and surveillance of pathogenic bacteria. The computational methods have not kept up with the

deluge of data and need for real-time results.

We have therefore created a bioinformatics pipeline for rapid subtyping and continuous phylogenomic analysis

of bacterial samples, suited for large-scale surveillance. To decrease the computational burden, a two level

clustering strategy is employed. The data is first divided into sets by matching each isolate to a closely related

reference genome. The reads then are aligned to the reference to gain a consensus sequence and SNP based

genetic distance is calculated between the sequences in each set. Isolates are clustered together with a

threshold of 10 SNPs. Finally, phylogenetic trees are inferred from the non-redundant sequences and the

clustered isolates are placed on a clade with the cluster representative sequence. The method was

benchmarked and found to be accurate in grouping outbreak strains together, while discriminating from non-

outbreak strains.

The pipeline was applied in Evergreen Online, which processes publicly available sequencing data from

foodborne bacterial pathogens on a daily basis, updating the phylogenetic trees as needed. It has so far placed

more than 100,000 isolates into phylogenies, and has been able to keep up with the daily release of data. The

trees are continuously published on https://cge.cbs.dtu.dk/services/Evergreen

Keywords

Phylogenomics, WGS, subtyping, SNP, automation, epidemiology, outbreak investigation

Main

Epidemiological typing of bacteria is used by hospitals and public health authorities, as well as animal health authorities, to detect outbreaks of infectious diseases and determine trends over time. Traditionally, that includes culturing and isolating the pathogen, followed by species identification and subtyping using various conventional microbiological and molecular methodologies.

For outbreak investigation, it is necessary to place the infectious agent into a more discriminatory category than species, to establish links between cases and sources. Multi-locus sequence typing (MLST) has been a frequently used molecular subtyping method, where sequence types are assigned to the isolates based on the combinations of alleles for 6-10 housekeeping genes[1].

Whole-genome sequencing (WGS) has opened a new chapter in microbial diagnostics and epidemiological typing. WGS data can be used to determine both MLST types and serotype of several bacterial species[2,3]. Several studies for multiple bacterial species have shown the value of WGS for elucidating the bacterial evolution and phylogeny, and identifying outbreaks[4–6].

The use of WGS has enabled the unbiased comparison of samples processed in different laboratories, boosting surveillance and outbreak detection, but the methods for sharing and comparing a large number of samples have not been established yet[7,8]. Therefore, a number of national, regional and international initiatives have been launched with the aim of facilitating the sharing, analyses and comparison of WGS data[9–11].

Since 2012, the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has lead a network of public health and university laboratories, called GenomeTrackr. These laboratories sequence bacterial isolates from clinical and environmental samples and upload the data to the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI). GenomeTrackr is restricted to foodborne pathogens and currently includes data from only seven such bacterial species. All raw WGS data are publicly shared, facilitating the collaboration between laboratories.[12] Furthermore, the NCBI Pathogen Detection pipeline[13] assembles the samples into draft genomes to predict the nearest neighbors and construct phylogenetic trees using an exact maximum compatibility algorithm[14]. This approach requires access to all of the raw data and very extensive computational power. In addition, no sub-species taxonomical classification has been implemented at this time.

Focusing on the same bacterial species as GenomeTrackr, PulseNet USA also has established procedures for use of WGS data for outbreak detection. In their vision, an extension of the highly successful MLST approach into a core-genome (cgMLST) or whole-genome (wgMLST) scheme, with genes in the order of thousand, would allow for sharing information under a common nomenclature. Meanwhile, all of the raw data could be kept locally. Only data from individual strains would have to be shared when further confirmation of an outbreak is required.[11] Consequently, a number of, at times conflicting, cg- and wgMLST schemes have been proposed for a limited number of bacterial species[15–22]. Moreover, few of the proposed schemes provide a definitive nomenclature of sequence types to go with the allele profiles. The existing schemes do not cover all of the potential allelic variation: a recent study showed, that for *Campylobacter jejuni*, that has maintained MLST schemes, only approximately 53% of the strains of animal origin could be assigned to an existing unique allelic profile[23]. Continuous curation of the hundreds of relevant bacterial species, that are known human, animal and plant pathogens, would require great effort. A centralized database for the distribution of the allele profiles and sequences would be also necessary. Furthermore, for comparable results, the same analysis pipeline or software should be used for the prediction of the allelic profiles.

The approaches mentioned above yield preliminary results and, in most cases, selected WGS data are further analyzed using single nucleotide profiling for outbreak detection. Here, genomic variants (single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), insertions and deletions) are derived by aligning WGS reads to a reference genome. For

each bacterial species, custom single nucleotide profiling (SNP validation, cluster threshold determination, etc.)
is necessary in order to achieve results that are biologically relevant and informative. The samples (of current
interest and historical) included in the analysis and the reference genome are chosen on a case-by-case basis,
usually based on subtyping results. Various SNP analysis pipelines are used by laboratories and research groups
for inferring phylogenetic trees for isolates of interest[24–29]. For example, Public Health England developed and
uses SnapperDB for outbreak detection without initial cluster analysis by cg- or wg-MLST. SnapperDB consists
of tools to create a database of SNPs compared to a given reference sequence, and assign each isolate a SNP
address based on single linkage clustering.[30]

We present here a whole-genome, single nucleotide-based method for subtyping and preliminary
phylogenomic analysis, that circumvent the known limitations of current gene- and SNP-based approaches.
PAPABAC carries out rapid and automated subtyping of bacterial whole-genome sequenced isolates and
generates continuously updated phylogenetic trees based on nucleotide differences. We demonstrate two
applications, a standalone version for local monitoring of bacterial isolates, and Evergreen Online, for global
surveillance of foodborne bacterial pathogens. We also suggest a stable naming scheme for each isolate,
making the results from the pipeline easier to communicate to others. To the best of our knowledge, no such
tool exists at the moment.

Figure 1 Overview of PAPABAC. (A) The input raw read files are classified into sets based on k-mer similarity to NCBI RefSeq
complete prokaryotic chromosomal genomes. (B) The raw reads are mapped to the reference genome and a consensus
sequence is generated via strict statistical evaluation (p < 0.05) of the mapped bases in each position. (C) The resulting
consensus sequences are of equal length in each template set. The new isolates in each set are clustered to the non-
redundant isolates already in the set if the pairwise nucleotide difference based genetic distance is less than 10. The
remaining new isolates undergo the same clustering process. (D) Pairwise genetic distance between all non-redundant
isolate in the set is used as input for neighbor-joining algorithm. If there are less than 600 non-redundant isolates in a set,
an approximately maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree is also inferred based on the consensus sequences (red: new
isolates). The clustered isolates are placed back onto the trees with 0 distance to the cluster representative (marked with
an asterisk). (E) The information about the acquired isolates, the sets, the clusters, and the phylogenetic trees is stored in
SQLite databases, which are queried once all sets with new isolates are processed to output the results to the users.

Figure 2 Comparison of the ideal tree (left) to the PAPABAC maximum likelihood tree made of the in vitro experiment dataset[31]Taxa with an asterisk were clustered together with the taxa in the same clade.

106
107

Results

Pipeline for automated phylogenomic analysis of bacterial whole-genome sequences (PAPABAC)

We developed PAPABAC (Figure 1), a phylogenomic pipeline for the automated analysis of bacterial isolates,
that needs no additional input besides WGS data (fastq files) and generates clusters of closely related isolates.
PAPABAC first matches the isolates to complete bacterial chromosomal genome reference sequences with
greater than 99.0% sequence identity and a minimum average depth of 11. These reference sequences serve as
templates for the alignment of the raw reads. The aligned bases at each position are statistically evaluated to
determine the consensus sequence, as previously described for a nucleotide difference method[32]. Positions
that do not fulfil the significance criteria remain ambiguous, get assigned "N", and disregarded during the
pairwise genetic distance calculation. These steps ensure that there is high confidence in the consensus
sequence that is the basis of the genetic distance estimation.

The pipeline retains analysis results in such a manner that input is added to the previously processed data. The
phylogenomic analysis is carried out on the current input and the previously found non-redundant isolates
(singletons and cluster representatives). The genetic distance is estimated in a pairwise manner, comparing the
given two sequences for all non-ambiguous positions, i.e. positions where none of the two sequences have an
"N" assigned. The distances between the previously processed runs are stored on disk, saving computational
time, and only the distances to the new isolates are computed in a given run. A clustering step during the
genetic distance calculation forms clusters of closely related isolates and reduces the number of similar
sequences in each set, and thereby also reducing the computation time. After identifying a non-redundant
isolate and a closely related isolate to it, the one previously deemed non-redundant will be the cluster
representative and kept, while the clustered one will be omitted from the subsequent runs of the pipeline.
However, the information about the clustering will be added to a database and the clustered isolate will be
placed on the inferred phylogenetic tree. The cluster representatives remain constant through the subsequent
runs of the pipeline, and the clusters only increase in size if new isolates are clustered with the representative.
Therefore, each cluster is stable and can be reliably identified by the template name and the identifier of its
cluster representative.

The pipeline can be run on on a computer with 8 Gb RAM and Unix system. The computational time is reduced
compared to re-running the whole analysis each time new samples are added, even without parallelisation
(Figure S1).

PAPABAC was benchmarked against three SNP pipeline benchmarking datasets. An *Escherichia coli in vitro*
evolution experiment dataset[31] provided 50 closely related samples on a short temporal scale with less than
100 nucleotide differences across the dataset. The PAPABAC maximum likelihood (Figure 2) and neighbor-
joining (Figure S2) trees were comparable to the ideal phylogeny of the *in vitro* experiment dataset. The
algorithm clustered together 7 out of 10 samples with the same ancestor that were taken on the same day and
presumably had less than 10 nucleotide differences between them.
Benchmarking against the *Campylobacter jejuni* (Figure S3A) and the *Listeria monocytogenes* (Figure S3B)
datasets from Timme et al.[33], PAPABAC correctly clustered the related outbreak strains (colored) and the
outgroups, where the genetic distance was below the clustering threshold. The topologies of the maximum
likelihood phylogenetic trees closely resembled the tree topologies given.

Figure 3 Time requirement of the phylogenomic analysis for given number of non-redundant and new strains, on 20 CPUs.

Evergreen Online for surveillance of foodborne bacterial pathogens

Evergreen Online was built on PAPABAC. Raw WGS data files of five major foodborne pathogens (*C. jejuni*, *E.*
coli, *L. monocytogenes*, *Salmonella enterica*, and *Shigella* spp.) are downloaded daily from public repositories
with the aim of global surveillance of potential outbreaks worldwide. The inferred phylogenetic trees and
information about all of the isolates in the system are available and searchable on the website
(http://cge.cbs.dtu.dk/services/Evergreen).
The platform has been available since October 1st 2017, with logs reliably saved since October 28th 2017. The
number of raw read files downloaded fluctuates with the work week of the public health laboratories. On
busier days, more than 800 isolates are downloaded. The average number of isolates downloaded is 418.
Downloading and mapping to the reference genomes take 130 minutes on average, with the majority of the
time spent on downloading. Alignment of the raw reads and the generation of the consensus sequences takes
on average 9 minutes per isolate. The computing time for the template sets is dependent on the number of
non-redundant and new sequences in each set, but in most cases even the slowest is finalized within five hours
(Figure 3).

As of June 26th 2018, the pipeline downloaded 82,043 isolates. Out of these, 63,276 isolates have been mapped
to references with at least 99.0% identity and average depth of 11 (Figure S4A). The majority of the isolates
were typed as *Salmonella enterica* (59.1%), followed by *Escherichia coli* (19.4%) (Figure S4B). The two largest
template sets are *S.* Dublin and *S.* Typhimurium serovars, with both close to 9,500 isolates in total. After the
homology reduction there were 3,216 and 5,093 non-redundant sequences in these sets, respectively. On
average, 67% of the sequences are non-redundant in the template sets, while the E. coli template sets are the
most diverse and the *Listeria monocytogenes* ones are the least diverse (Figure S4C). There were 122 isolates
predicted to have a type not specified by the query (Table S1). Of these, 14 isolates were mixed samples,
composed of both the queried and the non-queried organisms.

The *L. monocytogenes* SNP pipeline benchmarking dataset[33] was added to the template set
(Listeria_monocytogenes_07PF0776_NC_017728_1) of the corresponding reference genome in Evergreen
Online, to test the sensitivity and accuracy of the clustering in large datasets. This template set at that moment
contained more than 2400 isolates, of which 1398 were non-redundant. The isolates were placed onto a clade
of a clonal lineage. The outbreak and outgroup isolates were separated in concordance with the ideal
phylogeny (Figure 4). The smaller clade of outbreak samples clustered to a sample (SRR538386) of an
environmental swab in 2014, from California, USA.

Isolates that were presumed to be from an *E. coli* O157:H7 outbreak were selected for the comparison of
Evergreen Online and the NCBI Pathogen Detection platform (NCBI-PD). They were located on the
Escherichia_coli_O157_H7_str_Sakai_chromosome_NC_002695_1 neighbor-joining (NJ) tree from Evergreen
Online and the PDS000000952.271 SNP cluster tree from NCBI-PD. The labelled isolates appeared in three
clusters on the NJ tree. There were 19.9 nucleotide differences between the yellow and the red cluster
representatives and 12.6 nucleotide differences between the yellow and the blue cluster representative. On
the PD tree, the isolates marked with red circles were on the same clade, while the ones marked with blue and
yellow were intermixing on clades that were, at most, 15 compatible characters apart (Figure 5).

Figure 5 Selected isolates in the Escherichia_coli_O157_H7_str_Sakai_chromosome_NC_002695_1 NJ tree (top) and on the
PDS000000952.271 SNP cluster maximum compatibility tree (bottom). The three largest clusters of the selected samples on the NJ tree
are labelled with yellow, red and blue dots. These isolates were marked with the same labels on the NCBI-PD tree. The red labelled ones
are on a single cladeon the PD tree, while the blue and yellow isolates are mixing on two other clades.

Discussion

Whole-genome sequencing, performed alongside the traditional methods in routine microbiology, yields hundreds to thousands of WGS isolates yearly in hospital, public health and food safety laboratories. This amount of data is overwhelming for many, and there is a lack of methods to generate a quick overview and help prioritize resources. The timely analysis of the sequencing data would allow the detection of more bacterial outbreaks and aid the prevention of further spread. However, lack of human and computational resources for this demanding task often hampers the prompt procession of the data. Automating the initial subtyping phase would facilitate the start of an outbreak investigation. PAPABAC offers rapid subtyping for a wide range of prokaryotic organisms: the supplied database covers all bacterial subtypes with complete genomes present in NCBI RefSeq. Further reference genomes could be added to increase the covered sequence space, but the active curation of the reference database is not required for routine usage. The selection of the reference sequence for the phylogenomic analysis is fast and robust. It is independent of pre-assumptions about the isolates. Misclassification during previous analysis does not introduce errors into the downstream analysis. Contamination from another species is discarded during the consensus sequence generation. The subtyping step via k-mer based mapping to a close reference also serves as a sequencing quality control measure, because low-quality sequencing runs will typically result in isolates with low identity to any reference and/or low depth. These isolates do not progress further to the phylogenomic analysis, as they would not yield reliable results.

The phylogenomical analysis performed on the template sets has higher discriminatory power than cg- or wg-MLST. The underlying nucleotide difference method was validated in five different studies[6,31,32,34,35]. By using all positions in the consensus sequences for estimating the genetic distance, instead of considering only selected loci, we ensure a high level of sensitivity, as we also include mutations that occur between genes.

The clustering step during the genetic distance calculation was introduced in order to reduce the homology in the template sets and thus reduce the computational burden as the template sets increase in size. However, the clustering threshold of 10 nucleotide differences also constructs informative clusters of highly similar isolates. Benchmarking with the *E. coli in vitro* evolution experiment dataset (Figure 2) showed that the algorithm was capable of correctly clustering isolates that were derived from the same ancestor, while distinguishing them from other closely related strains. The same sensitivity was demonstrated on empirical outbreak datasets (Figure S3), where the pipeline clustered the outbreak-related strains and separated them from the outgroup strains. Both the maximum likelihood inferred and the neighbor-joining trees placed the outbreak strains correctly in the phylogeny. These results show, that PAPABAC provides quick and reliable information about the close relatives of an outbreak strain to provide candidates to perform a more thorough analysis on.

The design of PAPABAC means that once an isolate passed the homology reduction step, it will be present in the subsequent runs of the pipeline. When an incoming isolate is highly similar to a non-redundant one, the more recent will be the one that is clustered, added to the database and removed from further runs. Hence, the cluster representatives and clusters are robust to the addition of new data to the analysis. Therefore, PAPABAC yields a stable and communicable name for the clusters, comprised of the template name and the cluster representative.

Evergreen Online has been steadily processing WGS data of foodborne bacterial pathogen isolates collected worldwide in real time (Figure S4A). It has been able to keep pace with the flow of the generated data that mainly came from public health and food safety laboratories. Excluding the download time and the optional maximum likelihood based phylogenetic inference, the whole analysis is done in less than a day, even for

template sets with thousands of isolates (Figure 3). This turnover time facilitates quick response in a potential
outbreak scenario.

The isolates are not distributed equally across the templates in the system (Figure S4B). Out of the five queried
species, *S. enterica* isolates are disproportionally represented. Sequences in the *S.* Dublin and the *S.*
Typhimurium LT2 template sets comprise in total approximately half of the *S. enterica* isolates. The sequence
diversity in the template sets is varied, but the homology reduction on the template sets reduces the number
of sequences approximately by a third, significantly decreasing the computational time. The *L. monocytogenes*
template sets were the least diverse, which could be due to sampling bias: bacteria that are present in the
environment are routinely sampled from food production sites multiple times, producing highly similar
sequences, that are then removed from the ongoing analysis. We also tested how a large number of sequences
already present in a template set would affect the ability of the pipeline to discriminate between samples
(Figure 4). The template set that corresponded to the stone fruit *L. monocytogenes* outbreak dataset reference
had more than 1,000 non-redundant isolates, which was ideal for the test analysis. The isolates that were part
of the same outbreak clustered together and formed the two expected outbreak clusters, despite the
confounding presence of the sequences already in the template set. The smaller clade, however, had a
different cluster representative when using all data for the template set, compared with analysis of the
outbreak data alone: an environmental sample, that could be related to the outbreak, as it was sampled from
the same US state and year (California, 2014) as the samples in the outbreak dataset. These findings indicate
that the pipeline is capable of identifying closely related samples, however it is necessary to conduct
epidemiological analysis and apply other knowledge when interpreting the results.

Evergreen Online allows for automated selection of closely related isolates out of thousands, which is also the
objective of NCBI-PD. *E. coli* isolates, situated on three clusters in Evergreen Online and supposedly from an
outbreak, were located in NCBI-PD and their placement in the SNP cluster tree was compared to the Evergreen
Online tree (Figure 5). One cluster (red) was in agreement between the two platforms, and samples from the
other two (yellow and blue) clusters were intermixing on a clade on the NCBI-PD tree. The nucleotide
difference counts between these samples are low and the differences between the phylogenomic methods
could lead to differences in the finer details of the inferred phylogenies. The homology reducing clustering in
Evergreen Online means that any sample in the cluster is less than 10 nucleotide differences from the cluster
representative, however, the differences between the samples could amount to 18 nucleotides. The
compatible character distances on the NCBI-PD tree between the mixed samples are less than 18 characters.
Taking this into account, the observed distribution of the yellow and blue labeled samples is concordant with
our results.

Table 1 Comparison of pipelines for large-scale surveillance for pathogenic bacteria

	SnapperDB	NCBI-PD	PAPABAC
For a wide range of bacterial species	x	-	x
Requires only raw sequencing reads as input	-	x	x
Whole-genome based	x	x	x
Assembly-free	x	-	x
Quality control steps	x	x	x
Automated phylogenomical analysis	-	x	x
Stable clustering of samples across runs	-	-	x
Communicable nomenclature for subtype and cluster	X	-	x
Open source	x	-	x

In summary, we developed PAPABAC with the aim of rapid subtyping and continuous phylogenomical analysis
on a growing number of bacterial samples. PAPABAC overcomes limitations of cg- and wg-MLST approaches by
tolerating genomic variation during subtyping, but providing greater sensitivity during the phylogenomical
analysis. It was benchmarked on datasets created for testing SNP-based pipelines, and was proved to be
accurate in discriminating between outbreak related and non-related samples. The software is open source and
fulfills expectations put to WGS-based surveillance pipelines (*Table 1*). Evergreen Online, an application made
for the global surveillance of foodborne bacterial pathogens, demonstrates the accuracy, speed, stability and
practicality of PAPABAC on thousands of samples via an on-going analysis, where the results are published
online.

References

1. Maiden, M. C. J. Multilocus Sequence Typing of Bacteria. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* **60,** 561–588 (2006).

2. Larsen, M. V. *et al.* Multilocus Sequence Typing of Total-Genome-Sequenced Bacteria. *J. Clin. Microbiol.*
50, 1355–1361 (2012).

3. Joensen, K. G., Tetzschner, A. M. M., Iguchi, A., Aarestrup, F. M. & Scheutz, F. Rapid and easy in silico
serotyping of Escherichia coli isolates by use of whole-genome sequencing data. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **53,**
2410–2426 (2015).

4. Köser, C. U. *et al.* Rapid whole-genome sequencing for investigation of a neonatal MRSA outbreak. *N.*
Engl. J. Med. **366,** 2267–75 (2012).

5. Mellmann, A. *et al.* Prospective Genomic Characterization of the German Enterohemorrhagic
Escherichia coli O104:H4 Outbreak by Rapid Next Generation Sequencing Technology. *PLoS One* **6,**
e22751 (2011).

6. Joensen, K. G. *et al.* Real-time whole-genome sequencing for routine typing, surveillance, and outbreak
detection of verotoxigenic Escherichia coli. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **52,** 1501–1510 (2014).

7. WHO. *Whole genome sequencing for foodborne disease surveillance: landscape paper.* (2018).

8. Deng, X., den Bakker, H. C. & Hendriksen, R. S. Genomic Epidemiology: Whole-Genome-Sequencing-
Powered Surveillance and Outbreak Investigation of Foodborne Bacterial Pathogens. *Annu Rev Food Sci*
Technol **7,** 1–22 (2016).

9. GenomeTrakr Network. Available at:
https://www.fda.gov/food/foodscienceresearch/wholegenomesequencingprogramwgs/ucm363134.ht
m. (Accessed: 27th June 2018)

10. COMPARE Europe. Available at: http://www.compare-europe.eu.

11. Nadon, C. *et al.* PulseNet International: Vision for the implementation of whole genome sequencing
(WGS) for global food-borne disease surveillance. *Euro Surveill.* **22,** (2017).

12. FDA, U. . Whole Genome Sequencing (WGS) Program. *U.S. Food and Drug Administration* (2016).

13. Pathogen Detection - NCBI. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pathogens/. (Accessed: 27th
June 2018)

14. Cherry, J. L. A practical exact maximum compatibility algorithm for reconstruction of recent evolutionary

history. *BMC Bioinformatics* **18,** 127 (2017).

15.   Ghanem, M. & El-Gazzar, M. Development of Mycoplasma synoviae (MS) core genome multilocus
315   sequence typing (cgMLST) scheme. *Vet. Microbiol.* **218,** 84–89 (2018).

316   16.   Higgins, P. G., Prior, K., Harmsen, D. & Seifert, H. Development and evaluation of a core genome
317   multilocus typing scheme for whole-genome sequence-based typing of Acinetobacter baumannii. *PLoS*
318   *One* **12,** e0179228 (2017).

319   17.   Ghanem, M. *et al.* Core Genome Multilocus Sequence Typing: a Standardized Approach for Molecular
320   Typing of *Mycoplasma gallisepticum*. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **56,** (2017).

321   18.   Bletz, S., Janezic, S., Harmsen, D., Rupnik, M. & Mellmann, A. Defining and Evaluating a Core Genome
322   Multilocus Sequence Typing Scheme for Genome-Wide Typing of *Clostridium difficile*. *J. Clin. Microbiol.*
323   **56,** (2018).

324   19.   Zhou, H., Liu, W., Qin, T., Liu, C. & Ren, H. Defining and Evaluating a Core Genome Multilocus Sequence
325   Typing Scheme for Whole-Genome Sequence-Based Typing of Klebsiella pneumoniae. *Front. Microbiol.*
326   **8,** (2017).

327   20.   Cody, A. J., Bray, J. E., Jolley, K. A., McCarthy, N. D. & Maiden, M. C. J. Core Genome Multilocus
328   Sequence Typing Scheme for Stable, Comparative Analyses of Campylobacter jejuni and C. coli Human
329   Disease Isolates. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **55,** 2086–2097 (2017).

330   21.   Kohl, T. A. *et al.* Whole-Genome-Based Mycobacterium tuberculosis Surveillance: a Standardized,
331   Portable, and Expandable Approach. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **52,** 2479–2486 (2014).

332   22.   Moran-Gilad, J. *et al.* Design and application of a core genome multilocus sequence typing scheme for
333   investigation of Legionnaires' disease incidents. *Euro Surveill.* **20,** (2015).

334   23.   Leekitcharoenphon, P. *et al.* Comparative genomics of quinolone-resistant and susceptible
335   Campylobacter jejuni of poultry origin from major poultry producing European countries (GENCAMP).
336   *EFSA Support. Publ.* **15,** (2018).

337   24.   Kvistholm Jensen, A. *et al.* Whole-genome Sequencing Used to Investigate a Nationwide Outbreak of
338   Listeriosis Caused by Ready-to-eat Delicatessen Meat, Denmark, 2014. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **63,** 64–70 (2016).

339   25.   Schjørring, S. *et al.* Cross-border outbreak of listeriosis caused by cold-smoked salmon, revealed by
340   integrated surveillance and whole genome sequencing (WGS), Denmark and France, 2015 to 2017. *Euro*
341   *Surveill.* **22,** (2017).

342   26.   Ford, L. *et al.* Incorporating Whole-Genome Sequencing into Public Health Surveillance: Lessons from
343   Prospective Sequencing of Salmonella Typhimurium in Australia. *Foodborne Pathog. Dis.* **15,** 161–167
344   (2018).

345   27.   Holmes, A., Dallman, T. J., Shabaan, S., Hanson, M. & Allison, L. Validation of Whole-Genome
346   Sequencing for Identification and Characterization of Shiga Toxin-Producing Escherichia coli To Produce
347   Standardized Data To Enable Data Sharing. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **56,** (2018).

348   28.   Woksepp, H., Ryberg, A., Berglind, L., Schön, T. & Söderman, J. Epidemiological characterization of a
349   nosocomial outbreak of extended spectrum β-lactamase Escherichia coli ST-131 confirms the clinical
350   value of core genome multilocus sequence typing. *APMIS* **125,** 1117–1124 (2017).

351   29.   Davis, S. *et al.* CFSAN SNP Pipeline: an automated method for constructing SNP matrices from next-
352   generation sequence data. *PeerJ Comput. Sci.* **1,** e20 (2015).

30. Dallman, T. *et al.* SnapperDB: a database solution for routine sequencing analysis of bacterial isolates.
Bioinformatics **81,** 3946–3952 (2018).

31. Ahrenfeldt, J. *et al.* Bacterial whole genome-based phylogeny: construction of a new benchmarking
dataset and assessment of some existing methods. *BMC Genomics* **18,** 19 (2017).

32. Leekitcharoenphon, P., Nielsen, E. M., Kaas, R. S., Lund, O. & Aarestrup, F. M. Evaluation of Whole
Genome Sequencing for Outbreak Detection of Salmonella enterica. *PLoS One* **9,** e87991 (2014).

33. Timme, R. E. *et al.* Benchmark datasets for phylogenomic pipeline validation, applications for foodborne
pathogen surveillance. *PeerJ* **5,** e3893 (2017).

34. Kaas, R. S., Leekitcharoenphon, P., Aarestrup, F. M. & Lund, O. Solving the problem of comparing whole
bacterial genomes across different sequencing platforms. *PLoS One* **9,** e104984 (2014).

35. Joensen, K. G. *et al.* Evaluating next-generation sequencing for direct clinical diagnostics in diarrhoeal
disease. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* **36,** 1325–1338 (2017).

36. Clausen, P. T. L. C., Aarestrup, F. M. & Lund, O. Rapid and precise alignment of raw reads against
redundant databases with KMA. *BMC Bioinformatics* **19,** 307 (2018).

37. Hobohm, U., Scharf, M., Schneider, R. & Sander, C. Selection of representative protein data sets. *Protein
Sci.* **1,** 409–417 (1992).

38. Saitou, N. & Nei, M. The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees.
Mol. Biol. Evol. **4,** 406–25 (1987).

39. Studier, J. & Keppler, K. A note on the neighbor-joining algorithm of Saitou and Nei. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **5,**
729–731 (1988).

40. Nguyen, L.-T., Schmidt, H. A., von Haeseler, A. & Minh, B. Q. IQ-TREE: a fast and effective stochastic
algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **32,** 268–74 (2015).

41. Huerta-Cepas, J. *et al.* ETE 3: Reconstruction, Analysis, and Visualization of Phylogenomic Data. *Mol.
Biol. Evol.* **33,** 1635–1638 (2016).

42. Revell, L. J. phytools: an R package for phylogenetic comparative biology (and other things). *Methods
Ecol. Evol.* **3,** 217–223 (2012).

43. CDC. Multistate Outbreak of E. coli O157:H7 Infections Linked to Romaine Lettuce (Final Update) |
Investigation Notice: Multistate Outbreak of E. coli O157:H7 Infections April 2018 | E. coli | CDC.
Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/ecoli/2018/o157h7-04-18/index.html. (Accessed: 7th August 2018)

Methods
Bioinformatics pipeline: PAPABAC

The pipeline takes raw whole-sequencing reads (fastq files) as input. Matching reference sequences
(templates) in our reference database, that have greater than 99.0% identity, are identified for the isolates
using 16-mers via KMA[36] in sparse mode. Multiple templates are accepted, if they meet the criteria, allowing
for the procession of mixed samples. Information about the runs and their templates are inserted into the main
SQLite database. The isolates are grouped into sets according to the matched templates. The next steps are
performed in these sets in parallel. The isolate reads are mapped to the template using the mapping algorithm

of NDtree[32], yielding equal-length consensus sequences. The Z-score threshold for accepting a base is set to
1.96.

Genetic distance based on nucleotide difference is calculated pairwise between the previous, non-redundant
isolates and the new isolates. Positions with ambiguous bases are discarded. The new isolates are clustered to
the non-redundant ones with a threshold of 10, in order to reduce the homology in each set and form
informative clusters. In this step, the non-redundant isolate is prioritized over the new isolate and becomes the
cluster representative. After the clustering, the remaining new isolates are clustered together with the
Hobohm 1 algorithm[37]. In this case, the cluster representative is the one that has already passed the
redundancy threshold. The information about new or extended clusters is saved to the main SQLite database. A
distance matrix is constructed for all non-redundant isolates and saved to disk for use in the next run. A
distance-based phylogenetic tree is inferred by neighbor-joining[38,39]. If there are less than 600 non-redundant
isolates in the set, then a whole-genome based approximate maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree is also
inferred using IQ-tree[40], where the neighbor-joining tree is the starting tree and the GTR nucleotide
substitution model is used. The clustered isolates are placed back onto the clades with zero distances to the
cluster representative. Their tip labels start with an asterisk. The information about the trees is saved to the
main SQLite database.

When all the phylogenetic trees with new isolates have been inferred, then the main SQLite database is
queried for the list of all isolates, their templates, cluster representatives (if there is any) and the latest
phylogenetic tree they are on. This information is printed to a tab-separated file.

Scripts and installation instructions are available on bitbucket:
https://bitbucket.org/genomicepidemiology/evergreen

Online Evergreen platform

A query is made to the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) Sequencing Read Archive (SRA)
for the newly published Illumina paired-end sequenced isolates of *Campylobacter jejuni*, *Escherichia coli*,
Listeria monocytogenes, *Salmonella enterica*, and *Shigella spp*. on a daily basis. Fastq files of raw sequencing
reads and the corresponding metadata (collection date, location, institute, source, etc.) are acquired either
from SRA or from the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA). The downloaded isolates are the input to PAPABAC.
The metadata are saved in the main SQLite database, and added to the tip labels on the phylogenetic trees.

Once all instances of the second wrapper script have finished, then the SQLite databases are queried for the list
of available phylogenetic trees (the maximum likelihood trees preferred over neighbor-joining ones), changes
in the clusters and the list of all isolates in the system, which is then used to update the website.

Architecture

The pipeline is written in Python 2.7 and Bash in Unix environment. In addition to the standard Anaconda
Python 2.7 packages, it also requires ETE Toolkit v3.0[41] and Joblib v0.11 (https://pythonhosted.org/joblib)
packages to be installed. Neighbor program from the PHYLIP package v3.697
(http://evolution.genetics.washington.edu/phylip.html) and IQ-tree v6.0[40] are used for the phylogenetic tree
inference. The SQL database management is performed with SQLite v3.20.1 (https://www.sqlite.org).

The two main parts of the pipeline have their own wrapper scripts. PAPABAC can be run on a personal
computer with as few as four cores.
Evergreen Online is running on a high-performance computing cluster, utilizing the Torque (Adaptive
Computing Inc., USA) job scheduler. The first wrapper is run in one instance on 20 cores, meanwhile the second

wrapper is run once on 20 cores for each template that has at least one new run, in a parallel fashion. When all
of these instances are finished running, a Bash script is launched to collect the information from the SQL
database, the website is updated and the job for the next day is scheduled.

Reference database

437　The reference sequences are complete prokaryotic chromosomal genomes from the NCBI RefSeq database.
438　Homology reduction was performed at a 99.0% sequence identity threshold with the Hobohm 1 algorithm. The
439　curated NCBI prokaryotic reference genomes were given priority in the process. The reference sequences and
440　the classification database could be downloaded via ftp
441　(ftp://ftp.cbs.dtu.dk/public/CGE/databases/Evergreen/).

Website

443　The phylogenetic trees are interactively visualized on the website (https://cge.cbs.dtu.dk/services/Evergreen/)
444　using the Phylocanvas API (http://phylocanvas.org). The isolates and clusters can be searched by SRA run ID,
445　which allows the quick localization of the clusters that increased in size via their cluster representative.

Computational time comparison of continued phylogenomic analysis

447　101 samples from the Escherichia coli in vitro evolution experiment dataset by Ahrenfeldt et al. were batched
448　according to their sampling time. The parallelization in PAPABAC was disabled. The traditional method meant
449　that the analysis was carried out on all the samples up to the given batch, starting anew each time, but using
450　the same scripts as PAPABAC.

Benchmarking of PAPABAC with the Escherichia coli in vitro evolution experiment dataset by Ahrenfeldt et al.

The last samples in each lineage were selected for the benchmarking. Therefore, the benchmarking dataset
constituted 50 tips on the ideal phylogeny. These samples were batched according to their sampling time (6th,
7th and 8th day). The batches were processed by PAPABAC chronologically. The pipeline was run with the
default parameters. Both maximum likelihood and neighbor-joining trees were inferred.

The phylogenetic trees inferred on all 50 isolates were trimmed for the reference sequence and compared with
the ideal phylogeny using the phytools R package[42].

Benchmarking of PAPABAC with datasets from Timme et al.

460　Each dataset was downloaded with the provided script into a distinct directory. The pipeline was run
461　individually on the datasets with default parameters. If the isolates were mapped to more than one template,
462　the phylogenetic trees of the template set with the highest number of isolates were evaluated. The maximum
463　likelihood trees were visually compared to the ideal phylogenies and checked for the distribution of the isolates
464　amongst the clades.

Comparison with the NCBI Pathogen Detection platform

Escherichia coli isolates were queried from the SQL database of Evergreen Online (EO) for the period of 2018-
03-15 and 2018-06-01, corresponding to a multistate outbreak of *E.coli* O157:H7 in the USA[43]. These samples
were subtyped using traditional MLST[2], as it was assumed, that the sequence type with the most isolates would
also include the outbreak samples. Sequence type 11, which is commonly corresponds to the O157:H7
serotype, was selected for further analysis. The corresponding samples and their SNP clusters were found in
the NCBI-PD platform. The phylogenetic tree for the SNP cluster with the most samples (PDS000000952.271)

was downloaded. The common samples were marked on both the NCBI-PD and the EO phylogenetic tree

(Escherichia_coli_O157_H7_str_Sakai_chromosome_NC_002695_1). The marked samples on the three biggest

clusters on the EO tree were labeled, and their placement on the NCBI-PD tree was visually inspected.

Supplementary material

Table S1 Non-queried species, due to mislabelled or mixed samples

Genus	Species	Isolate
Bacillus	*subtilis*	3
Bacillus	*pumilus*	2
Campylobacter	*coli*	58
Campylobacter	*fetus*	1
Citrobacter	*amalonaticus*	1
Enterobacter	*cloacae*	2
Enterococcus	*faecalis*	1
Escherichia	*albertii*	5
Hafnia	*alvei*	3
Klebsiella	*pneumoniae*	7
Listeria	*ivanovii*	1
Morganella	*morganii*	7
Peptoclostridium	*difficile*	1
Proteus	*mirabilis*	7
Providencia	*stuartii*	2
Pseudomonas	*aeruginosa*	6
Raoultella	*ornithinolytica*	1
Salmonella	*bongori*	11
Staphylococcus	*epidermidis*	1
Streptococcus	*agalactiae*	1

481   *Figure S1 Computational time of the Escherichia coli in vitro evolution dataset where the samples were added in batches based on the*
482   *sampling time.*

485 *Figure S2 Comparison of the ideal tree (left) to the PAPABAC neighbor-joining tree made of the in vitro experiment dataset[31]Taxa with an*
486 *asterisk were clustered together with the taxa in the same clade.*

Figure S3 Maximum likelihood trees of (A) Campylobacter jejuni and (B) Listeria monocytogenes SNP pipeline benchmarking datasets.
488
The trees on the left are the "ideal" phylogenies by Timme et al. The colored (blue, red) clades contain the outbreak strains, while the
489
490
black ones are non-related isolates. The reference sequences were trimmed from the trees.

492 *Figure S4 A) Number of downloaded and included isolates as function of data acquisition events B) Number of isolates for the species we*
493 *query for C) Fraction of non-redundant isolates in template sets larger than 100 isolates*