Altered cortical development and psychiatric symptom risk in adolescents exposed to maternal stress *in utero*

Goldie A. McQuaid, Valerie L. Darcey, Melissa F. Avalos, Diana H. Fishbein,
John W. VanMeter

ABSTRACT

Objective: Maternal exposure to stress during pregnancy is associated with increased risk for cognitive and behavioral sequelae in offspring. Animal research demonstrates exposure to stress during gestation has effects on brain structure. In humans, however, little is known about the enduring effects of *in utero* exposure to maternal stress on brain morphology. We examine whether maternal report of stressful events during pregnancy is associated with brain structure and behavior in adolescents.

Method: We compare gray matter morphometry of typically-developing early adolescents (11-14 years of age, mean 12.7) based on presence/absence of maternal report of stressful event(s) during pregnancy: prenatal stress (PS; n=28), no prenatal stress (NS; n=55). The Drug Use Survey Index Revised (DUSI-R) assessed adolescent risk for problematic behaviors. Exclusionary criteria included pre-term birth, low birth weight, and maternal substance use during pregnancy. Groups were equivalent for demographic (age, sex, IQ, SES, race/ethnicity), and birth measures (weight, length).

Results: Compared to NS peers, adolescents in the PS group exhibited increased gray matter volume in bilateral posterior parietal cortex (PPC): bilateral intraparietal sulcus, left superior parietal lobule and inferior parietal lobule. Additionally, the PS group displayed greater risk for psychiatric symptoms and family system dysfunction, as assessed via DUSI-R subscales.

Conclusion: This study provides evidence for the enduring neurodevelopmental effects of exposure to maternal stress while *in utero*, suggesting the dynamic alterations in brain structure that occur during the adolescent critical period may unmask the latent effects of gestational stress on brain morphology and increase subsequent risk for psychiatric symptomatology.

INTRODUCTION

A large body of animal research has demonstrated negative effects of prenatal stress on the structure of the developing brain in offspring, including preclinical[1–3] and non-human primate studies[4,5]. *In utero* stress exposure has adverse effects on neurodevelopment of the corpus callosum[4], hippocampus[5–7], amygdala[8], rostral anterior cingulate cortex[9], and frontal cortex[10]. Corresponding closely with the functional neuroanatomy of these regions, offspring of animals stressed during gestation exhibit deficits in attention[11], as well as an increase in behaviors associated with anxiety[12,13] and depression (e.g., altered circadian rhythms[14] and learned helplessness[15]). Critically, the negative effects of prenatal stress endure throughout the lifespan of the animal[16].

As in animals, research in humans has demonstrated that maternal exposure to stress during
pregnancy is associated with adverse cognitive and behavioral outcomes in childhood,
adolescence, and adulthood. These studies operationalize stress in various ways, including major
life events[17], natural disasters[18,19], military invasion[20], and maternal psychiatric symptoms, such as
depression[21] and anxiety[22,23]. Despite differences in methodologies and specific findings, research
reveals a largely consistent picture showing maternal stress experienced during pregnancy is
related to aberrant cognitive, behavioral and emotional development in offspring, and is associated
with heightened risk for development of psychiatric symptoms and illnesses, including
impulsivity[22], attention deficit hyperactivity disorder [24,25], anxiety [21], depression[23], autism
spectrum disorder[17,26], and schizophrenia[20,27,28].

While effects of maternal stress on cognition and behavior are thoroughly documented, studies
have only recently examined impacts on child neurodevelopment. These nascent studies have
demonstrated effects of *in utero* stress on white matter organization[29,30], and on gray matter in
cortical[31–33] and subcortical[34] structures. For instance, in neonates, prenatal maternal anxiety is
associated with reduced cortical thickness in frontal and parietal cortices, an effect modulated by
genetic variants implicated in increased vulnerability to psychiatric disorders[35]. Effects of maternal
stress during gestation have been observed in older offspring as well. Maternal reports of stress
during pregnancy are associated with differences in white matter microstructural organization of
the uncinate fasciculus in offspring aged 6-9 years[29]. Further, studies of 6-9 year-olds have shown
that greater maternal pregnancy-specific anxiety at 19-weeks gestation is linked to reduced gray
matter volume in bilateral prefrontal cortex, temporal and parietal cortices, and cerebellum[31], and
greater maternal depressive symptoms are associated with thinner cortices across the brain[32].
Finally, maternal first-trimester cortisol (a biological marker of stress) is positively correlated with
right amygdalar volume in 7-year old female offspring; further amygdalar volume mediates the
relationship between higher maternal cortisol and increased affective symptoms in female
offspring[34].

The trajectory of cortical maturation from childhood through adulthood identifies adolescence as
a critical period in the non-linear development of frontal and parietal cortical gray matter[36–38]. It is
important to note that during adolescence gray matter volume is expected to decrease as a result
of cortical pruning. Cortical gray matter volume during this developmental period may be a
relatively sensitive indicator of earlier neurodevelopmental influences, and previously masked
deficits may be revealed by the maturational processes occurring during this time[39]. Understanding
how prenatal stress exposure impacts the developmental trajectory of cortical development during
the adolescent period may be particularly revealing, as many types of mental health problems
emerge in adolescence[40]. Adversity early in life has been shown to set forth a "cascade" of effects
in the developing brain[41–43], including those at the molecular, cellular and network levels, which
may only manifest during a later developmental period[44–46], such as adolescence.

Although the mechanisms by which gestational stress impacts offspring human neurodevelopment
and increases risk for psychiatric symptoms have yet to be fully elucidated[47–49], both the animal
and human literature demonstrate that prenatal stress affects brain development and can play a
contributory etiological role in cognitive and behavioral sequelae. Identifying offspring brain
structures affected by prenatal stress exposure and understanding how these structures are altered,

as well as the behavioral and psychiatric outcomes of these structural alterations, may allow for
identification of youth at elevated risk for poor outcomes.
94
The current study examined cortical gray matter volume in two groups of typically developing,
early adolescents who differed with regards to whether mothers experienced at least one major
stressful life event during their pregnancy. While we did not predict specific neuroanatomical
regions to differ *a priori,* we hypothesized that adolescents whose mothers experienced significant
stress during their pregnancy would have a neuroanatomical profile suggestive of delayed gray
matter development compared to their non-prenatally stressed peers.
101
102　**METHODS**
103
Participants were recruited as part of the Adolescent Development Study, a prospective
longitudinal investigation of the neural, cognitive and behavioral precursors and consequences of
substance use initiation and escalation. Demographic, cognitive, behavioral, and imaging
assessments were conducted at baseline and were repeated during two follow-up visits,
approximately 18- and 36-months later. The data reported here were collected during the baseline
visit. Complete information on the methods of this study are described in detail elsewhere[50].
Briefly, 135 substance-naïve 11-13-year-olds and their caregivers were recruited from the
Washington D.C. metropolitan region. Primary exclusionary criteria were prior substance use by
the adolescent, neurodevelopmental disorder (e.g., autism spectrum disorder, active tic disorder
that would interfere with imaging), prior head trauma, left-handedness, and conditions
contraindicated in MRI. The Georgetown University Institutional Review Board approved the
study, and caregivers and adolescents provided consent and assent prior to all data collection.
116
117　Family/caregiver measures:
118
Pre-/Perinatal questionnaire. Biological mothers were invited to complete a pre-/perinatal
questionnaire collecting information about the pregnancy with, and delivery of, their enrolled
adolescent. Mothers were asked to indicate whether any stressful events occurred during their
pregnancy with the adolescent participant. Questions concerning stressors were adapted from the
second item of a short screening scale for posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD)[51]. Items from the
scale probing PTSD symptom domains (e.g., re-experiencing of traumatic event(s), exaggerated
startle response, etc.) were omitted. The following stressful events were queried: relationship
conflict (e.g., divorce, break up, infidelity), death of someone close (e.g., partner, parent, another
child), severe illness/harm of someone close (e.g., cancer, heart attack, severe car accident), severe
financial issues (e.g., major property damage, foreclosure, sudden unemployment), involvement
in an accident (e.g., car, fire, other disaster), being physically or emotionally attacked (e.g.,
threatened with a weapon, rape), or another terrible event that most people do not experience (with
space provided for elaboration). Mothers could indicate that they had experienced no stressful
event during pregnancy by selecting the option "nothing like this happened to me while I was
pregnant with this child."
134
135　Mothers also indicated their age at time of delivery, incidence of any obstetric complications, and
136　extent of any tobacco, alcohol, or prescription or illicit drug use during the pregnancy. Finally,

137 outcomes after pregnancy were reported for both the mother (post-partum depression(PPD)) and
138 child (birth weight and length).
139
140 ***Family socioeconomic status index (SES).*** An SES Index was calculated by averaging the mean
141 of two standard scores (mean household income bracket before taxes and mean cumulative
142 parental education), and re-standardizing these to obtain a z-score distribution with a 0-centered
143 mean and a standard deviation of 1 for the sample analyzed (n=83) (method adapted from[52]).
144
145 Adolescent measures:
146
Risk measure. Adolescents completed the Drug Use Survey Index Revised (DUSI-R), a 159-item
survey that measures current use of drugs and alcohol, risk for future problematic use, as well as
experiences and behaviors known to precede and co-occur with substance use[53,54]. Although non-
diagnostic in nature, previous research has shown the DUSI-R to be psychometrically valid for
identifying adolescents at risk for adverse outcomes, including disrupted psychosocial
development, psychiatric symptomatology, and antisocial behavior[55-60]. Survey questions are *yes-*
no items categorized into ten domains: psychiatric symptoms, family system, behavior patterns,
health status, leisure and recreation, social competence, school performance, peer relationships,
substance use, and work performance. For each domain a problem density index was tabulated[59].
Higher scores suggest greater problems within a particular domain. Given limited variability and
null responses (by design) in two of the subscales (work performance and substance use), the
present analysis examines the other 8 DUSI subscales.
159
Intelligence and physical development. Full-scale intelligence was estimated via the Kaufman
Brief Intelligence Test, 2nd edition (KBIT-2)[61]. In order to determine physical growth
characteristics in the adolescent groups, pubertal development and body mass index (BMI z-score)
were assessed. Participants completed the Scale of Physical Development[62], a self-report
questionnaire highly correlated with Tanner stage[63]. The questionnaire evaluates the degree to
which particular physical maturational changes have occurred, including changes in skin/voice,
breast development and facial hair. Possible scores range from 1 (prepubertal) to 4 (postpubertal).
BMI (kg/m^2) was calculated using height measured via stadiometer (SECA 216 wall-mount
mechanical measuring rod; triplicate measures within 0.5 cm, averaged) and weight measured via
digital scale (Health-O-Meter Professional 394KLX). BMI norms for age and sex were used to
determine z-scores and percentiles[64]. These three measures were collected during the baseline visit
except in the case of one adolescent, whose IQ was assessed during the 1.5-year follow-up visit.
172
173 **MRI acquisition and analysis**
174
MRI data acquisition. During the baseline visit, high-resolution structural images were acquired
on a Siemens TIM Trio 3 T scanner with a 12-channel head coil using a T1-weighted magnetization
prepared rapid acquisition gradient echo (MPRAGE) sequence. A total of 176 sagittal slices were
collected using the following parameters: TR/TE/TI = 920/2.52/900 ms, flip angle = 9°, slice

thickness = 1.0 mm, FOV = 250×250 mm^2 and a matrix of 256×256 for an effective spatial
resolution of $0.97 \times 0.97 \times 1.0$ mm^3.

Quality control for MPRAGEs. Quality of structural scans for inclusion in a Voxel-Based
Morphometry (VBM) analysis was determined through visual inspection conducted by three
independent raters blind to participant group status (PS vs NS). Structural scans were scored on a
0 - 5 point scale for degree of artifacts that may affect the tissue classification algorithm (i.e., gross
quality problems including phase wrap, ringing, and ghosting), where 0 indicates a scan free from
visible artifact and 5 represents a scan of the poorest quality due to prominence of the relevant
artifact. For each of the 3 raters, a weighted summary score was calculated. Summary rating scores
were found to have good to excellent agreement between raters (intraclass correlation
coefficient=.914, 95% confidence interval=.834-.950 [SPSS 24 based on mean rating (k=3),
absolute agreement, 2-way mixed-effects model])[65]. The average weighted score of the 3 raters
was assigned to each of the structural scans. Scans with the highest scores, indicating extremely
poor quality, were flagged and after review by two of the authors (VLD and JVM) were confirmed
to be unsuitable for VBM analysis.

MRI data preprocessing and analyses. Preprocessing for VBM was performed in SPM 8
(http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/software/spm8/). The *New Segment* option with default
parameters identified different tissue types, generating native space tissue segmentation and
DARTEL imported gray and white matter tissue maps. The DARTEL toolbox was used to create
a study-specific whole-brain template (i.e., semi-optimized), and this template was registered to
MNI space (affine transform) and modulated by the determinant of the Jacobian transform to
preserve tissue volume and create images corresponding to gray matter volume (GMV). The GMV
images were smoothed using a 12mm FWHM Gaussian kernel to reduce noise, compensate for
spatial noise introduced during normalization, and improve validity of parametric statistics used[66].
To account for inter-individual differences in brain size, measures of GMV were normalized by
total intracranial volume (ICV).

Statistical analysis

Inclusion Criteria for Analyses. Given the potential impact of preterm birth[67], low birth weight[68–
70], and exposure to alcohol and other substances *in utero*[71,72] on fetal and postnatal brain
development and behavior, analyses were restricted to adolescents whose imaging data met quality
control criteria and were born at term (defined as >37 weeks) with normal birth weight (≥2500
grams, or 88.18 oz.) and no maternal report of tobacco, alcohol, regular prescription medication,
or illicit drug use during pregnancy with the enrolled adolescent. Further, adolescent participants
who reported substance use at baseline were excluded from analysis, given the effects of early
substance initiation on adolescent neurodevelopment[73,74]. Finally, participants were excluded for
poor image quality.

A total of 128 mothers completed the questionnaire. Based on the inclusion criteria detailed above,
45 participants were excluded from analyses. For details of participants remaining at each stage of
data filtering, see Table S1, available online. Of the remaining 83 participants with complete pre-
/perinatal history, 55 (66%) mothers reported having experienced no stressful event during
pregnancy, and 28 (34%) reported having experienced at least one stressful life event during

pregnancy (Table 2). Given the large number of respondents reporting no exposure to stressful
events, groups were dichotomized into those whose mothers had not experienced stress during
pregnancy (No-Stress group, *NS*; n = 55) and those who had experienced at least one event
(Prenatal Stress group, *PS*; n = 28).

Seven mothers (NS = 4; PS = 3) reported presence of obstetric complications during pregnancy
with the enrolled adolescent (Table 1). The mean birth weight for these 7 participants was 7.8
pounds (range: 6.9-8.8 pounds). Given that complications were reported in both groups, and
infants were all full-term and born with normal birth weight[75], these adolescents were included in
analyses. Finally, 5 mothers (NS = 2; PS = 3) reported having been diagnosed with PPD after birth
of the enrolled adolescent. As no additional details concerning PPD diagnosis were collected and
the number of diagnosed mothers was small and distributed across both groups, we included these
participants in analyses.

Analyses. Dependent variable distributions were examined prior to analyses to confirm the data
were free from outliers and that the assumption of normality was satisfied. The distribution of each
of the eight DUSI-R subscales was non-normal (Shapiro-Wilk < .001, df = 82, for all subscales).
Because standard transformations failed to normalize distributions, non-parametric statistical test
were used for DUSI-R variables. Data analysis was conducted using SPSS Version 24.0.

PS and NS groups were compared on adolescent, prenatal, and demographic characteristics using
Independent Samples Student's *t*-test, Mann-Whitney U-test, and Chi-square test of independence,
as noted. Additionally, groups were compared on the 8 DUSI subscales using the Mann-Whitney
U-test with Bonferroni adjusted alpha levels of p < .00625 per test (.05/8) to correct for multiple
comparisons.

Imaging. Statistical modeling compared PS and NS groups. Given that the distribution of males
and females approximated a significant difference (p = .051) (Table 1), and because cortical
thinning trajectories show sex differences[36–38], sex was included as a covariate of no interest in
imaging analyses. Clusters were defined using an uncorrected threshold of p < 0.001, k = 10.
Corrections for multiple comparisons were made using non-stationary cluster correction
(p < 0.05)[76,77].

RESULTS

Group characteristics. Groups were similar in demographic (SES, race, and sex) and adolescent
characteristics (age at MRI, IQ, pubertal development score, BMI, total intracranial volume, total
GMV) (Table 1). Critically, groups were similar in neonatal characteristics (birth weight and
length), allowing the inference that groups were similar in both neonatal head circumference[78] and
brain size[79]. Further, mothers were similar in age at delivery, and groups were similar in elapsed
duration between the child's birth and pre-/perinatal survey data collection. By design, the PS and
NS groups differed regarding maternal experience of stress during pregnancy. Table 2 summarizes
the stressful events reported by PS group mothers. Within the PS group, 6 mothers reported having
experienced more than one event, (5 reported 2 events, 1 reported 3) (see Table S2).

Table 1. Adolescent and maternal/perinatal characteristics

	All Participants N = 83	Prenatal Stress (PS) N = 28	No Stress (NS) N = 55	p
Adolescent characteristics				
Age at scan, Mean (SD)	12.7 (.7)	12.5 (.6)	12.8(.7)	.061
Sex				.051
Males, n (%)	38 (46%)	17 (61%)	21 (38%)	
Females, n (%)	45 (54%)	11(39%)	34 (62%)	
Pubertal development Mean (SD)				
Girls and boys	2.27 (.72)	2.32 (.73)	2.25 (.72)	.693
Girls	*2.56 (.69)*	*2.93 (.64)*	2.44 (.68)	.042*
Boys	*1.97 (.54)*	*1.93 (.49)*	*2.0 (.59)*	.69
BMI z-score (SD)	.40 (.96)	.36 (1.1)	42 (.86)	.762
Percentile (SD)[a]	*61.3 (27.5)*	*59.2(31.8)*	62.4(25.3)	.859
Race (%)				.739
Caucasian	*57%*	*53.5%*	*58.18%*	
African American	*30%*	*32%*	*29.1%*	
Hispanic/Latino	*6%*	*3.5%*	*7.27%*	
Other	*7%*	*11%*	*5.45%*	
Intelligence (K-BIT), Mean (SD)	108.6 (15.7)	107.1 (16.9)	109.4 (15.1)	.529
Maternal/perinatal characteristics				
Maternal Age at delivery, mean (SD)	30.9 (6.1)	31.5 (7.5)	30.6 (5.3)	.532
Elapsed time, birth and maternal survey completion Mean (SD)	13.3 (1.2)	13.1 (1.1)	13.4 (1.3)	.287
SES index z-score (SD) N = 80		n = 27 -.237 (1.06)	n = 53 .120 (.955)	.131
Parental education *(years, mean (SD))* *Household income (median)*	*16.0 (2.7)* *$100,000 - $149,999*	*16.48 (2.7)* *$50,000 - $74,999*	*16.48 (2.6)* *$100,000 - $149,999*	
Birth weight (ounces) Mean (SD) N=79	122.8 (14.2)	n = 27 124.5 (15.1)	n = 52 121.9 (13.8)	.455
Birth length, (inches) Mean (SD) N = 58	19.7 (3.9)	n = 23 19.0 (6.1)	n = 35 20.2 (.9)	.261

Obstetric anomaly *(n)*	7	3	4	--
Description	*Gestational diabetes (n=4)* *Bleeding during 1st trimester (n=1)* *Breach/emergency C-section (n=1)* *Unspecified (n=1)*	*Gestational diabetes (n=2)* *Breach/emergency C-section (n=1)*	*Gestational Diabetes (n=2)* *Bleeding during 1st trimester (n=1)* *Unspecified (n=1)*	
PPD diagnosis *(n)*	5	3	2	--
PPD therapy	*Medication (n = 2)* *Therapy (n = 3)*	*Medication (Sertraline (SSRI))(n=1)* *Therapy (n=2)*	*Medication (unknown) (n=1)* *Therapy (n=1)*	

Notes: SES = socioeconomic status, BMI = body mass index, PPD = postpartum depression,
SSRI = selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor

Table 2. Summary of reported stressful events occurring during pregnancy in PS group.

Event description	# reports
Relationship conflict (e.g., divorce, break up, infidelity)	10
Death of someone close (e.g., partner, parent, other child)	5
Severe illness/harm of someone close (e.g., cancer, heart attack, severe car accident)	4
Severe financial issues (e.g., major property damage, foreclosure, sudden unemployment)	2
Involvement in an accident (e.g., car, fire, other disaster)	3
Being physically or emotionally attacked (e.g., threatened with a weapon, rape)	4
Other terrible experience that most people never go through.	7
Total stressful events reported	**35**

Gray matter volume analysis. Whole brain non-stationary cluster corrected analysis revealed significantly greater GMV for the PS group compared to the NS group in bilateral parietal cortex (Table 3, Figure 1). Specifically, clusters in the right intraparietal sulcus (IPS: 40, -45, 55) (p_{corr} = .019), the left IPS (-32, -43, 55) (p_{corr} = .004), the left inferior parietal lobule (supramarginal gyrus) (IPL: -56, -23, 34) (p_{corr} = .035), and the left superior parietal lobule (SPL: -19, -44, 49) (p_{corr} = 0.048) showed greater volume for the contrast PS > NS (see Figures S2, S3). No significant results were found for the reverse contrast, NS > PS.

291 *Table 3. Summary of results for contrast PS > NS, with sex as covariate of no interest.*
292 *Coordinates are reported in MNI space. Results were thresholded at p<0.001, k =10 voxels.*
293 *Cluster-level non-stationary corrected p-values are reported.*

Region	Cluster size	x	y	Z	Corrected p-value
R Intraparietal sulcus	2093	40	-45	55	.019
L Intraparietal sulcus	1703	-32	-43	55	.029
L supramarginal gyrus	1463	-56	-23	34	.035
L superior parietal lobule	297	-19	-44	49	.048

294
295
296 *Table 4. Outcome measures*

	All participants	Prenatal Stress (PS)	No Stress (NS)	p
N	83	28	55	
Mean Total ICV, Cubic Liters (SD)	1.50 (.12)	1.52 (.10)	1.49 (.13)	.315
Mean Total GMV, Cubic Liters (SD)	0.72 (0.06)	0.73 (0.05)	0.72 (0.06)	.27
DUSI-R Subscales[a] Median (range)				
	82	28	54	
Psychiatric symptoms	20 (0-75)	30 (0-75)	15 (0-70)	.001[*b]
Family system	7 (0-71)	14 (0-71)	7 (0-42)	.006[*b]
Behavior patterns	15 (0-65)	17 (0-65)	10 (0-65)	.108
Health status	20 (0-50)	20 (0-50)	10 (0-50)	.033
Leisure & Recreation	16 (0-58)	16 (0-58)	12 (0-58)	.016
School performance	15 (0-60)	17 (0-60)	10 (0-45)	.010
Social competence	14 (0-64)	21 (0-64)	14 (0-57)	.046
Peer relationships	7 (0-42)	14 (0-42)	7 (0-42)	.033

297 *Note: ICV = intracranial volume, GMV = gray matter volume, DUSI-R = Drug Use Survey*
298 *Inventory, Revised*
299 *a. Mann-Whitney U-test used to examine group differences.*
300 *b. Significant at Bonferroni adjusted alpha level of p < .00625.*
301
302
303

304 *Figure 1. VBM analysis results for PS > NS using sex as a covariate of no interest; p < 0.05,*
305 *non-stationary cluster corrected. Anatomical orientation showing posterior (A), superior (B),*
306 *coronal (C) and cutaway (D) views of four PPC clusters (L = left, R = right).*

307
308
309
Risk measure. The two groups differed significantly on two of the eight DUSI-R subscales at the
Bonferroni adjusted alpha-level of p < .00625 (Table 5, Figure 2). First, the PS group scored
significantly higher on the DUSI psychiatric symptoms subscale (U(81)= 416.5,z=-3.339 p=.001),
indicating a greater risk among the stress-exposed group for mental health symptomatology.
Second, the PS group showed significantly higher scores for the family system subscale compared
to the NS group (U(81) =486.5, z=-2.738, p=.006), indicating greater interfamilial
dysfunction/conflict, less parental supervision, and/or less marital agility.
317
318 **Exploratory Analysis**
319
To obtain a better understanding of the specific behavioral domains problematic for PS
adolescents compared to their NS peers, we examined DUSI-R-derived subscales created
specifically for use in screening for 4 psychiatric disorders in individuals under age 18[80]. The
subscales, which probe features of ADHD, anxiety, depression and conduct disorder, cull
questions from across the 10 original DUSI domains. These scales have been shown to be useful
in identifying individuals who may require further evaluation for current psychiatric
symptomatology, as well as those at risk for development of psychiatric disorder up to 8-10 years
after their DUSI assessment[80].
328

Scores were non-normally distributed and standard transformations were unsuccessful; we
therefore compared the two groups using a one-tailed Mann-Whitney U-test, correcting for
multiple comparisons using Bonferroni adjusted alpha levels of p < .0125 per test (.05/4). For all
four DUSI-derived scales, PS adolescents showed higher scores, indictive of greater problems, and
uncorrected values were significant for ADHD, anxiety, and depression. After corrections for
multiple comparisons, only the ADHD scale remained significantly greater in PS adolescents,
suggestive of greater risk for attentional dysfunction (U(81)= 506.5, z= -2.468, p=.007) (see Table
S3).
337
338 **DISCUSSSION**
339
To our knowledge, ours is the first study to examine the effects of exposure to maternal stress
during gestation on brain morphology in typically-developing early adolescents. Compared to
adolescents whose mothers report no exposure to a significant stressor during pregnancy,
adolescents whose mothers experienced at least one stressful event showed increased GMV in
PPC, and displayed greater risk for psychiatric symptoms and family system difficulties.
Illuminating those effects of prenatal stress that may not manifest until adolescence is critical,
given that latent deficits in structure may be revealed during this period of dramatic brain
development,[39] and moreover, altered developmental trajectories during this period may be
associated with increased risk for negative outcomes[40,81], including psychiatric symptomatology.
349
Our central finding identified a relationship between maternal exposure to stress during
pregnancy and GMV in early adolescence. The PS group exhibited increased GMV compared to
the NS group in bilateral PPC: bilateral IPS, and left SPL and IPL. There were no regions for
which NS adolescents showed increased GMV relative to the PS group. Changes in GMV from
childhood through adulthood exhibit a heterochronic pattern, with different regions of cortex
showing distinct developmental trajectories. Parietal gray matter increases during preadolescence,
reaching maximum volume at 10.2 years for females and 11.8 years for males, and declining
thereafter[36–38]. Given that groups were similar in chronological age (mean 12.5 years) and the
potential influence of sex was controlled for, we would expect participants to be at similar stages
in cortical development; that is, post-peak gray matter volume in PPC, with cortical pruning
resulting in developmentally-appropriate cortical thinning[36–38,82,83].
361
Our finding aligns with studies demonstrating a relationship between exposure to maternal distress
during gestation and enduring effects on childhood brain morphology, including, importantly, in
parietal cortex[31–33]. One notable difference between findings in the current study and findings of
previous studies is the age of the children at the time of MRI scanning. While the present study
examined early adolescents (11-14 years), previous studies examined children ages 6-9 or younger,
a time in which normative development is characterized by increases in gray matter volume[36–38].
Thus, our results are in accord with previous research when considering this nonlinear
developmental trajectory of the cortex. Our findings among early adolescents, taken together with
the findings reported in the literature on early childhood, lead us to speculate that children exposed
to the effects of stress *in utero* may show a temporal shift in peak gray matter volume, including a
potentially altered trajectory of parietal cortical development, though this remains to be formally
tested via longitudinal analyses.

We also found PS adolescents showed greater problematic behavior on the DUSI-R psychiatric
symptoms subscale. Further, in exploratory analyses, the PS group had higher scores on the
anxiety, depression, and ADHD subscales, though only the latter remained significant after
corrections for multiple comparisons. These results are consistent with a robust literature showing
an association between maternal stress during pregnancy and offspring cognitive and behavioral
difficulties, including anxiety[21,84], depression[23], and schizophrenia[27,28]. Most relevant to the
current study is evidence of an association between maternal stress exposure and impulsivity[22] and
ADHD[22,24,84,85]. Population cohort studies have demonstrated associations between maternal
stressful life events such as those measured here and increased risk for ADHD in offspring. Boys
born to mothers who experienced bereavement during pregnancy are at significantly increased risk
for ADHD[86]. Similarly, maternal-rated ADHD symptoms in 2-year-olds is associated with
exposure to stressful life events during pregnancy[87].

Results from studies examining the relationship of ADHD and ADHD features to cortical
development are consistent with the proposition advanced here that increased GMV in attentional
processing regions is suggestive of a developmental lag in cortical development among PS
adolescents. Youth with ADHD have been shown to exhibit the same region-specific pattern of
brain development as TD youth, but that pattern is temporally delayed[82,88,89]; further, TD youth
with ADHD features demonstrate a similar pattern shift, and increased ADHD features are
associated with a more pronounced temporal shift. Among adults with childhood-diagnosed
ADHD, only those who no longer meet ADHD diagnostic criteria exhibit normalization of cortical
thickness in right parietal cortex, a key attentional region[88].

Consistent with its diverse structural and functional connectivity patterns, the PPC is a component
of multiple brain networks, and PPC subregions are hubs in both dorsal and ventral fronto-parietal
attention networks[90–92]. The connections between PPC regions with other structures is key, as input
provided by PPC could have an impact on downstream structures, particularly frontal regions.
Interestingly, many of the regions that previous studies report as having what may be interpreted
as delayed development [21,31,33] are connected to PPC, including frontal cortex, and meta-analytic
research has shown hypoactivation in the frontoparietal network in children with ADHD[93].
Although the PS group in the current study scored higher in ADHD-type features, they also
demonstrated increased depressive and anxious symptoms relative to the NS group. Thus,
problems with ADHD-type features may more broadly reflect difficulties in executive function,
which could be suggestive of a non-specific risk for psychiatric features, given that deficits in
executive function are attested in many disorders (e.g., depression, anxiety, and schizophrenia).

The findings reported here must be interpreted within the context of limitations of the current
study. First, along with increased psychiatric symptoms, the PS group showed significantly higher
scores on the family system subscale of the DUSI-R, a 14-item subscale querying parental
involvement/supervision, parent-imposed boundaries/rules, occurrence of frequent or intense
arguments between the adolescent and his/her parents or others in the home, as well as questions
concerning regular or problematic substance use by or arrest of an immediate family member. We
are unable to disentangle the contribution of prenatal maternal stressful life events from that of
current dynamics within the child's family since many of the factors in our measure of prenatal
stress could foreshadow difficulties in postnatal familial dynamics. Second, the questionnaire-
based measure of prenatal stress has limitations. Retrospective assessment of traumatic events

may include biases[94]. However, measures such as the one used here have been shown to be
accurate and reliable[95–97], and the two groups were similar regarding elapsed time between the
adolescents' birth and questionnaire completion. Also, the questionnaire did not include an
assessment of the mothers' perception of how stressful the event was. The subjective distress
associated with an event rather than the objective event itself has been associated with level of
trauma among first-responders in a natural disaster[98]. However, among pregnant women it has
been shown that objective events (even in the absence of maternal report of subjective distress
during the event) are associated with sequelae among offspring[18,99]. Additionally, the
questionnaire did not inquire about the timing of the stressful event during pregnancy, though it is
unclear whether gestational stress experienced earlier[100,101] or later[31] is more impactful, and it has
been suggested timing of the stressor is not a critical factor in offspring outcomes[102].
431
Strengths of the present analysis include the rich characterization of the two, relatively large groups
of typically developing adolescents analyzed, including descriptors related to prenatal, postnatal,
maternal and adolescent variables. Existing studies asking similar research questions have been
correlational, have had smaller total sample sizes, and did not possess the rich characterization of
participants presented in the current study[31,32]. Importantly, the chronological age range of the
adolescents studied here was narrow and thus more readily allows for consideration of group
differences during this period of dynamic alterations in gray matter. Additionally, factors known
to be related to GMV, including age, IQ[103], pubertal development,[36] BMI[104], and sex[36,37], were
either controlled for or were similar between groups in the current study.
441
Given the normative development of parietal cortex, increased GMV in the PS group is potentially
suggestive of a developmental lag in cortical maturation compared to their similarly aged NS peers.
Our results add to the growing literature demonstrating the enduring effects of maternal stress
exposure on brain morphology and the possibility of increased risk for psychiatric symptoms. It is
plausible that delayed development in PPC may impact structures that rely on PPC input, creating
a feedforward effect, resulting in differential input to other developing structures, especially the
prefrontal cortex, which shows a protracted course of development[83,105]. Adolescence is a key
period in brain and psychosocial development, during which the risk for emergence of
neuropsychiatric disorders is heightened[40] and realized[106,107]. The groups studied here differed
neither for proxies for estimated brain size at birth, nor for ICV and total GMV at the time of MRI
scans, suggesting that despite overall similarities in brain structure both at birth and in early
adolescence, region-specific differences in brain development resulting from *in utero* stress
exposure are evident in adolescence, a key neurodevelopmental period. It is therefore critical to
understand the factors that contribute to differences in the course of brain development during
adolescence, and how these differences potentially increase risk for the development of psychiatric
symptoms. Understanding the enduring impact of maternal prenatal stress exposure on adolescent
brain and behavior provides an opportunity to target those youth at increased risk for problems and
identify factors that can help them attain positive functional outcomes. Furthermore, these results
highlight the importance of providing pregnant women with sufficient social support to help
mitigate the effects of external stressors.
462
463
464
465

REFERENCES

1. Hayashi, A. *et al.* Maternal stress induces synaptic loss and developmental disabilities of offspring. *Int. J. Dev. Neurosci.* **16**, 209–216 (1998).

2. Lemaire, V., Lamarque, S., Le Moal, M., Piazza, P. V. & Abrous, D. N. Postnatal Stimulation of the Pups Counteracts Prenatal Stress-Induced Deficits in Hippocampal Neurogenesis. *Biol. Psychiatry* **59**, 786–792 (2006).

3. Petit, B. *et al.* Stress during pregnancy alters dendritic spine density and gene expression in the brain of new-born lambs. *Behav. Brain Res.* **291**, 155–163 (2015).

4. Coe, C. L., Lulbach, G. R. & Schneider, M. L. Prenatal disturbance alters the size of the corpus callosum in young monkeys. *Dev. Psychobiol.* **41**, 178–185 (2002).

5. Coe, C. L. *et al.* Prenatal stress diminishes neurogenesis in the dentate gyrus of juvenile Rhesus monkeys. *Biol. Psychiatry* **54**, 1025–1034 (2003).

6. Uno, H. *et al.* Brain damage induced by prenatal exposure to dexamethasone in fetal rhesus macaques. I. Hippocampus. *Dev. Brain Res.* **53**, 157–167 (1990).

7. Uno, H. *et al.* Neurotoxicity of Glucocorticoids in the Primate Brain. *Horm. Behav.* **28**, 336–348 (1994).

8. Kawamura, T., Chen, J., Takahashi, T., Ichitani, Y. & Nakahara, D. Prenatal stress suppresses cell proliferation in the early developing brain. *Neuroreport* **17**, 1515–1518 (2006).

9. Jones, H. E. *et al.* Prenatal Stress Alters the Size of the Rostral Anterior Commissure in Rats. *Brain Res. Bull.* **42**, 341–346 (1997).

10. Barros, V. G., Duhalde-Vega, M., Caltana, L., Brusco, A. & Antonelli, M. C. Astrocyte–neuron vulnerability to prenatal stress in the adult rat brain. *J. Neurosci. Res.* **83**, 787–800 (2006).

11. Schneider, M. L., Roughton, E. C., Koehler, A. J. & Lubach, G. R. Growth and Development Following Prenatal Stress Exposure in Primates: An Examination of Ontogenetic Vulnerability. *Child Dev.* **70**, 263–274 (1999).

12. Clarke, A. S. & Schneider, M. L. Prenatal stress has long-term effects on behavioral responses to stress in juvenile rhesus monkeys. *Dev. Psychobiol.* **26**, 293–304 (1993).

13. Fride, E. & Weinstock, M. Prenatal stress increase anxiety related behavior and alters cerebral lateralization of dopamine activity. *Life Sci.* **42**, 1059–1065 (1988).

14. Maccari, S. *et al.* Prenatal stress and long-term consequences: Implications of glucocorticoid hormones. in *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews* **27**, 119–127 (Pergamon, 2003).

15. Alonso, S. J., Arevalo, R., Afonso, D. & Rodríguez, M. Effects of maternal stress during pregnancy on forced swimming test behavior of the offspring. *Physiol. Behav.* **50**, 511–517 (1991).

16. Vallée, M. *et al.* Long-term effects of prenatal stress and postnatal handling on age-related glucocorticoid secretion and cognitive performance: a longitudinal study in the rat. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* **11**, 2906–2916 (1999).

17. Beversdorf, D. Q. *et al.* Timing of prenatal stressors and autism. *J. Autism Dev. Disord.* **35**, 471–478 (2005).

18. King, S. & Laplante, D. P. The effects of prenatal maternal stress on children's cognitive development: Project Ice Storm. *Stress* **8**, 35–45 (2005).

19. Dancause, K. N. *et al.* Disaster-related prenatal maternal stress influences birth outcomes:

Project Ice Storm. *Early Hum. Dev.* **87**, 813–820 (2011).

20. Van Os, J. & Selten, J.-P. Prenatal exposure to maternal stress and subsequent
schizophrenia. *Br. J. Psychiatry* **172**, 324–326 (1998).

21. Davis, E. P. & Sandman, C. A. Prenatal psychobiological predictors of anxiety risk in
preadolescent children. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* **37**, 1224–1233 (2012).

22. Van Den Bergh, B. R. H. *et al.* High antenatal maternal anxiety is related to impulsivity
during performance on cognitive tasks in 14- and 15-year-olds. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.*
29, 259–269 (2005).

23. Van den Bergh, B. R. H., Van Calster, B., Smits, T., Van Huffel, S. & Lagae, L. Antenatal
Maternal Anxiety is Related to HPA-Axis Dysregulation and Self-Reported Depressive
Symptoms in Adolescence: A Prospective Study on the Fetal Origins of Depressed Mood.
Neuropsychopharmacology **33**, 536–545 (2008).

24. O'Connor, T. G., Heron, J., Golding, J. & Glover, V. Maternal antenatal anxiety and
behavioural/emotional problems in children: A test of a programming hypothesis. *J. Child*
Psychol. Psychiatry Allied Discip. **44**, 1025–1036 (2003).

25. Van Den Bergh, B. R. H. *et al.* ADHD deficit as measured in adolescent boys with a
continuous performance task is related to antenatal maternal anxiety. *Pediatr. Res.* **59**, 78–
82 (2006).

26. Grabrucker, A. M. Environmental Factors in Autism. *Front. Psychiatry* **3**, 118 (2013).

27. Khashan, A. S. *et al.* Higher Risk of Offspring Schizophrenia Following Antenatal
Maternal Exposure to Severe Adverse Life Events. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry* **65**, 146 (2008).

28. Fineberg, A. M. *et al.* Fetal exposure to maternal stress and risk for schizophrenia
spectrum disorders among offspring: Differential influences of fetal sex. *Psychiatry Res.*
236, 91–97 (2016).

29. Sarkar, S. *et al.* Prenatal stress and limbic-prefrontal white matter microstructure in
children aged 6–9 years: a preliminary diffusion tensor imaging study. *World J. Biol.*
Psychiatry **15**, 346–352 (2014).

30. Rifkin-Graboi, A. *et al.* Prenatal Maternal Depression Associates with Microstructure of
Right Amygdala in Neonates at Birth. *Biol. Psychiatry* **74**, 837–844 (2013).

31. Buss, C., Davis, E. P., Muftuler, L. T., Head, K. & Sandman, C. A. High pregnancy
anxiety during mid-gestation is associated with decreased gray matter density in 6–9-year-
old children. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* **35**, 141–153 (2010).

32. Sandman, C. A., Buss, C., Head, K. & Davis, E. P. Fetal Exposure to Maternal Depressive
Symptoms Is Associated With Cortical Thickness in Late Childhood. *Biol. Psychiatry* **77**,
324–334 (2015).

33. Lebel, C. *et al.* Prepartum and Postpartum Maternal Depressive Symptoms Are Related to
Children's Brain Structure in Preschool. *Biol. Psychiatry* **80**, 859–868 (2016).

34. Buss, C. *et al.* Maternal cortisol over the course of pregnancy and subsequent child
amygdala and hippocampus volumes and affective problems. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S.*
A. **109**, E1312-9 (2012).

35. Qiu, A. *et al.* COMT haplotypes modulate associations of antenatal maternal anxiety and
neonatal cortical morphology. *Am. J. Psychiatry* **172**, 163–172 (2015).

36. Giedd, J. N. *et al.* Brain development during childhood and adolescence: a longitudinal
MRI study. *Nat. Neurosci.* **2**, 861–863 (1999).

37. Lenroot, R. K. & Giedd, J. N. Brain development in children and adolescents: Insights
from anatomical magnetic resonance imaging. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*

30, 718–729 (2006).

38. Gogtay, N. *et al.* Mapping adolescent brain change reveals dynamic wave of accelerated
gray matter loss in very early-onset schizophrenia. *PNAS* **98**, 11650–11655 (2004).

39. Weinberger, D. R. Implications of normal brain development for the pathogenesis of
schizophrenia. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry* **44**, 660–9 (1987).

40. Paus, T., Keshavan, M. & Giedd, J. N. Why do many psychiatric disorders emerge during
adolescence? *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* **9**, 947–957 (2008).

41. Andersen, S. L. & Teicher, M. H. Stress, sensitive periods and maturational events in
adolescent depression. *Trends Neurosci.* **31**, 183–191 (2008).

42. Teicher, M. H., Andersen, S. L., Polcari, A., Anderson, C. M. & Navalta, C. P.
Developmental neurobiology of childhood stress and trauma. *Psychiatr. Clin. North Am.*
25, 397–426 (2002).

43. Teicher, M. H. *et al.* The neurobiological consequences of early stress and childhood
maltreatment. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* **27**, 33–44 (2003).

44. Andersen, S. L. Commentary on the special issue on the adolescent brain: Adolescence,
trajectories, and the importance of prevention. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* **70**, 329–333
(2016).

45. Andersen, S. L. *et al.* Preliminary Evidence for Sensitive Periods in the Effect of
Childhood Sexual Abuse on Regional Brain Development. *J. Neuropsychiatry Clin.*
Neurosci. **20**, 292–301 (2008).

46. Andersen, S. L. Trajectories of brain development: point of vulnerability or window of
opportunity? *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* **27**, 3–18 (2003).

47. Antonelli, M. C., Pallarés, M. E., Ceccatelli, S. & Spulber, S. Long-term consequences of
prenatal stress and neurotoxicants exposure on neurodevelopment. *Prog. Neurobiol.* **155**,
21–35 (2017).

48. Gartstein, M. A. & Skinner, M. K. Prenatal influences on temperament development: The
role of environmental epigenetics. *Dev. Psychopathol.* **30**, 1269–1303 (2018).

49. Doyle, C. & Cicchetti, D. Future directions in prenatal stress research: Challenges and
opportunities related to advancing our understanding of prenatal developmental origins of
risk for psychopathology. *Dev. Psychopathol.* **30**, 721–724 (2018).

50. Fishbein, D. H., Rose, E. J., Darcey, V. L., Belcher, A. M. & VanMeter, J. W.
Neurodevelopmental Precursors and Consequences of Substance Use during Adolescence:
Promises and Pitfalls of Longitudinal Neuroimaging Strategies. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* **10**,
296 (2016).

51. Breslau, N., Peterson, E. L., Kessler, R. C. & Schultz, L. R. Short Screening Scale for
DSM-IV Posttraumatic Stress Disorder. *Am. J. Psychiatry* **156**, 908–911 (1999).

52. Manuck, S. B., Phillips, J. E., Gianaros, P. J., Flory, J. D. & Muldoon, M. F. Subjective
socioeconomic status and presence of the metabolic syndrome in midlife community
volunteers. *Psychosom. Med.* **72**, 35–45 (2010).

53. Tarter, R. E. Evaluation and Treatment of Adolescent Substance Abuse: A Decision Tree
Method. *Am. J. Drug Alcohol Abuse* **16**, 1–46 (1990).

54. Kirisci, L., Reynolds, M., Carver, D. & Tarter, R. Quick screen to detect current substance
use disorder in adolescents and the likelihood of future disorder. *Drug Alcohol Depend.*
128, 116–122 (2013).

55. Kirisci, L., Tarter, R. E. & Hsu, T.-C. Fitting a Two-Parameter Logistic Item Response
Model to Clarify the Psychometric Properties of the Drug Use Screening Inventory for

604   Adolescent Alcohol and Drug Abusers. *Alcohol. Clin. Exp. Res.* **18**, 1335–1341 (1994).

56. Kirisci, L., Mezzich, A. & Tarter, R. Norms and sensitivity of the adolescent version of
the drug use screening inventory. *Addict. Behav.* **20**, 149–157 (1995).

57. Tarter, R. E. & Kirisci, L. Validity of the Drug Use Screening Inventory for Predicting
DSM-III-R Substance Use Disorder. *J. Child Adolesc. Subst. Abuse* **10**, 45–53 (2001).

58. Kirisci, L. & Tarter, R. E. Psychometric Validation of a Multidimensional Schema of
Substance Use Topology: Discrimination of High and Low Risk Youth and Prediction of
Substance Use Disorder. *J. Child Adolesc. Subst. Abuse* **10**, 23–33 (2001).

59. Tarter, R. E., Laird, S. B., Bukstein, O. & Kaminer, Y. Validation of the Adolescent Drug
Use Screening Inventory: Preliminary Findings. *Psychol. Addict. Behav. December*
1992;6(4)233-236 **6**, 233–236 (1992).

60. Tarter, R. E., Mezzich, A. C., Kirisci, L. & Kaczynksi, N. Reliability of the Drug Use
Screening Inventory Among Adolescent Alcoholics. *J. Child Adolesc. Subst. Abuse* **3**, 25–
36 (1994).

61. Kaufman, A. S. & Kaufman, N. L. Kaufman Brief Intelligence Test, Second Edition
(KBIT-2). (2004).

62. Petersen, A. C., Crockett, L., Richards, M. & Boxer, A. A self-report measure of pubertal
status: Reliability, validity, and initial norms. *J. Youth Adolesc.* **17**, 117–133 (1988).

63. Carskadon, M. A. & Acebo, C. A self-administered rating scale for pubertal development.
J. Adolesc. Heal. **14**, 190–195 (1993).

64. Kuczmarski, R. J. *et al.* CDC growth charts: United States. *Adv. Data* 1–27 (2000).

65. Koo, T. K. & Li, M. Y. A Guideline of Selecting and Reporting Intraclass Correlation
Coefficients for Reliability Research. *J. Chiropr. Med.* **15**, 155–163 (2016).

66. Silver, M., Montana, G. & Nichols, T. E. False positives in neuroimaging genetics using
voxel-based morphometry data. *Neuroimage* **54**, 992–1000 (2011).

67. Counsell, S. J. & Boardman, J. P. Differential brain growth in the infant born preterm:
Current knowledge and future developments from brain imaging. *Semin. Fetal Neonatal*
Med. **10**, 403–410 (2005).

68. Hack, M., Klein, N. K. & Taylor, H. G. Long-Term Developmental Outcomes of Low
Birth Weight Infants. *Futur. Child.* **5**, 176 (1995).

69. Boardman, J. D., Powers, D. A., Padilla, Y. C. & Hummer, R. A. Low Birth Weight,
Social Factors, and Developmental Outcomes Among Children in the United States.
Demography **39**, 353–368 (2002).

70. Schlotz, W. & Phillips, D. I. W. Fetal origins of mental health: Evidence and mechanisms.
Brain. Behav. Immun. **23**, 905–916 (2009).

71. Ernst, M., Moolchan, E. T. & Robinson, M. L. Behavioral and neural consequences of
prenatal exposure to nicotine. *J. Am. Acad. Child Adolesc. Psychiatry* **40**, 630–641 (2001).

72. Huizink, A. C. & Mulder, E. J. H. Maternal smoking, drinking or cannabis use during
pregnancy and neurobehavioral and cognitive functioning in human offspring. *Neurosci.*
Biobehav. Rev. **30**, 24–41 (2006).

73. Jacobus, J. & Tapert, S. F. Neurotoxic Effects of Alcohol in Adolescence. *Annu. Rev.*
Clin. Psychol. **9**, 703–721 (2013).

74. Guerri, C. & Pascual, M. Mechanisms involved in the neurotoxic, cognitive, and
neurobehavioral effects of alcohol consumption during adolescence. *Alcohol* **44**, 15–26
(2010).

75. Centers for Disease Control (CDC). Birthweight and Gestation. (2017). Available at:

https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/fastats/birthweight.htm. (Accessed: 6th December 2018)

76.   Hayasaka, S., Phan, K. L., Liberzon, I., Worsley, K. J. & Nichols, T. E. Nonstationary
cluster-size inference with random field and permutation methods. *Neuroimage* **22**, 676–
687 (2004).

77.   Hayasaka, S. & Nichols, T. E. Validating cluster size inference: random field and
permutation methods. *Neuroimage* **20**, 2343–2356 (2003).

78.   Barker, D. *Mothers, babies and health in later life.* (Churchill Livingstone, 1998).

79.   Cooke, R. W. I., Lucas, A., Yudkin, P. L. N. & Pryse-Davies, J. Head circumference as an
index of brain weight in the fetus and newborn. *Early Hum. Dev.* **1**, 145–149 (1977).

80.   Kirisci, L., Tarter, R., Mezzich, A. & Reynolds, M. Screening Current and Future
Diagnosis of Psychiatric Disorders Using the Revised Drug Use Screening Inventory. *Am.*
J. Drug Alcohol Abuse **34**, 653–665 (2008).

81.   Shonkoff, J. P., Garner, A. S. & The Committee on Psychosocial Aspects of child and
Family Health, Committee on Early Childhood, Adoption, and Dependent Care, and S. on
D. and B. P. The Lifelong Effects of Early Childhood Adversity and Toxic Stress abstract.
Pediatrics **129**, e232–e246 (2012).

82.   Sowell, E. R. *et al.* Cortical abnormalities in children and adolescents with attention-
deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Lancet* **362**, 1699–1707 (2003).

83.   Sowell, E. R., Thompson, P. M., Tessner, K. D. & Toga, A. W. Mapping Continued Brain
Growth and Gray Matter Density Reduction in Dorsal Frontal Cortex: Inverse
Relationships during Postadolescent Brain Maturation. *J. Neurosci.* **21**, 8819–8829
(2001).

84.   Van den Bergh, B. R. H. & Marcoen, A. High Antenatal Maternal Anxiety Is Related to
ADHD Symptoms, Externalizing Problems, and Anxiety in 8- and 9-Year-Olds. *Child*
Dev. **75**, 1085–1097 (2004).

85.   Bale, T. L. *et al.* Early Life Programming and Neurodevelopmental Disorders. *Biol.*
Psychiatry **68**, 314–319 (2010).

86.   Li, J., Olsen, J., Vestergaard, M. & Obel, C. Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in the
offspring following prenatal maternal bereavement: A nationwide follow-up study in
Denmark. *Eur. Child Adolesc. Psychiatry* **19**, 747–753 (2010).

87.   Ronald, A., Pennell, C. E. & Whitehouse, A. J. O. Prenatal Maternal Stress Associated
with ADHD and Autistic Traits in early Childhood. *Front. Psychol.* **1**, 223 (2011).

88.   Shaw, P. *et al.* Longitudinal mapping of cortical thickness and clinical outcome in
children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Arch. Gen.*
Psychiatry **63**, 540–549 (2006).

89.   Shaw, P. *et al.* Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder is characterized by a delay in
cortical maturation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **104**, 19649–19654 (2007).

90.   Caspers, S. Posterior parietal cortex: structural and functional diversity. in *Brain*
Mapping: An Encyclopedic Reference, Volume 2 (eds. Toga, A. W., Zilles, K., Amunts,
K., Mesulam, M. & Kastner, S.) 317–323 (Academic Press, 2015).

91.   Caspers, S. & Zilles, K. Microarchitecture and connectivity of the parietal lobe. in
Handbook of Clinical Neurology, Vol 151 (3rd series) (eds. Vallar, G. & Coslett, H. B.)
53–72 (Elsevier, 2018).

92.   Caspers, S., Amunts, K. & Zilles, K. Poster parietal cortex: multimodal association cortex.
in *The Human Nervous System* (eds. Mai, J. K. & Paxinos, G.) 1036–1055 (Academic
Press, 2012).

93. Cortese, S. *et al.* Toward Systems Neuroscience of ADHD: A Meta-Analysis of 55 fMRI
Studies. *Am. J. Psychiatry* **169**, 1038–1055 (2012).
94. Hassan, E. Recall bias can be a threat to retrospective and prospective research designs.
Epidemiology **3**, 339–412 (2006).
95. Nast, I., Bolten, M., Meinlschmidt, G. & Hellhammer, D. H. How to Measure Prenatal
Stress? A Systematic Review of Psychometric Instruments to Assess Psychosocial Stress
during Pregnancy. *Paediatr. Perinat. Epidemiol.* **27**, 313–322 (2013).
96. Norris, F. H. & Kaniasty, K. Reliability of delayed self-reports in disaster research. *J.*
Trauma. Stress **5**, 575–588 (1992).
97. Norris, F. H. & Perilla, J. L. The revised civilian Mississippi scale for PTSD: Reliability,
validity, and cross-language stability. *J. Trauma. Stress* **9**, 285–298 (1996).
98. McFarlane, A. C. Relationship between psychiatric impairment and a natural disaster: the
role of distress. *Psychol. Med.* **18**, 129 (1988).
99. Laplante, D. P. *et al.* Stress During Pregnancy Affects General Intellectual and Language
Functioning in Human Toddlers. *Pediatr. Res.* **56**, 400–410 (2004).
100. Glynn, L. M., Wadhwa, P. D., Dunkel-Schetter, C., Chicz-DeMet, A. & Sandman, C. A.
When stress happens matters: Effects of earthquake timing on stress responsivity in
pregnancy. *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* **184**, 637–642 (2001).
101. Davis, E. P. & Sandman, C. A. The Timing of Prenatal Exposure to Maternal Cortisol and
Psychosocial Stress Is Associated With Human Infant Cognitive Development. *Child Dev.*
81, 131–148 (2010).
102. Lahti, M. *et al.* Maternal Depressive Symptoms During and After Pregnancy and
Psychiatric Problems in Children. *J. Am. Acad. Child Adolesc. Psychiatry* **56**, 30–39.e7
(2017).
103. Reiss, A. L., Abrams, M. T., Singer, H. S., Ross, J. L. & Denckla, M. B. Brain
development, gender and IQ in children. A volumetric imaging study. *Brain* **119**, 1763–
1774 (1996).
104. Brain Development Cooperative Group. Total and Regional Brain Volumes in a
Population-Based Normative Sample from 4 to 18 Years: The NIH MRI Study of Normal
Brain Development. *Cereb. Cortex* **22**, 1–12 (2012).
105. Sowell, E. R., Thompson, P. M., Holmes, C. J., Jernigan, T. L. & Toga, A. W. In vivo
evidence for post-adolescent brain maturation in frontal and striatal regions [1]. *Nature*
Neuroscience **2**, 859–861 (1999).
106. Oldehinkel, A. J. *et al.* Cohort profile update: The tracking adolescents' individual lives
survey (TRAILS). *Int. J. Epidemiol.* **44**, 76–76n (2015).
107. Ormel, J. *et al.* Mental health in Dutch adolescents: A TRAILS report on prevalence,
severity, age of onset, continuity and co-morbidity of DSM disorders. *Psychol. Med.* **45**,
345–360 (2015).
734