Different subtypes of influenza A viruses target different human proteins and pathways

leading to different pathogenic phenotypes

Yujie Wang[1,2*], Ting Song[1*], Kaiwu Li[1], Yuan Jin[1], Junjie Yue[1§], Hongguang Ren[1§], Long Liang[1§].

[1]State Key Laboratory of Pathogen and Biosecurity, Beijing Institute of Biotechnology, Beijing 100071,

China

[2]Institute of Physical Science and Information Technology, Anhui University, Hefei, Anhui 230601,

China

[*]These authors contributed equally to this work.

[§]Correspondence: bioren@163.com (H.R.); ll@bmi.ac.cn (L.L.); yue_junjie@126.com (J.Y.)

10   **Abstract**

Different subtypes of Influenza A viruses cause different pathogenic phenotypes

after infecting human bodies. Direct binary interactions between viral proteins and

human proteins provide an important background for influenza viruses to cause complex

pathologies of hosts. Here, we demonstrated the different impacts on the TNF-α-induced

NF-κB activation of H1N1 and H5N1 virus proteins. By further examining the virus-host

protein-protein interactions (PPI), we found that the same segment protein of the H1N1

and H5N1 viruses target on different host proteins. We then performed a yeast

two-hybrid analysis of a highly pathogenic avian H5N1 influenza virus and human

proteins. Influenza-host protein-protein interaction networks of three strains of

influenza A viruses (including two other reported influenza-host PPI networks) were

systematically compared and mapped on the network level and the pathway level. The

results show subtype-specific characters of the influenza-host protein interactome,

which may response for the specific pathogenic mechanisms of different subtypes of

influenza viruses.

Importance

Influenza A virus (IAV) can cause contagious respiratory illness, namely influenza (flu).

The symptoms of infections from different subtypes of IAVs vary from mild to severe

illness. The mechanism of these different pathogenic phenotypes remains poorly

understood. Our results show that the same NA and NP segments from H1N1 and H5N1

virus cause different impacts on the TNF-α-induced NF-κB pathway. Furthermore, we

generated a yeast two-hybrid protein-protein interaction (PPI) network between H5N1

and human proteins. By systematically comparing the influenza-host PPI networks of

three strains of IAVs, we show that different subtypes of IAVs target different human

proteins and pathways, which may have led to different pathogenic phenotypes.

Introduction

Influenza A virus (IAV) belongs to the Orthomyxoviridae family. Its genome consists

of eight segmented, negative-strand RNA [1-3]. IAV are further typed into many different

subtypes based on the antigenicity of hemagglutinin (HA) and the neuraminidase (NA)

[4]. Currently, 18 HA(H1-H18) and 11 NA (N1-N11) subtypes have been identified in IAVs

[5]. Aquatic birds are considered to be the natural reservoirs of IAVs and the source of all

IAVs in other animals such as human, poultry, wild birds, swine, equine and so on [6-8].

Widespread hosts, the lack of proofreading activity of the viral polymerase during

genome replication and the segmented nature of genome enable IAVs rapidly evolve

though the accumulation of mutations and genome reassortment [9, 10], leaving an

increasing evolution diversity of the IAV and constantly emerged novel IAVs [11], which

poses a serious threat to human lives and economic development.

Different viruses may have evolved various mechanisms to co-opt host processes

and suppress host defenses, inducing different infectious phenotypic outcomes. H1N1 is

one of the main seasonal IAVs, which are annually recurrent and are mutually infectious

among human population[12, 13]. Highly pathogenic (HP) avian influenza virus (AIV)

H5N1 have jumped host barriers and caused human infections since 1997 [14].

According to the monitoring report from WHO, H5N1 AIVs have resulted in 860 human

infections and 453 deaths as of November 1, 2018 [15]. Constantly reported sporadic

human infectious with H5N1 and its high mortality warn us that H5N1 AIV may cause

serious epidemic worldwide. It is necessary to improve the epidemiological surveillance

and the research of pathogenic mechanism on these important subtypes of influenza

viruses.

Both H1N1 and H5N1 influenza viruses have posed tremendous health threats in

many regions worldwide, but their transmissibility, virulence and fatality are quite

different [16]. Although characterized by high transmissibility, the virulence and fatality

of the H1N1 influenza virus are relatively low. The reverse holds true for H5N1 influenza.

With a fatality rate that exceeds 60%, it is known to cause severe damage to the human

respiratory system, but the virus is not presently capable of efficient transmission from

human to human.

An important step to understand the pathogenesis of the pathogens is to

investigate the protein-protein interactions between the host and pathogens. Influenza

A viruses infect hosts through complex mechanisms, among which direct binary

interactions between influenza A viral proteins and host proteins play an important role

during the process influenza viruses invade hosts. The innate immune system is the first

barrier to prevent pathogen invasion. The NF-κB signaling pathway is an important part

of the innate immune system, which plays a key role in the induction of the innate

immune response against viral infection. The important role of NF-κB signaling pathway

in resisting virus invasion makes it a hot topic in current research [17-20]. In mammals,

there are two major NF-kB signaling pathways: the canonical NF-kB pathway and the

noncanonical NF-kB pathway [21, 22]. Under the stimulation of pathogenic microbial

infections or their induced inflammatory factors, NF-κB is normally activated by classical

signaling pathways [23]. Briefly, NF-κB dimers are retained in the cytoplasm by binding to

inhibitory IκB proteins, binding of certain ligands and cell surface receptors recruits

downstream adaptor proteins, then they recruit IKK complexes and lead to the activation

of IKK complexes [23, 24]. IKK complexes, especially the IKKβ subunit, phosphorylate two

serine residues of the IκB protein, resulting in ubiquitination and proteasome-dependent

degradation of the IκB protein, which releasing NF-κB into the nucleus to activate

downstream gene transcription [25, 26]. The non-canonical NF-κB signaling pathway

mainly activates the signal molecule NIK kinase, which in turn phosphorylates a complex

containing two IKKα subunits [27]. The IKKα complex phosphorylates and cleaves p100,

thereby promoting the form of p52/RelB dimerization which then activates transcription

of specific genes [28, 29].

Diverse bacterial and viral pathogens target NF-κB signaling pathway to evade host

immune defenses. Some viruses suppress NF-κB activation to dampen the host immune

responses to maintain latency [30]. For example, human bocavirus (HBoV) proteins NS1

and NS1-70 [31], the mumps virus (MuV) small hydrophobic protein (SH) [32] and

Molluscum contagiosum virus (MCV) protein MC005 [33] inhibit TNF-α-mediated

activation of NF-κB by reducing the phosphorylation of IKKβ, IκBα, and p65 as well as the

translocation of p65 into the nucleus. Some viral pathogens activate NF-κB for viral gene

expression, replication and spread. For example, the K15 protein of KSHV and the early

protein Nef of most primate lentiviruses enhances NF-κB activation to initiate proviral

transcription [34, 35]. Influenza A viruses can also interfere with antiviral responses by

regulating the NF-κB signaling pathway. Studies have shown that influenza virus proteins

interact with NF-κB to promote viral replication. For example, the IAV NS1 protein

specifically inhibits IKK-mediated NF-κB activation and production of the NF-κB induced

antiviral genes by physically interacting with IKK through the C-terminal effector domain

[36].

In this paper, we used the luciferase assay and the Co-immunoprecipitation

experiment to study how the NP and NA viral proteins of both HIN1 and H5N1 interact

with NF-κB signaling pathway. We found that the H1N1 NP has little impact on the

TNF-α-induced NF-κB transcriptional activation while the H5N1 NP inhibits the

TNF-α-induced NF-κB transcriptional activation by coimmunoprecipitating with IKKα.

Furthermore, the H5N1 NP suppresses the phosphorylation of IκBα, IKKα as well as the

translocation of p65 into the nucleus of infected A293 cells. We also found that the

H5N1 NA actives the IL-1β-induced NF-κB transcriptional activation by

coimmunoprecipitating with TAB2, while H1N1 NA inhibits the IL-1β-induced NF-κB

transcriptional activation by coimmunoprecipitating with IKKβ.

Then, we used a yeast two-hybrid approach to generate an influenza-host

protein-protein interaction network for a highly pathogenic avian H5N1 influenza virus

A/Goose/Jilin/hb/2003. In order to investigate the pathogenic conservations and

distinctions for different influenza virus subtypes, we introduced two other previously

reported influenza-host PPI networks (the H1N1 strain A/PR/8/34 and the H3N2 strain

A/Udorn/72) [37]. We systematically mapped and compared the pathogen-host protein

interactions between proteins from three strains of different influenza A virus subtypes

and human proteins. By identifying shared and distinct host-pathogen protein

interaction patterns for these influenza A viruses, we enlightened how they exploit

distinctive strategies to subvert cellular pathways toward disease progression.

Results

1 H5N1 NP and H1N1 NP have different impacts on TNF-α-induced NF-κB activation.

Nucleoprotein (NP) is an important component of ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complex

playing crucial role in influenza virus life cycle together with other polymerase proteins

(PB1 and PA). At the onset of replication, NP functions as an adapter between the virus

and host cell processes to maintain the viral genome integrity. After release of RNP into

the cell cytoplasm, NP interacts with many macromolecules of cellular origin to facilitate

transcription, replication and translation of viral genome [6, 38].

TNF-α plays an important role in host defense against viral infection. During the IAV

infection, the elevated level of TNF-α is detected in serum. To determine the impact of

NP on the activation of the NF-κB pathway, we evaluated the impact of the H5N1 and

H1N1 NP coding sequence on NF-κB activation by using an NF-κB promoter reporter

system (Fig. 1A, Fig. 1B). Those results show that H5N1 NP inhibits TNF-α-induced NF-κB

transcriptional activation while the H1N1 NP has little impact on it, which confirming

that H5N1 NP has an inhibitory effect on NF-κB signaling pathway, but the specific site

and mechanism remain unclear. Then we searched for potential targets through the

luciferase assay. Those results (Fig. S1) show that the potential targets of H5N1 NP on

the NF-κB signaling pathway are IKKα and the complex of TAK. To decide the exact target

of H5N1 NP, we investigated whether the H5N1 NP protein physically interacts with IKKα

and the complex of TAK by the essay of Co-Immunoprecipitation. The results (Fig. 1C, Fig.

1D) show that there are protein-protein interactions between H5N1 NP and TAK1 and

IKKα in cells, indicating that the H5N1 NP inhibits the NF-kB signaling pathway by

targeting TAK1 and IKKα.

We then examined whether H5N1 NP can modulate its activation. The activation of

IKKα by phosphorylation is required to the phosphorylation of IκB. We tested whether

H5N1 NP played a role in inhibiting the phosphorylation of the IKKα. The results show

that the level of phosphor-IKKα in empty vector-transfected cells was more than that in

H5N1 NP-expressing cells (Fig. 1E), indicating that the H5N1 NP protein suppresses

TNF-α-mediated IKKα phosphorylation.

2 H5N1 NA and H1N1 NA have different impacts on IL-1β-induced NF-κB activation.

Neuraminidase（NA）is an integral membrane glycoprotein and a second major

surface antigen of the virion. NA cleaves terminal sialic acid from glycoproteins or

glycolipids. Thus, it functions to free virus particles from host cell receptors, to permit

progeny virions to escape from the cell in which they arose, and so facilitate virus spread

[6, 10].

We studied the differences in the impacts of the NA proteins of H5N1 and H1N1 NA

on the NF-κB signaling pathways using a similar method through an NF-κB promoter

reporter system. Reporter plasmid p NF-κB-luc and internal control plasmid pRL-TK,

together with pH5N1 NA and pH1N1 NA or empty vector, were cotransfected into 293T

cells. At 24h post-transfection, cells were mock-treated or treated with human IL-1β for

6h. The H5N1 NA clone significantly promoted IL-1β-stimulated NF-κB promoter activity

(Fig. 2A) while H1N1 NA has inhibitory impact on it (Fig. 2B). This result indicates that

H5N1 NA promotes IL-1β-induced NF-κB transcriptional activation while H1N1 NP

inhibits it.

The potential target of H5N1 NA on the NF-κB signaling pathway is the complex of

TAK (Fig. S2) while the potential targets of H1N1 NA on the NF-κB signaling pathway are

TAB2 and IKKβ (Fig. S3). Then we also studied the difference of interaction sites between

H5N1 NA and H1N1 NA with the NF-κB signaling pathway by the essay of

Co-Immunoprecipitation. The result is that H5N1 NA interacts with NF-κB signaling

pathway at TAB2 (Fig. 2C) while H1N1 NA interact with NF-κB signaling pathway at IKKβ

(Fig. 2D), which demonstrate that H5N1 NA and H1N1 NA have different sites that

interact with the NF-κB signaling pathway.

3 Identification of Human Factors that Interacts with Influenza Viruses

Then we seek to find out the virus-host relations of different subtypes of IAVs on a

larger scale by comparing the influenza-host PPI networks. We used a yeast two-hybrid

(Y2H) approach to identify direct binary interactions between proteins of an H5N1 strain

and human proteins. One hundred and seventy-seven pairwise interactions between the

8 viral proteins and the 140 human proteins were detected. (Fig. 3, Table S1).

Two previous reported influenza-host PPI network [37] were introduced in this

paper for comprehensively comparison of networks. The first network contains 135

pairwise interactions between the H1N1 strain A/Human/PR/8/34 ("PR8") and 87 human

proteins, and the second network covers 81 pairwise of interactions between the H3N2

strain A/Human/Udorn/72 ("Udorn") and 66 human proteins. Both of these two

pathogen-host networks were collected by using the Y2H approach. Our comparison and

network mapping are based on these three influenza-host PPI networks.

We generated a human protein-protein interaction network based on the BioGRID

interaction database [39], which contains 127950 interactions among 14924 human

proteins (only the physical binary interactions are selected). We define the proteins in

the human interaction network that directly interact with the influenza viruses as N1

proteins, and first neighbors of the N1 proteins are defined as N2 proteins. To quantify

the importance or the essentiality of proteins in the human PPI network, we use the

degree centrality and a new centrality measurement (named as NC) based on edge

clustering coefficient [40].

The network topological analysis shows that the viral proteins have a greater

average degree in the influenza-host interaction network compared to that of human

proteins in the human interaction network (Table 1). The N1 proteins and N2 proteins of

influenza viruses on average interact with more proteins in the human interaction

network than the others.

Based on the other centrality measure NC, both the N1 and N2 proteins of all the

three stains of influenza viruses score higher than the average score of the proteins in

the human interaction network, especially the N1 proteins of the H5N1 virus. In [41], the

authors proposed that pathogens may have evolved to interact with human proteins

that are hubs (those involved in many interactions) or bottlenecks (those central to

many pathways) [42] to disrupt key proteins in complexes and pathways. Our results also

support this hypothesis. The connection patterns above hold for all the three

influenza-host interaction networks, which implying that an influenza virus as a very

compacted pathogen has to maximum its function by interacting with many important

proteins in the hosts.

4 Different subtypes of influenza viruses show different virus-host interacting

patterns

Although all the three strains of influenza viruses share many topological characteristics

in the influenza-host interaction network, the direct interactors (N1 proteins) of different

subtypes of influenza viruses do not actually overlap much (Fig. 4A). Only 6 proteins

(Table 2) are shared by all the three strains as direct interactors. Table 2 has listed all the

6 proteins and their viral interactors of different subtypes of influenza viruses. Although

all the three strains of influenza viruses target directly at these six human proteins, the

viral proteins they used are different. For example, the viral interactor of the TRAF1

protein in the H5N1 strain is PB1, while the PR8 strain uses two different viral proteins

(NP, PB2) to interact with TRAF1.

Despite the three influenza viruses share few direct interactors, they have much

more in common at the N2 protein level (Figure 4B). In total, there are 829 proteins

shared by all the three influenza viruses.

We then mapped the host interactors to pathways that may involve in the infection

of influenza viruses. After filtering, four classes of pathways in the KEGG database [43]

were considered: the immune system pathways, the cell growth and death pathways,

the signal transduction pathways and pathways about inflammation. In total, sixty-five

pathways were selected (Table S2).

Fifty-five out of sixty-five pathways have shown to be in contact with one or more

strains of influenza viruses (Fig. 5), which prove the efficiency of our selection in

canonical pathways to consider. These include some previously reported pathways that

are related to influenza infection such as NF-kB, apoptosis, Wnt/β-catenin and MAPK

pathways. There are twenty-two out of sixty-five pathways shown to be involved in the

infection of all the three strains of influenza viruses.

Different subtypes of influenza viruses, though have few direct host interactors in

common, show much in common at the indirect interactor level (N2 proteins) and the

targeted pathway level. This fact implies that influenza viruses infect hosts by targeting

functional modules or protein complex in the host PPI network rather than focusing on

specific proteins to bind. More details of the relationships between influenza viruses and

host pathways show that different influenza viruses use different viral proteins to target

different host proteins in the pathways (Table S2).

Discussion

During the course of an influenza virus infection, viral proteins interact with an array

of host proteins and pathways, which defines the viral-host relationships. Different

viral-host relationships lead to different pathogenesis of the virus. Human may be

infected by several subtypes of influenza viruses, including H1N1, H3N2, H5N1, H7N9,

H9N2. However, the symptoms of these infections vary from mild to severe illness. In

this paper, we tried to uncover the subtype-specific viral-host relationships by examining

the effects of viral proteins on the NF-κB signaling pathways. Furthermore, we

comprehensively compared the influenza-host PPI networks of three strains of virus in

different subtypes, including one that we constructed using the Y2H approach. The

results imply that different subtypes of influenza A virus use different viral proteins to

target on different human proteins and human cellular pathways, leading to different

pathogenic phenotypes.

NF-κB is critical for innate immune defense to cope with invading microbial

pathogens. Once there are viruses invading organisms, the host will manipulate the

NF-κB signaling pathway to clean up them. To survive, the microbial pathogens have

evolved various strategies to make use of the NF-κB signaling pathway to escape the

innate immune of the host. Influenza viruses can also manipulate the NF-κB signaling

pathway to promote their survival and replication. Interaction between influenza virus

and NF-κB signaling pathway is essential for its pathogenesis.

H1N1 and H5N1 influenza viruses both have the nucleoprotein and neuraminidase,

but their transmissibility, virulence and fatality are quite different [16]. Through our

studies, we found H5N1 NP inhibits TNF-α-induced NF-κB transcriptional activation while

the H1N1 NP has little impact on it and H5N1 NA promotes IL-1β-induced NF-κB

transcriptional activation while H1N1 NP inhibits it. The results show subtype-specific

characters of the influenza-host protein interactome, which may response for the

specific pathogenic mechanisms of different subtypes of influenza viruses.

We systematically compared and mapped the influenza-host PPI network of three

stains of influenza viruses. Human interactors of different subtypes of influenza viruses

have shown to be of similar network topological parameters in the human PPI network.

Unlike the other two strains of viruses (PR8, Udorn), which are human-hosted, the highly

pathogenic avian H5N1 influenza virus used in this paper is originally an avian influenza

virus. This may explain the obvious differences in direct interactors and affected

pathways. The H5N1 strain tends to have more interactions with human proteins and

affect more cellular pathways than the other two strains. This implies that when avian

influenza viruses managed to cross the host barriers infect human, the interactions

between them are usually intense. However, for the viruses that have been circulating

for a long time in human, like H1N1 and H3N2 influenza viruses, the interactions

between the invader and the host become weaker.

The conservative patterns for all the three strains of influenza viruses at the N2

protein level and the pathway level highlight that pathogens have some common

attacking patterns to infect human. Some pathways that are related to all the three

strains of viruses are selected. The viral proteins employed to target at these conserved

pathways are different for different viruses, suggesting more subtype-specific pathogenic

mechanisms need more research work.

Materials and Methods

1. Antibodies and Reagents.

Antibodies for IκBα, α-Tubulin, Flag, HA, MyC, phospho-IκBα and phospho-IKKα

were purchased from Cell Signaling. Cell culture products were from Invitrogen and all

other chemicals were Sigma-Aldrich products unless noted.

2. Cell Culture, Transfection, and Luciferase Reporter Assays.

293T (human embryonic kidney) cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's

medium (DMEM, Gibco) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum

(FBS, Gibco), 2mM L-glutamine, 100U/mL penicillin, and 100mg/mL streptomycin.

Transient transfections were performed with Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according

to the manufacturer's instructions.

Luciferase activity was determined by using the dual luciferase assay kit (Promega)

according to the manufacturer's instructions.

3. Immunoprecipitation and Immunoblotting

Immunoprecipitation was performed using IP buffer (1% NP40, 50mM Tris-HCl [pH

7.5], 150mM NaCl, and CompleteTM protease inhibitor cocktail-EDTA (Roche)). Whole

cell extracts were prepared after transfection and incubated with indicated antibodies

together with Protein A/G beads (Roche) overnight. Beads were then washed 4 times

with IP buffer, and immunoprecipitates were eluted with SDS loading buffer (TransGen

Biotech) and resolved in SDS-PAGE gels. The proteins were transferred to PVDF

membrane (Bio-Rad) and further incubated with the indicated antibodies. The

antigen-antibody complexes were visualized by the ImmubilonTM chemiluminescent

detection kit (Millipore).

4.Statistical Analysis.

Analyses were done with the statistical software SAS/STAT. Data analysis over time

was undertaken by repeated-measures analysis with SAS/STAT. Differences were

considered statistically significant if the P value was <0.05.

5.Human Protein-Protein Interaction Network

We use all the protein-protein interaction for homo sapiens in the BioGRID

interaction database. The database version is 3.2.106. We choose all the physical

interactions in the database, while the genetic interactions are excluded. In total, the PPI

network contains 127950 interactions among 14924 human proteins.

6.Protein Centrality Analyses

Based on the human PPI network constructed, we calculated the degree of each

node in the network. And the NC centrality based on edge clustering coefficient are

calculated by using the following equation:

324
$$NC(v) = \sum_{u \in N_v} \frac{z_{v,u}}{\min(d_v - 1, d_u - 1)} \quad [40],$$

325 where $z_{v,u}$ denotes the number of triangles that include the edge actually in the

network, d_u and d_v are degrees of node u and node v, and N_v denotes the set of all neighbors of node v.

7.KEGG Pathways

We download the KGML files at the KEGG pathway database for the pathways belongs to the following categories: immune system pathways, the cell growth and death pathways and the signal transduction pathways and pathways about inflammation. Then, we parse these KGML files by using python programs to obtain the genes that participated in each pathway.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31800136), Beijing Nova Program (Z171100001117120), Independent Research Project from State Key Laboratory of Pathogen and Biosecurity (SKLPBS1807) and Military Medical Science and Technology Youth Development Program (18QNP037).

Author contributions

Hongguang Ren, Long Liang and Junjie Yue conceived and supervised the study. Ting Song and Hongguang Ren designed the experiments. Yujie Wang, Ting Song and Kaiwu Li performed the experiments. Hongguang Ren and Yuan Jin analyzed the data. Hongguang Ren and Yujie Wang wrote the manuscript. Yujie Wang and Ting Song contributed equally to this work.

Reference

1. Nelson, M.I. and A.L. Vincent, *Reverse zoonosis of influenza to swine: new perspectives on the human-animal interface.* Trends in microbiology, 2015. **23**(3): p. 142-153.

2. Gerber, M., et al., *Selective packaging of the influenza A genome and consequences for genetic reassortment.* Trends Microbiol, 2014. **22**(8): p. 446-55.

3. Skehel, J.J. and A.J. Hay, *Influenza Virus Transcription.* Journal of General Virology, 1978. **39**(1): p. 1-8.

4. Lamb, R.A. and R.M. Krug, *In Fields Virology 4th edn*, ed. B.N. eds Fields, Knipe, D. M. & Howley, P. M. 2001: Lippincott-Raven, Philadelphia.

5. Tong, S., et al., *New World Bats Harbor Diverse Influenza A Viruses.* PLOS Pathogens, 2013. **9**(10): p. e1003657.

6. Webster, R.G., et al., *Evolution and ecology of influenza A viruses.* Microbiological reviews, 1992. **56**(1): p. 152-179.

7. Horimoto, T. and Y. Kawaoka, *Influenza: lessons from past pandemics, warnings from current incidents.* Nature Reviews Microbiology, 2005. **3**: p. 591.

8. Zhou, J., et al., *Characterization of the H5N1 highly pathogenic avian influenza virus derived from wild pikas in China.* J Virol, 2009. **83**(17): p. 8957-64.

9. Westgeest, K.B., et al., *Genomewide analysis of reassortment and evolution of human influenza A(H3N2) viruses circulating between 1968 and 2011.* J Virol,

2014. **88**(5): p. 2844-57.

10.   Petrova, V.N. and C.A. Russell, *The evolution of seasonal influenza viruses.* Nat

Rev Microbiol, 2018. **16**(1): p. 47-60.

11.   Su, S., et al., *Epidemiology, Evolution, and Recent Outbreaks of Avian Influenza*

Virus in China. Journal of Virology, 2015. **89**(17): p. 8671.

12.   Reid, A.H., et al., *Origin and evolution of the 1918 "Spanish" influenza virus*

hemagglutinin gene. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 1999.

96(4): p. 1651.

13.   Smith, G.J.D., et al., *Origins and evolutionary genomics of the 2009 swine-origin*

H1N1 influenza A epidemic. Nature, 2009. **459**: p. 1122.

14.   Yuen, K.Y., et al., *Clinical features and rapid viral diagnosis of human disease*

associated with avian influenza A H5N1 virus. Lancet, 1998. **351**(9101): p. 467-71.

15.   WHO, *Cumulative number of confirmed human cases for avian influenza A(H5N1)*

reported to WHO. 2018. **1 November 2018**.

16.   Simon, P.F., et al., *Highly Pathogenic H5N1 and Novel H7N9 Influenza A Viruses*

Induce More Profound Proteomic Host Responses than Seasonal and Pandemic

H1N1 Strains. Journal of Proteome Research, 2015. **14**(11): p. 4511-4523.

17.   Hayden, M.S. and S. Ghosh, *Shared principles in NF-kappaB signaling.* Cell, 2008.

132(3): p. 344-62.

18.   Kobayashi, T. and R. Kageyama, *Dynamic advances in NF-kappaB signaling*

analysis. Sci Signal, 2009. **2**(81): p. pe47.

19.   Shih, R.-H., C.-Y. Wang, and C.-M. Yang, *NF-kappaB Signaling Pathways in*

Neurological Inflammation: A Mini Review. Frontiers in Molecular Neuroscience,

2015. **8**: p. 77.

20.   Hayden, M.S. and S. Ghosh, *Signaling to NF-κB.* Genes & Development, 2004.

18(18): p. 2195-2224.

21.   Zheng, J.W., et al., *NF-kappaB/P65 signaling pathway: a potential therapeutic*

target in postoperative cognitive dysfunction after sevoflurane anesthesia. Eur

Rev Med Pharmacol Sci, 2017. **21**(2): p. 394-407.

22.   Sun, S.-C., *The non-canonical NF-κB pathway in immunity and inflammation.*

Nature Reviews Immunology, 2017. **17**: p. 545.

23.   Vallabhapurapu, S. and M. Karin, *Regulation and Function of NF-κB Transcription*

Factors in the Immune System. Annual Review of Immunology, 2009. **27**(1): p.

693-733.

24.   Denk, A., et al., *Activation of NF-κB via the IκB Kinase Complex Is Both Essential*

and Sufficient for Proinflammatory Gene Expression in Primary Endothelial Cells.

Journal of Biological Chemistry, 2001. **276**(30): p. 28451-28458.

25.   Durand, J.K. and A.S. Baldwin, *Chapter Three - Targeting IKK and NF-κB for*

Therapy, in *Advances in Protein Chemistry and Structural Biology*, R. Donev,

Editor. 2017, Academic Press. p. 77-115.

26.   Kondylis, V., et al., *The interplay of IKK, NF-kappaB and RIPK1 signaling in the*

regulation of cell death, tissue homeostasis and inflammation. Immunol Rev,

2017. **277**(1): p. 113-127.

27.   Brightbill, H.D., et al., *Conditional Deletion of NF-κB–Inducing Kinase (NIK) in*

Adult Mice Disrupts Mature B Cell Survival and Activation. The Journal of

Immunology, 2015. **195**(3): p. 953.

28.   Duncan, M.C., et al., *Bacterial internalization is required to trigger NIK-dependent*

NF-κB activation in response to the bacterial type three secretion system. PLOS

ONE, 2017. **12**(2): p. e0171406.

29.   Cildir, G., K.C. Low, and V. Tergaonkar, *Noncanonical NF-kappaB Signaling in*

Health and Disease. Trends Mol Med, 2016. **22**(5): p. 414-429.

30.   Rahman, M.M. and G. McFadden, *Modulation of NF-kappaB signalling by*

microbial pathogens. Nat Rev Microbiol, 2011. **9**(4): p. 291-306.

31.   Liu, Q., et al., *Human Bocavirus NS1 and NS1-70 Proteins Inhibit*

TNF-alpha-Mediated Activation of NF-kappaB by targeting p65. Sci Rep, 2016. **6**:

p. 28481.

32.   Franz, S., et al., *Mumps Virus SH Protein Inhibits NF-kappaB Activation by*

Interacting with Tumor Necrosis Factor Receptor 1, Interleukin-1 Receptor 1, and

Toll-Like Receptor 3 Complexes. J Virol, 2017. **91**(18).

33.   Brady, G., et al., *Molluscum Contagiosum Virus Protein MC005 Inhibits*

NF-kappaB Activation by Targeting NEMO-Regulated IkappaB Kinase Activation. J

Virol, 2017. **91**(15).

34.   Brinkmann, M.M., et al., *Modulation of host gene expression by the K15 protein*

of Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus. J Virol, 2007. **81**(1): p. 42-58.

35.   Dufrasne, F.E., et al., *Modulation of the NF-kappaB signaling pathway by the*

HIV-2 envelope glycoprotein and its incomplete BST-2 antagonism. Virology, 2018.

513: p. 11-16.

36.   Gao, S., et al., *Influenza A virus-encoded NS1 virulence factor protein inhibits*

innate immune response by targeting IKK. Cell Microbiol, 2012. **14**(12): p.

1849-66.

37.   Shapira, S.D., et al., *A Physical and Regulatory Map of Host-Influenza Interactions*

Reveals Pathways in H1N1 Infection. Cell, 2009. **139**(7): p. 1255-1267.

38.   Portela, A.n. and P. Digard, *The influenza virus nucleoprotein: a multifunctional*

RNA-binding protein pivotal to virus replication. Journal of General Virology,

2002. **83**(4): p. 723-734.

39.   Stark, C., et al., *BioGRID: a general repository for interaction datasets.* Nucleic

Acids Res, 2006. **34**(Database issue): p. D535-9.

40.   Wang, J., et al., *Identification of essential proteins based on edge clustering*

coefficient. IEEE/ACM Trans Comput Biol Bioinform, 2012. **9**(4): p. 1070-80.

41.   Dyer, M.D., T.M. Murali, and B.W. Sobral, *The landscape of human proteins*

interacting with viruses and other pathogens. PLoS Pathog, 2008. **4**(2): p. e32.

42.   Yu, H., et al., *The importance of bottlenecks in protein networks: correlation with*

gene essentiality and expression dynamics. PLoS Comput Biol, 2007. **3**(4): p. e59.

43.   Kanehisa, M. and S. Goto, *KEGG: kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes.*

Nucleic Acids Res, 2000. **28**(1): p. 27-30.

Figure Legends

Figure. 1 H5N1 NP and H1N1 NP have different impacts on TNF-α-induced NF-κB

activation. Fig. 1A and Fig. 1B 293T cells in 24-well plates were cotransfected with 125

ng pNF-κ B-luc, 25 ng pRL-TK and indicated amount of H5N1 NP or H1N1 NP

expression plasmid, or empty vector for 24 h. Cells were then mock-treated or treated

with TNF-α (10 ng/ml) for 6 h. Reporter activity was determined by dual-luciferase

reporter assays. The resultant ratios were normalized to the fold-change value by that of

TNF-α -untreated cells cotransfected with empty vector, pNF-κ B-luc and pRL-TK. Fig.

1C 293T cells were cotransfected with indicated amount of H5N1 NP and TAK1

expression plasmid, or empty vector for 24 h. Whole cell extracts were prepared by NP40

lysates and incubated with HA antibodies together with Protein A/G beads for 2 h. Beads

were then washed 4 times with IP buffer, and immunoprecipitates were eluted with SDS

loading buffer and resolved in SDS-PAGE gels. The proteins were transferred to PVDF

membrane and further incubated with the indicated antibodies. Fig. 1D 293T cells were

cotransfected with indicated amount of H5N1 NP and IKKα expression plasmid, or

empty vector for 24 h. Whole cell extracts were prepared by NP40 lysates and incubated

with MyC antibodies together with Protein A/G beads for 2 h. Beads were then washed 4

times with IP buffer, and immunoprecipitates were eluted with SDS loading buffer and

resolved in SDS-PAGE gels. The proteins were transferred to PVDF membrane and

further incubated with the indicated antibodies. Fig. 1E 293T cells were cotransfected

with H5N1 NP or empty vector for 24 h. Cells were then mock-treated or treated with

TNF-α (10 ng/ml) for 6 h. The cells were eluted with SDS loading buffer and resolved in

SDS-PAGE gels. The proteins were transferred to PVDF membrane and further incubated

with the IKKα phosphorylation antibodies.

Figure. 2 H5N1 NA and H1N1 NA have different impacts on TNF-α-induced NF-κB

activation. Fig. 2A and Fig. 2B 293T cells in 24-well plates were cotransfected with 125

ng pNF-κ B-luc, 25 ng pRL-TK and indicated amount of H5N1 NA or H1N1 NA

expression plasmid, or empty vector for 24 h. Cells were then mock-treated or treated

with IL-1β (10 ng/ml) for 6 h. Reporter activity was determined by dual-luciferase

reporter assays. The resultant ratios were normalized to the fold-change value by that of

IL-1β -untreated cells cotransfected with empty vector, pNF-κ B-luc and pRL-TK. Fig.

2C 293T cells were cotransfected with indicated amount of H5N1 NA and TAB2

expression plasmid, or empty vector for 24 h. Whole cell extracts were prepared by NP40

lysates and incubated with MyC antibodies together with Protein A/G beads for 2 h.

Beads were then washed 4 times with IP buffer, and immunoprecipitates were eluted with

SDS loading buffer and resolved in SDS-PAGE gels. The proteins were transferred to

PVDF membrane and further incubated with the indicated antibodies. Fig. 2D 293T cells

were cotransfected with indicated amount of H1N1 NA and IKKβ expression plasmid, or

empty vector for 24 h. Whole cell extracts were prepared by NP40 lysates and incubated

with MyC antibodies together with Protein A/G beads for 2 h. Beads were then washed 4

times with IP buffer, and immunoprecipitates were eluted with SDS loading buffer and resolved in SDS-PAGE gels. The proteins were transferred to PVDF membrane and further incubated with the indicated antibodies.

Figure 3. Influenza-Human PPI interactions of an H5N1 virus. Each cricle represents a protein, green represents viral proteins and red represents host proteins. The interactions between influenza proteins and the human proteins are indicated by lines connecting the circles.

Figure 4. Venn Graphs of (A) N1 proteins and (B) N2 proteins for the three strains of influenza viruses.

Figure 5. Viral proteins of the three strains of influenza viruses are shown with their direct interactors' membership in the selected 65 pathways. Each shaded square in the figure represent the corresponding viral protein in the vertical direction interacts with some human proteins involved in the pathway in the horizontal direction.

Figure. S1 The potential targets of H5N1 NP on the NF-κB signaling pathway are IKKα and the complex of TAK. 293T cells in 24-well plates were cotransfected with 125 ng pNF-κ B-luc, 25 ng pRL-TK and indicated amount of H5N1 NP expression plasmid, or empty vector for 24 h. Cells were cotransfected with TRAF2, TAK1 and TAB1, TAB2, IKKα, IKKβ, respectively. Reporter activity was determined by dual-luciferase reporter assays. The resultant ratios were normalized to the fold-change value by that of TRAF2, TAK1 and TAB1, TAB2, IKKα, IKKβ-untreated cells cotransfected with empty vector, pNF-κ B-luc and pRL-TK.

Figure. S2 The potential target of H5N1 NA on the NF-κB signaling pathway is the

complex of TAK. 293T cells in 24-well plates were cotransfected with 125 ng pNF-κ

B-luc, 25 ng pRL-TK and indicated amount of H5N1 NA expression plasmid, or empty

vector for 24 h. Cells were cotransfected with TRAF6, TAK1 and TAB1, TAB2, IKKα,

IKKβ, respectively. Reporter activity was determined by dual-luciferase reporter assays.

The resultant ratios were normalized to the fold-change value by that of TRAF6, TAK1

and TAB1, TAB2, IKKα, IKKβ-untreated cells cotransfected with empty vector, pNF-κ

B-luc and pRL-TK.

Figure. S3 The potential targets of H1N1 NA on the NF-κB signaling pathway are

TAB2 and IKKβ. 293T cells in 24-well plates were cotransfected with 125 ng pNF-κ

B-luc, 25 ng pRL-TK and indicated amount of H1N1 NA expression plasmid, or empty

vector for 24 h. Cells were cotransfected with TRAF6, TAK1 and TAB1, TAB2, IKKα,

IKKβ, respectively. Reporter activity was determined by dual-luciferase reporter assays.

The resultant ratios were normalized to the fold-change value by that of TRAF6, TAK1

and TAB1, TAB2, IKKα, IKKβ-untreated cells cotransfected with empty vector, pNF-κ

B-luc and pRL-TK.

Table S1. Viral-Host protein-protein interactions of the H5N1 virus

Table S2. Relations between the influenza A viruses and the selected pathways.

Figure 1

Figure 3

Figure 4

A

B

Figure 5