Measurement and self-operating computer of the leukocyte continuum as a fixed space–

time continuum in inflammation

Running title: Biomarker by smoothness equation and coordinate system

Yuusuke Nonomura

Nonomura Dental Clinic, National Yagata Bldg 2F, Nagoya City, Japan

To whom correspondence should be addressed:

Yuusuke Nonomura

Nonomura Dental Clinic, National Yagata Bldg 2F 3-6, Higasiyamatoori, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya

City, Aichi 4640807, Japan

Tel: +81-52-781-4185

Fax: +81-52-781-4185

E-mail: n-dent@tulip.ocn.ne.jp

Abstract

Motivation: No biomarkers and systems, including leukocyte count and flow cytometry, can be used to measure tissue injury for diagnosing inflammation. A fixed space–time continuum (SτC) biomarker can address this issue. A leukocyte continuum (LC) is a biomarker forming a SτC capable of measuring injury by operators and equations for a self-operating computation.

Results: A self-operating computer (SOC) LC as a water treatment for leukocyte(s) was generated using leukocyte(s). String leukocyte continuum (StrLC), single-layer leukocyte (SLL) and multilayer leukocyte continuum (MLC) were demonstrated in various LCs using an equation with a primitive-operator. In the SOC, the LC is the inflammation graph of the operation result. The relative differential equation (RDE) shows how to recognize the LC not as a 'model' in the conventional-other-operating-computer (cOOC), but as an actual arithmetic unit with a display unit. The SOC shows the essential nature in real time.

INTRODUCTION

An other-operating computer (OOC) is a computer comprising NAND and NOR silicon logic

chip that performs processing using algorithms on these circuits and displays graphs upon

analogue-to-digital (A/D) conversion of sample information. Conventional natural phenomena

are mainly analysed via cOOC, which considers the solution of the conventional

convergence-differential equation (CDE) as the core (Example S1). However, the cOOC cannot

calculate an absolute origin (AO) (singularity) at the boundary of multiple quadrants {an

example is the problem of inconsistency in the directional properties associated with the

equation-of-motion (IDP-EOM)}, different-object interference (AO) (singularity) (an example is

the prey and predator problem) (Britton, 2010; Hawkins, 1999; Krainov, 2002; Teramoto, 2009;

Thornley, 2007) and relative origin (RO) (singularity) (Saw, E.-W., et al., 2016). AO and RO do

not have smoothness (Text S1). Their singularities are the origin of the problems that follow (e.g.

non-calculable inflammation). Therefore, the conventional scientific method (e.g. Text S2-4,

Material S1) only has the OOC until the end of this paper.

However, a self-operating computer (SOC) is the phenomenon itself that is recognized

to be arithmetic circuit {by relative-differential equation: RDE (Definition S6)} with graphic

display function {D() or D(N())} unlike the OOC. In SOC RDE and OOC by SOC, AO and RO

(Definition S6.4) are calculable and smooth. {D() is an image device function (microscope, MRI,

CT, telescope, etc.); N() is the function indicating diffusion extent from tissue injury and that of

the samples (on glass slide) covered with a glass cover.

One example is the Navier–Stokes equation (NSE) generated using the RDE. The

observation image is D(RDE) or D(N(RDE)). When sampling a tissue injury and making a direct

observation, one directly observes the RDE arithmetic result. The SOC consists of the formation

of a fixed space–time continuum (SτC) by an observed object and from the RDE that shows the

SOC. The solution takes kOOC and zOOC. The SOC shows inflammation, elementary particle,

life, and new figure of the space–time continuum. Inflammation is one such example of the SOC.

The directional property that measures decomposed single cell, including cell injury, takes the

direction of modern pathology, and is indicated in a (blood) cell-counting system (Graham et al.,

2003), a cell measurement system as flow cytometry (FCM) (Cossarizza et al., 2017) and

leukocyte counts (Lcnt) (Saito, 2002; DACIE, 1975). This is defined as "cell (injury) element

theory" (CET). The method describes the royal road of the OOC consisting of decomposition

into element (cell) from a sample and analysis (operation processing) of the sample. Per cell

decomposition destroys the tissue structure (tissue injury). Cell injury cannot measure

inflammation, which is the best biomarker of an invasive disease. However, it can be measured

with tissue injury and understood by observations of tissue sections (Rubin, 2007). Therefore, in

the conventional system, the space–time continuum information of tissue injury is destroyed

because it decomposes into a cell and measured. The structure is also destroyed if leukocytes

from multi-tissue injury are mixed by the observer. Both cause the destruction of the structure,

especially statistical sampling. In modern pathology, the CET faces problems. However, the

leukocyte continuum (LC) as SτC as RDE, which realises the SOC, solves the problems of

structural bioinformatics and enables the measurement of local inflammation and tissue

information. The problems encountered are 1) lack of structure of bio-information, which can

measure the space of inflammation over time {problem of cell/tissue injury (cell/tissue

information) }; 2) destruction of the structure of bio-information by statistical sampling; 3) lack

of smoothness of differentiation; 4) problem of the simultaneous differential equation (SDE) (prey

and predator, etc.); and 5) the problem that cannot be visually quantified by a doctor.

SYSTEM AND METHODS

Materials

In my clinic, the LC was based on the finding that was incidentally discovered in the

microscopic examination of periodontitis (infection). Microbial culture and microscopic

examination to the infection were also performed in the clinic. {I have posted using the finding

for journal, and such as society, on the noticeboard of my clinic for progress of medical science

(Text S5).}

The LC had the SOC as the material. The SOC was built as a continuum formation by water

treatment of leukocyte(s) as an arithmetic circuit material. The RDE was the method used to read

the LC. Meanwhile, kOOC (OOC with Kyoku), zOOC (OOC with Zai) and kzOOC (OOC with

Kyoku and Zai) were the solutions used for the SOC. The RDE (Definition S6) is composed of

Zai and Kyoku, which was a primitive-operator (Definitions S1–6). In the microscopic

examination, a multicircle explorer (MCE; Isizuka Co., Ltd., Japan; Figure S1) was used to

sample the gingival crevicular fluid (GCF) using glass slides, cover glasses, a phase-contrast

microscope and a fluorescence microscope (Corporation Riken, Japan). The OOC used a

general-purpose PC, picture processing software and arithmetic software.

Methods

Conservative sampling, water operation and generation of the WSTLs/WTLs

The GCF from the periodontal pocket of patients with periodontitis was conservatively sampled

using an MCE (Figure S1) and transferred to glass slides. Accordingly, 20 µL of water (water

treatment of leukocyte: WTL) and 20 µL of acid red aqueous solution (0.1 wt.%) were added

(water solution treatment leukocyte: WSTL). The samples were covered with glass cover slips

and observed using microscope images {D()} (size: 720×480).

Through the water treatment, the mutual leukocytes combined together for a short distance

(Figures 1 and S4–S6) and formed the LC, which had SτC and τSC. Therefore, the water

treatment can prevent the destruction of the space–time structure of the leukocytes from the

tissue injury and the destruction by mixing of the leukocytes from the multi tissue-injury. The

size was assumed to be proportional to that of the tissue injury because larger leukocytes exist in

larger injuries. The larger cluster that exceeds that of a small tissue injury does not exist (Figures

1–5 and S2–7).

Time and phase condition of the WSTLs/WTLs

The active leukocytes (ALs; Figure 2), destructive leukocytes (DLs; Figure 3) and LC are

presented in Definition S7 (Figures 2–5).

SOC and OOC observation

Reading the SOC image is possible with the RDE (science eye) in the brain (i.e. the SOC

recognises the graph as a result of arithmetic, operation and calculation) (Definitions S1–7,

Method S1). The OOC was calculated using a common digital computer.

Algorithm

First algorithm of the arithmetic circuit creation

Circuit elements

A conventional computer consists of AND, OR and NOT gates in a logical circuit. This

leukocyte circuit (LC) as SτC consists of Zai and Kyoku (Definitions S1–S3). The

WSTLs/WTLs are Zai, and had an inner-space and inner-time. The inner-space herein is ΔN

(zero dimensional space; Po is the number described in Definitions S1, S3, and S7). The

inner-time is $\Delta\tau$ (Po is the fixed-time described in Definitions S1, S3 and S7). ZaiN and Zaiτ

($\Delta N/\Delta\tau$) consist of WSTLs/WTLs (Figures S4–7). 'N' is the number of cells {leukocyte(s) or

microorganism(s)}. t_n is the physical time. τ denotes the phase time by a biological activity time

(Figure S8, Definitions S1.6 and S7). The changes in the boundaries (as the membranes of cells

or nuclei) are the changes of the phase time (the main value of τ is '1'; $\tau = 1$). The boundary

defines the inner-Kyoku (iK; Definitions S1–S3). Zai constitutes a real coordinate system (RCS;

Figure 6 C3-RCS). C1 RCS and C2 RCS are the components, which did an inheritance

derivation from C3 RCS (Definitions S3.6.1 and S6.1 and Figure 6). n and m are the phase

values as positive integers (Definition S1). n is origin (relative or absolute), whilst m is

non-origin.

Circuit build

Considering whether leukocytes were immersed in water and near tissue injury, the leukocytes

were conservatively sampled by the MCE (Figure S1) and immediately immersed in water or

water solution (Figures 1, S2, and S3). The microscope images of these leukocytes were then

used to generate single-layer leukocytes (SLLs; Figures 2 and 3), string LC (StrLC; Figure 4) and

multilayer LC (MLC; Figure 5). These were equivalent to the arithmetic circuit itself, and could

be used as the RDE (Definitions S1–S7 and Figures S4–S7). In other words, the equation and the

operator(s) that elementised the nature formed the structure of the arithmetic circuit and the

resulting graph. The structure forms the arithmetic circuit and the resulting graph if the natural

phenomenon is constructed and built on certain conditions. Accordingly, it defined the SOC.

The LC in the SOC is defined as SτC. The LC in the OOC is defined as τSC (Definition

S7.2, Figure S7).

$$\frac{\Delta space}{\Delta \tau} \quad \text{(SOC) is } S\tau C. \qquad\qquad \text{Space} = f(\tau) \quad \text{(OOC) is } \tau SC.$$

The SOC denotes the microscope images that simultaneously provide information on

size and form and represent an RDE. In contrast, the OOC is the solution.

Various LCs as the SOC as the arithmetic circuit and OOC

StrLC

SOC

The StLC is the string LC, whose width $\emptyset$ is one WSTL/WTL. Therefore, the size of the tissue

injury, which it indicates, is $\emptyset$ (Figure 4, Table S3). Therefore, t_n denotes the physical time.

SOC1 (from C1-RDE)

The injury size is ($N = 1$), where N is fixed. Therefore, the equation uses time as a variable:

$$\left(\frac{{}_{n\text{-}m}\triangle_n \cdot 1}{{}_{n-m}\triangle_n \cdot t}\right) = \frac{{}_{n+S_1m}\triangle_n \cdot 1}{{}_{n+S_1m}\triangle_n \cdot t} = \frac{{}_{n+S_1m}\triangle_n \cdot N}{{}_{n+S_1m}\triangle_n \cdot t} = \frac{{}_{n+S_1m}\triangle_n \cdot N}{{}_{n+S_1m}\triangle_n \cdot {}_{n+S_1m}t_n}$$

153   A motion object without iK: DL (AL → DL):

$$\frac{{}_{n+S_1m}\triangle_n \cdot 1}{{}_{n+S_1m}\triangle_n \cdot t} \rightarrow \frac{S_1 \cdot 1}{{}_{n+S_1m}\triangle_n \cdot t} \qquad \left(\frac{\text{without iK}}{\text{with iK}}\right)$$

155   S_1 is defined in Definition S2.

156   *SOC2*

157   Tissue injury form: $D\left({}_{n\text{-}m}\triangle_n\right)$, equal to one WSTL/WTL circle form

158   You will observe StrLC as ${}_{n\text{-}m}\triangle_n$ in the microscopic image in $D\left({}_{n\text{-}m}\triangle_n\right)$.

159   *OOC*

$$v_m = \frac{\triangle(y_{n+S_1m} - y_n)}{\triangle(t_{n+S_1m} - t_n)} \cong or = \frac{\left({}_{n+S_1m}\triangle_n\, N\right) \cdot \varnothing}{{}_{n+S_1m}\triangle_n\, t} \equiv \frac{\triangle(n+S_1m - n)N \cdot \varnothing}{\triangle(n+S_1m - n) \cdot t}$$

$$\text{Bundling}$$
$$\equiv \frac{\triangle S_1 \cdot m \cdot 1 \cdot \varnothing}{\triangle S_1 \cdot m \cdot t} \quad \underset{\leftarrow}{\overset{\rightarrow}{\equiv}} \quad \triangle \cdot \frac{m \cdot 1 \cdot \varnothing}{m \cdot t} \equiv \triangle \frac{\varnothing}{t}$$
$$\textit{Distributing}$$

162   In StrLC, $N = 1$, n is origin (AO or RO), and $\varnothing$ is one leukocyte diameter.

163   t_x is Kyoku value (x is positive integer), $t\ and\ {}_{n+S_1m}t_n$ are Zai value (Po)

$\triangle \left(t_{n+S_1 m} - t_n \right) \cong or = {}_{n+S_1 m} \triangle_n t \equiv {}_{n+S_1 m} \triangle_n \cdot {}_{n+S_1 m} t_n$

Properties

A StrLC from the same injury can be described by the EOM; however, this StrLC biomarker uses

the RCS to represent future inflammation, making it necessary to solve the problem of IDP-EOM

by addressing smoothness. A cOOC expresses only one side. Therefore, it generates singularity

and integration constants (Figure S9, Table S1). The EOM with Str Zai C3-CS (CS: coordinate

system) (Definition S3.6.1, Figure 6, Text S6) is presented as follows:

171
$$\frac{d^2 y}{dt^2} = \triangle\, a \quad and \quad \frac{d^2 y}{dt^2} = \triangle\, a$$

This is only a 'model'.

The OOC with iK are

Single Zai: $\; y_1 = \frac{1}{2} a \left(t \triangle \right)^2 + v_0 \left(t \triangle \right)^1 + y_0 \left(t \triangle \right)^0$ (1st quadrant)

Single Zai: $\; y_2 = \frac{1}{2} a \left(t \triangle \right)^2 + v_0 \left(t \triangle \right)^1 + y_0 \left(t \triangle \right)^0$ (2nd quadrant)

Above the single Zai is the ωd switch that is 'ON'. In this case only, t is a variable. The other is

Po (Supplementary Method S1.1). The others are all 'OFF'. The variable is phase (n and m).

Zai continuum:

$y_1 = \frac{1}{2} a \left(\triangle t_{n+m} \right)^2 + v_0 \left(\triangle t_{n+m} \right)^1 + y_0 \left(\triangle t_{n+m} \right)^0$ (Local in 1st quadrant)

$y_1 = \frac{1}{2} a \left(\triangle t_{n+S_2 m} \right)^2 + v_0 \left(\triangle t_{n+S_2 m} \right)^1 + y_0 \left(\triangle t_{n+S_2 m} \right)^0$ (Relative in 1st quadrant)

$y_1 = \frac{1}{2} a \left(\triangle t_{n+m} \right)^2 + v_0 \left(\triangle t_{n+m} \right)^1 + y_0 \left(\triangle t_{n+m} \right)^0$ (Relative in 1st quadrant)

$\quad y_2 = \frac{1}{2}a\left(\triangle t_{n+m}\right)^2 + v_0\left(\triangle t_{n+m}\right)^1 + y_0\left(\triangle t_{n+m}\right)^0$ (Local in 2nd quadrant)

$\quad y_2 = \frac{1}{2}a\left(\triangle t_{n+S_1 m}\right)^2 + v_0\left(\triangle t_{n+S_1 m}\right)^1 + y_0\left(\triangle t_{n+S_1 m}\right)^0$ (Relative in 2nd quadrant)

$\quad y_2 = \frac{1}{2}a\left(\triangle t_{n-m}\right)^2 + v_0\left(\triangle t_{n-m}\right)^1 + y_0\left(\triangle t_{n-m}\right)^0$ (Relative in 2nd quadrant)

$\quad y = y_2 + y_1 \quad (y_1 (1st\ quadrant)\ is\ S_2\ side, y_2 (2nd\ quadrant) is\ S_1 side)$

$\quad$ a is the acceleration as Po; v_0 is the velocity as Po; and y_0 is the distance as Po.

$\quad$ S_1 and S_2 are the Str operators (Definitions S2 and S3), and the observer in the local field

$\quad$ (LF) is denoted as n + m. The operation was performed using the LF and the relative field (RF).

$\quad$ The observer in the global field (GF) RF is denoted as n + S_1m in the C3-CS, where the

$\quad$ independent variables included $\triangle t$. n is the absolute or relative origin. Conventionally, at an

$\quad$ origin, the direction of motion of the quadratic term is reversed (Figure S9). However, in an RCS,

$\quad$ the quadratic term maintains the same direction, and the linear term of the conventional equation

$\quad$ and of this equation maintain the same direction (Figures 6 and S10, Table S1).

$\quad$ y_x is the diameter Ø of the WSTL/WTLs, whilst τ_x is calculated from the activity of the

$\quad$ leukocytes. When $y_l = Ø_1$, the velocity is calculated from the solution of the EOM {RF (real

$\quad$ field)}:

$\quad$ $$v_{m2} = \frac{\triangle(y_{n-m} - y_n)}{\triangle(t_{n-m} - t_n)} = \frac{_{n+S_1 m}\triangle_n\left(y_{n+S_1 m} - y_n\right)}{_{n+S_1 m}\triangle_n\left(t_{n+S_1 m} - t_n\right)} \quad \text{(2nd quadrant)},$$

198
$$v_{m1} = \frac{\triangle(y_{n+m} - y_n)}{\triangle(t_{n+m} - t_n)} = \frac{{}_n\triangle_{n+S_2 m}(y_{n+S_2 m} - y_n)}{{}_n\triangle_{n+S_2 m}(t_{n+S_2 m} - t_n)} \quad \text{(1st quadrant)}$$

199 The object without iK (time has iK) is presented as follows:

200
$$v_{m2} = \frac{S_1 \cdot (y_{n-m} - y_n)}{\triangle(t_{n-m} - t_n)} = \frac{S_1 \cdot y_{n+S_1 m} - S_1 \cdot y_n}{{}_{n+S_1 m}\triangle_n (t_{n+S_1 m} - t_n)} \quad \text{(2nd quadrant)}$$

201
$$v_{m1} = \frac{S_2 \cdot (y_{n+m} - y_n)}{\triangle(t_{n+m} - t_n)} = \frac{S_2 \cdot y_{n+S_2 m} - S_2 \cdot y_n}{{}_n\triangle_{n+S_2 m}(t_{n+S_2 m} - t_n)} \quad \text{(1st quadrant)}$$

202
$$t_{n+S_2 m} \equiv t \cdot (n + S_2 m), \quad t_{n+S_1 m} \equiv t \cdot (n + S_1 m) = t \cdot (n - m)$$

203 y_1, y_2, …y_n is one WSTL diameter, and t_1, t_2,…t_n is the elapsed time of the WSTL. Acceleration

204 is calculated from the solution of the EOM {RF (real field)}:

205
$$a_{m2} = \frac{\triangle(v_{n+S_1 m} - v_n)}{\triangle(t_{n+S_1 m} - t_n)} \;, \quad a_{m1} = \frac{\triangle(v_{n+S_2 m} - v_n)}{\triangle(t_{n+S_2 m} - t_n)}$$

206 where v_1, v_2, …v_n denote velocity, and t_1, t_2, …t_n denote the elapsed time of the WSTLs.

208 **SLLs (AL or DL)**

209 C1-RDE (Definition S6):

210
$$\frac{\left.\begin{smallmatrix}K\\|N\\\tau_n\end{smallmatrix}\right|}{\left.\begin{smallmatrix}Z\\|\\\tau_n\end{smallmatrix}\right.} = \frac{\left.\begin{smallmatrix}K\\|N_\tau\\n\end{smallmatrix}\right|}{\left.\begin{smallmatrix}Z\\|\tau\\n\end{smallmatrix}\right.} = \frac{{}_r dN_{\tau_n}}{{}_r d\tau_n} = \frac{{}_{n-m}\triangle_n N_{2\tau}}{{}_{n-m}\triangle_n \tau} - \frac{{}_n\triangle_{n+m} N_{1\tau}}{{}_n\triangle_{n+m}\tau} = +\frac{{}_{n+S_1 m}\triangle_n N_{2\tau}}{{}_{n+S_1 m}\triangle_n \tau} + \frac{{}_n\triangle_{n+S_2 m} N_{1\tau}}{{}_n\triangle_{n+S_2 m}\tau}$$

211 Detail:

$$= \frac{{}_{n+S_1m}\triangle_n\, N_2}{{}_{n+S_1m}\triangle_n \cdot {}_{n+S_1m}\triangle_{n\cdot n+S_1m}\tau_n} - \frac{{}_{n}\triangle_{n+S_2m}\,N_1}{{}_{n}\triangle_{n+S_2m} \cdot {}_{n+S_1m}\tau_n \cdot {}_{n+S_1m}\tau_n}$$

Properties

The cases in which one N in C1-RDE is zero (or unknown) are called SLLs. The unknown cases

cannot be calculated. However, if one term is zero, the SLLs are equivalent to C1-RDE (MLC);

ALs are the acute stage ($N_2 > 0$ and $N_1 = 0$); and DLs denote the improved stage ($N_2 = 0$ and $0 <$

N_1). In the unknown cases, the ALs indicate the present inflammation, whilst the DLs indicate

the past inflammation. Even if the other terms present the kind of values, the size of the LC is

larger if the injury is larger.

SOC of the SLLs

The SOC of the ALs (Figure 2) is the right first term of the RDE, and the other term is zero. The

SOC of the DLs (Figure 3) is the right second term of the RDE. The tissue injury size is nearly

equal to the size of the WSTL/WTL cluster (Section **MLC**). The SOC evaluates the SLLs

(leukocyte cluster with the same τ, Figures 2 and 3), where N is the number, and τ is the fixed

time.

An example of the ALs is (Figure 2, from AL0 in Figures 5A and S5–7):

227
$$
{}_{n+S_1m}\triangle_n \frac{N}{\tau} \equiv \frac{{}_{n-1}\triangle_n N_{2\tau}}{{}_{n-1}\triangle_n \tau} \equiv {}_{n+S_1m}\triangle_n \frac{N_{2_{\,n+S_1m\tau n}}}{{}_{n+S_1m}\tau_n} \equiv \frac{{}_{n+S_1m}\triangle_n \cdot N_{2\tau}}{{}_{n+S_1m}\triangle_n \cdot \tau}
$$

228 An example of the DLs from AL1 is (Figure 3, from AL1 in Figures 5A and S5–7):

$$
{}_n\triangle_{n+S_2m} \frac{N}{\tau} \equiv \frac{{}_n\triangle_{n+1} N_{1\tau}}{{}_n\triangle_{n+1}\tau} \equiv {}_n\triangle_{n+S_2m} \frac{N_{1_{\,n\tau n+S_2m}}}{{}_n\tau_{n+S_2m}} \equiv \frac{{}_n\triangle_{n+S_2m} \cdot N_{1\tau}}{{}_n\triangle_{n+S_2m} \cdot \tau}
$$

230 AL → DL (life → non-life):

$$
\triangle \to S_1 \;,\; \left(\triangle \to S_2 \right)
$$

232   AL→DL:
$$
\frac{{}_{n+S_1m}\triangle_n \cdot N_{2\tau}}{{}_{n+S_1m}\triangle_n \cdot \tau} \to \frac{S_1 \cdot N_{2\tau}}{S_1 \cdot \tau_n} = \frac{S_1 \cdot N_{2t}}{{}_{n+S_1m}\triangle_n \cdot t}
$$

233 In the case of the DL (Figure 3),

$$
S_1 \cdot \frac{N}{\tau} \equiv \frac{S_1 \cdot N_{1\tau}}{S_1 \cdot \tau} \equiv S_1 \cdot \frac{N_{1_{\,n\tau n+S_2m}}}{{}_n\tau_{n+S_2m}}
$$

235 where a single value of $m = 1$ exists; τ is fixed time; t is real time; AL represents the active

236 leukocytes exhibiting a dynamic movement (Figure 2); and DL does not have a dynamic

237 movement (Figure 3). N is the number of leukocytes. τ_{n+m} is the phase time.

238 In the case of the AL ($\tau = 1$) (Figure 2):

$$
{}_{n+S_1\cdot1}\triangle_n N_{2\tau} \equiv {}_{n+S_1\cdot1}\triangle_n \frac{N_{2\tau}}{1} \equiv \frac{{}_{n+S_1\cdot1}\triangle_n N_{2\tau}}{{}_{n+S_1\cdot1}\triangle_n 1}
$$

240   In the case of the DL (Figure 3):

241
$$S_1 \cdot N_{1_\tau} \equiv S_1 \cdot \frac{N_{1_\tau}}{1} \equiv \frac{S_1 \cdot N_{1_\tau}}{S_1 \cdot 1}$$

The SLL has the same τ value ($m = 1$), and the size of the SτC represents the size of the injury.

MLC

SOC

The SOC shows the size of the injury as an MLC:

The newer side term is $\dfrac{{}_{n-1}\triangle_n N_{2_\tau}}{{}_{n-1}\triangle_n \tau}$, whilst the older side term is $\dfrac{{}_{n}\triangle_{n+1} N_{1_\tau}}{{}_{n}\triangle_{n+1} \tau}$

In detail,

Newer side term: $\dfrac{{}_{n+S_1\cdot 1}\triangle_n {}^{\cdot N_2}\, {}_{n+S_1\cdot 1}\triangle_n {}^{\cdot n+S_1\cdot 1^{\tau_n}}}{{}_{n+S_1\cdot 1}\triangle_n {}^{\cdot n+S_1\cdot 1^{\tau_n}}}$,

Older side term: $\dfrac{{}_{n}\triangle_{n+S_2\cdot 1} N_1\, {}_{n}\triangle_{n+S_2\cdot 1} {}^{\cdot n^\tau n+S_2\cdot 1}}{{}_{n}\triangle_{n+S_2\cdot 1} {}^{\cdot n^\tau n+S_2\cdot 1}}$

In C1: $\dfrac{_r dN_{\tau_n}}{_r d\tau_n} = \dfrac{\overset{K}{\underset{n}{|}} N_\tau}{\underset{n}{\overset{Z}{|}} \tau} = \dfrac{{}_{n-m}\triangle_n N_{2_\tau}}{{}_{n-m}\triangle_n \tau} - \dfrac{{}_{n}\triangle_{n+m} N_{1_\tau}}{{}_{n}\triangle_{n+m} \tau} = \dfrac{{}_{n+S_1 m}\triangle_n N_{2_\tau}}{{}_{n+S_1 m}\triangle_n \tau} - \dfrac{{}_{n}\triangle_{n+S_2 m} N_{1_\tau}}{{}_{n}\triangle_{n+S_2 m} \tau}$

251
$$= + \frac{_{n+S_1 m}\triangle_n \cdot N_{2\tau}}{_{n+S_1 m}\triangle_n \cdot \tau} + \frac{_n\triangle_{n+S_2 m} \cdot N_{1\tau}}{_n\triangle_{n+S_2 m} \cdot \tau} \quad (C3)$$

252
$$\tau_{n+S_1 m} = \tau \cdot (n + S_1 m), \quad \tau_{n+S_2 m} = \tau \cdot (n + S_2 m),$$

The numbers of leukocytes N_2 and N_1 and $_{n-m}\triangle_n \tau$ and $_n\triangle_{n+m}\tau$ as the phase time are

extracted from the LC as SτC in Figure 5A by a biological activity time chart by leukocytes

(Figure S8) (example of the time is "$\tau = 1$, $\triangle\tau = 1$ in LF, and $\triangle\tau = -1$ in RF"; Definition

S3). When a newer side term ($m = 1$) represents a core leukocyte, the size of the injury is

represented by this as the LC (Table S2). SτC is the same as a CS that is equivalent to deform the

dependent-variable-axis of the orthogonal-CS (Figure 6).

Fluctuation velocity (Kyoku) (γN)

The fluctuation in the velocity is described by C1-RDE:

261
$$\frac{\underset{n}{\overset{K}{|N_\tau}}}{\underset{n}{\overset{Z}{|\tau}}} = \frac{_{n-1}\triangle_n N}{_{n-1}\triangle_n \tau} - \frac{_n\triangle_{n+1}N}{_n\triangle_{n+1}\tau} = \frac{_{n+S_1 m}\triangle_n \cdot N_{2\tau}}{_{n+S_1 m}\triangle_n \cdot \tau} - \frac{_n\triangle_{n+S_2 m} \cdot N_{1\tau}}{_n\triangle_{n+S_2 m} \cdot \tau} = \gamma N$$

The inflammatory condition of each area of the τ zone is given as follows:

1) An older zone being the same size as a newer zone represents a chronic stage: {$N_2 = N_1$ (each

$N \neq 0$)}.

2) If a newer zone is smaller than an older zone, inflammation is in the improving stage: ($N_2 <$

N_1).

3) If a newer zone is larger than an older zone, the inflammation progresses to an acute stage (N_2

$> N_1$).

4) γN is visualized (SOC) (Definition S6.2).

γ in OOC (in C1, C2 and C3 RDEs)

$$\gamma = \left(-\frac{{}_{n-m}\triangle_n N_\tau}{{}_{n-m}\triangle_n \tau} - \frac{{}_{n}\triangle_{n+m}N_\tau}{{}_{n}\triangle_{n+m}\tau} \right)\frac{1}{N} = \left(-\frac{{}_{n+S_1 m}\triangle_n \cdot N_{2_\tau}}{{}_{n+S_1 m}\triangle_n \cdot \tau} - \frac{{}_{n}\triangle_{n+S_2 m} \cdot N_{1_\tau}}{{}_{n}\triangle_{n+S_2 m} \cdot \tau} \right)\frac{1}{N}$$

272   The usual absolute value operator is as follows:

$$\left| \triangle \right| = \triangle = +1 \ , \ \left| \triangle \right| = \triangle = -1$$

$$|+1| = +1 \ , \ |-1| = +1$$

275   The relative absolute value operator (relative absolute operator):

$$\left| \triangle \right| = +1 \ , \ \left| \triangle \right| = +1$$

277   The relative absolute value is as follows:

$$N = \left(\left| -{}_{n-m}\triangle_n N_\tau \right| + \left| -{}_{n}\triangle_{n+m}N_\tau \right| \right) \cdot 1/2$$

$$\gamma = \left(\frac{-{}_{n-m}\triangle_n N_\tau - {}_{n}\triangle_{n+m}N_\tau}{\left| -{}_{n-m}\triangle_n N_\tau \right| + \left| -{}_{n}\triangle_{n+m}N_\tau \right|} \right)\frac{2}{\tau}$$

$$N_{\tau_n} = N_0 \cdot \exp(\gamma_{\tau_n} \cdot \tau_n)$$

N_0 is the initial value; $\gamma = 0$ is the chronic stage (each $N \neq 0$); $\gamma < 0$ in C1 is the acute stage; $\gamma > 0$ in C1 is the improving stage (RF); $\gamma < 0$ in C2 is the improving stage; and $\gamma > 0$ in C2 is the acute stage (RF and LF).

γ of SLLs

The SLLs cannot be used to obtain the solution if there is no other term. In contrast, $\gamma = 0$ is the chronic stage if there is a term (the term is zero). C1-RDE: $\gamma = S_1(+2)$ is the acute stage ($N_2 > 0$, $N_1 = 0$); $\gamma = S_1(-2)$ is the improving stage ($N_2 = 0$, $0 < N_1$; RF); C2-RDE: $\gamma = S_2(-2)$ is the improving stage ($N_2 > 0$, $N_1 = 0$); and $\gamma = S_2(+2)$ is the acute stage ($N_2 = 0$, $0 < N_1$; RF and LF).

Antigen antibody reaction (background is Text S7)

The fluctuation of γ according to an antigen–antibody reaction is presented as follows (C4, Definition S6):

$$\frac{\underset{n}{\overset{K}{|\ {}_1N_\tau}}}{\underset{n}{\overset{K}{|\ \tau}}} = \frac{{}_r|\ {}_1N_{\tau_n}}{|\tau_n} = \frac{{}_rd\ {}_1N_{\tau_n}}{{}_rd\tau_n} = \frac{\triangle_{n}\ {}_2N_\tau \cdot \varepsilon_{21}}{\triangle_{n}\tau} + \frac{\triangle_{n}\ {}_1N_\tau}{\triangle_{n}\tau}$$

$$\frac{\underset{n}{\overset{K}{|\ {}_2N_\tau}}}{\underset{n}{\overset{K}{|\ \tau}}} = \frac{{}_r|\ {}_2N_{\tau_n}}{{}_r|\tau_n} = \frac{{}_rd\ {}_2N_{\tau_n}}{{}_rd\tau_n} = \frac{\triangle_{n}\ {}_2N_\tau}{\triangle_{n}\tau} + \frac{\triangle_{n}\ {}_1N_\tau \cdot \varepsilon_{12}}{\triangle_{n}\tau}$$

$_1N$ depicts the leukocytes; $_2N$ indicates the infective antigen (bacteria or microorganisms); ε is an

295 interference coefficient; ε_{12} is the phagocyte number coefficient pertaining to the leukocytes; and

296 ε_{21} is an invocation (cytokine) coefficient of the leukocytes to an antigen.

$$
{}_1\gamma_{\tau_n} = \frac{\varepsilon_{21} \cdot {}_{n-1}\!\triangle_n \, {}_2N_\tau + {}_n\!\triangle_{n+1} \, {}_1N_\tau}{\left| \varepsilon_{21} \cdot {}_{n-1}\!\triangle_n \, {}_2N_\tau \right| + \left| {}_n\!\triangle_{n+1} \, {}_1N_\tau \right|} \cdot \frac{2}{\tau}
$$

$$
{}_2\gamma_{\tau_n} = \frac{{}_{n-1}\!\triangle_n \, {}_2N_\tau + \varepsilon_{12} \cdot {}_n\!\triangle_{n+1} \, {}_1N_\tau}{\left| {}_{n-1}\!\triangle_n \, {}_2N_\tau \right| + \left| \varepsilon_{12} \cdot {}_n\!\triangle_{n+1} \, {}_1N_\tau \right|} \cdot \frac{2}{\tau}
$$

299 $_1N_0$ is the initial value of leukocyte, and $_2N_0$ is the initial value of bacteria:

$$
{}_1N_{\tau_n} = {}_1N_0 \cdot \exp({}_1\gamma_{\tau_n} \cdot \tau_n)
$$

$$
{}_2N_{\tau_n} = {}_2N_0 \cdot \exp({}_2\gamma_{\tau_n} \cdot \tau_n)
$$

302 The τ ratio (τ_r) is used if the reaction time of existence 1 ($_1N$) and existence 2 ($_2N$) is different:

$$
{}_1N_{\tau_n} = {}_1N_0 \cdot \exp({}_1\gamma_{\tau_n} \cdot {}^{\tau_n}\!/_{\tau_r})
$$

As a result, a stable solution is obtained (Figure 7). The conventional SDE was unstable by

above different-object interference (AO), and the solution described vibration and rotation,

where the new SDE successfully converges. Therefore, the future of inflammation becomes

clear.

IMPLEMENTATION

StrLC

The SOC simultaneously presents size and form. Time is calculated by the OOC; hence, the SOC

is inaccurate.

ALs:
$$\left(\frac{{}_{n-m}\triangle_{n\cdot 1}}{{}_{n-m}\triangle_{n\cdot\tau}}\right) = \frac{{}_{n+S_1m}\triangle_{n\cdot 1}}{{}_{n+S_1m}\triangle_{n\cdot\tau}} = \frac{{}_{n+S_1m}\triangle_{n\cdot N_2}}{{}_{n+S_1m}\triangle_{n\cdot t}}$$

314 t: physical time

315 Size:

316
$$D\left({}_{n-m}\triangle_n\right) \doteqdot \triangle 15\,\mu m, \qquad \left|\triangle 1\cdot\emptyset\right| \doteqdot 15\,\mu m$$

317
$$|\quad|is\ RAO, \quad \emptyset \doteqdot 15\,\mu m \quad \text{(Table S3)}$$

The tissue injury size is $N_{2_\tau} = 1$. The size is the same as WSTL/WTL (Figures 2, 4 and S4 B,

C, D, and H). The space information was constant, whilst the time information was variable.

Therefore, the form of the injury predicted from this StrLC form is the injury of a pipe-like form

of approximately 15 μm in diameter.

OOC

The transition from stage AL1s to AL2s (Figure S8) took 28 min (Table S4). (m = 1, N = 1)

324
$$v_m = \triangle\frac{\emptyset}{t} = \frac{\triangle 15}{\triangle(28/60)} = \frac{\triangle 32}{\triangle\cdot 1}$$

$$\left| \frac{\triangle 32}{\triangle 1} \right| = 32 \quad (\mid \ \mid \text{is RAO}), \quad \left(\frac{\triangle 32}{\triangle 1} = \triangle 32 = -32 \ \text{without RAO} \right)$$

Accordingly, $\emptyset = 15$ μm (Table S3). The velocity is $\triangle 7$ μm/h. The tissue injury size is 15

μm (constant). The transition from stage AL0s to AL1s took 4 h 24 min (264 min, Table S4) at

3.4 μm/h.

Conventional problem observed from StrLC SOC and OOC

The dynamic information for this StrLC included time and cell injury information. The tissue

injury information was constant. Using StrLC, we could understand the problem of observation

via a one-cell unit, which enabled us to elucidate the problem of Lcnt and FCM.

SLL

SOC

τ is the phase time in Figure 2 ($\tau = 1$). The SOC can be located with SOC1, SOC2 and SOC3 in

order of thinking. You will realise the size of inflammation and the future stage by the SOCs.

SOC1: Injury form

A figure (graph) of $\mathrm{DN}\left(\dfrac{\sum_{n-1}^{n} \triangle_n N_\tau}{\sum_{n-1}^{n} \triangle_n \tau}, x, y \right)$ is in each envelope (white closed line) in Figure S4.

x and *y* denote the microscope images on a 720×480-pixel orthogonal-CS. The other variables

are the same as the SOC3.

341 **SOC2**: Injury size

342
$$\left| \frac{{}_{n+S_1\cdot1}\triangle_n\, N_\tau}{{}_{n+S_1\cdot1}\triangle_n\, \tau} \right| = \left| \frac{{}_{n-1}\triangle_n \cdot N_\tau}{{}_{n-1}\triangle_n \cdot 1} \right| = [4, 8, and\ 99]\ (Fig.\,2\ G, K, and\ O\,),$$

343 $\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad || \ is\ RAO$

344 **SOC3**: Graph of size and form

345
$$_{n+S_1\cdot1}\triangle_n\, \frac{N_\tau}{\tau} = {}_{n-1}\triangle_n\, N_\tau = \triangle \cdot (+4, +8\ and\ +99)$$

is the acute stage. The injury size is the SOC 2. The injury form is SOC1.

OOC as a part of C1-RDE (MLC)

If the other term is zero, $\gamma = -2 = S_1 2$ is the acute stage ($N_2 > 0$, $N_1 = 0$; Figure 2). Figure 3 shows

the improving stage ($\gamma = +2 = S_2 2$; $N_2 = 0$, $N_1 > 0$).

MLC

SOC (Applied data: Figures 5A and S5–S7, Table S2)

SOC 1 Injury form:

353
$$\text{Figure (graph) of } DN\left(\frac{{}_{n-m}\triangle_n\, N_{2\tau}}{{}_{n-m}\triangle_n\, \tau}, x, y \right), and\ DN\left(\frac{{}_{n}\triangle_{n+m} N_{1\tau}}{{}_{n}\triangle_{n+m}\tau}, x, y \right)$$

354 based on the insets (white closed line) in Figure S6.

355 The upper term is the number of leukocytes (size), whilst the lower term denotes a certain τ zone

356 of one leukocyte cluster.

 SOC 2: Injury size (Table S2)

$$\left| \frac{_{n-1}\triangle_n\, N_{2\tau}}{_{n-1}\triangle_n\, \tau} \right| = \frac{476}{1} = 476 \quad \text{(Figure S6 red dots as area 1)}$$

$$\left| \frac{_n\triangle_{n+1} N_{1\tau}}{_n\triangle_{n+1}\tau} \right| = \frac{286}{1} = 286 \quad \text{(Area 2 in Figure S6)}$$

$\tau = 1$, | | is RAO; the core is area 1 (red dots); and outside is area 2.

SOC 3: graph of size and form injury:

Area 1 (AL core)

$$\frac{_{n+S_1\cdot 1}\triangle_n\, N_{2\tau}}{_{n+S_1\cdot 1}\triangle_n\, \tau} = \frac{_{n-1}\triangle_n\, N_{2\tau}}{_{n-1}\triangle_n\, \tau} = \frac{\triangle 476}{\triangle 1} = \triangle 476$$

Area 2

$$\frac{\triangle 286}{\triangle 1} = \triangle 286$$

The figure (graph) is the result of the RDE of areas 1 and 2, and one may be able to count in a

moment in this graph (as **SOC1-3**).

SOC 4: Fluctuation velocity and γN; Kyoku

From Table S2 ($N_2 = 476$, $N_1 = 286$):

$$\frac{{}_{n-1}\triangle_n N_{2\tau}}{{}_{n-1}\triangle_n \tau} - \frac{{}_n\triangle_{n+1} N_{1\tau}}{{}_n\triangle_{n+1}\tau} = \frac{{}_{n-1}\triangle_n 476}{{}_{n-1}\triangle_n 1} - \frac{{}_n\triangle_{n+1} 286}{{}_n\triangle_{n+1} 1} = \mid_n (S_1 \cdot 190) = \mid_n^{S_1}(190)$$

The fluctuation velocity value (γN) of Kyoku is $\{\mid(S_1 190)\}$, representing the acute stage. γN is

visualized (SOC).

OOC

Table S2 shows that γ was $\mid(-0.5) = \mid(S_1 0.5)$ in C1 (RF), representing the acute stage.

$$N_{\tau_n} = N_0 \cdot \exp(\gamma_{\tau_n} \cdot \tau_n)$$

$$777 = 286 \cdot \exp(0.5 \cdot 2), \quad 785 = 476 \cdot \exp(0.5 \cdot 1), \quad 472 = 286 \cdot \exp(0.5 \cdot 1)$$

S_1 is the abbreviation. This equation is discovered as gsOOC in a part of SOC LC in Figures 5A

and S7, and will be presented as a bar graph or a line graph. Naturally, γ of an antigen is

required.

Antigen–antibody reaction (prey and new predator) (C4-CS)

SOC (Figures S11 and S12)

The display of the number of bacteria in a certain τ time and the number of leukocytes in the τ

time were pseudo-SOC because 'ε' could not be used for the SOC. Moreover, the number of

bacteria was not accurate in this case. Therefore, the SOC was also inaccurate. In the future, the

display of SOC must be improved, and 'ε' must be used for the SOC.

OOC (Figure 7)

The solid lines depict the bacteria, whilst the dashed lines depict the leukocytes.

As an example, $\gamma_b = |S_1 0.50$ (Table S2, S1_Supporting Information); $\gamma_g = |S_2 0.25$ (Marsh

et al., 1994); $\varepsilon_{21} = 0.5$ (ε_{21}: arbitrary numbers were substituted; the appropriate number will be

determined in future studies); $\varepsilon_{12} = 250$ (Phagocytosis Assay Kit,

https://www.funakoshi.co.jp/contens/3695); $f_2(\tau)$ is the number of bacteria; and $f_1(\tau)$ is the

number of leukocytes. The relative τ ratio (τ_r) is 1.3 (1:1.3; τ_r: arbitrary numbers were

substituted; the appropriate number will be determined in future studies). Accordingly, $\tau = 10$ at

the time of interfere. The number of antigens was set to 0 when the antigen was set to 0.

The dissolution of the AO and the RO singularity using view-operator was successful

for the OOC.

DISCUSSION

As mentioned earlier, the RDE is put in the brain. When observing Figures 2 to 5 and Figures S4

to S7, one will recognise having become Dr. Perio (specialist periodontist), with the Perio eye

(periodontal-diagnostic doctor's eye) by the SOC and gsOOC. Accordingly, the SOC has a

real-time property. No 'model' exists, and no complicated property, like OOC, calculates the

solution. The SOC will educate A.I. and the young doctor. Furthermore, the OOC from the SOC

will extend it more precisely.

Conventional science only has the OOC; therefore, science has various problems. The

problems of cOOC are also mostly shown by the SOC, and will mostly be solved by the SOC. At

such time, the LC was found as the SOC in the periodontitis examination at the clinic. An

example is the inflammation, in which cell information from cOOC has problems. Therefore,

inflammation is described by the SOC and a new OOC from the SOC. Moreover, the LC as the

RDE, which realises the SOC, solves the problems of structural bioinformatics and enables the

measurement of local inflammation:

1) The SOC (LC) has the structure of bio-information, which can measure the space of the

inflammation in time. Therefore, it solves the problem of cell injury (cell information) and tissue

injury (tissue information).

2) The SOC LC exhibits no destruction of the structure of bio-information by conservative

sampling.

3) The SOC as LC by the RDE has the smoothness of differentiation.

4) The C4-RDE is a stable SDE.

5) Therefore, the observing eyes of the scientist and the doctor are considered to be the RDE,

which consist of primitive-operators, or the primitive-operators themselves.

In the future, the SOC will show us not only inflammation, but elementary particle, the life

and the new figure of the space–time continuum. Additional details have been provided below.

423   The diagnosis of the inflammation by the SOC is equivalent to the diagnosis of a new

424 OOC from the SOC. However, the SOC already had the result when the sample was obtained.

425 Accordingly, except for the manufacturing time, the calculation time of SOC is zero. If the

426 calculation time is zero, the computer has $P = NP$ property (Cook, 2000). Moreover, the

427 relationship between gsOOC(s) and SOC is $P = NP$ (Figure S7). A (conventional) computer does

428 not include the manufacturing time in the calculation time.

429   The LCs (StrLC, SLL and MLC) described above accurately present cell and tissue

430 injuries. Space information is represented by an empty space in a healthy tissue. The invasion is

431 greater if the empty space is larger (even if the extent of cell injury is the same).

432   The analytical methods used in modern pathology are systems, in which cells are

433 individually analysed. These approaches, including FCM, destroy tissue injury information.

434 Transferring these concepts to inflammation assessment requires the measurement of leukocyte

435 cluster information before the FCM because the FCM destroys the cluster of inflammatory cells.

436 Importantly, the Lcnt destroys a cluster similarly.

437   WTLs and WSTLs combine when in close proximity, and a larger leukocyte cluster

438 arises from a larger injury (be careful of the amount of supply of leukocytes). In contrast, a large

439 cluster does not derive from a small injury. Therefore, the size of the leukocyte cluster (in a time

440 zone) is proportional to the volume of the injury (in a time zone). This allows use of a staining

solution to enable the observation of the changes in the injury in a time section and permits the

representation of the injury as an SτC LC.

The 'EOM', including the NSE, can be easily applied in practice. However, such

application of this equation is associated with several problems from AO or RO. These problems

can be solved using the primitive-operator.

The SLL is a subset of the MLC. In the future, studies will be needed to analyse the

inner time for the SLL (to MLC). The MLC can be used as a biomarker for C3-RDE (and

C4-RDE). These RDEs are the SOC.

The volume of the injury at a certain time is proportional to the volume of the LC of the

same τ cluster. Therefore, the LC can be used to calculate the velocity of inflammation and the

antigen–antibody reaction. The RDE can operate the future, the past and the present of the LC.

Conventional mathematics, including the CDE, expresses only the world of S_2.

Therefore, a function can be used only in the first quadrant, and the problem changes to one

associated with singularity and non-smoothness. If a function differentiates in the

conventional-CS, in which S_1 does not exist, a quadrant change is unnatural and not smooth. Str

is eternal even if the function differentiates at any time. If the function does not use an Str

operator (View operator), it cannot obtain smoothness.

The CDE is presented as follows:

459
$$f'(x) = \lim_{h\to 0} \frac{f(x+h)-f(x)}{h}$$

460 An example: $f(x) = ax^2$ in the $_R$Str (S_1) side (Zai has inner Kyoku. S_1 or S_2 has no inner

461 Kyoku.).

462
$$\lim_{h\to 0} \frac{f\left(\triangle x + \triangle h\right) - f\left(\triangle x\right)}{\triangle h} = \lim_{h\to 0}\left(+2a\triangle x + a\triangle h\right) = +2a\triangle x \quad (pC2)$$

463
$$\lim_{h\to 0} \frac{f(S_1 x + S_1 h) - f(S_1 x)}{S_1 h} = \lim_{h\to 0}(+2aS_1 x + aS_1 h)$$

464
$$= +2aS_1 x \quad A\ breakdown\ of\ continuum\ is\ a\ premise.$$

465
$$\lim_{h\to 0} \frac{f(S_1 x + S_1 h) - f(S_1 x)}{S_1 h} = \lim_{h\to 0}(+2aS_1 x + aS_1 h) = +2aS_1 x \quad (pC4)$$

466 The limiting value in the CDE belongs in pC4 (Imaginary operator and value), which is a scale.

467 Zai (value) (pC2) is described by an italic character. Kyoku (value) (pC1), pC3, pC5 and pC4 are

468 described by standard characters. The correspondence to pC2 (real) from pC4 (imaginary) gives

469 Zai. Therefore, StrLC is a transient form from CDE to RDE.

470 The numerator is bundled as follows:

471
$$f\left(\triangle x + \triangle h\right) - f\left(\triangle x\right) = a\,\triangle x\triangle x + a\triangle h\triangle x + a\triangle x\triangle h + a\triangle h\triangle h - a\,\triangle x\triangle x$$

472
$$= a\triangle x\triangle x + 2a\triangle h\triangle x + a\triangle h\triangle h - a\triangle x\triangle x = +2a\triangle hx + a\triangle h^2$$

473 The denominator is bundled as follows:

474
$$\frac{+2a\triangle hx + a\triangle h^2}{\triangle h} = +2a\triangle x + a\triangle h$$

475 The relationship between the CS (StrZai) and the function is exact.

476   Str (S$_2$) side:

477
$$\lim_{h\to 0}\frac{f\left(\triangle x + \triangle h\right) - f(\triangle x)}{\triangle h} = \lim_{h\to 0}\left(+2a\triangle x + a\triangle h\right) = +2a\triangle x$$

478   Exponent:

479
$$e^{\triangle t} = \frac{d^x}{dt^x}e^{\triangle t} \quad x \text{ is integer}$$

480
$$e^{\triangle t} = \frac{d^x}{dt^x}e^{\triangle t} = \frac{d^x}{dt^x}e^{+t} \quad x \text{ is integer}$$

481
$$e^{-t} = \frac{d^x}{dt^x}e^{-t} \quad \text{If the number of } x \text{ is even}$$

The CDE cannot determine Kyoku; therefore, the singularity and the integration constant are

generated, allowing the convergence-differentiation using only one side of the CS.

If a conventional CS is established on an imaginary scale {imaginary-coordinate-system

[ICS] in pC4 (Definition S1.5)}, the function involves a factor that represents a view (particularly

Gv$_1$), with the tool used to solve it as the Str operator. The view will change if a function mixing

'−' as the view (value) differentiates conventionally. This is unnatural and considered as the

cause of the smoothness problem associated with differentiation. We must not mix absolute

minus and relative minus without distinguishing them (i.e. relative operations $_R$StrZai and

$_L$StrZai). The RCS for natural science should be formed from StrZai (Figure 6), with this view

representing an observation. Therefore, the CS is the Zai (existence) itself and the observation.

(IDP-EOM is improved by the C3-CS. A different-object interference is improved by the C4-CS.

The NSE is improved by the C3-CS and/or C4-CS.)

The global view in a conventional-CS has to be distinguished from the function by the

Str operator (particularly $Gv_1 = -$). The view is borrowed from the CS; hence, it must not be

changed by conventional convergence-differentiation and a conventional absolute-value-operator.

The conventional differential equation expresses only one side ($_L$Str area), and the smoothness of

a differential equation requires an Str operator similar to an EOM. The NSE is not equipped with

Str operators and not smooth under all circumstances. The perfect answer to the Clay Millennium

Problem (Fefferman et al., 2000) using the NSE is presented as follows:

501
$$\prod \text{\AA} = \text{\AA}$$

The limitations of the SOC, RDE, primitive-operators and SτC (LC) are currently

unknown. Hence, research in this field should be continued to determine the limitations.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank the staff at Nonomura Dental Clinic for their clinical assistance; Dr.

Sachiko Nisida and Dr. Hiroko Kamide for their assistance with language editing; Miss Haruko

Kobayashi, Miss Manami Niwa, Miss Miki Matsushita, and Mr. Keita Taki for reference

searches; and Mrs. Tomomi Okuda and Miss Saori Nishida for their assistance with the

photographs and figures.

Microscopes

The cover glass, slide glass, phase-contrast microscope and fluorescence microscope used herein

were general models (Corporation Riken, Japan).

Conflicts of interest

The author declares no conflicts of interest, except for the patents.

Funding

No external funding was used for this study.

REFERENCES

Britton, N.F. (2010) Essential Mathematical Biology. Springer-Verlag: London, UK, pp. 55–59.

Cook,   S.   (2000)   The   P   versus   NP   problem.   Available   at:

http://www.claymath.org/sites/default/files/pvsnp.pdf (access October 25, 2018).

Cossarizza, A., et al. (2017) Guidelines for the use of flow cytometry and cell sorting in

immunological studies. *Eur J Immunol*, 47, 1584–1797.

Dacie, J. V. (1975) Basic Haematological Techniques, 4th edition, Leucocyte Counts, pp. 46–67.

Fefferman, C.L. (2000) Existence and smoothness of the Navier–Stokes equation. Available at:

http://www.claymath.org/sites/default/files/navierstokes.pdf (accessed October 25, 2018).

Flow   cytometry   technical   information,   Beckman   Coulter   Inc.   Available   at:

https://www.bc-cytometrh.com/cytometry.html (accessed October 25, 2018).

George, E.C. (1968) Diagnostic Laboratory Hematology, 4th edition, Leukocyte Count, pp. 43–

48.

Hawkins, A., Cornell, H.V. (1999) Theoretical Approaches to Biological Control. Cambridge

University Press: Cambridge, UK, pp. 5–14.

How   to   count   the   number   of   cells   by   a   blood-cell-counting   board:

https://m-hub.jp/biology/1277/how-to-count-the-number-of-viable-cells-using-a-hemocytometer

https://www.abcam.co.jp/protocols/counting-cells-using-a-haemocytometer-2

https://www.funakoshi.co.jp/contents/3075

Krainov, V.P. (2002). Selected Mathematical Methods in Theoretical Physics. Taylor & Francis:

London and New York, pp. 165–176.

Lindhe, J. (2015) Clinical Periodontology and Implant Dentistry, Chapter 5, 6th edition.

Graham, M.D., et al. (2003) The Coulter Principle: Foundation of an Industry. JALA, 8:72-81,

https//dot.org/10.1016/S1535-5535-03-0023-6

Marsh, P.D., et al. (1994) The effect of growth rate and haernin on the virulence and proteolytic

activity of *Porphyromonas gingivalis* W50. *Microbiology*, 140, 861–865.

Ozmeric, N. (2004) Advances in periodontal disease markers. *Clin Chim Acta*, 343(1–2), 1–16.

Phagocytosis assay kit. Available at: https://www.funakoshi.co.jp/contens/3695 (accessed October

25, 2018).

Preshaw, P.M. (2015) Detection and diagnosis of periodontal conditions amenable to prevention.

BMC Oral Health, 15, S5.

Rubin, R. (2007) Rubin's Pathology, 5th edition, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, a Wolters

Kluwer, Chapter 1, pp. 1–35.

Rubin, R. (2007) Rubin's Pathology, 5th edition, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, a Wolters

Kluwer, Chapter 2, pp. 37–70.

Saito, M., et al. (2002) Crevicular fluid PGE_2 used to evaluate the effect of initial preparation in

adult periodontitis. *Nihon Shishubyo Gakkai Kaishi*, 44, 131–147.

Saw, E.-W., et al. (2016) Experimental characterization of extreme events of inertial dissipation

in a turbulent swirling flow. *Nat Commun*, 7, 12466.

Talk   CBC   Vol.   1,   Beckman   Coulter   Inc.   Available   at:

https://www.beckmancoulter.co.jp/product/product02/cbc/vol01.html (accessed October 25, 2018).

Teramoto, E., et al. (2009) Suuri Seitaigaku. Asakura Shoten: Tokyo, pp. 76–116.

The Japan Society of Ningen dock, WBC. Available at:

https://www.ningen-dock.jp/public/inspection/blood (accessed October 25, 2018).

Thornley, J.H.M., France, J. (2007). Mathematical Models in Agriculture, 2nd edition. CABI:

Wallingford, UK, pp. 227–228.

Figure and Figure Legends

Figure 1. Leukocyte–dissociation curves. (A) Time 0:00:00 is the start of the experiment. The

contact line (Figure S2) represents a primary bond. **(B)** Time 6:12:10 is the point after initial

dissociation. Coupling occurs via a secondary bond between two leukocytes. **(C)** Time 7:48:23 is

the point of secondary dissociation and bond breakage. **(D)** Time 8:01:37 is the point after

secondary dissociation. **(A–D)** Scale: 10 μm. **(E)** The bond length (Bl) describes the length of the

contact line formed by the primary and secondary bonds. Leukocyte 1 (L1) represents the upper

leukocyte diameter, whilst L2 represents the lower leukocyte diameter. The distance between L1

and L2 is denoted by 'Dist'.

Figure 2. AL clusters identified according to the dynamic movement of the organelles

following WTL. (A) Single and **(B–O)** multiple leukocyte clusters **(A–N)** Scale: 10 μm and **(O)**

40 μm.

Before applying the RDE, 'Model' is seen as the CDE with many singularities. It is perceived as

SOC after RDE application.

Figure 3. DL clusters. Destructive leukocytes (DL) clusters. Scale: 40 μm.

DL cannot be analysed by CDE. The analysis is possible for SOC. However, DL gradually loses

continuity. SOC also collapses according to it. However, DL is useful to diagnostics.

Figure 4. StrLC by WSTL. Results of the WSTL as measured by fluorescence. **(A)** Results of

the WSTL according to a phase-contrast observation. Older cells are white, whilst newer

leukocytes are black. Scale: 10 μm. **(B)** Results of the WSTL as measured by a fluorescence

mode observation. Older leukocytes are white, whilst newer leukocytes are black. Scale: 10 μm.

StrLC is a string function with a CS as an independent axis. AO- or RO-type singularity is

observed according to this function. StrLC shows us various problems, such as EOM and CET.

The calculation of AO or RO is possible using primitive-operator.

Figure 5. MLCs as SτC by WSTL. An AO-type singularity is observed at the centre of the

MLC. **(A)** Enclosure at the outermost periphery of a fibrous connective tissue indicating a

complete view of one tissue injury. Scale **(A, C and D)**: 100 μm and **(B)** 200 μm. Before

applying RDE, 'Model' is perceived as the CDE with many singularities. After applying the RDE,

it is perceived as SOC. It has solution as OOC. MLC shows the complete SOC RDE. MLC can

observe gsOOC simultaneously.

+50

−10   0   5   +10

−25

Po=t=5

−50

Po=t=5

Po=t=5

c

Np=-1
Wp=-2
= $S_1$2

S_1 S_2

Np=+1
Wp=+2
= $S_2$2

S_1
−

S_2
+

n+3 n+2 n+2 n+1 n+1   n n   n+1 n+1 n+2 n+2 n+3

n−3 n−2 n−1   n   n+1 n+2 n+3

IDO   IDO

Global View
(Gv_1)

Np=-2
Wp=-2
= $S_1$2

IOSF

Np=+2
Wp=+2
= $S_2$2

Global View
(Gv_2)

Global View
(Gv_1)

S_1
−

S_2
+

Global View
(Gv_2)

View is Observation

Figure 6. Real coordinate system. Condition 3, coordinate system according to StrZai on the

G-axis (horizontal axis). The orthogonal axis is the K-axis. Single StrZai ($Np = S_1 \cdot 1 = -1$, $Wp =$

$S_1 \cdot 2 = -2$) ($Np = S_2 \cdot 1 = +1$, $Wp = S_2 \cdot 2 = +2$) and StrZai continuum ($Np = S_1 \cdot 2 = -2$, $Wp =$

$S_1 \cdot 2 = -2$) ($Np = S_2 \cdot 2 = +2$, $Wp = S_2 \cdot 2 = +2$). Po is time ($t = 5$).

red line: $\frac{1}{2} a \left(t \triangle \right)^2 \{ _R\text{Str side}(S_1 \text{ side})\}$, $\frac{1}{2} a \left(t \triangle \right)^2 \{ _L\text{Str side}(S_2 \text{ side})\}$ (C3),

blue line: $v_0 \left(t \triangle \right)^1 \{ _R\text{Str side}(S_1 \text{ side})\}$, $v_0 \left(t \triangle \right)^1 \{ _L\text{Str side}(S_2 \text{ side})\}$ (C3),

$$y_0 \left(t \triangle \right)^0 + y_0 \left(t \triangle \right)^0 = 0, \qquad y_0 \triangle + y_0 \triangle = 0 = | y_0 \quad at \text{ origin} \quad (C3)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} a \left(t \triangle \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} a \left(t \triangle \right)^2 = 0, \quad v_0 \left(t \triangle \right)^1 + v_0 \left(t \triangle \right)^1 = 0 \quad (C3)$$

$$t \geq 0$$

The C3 coordinate system solves the problems of singularity, integration constant and initial

value. The red (and blue) line denotes the 'right line'.

Figure 7. Antigen–antibody reaction according to Lotka–Volterra equations. The solid line

represents bacteria, whilst the dashed line represents leukocytes.