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## SUMMARY

A recent article in Cell reported a new form of modified rabies virus that was apparently capable of labeling neurons "without adverse effects on neuronal physiology and circuit function". These "self-inactivating" rabies ("SiR") viruses differed from the widely-used first-generation deletionmutant $(\Delta G)$ rabies viruses only by the addition of a destabilization domain to the viral nucleoprotein. However, we observed that the transsynaptic tracing results from that article were inconsistent with the logic described in it, and we hypothesized that the viruses used were actually mutants that had lost the intended modification to the nucleoprotein. We obtained samples of two SiR viruses from the authors and show here that, in both "SiR-CRE" and "SiR-FLPo", the great majority of viral particles were indeed mutants that had lost the intended modification and were therefore just first-generation, $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ rabies viruses. We also found that SiR-CRE killed $70 \%$ of infected neurons in vivo within two weeks. We have shown elsewhere that a $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ rabies virus encoding Cre can leave a large percentage of labeled neurons alive; we presume that Ciabatti et al. found such remaining neurons at long survival times and mistakenly concluded that they had developed a nontoxic version of rabies virus. Here we have analyzed only the two samples that were sent to MIT by Ciabatti et al., and these may not be from the same batches that were used for their paper. However, 1) both of the two viruses that we analyzed had independently lost the intended modification, 2) the mutations in the two samples were genetically quite distinct from each other yet in both cases caused the same result: total or near-total loss of the C-terminal modification, and 3) the mutations that we found in these two virus samples perfectly explain the otherwise-paradoxical transsynaptic tracing results from Ciabatti et al.'s paper. We suggest that the SiR strategy, or any other such attempt to attenuate a virus by addition rather than deletion, is an inherently unstable approach that can easily be evaded by mutation, as it was in this case.

## INTRODUCTION

Monosynaptic tracing based on deletion-mutant rabies virus has become an important tool in neuroscience since its introduction in 2007(Wickersham et al., 2007b) and remains the only way of labeling neurons directly presynaptic to some targeted neuronal group in the absence of prior hypotheses(Augustine et al., 2018; Evans et al., 2018; Kaelberer et al., 2018; Kohl et al., 2018). Its core principles are 1) the selective infection of the targeted neuron group with a recombinant rabies virus with one deleted gene (which in all work published to date is the " G " gene encoding its envelope glycoprotein), and 2) the in vivo complementation of the deletion by expression of the deleted gene in trans in the targeted starting neurons. With all its gene products present in the starting cells, the virus can fully replicate within them and spreads, as wild-type rabies virus does, to the cells directly presynaptic to the initially infected neurons. Unlike wild-type rabies virus, however, once inside the presynaptic cells, the deletion-mutant (" $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ ", denoting the deletion of G) is unable to produce its glycoprotein and is therefore unable to spread beyond these secondarily infected cells, resulting in labeling of just the neurons in the initially targeted population and ones that are directly presynaptic to them(Wickersham et al., 2007b).

A drawback of these $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ (or "first-generation"(Chatterjee et al., 2018)) rabies viruses is that they are cytotoxic(Chatterjee et al., 2018; Reardon et al., 2016; Wickersham et al., 2007a),
which has spurred several labs to develop less-toxic versions. Reardon, Murray et al.(Reardon et al., 2016) found that simply using $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ rabies virus of a different parent strain - switching from the original SAD B19 strain to the more neuroinvasive CVS N2c strain(Morimoto et al., 1999) decreased toxicity and increased transsynaptic labeling efficiency. Our own group has taken a more drastic approach, recently introducing "second-generation" rabies viruses from which both G and a second gene, "L", encoding the viral polymerase, are deleted(Chatterjee et al., 2018). Deletion of $L$ reduces the transcriptional activity of the virus to extremely low levels, eliminating any detectable toxicity but necessitating that the viruses encode Cre or FLPo recombinase (instead of more normal transgene products) and are used in reporter mice or in combination with recombinase-dependent adeno-associated viral vectors (AAVs). While we showed in our paper only that these second-generation, " $\Delta$ GL" viruses are efficient means of direct retrograde targeting of projection neurons, $\Delta G L$ viruses can also be used (in work so far unpublished) for monosynaptic tracing, at the cost of the additional complexity of expressing the second deleted gene in trans.

In another notable development, Ciabatti et al. claimed in a paper published in Cell that they had created a nontoxic version of $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ rabies virus that could be used for monosynaptic tracing with no need to express any additional genes other than G(Ciabatti et al., 2017). The new virus, termed "self-inactivating rabies" virus or "SiR", was simply a $\Delta \mathrm{G}$, SAD B19 strain rabies virus except for one modification: the addition of a destabilization domain to the viral nucleoprotein, encoded by " N ", the first gene in the rabies viral genome. This destabilization (or "PEST") domain was linked to the nucleoprotein's $C$ terminus by the cleavage site of tobacco etch virus protease (TEVP). The authors' intent was that, in the absence of TEVP, newly-produced nucleoprotein (the indispensable protein which encapsidates the viral genome and without which no transcription or replication can occur) would be rapidly degraded because of the attached destabilization domain and that the transcription and replication activity of the virus would therefore be greatly reduced, making the virus nontoxic. Because of this reduction in transcription, the SiR viruses encoded Cre or FLPo recombinases and were used in reporter mice or with reporter AAVs, paralleling our own strategy using $\Delta \mathrm{GL}$ rabies viruses(Chatterjee et al., 2018). However, in the presence of TEVP, the destabilization domain would be cleaved from the C terminus of the nucleoprotein, which therefore would not be degraded and allow normal levels of transcription and replication of the virus. In the words of Ciabatti et al., "the virus should be able to transcribe and replicate only when TEVp is present"(Ciabatti et al., 2017). So far, so good, and most of the results reported in their paper are logically consistent with a virus that is conditionally deficient in nucleoprotein production.

However, Ciabatti et al. then went on to show that their "SiR" viruses could be used for monosynaptic tracing in vivo with no need for TEVP expression at all: the viruses could simply be complemented by $G$ expression in the starting cell population and efficiently spread to putatively presynaptic neurons. In their lack of dependence on TEVP expression, the SiR viruses behaved as if they were simply first-generation, $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ rabies viruses. This was a paradoxical result: the modified nucleoprotein, which was supposed to require TEVP-mediated removal of the destabilization domain in order to accumulate in infected cells and allow viral replication, appeared not to in the starting cells in vivo. Furthermore, despite this apparent ability to replicate perfectly well despite the C-terminal degron that was intended to prevent it from doing so, the virus appeared not to kill neurons: Ciabatti et al. found many surviving putatively-presynaptic neurons at three weeks after rabies virus injection.

We hypothesized an alternative explanation for these results, as follows.
As an incidental finding from the control experiments in our paper on second-generation ( $\Delta \mathrm{GL}$ ) rabies virus(Chatterjee et al., 2018), we discovered that even first-generation ( $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ ) virus could apparently be made significantly less toxic simply by switching the transgene that it encodes from some "normal" one like the tdTomato gene to the coding sequence for Cre recombinase. Following an initial die-off of a fraction of neurons infected with a Cre-encoding $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ virus, a comparably large fraction survived for four months, the longest we followed them, with modest
physiological changes in some(Chatterjee et al., 2018). These findings suggested a "quick and dirty" way of making a less-toxic, but by no means completely nontoxic, monosynaptic tracing system: use a first-generation, $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ rabies virus encoding a recombinase and do the experiments in reporter mice.

We hypothesized that Ciabatti et al. had inadvertently done exactly that. Specifically, because rhabdoviruses have high mutation rates(Combe and Sanjuan, 2014; Holland et al., 1992; Holmes et al., 2002; Jenkins et al., 2002; Steinhauer et al., 1989; Steinhauer and Holland, 1987), and because production of high-titer rabies virus stocks for in vivo injection typically involves repeated passaging on complementing cell lines(Osakada and Callaway, 2013; Wickersham and Sullivan, 2015; Wickersham et al., 2010), which affords ample opportunity for accumulation of mutants with a selective replication advantage, we hypothesized that the viruses that Ciabatti et al. had actually ended up with and used for their transsynaptic tracing experiments were mutants with premature stop codons at or near the end of the native nucleoprotein gene and before the sequence of the destabilization domain. If so, their "SiR-CRE" virus would be a simple firstgeneration, $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ rabies viral vector expressing Cre. Because we have shown that such a virus can leave a large percentage of infected cells alive, the presence of surviving cells even at long time points would have misled the authors into concluding that they had developed a new kind of rabies virus that did not kill cells but that could be used for monosynaptic tracing by simple complementation by G alone.

Here we show that our hypothesis was correct: in both of the two SiR viruses to which we had access, the vast majority of viral particles had mutations in their genomes that caused the complete loss of the intended C-terminal addition to the nucleoprotein, so that they were just ordinary first-generation $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ rabies viral vectors. We also tested the SiR-CRE virus in vivo and found that it was rapidly cytotoxic.

## RESULTS

We analyzed samples of two viruses sent directly from the Tripodi lab to MIT in September 2017, two months after the publication in which they were introduced(Ciabatti et al., 2017): "EnvA/SiR-CRE" (made from genome plasmid Addgene 99608, pSAD-F3-NPEST-iCRE-2AmCherryPEST) and "EnvA/SiR-FLPo" (made from genome plasmid Addgene 99609, pSAD-F3-NPEST-FLPo-2A-mCherryPEST). These two viruses, like all of the other rabies viruses described in Ciabatti et al., have the SAD B19 strain of rabies virus as their parent strain. Both of the viruses sent by the Tripodi lab had been packaged with the avian and sarcoma virus subgroup A envelope glycoprotein ("EnvA") for targeted infection of cells expressing EnvA's receptor, TVA(Wickersham et al., 2007b).

For comparison with the two SiR viruses, we made control viruses from our own laboratory: three first-generation vectors RV $\Delta \mathrm{G}-4$ Cre(Chatterjee et al., 2018), RV $\Delta \mathrm{G}-4 \mathrm{FLPo}$ (see Methods), and RV $\Delta$ G-4mCherry(Weible et al., 2010), and two second-generation vectors RV $\Delta$ GL4 Cre and RV $\Delta$ GL-4FLPo(Chatterjee et al., 2018). All of these viruses are also on the SAD B19 background, like the SiR viruses. For each of the four viruses from our laboratory, we made one preparation packaged with the EnvA envelope protein and one preparation packaged with the native rabies virus (SAD B19 strain) glycoprotein (denoted as "B19G").

## Anti-nucleoprotein immunostaining

We infected reporter cell lines with serial dilutions of the ten viruses described above (the two EnvA-enveloped SiR viruses and the eight from our own lab: $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ vs. $\Delta \mathrm{GL}$, Cre vs. FLPo, EnvA vs. B19G). Three days later, we immunostained the cells for rabies virus nucleoprotein and imaged the cells with confocal microscopy.

As seen in Figure 1, we found that the cells infected with the SiR viruses looked very similar to those infected with the first-generation, $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ viruses. Notably, the viral nucleoprotein, which in the SiR viruses is supposed to be destabilized and degrade rapidly in the absence of TEVP, accumulated in the SiR-infected cells in clumpy patterns that looked very similar to those in the cells infected with the first-generation, $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ viruses. By contrast, the cells infected with the second-generation, $\Delta \mathrm{GL}$ viruses, which we have shown is actually attenuated and really does not kill cells(Chatterjee et al., 2018), did not show any such nucleoprotein accumulation, clumped or otherwise, only punctate labeling presumably indicating isolated viral particles or post-infection uncoated viral particles (ribonucleoprotein complexes) that really are not replicating.

## Longitudinal two-photon imaging in vivo

To see whether the SiR viruses kill neurons in the brain, we conducted longitudinal twophoton imaging in vivo of virus-labeled neurons in visual cortex of tdTomato reporter mice, as we had done previously to demonstrate the nontoxicity of second-generation rabies virus(Chatterjee et al., 2018) (Figure 2). Because the SiR viruses were EnvA-enveloped, we first injected a lentivirus expressing EnvA's receptor TVA, then one week later we injected either SiR-CRE or one of two EnvA-enveloped viruses made in our laboratory: the first-generation virus RV $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ 4 Cre(EnvA) or the second-generation virus RV $\Delta$ GL-4Cre(EnvA). Beginning one week after rabies virus injection, we imaged labeled neurons at the injection site every seven days for four weeks, so that we could track the fate of individual neurons over time.

As we have found in our previous work(Chatterjee et al., 2018), our second-generation virus RV $\Delta$ GL-4Cre did not kill neurons to any appreciable degree: all but a tiny handful of the neurons labeled by this virus at 7 days after injection were still present three weeks later in all mice. Again as we have found previously(Chatterjee et al., 2018), our first-generation virus RV $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ 4 Cre did kill neurons, but by no means all of them.

However, we found that the putatively nontoxic SiR-CRE caused a steep loss of neurons much more pronounced than even our first-generation virus did. By 14 days after injection, 70\% of cells seen at 7 days were dead; by 28 days, $81 \%$ were.

There is a possible confound from our use of the tdTomato reporter line Ai14 (which we used primarily because we already had large numbers of mice of this line): because SiR-CRE is actually "SiR-iCRE-2A-mCherryPEST", designed to coexpress mCherry (with an added Cterminal PEST domain intended to destabilize it, as for the nucleoprotein) along with Cre, it is conceivable that some of the SiR-CRE-labeled red cells at 7 days were only expressing mCherry and not tdTomato. If the destabilized mCherry were expressed only transiently, as Ciabatti et al. intended it to be(Ciabatti et al., 2017), and a significant fraction of SiR-CRE virions had mutations in the Cre gene so they did not express functioning Cre, then it is possible that some of the red cells seen at 7 days were labeled only with mCherry that stopped being visible by 14 days, so that it would only look like those cells had died.

We viewed this alternative explanation as unlikely, because Ciabatti et al. injected SiRCRE in an EYFP reporter line and found no cells labeled only with mCherry and not EYFP at 6 days and 9 days postinjection (see Figure S4 in Ciabatti et al.). Nevertheless, we addressed this potential objection in several ways.

First, we sequenced the transgene inserts (iCre-P2A-mCherryPEST) of 21 individual SiRCRE viral particles (see Supplementary File S1) and found that only 2 out of 21 had mutations in the Cre gene, suggesting that there would not have been a large population of cells only labeled by mCherry and not tdTomato.

Second, we simply redid some of the SiR-CRE injections and imaging in a different reporter line: Ai35, expressing Arch-EGFP-ER2 after Cre recombination(Madisen et al., 2012) (Jax 012735). Although we found that the membrane-localized green fluorescence from the Arch-EGFP-ER2 fusion protein unfortunately was too dim and diffuse at 7 days postinjection for us to
get clear images, we were able to obtain clear images of a number of cells at 11 days postinjection. We found that $46 \%$ of them had disappeared only three days later (see Supplementary Video S1 and Supplementary Figure S2), and 86\% had disappeared by 28 days postinjection, consistent with a rapid die-off. Furthermore, we found that the red fluorescence in Ai35 mice, which was due only to the mCherry expressed by the virus, was much dimmer than the red fluorescence in Ai14 mice at the same time point of 7 days postinjection and with the same imaging parameters (see Supplementary Figure S1): the mean intensity was 45.86 (arbitrary units, or a.u.) in Ai14 but only 16.29 a.u. in Ai35. This is consistent with the published findings that tdTomato is a much brighter fluorophore than mCherry(Shaner et al., 2004), particularly with twophoton excitation(Drobizhev et al., 2011), and it is also consistent with Ciabatti et al.'s addition of a destabilization domain to mCherry's C-terminus (although we also observed that there were still red fluorescent cells present at week 4 in Ai35 (data not shown)). We therefore redid the counts of labeled cells in our Ai14 datasets to include only cells with fluorescence at 7 days of more than 32.33 a.u., the midpoint of the mean intensities in Ai35 versus Ai14 mice, in order to exclude neurons that might have been labeled with mCherry alone. As seen in Figure S3, restricting the analysis to the cells that were brightest at 7 days (and therefore almost certainly not labeled with just mCherry instead of either just tdTomato or a combination of both mCherry and tdTomato) made no major difference: $70.0 \%$ of SiR-labeled neurons had disappeared by 14 days, and $80.8 \%$ were gone by 21 days.

## Sequencing of viral genomes: Sanger sequencing

In order to directly test our hypothesis that the SiR viruses had developed premature stop codons removing the PEST domain in a majority of viral particles, we sequenced the genomes of a large number of individual viral particles using two different techniques.

First, we used ordinary Sanger sequencing to determine the sequence in the vicinity of the end of the nucleoprotein gene for 50-51 individual viral particles of each of the two SiR viruses and for a first-generation virus from our own laboratory, RV $\Delta$ G-4mCherry (Figure 3). We ensured the isolation of individual viral genomes by using a primer with a random 8-base index for the reverse transcription step, so that the cDNA copy of each RNA viral genome would have a unique index. Following the reverse transcription step, we amplified the genomes by standard PCR, cloned the amplicons into a generic plasmid, transformed this library into E.coli and sequenced plasmids purified from individual colonies. As shown in Figure 3, the results confirmed that our hypothesis was correct.

In the SiR-CRE sample, $100 \%$ of the 51 sequenced viral particles had lost the PEST domain. 50 out of the 51 had the same point mutation in the linker between the end of the native nucleoprotein gene and the TEVP cleavage site, converting a glycine codon (GGA) to a stop codon (TGA) so that the only modification to the C-terminus of the nucleoprotein was the addition of two amino acids (a glycine and a serine). The one sequenced viral particle that did not have this point mutation had a single-base insertion in the second-to-last codon of the native nucleoprotein gene, frameshifting the rest of the sequence and resulting in 15 amino acids of nonsense followed by a stop codon before the start of the PEST domain sequence.

In the SiR-FLPo sample, the situation was somewhat more interesting: out of 50 sequenced viral particles, 18 had the same stop codon that was found in almost all genomes in the Cre sample, while another 28 had a different stop codon three amino acids upstream, immediately at the end of the native nucleoprotein gene (converting a serine codon (TCA) to a stop codon (TGA). Four viral particles had no mutations in the sequenced region. Thus 46/50 (92\%) of the SiR-FLPo viral particles sequenced had lost the PEST domain.

In contrast, in the first-generation virus from our own lab, RV $\Delta$ G-4mCherry, none of the 50 viral particles sequenced had mutations in the sequenced region on the end of the nucleoprotein gene.

## Sequencing of viral genomes: Single-molecule, real-time (SMRT) sequencing

As a second approach to analyzing the mutations present in the SiR viruses, we employed an advanced large-scale sequencing technology: single-molecule, real-time ("SMRT") sequencing, which provides independent sequences of tens of thousands of individual single molecules in a sample in parallel (Figure 4). The results from this advanced sequencing method were quite consistent with the results from the Sanger sequencing presented above. As with the sample preparation for Sanger sequencing, we included a random (10 bases in this case) index in the reverse transcription primer, again so that the cDNA copy of each RNA viral genome molecule would be labeled with a unique index.

SMRT sequencing entails circularization of the DNA molecules and multiple consecutive passes around the resulting circular molecule, with the redundancy provided by this repeated sequencing of each position increasing the signal to noise ratio and statistical significance of the results. The numbers presented in Figure 4 and below use the default of including only clones that had at least three reads of each base ("circular consensus sequence 3", or "CCS3" in Supplementary File S3). Using the increasingly stringent criteria of requiring either five or eight reads per base (CCS5 or CCS8) reduced the numbers of qualifying genomes in all cases and changed the percentages slightly but gave overall very similar results. Because read accuracy for SMRT sequencing is $\geq 98 \%$ (circular consensus sequencing with 3 passes (see https://www.mscience.com.au/upload/pages/pacbio/technical-note---experimental-design-for-targeted-sequencing.pdf), we used a conservative threshold of $2 \%$ frequency of any given point mutation position in order to screen out false positives. Also to be very conservative, for Figure 4 we ignored all apparent frame shifts caused by insertions and deletions, because insertions in particular are prone to false positives with SMRT sequencing(Carneiro et al., 2012). See Supplementary File S3 for details, including details of frameshifts due to insertions; Supplementary Files S4-S6 contain the sequences of the PCR amplicons that would be expected based on published sequences of the three viruses. In summary:

As a control, we used a virus from our own laboratory, RV $\Delta \mathrm{G}-4 \mathrm{Cre}$ (Chatterjee et al., 2018) (see Addgene \#98034 for reference sequence). Out of 17,978 sequenced genomes of this virus, we found no mutations above threshold frequency at the end of N . We did find that 1,706 viral particles ( $9.49 \%$ ) had a nonsynonymous mutation (TCT (Ser) -> ACT (Thr)) farther up in N at amino acid position 419 (31 amino acids upstream of the end of the 450-aa native protein). We do not know if this mutation is functionally significant, although it is not present in CVS N2c(Wirblich and Schnell, 2011), HEP-Flury(Tao et al., 2010), ERA(Prehaud et al., 2003), or Pasteur strains (Genbank GU992320), so these particles may effectively be N-knockouts that were propagated by coinfection with virions with intact N (see Discussion for more on "defective interfering particles").

For the SiR-CRE virus, out of 22,205 viral genomes sequenced, 22,032 had the premature stop codon (GGA -> TGA) in the linker between the native nucleoprotein gene and the TEVP cleavage site sequence. In other words, even without including frameshifts, at least $99.22 \%$ of the individual viral particles in the SiR-CRE sample were simply first-generation $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ vectors.

For the SiR-FLPo virus, out of 17,086 viral genomes sequenced, 5,979 had the stop codon (GGA -> TGA) in the linker, 8,624 had the stop codon (TCA -> TGA) at the end of $N$, and a further 28 had a different stop codon (TCA -> TAA) at the same position at the end of N . Of these, 305 viral particles had premature stop codons at both of these two positions, so that the total number of viral particles with one or both stop codons immediately before the PEST domain was (8624 + $5979+28-305=14,326$. In other words, at least $83.85 \%$ of the individual viral particles in the SiR-FLPo sample were simply first-generation $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ vectors, with the only modification of the nucleoprotein being either two amino acids added to, or one amino acid lost from, the C-terminus.

## DISCUSSION

Although many of the results presented in Ciabatti et al.(Ciabatti et al., 2017) seemed plausible, the results showing transsynaptic tracing did not. The degron fused to the C terminus of the nucleoprotein was supposed to require removal by TEV protease in order to allow replication of the SiR virus, but the viruses seemed to replicate fine in vivo with no TEV protease present at all. Here we have shown that the likely explanation is that the SiR viruses have simply lost the intended C-terminal modification of the nucleoprotein.

We do not believe that the escape of the SiR viruses from the modification intended to attenuate them was a fluke due to bad luck with a couple of batches, for the following reasons. First, both of the two SiR virus samples to which we had access had independently developed mutations causing loss of the intended C-terminal addition to the nucleoprotein, and, because the two samples were of completely different viruses (one was SiR-CRE; the other SiR-FLPo), the two viruses clearly had each independently escaped the intended modification rather than both deriving from a single compromised parental stock. Second, the mutation profiles of the two viruses were quite different: whereas the SiR-CRE sample had the same point mutation in nearly $100 \%$ of its viral particles, only a minority of the SiR-FLPo particles had that particular mutation, with the majority having a different point mutation three codons away that had the same result. This suggests that any of the many opportunities for removing the C-terminal addition - creation of a premature stop codon at any one of a number of sites, a frameshift mutation anywhere in the vicinity, or any combination of these - can be exploited by a given batch of virus, greatly increasing the probability of such mutants arising and dominating the population. Third, the apparently paradoxical transsynaptic tracing results described by Ciabatti et al. - namely that viral replication and spread occurred in vivo in the absence of TEVP, which should have been required - are difficult to explain unless the viral preparations used for those experiments harbored exactly the kind of mutations we found in the two preparations to which we did have access. We would therefore predict that any SiR preparation that has been found to be capable of efficient transsynaptic spread under the conditions described in Ciabatti et al. will be found either to consist primarily of "escape mutants" similar to those that we have described here or, as we discuss below, to have developed such mutations in vivo.

It may be that, given sufficient care and expertise, it is possible under some circumstances to make Si R virus with the intended C-terminal addition intact. This to some extent is beside the point. What we would predict, to restate the above, is that any SiR virus that does retain the intended C-terminal modification to the nucleoprotein would be incapable of appreciable transsynaptic spread under the conditions Ciabatti et al. described, i.e., in the absence of TEVP. Whether such a virus would be capable of significant transsynaptic spread even in the presence of TEVP is unclear, but there are no results in Ciabatti et al. that indicate this.

However, even if a pure SiR viral preparation were able to be made and sequence-verified before injection, the kinds of reversion mutations that we have shown here could just as easily occur in vivo as the virus replicated within the starting postsynaptic neurons, so that the virus would once again revert to the toxic, unmodified $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ version. These mutations in situ would be very difficult to detect, short of extracting the viral genomes from labeled cells and sequencing them.

Given that the $\operatorname{SiR}$ samples that we analyzed were in fact just first-generation $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ viruses, and the viruses used for at least the otherwise-inexplicable transsynaptic tracing experiments in Ciabatti et al. probably were as well, why did Ciabatti et al. find, in postmortem tissue, cells labeled by SiR-CRE that had survived for weeks? The answer may be that, as we have shown in Chatterjee et al.(Chatterjee et al., 2018) and again here (Figure 2), a first-generation rabies viral vector expressing Cre, while it kills a large fraction of infected neurons, can also leave a similarly large fraction of labeled cells alive for at least months and perhaps indefinitely (and Gomme et al. found that a replication-competent rabies virus expressing Cre also allowed long-term survival of
some neurons(Gomme et al., 2012)). Interestingly, although we have not done similarly painstaking quantitative studies of a first-generation $(\Delta G)$ virus expressing Flpo, it appears that the FLPo-expressing version is not comparably nontoxic (Supplementary Figure S4). This is still consistent with Ciabatti et al.'s results, however, because they provided no evidence that their SiR-FLP (which was used only for Figure S 6 in their paper) left cells alive for any long durations.

As to our initially surprising incidental finding in Chatterjee et al. (and again see also Figure 2 in the present paper) that a first-generation, $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ rabies virus encoding Cre, in stark contrast to similar ones encoding tdTomato(Chatterjee et al., 2018) or EGFP(Wickersham et al., 2007a), can leave a large fraction of labeled cells alive even for months after infection, there are several possible explanations.

The most obvious explanation for why a simple $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ rabies virus encoding Cre can leave many cells alive is that Cre is for some reason less toxic than tdTomato, EGFP, channelrhodopsin, or other payloads. It might be, for example, that the nuclear localization of Cre causes its rapid accumulation to activate less of an immune response than does that of the cytoplasmic or membrane-bound proteins like EGFP. This would suggest that addition of a nuclear localization signal to, e.g., tdTomato would render a $\Delta G$ vector encoding it to be less toxic. We have not tested this possibility, but our finding that a $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ vector encoding FLPo, which is also nuclearly-localized, does not seem to share the reduced toxicity of RV $\Delta$ G-Cre (Supplementary Figure S4) might appear to argue against this explanation.

It may be that the primary reason for the reduced toxicity of the first-generation $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ vectors encoding Cre is, fittingly, the same high mutation rate that we have highlighted in this paper. We have of course shown in Chatterjee et al.(Chatterjee et al., 2018) that a second-generation, $\Delta \mathrm{GL}$ virus, which has the polymerase gene L deleted in addition to the glycoprotein gene G , leaves cells alive indefinitely. However, any first-generation $(\Delta G)$ vector that develops a frameshift or point mutation knocking out $L$ is in practice a $\Delta G L$ vector. Indeed, a stop codon or frameshift mutation in the nucleoprotein or phosphoprotein genes is likely to have a similar effect as one in L (and it might be that the Ser419Thr mutation that we found in $9.49 \%$ of our RV $\Delta \mathrm{G}-4$ Cre virions is just such a knockout mutation of N ). Together with the high mutation rate of rabies virus, this means that, within every preparation of first-generation rabies virus there is almost guaranteed to be a large population of de facto second-generation variants mixed in with the intended firstgeneration population and propagated in the producer cells by complementation by the firstgeneration virions. While any rabies virus preparation (whether made in the laboratory or occurring naturally) can be expected to contain a large fraction of such knockout (whether by substitution, frameshift, or deletion) mutants (classically known as "defective interfering particles" (Clark et al., 1981; Kawai and Matsumoto, 1977; Wiktor et al., 1977); the higher the multiplicity of infection when passaging the virus, the higher the proportion of such freeloading viral particles typically will be), this would not necessarily be noticed in the case of a virus encoding some more typical transgene product such as EGFP or tdTomato, because the expression levels of these by the knockout mutants would be too low to label cells clearly (see Figure 1 in Chatterjee et al.(Chatterjee et al., 2018)). However, with Cre as the transgene, these "second-generation" particles can label neurons, which would then not die off, whereas the ones infected by the actual first-generation particles (i.e., those that have all their viral genes intact apart from the deleted G) presumably do die. This explanation would predict that the percentage of neurons surviving infection with a rabies virus encoding Cre (or presumably FLPo) will depend on the particular viral preparation that is injected, with some presumably having a greater fraction of knockout particles than others.

Finally, generalizing from our findings in the specific case we have examined here: we suggest that the SiR approach, or any other such attempt to attenuate a virus by addition rather than deletion, and particularly by addition to the $C$ terminus of a viral protein, is an inherently unstable strategy. Any such modification can so easily be lost by mutation (by creation of either a stop codon or a frameshift), and any mutant that loses it will immediately possess a replication
advantage over the attenuated virions so can quickly dominate the population, as we have shown happened with the SiR viruses.

## METHODS

## Cloning

Lentiviral transfer plasmids were made by cloning, into pCSC-SP-PW-GFP(Marr et al., 2004) (Addgene \#12337), the following components:
the CAG promoter(Niwa et al., 1991) and a Cre-dependent "FLEX"(Atasoy et al., 2008) construct consisting of pairs of orthogonal lox sites flanking a back-to-back fusion of the gene for mTagBFP2(Subach et al., 2011) immediately followed by the reverse-complemented gene for mCherry(Shaner et al., 2004), to make the Cre reporter construct pLV-CAG-FLEX-BFP(mCherry)';
the CAG promoter(Niwa et al., 1991) and a Flp-dependent "FLEX"(Atasoy et al., 2008) construct consisting of pairs of orthogonal FRT sites(Turan et al., 2010) flanking a back-to-back fusion of the gene for mTagBFP2(Subach et al., 2011) immediately followed by the reverse-complemented gene for mCherry(Shaner et al., 2004), to make the Flp reporter construct pLV-CAG-F14F15S-BFP-(mCherry)';
the ubiquitin C promoter from pUB-GFP(Matsuda and Cepko, 2004) (Addgene 11155) and the long isoform of TVA(Bates et al., 1993) to make the TVA expression vector pLV-U-TVA950.

The first-generation vector genome plasmid pRV $\Delta$ G-4FLPo was made by cloning the FLPo gene(Raymond and Soriano, 2007) into $\mathrm{pRV} \Delta \mathrm{G}-4$ Cre.

The above novel plasmids have been deposited with Addgene with accession numbers 115234, 115235,115236 , and 122050.

## Production of lentiviral vectors

Lentiviral vectors were made by transfection of HEK-293T/17 cells (ATCC 11268)
as described(Wickersham et al., 2015) but with the use of the vesicular stomatitis virus envelope expression plasmid pMD2.G (Addgene 12259) for all vectors except for LV-U-TVA950(B19G), which was made using the rabies virus envelope expression plasmid pCAGB19GVSVGCD(Wickersham et al., 2015). Lentiviral vectors expressing fluorophores were titered as described(Sullivan and Wickersham, 2015); titers of LV-U-TVA950(VSVG) and LV-UTVA950(B19G) were assumed to be approximately the same as those of the fluorophoreexpressing lentiviral vectors produced in parallel.

## Production of titering cell lines

To make reporter cell lines, HEK-293T/17 cells were infected with either pLV-CAG-FLEX-BFP(mCherry)' or pLV-CAG-F14F15S-BFP-(mCherry)' at a multiplicity of infection of 100 in one 24well plate well each. Cells were expanded to $2 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$ plates each, then sorted on a FACS Aria to retain the top $10 \%$ most brightly blue fluorescent cells. After sorting, cells were expanded again to produce the cell lines 293T-FLEX-BC and 293T-F14F15S-BC, reporter lines for Cre and FLPo activity, respectively. TVA-expressing versions of these two cell lines were made by infecting one 24 -well plate well each with LV-U-TVA950(VSVG) at an MOI of approximately 100; these cells were expanded to produce the cell lines 293T-TVA-FLEX-BC and 293T-TVA-F14F15S-BC.

## Production and titering of rabies viruses

RV $\Delta$ G-4Cre and RV $\Delta$ GL-4Cre were produced as described(Chatterjee et al., 2018; Wickersham and Sullivan, 2015), with EnvA-enveloped viruses made by using cells expressing EnvA instead of G for the last passage. Titering and infection of cell lines with serial dilutions of viruses was as described(Wickersham et al., 2010), with the 293T-TVA-FLEX-BC and 293T-TVA-F14F15S-BC lines used for B19G-enveloped viruses and the 293T-TVA-FLEX-BC and 293T-TVA-F14F15SBC used for the EnvA-enveloped viruses. For the in vivo injections, the three EnvA-enveloped, Cre-encoding viruses were titered side by side, and the two higher-titer viruses were diluted so that the final titer of the injected stocks of all three viruses were approximately equal at 1.39E9 infectious units per milliliter.

## Immunostaining

Reporter cells (see above) plated on coverslips coated in poly-L-lysine (Sigma) were infected with serial dilutions of RV $\Delta$ G-4Cre and RV $\Delta$ GL-4Cre as described(Wickersham et al., 2010). Three days after infection, cells were fixed with $2 \%$ paraformaldehyde, washed repeatedly with blocking/permeabilization buffer ( $0.1 \%$ Triton-X (Sigma) and 1\% bovine serum albumin (Sigma) in PBS), then labeled with a blend of three FITC-conjugated anti-nucleoprotein monoclonal antibodies (Light Diagnostics Rabies DFA Reagent, EMD Millipore 5100) diluted 1:100 in blocking buffer for 30 minutes, followed by further washes in blocking buffer, then finally briefly rinsed with distilled water and air-dried before mounting the coverslips onto microscope slides with Prolong Diamond Antifade (Thermo P36970) mounting medium. Images of wells at comparable multiplicities of infection ( $\sim 0.1$ ) were collected on a Zeiss 710 confocal microscope.

## Virus injections and surgery

All experimental procedures using mice were conducted according to NIH guidelines and were approved by the MIT Committee for Animal Care (CAC). Mice were housed 1-4 per cage under a normal light/dark cycle for all experiments.

Adult (>9 weeks, male and female) Cre-dependent tdTomato reporter Ai14(Madisen et al., 2010) (Jackson Laboratory \#007908) or Arch-EGFP reporter Ai35D(Madisen et al., 2012) (Jackson Laboratory \# 012735) mice were anesthetized with isoflurane ( $4 \%$ in oxygen) and ketamine/xylazine ( $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ and $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ respectively, i.p.). Mice were given preemptive analgesics buprenorphine ( $0.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{s.q}$. .) and meloxicam ( $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{s} . q$.) as well as eye ointment (Puralube); the scalp was then shaved and mice mounted on a stereotaxic instrument (Stoelting Co.) with a hand warmer (Heat Factory) underneath the animal to maintain body temperature. Following disinfection with povidone-iodine, an incision was made in the scalp, and a 3 mm craniotomy was opened over primary visual cortex (V1). 300 nl of LV-U-TVA950(B19G) (see above) was injected into V1 ( -2.70 mm AP, 2.50 mm LM, -0.26 mm DV; AP and LM stereotaxic coordinates are with respect to bregma; DV coordinate is with respect to brain surface) using a custom injection apparatus comprised of a hydraulic manipulator (MO-10, Narishige) with headstage coupled via custom adaptors to a wire plunger advanced through pulled glass capillaries (Wiretrol II, Drummond) back-filled with mineral oil and front-filled with virus solution. Glass windows composed of a 3mm-diameter glass coverslip (Warner Instruments CS-3R) glued (Optical Adhesive 61, Norland Products) to a 5mm-diameter glass coverslip (Warner Instruments CS-5R) were then affixed over the craniotomy with Metabond (Parkell). Seven days after injection of the lentiviral vector, the coverslips were removed and 300 nl of one of the three EnvAenveloped rabies viral vectors (with equalized titers as described above) was injected at the same stereotaxic coordinates. Coverslips were reapplied and custom stainless steel headplates (eMachineShop) were affixed to the skulls around the windows.

In vivo two-photon imaging and image analysis

Beginning seven days after injection of each rabies virus and continuing every seven days up to a maximum of four weeks following rabies virus injection, the injection sites were imaged on a Prairie/Bruker Ultima IV In Vivo two-photon microscope driven by a Spectra Physics Mai-Tai Deep See laser with a mode locked Ti:sapphire laser emitting at a wavelength of 1020 nm for tdTomato (or mCherry) or 920 nm for EGFP. Mice were reanesthetized and mounted via their headplates to a custom frame, again with ointment applied to protect their eyes and with a handwarmer maintaining body temperature. One field of view was chosen in each mouse in the area of maximal fluorescent labelling. The imaging parameters were as follows: image size $512 \times 512$ pixels ( 282.6 $\mu \mathrm{m} \times 282.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ), 0.782 Hz frame rate, dwell time $4.0 \mu \mathrm{~s}$, 2 x optical zoom, Z-stack step size $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Image acquisition was controlled with Prairie View 5.4 software. Laser power exiting the 20x water-immersion objective (Zeiss, W plan-apochromat, NA 1.0) varied between 20 and 65 mW depending on focal plane depth (Pockel cell value was automatically increased from 450 at the top section of each stack to 750 at the bottom section). For the example images of labeled cells, maximum intensity projections (stacks of 150-400 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) were made with Fiji software. Cell counts was performed with the ImageJ Cell Counter plugin. When doing cell counting, week 1 tdTomato labelled cells were defined as a reference; remaining week 1 cells were the same cells at later time point that align with week 1 reference cells but the not-visible cells at week 1 (the dead cells). Plots of cell counts were made with Origin 7.0 software (OriginLab, Northampton, MA). For the thresholded version of this analysis (Supplementary Figure S3), in order to exclude cells that could possibly have been labeled only with mCherry in the SiR-CRE group, only cells with fluorescence intensity greater than the average of the mean red fluorescence intensities of cells imaged in Ai35 versus Ai14 mice at the same laser power at 1020 nm at 7 days postinjection (32.33 a.u.) were included in the population of cells tracked from 7 days onward.

## Sanger sequencing

RNA viral genomes were extracted from two Tripodi lab (EnvA/ SiR-CRE and EnvA/Sir-FLPo) and one Wickersham lab (RV $\Delta \mathrm{G}-4 \mathrm{mCherry}$ ) rabies virus samples using a Nucleospin RNA kit (Macherey-Nagel, Germany) and treated with DNase I $\left(37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ for 1 hour, followed by $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes). Extracted RNA genomes were converted to complementary DNA using an AccuScript PfuUltra II RT-PCR kit (Agilent Technologies, USA) at $42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 hours with the following barcoded primer annealing to the rabies virus leader sequence:
Adapter_N8_leader_fp: TCAGACGATGCGTCATGCNNNNNNNNACGCTTAACAACCAGATC
cDNA sequences from the leader through the first half of the rabies virus $P$ gene were amplified using Platinum SuperFi Green Master Mix (Invitrogen (Thermo Fisher), USA) with cycling conditions as follows: denaturation at $98^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 seconds, followed by 25 cycles of amplification (denaturation at $98^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 seconds and extension at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 75 seconds), with a final extension at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes, using the following primers:
pEX_adapter_fp: CAGCTCAGACGATGCGTCATGC
Barcode2_P_rp: GCAGAGTCATGTATAGCTTCTTGAGCTCTCGGCCAG
The ~2kb PCR amplicons were extracted from an agarose gel, purified with Nucleospin Gel and PCR Clean-up (Macherey-Nagel, Germany), and cloned into pEX-A (Eurofins Genomics, USA) using an In-Fusion HD Cloning Kit (Takara Bio, Japan). The cloned plasmids were transformed into Stellar competent cells (Takara Bio, Japan), and 200 clones per rabies virus sample were isolated and purified for sequencing. For each clone, the index and the 3 ' end of the N gene were sequenced until sequencing data was collected for over fifty clones per sample: 51 clones from SiR-CRE(EnvA), 50 from SiR-FLPo(EnvA), and 51 from RVAG-4mCherry(EnvA). Although viral samples may contain plasmid DNA, viral mRNA, and positive-sense anti-genomic RNA, this RTPCR procedure can amplify only the negative-sense RNA genome: the reverse transcription primer is designed to anneal to the leader sequence of the negative-strand genome so that cDNA
synthesis can start from the negative-sense RNA genome, with no other possible templates. Additionally, the PCR amplifies the cDNA, not any plasmids which were transfected into producer cell lines during viral vector production, because the forward PCR primer anneals to the primer used in the reverse transcription, rather than any viral sequence. This RT-PCR protocol ensures that only negative-sense RNA rabies viral genomes can be sequenced.

## Sanger sequencing of transgenes in SiR viruses

The procedure for sequencing the transgene inserts was the same as above, but with the RT primer being Adaptor_N8_M_fp (see below), annealing to the M gene and again with a random 8 -nucleotide index to tag each clone, and with PCR primers pEX_adaptor_fp (see above) and Barcode2_L_rp (see below), to amplify the sequences from the 3' end of the M gene to the 5' end of the L gene, covering the iCre-P2A-mCherryPEST (or FLPo-P2A-mCherryPEST) sequence.

Primers for RT and PCR for Sanger sequencing were as follows:
Adaptor_N8_M_fp:
TCAGACGATGCGTCATGCNNNNNNNNCAACTCCAACCCTTGGGAGCA
Barcode2_L_rp:
GCAGAGTCATGTATAGTTGGGGACAATGGGGGTTCC

## Sanger sequencing analysis

Alignment and mutation detection were performed using SnapGene 4.1.9 (GSL Biotech LLC, USA). Reference sequences of the viral samples used in this study were based on deposited plasmids in Addgene: pSAD-F3-NPEST-iCRE-2A-mCherryPEST (Addgene \#99608), pSAD-F3-NPEST-FLPo-2A-mCherryPEST (Addgene \#99609), and pRV $\Delta$ G-4mCherry (Addgene \#52488). Traces corresponding to indices and mutations listed in Figure 3 and Supplementary File S2 were also manually inspected and confirmed.

## Single-molecule, real-time (SMRT) sequencing

Double-stranded DNA samples for SMRT sequencing were prepared similarly to the above, except that that the clones generated from each of the three virus samples were tagged with one of the standard PacBio barcode sequences to allow identification of each clone's sample of origin following multiplex sequencing (see https://www.pacb.com/wp-content/uploads/multiplex-target-enrichment-barcoded-multi-kilobase-fragments-probe-based-capture-technologies.pdf and https://github.com/PacificBiosciences/Bioinformatics-Training/wiki/Barcoding-with-SMRT-Analysis-2.3). This was in addition to the random index (10 nucleotides in this case) that was again included in the RT primers in order to uniquely tag each individual genome.

RNA viral genomes were extracted from two Tripodi lab (SiR-CRE and Sir-FLPo) and one Wickersham lab (RV $\Delta \mathrm{G}-4$ Cre(Chatterjee et al., 2018); see Addgene \#98034 for reference sequence) virus samples using a Nucleospin RNA kit (Macherey-Nagel, Germany) and treated with DNase I ( $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour, followed by $70^{\circ}$ for 5 minutes). Primers for RT and PCR are listed below. PCR cycling conditions were as follows: denaturation at $98^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 seconds, followed by 20 cycles of amplification (denaturation at $98^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 seconds and extension at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 75 seconds), with a final extension at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes. This left each amplicon with a 16 bp barcode at each of its ends that indicated which virus sample it was derived from, in addition to a 10-nt index sequence that was unique to each genome molecule.

Primers for RT and PCR for SMRT sequencing were as follows:
RV $\Delta \mathrm{G}-4 \mathrm{Cre}$ :
RT:
Barcode1_cagc_N10_leader_fp:

```
            TCAGACGATGCGTCATCAGCNNNNNNNNNNACGCTTAACAACCAGATC
        PCR:
            Barcode1_cagc_fp: TCAGACGATGCGTCAT-CAGC
            Barcode2_P_rp (see above)
SiR-CRE:
        RT:
            Barcode5_cagc_N10_leader_fp:
            ACACGCATGACACACTCAGCNNNNNNNNNNACGCTTAACAACCAGATC
        PCR:
            Barcode5_cagc_fp: ACACGCATGACACACT-CAGC
            Barcode3_P_rp: GAGTGCTACTCTAGTACTTCTTGAGCTCTCGGCCAG
SiR-FLPo:
    RT:
            Barcode9_cagc_N10_leader_fp:
            CTGCGTGCTCTACGACCAGCNNNNNNNNNNACGCTTAACAACCAGATC
        PCR:
            Barcode9_cagc_fp: CTGCGTGCTCTACGAC-CAGC
            Barcode4_P_rp: CATGTACTGATACACACTTCTTGAGCTCTCGGCCAG
```

After the amplicons were extracted and purified from an agarose gel, the three were mixed together at 1:1:1 molar ratio. The amplicons' sizes were confirmed on the Fragment Analyzer (Agilent Technologies, USA), then hairpin loops were ligated to both ends of the mixed amplicons to make circular SMRTbell templates for Pacbio Sequel sequencing. SMRTbell library preparation used the PacBio Template Preparation Kit v1.0 and Sequel Chemistry v3. Samples were then sequenced on a PacBio Sequel system running Sequel System v.6.0 (Pacific Biosciences, USA), with a 10-hour movie time.

## Bioinformatics for PacBio sequence analysis

For the $\sim 2 \mathrm{~kb}$ template, the DNA polymerase with a strand displacement function can circle around the template and hairpins multiple times; the consensus sequence of multiple passes yields a CCS (circular consensus sequence) read for each molecule. Raw sequences were initially processed using SMRT Link v.6.0 (Pacific Biosciences, USA). Sequences were filtered for a minimum of read length 10 bp , pass 3, and read score 65. 127,178 CCS reads were filtered through passes 3 and Q10; 89,188 CCS reads through passes 5 and Q20; 29,924 CCS reads through passes 8 and Q30. Downstream bioinformatics analysis was performed using BLASR V5.3.2 for the alignment, bcftools v.1.6 for variant calling. Mutations listed in Figure 4 and Supplementary File S3 were also manually inspected and confirmed using Integrative Genomics Viewer 2.3.32 (software.broadinstitute.org/software/igv/). Analysis steps included the following: 1. Exclude CCS reads under 1000 bases, which may have been derived from non-specific reverse transcription or PCR reactions. 2. Classify the CCS reads to the three samples, according to the PacBio barcodes on the 5 ' ends. 3. For any CCS reads that contain the same 10 -nucleotide random index, select only one of them, to avoid double-counting of clones derived from the same cDNA molecule. 4. Align the reads to the corresponding reference sequence (see Supplementary Files S4-S6). 5. Count the number of mutations at each nucleotide position of the reference sequences.
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## FIGURE LEGENDS

Legends here are for the main figures only. Legends for Supplementary Information are after the main figure images below.

Figure 1: SiR viruses appear to cause expression of viral nucleoprotein at levels similar to those of firstgeneration $\Delta \mathbf{G}$ viruses.
(A-D) Reporter cells infected with first-generation, $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ viruses show characteristic bright, clumpy antinucleoprotein staining (green), indicating high nucleoprotein expression and active viral replication. Red is mCherry expression, reporting expression of Cre or FLPo; blue is mTagBFP2, constitutively expressed by these reporter cell lines.
(E-H) Reporter cells infected with second-generation, $\Delta \mathrm{GL}$ viruses show only punctate staining for nucleoprotein, indicating isolated individual viral particles or ribonucleoprotein complexes; these viruses do not replicate intracellularly(Chatterjee et al., 2018). Reporter cassette activation takes longer from the lower recombinase expression levels of these viruses, so mCherry expression is dimmer than in cells infected with $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ viruses at the same time point.
(I-J) Reporter cells infected with SiR viruses show clumps of nucleoprotein and rapid reporter expression indicating high expression of recombinases, similarly to cells infected with $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ viruses. Scale bar: $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, applies to all panels.

Figure 2: Longitudinal two-photon imaging in vivo shows that SiR virus kills approximately $\mathbf{8 0 \%}$ of infected neurons in vivo within 2-4 weeks.
A) Representative fields of view (FOVs) of visual cortical neurons labeled with RV $\Delta \mathrm{G}-4 \mathrm{Cre}$ (top row), RV $\Delta \mathrm{GL}-$ 4Cre (middle row), or SiR-CRE (bottom row) in Ai14 mice (Cre-dependent expression of tdTomato). Images within each row are of the same FOV imaged at the four different time points in the same mouse. Circles indicate cells that are present at 7 days postinjection but no longer visible at a subsequent time point. Scale bar: $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, applies to all images.
B-D) Numbers of cells present at week 1 that were still present in subsequent weeks. While very few cells labeled with RV $\Delta$ GL-4Cre were lost, and RV $\Delta$ G-4Cre killed a significant minority of cells, SiR-CRE killed the majority of labeled neurons within 14 days following injection.
E) Percentages of cells present at week 1 that were still present in subsequent imaging sessions. By 28 days postinjection, an average of only $20.5 \%$ of cells labeled by SiR-CRE remained.

Figure 3: Single-molecule Sanger sequencing of barcoded viral genomes reveals that most SiR virions have lost the intended C-terminal modification to the nucleoprotein.
(A) Schematic of the RT-PCR workflow. In the reverse transcription (RT) step, the RT primer, containing a random 8-nucleotide sequence, anneals to the 3 ' rabies virus leader, adding a unique random index to the $5^{\prime}$ end of the cDNA molecule corresponding to each individual viral particle's RNA genome. In the PCR step, the forward PCR primer anneals to the RT primer sequence and the reverse PCR primer anneals within the viral phosphoprotein gene $P$. Both PCR primers have 15-base sequences homologous to those flanking an insertion site in a plasmid used for sequencing, allowing the amplicons to be cloned into the plasmid using a seamless cloning method before transformation into bacteria. The resulting plasmid library consists of plasmids containing up to $4^{8}$ different index sequences, allowing confirmation that the sequences of plasmids purified from individual picked colonies correspond to the sequences of different individual rabies viral particles' genomes.
(B) Representative Sanger sequencing data of the 8 -bp index and the TEV-PEST sequence. Mutations are highlighted in red.
(C) Mutation variants and their frequencies in each viral vector sample based on Sanger sequencing data. No unmutated genomes were found in the SiR-CRE sample: 50 out of 51 had a substitution creating an opal stop codon just before the TEV cleavage site, and the $51^{\text {st }}$ genome contained a frameshift which also removed the C-terminal addition. In the SiR-FLPo sample, only 4 out of 50 clones had an intact sequence of the C-terminal addition; the other 46 out of 50 had one of two de novo stop codons at the end of $N$ or the beginning of the TEV cleavage site. In the sample of RV $\Delta$ G-4mCherry, a virus from our laboratory included as a control to distinguish true mutations on the rabies genomes from mutations due to the RT-PCR process, none of the 51 clones analyzed had mutations in the sequenced region.

Figure 4: Single-molecule, real-time (SMRT) sequencing of thousands of barcoded viral genomes confirms that most SiR virions have lost the intended C-terminal modification to the nucleoprotein.
(A) Schematic of workflow for SMRT sequencing. An RT primer with a random 10-nucleotide sequence anneals to the leader sequence on the negative-sense single-stranded RNA genome. Forward and reverse PCR primers have distinct SMRT barcodes at their 5' ends for the three different virus samples. After RT-PCR, each amplicon library consists of amplicons each containing a SMRT barcode to identify the sample of origin as well as the 10nucleotide index (i.e., with a potential diversity of $4^{10}$ different indices) to uniquely label the individual genome of origin. SMRT "dumbbell" adaptors are then ligated to the amplicons' ends, making circular templates which are then repeatedly traversed by a DNA polymerase, resulting in long polymerase reads each containing multiple reads of the positive and negative strands. The individual subreads for a given molecule are combined to form circular consensus sequence (CCS) reads.
(B) High-frequency ( $>2 \%$ ) point mutations found in the rabies vector samples based on SMRT sequencing. Horizontal axis represents nucleotide position along the reference sequences (see text); vertical axis represents variant frequency. Total number of CCS3 reads (i.e., with at least 3 subreads for each position) are 22,205 for SiR-CRE, 17,086 reads for SiR-FLPo, and 17,978 reads for RV $\Delta \mathrm{G}-4$ Cre. The great majority of SiR-CRE and SiR -FLPo genomes have point mutations creating premature stop codons at or just after the end of N and before the C-terminal addition. The only frequent (>2\%) mutation found in the control virus, RV $\Delta \mathrm{G}-4 \mathrm{Cre}$, was a single amino acid substitution at position 419 in $9.49 \%$ of virions. Insertions and deletions are not shown here (see text).
(C) Summary of results. In the SiR virus samples, $99.22 \%$ of SiR-Cre virions and $83.85 \%$ of SiR-FLPo virions had point mutations creating premature stop codons that completely removed the intended C-terminal addition to the nucleoprotein, making them simply first-generation $(\Delta G)$ rabies viral vectors. This does not include any insertions or deletions causing frameshifts (see text), which would further increase the percentage of first-generation-type virions in these samples. In the RV $\Delta$ G-4Cre sample, there were no premature stop codons at or near the end of the nucleoprotein gene.
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SiR-FLPo



$$
K \text { T Y S S D S G S* }
$$

(18/50) AAG ACA TAT TCG AGT GAC TCA GGT TCC TGA GAG AAC CTC TAC TTC CAA TCG GGA TCC GGT AGC CAT GGC TTC CCG Gly $453^{*}$
K T Y S S D *
(28/50) AAG ACA TAT TCG AGT GAC TGA GGT TCC GGA GAG AAC CTC TAC TTC CAA TCG GGA TCC GGT AGC CAT GGC TTC CCG Ser450*

RV $\Delta$ G-4mCherry


## A



Classify CCS reads based on their 5' and 3' barcodes


RV $\Delta$ G-4Cre
Total number of CCS reads: 17978


## LEGENDS FOR SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Supplementary File S1: >90\% of SiR-CRE viral particles with the mCherry gene intact also have the Cre gene intact, suggesting that most of the SiR-CRE-infected cells that disappear over time in tdTomato reporter mice are dying rather than simply stopping expression of mCherry.
We sequenced the transgene inserts for 21 individual SiR-CRE clones (see Methods). 19 out of 21 had no mutations in the Cre gene, and two had one point mutation each (Ala88Val and Arg189lle). All 21 had an intact mCherry gene. The lack of a large proportion of Cre-knockout mutants is one indication that the majority of red fluorescent neurons in SiR-CRE-injected Ai14 (tdTomato reporter) mice are not labeled only with mCherry, providing evidence that their disappearance is equivalent to their death. Parenthetically, we also partially sequenced the transgene insert for four individual clones of the SiR-FLPo sample, just to confirm the identity of the virus. In one of the four sequenced virions, we found a 15 bp deletion in the FLPo gene as well as a synonymous Thr -> $\operatorname{Thr}$ (ACC -> ACA) substitution at position 47 of the mCherry gene; in another, we found a Gly -> Ser mutation at position 4 of the mCherry gene. We did not find mutations in the transgenes of other two SiR-FLPo genomes sequenced (data not shown).

Supplementary Figure S1: mCherry fluorescence from SiR-CRE is much dimmer than tdTomato fluorescence in Ai14 mice, suggesting that the disappearance of the brighter cells in SiR-CRE-injected Ai14 mice indicates their death.
A) Representative images of red fluorescence in SiR-CRE-labeled cells in Ai14 (Cre-dependent expression of tdTomato, top row) and Ai35 (Cre-dependent expression of Arch-EGFP-ER2, bottom row). The three images for each mouse line are from 3 different mice of each line, imaged 7 days following SiR-CRE injection (see Methods), all with the same laser intensity and wavelength (1020 nm). Red fluorescence due only to mCherry (i.e., in Ai35 mice) is obviously much dimmer than that due to tdTomato (i.e., in Ai14 mice). Scale bar: $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, applies to all images.
B) Intensity of red fluorescence of SiR-CRE-labeled cells in Ai14 (left) and Ai35 (right) mice. Data point indicate intensity of individual cells in arbitrary units at the same laser and microscope settings (see Methods). Box plots indicate median, 25th-75th percentiles (boxes), and full range (whiskers) of intensities for each mouse. The average of the mean red fluorescent intensity in each mouse was 48.97 in Ai14 and 15.69 in Ai35 ( $p=0.00283$ < 0.01, one-way ANOVA); the midpoint of these means, 32.33, was used as the cutoff for the reanalysis of the data in Ai14 mice to exclude neurons that could have been labeled with mCherry alone.

## Supplementary Video S1: Video of 95\% of SiR-CRE-labeled neurons in an Arch-EGFP-ER2 reporter mouse disappearing between 11 days and 28 days postinjection.

Two-photon image stacks of a single FOV of visual cortical neurons in an Ai35 mouse imaged at four different time points; time in the video represents depth of focus. Large blobs are glia. 18 out of the 19 neurons visibly labeled with Arch-EGFP-ER2 at 11 days following injection of SiR-Cre are no longer visible 17 days later. White circles indicate cells present at both 11 days and all subsequent imaging sessions; red circles indicate cells present at 11 days but gone by 28 days.

Supplementary Figure S2: 86\% of SiR-CRE-labeled neurons in Arch-EGFP-ER2 reporter mice disappear between 11 days and 28 days after injection.
A) Maximum intensity projections of the two-photon FOV shown in Supplementary Video S1 of visual cortical neurons labeled with SiR-CRE in an Ai35 mouse, 11-28 days postinjection. Images are from the same FOV at four different time points. All cells clearly visible on day 11 are circled. In this example, 18 out of 19 cells (red circles) disappeared by a subsequent imaging session. Only one cell (white circle) is still visible on day 28. Numbers below four of the circles mark the cells for which intensity profiles are shown in panel B. Scale bar: 50 $\mu \mathrm{m}$, applies to all images.
B) Green fluorescence intensity versus depth for the four representative neurons numbered in panel $A$ at the four different time points, showing disappearance of three of them over time.
C) Fraction of cells visibly EGFP-labeled at day 11 still visible at later time points, from four different FOVs in two Ai35 mice. Connected sets of markers indicate cells from the same FOV. 86\% of SiR-CRE-labeled neurons had disappeared by 4 weeks postinjection.

Supplementary Figure S3: 81\% of SiR-CRE-labeled neurons in tdTomato reporter mice disappear within 2-4 weeks, even excluding dimmer cells that might have only been labeled with mCherry.
A) Same representative fields of view as in Figure 2 but with circles now marking only cells with intensity at 7 days of greater than 32.33 a.u. (see text and Supplementary Figure S1) that are no longer visible at a subsequent time point. Scale bar: $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, applies to all images.
B-D) Numbers of cells above threshold fluorescence intensity at week 1 that were still present in subsequent weeks. The conclusions from Figure 2 are unchanged: few cells labeled with RV $\Delta$ GL-4Cre were lost, RV $\Delta$ G4Cre killed a significant minority of cells, and SiR-CRE killed the majority of labeled neurons within two weeks following injection.
E) Percentages of cells above threshold at week 1 that were still present in subsequent imaging sessions. By 28 days postinjection, an average of only $19.2 \%$ of suprathreshold SiR-CRE-labeled cells remained.

## Supplementary File S2: Sanger sequencing data of all clones shown in Figure 3.

51 clones derived from SiR-CRE, 50 from SiR-FLPo, and 51 from RV $\Delta$ G-4mCherry are identified by their unique indices. All of the indices as well as the sequences corresponding to the 3 ' end of the nucleoprotein gene are shown.

Supplementary File S3: Summary tables of SMRT sequencing data. These tables show all mutations occurring at positions mutated at greater than $2 \%$ frequency in the three virus samples analyzed. Position numbers in these tables refer to the sequences in the three Genbank files below (Supplementary Files S4-S5).

Supplementary File S4: SiR-CRE amplicon reference sequence. This Genbank-format file contains the expected (i.e., based on the published sequence: Addgene \#99608) sequence of amplicons obtained from SiR-CRE for SMRT sequencing.

Supplementary File S5: SiR-FLPo amplicon reference sequence. This Genbank-format file contains the expected (i.e., based on the published sequence: Addgene \#99609) sequence of amplicons obtained from SiR-FLPo for SMRT sequencing.

Supplementary File S6: RV $\Delta$ G-4Cre amplicon reference sequence. This Genbank-format file contains the expected (i.e., based on the published sequence: see Addgene \#98034) sequence of amplicons obtained from $R V \Delta G-4 C r e$ for $\operatorname{SMRT}$ sequencing.

Supplementary Figure S4: First-generation vector RV $\triangle$ G-4FLPo appears to be toxic to most cells, unlike the comparable first-generation vector RV $\Delta$ G-Cre. Although we did not rigorously quantify the effect, our FLPo-encoding RV $\Delta$ G-4Flpo appears to kill neurons more quickly than does RV $\Delta$ G-4Cre (cf. Figure 2 and Chatterjee et al.(Chatterjee et al., 2018)). In this example field of view, most neurons clearly visible at earlier time points have disappeared by 28 days postinjection, leaving degenerating cellular debris. See Discussion for possible reasons why a preparation of a first-generation vector encoding a recombinase may or may not preserve a large percentage of infected neurons. Scale bar: $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, applies to all panels.
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Random 8-nucleotide index
Cre gene, P2A, mCherry gene, PEST, and transcription stop/polyA signal, transcription start signal, L gene, mutation

No mutations in transgene insert of SiR-CRE (19/21 clones)
ACTTTCTA, AGCCCAGT, TTATCAGG, AGGGCGTT, AGTGGGCA, GGGCCTTT, TCCCGCAA, GGTCGGGG, GGCCTATA, TGTCTCTC, CCTGTCGG, TAGCACAC, GTATGTGG, TAAGGCCT, CTCACTAT, TTTAGTCC, AACCCGAC, AGCGGCGC, AGCGGGGG
CAACTCCAACCCTTGGGAGCAATATAACAAAAAACATGTTATGGTGCCATTAAACCGCTGCATTTCATCAAAGTCA AGTTGATTACCTTTACATTTTGATCCTCTTGGATGTGAAAAAAACTATTAACATCCCTCAAAGGACCTGCAGGTACG CGGCCGCGGTACCGCCACCATGGTGCCCAAGAAGAAGAGGAAAGTCTCCAACCTGCTGACTGTGCACCAAAAC CTGCCTGCCCTCCCTGTGGATGCCACCTCTGATGAAGTCAGGAAGAACCTGATGGACATGTTCAGGGACAGGCA GGCCTTCTCTGAACACACCTGGAAGATGCTCCTGTCTGTGTGCAGATCCTGGGCTGCCTGGTGCAAGCTGAACA ACAGGAAATGGTTCCCTGCTGAACCTGAGGATGTGAGGGACTACCTCCTGTACCTGCAAGCCAGAGGCCTGGC TGTGAAGACCATCCAACAGCACCTGGGCCAGCTCAACATGCTGCACAGGAGATCTGGCCTGCCTCGCCCTTCT GACTCCAATGCTGTGTCCCTGGTGATGAGGAGAATCAGAAAGGAGAATGTGGATGCTGGGGAGAGAGCCAAGC AGGCCCTGGCCTTTGAACGCACTGACTTTGACCAAGTCAGATCCCTGATGGAGAACTCTGACAGATGCCAGGAC ATCAGGAACCTGGCCTTCCTGGGCATTGCCTACAACACCCTGCTGCGCATTGCCGAAATTGCCAGAATCAGAGT GAAGGACATCTCCCGCACCGATGGTGGGAGAATGCTGATCCACATTGGCAGGACCAAGACCCTGGTGTCCACA GCTGGTGTGGAGAAGGCCCTGTCCCTGGGGGTTACCAAGCTGGTGGAGAGATGGATCTCTGTGTCTGGTGTGG CTGATGACCCCAACAACTACCTGTTCTGCCGGGTCAGAAAGAATGGTGTGGCTGCCCCTTCTGCCACCTCCCAA CTGTCCACCCGGGCCCTGGAAGGGATCTTTGAGGCCACCCACCGCCTGATCTATGGTGCCAAGGATGACTCTG GGCAGAGATACCTGGCCTGGTCTGGCCACTCTGCCAGAGTGGGTGCTGCCAGGGACATGGCCAGGGCTGGTG TGTCCATCCCTGAAATCATGCAGGCTGGTGGCTGGACCAATGTGAACATTGTGATGAACTACATCAGAAACCTGG ACTCTGAGACTGGGGCCATGGTGAGGCTGCTCGAGGATGGGGACGGCAGTGGAGGATCCGGAGCCACGAACT TCTCTCTGTTAAAGCAAGCAGGAGACGTGGAAGAAAACCCCGGTCCTACCGGTGTGAGCAAGGGCGAGGAGGA TAACATGGCCATCATCAAGGAGTTCATGCGCTTCAAGGTGCACATGGAGGGCTCCGTGAACGGCCACGAGTTCG AGATCGAGGGCGAGGGCGAGGGCCGCCCCTACGAGGGCACCCAGACCGCCAAGCTGAAGGTGACCAAGGGT GGCCCCCTGCCCTTCGCCTGGGACATCCTGTCCCCTCAGTTCATGTACGGCTCCAAGGCCTACGTGAAGCACC CCGCCGACATCCCCGACTACTTGAAGCTGTCCTTCCCCGAGGGCTTCAAGTGGGAGCGCGTGATGAACTTCGA GGACGGCGGCGTGGTGACCGTGACCCAGGACTCCTCCCTGCAGGACGGCGAGTTCATCTACAAGGTGAAGCT GCGCGGCACCAACTTCCCCTCCGACGGCCCCGTAATGCAGAAGAAGACCATGGGCTGGGAGGCCTCCTCCGA GCGGATGTACCCCGAGGACGGCGCCCTGAAGGGCGAGATCAAGCAGAGGCTGAAGCTGAAGGACGGCGGCCA CTACGACGCTGAGGTCAAGACCACCTACAAGGCCAAGAAGCCCGTGCAGCTGCCCGGCGCCTACAACGTCAAC ATCAAGTTGGACATCACCTCCCACAACGAGGACTACACCATCGTGGAACAGTACGAACGCGCCGAGGGCCGCC ACTCCACCGGCGGCATGGACGAGCTGTACAAGGGATATCTCAGCCATGGCTTCCCGCCGGAGGTGGAGGAGCA GGATGATGGCACGCTGCCCATGTCTTGTGCCCAGGAGAGCGGGATGGACCGTCACCCTGCAGCCTGTGCTTCT GCTAGGATCAATGTGTGACTCGAGGGCGCGCCTACCCGCGGTAGCTTTTCAGTCGAGAAAAAAACATTAGATCA GAAGAACAACTGGCAACACTTCTCAACCTGAGACTTACTTCAAGATGCTCGATCCTGGAGAGGTCTATGATGACC CTATTGACCCAATCGAGTTAGAGGCTGAACCCAGAGGAACCCCCATTGTCCCCAAC

Ala88Val mutation in iCre gene of SiR-CRE (1/21 clones)
AGCTGGTT
CAACTCCAACCCTTGGGAGCAATATAACAAAAAACATGTTATGGTGCCATTAAACCGCTGCATTTCATCAAAGTCA AGTTGATTACCTTTACATTTTGATCCTCTTGGATGTGAAAAAAACTATTAACATCCCTCAAAGGACCTGCAGGTACG CGGCCGCGGTACCGCCACCATGGTGCCCAAGAAGAAGAGGAAAGTCTCCAACCTGCTGACTGTGCACCAAAAC CTGCCTGCCCTCCCTGTGGATGCCACCTCTGATGAAGTCAGGAAGAACCTGATGGACATGTTCAGGGACAGGCA GGCCTTCTCTGAACACACCTGGAAGATGCTCCTGTCTGTGTGCAGATCCTGGGCTGCCTGGTGCAAGCTGAACA ACAGGAAATGGTTCCCTGCTGAACCTGAGGATGTGAGGGACTACCTCCTGTACCTGCAAGTCAGAGGCCTGGCT GTGAAGACCATCCAACAGCACCTGGGCCAGCTCAACATGCTGCACAGGAGATCTGGCCTGCCTCGCCCTTCTG ACTCCAATGCTGTGTCCCTGGTGATGAGGAGAATCAGAAAGGAGAATGTGGATGCTGGGGAGAGAGCCAAGCA GGCCCTGGCCTTTGAACGCACTGACTTTGACCAAGTCAGATCCCTGATGGAGAACTCTGACAGATGCCAGGACA TCAGGAACCTGGCCTTCCTGGGCATTGCCTACAACACCCTGCTGCGCATTGCCGAAATTGCCAGAATCAGAGTG AAGGACATCTCCCGCACCGATGGTGGGAGAATGCTGATCCACATTGGCAGGACCAAGACCCTGGTGTCCACAG CTGGTGTGGAGAAGGCCCTGTCCCTGGGGGTTACCAAGCTGGTGGAGAGATGGATCTCTGTGTCTGGTGTGGC TGATGACCCCAACAACTACCTGTTCTGCCGGGTCAGAAAGAATGGTGTGGCTGCCCCTTCTGCCACCTCCCAAC TGTCCACCCGGGCCCTGGAAGGGATCTTTGAGGCCACCCACCGCCTGATCTATGGTGCCAAGGATGACTCTGG GCAGAGATACCTGGCCTGGTCTGGCCACTCTGCCAGAGTGGGTGCTGCCAGGGACATGGCCAGGGCTGGTGT GTCCATCCCTGAAATCATGCAGGCTGGTGGCTGGACCAATGTGAACATTGTGATGAACTACATCAGAAACCTGGA
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CTCTGAGACTGGGGCCATGGTGAGGCTGCTCGAGGATGGGGACGGCAGTGGAGGATCCGGAGCCACGAACTT CTCTCTGTTAAAGCAAGCAGGAGACGTGGAAGAAAACCCCGGTCCTACCGGTGTGAGCAAGGGCGAGGAGGAT AACATGGCCATCATCAAGGAGTTCATGCGCTTCAAGGTGCACATGGAGGGCTCCGTGAACGGCCACGAGTTCGA GATCGAGGGCGAGGGCGAGGGCCGCCCCTACGAGGGCACCCAGACCGCCAAGCTGAAGGTGACCAAGGGTG GCCCCCTGCCCTTCGCCTGGGACATCCTGTCCCCTCAGTTCATGTACGGCTCCAAGGCCTACGTGAAGCACCC CGCCGACATCCCCGACTACTTGAAGCTGTCCTTCCCCGAGGGCTTCAAGTGGGAGCGCGTGATGAACTTCGAG GACGGCGGCGTGGTGACCGTGACCCAGGACTCCTCCCTGCAGGACGGCGAGTTCATCTACAAGGTGAAGCTG CGCGGCACCAACTTCCCCTCCGACGGCCCCGTAATGCAGAAGAAGACCATGGGCTGGGAGGCCTCCTCCGAG CGGATGTACCCCGAGGACGGCGCCCTGAAGGGCGAGATCAAGCAGAGGCTGAAGCTGAAGGACGGCGGCCAC TACGACGCTGAGGTCAAGACCACCTACAAGGCCAAGAAGCCCGTGCAGCTGCCCGGCGCCTACAACGTCAACA TCAAGTTGGACATCACCTCCCACAACGAGGACTACACCATCGTGGAACAGTACGAACGCGCCGAGGGCCGCCA CTCCACCGGCGGCATGGACGAGCTGTACAAGGGATATCTCAGCCATGGCTTCCCGCCGGAGGTGGAGGAGCAG GATGATGGCACGCTGCCCATGTCTIGTGCCCAGGAGAGCGGGATGGACCGTCACCCTGCAGCCTGTGCTTCTG TAGGATCAATGTGTGACTCGAGGGCGCGCCTACCCGCGGTAGCTTTTCAGTCGAGAAAAAAACATTAGATCAGA AGAACAACTGGCAACACTTCTCAACCTGAGACTTACTTCAAGATGCTCGATCCTGGAGAGGTCTATGATGACCCT ATTGACCCAATCGAGTTAGAGGCTGAACCCAGAGGAACCCCCATTGTCCCCAAC

Arg189lle mutation in iCre gene of SiR-CRE
AGCGGGGT
CAACTCCAACCCTTGGGAGCAATATAACAAAAAACATGTTATGGTGCCATTAAACCGCTGCATTTCATCAAAGTCA AGTTGATTACCTTTACATTTTGATCCTCTTGGATGTGAAAAAAACTATTAACATCCCTCAAAGGACCTGCAGGTACG CGGCCGCGGTACCGCCACCATGGTGCCCAAGAAGAAGAGGAAAGTCTCCAACCTGCTGACTGTGCACCAAAAC CTGCCTGCCCTCCCTGTGGATGCCACCTCTGATGAAGTCAGGAAGAACCTGATGGACATGTTCAGGGACAGGCA GGCCTTCTCTGAACACACCTGGAAGATGCTCCTGTCTGTGTGCAGATCCTGGGCTGCCTGGTGCAAGCTGAACA ACAGGAAATGGTTCCCTGCTGAACCTGAGGATGTGAGGGACTACCTCCTGTACCTGCAAGCCAGAGGCCTGGC TGTGAAGACCATCCAACAGCACCTGGGCCAGCTCAACATGCTGCACAGGAGATCTGGCCTGCCTCGCCCTTCT GACTCCAATGCTGTGTCCCTGGTGATGAGGAGAATCAGAAAGGAGAATGTGGATGCTGGGGAGAGAGCCAAGC AGGCCCTGGCCTTTGAACGCACTGACTTTGACCAAGTCAGATCCCTGATGGAGAACTCTGACAGATGCCAGGAC ATCAGGAACCTGGCCTTCCTGGGCATTGCCTACAACACCCTGCTGCGCATTGCCGAAATTGCCAGAATCATAGTG AAGGACATCTCCCGCACCGATGGTGGGAGAATGCTGATCCACATTGGCAGGACCAAGACCCTGGTGTCCACAG CTGGTGTGGAGAAGGCCCTGTCCCTGGGGGTTACCAAGCTGGTGGAGAGATGGATCTCTGTGTCTGGTGTGGC TGATGACCCCAACAACTACCTGTTCTGCCGGGTCAGAAAGAATGGTGTGGCTGCCCCTTCTGCCACCTCCCAAC GTCCACCCGGGCCCTGGAAGGGATCTTTGAGGCCACCCACCGCCTGATCTATGGTGCCAAGGATGACTCTGG GCAGAGATACCTGGCCTGGTCTGGCCACTCTGCCAGAGTGGGTGCTGCCAGGGACATGGCCAGGGCTGGTGT GTCCATCCCTGAAATCATGCAGGCTGGTGGCTGGACCAATGTGAACATTGTGATGAACTACATCAGAAACCTGGA CTCTGAGACTGGGGCCATGGTGAGGCTGCTCGAGGATGGGGACGGCAGTGGAGGATCCGGAGCCACGAACTT CTCTCTGTTAAAGCAAGCAGGAGACGTGGAAGAAAACCCCGGTCCTACCGGTGTGAGCAAGGGCGAGGAGGAT AACATGGCCATCATCAAGGAGTTCATGCGCTTCAAGGTGCACATGGAGGGCTCCGTGAACGGCCACGAGTTCGA GATCGAGGGCGAGGGCGAGGGCCGCCCCTACGAGGGCACCCAGACCGCCAAGCTGAAGGTGACCAAGGGTG GCCCCCTGCCCTTCGCCTGGGACATCCTGTCCCCTCAGTTCATGTACGGCTCCAAGGCCTACGTGAAGCACCC CGCCGACATCCCCGACTACTTGAAGCTGTCCTTCCCCGAGGGCTTCAAGTGGGAGCGCGTGATGAACTTCGAG GACGGCGGCGTGGTGACCGTGACCCAGGACTCCTCCCTGCAGGACGGCGAGTTCATCTACAAGGTGAAGCTG CGCGGCACCAACTTCCCCTCCGACGGCCCCGTAATGCAGAAGAAGACCATGGGCTGGGAGGCCTCCTCCGAG CGGATGTACCCCGAGGACGGCGCCCTGAAGGGCGAGATCAAGCAGAGGCTGAAGCTGAAGGACGGCGGCCAC TACGACGCTGAGGTCAAGACCACCTACAAGGCCAAGAAGCCCGTGCAGCTGCCCGGCGCCTACAACGTCAACA TCAAGTTGGACATCACCTCCCACAACGAGGACTACACCATCGTGGAACAGTACGAACGCGCCGAGGGCCGCCA CTCCACCGGCGGCATGGACGAGCTGTACAAGGGATATCTCAGCCATGGCTTCCCGCCGGAGGTGGAGGAGCAG GATGATGGCACGCTGCCCATGTCTTGTGCCCAGGAGAGCGGGATGGACCGTCACCCTGCAGCCTGTGCTTCTG AGAACAACTGGCAACACTTCTCAACCTGAGACTTACTTCAAGATGCTCGATCCTGGAGAGGTCTATGATGACCCT ATTGACCCAATCGAGTTAGAGGCTGAACCCAGAGGAACCCCCATTGTCCCCAAC




## Random 8-nucleotide index

N gene, tabacco etch virus protease cleavage site, PEST, transcription stop/polyA signal, mutation

Gly453* in N-TEV-PEST of SiR-CRE (50/51 clones)
TCTCGGAA, TTATGGGC, CCTGCCGA, CGTCGTTG, ACTCTAGT, GATCCGGT, ATTATGTA, TATTATCC, ATGCTAGA, GTTGTTTC, GAGTCGAC, GTCGACCT, CAAGAGTA, CGAAGCCA, GCGTGATA, CGTCCAGA, CTTGGTCG, GGGGTTTT, GTCCATAC, GTTTAATA, CAAAATCC, GTGGAGGC, CGGAAGGT, AGGACGGG, CCTCGGGA, GCATTTGC, TGGTTCGG, ATTTAGTC, ATGGGTAA, CTACGGGG, CCTGGTAC, GCATTTCA, AGTGTAGG, CGCATAGA, GTCACAAT, GTATGCTT, TCGGGTTT, ATGTTGTC, CAGCCGTA, GAGTGGCC, GGCCCATT, GGATTTCC, GTACAAGC, GAAGATAT, AAACAGAT, AAGGCCTT, CGTTCGTC, AAAGACTA, ACTGAAAG, TGGGGATA
ATCATCAAGCCCGTCCAAACTCATTCGCCGAGTTTCTAAACAAGACATATTCGAGTGACTCAGGTTCCTGAGAGA ACCTCTACTTCCAATCGGGATCCGGTAGCCATGGCTTCCCGCCGGAGGTGGAGGAGCAGGATGATGGCACGCT GCCCATGTCTTGTGCCCAGGAGAGCGGGATGGACCGTCACCCTGCAGCCTGTGCTTCTGCTAGGATCAATGTGT AAGAAGTTGAATAACAAAATGCCGGAAATCTACGGATTGTGTATATCCATCATGAAAAAAA

Asp449Glufs*16 in N-TEV-PEST of SiR-CRE (1/51 clones)

## AGGCTAAC

ATCATCAAGCCCGTCCAAACTCATTCGCCGAGTTTCTAAACAAGACATATTCGAGTGAACTCAGGTTCCTGAGAG AACCTCTACTTCCAATCGGGATCCGGTAGCCATGGCTTCCCGCCGGAGGTGGAGGAGCAGGATGATGGCACGC TGCCCATGTCTTGTGCCCAGGAGAGCGGGATGGACCGTCACCCTGCAGCCTGTGCTTCTGCTAGGATCAATGTG
TAAGAAGTTGAATAACAAAATGCCGGAAATCTACGGATTGTGTATATCCATCATGAAAAAAA

No mutations in sequenced region of N-TEV-PEST of SiR-FLPo (4/50 clones)

| TCATTTAT, AAGTCGAA, TGAATACA, TATACACG |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ATCATCAAGCCCGTCCAAACTCATTCGCCGAGTTTCTAAACAAGACATATTCGAGTGACTCAGGTTCCGGAGAGA |
| ACCTCTACTTCCAATCGGGATCCGGTAGCCATGGCTTCCCGCCGGAGGTGGAGGAGCAGGATGATGGCACGCT |
| GCCCATGTCTTGTGCCCAGGAGAGCGGGATGGACCGTCACCCTGCAGCCTGTGCTTCTGCTAGGATCAATGTGT |
| AAGAAGTTGAATAACAAAATGCCGGAAATCTACGGATTGTGTATATCCATCATGAAAAAAA |

Gly453* in N-TEV-PEST of SiR-FLPo (18/50 clones)
GAGGCCGC, CTTATCAC, TCAGTTTT, CTTCAGCA, TTACTTTT, AAAATAAG, CCATTTCT, GTCACATT, GCATACGG, AGTATTAA, CCGGGTTG, GGTAAAAT, CAGGGAGA, CATTTTTC, TGACAAAT, TCGTATAT, TAGGGATT, GATCCCCG
ATCATCAAGCCCGTCCAAACTCATTCGCCGAGTTTCTAAACAAGACATATTCGAGTGACTCAGGTTCCTGAGAGA ACCTCTACTTCCAATCGGGATCCGGTAGCCATGGCTTCCCGCCGGAGGTGGAGGAGCAGGATGATGGCACGCT GCCCATGTCTTGTGCCCAGGAGAGCGGGATGGACCGTCACCCTGCAGCCTGTGCTTCTGCTAGGATCAATGTGT AAGAAGTTGAATAACAAAATGCCGGAAATCTACGGATTGTGTATATCCATCATGAAAAAAA

Ser450* in N-TEV-PEST of SiR-FLPo (28/50 clones)
TACTAAAT, TTCAACTA, TAATAGGC, CGCGGGTC, AGGGTGCC, TTGCTGAC, CGGCCCTT, TACAGCGA, GAGTAGGA, GTAAACGC, CTATGGGT, TTGATTGA, AGGATTCT, GAGGTTTG, TACTTAGC, CCTGTAGT, GGGGTGGA, TTAAGTTG, CTCAATGA, CAGATCTG, GTCTGGTA, GCGTTGGG, TAAACGAG, TACTTGAG, CGGGGTGT, CGATCCCG, TGGGTGTC, GCCACGAT
ATCATCAAGCCCGTCCAAACTCATTCGCCGAGTTTCTAAACAAGACATATTCGAGTGACTGAGGTTCCGGAGAGA ACCTCTACTTCCAATCGGGATCCGGTAGCCATGGCTTCCCGCCGGAGGTGGAGGAGCAGGATGATGGCACGCT GCCCATGTCTTGTGCCCAGGAGAGCGGGATGGACCGTCACCCTGCAGCCTGTGCTTCTGCTAGGATCAATGTGT AAGAAGTTGAATAACAAAATGCCGGAAATCTACGGATTGTGTATATCCATCATGAAAAAAA

No mutations in sequenced region of RV $\Delta$ G-4mCherry ( $51 / 51$ clones)
CGCCCGCG, GCCAATCT, GGCGGAAT, TGTGGAAC, GGAGGGAT, CGTAGTGT, AGAATCTC, TGTCTGGC, GCCTTTTA, TGGAATCT, TTGTAATG, AGGCCTGT, CGGATATA, AATCCAAA, AAGGAAAA, GAACTCAT, GCCGCTTC, CTTTGCCG, CGCAACCT, TCGGGCAT, GGGCGACT, AACTGGAT, TGCGTCGG, TCAAAGCG, CGAGGCCC, ATGTAGGA, AGATACGT, TGGCTTCG, ATTTTCTA, TCGTCCGG, TCGGAGCG, CTGTTATA, TACCGTTC, CGAATGTC, CCCTCTTT, TGAGTGGG, TGTAAATA, AAGGAGTC, ATTACCCT, TCCCTGAC, TGGTAAAA, ACTATCTC, CCGGAAGC, CTTGGAGG, GCAACAAT, ATTACCGT, AATAGCAG, ACCATAGT, GGGTTGGT, CATTTATT, GGGTACAC
ATCATCAAGCCCGTCCAAACTCATTCGCCGAGTTTCTAAACAAGACATATTCGAGTGACTCATAAGAAGTTGAATA ACAAAATGCCGGAAATCTACGGATTGTGTATATCCATCATGAAAAAAA

## SINGLE-MOLECULE, REAL-TIME SEQUENCING RESULTS

## Frameshifts and insertions

Position numbers in this file refer to the reference sequences included as Supplementary Files S4-S5. A "frameshift" is included in Tables 1a-2b if the number of deleted bases in positions 1439-1492 (the vicinity of the junction of the end of the N gene and the intended $3^{\prime}$ addition) is not an integer multiple of 3 , with insertions ignored. "Any error" includes either the apparent frameshifts, or the new TAA/TAG/TGA stop codons, or both, with insertions ignored. The number of "frameshifts" increases considerably if insertion mutations are included in the calculation, indicating that there is a much higher insertion rate as compared to that of deletion; however, previous studies have found that spurious insertions are high with SMRT (see main text), so we ignore insertions in this paper apart from summarizing the data below.

| SiR-CRE |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Position | Mutation | CCS3 | CCS5 | CCS8 |
| \# Sequences |  | 22205 | 866 | 239 |
| 243 | GAT (reference) | 20273 | 784 | 209 |
|  | GCT | 3 | 0 | 0 |
|  | GGT | 5 | 0 | 0 |
|  | GTT | 1856 | 79 | 29 |
|  | DEL | 68 | 3 | 1 |
| 1111 | GAA (reference) | 19807 | 760 | 197 |
|  | GAC | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  | GAG | 2396 | 105 | 42 |
|  | GAT | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | DEL | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 1457 | AGA | 6 | 0 | 0 |
|  | CGA | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  | GGA (reference) | 140 | 5 | 1 |
|  | TGA | 22032 | 858 | 237 |
|  | DEL | 26 | 3 | 1 |
| [1439, 1492] | Frame shift <br> (\#DELs not an integer multiple of 3, Insertion ignored) | 507 | 13 | 3 |
| [1439, 1492] | Any error (insertion ignored) | 22104 | 864 | 239 |
| \% mutation in [1439, 1492] |  | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Table 1a. All mutations in the SiR-CRE sample at positions mutated at $>2 \%$ frequency at all stringencies (CCS3, CCS5, CCS8), as well as frameshift mutations found in the C-terminal region of N . |  |  |  |  |


| SiR-CRE |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Position | Mutation | CCS3 | CCS5 | CC8 |
| [1439, 1492] | Number of frame shifts due to DEL (number of DELs not an integer multiple of 3, insertion ignored) | 507 | 13 | 3 |
| [1439, 1492] | Number of frame shifts due to either DEL or INS or both (Sequence length not an integer multiple of 3 ) | 2504 | 89 | 14 |
| [1439, 1492] | Any error (insertion included) | 22174 | 865 | 239 |

## Table 1b. Frameshift mutations in the C-terminal region of N in the SiR-CRE sample at positions mutated at $>2 \%$ frequency at all stringencies (CCS3, CCS5, CCS8).

| SiR-FLPo |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Position | Mutation | CCS3 | CCS5 | CCS8 |
| \#Sequences |  | 17086 | 695 | 210 |
| 1449 | TAA | 28 | 1 | 0 |
|  | TCA (reference) | 8405 | 333 | 94 |
|  | TGA | 8624 | 360 | 115 |
|  | TTA | 3 | 0 | 0 |
|  | DEL | 26 | 1 | 1 |
| 1457 | AGA | 3 | 0 | 0 |
|  | CGA | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | GGA (reference) | 11088 | 462 | 139 |
|  | TGA | 5979 | 233 | 71 |
|  | DEL | 16 | 0 | 0 |
| [1439, 1492] | Frameshifts <br> (\#DELs not an integer multiple of 3, Insertion ignored) | 448 | 11 | 3 |
| [1439, 1492] | Any error (insertion ignored) | 14444 | 589 | 180 |
| \% of mutation |  | 85\% | 85\% | 86\% |

Table 2a. All mutations in the SiR-FLPo sample at positions mutated at $>2 \%$ frequency at all stringencies (CCS3, CCS5, CCS8), as well as frameshift mutations found in the C-terminal region of N .

|  | SiR-FLPo |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Position | Mutation | CCS3 | CCS5 | CCS8 |
| $[1439,1492]$ | Number of frame shifts due to DEL (number of DELs not an integer multiple of 3, | 448 | 11 | 3 |
| insertion ignored) |  |  |  |  |

Table 2b. Frameshift mutations in the C-terminal region of $N$ in the SiR-FLPo sample at positions mutated at $>2 \%$ frequency at all stringencies (CCS3, CCS5, CCS8).

| RV $\Delta$ G-4Cre |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Position | Mutation | CCS3 | CCS5 | CC8 |  |  |
| \# Sequences |  | 17978 | 757 | 254 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1 3 5 5}$ | ACT | 1706 | 84 | 28 |  |  |
|  | CCT | 3 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
|  | GCT | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
|  | TCT (reference) | 16139 | 667 | 224 |  |  |
| Total | DEL | 129 | 5 | 1 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3. All mutations in the SiR-FLPo sample at positions mutated at $>2 \%$ frequency at all stringencies (CCS3, CCS5, CCS8).

Tables of all mutations above 2\% frequency threshold
Table 4 a to 4 c list all single-nucleotide substitutions and deletions at positions mutated at $>2 \%$ threshold frequency. The percentage of mutations is calculated based on the total number of single nucleotide and deletion mutations divided by the total number of reads aligned, when insertion mutations are ignored. Deletion mutations dominate in the medium-frequency range between $2 \%$ and $5 \%$.

|  | Reference | Position | A | C | G | T | DEL | Un- mutate mutated | Mutated (SNP/DEL) | \% Mutation (SNP/DEL) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ぶ | G | 1457 | 6 | 1 | 140 | 22032 | 26 | 140 | 22065 | 99.4 |
|  | A | 1111 | 19807 | 1 | 2396 | 0 | 1 | 19807 | 2398 | 10.8 |
|  | A | 243 | 20273 | 3 | 5 | 1856 | 68 | 20273 | 1932 | 8.7 |
|  | T | 615 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 21229 | 970 | 21229 | 976 | 4.4 |
|  | G | 1124 | 5 | 0 | 21356 | 7 | 837 | 21356 | 849 | 3.8 |
|  | A | 81 | 21358 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 836 | 21358 | 847 | 3.8 |
|  | A | 31 | 21381 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 824 | 21381 | 824 | 3.7 |
|  | T | 713 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 21487 | 711 | 21487 | 718 | 3.2 |
|  | T | 338 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 21498 | 698 | 21498 | 707 | 3.2 |
|  | A | 1665 | 21544 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 656 | 21544 | 661 | 3.0 |
|  | A | 53 | 21606 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 598 | 21606 | 599 | 2.7 |
|  | C | 411 | 8 | 21683 | 0 | 1 | 513 | 21683 | 522 | 2.4 |
|  | A | 838 | 21718 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 485 | 21718 | 487 | 2.2 |
| مٌ | G | 1457 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 858 | 3 | 5 | 861 | 99.4 |
|  | A | 1111 | 760 | 0 | 105 | 0 | 1 | 760 | 106 | 12.2 |
|  | A | 243 | 784 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 3 | 784 | 82 | 9.5 |
|  | A | 31 | 828 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 828 | 38 | 4.4 |
|  | G | 1124 | 0 | 0 | 831 | 0 | 35 | 831 | 35 | 4.0 |
|  | T | 615 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 834 | 32 | 834 | 32 | 3.7 |
|  | A | 81 | 837 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 27 | 837 | 29 | 3.3 |
|  | T | 338 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 840 | 25 | 840 | 26 | 3.0 |
|  | T | 713 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 841 | 24 | 841 | 25 | 2.9 |
|  | C | 411 | 0 | 843 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 843 | 23 | 2.7 |
|  | A | 53 | 846 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 846 | 20 | 2.3 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | G | 1457 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 237 | 1 | 1 | 238 | 99.6 |
|  | A | 1111 | 197 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 197 | 42 | 17.6 |
|  | A | 243 | 209 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 1 | 209 | 30 | 12.6 |
|  | A | 31 | 228 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 228 | 11 | 4.6 |
|  | T | 615 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 232 | 7 | 232 | 7 | 2.9 |
|  | G | 1124 | 0 | 0 | 232 | 0 | 7 | 232 | 7 | 2.9 |
|  | C | 411 | 0 | 233 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 233 | 6 | 2.5 |

Table 4a. SiR-CRE: substitutions and deletions at positions mutated at $>2 \%$ frequency at all stringencies (CCS3, CCS5, CCS8).

|  | Reference | Position | A | C | G | T | DEL | Unmutated | Mutated (SNP/DEL) | \% Mutation (SNP/DEL) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { N్ } \\ & \text { U } \end{aligned}$ | C | 1449 | 28 | 8405 | 8624 | 3 | 26 | 8405 | 8681 | 50.8 |
|  | G | 1457 | 3 | 0 | 11088 | 5979 | 16 | 11088 | 5998 | 35.1 |
|  | T | 615 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 16322 | 760 | 16322 | 764 | 4.5 |
|  | A | 81 | 16410 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 665 | 16410 | 676 | 4.0 |
|  | G | 1124 | 0 | 0 | 16410 | 3 | 673 | 16410 | 676 | 4.0 |
|  | T | 713 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 16500 | 583 | 16500 | 586 | 3.4 |
|  | A | 1665 | 16536 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 548 | 16536 | 550 | 3.2 |
|  | A | 31 | 16547 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 539 | 16547 | 539 | 3.2 |
|  | T | 338 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 16582 | 499 | 16582 | 504 | 2.9 |
|  | A | 53 | 16672 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 410 | 16672 | 414 | 2.4 |
|  | C | 411 | 6 | 16683 | 1 | 0 | 396 | 16683 | 403 | 2.4 |
|  | A | 838 | 16696 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 390 | 16696 | 390 | 2.3 |
|  | G | 208 | 301 | 0 | 16739 | 44 | 2 | 16739 | 347 | 2.0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & \text { Ò } \\ & \text { O } \end{aligned}$ | C | 1449 | 1 | 333 | 360 | 0 | 1 | 333 | 362 | 52.1 |
|  | G | 1457 | 0 | 0 | 462 | 233 | 0 | 462 | 233 | 33.5 |
|  | A | 81 | 665 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 665 | 30 | 4.3 |
|  | T | 615 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 668 | 26 | 668 | 27 | 3.9 |
|  | A | 1665 | 669 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 25 | 669 | 26 | 3.7 |
|  | T | 713 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 671 | 24 | 671 | 24 | 3.5 |
|  | T | 338 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 673 | 22 | 673 | 22 | 3.2 |
|  | C | 411 | 0 | 676 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 676 | 19 | 2.7 |
|  | G | 1124 | 0 | 0 | 676 | 0 | 19 | 676 | 19 | 2.7 |
|  | A | 838 | 679 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 679 | 16 | 2.3 |
|  | G | 346 | 0 | 0 | 680 | 0 | 15 | 680 | 15 | 2.2 |
|  | A | 31 | 681 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 681 | 14 | 2.0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \text { ©్ } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | C | 1449 | 0 | 94 | 115 | 0 | 1 | 94 | 116 | 55.2 |
|  | G | 1457 | 0 | 0 | 139 | 71 | 0 | 139 | 71 | 33.8 |
|  | T | 713 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 203 | 7 | 203 | 7 | 3.3 |
|  | A | 53 | 205 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 205 | 5 | 2.4 |
|  | A | 81 | 205 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 205 | 5 | 2.4 |

Table 4b. SiR-FLPo: substitutions and deletions at positions mutated at $>2 \%$ frequency at all stringencies (CCS3, CCS5, CCS8).

|  | Reference | Position | A | C | G | T | DEL | Un-mutated | Mutated (SNP/DEL) | \% Mutation <br> (SNP/DEL) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N్ర | T | 1355 | 1706 | 3 | 1 | 16139 | 129 | 16139 | 1839 | 10.2 |
|  | A | 31 | 16732 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1246 | 16732 | 1246 | 6.9 |
|  | T | 615 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 17266 | 709 | 17266 | 712 | 4.0 |
|  | A | 81 | 17300 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 671 | 17300 | 678 | 3.8 |
|  | G | 1124 | 0 | 0 | 17379 | 4 | 595 | 17379 | 599 | 3.3 |
|  | T | 713 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 17469 | 507 | 17469 | 509 | 2.8 |
|  | T | 338 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 17503 | 472 | 17503 | 475 | 2.6 |
|  | A | 1506 | 17520 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 458 | 17520 | 458 | 2.5 |
|  | A | 53 | 17579 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 395 | 17579 | 399 | 2.2 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & \text { O̧ } \\ & \text { U } \end{aligned}$ | T | 1355 | 84 | 0 | 1 | 667 | 5 | 667 | 90 | 11.9 |
|  | A | 31 | 703 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 703 | 54 | 7.1 |
|  | A | 81 | 717 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 717 | 40 | 5.3 |
|  | T | 615 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 729 | 28 | 729 | 28 | 3.7 |
|  | G | 1124 | 0 | 0 | 738 | 0 | 19 | 738 | 19 | 2.5 |
|  | A | 53 | 739 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 739 | 18 | 2.4 |
|  | T | 713 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 740 | 17 | 740 | 17 | 2.2 |
|  | A | 1506 | 740 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 740 | 17 | 2.2 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & \text { O } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | T | 1355 | 28 | 0 | 1 | 224 | 1 | 224 | 30 | 11.8 |
|  | A | 31 | 241 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 241 | 13 | 5.1 |
|  | T | 615 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 248 | 6 | 248 | 6 | 2.4 |

Table 4c. RV $\Delta$ G-4Cre: substitutions and deletions at positions mutated at >2\% frequency at all stringencies (CCS3, CCS5, CCS8).


|  | /label=TEV site |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | /note="TEV site" |
|  | /translation="ENLYFQS" |
| CDS | 1490.. 1609 |
|  | /codon_start=1 |
|  | /locus_tag="ECFP destabilized by fusion to |
| residues 422-461 |  |
|  | of mouse ornithine decarboxylase, giving an in |
|  | /product="ECFP destabilized by fusion to residues |
| 422-461 |  |
|  | of mouse ornithine decarboxylase, giving an in |
| vivo |  |
|  | half-life of ~2 hours" |
|  | /label=ECFP destabilized by fusion to residues |
| 422-461 |  |
|  | /label=ECFP destabilized by fusion to residues |
| 422-461... |  |
|  | /label=ECFP destabilized by fusion to residues |
| 422-461 of |  |
|  | mouse ornithine decarboxylase, giving an in |
| vivo(1) | /note="destabilization domain" |
|  | /note="mammalian codon-optimized" |
|  | / |
| translation="SHGFPPEV | VEEQDDGTLPMSCAQESGMDRHPAACASARINV' |
| CDS | 1703. 2167 |
|  | /codon_start=1 |
|  | /locus_tag="P" |
|  | / label=P |
|  | /note="P" |
|  | / |
| translation="MSKIFVNP | PSAIRAGLADLEMAEETVDLINRNIEDNQAHLQGEPIE |
| VDNLPEDMGRLHLDDGKSPNH | HGEIAKVGEGKYREDFQMDEGEDPSFLFQSYLENVGVQI |
| VRQMRSGERFLKIWSQTVEEI primer bind | IISYVAVNFPNPPGKSSEDKSTQTTGRELKK" <br> complement (2148. 2183) |
| primer_bind | complement (2148..2183) <br> /label=Barcode3_P_rp |
| ORIGIN |  |
| 1 acacgcatga agaaaaaaca | cacactcagc nnnnnnnnnn acgcttaaca accagatcaa |
| 61 gacattgtca acaagattgt | attgcaaagc aaaaatgtaa cacccctaca atggatgccg |
| 121 attcaaagtc | aataatcagg tggtctcttt gaagcctgag attatcgtgg |
| atcaatatga |  |
| 181 gtacaagtac gaaaggctcc | cctgccatca aagatttgaa aaagccctgt ataaccctag |
| 241 cgatttaaat | aaagcataca agtcagtttt gtcaggcatg agcgccgcca |
|  |  |

301 tgacgatgta tgttcctatt tggcagcggc aatgcagttt tttgagggga catgtccgga

361 agactggacc agctatggaa ttgtgattgc acgaaaagga gataagatca ccccaggttc

421 tctggtggag ataaaacgta ctgatgtaga agggaattgg gctctgacag gaggcatgga

481 actgacaaga gaccccactg tccctgagca tgcgtcctta gtcggtcttc tcttgagtct

541 gtataggttg agcaaaatat ccgggcaaaa cactggtaac tataagacaa acattgcaga

601 caggatagag cagatttttg agacagcccc ttttgttaaa atcgtggaac accatactct

661 aatgacaact cacaaaatgt gtgctaattg gagtactata ccaaacttca gatttttggc

721 cggaacctat gacatgtttt tctcccggat tgagcatcta tattcagcaa tcagagtggg

781 cacagttgtc actgcttatg aagactgttc aggactggta tcatttactg ggttcataaa

841 acaaatcaat ctcaccgcta gagaggcaat actatatttc ttccacaaga actttgagga

901 agagataaga agaatgtttg agccagggca ggagacagct gttcctcact cttatttcat

961 ccacttccgt tcactaggct tgagtgggaa atctccttat tcatcaaatg ctgttggtca

1021 cgtgttcaat ctcattcact ttgtaggatg ctatatgggt caagtcagat ccctaaatgc

1081 aacggttatt gctgcatgtg ctcctcatga aatgtctgtt ctagggggct atctgggaga

1141 ggaattcttc gggaaaggga catttgaaag aagattcttc agagatgaga aagaacttca

1201 agaatacgag gcggctgaac tgacaaagac tgacgtagca ctggcagatg atggaactgt

1261 caactctgac gacgaggact acttttcagg tgaaaccaga agtccggagg ctgtttatac

1321 tcgaatcatg atgaatggag gtcgactaaa gagatctcac atacggagat atgtctcagt

1381 cagttccaat catcaagccc gtccaaactc attcgccgag tttctaaaca agacatattc

1441 gagtgactca ggttccggag agaacctcta cttccaatcg ggatccggta gccatggctt

1501 cccgccggag gtggaggagc aggatgatgg cacgctgccc atgtcttgtg cccaggagag

1561 cgggatggac cgtcaccctg cagcctgtgc ttctgctagg atcaatgtgt aagaagttga

1621 ataacaaaat gccggaaatc tacggattgt gtatatccat catgaaaaaa actaacaccc

1681 ctcctttcga accatcccaa acatgagcaa gatctttgtc aatcctagtg ctattagagc

1741 cggtctggcc gatcttgaga tggctgaaga aactgttgat ctgatcaata gaaatatcga

1801 agacaatcag gctcatctcc aaggggaacc catagaggtg gacaatctcc ctgaggatat

1861 ggggcgactt cacctggatg atggaaaatc gcccaaccat ggtgagatag ccaaggtggg

1921 agaaggcaag tatcgagagg actttcagat ggatgaagga gaggatccta gcttcctgtt

1981 ccagtcatac ctggaaaatg ttggagtcca aatagtcaga caaatgaggt caggagagag 2041 atttctcaag atatggtcac agaccgtaga agagattata tcctatgtcg cggtcaactt

2101 tcccaaccct ccaggaaagt cttcagagga taaatcaacc cagactactg gccgagagct 2161 caagaagtac tagagtagca ctc //


ccccaggttc
421 tctggtggag ataaaacgta ctgatgtaga agggaattgg gctctgacag gaggcatgga

481 actgacaaga gaccccactg tccctgagca tgcgtcctta gtcggtcttc tcttgagtct

541 gtataggttg agcaaaatat ccgggcaaaa cactggtaac tataagacaa acattgcaga

601 caggatagag cagatttttg agacagcccc ttttgttaaa atcgtggaac accatactct

661 aatgacaact cacaaaatgt gtgctaattg gagtactata ccaaacttca gatttttggc

721 cggaacctat gacatgtttt tctcccggat tgagcatcta tattcagcaa tcagagtggg

781 cacagttgtc actgcttatg aagactgttc aggactggta tcatttactg ggttcataaa

841 acaaatcaat ctcaccgcta gagaggcaat actatatttc ttccacaaga actttgagga

901 agagataaga agaatgtttg agccagggca ggagacagct gttcctcact cttatttcat

961 ccacttccgt tcactaggct tgagtgggaa atctccttat tcatcaaatg ctgttggtca

1021 cgtgttcaat ctcattcact ttgtaggatg ctatatgggt caagtcagat ccctaaatgc

1081 aacggttatt gctgcatgtg ctcctcatga aatgtctgtt ctagggggct atctgggaga

1141 ggaattcttc gggaaaggga catttgaaag aagattcttc agagatgaga aagaacttca

1201 agaatacgag gcggctgaac tgacaaagac tgacgtagca ctggcagatg atggaactgt

1261 caactctgac gacgaggact acttttcagg tgaaaccaga agtccggagg ctgtttatac

1321 tcgaatcatg atgaatggag gtcgactaaa gagatctcac atacggagat atgtctcagt

1381 cagttccaat catcaagccc gtccaaactc attcgccgag tttctaaaca agacatattc

1441 gagtgactca ggttccggag agaacctcta cttccaatcg ggatccggta gccatggctt

1501 cccgccggag gtggaggagc aggatgatgg cacgctgccc atgtcttgtg cccaggagag

1561 cgggatggac cgtcaccotg cagcctgtgc ttctgctagg atcaatgtgt aagaagttga

1621 ataacaaaat gccggaaatc tacggattgt gtatatccat catgaaaaaa actaacaccc

1681 ctcctttcga accatcccaa acatgagcaa gatctttgtc aatcctagtg ctattagagc

1741 cggtctggcc gatcttgaga tggctgaaga aactgttgat ctgatcaata gaaatatcga

1801 agacaatcag gctcatctcc aaggggaacc catagaggtg gacaatctcc ctgaggatat

1861 ggggcgactt cacctggatg atggaaaatc gcccaaccat ggtgagatag

```
ccaaggtggg
    1 9 2 1 ~ a g a a g g c a a g ~ t a t c g a g a g g ~ a c t t t c a g a t ~ g g a t g a a g g a ~ g a g g a t c c t a
gcttcctgtt
    1 9 8 1 ~ c c a g t c a t a c ~ c t g g a a a a t g ~ t t g g a g t c c a ~ a a t a g t c a g a ~ c a a a t g a g g t
caggagagag
    2 0 4 1 ~ a t t t c t c a a g ~ a t a t g g t c a c ~ a g a c c g t a g a ~ a g a g a t t a t a ~ t c c t a t g t c g
cggtcaactt
    2 1 0 1 ~ t c c c a a c c c t ~ c c a g g a a a g t ~ c t t c a g a g g a ~ t a a a t c a a c c ~ c a g a c t a c t g
gccgagagct
        2 1 6 1 ~ c a a g a a g t g t ~ g t a t c a g t a c ~ a t g
//
```



```
misc_feature 1502..1512
    /label=Transcription stop/pA signal (N/P \& P/M))
    1515.. 1523
    /locus_tag="Transcriptional start (P)"
    /label=Transcriptional start (P)
misc_feature 1544..2008
    /locus_tag="P"
    /label=P
    /note='P"
primer_bind complement(1989..2024)
    /label=Barcode2_P_rp
```

ORIGIN

1 tcagacgatg cgtcatcagc nnnnnnnnnn acgcttaaca accagatcaa agaaaaaaca

61 gacattgtca attgcaaagc aaaaatgtaa caccoctaca atggatgccg acaagattgt

121 attcaaagtc aataatcagg tggtctcttt gaagcctgag attatcgtgg atcaatatga

181 gtacaagtac cctgccatca aagatttgaa aaagccctgt ataaccctag gaaaggctcc

241 cgatttaaat aaagcataca agtcagtttt gtcaggcatg agcgccgcca aacttaatcc

301 tgacgatgta tgttcctatt tggcagcggc aatgcagttt tttgagggga catgtccgga

361 agactggacc agctatggaa ttgtgattgc acgaaaagga gataagatca ccccaggttc

421 tctggtggag ataaaacgta ctgatgtaga agggaattgg gctctgacag gaggcatgga

481 actgacaaga gaccccactg tccctgagca tgcgtcctta gtcggtcttc tcttgagtct

541 gtataggttg agcaaaatat ccgggcaaaa cactggtaac tataagacaa acattgcaga

601 caggatagag cagatttttg agacagcccc ttttgttaaa atcgtggaac accatactct

661 aatgacaact cacaaaatgt gtgctaattg gagtactata ccaaacttca gatttttggc

721 cggaacctat gacatgtttt tctcccggat tgagcatcta tattcagcaa tcagagtggg

781 cacagttgtc actgcttatg aagactgttc aggactggta tcatttactg ggttcataaa

841 acaaatcaat ctcaccgcta gagaggcaat actatatttc ttccacaaga actttgagga

901 agagataaga agaatgtttg agccagggca ggagacagct gttcctcact cttatttcat

961 ccacttccgt tcactaggct tgagtgggaa atctccttat tcatcaaatg ctgttggtca

1021 cgtgttcaat ctcattcact ttgtaggatg ctatatgggt caagtcagat ccctaaatgc

1081 aacggttatt gctgcatgtg ctcctcatga aatgtctgtt ctagggggct atctgggaga

1141 ggaattcttc gggaaaggga catttgaaag aagattcttc agagatgaga aagaacttca

1201 agaatacgag gcggctgaac tgacaaagac tgacgtagca ctggcagatg atggaactgt

1261 caactctgac gacgaggact acttttcagg tgaaaccaga agtccggagg ctgtttatac

1321 tcgaatcatg atgaatggag gtcgactaaa gagatctcac atacggagat atgtctcagt

1381 cagttccaat catcaagccc gtccaaactc attcgccgag tttctaaaca agacatattc

1441 gagtgactca taagaagttg aataacaaaa tgccggaaat ctacggattg tgtatatcca

1501 tcatgaaaaa aactaacacc cctcctttcg aaccatccca aacatgagca agatctttgt

1561 caatcctagt gctattagag ccggtctggc cgatcttgag atggctgaag aaactgttga

1621 tctgatcaat agaaatatcg aagacaatca ggctcatctc caaggggaac ccatagaggt

1681 ggacaatctc cctgaggata tggggcgact tcacctggat gatggaaaat cgcccaacca

1741 tggtgagata gccaaggtgg gagaaggcaa gtatcgagag gactttcaga tggatgaagg

1801 agaggatcct agcttcctgt tccagtcata cctggaaaat gttggagtcc aaatagtcag

1861 acaaatgagg tcaggagaga gatttctcaa gatatggtca cagaccgtag aagagattat

1921 atcctatgtc gcggtcaact ttcccaaccc tccaggaaag tcttcagagg ataaatcaac

1981 ccagactact ggccgagagc tcaagaagct atacatgact ctgc //
bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/550640; this version posted February 18, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY-ND 4.0 International license.


