Variation in genome content and predatory phenotypes between *Bdellovibrio* sp. NC01 isolated

from soil and *B. bacteriovorus* type strain HD100

Laura E. Williams[a]#, Nicole Cullen[a], Joseph A. DeGiorgis[a,b], Karla J. Martinez[a], Justina

Mellone[a], Molly Oser[a], Jing Wang[c], Ying Zhang[c]

[a]Department of Biology, Providence College, Providence, RI, USA

[b]Cellular Dynamics Program, Marine Biological Laboratory, Woods Hole, MA, USA

[c]Department of Cell and Molecular Biology, College of the Environment and Life Sciences,

University of Rhode Island, Kingston, RI, USA

#Corresponding author: Laura E. Williams, lwillia7@providence.edu

Keywords: predatory bacteria, prey range, predation efficiency, plaque phenotype

Genome accession number: CP030034
12

Abstract

Defining phenotypic and associated genotypic variation among *Bdellovibrio* may further

our understanding of how this genus attacks and kills different Gram-negative bacteria. We

isolated *Bdellovibrio* sp. NC01 from soil. Analysis of 16S rRNA gene sequences and average

amino acid identity showed that NC01 belongs to a different species than the type species

bacteriovorus. By clustering amino acid sequences from completely sequenced *Bdellovibrio* and

comparing the resulting ortholog groups to a previously published analysis, we defined a "core

genome" of 778 protein-coding genes and identified four protein-coding genes that appeared to

be missing only in NC01. To determine how horizontal gene transfer (HGT) may have impacted

NC01 genome evolution, we performed genome-wide comparisons of *Bdellovibrio* nucleotide

sequences, which indicated that eight NC01 genomic regions were likely acquired by HGT. To

investigate how genome variation may impact predation, we compared protein-coding gene

content between NC01 and the *B. bacteriovorus* type strain HD100, focusing on genes

implicated as important in successful killing of prey. Of these, NC01 is missing ten genes that

may play roles in lytic activity during predation. Compared to HD100, NC01 kills fewer tested

prey strains and kills *E. coli* ML35 less efficiently. NC01 causes a smaller log reduction in

ML35, after which the prey population recovers and the NC01 population decreases. In addition,

NC01 forms turbid plaques on lawns of *E. coli* ML35, in contrast to clear plaques formed by

HD100. Linking phenotypic variation in interactions between *Bdellovibrio* and Gram-negative

bacteria with underlying *Bdellovibrio* genome variation is valuable for understanding the

ecological significance of predatory bacteria and evaluating their effectiveness in clinical

applications.

Introduction

Since their discovery in the early 1960s, predatory bacteria have been isolated from a wide range of terrestrial and aquatic environments, including some with extreme conditions such as high temperature or salinity (1). The impact of predatory bacteria in these environments is not fully known, but recent studies indicate they may play an important role in shaping microbial communities (2–4). In addition, their ability to attack and kill Gram-negative bacterial pathogens has generated interest in developing predatory bacteria as biocontrol agents to combat drug-resistant infections (5–7). Given their potential ecological significance and possible clinical applications, it is important to define phenotypic and genotypic variation among predatory bacteria. In particular, variation in predatory phenotypes such as prey range and predation efficiency will affect how different strains of predatory bacteria impact microbial communities, and this phenotypic variation may be determined by variation in genome content. To further our understanding of phenotypic and genome variation in predatory bacteria, we isolated, assayed and sequenced a *Bdellovibrio* strain from soil and compared its predatory phenotypes and genome content to other sequenced *Bdellovibrio*.

Among the different genera of predatory bacteria, *Bdellovibrio* is the most well-studied. Currently, this genus includes two species that differ in their predation strategy. *B. bacteriovorus* invades the periplasm of Gram-negative prey cells (8), whereas *B. exovorus* attaches to the outside of prey cells but does not enter the cell itself (9). Here, we focus on intraperiplasmic *Bdellovibrio*. This lifecycle includes two main phases: the attack phase and the growth phase (10). During the attack phase, small, highly motile *Bdellovibrio* cells seek out and attach to Gram-negative prey bacteria. *Bdellovibrio* then invades the prey periplasm by using lytic enzymes to open a small hole in the prey outer membrane and cell wall (11). Both attachment

and invasion may be mediated by Type IV pili expressed by *Bdellovibrio* (12–14). After

Bdellovibrio moves into the prey periplasm, it uses peptidoglycan-modifying enzymes to reseal

and reshape the prey cell wall, resulting in formation of a rounded structure called a bdelloplast

(15). Within the bdelloplast, *Bdellovibrio* initiates the growth phase, during which it secretes

lytic enzymes into the prey cytoplasm. Using digested prey cell contents as nutrients,

Bdellovibrio grows into a single long filament within the prey periplasm, eventually dividing into

multiple progeny, which lyse the bdelloplast and emerge as new attack phase cells.

By invading and lysing Gram-negative bacteria, some strains of *Bdellovibrio* can reduce

prey populations by up to 8 log units over 48 hours *in vitro* (6, 16). However, not all *Bdellovibrio*

strains are equally effective at killing the same Gram-negative species. Researchers have

observed variation among *Bdellovibrio* strains in which Gram-negative bacteria are susceptible

to predation (17, 18) and in how quickly and to what extent a population of Gram-negative prey

is reduced (16, 19). These predatory phenotypes, referred to as prey range and predation

efficiency, are clearly important in determining the outcomes of interactions between

Bdellovibrio and Gram-negative bacteria; however, the extent of naturally occurring variation in

these phenotypes and the underlying mechanisms governing them are not well understood.

Defining how predatory phenotypes differ among *Bdellovibrio* will contribute to our

understanding of the impact of predatory bacteria on microbial communities in different

environments and inform their application in biocontrol of bacterial pathogens.

Here, we describe the isolation of *Bdellovibrio* sp. NC01 from soil and compare its

genome and predatory phenotypes to other *Bdellovibrio*, focusing primarily on the *B.*

bacteriovorus type strain HD100. The intraperiplasmic predator HD100 was the first

Bdellovibrio strain sequenced (20), and multiple studies have dissected some of the molecular

mechanisms governing predation in this strain (21). To investigate variation in genome content,

we compared the genome of NC01 to that of HD100 and other sequenced *Bdellovibrio*.

Currently, only a few completely sequenced predatory bacteria genomes are available in public

databases. In addition to HD100, there are only four other completely sequenced intraperiplasmic

Bdellovibrio genomes, including *B. bacteriovorus* W (22), which is the most phylogenetically

divergent from HD100 (23). The limited genome dataset highlights the importance of sequencing

additional *Bdellovibrio*, especially strains that are divergent from HD100. To define variation in

predatory phenotypes between NC01 and HD100, we determined prey range differences by

testing each strain against a panel of Gram-negative bacteria, and we measured predation

efficiency by quantifying viable *E. coli* ML35 during co-culture with each strain.

Methods

Isolation and identification of environmental bacteria for use as prey

We isolated bacteria from an urban freshwater stream in Providence, RI (lat 41.835, lon -

71.44299) and from soil in a residential area of Massachusetts (lat 42.0877, lon -71.2309) for use

as prey. We also obtained *E. coli* ML35 from Mark Martin (University of Puget Sound, Puget

Sound, WA) and *Escherichia* 0057 from Brett Pellock (Providence College, Providence, RI).

To identify these isolates, we sequenced the 16S rRNA gene following a previously

described procedure (24). Briefly, we used primers 63F (25) and 1378R (26), which amplify the

16S rRNA gene from many members of Domain Bacteria. Sanger sequencing of purified PCR

products was performed by GeneWiz (South Plainfield, NJ) using the same primers. We trimmed

and assembled reads using Phred/Phrap/Consed (27–29), then classified the assembled 16S

rRNA gene sequences using BLAST (30), the SILVA Incremental Aligner (31) and the

Ribosomal Database Project classifier (32). Supplementary Table 1 shows the full classification

results. The RDP classifier and the SILVA Incremental Aligner classify sequences to the genus

level, but not the species level; therefore, species names are not provided for these isolates.

Isolation and identification of *Bdellovibrio* sp. NC01 from bioswale soil

In September 2015, we collected 50 g of soil from a bioswale on the Providence College

campus (lat 41.84277, lon -71.43944). To isolate predatory bacteria from the soil sample, we

adapted standard methods (33, 34). We combined the soil sample with 500 ml of sterile HM

buffer (25 mM HEPES adjusted to pH 7.4 and supplemented with 3 mM calcium chloride

dihydrate and 2 mM magnesium chloride hexahydrate). We then filtered this mixture through

sterile cheesecloth into a sterile container to remove large particulate matter. We vortexed the

filtrate to release bacterial cells from the small particles of soil that passed through the

cheesecloth. To pellet the soil particles, we centrifuged the filtrate at 500 x g (1753 rpm in a

Sorvall GSA rotor) for 10 minutes, after which we retained the supernatant containing suspended

bacterial cells.

To enrich for predatory bacteria in the soil sample supernatant, we used *Pseudomonas* sp.

NC02 as prey, because it was also isolated from a soil environment, and its genome has been

sequenced (35). We cultured *Pseudomonas* sp. NC02 overnight in 120 ml tryptic soy broth

(TSB) at 28°C and 200 rpm, then centrifuged the culture at 5854 x g (6000 rpm in a Sorvall GSA

rotor) for 10 minutes, washed the resulting pellet with 100 ml sterile HM buffer, centrifuged

again and resuspended the resulting pellet in 2.5 ml HM buffer. We combined 1 ml of

Pseudomonas sp. NC02 resuspension with 20 ml of soil sample supernatant and incubated this

enrichment at 28°C and 200 rpm.

Once we observed small, fast-moving cells under 1000x phase-contrast microscopy, we

filtered the enrichment using a 0.45 μm filter and performed 10-fold serial dilutions of the filtrate

in sterile HM buffer. Dilutions were then plated using a double agar overlay method. For each

dilution of the filtrate to be plated, we combined 100 μl of the dilution, 250 μl of *Pseudomonas*

sp. NC02 at 10^8 cfu/ml and 4.5 ml of molten HM top agar (0.6% agar). After vortexing, we

poured this mixture onto HM agar plates (1.5% agar). We allowed the top agar to solidify at

room temperature, then incubated plates at 28°C until we observed plaques in the lawn of

Pseudomonas sp. NC02. To begin the process of obtaining a pure isolate, we used a sterile

Pasteur pipette to extract single, well-defined plaques from the top agar. We combined each

plaque with 500 μl of sterile HM buffer, incubated the plaque suspension at room temperature

for at least 5 minutes, then vortexed it vigorously.

To culture predatory bacteria from the plaque suspensions, we made lysates combining

300 μl of each plaque suspension, 1.5 ml of an overnight culture of *Serratia* 0043 and 20 ml

sterile HM buffer. *Serratia* 0043 was also isolated from a soil environment, and switching to this

prey species during the isolation procedure selected for predatory isolates that were capable of

attacking both *Pseudomonas* and *Serratia*, which belong to different orders. We incubated

lysates at 28°C and 200 rpm. After 48 h, one lysate showed small, fast-moving cells, and we

used this lysate to begin two additional rounds of serial dilution, plating and plaque picking to

ensure a pure isolate. We used *Serratia* 0043 as prey during both of these rounds. After the final

round, we filtered the plaque suspension using a 0.45 μm filter, then combined 500 μl of filtrate

with 500 μl of sterile 50% glycerol and stored the stock at -80°C.

To identify NC01, we extracted the 16S rRNA gene sequence from the NC01 genome

based on the annotation generated by PGAP (see below for genome sequencing and annotation

methods). Using SINA 1.2.11 (31), we aligned this sequence against 16S rRNA gene sequences

extracted from nine genomes of obligate predatory bacteria belonging to *Bacteriovorax*,

Bdellovibrio and *Halobacteriovorax* (*Bacteriovorax stolpii* DSM12778 CP025704, *Bdellovibrio*

bacteriovorus 109J CP007656, *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus* HD100 NC_005363, *Bdellovibrio*

bacteriovorus SSB218315 CP020946, *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus* Tiberius NC_019567,

Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus W NZ_CP002190, *Bdellovibrio exovorus* JSS NC_020813,

Halobacteriovorax marinus BE01 CP017414, *Halobacteriovorax marinus* SJ NC_016620). We

then inferred a phylogenetic tree from the alignment with the online server RAxML Blackbox

(36), using 100 bootstrap replicates to estimate the confidence of the topology.

157   To further investigate the relationships among NC01 and other *Bdellovibrio*, we used an

158   average amino acid identity (AAI) calculator (http://enve-omics.ce.gatech.edu/) (37) to analyze

159   the similarity among protein-coding sequences from NC01, 109J, HD100 and W, as annotated by

160   RAST (see below for genome sequencing and annotation methods).

Negative stain electron microscopy of *Bdellovibrio* sp. NC01

162   To obtain samples of *Bdellovibrio* sp. NC01 for negative stain electron microscopy, we

163   added a small amount of the -80°C freezer stock to 20 ml of HM buffer mixed with 1.5 ml of an

164   overnight culture of *E. coli* ML35 grown in TSB. After 48 h of incubation at 28°C and 200 rpm,

165   we stained samples with 1% uranyl acetate (pH 4.2) following a previously described procedure

166   (24) and imaged the resulting specimens using a JEOL CX 2000 transmission electron

167   microscope.

Genome sequencing and annotation of *Bdellovibrio* sp. NC01

169   To obtain genomic DNA, we combined a small amount of the -80°C stock, 1.5 ml of an

overnight culture of *E. coli* ML35 and 25 ml of sterile HM buffer. We chose ML35 as prey

because it is a standard prey strain used in cultivation of *Bdellovibrio*, and the genome sequence

is available for screening reads if needed (38). After 72 hours of incubation at 28°C and 200 rpm,

we centrifuged the lysate at 10000 x g (9100 rpm in a Thermo Scientific A27 rotor) for 10

minutes to pellet bacterial cells. Because PacBio sequencing technology requires at least 10 μg

of genomic DNA, we did not filter the lysate to prevent loss of predatory bacteria cells. We then

used the Wizard Genomic DNA purification kit to extract genomic DNA, following the

manufacturer's instructions beginning with resuspension of the pellet in 600 μl of the kit's nuclei

lysis solution. For the final rehydration step, we rehydrated the DNA pellet overnight at 4°C.

Quantification by Qubit estimated a final concentration of 340 ng/μl genomic DNA.

For long-read sequencing, library construction and sequencing were performed at the

Institute for Genome Sciences at the University of Maryland Baltimore on a Pacific Biosciences

RSII instrument using P6-C4 chemistry. Quality control analysis of the reads showed that <10%

of reads aligned by BLAST to *E. coli* or *Shigella*, indicating that representation of the prey

genome in the read dataset is low. To analyze and assemble the reads, we launched an instance

of SMRT Portal 2.3.0 using Amazon EC2. A single SMRT cell yielded 79,088 postfilter

polymerase reads (N_{50} 17,028) and 94,031 subreads (N_{50} 13,572). Within SMRT Portal, we

assembled the reads with HGAP3 (39), using 4.5 Mbp for the genome size. This generated 186

contigs. The largest contig was 4,001,137 bp and aligned to *Bdellovibrio* in the database using

BLASTN. The other 185 contigs were each <48,000 bp in length, and all of them aligned to *E.*

coli by BLASTN, which is expected due to the inclusion of prey genomic DNA in the

sequencing library.

To close the genome, we used BLASTN to identify overlap in the ends of the contig and

trimmed the sequence to generate a closed contig of 3,975,771 bp. We also adjusted the start site

so that the first nucleotide of the closed genome sequence corresponds to the first nucleotide in

the start codon of *dnaA*. To polish this sequence, we used 250 bp paired-end Illumina MiSeq data

generated at the Rhode Island Genomics and Sequencing Center. We filtered and trimmed the

raw read dataset to retain only those read pairs for which the quality score of every base was ≥13

and the read length was ≥65 nt. This yielded 1,256,135 read pairs. Using bwa-mem (40),

samtools (41) and Pilon (42), we aligned these reads to the closed genome sequence and

corrected 1 SNP, 84 small insertions totaling 85 bases and 4 small single-base deletions. We

manually examined changes flagged by Pilon using the alignment viewer Tablet (43), generating

a final, polished *Bdellovibrio* sp. NC01 genome sequence of 3,975,853 bp. This sequence has

been deposited in GenBank as CP030034.

In addition to annotation with NCBI's Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline (PGAP)

version 4.5, we also annotated the sequence using ClassicRAST (44, 45), Infernal (46), and

tRNAScan-SE (47, 48).

Comparative genomics of *Bdellovibrio* sp. NC01 and other sequenced *Bdellovibrio*

We used a custom software pipeline (49) to cluster amino acid sequences from

Bdellovibrio sp. NC01, all five completely sequenced *B. bacteriovorus* genomes, and *B.*

exovorus JSS into ortholog groups. NCBI accession numbers for these genomes are listed above.

Ortholog groups were identified among all genomes based on the identification of bi-directional

best hits in the protein-coding genes using BLASTP for the pairwise sequence comparisons. The

pipeline requires an alignment of two amino acid sequences to have ≥30% identity, ≥70%

coverage, and an e-value of <0.001. To define the "core genome" of the seven *Bdellovibrio*

analyzed here, we identified the set of ortholog groups that each included at least one protein-

coding gene from each genome.

We compared the core genome defined by our analysis with the set of 795 conserved

Bdellovibrio genes identified in (23). To do this, we extracted NCBI protein accession numbers

associated with *B. exovorus* JSS from Additional File 4a, because JSS was the only genome for

which all 795 genes were listed. We then used R and bash scripts to determine whether these

accession numbers appeared in the ortholog groups identified as the core genome in our analysis.

To define the set of HD100 protein-coding genes implicated in predation by previous

studies, we extracted the HD100 locus tags listed in Supporting Information Figure S1 of (50)

and all HD100 locus tags with a value <0.5 in the column labelled Mini Tn-seq ECPL avg *W* in

Data Set S4 of (51). For each of these HD100 locus tags, we used R and bash scripts to

determine the corresponding GenBank protein ID (as listed in the NC_005363 annotation on

GenBank) and identify the ortholog group in our analysis that included this protein ID. We then

determined whether the ortholog group also included a NC01 protein-coding gene. If not, we

considered the gene missing in NC01.

For genome-wide pairwise comparisons of nucleotide sequences, we used the BLAST

Ring Image Generator (BRIG) (52).

Phenotype assays to test prey range differences and predation efficiency

We obtained *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus* HD100 from Mark Martin (University of Puget

Sound, Puget Sound, WA) for predatory phenotype comparisons. To conduct phenotype assays,

we adapted standard methods (33, 34, 53). To culture *Bdellovibrio* NC01 and HD100, we added

a small amount of -80°C stocks to 20 ml HM buffer combined with 1.5 ml of an overnight

culture of *E. coli* ML35. After 72 hours of incubation at 28°C and 200 rpm, we filtered the lysate

using a 0.45 μm filter to separate predatory cells from prey cells and cell debris. For each

phenotype assay described below, we followed this protocol to obtain attack phase *Bdellovibrio*

cells.

To test prey range differences and plaque appearance, we plated 10-fold serial dilutions

of filtered lysate using a double agar overlay method as described above for the isolation

procedure. Each tested prey strain was grown in 120 ml TSB overnight at 28°C and 200 rpm,

with the exception of *Escherichia* strains, which were grown at 37°C. After centrifugation and

washing, we resuspended prey cell pellets in 5 ml of sterile HM buffer. Based on cfu/ml counts,

this yielded concentrations of at least 10^8 cfu/ml, which ensured a dense prey lawn for

visualizing plaques. For some prey strains, we added 500 μl of prey resuspension to top agar to

improve our ability to detect and observe plaques in the prey lawn. We incubated plates at 28°C

for 8 days before scoring plaque formation and performed three replicates for each prey strain. In

observations of plaque appearance, we photographed plates at multiple time points over the

course of 8 days.

To test predation efficiency, we adapted a protocol described in (19). We centrifuged

filtered lysate at 8635 x g (8500 rpm in a Thermo Scientific A27 rotor) for 10 minutes. The

resulting pellet of predatory bacteria was resuspended in sterile HM buffer to yield ~10^8 cells/ml

based on direct cell counts using a Petroff-Hausser counting chamber and 1000x phase-contrast

microscopy. To initiate the predation efficiency assay, we combined 500 μl of the *Bdellovibrio*

cell resuspension with 12.5 ml of an overnight culture of *E. coli* ML35 diluted to OD_{600} 0.15

(range: 0.135 – 0.165) in sterile HM buffer. Dilution of the overnight culture yielded ~10^8 *E. coli*

cells/ml based on cfu/ml counts. As a control, we used 500 μl of sterile HM buffer in place of

Bdellovibrio cell resuspension. Using cfu/ml counts, we quantified viable *E. coli* ML35 at the

beginning of the assay (time zero) and then after 6, 24, 48 and 72 hours of incubation at 28°C

and 200 rpm. Based on the initial concentrations of *Bdellovibrio* and *E. coli* as estimated by

direct cell counts and cfu/ml counts, the predator:prey ratio at the beginning of the assay was 1:5

for NC01 and 1:4 for HD100.

To test survival of *Bdellovibrio* in the absence of prey, we used the same protocol as the

predation efficiency assay, but we combined 500 μl of *Bdellovibrio* cell resuspension with 12.5

ml of sterile HM buffer instead of a dilution of prey overnight culture. After 0, 24, 48 and 72

hours of incubation at 28°C and 200 rpm, we quantified viable *Bdellovibrio* by plating serial

dilutions of flask contents using the double agar overlay method described above for testing prey

range differences. After incubating these plates for 48 hours at 28°C, we counted plaques formed

on the *E. coli* ML35 prey lawn and then obtained a final plaque count after another 24 hours of

incubation.

Because we used both direct cell counts and plaque counts to quantify *Bdellovibrio*, we

validated the methods by comparing initial *Bdellovibrio* concentrations for the survival assay

without prey as estimated by direct cell counts using the Petroff-Hausser counting chamber and

plaque counts using serial dilution and double agar overlay. If all cells counted in the Petroff-

Hausser counting chamber are viable, these estimates should be the same. For both NC01 (n=4)

and HD100 (n=3), the two quantification methods showed good agreement (Supplementary

Figure 1). The average initial concentration estimated by direct cell counts was 1.05×10^7

cells/ml for NC01 and 2.3×10^7 cells/ml for HD100, whereas the average initial concentration

estimated by plaque counts (reported as plaque-forming units (pfu)/ml) at time zero was $1.17 \times$

10^7 pfu/ml for NC01 and 2.71×10^7 pfu/ml for HD100. These data show that direct cell counts

and plaque counts provide comparable results for quantification of *Bdellovibrio*.

Results

Bioswale soil harbors predatory bacteria belonging to *Bdellovibrio*

Using Gram-negative soil bacteria isolated from a residential area as prey, we performed

an enrichment followed by three rounds of plaque picking to obtain a pure isolate of predatory

bacteria from a sample of soil collected at a bioswale on the Providence College campus. The

bioswale is a manmade landscape feature designed to collect and filter stormwater runoff from

nearby buildings. We designated the bioswale soil isolate as NC01. Using 1000x phase-contrast

microscopy of lysates combining NC01 and Gram-negative prey, we observed small, fast-

moving attack phase NC01 cells that attached to prey cells (Figure 1a). We also observed

rounded structures characteristic of bdelloplasts (Figure 1b), suggesting that NC01 uses a cell

invasion strategy similar to *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus*. Negative stain electron microscopy

showed that NC01 attack phase cells have a comma-shaped morphology with a single polar

flagellum (Figure 1c).

To identify NC01, we constructed a phylogenetic tree of 16S rRNA gene sequences from

this isolate, all five *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus* with complete genomes available in GenBank,

and representatives of *Bacteriovorax*, *Bdellovibrio exovorus* and *Halobacteriovorax* with

complete genomes available in GenBank (Figure 2). In this phylogenetic tree, NC01 clearly

clusters with other *Bdellovibrio*. Pairwise alignment of 16S rRNA gene sequences from NC01

and *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus* HD100, which is the type strain of the species, showed 97%

similarity across 1,516 nucleotides. This value is above the 95% similarity cutoff typically used

to define bacterial genera (54), which supports identifying NC01 as *Bdellovibrio*. However, it is

below the 98.7% similarity cutoff typically used to define species (55), which suggests that

NC01 belongs to a different species than *bacteriovorus*.

To explore this further, we compared the average amino acid identity (AAI) of

Bdellovibrio strains NC01, W, HD100 and 109J (Table 1). HD100 and 109J, which are both

identified as *B. bacteriovorus*, cluster in a well-supported clade in the 16S rRNA phylogenetic

tree, and their amino acid sequences are highly similar (average AAI 99.2%). However, NC01

amino acid sequences are <70% similar on average to HD100 and 109J amino acid sequences,

supporting the conclusion that NC01 belongs to a different species than these two strains. NC01

amino acid sequences are even less similar on average to those of strain W, suggesting that

NC01 does not belong to the same species as this strain. Based on these analyses, we chose not

to designate a species for NC01, and we refer to this isolate as *Bdellovibrio* sp. NC01.

Analysis of ortholog groups identifies protein-coding genes that are missing in *Bdellovibrio*

sp. NC01 but conserved in other sequenced *Bdellovibrio*

The genome of *Bdellovibrio* sp. NC01 is larger than that of both HD100 and W (Table 2),

with a lower GC content than that of HD100, but slightly higher than that of W. We compared

the NC01 genome to all five publicly available complete *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus* genomes

and the *Bdellovibrio exovorus* JSS genome using a software pipeline that clusters protein-coding

genes into ortholog groups based on amino acid sequence similarity (49). Because the RAST and

PGAP annotation tools generate slightly different annotations of the same genome sequence, we

performed separate analyses of ortholog groups using either RAST or PGAP annotations of the

seven genomes in our dataset. To determine the "core genome" in each analysis, we identified

ortholog groups that included at least one protein-coding gene from each genome. The core

genome based on RAST annotations included 1,364 ortholog groups, whereas the core genome

based on PGAP annotations included 1,394 ortholog groups.

Recently, Oyedara and colleagues conducted comparative genomics of the same six

publicly available complete *Bdellovibrio* genomes and the genome of *Bdellovibrio* sp.

SKB1291214, which is not complete (23). The core genome shared by these seven *Bdellovibrio*

strains included 795 protein-coding genes. We compared these to the PGAP core genome

described above and identified 778 protein-coding genes that were conserved in all eight

Bdellovibrio genomes. Of the 17 protein-coding genes that were identified as part of the core

genome by Oyedara and colleagues but not by our analysis, four were missing only in NC01.

The remaining 13 were missing in some of the other *Bdellovibrio* genomes according to our

analysis, likely due to differences in the parameters, such as alignment length, used by the two

software programs to cluster genes into ortholog groups.

To further investigate the four protein-coding genes identified as missing only in NC01,

we used BLAST to align representative amino acid sequences from the corresponding ortholog

groups against the full set of amino acid sequences annotated in NC01 by PGAP and RAST.

These analyses confirmed that two of the four genes are missing in NC01. The two genes are

predicted to encode a transporter permease (Bd1145/WP_011163662) and a protein with a

conserved domain involved in synthesis of cytochrome c oxidase (Bd3223/WP_011165579). The

two remaining genes are predicted to encode a hypothetical protein and the flagellar biosynthesis

protein FliL. For each of these genes, BLAST alignments yielded evidence of a possible ortholog

within the NC01 genome.

In particular, BLASTP alignment showed 78% sequence similarity between the protein-

coding gene annotated as a hypothetical protein (Bd1976/WP_011164428) and a 493 aa

sequence in NC01 that was annotated by both PGAP (DOE51_09405) and RAST (peg.1859).

The NC01 amino acid sequence is 161 aa longer than the representative amino acid sequence and

other sequences in the ortholog group due to a stop site difference. It was clustered in an ortholog

group by itself in the analyses of PGAP and RAST protein-coding genes, likely due to the

alignment length cutoff. Because the NC01 amino acid sequence shares high sequence similarity

with members of the hypothetical protein ortholog group over two-thirds of its length, it may

have derived from the same ancestral gene.

Finally, the flagellar biosynthesis protein FliL was identified as missing only in NC01

because it was annotated as a pseudogene by PGAP (DOE51_16425). However, RAST

annotated it as a functional protein-coding gene (peg.3231). BLASTP alignment showed 89%

sequence similarity between a representative FliL sequence (Bd3329/WP_011165675) and the

NC01 amino acid sequence annotated by RAST, but over only 69% of the representative

sequence. This is due to differences in predicted start sites and therefore length between the

NC01 amino acid sequence (177 aa) and the representative amino acid sequence (236 aa).

TBLASTN alignment of the representative amino acid sequence against the region of the NC01

nucleotide sequence annotated as a pseudogene by PGAP (3428266-3428813) confirmed that

there is no alternative start site in this region, and the NC01 protein-coding gene is shorter. These

analyses explain why the flagellar biosynthesis protein FliL was annotated as a pseudogene by

PGAP and therefore not included in the ortholog group generated using PGAP annotations.

However, the NC01 protein-coding gene was included in the FliL ortholog group when using

RAST annotations.

Comparative genomics identifies eight regions of unique gene content possibly acquired by

***Bdellovibrio* sp. NC01 via horizontal gene transfer**

Using the BLAST Ring Image Generator (BRIG), we conducted pairwise comparisons of

NC01 to HD100 and W based on nucleotide sequence alignments with BLASTN. We chose

HD100 and W based on the 16S rRNA gene phylogenetic tree and average AAI comparisons to

represent phylogenetic diversity and genome variation among sequenced intraperiplasmic

Bdellovibrio. BRIG analysis identified eight NC01 genomic regions of at least 15,000

nucleotides in which no alignments of >200 nucleotides and e-value <0.1 to HD100 or W were

reported. These eight regions, which may represent unique NC01 gene content, are marked on

Figure 3. The plot of GC content shows that four of the eight regions (regions 1, 4, 5, and 7)

encompass substantial decreases in GC content compared to the typical variation along the

genome. Such deviation in GC content may be an indicator of horizontal gene transfer (HGT)

(56).

To assess whether the genes encoded in these eight NC01 genomic regions are present

but divergent in HD100 and W, we used TBLASTN to compare NC01 amino acid sequences

annotated in each region by RAST to the HD100 and W genomes translated into all six reading

frames. RAST annotated 11 to 50 protein-coding sequences within each of the eight NC01

genomic regions. By searching for these sequences along the entire HD100 and W genomes, this

analysis detects similar protein-coding sequences even if the genes have undergone

rearrangement. For the majority of the protein-coding sequences in each of the eight NC01

regions, TBLASTN did not produce any alignments to HD100 or W with >70% query coverage.

For those protein-coding sequences that did align to HD100 and/or W with >70% query

coverage, identity was low (<40%) for most of the sequences. Less than five protein-coding

sequences in each region aligned to HD100 or W at >70% coverage and >40% identity. This

suggests that the protein-coding sequences in the eight NC01 genomic regions are missing from

HD100 and W, instead of divergent.

In addition to comparisons against HD100 and W, we also used BLASTP to align amino

acid sequences annotated in each region by RAST against the non-redundant GenBank database.

For each sequence, we examined the top 250 alignments for any sequences identified as

Bdellovibrio. This analysis expands the search space beyond complete *Bdellovibrio* genomes to

any deposited sequences that were denoted by the submitters as *Bdellovibrio*. In two of the

regions (regions 1 and 4), only one-third of amino acid sequences aligned to *Bdellovibrio*

sequences. In every other region except region 8, 52-64% of the amino acid sequences aligned to

Bdellovibrio sequences. In region 8, three-fourths of the amino acid sequences aligned to

Bdellovibrio sequences. These results suggest that most of the protein-coding sequences in

regions 1 and 4 may be unique to *Bdellovibrio* sp. NC01, because they do not align to other

Bdellovibrio sequences in the database. In the other regions, the larger proportion of shared gene

content between NC01 and *Bdellovibrio* in the database may be the result of HGT events that

occurred before the divergence of NC01 and these strains.

The hypothesis that the eight NC01 genomic regions were acquired by horizontal gene

transfer is also supported by predicted functions of the protein-coding sequences encoded within

the regions. RAST annotation identified functions related to mobile elements in five of the eight

regions. These include phage tail fibers (regions 1, 5 and 6), site-specific recombinases or

integrases (regions 1, 4, and 7), a phage-related DNA primase (region 4), and a plasmid

conjugative transfer protein (region 7). In addition, Prophinder analysis of the complete NC01

genome identified a portion of region 5 (1,632,099 – 1,632,833) as a possible prophage. Three

regions (regions 2, 3 and 8) did not encode any obvious mobile element functions.

Apart from mobile element-related genes, most of the protein-coding sequences in the

eight NC01 genomic regions are annotated as hypothetical proteins by RAST, therefore it is

unclear what functional capacities may have been gained by *Bdellovibrio* sp. NC01 via HGT and

whether those functions impact predation. Some of the genes were annotated with predicted

functions, which enabled us to assess gene content in the eight regions in terms of broad

functional categories. Four of the eight regions (regions 1, 3, 6 and 8) encode predicted functions

related to transcriptional regulation, and five regions (regions 1, 3, 5, 6, and 7) encode predicted

functions related to transport. Regarding enzymatic activity, region 6 encodes a predicted cell

wall endopeptidase, which is interesting given *Bdellovibrio*'s interactions with the Gram-

negative prey cell wall during its life cycle.

Differential gene content between *Bdellovibrio* sp. NC01 and HD100 includes protein-

coding genes that may be important for predation

In a pairwise comparison of protein-coding gene content between NC01 and HD100, we

found that ~32% of NC01 protein-coding genes are not found in HD100 and ~27% of HD100

protein-coding genes are not found in NC01 (Table 3). To assess broad functional classification

of these differentially present genes, we used RAST annotations of the genomes, primarily

because, in our experience, RAST predicts functions for more protein-coding genes, whereas

PGAP classifies more protein-coding genes as "hypothetical proteins". Additionally, both

genomes were annotated using the same version of RAST, which ensures consistency in gene

calls and functional predictions. Of the 1,213 genes identified as present in NC01 and absent in

HD100, 516 were assigned a predicted function by RAST, and 697 were annotated as

hypothetical proteins. Of the 1,026 genes identified as present in HD100 and absent in NC01,

465 were assigned a predicted function by RAST, and 561 were annotated as hypothetical

proteins. Protein-coding genes annotated as "hypothetical proteins" may impact predation

phenotype differences between NC01 and HD100; however, the lack of predicted function

precludes any hypotheses about the roles of the gene products in predation.

For the differentially present protein-coding genes assigned a predicted function by

RAST, we used InterProScan (57) to assess how the amino acid sequences were grouped into

families based on functional or structural classifications. Gene Ontology (GO) classifications are

often used for this purpose; however, only half of the amino acid sequences were assigned GO

terms. By contrast, Pfam classifications were assigned to 461 of the amino acid sequences

identified as present in NC01 and absent in HD100 (89.3% of those annotated with a predicted

function) and 408 of the amino acid sequences identified as present in HD100 and absent in

NC01 (87.7% of those annotated with a predicted function). Overall, differentially present amino

acid sequences belonged to a range of Pfam families, with 349 unique functional or structural

classifications for those present in NC01 and absent in HD100 and 336 unique functional or

structural classifications for those present in HD100 and absent in NC01.

Previous studies of *B. bacteriovorus* have analyzed gene expression and constructed

mutant libraries to identify protein-coding genes likely important for predation. Lambert and

colleagues identified 240 protein-coding genes that were up-regulated in HD100 after 30 minutes

of interaction between *Bdellovibrio* and *E. coli* prey (50), whereas Duncan and colleagues used a

library of *B. bacteriovorus* 109J mutants generated by transposon insertions to identify 104

protein-coding genes that are important for *Bdellovibrio* fitness when preying on planktonic *E.*

coli (51). One protein-coding gene (Bd1399) was identified by both studies, yielding a combined

list of 343 unique protein-coding genes implicated in successful predation of *E. coli* by

Bdellovibrio. To determine whether these genes are present in NC01, we used NCBI annotations

of the genomes, which allowed us to match the HD100 locus tags referenced in these studies to

NCBI amino acid sequence accession numbers used in the identification of ortholog groups.

Of the 343 HD100 protein-coding genes implicated as important for predation on *E. coli*,

90 are missing in NC01 based on lack of a NC01 amino acid sequence in the ortholog group

including the HD100 amino acid sequence. This includes 80 from the list of genes identified by

Lambert and colleagues and 11 from the list identified by Duncan and colleagues, with Bd1399

included in both sets. Most of these differentially present genes are annotated as hypothetical

proteins or proteins of unknown function. However, 14 are annotated with a predicted function.

Ten of these may play important roles in predation, including four protein-coding genes

predicted to function in peptidoglycan metabolism (Bd0816/WP_011163367: D-alanyl-D-

alanine carboxypeptidase, Bd1358/WP_011163852: peptidoglycan-binding protein,

Bd1673/WP_011164147: LTA synthase family protein, Bd3575/WP_011165900: lytic

transglycosylase domain-containing protein), four annotated as serine proteases

(Bd0629/WP_011163194: trypsin-like serine protease, Bd2332/WP_011164755: serine protease,

Bd2334/WP_011164757: serine protease, Bd3238/WP_011165592: serine protease precursor),

and two protein-coding genes predicted to function in lipid metabolism or transport

(Bd0976/WP_011163513: helicase with a phospholipase domain, Bd3162/WP_011165523:

kinase). These ten genes may be involved in lytic activity related to the *Bdellovibrio* predatory

lifecycle. Our analysis indicates that these genes are missing from NC01, although it is possible

that the functions are fulfilled by other protein-coding genes that do not belong to the same

ortholog groups as the HD100 protein-coding genes.

***Bdellovibrio* sp. NC01 kills fewer tested prey strains than HD100 and is less efficient at**

killing *E. coli* ML35

To assess variation in predatory phenotypes between *Bdellovibrio* sp. NC01 and *B.*

bacteriovorus HD100, we assayed prey range differences and predation efficiency. To determine

differences in prey range, we tested NC01 and HD100 against a panel of eight Gram-negative

bacteria isolated from different environments, including a freshwater stream and soil from a

residential area (Table 4). Plaque formation on a bacterial lawn indicates that the predatory

isolate is able to attack and lyse that particular Gram-negative prey strain. NC01 formed plaques

on lawns of five of the eight prey strains tested, whereas HD100 formed plaques on lawns of all

eight prey strains. NC01 was not able to attack and lyse two prey strains belonging to

Acinetobacter and *Raoultella* that were isolated from a freshwater stream. In addition, although

NC01 formed plaques on *E. coli* ML35, it did not attack and lyse *E. coli* 0057.

To measure predation efficiency, we quantified viable *E. coli* ML35 by calculating cfu/ml

at different time points over 72 hours of co-culture with NC01 or HD100 (Figure 4). In the

absence of predatory bacteria, we did not observe any reduction in viable *E. coli* ML35,

demonstrating that co-culture conditions do not adversely affect the ML35 population. After 6

hours of co-culture, NC01 did not appreciably reduce viable *E. coli* ML35 compared to the

control, whereas HD100 reduced viable ML35 by approximately 1 log unit. After 24 hours of co-

culture, there was a substantial difference in the impact of NC01 and HD100 on the *E. coli*

population. NC01 reduced viable ML35 by approximately 3 log units compared to the control,

whereas HD100 reduced viable ML35 by 6 log units. Over the next 48 hours, HD100 continued

to restrict the viable ML35 population, but the population recovered in co-cultures with NC01,

increasing by 2 log units. ML35 population recovery in the presence of NC01 was consistently

observed in all assay replicates, and cfu/ml plates showed no evidence of contaminant bacteria.

We quantified NC01 and HD100 after 72 hours of co-culture with *E. coli* ML35 to

determine if recovery of the ML35 population is associated with changes in predatory bacteria

population density. Using direct cell counts to estimate *Bdellovibrio* cells/ml at the start of co-

culture and plaque counts to estimate pfu/ml after 72 hours of co-culture, we observed an

increase in the HD100 population of 1 log unit, whereas the NC01 population decreased by 1 log

unit (Figure 5). When compared in validation tests, direct cell counts and plaque counts yielded

comparable results (Supplementary Figure 1), therefore the observed decrease in NC01

population is not due to a difference in methods for determining predator population density.

These data demonstrate that for both NC01 and HD100, active predatory bacteria are present

after 72 hours of co-culture with *E. coli* ML35, but, in contrast to HD100, the NC01 population

density decreases over the course of the predation efficiency assay.

To determine how predator population density during co-culture with *E. coli* ML35

compares to *Bdellovibrio* survival without prey, we quantified NC01 and HD100 over 72 hours

of incubation in HM buffer alone. From similar starting concentrations, the viable NC01 and

HD100 populations both decreased by 2 and 1.5 log units, respectively. Overall, the combined

data on *Bdellovibrio* population density in the presence and absence of prey show that NC01

population density is higher in co-culture with *E. coli* ML35 than in the absence of prey, which

indicates that some NC01 attack phase cells are successfully invading ML35 prey cells and

replicating to produce progeny. However, the data also show that the decrease in the NC01

population over the course of the predation efficiency assay is similar to that experienced by both

NC01 and HD100 in starvation conditions. The death of NC01 cells and lack of production of

new NC01 progeny occurs in the predation efficiency assay despite the presence of viable *E. coli*

ML35.

Plaques formed by *Bdellovibrio* sp. NC01 on *E. coli* ML35 prey lawns are not as clear as

those formed by HD100

In addition to quantitative differences in the ability of *Bdellovibrio* sp. NC01 and *B.*

bacteriovorus HD100 to kill *E. coli* ML35, we also observed qualitative differences in the

appearance of plaques formed by each strain on lawns of ML35 (Figure 6). In contrast to HD100,

which forms completely clear, regular plaques, NC01 forms plaques of a similar size, but with

incomplete clearance of the prey lawn within the plaques. Some NC01 plaques remain

completely turbid over eight days of incubation, whereas others retain a turbid center but begin

to clear on the edges of the plaque.

<u>Discussion</u>

We isolated *Bdellovibrio* from soil collected at a manmade landscape feature designed for

stormwater management on a college campus. By comparing 16S rRNA gene sequences and

analyzing average amino acid identity (AAI) among multiple sequenced *Bdellovibrio*, we

determined that the *Bdellovibrio* isolate was sufficiently divergent from *B. bacteriovorus* type

strain HD100 and other *B. bacteriovorus* strains that it should be identified as a different species.

The only other species currently described within *Bdellovibrio* is *exovorus*; however, this species

uses an epibiotic predation strategy that differs from the cell invasion strategy observed by

microscopy for the soil *Bdellovibrio* isolate described here. Pending further characterization, we

designated the isolate as *Bdellovibrio* sp. NC01.

Because *Bdellovibrio* sp. NC01 is phylogenetically divergent from HD100 and other

intraperiplasmic *Bdellovibrio* with sequenced genomes, this isolate provides a valuable

opportunity to assess variation in genome content and predatory phenotypes among *Bdellovibrio*.

We clustered amino acid sequences from NC01, all five publicly available completely sequenced

Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus, and *Bdellovibrio exovorus* JSS into ortholog groups. We then

compared our analysis with a previous analysis of conserved protein-coding genes reported in

(23), which included the same six publicly available *Bdellovibrio* genomes and an additional

partially sequenced genome of a *Bdellovibrio* strain isolated from soil. This comparison

identified 778 protein-coding genes conserved among all eight *Bdellovibrio* genomes.

Intraperiplasmic *Bdellovibrio* genomes range in size from 3 - 4 Mb with 2,760 - 3,780 annotated

protein-coding genes, whereas the genome of the epibiotic predator *Bdellovibrio exovorus* JSS is

smaller at 2.66 Mb with 2,597 annotated protein-coding genes. Our analysis of the *Bdellovibrio*

"core genome" indicates that approximately 20-30% of the protein-coding genes encoded by a

given *Bdellovibrio* genome are conserved among intraperiplasmic and epibiotic *Bdellovibrio*.

Analysis of the *Bdellovibrio* core genome also identified four protein-coding genes that

appeared to be missing in *Bdellovibrio* sp. NC01 but conserved in the other seven *Bdellovibrio*.

We confirmed the absence of two protein-coding genes using manual BLASTP alignments. One

encodes a protein of unknown function. The other is annotated as a transporter permease

belonging to a conserved domain family that includes AcrB in *E. coli*. AcrB is the inner

membrane component of an efflux pump that transports small molecules such as bile salts and

some antibiotic compounds out of the cell (58). In addition, AcrB is involved in contact-

dependent growth inhibition, in which a bacterial cell attaches to another cell and inhibits its

growth. Mutants that do not express functional *acrB* are resistant to growth inhibition (59).

Given these functional roles, the absence of the transporter permease in *Bdellovibrio* sp. NC01

may impact this strain's susceptibility to small inhibitory molecules.

For the remaining two protein-coding genes that appeared to be missing only in NC01,

manual BLAST alignments provided evidence of an ortholog encoded by the NC01 genome. In

particular, the PGAP annotation of NC01 flagged a gene encoding the flagellar biosynthesis

protein FliL as a pseudogene; therefore, it was not included in an ortholog group in our analysis.

However, RAST annotated this gene as a functional protein-coding gene, although the resulting

protein product is 59 aa shorter than other *Bdellovibrio* FliL due to a difference in the location of

the start site. Given the shortened length of this NC01 amino acid sequence, it is unclear whether

it is a fully functional flagellar biosynthesis protein. Negative stain electron microscopy of NC01

attack phase cells shows a single, polar flagellum; therefore, NC01 is clearly able to assemble

flagella. In addition to the gene discussed here, RAST annotated two other protein-coding genes

as flagellar biosynthesis protein FliL. All three of the resulting amino acid sequences are

classified into the same Pfam family (pfam03748); therefore, the NC01 genome may encode

functionally redundant genes.

Genome-wide comparisons of NC01 to HD100 and W using BLASTN identified eight

regions of unique gene content along the NC01 genome, and TBLASTN confirmed that the

protein-coding sequences within these regions are missing from the genomes of HD100 and W.

Multiple lines of evidence support the hypothesis that these eight regions were acquired by

Bdellovibrio sp. NC01 via horizontal gene transfer. Four of the regions have substantially lower

GC content than the genome average, which may indicate horizontal acquisition from a bacterial

genome with a lower average GC content than that of NC01 (56). Five of the regions encode

genes with predicted functions related to mobile elements such as phage and plasmids, and one

of these regions was identified as a possible prophage by phage finding sequence analysis

software.

Alignment by BLASTP of amino acid sequences encoded within two of the eight regions

against the GenBank database suggested that these two regions may have been acquired recently

by *Bdellovibrio* sp. NC01, because most of the sequences did not align to *Bdellovibrio* sequences

(complete or partial) in the database. This indicates that, given the current representation of

Bdellovibrio in the database, gene content in these two regions is mostly unique to NC01. For the

other six regions, the majority of amino acid sequences encoded in these regions aligned to

Bdellovibrio sequences in the database. This suggests that these *Bdellovibrio* strains may be

closely related to NC01, and the HGT events corresponding to acquisition of these six regions

occurred in the ancestral lineage before NC01 diverged from these strains. Addition of more

complete *Bdellovibrio* genomes to the database will improve our ability to reconstruct

evolutionary events such as horizontal gene transfer.

The analysis of *Bdellovibrio* sp. NC01 gene content reported here further illustrates the

role of horizontal gene transfer as an important force in predatory bacteria genome evolution.

Comparative genomics has yielded evidence of both ancient and recent HGT in *Bdellovibrio* and

in the saltwater-adapted predatory genus *Halobacteriovorax* (24, 60–62). However, lack of

functional annotation of many *Bdellovibrio* protein-coding sequences prevents a thorough

assessment of how HGT events impact predatory phenotypes. Within the eight NC01 genomic

regions likely acquired via HGT, many of the protein-coding sequences are annotated as

hypothetical proteins, which provides no insight into their function and their potential roles in the

predatory life cycle. To fully understand how *Bdellovibrio* genome variation relates to variation

in predatory phenotypes, it is essential to assign functions to protein-coding genes.

As the type strain, *B. bacteriovorus* HD100 has been the focus of multiple studies

investigating molecular mechanisms governing predation. We compared protein-coding gene

content between NC01 and HD100 and showed that ~30% of genes found in one of the strains

are missing in the other. These differentially present genes encode proteins from a wide range of

functional and structural families. To further investigate the possible impact of differentially

present genes on predation by NC01, we assembled a set of 343 HD100 protein-coding genes

identified as important for predation by previous studies using gene expression analysis or

mutagenesis (50, 51). About a quarter of these genes are missing in NC01. Most are annotated as

hypothetical proteins, but ten were annotated with functions that may be important for lytic

activity at different stages of the predatory lifecycle. In particular, four HD100 protein-coding

genes that are missing in NC01 are predicted to play a role in peptidoglycan metabolism.

Invasion of a prey cell by *Bdellovibrio* involves opening a hole in the prey cell peptidoglycan,

then resealing and reshaping peptidoglycan to form a rounded bdelloplast (11, 15). If NC01 is

missing enzymes that play a role in these processes, it may impact the ability of this strain to

invade prey cells and establish itself in the prey periplasm.

In addition to genome comparisons, we also compared predatory phenotypes between

Bdellovibrio sp. NC01 and *B. bacteriovorus* type strain HD100. Based on plaque formation on

lawns of eight different Gram-negative bacteria, NC01 has a more restricted prey range than

HD100. In particular, HD100 was able to attack and kill both tested strains of *E. coli*, whereas

NC01 was only able to attack and kill *E. coli* ML35. Other researchers have also observed that a

particular *Bdellovibrio* strain may vary in its ability to kill different members of the same species

(17, 63); however, the mechanisms governing these outcomes are not known. By demonstrating

that strain-level variation within a Gram-negative species determines susceptibility to predation,

these data have potentially important implications for developing predatory bacteria as

biocontrol agents in the treatment of bacterial infections. If a *Bdellovibrio* isolate attacks and

kills one representative of a Gram-negative species, it does not guarantee that the isolate will

attack and kill other strains within the species. Further work to define the molecular mechanisms

underlying variation in prey range may enable prediction of whether a Gram-negative strain will

be susceptible to predation by a particular *Bdellovibrio* strain.

Although both NC01 and HD100 can attack and kill *E. coli* ML35, predation by HD100

causes a greater reduction in the ML35 population after 24 hours *in vitro* compared to predation

by NC01. HD100 then maintains a low ML35 population density, although it does not

completely eliminate viable ML35. By contrast, in co-cultures of NC01 and ML35, the ML35

population recovers after 24 hours, whereas the NC01 population decreases. This is likely not the

result of general predator-prey dynamics, such as an increase in search time due to reduced

ML35 numbers, because we did not observe ML35 population recovery in co-cultures with

HD100. In contrast to NC01, the HD100 population increases after co-culture with *E. coli* ML35.

When incubated under similar conditions but without prey, both NC01 and HD100 populations

decrease. Overall, data on *Bdellovibrio* population density suggest that NC01 is experiencing

starvation conditions during co-culture with *E. coli* ML35 despite the presence of viable prey.

Differences in predation efficiency between NC01 and HD100 on *E. coli* ML35 may also

be related to variation in plaque phenotype. Plaques formed by NC01 on lawns of ML35 are

turbid, whereas plaques formed by HD100 are completely clear. Turbid plaques have been

reported as a characteristic of prey-independent mutants of *Bdellovibrio*; however, these plaques

are typically smaller than those formed by the wild-type strain and sometimes have a small

colony of host-independent *Bdellovibrio* at their center (64, 65). Neither of these features were

observed when comparing NC01 and HD100 plaques, suggesting that the NC01 plaque

phenotype is not the result of prey-independent growth.

The predatory phenotypes observed during NC01 co-culture with *E. coli* ML35 may be

the result of a mechanism specific to the prey, the predator, or a combination of the two. Other

researchers have observed prey population recovery (66, 67), and Shemesh and Jurkevitch

demonstrated that recovery of *Erwinia carotovora* in co-cultures with *Bdellovibrio* isolated from

soil was due to development of phenotypic resistance to predation. It is possible that *E. coli*

ML35 uses a similar mechanism to develop resistance to predation by *Bdellovibrio* sp. NC01,

thereby causing the NC01 population to decrease as a result of starvation due to lack of

susceptible prey. This mechanism is either not expressed or not successful in co-cultures with *B.*

bacteriovorus HD100.

It is also possible that *E. coli* ML35 remains susceptible to predation throughout co-

culture, but NC01 is deficient in some aspect of the predatory lifecycle. Our comparison of

NC01 and HD100 protein-coding gene content indicated that NC01 is missing some lytic

enzymes that may be important for predation. If these functions are not fulfilled by other protein-

coding genes, multiple stages of the predatory lifecycle may be affected, resulting in reduced

predation efficiency compared to HD100. For example, the turbid plaques formed by NC01 on

lawns of *E. coli* ML35 may arise from incomplete lysis of ML35 cells. If prey cells are

successfully invaded but not lysed, *Bdellovibrio* progeny will be trapped, which would explain

the incomplete clearance of the prey lawn and the decrease in the NC01 population observed

during predation efficiency assays. Explanations of NC01 predatory phenotypes that are specific

to prey, such as plastic phenotype resistance, and those specific to NC01 itself, such as deficient

or missing lytic enzymes, may not be mutually exclusive. Further work will test these

explanations.

Overall, comparisons between *Bdellovibrio* sp. NC01 and *B. bacteriovorus* HD100

identified variation in predation phenotypes that may have important implications for the

ecological impact of each of these strains and their effectiveness in clinical applications. The

molecular mechanisms governing phenotypes such as prey range and predation efficiency are not

known. Comparative genomics can generate hypotheses linking gene content differences to

observed phenotype differences, but the lack of functional annotation for many protein-coding

genes complicates this effort. It is also likely that the outcomes of interactions between predatory

bacteria and prey, such as whether or not two different *Bdellovibrio* can kill the same Gram-

negative strain, are dependent upon mechanisms specific to the *Bdellovibrio* strain and

mechanisms specific to the prey strain. Defining these mechanisms is key for understanding

variation in predatory phenotypes among divergent *Bdellovibrio*.

Author statements

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

Funding information

This research was supported by an Institutional Development Award (IDeA) from the

National Institute of General Medical Sciences of the National Institutes of Health under grant

no. P20GM103430 and by funding to LEW from Providence College. This material is based

upon work conducted at a Rhode Island NSF EPSCoR research facility, the Genomics and

Sequencing Center, supported in part by the National Science Foundation EPSCoR Cooperative

Agreement #EPS-1004057. This material is based upon work supported in part by the National

Science Foundation under EPSCoR Cooperative Agreement #OIA-1655221. The funders had no

role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for

publication.

Acknowledgements

We thank Lisa Sadzewicz and Luke Tallon at the Institute for Genome Sciences at the

University of Maryland Baltimore for PacBio sequencing services and Janet Atoyan at the Rhode

Island Genomics and Sequencing Center for Illumina MiSeq sequencing services. We thank

Sean O'Donnell for isolating freshwater prey bacteria and Mark Martin and Brett Pellock for

donating bacterial strains. We thank Joey Cerra for contributing some of the scripts used for

comparative genomics.

References

1. Jurkevitch E, Davidov Y. 2007. Phylogenetic diversity and evolution of predatory
   prokaryotes, p. 11–56. *In* Jurkevitch, E (ed.), Predatory Prokaryotes: Biology, Ecology and
   Evolution. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg.

2. Chen H, Laws EA, Martin JL, Berhane T-K, Gulig PA, Williams HN. 2018. Relative
   contributions of *Halobacteriovorax* and bacteriophage to bacterial cell death under various
   environmental conditions. MBio 9.

3. Welsh RM, Zaneveld JR, Rosales SM, Payet JP, Burkepile DE, Thurber RV. 2016.
   Bacterial predation in a marine host-associated microbiome. ISME J 10:1540–1544.

4. Feng S, Tan CH, Constancias F, Kohli GS, Cohen Y, Rice SA. 2017. Predation by
   Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus significantly reduces viability and alters the microbial
   community composition of activated sludge flocs and granules. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 93.

5. Dwidar M, Monnappa AK, Mitchell RJ. 2012. The dual probiotic and antibiotic nature of
   Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus. BMB Rep 45:71–78.

6. Kadouri DE, To K, Shanks RMQ, Doi Y. 2013. Predatory bacteria: a potential ally against
   multidrug-resistant Gram-negative pathogens. PLoS ONE 8:e63397.

7. Tyson J, Sockett RE. 2017. Nature knows best: employing whole microbial strategies to
   tackle antibiotic resistant pathogens. Environmental Microbiology Reports 9:47–49.

8. Martin MO. 2002. Predatory prokaryotes: an emerging research opportunity. J Mol
   Microbiol Biotechnol 4:467–477.

9.   Koval SF, Hynes SH, Flannagan RS, Pasternak Z, Davidov Y, Jurkevitch E. 2013.

Bdellovibrio exovorus sp. nov., a novel predator of *Caulobacter crescentus*. Int J Syst Evol

Microbiol 63:146–151.

10.   Sockett RE. 2009. Predatory lifestyle of *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus*. Annu Rev Microbiol

63:523–539.

11.   Kuru E, Lambert C, Rittichier J, Till R, Ducret A, Derouaux A, Gray J, Biboy J, Vollmer

W, VanNieuwenhze M, Brun YV, Sockett RE. 2017. Fluorescent D-amino-acids reveal bi-

cellular cell wall modifications important for *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus* predation. Nat

Microbiol 2:1648–1657.

12.   Avidan O, Petrenko M, Becker R, Beck S, Linscheid M, Pietrokovski S, Jurkevitch E.

2017. Identification and characterization of differentially-regulated Type IVb pilin genes

necessary for predation in obligate bacterial predators. Sci Rep 7:1013.

13.   Evans KJ, Lambert C, Sockett RE. 2007. Predation by *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus* HD100

requires Type IV pili. Journal of Bacteriology 189:4850–4859.

14.   Mahmoud KK, Koval SF. 2010. Characterization of type IV pili in the life cycle of the

predator bacterium *Bdellovibrio*. Microbiology (Reading, Engl) 156:1040–1051.

15.   Lerner TR, Lovering AL, Bui NK, Uchida K, Aizawa S-I, Vollmer W, Sockett RE. 2012.

Specialized peptidoglycan hydrolases sculpt the intra-bacterial niche of predatory

Bdellovibrio and increase population fitness. PLOS Pathogens 8:e1002524.

16. Dashiff A, Junka RA, Libera M, Kadouri DE. 2011. Predation of human pathogens by the

predatory bacteria *Micavibrio aeruginosavorus* and *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus*. Journal of

Applied Microbiology 110:431–444.

17. Jurkevitch E, Minz D, Ramati B, Barel G. 2000. Prey range characterization, ribotyping,

and diversity of soil and rhizosphere *Bdellovibrio* spp. isolated on phytopathogenic

bacteria. Appl Environ Microbiol 66:2365–2371.

18. Chanyi RM, Ward C, Pechey A, Koval SF. 2013. To invade or not to invade: two

approaches to a prokaryotic predatory life cycle. Can J Microbiol 59:273–279.

19. Rogosky AM, Moak PL, Emmert EAB. 2006. Differential predation by *Bdellovibrio*

bacteriovorus 109J. Curr Microbiol 52:81–85.

20. Rendulic S, Jagtap P, Rosinus A, Eppinger M, Baar C, Lanz C, Keller H, Lambert C, Evans

KJ, Goesmann A, Meyer F, Sockett RE, Schuster SC. 2004. A predator unmasked: life

cycle of *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus* from a genomic perspective. Science 303:689–692.

21. Negus D, Moore C, Baker M, Raghunathan D, Tyson J, Sockett RE. 2017. Predator versus

pathogen: How does predatory *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus* interface with the challenges of

killing Gram-negative pathogens in a host setting? Annu Rev Microbiol 71:441–457.

22. Hoeniger JFM, Ladwig R, Moor H. 1972. The fine structure of "resting bodies" of

Bdellovibrio sp. strain W developed in *Rhodospirillum rubrum*. Can J Microbiol 18:87–92.

23. Oyedara OO, Segura-Cabrera A, Guo X, Elufisan TO, Cantú González RA, Rodríguez

Pérez MA. 2018. Whole-genome sequencing and comparative genome analysis provided

insight into the predatory features and genetic diversity of two *Bdellovibrio* species isolated

from soil. Int J Genomics 2018.

24. Enos BG, Anthony MK, DeGiorgis JA, Williams LE. 2018. Prey range and genome

evolution of *Halobacteriovorax marinus* predatory bacteria from an estuary. mSphere

3:e00508-17.

25. Marchesi JR, Sato T, Weightman AJ, Martin TA, Fry JC, Hiom SJ, Dymock D, Wade WG.

1998. Design and evaluation of useful bacterium-specific PCR primers that amplify genes

coding for bacterial 16S rRNA. Appl Environ Microbiol 64:795–799.

26. Heuer H, Krsek M, Baker P, Smalla K, Wellington EM. 1997. Analysis of actinomycete

communities by specific amplification of genes encoding 16S rRNA and gel-electrophoretic

separation in denaturing gradients. Appl Environ Microbiol 63:3233–3241.

27. Ewing B, Green P. 1998. Base-calling of automated sequencer traces using Phred. II. Error

probabilities. Genome Res 8:186–194.

28. Ewing B, Hillier L, Wendl MC, Green P. 1998. Base-calling of automated sequencer traces

using Phred. I. Accuracy assessment. Genome Res 8:175–185.

29. Gordon D, Abajian C, Green P. 1998. Consed: A graphical tool for sequence finishing.

Genome Res 8:195–202.

30. Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. 1990. Basic local alignment search

tool. Journal of Molecular Biology 215:403–410.

31.   Pruesse E, Peplies J, Glöckner FO. 2012. SINA: Accurate high-throughput multiple

sequence alignment of ribosomal RNA genes. Bioinformatics 28:1823–1829.

32.   Wang Q, Garrity GM, Tiedje JM, Cole JR. 2007. Naïve Bayesian classifier for rapid

assignment of rRNA sequences into the new bacterial taxonomy. Appl Environ Microbiol

73:5261–5267.

33.   Jurkevitch E. 2012. Isolation and classification of *Bdellovibrio* and Like Organisms.

Current Protocols in Microbiology 7B.1.1-7B.1.20.

34.   Koval SF. 2007. The search for hunters: culture-dependent and -independent methods for

analysis of *Bdellovibrio* and Like Organisms, p. 191–211. *In* Jurkevitch, E (ed.), Predatory

Prokaryotes: Biology, Ecology and Evolution. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin,

Heidelberg.

35.   Cerra J, Donohue H, Kral A, Oser M, Rostkowski L, Zappia L, Williams LE. 2018.

Complete genome sequence of *Pseudomonas* sp. strain NC02, isolated from soil. Genome

Announc 6.

36.   Kozlov A, Darriba D, Flouri T, Morel B, Stamatakis A. 2018. RAxML-NG: A fast,

scalable, and user-friendly tool for maximum likelihood phylogenetic inference. bioRxiv

447110.

37.   Rodriguez-R LM, Konstantinidis KT. 2016. The enveomics collection: a toolbox for

specialized analyses of microbial genomes and metagenomes. PeerJ Preprints 4:e1900v1.

38.   Casale A, Clark S, Grasso M, Kryschuk M, Ritzer L, Trudeau M, Williams LE. 2018.

Complete genome sequence of *Escherichia coli* ML35. Genome Announc 6.

39.   Chin C-S, Alexander DH, Marks P, Klammer AA, Drake J, Heiner C, Clum A, Copeland A,

Huddleston J, Eichler EE, Turner SW, Korlach J. 2013. Nonhybrid, finished microbial

genome assemblies from long-read SMRT sequencing data. Nature Methods 10:563–569.

40.   Li H, Durbin R. 2009. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows–Wheeler

transform. Bioinformatics 25:1754–1760.

41.   Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, Abecasis G, Durbin

R. 2009. The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics 25:2078–

2079.

42.   Walker BJ, Abeel T, Shea T, Priest M, Abouelliel A, Sakthikumar S, Cuomo CA, Zeng Q,

Wortman J, Young SK, Earl AM. 2014. Pilon: An integrated tool for comprehensive

microbial variant detection and genome assembly improvement. PLOS ONE 9:e112963.

43.   Milne I, Stephen G, Bayer M, Cock PJA, Pritchard L, Cardle L, Shaw PD, Marshall D.

2013. Using Tablet for visual exploration of second-generation sequencing data. Brief

Bioinform 14:193–202.

44.   Aziz RK, Bartels D, Best AA, DeJongh M, Disz T, Edwards RA, Formsma K, Gerdes S,

Glass EM, Kubal M, Meyer F, Olsen GJ, Olson R, Osterman AL, Overbeek RA, McNeil

LK, Paarmann D, Paczian T, Parrello B, Pusch GD, Reich C, Stevens R, Vassieva O,

Vonstein V, Wilke A, Zagnitko O. 2008. The RAST Server: rapid annotations using

subsystems technology. BMC Genomics 9:75.

45. Overbeek R, Olson R, Pusch GD, Olsen GJ, Davis JJ, Disz T, Edwards RA, Gerdes S,

Parrello B, Shukla M, Vonstein V, Wattam AR, Xia F, Stevens R. 2014. The SEED and the

Rapid Annotation of microbial genomes using Subsystems Technology (RAST). Nucleic

Acids Res 42:D206-214.

46. Nawrocki EP, Eddy SR. 2013. Infernal 1.1: 100-fold faster RNA homology searches.

Bioinformatics 29:2933–2935.

47. Lowe TM, Chan PP. 2016. tRNAscan-SE On-line: integrating search and context for

analysis of transfer RNA genes. Nucleic Acids Res 44:W54-57.

48. Lowe TM, Eddy SR. 1997. tRNAscan-SE: a program for improved detection of transfer

RNA genes in genomic sequence. Nucleic Acids Res 25:955–964.

49. Zhang Y, Sievert SM. 2014. Pan-genome analyses identify lineage- and niche-specific

markers of evolution and adaptation in *Epsilonproteobacteria*. Front Microbiol 5.

50. Lambert C, Chang C-Y, Capeness MJ, Sockett RE. 2010. The first bite--profiling the

predatosome in the bacterial pathogen *Bdellovibrio*. PLoS ONE 5:e8599.

51. Duncan MC, Gillette RK, Maglasang MA, Corn EA, Tai AK, Lazinski DW, Shanks RMQ,

Kadouri DE, Camilli A. 2019. High-throughput analysis of gene function in the bacterial

predator *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus*. mBio 10:e01040-19.

52. Alikhan N-F, Petty NK, Ben Zakour NL, Beatson SA. 2011. BLAST Ring Image Generator

(BRIG): simple prokaryote genome comparisons. BMC Genomics 12:402.

53.   Lambert C, Sockett RE. 2008. Laboratory maintenance of *Bdellovibrio*. Current Protocols

in Microbiology 9:7B.2.1-7B.2.13.

54.   Stackebrandt E, Goebel BM. 1994. Taxonomic note: A place for DNA-DNA reassociation

and 16S rRNA sequence analysis in the present species definition in bacteriology.

International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology 44:846–849.

55.   Stackebrandt E, Ebers J. 2006. Taxonomic parameters revisited: tarnished gold standards.

Microbiology Today 33:152–155.

56.   Ravenhall M, Škunca N, Lassalle F, Dessimoz C. 2015. Inferring horizontal gene transfer.

PLoS Comput Biol 11.

57.   Quevillon E, Silventoinen V, Pillai S, Harte N, Mulder N, Apweiler R, Lopez R. 2005.

InterProScan: protein domains identifier. Nucleic Acids Res 33:W116–W120.

58.   Ma D, Cook DN, Alberti M, Pon NG, Nikaido H, Hearst JE. 1995. Genes *acrA* and *acrB*

encode a stress-induced efflux system of *Escherichia coli*. Mol Microbiol 16:45–55.

59.   Aoki SK, Malinverni JC, Jacoby K, Thomas B, Pamma R, Trinh BN, Remers S, Webb J,

Braaten BA, Silhavy TJ, Low DA. 2008. Contact-dependent growth inhibition requires the

essential outer membrane protein BamA (YaeT) as the receptor and the inner membrane

transport protein AcrB. Molecular Microbiology 70:323–340.

60.   Gophna U, Charlebois RL, Doolittle WF. 2006. Ancient lateral gene transfer in the

evolution of *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus*. Trends Microbiol 14:64–69.

61.   Pan A, Chanda I, Chakrabarti J. 2011. Analysis of the genome and proteome composition

of *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus*: indication for recent prey-derived horizontal gene transfer.

Genomics 98:213–222.

62.   Hobley L, Lerner TR, Williams LE, Lambert C, Till R, Milner DS, Basford SM, Capeness

MJ, Fenton AK, Atterbury RJ, Harris MATS, Sockett RE. 2012. Genome analysis of a

simultaneously predatory and prey-independent, novel *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus* from the

River Tiber, supports in silico predictions of both ancient and recent lateral gene transfer

from diverse bacteria. BMC Genomics 13:670.

63.   Fratamico PM, Whiting RC. 1995. Ability of *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus* 109J to lyse

Gram-negative food-borne pathogenic and spoilage bacteria. Journal of Food Protection

58:160–164.

64.   Cotter TW, Thomashow MF. 1992. Identification of a *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus* genetic

locus, *hit*, associated with the host-independent phenotype. J Bacteriol 174:6018–6024.

65.   Roschanski N, Klages S, Reinhardt R, Linscheid M, Strauch E. 2011. Identification of

genes essential for prey-independent growth of *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus* HD100. Journal

of Bacteriology 193:1745–1756.

66.   Baker M, Negus D, Raghunathan D, Radford P, Moore C, Clark G, Diggle M, Tyson J,

Twycross J, Sockett RE. 2017. Measuring and modelling the response of *Klebsiella*

pneumoniae KPC prey to *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus* predation, in human serum and

defined buffer. Sci Rep 7:8329.

67. Shemesh Y, Jurkevitch E. 2004. Plastic phenotypic resistance to predation by *Bdellovibrio*

and like organisms in bacterial prey. Environ Microbiol 6:12–18.

Figures and Figure Legends

A   B   C

Figure 1. Microscopy of *Bdellovibrio* sp. NC01 isolated from bioswale soil. (A) Compilation of

four images taken using 1000x phase-contrast microscopy to show small, comma-shaped NC01

attack phase cells attached to larger *E. coli* ML35 cells after 48 hours of co-culture. (B)

Compilation of two images taken using 1000x phase-contrast microscopy to show rounded

structures characteristic of bdelloplasts after 48 hours of co-culture. (C) Negative stain electron

microscopy of a NC01 attack phase cell. The scale bar is 500 nm.

911 **Figure 2.** 16S rRNA gene phylogenetic tree. We aligned 16S rRNA gene sequences using SINA

912 1.2.11, then constructed a phylogenetic tree using RAxML BlackBox with 100 bootstrap

913 replicates. The phylogenetic tree is rooted on the branch joining *Bdellovibrio* with

914 *Bacteriovorax*/*Halobacteriovorax*, and bootstrap support for each node is shown.

Figure 3. Genome-wide pairwise comparisons of *Bdellovibrio* nucleotide sequences. The

genome of *Bdellovibrio* sp. NC01 is shown as the innermost ring. The next ring is a plot of GC

content and the purple and green ring is a plot of GC skew, both of which were generated by the

BLAST Ring Image Generator (BRIG) using sliding windows to determine deviation from the

average value for the genome. The blue ring and red ring show pairwise nucleotide sequence

alignments of NC01 to HD100 and W, respectively. Each individual alignment is shown as a

block along the ring, and color gradation of the blocks reflects the percent similarity of

924   alignments. The outermost ring denotes eight regions lacking alignments, which may indicate

925   unique NC01 gene content.

Figure 4. Efficiency of *Bdellovibrio* sp. NC01 and *B. bacteriovorus* HD100 predation on *E. coli*

ML35. We quantified viable *E. coli* ML35 over 72 hours on its own (control), combined with

Bdellovibrio sp. NC01 (NC01) and combined with *B. bacteriovorus* HD100 (HD100). The

resulting cfu/ml data were log transformed and plotted using ggplot in RStudio. Each time point

shows the mean and standard deviation for at least five replicates.

Figure 5. Population density of *Bdellovibrio* sp. NC01 and *B. bacteriovorus* HD100 in the

presence and absence of *E. coli* ML35. We quantified *Bdellovibrio* cells/ml at the start of the

predation efficiency assay and *Bdellovibrio* pfu/ml after 72 hours of co-culture with *E. coli*

ML35 (diamonds). For comparison, we quantified *Bdellovibrio* pfu/ml over 72 hours of

incubation in HM buffer alone (circles). The resulting cells/ml and pfu/ml data were log

transformed and plotted using ggplot in RStudio. Each time point shows the mean and standard

deviation for all replicates.

A   B

Figure 6. Plaque phenotypes of *Bdellovibrio* sp. NC01 and *B. bacteriovorus* HD100. Using a

double agar overlay method, we assessed plaque formation on lawns of *E. coli* ML35. These

images were taken after 6 days of incubation and illustrate reproducible differences in the

appearance of plaques formed by HD100 (A) and NC01 (B). The scale bar is 10 mm.

Supplementary Figure 1. Quantification of *Bdellovibrio* using direct cell counts and plaque

counts. For each replicate of the survival assay without prey, initial concentrations of

Bdellovibrio HD100 and NC01 were estimated by both direct cell counts using a Petroff-Hausser

counting chamber (circles) and plaque counts using serial dilution and double agar overlay

(triangles). Replicates are labeled.

954 **Tables**

955 Table 1. Average amino acid identity among representative *Bdellovibrio* genomes

	NC01	W	HD100	109J
NC01		64.4	68.8	68.8
W	64.4		66.4	66.5
HD100	68.8	66.4		99.2
109J	68.8	66.5	99.2	

957 Table 2. Genome comparison of *Bdellovibrio* sp. NC01, *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus* HD100 and
958 *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus* W
959

	Bdellovibrio sp. NC01		*Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus* HD100		*Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus* W	
	PGAP	RAST and RFAM/ tRNAScan	PGAP	RAST and RFAM/ tRNAScan	PGAP	RAST and RFAM/ tRNAScan
Genome size (bp)	3,975,853		3,782,950		3,006,992	
GC content (%)	44.5		50.6		43.3	
Genes	3,840	3,828	3,597	3,651	2,834	2,901
Protein-coding	3,773	3,776	3,548	3,597	2,760	2,849
Hypothetical proteins	1,712	1,431	1,245	1,309	962	971
tRNA	34	34	36	36	34	34
rRNA	6	6	6	6	6	6
Other RNA	4	12	4	12	4	12

961 Table 3. Differentially present protein-coding genes between *Bdellovibrio* sp. NC01 and *B.*
962 *bacteriovorus* HD100
963

	PGAP	RAST
Present in NC01, absent in HD100	1175 (31.1%)	1213 (32.1%)
Present in HD100, absent in NC01	940 (26.5%)	1026 (28.5%)

965 Table 4. Prey range differences between *B. bacteriovorus* HD100 and *Bdellovibrio* sp. NC01

Genus	Strain ID	Environment	Plaque formation[a]	
			HD100	NC01
Acinetobacter	0036	Freshwater	Yes	No
Aeromonas	0031	Freshwater	Yes	Yes

Enterobacteriaceae	0032	Freshwater	Yes	Yes
Raoultella	0037	Freshwater	Yes	No
Pseudomonas	NC02 (0042)[b]	Soil	Yes	Yes
Serratia	0043	Soil	Yes	Yes
Escherichia	0057		Yes	No
Escherichia	ML35		Yes	Yes

966   [a]Plaque formation data based on three replicates

967   [b]*Pseudomonas* sp. NC02 is referred to as 0042 in (24)