Prey abundance drives habitat occupancy by jaguars in Amazonian floodplain river islands

Rafael M. Rabelo[1,2*], Susan Aragón[3], and Júlio César Bicca-Marques[4]

[1]Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ecologia, Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazônia
[2]Grupo de Pesquisa em Ecologia de Vertebrados Terrestres, Instituto de Desenvolvimento Sustentável Mamirauá
[3]Programa de Pós-Graduação em Recursos Naturais da Amazônia, Universidade Federal do Oeste do Pará
[4]Escola de Ciências, Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio Grande do Sul

Abstract

The jaguar (*Panthera onca*) is widely distributed across a broad range of habitat types, where its feeding habits and habitat use patterns vary significantly. The jaguar and its main arboreal prey – the brown-throated sloth (*Bradypus variegatus*) and the red howler monkey (*Alouatta juara*) – are widespread in the Amazonian floodplain forests of the Mamirauá Reserve. These forest-dwelling species are the most common mammal species both in the continuous forest and the forest patches surrounded by a river matrix – the fluvial islands – of the Solimões and Japurá rivers. We used sign surveys along line-transects to assess the pattern of habitat occupancy by jaguars in Amazonian floodplain forests. Specifically, we (i) tested whether habitat occupancy by jaguars differs between river islands and continuous forest; and (ii) evaluated whether and how the local abundance of sloths and howler monkeys influence the probability of site occupancy by jaguars. We built an occupancy model and used Bayesian inference to reach these goals. The proportion of sites estimated to be used by jaguars was $\psi = 0.75$ (HPD95: 0.36–1.00), and it did not differ between islands and continuous forest. The abundance of both prey species had a direct influence on jaguar's habitat use, whereas the aquatic matrix seems to have a negligible effect on the use of islands by jaguars. We conclude that the isolation of the river islands within the aquatic matrix does not hamper jaguars to use them. We also conclude that prey search modulates jaguars' habitat occupancy patterns with both prey species having a similar effect. This finding is compatible with the previously reported importance of sloths to the diet of jaguars in the study region despite its lower abundance than howlers. Finally, we suggest that sign surveys are an alternative method to assess the pattern of jaguar habitat occupancy in floodplain forests.

Keywords: Bayesian inference; hierarchical models; howler monkeys; occupancy modelling; predator-prey interaction; sloths.

*

rmrabelo@gmail.com

1 Introduction

Predation is a remarkable interspecific interaction that has long interested ecologists (Gause et al., 1936). Large carnivores are prominent top predators that prevent prey populations to overcrowd and deplete their food sources, and whose demise can initiate substantial cascading ecological effects in the food chain that compromise ecosystem structure and functioning (Ripple et al., 2014). Therefore, assessments of carnivore distribution and population size are essential for developing informed conservation actions for these species and their ecosystems.

Jaguars (*Panthera onca*) are the largest American felids. They are widely distributed across a broad range of habitat types (Sanderson et al., 2002), where their feeding habits and habitat use patterns vary significantly (Astete et al., 2007; Morato et al., 2016). They are opportunistic predators that exploit most of their medium to large terrestrial prey species (González and Miller, 2002) according to their availability (Rabinowitz and Nottingham, 1986). The species is often range resident and move over long daily distances (2.3–16.4 km) in highly variable home ranges (8.8–718.6 km^2; Morato et al., 2016).

Jaguars' predominant terrestriality does not preclude them from occurring in Amazonian seasonally flooded forests (herein *várzea* forests). This is the case at the Mamirauá Sustainable Development Reserve – a protected area of *várzea* forests in Central Amazon – where jaguars reach high densities probably because of high prey abundance (Ramalho, 2012). The availability of arboreal (e.g., sloths and monkeys; Rabelo et al., 2017) and water-associated (e.g., caimans and their eggs; Ramalho, 2012; Torralvo et al., 2017) prey species allows jaguars to reside yearlong in flooded forests, including the 4- to 6-month-long high-water season (Ramalho et al., 2009; Ramalho, 2012).

Red howler monkeys (*Alouatta juara*), brown-throated sloths (*Bradypus variegatus*) and jaguars are the most common mammal species in both the continuous forest and the fluvial islands (Rabelo et al., 2019). These islands originate from a complex river dynamics, in which the deposition of sediments as sandbars in the river channel are followed by primary succession (Kalliola et al., 1991). Therefore, island colonization by howlers, sloths and jaguars requires that they move through other land cover types, including the crossing of inhospitable water bodies by swimming (see Holt, 1932; Nunes, 2014).

Several factors influence the success of this dispersal through the matrix, including the distance to be crossed, the matrix permeability to the species movement, and the disperser's motivation to find food resources in the new habitat (Lima and Zollner, 1996). Therefore, given that jaguars can use and easily move through a wide range of land cover types (Morato et al. 2018), it is plausible to expect that the search for prey plays a major role in their dispersal across rivers to reach fluvial islands.

Here we developed an occupancy modelling study of the pattern of habitat occupancy of Amazonian *várzea* forests by jaguars to test the influence of prey availability on it. We used jaguar sign surveys along line-transects together with records of two important prey species, the brown-throated sloth and the red howler monkey, to evaluate their patterns of habitat use and co-occurrence using a Bayesian occupancy modelling (Royle and Dorazio, 2008). Specifically, we (i) compare habitat use by jaguars in sites embedded in islands and the continuous forest, and (ii) evaluate whether the local relative abundance of both prey species influences the probability of habitat use by jaguars. We hypothesize that jaguars' habitat use is similar in islands and continuous forest, and that prey abundances are strong predictors of it.

Methods

Study area

Our study region comprises the *várzea* forest, a floodplain forest ecosystem located at the confluence of the Solimões and Japurá rivers in Central Amazon (Fig. 1). The interfluvium at these rivers' junction is protected by the Mamirauá Sustainable Development Reserve (IDSM, 2010). *Várzea* forests are seasonally flooded by nutrient-rich white-water rivers, whose average annual water level range is 12 m (Ramalho et al., 2009). The maximum water level is reached around June and its minimum between October and November (IDSM, 2010).

River dynamics constantly modifies the spatial structure of these riverscapes by the erosion of margins and the transport and deposition of sediments (Peixoto et al., 2009). This process creates fluvial islands that emerge and can disappear in a few decades (Kalliola et al., 1991). Although some islands represent ephemeral habitat patches for mammals with long generation times, such as jaguars, these species often use them (Rabelo et al., 2019).

Sampling design and data collection

We sampled 14 focal islands ranging from 151 to 3,625 ha and nine independent sample sites embedded in the adjacent continuous forest (Fig. 1). We chose islands (i) permanently surrounded by the water matrix (that is, even during the low-water season), (ii) whose edge was at least 2 km distant from the edge of the nearest sampled island to avoid sampling islands that are too close to each other, and (iii) ≥30 years-old (island age was determined using a historical series of Landsat Thematic Mapper satellite images) to avoid islands that are too ephemeral for our study species (jaguar generation time: ~7 years, de la Torre et al., 2018). Although we believe that jaguars can visit islands younger than 30-years old during their daily journeys, it is unlikely that these islands have an adequate

[72] forest structure to harbor arboreal mammals, as most islands younger than 30 years are
[73] dominated by pioneer vegetation and rarely present late-succession forest patches (Peixoto
[74] et al. 2009).

[76] **Figure 1.** Map of the study region showing the distribution of sample sites in the Central
[77] Amazonia floodplain forest landscape.

[78]   We sampled mammals along line-transects that are independent sample sites.
[79] Transect length on islands varied from 1.2 km to 11.6 km and it was directly correlated to
[80] island size (Pearson correlation: $r = 0.94$, $P < 0.001$), making island size an intrinsic
[81] characteristic of each sample. We established line-transects with the same length range
[82] within the continuous forest sample sites to survey species. Surveys consisted of quiet walks
[83] on trails by two trained observers at *ca.* 1.5 km/h following a standardized protocol (Peres,

1999). We carried out the surveys in the morning (0630–1130 h) and afternoon (1300–1700

h). We stopped surveying when it was raining. We recorded sloths and howler monkeys via

sighting and vestiges (e.g., calls and feces) and jaguars via fresh feces and footprints

(recorded signs were marked to avoid double detection). Although some researchers can

argue that the other wild Amazonian big cat (puma, *Puma concolor*) could be responsible

for the vestiges, no records of this species were obtained in an effort of 2,040 camera*day at

Mamirauá Reserve (Alvarenga et al., 2018). Therefore, we assumed that there is no resident

puma population in the reserve. We conducted four replicate surveys per transect (i.e., four

occasions), separated by one to four days, during the low-water season (September to

November) of either 2013 or 2014. We limited surveys to the low-water season to minimize

potential seasonal effects on species detection. We were unable to visit all sample sites

during a single low-water season due to logistical constraints.

Data analysis

We assessed the pattern of jaguar occurrence across our sample sites using an

occupancy modelling approach. This approach estimates the probability of a site being

occupied/used (ψ) by a given species when its detection is imperfect; that is, when the

detection probability is less than 1 (Mackenzie et al., 2006). Given that the non-detection of

a species at a sample site results from either its true absence or the failure to detect it,

repeated surveys (occasions) on multiple sample sites are used to estimate the detection

probability (p) of a species conditional on occupancy. In our model, jaguar occurrence at a

given site "j" of type "k" (i.e., island or continuous forest) is denoted as O_{jk} (i.e., the true

occupancy state: 1 if present, 0 otherwise), and is the outcome of a Bernoulli trial with

probability of occupancy ψ_{jk},

107                              $$O_{jk} \sim \text{Bernoulli } (\psi_{jk})$$

108   Similarly, the binomial detection/non-detection (1 = present; 0 = not detected) of

109 jaguars (D) during a given occasion "i" and in a given sample site "j" are input in the form

110 of an array D_{ij}. Therefore, whether the species is detected during a given occasion in a given

111 site is conditional on the occupancy state O_{jk}, as follows:

112                              $$D_{ij} \sim \text{Bernoulli } (p_{ij}*O_{jk})$$

where p_{ij} is the probability of jaguar detection during an occasion (survey) in a site.

We estimated both ψ and p parameters as linear responses to predictor variables using

a logit link function as performed in a regular logistic model. Then, we added the line-

transect length (values were standardized before running the model) into the model as a

jaguar detection covariate; that is, we expected that the more we walked, the higher is the

likelihood of detecting jaguar signs. We modeled the logit transformation of detection

probability as follows:

120                      $$\text{logit}(p_{ij}) = a0 + a1*\text{transect lenght}_{ij}$$

121 We also expected that transect length, which was positively correlated with island
122 size, influences prey species counts. We estimated prey relative abundances (λ_{lj}) as linear
123 responses to transect length using a log-link function as in a Poisson regression model as
124 follows:

$$\log(\lambda_{lj}) = \gamma 0 + \gamma 1 * \text{transect length}_j$$

126 where λ_{jl} is the relative abundance of prey species "*l*" (i.e., sloth or howler monkey) in
127 site "*j*". Finally, we considered that the occupancy probability ψ_{jk} depends on the type of
128 site "*k*" determined by $\beta 0$ and on the relative abundances of sloths and howlers on site "*j*"
129 (counts of prey species were centered and scaled before running the model to compare their
130 coefficients), in a logit transformation of a linear model as follows:

$$\text{logit}(\psi_{jk}) = \beta 0_k + \beta 1 * \text{Sloth}_j + \beta 2 * \text{Howler}_j$$

The full hierarchical model formulation is presented in Fig. S1. We implemented the
model in a Bayesian framework using JAGS accessed via the software R, version 3.4.1 (R
Core Team, 2017) using the package 'rjags' (Plummer, 2016) (see the R code available in
Appendix S1). We used flat priors normally distributed with mean = 0 and variance = 100
for all model parameters, except for *SD* of $\beta 0$, for which we used a gamma distribution with
shape and rate equal to 0.1. We performed the estimation of posterior parameters with the
Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) method using three parallel MCMC chains of 100,000
in length after discarding the first 10,000 steps of each as burn-in, and with a thinning rate
of 100 steps. This combination of values ensured that all chains converged, i.e. essentially
oscillated around the same mean parameter value (see Fig. S2) We report the posterior
distribution of all estimated parameters as means and standard errors (*SE*), as well as the
medians and the 2.5 and 97.5 percentiles, which are the Bayesian equivalent to the 95%
confidence interval (highest posterior density in 95% – HPD95).

Results

We obtained a total of 20 jaguar independent detections at 15 (63%) of the 24 sample
sites during the four sampling occasions. Counts of both prey species were similar in islands
and continuous forest (Fig. S3). Sloth counts per transect ranged from 0 to 8 individuals.
They occurred at 13 (54%) sample sites. Sloth median counts per transect were 1 (first
quartile (Q1) and third quartile (Q3) = 0 and 2.5, respectively) in islands and 0 (Q1 = 0; Q3
= 1) in continuous forest (Fig. S3a). Howler counts per transect ranged from 0 to 26
individuals. They inhabited 17 (71%) sample sites. The median value of howler counts per
transect was 3 individuals (Q1 = 0; Q3 = 5.5) in islands and 5 individuals (Q1 = 2; Q3 = 6)
in the continuous forest (Fig. S3b). The number of sites with predator-prey co-occurrences
was 13 (54%) for sites shared by jaguars and howlers, and 11 (46%) for jaguars and sloths.

 The mean jaguar detection probability across sites was $p = 0.26$ (HPD95: 0.15–0.39),
and it was directly influenced by transect length (Table 1). Transect length was also a good
predictor of the relative abundance of both prey species (Table 1; Fig. S4).

Table 1. Parameter estimates (link scale) from the hierarchical occupancy model for jaguar
occurrence in Mamirauá's floodplain forests in Central Amazonia. γ and δ are the
coefficients (intercept and slope) of Poisson regressions for the effect of transect length on
sloth and howler counts, respectively; α = coefficients (intercept and slope) of logistic
model of jaguar detection probability p; β = coefficients (intercept and slopes) of logistic
model of jaguar probability of occurrence ψ. See full model formulation in Fig. S1.

Species	Parameter	Estimate (SE)	2.5%	Median	97.5%
Sloth	$\gamma0$	0.21 (0.00)	-0.18	0.22	0.58
	$\gamma1$	0.36 (0.00)	0.06	0.36	0.65
Howler	$\delta0$	1.33 (0.00)	1.10	1.33	1.54
	$\delta1$	0.67 (0.00)	0.52	0.67	0.81
Jaguar	$\alpha0$	-1.05 (0.01)	-1.71	-1.04	-0.43
	$\alpha1$	0.33 (0.00)	-0.19	0.33	0.85
	$\beta0$[islands]	3.27 (0.03)	1.53	2.99	6.72
	$\beta0$[cont. forest]	3.26 (0.03)	1.53	2.97	6.70
	$\beta1$ (sloth)	2.52 (0.03)	-0.66	2.37	6.44
	$\beta2$ (howler)	2.52 (0.03)	-0.53	2.43	6.06

Jaguar probability of site occupancy was positively influenced by the abundance of
both howlers and sloths (Table 1; Fig. 2a and b). Although both estimates were not
significant at HPD95 (i.e., the HPD95 interval included the zero), we found strong evidence
that sloth and howler abundances increase the probability of habitat occupancy by jaguars
[likelihood estimates: p($\beta1 > 0$) = 0.93 and p($\beta2 > 0$) = 0.94, respectively]. We estimated a
similar proportion of island and continuous forest sites used by jaguars ($\psi = 0.75$, HPD95:
0.36–1.00, Fig. 2c). Additionally, we found that the abundances of both sloths and howlers
have similar effects on jaguar probability of occurrence ($\beta1$ - $\beta2$ = -0.002, HPD95: -4.38–
4.56; Fig. 2d).

Figure 2. Predictors of jaguar occupancy across sample sites in Mamirauá's floodplain forest in Central Amazonia. The logit transformation of jaguar probability of habitat occupancy (ψ) was modelled as a linear function of sample site type (i.e., embedded either in island or in continuous forest), and the abundance of prey species at the sample site. Jaguar mean probability of occurrence (black lines) increases markedly with increasing abundance of both sloths (a) and howlers (b). Blue lines represent all models fitted according to posteriors estimates and higher density of lines indicates the area with higher model confidence. There is strong evidence that jaguar probability of occurrence does not differ between sites embedded in islands or continuous forest, as shown by the distribution of differences between $\beta0$ for islands and for continuous forest (c). Both sloths ($\beta1$) and howlers ($\beta2$) counts showed similar effects on jaguar probability of habitat use (d). Illustrations from Stephen Nash (howler) and John Oriszo (sloth).

Discussion

Here we provide the first estimates of jaguar occupancy patterns using sign surveys along line-transects. We recorded jaguar feces and footprints on trails of different lengths, accounting for imperfect detection and considering transect length as a detection covariate. We found an average probability of jaguar detection that is similar to previous estimates based on camera trap surveys, and a higher probability of habitat occupancy than most reported estimates (Table 2). Jaguar populations of Amazonian *várzea* forests have high densities and abundances (Ramalho, 2012), which allow to expect that they also have high detectability and habitat occupancy. However, we acknowledge that care needs to be taken in interpreting comparisons between survey estimates from studies differing in survey method (Table 2) and other factors (e.g., habitat type and quality, sampling effort, location, etc.). Despite that, we suggest that sign surveys along line-transects are useful for assessing jaguar occupancy patterns as they do not require expensive equipment. We urge for such comparative studies to assess the level of correlation between their estimates.

Table 2. Comparisons of jaguar mean probabilities of habitat occupancy (ψ) and detection (p) among studies.

Survey method	ψ	p	Location	Reference
Line-transects	0.75	0.26	Mamirauá Reserve, Northern Brazil	This study
Interviews	0.57	0.28	Atlantic Coast, Nicaragua	Zeller et al. (2011)
Camera traps	0.77	0.12	Madre de Dios Department, Peru	Tobler et al. (2015)
	0.69	0.18	Iguaçu National Park, Southern Brazil	Silva et al. (2018)
	0.54	0.21	Emas National Park, Central Brazil	Sollmann et al. (2012)
	0.42	0.26	Magdalena River Valley, Colombia	Boron et al. (2018)
	0.42	0.03	Iwokrama Forest, Guyana	Roopsind et al. (2017)

We found no difference in jaguar probability of habitat use between island and continuous forest samples sites. Jaguars' probability of occurrence tends to be higher in core areas with high forest cover and close to water (Boron et al., 2018; Silva et al., 2018; Sollmann et al., 2012; Zeller et al., 2011), and that experience low levels of anthropogenic disturbance (Roopsind et al. 2017; Silva et al. 2018). Although river dynamics constantly changes the spatial structure of Amazonian riverscapes (Peixoto et al., 2009), our study *várzea* landscape of Central Amazonia is characterized by high forest cover and low human density. Additionally, jaguars move far and easily among distinct environments (Morato et

al., 2016) and spontaneously cross rivers and lakes (Holt, 1932). Therefore, we expect that the aquatic matrix that surrounds fluvial islands do not hamper jaguar dispersal across rivers to reach these well-preserved island forests.

We found that the relative local abundance of prey species were good predictors of jaguar habitat use patterns. Prey density was the best predictor of habitat use by tigers (*Panthera tigris*) in a metapopulation also surveyed via signs along trails in southern India (Karanth et al., 2011). Likewise, the local occurrence of prey species was more important than the distance to water or forest structure to explain jaguar habitat use at the Calakmul Biosphere Reserve in southeastern Mexico (Booker, 2016). Given that both prey species are equally abundant in islands and continuous forest sites (Fig. S3), we suggest that foraging for prey plays a critical role in jaguars' decision to use fluvial islands.

We found that both prey species had similar effects on jaguar probability of habitat use, despite the lower range of sloth counts per site. Whereas jaguars inhabiting non-flooded habitats feed mostly on terrestrial prey (González and Miller, 2002), Mamirauá's jaguars rely heavily on caimans and their eggs (Torralvo et al., 2017) and on arboreal mammals (e.g., sloths, howlers and lesser tamanduas [*Tamandua tetradactyla*]), as terrestrial prey is often missing in flooded forests (Ramalho, 2012). On the other hand, caimans (Da Silveira et al., 2008), sloths and howlers (Queiroz, 1995) occur at high densities in these forests, facilitating predator-prey encounters. Despite the aforementioned lower abundance of sloths in our flooded forests, their solitary life-style and lower mobility and defense ability, make them an easy prey, thereby potentially explaining the equal effect of both prey species on jaguar habitat use and the importance of sloths to its diet.

In sum, we show that the aquatic matrix surrounding fluvial islands does not hamper jaguars to use them. The importance of the abundance of both prey species as predictors of jaguar habitat use is compatible with the hypothesis that they often visit these islands as part of their prey search strategies. Additionally, we showed that sign surveys along trails is an alternative method for assessing the pattern of habitat use by jaguars in Amazonian *várzea* forests, although further and proper tests of its effectiveness must be conducted (e.g., Ancillotto et al., 2018). Finally, although we conducted this study during the low-water season, we believe that the importance of these arboreal mammals in the diet of jaguars is even higher during the high-water season, when jaguars spend most of their time in the forest canopy.

Acknowledgements

The Instituto de Desenvolvimento Sustentável Mamirauá (IDSM-OS/MCTI) funded this research. RMR received fellowships from National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq, #131077/2014-7 and #142352/2017-9). We thank the field assistance of Jonei Brasil, André Neves and Jairo Neves. The Infrastructure and Logistics and Administration Teams of the Instituto de Desenvolvimento Sustentável Mamirauá supported our field activities. We thank Cristian Dambros for providing valuable help on model formulation and implementation and Daniel Rocha for insightful contributions on the first draft. We also thank Luca Luiselli, Emiliano Mori and two anonymous reviewers for their critical evaluation of the manuscript.

Supporting Information

Figure S1. Model formulation.

Appendix S1. R code for model implementation.

Figure S2. Chain convergence.

Figure S3. Prey species counts on island and continuous forest sample sites.

Figure S4. Effects of line-transect length on counts of prey species.

References

Alvarenga, G.C., Ramalho, E.E., Baccaro, F.B., Rocha, D.G., Ferreira-Ferreira, J., Dineli Bobrowiec, P.E. 2018. Spatial patterns of medium and large size mammal assemblages in várzea and terra firme forests, Central Amazonia, Brazil. PLoS One 13, e0198120.

Ancillotto, L., Notomista, T., Mori, E., Bertolino, S., Russo, D. 2018. Assessment of detection methods and vegetation associations for introduced Finlayson's squirrels (*Callosciurus finlaysonii*) in Italy. Environ. Manage. 61, 875–883.

Astete, S., Sollmann, R., Silveira, L. 2007. Comparative Ecology of Jaguars in Brazil. CAT News 4, 9–14.

Booker, H. 2016. The application of occupancy modeling to evaluate the determinants of distribution of jaguars (*Panthera onca*), pumas (*Puma concolor*), and valued prey species in a protected area. Master Thesis. University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Canada.

Boron, V., Xofis, P., Link, A., Payan, E., Tzanopoulos, J. 2018. Conserving predators across agricultural landscapes in Colombia: habitat use and space partitioning by jaguars, pumas, ocelots and jaguarundis. Oryx, 1-10.

de la Torre, J.A., González-Maya, J.F., Zarza, H., Ceballos, G., Medellín, R.A. 2018. The jaguar's spots are darker than they appear: Assessing the global conservation status of the jaguar *Panthera onca*. Oryx 52, 300–315.

Gause, G.F., Smaragdova, N.P., Witt, A.A. 1936. Further studies of interaction between predators and prey. J. Anim. Ecol. 5, 1–18.

González, C.A.L. and Miller, B.J. 2002. Do jaguars (*Panthera onca*) depend on large prey? West. North Am. Nat. 62, 218–222.

Holt, E.G. 1932. Swimming cats. J. Mammal. 13, 72–73.

IDSM. 2010. Plano de Gestão Reserva de Desenvolvimento Sustentável Mamirauá RDSM. Plano de Gestão. Tefé: MCTI/IDSM-OS.

Kalliola, R., Salo, J., Puhakka, M., Rajasilta, M. 1991. New site formation and colonizing vegetation in primary succession on the western Amazon floodplains. J. Ecol. 79, 877–901.

Karanth, K.U., Gopalaswamy, A.M., Kumar, N.S., Vaidyanathan, S., Nichols, J.D., Mackenzie, D.I. 2011. Monitoring carnivore populations at the landscape scale: Occupancy modelling of tigers from sign surveys. J. Appl. Ecol. 48, 1048–1056.

Lima, S.L. and Zollner, P.A. 1996. Towards a behavioral ecology of ecological landscapes. Trends Ecol. Evol. 11, 131–135.

Mackenzie, D.I., Nichols, J.D., Royle, J.A., Pollock, K.H., Bailey, L.L., Hines, J.E. 2006. Occupancy estimation and modeling: Inferring patterns and dynamics of species occurrence. London: Academic Press.

Morato, R.G., Connette, G.M., Stabach, J.A., De Paula, R.C., Ferraz, K.M.P.M., Kantek, D.L.Z., Miyazaki, S.S., Pereira, T.D.C., Silva, L.C., Paviolo, A., De Angelo, C., Di Bitetti, M.S., Cruz, P., Lima, F., Cullen, L., Sana, D.A., Ramalho, E.E., Carvalho, M.M., da Silva, M.X., Moraes, M.D.F., Vogliotti, A., May Jr, J.A., Haberfeld, M., Rampim, L., Sartorello, L., Araujo, G.R., Wittemyer, G., Ribeiro, M.C., Leimgruber, P. 2018. Resource selection in an apex predator and variation in response to local landscape characteristics. Biol. Conserv. 228, 233–240.

Morato, R.G., Stabach, J.A., Fleming, C.H., Calabrese, J.M., De Paula, R.C., Ferraz, K.M.P.M., Kantek, D.L.Z., Miyazaki, S.S., Pereira, T.D.C., Araujo, G.R., Paviolo, A., De Angelo, C., Di Bitetti, M.S., Cruz, P., Lima, F., Cullen, L., Sana, D.A., Ramalho, E.E., Carvalho, M.M., Soares, F.H.S., Zimbres, B., Silva, M.X., Moraes, M.D.F., Vogliotti, A., May, J.A., Haberfeld, M., Rampim, L., Sartorello, L., Ribeiro, M.C., Leimgruber, P.

2016. Space use and movement of a neotropical top predator: The endangered jaguar. PLoS One 11, e0168176.

Nunes, A.V. 2014. Report of a black spider monkey (*Ateles chamek*) swimming in a large river in Central-Western Brazil. Neotrop. Primates 21, 204–206.

Peixoto, J.M.A., Nelson, B.W., Wittmann, F. 2009. Spatial and temporal dynamics of river channel migration and vegetation in central Amazonian white-water floodplains by remote-sensing techniques. Remote Sens. Environ. 113, 2258–2266.

Peres, C.A. 1999. General guidelines for standardizing line-transect surveys of tropical forest primates. Neotrop. Primates 7, 11–16.

Plummer, M. 2016. rjags: Bayesian Graphical Models using MCMC. R package.

Queiroz, H.L. 1995. Preguiças e guaribas: os mamíferos arborícolas do Mamirauá. Rio de Janeiro: Sociedade Civil Mamirauá e CNPq.

R Core Team. 2018. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria.

Rabelo, R.M., Aragón, S, Bicca-Marques, J.C., Nelson, B.W. 2019. Habitat amount hypothesis and passive sampling explain mammal species composition in Amazonian river islands. Biotropica 51, 84–92.

Rabelo, R.M., Bicca-Marques, J.C., Aragón, S., Nelson, B.W. 2017. Are fluvial islands "real" islands for arboreal mammals? Uncovering the effect of patch size under the species–area relationship. J. Biogeogr. 44, 1802–1812.

Rabinowitz, A.R. and Nottingham, B.G. 1986. Ecology and behaviour of the Jaguar (*Panthera onca*) in Belize, Central America. J. Zool. 210, 149–159.

Ramalho, E.E. 2012. Jaguar (*Panthera onca*) population dynamics, feeding ecology, human induced mortality, and conservation in the várzea floodplain forests of Amazonia. Doctoral Dissertation. University of Florida, Florida, USA.

Ramalho, E.E., Macedo, J., Vieira, T., Valsecchi, J., Calvimontes, J., Marmontel, M., Queiroz, H.L. 2009. Ciclo hidrológico nos ambientes de várzea da Reserva de Desenvolvimento Sustentável Mamirauá – médio rio Solimões, período de 1990 a 2008. Uakari 5, 61–87.

Ripple, W.J., Estes, J.A., Beschta, R.L., Wilmers, C.C., Ritchie, E.G., Hebblewhite, M., Berger, J., Elmhagen, B., Letnic, M., Nelson, M.P., Schmitz, O.J., Smith, D.W., Wallach, A.D., Wirsing, A.J. 2014. Status and ecological effects of the world's largest carnivores. Science 343, 1241484.

Roopsind, A., Caughlin, T.T., Sambhu, H., Fragoso, J.M.V., Putz, F.E. 2017. Logging and indigenous hunting impacts on persistence of large Neotropical animals. Biotropica 49, 565–575.

Royle, J.A. and Dorazio, R.M. 2008. Hierarchical Modeling and Inference in Ecology. London: Academic Press.

Sanderson, E.W., Redford, K.H., Chetkiewicz, C.L.B., Medellin, R.A., Rabinowitz, A.R., Robinson, J.G., Taber, A.B. 2002. Planning to save a species: The jaguar as a model. Conserv. Biol. 16, 58–72.

Silva, M.X., Paviolo, A., Tambosi, L.R., Pardini, R. 2018. Effectiveness of Protected Areas for biodiversity conservation: Mammal occupancy patterns in the Iguaçu National Park, Brazil. J. Nat. Conserv. 41, 51–62.

Da Silveira, R., Magnusson, W.E., Thorbjarnarson, J.B. 2008. Factors Affecting the Number of Caimans Seen during Spotlight Surveys in the Mamirauá Reserve, Brazilian Amazonia. Copeia 2008, 425–430.

Sollmann, R., Furtado, M.M., Hofer, H., Jácomo, A.T.A., Tôrres, N.M., Silveira, L. 2012. Using occupancy models to investigate space partitioning between two sympatric large predators, the jaguar and puma in central Brazil. Mamm. Biol. 77, 41–46.

Torralvo, K., Botero-Arias, R., Magnusson, W.E. 2017. Temporal variation in black-caiman-nest predation in varzea of central Brazilian Amazonia. PLoS One 12, e0183476.

Zeller, K.A., Nijhawan, S., Salom-Pérez, R., Potosme, S.H., Hines, J.E. 2011. Integrating occupancy modeling and interview data for corridor identification: A case study for jaguars in Nicaragua. Biol. Conserv. 144, 892–901.