**Human pathogen co-occurrence in *Ixodes ricinus* ticks: effects of landscape topography,**

**climatic factors and microbiota interactions**

Tuomas Aivelo[1,2,*], Anna Norberg[2], Barbara Tschirren[3]

1: Department of Evolutionary Biology and Environmental Studies, University of Zürich

2: Organismal and Evolutionary Biology research program, University of Helsinki

3: Centre for Ecology and Conservation, University of Exeter, Treliever Road, Penryn, TR10 9FE,

United Kingdom

* Corresponding author

Address: Viikinkaari 1 (PL 56), 00014, Helsingin yliopisto, Finland

Email: tuomas.aivelo@helsinki.fi

Tel.: +358 40 828 6466

Running title: Species distribution modelling of tick microbiota

Competing interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

**Abstract**

The factors shaping microbial communities within organisms are still poorly understood. Besides

ecological factors and host characteristics, direct interactions among microbes may shape the

occurrence of microbes and the structure of communities. In the past it has been difficult to

disentangle if patterns of microbial co-occurrence are due to facilitation or competition effects, or

shaped by shared ecological preferences (i.e., environmental filtering). Here we use a joint species

distribution model to characterize the bacterial microbiota composition of an important human

disease vector, the sheep tick *Ixodes ricinus,* along ecological gradients in the Swiss Alps, and to

test for facilitation or competition effects among human pathogens and tick endosymbionts. We

identify a number of ecological variables that significantly predicted the diversity of tick microbial

community and the occurrence of specific tick endosymbionts and human pathogens. However,

ecological associations were generally microbe-specific rather than universal. We also found

evidence for significant microbe interactions, in particular widespread facilitation among pathogens,

which promotes pathogen co-infection within ticks, as well as competition between the tick

endosymbiont *Spiroplasma* and a number of human pathogens. These findings highlight that direct

interactions among microbes can affect the vector competence of ticks and thereby tick-borne

disease dynamics.

**Keywords**: disease ecology, tick-borne pathogens, species distribution modelling, community

composition, Borrelia burgdorferi, Lyme disease, facilitation, microbiome, endosymbionts

## Introduction

Microbial communities within organisms consist of symbionts, commensals, mutualists and pathogens that co-occur simultaneously and potentially influence each other [1–3]. These microbial communities may be shaped by a range of factors and processes, including the environment, host and microbe genetics and the occurrence and abundance of other microbial species. For example, certain microbial species might tolerate only certain biotic or abiotic conditions, which makes it more likely that species with similar requirements co-occur within a host ('environmental filtering' [4]). Similarly, the host's immune system can influence colonization success of microbes [5], with cross-immunity preventing the colonization of different microbes with similar antigenic properties [6]. Finally, direct interactions among microbes might affect colonization, or replication success after colonization, through competition or facilitation processes. Competition may occur when different microbes use the same, limited resources within a host [7], whereas facilitation may occur directly through the production of public goods [8] or indirectly through the modification of the host's physiology [9] or immune defense [10].

Ticks are important vectors for a range of zoonotic pathogens, including *Borrelia* spp., the causative agents of Lyme borreliosis [11] and *Rickettsia* sp., the causative agents of, among others, spotted fever and typhus [12]. Recent studies have found that pathogen co-infection is common in ticks [13–16]. Yet, the causes of pathogen co-occurrence remain poorly understood. Gaining insights into the factors that shape microbial communities in ticks in general, and the co-occurrence of human pathogens in particular, is of relevance from a fundamental ecological perspective, but also for public health, and it might inform tick-borne disease control programs.

Ticks have complex lifecycles, and require two or three bloodmeals to reach sexual maturity. They thus come into contact with several vertebrate hosts species throughout their life [17]. The composition of the host community might thus be a major determinant of the microbial community in ticks as different hosts might harbor different microbial (including pathogen) species [18, 19].

Host communities in turn are likely influenced by a range of biotic and abiotic factors such as

vegetation structure, food abundance, elevation, temperature or rainfall. In addition, these biotic and

abiotic factors might influence tick microbial communities directly, through effects on tick

physiology or activity patterns [20].

In addition, there is some evidence that facilitation and competition processes shape the microbial

community of ticks [21, 22]. For example, pathogenic *Rickettsia* species prevent co-infection with

other *Rickettsia* species in *Dermacentor variabilis* ticks [23], whereas the presence of *Francisella*

sp. endosymbionts increases the colonization success of pathogenic *Francisella novicida* in *D.*

*andersoni* ticks [24].  Facilitation has also been suggested to promote co-infection with different

*Borrelia afzelii* strains in *Ixodes ricinus* ticks [15]. Most strikingly, dysbiosed *I. scapularis* ticks

(i.e., ticks with low microbiotal diversity) have a defective peritrophic matrix which decreases the

colonization success of *B. burgdorferi* s.s., suggesting that the pathogen requires the presence of an

intact microbiota to be able to infect ticks [25]. Thus, the microbial community may have a crucial

impact on vector competence of ticks and thereby on disease dynamics.

Previous studies on the bacterial community of *I. ricinus* ticks have found approximately 100 OTUs

(operational taxonomic units; [26]) per tick [27]. Most of them are environmental and free-living

bacteria but also several endosymbionts and human pathogens were found [28, 29]. Differences in

the bacterial community structure of ticks across habitats [30], geographical sites [28] and tick life

stages and sexes [28, 31] have been documented. Yet, the processes that shape these patterns remain

poorly understood [32]. In particular, whereas previous studies have investigated the potential

interactions among microbes in ticks, they have not accounted for potential confounding variables

such as shared ecological requirements, and are thus limited in their ability to differentiate between

accidental co-occurrences due to shared environmental niches, and co-occurrence caused by direct

interactions (such as facilitation or competition) among microbes. To address this gap, we use a

joint species distribution modelling (JSDM) approach to disentangle environmental effects on tick

microbiota composition from direct microbial interactions [33, 34].

*I. ricinus* is the most common tick species in Europe and its distribution and abundance are strongly

influenced by environmental conditions, in particular temperature and humidity [35, 36]. *I. ricinus*

harbours a range of human pathogens, including several genospecies of *Borrelia* [37], *Rickettsia* sp.

[38], *Anaplasma* sp. [39] and *Candidatus* Neoehrlichia [40]. Spatial variation in pathogen

occurrence and prevalence is often pronounced [41]. For some pathogens, such as *B. afzelii*,

previous studies have identified a range of ecological factors such as elevation and its associated

abiotic factors [42, 43] or vegetation type and its associated effects on host communities [44],

which contribute to this spatial variation. For other pathogens, however, the ecological drivers of

distribution and prevalence remain poorly understood and little is known about the role of other

microbes in influencing pathogen occurrence in *I. ricinus* ticks.

In our study, we exploited the environmental heterogeneity along replicated elevational gradients in

the Swiss Alps to quantify the relative importance of environmental factors, tick characteristics and

direct microbial interactions in influencing the structure of bacterial communities in *I. ricinus* ticks

in general, and the occurrence of human pathogens in particular, using a combination of 16S

sequencing and JSDM [45, 46]. Specifically, we ask (i) how do abiotic factors and tick-related

variables affect tick microbiota composition, (ii) which abiotic and tick-related variables predict

pathogen occurrence, and (iii) whether there are patterns of non-random microbial co-occurrence

that cannot be explained by environmental similarities and are thus likely caused by direct

facilitation or competition among microbes.

## Materials and methods

*Tick sampling*

Questing *Ixodes ricinus* ticks were collected at three locations in the Swiss Alps (Kanton Graubünden). At each location, one site at low (630 - 732 m above sea level), one at medium (1 094 – 1 138 masl) and one at high (1 454 – 1 673 masl) elevation were identified (Figure 1, Table 1, N = 9 sampling sites). At each site, questing ticks were sampled thrice, once in June, once in July, and once in August 2014 by dragging a white blanket (1 m x 1 m) over the ground vegetation as described previously [47]. Ticks were collected from the blanket and stored in 95% ethanol. Tick species and life stage were verified using a stereomicroscope.

*Environmental variables*

For each sampling site, we compiled information on elevation, slope and aspect using DHM25 and land use data from swissTLM3D (both from Federal Office of Topography swisstopo) and information on temperature and precipitation provided by Landscape Dynamics (Swiss Federal Research Institute for Water, Snow and Landscape Research WSL and Federal Office of Meteorology and Climatology MeteoSwiss, [48]). Information on *I. ricinus* abundance and the abundance of a key tick host, the bank vole (*Myodes glareolus*), as well as the ratio of bank vole to other rodents at our sampling sites were obtained from [43]. Details on and justification for variables is provided in the Supplementary Material.

*Tick DNA isolation and quantification of neutral genetic diversity*

We randomly selected *I. ricinus* ticks from each sampling site for analysis (Table 1). Variation in the number of ticks per site was due to variation in tick abundance [47].To avoid environmental

contamination, we performed DNA isolation and amplifications in a laminar flow cabinet. Each tick

was washed thrice with sterile water before sterilizing it with 3% hydrogen peroxide. Ticks were

then cut in half with a sterilized blade to facilitate DNA isolation. DNA was extracted using

DNeasy Blood & Tissue kit (Qiagen; Hilden, Germany).

In order to quantify individual and population-level genetic diversity, we genotyped ticks at 11

microsatellite markers in two multiplexed amplifications (see Supplementary Material for details).

Not all markers were successfully amplified in all samples, but none of the samples contained more

than two failed markers. We used package 'poppr' [49] in R 3.4.1 [50] to test for linkage

disequilibrium and deviation from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. Individual observed

heterozygosity was determined for each tick as a proportion of heterozygous markers to all

successfully amplified markers. Expected population level heterozygosity was determined with

'poppr'.

*Tick microbiota sequencing*

We quantified tick bacterial community composition by sequencing the hypervariable V3-V4

region of the 16S gene. Negative controls (N=5) were processed alongside the tick samples.

Sequencing libraries were prepared following the Earth Microbiome 16S Illumina Amplicon

protocol, using the primers 515FB and  806RB[51] (see Supplementary Material for details).

Samples and negative controls were randomized across two plates. The libraries were sequenced on

Illumina MiSeq at the Functional Genomic Center Zurich with a target length of 250 bp following

the manufacturer's protocol. The obtained sequence data were analyzed following the *mothur*

pipeline with MiSeq standard operation procedures [52].

Sequences have been deposited to the Sequence Read Archive under BioProject PRJNA50687. The

complete metadata of the samples and their matching sequence accession numbers have been

submitted to FigShare (doi: 10.6084/m9.figshare.7380767).

There has been a debate whether ticks have a stable microbiota [53], mirroring the wider debate on

how common resident microbiota is in arthropod hosts [54]. Thus, in addition to analyses of overall

microbiota diversity and composition, a special focus of our analysis was on tick endosymbionts

and tick-borne human pathogens (Table 2), which are obligate residents.

*Tick microbiota diversity*

Only samples with > 500 amplicons and OTUs which were present in at least two samples were

included in the analyses. We removed the most common OTU, the intra-mitochondrial

endosymbiont *Candidatus* Midichloria [55], as it was present in all samples and compromised 19.3

% of all amplicons. After exclusion of Midichloria, we rarified the samples to lowest sample size to

account for variation in amplicon numbers.

Bacterial alpha diversity (inverse Simpson index; [56]) and beta diversity (Bray-Curtis dissimilarity

index, which takes shared species proportions into account when calculating dissimilarities; [57])

was calculated with the R package 'vegan' [58]. We ran linear mixed models with the package

'lme4' [59] to test for associations between bacterial alpha diversity and elevation, tick life stage,

sex and sampling month, with full interactions. Site was included as a random effect in the model.

We performed both permutational ANOVA with dissimilarity matrices and analysis of multivariate

homogeneity of group dispersion using the package 'vegan' to test for association between

measures of beta diversity and elevation, tick life stage, sex, site and sampling month.

For each model, non-significant factors were removed from the model starting with the least

significant term. In addition, we used a model selection approach based on Akaike's Information

Criterion [60] and model fit with conditional $R^2$ [61] in the package 'piecewiseSEM' [62] to test

which combination of factors best describes variation in tick bacterial diversity.

*Joint species distribution modelling of microbiota composition*

We used a framework for JSDM called Hierarchical Modelling of Species Communities (HMSC,

[45]) to test how environmental variables correlate with human pathogen and tick endosymbiont

occurrence and whether there are non-random residual associations among different OTUs and/or

oligotypes, implying direct facilitation or competition effects among microbes.

This approach combines information on environmental covariates, bacterial species traits,

spatiotemporal context and sampling design to explain the presence or absence of OTUs (Figure

S3). The associations among OTUs are modelled with the latent part of the framework, using the

residual variance after accounting for the effects of the environment. Correlations among OTUs in

this analysis thus reflect (dis)associations which cannot be explained by shared responses to the

environment.

We compiled occurrence matrices for OTUs for each individual tick as a response variable with the

same sample exclusion criteria as for the diversity analyses. An OTU was determined to be present

in a tick if >5 non-rarefied amplicons were identified in a sample. For each sampling unit, i.e. a row

in our response variable matrix, we included information on the identity of the sampling unit (tick

ID), its location, sampling site and month, describing the study design.

To reach a better resolution within specific OTUs, we analyzed known human pathogens, tick

endosymbionts and their close relatives within the 100 most common OTUs with oligotyping

pipeline [63]. Oligotyping uses all the sequences, which form an OTU, and performs Shannon

Entropy Analysis to regroup sequences based on within-OTU variation. This results in higher-

resolution grouping than OTUs as the different oligotypes might differ only by a single nucleotide

[63]. We used the standard operation procedures of the oligotyping pipeline software [64].

Including a large number of explanatory variables in statistical models is inherently challenging. To

reduce the number of variables, while maintaining their information value, we used two variable

sets in the model: a) a set of full-effect explanatory variables, and b) explanatory variables under

variable selection [46]. The full-effect variable set included an intercept, two individual-tick level

variables (tick sex or life stage and individual heterozygosity) and two site-level variables (tick

abundance and elevation of the sampling site). Additionally, we included information whether a

specific OTU is an endosymbiont and/or a pathogen as traits [65]. This allowed us to test if

endosymbionts and/or pathogen respond differentially to environmental conditions than other

OTUs. The set of explanatory variables under variable selection included additional information on

the environmental conditions of the sites (namely the number of days above 7 C° during the year,

monthly precipitation, mean monthly temperature, forest coverage, slope, aspect, bank vole

abundance, the proportion of voles to other rodents and expected tick heterozygosity) (Table S1).

We considered all parameter estimates, including associations among bacterial OTUs, having strong

statistical support if the 90% central credible interval of the parameter did not overlap with zero

[following 73]. See Supplementary Material for additional model details.

Although JSDM is a powerful approach to model microbial community structure, it has a number of

limitations. First, it assumes that interactions among microbes are similar across environments [but

see 66]. This is not necessarily the case as both abiotic and biotic factors may shape microbial

interactions [68]. Second, the model assumes that the explanatory variables affect the microbial

community composition (or rather, the presence or absence of individual OTU), but not vice versa.

However, this is a valid assumption for most environmental (e.g. elevation and temperature) and

tick-related variables (e.g. tick sex, life stage) included in our models. Thirdly, covariation among

variables poses a problem to any modelling approach. Our model is built on two distinct variable

sets to account for such covariation: the full variable set includes elevation, whereas the variables

with the strongest covariation (i.e., temperature and precipitation) are included in the variable

selection set.

**Results**

Ixodes ricinus *microbiota composition*

We 16S sequenced the bacterial community of 92 *Ixodes ricinus* ticks which resulted in 13 214 477

amplicons. No amplification was observed in the negative controls. After contig assembly and

quality control 1 656 287 sequences were retained. There was a median of 1 562 quality-controlled

amplicons per sample, with an interquartile range of 6 319. After exclusion of *Ca.* Midichloria, 82

samples with more than 340 amplicons per sample and a Good's coverage estimator ≥0.95 were

included in the subsequent analyses. In total, 5 181 bacterial OTUs were identified. The median

number of OTUs per rarified sample was 89 OTUs, with a 95% confidence interval of 78.3 - 98.5

OTUs.

Six OTUs were present in at least 90% of the samples: *Ca.* Midichloria (Otu0001), *Sphingomonas*

(Otu0002, 0006 and 0007*)*, *Pseudomonas* (Otu0011) and *Delftia* (Otu0012). Together, they

represented 50.2 % of all amplicons. We used oligotyping to further divide OTU0031 '*Rickettsia*'

into two oligotypes labelled as '*R. helvetica*' and '*R. monacensis*', and OTU0086 '*Borrelia*' into

four oligotypes labelled as '*B. afzelii*', '*B. valaisian*a' and '*B. garinii*' and '*B. miyamotoi*'. After

excluding rare OTUs, we used 635 OTUs and oligotypes in subsequent analyses, including 14

endosymbionts and / or human pathogens (Table 2).

Ixodes ricinus *microbiota diversity*

We observed a significant association between tick microbiota alpha diversity and elevation with

lower bacterial diversity observed at higher elevations ($F_{1,78}=3.98$, $p = 0.05$, conditional $R^2 = 0.07$,

Figure 2). No other environmental variables were significantly associated with tick microbiota

alpha diversity (Table S2).

The analysis of tick microbiota beta diversity revealed a significant interaction effect between tick

life stages/sex and elevation, indicating that differences in tick microbiota beta diversity across tick

life stages/sex are shaped by elevation (pseudo-$F_1 = 1.59$, $p = 0.01$, $R^2 = 0.03$, Figure S1;Table S3).

For other variables no significant association with microbial beta diversity was observed (Table

S3).There was a significantly larger group dispersion at lower elevation sites ($F_{8,73} = 19.25$, $p <$

0.001, Figure S2) suggesting that among-individual variation in bacterial community composition is

higher at lower elevation. For other variables, no significant heterogeneity in bacterial community

composition was observed (Table S4).

*Tick microbiota community modelling*

*Tick microbiota variance partitioning*

Variance partitioning revealed that most of the variation in tick microbiota composition was

explained by tick ID: for the hundred most common OTUs, tick ID accounted for 83.5% of the

variation explained by the JSDM model. Fixed effects accounted for 9.3% and other random effects

(location, site and month) explained 7.2% (Figure 3). This suggests that there is extensive among-

individual variation which cannot be accounted for by environmental variation. Notably, the

situation differed for endosymbionts or pathogens: while tick ID was still the most important

variable, fixed effects explained 21.9% and random effects explained 11.2% of the total variation

explained by the model, when averaged over all pathogens and endosymbionts (Figure 3).

*Tick-specific and environmental factors associated with OTU occurrence*

The occurrence of some OTUs was strongly predicted by specific explanatory variables (Table 3).

Slope, for example, was a strong predictor of the occurrence of a number of endosymbionts and

pathogens with a higher probability of *R. helvetica*, *Rickettsia sp.*, *Anaplasma* and *B. afzelii* and a

lower probability of *Ca.* Neoehrlichia occurrence on steeper slopes. Females were less likely to

harbour the endosymbionts *Spiroplasma*, *Lariskella* and *Rickettsia* spp. (Table 3) and ticks at higher

elevations had higher probability to harbour *R. helvetica* and *R. monacensis*, but were less probable

to harbour *B. garinii* (Table 3). Ticks from sites facing northwards had a higher probability of

harbouring *Spiroplasma* and *B. afzelii*, but a lower probability to harbor *Anaplasma* (Table 3).

Higher tick density was associated with a higher probability of *Rickettsiella* and *Ca.* Neoehrlichia

occurrence (Table 3), while more extensive forest coverage was associated with a higher probability

of *R. helvetica* and *B. afzelii* occurrence (Table 3). Associations between tick life stage,

temperature, the number of days $> 7$ C°, precipitation or relative vole abundance and the occurrence

of specific OTUs were not strongly statistically supported.

The effect sizes of strongly statistically supported associations varied substantially (Figure S5a-i).

For example, threefold increase in vole abundance corresponded to one percentage point decrease

of *R. monacensis* prevalence (Figure S5b), whereas a threefold increase in tick abundance

corresponded to a twofold increase in *Neoehrlichia* prevalence from 20% to 40% (Figure S5e).

*Microbial facilitation or competition effects within ticks*

Numerous bacterial OTUs were either significantly more or less likely to co-occur within a tick

than expected by chance after accounting for shared environmental preferences (Figure 4; Table

S5). The occurrence of the tick endosymbiont *Spiroplasma* was negatively associated with the

occurrence of the endosymbiont *Lariskella* and several tick-borne human pathogens, namely

*Anaplasma* sp., *Ca.* Neoehrlichia and *B. miyamotoi* (Figure 4). Associations among pathogens, if

they occurred, were all positive (Figure 4), suggesting that ticks are more likely to be co-infected

with several human pathogens simultaneously than expected by chance or based on shared

environmental preferences. *Borrelia* oligotypes showed positive co-occurrence patterns among each

other, except for *B. miyamotoi*, which was not associated with other *Borrelia sp.*, but negatively

with *Spiroplasma* and positively with *Lariskella*. As our approach allowed us to control for shared

environmental preferences, the significant negative or positive associations among endosymbionts

and pathogens can be considered as indications of competition and facilitation.

**Discussion**

Using a Joint Species Distribution Modelling approach, our study provides evidence that a range of

tick endosymbionts and human pathogens are more or less likely to co-occur within *I. ricinus* ticks

than expected by chance or due to shared environmental preferences. Although the co-occurrence of

microbes within ticks has been documented before, both in *I. ricinus* [16, 69] and other tick species

[70, 71], previous studies did not control for shared environmental preferences (i.e., environmental

filtering) and were therefore unable to disentangle shared responses to the environment from direct

microbe-microbe interactions. Our study reveals that when accounting for shared environmental

preferences, associations among tick endosymbionts and human pathogens are mostly positive,

suggesting that facilitation processes significantly contribute to endosymbiont-pathogen co-

occurrence as well as pathogen co-infections within ticks. Such facilitation processes will affect the

structure and dynamics of microbial communities [9, 71]. At the same time, the resulting co-

occurrence of pathogens within ticks has implications for the severity, diagnosis, treatment and

control of tick-borne diseases.

Although associations among microbes were mostly positive, there were negative associations

between the tick endosymbiont *Spiroplasma* sp. and several human pathogens, which is indicative

of competition. Similar protective effects have been previously described in *Drosophila sp.*, where

*Spiroplasma* sp. is associated with a decreased probability of nematode and parasitoids infections

[72, 73]. Such competition effects among tick endosymbionts and human pathogens might open up

new and exciting avenues for the control of tick-borne pathogens in areas with high disease

incidence.

Among the significant microbe-microbe interactions, the strong positive associations among the

Lyme disease-causing *Borrelia* genospecies (*B. afzelii*, *B. garinii* and *B. valaisiana*) were

particularly striking and indicative of facilitation (see also [15]). The co-occurrence of these

*Borrelia* genospecies within ticks is surprising because *B. garinii* and *B. valaisiana* are bird

specialists [74, 75], whereas *B. afzelii* is a rodent specialist [76]. It suggests that *I. ricinus* ticks

typically feed on multiple, phylogenetically diverse host species during their life cycle and do not

show pathogen-mediated host specialization as has been suggested previously [77, 78].

In addition to testing for facilitation and competition among microbes, we used a JSDM framework

to quantify the role of tick-specific and environmental variables in explaining spatial variation in

tick microbiota composition. Even though environmental variation across our sampling sites was

large (spanning an elevational gradient from 630 – 1 580 masl) and a large number of ecological

and tick-related variables was considered in our models, overall they accounted for only 9.3% of the

variation in bacterial microbiota composition. This finding highlights that unexplained variation in

microbiota composition across individual ticks is substantial, which is in line with the idea that at

least a part of the bacteria present in ticks may be non-resident [53]. Nevertheless, this can also

result from missing relevant environmental variables in our data. However, this conclusion is

further supported by the finding that the explanatory power of tick-related and environmental

variables was higher for tick endosymbionts and human pathogens, which are obligate resident.

Despite the large among-tick variation in microbiota composition, we found significant associations

between specific environmental variables and OTUs. Particularly pronounced was the association

between tick microbiota diversity and elevation. Across three independent elevational gradients we

found that alpha diversity was consistently higher at lower elevations. Although a decrease in plant

and animal diversity with increasing elevation is a widely documented pattern in ecology, it has

been suggested that the biogeographical patterns exhibited by bacteria may be fundamentally

different from those of plants and animals [79]. Our findings do not support this hypothesis but are

in line with the idea that microbiota diversity decreases with increasing distance from the host's

environmental optimum, such as at a host's range edge or during torpor [80]. Similarly, we

observed changes in microbial beta diversity with elevation, particularly in female ticks, and a

significantly larger group dispersion in microbial beta diversity at lower elevations. Together with

the decrease in tick microbiota alpha diversity with increasing elevation, it highlights that

suboptimal environmental conditions permit the occurrence of only a subset of bacterial OTUs in

ticks, leading to more similar, depleted microbiota at such sites. The consequences of low microbial

diversity for individual ticks and tick populations are currently unknown. Yet, given the lack of an

association between microbial alpha or beta diversity and the occurrence of human pathogens it is

unlikely to affect human disease risk.

We identified a range of tick-specific and environmental variables that significantly predicted the

occurrence of various tick endosymbionts and human pathogens. However, these associations were

typically OTU specific rather than universal, with the same variable being both positively and

negatively associated with the probability of occurrence of different OTUs. For example, *B. garinii*

was *less* likely to occur at higher elevations whereas *R. helvetica* and *R. monascensis* were *more*

likely to occur at higher elevations. Generally, the environmental factors shaping *Rickettsia* spp.

distribution are poorly understood, as is their range of host species [44, 81]. Yet, it has previously

been found that spotted fever incidence in humans, caused by *R. ricketsii*, is highest in suboptimal

tick habitats [82]. This is in line with our findings and suggests that *Rickettsia* spp. are more likely

to colonize ticks living under suboptimal conditions. The finding that *B. garinii* is less likely to

occur at higher elevations is in line with previous observations [42] and may be explained by

elevational clines in the diversity and/or abundance of birds, the natural hosts of *B. garinii* [74]. In

contrast, the occurrence of the mammal specialist *B. afzelii* was not associated with elevation,

potentially because elevational clines in mammal diversity and/or abundance are less pronounced

[83]. Indeed we did not observe an association between elevation and bank vole abundance in our

study (ANOVA: $F_{1,8}$=0.357, p = 0.57, $R^2$ = 0.05).

Interestingly, temperature and precipitation, which vary strongly across elevational gradients

(average temperature and precipitation: high elevation sites: 11.8 C° and 17.8 mm per month; in

low sites: 16.5 C° and 12.1 mm per month), were not significant predictors of the occurrence of

endosymbionts or pathogens. This may be partly due to the temperature and precipitation measures

included in our models not fully capturing microclimatic variation across sites and along elevational

clines. Indeed, slope and aspect, which are important determinants of the topography, and thus

microclimate [84], were significant predictors of pathogen and endosymbiont occurrence. The

probability of pathogen and endosymbiont occurrence was higher on steeper slopes. Furthermore,

the probability of occurrence was higher on north-facing slopes for *B. afzelii* and *Spiroplasma* on

north-facing slopes and and higher on south-facing slopes for *Rickettsiella* and *Anaplasma* sp. (see

also [85]). Microclimatic conditions may affect microbial occurrence directly, or indirectly via

affecting tick behavior or host community composition [86, 87]. Furthermore, topography can

affect population connectivity and dispersal in metapopulation networks [88].

Host community composition and density are crucial for pathogen and endosymbiont occurrence

and dispersal [41]. Previous work has found that tick abundance is a strong predictor of *Borrelia*

spp. prevalence, potentially because larger tick populations facilitate co-feeding transmission [89].

No association between *Borrelia* spp occurrence and tick abundance was observed in our study.

However, both *Ca.* Neoehrlichia and *Rickettsiella* were more common at sites where ticks were

more abundant, suggesting that co-feeding transmission may also play a role in the life cycle of

these microbes.

Finally, differences in host competence can lead to dilution effects and thus affect the prevalence of

tick-borne pathogens [90]. Whereas for some tick-borne pathogens the vertebrate hosts are known

or suspected (e.g. small mammals for *B. afzelii* [76] and *Ca*. Neoehrlichia [91], birds for *B. garinii*

and *B. valaisiana* [75], both for *Anaplasma* [92] and *R. helvetica* [93]), for others the host species

range is less well understood (e.g. *B. miyamotoi* [94]). The bank vole is a common tick host at our

study sites and their abundance was a significant positive predictor of *B. valaisiana* and negative

predictor of *R. monacensis* occurrence. Interestingly, bank voles are known hosts for neither of

these microbes [95]. Most likely, the association is thus indirect, explained by a biotic or abiotic

variable that correlates with bank vole abundance. For example, bank voles avoid open habitats and

prefer woodlands and heterogeneous habitats [96, 97]. Overall, no evidence was found that the

proportion of bank voles to other rodents affects the prevalence of tick-borne pathogens.

In conclusion, our results show how we can jointly model tick-associated bacterial communities,

and taking into account of the environmental effects, tease apart patterns in the data indicating

facilitation and competition among microbes. We identified a number of ecological variables that

predict the occurrence of specific tick endosymbionts and human pathogens with strong statistical

support, but these effects were generally microbe-specific rather than universal. This highlights that

environmental change can have different, even opposite effects on the probability of occurrence of

different pathogens, and thus human disease risk. Furthermore, our modelling approach reveals

significant among-microbe interactions, suggesting in particular widespread facilitation among

pathogens, which promotes pathogen co-infection within ticks, as well as competition between

*Spiroplasma* and a number of human pathogens. The latter opens up new and exciting avenues for

the control and management of tick-borne diseases in regions with high human disease incidence.

**Acknowledgements**

This study was funded by Finnish Cultural Foundation Postdoc Pool grant (to TA), the Stiftung für wissenschaftliche Forschung an der Universität Zürich (17_027), the Swiss National Science Foundation (PP00P3_128386 and PP00P3_157455), the University of Zurich Research Priority Program "Evolution in Action: from Genomes to Ecosystems", the Faculty of Science of the University of Zurich, and the Baugarten Stiftung (all to BT). We thank Mélissa Lemoine for providing ticks, Glauco Camenisch, Elisa Granato, Jennifer Morger and Alessia Pennachia for help with laboratory work, Lucy Poveda for help with MiSeq sequencing, Frédéric Guillaume for providing access to IT infrastructure, Janine Bolliger and Dirk Schmatz from Swiss Federal Institute for Forest, Snow and Landscape Research WSL for providing spatial data and for help with spatial analyses, and Otso Ovaskainen for discussions on the modelling.

**References**

1.    Sofonea MT, Alizon S, Michalakis Y. From within-host interactions to epidemiological competition: A general model for multiple infections. *Philos Trans R Soc B Biol Sci* 2015; **370**: 20140303.

2.    Petney TN, Andrews RH. Multiparasite communities in animals and humans: frequency , structure and pathogenic significance. *Int J Parasitol* 1998; **28**: 377–393.

3.    Rigaud T, Perrot-Minnot M-J, Brown MJF. Parasite and host assemblages: embracing the reality will improve our knowledge of parasite transmission and virulence. *Proc R Soc B Biol Sci* 2010; **277**: 3693–3702.

4.    Dallas T, Presley SJ. Relative importance of host environment, transmission potential and host phylogeny to the structure of parasite metacommunities. *Oikos* 2014; **123**: 866–874.

5.    Hawley DM, Altizer SM. Disease ecology meets ecological immunology: understanding the links

between organismal immunity and infection dynamics in natural populations. *Funct Ecol* 2011; **25**:

48–60.

6.    Durand J, Jacquet M, Paillard L, Rais O, Gern L, Voordouw MJ. Cross-immunity and community

structure of a multiple-strain pathogen in the tick vector. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2015; **22**.

7.    Lello J, Boag B, Fenton A, Stevenson IR, Hudson PJ. Competition and mutualism among the gut

helminths of a mammalian host. *Nature* 2004; **428**: 20–24.

8.    West SA, Buckling A. Cooperation, virulence and siderophore production in bacterial parasites. *Proc*

*R Soc B Biol Sci* 2003; **270**: 37–44.

9.    Abraham NM, Liu L, Jutras BL, Yadav AK, Narasimhan S, Gopalakrishnan V, et al. Pathogen-

mediated manipulation of arthropod microbiota to promote infection. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2017; **114**:

E781–E790.

10.   Rodríguez M, Terrazas LI, Márquez R, Bojalil R. Susceptibility to Trypanosoma cruzi is modified by

a previous non-related infection. *Parasite Immunol* 1999; **21**: 177–185.

11.   Lane JR., Piesman K, Burgdoifer W. Lyme Borreliosis: Relation of its causative agent to its vectors

and hosts in North America and Europe. *Annu Rev Entomol* 1991; **36**: 587–609.

12.   Raoult D. Rickettsioses as paradigms of new or emerging infectious diseases. *Clin Microbiol Rev*

1997; **10**: 694–719.

13.   Diuk-Wasser MA, Vannier E, Krause PJ. Coinfection by Ixodes Tick-Borne Pathogens: Ecological,

Epidemiological, and Clinical Consequences. *Trends Parasitol* 2016; **32**: 30–42.

14.   Moutailler S, Valiente Moro C, Vaumourin E, Michelet L, Tran FH, Devillers E, et al. Co-infection

of ticks: the rule rather than the exception. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 2016; **10**: e0004539.

15.   Andersson M, Scherman K, Råberg L. Multiple-strain infections of Borrelia afzelii: a role for within-

host interactions in the maintenance of antigenic diversity? *Am Nat* 2013; **181**: 545–54.

16.   Michelet L, Delannoy S, Devillers E, Umhang G, Aspan A, Juremalm M, et al. High-throughput

screening of tick-borne pathogens in Europe. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* 2014; **4**: 103.

17. Gern L. Life cycle of Borrelia burgdorferi sensu lato and transmission to humans. In: Lipsker D,

Jaulhac B (eds). *Lyme Borreliosis*. 2009. Karger, Basel, Switzerland., pp 18–30.

18. Randolph SE, Miklisová D, Lysy J, Rogers DJ, Labuda M. Incidence from coincidence: Patterns of

tick infestations on rodents facilitate transmission of tick-borne encephalitis virus. *Parasitology* 1999;

**118**: 177–186.

19. MacDonald AJ, Hyon DW, Iii JBB, Connor KEO, Swei A, Briggs CJ. Lyme disease risk in southern

California : abiotic and environmental drivers of Ixodes pacificus (Acari: Ixodidae) density and

infection prevalence with Borrelia burgdorferi. *Parasit Vectors* 2017; 7.

20. van Treuren W, Ponnusamy L, Brinkerhoff RJ, Gonzalez A, Parobek CM, Juliano JJ, et al. Variation

in the microbiota of Ixodes ticks with regard to geography, species, and sex. *Appl Environ Microbiol*

2015; **81**: 6200–6209.

21. Haine ER. Symbiont-mediated protection. *Proc R Soc B Biol Sci* 2008; **275**: 353–361.

22. Bonnet SI, Binetruy F, Hernández-Jarguín AM, Duron O. The tick microbiome: Why non-pathogenic

microorganisms matter in tick biology and pathogen transmission. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* 2017;

**7**: 236.

23. Macaluso KR, Sonenshine DE, Ceraul SM, Azad AF. Rickettsial Infection in *Dermacentor variabilis*

(Acari: Ixodidae) Inhibits Transovarial Transmission of a Second *Rickettsia*. *J Med Entomol* 2002;

**39**: 809–813.

24. Gall CA, Reif KE, Scoles GA, Mason KL, Mousel M, Noh SM, et al. The bacterial microbiome of

Dermacentor andersoni ticks influences pathogen susceptibility. *ISME J* 2016; **10**: 1846–1855.

25. Narasimhan S, Rajeevan N, Liu L, Zhao YO, Heisig J, Pan J, et al. Gut microbiota of the tick vector

Ixodes scapularis modulate colonization of the Lyme disease spirochete. *Cell Host Microbe* 2014; **15**:

58–71.

26. Stackebrandt E, Goebel BM. Taxonomic note: A place for DNA-DNA reassociation and 16S rRNA

sequence analysis in the present species definition in bacteriology. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 1994; **44**:

846–849.

27.   Greay TL, Gofton AW, Paparini A, Ryan UM, Oskam CL, Irwin PJ. Recent insights into the tick

microbiome gained through next-generation sequencing. *Parasites and Vectors* 2018; **11**: 12.

28.   Carpi G, Cagnacci F, Wittekindt NE, Zhao F, Qi J, Tomsho LP, et al. Metagenomic profile of the

bacterial communities associated with Ixodes ricinus ticks. *PLoS One* 2011; **6**: e25604.

29.   Gofton A, Oskam C, Lo N, Beninati T, Wei H, McCarl V, et al. Inhibition of the endosymbiont

'Candidatus Midichloria mitochondrii' during 16S rRNA gene profiling reveals potential pathogens

in Ixodes ticks from Australia. *Parasit Vectors* 2015; **8**: 345.

30.   Estrada-Peña A, Cabezas-Cruz A, Pollet T, Vayssier-Taussat M, Cosson J-F. High Throughput

Sequencing and Network Analysis Disentangle the Microbial Communities of Ticks and Hosts

Within and Between Ecosystems. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* 2018; **8**: 236.

31.   Vayssier-Taussat M, Moutailler S, Michelet L, Devillers E, Bonnet S, Cheval J, et al. Next generation

sequencing uncovers unexpected bacterial pathogens in ticks in western Europe. *PLoS One* 2013; **8**:

e81439.

32.   Norman RA, Worton AJ, Gilbert L. Past and future perspectives on mathematical models of tick-

borne pathogens. *Parasitology* 2016; **143**: 850–859.

33.   Ovaskainen O, Abrego N, Halme P, Dunson D. Using latent variable models to identify large

networks of species-to-species associations at different spatial scales. *Methods Ecol Evol* 2015; **7**:

549–555.

34.   Warton DI, Blanchet FG, O'Hara RB, Ovaskainen O, Taskinen S, Walker SC, et al. So many

variables: joint modeling in community ecology. *Trends Ecol Evol* 2015; **30**: 766–779.

35.   Gatewood AG, Liebman K a, Vourc'h G, Bunikis J, Hamer S a, Cortinas R, et al. Climate and tick

seasonality are predictors of Borrelia burgdorferi genotype distribution. *Appl Environ Microbiol*

2009; **75**: 2476–83.

36.    Cortinas MR, Guerra MA, Jones CJ, Kitron U. Detection, characterization, and prediction of tick-

borne disease foci. *Int J Med Microbiol* 2002; **291**: 11–20.

37.    Mannelli A, Bertolotti L, Gern L, Gray J. Ecology of Borrelia burgdorferi sensu lato in Europe:

transmission dynamics in multi-host systems, influence of molecular processes and effects of climate

change. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 2012; **36**: 837–61.

38.    Venclikova K, Rudolf I, Mendel J, Betasova L, Hubalek Z. Rickettsiae in questing Ixodes ricinus

ticks in the Czech Republic. *Ticks Tick Borne Dis* 2014; **5**: 135–138.

39.    Jahfari S, Herremans T, Platonov AE, Kuiper H, Karan LS, Vasilieva O, et al. High seroprevalence of

Borrelia miyamotoi antibodies in forestry workers and individuals suspected of human granulocytic

anaplasmosis in the Netherlands. *New Microbes New Infect* 2014; **2**: 144–149.

40.    Kawahara M, Rikihisa Y, Isogai E, Takahashi M, Misumi H, Suto C, et al. Ultrastructure and

phylogenetic analysis of 'Candidatus Neoehrlichia mikurensis' in the family Anaplasmataceae,

isolated from wild rats and found in Ixodes ovatus ticks. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2004; **54**: 1837–

1843.

41.    Ruyts SC, Tack W, Ampoorter E, Coipan EC, Matthysen E, Heylen D, et al. Year-to-year variation in

the density of Ixodes ricinus ticks and the prevalence of the rodent-associated human pathogens

Borrelia afzelii and B. miyamotoi in different forest types. *Ticks Tick Borne Dis* 2017; **9**: 141–145.

42.    Jouda F, Perret J, Gern L. Ixodes ricinus density, and distribution and prevalence of Borrelia

burgdorferi sensu lato infection along an altitudinal gradient. *J Med Entomol* 2004; **41**: 162–169.

43.    Cornetti L, Hilfiker D, Lemoine M, Tschirren B. Small-scale spatial variation in infection risk shapes

the evolution of a *Borrelia* resistance gene in wild rodents. *Mol Ecol* 2018; 3515–3524.

44.    Halos L, Bord S, Cotté V, Gasqui P, Abrial D, Barnouin J, et al. Ecological factors characterizing the

prevalence of bacterial tick-borne pathogens in ixodes ricinus ticks in pastures and woodlands. *Appl*

*Environ Microbiol* 2010; **76**: 4413–4420.

45.    Ovaskainen O, Gleb Tikhonov, Norberg A, Blanchet FG, Duan L, Dunson D, et al. How to make

more out of community data? A conceptual framework and its implementation as models and

software. *Ecol Lett* 2017; **20**: 561–576.

46.    Ovaskainen O, Tikhonov G, Dunson D, Grøtan V, Engen S, Sæther B-E, et al. How are species

interactions structured in species-rich communities□? A new method for analysing time-series data.

*Proc R Soc B Biol Sci* 2017; **284**: 20170768.

47.    Lemoine M, Cornetti L, Tschirren B. Does Borrelia burgdorferi sensu lato facilitate the colonisation

of marginal habitats by Ixodes ricinus? A correlative study in the Swiss Alps. *bioRxiv* 2018.

48.    Thornton PE, Running SW, White MA. Generating surfaces of daily meteorological variables over

large regions of complex terrain. *J Hydrol* 1997; **190**: 214–251.

49.    Kamvar ZN, Tabima JF, Grünwald NJ. *Poppr*□: an R package for genetic analysis of populations

with clonal, partially clonal, and/or sexual reproduction. *PeerJ* 2014; **2**: e281.

50.    Team RC. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. 2013. R Foundation for

Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.

51.    Carey H V, Walters WA, Knight R. Seasonal restructuring of the ground squirrel gut microbiota over

the annual hibernation cycle. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol* 2013; **304**: R33-42.

52.    Kozich JJ, Westcott SL, Baxter NT, Highlander SK, Schloss PD. Development of a dual-index

sequencing strategy and curation pipeline for analyzing amplicon sequence data on the MiSeq

Illumina sequencing platform. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2013; **79**: 5112–20.

53.    Ross BD, Hayes B, Radey MC, Lee X, Josek T, Bjork J, et al. Ixodes scapularis does not harbor a

stable midgut microbiome. *bioRxiv* 2017; 198267.

54.    Hammer TJ, Janzen DH, Hallwachs W, Jaffe SP, Fierer N. Caterpillars lack a resident gut

microbiome. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2017; **114**: 9641–9646.

55.    Lo N, Beninati T, Sassera D, Bouman EAP, Santagati S, Gern L, et al. Widespread distribution and

high prevalence of an alpha-proteobacterial symbiont in the tick Ixodes ricinus. *Environ Microbiol*

2006; **8**: 1280–1287.

56.   Simpson EH. Measurement of diversity. *Nature* . 1949. , **163**: 688

57.   Bray JR, Curtis JT. An ordination of the upland forest community of Southern Wisconsin. *Ecol*
*Monogr* 1957; **27**: 325–349.

58.   Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Kindt R, Legendre P, Minchin PR, O'Hara RB, et al. vegan: Community
Ecology Package. 2013.

59.   Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker B, Walker S. Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. *J Stat*
*Softw* 2015; **67**.

60.   Akaike H. A new look at the statistical model identification. *IEEE Trans Automat Contr* 1974; **19**:
716–723.

61.   Nakagawa S, Schielzeth H. A general and simple method for obtaining R2 from generalized linear
mixed-effects models. *Methods Ecol Evol* 2013; **4**: 133–142.

62.   Lefcheck JS. piecewiseSEM: Piecewise structural equation modelling in r for ecology, evolution, and
systematics. *Methods Ecol Evol* 2016; **7**: 573–579.

63.   Eren AM, Borisy GG, Huse SM, Mark Welch JL. Oligotyping analysis of the human oral
microbiome. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2014; **111**: E2875–E2884.

64.   Eren AM, Maignien L, Sul WJ, Murphy LG, Grim SL, Morrison HG, et al. Oligotyping:
Differentiating between closely related microbial taxa using 16S rRNA gene data. *Methods Ecol Evol*
2013; **4**: 1111–1119.

65.   Abrego N, Norberg A, Ovaskainen O. Measuring and predicting the influence of traits on the
assembly processes of wood-inhabiting fungi. *J Ecol* 2016; **(accepted)**.

66.   Aivelo T, Norberg A. Parasite-microbiota interactions potentially affect intestinal communities in
wild mammals. *J Anim Ecol* 2017; **87**: 438–447.

67.   Tikhonov G, Abrego N, Dunson D, Ovaskainen O. Using joint species distribution models for
evaluating how species-to-species associations depend on the environmental context. *Methods Ecol*

*Evol* 2017; **8**: 443–452.

68.    Elliot SL, Blanford S, Thomas MB. Host-pathogen interactions in a varying environment:

temperature, behavioural fever and fitness. *Proc R Soc B Biol Sci* 2002; **269**: 1599–607.

69.    Lommano E, Bertaiola L, Dupasquier C, Gern L. Infections and coinfections of questing Ixodes

ricinus ticks by emerging zoonotic pathogens in Western Switzerland. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2012;

**78**: 4606–4612.

70.    Laaksonen M, Klemola T, Feuth E, Sormunen JJ, Puisto A, Mäkelä S, et al. Tick-borne pathogens in

Finland: comparison of Ixodes ricinus and I. persulcatus in sympatric and parapatric areas. *Parasit*

*Vectors* 2018; **11**: 556.

71.    Gurfield N, Grewal S, Cua LS, Torres PJ, Kelley ST. Endosymbiont interference and microbial

diversity of the Pacific coast tick, Dermacentor occidentalis, in San Diego County, California. *PeerJ*

2017; **5**: e3202.

72.    Xie J, Vilchez I, Mateos M. Spiroplasma bacteria enhance survival of Drosophila hydei attacked by

the parasitic wasp Leptopilina heterotoma. *PLoS One* 2010; **5**: e12149.

73.    Jaenike J, Unckless R, Cockburn SN, Boelio LM, Perlman SJ. Adaptation via symbiosis: recent

spread of a Drosophila defensive symbiont. *Science (80- )* 2013; **329**: 212–215.

74.    Comstedt P, Jakobsson T, Bergström S. Global ecology and epidemiology of Borrelia garinii

spirochetes. *Infect Ecol Epidemiol* 2011; **1**: 9545.

75.    Hanincova K, Taragelova V, Koci J, Schafer SM, Hails R, Ullmann AJ, et al. Songbirds in Slovakia.

*Appl Environ Microbiol* 2003; **69**: 2825–2830.

76.    Hanincova K, Schäfer SM, Etti S, Sewell HS, Taragelová V, Ziak D, et al. Association of Borrelia

afzelii with rodents in Europe. *Parasitology* 2003; **126**: 11–20.

77.    McCoy KD, Chapuis E, Tirard C, Boulinier T, Michalakis Y, Le Bohec C, et al. Recurrent evolution

of host-specialized races in a globally distributed parasite. *Proc R Soc B Biol Sci* 2005; **272**: 2389–

2395.

78.   McCoy KD, Léger E, Dietrich M. Host specialization in ticks and transmission of tick-borne diseases:

a review. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* 2013; **3**: 57.

79.   Fierer N, Mccain CM, Meir P, Zimmermann M, Rapp JM, Silman MR, et al. Microbes do not follow

the elevational diversity patterns of plants and animals. *Ecology* 2011; **92**: 797–804.

80.   Geiger A, Ponton F, Simo G. Adult blood-feeding tsetse flies, trypanosomes, microbiota and the

fluctuating environment in sub-Saharan Africa. *ISME J* 2015; **9**: 1496–1507.

81.   Eremeeva ME, Dasch GA. Challenges Posed by Tick-Borne Rickettsiae: Eco-Epidemiology and

Public Health Implications. *Front Public Heal* 2015; **3**: 1–17.

82.   Atkinson SF, Sarkar S, Aviña A, Schuermann JA, Williamson P. Modelling spatial concordance

between Rocky Mountain spotted fever disease incidence and habitat probability of its vector

Dermacentor variabilis (American dog tick). *Geospat Health* 2013; **7**: 91–100.

83.   McCain CM. Elevational gradients in diversity of small mammals. *Ecology* 2005; **86**: 366–372.

84.   Bennie J, Huntley B, Wiltshire A, Hill MO, Baxter R, Wood CEHM, et al. Slope, aspect and

climate : Spatially explicit and implicit models of topographic microclimate in chalk grassland. *Ecol*

*Modell* 2008; **6**: 47–59.

85.   Stuen S, Granquist EG, Silaghi C. Anaplasma phagocytophilum—a widespread multi-host pathogen

with highly adaptive strategies. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* 2013; **3**: 31.

86.   Lawson CR, Bennie J, Hodgson JA, Thomas CD, Wilson RJ. Topographic microclimates drive

microhabitat associations at the range margin of a butterfly. *Ecography (Cop)* 2014; **37**: 732–740.

87.   Swei A, Meentemeyer R, Briggs CJ. Influence of abiotic and environmental factors on the density

and infection prevalence of Ixodes pacificus (Acari: Ixodidae ) with Borrelia burgdorferi. *J Med*

*Entomol* 2011; **48**: 20–28.

88.   Swei A, Kwan JY. Tick microbiome and pathogen acquisition altered by host blood meal. *ISME J*

2017; **11**: 813–816.

89.  Jouda F, Perret J-L, Gern L. Density of questing Ixodes ricinus nymphs and adults infected by

Borrelia burgdorferi sensu lato in Switzerland: spatiotemporal pattern at a regional scale. *Vector-*

*borne Zoonotic Dis* 2004; **4**: 23–32.

90.  Keesing F, Holt RD, Ostfeld RS. Effects of species diversity on disease risk. *Ecol Lett* 2006; **9**: 485–

98.

91.  Jahfari S, Fonville M, Hengeveld P, Reusken C, Scholte E, Takken W, et al. Prevalence of

Neoehrlichia mikurensis in ticks and rodents from North-west Europe. *Parasit Vectors* 2012; **5**: 74.

92.  Keesing F, Hersh MH, Tibbetts M, McHenry DJ, Duerr S, Brunner J, et al. Reservoir competence of

vertebrate hosts for Anaplasma phagocytophilum. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2012; **18**: 2013–2016.

93.  Sprong H, Wielinga PR, Fonville M, Reusken C, Brandenburg AH, Borgsteede F, et al. Ixodes ricinus

ticks are reservoir hosts for Rickettsia helvetica and potentially carry flea-borne Rickettsia species.

*Parasites and Vectors* 2009; **2**: 41.

94.  Wagemakers A, Staarink PJ, Sprong H, Hovius JWR. Borrelia miyamotoi: A widespread tick-borne

relapsing fever spirochete. *Trends Parasitol* 2015; **31**: 260–269.

95.  Burri C, Schumann O, Schumann C, Gern L. Are Apodemus spp. mice and Myodes glareolus

reservoirs for Borrelia miyamotoi, Candidatus Neoehrlichia mikurensis, Rickettsia helvetica, R.

monacensis and Anaplasma phagocytophilum? *Ticks Tick Borne Dis* 2014; **5**: 245–251.

96.  Tattersall AFH, Macdonald DW, Hart BJ, Johnson P, Manley W, Feber R. Is habitat linearity

important for small mammal communities on farmland? *J Appl Ecol* 2002; **39**: 643–652.

97.  Ecke F, Löfgren O, Sörlin D. Population dynamics of small mammals in relation to forest age and

structural habitat factors in northern Sweden. *J Appl Ecol* 2002; **39**: 781–792.

98.  Cornetti L, Lemoine M, Hilfiker D, Morger J, Reeh K, Tschirren B. Higher genetic diversity on

mountain tops: The role of historical and contemporary processes in shaping genetic variation in the

bank vole. *Biol J Linn Soc* 2016; **118**: 233–244.

Table 1: Tick sampling sites in the Swiss Alps.

| Location | Site | Coordinates | | Elevation | Sequenced *Ixodes ricinus* ticks | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | North | East | (masl) | nymphs | males | females |
| 1 | Sagogn | 46.783 | 9.233 | 693 | 0 | 9 | 15 |
| | Flims | 46.827 | 9.280 | 1138 | 3 | 5 | 3 |
| | Ruschein | 46.795 | 9.169 | 1454 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | Rodels | 46.760 | 9.425 | 630 | 2 | 5 | 4 |
| | Tomils | 46.772 | 9.453 | 1144 | 3 | 6 | 4 |
| | Feldis | 46.789 | 9.453 | 1673 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 3 | Passug | 46.840 | 9.538 | 732 | 0 | 5 | 6 |
| | Castiel | 46.826 | 9.569 | 1094 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| | Praden | 46.817 | 9.589 | 1582 | 1 | 0 | 1 |

665 Table 2: Common tick endosymbionts and/or putative human pathogens observed in I. ricinus ticks. See

666 Supplementary Materials for information on OTU assignment.

| OTU | Label | Human pathogen / tick endosymbiont | Occurrence (% of analyzed ticks) |
|---|---|---|---|
| Otu0001 | *Midichloria* | endosymbiont | 100 |
| Otu0003 | *Spiroplasma* | endosymbiont | 41 |
| Otu0005 | *Rickettsiella* | endosymbiont | 63 |
| Otu0021 | *Lariskella* | endosymbiont | 49 |
| Otu0031 | *Rickettsia helvetica* | both | 16 |
| | *R. monacensis* | both | 6 |
| Otu0067 | *Rickettsia sp.* | both | 25 |
| Otu0076 | *Anaplasma* | both | 33 |
| Otu0086 | *Candidatus* Neoehrlichia | both | 22 |
| Otu0088 | *Borrelia afzelii* | pathogen | 9 |
| | *B. miyamotoi* | pathogen | 10 |
| | *B. garinii* | pathogen | 6 |
| | *B. valaisiana* | pathogen | 2 |

670 Table 3. Associations between tick-specific and environmental variables and the occurrence of
671 endosymbionts and human pathogens in *I. ricinus* ticks. A positive sign indicates that higher variable values
672 are associated with a higher probability of OTU occurrence. A higher aspect value means that a site is facing
673 northwards. Only associations with strong statistical support (based on the 90% central credible interval) are
674 presented.

| | | Full variable set | | | | | Variable selection set | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Tick sex (Female) | Tick life stage (Nymph) | Tick abundance | Tick heterozygosity | Elevation | Tick population expected heterozygosity | Number of days > 7C° | Precipitation | Mean temperature | Forest cover | Slope | Aspect | Vole abundance | Vole/ other rodents ratio |
| Otu0003 | *Spiroplasma* | − | | | | | | | | | | | + | | |
| Otu0005 | *Rickettsiella* | − | | + | | | | | | | | | − | | |
| Otu0022 | *Lariskella* | − | | | | − | | | | | | | | | |
| Otu0031 | *Rickettsia helvetica* | | | | | | + | − | | | + | + | | | |
| | *R. monacensis* | | | | | | + | − | | | | | | | − |
| Otu0067 | *Rickettsia sp.* | − | | | | | | | | | | + | | | |
| Otu0076 | *Anaplasma* | | | | | | | | | | | + | − | | |
| Otu0086 | *Ca.* Neoehrlichia | | | + | | | | | | | | − | | | |
| Otu0088 | *Borrelia afzelii* | | | | | | | | | | + | + | + | | |
| | *B. miyamotoi* | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | *B. garinii* | | | | | − | | | | | | | | | |
| | *B. valaisiana* | | | | | | + | | | | | | | + | |

**Figure legends**

Figure 1: Location of tick sampling sites in the Swiss Alps. Different colours of circles (i.e., black,

grey, white) represent the different locations. Rivers and motorway are shown in black. Modified

from [98].

Figure 2: Association between bacterial alpha diversity (inverse Simpson index) and elevation at

three locations in the Swiss Alps. Bacterial alpha diversity was consistently lower at higher

elevations. Model predictions for each location are plotted as lines.

Figure 3: Tick microbial community variance partitioning for different fixed and random effects.

The first three columns represent tick endosymbionts, the next three columns are OTUs which are

both tick endosymbionts and human pathogens and the subsequent six columns represent human

pathogens. The other columns represent the 88 most common OTUs found in *I. ricinus*, ordered by

amplicon frequency. Month, sampling site, location and tick ID were included in the model as

random effects, whereas fixed effects were divided into environmental (elevation, temperature,

precipitation, forest coverage, slope, aspect, vole abundance and vole to other rodents ratio) and

tick-related variables (life stage or sex, individual heterozygosity, abundance, expected population

heterozygosity). See raw data in Figshare for information on OTU labels.

Figure 4:  Facilitation or competition among endosymbionts and pathogens within ticks.

Relationships after accounting for shared environmental preferences are shown. Red lines represent

positive associations (i.e., facilitation) and blue lines negative associations (i.e., competition). Only

associations with strong statistical support (i.e., based on the 90% central credible interval) are

presented. Darker colors indicate stronger associations.