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Mutants of a catalytically inactive variant of Proteinase $3\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)-\mathrm{iPR}_{3}-\mathrm{Val}^{103}$ possessing a Ser195Ala mutation relative to wild-type $\mathrm{PR}_{3}-\mathrm{Val}^{103}$-offer insights into how autoantigen $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ interacts with antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies (ANCAs) in granulomatosis with polyangiitis (GPA) and whether such interactions can be interrupted. Here we report that $\mathrm{iHm} 5-\mathrm{Val}^{\mathrm{lo3}}$, a triple mutant of ${ }_{i P R}^{3} 3$ - $\mathrm{Val}^{103}$, bound a monoclonal antibody (moANCA518) from a GPA patient on an epitope remote from the mutation sites, whereas the corresponding epitope of $\mathrm{iPR}_{3}-\mathrm{Val}^{103}$ was latent to moANCA518. Simulated B-factor analysis revealed that the binding of moANCA518 to $\mathrm{iHm}_{5}-\mathrm{Val}^{103}$ was due to increased main-chain flexibility of the latent epitope caused by remote mutations, suggesting rigidification of epitopes with therapeutics to alter pathogenic $\mathrm{PR}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{ANCA}$ interactions as new GPA treatments.
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## INTRODUCTION

Proteinase $3\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)$ is a neutrophil serine protease targeted by antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies (ANCAs) in the autoimmune disease granulomatosis with polyangitis (GPA) ( $1-5$ ). To investigate how $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ interacts with the ANCAs during inflammation and whether these interactions can be intervened by therapeutics, we developed a human $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ mutant ( $\mathrm{iPR}_{3}-\mathrm{Val}^{103}$ ) with a $\mathrm{Val}^{103}$ (6) at the Val/Ile polymorphic site and a Ser195Ala mutation that alters the charge relay network of Asp102, His57, and Ser195 and thereby disables catalytic functioning in $\mathrm{PR}_{3}(7-10)$. This mutant recognized as many ANCA serum samples from patients with GPA as wild-type $\mathrm{PR}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}-\mathrm{Val}^{103}\right)$ in both immunofluorescence assay and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), while the Ser195Ala mutation is close to Epitope 5 of $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ and remote from Epitopes 1, 3, and 4 as shown in Figure 1 (8, 11). We also developed a number of variants of $\mathrm{iPR}_{3}-\mathrm{Val}^{103}$ in the course of our investigation (11).

One such variant, iHm5-Val ${ }^{103}$, has Ala146, Trp218, and Leu223 from human $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ replaced by Thr146, Arg218, and Gln223 from mouse PR3. Our initial intent of this chimeric triple mutant was to demonstrate altered binding of ANCAs to Epitope 5 (and possibly Epitope 1 but not Epitopes 3 and 4) of the mutant because Trp218 and Leu223 reside in Epitope 5 and Ala146 is in Epitope 1 (11). However, as described below, we serendipitously found that a monoclonal ANCA (moANCA518) from a patient with GPA bound to Epitope 3 of $\mathrm{iHm} 5-\mathrm{Val}^{103}$ but not $\mathrm{PR}_{3}-\mathrm{Val}^{103}$, although Epitope 3 is distal to the three mutations that reside in Epitopes 1 and 5 (Figure 1). This finding indicates that Epitope 3, a mutation-free epitope of $\mathrm{iHm} 5-\mathrm{Val}^{103}$, is latent in $\mathrm{iPR}_{3}-\mathrm{Val}^{103}$ but active in $\mathrm{iHm} 5-\mathrm{Va}^{103}$ for ANCA binding. It also indicates that the latent epitope of $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ can be activated by remote mutations, which is akin to our reported finding that a monoclonal antibody ( $\mathrm{MCPR}_{3-7}$ ) allosterically interfered with the activity of $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ (12).

In this context, we raised a mechanistic question: How can a latent antibody binding site in $\mathrm{iPR}_{3}-$ $\mathrm{Val}^{103}$ be activated by topologically distal mutations in $\mathrm{iHm}_{5}-\mathrm{Val}^{103}$ ? The experimental and computational results described below offer insights into this mechanistic question and open a new perspective on the possible cause and novel therapy of GPA.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

## Materials

Reagents were obtained from Sigma (St. Louis, MO) unless specified otherwise. The human epithelial kidney cell line 293 used for the expression of recombinant $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ mutants was obtained from ATCC (Rockville, MD).
$\mathrm{iPR}_{3}-\mathrm{Val}^{103}$ and $\mathrm{iHm} 5-\mathrm{Val}^{103}$ : The cDNA constructs coding for $\mathrm{iPR}_{3}-\mathrm{Val}^{103}$ and $\mathrm{iHm} 5-\mathrm{Val}^{103}$ and their expression in HEK293 cells were described in detail elsewhere (11, 13). Both mutants carry a carboxyterminal cmyc-peptide extension and a poly-His peptide extension for purification using nickel columns from GE Healthcare (Chicago, IL) and for anchoring in ELISAs as previously described and specified below (11, 13-16).
moANCA518: DNA barcode-enabled sequencing of the antibody repertoire was performed on plasmablasts derived from a $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$-targeting $\mathrm{ANCA}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right.$ - ANCA ) positive patient as described for rheumatoid arthritis and Sjögren syndrome elsewhere (17, 18). Phylograms of the antibody repertoires revealed clonal families of affinity matured antibodies with shared heavy and light chain VJ usage. Twenty-five antibodies were selected for recombinant expression (17) and tested for reactivity with recombinant ANCA antigens (including myeloperoxidase (16), human neutrophil elastase (19-21), $\mathrm{iPR}_{3}-\mathrm{Val}^{103}$, and $\mathrm{iHm}_{5}-\mathrm{Val}^{103}$ ) using the ELISA. One antibody bound $\mathrm{iHm}_{5}-\mathrm{Val}^{103}$ but not $\mathrm{iPR}_{3}-\mathrm{Val}^{103}$ as described in Results and is termed moANCA518, whereas none of the other 24 antibodies bound either of the two $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ antigens or other ANCA antigens.

Epitope-specific anti-PR 3 moAbs: $\mathrm{PR}_{3} \mathrm{G}-2(22)$ was a gift from C.G.M. Kallenberg. WGM ${ }_{2}(11,23)$ was purchased from Hycult Biotech Inc (Wayne, PA). $\operatorname{MCPR}_{3-3}(8,11)$ was made as previously described.

## Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays

ELISAs used for detection of $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$-ANCA were described in detail elsewhere (13, 14, 16). In brief, either purified $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ mutants or culture media supernatants from $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ mutant expressing 293 cell clones diluted in the IRMA buffer ( 0.05 mM Tris-HCl, $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{pH} 7.4$, and $0.1 \%$ bovine serum albumin) were incubated in Pierce ${ }^{\circledR}$ nickel-coated plates from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, CA) for 1 hour at room temperature; control wells were incubated with the IRMA buffer only. The plates were washed three times with Tris-buffered saline (TBS; 20 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}, 500 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{pH}$ 7.5 , and $0.05 \%$ Tween 20) in between steps. The ANCA-containing serum samples were diluted 1:20 in TBS with $0.5 \%$ bovine serum albumin and incubated in the plates with or without the $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ mutants for 1 hour at room temperature. The $\mathrm{PR}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{ANCA}$ complexation was detected after incubation for 1 hour at room temperature with alkaline phosphatase-conjugated goat anti-human $\operatorname{IgG}$ (1:10,000 dilution). P-Nitrophenyl phosphate was used as substrate at a concentration of $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$. The net UV absorbance was obtained by spectrophotometry at 405 nm after 30 minutes of exposure. Similarly, when epitope-specific anti- $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ moAbs were used to immobilize iHm5- $\mathrm{Val}^{103}$ on Maxisorp ${ }^{\circledR}$ plates from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA), complexation of moANCA518 with the antigen was detected after incubation of HRP-conjugated anti-human $\operatorname{IgG}$ antibody (1:250 dilution) for 1 hour at room temperature; $3,3^{\prime}, 5,5^{\prime}$-tetramethylbenzidine (Thermo Fisher Scientific ${ }^{\circledR}$ ) was used as substrate, and the net UV absorbance was obtained by spectrophotometry at 450 nm after 15 minutes of exposure.

## Western blots

Non-reductive, purified $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ mutant proteins were loaded ( $1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{lane}$ ) onto $12 \%$ Tris- HCl gels from BioRad (Hercules, CA). The SDS gel electrophoresis was performed at 180 volts for 35 minutes. The proteins were transferred from gels to nitrocellulose membranes, which were subsequently washed with TBS, blocked for 45 minutes at room temperature with TBS with $0.2 \%$ non-fat dry milk. The membranes were then washed twice with TBS with $0.1 \%$ Tween 20 . Monoclonal antibodies (0.5-1.0
$\mu g / \mathrm{mL}$ ) were incubated on the membranes overnight at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The membranes were then washed twice with TBS with $0.1 \%$ Tween 20 and incubated with goat anti-human or anti-mouse IgG HRP conjugates, diluted to $1: 20,000$, for 20 minutes at room temperature. The membranes were washed again and developed with the Pierce ECL Western Blotting Substrate kit from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA).

## Statistical analysis

SPSS ${ }^{\circledR}$ Statistics for MacOS, version 25 from IBM (Armonk, NY, USA) was used to calculate the means and standard errors of $3-5$ repeat experiments and to compare the means between groups with the two-tailed paired $t$-test.

## Initial conformations of $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ variants

The initial conformation of $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$-Ile ${ }^{103}$ (residues 16-239; truncated for atomic charge neutrality) was taken from the crystal structure of $\mathrm{PR}_{3}(24)$. The initial conformations of the corresponding $\mathrm{PR}_{3}-\mathrm{Val}^{103}$ and $\mathrm{PR}_{3}-\mathrm{Val}^{103}$ (residues $16-239$ ) were taken from the initial $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$-Ile ${ }^{103}$ conformation with mutations of Ile103Val alone and Ile103Val together with Ser195Ala, respectively. The initial conformation of iHm5$\mathrm{Val}^{103}$ (residues 16-238; truncated for atomic charge neutrality) was taken from the initial $\mathrm{PR}_{3}-\mathrm{Ile}^{103}$ conformation with mutations of Ala146Thr, Trp218Arg, Leu223Gln, Ile1o3Val, and Ser195Ala. The crystallographically determined water molecules with residue identifiers of 246-249, 257-259, 261-263, 268, 270, 274-276, 279, 280, 291, 292, 296, 298, 307, 309, and 317 were included in all four conformations. The AMBER residue names of ASP, GLU, ARG, LYS, HID, and CYX were used for all Asp, Glu, Arg, Lys, His, and Cys residues, respectively. All initial conformations were refined via energy minimization using the SANDER module of AMBER 11 (University of California, San Francisco) and forcefield $\mathrm{FF}_{12} \mathrm{MClm}(25)$ with a dielectric constant of 1.0 , a cutoff of $30.0 \AA$ for nonbonded interactions, and 200 cycles of steepest descent minimization followed by 100 cycles of conjugate gradient minimization.

## Molecular dynamics simulations

Each of the four energy-minimized conformations described above was solvated with 5578 (for iHm5$\mathrm{Val}^{103}$ ) or 5536 (for all other variants) $\mathrm{TIP}_{3} \mathrm{P}(26)$ water molecules (using "solvatebox $\mathrm{PR}_{3} \mathrm{TIP}_{3} \mathrm{BOX}$ $8.2 "$ ) and then energy-minimized for 100 cycles of steepest descent minimization followed by 900 cycles of conjugate gradient minimization using SANDER of AMBER 11 to remove close van der Waals contacts. The initial solvation box size was $58.268 \times 68.409 \times 65.657 \AA^{3}\left(\right.$ for $\left.\mathrm{iHm}_{5}-\mathrm{Val}^{103}\right)$ or $67.337 \times 66.050 \times 58.335 \AA^{3}$ (for all other variants). The resulting system was heated from 5 K to 340 K at a rate of $10 \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{ps}$ under constant temperature and constant volume, then equilibrated for $10^{6}$ timesteps under a constant temperature of 340 K and a constant pressure of 1 atm using the isotropic molecule-based scaling. Finally, zo distinct, independent, unrestricted, unbiased, isobaric-isothermal, 316-ns molecular dynamics (MD) simulations of the equilibrated system with forcefield $\mathrm{FF}_{12} \mathrm{MClm}$ (25) were performed using PMEMD of AMBER 11 with a periodic boundary condition at 340 K and 1 atm . The 20 unique seed numbers for initial velocities of the 20 simulations were taken from Ref. (27). All simulations used (i) a dielectric constant of 1.0 , (ii) the Berendsen coupling algorithm (28), (iii) the particle mesh Ewald method to calculate electrostatic interactions of two atoms at a separation of $>8 \AA(29)$, (iv) $\Delta t=1.00$ fs of the standard-mass time (25), (v) the SHAKE-bondlength constraint applied to all bonds involving hydrogen, $(v i)$ a protocol to save the image closest to the middle of the "primary box" to the restart and trajectory files, (vii) a formatted restart file, (viii) the revised alkali and halide ion parameters (30), (ix) a cutoff of $8.0 \AA$ for nonbonded interactions, $(x)$ a uniform 10 -fold reduction in the atomic masses of the entire simulation system (both solute and solvent), and (xi) default values of all other inputs of the PMEMD module. The forcefield parameters of $\mathrm{FF}_{12} \mathrm{MClm}$ are available in the Supporting Information of Ref. (31). All simulations were performed on a cluster of 100 12-core Apple Mac Pros with Intel Westmere (2.40/2.93 GHz).

## Alpha carbon B-factor calculation

In a two-step procedure using PTRAJ of AmberTools 1.5, the B-factors of alpha carbon ( $\mathrm{C} \boldsymbol{\alpha})$ atoms in $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ were calculated from all conformations saved at every $10^{3}$ timesteps during 20 simulations of the protein using the simulation conditions described above except that $(i)$ the atomic masses of the entire simulation system (both solute and solvent) were uniformly increased by 100 -fold relative to the standard atomic masses, (ii) the simulation temperature was lowered to 300 K , and (iii) the simulation time was reduced to 500 ps . The first step was to align all saved conformations onto the first saved conformation to obtain an average conformation using the root mean square fit of all $\mathrm{C} \alpha$ atoms. The second step was to perform root mean square fitting of all $\mathrm{C} \alpha$ atoms in all saved conformations onto the corresponding atoms of the average conformation. The $\mathrm{C} \alpha \mathrm{B}$-factors were then calculated using the "atomicfluct" command in PTRAJ. For each protein, the calculated B-factor of any atom in Table $S_{2}$ was the mean of all B-factors of the atom derived from 20 simulations of the protein. The standard error (SE) of a B-factor was calculated according to Eq. 2 of Ref. (32). The SE of the average $\mathrm{C} \alpha$ B-factor of each $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ variant was calculated according to the standard method for propagation of errors of precision (33). The $95 \%$ confidence interval ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ ) of the average $\mathrm{C} \alpha$ B-factor was obtained according to the formula mean $\pm 1.96 \times$ SE because the sample size of each $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ variant exceeded 100 .

## Conformational cluster analysis and root mean square deviation calculation

The conformational cluster analyses were performed using CPPTRAJ of AmberTools 16 with the average-linkage algorithm (34), epsilon of $3.0 \AA$, and root mean square coordinate deviation on all $\mathrm{C} \alpha$ atoms of the proteins (Table $\mathbf{S i}_{\mathbf{1}}$ ). C $\alpha$ root mean square deviations ( $\mathrm{C} \alpha \mathrm{RMSDs}$ ) were manually calculated using ProFit V2.6 (http://www.bioinf.org.uk/software/profit/). The first unit of the crystal structure of the $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ tetramer and the average conformation (without energy minimization) of the most populated cluster were used for the CaRMSD calculation.

## RESULTS

In characterizing moAbs identified and cloned from B cells in patients with GPA, we found that one of these, moANCA518, bound to $\mathrm{iHm}_{5}-\mathrm{Val}^{103}$ but not $\mathrm{iPR}_{3}-\mathrm{Val}^{103}$ (Figure $\mathbf{2 A}$ ) according to the ELISA using $\mathrm{iHm} 5-\mathrm{Val}^{103}$ and $\mathrm{PRR}_{3}-\mathrm{Val}^{103}$ both of which contain a C-terminal poly-His tag for anchoring the antigens without perturbing the folded conformations of the antigens and blocking the epitopes of the antigens (13). Further, the binding of moANCA518 to $\mathrm{iHm}_{5}-\mathrm{Val}^{103}$ was dose dependent (Figure 2A) and confirmed by the Western blot under non-reducing conditions (Figure $\mathbf{S i}_{\mathbf{1}}$ ) as well as by ELISAs using untagged $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ variants (data not shown). This serendipitous finding prompted us to investigate how the triple chimeric mutations in $\mathrm{iHm} 5-\mathrm{Val}^{103}$ changed the conformation of $\mathrm{iPR}_{3}-\mathrm{Val}^{103}$ and consequently the antigenicity to moANCA518.

Accordingly, we developed computer models of $\mathrm{PR}_{3}-\mathrm{Val}^{103}, \mathrm{iPR}_{3}-\mathrm{Val}^{103}$, and $\mathrm{iHm} 5-\mathrm{Val}^{103}$ to understand how mutations of these variants affect the ANCA-binding capabilities of the four reported epitopes of $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ (11). These models were derived from MD simulations using our published forcefield and simulation protocol (25), which reportedly folded fast-folding proteins in isobaric-isothermal MD simulations to achieve agreements between simulated and experimental folding times within factors of $0.69-1.75$ (35) and are hence suitable for predicting in vivo conformations of $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ and its variants. The initial conformations of the three variants used in these simulations were derived from the $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ Ile ${ }^{103}$ crystal structure (24) because experimentally determined structures of these variants have been unavailable to date. Although local differences in main-chain conformations of two surface loops between iHm5- $\mathrm{Val}^{103}$ and $\mathrm{PR}_{3}-\mathrm{Val}^{103}$ (or between $\mathrm{iHm}_{5}-\mathrm{Val}^{103}$ and $\mathrm{iPR}_{3}-\mathrm{Val}^{103}$ ) were observed (Figure $\mathbf{S}_{2}$ ), the overall conformations of the three variants resembled one another according to the $\mathrm{C} \alpha$ root mean square deviations of $\leq 1.63 \AA$ (Table Sı). Given these conformational properties, we could not determine how mutations of these variants affect the ANCA-binding capabilities of the $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ epitopes, primarily because these surface loops are highly flexible and lack the time dimension that is required for immunological function analysis (36).

To take the time dimension into account, we turned our attention to the dynamic properties of the $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ variants. It is well-known that a folded protein is fluid-like with fluctuations in atomic position on the picosecond timescale and that the dynamics of these atomic displacements are dominated by collisions with neighboring atoms involving reorientation of side chains or localized portions of the backbone (37). Two seminal studies have also shown that the crystallographically determined high Bfactors of a protein fragment are linked to the antigenicity of the fragment $(38,39)$. This link indicates that the crystallographically determined B-factor-defined as $8 \pi^{2}\left\langle u^{2}\right\rangle$ to reflect the displacement $u$ of the atom from its mean position, thermal motions, local mobility, or the uncertainty of the atomic mean position (40-48) - can be used to aid the identification and characterization of epitopes.

However, the crystallographically determined B-factor of an atom reflects not only the thermal motion or local mobility of the atom but also conformational and static lattice disorders of the atom, and even the refinement error in determining the mean position of the atom $(43,45,47,49)$. Therefore, using crystallographically determined B-factors to investigate epitopes requires the comparison of B-factors of different crystal structures of the same protein, which are in different space groups and obtained with different refinement procedures at different resolutions, in order to identify the B-factors that reflect the local mobility of the protein (49).

This requirement can be avoided by using simulated B-factors derived from MD simulations on a picosecond timescale because simulated B-factors are devoid of refinement errors and conformational and static lattice disorders. In addition, local motions, such as those of backbone N-H bonds, occur on the order of tens or hundreds of picoseconds (50).

In this context, we calculated the $\mathrm{C} \alpha \mathrm{B}$-factors of $\mathrm{PR}_{3}-\mathrm{Val}^{103}, \mathrm{iPR}_{3}-\mathrm{Val}^{103}$, and $\mathrm{iHm}_{5}-\mathrm{Val}^{103}$ from MD simulations on a $50-\mathrm{ps}$ timescale using our published forcefield (25) and method (51). The mean $\mathrm{C} \alpha$ B-factors of $\mathrm{PR}_{3}-\mathrm{Val}^{\mathrm{lo3}}, \mathrm{iPR}_{3}-\mathrm{Val}^{103}$, and iHm5- $\mathrm{Val}^{103}$ were $6.84 \AA^{2}\left(95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 6.75-6.94 \AA^{2}\right), 6.91 \AA^{2}(95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : 6.82-7.00 $\AA^{2}$ ), and $7.13 \AA^{2}$ ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 7.03-7.24 \AA^{2}$ ), respectively. Given these findings, we concluded that any surface loop is highly mobile and hence potentially antigenic if the mean $\mathrm{C} \alpha \mathrm{B}$-factor of the loop was $>9.00 \AA^{2}$. This conservative cutoff of $9.00 \AA^{2}$ was based on the mean $\mathrm{C} \alpha$ B-factors of all $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$
variants used in this study $\left(6.84,6.91\right.$, and $\left.7.13 \AA^{2}\right)$. According to this criterion, $\mathrm{PR}_{3}-\mathrm{Val}^{103}$ has 10 potentially antigenic surface loops, and $\mathrm{iPR}_{3}-\mathrm{Val}^{103}$ and $\mathrm{iHm} 5-\mathrm{Val}^{103}$ have 11 each (Figure 3). Consistent with the two seminal reports $\left(3^{8}, 39\right)$, all of these potentially antigenic loops identified a priori by using simulated B-factors fall within all four known epitopes of $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ (11), demonstrating a clear association between a loop with a high mean simulated $\mathrm{C} \alpha \mathrm{B}$-factor and the experimentally determined antigenicity of the loop.

Further, we found that the Ser195Ala mutation caused no significant reduction in the mean $\mathrm{C} \alpha$ B-factor of any of the 10 potentially antigenic surface loops in $\mathrm{PR}_{3}-\mathrm{Val}^{103}$ (Figure ${ }_{3} \mathrm{~A}$ ). This finding implies that the Ser195Ala mutation does not impair the ANCA-binding capability of any of the four epitopes of $\mathrm{PR}_{3}-\mathrm{Val}^{103}$, and it explains our reported observation that $\mathrm{iPR}_{3}-\mathrm{Val}^{103}$ recognizes as many ANCA serum samples as $\mathrm{PR}_{3}-\mathrm{Val}^{103}$ does (8).

We also found the mean $\mathrm{C} \alpha \mathrm{B}$-factors of Loop 3 B in $\mathrm{iPR}_{3}$ - $\mathrm{Val}^{103}$ (possessing Ala146, Trp218, and Leu223) and iHm5-Val ${ }^{103}$ (possessing Thr146, $\operatorname{Arg} 218$, and Gln223) to be $6.9 \AA^{2}\left(95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 6.8-7.0 \AA^{2}\right)$ and $12.8 \AA^{2}\left(95 \%\right.$ CI: $12.3-13.2 \AA^{2}$ ), respectively (Figure ${ }_{3} \mathbf{B}$ ). According to the afore-described antigenicity criterion of $9.00 \AA^{2}$, these means suggest that the three chimeric mutations make Loop $3_{3} B$ (a mutation-free loop) more mobile in $\mathrm{iHm} 5-\mathrm{Val}^{103}$, despite large separations between Epitope 3 of $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ and the chimeric mutation sites $(\sim 32 \AA, \sim 32 \AA$, and $\sim 31 \AA$ from the $C \alpha$ atom of Gln122 in Epitope 3 to the C $\alpha$ atoms of Ala146, Trp218, and Leu223, respectively, at the chimeric mutation sites). Therefore, Epitope 3 of iHm5-Val ${ }^{103}$ could bind ANCAs, whereas the ANCA-binding capability of Epitope 3 of $\mathrm{iPR}_{3}-\mathrm{Val}^{103}$ would be rather limited.

We subsequently repeated the afore-described ELISAs in the presence of epitope-specific moAbs that target either Epitope 1 or 3 of $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$. Consistently, we found that $\mathrm{PR}_{3} \mathrm{G}-2$ that targets Epitope 1 of $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ (22) did not affect the binding of moANCA518 to iHm5-Val ${ }^{103}$, whereas $\mathrm{MCPR}_{3-3}$ and $\mathrm{WGM}_{2}$, both of which recognize Epitope 3 of $\mathrm{PR}_{3}(11)$, reduced and abolished the moANCA518 binding ( $p<$ o.o1; Figure 2B), respectively. We also confirmed the binding of moANCA518 primarily to Epitope 3
of $\mathrm{iHm} 5-\mathrm{Val}^{103}$ using Fabs from epitope-specific moAbs that target Epitope 2 or 5 of $\mathrm{PR}_{3}(8,11,12)$ (data not shown).

## DISCUSSION

In view of the data above, we suggest a new mechanism for epitope activation of $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ : Remote mutations can increase the local mobility (i.e., main-chain flexibility) of a latent epitope of $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$, which facilitates the conformational adaptation required for antibody binding and thereby activate the latent epitope. In the same vein, it is plausible that the binding of $\mathrm{MCPR}_{3-7}$ to $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ modulates the mainchain flexibility of a topographically remote site of $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$, which contributes to the reported allosteric inhibition of $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ by $\mathrm{MCPR}_{3-7}$ (12). This type of exquisite epitope activation or inhibition-achieved either in vitro by remote mutations as we demonstrated or in vivo conceivably by remote protein•protein interactions or remote polymorphisms - may be a fundamental feature of GPA.

It is worth noting that identifying $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ mutations in patients with GPA that can increase the Epitope 3 mobility is not an easy task because other factors such as remote protein•protein interactions can also increase the latent epitope mobility in vivo, namely, it is challenging to identify the cause of the latent epitope activation in vivo. Nevertheless, knowing the increased mobility of Epitope 3 of $\mathrm{iHm}_{5}-\mathrm{Val}^{103}$ responsible for its binding to $\mathrm{moANCA}_{518}$ alone may have implications for the development of novel treatments of GPA that aim to disrupt the pathogenic autoantibody•autoantigen interactions in GPA by reducing the mobility of epitopes targeted by PR3-ANCAs.
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## FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Front and back views of $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ depicting its four known epitopes, each comprising multiple surface loops with high Ca B-factors derived from simulations. LiA: Loop ıA of residues $36-38 \mathrm{C}$; $\mathrm{LıB}$ : Loop 1 B of residues $145-151$; LIC : Loop ${ }_{1} \mathrm{C}$ of residues 75-79; L3A: Loop 3A of residues 110-117; $\mathrm{L}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ : Loop 3B of residues 124-133; L3C: Loop 3 C of residues 202-204; L4A: Loop 4A of residues 59-63C; L4B: Loop 4B of residues 92-99; L5A: Loop 5A of residues 165-178; L5B: Loop 5B of residues 186-187; L5C: Loop 5C of residues 192-194; and L5D: Loop 5D of residues 219-224; wherein the residue numbering here is identical to that of the $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ crystal structure (PDB ID: 1 FUJ).

Figure 2. Selective binding of moANCA518 to Epitope 3 of iHm5-Val ${ }^{103}$. A. Dilution curves show dose-dependent binding of moANCA518 to iHm5-Val ${ }^{103}$ (solid line) but not $\mathrm{iPR}_{3}-\mathrm{Val}^{103}$ (dashed line) in the ELISA using an antigen whose C-terminal poly-His tag is anchored at the plate. The culture media supernatants from $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ mutant expressing 293 cells were used in the ELISA. B. Epitope-specific anti-PR 3 moAbs $\mathrm{PR}_{3} \mathrm{G}-2, \mathrm{MCPR}_{3}-3$, and $\mathrm{WGM}_{2}$ ( 2,4 , and $4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, respectively), which were coated to the plate and used to capture
iHms- $\mathrm{Val}^{103}$ in the ELISA, show Epitope 3 of $\mathrm{iHm}_{5}-\mathrm{Val}^{103}$ as a major target site by the primary antibody moANCA518 ( $1.0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ). The purified $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ mutants were used in the ELISA.

Figure 3. Simulated $\mathrm{C} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ B-factors of $\mathrm{PR}_{3}-\mathrm{Val}^{103}, \mathrm{iPR}_{3}-\mathrm{Val}^{103}$, and $\mathrm{iHm} 5-\mathrm{Val}^{103}$. The simulated mean $\mathrm{C} \alpha \mathrm{B}$-factors of $\mathrm{PR}_{3}-\mathrm{Val}^{103}$, $\mathrm{iPR}_{3}-\mathrm{Val}^{103}$, and iHm5-Val ${ }^{103}$ are $6.84 \AA^{2}(95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 6.75-6.94$ $\AA^{2}$; labeled as avg- $\mathrm{PR}_{3}-\mathrm{Val}^{103}$ ), $6.91 \AA^{2}\left(95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 6.82-7.00 \AA^{2}\right.$; labeled as avg- $-\mathrm{PR}_{3}-\mathrm{Va}^{103}$ ), and $7.13 \AA^{2}\left(95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 7.03-7.24 \AA^{2}\right.$; labeled as avg-iHm5-Val ${ }^{\text {lo3 }}$ ), respectively, wherein $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ is the abbreviation of $95 \%$ confidence interval. The simulated C $\alpha$ B-factors were plotted
 structure numbering is discontinuous. Therefore, the following loop residues are defined using the $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ crystal structure numbering followed by the NCBI $\mathrm{P}_{24158.3}$ numbering in parenthesis. LiA: Loop 1 A of residues 36-38C(48-52); LiB: Loop 1 B of residues 145-151(161166); $\mathrm{LıC}$ : Loop 1 C of residues 75-79(92-96); L3A: Loop 3A of residues 110-117(126-133); L3 B: Loop $3_{3} B$ of residues 124-133(140-149); L3C: Loop 3C of residues 202-204(210-212); $L_{4} A$ : Loop 4 A of residues $59-63 \mathrm{C}(73-80)$; $\mathrm{L}_{4} \mathrm{~B}$ : Loop 4 B of residues $92-99(108-115)$; L5A: Loop 5A of residues 165-178(180-184); L5B: Loop 5B of residues 186-187(192-195); L5C: Loop 5 C of residues 192-194(200-202); L5D: Loop 5D of residues 219-224(223-229).
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Figure 1


Figure 2

A Binding of moANCA518 to PR3 mutants anchored via C-term. His tag


B Binding of moANCA518 to iHm5-Val ${ }^{103}$ anchored via Epitope 1 or 3


Figure 3


Table Sı. Alpha carbon root mean square deviations $(\mathbf{A})$ among different $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ variants

|  | Xray-PR3-Ile ${ }^{103}$ | Comp-PR3-Ile ${ }^{103}$ | Comp-PR3-Val ${ }^{103}$ | Com-iHm5-Val ${ }^{103}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Com-PR3-Ile ${ }^{103}$ | 1.67 | 0 | 0.62 | 1.63 |
| Com-PR3-Val ${ }^{103}$ | 1.90 | 0.62 | 0 | 1.56 |
| Com-iPR3-Val ${ }^{103}$ | 1.75 | 0.59 | 0.45 | 1.41 |
| Com-iHm5-Val ${ }^{103}$ | 2.34 | 1.63 | 1.56 | 0 |

Table S2. Alpha carbon B-factors of three $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ variants

| residue $I^{\text {a }}$ | PR3-Val ${ }^{119}$ |  | iPR3-Val ${ }^{119}$ |  | iHm5-Val ${ }^{119}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | mean ( $\mathrm{n}=20$ ) | SEM ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | mean ( $\mathrm{n}=20$ ) | SEM ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | mean ( $\mathrm{n}=20$ ) | SEM ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 28 | 3.56 | 0.31 | 5.60 | 0.44 | 4.79 | 0.31 |
| 29 | 3.93 | 0.31 | 5.40 | 0.31 | 5.39 | 0.42 |
| 30 | 7.28 | 0.80 | 8.64 | 0.58 | 7.62 | 0.49 |
| 31 | 6.34 | 0.39 | 8.77 | 1.01 | 5.92 | 0.38 |
| 32 | 6.20 | 0.41 | 6.97 | 0.45 | 5.84 | 0.34 |
| 33 | 5.39 | 0.24 | 6.79 | 0.64 | 4.65 | 0.28 |
| 34 | 5.72 | 0.29 | 6.57 | 0.53 | 4.91 | 0.25 |
| 35 | 8.46 | 0.85 | 9.14 | 1.03 | 7.17 | 0.56 |
| 36 | 9.37 | 0.83 | 8.96 | 0.46 | 7.22 | 0.49 |
| 37 | 7.06 | 0.67 | 6.86 | 0.32 | 6.35 | 0.33 |
| 38 | 6.24 | 0.43 | 5.79 | 0.63 | 8.11 | 0.47 |
| 39 | 6.44 | 0.44 | 6.33 | 0.44 | 5.83 | 0.41 |
| 40 | 7.26 | 0.84 | 6.17 | 0.73 | 4.83 | 0.31 |
| 41 | 3.94 | 0.18 | 3.46 | 0.15 | 3.17 | 0.15 |
| 42 | 4.17 | 0.27 | 4.21 | 0.37 | 3.73 | 0.13 |
| 43 | 4.52 | 0.23 | 4.30 | 0.22 | 3.29 | 0.12 |
| 44 | 3.72 | 0.17 | 3.62 | 0.13 | 3.16 | 0.18 |
| 45 | 3.39 | 0.13 | 3.40 | 0.14 | 3.59 | 0.19 |
| 46 | 4.41 | 0.22 | 4.16 | 0.16 | 5.08 | 0.29 |
| 47 | 8.26 | 0.57 | 6.51 | 0.27 | 6.90 | 0.38 |
| 48 | 10.26 | 0.67 | 7.96 | 0.40 | 9.97 | 0.49 |
| 49 | 23.33 | 1.73 | 18.49 | 1.40 | 17.91 | 1.18 |
| 50 | 17.78 | 1.86 | 14.51 | 0.97 | 14.97 | 1.03 |
| 51 | 10.48 | 1.01 | 14.52 | 1.23 | 17.56 | 2.29 |
| 52 | 10.62 | 0.67 | 13.58 | 1.51 | 16.14 | 1.72 |
| 53 | 7.79 | 0.46 | 7.08 | 0.46 | 10.28 | 0.87 |
| 54 | 5.53 | 0.32 | 4.99 | 0.19 | 6.03 | 0.27 |
| 55 | 5.30 | 0.31 | 5.39 | 0.30 | 5.25 | 0.34 |
| 56 | 4.61 | 0.26 | 4.59 | 0.30 | 5.24 | 0.35 |
| 57 | 4.93 | 0.37 | 4.10 | 0.16 | 4.67 | 0.30 |
| 58 | 5.33 | 0.22 | 4.54 | 0.30 | 4.84 | 0.22 |
| 59 | 3.50 | 0.14 | 3.33 | 0.11 | 3.04 | 0.14 |
| 60 | 2.61 | 0.10 | 2.45 | 0.07 | 2.34 | 0.08 |
| 61 | 3.52 | 0.15 | 3.39 | 0.09 | 3.60 | 0.15 |
| 62 | 4.36 | 0.18 | 4.29 | 0.15 | 4.49 | 0.19 |
| 63 | 5.70 | 0.31 | 5.46 | 0.15 | 5.26 | 0.26 |
| 64 | 5.85 | 0.43 | 5.12 | 0.22 | 3.62 | 0.15 |
| 65 | 3.25 | 0.10 | 3.09 | 0.08 | 2.86 | 0.09 |
| 66 | 2.83 | 0.08 | 2.68 | 0.06 | 2.54 | 0.07 |
| 67 | 2.94 | 0.11 | 2.86 | 0.07 | 3.02 | 0.13 |
| 68 | 3.36 | 0.13 | 3.31 | 0.13 | 2.94 | 0.09 |
| 69 | 3.92 | 0.20 | 3.99 | 0.22 | 3.62 | 0.14 |
| 70 | 3.49 | 0.13 | 3.76 | 0.20 | 3.31 | 0.12 |
| 71 | 4.29 | 0.17 | 4.57 | 0.25 | 4.12 | 0.17 |
| 72 | 6.95 | 0.40 | 7.28 | 0.60 | 5.89 | 0.25 |


| 73 | 7.06 | 0.46 | 8.78 | 0.88 | 5.71 | 0.32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 74 | 11.84 | 1.54 | 13.07 | 1.37 | 9.68 | 0.71 |
| 75 | 19.26 | 3.66 | 13.92 | 1.17 | 12.93 | 1.61 |
| 76 | 16.13 | 2.05 | 10.37 | 0.83 | 9.06 | 0.67 |
| 77 | 13.62 | 0.92 | 10.58 | 0.68 | 9.46 | 0.52 |
| 78 | 12.19 | 1.25 | 11.60 | 1.10 | 11.22 | 0.81 |
| 79 | 12.90 | 1.20 | 11.60 | 1.30 | 11.54 | 0.90 |
| 80 | 9.70 | 0.49 | 9.29 | 0.86 | 8.66 | 0.64 |
| 81 | 7.88 | 0.44 | 6.25 | 0.27 | 5.40 | 0.29 |
| 82 | 5.56 | 0.31 | 5.62 | 0.29 | 4.25 | 0.22 |
| 83 | 3.76 | 0.12 | 3.61 | 0.11 | 3.18 | 0.13 |
| 84 | 3.26 | 0.15 | 3.07 | 0.10 | 2.95 | 0.15 |
| 85 | 3.69 | 0.26 | 3.44 | 0.16 | 3.09 | 0.16 |
| 86 | 5.63 | 0.58 | 4.26 | 0.24 | 4.90 | 0.25 |
| 87 | 5.91 | 0.76 | 5.67 | 0.98 | 5.28 | 0.40 |
| 88 | 4.29 | 0.26 | 4.06 | 0.17 | 4.78 | 0.20 |
| 89 | 3.86 | 0.15 | 4.03 | 0.15 | 4.57 | 0.23 |
| 90 | 4.58 | 0.22 | 4.95 | 0.28 | 5.02 | 0.27 |
| 91 | 7.12 | 0.41 | 7.07 | 0.47 | 8.71 | 0.70 |
| 92 | 8.36 | 0.47 | 9.57 | 0.72 | 12.59 | 0.85 |
| 93 | 7.85 | 0.55 | 8.27 | 0.50 | 13.56 | 1.13 |
| 94 | 9.76 | 0.68 | 8.41 | 0.46 | 7.92 | 0.54 |
| 95 | 14.44 | 1.18 | 11.30 | 0.57 | 12.23 | 1.80 |
| 96 | 10.63 | 1.08 | 7.91 | 0.33 | 7.02 | 0.63 |
| 97 | 5.87 | 0.48 | 4.82 | 0.17 | 7.95 | 1.01 |
| 98 | 5.29 | 0.32 | 5.04 | 0.30 | 4.68 | 0.31 |
| 99 | 5.57 | 0.27 | 5.78 | 0.32 | 4.39 | 0.25 |
| 100 | 4.80 | 0.20 | 4.76 | 0.20 | 3.96 | 0.14 |
| 101 | 6.34 | 0.30 | 4.95 | 0.19 | 5.82 | 0.29 |
| 102 | 5.86 | 0.26 | 5.37 | 0.18 | 5.91 | 0.24 |
| 103 | 6.57 | 0.24 | 7.39 | 0.49 | 7.53 | 0.35 |
| 104 | 6.49 | 0.42 | 6.67 | 0.39 | 7.42 | 0.34 |
| 105 | 5.91 | 0.33 | 5.47 | 0.23 | 6.26 | 0.33 |
| 106 | 5.23 | 0.22 | 5.35 | 0.25 | 5.16 | 0.26 |
| 107 | 6.98 | 0.44 | 7.73 | 0.59 | 7.05 | 0.67 |
| 108 | 8.72 | 0.59 | 7.81 | 0.71 | 11.90 | 1.93 |
| 109 | 11.35 | 0.92 | 11.15 | 0.94 | 13.49 | 1.65 |
| 110 | 8.06 | 0.56 | 7.27 | 0.48 | 8.06 | 0.48 |
| 111 | 8.89 | 0.68 | 8.23 | 0.55 | 8.43 | 0.56 |
| 112 | 13.91 | 1.28 | 12.71 | 0.76 | 11.24 | 0.80 |
| 113 | 16.56 | 1.98 | 17.66 | 1.51 | 13.15 | 1.30 |
| 114 | 12.60 | 1.61 | 12.03 | 0.94 | 9.89 | 0.91 |
| 115 | 8.34 | 0.71 | 6.99 | 0.48 | 6.01 | 0.54 |
| 116 | 6.25 | 0.41 | 5.03 | 0.22 | 5.02 | 0.30 |
| 117 | 4.50 | 0.18 | 4.52 | 0.20 | 3.82 | 0.23 |
| 118 | 3.23 | 0.11 | 3.47 | 0.18 | 2.89 | 0.09 |
| 119 | 3.66 | 0.14 | 3.93 | 0.22 | 3.67 | 0.14 |
| 120 | 3.36 | 0.10 | 3.67 | 0.16 | 3.73 | 0.13 |
| 121 | 3.22 | 0.09 | 3.41 | 0.10 | 3.09 | 0.09 |
| 122 | 3.47 | 0.13 | 3.70 | 0.11 | 3.46 | 0.13 |
| 123 | 4.15 | 0.14 | 4.20 | 0.12 | 3.59 | 0.13 |
| 124 | 5.12 | 0.15 | 4.80 | 0.19 | 3.90 | 0.18 |
| 125 | 8.16 | 0.50 | 6.83 | 0.39 | 6.39 | 0.22 |
| 126 | 10.38 | 0.70 | 10.26 | 0.62 | 7.87 | 0.49 |
| 127 | 9.01 | 0.69 | 9.11 | 0.45 | 7.21 | 0.35 |
| 128 | 8.03 | 0.47 | 7.94 | 0.52 | 5.29 | 0.33 |
| 129 | 10.87 | 1.10 | 9.77 | 0.91 | 7.57 | 1.58 |
| 130 | 9.92 | 0.95 | 8.53 | 0.37 | 9.28 | 0.75 |
| 131 | 22.48 | 2.73 | 17.61 | 1.38 | 16.09 | 2.31 |
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${ }^{a}$ The residue numbering here is identical to that of the human $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ sequence (NCBI $\mathrm{P}_{24158.3}$ ).
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ SEM: Standard error of the mean.

Figure Sı. Western blots. B.ı. Comparable binding of the murine anti-c-myc moAb ( $1.0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) to the C-terminal cmyc-tag of the two antigens. B.2. Binding of moANCA518 ( $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) to iHms-Val ${ }^{103}$ only.

Western Blot


Figure $S_{2}$. Superimposed computer models of three $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ variants. The backbone conformations in cross-eye stereo view show their close similarity and local differences in two loops.

These loops are labeled as $\mathrm{L}_{3} \mathrm{~A}$ for residues 110-117 and $\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{D}$ for residues 219-224.


