Dispersal limitation and stage-specific local filtering processes vary with climate across a network of

alpine grasslands

John Guittar[1*], Deborah Goldberg[2], Kari Klanderud[3], Astrid Berge[4], Marta Ramírez Boixaderas[5], Eric

Meineri[6], Joachim Töpper[7,8], and Vigdis Vandvik[8,9]

[1]Kellogg Biological Station, Michigan State University, 3700 E Gull Lake Dr., Hickory Corners, MI 49060

[2]Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109 USA

[3]Faculty of Environmental Sciences and Natural Resource Management, Norwegian University of Life

Sciences, P.O. Box 5003, N-1432 Ås, Norway

[4] Species and Water Management Department, Norwegian Environment Agency, P.O. Box 5672

Torgarden, 7485 Trondheim

[5]CREAF, E08193 Bellaterra (Cerdanyola del Vallès), Catalonia, Spain

[6]Aix Marseille University, University of Avignon, CNRS, IRD, IMBE Marseille, France

[7]Norwegian Institute for Nature Research, Thormøhlensgate 55, N-5008 Bergen, Norway

[8]Department of Biological Sciences, University of Bergen, P.O. Box 7803, N-5020 Bergen, Norway

[9]Bjerknes Centre for Climate Research, University of Bergen, P.O. Box 7803, N-5020 Bergen, Norway

[*]Correspondence author: E-mail: guittarj@msu.edu

ABSTRACT

Dispersal dynamics and local filtering processes interact to generate regional vegetation patterns, but

data on their relative importance in nature is scarce. Here, we compare seed and adult plant

communities at twelve grassland sites with different climates in southern Norway to explore the degree

to which community membership is shaped by dispersal limitation and local niche-based filtering, and

how this varies with climate. To do this, we divide the species at each site into two groups: "locally-

transient" species, which occur as seeds but are rare or absent as adults (i.e., they arrive but are filtered

out), or "locally-persistent" species, which occur consistently as adults in annual vegetation surveys. We

then ask four questions aimed to reveal where, when, why, and how locally-transient species are

disfavored during community assembly. Overall, our results point to four main conclusions: (1) the

influence of local filtering on community membership increases with temperature, (2) surprisingly, local

filtering is stronger during seedling emergence than during seedling establishment, (3) climate-based

niche differences drive differential performance among species, especially for seeds dispersing outside

of their realized climate niches into colder and drier climates, and (4) locally-transient species have traits

which may make them better dispersers (taller statures, smaller seeds) but poorer competitors for light

(less persistent clonal connections) than locally-persistent species, which could explain their arrival to

new sites and subsequent failure to establish persistent adult populations. Our study is one of the first

to combine seed, seedling, and adult survey data across multiple sites with different climates to provide

an empirically-grounded investigation into the influence of dispersal limitation and local filtering on the

generation and maintenance of climate-associated vegetation patterns.

Keywords: Alpine grasslands, climate change, community assembly, dispersal dynamics, range

expansion, seedling survival, metacommunities, species sorting.

INTRODUCTION

Community membership necessarily arises through an interaction of regional dispersal dynamics

and local filtering processes (Cottenie 2005, Gravel et al. 2006, Alexander et al. 2018). That is, a species

will persist at a site only if it is locally competitive or if it arrives in high enough numbers to offset any

competitive disadvantage (Leibold et al. 2004). While both dispersal limitation and niche-based

performance differences can each preclude a species from colonizing a given site, their relative

importance, and how their relative importance differs across species and communities, remains poorly

studied from an empirical standpoint. This presents a challenge when trying to model species and

community responses to disturbances like climate change, because populations with different dispersal

abilities and/or different environmental constraints to expansion can have dramatically different

responses (Graae et al. 2017).

While much work has evaluated performance differences among species in the field, it is

unfortunately rare to also incorporate data on seed dispersal and dispersal patterns. The reasons for this

are largely logistical: plant propagules are often tiny, numerous, difficult to identify, capable of traveling

great distances, and can remain dormant in the soil for years prior to germination (Baskin and Baskin

1998, Vandvik et al. 2016). Some have sidestepped these difficulties by inferring dispersal patterns

among communities using indirect methods (Alexander et al. 2012). The "nearest-neighbor" approach,

for example, assumes connectivity in a metacommunity to be proportional to inter-patch distance

(Calabrese and Fagan 2004, Jacobson and Peres-Neto 2010). Other approaches infer dispersal limitation

from historical population shifts over periods of climate change (Kelly and Goulden 2008, Bertrand et al.

2011) or by analyzing population genetic structure. Such indirect methods are useful when modeling

species distributions from a phenomenological standpoint, but fall far short of evaluating whether

particular species arrive or not to a given site (Calabrese and Fagan 2004). The small number of studies

that have directly assessed dispersal patterns, either by manually marking seeds (e.g., Xiao et al. 2006)

or connecting seeds to parents using parentage analyses (e.g., Cain et al. 2000), are often conducted at

single sites and/or over short distances, and are thus of limited use when considering potential

responses of dozens of species at regional scales (Nathan and Muller-Landau 2000).

Here, we compare the compositions of seed rain, seed bank, seedling, and adult plant

communities at sites along temperature and precipitation gradients to shed light on how seed dispersal

and local filtering interact to govern community membership and maintain regional vegetation patterns.

To do this, we divide the species at each site into two groups: "locally-transient" species, which occur as

seeds but are rare or absent as adults, or "locally-persistent" species, which occur consistently as adults

in annual vegetation surveys. We also use our adult vegetation surveys to infer which locally-transient

species are outside of their realized climate niches (i.e., have dispersed outside of the range of climates

where we know they persist as adults), and how their realized climate niches compare to local climate

conditions (i.e., if they have dispersed into warmer/wetter/cooler/drier climates). We then ask a series

of questions to elucidate where, when, why, and how locally-transient species are selectively removed

during community assembly.

We conduct our study in a network of 12 alpine and subalpine grassland sites in southern

Norway, a region with unusually high spatial climate variability. The sites were selected according to

their mean summer temperatures and mean annual rainfalls such that they form an orthogonal climate

grid, facilitating independent assessment of these two important climate drivers in community

assembly. Prior work used subsets of data from this study to compare diversity patterns in the seed

bank and mature vegetation (Vandvik et al. 2016), to understand how trait-based community

composition varies with climate (Guittar et al. 2016), and to evaluate the relative balance of competition

and facilitation in seedling recruitment (Klanderud et al. 2017). We combine these previously published

data with new data on seed rain and seedling survival to ask how interrelated ecological processes

interactively govern community membership and regional vegetation patterns. We ask the following

specific questions:

1.   How many locally-transient species occur as seeds at sites but lack locally-persistent adult

93   populations, reflecting the degree to which local filtering shapes community membership?

94   Does the strength of local filtering vary with climate?

2.   At what life stage(s) are locally-transient species selectively disfavored? Do they lack locally-

96   persistent adult populations because they fail to emerge as seedlings, fail to establish, fail to

97   compete as adults, or a combination of the three?

3.   Are locally-transient species disfavored because they have dispersed outside of their

99   realized climate niches into particular climates, providing evidence for the role of climate-

100   based niche filtering?

4.   How are locally-transient species selectively disfavored? Do they differ systematically from

locally-persistent species in their functional traits, offering mechanistic hypotheses for their

selective removal?

Because our sites are located in a climate grid, we conclude by discussing the implications of our results

for how these mountain grasslands will respond to climate change.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area. The study area comprises 12 semi-natural calcareous grassland sites in southern Norway

that host at least 144 non-woody vascular plant species at the adult life stage, and at least 126 at the

seed stage (Table S1). Sites have similar bedrock, land use histories, slopes of approximately 20°, and

southwest aspects, but differ in mean summer temperature, defined as the mean temperature of the

four warmest months per year, and/or mean annual precipitation, such that they form a grid with

approximately orthogonal climate axes (Fig. 1). Interannual variation in mean summer temperature and

annual precipitation at each site over the sampling period (2008 – 2013) was modest, with standard

deviations of 0.79 °C and 238 mm, respectively, on average across sites. Each site has five blocks, placed

in representative areas of grassland vegetation within a 75 – 200 m^2 area that varies due to local

topography and accessibility. Each block has a variable number of 25 x 25 cm plots used for the data sets

described below, as well as other experiments and surveys. Blocks were protected from grazers with

electric fences and manually mowed once a year to evenly simulate biomass loss due to grazing.

Taxonomic identifications follow Lid & Lid (2007). Woody species and bryophytes were excluded from all

analyses.

Seed rain data. We collected seed rain over two periods to target winter (September 2009 to June 2010)

and summer (June 2010 to September 2010) seed deposition. We trapped seeds in 25 x 25 cm artificial

turfs placed in gaps about 50 cm from seedling monitoring gaps (see below) in four blocks at each site,

for a total of 48 traps across all sites. The small synthetic filaments in artificial turfs effectively catch and

retain small particles like seeds. Turfs were gathered and flushed with water to free collected seeds. The

rinse water was passed through 500 μm and 125 μm diameter sieves to discriminate seeds by size and

remove debris. Seeds were counted and identified taxonomically using a stereomicroscope. We included

fruits, bulbils, and viviparous seeds in our working definition of "seeds." Rates of seed predation in

artificial turfs are likely similar to natural rates experienced by seeds on the soil surface before, during,

and after overwintering, thus seed predation should not bias our results.

Seed bank data. To characterize seed bank diversity we haphazardly selected one 64 x 64 cm plot at

each site and excavated soil to a depth of 3 cm in October 2008. Because the seed bank survey area at

each site (0.41 m^2) was larger than the total areas used for seed rain and seedling surveys at each site

(four 25 x 25 cm plots, 0.25 m^2), we randomly subsampled 61 % (0.25 m^2 / 0.41 m^2) of the original seed

bank community *in silico* and discarded the remainder to standardize sampling effort across data types

at each site. To exclude the seeds from that year's seed rain from our seed bank surveys, we removed

aboveground vegetation, including moss and litter. Soil samples were stored for three months at $2 - 4$ °C

and ambient moisture. Soil samples were then sowed into a standard mixture of sterile subsoil and

placed in 30 x 60 cm trays. The trays were incubated in a greenhouse with a diurnal cycle of 16 hours

light (25 °C) and 8 hours darkness (15 °C). The diurnal cycle was continued for four months, followed by

six months of cold stratification in darkness (4 °C), followed by another four-month period of diurnal

cycling. Emerging seedlings were counted and removed once identifiable to species. This method of

characterizing the seed bank community effectively accounts for seed viability because non-viable seeds

would not have emerged as seedlings.

Seedling data. Like most perennial grasslands, seedling recruitment in our system is highly dependent

on disturbances and occurs only rarely in intact vegetation due to strong competitive effects from adult

plants (Silvertown and Smith 1989, Eriksson 1989, Bullock et al. 1995, Vandvik 2004, Berge 2010,

Klanderud et al. 2017). We therefore monitored seedlings in experimental gaps where they were

relatively free from competitive effects of adult plants, but still exposed to environmental stress and

other biotic interactions, such as herbivory, disease, and potential resource competition among

seedlings. One 25 x 25 cm gap was created in each of four blocks at each site in spring 2009, for a total

of four gaps per site and 48 gaps overall. The gaps were made by cutting along the inner edges of a

metal frame mounted in metal pipes marking the corners of the plot, and peeling away the natural

vegetation and its thickly interwoven root mat. Seeds and topsoil were returned to gaps by vigorously

shaking excavated vegetation and passing it through a 4 mm sieve to remove plant remains. Emerged

seedlings in the plots were ID-tagged in one of three censuses (late summer 2009, early summer 2010,

late summer 2010) using numbered plastic toothpicks and plot coordinates. About 70 % of seedlings

were identifiable to species; the remaining 30 % were unidentifiable or died before they could be

identified and were lumped into two generic groups for graminoids and forbs. Seedlings were

differentiated from emergent clonal ramets by looking for cotyledons or signs of above- or below-

ground connections. Seedling survival and establishment were recorded twice yearly from spring 2010

to spring 2012. We approximated seedling emergence rates by dividing the density of emerged

seedlings by the sum of seed rain and seed bank densities. We marked seedlings established when they

had grown to a size greater than what could be derived exclusively from their maternal subsidies, which

we estimated to be when stems were longer than 2 cm, and if a forb, also when they had grown their

first non-cotyledonous leaves. Although we took pains to census each site at times of peak seedling

emergence, some seedlings may have emerged, died, and disappeared before ever being recorded;

even if this where the case, however, we contend that it is unlikely to influence our overall conclusions

because the factors discouraging seedling survival during the earliest stages of establishment are likely

the same as those discouraging seedling emergence (i.e., late spring frosts), and are distinct from the

factors influencing seedling survival establishment (i.e., drought, competition for light, predation, early

fall frosts, and late spring frosts in the year after seedling emergence).

Mature vegetation data. We surveyed mature vegetation at peak biomass (July and August) in 2009,

2011, 2012, and 2013. At each site, we used two 25 x 25 cm plots in each of five blocks at each site, for a

total of 10 plots per site (except for the third-wettest second-warmest site, which only had nine plots),

and 119 plots overall. These plots were controls for a transplant experiment and included five

undisturbed controls and five transplant controls, i.e., turfs dug up and replaced in the same location.

The two types of controls did not differ in species composition or any other aspect of community

structure at any of the survey periods (Guittar et al. 2016). We visually estimated the percent cover of

individual species in each plot using a 5 x 5 cm grid overlay, and then pooled the data by site. Stage-

specific species abundance or relative abundance data are provided as a supplementary file.

Trait data. We used four commonly used plant traits, and four traits related to clonal growth strategy,

each with hypothesized associations to dispersal ability and/or resource competition. Seed mass (mg), a

reflection of species regeneration strategy (Kraft et al. 2008, Cornwell and Ackerly 2009), was drawn

from the Seed Information Database (Royal Botanic Gardens Kew 2014). Maximum canopy height (m)

data, which relates to both dispersal ability and light competition (Westoby 1998, Falster and Westoby

2003), were mined from Lid and Lid (2007). Leaf area (mm^2) and specific leaf area (SLA; m^2/kg), two

traits indicative of where species fall along a continuum of slow-to-fast resource use strategies (Sterck et

al. 2006, Rusch et al. 2011), were estimated using a combination of field data (Guittar et al. 2016) and

data from the LEDA online trait database (Kleyer et al. 2008). Leaf area, SLA, maximum height, and seed

mass values were log-transformed. Clonal traits included the number of offspring per parent per year

("0" = 1 offspring; "1" = ≥ 2 offspring), persistence of plant–offspring connections ("0" = < 2 years; "1" =

≥ 2 years), rate of lateral spread ("0" = ≤ 1 cm/year; "1" = > 1 cm/year), and number of buds per ramet

(an integer score ranging from "1" = few buds either belowground or aboveground, to "8" = many buds

both below and aboveground). Clonal attributes are thought to help plants integrate over spatially

heterogeneous resources (Eilts et al. 2011), recover from disturbances (Klimešová and Klimeš 2007), and

provide sustained maternal subsidies to new ramets as they grow horizontally and vie for local

establishment (Herben and Wildová 2012). Clonal trait data were drawn from Klimeš and Klimešová

(1999) and converted from categorical to quantitative formats to enable calculations of community

means. Trait data are provided as a supplementary file.

Assigning local species status. Each species observed at each site at any life stage was labeled as

"locally-persistent" if adults were recorded in more than half (i.e., at least three of four) of the site

vegetation surveys conducted from 2009 to 2013, or otherwise labeled "locally-transient." In using this

cutoff, we aim to understand the mechanisms leading a species to be a consistent member of a given

community, not whether it can occur there at all. That is, an individual of a locally-transient species need

not be a first generation immigrant, but could be a second-or-more generation immigrant, so long as it

has evidently unable to establish a locally-persistent adult population. Because locally-transient/locally-

persistent species status assignments were potentially sensitive to the depth at which we characterized

local site community composition, we used rarefaction to verify that we had sufficiently surveyed the

mature vegetation such that the number of locally-persistent species observed at each site had

stabilized (Fig. S1). In addition, we re-ran our analysis with all possible locally-transient/locally-persistent

cutoffs to assess the sensitivity of our conclusions to our methodology.

Assigning putative climate origins. For each locally-transient species at each site, we identified the sites

and climates where we knew it had persistent adult populations, and then used these to infer whether it

was dispersing outside of its realized climate niche. If a locally-transient species at a given site had

persistent adult populations at other sites with similar temperatures or precipitations, we assumed it

dispersed from a site with the "same temperature" or the "same precipitation," such as from a

neighboring site, e.g.., one with similar climate but with potentially different topographical, edaphic, or

biotic characteristics. If a locally-transient species at a given site had persistent adult populations only at

sites with warmer/cooler or wetter/drier conditions, we then assumed it dispersed from a

warmer/cooler or wetter/drier site (i.e., it dispersed *into* a cooler/warmer or drier/wetter site). Again,

we are not assuming that a given seed of a locally-transient species literally dispersed from a given

climate, but that the seed is occurring outside of the climate range at which it is a common and

persistent community member, and is presumably on the edge of being locally excluded.

Statistical approach. We used linear regressions to test for baseline trends in the total abundance and

richness of locally-transient and locally-persistent species in the seed rain, the seed bank, and the two

combined along temperature and precipitation gradients. Unlike other data in this study, seed bank data

were not collected in replicate across blocks within a site, thus our analyses were performed at a site-

level resolution (hence, N = 12). Each linear regression model assumes normally distributed errors and

takes the form of $y_j \sim MAP_j$ or $y_j \sim + MST_{j,}$ where y_j is the response variable being examined at site j, and

MAP_j and MST_j are the mean annual precipitation (centered to zero) and mean summer temperature

(centered to zero) at site j. We also used linear regressions to test for baseline trends in species richness

in the mature vegetation with temperature and precipitation.

We used four sets of generalized linear models (GLMs) to test predictors of differential species

performance during seedling emergence and seedling establishment. These GLMs used negative

binomial error distributions and log link functions. We log-transformed seed and seedling numbers to

account for their highly skewed abundance distributions, and added one to their abundances to avoid

having to omit zeroes as predictors. In the first set of GLMs ("null" models), local species abundances in

the combined seed rain and seed bank are used to predict local species abundances of emerged

seedlings, and local species abundances of emerged seedlings predict local species abundances of

established seedlings. In other words, our null expectation was that all seeds were equally likely to

emerge, and all seedlings were equally likely to establish. In the second set of GLMs ("site climate"

models), we added model terms for local site mean summer temperature and mean annual

precipitation to evaluate how these climate variables affected seedling emergence and seedling

establishment rates.

In the third set of GLMs ("site climate + species status" models), we evaluated how well locally-

transient/locally-persistent species status predicted performance differences during seedling emergence

and seedling establishment. Specifically, we added model terms specifying the status of each species at

each site, and interactions between species status and local climate. We formally modeled the number

of emerged seedlings g for species i at site j as

$$g_{ij} \sim \log(s_{ij} + 1) + \text{MAP}_j + \text{MST}_j + p_{ij} + (\text{MAP}_j \cdot p_{ij}) + (\text{MST}_j \cdot p_{ij}),$$

where s_{ij} is the abundance of seeds (seed rain + seed bank) of species i at site j, p_{ij} is a factor indicating

species status, and MAP_j and MST_j are as described above. Likewise, we modeled the number of

established seedlings e for species i at site j as

$$e_{ij} \sim \log(g_{ij} + 1) + \text{MAP}_j + \text{MST}_j + p_{ij} + (\text{MAP}_j \cdot p_{ij}) + (\text{MST}_j \cdot p_{ij}).$$

In the fourth and final set of GLMs ("site climate + species status + species climate origin"

models), we dropped the model term for locally-transient/locally-persistent species status, p_{ij}, and

replaced it with a new term, o_{ij}, denoting the putative temperature/precipitation origins of each locally-

transient species i at site j. Specifically, the o_{ij} term was used to tag each species at each site with one of

five labels: (1) locally-persistent, (2) locally-transient but likely dispersed from an adjacent site with

similar temperature/precipitation, (3) locally-transient and likely dispersed from a cooler/drier site (i.e.,

into a warmer/wetter site), (4) locally-transient and likely dispersed from a warmer/wetter site (i.e., into

a cooler/drier site), (5) locally-transient with no locally-persistent populations at any of our grassland

sites (i.e., an 'unknown' climate preference). We dropped the interaction terms from these GLMs to

avoid excessive model complexity. Formally, we modelled the number of emerged seedlings g for

species i at site j as

$$g_{ij} \sim \log(s_{ij} + 1) + \text{MAP}_j + \text{MST}_j + o_{ij},$$

and the number of established seedlings e for species i at site j as

$$e_{ij} \sim \log(g_{ij} + 1) + \text{MAP}_j + \text{MST}_j + o_{ij}.$$

Finally, we asked if systematic differences in the traits of locally-transient and locally-persistent

species at each site offered mechanistic explanations for performance differences between groups. To

do this, we averaged the trait values of all species (not weighted by their relative abundances) in the

combined seed rain and seed bank at each site, grouped by local-transient/locally-persistent species

status. We used paired t-tests, paired by site, to identify which traits, if any, differed consistently

between locally-transient and locally-persistent species across all sites. For any traits with significant

overall differences, we performed linear regressions to see whether the magnitude of trait-based

differences between locally-transient and locally-persistent species trended with site temperature or

precipitation. We did not test for trait-based differences by species status in seedling communities

because the number of locally-transient species was too low to provide any confidence in calculations of

within-site trait means (only 4 ± 3 locally-transient species occurred on average as emerged seedlings at

each site; Table S1). All scripts in this study were written in R and are available at

https://github.com/guittarj/MS_Transients.

RESULTS

Mature vegetation rarefactions. Rarefaction analysis indicated that the numbers of plots of mature

vegetation surveyed at each site were more than sufficient to stabilize the local composition of locally-

persistent species (Fig. S1), lending confidence to our locally-transient/locally-persistent species status

assignments. Furthermore, no locally-transient species by itself ever represented more than 0.4% of

total cover at any site (Fig. S2), illustrating the minor overall contribution of locally-transient species to

local community structure.

Evidence for local filtering. Seeds of locally-transient species occurred at all 12 of our grassland sites,

representing, on average, 4 of 42 species in the combined seed rain and seed bank. In the combined

seed rain and seed bank, the number of locally-transient species and their total abundances increased

significantly with temperature (Fig. 2; species richness: p = 0.045; total abundance: p = 0.017). These

trends were driven primarily by increases in the seed bank (species richness: p = 0.031; total abundance

p = 0.035), not the seed rain (Fig. S3), underscoring the fact that the composition of the seed rain likely

differs between years, and that the seed bank likely serves as an important reservoir of local plant

diversity. There were no significant trends in species richness or total abundance with precipitation in

either the seed rain, the seed bank, or the two combined. Species richness in the adult vegetation rose

significantly with temperature (p = 0.0063) but not with precipitation (p = 0.871).

About 10% of all seeds were from locally-transient species, with more transients represented in

the seed bank (ca. 13% of total seed bank) than in the seed rain (ca. 4% of total seed rain) (Table S2).

While most locally-transient populations had locally-persistent adult populations at sites with similar

climates (67% with similar temperatures, 49% with similar precipitations), some did not (Table S2).

Operating on the assumption that locally-transient species dispersed from the most-climatically similar

sites at which they persist as adults, seeds of locally-transient species were about four times more likely

to have dispersed outside of their realized climate niches into warmer sites (i.e., from cooler climates)

and slightly more likely to have dispersed outside of their realized climate niches into drier sites (i.e.,

from wetter climates) (Table S2).

To determine how sensitive our conclusions were to changes in our operational definition of

locally-transient/locally-persistent species status, we explored how results changed under each of the

four possible cutoff scenarios offered by our data. Specifically, we looked at how results changed as the

definition of locally-persistent species shifted from those species present in at least one, at least two, at

least three, or all four annual surveys of mature vegetation at each given site. As the cutoff for locally-

persistent became more stringent, and the cutoff for locally-transient (by definition) relaxed, the total

number of locally-transient populations (i.e., unique species*site combinations) in the combined seed

rain and seed bank at our sites rose from 119 (1989 seeds), to 149 (2549 seeds), to 167 (3665 seeds), to

205 (5007 seeds). However, these differences in the estimated numbers of locally-transient/locally-

persistent species by site did not alter our main conclusions that (1) the influence of local filtering

increases with temperature, and that (2) grassland sites in southern Norway are connected by dispersal,

albeit primarily among nearby sites with similar climates.

The stage-wise removal of locally-transient species. Locally-transient species were outperformed by

locally-persistent species during seedling emergence (Fig. 3, Table 1), but not seedling establishment

(Fig. 3, Table S3). The lower emergence rates of locally-transient species appeared to be driven primarily

by species which had putatively dispersed outside of their realized climate niches into cooler climates,

drier climates, or those that had dispersed from unknown climates (see "Origin-based predictors" in

Table 1). In addition, after accounting for local seed abundances, warmer sites tended to have higher

rates of seedling emergence than cooler sites ("General predictors" in Table 1), linking climate and

overall seedling performance. No GLM of seedling establishment outperformed null expectations (Table

S3), suggesting that neither climate, species status, nor putative seed origins were meaningful predictors

of seedling establishment rates.

To confirm that these results were not artifacts of how we combined seed rain and seed bank

data (e.g., if seeds of locally-transient species emerged at lower rates because most were from the seed

bank, and the seed bank had overall lower rates of emergence), we re-ran GLMs with only seed rain

data and observed qualitatively similar results (data not shown). To determine how sensitive our

conclusions involving seedling performance were to changes in the operational definition of locally-

transient/locally-persistent species status, we re-ran the GLMs for emergence and establishment using

each of the four possible locally-transient/locally-persistent cutoff scenarios offered by our data.

Changes to the locally-transient/locally-persistent cutoff did not alter our overall conclusion that locally-

transient species are disfavored during emergence but not establishment (Fig. S4).

Trait-based mechanisms of local filtering. Locally-transient and locally-persistent species in the

combined seed rain and seed bank differed significantly in three functional traits (Fig. 4). Specifically,

when averaged across species at the site level, locally-transient species were significantly shorter, had

smaller seeds, and had longer-lasting vegetative connections among ramets than locally-persistent

species. Of the three traits that differed consistently between locally-transient and locally-persistent

species, the only instance where those differences varied significantly with site climate was an increase

in the magnitude by which locally-transient species had longer-lasting connections than locally-

persistent species with increasing site temperature.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we compared the compositions of seed, seedling, and adult plant communities along

temperature and precipitation gradients to shed light on how seed dispersal and local filtering interact

to generate and maintain regional vegetation patterns. Overall, our results point to four main

conclusions: (1) the influence of local filtering on community membership increases with temperature,

(2) local filtering is stronger during seedling emergence than during seedling establishment, (3) climate-

based niche differences drive differential performance among species, especially for seeds dispersing

outside of their realized climate niches into colder and drier climates (i.e., dispersing from warmer and

wetter climates), and (4) locally-transient species have traits which may make them better dispersers

(taller statures, smaller seeds) but poorer competitors for light (less persistent clonal connections),

which could explain their arrival to new sites and subsequent failure to establish persistent adult

populations. We elaborate on these results below, and conclude with a discussion of the implications for

how these alpine grasslands will respond to climate change.

Community assembly and the determinants of species ranges

The number of seeds and species of local-transients in the seed bank rose from the coldest

(highest altitude) to warmest (lowest altitude) sites (Fig. 2), suggesting that the influence of local

filtering on community membership increases with temperature. Such a pattern with temperature could

arise from at least two non-exclusive explanations. First, warm-adapted species may simply have larger

seed shadows than cold-adapted species, resulting in more seeds traveling to unsuitable patches, such

as those with undesirable topographic, edaphic, or biotic conditions. Maximum plant height correlates

positively with temperature in our system (Guittar et al. 2016), and is also thought to increase dispersal

distance (Thomson et al. 2011), offering one mechanistic hypothesis for why seed shadows increase in

size with temperature. Alternatively, it may simply be that seeds are more likely to disperse downhill

(i.e., from colder high-altitude sites to warmer low-altitude sites) than the reverse. A third,

complementary, explanation for why there are more locally-transient species at warmer sites could be

because competition for resources is fiercer and competitive exclusion is more common in the adult

vegetation at these sites. Indeed, competition has been hypothesized to be stronger at warmer sites due

to longer growing seasons and less stressful growth conditions (Grime 1973, Olsen et al. 2016). Species

richness fell steeply with temperature in the adult vegetation (from an average of 42 species at the

warmest sites to 64 species at the coldest sites), but only slightly in the seed rain and not in the seed

bank (Fig. 2), consistent with higher rates of competitive exclusion of mature plants at warmer sites.

Surprisingly, locally-transient species were significantly disfavored during seedling emergence

(Fig. 3, Table 1), but not during seedling establishment (Fig. 3, Table S3), suggesting that germination

and early survival is a more important determinant of seedling success than successful establishment.

This result contradicts conventional expectations of weak filtering during seedling emergence but strong

filtering during seedling establishment (Moles and Westoby 2004). It should be noted that while some

individuals could in theory have been selectively filtered after meeting our operational definition of

establishment (i.e., had stems at least 2 cm long and, if a forb, also had non-cotyledonous leaves), but

before becoming reproductively active (i.e., before establishment *sensu stricto*), this does not appear to

be the case. Across sites, the mean proportion of species that were locally-transient among established

seedlings was only slightly higher than that found in the adult vegetation data (~14.6 % vs. ~13.6 %,

respectively), indicating that any locally-transient species which successfully emerged were essentially

just as likely as locally-persistent species to survive long enough to be captured by our mature

vegetation surveys, but not long enough to be considered locally-persistent. In other words, locally-

transient species evidently failed to persist as adults not because they failed to establish, but either

because they failed to germinate or because they failed to compete as post-establishment juveniles,

resulting in local population growth rates below maintenance levels.

The low emergence rates among locally-transient species were largely driven by seeds that had

putatively dispersed outside their realized climate niches into cooler climates (i.e., from warmer

climates), into drier climates (i.e., from wetter climates), and those from unknown climates (Table 1),

illustrating how climate-based niche preferences can influence community membership and thus range

expansion. Climate is known to play a pivotal role in the release of seed dormancy in plants (Probert

2000), and variation in germination timing is known to occur even among populations of the same

species at different climates (Shimono and Kudo 2003, Bischoff et al. 2006, Spindelböck et al. 2013). If

the seeds of locally-transient species dispersing outside of their realized climate niches into colder

and/or drier climates were predisposed to emerging before spring is safely underway, this could explain

their particularly low emergence rates. A second, complementary explanation could be that seeds

dispersing outside of their realized climate niches into colder and/or drier climates simply find these

conditions more stressful and are thus less likely (i.e., not adapted) to successfully emerge as seedlings.

Locally-transient species differed consistently from locally-persistent species in their functional

traits, offering some mechanistic hypotheses for why they can disperse into new sites but fail to persist

as adults (Fig. 4). First, locally-transient species had consistently smaller seeds than locally-persistent

species, which is thought to increase dispersal distance (Greene and Johnson 1993, Westoby 1998), and

may also decrease performance upon arrival due to their smaller maternal subsidies. Second, locally-

transient species were shorter and had less long-lasting ramet-ramet connections, on average, than

locally-persistent species, suggesting that the ability to grow both vertically and exchange resources

horizontally confer important advantages for long-term survival in mature vegetation. Maximum

potential height and the capacity for clonal growth are associated with the ability to compete for light

(Falster and Westoby 2003) and soil resources in spatially heterogenous systems (Oborny and Kun 2003,

Eilts et al. 2011), respectively, and can work synergistically to confer competitive ability in herbaceous

communities (Gough et al. 2012). Interestingly, these two traits were also significantly associated with

competitive ability in a turf transplant experiment in the same system (Guittar et al. 2016), offering

additional support for the importance of architectural traits in driving grassland species performance.

Together, the trait-based differences between locally-transient species and locally-persistent species

align with a general tradeoff between colonization and competitive ability (Levins and Culver 1971,

Tilman 1994, Amarasekare and Nisbet 2001, Yu and Wilson 2001). That is, the smaller seeds of locally-

transient species may promote their probability of arriving to fresh openings in the canopy (like our

experimental gaps), while their lower statures and decreased capacity for clonal growth may decrease

their chances of establishing locally-persistent populations. Although the trait-based differences

between locally-transient and locally-persistent species do not offer an obvious mechanistic explanation

for why locally-transient species were disfavored during seedling emergence, they may correlate with

differences in life history strategies and/or unmeasured traits that do impact species performance

during seedling emergence.

Implications for community responses to climate change. Community response to climate change will

depend both on species' abilities to track environmental changes via dispersal, and on the degree to

which niche differences lead to performance differences in different environments (Graae et al. 2017).

Southern Norway is expected to grow warmer and wetter as climate change progresses (Hanssen-Bauer

et al. 2009), so maintenance of current climate conditions will require dispersal into cooler (upslope)

and drier (more inland) sites. However, we found that of the 122 species with persistent adult

populations at one or more of our sites, only 10 species (representing 0.3 % of total seeds) dispersed

outside of their realized climate niches into cooler sites, and 13 species (representing 1.9 % of total

seeds) into drier sites (Fig. S3, Table S2), suggesting that many if not most species will likely be unable to

be rapidly shift their populations into cooler and drier climates, and are at risk of being extirpated as

competitively-superior species arrive to their communities (Alexander et al. 2015).

Acknowledgements. We thank the landowners for allowing us to set up the experiments on their land,

the Norwegian Research Council (KLIMAFORSK project 184912/S30), NSF GRFP (JLG; Grant Number:

1256260), and Norge-Amerika Foreningen for funding. We thank Siri L. Olsen, Mari Jokerud, Pascale

Michel, Hilary H. Birks and Berhe Luel for contributions to the data collection.

Authors' contributions. JG wrote the manuscript and analyzed data with conceptual and editorial help

from all authors. VV, KK, and DG conceived of the experimental study system and the experiments upon

which this paper is based. VV, KK, and AB gathered seedling and mature vegetation data, MB gathered

seed rain data, and KK, EM, JT, AB, and VV gathered seed bank data. VV and JG secured funding.

REFERENCES

Alexander, H. M., B. L. Foster, F. Ballantyne, C. D. Collins, J. Antonovics, and R. D. Holt. 2012.

Metapopulations and metacommunities: Combining spatial and temporal perspectives in plant

ecology. Journal of Ecology 100:88–103.

Alexander, J. M., J. M. Diez, and J. M. Levine. 2015. Novel competitors shape species' responses to

climate change. Nature.

Alexander, J. M., J. M. Diez, J. Usinowicz, and S. P. Hart. 2018. Species' Distributions as a Coexistence

Problem: A Response to Godsoe et al. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 33:144–145.

Amarasekare, P., and R. M. Nisbet. 2001. Spatial heterogeneity, source-sink dynamics, and the local

coexistence of competing species. The American Naturalist 158:572–584.

Baskin, C. C., and J. M. Baskin. 1998. Seeds: ecology, biogeography, and, evolution of dormancy and

germination. Elsevier.

Berge, A. 2010. Seedbank, seedrain and seedling recruitment along climate gradients in southern

Norway. Doctoral thesis. University of Bergen.

Bertrand, R., J. Lenoir, C. Piedallu, G. Riofrio-Dillon, P. de Ruffray, C. Vidal, J.-C. J.-C. Pierrat, J.-C. Gegout,

G. Riofrío-Dillon, and J.-C. Gégout. 2011. Changes in plant community composition lag behind

climate warming in lowland forests. Nature 479:517–20.

Bischoff, A., B. Vonlanthen, and T. Steinger. 2006. Seed provenance matters-effects on germination of 4

plant species used in ecological restoration. Basic and Applied Ecology 7:347–359.

Bullock, J., B. Hill, J. Silvertown, and M. Sutton. 1995. Gap colonization as a source of grassland

community change: effects of gap size and grazing on the rate and mode of colonization by

different species. Oikos 72:273–282.

Cain, M. L., B. G. Milligan, and A. E. Strand. 2000. Long-distance seed dispersal in plant populations.

American Journal of Botany 87:1217–1227.

Calabrese, J. M., and W. F. Fagan. 2004. A comparison-shopper's guide to connectivity metrics. Frontiers

in Ecology and the Environment 2:529–536.

Cornwell, W., and D. Ackerly. 2009. Community assembly and shifts in plant trait distributions across an

environmental gradient in coastal California. Ecological Monographs 79:109–126.

Cottenie, K. 2005. Integrating environmental and spatial processes in ecological community dynamics.

Ecology Letters 8:1175–1182.

Eilts, J. A., G. G. Mittelbach, H. L. Reynolds, and K. L. Gross. 2011. Resource heterogeneity, soil fertility,

and species diversity: effects of clonal species on plant communities. The American naturalist

177:574–88.

Eriksson, O. 1989. Seedling Dynamics and Life Histories in Clonal Plants. Oikos 55:231.

Falster, D. S., and M. Westoby. 2003. Plant height and evolutionary games. Trends in Ecology and

Evolution 18:337–343.

Gough, L., K. L. Gross, E. E. Cleland, C. M. Clark, S. L. Collins, J. E. Fargione, S. C. Pennings, and K. N.

Suding. 2012. Incorporating clonal growth form clarifies the role of plant height in response to

nitrogen addition. Oecologia 169:1053–1062.

Graae, B. J., V. Vandvik, W. S. Armbruster, W. L. Eiserhardt, J. C. Svenning, K. Hylander, J. Ehrlén, J. D. M.

Speed, K. Klanderud, K. A. Bråthen, A. Milbau, Ø. H. Opedal, I. G. Alsos, R. Ejrnæs, H. H. Bruun, H. J.

B. Birks, K. B. Westergaard, H. H. Birks, and J. Lenoir. 2017. Stay or go - how topographic complexity

influences alpine plant population and community responses to climate change. Perspectives in

Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics 30:41–50.

Gravel, D., C. D. Canham, M. Beaudet, and C. Messier. 2006. Reconciling niche and neutrality: The

continuum hypothesis. Ecology Letters 9:399–409.

Greene, D., and E. Johnson. 1993. Seed mass and dispersal capacity in wind-dispersed diaspores. Oikos

67:69–74.

Grime, J. P. 1973. Competitive exclusion in herbaceous vegetation. Nature 242:344–347.

Guittar, J., D. Goldberg, K. Klanderud, R. J. Telford, and V. Vandvik. 2016. Can trait patterns along

gradients predict plant community responses to climate change? Ecology 97:2791–2801.

Hanssen-Bauer, I., E. J. Drange, H., Førland, L. A. Roald, H. Børsheim, K. Y., D. H., Lawrence, A. Nesje, S.

Sandven, A. Sorteberg, S. Sundby, K. Vasskog, and B. Ådlandsvik. 2009. Klima i Norge 2100,

Bakgrunnsmateriale til NOU Klimatilpassin.

Herben, T., and R. Wildová. 2012. Community-level effects of plant traits in a grassland community

examined by multispecies model of clonal plant growth. Ecological Modelling 234:60–69.

Jacobson, B., and P. R. Peres-Neto. 2010. Quantifying and disentangling dispersal in metacommunities:

How close have we come? How far is there to go? Landscape Ecology 25:495–507.

Kelly, A. E., and M. L. Goulden. 2008. Rapid shifts in plant distribution with recent climate change.

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 105:11823–

11826.

Klanderud, K., E. Meineri, J. Töpper, P. Michel, and V. Vandvik. 2017. Biotic interaction effects on

seedling recruitment along bioclimatic gradients: testing the stress-gradient hypothesis. Journal of

Vegetation Science 28:347–356.

Kleyer, M., R. M. Bekker, [31 other Authors], and B. Peco. 2008. The LEDA Traitbase: a database of life-

history traits of the Northwest European flora. Journal of Ecology 96:1266–1274.

Klimeš, L., and J. Klimešová. 1999. CLO-PLA2 – a database of clonal plants in central Europe. Plant

Ecology 141:9–19.

Klimešová, J., and L. Klimeš. 2007. Bud banks and their role in vegetative regeneration – A literature

review and proposal for simple classification and assessment. Perspectives in Plant Ecology,

Evolution and Systematics 8:115–129.

Kraft, N., R. Valencia, and D. D. D. Ackerly. 2008. Supplementary Material: Functional traits and niche-

based tree community assembly in an Amazonian forest. Science (New York, N.Y.) 322:580.

Leibold, M. A., M. Holyoak, N. Mouquet, P. Amarasekare, J. M. Chase, M. F. Hoopes, R. D. Holt, J. B.

Shurin, R. Law, D. Tilman, M. Loreau, and A. Gonzalez. 2004. The metacommunity concept: a

framework for multi-scale community ecology. Ecology Letters 7:601–613.

Levins, R., and D. Culver. 1971. Regional coexistence of species and competition between rare species.

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 68:1246–1248.

Lid, J., and D. T. Lid. 2007. Norsk flora. Det Norske Samlaget.

Moles, A. T., and M. Westoby. 2004. Seedling survival and seed size: A synthesis of the literature. Journal

of Ecology 92:372–383.

Nathan, R., and H. Muller-Landau. 2000. Spatial patterns of seed dispersal, their determinants and

consequences for recruitment. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 15:278–285.

Oborny, B., and Á. Kun. 2003. Survival and competition of clonal plant populations in spatially and

temporally heterogeneous habitats. Community Ecology 4:1–20.

Olsen, S. L., J. P. Töpper, O. Skarpaas, V. Vandvik, and K. Klanderud. 2016. From facilitation to

competition: Temperature-driven shift in dominant plant interactions affects population dynamics

in seminatural grasslands. Global Change Biology 22:1915–1926.

Probert, R. J. 2000. The Role of Temperature in the Regulation of Seed Dormancy and Germination.

Seeds: The Ecology of Regeneration in Plant Communities 2:261–292.

Royal Botanic Gardens Kew. 2014. Seed Information Database (SID).

Rusch, G. M., B. Wilmann, J. Klimešová, and M. Evju. 2011. Do clonal and bud bank traits vary in

correspondence with soil properties and resource acquisition strategies? Patterns in Alpine

Communities in the Scandian Mountains. Folia Geobotanica 46:237–254.

Shimono, Y., and G. Kudo. 2003. Intraspecific variations in seedling emergence and survival of Potentilla

matsumurae (Rosaceae) between alpine fellfield and snowbed habitats. Annals of Botany 91:21–

29.

Silvertown, J., and B. Smith. 1989. Mapping the microenvironment for seed germination in the field.

Annals of Botany 63:163–167.

Spindelböck, J. P., Z. Cook, M. I. Daws, E. Heegaard, I. E. Måren, and V. Vandvik. 2013. Conditional cold

avoidance drives between-population variation in germination behaviour in Calluna vulgaris.

Annals of Botany 112:801–810.

Sterck, F., L. Poorter, and F. Schieving. 2006. Leaf traits determine the growth-survival trade-off across

rain forest tree species. The American Naturalist 167:758–765.

Thomson, F. J., A. T. Moles, T. D. Auld, and R. T. Kingsford. 2011. Seed dispersal distance is more strongly

correlated with plant height than with seed mass. Journal of Ecology 99:1299–1307.

Tilman, D. 1994. Competition and biodiversity in spatially structured habitats. Ecology 75:2–16.

Vandvik, V. 2004. Gap dynamics in perennial subalpine grasslands: Trends and processes change during

secondary succession. Journal of Ecology 92:86–96.

Vandvik, V., K. Klanderud, E. Meineri, I. E. Måren, and J. Töpper. 2016. Seed banks are biodiversity

reservoirs: Species-area relationships above versus below ground. Oikos 125:218–228.

Westoby, M. 1998. A leaf-height-seed (LHS) plant ecology strategy scheme. Plant and Soil 199:213–227.

Xiao, Z., P. A. Jansen, and Z. Zhang. 2006. Using seed-tagging methods for assessing post-dispersal seed

fate in rodent-dispersed trees. Forest Ecology and Management 223:18–23.

Yu, D. W., and H. B. Wilson. 2001. The competition-colonization trade-off is dead; long live the

competition-colonization trade-off. The American Naturalist 158:49–63.

Figure 1. Panel A shows mean summer temperature and mean annual precipitation values at the twelve

588   sites. Summer is defined as the warmest four months at each site. Panels B and C show site locations in

589   southern Norway. The grayscale shading in panel C denotes altitude, which covaries closely with mean

590   summer temperature. The transition in shape color from dark blue to light blue in panel C reflects the

591   east-to-west gradient in annual precipitation.

Figure 2. Seed density (a) and species richness (b) in the combined seed rain and seed bank, grouped by

595   locally-transient/locally-persistent species status and plotted by mean summer temperature. Summer is

596   defined as the four warmest months at each site. Solid lines are present when temperature was a

597   significant predictor (p < 0.05) of $\log_{10}$-transformed seed density or species richness in a linear

598   regression. A parallel set of regressions was performed using mean annual precipitation as the sole

599   predictor, but no trends were even marginally significant (p-value was always > 0.1).

Figure 3. The abundances of emerged seedlings (a) and established seedlings (b) of species at individual

sites, colored by locally-transient/locally-persistent species status. Each circle represents the

presence/absence of one species at one site, and colored lines and shadings show LOESS smoothing

functions and 95 % confidence intervals. Seed number is equal to the sum of seeds in the seed rain and

seed bank. Abundances reflect the total number of individuals in four 25 x 25 cm subplots at each site.

Count data are increased by one to allow for plotting zeroes on a log scale. Panels only show data falling

within the observed window of locally-transient seed abundances (< 400 seeds) and locally-transient

seedling abundances (< 33 seedlings) in order to focus on the comparison of locally-transient and locally-

persistent species.

Figure 4. Mean trait values of locally-transient species relative to locally-persistent species at each site. Shapes and shadings are consistent with Fig. 1 and reflect approximate mean summer temperatures of 6 °C (triangle), 9 °C (circle), and 10.5 °C (inverted triangle) and annual precipitations of 650 mm, 1300 mm, 2000 mm, and 2900 mm, from light blue to dark blue. Shapes are filled with color only when values differ significantly between locally-transient and locally-persistent species across all sites (paired t-test; $p < 0.05$). SLA: specific leaf area. Bud number is an integer score that ranges from 1 (few buds either belowground or aboveground) to 8 (many buds both below and aboveground); percent lateral spread refers to the proportion of species with rates of lateral spread greater than 1 cm/year; percent offspring refers to the proportion of species that commonly produce two or more vegetative offshoots per parent per year; percent connection persistence refers to the proportion of species with inter-ramet connections that persist for two or more years.

	Null model	Site climate	Site climate + Sp. status	Site climate + Sp. pref. temp.	Site climate + Sp. pref. precip
ΔAIC	0.00	-3.78	-14.37	-25.76	-32.02
General predictors					
Log(seed no.)	**7.68	**7.96	**5.95	**6.73	**7.00
Local temp.	-	*2.56	*2.04	*2.77	*2.92
Local precip.	-	-0.93	-1.19	-1.36	-1.48
Transient/Persistent predictors					
Transient	-	-	**-4.47	-	-
Transient * Local temp.	-	-	1.90	-	-
Transient * Local precip.	-	-	1.22	-	-
Origin-based predictors					
Transients from similar temp.	-	-	-	-1.29	-
Transients from cooler into warmer	-	-	-	-1.67	-
Transients from warmer into cooler	-	-	-	*-2.76	-
Transients from similar precip.	-	-	-	-	-1.45
Transients from drier into wetter	-	-	-	-	-0.16
Transients from wetter into drier	-	-	-	-	**-4.28
Transients from unknown climates	-	-	-	**-5.44	**-5.46

Table 1.
Standardized coefficients (z-scores) from different GLM models (columns) predicting numbers of emerged seedlings by species and site. In column headers (i.e., model descriptions), "Species status" refers to whether the species is locally-transient or locally-persistent, and "Species preferred temp/precip" refers to the nearest climates at which the species has a persistent adult population, which we use to infer the climate from which they likely dispersed. Data include all recorded seeds and seedlings that could be identified to species. N is equal to the number of unique species-by-site combinations for each category/predictor. Asterisks denote significance (*: p < 0.05, **: p < 0.001). Dashes indicate predictors that were not included in a given model. Including model terms for locally-transient/locally-persistent species status and then preferred temperature and precipitation progressively improved model performance, as reflected in the reduction of AIC values relative to the null model that uses only local seed numbers as a predictor.