Temperature accounts for the biodiversity of a hyperdiverse group of insects in urban Los

Angeles

Terrence P. McGlynn*[1,2], Emily K. Meineke*[3], Christie A. Bahlai[4], Enjie Li[2], Emily A.

Hartop[2,5], Benjamin J. Adams[2], and Brian V. Brown[2]

*Authors contributed equally to this work

[1] Department of Biology, California State University Dominguez Hills, Carson, CA 90747, USA
[2] Urban Nature Research Center, Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County, Los Angeles, CA 90007, USA
[3] Department of Organismic and Evolutionary Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA
[4] Department of Biological Sciences, Kent State University, Kent, OH 44242, USA.
[5] Department of Zoology, Stockholm University, SE-106 91 Stockholm, Sweden

Abstract

The urban heat island effect is a worldwide phenomenon that has been linked to species'

distributions and abundances in cities. However, effects of urban heat on biotic communities are

nearly impossible to disentangle from effects of land cover in most cases because hotter urban

sites also have less vegetation and more impervious surfaces than cooler sites within cities. We

sampled phorid flies, one of the largest, most biologically diverse families of true flies (Insecta:

Diptera: Phoridae), at 30 sites distributed within the central Los Angeles Basin, where we found

that temperature and the density of urban land cover are decoupled. Abundance, richness, and

community composition of phorids inside urban Los Angeles were most parsimoniously

accounted for by mean air temperature in the week preceding sampling. Sites with intermediate

mean temperatures had more phorid fly individuals and higher richness. Communities were more

even at urban sites with lower minimum temperatures and sites located further away from natural

areas, suggesting that communities separated from natural source populations may be more

homogenized. Species composition was best explained by minimum temperature. Inasmuch as

warmer areas within cities can predict future effects of climate change, phorid fly communities

are likely to shift non-linearly under future climates in more natural areas. Exhaustive surveys of

biotic communities within cities, such as the one we describe here, can provide baselines for

determining the effects of urban and global climate warming as they intensify.

Keywords: climate change, species richness, phorid fly, urban heat island

Introduction

Urban development is accelerating with uncertain effects on biodiversity. While many

species do not persist in urban areas, cities can support a surprising range of native and even

threatened taxa [1,2]. Thus, determining conditions within cities that affect species persistence is

increasingly a focus of ecological research from fundamental and conservation perspectives [3–

5]. However, isolating specific drivers of biodiversity in cities has proven difficult because

organisms in cities experience a range of novel conditions that may alter their abundances and

distributions [6–8]. Therefore, for most animal taxa, specific mechanisms driving community

assembly in cities remain unknown.

The urban heat island effect is a prevalent phenomenon in cities, and growing evidence

shows that urban heat can alter species richness, abundance, and community composition [9–15].

Urbanization causes cities to be as much as 12˚C hotter than adjacent areas [16], which is on par

or above warming anticipated by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change over the next

several decades [17]. In certain cities, urban warming can also operate at local scales, creating

thermal mosaics within the urban matrix (e.g., [18–21]). Despite the short history of research on

the biotic effects of urban heat, researchers have found important patterns across diverse taxa

[7,10–13,22–24]. For example, remnant native plant communities in urban environments may be

altered under warming conditions, favoring more xerophilic species [25,26].

Because temperatures in cities match or exceed those expected under future climate

change, researchers have suggested that thermal gradients within cities might allow us to predict

biotic responses to the future climate warming [27,28]. Cities might be useful proxies for climate

warming because urban heat has been in place for decades to centuries, and large scale,

controlled warming experiments in more natural areas are often impractical (but see [29,30]).

However, urban heat might not be an appropriate proxy for broader climate warming because

other aspects of urbanization might also have strong effects on species. Perhaps most

importantly, land cover (impervious surfaces, vegetation) and urban heat tend to covary, making

it impossible to separate their effects on biological processes. Hot urban environments often have

more impervious surface, less vegetation cover, and lower vegetation complexity [31–33]. While

researchers have used various useful approaches to determine effects of urban warming alone –

e.g., laboratory chamber experiments [11,18] – actually separating effects of land cover and

temperature in the city could provide insight into whether biotic responses are more attributable

to temperature or other aspects of urbanization. In coastal cities, urban temperatures are often

decoupled from landcover, such that sites that are highly urbanized are not necessarily hotter

than surrounding sites that are less urbanized because of winds entering from the coast [40]. This

offers an experimental opportunity to separate the ecological effects of urbanization and

temperature.

Insects are highly responsive to temperature, are a foundational component of terrestrial

biodiversity, and provide a range of services and disservices within cities [34,35]. As insects are

ectotherms, they have elevated metabolic and reproductive rates in response to warming until

their thermal maxima are reached [14]. One of the most abundant animals in terrestrial

environments are phorid flies [36,37], which are responsive to thermal conditions, but also feed

on a wide range of resources and develop and occupy a tremendous variety of microhabitats [38].

Cities can support hyperdiverse communities of phorid flies, with dozens of species recently

described from central Los Angeles [39,40]. With a small body size (0.4-6 mm) and presumably

short dispersal distances, we would expect phorid fly biodiversity to finely track microclimatic

conditions in the urban environment, relative to less ephemeral or larger-sized organisms.

Here we evaluate the spatial and temporal predictors of phorid fly biodiversity within

urban Los Angeles, CA, USA, hereafter we refer to as L.A. In L.A., urban temperatures are

decoupled from land cover, allowing us to investigate the effects of impervious

surface,vegetation cover, and temperature,in a system where these aspects of the urban

environment are not highly correlated [41]. In this project, species were sampled exhaustively

[42], and 30 new species of flies were described from L.A. from this dataset in 2015 [39]. We

leverage the complete documentation of this diverse group to determine effects of urban land

development and climate in a city where we found these variables are uniquely decoupled. We

sampled phorid flies and site environmental conditions in 30 locations throughout a calendar

year to evaluate biodiversity responses to thermal and urbanization gradients within the L.A.

metropolitan area. By measuring temperature and moisture variables at a very fine scale to match

the habitat occupied by the organisms [43], we achieve a biologically relevant understanding of

how local climatic factors vary across an iconic urban habitat.

Methods

Study area

The Los Angeles metropolitan area is a highly urbanized region located at 34ºN along the west

coast of North America, which has experienced rapid population growth and associated land

development over the past 100 years. The climate and flora are characteristically

"Mediterranean," and biomes that have given way to development include coastal sage scrub,

chaparral, and oak woodlands. Some habitats have only small fragments remaining, including

coastal dunes and wetlands [44]. The climate of locations within the city can vary substantially

from one another, because of differences in distance from the ocean, elevation, intensity of

urbanization, and vegetation [41]. The heterogeneity of the landscape makes predicting climatic

differences among sites in Los Angeles particularly difficult [45,46], which reinforces the need

for site-specific weather records to reliably compare sites.

Study design and insect sampling procedures

We placed a series of Malaise traps [47] (Townes lightweight model Sante Traps,

Lexington, KY) in 30 sites throughout central L.A. (Fig. 1). The distribution of the sites was

designed to capture a range of biotic and abiotic gradients in the urban environment as part of the

BioSCAN (Biodiversity Science: City and Nature) project of the Natural History Museum of Los

Angeles County (LACM). The initial findings from this sampling are described by Brown and

Hartop [42], who provide a detailed description of each site featured in the study. In a survey,

participants whose homes were included in the study were asked if they used pesticides in their

yards in areas close to where the traps were located. The survey revealed that none of the sites

were treated with pesticides regularly, and only a few hosts used small quantities of pesticides

for local control on rare occasions, such as neem oil on a few plants. We decided this incidental

treatment would not appreciably affect biodiversity within the yards included in this study.

For each of twelve sampling periods (approximately the first week of each month in

2014), we collected and identified all phorid flies in samples to species, resulting in a total of

42,480 specimens, . Vouchers are deposited in the LACM. Over this year of sampling, the fauna

of 99 species was essentially sampled to completion, as richness estimators predicted that

additional sampling would be expected to yield perhaps one additional new species [42]. We are

confident that this sampling regime represents nearly all the species in this lineage and locality

that would be captured using this sampling approach.

Abiotic data collection and processing

We continuously recorded air temperature, soil temperature, and relative humidity at each site

using a weather station adjacent to each trap (Onset HOBO U30 Station, Bourne, MA).

Additional details about abiotic data are in the electronic supplementary material.

Statistical Analyses

Correlations between environmental and climatic predictors: To determine the relative

contribution of urbanization and topography on microclimates across our study region, we used

two simple linear models to test whether impervious surface and/or elevation were predictive of

mean average annual air temperature at our sampling sites. In each model, mean average annual

temperature was the response variable, and impervious surface or elevation was the sole

predictor. We also evaluated whether differences in temperatures across sites were associated

with a coastal effect from the Pacific Ocean. Our hypothesis was that urban sites further away

from the coast would have warmer mean temperatures [50]. To test this, we also used a simple

linear model, with distances from our sites to the Pacific shoreline as the predictor and mean

average annual air temperature as the response variable.

Phorid fly abundance, richness, and evenness: We first calculated four response variables that

were each used as the responses in the modeling framework described below. First, we

calculated the total number of individuals caught per trap per day (abundance), species richness,

and Pielou evenness. Because the traps were in place for slightly different amounts of time

during some sampling periods, we divided each response variable by the number of days a trap

was left out, i.e., the total amount of time flies had access to a trap. One species, *M. agarici*,

constituted a substantial number of specimens in samples at many sites (and about one-quarter of

all individuals collected). Therefore, we included total individuals of this species captured per

trap per day as an additional response variable. (Many of the species in this study were only

recently described and their biology remains poorly known, and the current state of knowledge

[51] prevents us from using taxon-specific data, such as phylogeny, diet, as factors in the models

described below.)

As a preliminary step, we used model selection to minimize overfitting in the final

models. Specifically, we used model selection to identify the most parsimonious independent

variables describing effects of temperature, humidity, and urbanization on each response

variable. For each response, we built a series of linear mixed effects models in the *nlme* package

in R [52]. In each case below, we compared models with tightly correlated predictors describing

similar aspects of the urban environment and selected the parameter in the model with the lowest

AICc score to include in full models used for inference, i.e., to choose the response variable most

closely associated with the response. For each response variable, we compared three sets of

models. One set included mean RH (relative humidity), maximum RH, minimum RH, and no

humidity predictor. The second set of models selected from included mean temperature,

maximum temperature, minimum temperature, and no temperature predictor, and the final set

compared mean soil temperature, mean maximum soil temperature, mean minimum soil

temperature, and no temperature predictor. All climatic predictors represented average conditions

one week before sampling to represent the conditions most likely to affect phenology [53]. We

decided, depending on the shape of the response, whether to include a squared term to account

for non-linear responses of phorid flies to environmental variables. In all models built for final

model selection, we included latitude, longitude, and distance to the nearest natural, protected

area were included as fixed effects, and site was included as a random effect to account for

repeated sampling of flies at each site. To account for the composition of the matrix surrounding

each study site and to describe urbanization, we compared models that included impervious

surface cover, NDVI – each measured at a 50-m buffer as described above – and neither of these

(null model).

After selecting parameters for each response variable (species richness, *M. agarici*

abundance, total abundance, and evenness), we built one full model for each, for a total of four

models. In these models, we included each parameter chosen to represent urbanization,

temperature, and humidity, along with latitude, longitude, and distance to the nearest natural area

as fixed effects. Site was included as a random effect in all models. To determine if the effects of

temperature depended on water availability, and vice versa, we included an interaction between

the best temperature predictor and the best relative humidity predictor; these were subsequently

removed from all models because they were not significant. We did not include any interaction

effects that were not associated with explicit *a priori* hypotheses, because these interaction

effects often can be explained more directly by main effects of environmental variables, and

including these variables would be redundant and reduce the power of analyses of our main

effects. To determine if site proximity rather than environmental conditions may account for

responses we observed, we performed an analysis to test if spatial autocorrelation was observed

among sample sites. We examined abundance of phorid flies by date, for every date where >5

sites reported data, using Moran's I, applied to an inverse distance matrix of site co-ordinates as

the weighting factor [54].

Community composition: In addition to the univariate community responses, we also

conducted a non-metric multi-dimensional scaling (NMDS) analysis to examine patterns in

community composition, and fit environmental vectors to gain insights into drivers of these

patterns [55]. For this analysis, we used all captures of phorid flies at a given site, across the

whole sampling period. We culled all singletons (species represented by a single sample

throughout the entire study), a standard approach because the incidence of a singleton is

indistinguishable from a spurious occurrence [56]. The NMDS was conducted on the Bray-Curtis

distance calculated from the untransformed matrix of taxon-by-site using abundance values.

Environmental fit vectors were selected iteratively by comparing the fit statistics (Global R^2 and

p-value) within a group of related, and auto-correlated, parameters (e.g., minimum, maximum

and mean air temperatures, etc.).

Results

Correlations between environmental variables and urbanization: Measured mean annual

temperatures at our study sites were independent of impervious surface and elevation (Fig. 2;

impervious surface: y= -129+9x, $F_{1,28}$=1.12, p= 0.30; elevation: y= -45+4.5x, $F_{1,28}$= 0.02, p=

0.90), suggesting that neither urban land cover nor elevation drove urban temperatures.

Therefore, we conclude that, while L.A. may have a largescale urban heat island effect, other

unknown factors drive temperatures at the local scale. As expected, NDVI tracked impervious

surface, though these values were not closely related to the distance to natural areas (Fig. S1).

Contrary to expectations, temperatures measured at weather stations in urban backyards were not

significantly associated with their distances from the coast (y= 1.90+1.83e^{-5}, $F_{1,28}$= 0.48, p=

0.49).

Mean and peak biotic responses: Abundance and richness of phorid fly communities

throughout the city were best explained by air temperature (Table 1; Fig. 3). No other climatic

parameters had significant predictive value, aside for a lesser effect of relative humidity on

species richness (Table 1), and humidity slightly tracked temperature (Fig. S3). Phorid fly

abundance and richness responses to environmental conditions were nonlinear, with peaks at

intermediate mean weekly temperatures (Fig. S1). The factors affecting the abundance of the

most common species, *M. agarici*, were the same factors affecting total abundance (Table 1).

Evenness of phorid fly communities was weakly explained by mean minimum weekly

temperature and distance to natural areas, such that phorid fly communities in areas with lower

minimum temperatures and those that were further away from natural were more even (Table 1).

Latitude and longitude were associated with abiotic conditions (Figs. S4, S5), but spatial

autocorrelation was limited. Among all dates where sufficient data existed for autocorrelation

analysis (10 dates), one date (Week 6 of 2014) had significant spatial autocorrelation ($p= 0.037$),

suggesting that autocorrelation is rare in this system and may have been observed by chance.

Thus, after we accounted for spatial similarity of sites using latitude and longitude as described

above, no additional correction for spatial autocorrelation was needed.

Community composition: The effects of NDVI and mean minimum weekly temperature on

species composition were orthogonal, with a much greater effect of temperature (Fig. 4). Mean

minimum weekly temperature had the only significant vector, which also had the greatest

magnitude ($r^2= 0.26$, $p= 0.02$)

Discussion

We found that urbanization and climate are uniquely decoupled across the L.A. Basin.

Consistent with an earlier study [41], urban land cover does not influence local temperatures at

the fine scale of our sampling Because of this decoupling, we were able to independently assess

effects of local climate and urban land cover on phorid fly communities. We found that air

temperature had the most robust influence on the assembly of the phorid fly community, but that

different aspects of temperature were most closely associated with species abundance, richness,

and evenness. Local impervious surface and vegetation cover (NDVI), which represent

urbanization, did not outperform null models. We conclude that local climate, not urban land

cover, is the strongest driver of phorid fly community assembly across Los Angeles.

Higher mean temperatures were associated with increased phorid fly richness, until

around 20°C, where richness declined. We predicted that cooler sites would harbor more species

because warmer areas would be associated with reduced persistence of heat-intolerant species.

However, we find evidence that intermediate temperatures may support both heat-tolerant and -

intolerant species, and thus most phorid species in L.A. Many of the species in our study (e.g.,

M. halterata, *M. nigra*, and *M. pleuralis*) are probably introduced from areas of northern Europe

with cooler thermal conditions than L.A., which could also account in part for the loss of

abundance at higher mean temperatures. However, in general, the relative contributions of non-

native and native species to the patterns we observed are unclear. While we know that many

species of phorids in L.A. are native based on their species interactions and/or distributions (i.e.,

found only in certain parts of North America in well-studied groups), knowledge about phorid

fly distributions is inadequate to inform us to what extent non-native species contribute to the

patterns we observe.

While species richness was tied to mean temperature, evenness was weakly explained by

minimum temperature and distance to natural areas. The latter was included in the analysis

because it is well established that some species have habitat requirements involving larger

patches of land that are less urbanized. For example, the ant-decapitating guild of phorid flies are

only found within and adjacent to natural areas because these are locations in L.A. where their

hosts (species of *Camponotus*, *Crematogaster*, *Liometopum*, *Neivamyrmex*, *Pheidole,* and

Solenopsis) are found. Elsewhere, the host ants are typically displaced by invasive Argentine

ants [42,57]. We expected that species richness would drop with distance from natural areas, as

certain native species would be removed from the species pool. Instead, we found that species

evenness might be the result of a more complex process, in which communities become less even

further from natural areas, but not because of the loss of species from communities – distance

from natural areas did not predict richness – but rather because relative abundances may shift.

Our results suggest that a subset of species may benefit from living further from natural areas,

where perhaps there is less competition from species that may locally disperse into more

urbanized environments from source populations in more natural areas. While we predict this

may be the cause of less even communities further from natural, protected areas, further studies

on population genomics and niche overlap of phorid flies are needed to determine mechanisms

driving this pattern. In addition, sites with lower minimum temperatures support compositionally

different and more even communities than areas with higher minimum temperatures. We may

observe this pattern because a few species in the region have disproportionate fitness benefits

from warmer minima.

Species richness was also higher at intermediate relative humidities, and we suspect this

results from the benefits and drawbacks of wet climates for insects. Throughout the year, many

species showed large spikes in abundance. Among those with known life histories (as listed in

Fig. 4: *Chonocephalus bentacaisei, M. agarici, M. halterata, M. marquezi, M. nigra*, and *M.*

pleuralis) fungus-feeding larvae are overwhelmingly common. Sporophore eruptions can

produce hundreds of flies relatively quickly, as Brown & Hartop [58,59] estimated a single

mushroom cap contained 500 larvae of *M. marquezi*. We suspect abundance peaks for these

species are associated with the mass production of fungal sporophores in close proximity to our

sampling area, which are common after rain. However, we also suspect that very wet climates

may increase fungal disease incidence [60,61], such that highest phorid fly richness occurs at

intermediate relative humidity.

Urban landscapes are rarely designed to sustain biodiversity, though this is often an

idealized goal informed by research [62]. At very high levels of heat, abundance and diversity

declines, which is consistent with other studies suggesting that the urban heat island effect has

negative effects on many species [14,63,64]. As the global temperature increases, many of the

sites we sampled may also warm and therefore no longer support diverse phorid fly

communities, though this will depend on how quickly phorid flies can adapt to changing thermal

conditions. Even on short timescales, it is possible that the thermal limits of species have

evolved, so that animals in the warmer parts of the city are capable of tolerating warmer

conditions independent of ecotypic acclimation [65]. Given the rapid evolution of thermal

tolerance in other arthropods [65–67], and the short generation times of phorid flies, rapid

adaptation to climate change might be possible.

Our work was designed to test how the urban matrix surrounding sites in urban Los

Angeles affects insect biodiversity. Our analyses suggest that temperature is a more important

variable than surrounding urban land cover (impervious surface and NDVI densities) for

determining fly abundance and richness. However, a more detailed analysis of the specific

habitat types between potential source communities in protected, more natural sites and urban

sites might reveal patterns we have not tested for. Specifically, we predict that if protected areas

are source populations for urban fly biodiversity, protected areas and urban sites with more

hospitable habitat between (more NDVI, for example), may have higher fly diversity. Assessing

the specific land cover types between protected areas and urban sites is an important area for

future studies. We also note that our study did not take into account plant species composition,

including the amount of native vs. non-native plant cover. Prior work has shown that the amount

of native vegetation [68], vegetation complexity [69,70], and plant diversity [71] can drive urban

insect diversity. Investigating plant species composition of the matrix around sites and intra-

urban corridors among sites may help explain patterns in diversity that are not accounted for in

our analyses.Exhaustive biodiversity sampling has reaped substantial rewards in understanding

how environmental change across space affects biodiversity [72,73]. While labor intensive, our

approach created a foundational understanding of which species occur in the phorid fly

community, a presumably informative subset of the entire insect community. Baseline

knowledge of insect communities is a prerequisite for generating expected responses to

continued global change. These data are rare, but sampling programs like the one we describe

here could be replicated in other cities to build baselines that allow us to determine how biotic

change varies across background climates and habitat types. However, our robust sampling of

the L.A. Basin relied on collaboration between scientists and the public. Members of the

community hosted Malaise traps in their backyards, increasing the range of urban environments

available for sampling, and, importantly, reducing the resources and labor required for this

intensive sampling [74]. With continued public support, efforts such as ours could create long-

term data for describing species' long-term responses to urbanization and climate change

[75,76].

Authors' Contributions

The BioSCAN project was conceived and operated by BVB, and taxonomy and identification of

flies was conducted by BVB and EAH. Data curation and analyses were conducted by EKM and

CAB. Spatial data for analyses and maps were provided by EL. The manuscript was written by

TPM, with contributions from EKM, BVB, BJA, EL, and CAB.

Acknowledgments

BioSCAN is a large project, funded by the LACM, its donors, and grants from the Seaver

Foundation and the Rose Hills Foundation. We thank the many staff, supporters, and volunteers

who have made the project possible, especially Luis Chiappe, Victoria Dean, Esther Chao, Lisa

Gonzalez, Estella Hernandez, Lila Higgins, Tom Jacobson, Diane Naegele, Greg Pauly, Dean

Pentcheff, Chris Thacker, Jann Vendetti, Regina Wetzer, and Maria Wong. We thank the

families and representatives of organizations whose homes or yards were made available to us

for sampling, including Celeste Armstrong, Natalie Brejcha, Chris Cianci, Glen Creason, Teresa

Dahl, Betty Defibaugh, Julian Donahue, Candace Franco, Ray Fujioka, Victoria Harding, Tony

Hein, Peggy Hentschke, Sidney Higgins, Jim Hogue, Jeff Koch, Erin Johnson, Eric Keller,

Patricia Lombard, Pauline Louie, Humberto Marquez, Sharon Oxborough, Mark Pisano, Peter

Ralph, Walter Renwick, John Rodriguez, LaChristian Steptoe, and K.T. Wiegman. TPM was

supported by a sabbatical and RSCA awards from CSUDH and by NSF (OISE-1261015)

References

1.   Lundholm JT, Marlin A. 2006 Habitat origins and microhabitat preferences of urban plant

species. *Urban Ecosyst.* (doi:10.1007/s11252-006-8587-4)

2.   Aronson MFJ *et al.* 2014 A global analysis of the impacts of urbanization on bird and

plant diversity reveals key anthropogenic drivers. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.*

(doi:10.1098/rspb.2013.3330)

3.   McKinney ML. 2002 Urbanization, Biodiversity, and Conservation. *Bioscience*

(doi:10.1641/0006-3568(2002)052[0883:UBAC]2.0.CO;2)

4.   Gómez-Baggethun E *et al.* 2013 Urban Ecosystem Services. In *Urbanization, Biodiversity*

and Ecosystem Services: Challenges and Opportunities, (doi:10.1007/978-94-007-7088-1)

5.   Potter A, LeBuhn G. 2015 Pollination service to urban agriculture in San Francisco, CA.

Urban Ecosyst. (doi:10.1007/s11252-015-0435-y)

6.   Alberti M. 2005 The effects of urban patterns on ecosystem function. *Int. Reg. Sci. Rev.*

(doi:10.1177/0160017605275160)

7.   Shochat E, Warren PS, Faeth SH, McIntyre NE, Hope D. 2006 From patterns to emerging

processes in mechanistic urban ecology. *Trends Ecol. Evol.*

(doi:10.1016/j.tree.2005.11.019)

8.   Lin BB, Philpott SM, Jha S. 2015 The future of urban agriculture and biodiversity-

ecosystem services: Challenges and next steps. *Basic Appl. Ecol.*

(doi:10.1016/j.baae.2015.01.005)

9.   Yuan F, Bauer ME. 2007 Comparison of impervious surface area and normalized

difference vegetation index as indicators of surface urban heat island effects in Landsat

imagery. *Remote Sens. Environ.* **106**, 375–386.

(doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2006.09.003)

10.   Meineke EK, Dunn RR, Frank SD. 2014 Early pest development and loss of biological

control are associated with urban warming. *Biol. Lett.* **10**. (doi:10.1098/rsbl.2014.0586)

11.   Meineke EK, Frank SD. 2018 Water availability drives urban tree growth responses to

herbivory and warming. *J. Appl. Ecol.* (doi:10.1111/1365-2664.13130)

12.   Meineke E, Youngsteadt E, Dunn RR, Frank SD. 2016 Urban warming reduces

aboveground carbon storage. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* (doi:10.1098/rspb.2016.1574)

13.   Meineke EK, Holmquist AJ, Wimp GM, Frank SD. 2017 Changes in spider community

composition are associated with urban temperature, not herbivore abundance. *J. Urban*

Ecol. (doi:10.1093/jue/juw010)

14.   Hamblin AL, Youngsteadt E, López-Uribe MM, Frank SD. 2017 Physiological thermal

limits predict differential responses of bees to urban heat-island effects. *Biol. Lett.* **13**.

15.   Dale AG, Frank SD. 2018 Urban plants and climate drive unique arthropod interactions

with unpredictable consequences. *Curr. Opin. Insect Sci.*

(doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2018.06.001)

16.   Oke TR. 1973 City size and the urban heat island. *Atmos. Environ.* **7**, 769–779.

(doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/0004-6981(73)90140-6)

17.   IPCC. 2014 *Summary for Policymakers*. (doi:10.1017/CBO9781107415324)

18.   Meineke EK, Dunn RR, Sexton JO, Frank SD. 2013 Urban Warming Drives Insect Pest

Abundance on Street Trees. *PLoS One* **8**, 1–7. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0059687)

19.   Dale AG, Frank SD. 2014 Urban warming trumps natural enemy regulation of

herbivorous pests. *Ecol. Appl.* (doi:10.1890/13-1961.1)

20.   Tibbetts EA, Dale J. 2004 A socially enforced signal of quality in a paper wasp. **432**, 218–

222. (doi:10.1038/nature03004.1.)

21.   Dale AG, Frank SD. 2017 Warming and drought combine to increase pest insect fitness on

urban trees. *PLoS One* (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0173844)

22.   Neil K, Wu J. 2006 Effects of urbanization on plant flowering phenology: A review.

Urban Ecosyst. (doi:10.1007/s11252-006-9354-2)

23.   Somers KA, Bernhardt ES, Grace JB, Hassett BA, Sudduth EB, Wang S, Urban DL. 2013

Streams in the urban heat island: spatial and temporal variability in temperature. *Freshw.*

Sci. (doi:10.1899/12-046.1)

24.   Faeth SH, Bang C, Saari S. 2011 Urban biodiversity: Patterns and mechanisms. *Ann. N. Y.*

Acad. Sci. (doi:10.1111/j.1749-6632.2010.05925.x)

25.   Taha H. 1997 Urban climates and heat islands: albedo, evapotranspiration, and

anthropogenic heat. *Energy Build.* (doi:10.1016/S0378-7788(96)00999-1)

26.   Luo Z, Sun OJ, Ge Q, Xu W, Zheng J. 2007 Phenological responses of plants to climate

change in an urban environment. *Ecol. Res.* (doi:10.1007/s11284-006-0044-6)

27.   Youngsteadt E, Dale AG, Terando AJ, Dunn RR, Frank SD. 2015 Do cities simulate

climate change? A comparison of herbivore response to urban and global warming. *Glob.*

Chang. Biol. **21**, 97–105. (doi:10.1111/gcb.12692)

28.   Lahr EC, Dunn RR, Frank SD. 2018 Getting ahead of the curve: cities as surrogates for

global change. In *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*,

(doi:10.1098/rspb.2018.0643)

29.   Melillo JM *et al.* 2011 Soil warming, carbon-nitrogen interactions, and forest carbon

budgets. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* (doi:10.1073/pnas.1018189108)

30.   Rinnan R, Michelsen A, Bååth E, Jonasson S. 2007 Fifteen years of climate change

manipulations alter soil microbial communities in a subarctic heath ecosystem. *Glob.*

Chang. Biol. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01263.x)

31. Weng Q, Lu D, Schubring J. 2004 Estimation of land surface temperature-vegetation

abundance relationship for urban heat island studies. *Remote Sens. Environ.*

(doi:10.1016/j.rse.2003.11.005)

32. Cadenasso ML, Pickett STA, Schwarz K. 2007 Spatial heterogeneity in urban ecosystems:

Reconceptualizing land cover and a framework for classification. *Front. Ecol. Environ.*

(doi:10.1890/1540-9295(2007)5[80:SHIUER]2.0.CO;2)

33. Buyantuyev A, Wu J. 2010 Urban heat islands and landscape heterogeneity: Linking

spatiotemporal variations in surface temperatures to land-cover and socioeconomic

patterns. *Landsc. Ecol.* (doi:10.1007/s10980-009-9402-4)

34. McIntyre NE. 2000 Ecology of Urban Arthropods: A Review and a Call to Action. *Ann.*

Entomol. Soc. Am. (doi:10.1603/0013-8746(2000)093[0825:EOUAAR]2.0.CO;2)

35. Gardiner MM, Prajzner SP, Burkman CE, Albro S, Grewal PS. 2014 Vacant land

conversion to community gardens: Influences on generalist arthropod predators and

biocontrol services in urban greenspaces. *Urban Ecosyst.* (doi:10.1007/s11252-013-0303-

6)

36. Borkent A *et al.* 2018 Remarkable fly (Diptera) diversity in a patch of Costa Rican cloud

forest: Why inventory is a vital science. *Zootaxa.* (doi:10.11646/zootaxa.4402.1.3)

37. Brown B V. *et al.* 2018 Comprehensive inventory of true flies (Diptera) at a tropical site.

Commun. Biol. (doi:10.1038/s42003-018-0022-x)

38. Disney RHL. 1990 Some myths and the reality of scuttle fly biology. *Antenna*

39. Hartop EA, Brown B V, Disney RHL. 2015 Opportunity in our Ignorance: Urban

Biodiversity Study Reveals 30 New Species and One New Nearctic Record for Megaselia

(Diptera: Phoridae) in Los Angeles (California, USA). *Zootaxa; Vol 3941, No 4 2 Apr.*

2015 (doi:10.11646/zootaxa.3941.4.1)

40.   Hartop EA, Brown B V, Disney RHL. 2016 Flies from L.A., The Sequel: A further twelve

new species of Megaselia (Diptera: Phoridae) from the BioSCAN Project in Los Angeles

(California, USA). *Biodivers. Data J.* , e7756. (doi:10.3897/BDJ.4.e7756)

41.   Vahmani P, Ban-Weiss GA. 2016 Impact of remotely sensed albedo and vegetation

fraction on simulation of urban climate in WRF-urban canopy model: A case study of the

urban heat island in Los Angeles. *J. Geophys. Res.* (doi:10.1002/2015JD023718)

42.   Brown B V, Hartop EA. 2017 Big data from tiny flies: patterns revealed from over 42,000

phorid flies (Insecta: Diptera: Phoridae) collected over one year in Los Angeles,

California, USA. *Urban Ecosyst.* **20**, 521–534. (doi:10.1007/s11252-016-0612-7)

43.   Melles S, Glenn S, Martin K. 2003 Urban bird diversity and landscape complexity:

species–environment associations along a multiscale habitat gradient. *Conserv. Ecol.* **7**.

44.   Keeley JE, Swift CC. 2011 Biodiversity and Ecosystem Functioning in Mediterranean-

Climate California. (doi:10.1007/978-3-642-78881-9_3)

45.   Roth M, Oke TR, Emery WJ. 1989 Satellite-derived urban heat islands from three coastal

cities and the utilization of such data in urban climatology. *Int. J. Remote Sens.*

(doi:10.1080/01431168908904002)

46.   Witiw MR, LaDochy S. 2008 Trends in fog frequencies in the Los Angeles Basin. *Atmos.*

Res. (doi:10.1016/j.atmosres.2007.11.010)

47.   Townes H. 1972 A light-weight Malaise trap. *Entomol. News* **83**, 239–247.

48.   Cayelan CC, Cottingham KL. 2016 Cross-scale Perspectives: Integrating Long-term and

477   High-frequency Data into Our Understanding of Communities and Ecosystems. *Bull.*

478   *Ecol. Soc. Am.* **97**, 129–132. (doi:10.1002/bes2.1205)

479 49. NOAA. 2019 Climate Data Online. See https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/cdo-web/ (accessed

480   on 20 September 2001).

481 50. Warren II RJ, Bayba S, Krupp KT. 2018 Interacting effects of urbanization and coastal

482   gradients on ant thermal responses. *J. Urban Ecol.* (doi:10.1093/jue/juy026)

483 51. Hartop EA, Gonzalez LA, Brown B V. 2018 Backyard biodiversity: Unraveling life

484   histories of the new fly species discovered by the BioSCAN Project proves harder than

485   first assumed. *J. Negat. Results* **12**.

486 52. Pinheiro J, Bates D, DebRoy S, Sarkar D. 2007 *nlme: Linear and Nonlinear Mixed Effects*

487   *Models*.

488 53. Trumble JT, Pienkowski RL. 1979 Development and survival of Megaselia scalaris

489   (Diptera: Phoridae) at selected temperatures and photoperiods. *Proc Entomol Soc Wash*

490   **81**, 207–210.

491 54. Paradis E, Claude J, Strimmer K. 2004 APE: Analyses of phylogenetics and evolution in

492   R language. *Bioinformatics* (doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btg412)

493 55. Oksanen J *et al.* 2013 Vegan: community ecology package. *R Packag. Version 2.9*

494   (doi:10.1093/molbev/msv334)

495 56. Poos MS, Jackson DA. 2012 Addressing the removal of rare species in multivariate

496   bioassessments: The impact of methodological choices. *Ecol. Indic.*

497   (doi:10.1016/j.ecolind.2011.10.008)

498 57. Suarez A V, Case TJ. 2003 9 The Ecological Consequences of a Fragmentation Mediated

499   Invasion : The Argentine Ant , Linepithema humile , in Southern California. **162**.

500   58.   Hartop E, Brown B. 2014 The tip of the iceberg: a distinctive new spotted-wing Megaselia

501   species (Diptera: Phoridae) from a tropical cloud forest survey and a new, streamlined

502   method for Megaselia descriptions. *Biodivers. Data J.* (doi:10.3897/BDJ.2.e4093)

503   59.   Brown B V, Hartop EA. 2017 Mystery mushroom malingerers: Megaselia marquezi

504   Hartop et al. 2015 (Diptera: Phoridae). *Biodivers. Data J.* (doi:10.3897/BDJ.5.e15052)

505   60.   Araújo JPM, Hughes DP. 2016 Diversity of Entomopathogenic Fungi. Which Groups

506   Conquered the Insect Body? In *Advances in Genetics*, (doi:10.1016/bs.adgen.2016.01.001)

507   61.   Ramoska WA. 1984 The influence of relative humidity on Beauveria bassiana infectivity

508   and replication in the chinch bug, Blissus leucopterus. *J. Invertebr. Pathol.*

509   (doi:10.1016/0022-2011(84)90085-5)

510   62.   Dunn RR, Gavin MC, Sanchez MC, Solomon JN. 2006 The pigeon paradox: Dependence

511   of global conservation on urban nature. *Conserv. Biol.* (doi:10.1111/j.1523-

512   1739.2006.00533.x)

513   63.   Dale AG, Frank SD. 2018 Urban plants and climate drive unique arthropod interactions

514   with unpredictable consequences. *Curr. Opin. Insect Sci.*

515   (doi:10.1016/J.COIS.2018.06.001)

516   64.   Youngsteadt E, Ernst AF, Dunn RR, Frank SD. 2017 Responses of arthropod populations

517   to warming depend on latitude: evidence from urban heat islands. *Glob. Chang. Biol.*

518   (doi:10.1111/gcb.13550)

519   65.   Diamond SE, Chick L, Perez A, Strickler SA, Martin RA. 2017 Rapid evolution of ant

520   thermal tolerance across an urban-rural temperature cline. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* **121**, 248–

521   257. (doi:10.1093/biolinnean/blw047)

66.   I. BK, Luc DM. 2018 City life on fast lanes: urbanization induces an evolutionary shift

towards a faster life style in the water flea Daphnia. *Funct. Ecol.* **0**. (doi:10.1111/1365-

2435.13184)

67. Tüzün N, Op de Beeck L, Brans KI, Janssens L, Stoks R. 2017 Microgeographic

differentiation in thermal performance curves between rural and urban populations of an

aquatic insect. *Evol. Appl.* (doi:10.1111/eva.12512)

68. Burghardt KT, Tallamy DW, Gregory Shriver W. 2009 Impact of native plants on bird

and butterfly biodiversity in suburban landscapes. *Conserv. Biol.* (doi:10.1111/j.1523-

1739.2008.01076.x)

69. Beninde J, Veith M, Hochkirch A. 2015 Biodiversity in cities needs space: A meta-

analysis of factors determining intra-urban biodiversity variation. *Ecol. Lett.*

(doi:10.1111/ele.12427)

70. Snep RPH, Opdam PFM, Baveco JM, WallisDeVries MF, Timmermans W, Kwak RGM,

Kuypers V. 2006 How peri-urban areas can strengthen animal populations within cities: A

modeling approach. *Biol. Conserv.* (doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2005.06.034)

71. Kearns CA, Oliveras DM. 2009 Environmental factors affecting bee diversity in urban and

remote grassland plots in Boulder, Colorado. *J. Insect Conserv.* (doi:10.1007/s10841-009-

9215-4)

72. Longino JT, Colwell RK. 1997 Biodiversity assessment using structured inventory:

Capturing the ant fauna of a tropical rain forest. *Ecol. Appl.* (doi:10.1890/1051-

0761(1997)007[1263:BAUSIC]2.0.CO;2)

73. Hallmann CA *et al.* 2017 More than 75 percent decline over 27 years in total flying insect

biomass in protected areas. *PLoS One* (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0185809)

74. Dickinson JL, Zuckerberg B, Bonter DN. 2010 Citizen Science as an Ecological Research

Tool: Challenges and Benefits. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* (doi:10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-

102209-144636)

75. Wolkovich EM, Regetz J, O'Connor MI. 2012 Advances in global change research

require open science by individual researchers. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* (doi:10.1111/j.1365-

2486.2012.02693.x)

76. Theobald EJ *et al.* 2015 Global change and local solutions: Tapping the unrealized

potential of citizen science for biodiversity research. *Biol. Conserv.*

(doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2014.10.021)

Table 1. Full model evaluation of phorid fly biodiversity across 30 sites in urban Los Angeles.

Response variable	Model parameter	Estimate	SEM	DF	T	p
Abundance	(Intercept)	87.751	488.103	313	0.18	0.857
	log(min RH^2)	0.000	0.000	313	-0.22	0.827
	min RH	-0.009	0.017	313	-0.49	0.622
	log(min soil T^2)	0.000	0.002	313	-0.19	0.849
	min soil T	0.048	0.065	313	0.74	0.459
	log(mean air T^2)	-0.019	0.003	313	-5.79	<0.0001
	mean air T	0.673	0.133	313	5.07	<0.0001
	Latitude	1.805	2.857	25	0.63	0.533
	Longitude	1.293	3.728	25	0.35	0.732
	distance to protected area	0.000	0.001	25	0.21	0.839

Richness	(Intercept)	337.215	501.628	313	0.67	0.502
	log(mean RH^2)	-0.001	0.000	313	-3.27	0.001
	mean RH	0.109	0.034	313	3.25	0.001
	log(min soil T^2)	-0.003	0.002	313	-1.33	0.185
	min soil T	0.078	0.068	313	1.15	0.251
	log(mean air T^2)	-0.017	0.003	313	-4.88	<0.0001
	mean air T	0.637	0.141	313	4.53	<0.0001
	Latitude	2.883	2.936	25	0.98	0.336
	Longitude	3.745	3.831	25	0.98	0.338
	distance to protected area	0.000	0.001	25	-0.08	0.935
Evenness	(Intercept)	107.709	58.598	222	1.84	0.067
	I(temp_air_min^2)	0.001	0.001	222	1.70	0.091
	temp_air_min	-0.024	0.012	222	-1.98	0.049
	Latitude	-0.306	0.348	25	-0.88	0.388
	Longitude	0.818	0.446	25	1.83	0.079
	distance to protected area	-0.00014	0.00007	25	-2.15	0.042
M. agarici abundance	(Intercept)	-72.628	390.116	313	-0.19	0.852
	log(min RH^2)	0.000	0.000	313	0.71	0.476
	min RH	-0.013	0.014	313	-0.89	0.375
	log(min soil T^2)	-0.002	0.002	313	-1.05	0.296
	min soil T	0.025	0.053	313	0.47	0.640
	log(mean air T^2)	-0.014	0.003	313	-5.13	<0.0001
	mean air T	0.532	0.109	313	4.90	<0.0001

impervious surface	0.885	0.721	24	1.23	0.232
Latitude	2.631	2.351	24	1.12	0.274
Longitude	0.178	3.070	24	0.06	0.954
distance to protected area	0.000	0.000	24	0.66	0.513

558   **Figure 1. Map of BioSCAN sites where phorid flies and climatic variables were sampled.**

559   Dot size represents mean daily phorid fly species caught in traps, and color represents mean

560   annual air temperatures.

Figure 2. Thermal responses to landscape characteristics. Mean annual air temperature is not

associated with a) impervious surface, nor with b) elevation.

Figure 3. Phorid fly abundance and richness responses to temperature. a) Total abundance

of phorid flies caught in each trap per sampling period, b) abundance of the most prevalent

species, *M. agaraci*, and c) total species richness per sampling period. The x-axis represents

mean air temperature the week prior to sampling, and regression lines represent best fits.

Figure 4. Non-metric multidimensional scaling of phorid fly communities from urban Los

Angeles backyard sampling stations. The species are superimposed with environmental fit

vectors for minimum air temperature (r^2= 0.26, p= 0.02), normalized difference vegetation index

within a 50-meter radius of the site (NDVI; r^2= 0.03, p= 0.65), distance to the nearest natural

area (r^2= 0.01, p= 0.92), relative humidity (r^2= 0.04, p= 0.63), latitude (r^2=0.04, p=0.61) and

longitude (r^2= 0.04, p= 0.56). Most common species names are plotted (with captures >500

individuals). NMDS 2D stress= 0.13.