Temperature accounts for the biodiversity of a hyperdiverse group of insects in urban Los

Angeles

Terrence P. McGlynn[1,2] Emily K. Meineke[3], Christie A. Bahlai[4], Enjie Li[2], Emily A. Hartop[2,5],

Benjamin J. Adams[2], and Brian V. Brown[2]

[1] *Department of Biology, California State University Dominguez Hills, Carson, CA 90747, USA*
[2] *Urban Nature Research Center, Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County, Los Angeles, CA 90007, USA*
[3] *Department of Organismic and Evolutionary Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA*
[4] *Department of Biological Sciences, Kent State University, Kent, OH 44242, USA.*
[5] *Department of Zoology, Stockholm University, SE-106 91 Stockholm, Sweden*

**Abstract**

The urban heat island effect is a worldwide phenomenon that has been linked to species'

distributions and abundances in cities. However, effects of urban heat on biotic communities are

nearly impossible to disentangle from effects of land cover in most cases because hotter urban

sites also have less vegetation and more impervious surfaces than cooler sites within cities. We

sampled phorid flies, one of the largest, most biologically diverse families of true flies (Insecta:

Diptera: Phoridae), at 30 sites distributed across the central Los Angeles Basin, where we found

that temperature and the density of urban land cover are decoupled. Abundance, richness, and

community composition of phorids were most parsimoniously accounted for by mean air

temperature in the week preceding sampling. Sites with intermediate mean temperatures had

more phorid fly individuals and higher richness. Communities became more even with lower

minimum temperatures and further away from natural areas, suggesting that communities further

away from natural source populations may be more homogenized. Species composition was best

explained by minimum temperature. Inasmuch as warmer areas within cities can predict future

effects of climate change, phorid fly communities are likely to shift non-linearly under future

climates in more natural areas. Exhaustive surveys of biotic communities, such as the one we

describe here, can provide baselines for determining the effects of urban and global climate

warming as they intensify.

Keywords: climate change, species richness, phorid fly, urban heat island

**Introduction**

Urban development is accelerating with uncertain effects on biodiversity. While many species do not persist in urban areas, cities can support a surprising range of native and even threatened taxa [1]. Thus, determining conditions within cities that affect species persistence is increasingly a focus of ecological research from fundamental and conservation perspectives [2–4]. However, isolating specific drivers of biodiversity in cities has proven difficult because organisms in cities experience a range of novel conditions that may alter their abundances and distributions [5–7]. Therefore, for most animal taxa, specific mechanisms driving community assembly in cities remain unknown.

The urban heat island effect is a prevalent phenomenon in cities, and growing evidence shows that urban heat can alter species richness, abundance, and community composition [8–14]. Urbanization causes cities to be as much as 12˚C hotter than adjacent areas [15], which is on par or above warming anticipated by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change over the next several decades [16]. In certain cities, urban warming can also operate at local scales, creating thermal mosaics within the urban matrix (e.g., [17–20]). Despite the short history of research on the biotic effects of urban heat, researchers have found important patterns across diverse taxa [6,9–12,21–23]. For example, remnant native plant communities in urban environments may be altered under warming conditions, favoring more xerophilic species [24,25].

Because temperatures in cities match or exceed those expected under future climate change, researchers have suggested that thermal gradients within cities might allow us to predict biotic responses to the future climate warming [26,27]. Cities might be useful proxies for climate warming because urban heat has been in place for decades to centuries, and large scale, controlled warming experiments in more natural areas are often impractical (but see [28,29]).

However, urban heat might not be an appropriate proxy for broader climate warming because

other aspects of urbanization might also have strong effects on species. Perhaps most

importantly, land cover (impervious surfaces, vegetation) and urban heat tend to covary, making

it impossible to separate their effects on biological processes. Hot urban environments often have

more impervious surface, less vegetation cover, and lower vegetation complexity [30–32]. While

researchers have used various useful approaches to determine effects of urban warming alone –

e.g., laboratory chamber experiments [10,17] – actually separating effects of land cover and

temperature in the city could provide insight into whether biotic responses are more attributable

to temperature or other aspects of urbanization.

Insects are highly responsive to temperature, are a foundational component of terrestrial

biodiversity, and provide a range of services and disservices within cities [33,34]. As insects are

ectotherms, they have elevated metabolic and reproductive rates in response to warming until

their thermal maxima are reached [13]. One of the most abundant animals in terrestrial

environments are phorid flies [35,36], which are responsive to thermal conditions, but also feed

on a wide range of resources and develop and occupy a tremendous variety of microhabitats [37].

Cities can support hyperdiverse communities of phorid flies, with dozens of species recently

described from central Los Angeles [38,39]. With a small body size (0.4-6 mm) and presumably

short dispersal distances, we would expect phorid fly biodiversity to finely track microclimatic

conditions in the urban environment, relative to less ephemeral or larger-sized organisms.

Here we evaluate the spatial and temporal predictors of phorid fly biodiversity across

central Los Angeles, CA, USA, hereafter we refer to as L.A. In this project, species were

sampled exhaustively [40], and 30 new species of flies were described from L.A. from this

dataset in 2015 [38]. We leverage the complete documentation of this diverse group to determine

effects of urban land development and climate in a city where we found these variables are

uniquely decoupled. We sampled phorid flies and site environmental conditions in 30 locations

throughout a calendar year to evaluate biodiversity responses to thermal and urbanization

gradients in the L.A. metropolitan area. By measuring temperature and moisture variables at a

very fine scale to match the habitat occupied by the organisms [41], we achieve a biologically

relevant understanding of how local climatic factors vary across an iconic urban habitat.

**Methods**

*Study design and insect sampling procedures*

We placed a series of Malaise traps [42] (Townes lightweight model Sante Traps,

Lexington, KY) in 30 sites throughout central L.A. (Fig. 1). The distribution of the sites was

designed to capture a range of biotic and abiotic gradients in the urban environment as part of the

BioSCAN (Biodiversity Science: City and Nature) project of the Natural History Museum of Los

Angeles County (LACM). The initial findings from this sampling are described by Brown and

Hartop [40], who provide a detailed description of each site featured in the study. For each of

twelve sampling periods (approximately the first week of each month), we collected and

identified all phorid flies in samples to species, resulting in a total of 42,480 specimens.

Vouchers are deposited in the LACM. Over this year of sampling, the fauna was essentially

sampled to completion, as richness estimators predicted that additional sampling would be

expected to yield perhaps one additional new species [40]. We are confident that this sampling

regime represents nearly all the species in this lineage that would be captured using this sampling

approach.

*Abiotic data collection and processing*

We continuously recorded air temperature, soil temperature, and relative humidity at each

site using a weather station adjacent to each trap (Onset HOBO U30 Station, Bourne, MA).

Weather stations were regularly maintained, but like most sensor-based ecological monitoring,

gaps in reading due to occasional equipment failure were common [43]. We addressed these gaps

through a series of down-sampling and estimation methods. First, data for readings of each type

were subjected to quality control to remove impossible values, and flag improbable values for

additional scrutiny. Then, to eliminate small gaps in the data, because readings were taken at

five-minute intervals, we averaged all readings for each measure by hour. We culled out

observations from time periods where fewer than 18 of the 30 sites were reporting data

(primarily occurring during experimental set-up and take down).  Following this process, null

observations (gaps) represented an average of 4% of site-by-hour observations, and 11 of the 30

sites had no gaps.

Because many sites were near to each other and behaved similarly (although not

identically) from an environmental standpoint, we estimated missing data first by using principal

component analysis to rank sites, pairwise, by their similarity. Then, for each site with missing

values for a given environmental response variable, we ran a linear model between that variable

and the same variable from the most similar site. Then, based on the regression parameters

observed, we estimated the values of the missing data, and substituted them for the missing value

code into the weather data frame. We repeated this process for each site and variable

combination where missing values were observed, and in the rare occasion where this process

did not address all the gaps, we repeated this process by pairing the site with missing data from

the second most similar site.

We estimated distance to the closest natural area by calculating the distance to the nearest

boundary of an area recorded in the California Protected Area Database (CPAD). CPAD contains

lands protected under conservation easements and open space, such as national/state/regional

parks, forests, preserves, and wildlife areas. As estimates of urbanization, we used 2016 -2017

U.S. Geological Survey Landsat 7 surface reflectance and the National Land Cover Database

2011 Percent Developed Imperviousness Layer to calculate the average Normalized Difference

Vegetation Index (NDVI) and the percentage of impervious surface at each site. A 50-meter

buffer was used for data extraction to represent the local environment.

*Statistical Analyses*

**Correlations between environmental and climatic predictors:** To determine the relative

contribution of urbanization and topography on microclimates across our study region, we used

two simple linear models to test whether impervious surface and/or elevation were predictive of

mean annual air temperature at our sampling sites.

**Phorid fly abundance, richness, and evenness:** As the first set of response variables, we

calculated the total number of individuals caught per trap per day (abundance), species richness,

and Pielou evenness. Because the traps were in place for slightly different amounts of time

during some sampling periods, we divided each response variable by the number of days a trap

was left out. One species, *M. agarici*, constituted a substantial number of specimens in samples

at many sites (and about one-quarter of all individuals collected). Therefore, we included total

individuals of this species captured per trap per day as an additional response variable. Many of

the species in this study were only recently described and their biology remains poorly known,

and the current state of knowledge [44] prevents us from using taxon-specific data (such as

phylogeny, diet) as factors in the model.

To minimize overfitting, we conducted model selection to identify the most parsimonious

independent variables describing effects of temperature, humidity, and urbanization on each

response variable. For each response, we built a series of linear mixed effects models in the *nlme*

package in R [45]. In all models, latitude, longitude, and distance to the nearest natural, protected

area were included as fixed effects, and site was included as a random effect to account for

repeated sampling of flies at each site. In each case below, we compared models with tightly

correlated predictors describing similar aspects of the urban environment and selected the

parameter in the model with the lowest AICc score to include in full models used for inference

(see below). We decided, depending on the shape of the response, whether to include a squared

term to account for non-linear responses of phorid flies to environmental variables. To describe

urbanization, we compared models that included impervious surface cover, NDVI – each

measured at a 50-m buffer as described above – and neither of these (null model). For each

response, we also compared models including mean RH (relative humidity), maximum RH,

minimum RH, and no humidity predictor. Similarly, we compared separate models including

mean temperature, maximum temperature, minimum temperature, and no temperature predictor,

and we compared another set of models of mean soil temperature, mean maximum soil

temperature, mean minimum soil temperature, and no temperature predictor. All climatic

predictors represented average conditions one week before sampling to represent the conditions

most likely to affect phenology [46].

After selecting parameters, we built one full model for each response variable (species

richness, *M. agarici* abundance, total abundance, and evenness) for a total of four models. In

these models, we included each parameter chosen to represent urbanization, temperature, and

humidity, along with latitude, longitude, and distance to the nearest natural area as fixed effects.

Site was included as a random effect. To determine if site proximity rather than environmental

conditions may account for responses we observed, we performed an analysis to test

if spatial autocorrelation was observed among sample sites. We examined abundance of phorid

flies by date, for every date where >5 sites reported data, using Moran's I, applied to an inverse

distance matrix of site co-ordinates as the weighting factor [47].

**Community composition:** In addition to the univariate community responses, we also

conducted a non-metric multi-dimensional scaling (NMDS) analysis to examine patterns in

community composition, and fit environmental vectors to gain insights into drivers of these

patterns [48]. For this analysis, we used all captures of phorid flies at a given site, across the

whole sampling period. We culled all singletons (species represented by a single sample

throughout the entire study), a standard approach because the incidence of a singleton is

indistinguishable from a spurious occurrence [49]. The NMDS was conducted on the Bray-Curtis

distance calculated from the untransformed matrix of taxon-by-site using abundance values.

Environmental fit vectors were selected iteratively by comparing the fit statistics (Global $R^2$ and

p-value) within a group of related, and auto-correlated, parameters (e.g., minimum, maximum

and mean air temperatures, etc.).

**Results**

**Correlations between environmental variables and urbanization:** Measured mean annual

temperatures at our study sites were independent of impervious surface and elevation (Fig. 2;

impervious surface: y= -129+9 x, $F_{1,28}$=1.12, *p*= 0.30; elevation: y= -45+4.5x, $F_{1,28}$= 0.02, *p*=

0.90), suggesting that neither urban land cover nor elevation drove urban temperatures.

Therefore, we conclude that, while L.A. may have a large scale urban heat island effect, other

unknown factors drive temperatures at the local scale. As expected, NDVI tracked impervious

surface, though these values were not closely related to the distance to natural areas (Fig. 3)

**Mean and peak biotic responses:** Abundance and richness of phorid fly communities

throughout the city were best explained by air temperature (Table 1). No other climatic

parameters had significant predictive value, aside for a lesser effect of relative humidity on

species richness (Table 1), and humidity slightly tracked temperature (Fig. S1). Phorid fly

abundance and richness responses to environmental conditions were nonlinear, with peaks at

intermediate mean weekly temperatures (Fig. 4). The factors affecting the abundance of the

most common species, *M. agarici*, were the same factors affecting total abundance (Table 1).

Evenness of phorid fly communities was weakly explained by mean minimum weekly

temperature and distance to natural areas, such that phorid fly communities in areas with lower

minimum temperatures and those that were further away from natural were more even (Table 1).

Latitude and longitude were associated with abiotic conditions (Figs. S2, S3), but spatial

autocorrelation was limited. Among all dates where sufficient data existed for autocorrelation

analysis (10 dates), one date (Week 6 of 2014) had significant spatial autocorrelation (*p*= 0.037),

suggesting that autocorrelation is rare in this system and may have been observed by chance.

Thus, after we accounted for spatial similarity of sites using latitude and longitude as described

above, no additional correction for spatial autocorrelation was needed.

**Community composition:** The effects of NDVI and mean minimum weekly temperature on species composition were orthogonal, with a much greater effect of temperature (Fig. 5). Mean minimum weekly temperature had the only significant vector, which also had the greatest magnitude ($r^2 = 0.26$, $p = 0.02$)

## Discussion

We found that urbanization and climate are uniquely decoupled across the L.A. Basin. While distance to the coast appears to affect air temperature (see Fig. 1), urban land cover does not influence local temperatures at the fine scale of our sampling [50]. Because of this decoupling, we were able to independently assess effects of local climate and urban land cover on phorid fly communities. We found that air temperature had the most robust influence on the assembly of the phorid fly community, but that different aspects of temperature were most closely associated with species abundance, richness, and evenness. Local impervious surface and vegetation cover (NDVI), which represent urbanization, did not outperform null models. We conclude that local climate, not urban land cover, is the strongest driver of phorid fly community assembly across Los Angeles.

Higher mean temperatures were associated with increased phorid fly richness, until around 20°C, where richness declined. We predicted that cooler sites would harbor more species because warmer areas would be associated with reduced persistence of heat-intolerant species. However, potentially because temperatures in L.A. appear to be driven by a natural gradient (distance to coast) rather than urbanization and has therefore been in place for a long time, we find evidence that intermediate temperatures may support both heat-tolerant and -intolerant species, and thus most phorid species in L.A. Many of the species in our study (e.g., *M.*

*halterata*, *M. nigra*, and *M. pleuralis*) are probably introduced from areas of northern Europe

with cooler thermal conditions than L.A., which could also account in part for the loss of

abundance at higher mean temperatures. However, in general, the relative contributions of non-

native and native species to the patterns we observed are unclear. While we know that many

species of phorids in L.A. are native based on their species interactions and/or distributions (i.e.,

found only in certain parts of North America in well-studied groups), knowledge about phorid

fly distributions is inadequate to inform us to what extent non-native species contribute to the

patterns we observe.

While species richness was tied to mean temperature, evenness was weakly explained by

minimum temperature and distance to natural areas. The latter was included in the analysis

because it is well established that some species have habitat requirements involving larger

patches of land that are less urbanized. For example, the ant-decapitating guild of phorid flies are

only found within and adjacent to natural areas because these are locations in L.A. where their

hosts (species of *Camponotus*, *Crematogaster*, *Liometopum*, *Neivamyrmex*, *Pheidole,* and

*Solenopsis*) are found. Elsewhere, the host ants are typically displaced by invasive Argentine

ants [40,51]. We expected that species richness would drop with distance from natural areas, as

certain native species would be removed from the species pool. Instead, we found that species

evenness might be the result of a more complex process, in which communities become less even

further from natural areas, but not because of the loss of species from communities – distance

from natural areas did not predict richness – but rather because relative abundances may shift.

Our results suggest that a subset of species may benefit from living further from natural areas,

where perhaps there is less competition from species that may locally disperse into more

urbanized environments from source populations in more natural areas. While we predict this

may be the cause of less even communities further from natural, protected areas, further studies

on population genomics and niche overlap of phorid flies are needed to determine mechanisms

driving this pattern. In addition, sites with lower minimum temperatures support compositionally

different and more even communities than areas with higher minimum temperatures. We may

observe this pattern because a few species in the region have disproportionate fitness benefits

from warmer minima.

Species richness was also higher at intermediate relative humidities, and we suspect this

results from the benefits and drawbacks of wet climates for insects. Throughout the year, many

species showed large spikes in abundance. Among those with known life histories (as listed in

Fig. 5: *Chonocephalus bentacaisei*, *M. agarici*, *M. halterata*, *M. marquezi*, *M. nigra*, and *M.*

*pleuralis*) fungus-feeding larvae are overwhelmingly common. Sporophore eruptions can

produce hundreds of flies relatively quickly, as Brown & Hartop [52,53] estimated a single

mushroom cap contained 500 larvae of *M. marquezi*. We suspect abundance peaks for these

species are associated with the mass production of fungal sporophores in close proximity to our

sampling area, which are common after rain. However, we also suspect that very wet climates

may increase fungal disease incidence [54,55], such that highest phorid fly richness occurs at

intermediate relative humidity.

Urban landscapes are rarely designed to sustain biodiversity, though this is often an

idealized goal informed by research [56]. At very high levels of heat, abundance and diversity

declines, which is consistent with other studies suggesting that the urban heat island effect has

negative effects on many species [13,57,58]. As the global temperature increases, many of the

sites we sampled may also warm and therefore no longer support diverse phorid fly

communities, though this will depend on how quickly phorid flies can adapt to changing thermal

conditions. Even on short timescales, it is possible that the thermal limits of species have

evolved, so that animals in the warmer parts of the city are capable of tolerating warmer

conditions independent of ecotypic acclimation [59]. Given the rapid evolution of thermal

tolerance in other arthropods [59–61], and the short generation times of phorid flies, rapid

adaptation to climate change might be possible.

Exhaustive biodiversity sampling has reaped substantial rewards in understanding how

environmental change across space affects biodiversity [62,63]. While labor intensive, our

approach created a foundational understanding of which species occur in the phorid fly

community, a presumably informative subset of the entire insect community. Baseline

knowledge of insect communities is a prerequisite for generating expected responses to

continued global change. These data are rare, but sampling programs like the one we describe

here could be replicated in other cities to build baselines that allow us to determine how biotic

change varies across background climates and habitat types. However, our robust sampling of

the L.A. Basin relied on collaboration between scientists and the public. Members of the

community hosted Malaise traps in their backyards, increasing the range of urban environments

available for sampling, and, importantly, reducing the resources and labor required for this

intensive sampling [64]. With continued public support, efforts such as ours could create long-

term data for describing species' long-term responses to urbanization and climate change

[65,66].

**Authors' Contributions**

The BioSCAN project was conceived and operated by BVB, and taxonomy and identification of

flies was conducted by BVB and EAH. Data curation and analyses were conducted by EKM and

CAB. Spatial data for analyses and maps were provided by EL. The manuscript was written by

TPM, with contributions from EKM, BVB, BJA, EL, and CAB.

**Acknowledgments**

BioSCAN is a large project, funded by the LACM, its donors, and grants from the Seaver

Foundation and the Rose Hills Foundation. We thank the many staff, supporters, and volunteers

who have made the project possible, especially Luis Chiappe, Victoria Dean, Esther Chao, Lisa

Gonzalez, Estella Hernandez, Lila Higgins, Tom Jacobson, Diane Naegele, Greg Pauly. Dean

Pentcheff, Chris Thacker, Jann Vendetti, Regina Wetzer, and Maria Wong. We thank the

families and representatives of organizations whose homes or yards were made available to us

for sampling, including Celeste Armstrong, Natalie Brejcha, Chris Cianci, Glen Creason, Teresa

Dahl, Betty Defibaugh, Julian Donahue, Candace Franco, Ray Fujioka, Victoria Harding, Tony

Hein, Peggy Hentschke, Sidney Higgins, Jim Hogue, Jeff Koch, Erin Johnson, Eric Keller,

Patricia Lombard, Pauline Louie, Humberto Marquez, Sharon Oxborough, Mark Pisano, Peter

Ralph, Walter Renwick, John Rodriguez, LaChristian Steptoe, and K.T. Wiegman. TPM was

supported by a sabbatical and RSCA awards from CSUDH and by NSF (OISE-1261015)

**References**

1.    Lundholm JT, Marlin A. 2006 Habitat origins and microhabitat preferences of urban plant

species. *Urban Ecosyst.* (doi:10.1007/s11252-006-8587-4)

2.    McKinney ML. 2002 Urbanization, Biodiversity, and Conservation. *Bioscience*

(doi:10.1641/0006-3568(2002)052[0883:UBAC]2.0.CO;2)

3.    Gómez-Baggethun E *et al.* 2013 Urban Ecosystem Services. In *Urbanization, Biodiversity*

335 *and Ecosystem Services: Challenges and Opportunities*, (doi:10.1007/978-94-007-7088-1)

336 4. Potter A, LeBuhn G. 2015 Pollination service to urban agriculture in San Francisco, CA.

337  *Urban Ecosyst.* (doi:10.1007/s11252-015-0435-y)

338 5. Alberti M. 2005 The effects of urban patterns on ecosystem function. *Int. Reg. Sci. Rev.*

339  (doi:10.1177/0160017605275160)

340 6. Shochat E, Warren PS, Faeth SH, McIntyre NE, Hope D. 2006 From patterns to emerging

341  processes in mechanistic urban ecology. *Trends Ecol. Evol.*

342  (doi:10.1016/j.tree.2005.11.019)

343 7. Lin BB, Philpott SM, Jha S. 2015 The future of urban agriculture and biodiversity-

344  ecosystem services: Challenges and next steps. *Basic Appl. Ecol.*

345  (doi:10.1016/j.baae.2015.01.005)

346 8. Yuan F, Bauer ME. 2007 Comparison of impervious surface area and normalized

347  difference vegetation index as indicators of surface urban heat island effects in Landsat

348  imagery. *Remote Sens. Environ.* **106**, 375–386.

349  (doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2006.09.003)

350 9. Meineke EK, Dunn RR, Frank SD. 2014 Early pest development and loss of biological

351  control are associated with urban warming. *Biol. Lett.* **10**. (doi:10.1098/rsbl.2014.0586)

352 10. Meineke EK, Frank SD. 2018 Water availability drives urban tree growth responses to

353  herbivory and warming. *J. Appl. Ecol.* (doi:10.1111/1365-2664.13130)

354 11. Meineke E, Youngsteadt E, Dunn RR, Frank SD. 2016 Urban warming reduces

355  aboveground carbon storage. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* (doi:10.1098/rspb.2016.1574)

356 12. Meineke EK, Holmquist AJ, Wimp GM, Frank SD. 2017 Changes in spider community

357  composition are associated with urban temperature, not herbivore abundance. *J. Urban*

*Ecol.* (doi:10.1093/jue/juw010)

13.   Hamblin AL, Youngsteadt E, López-Uribe MM, Frank SD. 2017 Physiological thermal

limits predict differential responses of bees to urban heat-island effects. *Biol. Lett.* **13**.

14.   Dale AG, Frank SD. 2018 Urban plants and climate drive unique arthropod interactions

with unpredictable consequences. *Curr. Opin. Insect Sci.*

(doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2018.06.001)

15.   Oke TR. 1973 City size and the urban heat island. *Atmos. Environ.* **7**, 769–779.

(doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/0004-6981(73)90140-6)

16.   IPCC. 2014 *Summary for Policymakers*. (doi:10.1017/CBO9781107415324)

17.   Meineke EK, Dunn RR, Sexton JO, Frank SD. 2013 Urban Warming Drives Insect Pest

Abundance on Street Trees. *PLoS One* **8**, 1–7. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0059687)

18.   Dale AG, Frank SD. 2014 Urban warming trumps natural enemy regulation of

herbivorous pests. *Ecol. Appl.* (doi:10.1890/13-1961.1)

19.   Tibbetts EA, Dale J. 2004 A socially enforced signal of quality in a paper wasp. **432**, 218–

222. (doi:10.1038/nature03004.1.)

20.   Dale AG, Frank SD. 2017 Warming and drought combine to increase pest insect fitness on

urban trees. *PLoS One* (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0173844)

21.   Neil K, Wu J. 2006 Effects of urbanization on plant flowering phenology: A review.

*Urban Ecosyst.* (doi:10.1007/s11252-006-9354-2)

22.   Somers KA, Bernhardt ES, Grace JB, Hassett BA, Sudduth EB, Wang S, Urban DL. 2013

Streams in the urban heat island: spatial and temporal variability in temperature. *Freshw.*

*Sci.* (doi:10.1899/12-046.1)

23.   Faeth SH, Bang C, Saari S. 2011 Urban biodiversity: Patterns and mechanisms. *Ann. N. Y.*

*Acad. Sci.* (doi:10.1111/j.1749-6632.2010.05925.x)

24.    Taha H. 1997 Urban climates and heat islands: albedo, evapotranspiration, and

anthropogenic heat. *Energy Build.* (doi:10.1016/S0378-7788(96)00999-1)

25.    Luo Z, Sun OJ, Ge Q, Xu W, Zheng J. 2007 Phenological responses of plants to climate

change in an urban environment. *Ecol. Res.* (doi:10.1007/s11284-006-0044-6)

26.    Youngsteadt E, Dale AG, Terando AJ, Dunn RR, Frank SD. 2015 Do cities simulate

climate change? A comparison of herbivore response to urban and global warming. *Glob.*

*Chang. Biol.* **21**, 97–105. (doi:10.1111/gcb.12692)

27.    Lahr EC, Dunn RR, Frank SD. 2018 Getting ahead of the curve: cities as surrogates for

global change. In *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*,

(doi:10.1098/rspb.2018.0643)

28.    Melillo JM *et al.* 2011 Soil warming, carbon-nitrogen interactions, and forest carbon

budgets. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* (doi:10.1073/pnas.1018189108)

29.    Rinnan R, Michelsen A, Bååth E, Jonasson S. 2007 Fifteen years of climate change

manipulations alter soil microbial communities in a subarctic heath ecosystem. *Glob.*

*Chang. Biol.* (doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01263.x)

30.    Weng Q, Lu D, Schubring J. 2004 Estimation of land surface temperature-vegetation

abundance relationship for urban heat island studies. *Remote Sens. Environ.*

(doi:10.1016/j.rse.2003.11.005)

31.    Cadenasso ML, Pickett STA, Schwarz K. 2007 Spatial heterogeneity in urban ecosystems:

Reconceptualizing land cover and a framework for classification. *Front. Ecol. Environ.*

(doi:10.1890/1540-9295(2007)5[80:SHIUER]2.0.CO;2)

32.    Buyantuyev A, Wu J. 2010 Urban heat islands and landscape heterogeneity: Linking

spatiotemporal variations in surface temperatures to land-cover and socioeconomic

patterns. *Landsc. Ecol.* (doi:10.1007/s10980-009-9402-4)

33.  McIntyre NE. 2000 Ecology of Urban Arthropods: A Review and a Call to Action. *Ann.*

*Entomol. Soc. Am.* (doi:10.1603/0013-8746(2000)093[0825:EOUAAR]2.0.CO;2)

34.  Gardiner MM, Prajzner SP, Burkman CE, Albro S, Grewal PS. 2014 Vacant land

conversion to community gardens: Influences on generalist arthropod predators and

biocontrol services in urban greenspaces. *Urban Ecosyst.* (doi:10.1007/s11252-013-0303-

6)

35.  Borkent A *et al.* 2018 Remarkable fly (Diptera) diversity in a patch of Costa Rican cloud

forest: Why inventory is a vital science. *Zootaxa.* (doi:10.11646/zootaxa.4402.1.3)

36.  Brown B V. *et al.* 2018 Comprehensive inventory of true flies (Diptera) at a tropical site.

*Commun. Biol.* (doi:10.1038/s42003-018-0022-x)

37.  Disney RHL. 1990 Some myths and the reality of scuttle fly biology. *Antenna*

38.  Hartop EA, Brown B V, Disney RHL. 2015 Opportunity in our Ignorance: Urban

Biodiversity Study Reveals 30 New Species and One New Nearctic Record for Megaselia

(Diptera: Phoridae) in Los Angeles (California, USA). *Zootaxa; Vol 3941, No 4 2 Apr.*

*2015* (doi:10.11646/zootaxa.3941.4.1)

39.  Hartop EA, Brown B V, Disney RHL. 2016 Flies from L.A., The Sequel: A further twelve

new species of Megaselia (Diptera: Phoridae) from the BioSCAN Project in Los Angeles

(California, USA). *Biodivers. Data J.* , e7756. (doi:10.3897/BDJ.4.e7756)

40.  Brown B V, Hartop EA. 2017 Big data from tiny flies: patterns revealed from over 42,000

phorid flies (Insecta: Diptera: Phoridae) collected over one year in Los Angeles,

California, USA. *Urban Ecosyst.* **20**, 521–534. (doi:10.1007/s11252-016-0612-7)

41.    Melles S, Glenn S, Martin K. 2003 Urban bird diversity and landscape complexity:

species–environment associations along a multiscale habitat gradient. *Conserv. Ecol.* **7**.

42.    Townes H. 1972 A light-weight Malaise trap. *Entomol. News* **83**, 239–247.

43.    Cayelan CC, Cottingham KL. 2016 Cross-scale Perspectives: Integrating Long-term and

High-frequency Data into Our Understanding of Communities and Ecosystems. *Bull.*

*Ecol. Soc. Am.* **97**, 129–132. (doi:10.1002/bes2.1205)

44.    Hartop EA, Gonzalez LA, Brown B V. 2018 Backyard biodiversity: Unraveling life

histories of the new fly species discovered by the BioSCAN Project proves harder than

first assumed. *J. Negat. Results* **12**.

45.    Pinheiro J, Bates D, DebRoy S, Sarkar D. 2007 *nlme: Linear and Nonlinear Mixed Effects*

*Models*.

46.    Trumble JT, Pienkowski RL. 1979 Development and survival of Megaselia scalaris

(Diptera: Phoridae) at selected temperatures and photoperiods. *Proc Entomol Soc Wash*

**81**, 207–210.

47.    Paradis E, Claude J, Strimmer K. 2004 APE: Analyses of phylogenetics and evolution in

R language. *Bioinformatics* (doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btg412)

48.    Oksanen J *et al.* 2013 Vegan: community ecology package. *R Packag. Version 2.9*

(doi:10.1093/molbev/msv334)

49.    Poos MS, Jackson DA. 2012 Addressing the removal of rare species in multivariate

bioassessments: The impact of methodological choices. *Ecol. Indic.*

(doi:10.1016/j.ecolind.2011.10.008)

50.    Tayyebi A, Darrel Jenerette G. 2016 Increases in the climate change adaption

effectiveness and availability of vegetation across a coastal to desert climate gradient in

450  metropolitan Los Angeles, CA, USA. *Sci. Total Environ.* **548–549**, 60–71.

451  (doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.01.049)

452 51. Suarez A V, Case TJ. 2003 9 The Ecological Consequences of a Fragmentation Mediated

453  Invasion : The Argentine Ant , Linepithema humile , in Southern California. **162**.

454 52. Hartop E, Brown B. 2014 The tip of the iceberg: a distinctive new spotted-wing Megaselia

455  species (Diptera: Phoridae) from a tropical cloud forest survey and a new, streamlined

456  method for Megaselia descriptions. *Biodivers. Data J.* (doi:10.3897/BDJ.2.e4093)

457 53. Brown B V, Hartop EA. 2017 Mystery mushroom malingerers: Megaselia marquezi

458  Hartop et al. 2015 (Diptera: Phoridae). *Biodivers. Data J.* (doi:10.3897/BDJ.5.e15052)

459 54. Araújo JPM, Hughes DP. 2016 Diversity of Entomopathogenic Fungi. Which Groups

460  Conquered the Insect Body? In *Advances in Genetics,* (doi:10.1016/bs.adgen.2016.01.001)

461 55. Ramoska WA. 1984 The influence of relative humidity on Beauveria bassiana infectivity

462  and replication in the chinch bug, Blissus leucopterus. *J. Invertebr. Pathol.*

463  (doi:10.1016/0022-2011(84)90085-5)

464 56. Dunn RR, Gavin MC, Sanchez MC, Solomon JN. 2006 The pigeon paradox: Dependence

465  of global conservation on urban nature. *Conserv. Biol.* (doi:10.1111/j.1523-

466  1739.2006.00533.x)

467 57. Dale AG, Frank SD. 2018 Urban plants and climate drive unique arthropod interactions

468  with unpredictable consequences. *Curr. Opin. Insect Sci.*

469  (doi:10.1016/J.COIS.2018.06.001)

470 58. Youngsteadt E, Ernst AF, Dunn RR, Frank SD. 2017 Responses of arthropod populations

471  to warming depend on latitude: evidence from urban heat islands. *Glob. Chang. Biol.*

472  (doi:10.1111/gcb.13550)

59.    Diamond SE, Chick L, Perez A, Strickler SA, Martin RA. 2017 Rapid evolution of ant

thermal tolerance across an urban-rural temperature cline. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* **121**, 248–

257. (doi:10.1093/biolinnean/blw047)

60.    I. BK, Luc DM. 2018 City life on fast lanes: urbanization induces an evolutionary shift

towards a faster life style in the water flea Daphnia. *Funct. Ecol.* **0**. (doi:10.1111/1365-

2435.13184)

61.    Tüzün N, Op de Beeck L, Brans KI, Janssens L, Stoks R. 2017 Microgeographic

differentiation in thermal performance curves between rural and urban populations of an

aquatic insect. *Evol. Appl.* (doi:10.1111/eva.12512)

62.    Longino JT, Colwell RK. 1997 Biodiversity assessment using structured inventory:

Capturing the ant fauna of a tropical rain forest. *Ecol. Appl.* (doi:10.1890/1051-

0761(1997)007[1263:BAUSIC]2.0.CO;2)

63.    Hallmann CA *et al.* 2017 More than 75 percent decline over 27 years in total flying insect

biomass in protected areas. *PLoS One* (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0185809)

64.    Dickinson JL, Zuckerberg B, Bonter DN. 2010 Citizen Science as an Ecological Research

Tool: Challenges and Benefits. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* (doi:10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-

102209-144636)

65.    Wolkovich EM, Regetz J, O'Connor MI. 2012 Advances in global change research

require open science by individual researchers. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* (doi:10.1111/j.1365-

2486.2012.02693.x)

66.    Theobald EJ *et al.* 2015 Global change and local solutions: Tapping the unrealized

potential of citizen science for biodiversity research. *Biol. Conserv.*

(doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2014.10.021)

Table 1. Full model evaluation of phorid fly biodiversity across 30 sites in urban Los Angeles.

| Response variable | Model parameter | Estimate | SEM | DF | T | p |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Abundance | (Intercept) | 87.751 | 488.103 | 313 | 0.18 | 0.857 |
| | log(min RH^2) | 0.000 | 0.000 | 313 | -0.22 | 0.827 |
| | min RH | -0.009 | 0.017 | 313 | -0.49 | 0.622 |
| | log(min soil T^2) | 0.000 | 0.002 | 313 | -0.19 | 0.849 |
| | min soil T | 0.048 | 0.065 | 313 | 0.74 | 0.459 |
| | log(mean air T^2) | -0.019 | 0.003 | 313 | -5.79 | <0.0001 |
| | mean air T | 0.673 | 0.133 | 313 | 5.07 | <0.0001 |
| | Latitude | 1.805 | 2.857 | 25 | 0.63 | 0.533 |
| | Longitude | 1.293 | 3.728 | 25 | 0.35 | 0.732 |
| | distance to protected area | 0.000 | 0.001 | 25 | 0.21 | 0.839 |
| Richness | (Intercept) | 337.215 | 501.628 | 313 | 0.67 | 0.502 |
| | log(mean RH^2) | -0.001 | 0.000 | 313 | -3.27 | 0.001 |
| | mean RH | 0.109 | 0.034 | 313 | 3.25 | 0.001 |
| | log(min soil T^2) | -0.003 | 0.002 | 313 | -1.33 | 0.185 |
| | min soil T | 0.078 | 0.068 | 313 | 1.15 | 0.251 |
| | log(mean air T^2) | -0.017 | 0.003 | 313 | -4.88 | <0.0001 |
| | mean air T | 0.637 | 0.141 | 313 | 4.53 | <0.0001 |
| | Latitude | 2.883 | 2.936 | 25 | 0.98 | 0.336 |
| | Longitude | 3.745 | 3.831 | 25 | 0.98 | 0.338 |
| | distance to protected area | 0.000 | 0.001 | 25 | -0.08 | 0.935 |

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Evenness | (Intercept) | 107.709 | 58.598 | 222 | 1.84 | 0.067 |
| | I(temp_air_min^2) | 0.001 | 0.001 | 222 | 1.70 | 0.091 |
| | temp_air_min | -0.024 | 0.012 | 222 | -1.98 | 0.049 |
| | Latitude | -0.306 | 0.348 | 25 | -0.88 | 0.388 |
| | Longitude | 0.818 | 0.446 | 25 | 1.83 | 0.079 |
| | distance to protected area | -0.00014 | 0.00007 | 25 | -2.15 | 0.042 |
| | | | | | | |
| *M. agarici* abundance | (Intercept) | -72.628 | 390.116 | 313 | -0.19 | 0.852 |
| | log(min RH^2) | 0.000 | 0.000 | 313 | 0.71 | 0.476 |
| | min RH | -0.013 | 0.014 | 313 | -0.89 | 0.375 |
| | log(min soil T^2) | -0.002 | 0.002 | 313 | -1.05 | 0.296 |
| | min soil T | 0.025 | 0.053 | 313 | 0.47 | 0.640 |
| | log(mean air T^2) | -0.014 | 0.003 | 313 | -5.13 | <0.0001 |
| | mean air T | 0.532 | 0.109 | 313 | 4.90 | <0.0001 |
| | impervious surface | 0.885 | 0.721 | 24 | 1.23 | 0.232 |
| | Latitude | 2.631 | 2.351 | 24 | 1.12 | 0.274 |
| | Longitude | 0.178 | 3.070 | 24 | 0.06 | 0.954 |
| | distance to protected area | 0.000 | 0.000 | 24 | 0.66 | 0.513 |

498    **Figure 1. Map of BioSCAN sites where phorid flies and climatic variables were sampled.**

499    Dot size represents mean daily phorid fly species caught in traps, and color represents mean

500    annual air temperatures.

**Figure 2. Thermal responses to landscape characteristics.** Mean annual air temperature is not

associated with a) impervious surface, nor with b) elevation.

F**igure 3. Scatterplot matrix showing correlations between environmental and**

**microclimatic predictors:** Mean weekly air temperature, average normalized difference

vegetation index for a circle with radius of 50 meters surrounding each site (NDVI), percent

impervious groundcover in that same area and distance to nearest natural area, in meters. Straight

pale green lines represent the robust-regression line between the variable pairs, and the curved

red lines represent a GAM (generalized additive model) smoother and their respective

confidence intervals.

**Figure 4. Phorid fly abundance and richness responses to temperature**. a) Total abundance

of phorid flies caught in each trap per sampling period, b) abundance of the most prevalent

species, *M. agaraci*, and c) total species richness per sampling period. The x-axis represents

mean air temperature the week prior to sampling, and regression lines represent best fits.

**Figure 5. Non-metric multidimensional scaling of phorid fly communities from urban Los**

**Angeles backyard sampling stations**. The species are superimposed with environmental fit

vectors for minimum air temperature ($r^2$= 0.26, $p$= 0.02), normalized difference vegetation index

within a 50-meter radius of the site (NDVI; $r^2$= 0.03, $p$= 0.65), distance to the nearest natural

area ($r^2$= 0.01, $p$= 0.92), relative humidity ($r^2$= 0.04, $p$= 0.63), latitude ($r^2$=0.04, p=0.61) and

longitude ($r^2$= 0.04, $p$= 0.56). Most common species names are plotted (with captures >500

individuals). NMDS 2D stress= 0.13.

Figure S1. Scatterplot matrix of relative humidity, minimum soil temperature at 1-cm depth,

mean weekly air temperature, and distance to nearest natural, protected area, in meters. Straight

pale green lines represent the robust-regression line between the variable pairs, and the curved

red lines represent a GAM smoother and their respective confidence intervals.

533

Figure S2. Scatterplot matrix of latitude, longitude, relative humidity, minimum soil temperature

at 1-cm depth, and mean air temperature. Straight pale green lines represent the robust-regression

line between the variable pairs, and the curved red lines represent a GAM smoother and their

respective confidence intervals.

Figure S3.

Site maps of abiotic variables analyzed, including a) NDVI (50-meter buffer), b) impervious

surface (50-m buffer), and c) distance to closest natural, protected area.