Title: PESCA: A scalable platform for the development of cell-type-specific viral drivers

One sentence summary: Highly paralleled functional evaluation of enhancer activity in single

cells generates new cell-type-specific tools with broad medical and scientific applications.

Authors:

Sinisa Hrvatin[1, *], Christopher P. Tzeng[1], M. Aurel Nagy[1], Hume Stroud[1], Charalampia

Koutsioumpa[1, 2], Oren F. Wilcox[1], Elena G. Assad[1], Jonathan Green[1], Christopher D. Harvey[1],

Eric C. Griffith[1], Michael E. Greenberg[1, *]

Affiliations:

1. Department of Neurobiology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

2. BBS Program, Harvard Medical School, 220 Longwood Avenue, Boston, MA 02115,

USA

*Co-corresponding authors:

Sinisa Hrvatin (sinisa_hrvatin@hms.harvard.edu),

Michael E. Greenberg (michael_greenberg@hms.harvard.edu)

Abstract:

Enhancers are the primary DNA regulatory elements that confer cell type specificity of gene expression. Recent studies characterizing individual enhancers have revealed their potential to direct heterologous gene expression in a highly cell-type-specific manner. However, it has not yet been possible to systematically identify and test the function of enhancers for each of the many cell types in an organism. We have developed PESCA, a scalable and generalizable method that leverages ATAC- and single-cell RNA-sequencing protocols, to characterize cell-type-specific enhancers that should enable genetic access and perturbation of gene function across mammalian cell types. Focusing on the highly heterogeneous mammalian cerebral cortex, we apply PESCA to find enhancers and generate viral reagents capable of accessing and manipulating a subset of somatostatin-expressing cortical interneurons with high specificity. This study demonstrates the utility of this platform for developing new cell-type-specific viral reagents, with significant implications for both basic and translational research.

Main text:

Introduction

Enhancers are DNA elements that regulate gene expression to produce the unique complement of proteins necessary to establish a specialized function for each cell type in an organism. Large scale efforts to build a definitive catalogue of cell types (*1–4*) based on their gene expression have recently successfully mapped epigenomic regulatory landscapes (*5–7*), enabling a mechanistic understanding of the underlying gene expression that is critical for cell-type-specific development, identity, and unique function. Importantly, characterization of individual enhancers has revealed their potential to direct highly cell-type-specific gene expression in both endogenous and heterologous contexts (*8–11*), making them ideal for developing tools to access, study, and manipulate virtually any mammalian cell type.

Despite recent success in cataloguing the gene expression profiles of distinct cell subpopulations in the nervous system, our limited ability to specifically access these subpopulations hinders the study of their function. For example, the mammalian cerebral cortex is composed of over one hundred cell types, most of which cannot be individually accessed using existing tools. Glutamatergic excitatory neuron cell types propagate electrical signals across neural circuits, whereas GABAergic inhibitory interneuron cell types play an essential role in cortical signal processing by modulating neuronal activity, balancing excitability, and gating information (*12–14*). Although relatively lower in abundance than excitatory neurons, interneurons are highly diverse; for example, somatostatin-expressing cortical interneurons comprise several anatomically, electrophysiologically, and molecularly defined cell types whose dysfunction is associated with neuropsychiatric and neurological disorders (*2, 15, 16*). Given the vast diversity of cell types in the brain, and the inability of our current tools to access most

neuronal cell types, enhancer-driven viral reagents have the potential to become the next

generation of cell-type-specific transgenic tools enabling facile, inexpensive, cross-species, and

targeted observation and functional study of neuronal cell-types and circuits.

Despite the potential of cell-type-specific enhancers to revolutionize neuroscience

research, cell-type-restricted gene regulatory elements (GREs) have not yet been systematically

identified. Moreover, functional evaluation of candidate GRE-driven viral vector expression

across all cell types in the tissue is currently laborious, expensive, and low-throughput, typically

relying on the production of individual viral vectors and the assessment of expression across a

limited number of cell types by *in situ* hybridization or immunofluorescence. The lack of a

generalizable platform for rapid identification and functional testing of cell-type-specific

enhancers is therefore a critical bottleneck impeding the generation of new viral reagents

required to elucidate the function of each cell type in a complex organism.

To address these issues, we merged the principles of massively parallel reporter assays

(MPRA) (*17–22*) with single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) (*1–4*, *23–27*), and developed a

Paralleled Enhancer Single Cell Assay (PESCA) to identify and functionally assess the

specificity of hundreds of GREs across the full complement of cell types present in the brain. In

the PESCA protocol, the expression of a barcoded pool of AAV vectors harboring GREs is

analyzed by single-nucleus RNA sequencing (snRNA-seq) to evaluate the specificity of each

constituent GRE across tens of thousands of individual cells in the target tissue, through the use

of an orthogonal cell-indexed system of transcript barcoding (**Fig. 1a**).

We validated the efficacy of PESCA in the murine primary visual cortex by identifying

GREs that confine AAV expression to somatostatin (SST)-expressing interneurons and showed

that these vectors can be used to modulate neuronal activity selectively in SST neurons. We chose

to focus on SST neurons in the brain because this population is known to be diverse and to be

composed of several relatively rare subpopulations (*2*, *15*, *25*), and thus might serve as a good test

case. As described below, our findings highlight the utility of PESCA for identifying viral

constructs that drive gene expression selectively in a subset of neurons and establish PESCA as a

platform of broad interest to the research and gene therapy community, potentially enabling the

generation of cell-type-specific AAVs for virtually any cell type.

Results

GRE selection and library construction

102   To identify candidate SST interneuron-restricted gene regulatory elements (GREs), we

carried out comparative epigenetic profiling of the three largest classes of cortical interneurons:

somatostatin (SST)-, vasoactive intestinal polypeptide (VIP)- and parvalbumin (PV)-expressing

cells. To this end, we employed the recently developed isolation of nuclei tagged in specific cell

types (INTACT) (*5*) method to isolate purified chromatin from of each of these cell types from

the cerebral cortex of adult (6-10-week-old) mice. The assay for transposase-accessible

chromatin using sequencing (ATAC-Seq) (*7*), which identifies nucleosome-depleted gene

regulatory regions, was then used to identify genomic regions with enhanced accessibility (i.e.

peaks) in the SST (n = 57,932), PV (n = 61,108), and VIP (n = 79,124) chromatin samples (**Fig.**

1b, c, Supplementary Fig 1, Methods). These datasets can be used as a resource to identify

putative gene regulatory elements as candidates for driving cell-type-specific gene expression for

the numerous subtypes of SST, PV or VIP-expressing intraneurons across diverse cortical

regions.

To enrich for GREs that could be useful reagents to study and manipulate interneurons

across mammalian species including humans, we started with an expanded list of 323,369

genomic coordinates (**Supplementary Table 1**) representing a union of cortical neuron ATAC-

seq accessible regions identified across dozens of experiments in our laboratory (**Methods**,

manuscript in preparation). We first filtered this initial set of 323,369 genomic coordinates to

exclude GREs with poor mammalian sequence conservation (**Methods**, **Supplementary Table**

1, Supplementary Fig. 2). The remaining 36,215 genomic regions were ranked by an

enrichment of ATAC-seq signal in the SST samples over PV/VIP (**Methods**), and the top 287

most enriched GREs were selected for functional screening to identify enhancers that drive gene

expression selectively in SST interneurons of the primary visual cortex (**Fig. 1d, Supplementary**

Table 2).

A PCR-based strategy was used to simultaneously amplify and barcode each GRE from

mouse genomic DNA (**Methods**). To minimize sequencing bias due to the choice of barcode

sequence, each GRE was paired with three unique barcode sequences. The resulting library of

861 GRE-barcode pairs was pooled and cloned into an AAV-based expression vector, with the

GRE element inserted 5' to a promoter driving a GFP expression cassette and the GRE-paired

barcode sequences inserted into the 3' untranslated region (UTR) of the GRE-driven transcript

(**Methods, Fig. 2a, Supplementary Fig. 3)**. This configuration was chosen to maximize the

retrieval of the barcode sequence during single-cell RNA sequencing, which primarily captures

the 3' end of transcripts. The human beta-globin promoter was chosen since it has previously

been used in conjunction with an enhancer to drive strong and specific expression in cortical

interneurons (*8*), although the modular cloning strategy is compatible with the use of other

promoters. The library was packaged into AAV9, which exhibits broad neural tropism and has

previously been used to drive payload expression in cortical neurons (*28*). The complexity of the

resulting rAAV-GRE library was then confirmed by next generation sequencing, detecting 802

of the 861 barcodes (93.2%), corresponding to 285 of the 287 GREs (99.3%) (**Fig. 2b**).

PESCA screen identifies GREs highly enriched for SST^{+} interneurons

To quantify the expression of each rAAV-GRE vector across the full complement of cell types in

the mouse visual cortex, we used a modified single-nucleus RNA-Seq (snRNA-Seq) protocol to

first determine the cellular identity of each nucleus and then quantify the abundance of the GRE-

paired barcodes in the transcriptome of nuclei assigned to each cell type. Two adjacent injections

(800 nL each) of the pooled AAV library (1×10^{13} viral genomes/mL) were first administered to

the primary visual cortex (V1) of two 6-week-old C57BL/6 mice. Twelve days following

injection, the injected cortical regions were dissected and processed to generate a suspension of

nuclei for snRNA-Seq using the inDrops platform (*23*, *29*) (**Methods**). A total of 32,335 nuclei

were subsequently analyzed across the two animals, recovering an average of 866 unique non-

viral transcripts per nucleus, representing 610 unique genes (**Supplementary Fig. 4a, b**).

Since droplet-based high-throughput snRNA-Seq samples the nuclear transcriptome with

low sensitivity (*23*), viral-derived transcripts were initially detected in only 3.9% of sampled

nuclei. We therefore designed a modified PCR-based approach to enrich for barcode-containing

viral transcripts, which yielded deep coverage of AAV-derived transcripts with simultaneous

shallow coverage of the non-viral transcriptome. PCR enrichment increased the viral transcript

recovery 382-fold in the sampled nuclei, to an average of 15.6 unique viral transcripts, 6.0

unique GRE-barcodes, and 5.7 unique GREs per cell **(Fig. 2b, Supplementary Fig. 4c)**. Using

this modified protocol, viral transcripts were identified across 86% of cells (**Supplementary Fig.**

4d), with a high correlation ($r = 0.9$, $p < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$) observed between the abundance of each

barcoded AAV in the library and the number of cells infected by that AAV (**Supplementary**

Fig. 4f), suggesting that GRE sequences did not alter viral tropism and that GRE-driven vectors

had broadly similar levels of expression. Only $0.3 \pm .06\%$ (mean, stdev) of viral reads did not

correspond to any of the known barcodes or could not be uniquely assigned to a barcode (within

2 mismatches), suggesting that this amplification strategy did not grossly change the composition

of the viral library.

Nuclei were classified into ten cell types using graph-based clustering and expression of

known marker genes (**Methods, Fig. 2c, d, Supplementary Fig. 5**). The average expression of

each viral-derived barcoded transcript was analyzed across all ten cell types, and an enrichment

score was calculated from the ratio of expression in Sst^+ nuclei compared to all Sst^- nuclei. As

expected, sets of three barcodes associated with the same GRE showed highly statistically

correlated enrichment scores ($r = 0.52 \pm 0.05$, $p < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$) (**Fig. 2e, f, Supplementary Fig. 6**),

which were significantly lower when barcodes were randomly shuffled (shuffled $r = 0.002 \pm$

0.06; Wilcox test between data and shuffled data, $p = 0.003$).

Having confirmed a robust, non-random correlation in enrichment scores among the three

barcodes associated with each GRE, we next computed a single expression value for each of the

287 viral drivers by aggregating expression data from three barcodes associated with the same

GRE, and carried out differential gene expression analysis between Sst^+ and Sst^- cells for each

rAAV-GRE. Differential gene expression analysis between Sst^+ and Sst^- cells for each rAAV-

GRE revealed a marked overall enrichment of viral-derived transcripts in the Sst^+ subpopulation

(**Supplementary Fig. 7a**). As expected, a high correlation was observed between GRE-specific

enrichment scores across two animals (r = 0.54, p < 2.2×10^{-16}) (**Supplementary Fig. 7b**).

Among the 287 GREs tested, several viral drivers were identified that promoted highly specific

reporter expression in the *Sst*$^+$ subpopulation (q < 0.01, fold-change > 7, **Fig. 2h-j,**

Supplementary Fig. 7c-e). To assess how the abundance of each GRE in the library impacts our

ability to detect cell-type-specific expression, we analyzed the specificity of each GRE as a

function of the number of transcripts retrieved. We observed that highly abundant GRE-driven

transcripts were more likely to be significantly enriched in SST$^+$ cells, suggesting that we may

not have had sufficient power to assess the cell-type-specificity of the less abundant GREs in the

library (**Supplementary Fig. 7f**). Consistent with this observation, computationally subsampling

the number viral transcripts across our most cell-type-specific GREs gradually reduced our

ability to statistically detect their enrichment in *Sst*$^+$ cells (**Supplementary Fig. 8)**. These

observations suggest that the expression of sparsely detected GRE-driven transcripts may not be

sufficient to allow evaluation of cell-type-specificity and that by increasing sequencing depth we

may be able to screen and evaluate a larger number of GREs.

In situ **characterization of rAAV-GRE reporter expression**

We next sought to validate the cell-type-specificity of the resulting hits using methods

that do not rely on single-cell sequencing-based approaches. To this end, we selected three of the

top five viral drivers (GRE12, GRE22, GRE44), as well as a control viral construct lacking the

GRE element (ΔGRE), for injection into V1 of adult transgenic Sst-Cre; Ai14 mice, in which

SST$^+$ cells express the red fluorescent marker tdTomato (**Supplementary Table 3**).

Fluorescence analysis twelve days following injection with rAAV-[GRE12, GRE22 or GRE44]-

GFP revealed strong yet sparse GFP labeling centered around cortical layers IV and V (**Fig. 3a-**

c). By contrast, the control rAAV-ΔGRE-GFP showed a strikingly different pattern of GFP

expression concentrated around the sites of injection, with expression in a larger number of cells

(**Fig. 3d**). Many rAAV-GRE12/22/44-GFP virally infected cells were SST-positive, as indicated

by the high degree of overlapping GFP and tdTomato expression: 90.7% ± 2.1% for rAAV-

GRE12-GFP (170 cells, 4 animals); 72.9 ± 4.2% for rAAV-GRE22-GFP (1164 cells, 3 animals),

and 95.8 ± 0.6% for rAAV-GRE44-GFP (759 cells, 4 animals). (**Fig. 3e, f, Supplementary Fig.**

9). By contrast, we observed that 27.2 ± 1.9% of GFP^{+} cells also expressed tdTomato following

rAAV-ΔGRE-GFP infection (2066 cells, 3 animals, **Fig. 3e, f**). Although the 27.2% overlap

between rAAV-ΔGRE-GFP expression and SST^{+} cells suggests that our vector has some

baseline preference for SST^{+} interneurons, the insertion of GRE12, GRE22 and GRE44 serves to

effectively restrict AAV payload expression to SST^{+} interneurons. To show that not all the

enhancers exhibited strong SST-specificity using our viral vector, we cloned the mDlx5/6

enhancer whose expression was restricted to a broader population of inhibitory neurons (*8*). We

injected the rAAV2/9-mDlx5/6-GFP vector and observed that 42.9 % of GFP^{+} cells were also

positive for tdTomato (1977 cells, 3 animals, **Supplementary Fig. 10**). It is notable that the

GREs seemingly not only promote expression in SST^{+} cells but also greatly reduce background

expression in SST negative cells, indicating both enhancer and repressor functionality.

Consistent with this hypothesis, the incorporation of GRE12, GRE22 and GRE44 into the rAAV

both increased the number of SST^{+} GFP^{+} cells (1.7-2-fold) and dramatically (3-32-fold)

decreased the number of SST^{-} cells that expressed GFP (**Fig. 3g, Supplementary Fig. 11**). To

further investigate the specificity of our viral drivers among cortical interneuron cell types we

injected each construct into Vip-Cre; Ai14$^+$ mice in which all VIP$^+$ cells express tdTomato, or

used fluorescence antibody staining to label PV-expressing cells (**Supplementary Fig. 12)**.

Fluorescent signal analysis indicated the percentage of GFP$^+$ cells that were either VIP$^+$ or PV$^+$

(rAAV-SST12-GFP$^+$ [2.6 ± 2.6%], rAAV-GRE22-GFP$^+$ [3.5 ± 2.0%] and rAAV-GRE44-GFP$^+$

[6.0 ± 2.7%], **Fig. 3h**). These findings confirm that among major interneuron cell classes, all

three GRE-driven vectors are highly SST-specific.

Because at least five subtypes of cortical SST$^+$ interneurons have previously been

identified based on the laminar distribution of their cell bodies and projections (*15*, *30*), we

investigated the laminar distribution of GFP-expressing cells for the three Sst-enriched viral

drivers. Intriguingly, the majority of rAAV-GRE12-GFP$^+$ and rAAV-GRE44-GFP$^+$ SST$^+$ cells

were found to reside in layers IV and V, which was distinct from the distribution observed for

the full SST$^+$ cell population in visual cortex (p = 1.3×10^{-6}, p < 2.2×10^{-16}, respectively, Mann-

Whitney *U* test, two-tailed, **Fig. 3i, Supplementary Fig. 13**). By contrast, rAAV-ΔGRE-GFP

labeled SST$^+$ cells as well as other neuronal subtypes across all layers, suggesting that the

confined labeling of rAAV-GRE12-GFP and rAAV-GRE44-GFP to layer IV and V was likely

due to restricted gene expression and not restricted viral tropism. These findings suggest that

PESCA may support the isolation of viral drivers capable of discriminating between fine-grained

cell-types within a given interneuron cell class.

Electrophysiological characterization of rAAV-GRE-GFP-expressing SST subtypes

In addition to variability in laminar distribution, different electrophysiological

phenotypes have also been observed in cortical SST interneurons (*31*, *32*). To determine

whether AAV-GRE reporters can be used to distinguish electrophysiologically distinct SST

subtypes, we injected our most cell-type-restricted construct rAAV-GRE44-GFP into the visual

cortex of adult Sst-Cre; Ai14 mice and obtained whole-cell current-clamp recordings from

double GFP- and tdTomato-positive neurons (rAAV-GRE44-GFP$^+$), as well as immediately

nearby tdTomato-positive but GFP-negative cells (rAAV-GRE44-GFP$^-$).

Our recordings indicate that both rAAV-GRE44-GFP$^+$ and rAAV-GRE44-GFP$^-$ SST$^+$

neurons display the properties of adapting SST interneurons with high input resistances and

features consistent with those previously reported for deep layer cortical SST neurons(*31*, *33*)

(**Fig. 4a, b**). However, rAAV-GRE44-GFP$^+$ SST neurons are distinct with respect to several

electrophysiological parameters. The action potentials of rAAV-GRE44-GFP$^+$ SST neurons are

significantly broader than those of rAAV-GRE44-GFP$^-$ SST neurons (**Fig. 4c, d**), perhaps due to

differences in expression of specific channels in these subgroups of SST neurons, such as

voltage-activated potassium channels, and BK calcium-activated potassium channels(*34*, *35*).

Furthermore, rAAV-GRE44-GFP$^+$ SST neurons have a lower rheobase, and fire action potentials

with a slower rising phase, and at lower maximal frequencies compared to rAAV-GRE44-GFP$^-$

SST neurons **(Fig. 4a, d, Supplementary Table 4)**. Although we cannot confirm that GRE44

expression is restricted to a specific transcriptionally defined subtype of SST interneurons, our

electrophysiology experiments further emphasize the potential of PESCA to target functionally

distinct subgroups of previously defined interneuron types.

Modulation of neuronal activity with rAAV-GREs

Finally, we evaluated whether the identified SST^+ neuron-restricted viral drivers support

sufficiently high and persistent levels of payload expression to effectively modulate SST^+ cell

physiology. Designer receptors exclusively activated by designer drugs (DREADDs) are a

commonly employed viral payload used to dynamically regulate neuronal activity in response to

the synthetic ligand clozapine-N-oxide (CNO)(*36*). We therefore injected the visual cortex of

adult wild-type mice (6-8-week-old) with rAAV-GRE12-Gq-DREADD-tdTomato, a construct in

which GRE12 drives the expression of an activating DREADD as well as tdTomato. GRE12 was

chosen for this assay as it drives the weakest expression of the three evaluated GREs (**Fig. 2e)**

and thus, if it effectively drives DREADD expression, the other GREs might be expected to as

well. We obtained electrophysiological recordings from tdTomato$^+$ cells of acute cortical slices

in a whole-cell, current-clamp configuration two weeks post-injection. All tdTomato$^+$ cells

showed striking sensitivity to CNO, as indicated by significantly increased firing rates in

response to depolarizing current steps and depolarized resting membrane potentials (**Fig. 4e-g**).

To ensure that increases in firing rate upon CNO application were specific to infected SST^+

neurons, we obtained recordings from nearby uninfected pyramidal neurons that were identified

by morphology and found that there was no statistically significant increase in firing rate upon

CNO application (**Fig. 4h-j**). These data demonstrate the ability of GRE-driven SST^+ neuron-

specific reagents to robustly and specifically modulate the activity of SST^+ cells in non-

transgenic animals.

Discussion

The PESCA platform extends previous paralleled reporter assays (*17–22*) carried out

using bulk tissue or sorted cells by including a single-cell RNA-seq-based readout (*1–4, 23–27*)

to evaluate the cell-type-specificity of gene expression. This represents a significant

advancement over current approaches to viral vector design, as it enables the rapid *in vivo*

screening of hundreds of GREs for enhanced cell-type-specificity without needing transgenic

tools to evaluate their specificity. In this study, we applied PESCA to identify enhancer elements

that robustly and specifically drive gene expression in a rare SST^{+} population of GABAergic

interneurons in the mouse central nervous system, although further work is needed to identify

which specific molecular subtypes of SST interneurons are targeted. Since the vectors used in

this PESCA screen in the absence of GREs show broad expression in the murine V1, the GREs

we identified likely function to both enhance and restrict viral expression by a mechanism that

remains to be explored.

In the future, several factors should be considered to facilitate the further optimization of

the PESCA methodology for the development of cell-type-specific vectors. The selection of

candidate GREs for screening will benefit from the systematic profiling of additional cell types

by traditional or single-cell ATAC-Seq methods. In this regard, consideration of a published

ATAC-Seq dataset from excitatory neurons(*5*) could have served to refine our starting GRE set

by excluding approximately half of the screened GREs from our initial pool. This is particularly

relevant insofar as the ability to assess the GRE library depends on the number of cells

sequenced from the target and non-target populations and the sequencing depth, as the coverage

of each GRE will be inversely proportional to the number of GREs screened. In the screen

described here, we estimate having sufficient power to assess approximately 2/3 of the 287

GREs at the reported sequencing depth (**Supplementary Fig. 7**).

If a robust method of specifically isolating RNA from the target cell population is

available, screening the PESCA library by sequencing pooled RNA from all target versus all

non-target cells would provide less expensive and potentially more scalable approach. However,

by averaging across multiple non-target cell types, such an approach could be confounded by the

presence of rare, highly expressing non-target cells. Similarly, among single-cell methods,

single-nucleus RNA-seq is technically simpler and can be used on frozen tissue but recovers

fewer transcripts per cell compared to single-cell RNA-seq.

Finally, once candidate PESCA hits have been identified, we suggest evaluating several

in follow-up assays at multiple titers to identify which among these hits have the desired

intensity and specificity of protein expression. In this regard, the snRNA-seq PESCA screen

identified GRE12, GRE22 and GRE44 as, respectively, 8.3-, 9.1- and 7.2-fold more highly

expressed in SST^+ compared to SST^- cells, whereas these GREs showed distinct specificity for

SST^+ cells (91%, 73% and 96% respectively, **Fig. 3f**) when evaluated at the protein level, a

finding which could be attributed to a variety of factors.

Given current evidence that the mechanisms of gene regulatory element function are

conserved across tissues and species, it is likely that PESCA can be readily applied to other

neuronal or non-neuronal cells types, diverse model organisms, tissues, and viral types.

Moreover, PESCA is not limited to GRE screening; the method can be easily adapted to assess

the cell-type-specificity of viral capsid variants or other mutable aspects of viral design. Indeed,

the PESCA library cloning strategy is largely vector- and capsid-independent, allowing for the

use of different promoters or serotypes. Our choice of capsid and promoter was driven by

previous work using AAV9 and the minimal beta-globin promoter to drive expression in cortical

interneurons (*8*), however, different capsids or promoter may be preferred for targeting other cell

types.

In conclusion, our study addresses the urgent practical need for new tools to access, study, and

manipulate specific cell types across complex tissues, organ systems, and animal models by

providing a screening platform that can be used to rapidly supply such tools as needed.

Moreover, as the promise of gene therapy to treat and cure a broad range of diseases is being

realized, PESCA has the potential to pave the way for a new generation of targeted gene therapy

vehicles for diseases with cell-type-specific etiologies, such as congenital blindness, deafness,

cystic fibrosis, and spinal muscular atrophy.

Figures and figure legends

Figure 1 – Experimental strategy and GRE selection

(**a**) Paralleled Enhancer Single Cell Assay (PESCA). Comparative ATAC-Seq is used to

identify candidate GREs. A library of gene regulatory elements (GREs) is inserted upstream of a

minimal promoter-driven GFP. The viral barcode sequence is inserted in the 3'UTR, and the

vector packaged into rAAVs. Following *en masse* injection of the rAAV library, the specificity

of the constituent GREs for various cell types *in vivo* is determined by single-nucleus RNA

sequencing, measuring expression of the barcoded transcripts in tens of thousands of individual

cells in the target tissue. Finally, bioinformatic analysis determines the most cell-type-specific

barcode-associated rAAV-GRE-GFP constructs. pA = polyA tail.

(**b**) Area-proportional Venn diagram of the number of putative GREs identified by

ATAC-Seq of purified PV, SST, and VIP nuclei. Overlapping areas indicate shared putative

GREs. Non-overlapping areas represent GREs that are unique to a single cell type.

(**c**) Representative ATAC-seq genome browser traces of a putative GRE enriched in SST-

, PV-, or VIP-interneurons (normalized counts per location). Sequence conservation across the

Placental mammalian clade is also shown.

(**d**) Putative GREs (n = 323,369) are plotted based on average sequence conservation

(phyloP, 60 placental mammals) and SST-specificity (ratio of the average ATAC-Seq signal

intensity between SST samples and non-SST samples). Dashed vertical line indicates the

minimal conservation value cutoff (0.5). Green coloring indicates the 287 most SST-specific

GREs selected for PESCA screening.

Figure 2 – PESCA screen identifies GREs highly enriched for SST[+] interneurons

(**a**) PESCA library plasmid map. ITR, inverted terminal repeats; GRE, gene regulatory

element; pr, HBB minimal promoter; int, intron; GFP, green fluorescent protein; WPRE,

Woodchuck Hepatitis Virus post-transcriptional regulatory element; BAR, 10-mer sequence

barcode associated with each GRE; pA, polyadenylation signal.

(**b**) Library complexity plotted as distribution of the abundance of the 861 barcodes and

287 GREs in the AAV library. Barcodes and GREs were binned by number of sequencing reads

attributed to each barcode or GRE within the library.

(**c**) Transcript count per nucleus (n = 32,335 nuclei). Sequencing libraries were prepared

with or without PCR-enrichment for viral transcripts. PCR enrichment resulted in a 382-fold

increase in the number of recovered viral transcripts (p = 0, Mann-Whitney U-test, two-sided) to

an average of 15.6 unique viral transcripts per nucleus. Displayed as Log10(Count+1).

(**d**) t-SNE plot of 32,335 nuclei from V1 cortex of two animals**.** Colors denote main cell

types: Exc (Excitatory neurons), Pv (PV Interneurons), Sst (SST Interneurons), Vip (VIP

interneurons), Npy (NPY Interneurons), Astro (Astrocytes), Vasc (Vascular-associated cells),

Micro (Microglia), Olig (Oligodendrocytes), OPCs (Oligodendrocyte precursor cells).

(**e**) Marker gene expression across cell types. Color denotes mean expression across all

nuclei normalized to the highest mean across cell types. Size represents the fraction of nuclei in

which the marker gene was detected.

(**f**) Dot plot with each dot representing one GRE (n = 287). The values on each axis

represent the Log2 SST fold-enrichment calculated for each GRE based on two of the three

barcodes paired with that GRE - barcode 1 on the x-axis, and barcode 3 on the y-axis. Blue line

indicates linear fit with 95% confidence intervals (shaded) (r = 0.55, p < 2.2×10^{-16}, Pearson's

correlation). Color gradient indicates the average enrichment between the two barcodes.

(**g**) Pairwise Pearson correlation between the enrichment values calculated from three sets

of barcodes associated with 287 GREs for experimental data (Exp. Data, $r = 0.52 \pm 0.05$, $p <$

2.2×10^{-16}, Pearson's correlation) and after random shuffling of enrichment values (Shuffled Data,

$r = 0 \pm 0.06$).

(**h**) GREs ranked by average barcode expression specificity for SST interneurons across

three barcodes. Shading indicates the minimal and maximal specificity calculated by analyzing

each of the three barcodes associated with a GRE. Blue indicates the five top hits that also passed

a statistical test for SST interneuron enrichment (FDR-corrected $q < 0.01$).

(**i**) Expression of the top five hits: GRE12, GRE19, GRE22, GRE44, GRE80. For each

GRE, expression values are split into two animals, and, for each animal, into the three barcodes

associated with that GRE. Color denotes mean expression across all nuclei normalized to the

highest mean across cell types. Size represents the fraction of nuclei in which the marker gene

was detected.

(**j**) Mean expression of GRE12, GRE19, GRE22, GRE44, and GRE80 across cell types.

Error bars, s.e.m.

Figure 3 – *In situ* characterization of rAAV-GRE reporter expression

(**a-d**) Fluorescent images from adult Sst-Cre; Ai14 mouse visual cortex twelve days

following injection with rAAV-GRE-GFP as indicated. Scale bars 100 μm.

(**e**) Identification of rAAV-GRE-GFP$^+$ cells that express tdTomato (SST$^+$). Each dot

represents a GFP$^+$ cell (n = 2066, 172, 1164, and 765, for AAV-[ΔGRE, GRE12, GRE22,

GRE44]-GFP, respectively). Cyan indicates tdTomato$^+$ (SST$^+$) cells. Distribution of cell

frequency across tdTomato intensity is plotted on the right for each construct.

(**f**) Quantification of the fraction of GFP$^+$ cells that are SST$^+$. Each dot represents one

animal. Box plot represents mean ± standard error of the mean (s.e.m). Values are 27.2 ± 1.9%,

90.7 ± 2.1, 72.9 ± 4.2%, and 95.8 ± 0.6% for AAV-[ΔGRE, GRE12, GRE22, GRE44]-GFP,

respectively.

(**g**) Quantification of the number of GFP$^+$ SST$^-$ cells normalized for area of infection.

Each dot represents one animal. Box plot represents mean ± standard error of the mean (s.e.m).

Values are 198.0 ± 46.0, 16.4 ± 6.2, 56.0 ± 17.3 and 6.1± 2.1 cells/mm^2 for AAV-[ΔGRE,

GRE12, GRE22, GRE44]-GFP, respectively.

(**h**) Quantification of the fraction of GFP$^+$ cells that are PV$^+$ or VIP$^+$. Box plot represents

mean ± standard error of the mean (s.e.m). Fraction of AAV-GRE-GFP$^+$ cells that are PV$^+$ is 1.4

± 1.4%, 2.2 ± 0.7, and 4.3 ± 1.7% for AAV-[GRE12, GRE22, GRE44]-GFP, respectively.

Similarly, the fraction of AAV-GRE-GFP$^+$ cells that are VIP$^+$ is 1.2 ± 1.2%, 1.3 ± 1.3%, and 1.7

± 1.0% for AAV-[GRE12, GRE22, GRE44]-GFP$^+$ cells, respectively.

(**i**) Distribution of the location of GFP-expressing cells as function of distance from the

pia. Gray represents SST$^+$ cells (n = 2648); Colored lines represents GFP$^+$ SST$^+$ cells (n = 2066,

172, 1164, and 765, respectively, for AAV-[ΔGRE, GRE12, GRE22, GRE44]-GFP). Shading

represents the 95% confidence interval.

Figure 4 – Electrophysiology of neurons expressing an rAAV-GRE-driven reporter and

modulation of neuronal activity with rAAV-GREs

(**a**) Representative current-clamp recordings from SST neurons in the visual cortex of

Sst-Cre; Ai14 mice injected with rAAV-GRE44-GFP. *Top*: Representative traces from a cortical

SST neuron with Cre-dependent expression of red fluorescent protein (RFP), in response to 1000

ms depolarizing current injections as indicated in black ("GRE44-"). *Bottom*: Traces from a

RFP$^+$ SST neuron with GRE44-driven expression of GFP ("GRE44$^+$"). GRE44- SST neurons

were only recorded in the immediate vicinity of GRE44$^+$ SST neurons.

(**b**) Recordings from GRE44$^+$ and GRE44$^-$ neurons in response to hyperpolarizing, 1000

ms currents. Asterisks indicate the sag likely due to the hyperpolarization-activated current I_h.

Rebound action potentials following recovery from hyperpolarization, likely due to low-

threshold calcium spikes mediated by T-type calcium channels, were also present in cells of both

groups. Same scale as (a).

(**c**) Broader action potentials in GRE44$^+$ SST neurons (bottom) compared to GRE44$^-$

SST neurons (top). Same vertical scale as (a) and (b).

(**d**) Electrophysiological properties that differ between GRE44$^+$ (n=16 cells from 5 mice)

and GRE44$^-$ (n=16 cells from 4 mice) SST neurons, including rheobase (minimal amount of

current necessary to elicit a spike), maximal rate of rise during the depolarizing phase of the

action potential, the initial and steady state firing frequencies (both measured at the maximal

current step before spike inactivation), and spike width (measured as the width at half-maximal

spike amplitude). * $p < 0.05$; *** $p < 0.001$, paired t-test, two-tailed.

(**e**) Representative current-clamp recordings from AAV-GRE12-Gq-tdTomato$^+$ cells

before and during CNO application.

(**f**) Increased firing rates of AAV-GRE12-Gq-tdTomato^{+} cells evoked by depolarizing

current injections upon bath application of CNO (3 animals, 6-7 cells). * $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$,

paired t-test, two-tailed.

(**g**) Robust depolarization of AAV-GRE12-Gq-tdTomato^{+} cells upon bath application of

CNO (3 animals, 6-7 cells). *** $p < 0.001$, paired t-test, two-tailed.

(**h**) Representative recordings from nearby uninfected pyramidal neurons in the visual

cortex of mice that were injected with AAV-GRE-12-Gq-tdTomato^{+}, before (top) and during

CNO application (bottom).

(**i**) Firing rates of pyramidal neurons during CNO application remain unchanged (3

animals, 5 cells). ns, $p > 0.05$, paired t-test, two-tailed.

(**j**) Representative image of nearby uninfected pyramidal neuron that was recorded from

Acknowledgements

We thank D. Tom for help with image analysis, Dr. W. Renthal and members of the Greenberg

lab for discussions, the HMS Single Cell Core for single-nucleus RNA-seq sample processing,

Boston Children's Hospital Viral Core for AAV packaging, Dr. B. Bean for feedback on

electrophysiology, and the Harvard NeuroDiscovery Center Enhanced Neuroimaging Core for

imaging and image analysis. This work was supported by the National Institute of Health

BRAIN Initiative grant R01 MH114081-01, NIH grant T32GM007753 to M.A.N. and NIH

Training in the Molecular Biology of Neurodegeneration grant 5T32AG000222-23 to S.H.

Author Contributions

S.H. conceived the study and designed the experiments. H.S. performed ATAC-Seq. M.A.N.

aligned and called peaks for ATAC-seq data; S.H. calculated ATAC-seq enrichment and selected

elements for screen. S.H. cloned the library and performed the PESCA screen. M.A.N. mapped

snRNA-seq data and quantified viral barcodes. S.H. analyzed snRNA-seq data. S.H., C.K. and

O.F.W. cloned individual viral constructs. O.F.W. performed stereotactic injections and tissue

sectioning. S.H. and E.G.A. performed imaging and image analysis. C.P.T. performed and

analyzed electrophysiology recordings. J.G., C.D.G., E.C.G. and M.E.G. advised on the study.

S.H., C.P.T., M.A.N, E.C.G. and M.E.G. wrote the manuscript.

Competing Financial Interests

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Correspondence

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to S.H.

(sinisa_hrvatin@hms.harvard.edu) or M.E.G. (michael_greenberg@hms.harvard.edu).

References

1. A. Zeisel, A. B. Muñoz-Manchado, S. Codeluppi, P. Lönnerberg, G. L. Manno, A. Juréus, S. Marques, H. Munguba, L. He, C. Betsholtz, C. Rolny, G. Castelo-Branco, J. Hjerling-Leffler, S. Linnarsson, Cell types in the mouse cortex and hippocampus revealed by single-cell RNA-seq. *Science*. **347**, 1138–1142 (2015).

2. B. Tasic, Z. Yao, L. T. Graybuck, K. A. Smith, T. N. Nguyen, D. Bertagnolli, J. Goldy, E. Garren, M. N. Economo, S. Viswanathan, O. Penn, T. Bakken, V. Menon, J. Miller, O. Fong, K. E. Hirokawa, K. Lathia, C. Rimorin, M. Tieu, R. Larsen, T. Casper, E. Barkan, M. Kroll, S. Parry, N. V. Shapovalova, D. Hirschstein, J. Pendergraft, H. A. Sullivan, T. K. Kim, A. Szafer, N. Dee, P. Groblewski, I. Wickersham, A. Cetin, J. A. Harris, B. P. Levi, S. M. Sunkin, L. Madisen, T. L. Daigle, L. Looger, A. Bernard, J. Phillips, E. Lein, M. Hawrylycz, K. Svoboda, A. R. Jones, C. Koch, H. Zeng, Shared and distinct transcriptomic cell types across neocortical areas. *Nature*. **563**, 72–78 (2018).

3. J. Cao, J. S. Packer, V. Ramani, D. A. Cusanovich, C. Huynh, R. Daza, X. Qiu, C. Lee, S. N. Furlan, F. J. Steemers, A. Adey, R. H. Waterston, C. Trapnell, J. Shendure, Comprehensive single-cell transcriptional profiling of a multicellular organism. *Science*. **357**, 661–667 (2017).

4. A. B. Rosenberg, C. M. Roco, R. A. Muscat, A. Kuchina, P. Sample, Z. Yao, L. T. Graybuck, D. J. Peeler, S. Mukherjee, W. Chen, S. H. Pun, D. L. Sellers, B. Tasic, G. Seelig, Single-cell profiling of the developing mouse brain and spinal cord with split-pool barcoding. *Science*. **360**, 176–182 (2018).

5. A. Mo, E. A. Mukamel, F. P. Davis, C. Luo, G. L. Henry, S. Picard, M. A. Urich, J. R. Nery, T. J. Sejnowski, R. Lister, S. R. Eddy, J. R. Ecker, J. Nathans, Epigenomic Signatures of Neuronal Diversity in the Mammalian Brain. *Neuron*. **86**, 1369–1384 (2015).

6. D. A. Cusanovich, R. Daza, A. Adey, H. A. Pliner, L. Christiansen, K. L. Gunderson, F. J. Steemers, C. Trapnell, J. Shendure, Multiplex single-cell profiling of chromatin accessibility by combinatorial cellular indexing. *Science*. **348**, 910–914 (2015).

7. J. D. Buenrostro, B. Wu, U. M. Litzenburger, D. Ruff, M. L. Gonzales, M. P. Snyder, H. Y.
Chang, W. J. Greenleaf, Single-cell chromatin accessibility reveals principles of regulatory
variation. *Nature*. **523**, 486–490 (2015).

8. J. Dimidschstein, Q. Chen, R. Tremblay, S. L. Rogers, G.-A. Saldi, L. Guo, Q. Xu, R. Liu, C.
Lu, J. Chu, J. S. Grimley, A.-R. Krostag, A. Kaykas, M. C. Avery, M. S. Rashid, M. Baek,
A. L. Jacob, G. B. Smith, D. E. Wilson, G. Kosche, I. Kruglikov, T. Rusielewicz, V. C.
Kotak, T. M. Mowery, S. A. Anderson, E. M. Callaway, J. S. Dasen, D. Fitzpatrick, V.
Fossati, M. A. Long, S. Noggle, J. H. Reynolds, D. H. Sanes, B. Rudy, G. Feng, G. Fishell,
A viral strategy for targeting and manipulating interneurons across vertebrate species. *Nat.*
Neurosci. **19**, 1743–1749 (2016).

9. J. Juettner, A. Szabo, B. Gross-Scherf, R. Morikawa, S. Rompani, M. Teixeira, P. Hantz, T.
Szikra, F. Esposti, C. Cowan, A. Bharioke, C. Patino, O. Keles, C. Roth, A. Kusnyerik, N.
Gerber-Hollbach, T. Azoulay, D. Hartl, A. Krebs, D. Schuebeler, R. Hajdu, A. Lukats, J.
Nemeth, Z. Nagy, K.-C. Wu, R.-H. Wu, L. Xiang, X.-L. Fang, Z.-B. Jin, D. Goldblum, P.
Hasler, H. Scholl, J. Krol, B. Roska, Targeting neuronal and glial cell types with synthetic
promoter AAVs in mice, non-human primates, and humans. *bioRxiv*, 434720 (2018).

10. L. T. Graybuck, A. Sedeño-Cortés, T. N. Nguyen, M. Walker, E. Szelenyi, G. Lenz, L.
Sieverts, T. K. Kim, E. Garren, B. Kalmbach, S. Yao, M. Mortrud, J. Mich, J. Goldy, K. A.
Smith, N. Dee, Z. Yao, A. Cetin, B. P. Levi, E. Lein, J. Ting, H. Zeng, T. Daigle, B. Tasic,
Prospective, brain-wide labeling of neuronal subclasses with enhancer-driven AAVs.
bioRxiv, 525014 (2019).

11. J. K. Mich, E. E. Hess, L. T. Graybuck, S. Somasundaram, J. A. Miller, Y. Ding, N. V.
Shapovalova, O. Fong, S. Yao, M. Mortrud, P. Chong, D. Bertagnolli, J. Goldy, T. Casper,
M. Kroll, R. D. Hodge, T. E. Bakken, Z. Yao, N. Dee, A. Cetin, K. A. Smith, R. P. Gwinn,
C. Cobbs, A. L. Ko, J. G. Ojemann, C. D. Keene, D. L. Silbergeld, V. Gradinaru, S. M.
Sunkin, H. Zeng, E. S. Lein, B. Tasic, J. T. Ting, B. P. Levi, Epigenetic landscape and
AAV targeting of human neocortical cell classes. *bioRxiv*, 555318 (2019).

12. A. Kepecs, G. Fishell, Interneuron cell types are fit to function. *Nature*. **505**, 318–326
(2014).

13. O. Marín, Interneuron dysfunction in psychiatric disorders. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* **13**, 107–120
(2012).

14. B. Rudy, G. Fishell, S. Lee, J. Hjerling-Leffler, Three groups of interneurons account for
nearly 100% of neocortical GABAergic neurons. *Dev. Neurobiol.* **71**, 45–61 (2011).

15. W. Muñoz, R. Tremblay, D. Levenstein, B. Rudy, Layer-specific modulation of neocortical
dendritic inhibition during active wakefulness. *Science*. **355**, 954–959 (2017).

16. X. Jiang, S. Shen, C. R. Cadwell, P. Berens, F. Sinz, A. S. Ecker, S. Patel, A. S. Tolias,
Principles of connectivity among morphologically defined cell types in adult neocortex.
Science. **350**, aac9462 (2015).

17. A. Melnikov, A. Murugan, X. Zhang, T. Tesileanu, L. Wang, P. Rogov, S. Feizi, A. Gnirke,
C. G. Callan, J. B. Kinney, M. Kellis, E. S. Lander, T. S. Mikkelsen, Systematic dissection
and optimization of inducible enhancers in human cells using a massively parallel reporter
assay. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **30**, 271–277 (2012).

18. D. Hartl, A. R. Krebs, J. Jüttner, B. Roska, D. Schübeler, Cis-regulatory landscapes of four
cell types of the retina. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **45**, 11607–11621 (2017).

19. S. Q. Shen, C. A. Myers, A. E. O. Hughes, L. C. Byrne, J. G. Flannery, J. C. Corbo,
Massively parallel cis-regulatory analysis in the mammalian central nervous system.
Genome Res. **26**, 238–255 (2016).

20. F. Inoue, M. Kircher, B. Martin, G. M. Cooper, D. M. Witten, M. T. McManus, N. Ahituv, J.
Shendure, A systematic comparison reveals substantial differences in chromosomal versus
episomal encoding of enhancer activity. *Genome Res.* **27**, 38–52 (2017).

21. M. Murtha, Z. Tokcaer-Keskin, Z. Tang, F. Strino, X. Chen, Y. Wang, X. Xi, C. Basilico, S.
Brown, R. Bonneau, Y. Kluger, L. Dailey, FIREWACh: High-throughput Functional
Detection of Transcriptional Regulatory Modules in Mammalian Cells. *Nat. Methods*. **11**,
559–565 (2014).

22. R. P. Patwardhan, J. B. Hiatt, D. M. Witten, M. J. Kim, R. P. Smith, D. May, C. Lee, J. M.
Andrie, S.-I. Lee, G. M. Cooper, N. Ahituv, L. A. Pennacchio, J. Shendure, Massively
parallel functional dissection of mammalian enhancers in vivo. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **30**, 265–
270 (2012).

23. A. M. Klein, L. Mazutis, I. Akartuna, N. Tallapragada, A. Veres, V. Li, L. Peshkin, D. A.
Weitz, M. W. Kirschner, Droplet Barcoding for Single-Cell Transcriptomics Applied to
Embryonic Stem Cells. *Cell*. **161**, 1187–1201 (2015).

24. E. Z. Macosko, A. Basu, R. Satija, J. Nemesh, K. Shekhar, M. Goldman, I. Tirosh, A. R.
Bialas, N. Kamitaki, E. M. Martersteck, J. J. Trombetta, D. A. Weitz, J. R. Sanes, A. K.
Shalek, A. Regev, S. A. McCarroll, Highly Parallel Genome-wide Expression Profiling of
Individual Cells Using Nanoliter Droplets. *Cell*. **161**, 1202–1214 (2015).

25. B. Tasic, V. Menon, T. N. Nguyen, T. K. Kim, T. Jarsky, Z. Yao, B. Levi, L. T. Gray, S. A.
Sorensen, T. Dolbeare, D. Bertagnolli, J. Goldy, N. Shapovalova, S. Parry, C. Lee, K.
Smith, A. Bernard, L. Madisen, S. M. Sunkin, M. Hawrylycz, C. Koch, H. Zeng, Adult
mouse cortical cell taxonomy revealed by single cell transcriptomics. *Nat. Neurosci.* **19**,
335–346 (2016).

26. S. Hrvatin, D. R. Hochbaum, M. A. Nagy, M. Cicconet, K. Robertson, L. Cheadle, R.
Zilionis, A. Ratner, R. Borges-Monroy, A. M. Klein, B. L. Sabatini, M. E. Greenberg,
Single-cell analysis of experience-dependent transcriptomic states in the mouse visual
cortex. *Nat. Neurosci.* **21**, 120–129 (2018).

27. H. Stroud, S. C. Su, S. Hrvatin, A. W. Greben, W. Renthal, L. D. Boxer, M. A. Nagy, D. R.
Hochbaum, B. Kinde, H. W. Gabel, M. E. Greenberg, Early-Life Gene Expression in
Neurons Modulates Lasting Epigenetic States. *Cell*. **171**, 1151-1164.e16 (2017).

28. C. N. Cearley, J. H. Wolfe, Transduction characteristics of adeno-associated virus vectors
expressing cap serotypes 7, 8, 9, and Rh10 in the mouse brain. *Mol. Ther. J. Am. Soc. Gene*
Ther. **13**, 528–537 (2006).

29. R. Zilionis, J. Nainys, A. Veres, V. Savova, D. Zemmour, A. M. Klein, L. Mazutis, Single-
cell barcoding and sequencing using droplet microfluidics. *Nat. Protoc*. **12**, 44–73 (2017).

30. J. Urban-Ciecko, A. L. Barth, Somatostatin-expressing neurons in cortical networks. *Nat.*
Rev. Neurosci. **17**, 401–409 (2016).

31. Y. Ma, H. Hu, A. S. Berrebi, P. H. Mathers, A. Agmon, Distinct subtypes of somatostatin-
containing neocortical interneurons revealed in transgenic mice. *J. Neurosci. Off. J. Soc.*
Neurosci. **26**, 5069–5082 (2006).

32. R. Tremblay, S. Lee, B. Rudy, GABAergic Interneurons in the Neocortex: From Cellular
Properties to Circuits. *Neuron*. **91**, 260–292 (2016).

33. H. Xu, H.-Y. Jeong, R. Tremblay, B. Rudy, Neocortical somatostatin-expressing GABAergic
interneurons disinhibit the thalamorecipient layer 4. *Neuron*. **77**, 155–167 (2013).

34. B. P. Bean, The action potential in mammalian central neurons. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci*. **8**, 451–
465 (2007).

35. T. Kimm, Z. M. Khaliq, B. P. Bean, Differential Regulation of Action Potential Shape and
Burst-Frequency Firing by BK and Kv2 Channels in Substantia Nigra Dopaminergic
Neurons. *J. Neurosci. Off. J. Soc. Neurosci*. **35**, 16404–16417 (2015).

36. B. N. Armbruster, X. Li, M. H. Pausch, S. Herlitze, B. L. Roth, Evolving the lock to fit the
key to create a family of G protein-coupled receptors potently activated by an inert ligand.
Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. **104**, 5163–5168 (2007).