Title: Effect of topical soluble epoxide hydrolase inhibition in a preclinical diabetic

wound healing model.

Short title: EPHX2 inhibition and wound healing

William C. Reisdorf[1][¶][*], Neetu Rajpal[1][¶], Andrew J. Gehman[2], Piyush Jain[3], Mark E. Burgert[2],

Sujatha Sonti[3], Pankaj Agarwal[1] and Deepak K. Rajpal[1]

[1] Computational Biology, GlaxoSmithKline Research & Development, Collegeville, Pennsylvania,

United States of America

[2] Research Statistics, GlaxoSmithKline Research & Development, Collegeville, Pennsylvania,

United States of America

[3] Dermatology, GlaxoSmithKline Research & Development, Collegeville, Pennsylvania, United

States of America

[*] Corresponding author

E-mail: william.c.reisdorf@gsk.com

15
16 [¶]These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract

The objective of this study was to test a topical formulation of *EPHX2* inhibitor, GSK2256294, in

a dermal wound diabetic (*db/db*) mouse model. Comparisons were made between groups

treated with *EPHX2* inhibitor, matching vehicle control and a currently approved treatment for

diabetic ulcers, becaplermin/Regranex, as a positive control which is highly efficacious in this

model. Leptin receptor-deficient (*db/db*) male mice were sequentially assigned to treatment

groups (N=10 per group) based on blood glucose levels and body weight. Mice were given a

single 8 mm diameter full thickness wound on the back. Wounds were photographed and

traced, and fresh test materials applied periodically with fresh dressing. Of ten mice treated

with GSK2256294, three had completely healed wounds at the study conclusion, and 8/10 mice

reached at least 50% closure. In the vehicle group, no mice achieved complete closure, and only

6/10 reached at least 50% closure by the study conclusion. Nine of ten wounds achieved

complete closure in the positive control group by 21 days. Although the EPHX2 inhibitor results

were indicative of efficacy, the single-sided p-value criterion of 0.05 was not met in this study.

Introduction

Soluble epoxide hydrolase (sEH, encoded by the *EPHX2* gene), a member of the α/β-hydrolase

fold family of epoxide hydrolases, is a critical enzyme in the metabolism of epoxyeicosatrienoic

acids (EETs) to dihydroxyeicosatrienoic acids (DHETs). EETs are formed by the oxidation of

arachidonic acid by CYP450 enzymes, primarily members of the CYP 2C and 2J classes in

humans. EETs are a group of eight compounds: the four regioisomers are 5,6-EET, 8,9-EET,

11,12-EET and 14,15-EET, with R/S and S/R enantiomers of each. *In vitro,* EETs have

demonstrated anti-inflammatory and potential pro-resolving effects as well as effects on airway

and vascular smooth muscle, and vascular endothelial cells. The actions shown by EETs in

animal models and human cellular assays include vasodilatory effects, ion channel modulation,

mitogenesis and modulation of endothelial cell survival and vascular smooth muscle cell

proliferation.

Although the molecular mechanisms of action of EETs have not been completely elucidated, it

is hypothesized that the therapeutic effects of sEH inhibition are mediated by the accumulation

of EETs and that many potentially beneficial actions of EETs are attenuated by the conversion of

EETs to the less active DHETs. Therefore, sEH inhibition is a potential therapeutic target to

enhance the beneficial effects of EETs (1). GSK2256294 is a potent and selective soluble epoxide

hydrolase (sEH) inhibitor (2) which has previously been studied in preclinical models (3, 4) and

in phase I clinical trials (5, 6).

Chronic wounds, including pressure ulcers, leg ulcers and diabetic foot ulcers are an area of

significant unmet need. Thousands of lower limb amputations are performed annually on

diabetic patients. One estimate of the direct cost of diabetes treatments in the US in 2007 was

$116 billion, of which one third was linked to treating foot ulcers (7). Recognizing that diabetic

wound healing is a very challenging paradigm, we hypothesized that inhibition of sEH would

result in improved resolution of chronic wounds and could lead to a potentially

transformational medicine for patients. We therefore proceeded to test GSK2256294 in a

validated diabetic wound healing model to investigate this hypothesis.

Although there have been no GWAS focused on chronic wound healing, there are some

interesting Mendelian skin disorders involving other enzymes of arachidonic acid metabolism.

Autosomal recessive congenital ichthyosis type 1 (ARCI1, OMIM #242300) is caused by loss of

function (LoF) mutation in *TGM1* (transglutaminase 1), ARCI2 (OMIM #242100) is caused by LoF

in *ALOX12B* (arachidonate 12-lipoxygenase, 12R type) and ARCI3 (OMIM #606545) is caused by

LoF in *ALOXE3* (arachidonate lipoxygenase 3). All three are keratinization disorders,

characterized by abnormal skin scaling over the whole body (8). Mice with knockout expression

of *TGM1, ALOX12B* or *ALOXE3* have impaired skin barrier function (9-11). EETs have been

shown to activate *TGM1* in human and mouse keratinocytes (12), and *TGM1* mRNA facilitated

wound healing in neonatal mouse skin (13).

Several published reports implicate direct involvement of sEH in wound healing in non-diabetic

models. A tool sEH inhibitor (t-AUCB, *trans*-4-[4-(3-adamantan-1-yl-ureido)-cyclohexyloxy]-

benzoic acid) significantly accelerated wound closure, epithelialization and neovascularization

in an ear wound model in SKH-1 hairless mice (14), as did administration of sEH substrates

11,12-EET and 14,15-EET. The same research group showed accelerated wound closure in

EPHX2 KO mice (15). An independent study using knockout mice, sEH substrates and a different

tool inhibitor (TUPS, 1-(1-methanesulfonyl-piperidin-4-yl)-3-(4-trifluoromethoxy-phenyl)-urea)

has also shown similarly enhanced wound healing (16). In addition, it was demonstrated that

increased expression of *CYP2C8* or *CYP2J2* also increased EET levels and promoted wound

healing, whereas increased expression of sEH itself suppressed wound healing.

Materials and methods

Animal Care

We contracted with Explora BioLabs, San Diego, CA to manage the animal study. Every effort was made to minimize or eliminate pain and suffering of all the animals in the study. The study was approved by the Office of Animal Welfare, Ethics and Strategy at GSK (Reference number D012050, Submission number S003940). All studies were conducted in accordance with the GSK Policy on the Care, Welfare and Treatment of Laboratory Animals and were reviewed by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Explora BioLabs. Male mice, *db/db* (Homozygous for Lepr^{db} <BKS.Cg-Dock7^m +/+ Lepr^{db}/J>, 8-9 weeks old, were purchased from Jackson Laboratories. Upon arrival, animals were inspected for correct age and sex, ear-tagged and their body weights were recorded. The animals were housed 1-per cage. The animal housing, care and husbandry were performed per the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals; 8th Edition; Institute of Laboratory Animal Resources; U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; National Institutes of Health Publication No. 85-23, Revised 2011. Temperature in the animal holding room was maintained between 20 and 26°C (68-79°F). Room humidity was monitored (40-70% is recommended in the Guide). Temperature and humidity values were recorded daily. A 12-hour light/12-hour dark cycle illumination period was maintained. Animals were housed using the dual HEPA-filtered ventilated Innovive disposable IVC cage system 3.0, which provides 60 fresh-air changes per hour for animal cages. The animals had ad libitum access to standard diet (2920X.10, Global 18% Protein Rodent Diet from Harlan, San Diego, CA) and acidified water (pH 2.7-3.0) throughout the study period. The

bedding material was hardwood chips (Sani-Chips, Cat # 7115, Harlan, CA, USA) and was

changed weekly to ensure the ammonia levels within each cage were below 24 ppm.

Animals were acclimated to the housing environment for 7 days prior to the initiation of the

study. During the acclimation period, the general health of the animals was monitored daily.

Animals appeared normal and did not exhibit signs of poor health. No animals were excluded

from this study. Glucose and body weight were measured on Day -1, and treatment groups

were assigned sequentially to animals ordered by their Day -1 glucose levels (e.g., 1, 2, 3, 4, 5,

5, 4, 3, 2, 1, etc.) to obtain similar average blood glucose and body weight values among groups.

The treatment group assignment was performed on Study Day -1 prior to test article

administration. Animals were weighed once per week, and body weights were recorded. The

general health condition and attitude of each animal was monitored daily throughout the

study. Clinical signs indicative of poor health, stress and pain were noted.

118   Topical sEH Formulation

Topical gel formulations for GSK2256294 were developed by Dermatology. The solvent system

was decided based on solubility of GSK2256294. Gel formulation prototypes were then

prepared with the solvent system using various combinations of polymers and pH adjusters.

The formulation used in the animal study comprised of 1% (%w/w) GSK2256294, 20% PEG400,

25% propylene glycol, 25% transcutol, 1% Ultrez-10, 1.5% triethanolamine in water. Vehicle

control lacked GSK2256294 (q.s. with water) but was otherwise identical. Fresh supply of the

topical formulation was shipped to the CRO weekly, for the duration of the study. Becaplermin

(0.01%) was purchased from Smith & Nephew (Catalogue number - REG810-15) and used as

directed.

Study Design

We requested a representative data set from the CRO to assess model variability and estimate

the number of mice necessary to achieve adequate power. Sample sizes of N=10 mice per

group were determined to achieve 80% power to detect decreases from vehicle control of 1.31

days in mean interpolated time to 50% wound closure when using a one-way ANOVA model

with five experimental groups, for a total sample size of 50 animals. The study contained five

experimental groups in order to investigate other chemical compounds in addition to

GSK2256294, although only the three previously mentioned groups are presented here.

Timeline

- Day -7 to Day 0   acclimation

- Day -3   back hair removed

- Day 1   wounding

- Day 1   pre-dose and 1 hour post-dose blood collection (N=3 mice per group)

- Days 1, 4, 7, 10, 13, 16, 19, 21 and 24   dosing and wound measurement

- Day 21   termination of positive control group

•   Day 26   termination of sEHi and vehicle test groups, wound measurement

On Day -3, all animals were anesthetized via inhaled isoflurane and the entire back hair shaved

with clippers. The remaining hair was removed with depilatory cream (Nair,

unscented/sensitive skin). On Day 1, animals were anesthetized via inhaled isoflurane and a

single 8mm diameter full thickness (skin and the underlying panniculus carnosus) wound

created starting 1cm below the scapula bones running parallel at 0.5cm lateral from the spine.

Animals received ~50-100µL of Positive Control or Test Article or Vehicle spread out to create

an even and consistent layer over the wound making sure to cover all the wound area. Wounds

were covered with an occlusive dressing [High MVP Transparent Dressing (Smith + Newman)

and Mastisol liquid adhesive (Eloquent Healthcare)] and changed on dosing days following

surgery. Animals were weighed once per week, and body weights recorded. Weight loss in

excess of 20% compared to Day 0 was to be reported, but no mice reached this threshold

during the study. On Study Day 21, the animals in the positive control group were euthanized

by isoflurane overdose. On Study Day 26, the animals in the sEHi GSK2256294 treatment and

vehicle control groups were euthanized. Wounds were collected into 10% formalin for storage.

Each wound was excised completely to include underneath muscle and 5mm of surrounding

tissue. The personnel conducting the animal wounding, dosing, and measuring of wound area

were blinded to the identity of each test article, although the laboratory was aware of this

information as part of study planning and reporting. The dosing, photographing, and measuring

of wound area were each conducted sequentially by group and not in a random order.

Data Analysis

Wounds were photographed, traced and analyzed using the computer program Image J

software from digital photographs (www.rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/). Analysis was performed using R

package *lme4* version 1.1-18-1 and SAS/STAT version 12.1. The tests of statistical significance

are reported for a single sided test in the direction of reduced wound size or time to smaller

wound size. All endpoints for statistical analysis were derived from the wound size

measurements and were based on individual mice being the experimental unit. Data from all

five experimental groups were included in these analyses. A variety of alternative statistical

approaches were considered instead of the one-way ANOVA used for the earlier power analysis

due to some mice not achieving 50% closure during the study.

Time to 50% closure (T_{50}) was estimated using a spline model to estimate the wound closure

percent at timepoints between the days of measurement. The spline model incorporated a

forced intercept of 100% at Day 1 to account for the normalization of wound area to the

baseline measurement. It also involved knots at Days 4, 10, 16 and 21, a term for the

interaction between group and the spline, and a random coefficient for Day that varied by

animal as repeated measurements were observed for each mouse. Group means and 90%

confidence intervals were computed. For each group, the T_{50} mean estimate and its confidence

intervals were calculated from the intersection of the spline model estimates with 50% closure.

Time to 50% closure was also evaluated using survival analysis due to some mice not achieving

50% closure during the study. The time of event of 50% closure was taken as the observed

Study Day when a wound was at or below half its baseline size. A separate analysis calculated

the time of event using linear interpolation connecting Study Days between which 50% closure

was achieved. Wounds which remained larger than 50% of their baseline on all observed Study

Days were considered censored (no event). Time to complete closure was similarly analyzed

using the first observed Study Day when the wound was completely closed as the time to event.

The log-rank test was used to compare treatment groups by their survival.

Baseline-normalized wound area under the curve (AUC) was also computed for each mouse,

and group means and standard errors were reported, using a one-way ANOVA. Similarly,

wound area AUC was calculated without normalization and was modeled by an ANCOVA model,

with baseline wound area as the covariate.

Results

Results for time to 50% closure using the spline model are shown in Figure 1. The positive

control 0.01% becaplermin (Regranex) group showed complete healing in 9/10 animals over the

course of 21 days. The T_{50} (the time to 50% closure estimate) was 11.5 days, with 90%

confidence limits of approximately 11 and 12 days. The positive control arm was terminated at

Day 21. The GSK2256294 treatment group showed healing, but the process was slower than for

the positive control group and not all animals responded: T_{50} = 14.4 days, 90% confidence limits

of 13 and 16.5 days. The vehicle group also showed some healing over time, T_{50} = 19.7 Days,

90% confidence lower time 15.5 days (insufficient data to estimate the upper confidence limit),

but the progress was somewhat slower than the GSK2256294 group.

Fig 1. Time to 50% wound closure (T_{50}) for topical GSK2256294

db/db mice (N=10 per treatment group) were treated with topical GSK2256294, vehicle control,

or positive control (0.01% becaplermin). Wound areas were determined by tracing the wound

boundary at each time point and compared to the wound area on Day 1 (baseline = 100%). A

spline model was used to interpolate between the discrete wound area measurements. The

dashed horizontal line indicates 50% closure. The dashed black vertical line shows the

treatment group estimate of mean T_{50}, and the red dashed vertical lines show the 90%

confidence interval for T_{50}. Grey lines represent data from individual animals.

Figure 2 shows the individual values and group means for baseline-normalized wound AUC

through Day 21, by which time the positive control group wounds had healed. The mean AUC of

the positive control group (shown in green) was significantly different (11.1 cm^2 total AUC [90%

confidence interval: 9.6-12.5] vs. 13.3 [12.8-15.8]; p-value = 0.002) than the GSK2256294 group

(shown in red). However, the GSK2256294 group was not significantly different from the vehicle

control group (shown in blue; 13.3 [12.8-15.8] vs. 15.9 [14.5-17.4]; p-value = 0.061). The same

conclusion was reached when wound AUC through Day 21 was modeled with baseline as the

covariate (not shown): the mean AUC of the GSK2256294 treated group was significantly higher

than that of the positive control group (9.3 [8.4-10.2] vs. 7.2 [6.3-8.1]; p-value = 0.001) but not

significantly lower than the vehicle control group (9.3 [8.4-10.2] vs. 10.3 [9.3-11.2], respectively;

p-value = 0.075).

Fig 2. Wound AUC through day 21 for topical GSK2256294

Data points show the computed AUC of wound area self-normalized to Day 1 baseline, for

individual mice in the sEHi (red), vehicle (blue) and positive control (green) groups. Group

means and standard error bars are also displayed.

Because healing appeared to still be progressing at Day 21, the study was extended until Day 26

for the sEHi and vehicle arms, to determine if the healing trend would continue. The Day 26

results are shown in Figure 3. While the GSK2256294-treated group appeared to show more

healing than the vehicle control, the results did not meet the criterion for statistical significance

(15.6 [13.4-17.8] vs. 18.0 [15.8-20.2]; p-value = 0.058). Similarly, in comparison of wound AUC

through Day 26 with baseline area as the covariate (not shown), the mean AUC of the

GSK2256294 treated group was not significantly lower than that of the vehicle control group

(10.0 [8.6-11.5] vs. 11.6 [10.1-13.0]; p-value = 0.067).

Fig 3. Wound AUC through day 26 for topical GSK2256294

Data points show the computed AUC of wound area self-normalized to Day 1 baseline, for

individual mice in the sEHi (red) and vehicle (blue) groups. Group means and standard error

bars are also displayed.

To summarize, 9/10 mice in the positive control group achieved wound closure by day 21, 3/10

mice in the GSK2256294 treatment group achieved closure by Day 26 and no mice in the vehicle

group achieved closure by Day 26 (Table 1). The wound area data are available in Supplemental

Table 1.

Table 1 Summary of results for wound closure

Day 21			
Treatment Group	**% wound closure (median)**	**N 100% closure**	**N 50% closure**
becaplermin (positive control)	100	9/10	10/10
Active sEHi	76	2/10	8/10
Vehicle control	48	0/10	6/10

Day 26			
Treatment Group	**% wound closure (median)**	**N 100% closure**	**N 50% closure**
Active sEHi	83	3/10	8/10
Vehicle control	65	0/10	6/10

Discussion

We were encouraged that the GSK2256294-treated group showed evidence of improved

healing compared to vehicle control, despite the statistical significance threshold of P < 0.05 not

being met. In part, this might be attributed to greater variability in the wound healing process

among the mice in this study, compared to baseline data previously seen in this model.

Repeating the study with larger group size would be illuminating. We also note that this study

was our first attempt at topical formulation of GSK2256294. It may be possible to further

optimize the formulation, and the dose administered, to obtain improved response.

After our study was complete, a publication on EETs and diabetic wound healing appeared (17),

demonstrating that 11,12-EET treatment accelerated wound healing in *ob/ob* (leptin-deficient)

mice. These mice also had significantly reduced mRNA and protein expression of *CYP2C65* and

CYP2J2 compared to wild type. Taken together, the data strongly suggests that sEH inhibition,

or other strategies to raise EET levels, can potentially improve wound healing in pre-clinical

diabetic models.

The positive control Becaplermin is a biological agent (recombinant human platelet-derived

growth factor-BB), which has been approved and launched for use in treating diabetic ulcers.

Although it works well in the *db/db* mouse model, its efficacy in patients is less impressive. A

meta-analysis of five clinical trials (18) found that 205/428 (47.89%) of becaplermin-treated

ulcers healed completely, versus 109/335 (32.53%) of those in the placebo group. Considering

that becaplermin has a black box warning for malignancies in patients using more than three

tubes, there is clearly unmet need in the treatment of diabetic ulcers. Finally, we point out that

there is additional evidence from non-diabetic mouse models that pre-clinical sEH inhibitors

improve wound healing in that context (14-16), so applications for this therapy could be

extended to surgical and other types of wounds.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to acknowledge our colleagues for their support and critical discussions: Spiro Getsios, Laure Rittié, Akanksha Gupta and Jennifer Singh. We also thank Yolanda Sanchez for making available EPHX2 inhibitor GSK2256294 for testing in wound healing, and for insightful comments on earlier drafts of this manuscript.

References

1.   Spector AA, Norris AW. Action of epoxyeicosatrienoic acids on cellular function. American journal of physiology Cell physiology. 2007;292(3):C996-1012.

2.   Belyanskaya SL, Ding Y, Callahan JF, Lazaar AL, Israel DI. Discovering Drugs with DNA-Encoded Library Technology: From Concept to Clinic with an Inhibitor of Soluble Epoxide Hydrolase. Chembiochem : a European journal of chemical biology. 2017;18(9):837-42.

3.   Morgan LA, Olzinski AR, Upson JJ, Zhao S, Wang T, Eisennagel SH, et al. Soluble epoxide hydrolase inhibition does not prevent cardiac remodeling and dysfunction after aortic constriction in rats and mice. Journal of cardiovascular pharmacology. 2013;61(4):291-301.

4.   Podolin PL, Bolognese BJ, Foley JF, Long E, 3rd, Peck B, Umbrecht S, et al. In vitro and in vivo

characterization of a novel soluble epoxide hydrolase inhibitor. Prostaglandins & other lipid mediators.

2013;104-105:25-31.

5.   Lazaar AL, Yang L, Boardley RL, Goyal NS, Robertson J, Baldwin SJ, et al. Pharmacokinetics,

pharmacodynamics and adverse event profile of GSK2256294, a novel soluble epoxide hydrolase

inhibitor. British journal of clinical pharmacology. 2016;81(5):971-9.

6.   Yang L, Cheriyan J, Gutterman DD, Mayer RJ, Ament Z, Griffin JL, et al. Mechanisms of Vascular

Dysfunction in COPD and Effects of a Novel Soluble Epoxide Hydrolase Inhibitor in Smokers. Chest.

2017;151(3):555-63.

7.   Driver VR, Fabbi M, Lavery LA, Gibbons G. The costs of diabetic foot: the economic case for the

limb salvage team. Journal of the American Podiatric Medical Association. 2010;100(5):335-41.

8.   Fischer J. Autosomal recessive congenital ichthyosis. The Journal of investigative dermatology.

2009;129(6):1319-21.

9.   Matsuki M, Yamashita F, Ishida-Yamamoto A, Yamada K, Kinoshita C, Fushiki S, et al. Defective

stratum corneum and early neonatal death in mice lacking the gene for transglutaminase 1 (keratinocyte

transglutaminase). Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America.

1998;95(3):1044-9.

10.   Epp N, Furstenberger G, Muller K, de Juanes S, Leitges M, Hausser I, et al. 12R-lipoxygenase

deficiency disrupts epidermal barrier function. The Journal of cell biology. 2007;177(1):173-82.

11.   Krieg P, Rosenberger S, de Juanes S, Latzko S, Hou J, Dick A, et al. Aloxe3 knockout mice reveal a

function of epidermal lipoxygenase-3 as hepoxilin synthase and its pivotal role in barrier formation. The

Journal of investigative dermatology. 2013;133(1):172-80.

12.   Ladd PA, Du L, Capdevila JH, Mernaugh R, Keeney DS. Epoxyeicosatrienoic acids activate

transglutaminases in situ and induce cornification of epidermal keratinocytes. The Journal of biological

chemistry. 2003;278(37):35184-92.

13.   Inada R, Matsuki M, Yamada K, Morishima Y, Shen SC, Kuramoto N, et al. Facilitated wound

healing by activation of the Transglutaminase 1 gene. The American journal of pathology.

2000;157(6):1875-82.

14.   Sander AL, Jakob H, Sommer K, Sadler C, Fleming I, Marzi I, et al. Cytochrome P450-derived

epoxyeicosatrienoic acids accelerate wound epithelialization and neovascularization in the hairless

mouse ear wound model. Langenbeck's archives of surgery. 2011;396(8):1245-53.

15.   Sander AL, Sommer K, Neumayer T, Fleming I, Marzi I, Barker JH, et al. Soluble epoxide hydrolase

disruption as therapeutic target for wound healing. The Journal of surgical research. 2013;182(2):362-7.

16.   Panigrahy D, Kalish BT, Huang S, Bielenberg DR, Le HD, Yang J, et al. Epoxyeicosanoids promote

organ and tissue regeneration. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of

America. 2013;110(33):13528-33.

17.   Zhao H, Chen J, Chai J, Zhang Y, Yu C, Pan Z, et al. Cytochrome P450 (CYP) epoxygenases as

potential targets in the management of impaired diabetic wound healing. Laboratory investigation; a

journal of technical methods and pathology. 2017;97(7):782-91.

18.   Marti-Carvajal AJ, Gluud C, Nicola S, Simancas-Racines D, Reveiz L, Oliva P, et al. Growth factors

for treating diabetic foot ulcers. The Cochrane database of systematic reviews. 2015(10):Cd008548.

Supporting Information

345   S1 Table. Wound healing time-course measurements for individual mice in each treatment
346   group.

Figure1

Figure2

Figure3