Rosa26 docking sites for investigating genetic circuit silencing in stem cells

Michael Fitzgerald[1†], Mark Livingston[1†], Chelsea Gibbs[1] and Tara L. Deans[1*]

[1] Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT, 84112, USA
[†]These authors contributed equally to this work
* To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: 801-585-7859; Email: tara.deans@utah.edu

ABSTRACT

Approaches in mammalian synthetic biology have transformed how cells can be programmed to have reliable and predictable behaviour, however, the majority of mammalian synthetic biology has been accomplished using immortalized cell lines that are easy to grow and easy to transfect. Genetic circuits that integrate into the genome of these immortalized cell lines remain functional for many generations, often for the lifetime of the cells, yet when genetic circuits are integrated into the genome of stem cells gene silencing is observed within a few generations. To investigate the reactivation of silenced genetic circuits in stem cells, the Rosa26 locus of mouse pluripotent stem cells was modified to contain docking sites for site-specific integration of genetic circuits. We show that the silencing of genetic circuits can be reversed with the addition of sodium butyrate, a histone deacetylase inhibitor. These findings demonstrate an approach to reactivate the function of genetic circuits in pluripotent stem cells to ensure robust function over many generations. Altogether, this work introduces an approach to overcome the silencing of genetic circuits in pluripotent stem cells that may enable the use of genetic circuits in pluripotent stem cells for long-term function.

Key words: mammalian synthetic biology, gene circuit silencing, stable integration of gene circuits, pluripotent stem cells

INTRODUCTION

Pluripotent stem cells have the potential to augment tissue regeneration, in addition to creating cell-specific *in vitro* diagnostics and drug screens because they are capable of self-renewing and differentiating into any cell type (1). Induced pluripotent stem (iPS) cells can be derived from mature tissue cells from individuals by expressing key transcription factors (2). However, despite advances in stem cell culture techniques, differentiation can be inefficient, laborious, expensive, or otherwise intractable (3,4). It has been proposed that programming reliable cell behaviour using approaches in synthetic biology can be used for directing cell fate decisions to enhance their therapeutic potential (1,5,6). For example, using genetic circuits to reprogram cells to facilitate precise gene regulation can be used to enhance cell differentiation outcomes for tissue engineering and regenerative medicine applications. Moreover, to capitalize on the tight gene control of genetic circuits, their stability and function in the genome is critical.

Novel genetic tools built by synthetic biologists have transformed how cells can be
reprogrammed and include genetic programs to introduce switching (7-14), oscillations (15-
20), logic gates (21-23), and biosensing (24-30) behaviours into cells. Assembling simple
genetic parts into more complex gene circuits can reliably and predictably control cell
behaviours (31,32). To date, the majority of mammalian synthetic biology has taken place in
easy-to-grow and easy-to-transfect cells, derived from immortalized cell lines. These model
cell lines are useful for enhancing our understanding of synthetic gene circuits that underscore
the potential of synthetic biology tools, however, these cell lines may not be good predictors
of the challenges that arise in stem cells (33). Plasmid and viral gene delivery systems have
been shown to lose expression over weeks of cell culture, which is thought to be a
consequence of epigenetic modifications of the inserted DNA (34-36). The current
understanding of transgene, a gene that has been artificially introduced into the genome,
silencing suggests that silencing of genes can occur through the methylation of the expression
cassette and/or the formation of heterochromatin, both of which facilitate changes in gene
expression; however, the circumstances that trigger these mechanisms are still being
elucidated. To overcome these challenges, several strategies to mitigate the effects of
transgene silencing have been used, such as the inclusion of universal chromatin opening
elements (37,38), scaffold/matrix attachment regions (39), CG free plasmid backbones (40),
minicircle DNA (41), genomic insulators (42), and targeted integration in open chromatin
regions (43). These approaches can significantly lessen transgene silencing, however the
effects are not universal (44,45). Currently, the impact of genetic circuit silencing is not known,
and strategies to alleviate silencing have yet to be explored. Genetic circuits are distinct from
plasmid and viral expression cassettes because they contain multiple genetic modules that
makeup the circuits, resulting in larger DNA constructs that need to be inserted into cells (46).
Since genetic circuits endow cells with tight gene control, they have been a focus for directing
stem cell fate to enable both timed and tuned gene expression that match the evolving
requirements of the differentiating cells as they undergo cell fate decisions (47). Altogether,
reliable stem cell programming with genetic circuits will require tools that integrate into the
genome, have predictable expression patterns in differentiated cells, and avoid disruption of
endogenous genes and pathways.
32

33 One previously shown approach to avoid epigenetic silencing of integrated genetic circuits is
34 to engineer genomic safe harbour sites within the genome that support the integration of
35 genetic material to those specific genomic locations, or loci. Additionally, safe harbour loci
36 within the genome limit transgene silencing caused by unpredictable genome interactions
37 associated with random insertions (34,48). The Rosa26 locus in mice has been observed to

facilitate the ubiquitous expression of transgenes in developing and adult tissue when inserted at this site, suggesting that transgenes are active in germ cells, in addition to the differentiated progeny of those cells (49,50). This locus has also been used in Chinese Hamster Ovarian (CHO) cells for targeted integration that demonstrates stable integration (51). Therefore, the Rosa26 locus is an ideal location to target for inserting genetic circuits to study their stability and function, in stem cells.

Here, genetic circuit silencing was studied by observing circuit function in pluripotent stem cells by engineering a mouse embryonic stem (ES) cell line with three ϕC31 docking sites on one allele of the Rosa26 locus to function as a landing pad for large genetic circuits that have a matching recombinase. The 8kb genetic circuit, LTRi (Lac Tet RNAi), was chosen because it is relatively complex in architecture and the genetic circuit permits tuneable control of gene expression (9,52,53). Enhanced green fluorescence protein (EGFP) was controlled by LTRi, LTRi_EGFP, to study the ability to reverse the silencing of gene expression once stably integrated into the genome.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Design of plasmids

The homology repair template for CRISPR was Gibson assembled to include 1kb homology arms, an FRT-flanked neomycin resistance gene, 3x attP sites, and a blue fluorescent protein (BFP) expression cassette (Addgene #36086). The 1kb upstream and 1kb downstream arms were amplified from purified mouse genome from AB2.2 ES cells (ATCC #SCRC-1023) and verified by sequencing (Supplemental Figure S1F). The Cas9/gRNA plasmid was obtained from the University of Utah Mutation Generation and Detection Core (gRNA homology: TGGGCGGGAGTCTTCTGGGC). Modifications were made to the LTRi genetic switch to exchange viral promoters with non-viral promoters, namely mEF1 and rEF1 promoters from the pVItro1-msc plasmid (InvivoGen #pvitro1-mcs) and the addition of an attP docking site recognition sequence. The modified LTRi genetic switch (mLTRi) was constructed by cloning the transgene module into the vector module with DraIII and XhoI cut sites, and the individual modules were put together by Gibson assembly. mLTRi_EGFP is in process of being submitted to Addgene (addgene.org).

Cell culture

Mouse embryonic stem (ES) cells (ATCC #SCRC-1023) were maintained in high glucose knockout DMEM (Life Technologies #10829−018) supplemented with 15% ES certified FBS (LifeTechnologies #10439024), 1% nonessential amino acids (Life Technologies #11140050),

1mM L-glutamine (Life Technologies #25030−081), 0.1 mM 2-mercaptoethanol (Life Technologies #21985023), and 200 units/mL penicillin and streptomycin. The ES cells were plated on mitomycin C treated mouse embryonic fibroblast cells (Millipore Sigma #PMEF-NL-P1) that are G418 resistant. All cell lines were grown in a humidified 5% CO_2, 37°C incubator. The feeder cells were grown in high glucose DMEM medium supplemented with 10% FBS, 1% L-glutamine solution, and 200 units/mL penicillin and streptomycin until seeded with mouse ES cells, at which time the media conditions were as stated for the ES cells.

CRISPR modified pluripotent stem cells

Plasmid DNA containing the repair template with three tandem attP sites was co-transfected (1:1, Jetprime VWR#89129) with a plasmid containing Rosa26-Cas9/gRNA into mouse ES cells when confluency reached 70%. The cells were selected by adding 200 µg/ml neomycin (G418 Life Technologies #10131035) to the growth medium. Resistant clones were expanded and screened for the on-site genomic edit by genomic PCR. Copy number qPCR (Power Sybr, Thermofisher #4368577) was used to determine off-site integration. The neomycin resistance gene was removed by transfecting and flow sorting (Beckon Dickenson FACSAria) the candidate cell line with a plasmid harbouring EGFP-flip recombinase and assessing the reacquisition of neomycin sensitivity.

Docking plasmids

mLTRi-EGFP cell lines were established by co-transfection with ϕC31 integrase in the 3X-attP AB2.2 mouse ES cells. Cells that had the mLTRi-EGFP plasmid contained a neomycin resistant cassette so these transfected ES cells were selected by G418, and resistant lines were clonally expanded and further screened by inducibility with 250 µM IPTG. One of the positive clones was chosen and used for the entire study reported here.

Quantitative PCR primer design

All primers were design with NCBI's primer blast to have a PCR product size between 70bp and 200bp and a melting temperature between 58°C and 62°C (Table S1). The primers for known copy number (GAPDH and ZFY1) were designed to not span and exon junction because the template was genomic DNA. The primers were tested to ensure that there was no alignment with non-specific sequences. Each primer was tested using a 2x dilution of genomic DNA to ensure a single melting curve peak to ensure specific binding to only the desired location.

Quantitative PCR

Genomic DNA was isolated from the ES cells using lysis buffer (100mMTris-Cl, 5mM EDTA, 200mM NaCl, 0.2% SDS) and proteinase K as previously reported (54). The DNA was then precipitated in $C_2H_3NaO_2$ and isopropanol and washed in EtOH. After genomic DNA elution and quantification using a NanoDrop (ThermoFisher) and a 1 ng/µl stock was created. From the 1ng/ul stock a 2x dilution was prepared for each qPCR experiment. Each well of the qPCR reaction contained: 10ul of Power SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (ThermoFisher #4367659), 2 ul of a 3 µM forward primer that anneals to, 2ul of a 3 µM reverse primer, genomic DNA, and up to 6 ul of H_2O. The experiments were performed in triplicates on 96 well plates and used the StepOne™ Real-Time PCR System (ThermoFisher) where a standard curve was generated for each gene. The Ct value vs. the log of amount of DNA was plotted. The slope was calculated for each gene and the unknown 3x attP was compared to the known genes. Given that two copies of GAPDH exist in the genome, and only one copy of ZFY1, which is a gene on the Y chromosome (we used male ES cells) exist in the genome, CT values and slopes were compared to the attP sample.

Reactivation of silenced gene circuit and flow cytometry

Silenced mouse ES cells were induced with 250µM IPTG in the presence or absence of epigenetic modifying drugs at the concentrations noted in text and within the figure legends. Modifying drugs were purchased from the following: sodium butyrate (VWR #89147), 5-azacytodine (Sigma Aldrich #A2385). Cells were treated with the drug for 24 hours with and without IPTG and EGFP expression was assessed by flow cytometry using a Beckman Coulter CytoFLEX S. Flow data was analyzed using FlowJo software.

To find the maximum recovered EGFP expression from silenced cells, the cells with LTRi_EGFP stably integrated into the Rosa26 locus that stopped expressing EGFP were used to determine the amount of NaB that would recover gene expression and still maintain the health of the cells by adding 250 µM IPTG and varying the amount of NaB. For studying the activation of EGFP expression in stably transfected mouse ES cells after the exposure to NaB, silenced ES cells were grown on top of a MEF layer in a 10cm^2 tissue culture dish for 48 hours in the absence of IPTG. After exposure to NaB for 48 hours, the cells were passed to a 24 well plate containing a fresh feeder layer of MEFs in the absence of NaB. Twenty-four hours after passing the cells and removing NaB, 250 µM IPTG was added to each of three separate wells. Twenty-four hours after adding 250 µM IPTG, the cells were collected and EGFP was quantified using flow cytometry (Day 1). Forty-eight hours after passing the cells, 250 µM of IPTG was added to each of three separate wells. Twenty-four hours after adding 250 µM IPTG,

the cells were collected and EGFP was quantified using flow cytometry (Day 2). Seventy-two hours after passing the cells and removing NaB, 250 μM of IPTG was added to each of three separate wells. Twenty-four hours after adding 250 μM IPTG, the cells were collected and EGFP was quantified using flow cytometry (Day 3). Seventy-two hours after passing the cells and removing NaB, 250 μM of IPTG was added to each of three separate wells. Ninety-six hours after adding 250 μM IPTG, the cells were collected and EGFP was quantified using flow cytometry (Day 4). For studying the impact of NaB on silenced cells, and the longevity of recovering circuit function, cells were grown in 250 μM NaB alone in addition to NaB and IPTG for eight days.

RESULTS

Generation of ϕC31 docking sites in the Rosa26 locus of pluripotent stem cells

Clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR)/Cas9 technology enable the targeting of specific locations within the genome. Utilizing CRISPR/Cas9 with customized guide RNAs (gRNAs) to target specific locations within the genome offers a useful method for engineering landing pads for genetic circuits at desired locations within the genome. Indeed, CRISPR/Cas9 can be used for inserting new sequences of DNA, however, the efficiency is significantly decreased when inserting DNA sequences larger than 5 kb (55). Because most genetic circuits that function in mammalian cells are larger than 5 kb, inserting a landing pad that has a site-specific recombinase can increase efficiency of integration of these larger DNA constructs into the genome when recombinase recognition sites matching the recombinase are present in the plasmid containing the DNA to be inserted (51).

To allow for targeted and efficient integration of genetic circuits into the genome of pluripotent stem cells, ϕC31 docking sites were inserted into the Rosa26 locus (Figure 1A) of mouse ES cells using CRISPR/Cas9 technology. Three tandem ϕC31 integrase *attP* sites were inserted that serve as a landing pad for larger DNA additions containing an *attB* sequence (50). To create an efficient screening method, a neomycin resistance gene flanked by *FRT* sites was added upstream of the 3x *attP* sites inside the homology arms of the repair DNA plasmid, and outside of the arms, a blue fluorescent protein (BFP) cassette was included (Figure 1B). This plasmid was co-transfected with Cas9 endonuclease and a gRNA that targets the Rosa26 locus. Adding neomycin (G418) to the media of transfected cells enables for the selection of ES colonies that have the landing pad integrated into the genome at the Rosa26 locus (Figure 1C). CRISPR/Cas9 technology has shown to have off target effects, namely whole or parts of the repair template integrate into the genome at random locations, rather than just the repair DNA flanked by the homology arms in the targeted location (56-59). To screen for off-target

insertions, a BFP cassette was added outside of the homology arms in the repair DNA template (Figure 1B). Therefore, any ES colony expressing BFP would indicate that the repair plasmid was incorrectly integrated into the genome and discarded. The ES cells resistant to G418 that did not express BFP were selected and verified by PCR for the on-site insertion of *attP* sites in the Rosa26 locus with primers designed to span part of the neomycin resistance gene and the genomic DNA beyond the homology arm (Figure 1C). ES clones with an on-site edit produced a 1.6 kb amplicon (Figure 1C and Supplemental Figure S1B) that contained an XbaI site and when isolated and cut with XbaI endonuclease produced the predicted 1.1 kb and 500 bp bands (Supplemental Figure S1C). DNA sequencing was also performed and further confirmed the insertion of the repair DNA in the Rosa26 locus.

Screen of the mouse genome for correct genomic edits

To assess the number of docking sites integrated into the mouse genome, quantitative PCR (qPCR) was performed to verify whether additional integrations occurred elsewhere within the genome (Figure 1E). By referencing two genes of known copy number, ZFY1 on the Y chromosome (one copy), and GAPDH on chromosome 6 (two copies), it was possible to determine how many times the docking site was inserted into the genome. Primers were designed to anneal at the end of the 3x *attP* sequence and included part of the genomic Rosa26 sequence to give a ~100mer amplicon (Figure 1C). The mean Ct values of each primer set vs. the log of DNA (ng) were plotted as previously described (54). The slope of Ct values vs. log of DNA of each sample was calculated and compared to the slope of ZFY1 and GAPDH. Since the slope of the attP amplicon matched that of the ZFY1, it shows that the docking site was added once (Figure 1C). Once correct docking was confirmed, the neomycin resistance gene was removed by transfecting the confirmed heterozygous ES line with a plasmid harbouring flip recombinase, leaving the 3x *attP* sites (Figure 1D). To validate that the resistant cassette was removed, the *FLP* transfected ES cells were clonally expanded, assessed for neomycin sensitivity, and verified by PCR. The amplification of the wild type ES Rosa26 locus is expected to be 1.1kb, while the addition of the *attP* sites without neomycin is expected to increase in size to 1.4kb (Supplemental Figure S1E). Results indicate that the ES cell line has the Rosa26 site-specific addition of 3x *attP* sites at one allele and is nowhere else in the genome.

Docking genetic circuits

To assess the function of LTRi_EGFP in mouse ES cells over time, the genetic circuit was integrated into the Rosa26 locus at the docking sites. First, to rule out the possibility of CMV and RSV being silenced (60), LTRi was modified to replace the original CMV and RSV

promoters with the non-viral promoters mouse Elongation Factor-1 (mEF1), and rat Elongation Factor-1 (rEF1) (Figure 2A-B). Site-specific docking of the modified LTRi (mLTRi)_EGFP genetic circuit was accomplished by adding an *attB* sequence to the plasmid. Along with the genetic circuit, the plasmid also contained a neomycin resistance cassette for G418 selection of transfected ES cells. The mLTRi genetic circuit containing an *attB* sequence was co-transfected with ϕC31 integrase, resulting in the mLTRi genetic circuit stably integrated into the genome. ES cells resistant to G418 were clonally expanded and screened for their response to the chemical inducer, isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG), a small molecule that activates gene expression of the genetic circuit (9,52,53). To confirm docking, the IPTG responsive cell lines were screened for integration into Rosa26 by conventional PCR with primers flanking the Rosa locus and the genetic circuit, which is expected to produce a 1.3kb amplicon (Supplementary Figure S2A and S2B).

Assessing circuit function in the Rosa26 locus over time

To investigate the function of the mLTRi genetic circuit in pluripotent stem cells, mLTRi was docked into the Rosa26 locus of mouse ES cells and the cells expressing EGFP in the presence of IPTG. The EGFP expressing cells were single cell sorted and cultured for more than three weeks in the presence or absence of IPTG. EGFP was assessed using fluorescent microscopy and results show that ES colonies cultured beyond three weeks significantly lost their ability to express EGFP in the presence of IPTG compared to cells in earlier time points (Figure 2C-D').

Reactivation of silenced mLTRi in pluripotent stem cells

To investigate methods to reactivate mLTRi_EGFP, we looked at two common mechanisms of transgene silencing that are frequently cited as barriers when introducing transgenes were studied. The first, promoter methylation, occurs with the methylation of cytosine residues in CpG sequences by the cytosine DNA methyltransferase (DNMT1) enzyme and can be reversed with methyltransferase inhibitor 5-aza-deoxycytidine (AzaC) (61-66). The second, histone acetylation, is a process where an acetyl group is added to a lysine residue on the tail of a histone by histone deacetylase, which can be prevented by sodium butyrate (NaB), a known histone deacetylase inhibitor (HDACi) (67-69).

To test whether the silenced genetic circuit could be reactivated, ES colonies that lost their ability to respond to IPTG were grown in the presence of the inhibitors AzaC or NaB for 48 hours, and EGFP expression was assessed using flow cytometry (Figure 4A). EGFP expression was reactivated in the presence of NaB. Next, to determine the optimal

concentration of NaB for recovering genetic circuit function, various concentrations of NaB were added to the media of silenced ES cells in the presence of 250 μM IPTG (Figure 3B and Supplemental Figure S3). While 500 μM NaB showed the most recovery of EGFP expression, cells grown in this conditions displayed morphological changes and the cells did not appear as healthy as the cells grown in lower concentrations of NaB. The 250 μM concentration of NaB did not appear to alter the growth rate or the morphology of the ES cells over time. Therefore, the 250 μM concentration of NaB was used in our experiments. To determine whether EGFP expression. To determine whether EGFP expression dynamics could be recovered, ES cells that recovered their EGFP expression in the presence of 250 μM NaB were sorted by flow cytometry and grown in various concentrations of IPTG (Figure 3C) over various time points. These data show that exposure to 250 μM NaB for 48 hours reverses the silencing of mLTRi_EGFP and allows for the recovery of the genetic circuit function.

Investigating the activation of EGFP in stable ES cells.

To explore the activation of EGFP in the silenced stable ES cell line, we first looked at inducing EGFP expression with IPTG after exposure to 250μM NaB for 48 hours (Figure 4A and Supplemental Figure S4). To determine how long after NaB exposure genetic circuits could be activated with IPTG, cells were grown in a 10cm dish in the presence of NaB for 48 hours. After 48 hours, the cells were washed and passed into 24-well plates. Twenty-four hours after passing and the removal of NaB (Figure 4A, 1 Day), IPTG was added and EGFP fluorescence was quantified 24 hours after the addition of IPTG using flow cytometry. IPTG was subsequently added 2, 3, and 4 days after the removal of NaB and the passage of cells into the 24-well plates. We observed that EGFP expression could be rescued up to 3-4 days after the removal of NaB.

To better assess whether NaB non-selectively activates gene expression, EGFP expression in stably transfected ES cells was compared to cells grown in the presence and absence of 250μM NaB and 250μM IPTG over 8 days (Figure 4B and Supplemental Figure S5). We observed that adding NaB alone to the media did not activate the expression of EGFP in the genetic circuit and that EGFP expression can be maintained for at least 8 days with the addition of NaB and IPTG.

DISCUSSION

To date, genetic circuits in mammalian cells have primarily been reported in easy to grow and easy to transfect immortalized cell lines. Pluripotent stem cells have the potential to give rise to all cell types in the body and can propagate indefinitely under the right culturing conditions.

Because pluripotent stem cells represent a single cell source that can make large contributions toward currently unmet clinical needs for regenerating damaged and diseased tissue, tightly controlling specific genes is critical for effectively driving stem cell differentiation into desired lineages. Novel genetic tools built by synthetic biologists allow for such control in a variety of mammalian cell lines. However, gene expression from transgene expression systems have been shown to have heterogenic expression patterns that are often silenced by epigenetic modifications over time (61,62). To overcome this limitation, we have engineered mouse embryonic stem cells with ϕC31 docking sites using CRISPR/Cas9 technology to allow for the targeted insertion of genetic circuits into the Rosa26 locus. This docking site functions as a landing pad for genetic circuits that have a matching recombinase to be targeted for insertion at this genome location. Docked mLTRi_EGFP in this location demonstrated robust circuit function for up to three weeks of culture, however, after three weeks, EGFP expression significantly decreased and mLTRi_EGFP was no longer responsive to IPTG induction.

To overcome silencing in pluripotent stem cells, we showed that adding the HDAC inhibitor, NaB, to the media recovers the genetic circuit function for at least 8 days. This 8-day recovery of circuit function may be sufficient for directing certain differentiation pathways that turn on early in development and/or for activating transcriptional cascades capable of regulating later cell fate signals responsible for developmental regulation (70).

Taken together, this study demonstrates that genetic circuits can be inserted into the genome of pluripotent stem cells and if circuit function diminishes over time, NaB can be added to the growth media to re-establish circuit function. These data raise the exciting possibility of using synthetic biology in pluripotent stem cells for many therapeutic applications.

MATERIALS AND DATA AVAILABILITY

Any data or unique materials (e.g. DNA sequences) presented in the manuscript may be available from the authors upon reasonable request and may require a materials transfer agreement. The mLTRi_EGFP plasmid is currently being deposited to Addgene (addgene.org).

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary data are available at SYNBIO online.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the members of the Deans Laboratory for helpful discussions on the manuscript, and the University of Utah Flow Cytometry Facility that is funded by the National Cancer

Institute [5P30CA042014-24], and by the National Centre for Research of the National Institutes of Health [1S10RR026802-01].

FUNDING

This work was supported by the University of Utah start-up funds, the National Science Foundation CAREER Program [CBET-1554017 to TLD], the Office of Naval Research Young Investigator Program [N00014-16-1-3012 to TLD], and the National Institute of Health Trailblazer Award [1R21EB025413-01 to TLD]. This work was also supported by the University of Utah Undergraduate Research Opportunities Program (UROP) to CG.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

MF constructed the mLTRi genetic circuit and made the stable cell lines. CG designed the quantitative PCR screen. ML helped with quantifying the reactivation of the genetic circuit using flow cytometry. All authors analyzed the data, wrote, and edited the manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. Bush, L.M., Gibbs, C. and Deans, T.L. (2019) Synthetic biology: paving the way with novel drug delivery. *The Biochemist*, **41**, 24.

2. Takahashi, K. and Yamanaka, S. (2006) Induction of pluripotent stem cells from mouse embryonic and adult fibroblast cultures by defined factors. *Cell*, **126**, 663-676.

3. Abdelalim, E.M. and Emara, M.M. (2015) Advances and challenges in the differentiation of pluripotent stem cells into pancreatic beta cells. *World J Stem Cells*, **7**, 174-181.

4. Youssef, A.A., Ross, E.G., Bolli, R., Pepine, C.J., Leeper, N.J. and Yang, P.C. (2016) The Promise and Challenge of Induced Pluripotent Stem Cells for Cardiovascular Applications. *JACC Basic Transl Sci*, **1**, 510-523.

5. Healy, C.P. and Deans, T.L. (2019) Genetic circuits to engineer tissues with alternative functions. *J Biol Eng*, **13**, 39.

6. Vogel, A.M., Persson, K.M., Seamons, T.R. and Deans, T.L. (2019) Synthetic biology for improving cell fate decisions and tissue engineering outcomes. *Emerging Topics in Life Sciences*.

7. Callura, J.M., Cantor, C.R. and Collins, J.J. (2012) Genetic switchboard for synthetic biology applications. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **109**, 5850-5855.

8. Chang, A.L., Wolf, J.J. and Smolke, C.D. (2012) Synthetic RNA switches as a tool for temporal and spatial control over gene expression. *Current opinion in biotechnology*, **23**, 679-688.

9. Deans, T.L., Cantor, C.R. and Collins, J.J. (2007) A tunable genetic switch based on RNAi and repressor proteins for regulating gene expression in mammalian cells. *Cell*, **130**, 363-372.

10. Fitzgerald, M., Gibbs, C., Shimpi, A.A. and Deans, T.L. (2017) Adoption of the Q Transcriptional System for Regulating Gene Expression in Stem Cells. *ACS synthetic biology*, **6**, 2014-2020.

11. Gardner, T.S., Cantor, C.R. and Collins, J.J. (2000) Construction of a genetic toggle switch in Escherichia coli. *Nature*, **403**, 339-342.

12. Green, A.A., Silver, P.A., Collins, J.J. and Yin, P. (2014) Toehold switches: de-novo-designed regulators of gene expression. *Cell*, **159**, 925-939.

13. Kramer, B.P., Viretta, A.U., Daoud-El-Baba, M., Aubel, D., Weber, W. and Fussenegger, M. (2004) An engineered epigenetic transgene switch in mammalian cells. *Nature biotechnology*, **22**, 867-870.

14. Weber, W. and Fussenegger, M. (2011) Molecular diversity--the toolbox for synthetic gene switches and networks. *Curr Opin Chem Biol*, **15**, 414-420.

15. Danino, T., Mondragon-Palomino, O., Tsimring, L. and Hasty, J. (2010) A synchronized quorum of genetic clocks. *Nature*, **463**, 326-330.

16. Elowitz, M.B. and Leibler, S. (2000) A synthetic oscillatory network of transcriptional regulators. *Nature*, **403**, 335-338.

17. Fung, E., Wong, W.W., Suen, J.K., Bulter, T., Lee, S.G. and Liao, J.C. (2005) A synthetic gene-metabolic oscillator. *Nature*, **435**, 118-122.

18. Judd, E.M., Laub, M.T. and McAdams, H.H. (2000) Toggles and oscillators: new genetic circuit designs. *BioEssays : news and reviews in molecular, cellular and developmental biology*, **22**, 507-509.

19. Stricker, J., Cookson, S., Bennett, M.R., Mather, W.H., Tsimring, L.S. and Hasty, J. (2008) A fast, robust and tunable synthetic gene oscillator. *Nature*, **456**, 516-519.

20. Tigges, M., Marquez-Lago, T.T., Stelling, J. and Fussenegger, M. (2009) A tunable synthetic mammalian oscillator. *Nature*, **457**, 309-312.

21. Guet, C.C., Elowitz, M.B., Hsing, W. and Leibler, S. (2002) Combinatorial synthesis of genetic networks. *Science*, **296**, 1466-1470.

22. Schukur, L. and Fussenegger, M. (2016) Engineering of synthetic gene circuits for (re-)balancing physiological processes in chronic diseases. *Wiley Interdiscip Rev Syst Biol Med*, **8**, 402-422.

23. Siuti, P., Yazbek, J. and Lu, T.K. (2013) Synthetic circuits integrating logic and memory in living cells. *Nature biotechnology*, **31**, 448-452.

24. Bowsher, C.G. and Swain, P.S. (2014) Environmental sensing, information transfer, and cellular decision-making. *Current opinion in biotechnology*, **28C**, 149-155.

25. Brenner, M., Cho, J.H. and Wong, W.W. (2017) Synthetic biology: Sensing with modular receptors. *Nature chemical biology*, **13**, 131-132.

26. Daringer, N.M., Dudek, R.M., Schwarz, K.A. and Leonard, J.N. (2014) Modular extracellular sensor architecture for engineering mammalian cell-based devices. *ACS synthetic biology*, **3**, 892-902.

27. Feng, J., Jester, B.W., Tinberg, C.E., Mandell, D.J., Antunes, M.S., Chari, R., Morey, K.J., Rios, X., Medford, J.I., Church, G.M. *et al.* (2015) A general strategy to construct small molecule biosensors in eukaryotes. *Elife*, **4**, 1-23.

28. Slomovic, S. and Collins, J.J. (2015) DNA sense-and-respond protein modules for mammalian cells. *Nature methods*, **12**, 1085-1090.

29. Smole, A., Lainscek, D., Bezeljak, U., Horvat, S. and Jerala, R. (2017) A Synthetic Mammalian Therapeutic Gene Circuit for Sensing and Suppressing Inflammation. *Molecular therapy : the journal of the American Society of Gene Therapy*, **25**, 102-119.

30. Youk, H. and Lim, W.A. (2014) Secreting and sensing the same molecule allows cells to achieve versatile social behaviors. *Science*, **343**, 1242782.

31. MacDonald, I.C. and Deans, T.L. (2016) Tools and applications in synthetic biology. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev*, **105**, 20-34.

32. Weisenberger, M.S. and Deans, T.L. (2018) Bottom-up approaches in synthetic biology and biomaterials for tissue engineering applications. *J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol*, **45**, 599-614.

33. Ceroni, F. and Ellis, T. (2018) The challenges facing synthetic biology in eukaryotes. *Nature reviews. Molecular cell biology*.

34. Papapetrou, E.P. and Schambach, A. (2016) Gene Insertion Into Genomic Safe Harbors for Human Gene Therapy. *Molecular therapy : the journal of the American Society of Gene Therapy*, **24**, 678-684.

35. Godecke, N., Zha, L., Spencer, S., Behme, S., Riemer, P., Rehli, M., Hauser, H. and Wirth, D. (2017) Controlled re-activation of epigenetically silenced Tet promoter-driven transgene expression by targeted demethylation. *Nucleic acids research*, **45**, e147.

36. Bencsik, R., Boto, P., Szabo, R.N., Toth, B.M., Simo, E., Balint, B.L. and Szatmari, I. (2016) Improved transgene expression in doxycycline-inducible embryonic stem cells by repeated chemical selection or cell sorting. *Stem Cell Res*, **17**, 228-234.

37. Muller-Kuller, U., Ackermann, M., Kolodziej, S., Brendel, C., Fritsch, J., Lachmann, N., Kunkel, H., Lausen, J., Schambach, A., Moritz, T. *et al.* (2015) A minimal ubiquitous chromatin opening element (UCOE) effectively prevents silencing of

juxtaposed heterologous promoters by epigenetic remodeling in multipotent and pluripotent stem cells. *Nucleic acids research*, **43**, 1577-1592.

38. Zhang, F., Frost, A.R., Blundell, M.P., Bales, O., Antoniou, M.N. and Thrasher, A.J. (2010) A ubiquitous chromatin opening element (UCOE) confers resistance to DNA methylation-mediated silencing of lentiviral vectors. *Molecular therapy : the journal of the American Society of Gene Therapy*, **18**, 1640-1649.

39. Zhao, C.P., Guo, X., Chen, S.J., Li, C.Z., Yang, Y., Zhang, J.H., Chen, S.N., Jia, Y.L. and Wang, T.Y. (2017) Matrix attachment region combinations increase transgene expression in transfected Chinese hamster ovary cells. *Sci Rep*, **7**, 42805.

40. Bazzani, R.P., Pringle, I.A., Connolly, M.M., Davies, L.A., Sumner-Jones, S.G., Schleef, M., Hyde, S.C. and Gill, D.R. (2016) Transgene sequences free of CG dinucleotides lead to high level, long-term expression in the lung independent of plasmid backbone design. *Biomaterials*, **93**, 20-26.

41. Chen, Z.Y., Riu, E., He, C.Y., Xu, H. and Kay, M.A. (2008) Silencing of episomal transgene expression in liver by plasmid bacterial backbone DNA is independent of CpG methylation. *Molecular therapy : the journal of the American Society of Gene Therapy*, **16**, 548-556.

42. Macarthur, C.C., Xue, H., Van Hoof, D., Lieu, P.T., Dudas, M., Fontes, A., Swistowski, A., Touboul, T., Seerke, R., Laurent, L.C. *et al.* (2012) Chromatin insulator elements block transgene silencing in engineered human embryonic stem cell lines at a defined chromosome 13 locus. *Stem Cells Dev*, **21**, 191-205.

43. Strathdee, D., Ibbotson, H. and Grant, S.G. (2006) Expression of transgenes targeted to the Gt(ROSA)26Sor locus is orientation dependent. *PloS one*, **1**, e4.

44. Hoffmann, D., Schott, J.W., Geis, F.K., Lange, L., Muller, F.J., Lenz, D., Zychlinski, D., Steinemann, D., Morgan, M., Moritz, T. *et al.* (2017) Detailed comparison of retroviral vectors and promoter configurations for stable and high transgene expression in human induced pluripotent stem cells. *Gene Ther*, **24**, 298-307.

45. Saunders, F., Sweeney, B., Antoniou, M.N., Stephens, P. and Cain, K. (2015) Chromatin function modifying elements in an industrial antibody production platform--comparison of UCOE, MAR, STAR and cHS4 elements. *PloS one*, **10**, e0120096.

46. Deans, T.L. (2014) Parallel Networks: Synthetic Biology and Artificial Intelligence. *ACM Journal on Emerging Technologies in computing systems (JETC)*, **11**, 21:21-21:11.

47. Tsankov, A.M., Gu, H., Akopian, V., Ziller, M.J., Donaghey, J., Amit, I., Gnirke, A. and Meissner, A. (2015) Transcription factor binding dynamics during human ES cell differentiation. *Nature*, **518**, 344-349.

48. Soriano, P. (1999) Generalized lacZ expression with the ROSA26 Cre reporter strain. *Nature genetics*, **21**, 70-71.

49. Casola, S. (2010) Mouse models for miRNA expression: the ROSA26 locus. *Methods in molecular biology*, **667**, 145-163.

50. Tasic, B., Hippenmeyer, S., Wang, C., Gamboa, M., Zong, H., Chen-Tsai, Y. and Luo, L. (2011) Site-specific integrase-mediated transgenesis in mice via pronuclear injection. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **108**, 7902-7907.

51. Gaidukov, L., Wroblewska, L., Teague, B., Nelson, T., Zhang, X., Liu, Y., Jagtap, K., Mamo, S., Tseng, W.A., Lowe, A. *et al.* (2018) A multi-landing pad DNA integration platform for mammalian cell engineering. *Nucleic acids research*, **46**, 4072-4086.

52. Deans, T.L., Singh, A., Gibson, M. and Elisseeff, J.H. (2012) Regulating synthetic gene networks in 3D materials. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **109**, 15217-15222.

53. Singh, A., Deans, T.L. and Elisseeff, J.H. (2013) Photomodulation of Cellular Gene Expression in Hydrogels. *Acs Macro Letters*, **2**, 269-272.

54. Yun, J.J., Heisler, L.E., Hwang, II, Wilkins, O., Lau, S.K., Hyrcza, M., Jayabalasingham, B., Jin, J., McLaurin, J., Tsao, M.S. *et al.* (2006) Genomic DNA functions as a universal external standard in quantitative real-time PCR. *Nucleic acids research*, **34**, e85.

55. Zhang, L., Jia, R., Palange, N.J., Satheka, A.C., Togo, J., An, Y., Humphrey, M., Ban, L., Ji, Y., Jin, H. *et al.* (2015) Large genomic fragment deletions and insertions in mouse using CRISPR/Cas9. *PloS one*, **10**, e0120396.

56. Sawatsubashi, S., Joko, Y., Fukumoto, S., Matsumoto, T. and Sugano, S.S. (2018) Development of versatile non-homologous end joining-based knock-in module for genome editing. *Sci Rep*, **8**, 593.

57. Zhang, X.H., Tee, L.Y., Wang, X.G., Huang, Q.S. and Yang, S.H. (2015) Off-target Effects in CRISPR/Cas9-mediated Genome Engineering. *Mol Ther Nucleic Acids*, **4**, e264.

58. Kim, S., Kim, D., Cho, S.W., Kim, J. and Kim, J.S. (2014) Highly efficient RNA-guided genome editing in human cells via delivery of purified Cas9 ribonucleoproteins. *Genome Res*, **24**, 1012-1019.

59. Peng, R., Lin, G. and Li, J. (2016) Potential pitfalls of CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing. *FEBS J*, **283**, 1218-1231.

60. Brooks, A.R., Harkins, R.N., Wang, P., Qian, H.S., Liu, P. and Rubanyi, G.M. (2004) Transcriptional silencing is associated with extensive methylation of the CMV promoter following adenoviral gene delivery to muscle. *J Gene Med*, **6**, 395-404.

61. Tycko, B. (2000) Epigenetic gene silencing in cancer. *The Journal of clinical investigation*, **105**, 401-407.

62. Wolffe, A.P. and Matzke, M.A. (1999) Epigenetics: regulation through repression. *Science*, **286**, 481-486.

63. Bird, A. (2002) DNA methylation patterns and epigenetic memory. *Genes & development*, **16**, 6-21.

64. Shalginskikh, N., Poleshko, A., Skalka, A.M. and Katz, R.A. (2013) Retroviral DNA methylation and epigenetic repression are mediated by the antiviral host protein Daxx. *J Virol*, **87**, 2137-2150.

65. Lubbert, M. (2000) DNA methylation inhibitors in the treatment of leukemias, myelodysplastic syndromes and hemoglobinopathies: clinical results and possible mechanisms of action. *Curr Top Microbiol Immunol*, **249**, 135-164.

66. Stresemann, C. and Lyko, F. (2008) Modes of action of the DNA methyltransferase inhibitors azacytidine and decitabine. *Int J Cancer*, **123**, 8-13.

67. Mariani, M.R., Carpaneto, E.M., Ulivi, M., Allfrey, V.G. and Boffa, L.C. (2003) Correlation between butyrate-induced histone hyperacetylation turn-over and c-myc expression. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol*, **86**, 167-171.

68. Davie, J.R. (2003) Inhibition of histone deacetylase activity by butyrate. *J Nutr*, **133**, 2485S-2493S.

69. Eberharter, A. and Becker, P.B. (2002) Histone acetylation: a switch between repressive and permissive chromatin. Second in review series on chromatin dynamics. *EMBO reports*, **3**, 224-229.

70. Perrimon, N., Pitsouli, C. and Shilo, B.Z. (2012) Signaling mechanisms controlling cell fate and embryonic patterning. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol*, **4**, a005975.

FIGURES AND LEGENDS

A. Wild type allele

Cas9 cut site

B. Repair DNA

C. Engineered allele

D. Genomic edited site

E. Quantitative PCR screen

Figure 1: Approach for inserting docking sites into mouse pluripotent stem cells. **A.** Wild type Rosa26 allele (dark pink) with the Cas9 cut site (black square). **B.** Engineered repair DNA with 3x attP docking sites (light pink squares). Neomycin resistance (purple module) flanked by FRT sites (orange triangles) were added to enable G418 selection of the genomic insertion of the docking sites. Blue fluorescence protein (BFP, blue module) was added outside of the homology arms to screen for off-target, random integration of the repair DNA. **C.** The engineered Rosa26 allele with the repair DNA successfully inserted into the genome. The PCR screen to confirm integration into the Rosa26 locus is shown with two sets of primers (arrows). Confirmation of the NeoR module flanked by FRT sites produces a 1.6kb amplicon and confirmation of the 3x attP sites produces a 100bp amplicon. **D.** Schematic of the genomic edited site in ES clones with confirmed attP docking sites in the Rosa26 locus after transfection with flp recombinase to remove the neomycin resistance gene. **E.** Quantitative PCR on ZFY1 (grey), GAPDH (blue), and the targeted Rosa26 allele with 3x attP sites (pink).

Figure 2: Integrating mLTRi_EGFP into the Rosa26 locus. A. Schematic of mLTRi in the off state: LacI repressor proteins are constitutively expressed and bind to the lac operator sites upstream of TetR and GFP. This causes transcriptional repression of TetR and GFP. With the repression of TetR, shRNA is transcribed by the U6 promoter and complementarily binds to the synthetic target sequence located in the 3'UTR of the GFP mRNA. B. Schematic of mLTRi in the on state: in the induced state, IPTG binds to the LacI proteins, producing a conformational change in the repressor proteins. This causes them to no longer bind to the lac operator sites, which allows for the transcription of GFP and TetR. The Tet repressor proteins bind to the tet operator site located in the U6 promoter, repressing the transcription of the shRNA. The resulting effect is a robust expression of GFP. C. Bright field image of stably integrated mLTRi into the Rosa26 locus of mouse ES cells 7 days after selection with G418. C'. The presence of 250μM IPTG in the culture media induces robust expression of EGFP 7 days after G418 selection. D. Bright field image of stably integrated mLTRi 30 days after selection with G418. D'. Fluorescence image of stably integrated mLTRi 30 days after selection with G418. Scale bars, 200μm.

Figure 3: Quantification of EGFP expression after re-activation of the silenced gene circuit. A. Silenced ES colonies with mLTRi_EGFP integrated into the Rosa26 locus that stopped expressing EGFP in the presence of IPTG after weeks of culturing were exposed to either 5-aza-deoxycytidine (AzaC) or sodium butyrate (NaB) with 250μM IPTG in the media of each condition. EGFP expression was assessed after 48 hours of exposure to AzaC or NaB in the media using flow cytometry and the median expression levels were quantified. **B.** Median EGFP fluorescence of cells after 48 hours of growing with 250μM IPTG in the media and increasing concentrations of NaB. **C.** Median EGFP expression of recovered switching dynamics. Cultures were maintained with 250μM NaB and varying concentrations of IPTG over 24 hours (yellow), 48 hours (blue), and 72 hours (orange). In all experiments, each data

point represents the median EGFP expression in at least three independent experiments.
The error bars represent the standard deviation between these experiments. EGFP
expression was normalized to the maximum expression in each experiment.

Figure 4: Re-activation of EGFP expression in stable ES colonies. A. Silenced ES cells
with mLTRi_EGFP stably integrated into the Rosa26 locus and not responding to IPTG
induction were grown in 250μM NaB for 48 hours then the NaB was removed. One day (Day
1), two days (Day 2), three days (Day 3) and four days (Day 4) after removal of the NaB,
250μM of IPTG was added to the media and EGFP expression was assessed using flow
cytometry 24 hours after the addition of IPTG. EGFP fluorescence was normalized to the max
expression, here the Day 1 data. Each data point represents the median EGFP expression in
at least three independent experiments. The error bars represent the standard deviation
between these experiments. **B**. ES cells with LTRi_EGFP stably integrate into the Rosa26
locus were grown in various conditions: light grey: 250μM IPTG, dark grey: 250μM NaB and
blue: 250μM IPTG + 250μM NaB for up to 8 days. The light brown are non-transfected ES
cells and the dark brown are ES cells with mLTRi_EGFP stably integrated into the Rosa26
locus with no IPTG or NaB added to the media. Each data point represents the median EGFP
expression in at least three independent experiments. The error bars represent the standard
deviation between these experiments. EGFP expression was normalized to the maximum
expression.

Supplementary Information for

Rosa26 docking sites for investigating genetic circuit silencing in stem cells

Michael Fitzgerald*, Mark Livingston*, Chelsea Gibbs, and Tara Deans[†]

Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT 84112

*These authors contributed equally to this to this work

[†]Correspondence: Tara L. Deans, Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of Utah,
Salt Lake City, Utah 84112, USA

E-mail address: tara.deans@utah.edu

Tel: 801-585-7859

A. Engineered allele

B.

C.

D. Genomic edited site

E.

F. Sequencing file

GGG **TGAGGTGGAGTACGCGCCCGGGGAGCCCAAGGGCACGCCCTGGCACCCG** CACC

Supplemental Figure S1: Screening for docking site integration. A. Schematic of PCR screen to confirm integration into the Rosa26 locus. Correct integration yields an expected amplicon size of 1.6kb. **B**. PCR on the genomic DNA of four different ES colonies. **C**. Colony 3 amplicon was gel isolated and cut with XbaI to confirm integration of the repair DNA. **D**. Schematic of the genomic edited site in ES clones with confirmed attP docking sites in the Rosa26 locus after transfection with flp recombinase to remove the neomycin resistance gene. **E.** PCR comparing the wild time (WT) ES cells without the docking site and with a confirmed ES colony harboring the 3x attP docking site. **F.** Sanger sequencing of the attB site (sequence in box).

A.

B.

3 **Supplemental Figure S2: Screening docked mLTRi genetic circuit. A**. Schematic of
4 primer design for PCR of genomic DNA with mLTRi integrated into the Rosa26 docking site.
5 **B.** PCR results confirming on-site integration with primers that span the genome and part of
6 the inserted genetic circuit.

Supplemental Figure S3: Cell population effects with varying amounts of NaB.
Silenced ES cells with mLTRi_EGFP integrated into the Rosa26 locus that stopped
expressing EGFP in the presence of IPTG after weeks of culturing were exposed to 250μM
IPTG and varying amounts of NaB (shown in the figure) for 48 hours. After 48 hours, each
population was run on flow cytometry to quantify EGFP and to assess the cell population
shifts in the presence of IPTG and NaB.
7
8
9

1
2

Supplemental Figure S5: Cell population effects investigating the impact of NaB on cells. Silenced ES cells with LTRi_EGFP stably integrate into the Rosa26 locus that stopped expressing EGFP in the presence of IPTG after weeks of culturing were grown in various conditions as indicated in the figure for up to 8 days.

Area to amplify	Forward primer	Reverse Primer	Size of amplicon	Type of PCR
GAPDH	GCCCAATACGGCCAAATCT	CACCTATGGTGCAACAGTATTCC	125	qPCR
ZFY1	AGCTTGACCTGCAAAGGAAGA	GCCCAATACGGCCAAATCT	137	qPCR
3x attP	CCGAAAACGATATCCTTACGACC	CTTCCCTCGTGATCTGCAACT	70	qPCR
Screening Primers	CGTGTAGAATGCCATGAGTCAAGC	CGCCTTCTTGACGAGTTCTTCTGAG	1646	Conven-tional
TetR	CACCGCTAATTCAAAGCAACCGGTGATATCTCCTCTAGAGTCGACCTGCAGG	GTATCTTATCATGTCTGGCCAGCTCAAGTTCTGCTTTAATAAGATCTGAATTC	1440	Gibson
REF1	CAGATCTTATTAAAGCAGAACTTGAGCTGGCCAGACATGATAAGATAC	CGTATAACGTTACTGGTTTCATGGTGGCGGTTGCTTTGAATTAGCGGTGGCTTTCACAAC	2801	Gibson
MEF	CGTCACGTGGTGCGTTTTGCCTCGAGAACCATGGACCTGCAGGGCCTGAAATAAC	CTGCAGGTCGACTCTAGAGGAGATATCACCGGTTGCTTTGAATTAG	1566	Gibson
LacI	GTTGTGAAAGCCACCGCTAATTCAAAGCAACCGCCACCATGAAACCAGTAACGTTATACG	GCACGTTTTGTGTCATTGGGGAAACCTGCTCTCAAACCTTCCTCTTCTTCTTAGGAGGCC	1188	Gibson
IRES	GGCCTCCTAAGAAGAAGAGGAAGGTTTGAGAGCA	CTGTGAGGACTGAGGGGCCTGAAATGAGCCCAGCTTTCTATGCAACCCAAGGAC	2018	Gibson

	GGTTTCCCCAAT GACACAAAACG			
RNAi	CTGAGTCCTTGG GTTGCATAGAAA GCTGGGCTCATT TCAGGCCCCTCA G	GTTATTTCAGGCCCTGCA GGTCCATGGTTCTCGAGG CAAAACGCACCACGTG	1056	Gibson
TRZ	CGGACCGGTAG CTAGCATCCGGA AAGATCTCTGTA CAAGTAAAGCG GCCGC	CGCCCAATACTCATGATTC TCGAGCGCGTTGGCCGAT TCATTAATG	404	Gibson
Amp Origin	AACGCGCTCGA GAATCATGAGTA TTGGGCGCTCTT CCGC	CAATAATCAATGTCGACA CCACGTGACGAAAGGGCC TCGTGATAC	2005	Gibson
Intron w/LacO	CTCTGCTAACCA TGCCTACAGAGA TTTAAAGCTCTA AGG	GAGATCTTTCCGGATGCT AGCTACCGGTCCGCAAGC TTGGTTGGAATC	447	Gibson
Bactin Promoter	CTTTCGTCACGT GGTGTCGACATT GATTATTGACTA G	CTTTAAATCTCTGTAGGCA TGGTTAGCAGAGGCTC	1630	Gibson
Genome 1	TTTGGAACAAGA GTCCGTCTCCAC CGGACGCGGCC ATGG	CCTGGTTTATGCCTTCTAG AAAGACTGGAGTTGCAGA TCACGAGGG	943	Gibson
Azurite	GGTGGTTCCTTT TGGAATGCCTCG AGCTCATGACCA AAATCCCTTAAC	TGGCCGCGTCCGGTGGAG ACGGACTCTTGTTCCAAAC TGG	2450	Gibson
RF	TCTGCAACTCCA GTCTTTCTAGAA GGCATAAACCA GGTCGTAAGGA TATC	CCAGGTTAGCCTTTAAGC CGTCGAGGCCGCGAAGTT CCTATAC	2161	Gibson

	Genome 2	GCCTCGACGGCT TAAAGGCTAACC TGGTGTGTG	AGCTCGAGGCATTCCAAA AGGAACCACCTTTTAC	980	Gibson

Table S1: Table of primers used in the study.

Features in mLTRi_EGFP:

1	**Features in mLTRi_EGFP:**					
2	Poly A	178..227	50	==	misc_feature	
3	LacO	322..344	23	<=	misc_binding	
4	T7	664..691	28	=>	primer_bind	
5	TRZ	708..726	19	==	misc_feature	
6	TRZ	736..754	19	<=	misc_feature	
7	U6 promoter	756..1050	295	<=	misc_feature	
8	CMV enhancer	1061..1418	358	==	misc_feature	
9	b-actin promoter	1419..2659	1241	==	misc_feature	
10	b-actin promoter	2660..2662	3	==	misc_feature	
11	Intron w/LacO	2707..3125	419	==	misc_feature	
12	EGFP	3177..3891	715	==	misc_feature	
13	EGFP	3892..3893	2	==	misc_feature	
14	TRZ	4007..4025	19	==	misc_feature	
15	Poly A	4038..4087	50	==	misc_feature	
16	M13-rev	4102..4122	21	<=	primer_bind	
17	AttB Site	4653..4706	54	<=	misc_feature	
18	LacO	4988..5010	23	<=	misc_binding	
19	sv40 enhancer	5044..5285	242	==	misc_feature	
20	sv40 enhancer	5044..5072	29	==	misc_feature	
21	sv40 enhancer	5073..5285	213	==	misc_feature	
22	MEF1 promoter	5286..6609	1324	==	misc_feature	
23	Intron w/LacO	6682..7100	419	==	misc_feature	
24	TetR	7335..7991	657	==	misc_feature	
25	TetR	7335..7973	639	==	misc_feature	
26	TetR	7974..7978	5	==	misc_feature	
27	TetR	7979..7991	13	==	misc_feature	
28	SV40 late polyA	8040..8231	192	==	polyA_signal	
29	PMBI Ori	8265..9011	747	==	misc_feature	
30	CMV Enhancer	9018..9430	413	==	misc_feature	
31	REF1 promoter	9431..10,719	1289	==	misc_feature	
32	LacI	10,758..11,876	1119	==	misc_feature	
33	fmdv IRES	11,878..12,346	469	==	misc_feature	
34	EM7 promoter	12,347..12,420	74	==	misc_feature	
35	Neomycin/kan	12,421..13,215	795	==	misc_feature	
36	EF1 PolyA	13,262..13,835	574	==	misc_feature	
37						
38						
39						
40						
41						
42						

1 **Map of mLTRi_EGFP:**

```
1
2   GGCTCATTTCAGGCCCCTCAGTCCTCACAGTCTGTTCATGATCATAATCAGCCATACCA
3   CATTTGTAGAGGTTTTACTTGCTTTAAAAAACCTCCCACACCTCCCCCTGAACCTGAAAC
4   ATAAAATGAATGCAATTGTTGTTGTTAACTTGTTTATTGCAGCTTATAATGGTTACAAATA
5   AAGCAATAGCATCACAAATTTCACAAATAAAGCATTTTTTTCACTGCATTCTAGTTGTGGT
6   TTGTCCAAACTCATCAATGTATCTTATCATGTCTGGGCCCAAGCTTGGCGTAATCATGGT
7   CATAGCTGTTTCCTGTGTGAAATTGTTATCCGCTCACAATTCCACACAACATACGAGCC
8   GGAAGCATAAAGTGTAAAGCCTGGGGTGCCTAATGAGTGAGCTAACTCACATTAATTGC
9   GTTGCGCTCACTGCCCGCTTTCCAGTCGGGAAACCTGTCGTGCCAGCTGCATTAATGA
10  ATCGGCCAACGCGCGGGGAGAGGCGGTTTGCGTATTGGGCGCTCTTCCGCTGCAACT
11  GTTGGGAAGGGCGATCGGTGCGGGCCTCTTCGCTATTACGCCAGCTGGCGAAAGGGG
12  GATGTGCTGCAAGGCGATTAAGTTGGGTAACGCCAGGGTTTTCCCAGTCACGACGTTG
13  TAAAACGACGGCCAGTGAATTGTAATACGACTCACTATAGGGCGAATTGGGCCTTTTTC
14  TACACAATCAGCGATTTTCTCTTGAAAATCGCTGATTTGTGTAGCGGTGTTTCGTCCT
15  TTCCACAAGATATATAACTCTATCAATGATAGAGTACTTTCAAGTTACGGTAAGCATATG
16  ATAGTCCATTTTAAAACATAATTTTAAAACTGCAAACTACCCAAGAAATTATTACTTTCTA
17  CGTCACGTATTTTGTACTAATATCTTTGTGTTTACAGTCAAATTAATTCTAATTATCTCTCT
18  AACAGCCTTGTATCGTATATGCAAATATGAAGGAATCATGGGAAATAGGCCCTCTTCCT
19  GCCCGACCTTGGCGCGCGCTCGGCGCGCGGTCACGCTCCGTCACGTGGTGTCGACAT
20  TGATTATTGACTAGTTATTAATAGTAATCAATTACGGGGTCATTAGTTCATAGCCCATATA
21  TGGAGTTCCGCGTTACATAACTTACGGTAAATGGCCCGCCTGGCTGACCGCCCAACGA
22  CCCCCGCCCATTGACGTCAATAATGACGTATGTTCCCATAGTAACGCCAATAGGGACTT
23  TCCATTGACGTCAATGGGTGGACTATTTACGGTAAACTGCCCACTTGGCAGTACATCAA
24  GTGTATCATATGCCAAGTACGCCCCCTATTGACGTCAATGACGGTAAATGGCCCGCCT
25  GGCATTATGCCCAGTACATGACCTTATGGGACTTTCCTACTTGGCAGTACATCTACGTA
26  TTAGTCATCGCTATTACCATGGGTCGAGGTGAGCCCCACGTTCTGCTTCACTCTCCCCA
27  TCTCCCCCCCCTCCCCACCCCCAATTTTGTATTTATTTATTTTTTAATTATTTTGTGCAGC
28  GATGGGGGCGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGCGCGCGCCAGGCGGGGCGGGGCGGGGGCGAG
29  GGCGGGGCGGGGCGAGGCGGAGAGGTGCGGCGGCAGCCAATCAGAGCGGCGCGCT
30  CCGAAAGTTTCCTTTTATGGCGAGGCGGCGGCGGCGGCGGCCCTATAAAAAGCGAAG
31  CGCGCGGCGGGCGGGAGTCGCTGCGTTGCCTTCGCCCCGTGCCCCGCTCCGCGCCG
32  CCTCGCGCCGCCCGCCCCGGCTCTGACTGACCGCGTTACTCCCACAGGTGAGCGGGC
33  GGGACGGCCCTTCTCCTCCGGGCTGTAATTAGCGCTTGGTTTAATGACGGCTCGTTTC
34  TTTTCTGTGGCTGCGTGAAAGCCTTAAAGGGCTCCGGGAGGGCCCTTTGTGCGGGGG
35  GAGCGGCTCGGGGGGTGCGTGCGTGTGTGTGGGGGGAGCGGCTCGGGGGGTGCGT
36  GCGTGTGTGTCGGCGCGGGGCTTTGTGCGCTCCGCAGTGTGCGCGAGGGGAGCGCG
37  GCCGGGGGCGGTGCCCCGCGGTGCGGGGGGGGCTGCGAGGGGAACAAAGGCTGCG
38  TGCGGGGTGTGTGCGTGGGGGGGGTGAGCAGGGGGTGTGGGCGCGTCGGTCGGGCT
39  GCAACCCCCCCTGCACCCCCCTCCCCGAGTTGCTGAGCACGGCCCGGCTTCGGGTGC
40  GGGGCTCCGTACGGGGCGTGGCGCGGGGCTCGCCGTGCCGGGCGGGGGGTGGCGG
41  CAGGTGGGGGTGCCGGGCGGGGCGGGGCCGCCTCGGGCCGGGGAGGGCTCGGGG
42  GAGGGGCGCGGCGGCCCCCGGAGCGCCGGCGGCTGTCGAGGCGCGGCGAGCCGCA
43  GCCATTGCCTTTTATGGTAATCGTGCGAGAGGGCGCAGGGACTTCCTTTGTCCCAAATC
44  TGTGCGGAGCCGAAATCTGGGAGGCGCCGCCGCACCCCCTCTAGCGGGCGCGGGGGC
45  GAAGCGGTGCGGCGCCGGCAGGAAGGAAATGGGCGGGGAGGGCCTTCGTGCGTCGC
46  CGCGCCGCCGTCCCCTTCTCCCTCTCCAGCCTCGGGGCTGTCCGCGGGGGGGACGG
47  CTGCCTTCGGGGGGGACGGGGCAGGCGGGGTTCGGCTTCTGGCGTGTGACCGGCG
48  GCCTAGAGCCTCTGCTAACCATGCCTACAGAGATTTAAAGCTCTAAGGTAAATATAAAT
49  TTACTAGGTTGTGGAATTGTGAGCGCTCACAATTCCACAGTCGACCCTAGGTTGTGGAA
50  TTGTGAGCGCTCACAATTCCACAGTCGACCCTAGGTTGTGGAATTGTGAGCGCTCACAA
51  TTCCACAGTCGACCCTAGTGTATAATGTGTTAAACTACGGATCCGTCTCCCATTAGGCC
52  TACAATGGTGAGACAAGTAGCCAACAGGGAAGGGTTGCAAATATCATTTGGGCACACC
53  TATGATAATATTGATGAAGCAGACAGTATTCAGCAAGTAACTGAGAGGTGGGAAGCTCA
54  AAGCCAAAGTCCTAATGTGCAGTCAGGTGAATTTATTGAAAAATTTGAGGCTCCTGGTG
55  GTGCAAATCAAAGAACTGCTCCTCAGGGATCCTAATTGTTTGTGTATTTTAGATTCCAAC
```

```
1   CAAGCTTGCGGACCGGTaGCTAGAGTCGACCTGCAGCACAACCATGGTGAGCAAGGG
2   CGAGGAGCTGTTCACCGGGGTGGTGCCCATCCTGGTCGAGCTGGACGGCGACGTAAA
3   CGGCCACAAGTTCAGCGTGTCCGGCGAGGGCGAGGGCGATGCCACCTACGGCAAGCT
4   GACCCTGAAGTTCATCTGCACCACCGGCAAGCTGCCCGTGCCCTGGCCCACCCTCGT
5   GACCACCCTGACCTACGGCGTGCAGTGCTTCAGCCGCTACCCCGACCACATGAAGCA
6   GCACGACTTCTTCAAGTCCGCCATGCCCGAAGGCTACGTCCAGGAGCGCACCATCTTC
7   TTCAAGGACGACGGCAACTACAAGACCCGCGCCGAGGTGAAGTTCGAGGGCGACACC
8   CTGGTGAACCGCATCGAGCTGAAGGGCATCGACTTCAAGGAGGACGGCAACATCCTG
9   GGGCACAAGCTGGAGTACAACTACAACAGCCACAACGTCTATATCATGGCCGACAAGC
10  AGAAGAACGGCATCAAGGTGAACTTCAAGATCCGCCACAACATCGAGGACGGCAGCGT
11  GCAGCTCGCCGACCACTACCAGCAGAACACCCCCATCGGCGACGGCCCCGTGCTGCT
12  GCCCGACAACCACTACCTGAGCACCCAGTCCGCCCTGAGCAAAGACCCCAACGAGAA
13  GCGCGATCACATGGTCCTGCTGGAGTTCGTGACCGCCGCCGGGATCACTCTCGGCAT
14  GGACGAGCTGTACAAGTAAAGCGGCCGCGACTCTAGATCATAATCAGCCATACCACAT
15  TTGTAGAGGTTTTACTTGCTTTAAAAAACCTCCCACACCTCCCCCTGAACCTGAAACATA
16  AAATGAATGCACTACACAAATCAGCGATTTTAATGGTTACAAATAAAGCAATAGCATCAC
17  AAATTTCACAAATAAAGCATTTTTTTCACTGCAAGCTTGGCGTAATCATGGTCATAGCTG
18  TTTCCTGTGTGAAATTGTTATCCGCTCACAATTCCACACAACATACGAGCCGGAAGCAT
19  AAAGTGTAAAGCCTGGGGTGCCTAATGAGTGAGCTAACTCACATTAATTGCGTTGCGCT
20  CACTGCCCGCTTTCCAGTCGGGAAACCTGTCGTGCCAGCTGCATTAATGAATCGGCCA
21  ACGCGCTcgagGGATCACTCTCGGCATGGACGAGCTTTACAAGTAAGAATTCTAGATAAC
22  TGATCATAATCAGCCATACCACATTTGTAGAGGTTTTACTTGCTTTAAAAAACCTCCCAC
23  ACCTCCCCCTGAACCTGAAACATAAAATGAATGCAATTGTTGTTGTTAACTTGTTTATTG
24  CAGCTTATAATGGTTACAAATAAAGCAATAGCATCACAAATTTCACAAATAAAGCATTTTT
25  TTCACTGCATTCTAGTTGTGGTTTGTCCAAACTCATCAATGTATCTTATTTAAATTGGGC
26  GCGCCCAACTAGTTCTAGAGCGGCCGCCACTCGACGATGTAGGTCACGGTCTCGAAG
27  CCGCGGTGCGGGTGCCAGGGCGTGCCCTTGGGCTCCCCGGGCGCGTACTCCACCTC
28  ACCCATCTGGTCCATCATGATGAACGGGTCGAGGTGGCGGTAGTTGATCCCGGCGAAC
29  GCGCGGCGCACCGGGAAGCCCTCGCCCTCGAAACCGCTGGGCGCGGTGGTCACGGT
30  GAGCACGGGACGTGCGACGGCGTCGGCGGGTGCGGATACGCGGGGCAGCGTCAGCG
31  GGTTCTCGACGGTCACGGCGGGCATGTCGAGTGGAGCTCCAGCTTTTGTTCCCTTTAG
32  TGAGGGTTAATTTCGAGCTTGGCGTAATCATGGTCATAGCTGTTTCCTGTGTGAAATTG
33  TTATCCGCTCACAATTCCACACAACATACGAGCCGGCTcgagaaccatggACCTGCAGGGC
34  CTGAAATAACCTCTGAAAGAGGAACTTGGTTAGGTACCTTCTGAGGCGGAAAGAACCA
35  GCTGTGGAATGTGTGTCAGTTAGGGTGTGGAAAGTCCCCAGGCTCCCCAGCAGGCAG
36  AAGTATGCAAAGCATGCATCTCAATTAGTCAGCAACCAGGTGTGGAAAGTCCCCAGGCT
37  CCCCAGCAGGCAGAAGTATGCAAAGCATGCATCTCAATTAGTCAGCAACCATAGTCCCA
38  CTAGTGGAGCCGAGAGTAATTCATACAAAAGGAGGGATCGCCTTCGCAAGGGGAGAGC
39  CCAGGGACCGTCCCTAAATTCTCACAGACCCAAATCCCTGTAGCCGCCCCACGACAGC
40  GCGAGGAGCATGCGCTCAGGGCTGAGCGCGGGGAGAGCAGAGCACACAAGCTCATA
41  GACCCTGGTCGTGGGGGGGAGGACCGGGGAGCTGGCGCGGGGCAAACTGGGAAAGC
42  GGTGTCGTGTGCTGGCTCCGCCCTCTTCCCGAGGGTGGGGGAGAACGGTATATAAGT
43  GCGGCAGTCGCCTTGGACGTTCTTTTTCGCAACGGGTTTGCCGTCAGAACGCAGGTGA
44  GGGGCGGGTGTGGCTTCCGCGGGCCGCCGAGCTGGAGGTCCTGCTCCGAGCGGGCC
45  GGGCCCCGCTGTCGTCGGCGGGGATTAGCTGCGAGCATTCCCGCTTCGAGTTGCGGG
46  CGGCGCGGGAGGCAGAGTGCGAGGCCTAGCGGCAACCCCGTAGCCTCGCCTCGTGT
47  CCGGCTTGAGGCCTAGCGTGGTGTCCGCGCCGCCGCCGCGTGCTACTCCGGCCGCA
48  CTCTGGTCTTTTTTTTTTTTGTTGTTGTTGCCCTGCTGCCTTCGATTGCCGTTCAGCAAT
49  AGGGGCTAACAAAGGGAGGGTGCGGGGCTTGCTCGCCCGGAGCCCGGAGAGGTCAT
50  GGTTGGGGAGGAATGGAGGGACAGGAGTGGCGGCTGGGGCCCGCCCGCCTTCGGAG
51  CACATGTCCGACGCCACCTGGATGGGGCGAGGCCTGGGGTTTTTCCCGAAGCAACCA
52  GGCTGGGGTTAGCGTGCCGAGGCCATGTGGCCCCAGCACCCGGCACGATCTGGCTTG
53  GCGGCGCCGCGTTGCCCTGCCTCCCTAACTAGGGTGAGGCCATCCCGTCCGGCACCA
54  GTTGCGTGCGTGGAAAGATGGCCGCTCCCGGGCCCTGTTGCAAGGAGCTCAAAATGG
55  AGGACGCGGCAGCCCGGTGGAGCGGGCGGGTGAGTCACCCACACAAAGGAAGAGGG
```

```
1   CCTGGTCCCTCACCGGCTGCTGCTTCCTGTGACCCCGTGGTCCTATCGGCCGCAATAG
2   TCACCTCGGGCTTTTGAGCACGGCTAGTCGCGGCGGGGGGAGGGGATGTAATGGCGT
3   TGGAGTTTGTTCACATTTGGTGGGTGGAGACTAGTCAGGCCAGCCTGGCGCTGGAAGT
4   CATTTTTGGAATTTGTCCCCTTGAGTTTTGAGCGGAGCTAATTCTCGGGCTTCTTAGCG
5   GTTCAAAGGTATCTTTTAAACCCTTTTTTAGGTGTTGTGAAAACCACCGCTAATTCAAAG
6   CAACCGGTGATATCTCCTCTAGAGTCGACCTGCAGGATCTAAGCTTGGACAAACTACCT
7   ACAGAGATTTAAAGCTCTAAGGTAAATATAAAATTTACTAGGTTGTGGAATTGTGAGCGC
8   TCACAATTCCACAGTCGACCCTAGGTTGTGGAATTGTGAGCGCTCACAATTCCACAGTC
9   GACCCTAGGTTGTGGAATTGTGAGCGCTCACAATTCCACAGTCGACCCTAGTGTATAAT
10  GTGTTAAACTACGGATCCGTCTCCCATTAGGCCTACAATGGTGAGACAAGTAGCCAACA
11  GGGAAGGGTTGCAAATATCATTTGGGCACACCTATGATAATATTGATGAAGCAGACAGT
12  ATTCAGCAAGTAACTGAGAGGTGGGAAGCTCAAAGCCAAAGTCCTAATGTGCAGTCAG
13  GTGAATTTATTGAAAAATTTGAGGCTCCTGGTGGTGCAAATCAAAGAACTGCTCCTCAG
14  GGATCCTAATTGTTTGTGTATTTTAGATTCCAACCAAGCTTGCGGCCGCTCAGGAGCTA
15  AGGAAGCTAAAATGGAGAAAAAATCACTGGATATACCACCGTTGATATATCCCAATGG
16  CATCGTAAAGAACGGGCCCCTCTGCTAACCATGTTCATGCCTTCTTCTTTTTCCTACAG
17  CTCCTGGGCAACGTGCTGGTTATTGTGCTGTCTCATCATTTTGGCAAAGAATTGTAATA
18  CGACTCACTATAGGGCGAATTGATATGTCTAGATTAGATAAAGTAAAGTGATTAACAGC
19  GCATTAGAGCTGCTTAATGAGGTCGGAATCGAAGGTTTAACAACCCGTAAACTCGCCCA
20  GAAGCTAGGTGTAGAGCAGCCTACATTGTATTGGCATGTAAAAAATAAGCGGGCTTTGC
21  TCGACGCCTTAGCCATTGAGATGTTAGATAGGCACCATACTCACTTTTGCCCTTTAGAA
22  GGGGAAAGCTGGCAAGATTTTTTACGTAATAACGCTAAAAGTTTTAGATGTGCTTTACTA
23  AGTCATCGCGATGGAGCAAAAGTACATTTAGGTACACGGCCTACAGAAAAACAGTATGA
24  AACTCTCGAAAATCAATTAGCCTTTTTATGCCAACAAGGTTTTTCACTAGAGAATGCATT
25  ATATGCACTCAGCGCTGTGGGGCATTTTACTTTAGGTTGCGTATTGGAAGATCAAGAGC
26  ATCAAGTCGCTAAAGAAGAAAGGGAAACACCTACTACTGATAGTATGCCGCCATTATTA
27  CGACAAGCTATCGAATTATTTGATCACCAAGGTGCAGAGCCAGCCTTCTTATTCGGCCT
28  TGAATTGATCATATGCGGATTAGAAAACAACTTAAATGTGAAAGTGGGTCCGCGTACA
29  GCGGATCCCGGGAATTCAGATCTTATTAAAGCAGAACTTGAGCTGGCCAGACATGATAA
30  GATACATTGATGAGTTTGCAGACATGATAAGATACATTGATGAGTTTGGACAAACCACAA
31  CTAGAATGCAGTGAAAAAAATGCTTTATTTGTGAAATTTGTGATGCTATTGCTTTATTTGT
32  AACCATTATAAGCTGCAATAAACAAGTTAACAACAACAATTGCATTCATTTTATGTTTCAG
33  GTTCAGGGGGAGGTGTGGGAGGTTTTTTAAAGCAAGTAAAACCTCTACAAATGTGGTAT
34  GGAAATGTTAATTAACTAGCCATGACCAAAATCCCTTAACGTGAGTTTTCGTTCCACTGA
35  GCGTCAGACCCCGTAGAAAAGATCAAAGGATCTTCTTGAGATCCTTTTTTTCTGCGCGT
36  AATCTGCTGCTTGCAAACAAAAAAACCACCGCTACCAGCGGTGGTTTGTTTGCCGGATC
37  AAGAGCTACCAACTCTTTTTCCGAAGGTAACTGGCTTCAGCAGAGCGCAGATACCAAAT
38  ACTGTTCTTCTAGTGTAGCCGTAGTTAGGCCACCACTTCAAGAACTCTGTAGCACCGCC
39  TACATACCTCGCTCTGCTAATCCTGTTACCAGTGGCTGCTGCCAGTGGCGATAAGTCGT
40  GTCTTACCGGGTTGGACTCAAGACGATAGTTACCGGATAAGGCGCAGCGGTCGGGCT
41  GAACGGGGGGTTCGTGCACACAGCCCAGCTTGGAGCGAACGACCTACACCGAACTGA
42  GATACCTACAGCGTGAGCTATGAGAAAGCGCCACGCTTCCCGAAGGGAGAAAGGCGG
43  ACAGGTATCCGGTAAGCGGCAGGGTCGGAACAGGAGAGCGCACGAGGGAGCTTCCAG
44  GGGGAAACGCCTGGTATCTTTATAGTCCTGTCGGGTTTCGCCACCTCTGACTTGAGCG
45  TCGATTTTTGTGATGCTCGTCAGGGGGGCGGAGCCTATGGAAAAACGCCAGCAACGCG
46  GCCTTTTTACGGTTCCTGGCCTTTTGCTGGCCTTTTGCTCACATGTTCTTAATTAACCTG
47  CAGGCGTTACATAACTTACGGTAAATGGCCCGCCTGGCTGACCGCCCAACGACCCCCG
48  CCCATTGACGTCAATAATGACGTATGTTCCCATAGTAACGCCAATAGGGACTTTCCATT
49  GACGTCAATGGGTGGAGTATTTACGGTAAACTGCCCACTTGGCAGTACATCAAGTGTAT
50  CATATGCCAAGTACGCCCCCTATTGACGTCAATGACGGTAAATGGCCCGCCTGGCATT
51  ATGCCCAGTACATGACCTTATGGGACTTTCCTACTTGGCAGTACATCTACGTATTAGTCA
52  TCGCTATTACCATGATGATGCGGTTTTGGCAGTACATCAATGGGCGTGGATAGCGGTTT
53  GACTCACGGGGATTTCCAAGTCTCCACCCCATTGACGTCAATGGGAGTTTGTTTTGACT
54  AGTGGAGCCGAGAGTAATTCATACAAAAGGAGGGATCGCCTTCGCAAGGGGAGAGCC
55  CAGGGACCGTCCCTAAATTCTCACAGACCCAAATCCCTGTAGCCGCCCCACGACAGCG
```

```
 1  CGAGGAGCATGCGCCCAGGGCTGAGCGCGGGTAGATCAGAGCACACAAGCTCACAGT
 2  CCCCGGCGGTGGGGGGAGGGGCGCGCTGAGCGGGGGCCAGGGAGCTGGCGCGGG
 3  GCAAACTGGGAAAGTGGTGTCGTGTGCTGGCTCCGCCCTCTTCCCGAGGGTGGGGGA
 4  GAACGGTATATAAGTGCGGTAGTCGCCTTGGACGTTCTTTTTCGCAACGGGTTTGCCGT
 5  CAGAACGCAGgtgagtggcgggtgtggcttccgcgggccccggagctggagccctgctctgagcgggccgggctgatat
 6  gcgagtgtcgtccgcagggtttagctgtgagcattcccacttcgagtggcgggcggtgcggggggtgagagtgcgaggcctagcg
 7  gcaaccccgtagcctcgcctcgtgtccggcttgaggcctagcgtggtgtccgccgccgcgtgccactccggccgcactatgcgtt
 8  tttgtccttgctgccctcgattgccttccagcagcatgggctaacaaagggagggtgtggggctcactcttaaggagcccatgaag
 9  cttacgttggataggaatggaagggcaggaggggcgactggggcccgcccgccttcggagcacatgtccgacgccacctgga
10  tggggcgaggcctgtggctttccgaagcaatcgggcgtgagtttagcctacctgggccatgtggccctagcactgggcacggtct
11  ggcctggcggtgccgcgttcccttgcctcccaacaagggtgaggccgtcccgcccggcaccagttgcttgcgcggaaagatgg
12  ccgctcccggggccctgttgcaaggagctcaaaatggaggacgcggcagcccggtggagcgggcgggtgagtcacccaca
13  caaaggaagagggccttgcccctcgccggccgctgcttcctgtgaccccgtggtctatcggccgcatagtcacctcgggcttctctt
14  gagcaccgctcgtcgcggcggggggaggggatctaatggcgttggagtttgttcacatttggtgggtggagactagtcaggcca
15  gcctggcgctggaagtcattcttggaatttgcccctttgagtttggagcgaggctaattctcaagcctcttagcggttcaaaggtatttt
16  ctaaacccgtttccagGTGTTGTGAAAGCCACCGCTAATTCAAAGCAACCGCCACCATGAAACC
17  AGTAACGTTATACGATGTCGCAGAGTATGCCGGTGTCTCTTATCAGACCGTTTCCCGCG
18  TGGTGAACCAGGCCAGCCACGTTTCTGCGAAAACGCGGGAAAAAGTGGAAGCGGCGA
19  TGGCGGAGCTGAATTACATTCCCAACCGCGTGGCACAACAACTGGCGGGCAAACAGTC
20  GTTGCTGATTGGCGTTGCCACCTCCAGTCTGGCCCTGCACGCGCCGTCGCAAATTGTC
21  GCGGCGATTAAATCTCGCGCCGATCAACTGGGTGCCAGCGTGGTGGTGTCGATGGTA
22  GAACGAAGCGGCGTCGAAGCCTGTAAAGCGGCGGTGCACAATCTTCTCGCGCAACGC
23  GTCAGTGGGCTGATCATTAACTATCCGCTGGATGACCAGGATGCCATTGCTGTGGAAG
24  CTGCCTGCACTAATGTTCCGGCGTTATTTCTTGATGTCTCTGACCAGACACCCATCAAC
25  AGTATTATTTTCTCCCATGAAGACGGTACGCGACTGGGCGTGGAGCATCTGGTCGCATT
26  GGGTCACCAGCAAATCGCGCTGTTAGCGGGCCCATTAAGTTCTGTCTCGGCGCGTCTG
27  CGTCTGGCTGGCTGGCATAAATATCTCACTCGCAATCAAATTCAGCCGATAGCGGAAC
28  GGGAAGGCGACTGGAGTGCCATGTCCGGTTTTCAACAAACCATGCAAATGCTGAATGA
29  GGGCATCGTTCCCACTGCGATGCTGGTTGCCAACGATCAGATGGCGCTGGGCGCAAT
30  GCGCGCCATTACCGAGTCCGGGCTGCGCGTTGGTGCGGATATCTCGGTAGTGGGATA
31  CGACGATACCGAAGACAGCTCATGTTATATCCCGCCGTTAACCACCATCAAACAGGATT
32  TTCGCCTGCTGGGGCAAACCAGCGTGGACCGCTTGCTGCAACTCTCTCAGGGCCAGG
33  CGGTGAAGGGCAATCAGCTGTTGCCCGTCTCACTGGTGAAAAGAAAAACCACCCTGGC
34  GCCCAATACGCAAACCGCCTCTCCCCGCGCGTTGGCCGATTCATTAATGCAGCTGGCA
35  CGACAGGTTTCCCGACTGGAAAGCGGGCAGAGCAGCCTGAGGCCTCCTAAGAAGAAG
36  AGGAAGGTTTGAGAGCAGGTTTCCCCAATGACACAAAACGTGCAACTTGAAACTCCGC
37  CTGGTCTTTCCAGGTCTAGAGGGGTAACACTTTGTACTGCGTTTGGCTCCACGCTCGAT
38  CCACTGGCGAGTGTTAGTAACAGCACTGTTGCTTCGTAGCGGAGCATGACGGCCGTGG
39  GAACTCCTCCTTGGTAACAAGGACCCACGGGGCCAAAAGCCACGCCCACACGGGCCC
40  GTCATGTGTGCAACCCCAGCACGGCGACTTTACTGCGAAACCCACTTTAAAGTGACATT
41  GAAACTGGTACCCACACACTGGTGACAGGCTAAGGATGCCCTTCAGGTACCCCGAGGT
42  AACACGCGACACTCGGGATCTGAGAAGGGGACTGGGGCTTCTATAAAAGCGCTCGGTT
43  TAAAAAGCTTCTATGCCTGAATAGGTGACCGGAGGTCGGCACCTTTCCTTTGCAATTAC
44  TGACCCTATGAATACACTGACTGTTTGACAATTAATCATCGGCATAGTATATCGGCATAG
45  TATAATACGACTCACTATAGGAGGGCCACCATGATTGAACAAGATGGATTGCACGCAGG
46  TTCTCCGGCCGCTTGGGTGGAGAGGCTATTCGGCTATGACTGGGCACAACAGACAATC
47  GGCTGCTCTGATGCCGCCGTGTTCCGGCTGTCAGCGCAGGGGCGCCCGGTTCTTTTT
48  GTCAAGACCGACCTGTCCGGTGCCCTGAATGAACTGCAAGACGAGGCAGCGCGGCTA
49  TCGTGGCTGGCCACGACGGGCGTTCCTTGCGCAGCTGTGCTCGACGTTGTCACTGAA
50  GCGGGAAGGGACTGGCTGCTATTGGGCGAAGTGCCGGGGCAGGATCTCCTGTCATCT
51  CACCTTGCTCCTGCCGAGAAAGTATCCATCATGGCTGATGCAATGCGGCGGCTGCATA
52  CGCTTGATCCGGCTACCTGCCCATTCGACCACCAAGCGAAACATCGCATCGAGCGAGC
53  ACGTACTCGGATGGAAGCCGGTCTTGTCGATCAGGATGATCTGGACGAAGAGCATCAG
54  GGGCTCGCGCCAGCCGAACTGTTCGCCAGGCTCAAGGCGAGCATGCCCGACGGCGA
55  GGATCTCGTCGTGACACATGGCGATGCCTGCTTGCCGAATATCATGGTGGAAAATGGC
```

```
1   CGCTTTTCTGGATTCATCGACTGTGGCCGGCTGGGTGTGGCGGACCGCTATCAGGACA
2   TAGCGTTGGCTACCCGTGATATTGCTGAAGAGCTTGGCGGCGAATGGGCTGACCGCTT
3   CCTCGTGCTTTACGGTATCGCCGCTCCCGATTCGCAGCGCATCGCCTTCTATCGCCTT
4   CTTGACGAGTTCTTCTGAGCGGGACTCTGGGGTTCGAAATGACCGACCAAGCGAATTC
5   GCTAGGATTATCCCTAATACCTGCCACCCCACTCTTAATCAGTGGTGGAAGAACGGTCT
6   CAGAACTGTTTGTTTCAATTGGCCATTTAAGTTTAGTAGTAAAAGACTGGTTAATGATAA
7   CAATGCATCGTAAAACCTTCAGAAGGAAAGGAGAATGTTTTGTGGACCACTTTGGTTTT
8   CTTTTTTGCGTGTGGCAGTTTTAAGTTATTAGTTTTTAAAATCAGTACTTTTTAATGGAAA
9   CAACTTGACCAAAAATTTGTCACAGAATTTTGAGACCCATTAAAAAAGTTAAATGAGAAA
10  CCTGTGTGTTCCTTTGGTCAACACCGAGACATTTAGGTGAAAGACATCTAATTCTGGTTT
11  TACGAATCTGGAAACTTCTTGAAAATGTAATTCTTGAGTTAACACTTCTGGGTGGAGAAT
12  AGGGTTGTTTTCCCCCCACATAATTGGAAGGGGAAGGAATATCATTTAAAGCTATGGGA
13  GGGTTGCTTTGATTACAACACTGGAGAGAAATGCAGCATGTTGCTGATTGCCTGTCACT
14  AAAACAGGCCAAAAACTGAGTCCTTGGGTTGCATAGAAAGCTG
15
16
```