Differential Tractography as a Track-Based Biomarker for Neuronal Injury

Authors: Fang-Cheng Yeh[1,2,*], Islam M. Zaydan[3], Valerie R. Suski[3], David Lacomis[3,4], R. Mark

Richardson[1], Joseph C. Maroon[1], and Jessica Barrios-Martinez[1]

Affiliations:

[1]Department of Neurological Surgery, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Pittsburgh,

Pennsylvania, United States

[2]Department of Bioengineering, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, United States

[3]Department of Neurology, and the [4]Live Like Lou Center for ALS Research, University of Pittsburgh

School of Medicine, Pittsburgh, PA, United States

*Correspondence to:

Fang-Cheng Yeh, M.D. Ph.D.

Department of Neurological Surgery,

Department of Bioengineering,

University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

Email: frank.yeh@pitt.edu

One Sentence Summary:

Differential tractography utilizes repeat diffusion MRI scans to identify the exact segment of tracks with a

neuronal injury.

Abstract

Diffusion MRI tractography has been used to map the axonal structure of human brain, but its ability to detect neuronal injury is yet to be explored. Here we report differential tractography, a new type of tractography that utilizes repeat MRI scans and a novel tracking strategy to map the exact segment of fiber pathways with a neuronal injury. We examined differential tractography on multiple sclerosis, Huntington disease, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, and epileptic patients. The results showed that the affected pathways shown by differential tractography matched well with the unique clinical symptoms of the patients, and the false discovery rate of the findings could be estimated using a sham setting to provide a reliability measurement. This novel approach enables a quantitative and objective method to monitor neuronal injury in individuals, allowing for diagnostic and prognostic evaluation of brain diseases.

Keywords: diffusion MRI, differential tractography, fiber tracking, imaging biomarker, neuronal injury, multiple sclerosis, Huntington's disease, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, epilepsy.

Introduction

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is a commonly used neuroimaging technique for revealing a structural change in patients with neurological disorders. Studies have used structural MRI to reveal gray matter atrophy in patients with multiple sclerosis (Rovira et al., 2015; Wattjes et al., 2015) and atrophy in the caudate in patients with Huntington disease (Tabrizi et al., 2009; Tabrizi et al., 2012). In addition to structural MRI, diffusion MRI has also been explored as an imaging biomarker for early-stage neuronal injury before atrophy happens. Animal studies have used diffusion tensor imaging (DTI)(Basser et al., 1994) to detect acute demyelination or axonal loss (Song et al., 2002; Song et al., 2005). The decrease of anisotropic diffusion has been shown to be correlated with axonal loss (Budde et al., 2009; Huisman et al., 2004; Werring et al., 1999; Werring et al., 2000). However, anisotropy remains a voxel-based measurement, which is prone to local variations such as partial volume effect (Henf et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2011) or signal noise, thereby limiting its clinical applications (Melonakos et al., 2011). Greater specificity and sensitivity could be achieved by aggregating voxel-wise anisotropy changes along fiber pathways and grouping them into a "track".

To this end, we propose a novel method called "differential tractography" to provide a track-based biomarker for neuronal injury. This method compares repeat scans of the same individuals to capture neuronal injury reflected by a decrease of anisotropic diffusion, or "anisotropy" (Fig 1a-1c). To achieve a higher specificity, we imbued the deterministic fiber tracking algorithm (Yeh et al., 2013) with a novel "*tracking-the-difference*" paradigm. This was realized by adding an additional criterion to track along trajectories on which a decrease of anisotropy was found between repeat scans (Fig. 1d-1e). Integrating this "tracking-the-difference" paradigm into the fiber tracking process resulted in a new tractography

modality that tracks the exact portion of pathways exhibiting substantial differences in anisotropy. The

additional criterion ignored unaffected regions and enhanced meaningful findings related to neuronal

injury. In comparison, the conventional fiber tracking (Wedeen et al., 2012) is based on a

"*tracking-the-existence*" paradigm. It only considers anisotropy from one MRI scan and thus will include

all existing pathways regardless of whether they have an injury.

To implement differential tractography, the algorithm requires one anisotropy value for each fiber

population to calculate its longitudinal change, but the fractional anisotropy (FA) derived from DTI is a

voxel-based measurement, and thus all fiber orientations within the same voxel will inherit the same

anisotropy value. To overcome this limitation, we used the anisotropic component of the spin distribution

function (SDF)(Yeh et al., 2010) as an anisotropy measurement for each fiber population. SDF could

provide one anisotropy measurement for each fiber population. This approach has been shown to be

more robust against partial volume effect (Yeh et al., 2013) and achieved high accuracy in a recent

competition study (ID#3)(Maier-Hein et al., 2017).

To further maximize the detection power, we used a diffusion MRI acquisition that sampled 22 diffusion

sensitizations (b-values) at 257 directions, a substantial improvement over one sensitization at 30~60

directions used in the conventional settings, or 3 diffusion sensitizations at 180 directions used in the

current mainstream studies (Glasser et al., 2016). The higher number of diffusion sensitizations greatly

increased the chance to detect neuronal injury that involves only a subtle change in the restricted

diffusion (Wang et al., 2011). We also introduce a novel sham setting that can estimate the false

discovery rate (FDR) of differential tractography to provide a reliability measurement against local

random error.

To evaluate the performance, we applied differential tractography to patients with four different clinical

scenarios at different stages of neuronal injury (demographic information listed in Table 1). The first

scenario was multiple sclerosis (MS) with the first episode of optic neuritis. The baseline scans were

acquired right after the onset of the visual symptom, and the follow-up diffusion MRI scans were

acquired 6 months after. This scenario tested differential tractography at the early stage of neuronal

injury to explore its sensitivity, and any meaningful findings should be located near the visual pathways.

The second scenario was the manifested Huntington disease (HD) with worsening clinical motor scores

during the interval of their repeat MRI scans. We examined whether differential tractography could

detect progressing neuronal injury at striatal pathways that are commonly affected by the disease. The

third scenario studied the neuronal injury in an amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) patient with a

deteriorating functional motor score. We examined whether differential tractography could be correlated

with the patient's clinical presentation. Last, we applied differential tractography to an epileptic patient

treated by anterior temporal lobectomy. The baseline scan was acquired before the surgery, and the

follow-up scan was acquired one year after the surgery. This examined whether differential tractography

could correctly locate pathways with established neuronal injury after surgery, and meaningful findings

should be in pathways previously connected to the area of resection. We also applied differential

tractography to a normal subject to demonstrate how differential tractography may capture false results.

Material and Methods

MRI experiments on clinical patients with neurological disorders

The diffusion MRI acquisition included a baseline scan and another follow-up scan (acquired months

later) of the same subject. We acquired scans on 6 patients with different neurological diseases

including MS, HD, ALS, and epilepsy, in addition to one healthy volunteer. Table 1 summarizes their

basic demographic and scan interval information, and brief medical history of these patients are

summarized in Supplementary Materials. The ALS patient was previously reported (Abhinav et al.,

2014). The diffusion data were acquired on a 3T Tim Trio System (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) using

a pulsed-gradient spin-echo 2D echo-planar imaging sequence. A 32-channel coil was used with a head

stabilizer to limit head motion. Each diffusion MRI scan acquired 22 b-values ranging from 0 to 7,000

s/mm^2 at a total of 257 diffusion sampling direction using a q-space imaging scheme (Callaghan, 1991).

The in-plane resolution and slice thickness were 2.4 mm. TE=154 ms, and TR=9500 ms. The total

scanning time was 45 minutes.

This is a retrospective study, and the multi-band sequence was not available previously. Currently, the

same protocol using multi-band sequence has a much shorter scanning time of 12 minutes (see

Discussion section for details).

Quality control of diffusion MRI data

We applied a series of quality control to minimize possible false results due to acquisition issues. The

first quality control was done by checking whether the image dimension, resolution, and b-table were

consistent between repeat scans. All scan data were confirmed to have a consistent setting between

repeat scans.

The second quality control was done by calculating the mean Pearson correlation coefficient of the

"neighboring" diffusion-weighted images:

$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\rho(S_i, S_{N(i)})$ (1)

where ρ calculates the Pearson correlation coefficient, S_i is the *i*-th diffusion weighted image, and $N(i)$

returns the index of neigthe hboring diffusion-weighted image acquired by the most similar diffusion

sensitization in the q-space:

$$N(i) = arg \min_{j,j \neq i} \left\| \sqrt{b(i)}\vec{g}(i) - \sqrt{b(j)}\vec{g}(j) \right\| \qquad (2)$$

$b(i)$ is the b-value, and $\vec{g}(i)$ is the diffusion encoding direction. The resulting values in Eq. (1) ranges

between 0.6~0.8, and a data set would be rejected if the baseline and follow-up scans have a difference

in mean Pearson correlation coefficient greater than 0.1. In this study, one ALS patient's data we

recruited previously (Abhinav et al., 2014) was rejected because the baseline scan had a substantially

lower value due to slice shift during the scan.

The third quality control step was identifying slice-wise signal dropout for each slice in each

diffusion-weighted image. This examination excluded slices with a large background region greater than

15/16 of the entire slice area (defined by the brain mask). For each slice, we calculated its Pearson

correlation coefficients with four of its signal-related slices, including its upper and lower adjacent slices

and the same-location slices of two neighboring diffusion-weighted images defined by Eq. (2). The

maximum of these four correlation values was used as the representative correlation coefficient of the

slice, and a signal dropout would result in a decrease of this representative correlation coefficient. If a

slice had an average decrease of representative correlation coefficient greater than 0.1 in comparison

with its four related slices, we identified it as a signal dropout slice. In this study, we accepted the data

set if the number of signal dropout slices was smaller than 0.1% of the total slice number (i.e. < 25

slices). All data set were screened and passed this criterion.

The fourth quality control was checking the b-table orientation using the fiber coherence index (Schilling

et al., 2019). One scan was found to have slices order flipped upside down. The slice order and b-table

were corrected before further analysis. The above-mentioned quality control routines are available on

DSI Studio (http://dsi-studio.labsolver.org).

After the quality control steps, the diffusion data of the follow-up scans were compared with baseline

scans using the following analysis:

Empirical distribution of water diffusion

The empirical distribution of water diffusion was calculated from diffusion-weighted signals using

generalized q-sampling imaging (GQI)(Yeh et al., 2010). This "empirical distribution" has no assumption

of the underlying distribution (e.g. Gaussian distribution), and thus it can be applied to a variety of fiber

or biological conditions. The empirical distribution calculated from GQI, termed spin distribution function

(SDF), has a different physical definition from the *diffusivity* calculated from DTI that quantifies how fast

the diffusion is. SDF quantifies the accumulated spin density of restricted diffusion sampled at any

orientations, and it can be calculated using the formula:

$\Psi_0(\boldsymbol{r}, \hat{u}) = Z_0 \sum_i W_0(\boldsymbol{r}, i)\ sinc\left(\sigma\sqrt{6Db(i)}\langle\hat{g}(i), \hat{u}\rangle\right)$ (3)

$\Psi_0(\boldsymbol{r}, \hat{u})$ is the SDF value oriented at $\hat{u}$ and sampled from a voxel located at $\boldsymbol{r}$. Z_0 is a scaling constant

to convert the arbitrary unit of the diffusion signals to a density unit. i iterates through each diffusion

weighted signals $W(\boldsymbol{r}, i)$, and $b(i)$ is the b-value, $\hat{g}(i)$ is the direction of the diffusion sensitization

gradient. σ is the diffusion sampling ratio controlling the displacement range of the diffusing spins (1.25

was used in this study). D is the diffusivity of free water at room temperature.

We then calculated the SDFs of the follow-up scan and transformed them into the space of the baseline

scan (Fig. 1a and Fig. 1b) so that they could be directly compared. This was done using q-space

diffeomorphic reconstruction (QSDR)(Yeh and Tseng, 2011), a method that generalized GQI to accept

spatial transformation in the reconstruction. QSDR allowed us to simultaneously reconstruct and

transform SDF from the follow-up scan to the space of the baseline scan using the following formula:

$\Psi_1(\boldsymbol{r}, \hat{u}) = Z_1 \sum_i W_1(\phi(\boldsymbol{r}), i) sinc\left(\sigma\sqrt{6Db(i)}\langle\hat{g}(i), \boldsymbol{J}(\boldsymbol{r})\hat{u}\rangle\right)$ (4)

where $\phi(\boldsymbol{r})$ transforms spatial coordinate $\boldsymbol{r}$ from the space of the baseline scan to that of follow-up scan.

$W_1(\phi(\boldsymbol{r}), i)$ is the diffusion-weighted signals at coordinate $\phi(\boldsymbol{r})$. $\boldsymbol{J}(\boldsymbol{r})$ is the Jacobian matrix at the

same coordinate that rotates the unit vector $\hat{u}$. There other variables follow the same notations in Eq.

(3).

Since the scans were from the same subject, we assumed there was only "rigid body" transformation (i.e.

only rotation or translocation) between the scans, and the transformation was a simple matrix-vector

multiplication. Please note that this assumption could be violated if there was a large tissue distortion

due to edema or tissue removal, and a nonlinear spatial registration should be used in QSDR to handle

this problem. The rigid body transformation matrix was obtained by linear registering the b0 images (or

the sum of all diffusion-weighted images). We used the negative of the correlation coefficient between

the images from baseline and follow-up scans as a cost function to calculate the transformation matrix.

The cost function was minimized using a gradient descent method. The rotation matrix of the rigid body

transformation was used as the Jacobian for Eq. (4).

Please note that the SDFs calculated from Eq. (3) and (4) have "arbitrary units". Therefore, the Z_1

constant in Eq. (4) had to be scaled to match the same unit of Z_0 in Eq. (3). This signal matching was

done using the sum of all diffusion-weighted images from two scans:

$Z_1 = Z_0 \frac{\sum_r W_1(\phi(\boldsymbol{r}), 0)}{\sum_r W_0(\boldsymbol{r}, 0)}$ (5)

The isotropic component of an SDF was then removed by subtracting its minimum values.

$\Psi_0{}'(r, \hat{u}) = \Psi_0(r, \hat{u}) - \min_{\hat{u}} \Psi_0(r, \hat{u})$ (6)

$\Psi_1{}'(r, \hat{u}) = \Psi_1(r, \hat{u}) - \min_{\hat{u}} \Psi_1(r, \hat{u})$ (7)

Equation (6) and (7) provide the anisotropic component of SDF (termed anisotropy hereafter) to

minimize the effect of free water diffusion (Yeh et al., 2013). It is noteworthy that this anisotropy

measurement has a different physical meaning from the fractional anisotropy (FA) calculated in DTI. FA

is a *ratio* between 0 and 1 calculated from diffusivities and has no unit. The anisotropic SDF has the

same physical unit of the SDF, which is the spin density of diffusing water.

Tracking differences in the SDF

To track differences along the existing fiber pathways, we first determined the local fiber orientations

using the peaks on the sum of $\Psi_0(r, \hat{u})$ and $\Psi_1(r, \hat{u})$, and then the anisotropy estimated from the

summed SDF was used to filter out noisy fibers and to define the termination of the white matter tracks,

as what had been done in conventional tractography (Yeh et al., 2013). The percentage difference in the

anisotropy between baseline and follow-up scans was then calculated (Fig. 1c):

$\Psi_d(r, \hat{u}) = \frac{2\left(\Psi_1{}'(r,\hat{u}) - \Psi_0{}'(r,\hat{u})\right)}{\Psi_1{}'(r,\hat{u}) + \Psi_0{}'(r,\hat{u})} \times 100\%$ (8)

The percentage changes in the anisotropy, $\Psi_d(r, \hat{u})$, can have positive values (blue SDFs in Fig. 1c),

which indicates an increase in the density of anisotropic diffusion, or negative values (red SDFs in Fig.

1c), which indicates a decrease in the density of anisotropic diffusion.

An additional tracking-the-differences criterion was added to the fiber tracking algorithm to track the

exact segment with a decrease or an increase in the anisotropy greater than a *change* threshold,

Specifically, to track pathways with an increase of anisotropy, the additional criterion checked whether

the increase of anisotropy was greater than a predefined value of percentage change (e.g. 20%) and

continued tracking as long as the criterion was satisfied:

$\Psi_d(\boldsymbol{r}, \hat{a}) > \theta^+$ (9)

where $\hat{a}$ is the local fiber directions used in the fiber tracking algorithm. Similarly, to track pathways with

decreased anisotropy, the criteria continued tracking if the decrease of anisotropy was greater than a

predefined value of percentage change (e.g. -20%):

$\Psi_d(\boldsymbol{r}, \hat{a}) < \theta^-$ (10)

This allowed us to track two different sets of pathways, one for increased anisotropy, one for decreased

anisotropy. The other existing criteria in conventional tractography (e.g. seeding strategy, propagation

interval, angular threshold, length constraint…etc.) remained in effect as what has been used in the

generalized deterministic fiber tracking algorithm (Yeh et al., 2013). It is noteworthy that the angular and

anisotropy thresholds in the original tracking algorithm were still used in differential tractography to

eliminate noisy fiber and to ensure a correct white matter coverage. The criteria (9) or (10) (termed

"positive change threshold" and "negative change threshold") served as *additional* constraints to limit the

findings to the exact segment of pathways with a substantial change in the anisotropy value.

In this study, the differential tractogram was obtained by placing a total of 5,000,000 seeding points in

the white matter. The angular threshold was randomly selected between 15 to 90 degrees. The step size

was 1 mm, and the anisotropy threshold was automatically determined by DSI Studio. Two iterations of

topology-informed pruning (Yeh et al., 2018) were applied to the tractography to remove noisy findings.

The above-mentioned setting was regularly used in conventional tractography. We tested differential

tractography with different values of change threshold (5%, 10%, 15% …. 50%) and length threshold (5

mm, 10 mm, 15 mm, … 50 mm). Track with lengths shorter than the length threshold was discarded, and

the results of different length threshold and change threshold were compared to access its effect on the

sensitivity and specificity of differential tractography.

Estimating false discovery rate using a sham setting

Figure 2 illustrates the experimental design that allows for estimating the false discovery rate (FDR) of

differential tractography in individual scans. As shown in Fig. 2a, the baseline scan is compared with the

follow-up scan (upper row) using differential tractography to reveal tracks with decreased anisotropy (Fig.

2b, upper row). If a total of 5,000,000 tracking iterations are conducted, we can view each of them as an

independent hypothesis testing since each tracking iteration is done independently. The null hypothesis

is "there exists no track with a decrease in the anisotropy". This null hypothesis will be rejected if the

track length is longer than a predefined length threshold (e.g. 40 mm). Each rejected hypothesis is thus

regarded as a "positive finding", but here the finding can be either a true positive or false positive. As

shown by the example in the upper row of Fig. 2b, the number of tracks with a length longer than 40 mm

is 13947, meaning that there are 13947 rejected hypotheses as positive findings. These 13947 findings

include true positive and false positive, and we will need to estimate the number of false-positive findings

so that FDR can be estimated. To estimate the number of false-positive findings, a sham scan can be

acquired on the same day of the baseline scan or within a timeframe that no neuronal change is

expected (Fig. 2a, lower row), and thus any positive findings shown in the sham scan should be

false-positive findings. The sham scan is also compared with the baseline scan, and the same number

of 5,000,000 tracking iterations are conducted to see how many positive findings are generated. The

example in the lower row of Fig. 2b shows that the sham scan generates a total of 177 tracks with a

length longer than 40 mm, meaning that the estimated number of false-positive findings is 177. Using

this information, we can calculate the FDR, which is 177/13947=0.0127 (Fig. 2c).

Substitute sham

In this retrospective study, we did not have an additional sham scan, and a "substitute sham" approach

was used. We assumed that there should be no increased track integrity during the disease course, any

findings showing *increased* anisotropy can be regarded as false-positive findings. Therefore, we can use

the number of tracks with *increased* anisotropy in the follow-up scan as a substitute for the sham scan.

Even if tracks with increased integrity do exist due to re-myelination, it will increase our estimated

number of false-positive findings. This means that the FDR estimated by this substitute sham approach

will be an upper bound of the actual FDR. Therefore, instead of reporting "FDR=0.0127", the FDR

calculated using a substitute sham should be reported as "FDR ≤ 0.0127".

The processing pipeline for differential tractography and the quality control procedure is implemented in

DSI Studio (http://dsi-studio.labsolver.org). Documentation and source code to reproduce the same

result is also available on the website.

Results

Neuronal injury reflected by a decrease of anisotropy

Figure 3a shows the intermediate results of differential tractography applied to an MS patient with optic

neuritis (patient #1, demographics summarized in Table 1). The baseline scan was acquired right after

the onset, whereas the follow-up scan was acquired 6 months after. For each fiber orientation in a voxel,

differential tractography compares the anisotropy differences between two MRI scans in a common

subject space (Fig. 1a-1c). The fiber orientations with a decrease of anisotropy greater than 30% could

are plotted by red sticks in Fig. 3a. In the figure, most of the differences are distributed near the primary

visual pathways, whereas some spurious differences are randomly distributed throughout the entire

whiter matter regions, most likely due to local signal variations or registration error.

To eliminate these local spurious differences, the "tracking-the-difference" algorithm is applied to the

track and link all local differences together into continuous trajectories, and short fragments are

discarded using a length threshold of 40 mm (Fig. 3b). The rationale behind this length threshold is that

the local random error does not propagate along fiber pathways, whereas true findings due to neuronal

injury will form a continuous decrease of anisotropy along the fiber bundles. A length threshold will

effectively differentiate between them and help eliminate false results.

The left inset figure in Fig. 3b shows affected tracks in directional colors (red: left-right green:

anterior-posterior blue: superior-inferior), whereas the tracks in the right inset figure are color-coded by

the percentage decrease of anisotropy suggesting the severity of neuronal injury (yellow: 0% decrease

red: 70% decrease). In overall, the differential tractogram in Fig. 3b reveals a heterogeneous decrease

of anisotropy between 20% to 50%. All findings are in the bilateral primary visual pathways or their

collateral connections. The location of the finding matches well with the patient's medical history of

visual field loss in both left and right quadrants. The topology of affected pathways seems to present a

ripple effect: not only the primary visual pathway is affected, but also certain collateral connections to the

visual cortex has shown a decrease in the anisotropy. Although this patient was fully recovered from the

symptoms during the follow-up scan (brief medical history in the Supplementary materials), differential

tractography still captures subclinical change near the bilateral optic radiation.

We further compare differential tractography with conventional voxel-wise statistics. Figure 3c shows the

axial mapping of anisotropy differences for each voxel using the same data. The red regions are voxels

with anisotropy decrease greater than 30%, and the numerous fragments can be observed across the

entire brain regions. Those fragments could be due to local random error and thus may not be true

findings. This illustrates a typical limitation of a voxel-based imaging biomarker, and there is no pathway

information to assist diagnostic evaluation. In comparison, the differential tractogram in Fig. 3b provides

track-based imaging biomarkers that can easily assist diagnostic evaluation by offering trajectories

information. The findings can be associated with an anatomical pathway to infer the functional

correlation.

Conventional tractography versus differential tractography

Figure 4 compares conventional tractography (Fig. 4a) with differential tractography (Fig. 4b) on another

MS patient with optic neuritis (patient #2 demographics summarized in Table 1). The conventional

tractography was generated using the baseline scan, whereas the differential tractography was

configured to map pathways with more than a 30% decrease in anisotropy with a length threshold of 40

mm. The trajectories in Fig. 4a and Fig. 4b are both colored-coded with directional colors (red: left-right

green: anterior-posterior blue: superior-inferior). The first row shows tractography viewing from the top,

whereas the second rows show from the left. The conventional tractography in Fig. 4a visualizes the

trajectories of the entire brain fiber pathways, and there is no gross anomaly visible from the

tractography that may suggest a major neurological disorder. This is expected because, at the early

stage of multiple sclerosis, the patient usually does not present a gross structural change that can be

readily identified in conventional tractography. In comparison, the different tractography in Fig. 4b

pinpoints the location of affected pathways in the bilateral primary visual pathway near the visual cortex.

The location matches well with the patient's disease presentation of optic neuritis, whereas conventional

tractography in Fig. 4a shows no clue to this critical information.

Reliability assessment

We further use patient #1 and a 42-year-old normal subject as the examples to show how the reliability

of differential tractography findings can be quantified using a sham setting. Both the follow-up scans of

these two subjects were acquired 6 months after the baseline scans. Figure 5a is the differential

tractogram of patient #1 showing pathways with an increase or decrease anisotropy greater than 30% at

different length thresholds, whereas Fig. 5b is the same analysis applied to a healthy subject. Only the

decreased anisotropy in patient #1 (lower row in Fig. 5a) contains possible true findings for neuronal

injury, whereas other rows in Fig. 5a and Fig. 5b are all false-positive results due to either physiological

noises (cardiovascular or respiratory) or phase distortion artifact. Most false findings can be effectively

removed using a longer length threshold, and there is a trade-off between sensitivity and specificity

controlled by the length threshold. A longer length threshold renders a more specific result with the

expense of losing meaningful findings in shorter segments, whereas a shorter length threshold allows for

more findings with a risk of taking false results. To quantify the reliability of findings in the lower row of

Fig. 5a, we used the total number of findings at the upper row of Fig. 5a as an estimation for the number

of false findings. This assumes that the random error will produce a similar number of false findings in

both rows and allows us to estimate FDR.

Figure 5c and 5d illustrate the FDR calculation process. Figure 5c lists the number of findings at different

length thresholds and the change thresholds for patient #1 (upper two tables) and the normal subject

(lower two tables). For example, a negative change threshold of 30% means that the tracking algorithm

will track only the fiber orientations with a decrease of anisotropy greater than 30%. The tables on the

left are numbers of findings with decreased anisotropy, whereas those on the right are numbers of

findings with increased anisotropy. The green colors in the table are those with a larger number of

findings, whereas the red colors indicate a smaller number. The tables of the patient show a

substantially larger number of findings with decreased anisotropy caused by the disease. In comparison,

the two tables of the control subject are substantially similar, and the false results are equally distributed

in both tables. This result supports the usage of the "substitute sham" approach mentioned in the

Material and Methods section: we can reasonably use the findings with increased anisotropy (the table

on the right) of the same subject to estimate the number of false findings for calculating FDR.

Figure 5d shows the FDR of patient #1's findings calculated using the "substitute sham" (the increase of

anisotropy results from the same subject). The resulting table shows a trade-off between sensitivity and

specificity controlled by both the length threshold and change threshold. A length threshold of 30~40 mm

and a change threshold at 20~30% decrease of anisotropy provides us an FDR around 0.01, suggesting

that around 1% of the tracks shown in the differential tractogram are false results. We can use these two

thresholds to leverage sensitivity and specificity. For example, lower thresholds are geared toward

higher sensitivity to explore potential neuronal injury, whereas higher thresholds can provide a

confirmatory answer to the axonal damage. The optimal setting can be different based on the disease

condition, scan interval, and purposes (e.g. exploratory or confirmatory).

Differential tractography on patients with neurological diseases

We further apply differential tractography to patients with different neurological disorders in Fig. 6 and

list the FDR of these findings in Table 2. The scan subjects include patients with MS (#1, #2), HD (#3,

#4), ALS (#5), and epilepsy (#6). The first three rows show the differential tractograms in three views

(left sagittal from left, coronal from the front and axial from top) using directional colors, where the last

row shows the differential tractogram with yellow-red colors representing the percentage decrease of

anisotropy.

The first notable finding comparing MS patients #1 and #2 is that the volume of affected pathways and

their decrease of anisotropy reflect the severity of their clinical symptoms. The medical history of patient

#1 (Supplementary Materials) indicates a more severe drop in visual acuity to 20/400 in addition to her

visual field defect in all quadrants, while patient #2 only had a decrease of visual acuity to 20/125 with

only superior altitudinal visual field defect (Table 1 and Supplementary Materials). The greater severity in

patient #1 is reflected by a larger volume of affected pathways diffusion (patient #1: 55681.6 mm^3,

patient #2: 26124 mm^3) and a greater decrease of anisotropy shown in the last row. This suggests that

differential tractography has a quantitative potential to evaluate disease severity using either the volume

of affected tracks or the decrease of their anisotropy.

The differential tractogram of HD patients #3 and #4 in Fig. 6 both shows affected pathways around the

stratum. This matches well with the common understanding that striatal pathways are usually involved in

Huntington's disease. Moreover, the differential tractogram in patient #4 has a greater involvement

extending to brainstem and cerebellum, suggesting a worse motor performance. This seems to match

the patient's medical history of a higher motor score of 64 (Table 1 and Supplementary Materials). The

patient also had more asymmetric dystonia, matching the asymmetry presentation of the differential

tractography.

Patient #5 in Fig. 6 is an ALS patient. It is noteworthy that this patient had mostly lower motor neuron

symptoms (weakness), and thus might not have positive findings in the brain. The differential tractogram

of this patient were obtained using a 15% negative change threshold because a threshold of 30%

yielded no findings. Differential tractography reveals only a minor decrease in this patient (other cases in

Fig. 6 have mostly greater than 30% decrease). This could be explained by the fact that the patient had

predominately lower motor neuron symptoms affecting predominately peripheral nerves. Therefore, the

findings in the central nervous system could be only subclinical. Nonetheless, as we lowered the change

threshold to 15%, differential tractography showed affected pathways in the right lower corticospinal

pathway (blue-purple colored), superior cerebellar peduncle, and posterior corpus callosum, as shown in

Fig. 6. The right corticospinal pathway involvement seems to match the patient's history of left side

involvement, but it is noteworthy that the FDR of these findings were much higher (FDR~0.2 in Table 2),

meaning that around 1/5 of the findings are false positives. The corpus callosum and occipital lobe

findings could be subclinical damage and did not present any clinical symptoms (to be discussed in the

Discussion section).

Patient #6 was a 51-year-old male with right anterior lobectomy. He was previously an epileptic patient

with recurrent epilepsy (Supplementary Materials). The MRI scans were done before the surgery and

one year after the surgery. Differential tractography accurately locates the location of the surgical

resection in the mesial structures and approximately 5 cm of the anterior temporal neocortex. Moreover,

it further reveals the pathways that were affected by the resection. While the surgical resection only

removed part of the temporal gyri, the affected pathways involve much greater connection networks.

Furthermore, the last row shows that the decrease of anisotropy is mostly greater than 50%, indicating a

greater axonal loss due to the surgical removal of the brain tissue.

The last column in Fig. 6 shows the differential tractogram of a control. We applied the same settings to

examine how differential tractography may capture false results. The result shows a mild decrease of

anisotropy as presented by yellow tracks in the last row, a clue that the change may be a false positive

result. Furthermore, there are only 74 findings located at the prefrontal cortex, and these findings are

relatively insignificant compared to those of the patient population that shows thousands of findings

(Table 2). Moreover, the location of the findings is known to be heavily affected by the phase distortion

artifact, and the findings could be due to the different level of distortion between the repeat scans.

However, it is noteworthy that the calculated FDR will be 0 in this case because there are no findings

with increase anisotropy for this control subject. This result suggests that the FDR estimation still have

its limitation if the number of findings is sufficiently small. The interpretation of differential tractography

results still needs to consider the percentage decrease of anisotropy, the total number of findings, and

possible sources of imaging artifact.

In general, the findings in Fig. 6 allows us to quickly differentiates the possible locations of neuronal

injury and evaluate the severity. The affected pathways in MS, HD, and ALS patients show distinctly

different topology, allowing for differential diagnosis or prognosis evaluation. Table 2 further shows how

we can use the sham setting to calculate FDR to evaluate the reliability of the results.

Performance difference after dropping high b-value acquisitions

We further investigate whether high b-value signals contribute to the detection power of differential

tractography. In this study, we acquired 22 b-values ranging from 0 to 7,000 s/mm^2, but most diffusion

MRI studies would acquire b-values between 1,000 and 3,000 s/mm^2 because higher b-values result in

poorer signal-to-noise (SNR) ratio. This can be observed in Fig. 7a showing the diffusion-weighted

images at different b-values from patient #1. It is thus of a practical consideration to limit the b-values

under a certain maximum value. To examine whether the high b-value acquisition is necessary for

differential tractography, we repeated the same differential tractography analysis but used only the

signals with b-values between 0 and 3,000 s/mm^2 (a total of 85 sampling directions). The reduced

dataset is very similar to the scheme acquired in a previous study (Wang et al., 2011).

Fig. 7b shows a qualitative comparison on subject #1 before and after dropping high b-value signals.

The location of the findings is mostly consistent; however, dropping high b-values results in substantial

loss of the findings (annotated by the red circle). This implies that certain stages of neuronal injury can

only be detected by very high b-values. The quantitative comparison is listed in Table 3. As shown in the

table, there is a unanimous drop in positive findings for all patients, ranging from 38% decrease to 97%

decrease, while the false findings in the normal subject increased by 7 times. Consequently, patients #1

and #3 have a dramatic FDR increase from less than 0.05 to more than 0.3, making the findings not

statistically reliable. It seems that differential tractography using only low b-value signals is more

sensitive to physiological variations, whereas differential tractography using both high and low b-value

signals are more reliable in detecting are more specific to neuronal injury. This could be explained by the

fact that the low b-value images in Fig. 7a have more signal contribution from free water diffusion in

ventricles and subarachnoid space, whereas high b-value images only have signals from restricted

diffusion in the core white matter. Excluding high b-value signals will shift the focus to free water

diffusion and lead to more false-positive results. The overall result supports the necessity of including

high b-value acquisition for differential tractography to detect neuronal injury.

Discussion

Here we report a novel tractography method to reveal fiber pathways affected by a neuronal injury. We

found that differential tractography could serve as a track-based biomarker to provide localization of

neuronal injury and allow for quantifying its severity using the decrease of anisotropy and the total

volume of affected pathways. The estimated FDR further offered reliability information to interpret the

results, and the findings correlated well with clinical presentations of each individual.

Comparison with other methods

Diffusion MRI fiber tracking can be viewed as a clustering process that is directionally sensitive. By using

spatial relations across multiples voxels, the tracking-the-difference strategy used in differential

tractography has the potential to differentiate true findings from local errors, since neuronal injury will

propagate along axonal fibers while local error stays locally. This tracking-the-difference strategy is

conceptually similar to clustering used in voxel-based morphometry (Ashburner and Friston, 2000) or

fMRI studies (Woo et al., 2014), which groups voxel-wise statistics into clusters to achieve greater

statistical power. The difference is that the clustering used in previous studies did not consider local fiber

orientations and would include voxels at all possible neighboring directions, whereas differential

tractography only allows findings along the fiber pathways. This improvement is similar to those using

fiber geometry to increase specificity (Raffelt et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2018), and It is likely that this

structural restriction may achieve a better specificity than a regular clustering approach.

Optimal b-table for differential tractography

The diffusion MRI acquisitions played a critical role to boost the sensitivity of differential tractography.

Our result shows that acquisitions using only b-values lower than 3,000 s/mm^2 may have a limited

detection power for early neuronal injury. We also tested differential tractography on existing DTI data,

and the preliminary result (not reported here) also showed a substantially higher rate of false findings.

This is not surprising because a typical DTI acquisition only acquires only one b-value of 1,000~2,000

s/mm^2 at 30~60 directions, whereas in this study, we acquired 22 b-values from 0 to 7,000 s/mm^2 at 257

directions. It is likely that early axonal injury affects mostly restricted diffusion and can only be reliably

captured if a wider range of b-value is acquired with enough diffusion sampling directions.

Multishell-acquisition could also be used by differential tractography to detect neuronal injury, but its

challenges are how to ensure a homogeneous sampling density in the q-space acquisition to make the

acquisition "rotation invariant". In addition, most multi-shell acquisitions have an over-sampling problem

within each shell and under-sampling problem between shells, which can be observed by plotting the

sampling points in the q-space. Consequently, the inter-shell coverage may not be sufficient enough to

capture a variety of diffusion patterns, while the intra-shell signals can be easily interpolated due to

oversampling, meaning that there is redundancy which can be further reduced to save scanning time.

The q-space grid sampling used in this study seems to the method of choice for differential tractography

because it has uniform sampling density in the q-space and covers 22 different b-values. This

maximized the chance to detect a variety of diffusion pattern that could be altered during the disease

process. Q-space imaging used to be criticized for its lengthy scanning time; however, after the

introduction of the multi-band sequences, the updated q-space grid sampling scheme could be acquired

within 12 minutes for 256 directions and 6 minutes for 128 directions, making it highly feasible for clinical

studies. The exact steps to reproduce these two q-space grid acquisitions are documented on the DSI

Studio website (http://dsi-studio.labsolver.org/).

Anisotropy measurement for differential tractography

The anisotropy used in this study is not the commonly used FA provided by DTI. FA is a voxel-wise

measurement, and it does not selectively quantify the anisotropy at different fiber population within the

same voxel. In comparison, the anisotropy in this study can quantify the anisotropy for each fiber

population at different directions This results in greater specificity to individual's connectivity patterns

(Yeh et al., 2016) and better performance in handling the partial volume effect (Yeh et al., 2013).

Subclinical findings

Another interesting result we observed in this study is that differential tractography seems to capture

subclinical findings: certain pathways could be injured without any obvious clinical symptoms reported

by patients. For example, the two MS patients shown in Fig. 5 were symptom-free during the follow-up

scans after the steroid treatments (Supplementary Materials); however. differential tractography still

captures a substantial number of findings related to the primary visual pathways, and the decrease of

the anisotropy diffusion correlates well with the severity of the initial clinical presentation. Similarly, by

lowering the detection threshold, the ALS patient in Fig. 5 also shows substantial involvement in the

posterior corpus callosum that connects to the occipital lobe. Although there is no clinical presentation of

this patient associated with the findings, subclinical callosal damage for ALS patients is not uncommon

(Filippini et al., 2010), and there are also studies suggested subclinical involvement of occipital lobe in

the ALS patients (Loewe et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2017). The ability to capture subclinical findings has a

profound clinical implication. It suggests that differential tractography is sensitive enough to provide

additional evaluation value on top of existing clinical scales and scores. This may facilitate the

development of new treatment to target early subclinical change that may end up with irreversible

damage.

Limitations and possible pitfalls

There are limitations in differential tractography. Differential tractography only works on longitudinal

scans of the same subject and only reflects the change of anisotropy within the time frame of the repeat

scans. It cannot access track integrity in a cross-sectional setting, nor does it able to detect any

abnormality or axonal injury prior to the baseline scans. Furthermore, differential tractography still has

false results if the artifact also propagates coincidentally along a fiber pathway. The parallel imaging or

eddy current artifact often gives rise to straight lines near the brain surface but may appear like a

spuriously legitimate connection. Misalignment between baseline and follow-up scans can also generate

a false result, and it can happen due to registration error or brain tissue shift after surgical intervention.

There are still other possible causes of false results. The subjects may have substantial movement in

the follow-up scan, but not in the study or sham scan. There may be inconsistency in image acquisition

between repeat scans such as changing the head coils or scanning protocol. Both scenarios can

produce spurious findings, and thus a series of quality control is always needed to avoid these getting

false results. Furthermore, the findings in differential tractography still need to be validated against

neuroanatomy. Spurious findings often appear near the brain surface with odd trajectories (straight

lines), while true findings tend to follow the trajectories of well-known neuroanatomical pathways. Prior

neuroanatomy knowledge may help exclude false results from true findings.

There are also limitations in the reliability assessment. The sham setting in this study uses only one scan,

and thus the calculated FDR only considered false results due to local random error (e.g. noise which

randomly occurs at each imaging voxel) and does not include those due to systematic errors (errors that

affects all imaging voxels simultaneously) such as subject movements, coil quality, or signal drift. To

detect these systematic errors, we introduced four quality-control routines in the Material and Methods

section to discard scans that had a substantial amount of systematic errors. Moreover, we did not

acquire an additional sham scan in this study and used the "substitute sham" approach. The substitute

sham approach can overestimate the number of false results due to a genuine increase of anisotropy

(e.g. re-myelination after recovering from a neuronal injury). The consequence is that the FDR could be

higher than the actual value, and the reliability of the findings could be underestimated, leading to a risk

of missing meaningful findings.

Last, the diffusion MRI protocol in this study could be further optimized. Our result showed that high

b-value played an important role in boosting the sensitivity of differential tractography, but the optimal

value range still needs further investigation. Moreover, this study did not utilize the recent multi-band

acquisition to reduce the scanning time, and future studies will utilize multi-band sequences to make

differential tractography more feasible for clinical applications (e.g. 6-minutes grid-128 and 12-minute

grid-256 acquisitions mentioned in the previous paragraphs).

Clinical applications

Differential tractography can be used in differential diagnosis or prognostic evaluation after treatment or

intervention. Neurologists can use it to differentiate the cause of a neurological disorder as patients with

different neurological disorders will present distinctly different spatial patterns in their affected pathways.

The location will provide a clue about the possible causes to resolve difficult clinical cases. This is

otherwise not achievable in structural MRI unless a gross lesion or atrophy is visible in the late stage of

neuronal injury. Another application of differential tractography is for evaluating an intervention or

treatment. Differential tractography can provide an objective quantitation that is directly comparable

across subjects and less susceptible to observer differences. It could minimize variance due to evaluator

differences and increase effect size in comparison with the conventional evaluation conducted by

patients or neurologists. This opens a gate for early treatments to restore subclinical injuries before

those injuries accumulate to become a major functional deficit.

Acknowledgments

The research reported in this publication was partly supported by NIMH of the National Institutes of

Health under award number R56MH113634. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and

does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health. The MRI scans were

partly supported by the Walter L. Copeland Fund of The Pittsburgh Foundation.

References

Abhinav, K., Yeh, F.C., El-Dokla, A., Ferrando, L.M., Chang, Y.F., Lacomis, D., Friedlander, R.M., Fernandez-Miranda, J.C., 2014. Use of diffusion spectrum imaging in preliminary longitudinal evaluation of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: development of an imaging biomarker. Front Hum Neurosci 8, 270.

Ashburner, J., Friston, K.J., 2000. Voxel-based morphometry--the methods. Neuroimage 11, 805-821.

Basser, P.J., Mattiello, J., LeBihan, D., 1994. Estimation of the effective self-diffusion tensor from the NMR spin echo. J Magn Reson B 103, 247-254.

Budde, M.D., Xie, M., Cross, A.H., Song, S.K., 2009. Axial diffusivity is the primary correlate of axonal injury in the experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis spinal cord: a quantitative pixelwise analysis. J Neurosci 29, 2805-2813.

Callaghan, P.T., 1991. Principles of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Microscopy. Oxford University Press.

Filippini, N., Douaud, G., Mackay, C.E., Knight, S., Talbot, K., Turner, M.R., 2010. Corpus callosum involvement is a consistent feature of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Neurology 75, 1645-1652.

Glasser, M.F., Smith, S.M., Marcus, D.S., Andersson, J.L., Auerbach, E.J., Behrens, T.E., Coalson, T.S., Harms, M.P., Jenkinson, M., Moeller, S., Robinson, E.C., Sotiropoulos, S.N., Xu, J., Yacoub, E., Ugurbil, K., Van Essen, D.C., 2016. The Human Connectome Project's neuroimaging approach. Nat Neurosci 19, 1175-1187.

Henf, J., Grothe, M.J., Brueggen, K., Teipel, S., Dyrba, M., 2018. Mean diffusivity in cortical gray matter in Alzheimer's disease: The importance of partial volume correction. Neuroimage Clin 17, 579-586.

Huisman, T.A., Schwamm, L.H., Schaefer, P.W., Koroshetz, W.J., Shetty-Alva, N., Ozsunar, Y., Wu, O., Sorensen, A.G., 2004. Diffusion tensor imaging as potential biomarker of white matter injury in diffuse axonal injury. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 25, 370-376.

Loewe, K., Machts, J., Kaufmann, J., Petri, S., Heinze, H.J., Borgelt, C., Harris, J.A., Vielhaber, S., Schoenfeld, M.A., 2017. Widespread temporo-occipital lobe dysfunction in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Sci Rep 7, 40252.

Maier-Hein, K.H., Neher, P.F., Houde, J.C., Cote, M.A., Garyfallidis, E., Zhong, J., Chamberland, M., Yeh, F.C., Lin, Y.C., Ji, Q., Reddick, W.E., Glass, J.O., Chen, D.Q., Feng, Y., Gao, C., Wu, Y., Ma, J., Renjie, H., Li, Q., Westin, C.F., Deslauriers-Gauthier, S., Gonzalez, J.O.O., Paquette, M., St-Jean, S., Girard, G., Rheault, F., Sidhu, J., Tax, C.M.W., Guo, F., Mesri, H.Y., David, S., Froeling, M., Heemskerk, A.M., Leemans, A., Bore, A., Pinsard, B., Bedetti, C., Desrosiers, M., Brambati, S., Doyon, J., Sarica, A., Vasta, R., Cerasa, A., Quattrone, A., Yeatman, J., Khan, A.R., Hodges, W., Alexander, S.,

Romascano, D., Barakovic, M., Auria, A., Esteban, O., Lemkaddem, A., Thiran, J.P., Cetingul, H.E., Odry, B.L., Mailhe, B., Nadar, M.S., Pizzagalli, F., Prasad, G., Villalon-Reina, J.E., Galvis, J., Thompson, P.M., Requejo, F.S., Laguna, P.L., Lacerda, L.M., Barrett, R., Dell'Acqua, F., Catani, M., Petit, L., Caruyer, E., Daducci, A., Dyrby, T.B., Holland-Letz, T., Hilgetag, C.C., Stieltjes, B., Descoteaux, M., 2017. The challenge of mapping the human connectome based on diffusion tractography. Nat Commun 8, 1349.

Melonakos, E.D., Shenton, M.E., Rathi, Y., Terry, D.P., Bouix, S., Kubicki, M., 2011. Voxel-based morphometry (VBM) studies in schizophrenia-can white matter changes be reliably detected with VBM? Psychiatry Res 193, 65-70.

Raffelt, D.A., Tournier, J.D., Smith, R.E., Vaughan, D.N., Jackson, G., Ridgway, G.R., Connelly, A., 2017. Investigating white matter fibre density and morphology using fixel-based analysis. Neuroimage 144, 58-73.

Rovira, A., Wattjes, M.P., Tintore, M., Tur, C., Yousry, T.A., Sormani, M.P., De Stefano, N., Filippi, M., Auger, C., Rocca, M.A., Barkhof, F., Fazekas, F., Kappos, L., Polman, C., Miller, D., Montalban, X., group, M.s., 2015. Evidence-based guidelines: MAGNIMS consensus guidelines on the use of MRI in multiple sclerosis-clinical implementation in the diagnostic process. Nat Rev Neurol 11, 471-482.

Schilling, K.G., Yeh, F.C., Nath, V., Hansen, C., Williams, O., Resnick, S., Anderson, A.W., Landman, B.A., 2019. A fiber coherence index for quality control of B-table orientation in diffusion MRI scans. Magn Reson Imaging 58, 82-89.

Song, S.K., Sun, S.W., Ramsbottom, M.J., Chang, C., Russell, J., Cross, A.H., 2002. Dysmyelination revealed through MRI as increased radial (but unchanged axial) diffusion of water. Neuroimage 17, 1429-1436.

Song, S.K., Yoshino, J., Le, T.Q., Lin, S.J., Sun, S.W., Cross, A.H., Armstrong, R.C., 2005. Demyelination increases radial diffusivity in corpus callosum of mouse brain. Neuroimage 26, 132-140.

Tabrizi, S.J., Langbehn, D.R., Leavitt, B.R., Roos, R.A., Durr, A., Craufurd, D., Kennard, C., Hicks, S.L., Fox, N.C., Scahill, R.I., Borowsky, B., Tobin, A.J., Rosas, H.D., Johnson, H., Reilmann, R., Landwehrmeyer, B., Stout, J.C., investigators, T.-H., 2009. Biological and clinical manifestations of Huntington's disease in the longitudinal TRACK-HD study: cross-sectional analysis of baseline data. Lancet Neurol 8, 791-801.

Tabrizi, S.J., Reilmann, R., Roos, R.A., Durr, A., Leavitt, B., Owen, G., Jones, R., Johnson, H., Craufurd, D., Hicks, S.L., Kennard, C., Landwehrmeyer, B., Stout, J.C., Borowsky, B., Scahill, R.I., Frost, C., Langbehn, D.R., investigators, T.-H., 2012. Potential endpoints for clinical trials in premanifest and early Huntington's disease in the TRACK-HD study: analysis of 24 month observational data. Lancet Neurol 11, 42-53.

Wang, Y., Wang, Q., Haldar, J.P., Yeh, F.C., Xie, M., Sun, P., Tu, T.W., Trinkaus, K., Klein, R.S.,

Cross, A.H., Song, S.K., 2011. Quantification of increased cellularity during inflammatory

demyelination. Brain 134, 3590-3601.

Wattjes, M.P., Rovira, A., Miller, D., Yousry, T.A., Sormani, M.P., de Stefano, M.P., Tintore, M.,

Auger, C., Tur, C., Filippi, M., Rocca, M.A., Fazekas, F., Kappos, L., Polman, C., Frederik, B., Xavier,

M., group, M.s., 2015. Evidence-based guidelines: MAGNIMS consensus guidelines on the use of MRI

in multiple sclerosis--establishing disease prognosis and monitoring patients. Nat Rev Neurol 11,

597-606.

Wedeen, V.J., Rosene, D.L., Wang, R., Dai, G., Mortazavi, F., Hagmann, P., Kaas, J.H., Tseng, W.Y.,

2012. The geometric structure of the brain fiber pathways. Science 335, 1628-1634.

Werring, D.J., Clark, C.A., Barker, G.J., Thompson, A.J., Miller, D.H., 1999. Diffusion tensor imaging

of lesions and normal-appearing white matter in multiple sclerosis. Neurology 52, 1626-1632.

Werring, D.J., Toosy, A.T., Clark, C.A., Parker, G.J., Barker, G.J., Miller, D.H., Thompson, A.J., 2000.

Diffusion tensor imaging can detect and quantify corticospinal tract degeneration after stroke. J Neurol

Neurosurg Psychiatry 69, 269-272.

Woo, C.W., Krishnan, A., Wager, T.D., 2014. Cluster-extent based thresholding in fMRI analyses:

pitfalls and recommendations. Neuroimage 91, 412-419.

Yeh, F.C., Panesar, S., Barrios, J., Fernandes, D., Abhinav, K., Meola, A., Fernandez-Miranda, J.C.,

2018. Automatic Removal of False Connections in Diffusion MRI Tractography Using

Topology-Informed Pruning (TIP). Neurotherapeutics.

Yeh, F.C., Tseng, W.Y., 2011. NTU-90: a high angular resolution brain atlas constructed by q-space

diffeomorphic reconstruction. Neuroimage 58, 91-99.

Yeh, F.C., Verstynen, T.D., Wang, Y., Fernandez-Miranda, J.C., Tseng, W.Y., 2013. Deterministic

diffusion fiber tracking improved by quantitative anisotropy. PLoS ONE 8, e80713.

Yeh, F.C., Vettel, J.M., Singh, A., Poczos, B., Grafton, S.T., Erickson, K.I., Tseng, W.I., Verstynen,

T.D., 2016. Quantifying Differences and Similarities in Whole-Brain White Matter Architecture Using

Local Connectome Fingerprints. PLoS Comput Biol 12, e1005203.

Yeh, F.C., Wedeen, V.J., Tseng, W.Y., 2010. Generalized q-sampling imaging. IEEE Trans Med

Imaging 29, 1626-1635.

Zhang, F., Wu, W., Ning, L., McAnulty, G., Waber, D., Gagoski, B., Sarill, K., Hamoda, H.M., Song, Y.,

Cai, W., Rathi, Y., O'Donnell, L.J., 2018. Suprathreshold fiber cluster statistics: Leveraging white matter

geometry to enhance tractography statistical analysis. Neuroimage 171, 341-354.

Zhang, Y., Fang, T., Wang, Y., Guo, X., Alarefi, A., Wang, J., Jiang, T., Zhang, J., 2017. Occipital

cortical gyrification reductions associate with decreased functional connectivity in amyotrophic lateral

sclerosis. Brain Imaging Behav 11, 1-7.

a   b   c   d   e

baseline scan

alignment
signal matching

follow-up scan

SDF in common
subject space

differences in
anisotropy

increased

decreased

tracking

tracking

differential tractography

Fig. 1

The flow chart of differential tractography. (a) The baseline and follow-up scans of the same subject are

spatially aligned, and the diffusion signals are scaled to the same unit. (b) The spin distribution function

(SDF) from two scans are reconstructed in the same common subject space. (c) The difference in the

anisotropic component of SDF is computed for each fiber orientation. (d) Increased and decreased

anisotropy values are separated to guide a "tracking-the-difference" algorithm. (e) Differential

tractography shows the exact segment of tracks with increased and decreased anisotropy, respectively.

The tracks with decreased anisotropy suggest possible neuronal injury, whereas the number of tracks

with increased anisotropy can be used to estimate the number of false findings.

a baseline scan follow-up scan baseline scan sham scan

b 13947 tracks differential tractography 177 tracks

c $FDR = \dfrac{177}{13947}$

Fig. 2

Diagram showing the sham setting for calculating the false discovery rate (FDR). (a) The baseline scan is compared with a follow-up scan (upper row) and a sham scan (lower row), respectively. The follow-up scan is often acquired months after the baseline scan to capture the true positive findings, whereas the sham scan can be a repeat scan on the same day or any scan setting that ensures the findings are all false positives. (b) The number of findings from the follow-up scan (upper row) includes both true and false positives, whereas the number from the sham scan (lower row) include only false-positive findings. (c) These two numbers can be used to estimate FDR.

Fig. 3

Differential tractography of a multiple sclerosis patient with the first episode of optic neuritis. (a) The

intermediate result of differential tractography shows red sticks indicating local fiber orientations with a

negative change threshold of 30% between repeat scans. The sticks are mostly distributed along the

primary visual pathways, while sporadic false findings can also be found throughout the entire whiter

matter regions due to local signal variations. (b) The red sticks are tracked and connected into

continuous trajectories, whereas the other unaffected parts of the white matter pathways are ignored.

The resulting 3D presentation is the differential tractogram of the patient showing the exact segment of

pathways with a substantial decrease in anisotropy. The tractography can be rendered by directional

colors (left) or severity-coded color (right) to provide information about the spatial location, and the

severity of the axonal damage can be quantified by percentage decrease of anisotropic diffusion. (c) The

same data analyzed by voxel-based differences show numerous fragmented findings possibly due to

numerous local random error. There is no track information to assist correlating structure with a function

and differentiating true findings from false ones.

a b

Fig. 4

Conventional tractography compared with differential tractography on a multiple sclerosis patient with

the first episode of optic neuritis. (a) Conventional tractography shows all existing fiber pathways in the

human brain and is insensitive to any subtle decrease in the diffusion property. (b) Differential

tractography ignores unaffected regions and shows the exact segments of the pathways that have a

substantial decrease of anisotropy quantified between repeat scans of the same individual.

Fig. 5

Reliability assessment of differential tractography using the length threshold. (a) Differential

tractography is applied to a multiple sclerosis patient at different length thresholds. Only the tracks with

decreased anisotropy in the patient may contain true positive findings. A longer length threshold (e.g. >

40mm) can reduce false findings at the expense of sensitivity, whereas a shorter threshold may

introduce more false results. (b) Differential tractography is applied to a normal subject, and any findings

in the normal subject are false positives for neuronal injury. (c) The numbers of findings at different

length thresholds and change thresholds are listed in tables. The patient has substantially large

numbers of tracks with decreased anisotropy, suggesting a possible neurological injury. In comparison,

the normal subject has similar numbers of tracks with increased and decreased anisotropy. (d) False

discovery rate (FDR) of the findings in a patient can be calculated by using the patient's own numbers of

tracks with increased anisotropy as an estimation of the number of false findings. This allows for

adjusting the sensitivity and specificity of differential tractography and quantifying the reliability at

different length and change thresholds.

Fig. 6

Differential tractograms of patients with different neurological disorders in comparison with a normal subject. The results were generated automatically without expert selection. The differential tractograms of the two MS patients match well with their clinical presentation of optic neuritis. Patient #1 has a much severer drop in visual acuity, which can be quantitatively reflected by her larger the volume of the findings and a greater percentage decrease of the anisotropy along the affected pathways. The differential tractograms of the two Huntington diseases show extensive affected striatal pathways. Patient #4 had more asymmetric dystonia, matching the asymmetry presentation of the differential tractography. The ALS patient had lower motor neuron presentation of left-hand weakness, matching the finding of right lower corticospinal pathways in differential tractography. The epileptic patients received right anterior temporal lobectomy, matching the findings in the differential tractogram that shows the affected pathways around the surgical location. The false findings in the normal subject can

728   be differentiated by their less significant decrease of anisotropy and their location at the anterior frontal

729   region, which is known to be more susceptible to phase distortion artifact.

a

b=0 s/mm²   b=3000 s/mm²   b=7000 s/mm²

b

b=0~7000 s/mm²   b=0~3000 s/mm²

Fig. 7

Performance differences in differential tractography due to different b-values. (a) Diffusion-weighted

images of a patient at b-values of 0, 3000, and 7000 s/mm^2. Signals at high b-values are sensitive to

restricted diffusion but have a lower signal-to-noise ratio. Thus most clinical scans only acquire b-value

lower than 3000 s/mm^2. (b) Differential tractography using reduced b-values between 0 and 3,000 s/mm^2

shows 54% fewer findings than those from the full dataset using b-values between 0 and 7,000 s/mm^2.

Although the reduced b-value dataset also shows a grossly similar result, its FDR is substantially higher

(FDR=0.32) and thus not as reliable as the full dataset that includes high b-value data. This indicates the

important role of high b-value acquisition in detecting early neuronal injury.

Table 1: Patient Demographics and Major Symptoms

	diagnosis	age	sex	MRI scans	Major symptoms
#1	multiple sclerosis	44	F	onset of symptom and 6-month follow-up	acute onset of left ocular pain, pain with eye movements, blurring vision of the left eye (20/400), loss of visual field in all quadrants.
#2	multiple sclerosis	24	F	onset of symptom and 6-month follow-up	acute onset of left ocular pain, pain with eye movements, blurring vision of the right eye (20/125), superior altitudinal visual field defect.
#3	Huntington disease	60	M	two scans at 5 months apart during the manifest stage	body bradykinesia on both sides UHDRS motor: 45→49 (worsening)
#4	Huntington disease	55	F	two scans at 5 months apart during the manifest stage	dystonia of left upper extremity, dragging right foot with ambulation, dystonia of the right leg UHDRS motor: 53→64 (worsening)
#5	ALS	48	M	baseline scan acquired 30 months after onset follow-up scan acquired one year after.	left-hand weakness with fasciculations ALSFRS-R: 45→32 (worsening)
#6	epilepsy	51	M	before anterior temporal lobectomy and one-year follow-up after surgery	

ALS: amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, **UHDRS**: Unified Huntington's Disease Rating Scale, **ALSFRS-R**: Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis Functional Rating Scale-Revised

Table 2: False Discovery Rate of Differential Tractography Findings

	diagnosis	change threshold	length threshold	number of findings	false-positive findings*	FDR
#1	multiple sclerosis	30%	40 mm	13,947	177	≤0.0126
#2	multiple sclerosis	30%	40 mm	2,799	0	<0.0001
#3	Huntington disease	30%	40 mm	85,243	3,571	≤0.0419
#4	Huntington disease	30%	40 mm	64,272	0	<0.0001
#5	ALS	15%†	40 mm	12,222	2,548	≤0.2085
#6	epilepsy post-op	30%	40 mm	15,959	0	<0.0001
	normal control	30%	40 mm	74		

* estimated by the number of tracks with increased anisotropic diffusion

†30% yields no findings

Table 3: Differential Tractography Using Reduced Datasets (b = 0 ~ 3000 s/mm^2)

	diagnosis	number of findings and its change(%)†	false-positive findings*	FDR
#1	multiple sclerosis	6,454 (-53.72%)	2,071	≤0.3208
#2	multiple sclerosis	507 (-81.89%)	0	<0.0001
#3	Huntington disease	2,437 (-97.14%)	865	≤0.3549
#4	Huntington disease	39,903 (-37.92%)	0	<0.0001
#5	ALS	2,211 (-81.91%)	473	≤0.2139
#6	epilepsy post-op	7464 (-53.23%)	360	≤0.0482
	normal control	636 (+759.46%)		

*estimated by the number of tracks with increased anisotropic diffusion

†the change is calculated by $(a-b)/b$, a: current value b: previous value

Supplementary Materials

Patient's medical history during the scan interval

MS patients

Patient #1 was a 44-year-old female with acute onset of left ocular pain and pain with eye movements. She experienced a gradual decline in her visual acuity, and initial visual examination showed normal acuity in the right eye and decreased acuity in the left eye (20/70), which worsen to 20/400 in one week. She had a dense superior visual field defect in both superior quadrants that continued to worsen over a few days with gradual loss of the inferior visual fields. She was treated with IV steroids, and after the treatment, the ocular pain, pain with eye movement, and the visual field were improved. After 6 months of her initial presentation, her visual acuity returned to 20/20 both eyes and the visual fields were normal

Patient #2 was a 23-year-old female with the blurring of vision of the right eye, associated with ocular pain and pain with extraocular movements. Initial evaluation by ophthalmology noted visual acuity of 20/40 with enlargement of the blind spot on the Humphrey visual field. Within a week her symptoms progressed to a visual acuity of 20/125, decrease in the color perception. and a superior altitudinal visual field defect. She was treated with intravenous methylprednisolone according to the optic neuritis treatment trial. Her symptoms recovered within 10 days to visual acuity of 20/25 and normal color saturation.

HD patients

Patient #3 was a 60-year-old male. The age of onset was 56, and the CAG repeats were 42. During the

scan interval, the UHDRS motor total was increased from 45 to 49, with most prominent symptoms of

body bradykinesia on both sides.

Patient #4 was a 55-year-old female. The age of onset was 48, and the CAG repeats were 43. During

the scan interval, the UHDRS motor total was increased from 53 to 64, with symptoms of dystonic

contractures of the left upper extremity, dragging right foot with ambulation, and dystonia in the legs

more obvious with gait (mostly on the right side), moderate bradykinesia with the finger taps (mostly on

the left side).

ALS patient

Patient #5 was a 48-year-old male ALS patient (laboratory-supported probable ALS diagnosis) with

upper extremity limb onset and predominant lower motor neuron involvement. His symptoms started

about 30 months before the baseline scan with widespread fasciculations and left-hand weakness.

There were subtle upper motor neuron signs in cervical segments. He developed lower extremity

weakness 2 years after onset but never developed lower extremity upper motor neuron signs at the time

of death that occurred 5 years after the onset of symptoms. He had no family history of neurological

disease. The patient's ALSFRS-R score decreased from 45 to 32 during the MRI scan interval (1 year

apart).

Epilepsy patient

Patient #6 was a 51-year-old male with medically intractable epilepsy since the age of 17. His seizure

frequency was weekly, and intracranial monitoring demonstrated that his seizure onset zone included

the right mesial and neocortical temporal lobe. He underwent a standard right anterior temporal

lobectomy. MRI scans were obtained before the surgery and one year after the surgery.

787