Interplay of primary bovine lymphocytes and *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* shows distinctly different proteome changes and immune pathways in host-pathogen interaction

Kristina J.H. Kleinwort [1], Stefanie M. Hauck [2], Roxane L. Degroote [1], Armin M. Scholz [3], Christina Hölzel [4,5], Erwin P. Märtlbauer [4], Cornelia A. Deeg [1]*

1 Chair of Animal Physiology, Department of Veterinary Sciences, LMU Munich, Veterinärstraße 13, D-80539 Munich, Germany;

2 Research Unit for Protein Science, Helmholtz Zentrum Munich, German Research Center for Environmental Health GmbH, Munich, Germany;

3 Livestock Center of the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, LMU Munich, Oberschleißheim, Germany;

4 Chair of Hygiene and Technology of Milk, Department of Veterinary Sciences, LMU Munich, Schönleutnerstr 8, D-85764 Oberschleißheim, Germany;

5 Institute of Animal Breeding and Husbandry, Faculty of Agricultural and Nutritional Sciences, CAU Kiel, Hermann-Rodewald-Str. 6, 24098 Kiel, Germany;

* Corresponding author: Cornelia A. Deeg, Chair for Animal Physiology, Department of Veterinary Sciences, LMU Munich, Veterinärstr. 13, D-80539 Munich. E-mail: Cornelia.Deeg@lmu.de, Phone: + 49-89–2180-2551; Fax: +49-89-2180-2554.

Abstract: Mycobacterium avium subsp. paratuberculosis (MAP) is a pathogen causing

paratuberculosis in cattle and small ruminants. During the long asymptomatic subclinical

stage, high numbers of MAP are excreted and can be transmitted to food, where they

survive many of the standard techniques of food decontamination. If these MAP are harmful

to the consumers is currently under debate. In general, there is a lack of information

regarding interaction of the hosts immune system with MAP.

In this study, we tested the interaction of peripheral blood lymphocytes (PBL) from cattle

with MAP in their exoproteomes/secretomes. Because in other mycobacterial infections,

the immune phenotype correlates with susceptibility, we additionally tested the interaction

of MAP with recently detected immune deviant cows.

In PBL, different biological pathways were enhanced in response to MAP dependent on the

immune phenotype of the host. PBL of control cows activated members of cell activation

and chemotaxis of leukocytes pathway as well as IL-12 mediated signaling. In contrast, in

ID cows CNOT1 was detected as highly abundant protein, pointing to a different immune

response, which could be favorable for MAP. Additionally, MAP reacted different to the

hosts. Their exoproteomes differed in either GroEL1 or DnaK abundance, depending on the

interacting immune response.

These findings point to an interdependent, tightly regulated response of MAP and the

immune system.

Keywords: Mycobacterium avium subsp. paratuberculosis, exoproteome, immune

capacity, IL12, CNOT, GroEL1, DnaK, ShinyGO

1. Introduction

Mycobacterium avium subsp. *paratuberculosis* (MAP) is a critical pathogen for cattle and small ruminants, causing paratuberculosis with decreased milk production and, in some animals, excessive loss of weight [1]. Paratuberculosis, also referred to as Johne's disease, is world-wide endemic; no country or region has been found to be free of this disease [2]. Affected ruminants go through a long asymptomatic subclinical phase in which infection cannot reliably be detected by standard diagnostic tests [3, 4]. These subclinical infected animals can already shed MAP, thereby contaminating dairy products or meat [5]. Diseased animals were shown to shed high numbers of MAP [6, 7]. Since viable MAP were found in pasteurized milk [8] and in dried dairy products like powdered infant formula [9] and in raw fermented sausages [10], MAP are discussed as foodborne pathogens.

A similar pathology in the intestinal tissue of patients with intestinal tuberculosis and paratuberculosis was described more than a century ago. Recently, an association between MAP and Crohn's disease was shown, initiating a discussion about a possible relationship of MAP in Crohn`s pathogenesis [11]. Johne`s and Crohn`s disease share clinical and histopathological similarities, MAP can survive standard pasteurization procedures and MAP antibodies can be detected in Crohn`s patients, where macrolide antibiotics ameliorate disease [12]. In contrast, genotypes of MAP isolated from cattle and man are different, there is a lack of evidence for uptake of contaminated food in respective patients and MAP cannot consistently be isolated from Crohn`s disease patients [13]. Neither in cattle, nor in humans MAP is transmitted from mother to child during pregnancy. But although MAP was detected

widespread in many farms and different countries, the incidence of Johne`s disease in

ruminants is marginal [14]. Bacteria can survive for 2–10 years without causing obvious

symptoms of infection in cows [15]. As seen in cattle farms, susceptibility to MAP infection

differs in human populations [16]. This points to a complex disease in which several

pathogens, environmental factors and an inappropriate immune response in genetically

susceptible hosts participate in the cause of disease [16]. Since an enhanced susceptibility of

the host contributes to pathogenesis in other mycobacteria associated diseases (e.g.

tuberculosis) [17], we wanted to gain further information about the interplay of MAP with

the immune system in hosts with different immune capacities. This is also of interest because

in cattle, the MAP eradication programmes that are solely based on hygiene management are

not very successful [18]. This could indicate certain reservoir cows that host and spread MAP

without developing any clinical signs.

Only little is known about the host-pathogen interaction of MAP and the immune

system of its hosts [19]. Functional differences in these responses could lead to aberrant

reactions in susceptible hosts [19].

Recently, we detected a functionally different immune capacity in 22% of cows from

different herds in Germany using differential proteome analyses [20]. These immune deviant

(ID) cows differ in their constitutive immune proteome and they regulate different master

immune regulators upon polyclonal immune cell stimulation. The phenotype is functionally

correlated with an increased prevalence of mastitis, indicating an impact on the ability to

fight infections [20].

All living microorganisms are exposed to changing environmental parameters that define

their habitats. Bacteria sense environmental changes and react to it with various stress

response mechanisms [21]. To gain information about the pathogenic mechanisms of MAP

and how they respond to different immune response signals of their hosts, we analysed the

changes in the proteomes of MAP after co-incubation with primary peripheral blood derived

leukocytes (PBL) of control and ID cows. Since the aim of this study was a better

understanding of the host-pathogen response, we analysed the exoproteomes of MAP and the

bovine peripheral blood derived lymphocytes.

The term exoproteome describes the protein content that can be found in the extracellular

proximity of a given biological system [22]. These proteins arise from cellular secretion,

other protein export mechanisms or cell lysis, but only the most stable proteins in this

environment will remain abundant [22]. These proteins play roles in the organism's survival

in extreme habitats such as saline environments [23]. Investigating the exoproteome of the

pathogen and the secretome of PBL provides expanded coverage of the repertoire of proteins

secreted in the stress response of MAP to different immune responses and this is essential for

understanding respective mechanisms. Accordingly, this study aimed at providing a better

understanding of the interplay of *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* (MAP) with

the immune system on proteome level to identify the complex network of proteins involved

in host-foodborne bacteria communication.

2. Materials and Methods

116 **2.1. Mycobacterium avium subsp. paratuberculosis (MAP)**

117 The bacterial strain used in these experiments was *Mycobacterium avium* subsp.

118 *paratuberculosis* (DSM 44133), purchased from German Collection of Microorganisms and

119 Cell Cultures (DSMZ, Braunschweig, Germany). MAP were grown on Herrold`s egg yolk

120 agar (HEYM) (BD Biosciences, Heidelberg, Germany) for four weeks prior to harvesting for

121 the co-incubation experiment. MAP were yielded through rinsing the agar of the cultivation

122 tubes with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and gentle scratching.

123 **2.2. PBL isolation of control and immune deviant cows**

124 Blood samples of cows were collected in tubes supplemented with 25.000 I.U. heparin.

125 Blood was then diluted 1:2 with PBS pH 7.2 and subsequently layered on density gradient

126 separating solution (Pancoll; PanBiotech, Aidenbach, Germany). After density gradient

127 centrifugation (room temperature, $290 \times g$, 25 min, brake off), PBL were obtained from

128 intermediate phase. Cells were then washed 3x in PBS (4°C). Withdrawal of blood was

129 permitted by the local authority Regierung von Oberbayern, Munich, permit no.

130 55.2-1-54-2532.3-22-12. For determination of control or ID status, PBL were tested in *in*

131 *vitro* proliferation assays as described [20]. Respective animals were tested at least 11 times,

132 before being assigned to control or ID status. The animals were from a MAP-free farm and

133 were tested negative for MAP antibodies and no MAP were detected in culture from feces.

134 **2.3. Co-cultivation of MAP with primary bovine PBL**

135 Primary bovine PBL ($2x10^7$) of control and ID cows were cultivated in 6 well plates in 3 ml

136 RPMI each. 15 µg of live MAP were added for 48 h to one well, control wells were not

infected. After 48 h, three technical replicates per experiment were centrifuged for 10 min at

350 x g and the supernatants were filtered through 0,5 µm filters (= exoproteome) and stored

at -20°C until filter aided sample preparation (FASP). Cell pellets were lysed and total

protein content measured with Bradford assay.

2.4. Proteolysis and LC-MS/MS mass spectrometry

10µg total protein was digested with LysC and trypsin by filter-aided sample preparation

(FASP) as described [24]. Acidified eluted peptides were analysed in the data-dependent

mode on a Q Exactive HF mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bremen, Germany)

online coupled to a UItimate 3000 RSLC nano-HPLC (Dionex). Samples were automatically

injected and loaded onto the C18 trap column and after 5 min eluted and separated on the C18

analytical column (75µm IDx15cm, Acclaim PepMAP 100 C18. 100Å/size, LC Packings,

Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bremen, Germany) by a 90min non-linear acetonitrile gradient at a

flow rate of 250 nl/min. MS spectra were recorded at a resolution of 60000 and after each

MS1 cycle, the 10 most abundant peptide ions were selected for fragmentation.

2.5. Protein identification and label-free quantification

Acquired MS spectra were imported into Progenesis software (version 2.5 Nonlinear

Dynamics, Waters) and analyzed as previously described [6, 25, 26]. After alignment, peak

picking, exclusion of features with charge state of 1 and > 7 and normalization, spectra were

exported as Mascot Generic files and searched against a database containing all entries of

Mycobacterium avium subspecies *paratuberculosis* from NCBI Protein database combined

with the Ensembl bovine database (version 80) with Mascot (Matrix Science, Version 2.5.1).

Search parameters used were 10 ppm peptide mass tolerance, 20 mmu fragment mass

tolerance, one missed cleavage allowed, carbamidomethylation was set as fixed

modification, and methionine oxidation and deamidation of asparagine and glutamine as

variable modifications. Mascot integrated decoy database search was set to a false discovery

rate (FDR) of 1% when searching was performed on the concatenated mgf files with a

percolator ion score cut-off of 13 and an appropriate significance threshold p. Peptide

assignment was reimported to Progenesis Software. All unique peptides allocated to a protein

were considered for quantification. Proteins with a ratio of at least five-fold in normalized

abundance between control and ID or samples were defined as differentially expressed.

2.6. Enriched pathway analyses

Abundances of the identified proteins were defined as differentially expressed based on the

threshold of protein abundance ratio of ≥1.5 and their assignement to MAP or bovine

exoproteome.   Venn   diagram   was   made   with   open   source   tool:

http://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/webtools/Venn/. The protein–protein interaction network

of differentially-accumulated proteins was analysed with GeneRanker of Genomatix

Pathway System (GePS) software (version 3.10; Intrexon Bioinformatics GmbH, Munich,

Germany; settings: Orthologous genes from H. sapiens were used for this ranking, species

bovine, analysed were proteins with ≥ 5-fold change). Further bioinformatic analyses were

conducted with open source software ShinyGO v0.50: http://ge-lab.org/go/ [27], P-value

cutoff (FDR) was set to 0.05.

3. Results

3.1. Differentially abundant proteins in secretomes and exoproteomes of PBL from

control and immune deviant hosts as response to in vitro infection with MAP

We investigated the immune response of primary PBL isolated from control and immune

deviant animals. After 48 hours of in vitro infection with live MAP, we harvested the mixed

secretomes and exoproteomes of PBL and MAP and analysed them with mass spectrometry.

Overall, we identified 826 proteins (811 bovine and 15 MAP proteins). Cluster analysis

confirmed significant differences in protein abundances between PBL of control and ID cow

in host-pathogen response (Figure 1, Venn diagramm). In secretome of control cow, 90

proteins were differentially upregulated (Figure 1, $\geq$ 5-fold change of expression, blue circle)

in contrast to 38 proteins that were higher abundant in ID cow (Figure 1, light red circle). In

the MAP exoproteome, although small in overall numbers, different protein abundances

were also detectable. In MAP co-incubated with control PBL, one protein (GroEl1) was

found with protein abundance change and one protein (DnaK) was upregulated in

exoproteome after co-incubation with ID PBL.

3.2. Different biological pathways were regulated in the immune response in host PBL

Interpretation of large protein sets can be performed through enrichment analyses, using

published information for examination of overrepresentation of a known set of genes within

the input gene list [27, 28]. Since many gene ontology (GO) terms are related or redundant,

we used a hierarchical clustering tree and network (ShinyGO v.050 [27]). The top regulated

biological process pathways in the secretome/excretome of the control were all related to

RNA splicing (Figure 2A). The top regulated immune pathways were cellular immune

responses of various leukocyte subsets, chemotaxis and the reaction to IL-12 (Figure 2A).

In contrast, in secretome/excretome of ID PBL, the top regulated pathways were response to

stress and immune system process (Figure 2B). The enriched immune pathways comprised

distinct routes of immune response in ID PBL, focussing on closely related positive

regulations of cellular functions, complement activation and humoral immune response

(Figure 2B).

Visualization of network clearly indicates two major regulated networks in control PBL`s

secretome (Figure 3A) and one distinct, major enriched network in ID proteins (Figure 3B).

3.3. GroEl1 and DnAK were differentially abundant in MAPs interacting with

different hosts

Interestingly, there was also a clear difference in the regulated proteins of the pathogen itself,

dependent on the co-cultivated host PBL (Table 1, supplementary table 2), although the low

number of proteins was not sufficient for in depth enrichment analyses of the MAP

exoproteomes. These differential proteome analyses clearly indicate substantial differences

on protein level of the foodborne pathogen MAP in the interaction with different host

immune capacities.

	Accession	Gene symbol	Description	Ratio MAP Ctr/ID

1	AAS06815	GroEL1	pep:novel chromosome:GCA_000007865.1:Chromosome:4733338:4734954:1 gene:MAP_4265 transcript:AAS06815 description:""GroEL1""	6,07
2	ETB04387	F0F1 ATP synthase subunit alpha	pep:novel supercontig:GCA_000504785.1:contig000131:9486:11150:1 gene:O979_07265 transcript:ETB04387 description:""F0F1 ATP synthase subunit alpha""	3,26
3	ETB05569	DNA-binding protein	pep:novel supercontig:GCA_000504785.1:contig000072:8572:9225:1 gene:O979_04085 transcript:ETB05569 description:""DNA-binding protein""	2,47
4	AAS06727	RplN	pep:novel chromosome:GCA_000007865.1:Chromosome:4652017:4652385:1 gene:MAP_4177 transcript:AAS06727 description:""RplN""	2,34
5	AAS06486; ELP44387; ETB08817	GroEL2	pep:novel chromosome:GCA_000007865.1:Chromosome:4395922:4397547:1 gene:MAP_3936 transcript:AAS06486 description:""GroEL2""	1,12
6	AAS06693	Tuf	pep:novel chromosome:GCA_000007865.1:Chromosome:4620946:4622136:1 gene:MAP_4143 transcript:AAS06693 description:""Tuf""	0,88
7	ETB02420	enoyl-CoA hydratase	pep:novel supercontig:GCA_000504785.1:contig000238:14619:15410:1 gene:O979_11400 transcript:ETB02420 description:""enoyl-CoA hydratase""	0,86
8	ETB00930	2-isopropylmalate synthase	pep:novel supercontig:GCA_000504845.1:contig000411:16560:18359:-1 gene:O978_19245 transcript:ETB00930 description:""2-isopropylmalate synthase""	0,75
9	AAS06690	RpsL	pep:novel chromosome:GCA_000007865.1:Chromosome:4617754:4618128:1 gene:MAP_4140 transcript:AAS06690 description:""RpsL""	0,67
10	AAS06681; ETB45918; ETB45924	RpoC	pep:novel chromosome:GCA_000007865.1:Chromosome:4606729:4610679:1 gene:MAP_4131 transcript:AAS06681 description:""RpoC""	0,62

11	AAS06680	RpoB	pep:novel chromosome:GCA_000007865.1:Chromosome:460 3087:4606683:1 gene:MAP_4130 transcript:AAS06680 description:""RpoB""	0,48
12	AAS02778	ClpC	pep:novel chromosome:GCA_000007865.1:Chromosome:488 298:490829:1 gene:MAP_0461 transcript:AAS02778 description:""ClpC""	0,46
13	ETB04840	GTP-bindin g protein YchF	pep:novel supercontig:GCA_000504785.1:contig000104:1736: 2809:1 gene:O979_06015 transcript:ETB04840 description:""GTP-binding protein YchF""	0,43
14	ETB04389 AAS04768	ATP synthase subunit beta	pep:novel supercontig:GCA_000504785.1:contig000131:12100 :13557:1 gene:O979_07275 transcript:ETB04389 description:""ATP synthase subunit beta""	0,26
15	AAS06390	DnaK	pep:novel chromosome:GCA_000007865.1:Chromosome:429 5544:4297415:1 gene:MAP_3840 transcript:AAS06390 description:""DnaK""	0,04

Table 1: Regulation of MAP proteins identified in secretomes/exoproteomes after

co-incubation with control and ID PBL.

4. Discussion

Mycobacterium avium subsp. *paratuberculosis* (MAP) could be a foodborne pathogen,

because it is discussed in association with several diseases [29-31]. MAP were found in

patients with inflammatory bowel diseases like Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis, as well

as autoimmune diseases like type 1 diabetes, multiple sclerosis, rheumatoid arthritis and

Hashimoto's thyroiditis (Garvey, 2018), but so far, a causal association has not yet been

proven in any of these cases. Since MAP can survive many of the standard techniques of food

decontamination (e.g. pasteurization), they are regularly found alive in pasteurized milk

(Gerrard et al., 2018) and in dried dairy products such as powdered infant formula (Botsaris

et al., 2016). If MAP play a role in pathogenesis of respective diseases, we think that this

must be associated with a certain type of susceptibility from these hosts. MAP is ubiquitously

found and only a minor proportion of consumers (if at all) is affected by MAP-associated

diseases. The same is true for cows: while they are often in contact with MAP, resulting in

high frequencies of seropositive animals – approximately 20% and at least 3–5% in several

countries [32, 33] – Johne`s disease incidence is very rare. For example, a total of 232 clinical

cases of Johne`s disease were reported in Ireland from 1995 to 2002 [34], yielding an average

annual rate of approximately 0.0005%, given a cattle population of six million [35]. A study

examining environmental samples from 362 dairy farms located in all 10 provinces of

Canada for detection of MAP by culture revealed true prevalence estimates of 66% for farms

in Western Canada, 54% in Ontario, 24% in Québec, and 47% in Atlantic Canada [36].

From tuberculosis it is known that after infection with *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (MTB),

there is a 10% probability that the host will develop active tuberculosis and the bacterium

may invade multiple organs [37]. Although 9 million new cases of active tuberculosis are still

reported annually, an estimated one-third of the world is infected with MTB while remaining

asymptomatic, defined as latent TB [38]. Among the individuals with latent TB, only 5–10%

will develop active tuberculosis disease in course of their lifetime, because they effectively

control the infection through their immune response [38]. This immune response after MTB

infection is highly complex as the bacteria have intricate immune escape mechanisms [37].

For MAP, only little is known about host-pathogen reactions in general, and whether

different immune responses exist, but a thorough examination of the respective mechanisms

is of major importance to get functional data that allow a better understanding and a

substantiated risk assessment. In the post-genomic era, proteomics represents a key

discipline to perform in depth studies and identify the complex network of proteins involved

in such host-bacteria communication. In this study, we analysed the

exoproteomes/secretomes of MAP and host cow PBL with known, functionally different

immune capacities [20] using differential proteome analyses after *in vitro* infection.

Interestingly, there were significant differences in protein abundances secreted from control

and ID PBL. Ninety proteins were $\geq$ 5 fold higher abundant in control PBL after interaction

with MAP. In the control, the top regulated immune pathways described cell activation and

chemotaxis of leukocytes as well as IL-12 mediated signalling pathways. For *M.*

paratuberculosis it was shown, that IL-12 transcription is increased in infected bovine

macrophages within 6 hours [39], probably to enhance the developing T cell response [40].

To our knowledge, the role of IL-12 in MAP infections of cows has not been analysed so far,

but the IL-12 associated immune response should also be protective. In tuberculosis it was

shown that the induction of protective IFN-γ T cell responses against primary *M. tuberculosis*

infection clearly depends on IL-12 [41]. Mice lacking IL-12p40 cannot control the growth of

the MTB bacterial infection [42]. These findings in mouse models were confirmed in man,

where IL-12 was shown to be critical for preventing tuberculosis [43]. Therefore, we

hypothesize that secretion of from IL-12indicates a protective immune response against

MAP infection in control PBL. In ID PBL on the other hand, CCR4-NOT transcription

complex, subunit 1 (CNOT1) was detected as highly abundant protein (supplemental table

1). This novel finding of CNOT1 regulation in the bovine immune system is very interesting,

because CCR4-NOT complex members have recently been shown to function as regulators

ensuring repression of the MHCII locus in human cell lines [44]. Poor MHC II expression

can cause autoimmune or infectious diseases, since MHCII is indispensable for adequate

immune responses [44]. Additionally, CNOT proteins also contribute to the downregulation

of MHC class I gene expression by influencing transcription and mRNA degradation [45].

Enriched network analyses of secreted proteins from ID PBL revealed further major different

functions of the 38 differentially abundant proteins (Figure 4B). Members of complement

activation pathway were enriched in ID PBL. We think the regulated candidates in ID PBL

merit further investigation in future studies to clarify whether they indicate an immune

response in favour of MAP infection or just another way to successfully fight mycobacterial

infections.

Interestingly, in the MAP exoproteome (although small in overall numbers) different protein

abundances were also detectable. Exoproteomes arise from cellular secretion, other protein

export mechanisms or cell lysis, but only the most stable proteins in this environment will

remain abundant [22]. In MAP co-incubated with control PBL, GroEL1 showed 6-fold

higher abundance. GroEL1 belongs to the family of 60 kDa heat shock proteins, also known

as Cpn60s (GroELs) which are components of the essential protein folding machinery of the

cell, but are also dominant antigens in many infectious diseases [46]. GroEL1 from MAP is

highly immunogenic for cows [45]. The exact function of GroEL1 in MAP is not clarified so

far, but it is highly similar to the respective protein in MTB (Rv3417c), where GroEL1 is

important for bacterial survival under low aeration by affecting the expression of genes

known for hypoxia response [46]. From co-cultivation of MAP with ID PBL, on the other

hand, DnaK emerged as differentially abundant protein. DnaK is a HSP70 family chaperone

protein with essential function in stress induced protein refolding and DnaK loss is

accompanied by disruption of membrane structure and increased cell permeability [47].

DnaK is essentially required for cell growth in mycobacteria due to a lack of redundancy with

other chaperone systems [47]. This finding from MAP – ID PBL co-cultivation points to

regulation of important survival mechanisms in MAP. However, these results must be

interpreted with care because our analysed MAP exoproteome only comprised 15 proteins.

Our data provide novel information about MAP-leukocyte interaction, adding to a more

comprehensive picture of host-pathogen interactions. Co-incubation of MAP with cells from

animals with different immune capacities led to significant differences in PBL secretomes

and different immunological pathways enhanced in the hosts. In exoproteomes of respective

MAPs, GroEL1 and DnaK were differentially abundant. These analyses gave a deeper

insight into the different responses of host PBL and MAP bacteria. In this study, several

novel proteins were identified with changed abundance in host-pathogen interaction. These

candidates merit further investigations in the future to clarify their functional role in infection

control.

Acknowledgments:

The authors would like to thank Barbara Amann for excellent technical support.

Author Contributions: C.D. conceived and designed the experiments; K.K., S.H., A.S. and C.H. performed the experiments; K.K., S.H., R.D., E.M. and C.D. analyzed the data; C.D. wrote the manuscript. All authors critically read the manuscript and approved the final version to be published.

Funding: The IGF Project 18388 N of the FEI was supported via AiF within the program for promoting the Industrial Collective Research (IGF) of the German Ministry of Economic Affairs and Energy (BMWi), based on a resolution of the German Parliament.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Abbreviations

CCR4   CCR4-NOT transcription complex, subunit 1

FASP   Filter-aided sample preparation

ID   Immune deviant

IL-12   Interleukin 12

HEYM   Herrold`s egg yolk agar

MAP   *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis*

MTB   *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*

PBL   Peripheral blood derived lymphocytes

PBS   Phosphate buffered saline

References

1.   Yamamoto T, Murai K, Hayama Y, Kobayashi S, Nagata R, Kawaji S, et al. Evaluation of fecal shedding

and antibody response in dairy cattle infected with paratuberculosis using national surveillance data in Japan.

Preventive Veterinary Medicine. 2018;149:38-46. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prevetmed.2017.10.009.

2.   Li L, Katani R, Schilling M, Kapur V. Molecular Epidemiology of Mycobacterium avium subsp.

paratuberculosis on Dairy Farms. Annual Review of Animal Biosciences. 2016;4(1):155-76. doi:

10.1146/annurev-animal-021815-111304. PubMed PMID: 26526547.

3.   Li L, Bannantine JP, Campo JJ, Randall A, Grohn YT, Katani R, et al. Identification of sero-reactive antigens

for the early diagnosis of Johne's disease in cattle. PLoS One. 2017;12(9):e0184373. doi:

10.1371/journal.pone.0184373. PubMed PMID: 28863177; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5581170.

4.   Hobmaier BF, Lutterberg K, Kleinwort KJH, Mayer R, Hirmer S, Amann B, et al. Characterization of plant

lectins for their ability to isolate Mycobacterium avium subsp. paratuberculosis from milk. Food Microbiology.

2019;82:231-9. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2019.02.009.

5.   Sweeney RW. Pathogenesis of Paratuberculosis. Veterinary Clinics of North America: Food Animal

Practice. 2011;27(3):537-46. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cvfa.2011.07.001.

6.   Kuenstner JT, Naser S, Chamberlin W, Borody T, Graham DY, McNees A, Hermon-Taylor J,

Hermon-Taylor A, Dow CT, Thayer W, et al: The consensus from the Mycobacterium Avium Ssp.

paratuberculosis (MAP) conference 2017. Front Public Health 2017;5:208.

7.   Machado G, Kanankege K, Schumann V, Wells S, Perez A, Alvarez J. Identifying individual animal factors

associated with Mycobacterium avium subsp. paratuberculosis (MAP) milk ELISA positivity in dairy cattle in

the Midwest region of the United States. BMC Veterinary Research. 2018;14(1):28. doi:

10.1186/s12917-018-1354-y.

8.   Gerrard ZE, Swift BMC, Botsaris G, Davidson RS, Hutchings MR, Huxley JN, et al. Survival of

Mycobacterium avium subspecies paratuberculosis in retail pasteurised milk. Food Microbiology.

2018;74:57-63. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2018.03.004.

9.   Botsaris G, Swift BMC, Slana I, Liapi M, Christodoulou M, Hatzitofi M, et al. Detection of viable

Mycobacterium avium subspecies paratuberculosis in powdered infant formula by phage-PCR and confirmed

by culture. International Journal of Food Microbiology. 2016;216:91-4. doi:

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2015.09.011.

10.   Lorencova A, Babak V, Kralova A, Borilova G. Survival of Mycobacterium avium subsp. paratuberculosis

in raw fermented sausages during production and storage. Meat Science. 2019;155:20-6. doi:

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2019.04.023.

11.   Alcedo KP, Thanigachalam S, Naser SA. RHB-104 triple antibiotics combination in culture is bactericidal

and should be effective for treatment of Crohn's disease associated with Mycobacterium paratuberculosis. Gut

pathogens. 2016;8:32-. doi: 10.1186/s13099-016-0115-3. PubMed PMID: 27307791.

12.   Kuenstner JT, Naser S, Chamberlin W, Borody T, Graham DY, McNees A, et al. The Consensus from the

Mycobacterium avium ssp. paratuberculosis (MAP) Conference 2017. Frontiers in public health. 2017;5:208-.

doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2017.00208. PubMed PMID: 29021977.

13.   Mendoza JL, Lana R, Díaz-Rubio M. Mycobacterium avium subspecies paratuberculosis and its

relationship with Crohn's disease. World journal of gastroenterology. 2009;15(4):417-22. Epub 01/28. doi:

10.3748/wjg.15.417. PubMed PMID: 19152445.

14. Sergeant ESG, McAloon CG, Tratalos JA, Citer LR, Graham DA, More SJ. Evaluation of national
surveillance methods for detection of Irish dairy herds infected with Mycobacterium avium ssp.
paratuberculosis. Journal of Dairy Science. 2019;102(3):2525-38. doi: 10.3168/jds.2018-15696.

15. Hermon-Taylor J. Mycobacterium avium subspecies paratuberculosis in the causation of Crohn's disease.
World journal of gastroenterology. 2000;6(5):630-2. Epub 10/15. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v6.i5.630. PubMed PMID:
11819664.

16. Eslami M, Shafiei M, Ghasemian A, Valizadeh S, Al-Marzoqi AH, Shokouhi Mostafavi SK, et al.
Mycobacterium avium paratuberculosis and Mycobacterium avium complex and related subspecies as
causative agents of zoonotic and occupational diseases. Journal of Cellular Physiology. 2019;0(0). doi:
doi:10.1002/jcp.28076.

17. Scriba TJ, Coussens AK, Fletcher HA. Human Immunology of Tuberculosis. Microbiology Spectrum.
2017;5(1). doi: doi:10.1128/microbiolspec.TBTB2-0016-2016.

18. McAloon CG, Roche S, Ritter C, Barkema HW, Whyte P, More SJ, et al. A review of paratuberculosis in
dairy   herds   —   Part   2:   On-farm   control.   The   Veterinary   Journal.   2019;246:54-8.   doi:
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tvjl.2019.01.009.

19. Davis WC. On deaf ears, Mycobacterium avium paratuberculosis in pathogenesis Crohn's and other
diseases. World journal of gastroenterology. 2015;21(48):13411-7. Epub 12/28. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v21.i48.13411.
PubMed PMID: 26730151.

20. Lutterberg K, Kleinwort KJH, Hobmaier BF, Hauck SM, Nüske S, Scholz AM, et al. A Functionally
Different Immune Phenotype in Cattle Is Associated With Higher Mastitis Incidence. Frontiers in immunology.
2018;9:2884-. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2018.02884. PubMed PMID: 30574152.

21. Guo MS, Gross CA. Stress-induced remodeling of the bacterial proteome. Current biology : CB.
2014;24(10):R424-R34. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2014.03.023. PubMed PMID: 24845675.

22. Armengaud J, Christie-Oleza JA, Clair G, Malard V, Duport C. Exoproteomics: exploring the world
around biological systems. Expert Review of Proteomics. 2012;9(5):561-75. doi: 10.1586/epr.12.52.

23. Rubiano-Labrador C, Bland C, Miotello G, Armengaud J, Baena S. Salt Stress Induced Changes in the
Exoproteome of the Halotolerant Bacterium Tistlia consotensis Deciphered by Proteogenomics. PloS one.
2015;10(8):e0135065-e. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0135065. PubMed PMID: 26287734.

24. Grosche A, Hauser A, Lepper MF, Mayo R, von Toerne C, Merl-Pham J, et al. The Proteome of Native
Adult Müller Glial Cells From Murine Retina. Molecular & cellular proteomics : MCP. 2016;15(2):462-80. Epub
08/31. doi: 10.1074/mcp.M115.052183. PubMed PMID: 26324419.

25. Hauck SM, Hofmaier F, Dietter J, Swadzba ME, Blindert M, Amann B, et al. Label-free LC-MSMS analysis
of vitreous from autoimmune uveitis reveals a significant decrease in secreted Wnt signalling inhibitors DKK3
and SFRP2. J Proteomics. 2012;75(14):4545-54. doi: 10.1016/j.jprot.2012.04.052. PubMed PMID: 22634081.

26. Hauck SM, Lepper MF, Hertl M, Sekundo W, Deeg CA. Proteome Dynamics in Biobanked Horse
Peripheral Blood Derived Lymphocytes (PBL) with Induced Autoimmune Uveitis. PROTEOMICS.
2017;17(19):1700013. doi: doi:10.1002/pmic.201700013.

27. Ge S, Jung D. ShinyGO: a graphical enrichment tool for animals and plants. bioRxiv. 2018:315150. doi:
10.1101/315150.

28. Merico D, Isserlin R, Stueker O, Emili A, Bader GD. Enrichment Map: A Network-Based Method for
Gene-Set   Enrichment   Visualization   and   Interpretation.   PLOS   ONE.   2010;5(11):e13984.   doi:
10.1371/journal.pone.0013984.

29. Cossu D, Yokoyama K, Sakanishi T, Momotani E, Hattori N. Adjuvant and antigenic properties of
Mycobacterium avium subsp. paratuberculosis on experimental autoimmune
encephalomyelitis. Journal of Neuroimmunology. 2019. doi: 10.1016/j.jneuroim.2019.01.013.
30. Pierce ES. Could Mycobacterium avium subspecies paratuberculosis cause Crohn's disease, ulcerative
colitis...and colorectal cancer? Infectious Agents and Cancer. 2018;13(1):1. doi: 10.1186/s13027-017-0172-3.
31. Sechi LA, Dow CT. Mycobacterium avium ss. paratuberculosis Zoonosis – The Hundred Year War –
Beyond Crohn's Disease. Frontiers in Immunology. 2015;6(96). doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2015.00096.
32. Boelaert F, Walravens K, Biront P, Vermeersch JP, Berkvens D, Godfroid J. Prevalence of paratuberculosis
(Johne's disease) in the Belgian cattle population. Veterinary Microbiology. 2000;77(3):269-81. doi:
https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1135(00)00312-6.
33. Nielsen SS, Toft N. A review of prevalences of paratuberculosis in farmed animals in Europe. Preventive
Veterinary Medicine. 2009;88(1):1-14. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prevetmed.2008.07.003.
34. Kennedy AE, Da Silva AT, Byrne N, Govender R, MacSharry J, O'Mahony J, et al. The Single Intradermal
Cervical Comparative Test Interferes with Johne's Disease ELISA Diagnostics. Frontiers in immunology.
2014;5:564-. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2014.00564. PubMed PMID: 25429289.
35. Maher P, Good M, More S. Trends in cow numbers and culling rate in the Irish cattle population, 2003 to
2006. Irish veterinary journal. 2008;61(7):455-63. doi: 10.1186/2046-0481-61-7-455. PubMed PMID: 21851717.
36. Corbett CS, Naqvi SA, Bauman CA, De Buck J, Orsel K, Uehlinger F, et al. Prevalence of
Mycobacterium avium ssp. paratuberculosis infections in Canadian dairy herds. Journal
of Dairy Science. 2018;101(12):11218-28. doi: 10.3168/jds.2018-14854.
37. Zhai W, Wu F, Zhang Y, Fu Y, Liu Z. The Immune Escape Mechanisms of Mycobacterium Tuberculosis.
International journal of molecular sciences. 2019;20(2):340. doi: 10.3390/ijms20020340. PubMed PMID: 30650615.
38. Dye C, Scheele S, Dolin P, Pathania V, Raviglione MC, for the WHOGS, et al. Global Burden of
Tuberculosis: Estimated Incidence, Prevalence, and Mortality by Country. JAMA. 1999;282(7):677-86. doi:
10.1001/jama.282.7.677.
39. Weiss DJ, Evanson OA, Moritz A, Deng MQ, Abrahamsen MS. Differential responses of bovine
macrophages to Mycobacterium avium subsp. paratuberculosis and Mycobacterium avium subsp. avium.
Infection and immunity. 2002;70(10):5556-61. doi: 10.1128/IAI.70.10.5556-5561.2002. PubMed PMID: 12228282.
40. Bannantine JP, Stabel JR, Laws E, D Cardieri MC, Souza CD. Mycobacterium avium Subspecies
paratuberculosis Recombinant Proteins Modulate Antimycobacterial Functions of Bovine Macrophages. PloS
one. 2015;10(6):e0128966-e. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0128966. PubMed PMID: 26076028.
41. Khader SA, Partida-Sanchez S, Bell G, Jelley-Gibbs DM, Swain S, Pearl JE, et al. Interleukin 12p40 is
required for dendritic cell migration and T cell priming after Mycobacterium tuberculosis infection. The Journal
of experimental medicine. 2006;203(7):1805-15. doi: 10.1084/jem.20052545. PubMed PMID: 16818672.
42. Cooper AM, Magram J, Ferrante J, Orme IM. Interleukin 12 (IL-12) is crucial to the development of
protective immunity in mice intravenously infected with mycobacterium tuberculosis. The Journal of
experimental medicine. 1997;186(1):39-45. PubMed PMID: 9206995.
43. Alcaïs A, Fieschi C, Abel L, Casanova J-L. Tuberculosis in children and adults: two distinct genetic
diseases. The Journal of experimental medicine. 2005;202(12):1617-21. doi: 10.1084/jem.20052302. PubMed
PMID: 16365144.
44. Rodríguez-Gil A, Ritter O, Saul VV, Wilhelm J, Yang C-Y, Grosschedl R, et al. The CCR4-NOT complex
contributes to repression of Major Histocompatibility Complex class II transcription. Scientific reports.
2017;7(1):3547-. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-03708-7. PubMed PMID: 28615693.

45.   Yang C-Y, Ramamoorthy S, Boller S, Rosenbaum M, Rodriguez Gil A, Mittler G, et al. Interaction of
CCR4–NOT with EBF1 regulates gene-specific transcription and mRNA stability in B lymphopoiesis. Genes &
Development. 2016;30(20):2310-24. doi: 10.1101/gad.285452.116.
46.   Sharma A, Rustad T, Mahajan G, Kumar A, Rao KVS, Banerjee S, et al. Towards understanding the
biological function of the unusual chaperonin Cpn60.1 (GroEL1) of Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Tuberculosis.
2016;97:137-46. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tube.2015.11.003.
47.   Fay A, Glickman MS. An essential nonredundant role for mycobacterial DnaK in native protein folding.
PLoS genetics. 2014;10(7):e1004516-e. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1004516. PubMed PMID: 25058675.
467

Figure legends

Figure 1: Overlap (Venn diagram) of differentially ($\geq$ 5 fold) expressed proteins between

secretomes/exoproteomes of control cow (blue) and ID cow (red). From a total of 811

identified proteins, 90 were higher abundant in control and 38 in ID.

Figure 2: Hierarchical clustering tree and network of related GO terms of differentially

expressed proteins in secretomes/exoproteomes of control PBL (A) and ID PBL (B)

illustrates marked differences. GO terms are grouped together based on how many genes

they share. The size of the solid circle corresponds to the enrichment false discovery rate.

Figure 3: Visualization of overlapping relationships among enriched gene-sets revealed A)

two major networks as shown by network view for enriched GO molecular component

terms in control PBL after MAP infection and B) in ID PBL after MAP infection. Related

GO terms are connected by a line whose thickness reflects percent of overlapping genes.

Size of the nodes corresponds to number of genes.