Evolutionary pathways to antibiotic resistance are dependent upon environmental

structure and bacterial lifestyle

Alfonso Santos-Lopez*[1], Christopher W. Marshall*[1], Michelle R. Scribner[1], Daniel

Snyder[1,2] and Vaughn S. Cooper[1,2,3]

[1]*Department of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics, and Center for Evolutionary*

Biology and Medicine, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA.

[2]*Microbial Genome Sequencing Center, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh,*

Pennsylvania, USA.

[3]*Center for Evolutionary Biology and Medicine, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh,*

Pennsylvania, USA.

*A.S-L. and C.W.M. contributed equally to this manuscript

Abstract

Bacterial populations vary in their stress tolerance and population structure depending

upon whether growth occurs in well-mixed or structured environments. We hypothesized

that evolution in biofilms would generate greater genetic diversity than well-mixed

environments and lead to different pathways of antibiotic resistance. We used

experimental evolution and whole genome sequencing to test how the biofilm lifestyle

influenced the rate, genetic mechanisms, and pleiotropic effects of resistance to

ciprofloxacin in *Acinetobacter baumannii* populations. Both evolutionary dynamics and

the identities of mutations differed between lifestyle. Planktonic populations experienced

selective sweeps of mutations including the primary topoisomerase drug targets, whereas

biofilm-adapted populations acquired mutations in regulators of efflux pumps. An overall

trade-off between fitness and resistance level emerged, wherein biofilm-adapted clones

were less resistant than planktonic but more fit in the absence of drug. However, biofilm

populations developed collateral sensitivity to cephalosporins, demonstrating the clinical

relevance of lifestyle on the evolution of resistance.

Introduction

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is one of the main challenges facing modern medicine.

The emergence and rapid dissemination of resistant bacteria is decreasing the

effectiveness of antibiotics and it is estimated that 700,000 people die per year due to

AMR-related problems (O´Neill, 2016). AMR, like all phenotypes, is an evolved

property, either the ancient product of living amidst other microbial producers of

antimicrobials (Martínez, 2008), or the recent product of strong selection by human

activities for novel resistance-generating mutations (Ventola, 2015).

The dominant mode of growth for most microbes is on surfaces, and this biofilm lifestyle

is central to AMR (Ahmed, Porse, Sommer, Hoiby, & Ciofu, 2018; Hoiby, Bjarnsholt,

Givskov, Molin, & Ciofu, 2010; Olsen, 2015), especially in chronic infections (Wolcott,

2017; Wolcott et al., 2010). However, with few exceptions (Ahmed et al., 2018; France,

Cornea, Kehlet-Delgado, & Forney, 2019; Ridenhour et al., 2017), most of the research

on the evolution of AMR has been conducted in well-mixed populations [reviewed in

(Hughes & Andersson, 2017)] or on agar plates (Michael Baym et al., 2016), conditions

that cannot simulate the effects of biofilms on the evolution of AMR. Consequently, our

understanding of how this lifestyle influences the evolution of AMR, whether by different

population-genetic dynamics or molecular mechanisms, is limited. One example is that

the close proximity of cells in biofilms may facilitate the horizontal transfer and

persistence of resistance genes in bacterial populations (Ridenhour et al., 2017; Stalder &

Top, 2016). Less appreciated is the potential for the biofilm lifestyle to influence the

evolution of AMR by *de novo* chromosomal mutations. This emergence of AMR in

biofilms is important because: i) the environmental structure of biofilms can increase

clonal interference, rendering selection less effective and enhancing genetic diversity

(Cooper, Staples, Traverse, & Ellis, 2014; Ellis, Traverse, Mayo-Smith, Buskirk, &

Cooper, 2015; France et al., 2019; Habets, Rozen, Hoekstra, & de Visser, 2006; Traverse,

Mayo-Smith, Poltak, & Cooper, 2013), ii) distinct ecological conditions within the

biofilm can favor functionally distinct adaptations to different niches (Poltak & Cooper,

2011), iii) the biofilm itself can protect its residents from being exposed to external

stresses like antibiotics or host immunity (Eze, Chenia, & El Zowalaty, 2018; E. Geisinger

& Isberg, 2015), and iv) slower growth within biofilms can reduce the efficacy of

antibiotics that preferentially attack fast-growing cells (Kirby, Garner, & Levin, 2012;

Walters, Roe, Bugnicourt, Franklin, & Stewart, 2003). The first two hypotheses would

predict more complex evolutionary dynamics within biofilms than in well-mixed

environments (Steenackers, Parijs, Foster, & Vanderleyden, 2016), while the second two

predict different rates of evolution, targets of selection, and likely less potent mechanisms

of AMR (Andersson & Hughes, 2014). Together, these potential factors call into question

the conventional wisdom of a tradeoff between fitness and antimicrobial resistance, a

relationship that remains to be clearly defined.

Here, we study the evolutionary dynamics and effects of new resistance mutations in the

opportunistic nosocomial pathogen *Acinetobacter baumannii*, which is often intrinsically

resistant to antibiotics or has been reported to rapidly evolve resistance to them (Doi,

Murray, & Peleg, 2015). This pathogen is categorized as one of the highest threats to

patient safety (Asif, Alvi, & Rehman, 2018), partly due to its ability to live on inanimate

surfaces in biofilms (Eze et al., 2018). We experimentally propagated populations of *A.*

baumannii exposed either to subinhibitory or increasing concentrations of ciprofloxacin

(CIP) over 80 generations in biofilm or planktonic conditions to ascertain whether these

lifestyles select for different mechanisms of AMR. Rather than focusing on the genotypes

of single isolates, which can limit the scope of an analysis, we conducted whole-

population genomic sequencing over time to define the dynamics of adaptation and the

fitness of certain resistance alleles compared to others in the experiment. We then

identified clones with specific genotypes that we linked to fitness and resistance

phenotypes. This approach sheds new light on the ways that pathogens adapt to antibiotics

while growing in biofilms and has implications for treatment decisions.

Results and Discussion

1. Experimental evolution

Replicate cultures of the susceptible *A. baumannii* strain ATCC 17978 (Baumann,

Doudoroff, & Stanier, 1968; Piechaud & Second, 1951) were established under

planktonic or biofilm conditions in one of three treatments: i) no antibiotics, ii) sub-

inhibitory concentration of the antibiotic ciprofloxacin (CIP) and iii) evolutionary rescue

(Bell & Gonzalez, 2009) in which CIP concentrations were increased every 72 hours from

subinhibitory concentrations to four times the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC)

(Figure 1A). Before the start of the antibiotic evolution experiment, we propagated the

ATCC strain for ten days in planktonic conditions to reduce the influence of adaptation

to the laboratory conditions on subsequent comparisons. CIP was chosen because of its

clinical importance in treating *A. baumannii* (Ardebili, Lari, & Talebi, 2014; Doi et al.,

2015; Lopes & Amyes, 2013), its ability to penetrate the biofilm matrix (Tseng et al.,

2013) allowing similar efficacy in well mixed and structured populations (Kirby et al.,

2012), and because it is not known to stimulate biofilm formation in *A. baumannii* (Aka

& Haji, 2015). Planktonic populations were serially passaged by daily 1:100 dilution

while biofilm populations were propagated using a bead model simulating the biofilm life

cycle (Poltak & Cooper, 2011; Traverse et al., 2013; Turner, Marshall, & Cooper, 2018).

This model selects for bacteria that attach to a 7 mm polystyrene bead, form a biofilm,

and then disperse to colonize a new bead each day. (A video tutorial for this protocol is

available at http://evolvingstem.org). The transfer population size in biofilm and in

planktonic cultures was set to be nearly equivalent at the beginning of the experiment

(approximately 1×10^7 CFU/mL), because population size influences mutation availability

and the response to selection (Cooper, 2018; Salverda, Koomen, Koopmanschap, Zwart,

& de Visser, 2017). The mutational dynamics of three lineages from each treatment were

tracked by whole-population genomic sequencing (Figure 1A). We also sequenced 49

single clones isolated from 22 populations at the end of the 12-day experiment to

determine mutation linkage.

2. Evolution of CIP resistance

The rate and extent of evolved resistance depends on the strength of antibiotic selection

(Andersson & Hughes, 2014; Oz et al., 2014), the distribution of fitness effects of

mutations that increase resistance to the drug (Maclean, Hall, Perron, & Buckling, 2010),

and the population size of replicating bacteria (Cooper, 2018; Salverda et al., 2017). The

mode of bacterial growth can in principle alter each of these three variables and generate

different dynamics and magnitudes of AMR. In the populations exposed to the increasing

concentrations of CIP (the evolutionary rescue), the magnitude of evolved CIP resistance

differed between planktonic and biofilm populations. Planktonic populations became

approximately 160x more resistant on average than the ancestral clone while the biofilm

populations became only 6x more resistant (Figure 1B and Table S1). Planktonic

populations also evolved resistance much more rapidly, becoming 10x more resistant

after only 24 hours of growth in sub-inhibitory CIP. This level of resistance would have

been sufficient for surviving the remainder of the experiment, but MICs continued to

increase at each sampling (Figure 1B). The evolution of resistance far beyond the

selective requirement indicates that mutations conferring higher resistance also increased

fitness in planktonic populations exposed to CIP.

In contrast, biofilm-evolved populations evolved under the evolutionary rescue regime

acquired much lower levels of resistance (*ca.* 3– 7x the ancestral MIC) and primarily in

a single step between days 3 and 4 (Figure 1B). In one notable exception, the MIC of

biofilm population B2 increased ~50x after 3 days of selection in subinhibitory

concentrations of CIP (Figure 1B), but then the resistance of this population declined to

only 6x higher than the ancestral strain. This dynamic suggested that a mutant conferring

high-level resistance rose to intermediate frequency but was replaced by a more fit, yet

less resistant, mutant (this possibility is evaluated below).

Lower levels of resistance were observed in populations selected at constant subinhibitory

concentrations of CIP. Biofilm populations were 4x more resistant than the ancestor and

planktonic populations were 20x more resistant (Table S1). We can infer that biofilm

growth does not select for the high-level resistance seen in planktonic populations, instead

favoring mutants with low levels of resistance and better adapted to life in a biofilm. It is

important to note that these MIC measurements were made in planktonic conditions

according to the clinical standards (CLSI, 2007) and that these values increased when

measured in biofilm (Table S2). Our results correspond with studies of clinical isolates in

which those producing more biofilm (and likely having adapted in biofilm conditions)

were less resistant than non-biofilm-forming isolates (Wang et al., 2018). Nevertheless,

measuring growth and MIC is context-dependent (Borriello et al., 2004; Hill et al., 2005;

Kirby et al., 2012), and because the biofilm environment at least partially protects cells

from antibiotic exposure (Table S2), it can be argued that evolved biofilm populations

experienced lower CIP concentrations than planktonic populations. However, we selected

CIP because it can penetrate the biofilm barrier (Tseng et al., 2013), and furthermore,

cells growing in the bead model must disperse from one bead to colonize the next one in

a less protected state. Overall, these results demonstrate that exposing bacteria to low

levels of antibiotic risks selection for high levels of resistance that can make future

treatment more difficult (Wistrand-Yuen et al., 2018).

3. Evolutionary dynamics under CIP treatment

In large bacterial populations ($>10^5$ cells) growing under strong selection, adaptive

mutations conferring beneficial traits such as antibiotic resistance will dominate

population dynamics (Jeffrey E Barrick & Lenski, 2013; Cooper, 2018). Therefore, if a

single mutation renders the antibiotic ineffective and provides the highest fitness gain, it

would be expected to outcompete all other less fit mutations. Further, the stronger the

selection for resistance, the greater the probability of genetic parallelism among replicate

populations (Bolnick, Barrett, Oke, Rennison, & Stuart, 2018). Under the conditions of

these experiments, approximately 10^6 mutations occur in the first growth cycle and

roughly 10^7 mutations arise over the 12 days of selection, leading to a probability of 0.98

that every site in the 4Mbp *A. baumannii* genome experiences a mutation at least once

over the course of the 12-day experiment (see Table S3 for details of these calculations).

The dramatic differences in the evolved resistance levels of planktonic and biofilm

populations suggested distinct genetic causes of resistance resulting from different

selective forces in these treatments. We also predicted greater genetic diversity in the

biofilm treatments, owing to spatial structure and/or niche differentiation (Traverse et al.,

2013), than in the planktonic cultures, in which we expected selective sweeps (Jeffrey E.

Barrick et al., 2009). A signature of spatial structure alone might be different mutations

in the same gene with predicted similar function coexisting over time, which is a form of

clonal interference (de Visser & Rozen, 2006). A signature of niche differentiation might

be the coexistence of mutations in different genes with unique functions, which is a form

of adaptive radiation (Kassen, 2009).

We conducted whole-population genomic sequencing of three replicates per treatment to

identify all contending mutations above a detection threshold of 5% (see Methods). The

spectrum of mutations from CIP-treated populations are consistent with expectations

from strong positive selection on altered or disrupted coding sequences (see Table 1 for

day-12 results and Table S4 for dynamics across the experiment). High nonsynonymous

to synonymous mutation ratios were observed in both lifestyles (8.5 in planktonic and 9.7

in biofilm). 43% of the total mutations in planktonic and 34% in biofilm were insertions

or deletions, which is vastly enriched over typical mutation rates of ~10 SNPs/indel under

neutral conditions (Dillon, Sung, Sebra, Lynch, & Cooper, 2017; Lynch et al., 2016).

Roughly 30% of the mutations in CIP-treated populations of either lifestyle occurred in

intergenic regions (30% in planktonic-propagated populations and 32% in biofilm). Of

the intergenic mutations, 72% of the planktonic mutations and 18% of the biofilm

mutations occurred in promoters, 5' untranslated regions, or in putative terminators

(Kröger et al., 2018).

As expected from theory, in CIP-selected planktonic populations where selection is most

efficient, one or two mutations rapidly outcompeted others and fixed (Figure 2). Selection

in biofilms, however, produced fewer selective sweeps and maintained more contending

mutations, especially at lower antibiotic concentrations. In one population, multiple

mutations in the same locus (*adeL*) rose to high frequency and persisted, which is

consistent with the effect of population structure alone. In the other two populations,

mutations in different efflux pumps (*adeL, adeS, adeN*) contended during the experiment,

which could be explained by population structure or ecological diversification, if these

mutations produced different phenotypes. Overall, across all treatments and timepoints,

biofilm-adapted populations were significantly more diverse than the planktonic-adapted

populations (Shannon index; Kruskal Wallis, chi-squared = 7.723, p = 0.005), particularly

at subinhibitory concentrations of CIP (Figure S1A). Notably, increasing drug

concentrations eliminated the differences in diversity between treatments (Figure S1B),

but the greater diversity in biofilms treated with lower doses generated more diversity for

selection to act upon in a changing environment. This higher standing diversity is

important when considering dosing and when antibiotic exposure may be low (*e.g.* in the

external environment or when bound to tissues) (Baquero, Negri, Morosini, & Blazquez,

1998; Khan, Berglund, Khan, Lindgren, & Fick, 2013) because biofilms with more allelic

diversity have a greater chance of survival to drug and immune attack (Fux, Costerton,

Stewart, & Stoodley, 2005).

In contrast with the data observed in the populations evolving under CIP pressure, drug-

free control populations contained no mutations that achieved high frequency during the

experiment (Figures 2C and 2D). These results suggest that the ancestral starting clone

was already well-adapted to our experimental conditions, likely because we had

previously propagated the *A. baumannii* ATCC 17978 clone under identical drug-free

conditions for 10 days. This preadaptation phase led to the fixation of mutations in three

genes (Table S5).

4. Lifestyle determines the selected mechanisms of resistance

A. baumannii clinical samples acquire resistance to CIP by two principal mechanisms: modification of the direct antibiotic targets — gyrase A or B and topoisomerase IV — or by the overexpression of efflux pumps reducing the intracellular concentrations of the antibiotic (Doi et al., 2015). To directly associate genotypes with resistance phenotypes, we sequenced 49 clones isolated at the end of the experiment, the majority of which were selected to delineate genotypes in the evolutionary rescue populations (Figures 2F and S2).

Both the genetic targets and mutational dynamics of selection in planktonic and biofilm environments differed. Mutations disrupting three negative regulators of efflux pumps evolved in parallel across populations exposed to CIP, but mutations in two of these (*adeL* and *adeS*) were nearly exclusive to biofilm clones (Figure 2F). The most common and highest frequency mutations observed in the biofilm populations were in the repressor gene *adeL* (Figures 2F, S2, and Table S6), which regulates AdeFGH, one of three resistance-nodulation-division (RND) efflux pump systems in *A. baumannii* (Coyne, Rosenfeld, Lambert, Courvalin, & Perichon, 2010; Fernando, Zhanel, & Kumar, 2013; Pournaras, Koumaki, Gennimata, Kouskouni, & Tsakris, 2016). In the planktonic lines, the predominant mutations were found in *adeN,* which is a negative regulator of AdeIJK and were mainly insertions of IS701 that disrupted the gene (X.-Z. Li, C. A. Elkins, & H. I. Zgurskaya, 2016).

In biofilm lines, different contending *adeL* mutations were detected in each replicate after 24 hours then eventually fixed as CIP concentrations increased (green lines in Figure 2B), sometimes along with a secondary *adeL* mutation. This pattern suggests that altering

efflux via *adeL* generates adaptations to the combination of CIP and biofilm which is

supported by the increase in biofilm formation by the *adeL* mutants (Figure S3). Further,

mutants with higher resistance than necessary appear to be maladaptive in the biofilm

treatment. For example, *adeN* (found more often in planktonic culture) and *adeS*

mutations found simultaneously on day 3 in population B2 (Figure 2) led to a spike in

resistance at that timepoint (Figure 1), but these alleles were subsequently outcompeted

by *adeL* mutants that were evidently more fit despite lower planktonic resistance.

In contrast to the biofilm populations, all planktonic populations with increasing

concentrations of CIP eventually acquired a single high frequency mutation in *gyrA*

(S81L), the canonical ciprofloxacin-resistant mutation in DNA gyrase. These *gyrA*

mutations evolved in genetic backgrounds containing either an *adeN* mutant or a *pgpB*

mutant. *pgpB* is a gene that encodes a putative membrane associated lipid phosphatase

and is co-regulated by *adeN* (Hua, Chen, Li, & Yu, 2014). Other mutations associated

with high levels of resistance affected *parC,* encoding topoisomerase IV, and regulatory

regions of two putative transporters, ACX60_RS15145 and ACX60_RS1613, the latter

being co-transcribed with the multidrug efflux pump *abeM* (Su, Chen, Mizushima,

Kuroda, & Tsuchiya, 2005). Few other mutations exceeded the 10% of the total

population filter in the planktonic lines. The rapid fixation of only *adeN* and *adeN-*

regulated alleles in the planktonic CIP-exposed populations indicate that *adeN* conferred

higher fitness than other CIP-resistant mutations at low drug concentrations.

Subsequently, at increased concentrations of CIP, on-target mutations in *gyrA* were

favored in each line.

Together, our results demonstrate that bacterial lifestyle influences the evolutionary

dynamics and targets of selection of AMR. Loss-of-function mutations in regulators of

the *adeFGH* and *adeABC* RND efflux pumps that increased CIP resistance ~4-fold in

biofilm populations treated with CIP. Adaptation by planktonic populations exposed to

CIP proceeded first by altering the *adeN*-controlled *adeIJK* efflux pump and then by

directly altering the targets of the fluoroquinolone, *gyrA* and *parC*, leading to much higher

levels of resistance.

5. Evolutionary consequences of acquiring resistance

The large population sizes ($10^7 - 10^9$ cells) and number of generations (~80) in all evolved

lines mean that similar mutations very likely arose in each replicate regardless of

treatment, meaning that the success of some mutations over others reflects their greater

fitness in that condition (Table S3) (Cooper, 2018). Yet *de novo* acquired antibiotic

resistance is often associated with a fitness cost in the absence of antibiotics [reviewed in

(Vogwill & MacLean, 2015)]. The extent of this cost and the ability to compensate for it

by secondary mutations (compensatory evolution) is a key attribute determining the

spread and maintenance of the resistance mechanism (Maclean et al., 2010; Moore,

Rozen, & Lenski, 2000; Vogwill & MacLean, 2015; Zhao & Drlica, 2002). A negative

correlation between CIP resistance and fitness of resistant genotypes in the absence of

antibiotics has been previously described in *Escherichia coli*, suggesting a trade-off

between these traits (Basra et al., 2018; Huseby et al., 2017; Marcusson, Frimodt-Moller,

& Hughes, 2009).

To determine the relationship between resistance and fitness in the absence of antibiotics

in our experiment, we chose 10 clones (5 each from biofilm and planktonic populations,

Figures 2F and S2) with different genotypes and putative resistance mechanisms and

measured their resistance and fitness phenotypes in both planktonic and biofilm

conditions (Figure 3). As expected from the populations (Figure 1B), the biofilm clones

much were less resistant in planktonic conditions than those evolved planktonically [MIC

= 0.58 mg/L (SEM = 0.13) vs. MIC = 8.53 mg/L (SEM = 1.96), two-tailed t-test: p < 0.05,

t = 4.048, df = 80]. However, biofilm-evolved clones were more fit relative to the

ancestral strain than the planktonic-evolved clones in the absence of antibiotic (two-tailed

t-test: p = 0.008, t = 2.984 df = 18) (Figure 3). Importantly, these fitness measurements

were made in both planktonic and biofilm conditions, demonstrating that even in the

conditions they evolved in, the planktonic selected clones were less fit as a result of

antibiotic resistance trade-offs. However, one planktonic-evolved clone with mutations

in both *gyrA* and *parC* exhibited no significant fitness cost and high levels of resistance.

This suggests that, as in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, the *parC* mutation may compensate

for the cost imposed by *gyrA* mutation (Kugelberg, Lofmark, Wretlind, & Andersson,

2005), an example of sign epistasis (Sackman & Rokyta, 2018). Overall, mutants selected

in biofilm-evolved populations were less resistant than mutants selected in planktonic

populations (Figure 1B) but produced more biofilm (Figure S3) and paid little or no

fitness cost in the absence of antibiotics (Figures 3). This cost-free resistance implies that

these subpopulations could persist in the absence of drug, limiting the treatment options

and demanding new approaches to treat high fitness, resistant pathogens (Baym, Stone,

& Kishony, 2016).

6. Evolutionary interactions with other antibiotics

When a bacterium acquires resistance to one antibiotic, the mechanism of resistance can

also confer resistance to other antibiotics (cross-resistance) or increase the susceptibility

to other antibiotics (collateral sensitivity) (Pal, Papp, & Lazar, 2015). We tested the MIC

of the evolved populations to 23 different antibiotics in planktonic conditions. We

observed changes in susceptibilities to 13 of the 23 antibiotics tested, and these changes

were growth mode dependent (Figure 4). For example, planktonic populations exhibited

cross resistance to cefpodoxime and ceftazidime, but biofilm populations evolved

collateral sensitivity to these cephalosporins. Cross-resistance was associated genetically

with *adeN, adeS, gyrA* or *pgpB* mutations, and collateral sensitivity was associated with

adeL mutations. Selection in these environments evidently favors the activation of

different efflux pumps or modified targets that have different pleiotropic consequences

for multidrug resistance (Podnecky et al., 2018).

The mechanisms leading to collateral sensitivity are still poorly understood but they

depend on the genetic background of the strain, the nature of the resistance mechanisms

(Barbosa et al., 2017; Yen & Papin, 2017), and the specific physiological context of the

cells (Leus et al., 2018). In *A. baumannii*, each RND efflux pump is suggested to be

specific for certain classes of antibiotics (Coyne, Courvalin, & Perichon, 2011; Leus et

al., 2018; X. Z. Li, C. A. Elkins, & H. I. Zgurskaya, 2016). Similar to our results (Figure

4), Yoon and collaborators demonstrated that efflux pumps AdeABC and AdeIJK,

regulated by *adeS* and *adeN* respectively, increased the resistance level to some β-lactams

when overexpressed (Yoon et al., 2015). However, production of AdeFGH, the efflux

pump regulated by *adeL,* decreased resistance to some β-lactams and other families of

antibiotics or detergents by an unknown mechanism (Leus et al., 2018; Yoon et al., 2015).

Increased sensitivity to β-lactams with efflux overexpression has also been reported in *P.*

aeruginosa (Azimi & Rastegar Lari, 2017), which demonstrates the urgency of

understanding the physiological basis of collateral sensitivity to control AMR evolution.

Exploiting collateral sensitivity has been proposed to counteract the evolution of resistant

populations both in bacteria (Imamovic & Sommer, 2013; Kim, Lieberman, & Kishony,

2014; Nichol et al., 2019) and in cancer (Dhawan et al., 2017). Remarkably, our results

show that biofilm growth, commonly thought to broaden resistance, may actually

generate collateral sensitivity during treatment with CIP and potentially other

fluoroquinolones.

7. Clinical relevance

Our results demonstrate that the mode of growth determines both the mechanism of

evolved resistance and the spectrum of sensitivity to other families of antibiotics. Further,

the mutations selected in our experimental conditions also play an important role in

clinical isolates, as fluoroquinolone resistance mediated by plasmids in *A. baumannii*

appears to be rare (Yang, Hu, Liu, Ye, & Li, 2016). The mutations S81L in *gyrA* and

S80L *in parC* acquired by the sensitive ATCC 17978 strain used in this study have been

reported worldwide as the primary mechanism conferring high levels of resistance to

fluorquinolones in clinical isolates (Adams-Haduch et al., 2008; Dahdouh et al., 2017;

W. A. Warner et al., 2016).

In addition to the on-target mechanisms of resistance through gyrase or topoisomerase

mutations, *A. baumannii* isolates acquire comparatively moderate levels of

fluoroquinolone resistance by modifications in various RND efflux pumps. These RND

efflux pumps have overlapping yet differing substrate profiles and may act synergistically

in increasing the resistance level (Table S7) (Coyne et al., 2010; Damier-Piolle, Magnet,

Bremont, Lambert, & Courvalin, 2008; Fernando et al., 2013; Rosenfeld, Bouchier,

Courvalin, & Perichon, 2012). In our experiment, all biofilm and planktonic populations

and nearly all isolated clones acquired mutations in at least one of the three regulators of

the RND efflux pumps (*adeL, adeS, adeN*) or in a gene regulated by one of these

regulators (*pgpB*). Mutations in *adeL* upregulate the expression of the RND efflux pump

AdeFGH (Figure 2, Table S7) that is associated with a multidrug resistant phenotype in

clinical isolates (Coyne et al., 2010; Fernando et al., 2013; Leus et al., 2018; Pournaras et

al., 2016). AdeL-AdeFGH genes are often highly expressed in infection isolates, which

could reflect adaptation to the biofilm lifestyle (Coyne et al., 2010; Fernando et al., 2013).

Further, overexpression of *adeG* is predicted to enhance transport of acylated homoserine

lactones, including quorum-sensing autoinducers, which can increase both drug

resistance and biofilm formation (Alav, Sutton, & Rahman, 2018; He et al., 2015).

However, in clinical isolates, overexpression of the AdeFGH pump is less common than

the AdeIJK efflux pump that is regulated by *adeN* (Rosenfeld et al., 2012; Yoon et al.,

2015). AdeIJK contributes to resistance to biocides, hospital disinfectants, and to both

intrinsic and acquired antibiotic resistance in *A. baumannii* (Damier-Piolle et al., 2008;

Rosenfeld et al., 2012) and may decrease biofilm formation, which could explain its

prevalence in planktonic populations here (Yoon et al., 2015). Perhaps more concerning,

this study demonstrates that the overexpression of RND efflux pumps as a resistance

mechanism may produce little fitness cost in *A. baumannii*, as has previously been

demonstrated in both *P. aeruginosa* and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* (Olivares Pacheco,

Alvarez-Ortega, Alcalde Rico, & Martínez, 2017; D. M. Warner, Folster, Shafer, & Jerse,

2007).

Conclusions

We used experimental evolution of the opportunistic pathogen *A. baumannii* in both well-

mixed and biofilm conditions to examine how lifestyle influences the dynamics, diversity,

identity of genetic mechanisms, and direct and pleiotropic effects of resistance to a

common antibiotic. Experimental evolution is a powerful method of screening naturally

arising genetic variation for mutants that are the best fit in a defined condition (Cooper,

2018; Elena & Lenski, 2003; Van den Bergh, Swings, Fauvart, & Michiels, 2018). When

population sizes are large and reproductive rates are rapid, as they were here, the

probability that all possible single-step mutations that can increase both resistance and

fitness occurred in each population is very likely. The few mutations selected here as well

as their repeated order with increasing CIP concentrations demonstrates that these are the

most fit mutations in this *A. baumannii* strain and set of environmental conditions. The

prevalence of some of these mutations in clinical samples suggests that they too may have

been exposed to selection in similar conditions. Likewise, the absence of other mutations

reported in shotgun mutant screens of resistance in *A. baumannii* (Edward Geisinger et

al., 2018) means that these mutants produced less resistance, lower fitness, or both.

Evolution experiments hold promise for ultimately forecasting mutations selected by

different antimicrobials and anticipating treatment outcomes, including the

diversification of the pathogen population and the likelihood of collateral sensitivity or

cross-resistance (Brockhurst et al., 2019). Furthermore, knowledge of the prevailing

lifestyle of the pathogen population may be critically important for treatment design.

Most infections are likely caused by surface-attached populations (Wolcott, 2017;

Wolcott et al., 2010), and some treatments include cycling antibiotics that promote

biofilm as a primary response. For example, tobramycin is used for treating *P. aeruginosa*

in cystic fibrosis patients (Hamed & Debonnett, 2017) and promotes biofilm formation

(Hoffman et al., 2005; Linares, Gustafsson, Baquero, & Martinez, 2006), wherein the

evolution of antibiotic resistance without a detectable fitness cost may arise during

treatment. But the more diverse biofilm-adapted lineages in our experiments revealed a

striking vulnerability to cephalosporins, which could provide a new strategy for

treatment. Broader still, conventional wisdom has long held that the relationship between

resistance and fitness is antagonistic, and that the efficacy of many antimicrobials is aided

by a severe fitness cost of resistance (M. Baym et al., 2016; Hughes & Andersson, 2017;

Vogwill & MacLean, 2015). This study demonstrates that the form of the relationship

between fitness and resistance can be altered by the mode of growth, whereby biofilms

can align resistance and fitness traits. Continued efforts to determine how the fitness

landscape of various resistance pathways depends on the environment and its structure,

including growth mode, could produce a valuable forecasting tool to stem the rising AMR

tide.

Methods

Experimental evolution

Before the start of the antibiotic evolution experiment, we propagated well mixed tubes

founded by one clone of the susceptible *A. baumannii* strain ATCC 17978-mff (Baumann

et al., 1968; Piechaud & Second, 1951) in a modified M9 medium (referred to as M9$^+$)

containing 0.1 mM CaCl$_2$, 1 mM MgSO$_4$, 42.2 mM Na$_2$HPO$_4$, 22 mM KH2PO$_4$, 21.7 mM

NaCl, 18.7 mM NH$_4$Cl and 11.1 mM glucose and supplemented with 20 mL/L MEM

essential amino acids (Gibco 11130051), 10 mL/L MEM nonessential amino acids (Gibco

11140050), and 1 mL each of trace mineral solutions A, B, and C (Corning 25021-3Cl).

This preadaptation phase was conducted in the absence of antibiotics for 10 days (*ca.* 66

generations) with a dilution factor of 100 per day.

After the ten days of preadaptation to M9$^+$ medium, we selected a single clone and

propagated for 24 hours in M9$^+$ in the absence of antibiotic. We then subcultured this

population into twenty replicate populations. Ten of the populations (5 planktonic and 5

biofilm) were propagated every 24 hours in constant subinhibitory concentrations of CIP,

0.0625 mg/L, which corresponds to 0.5x the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC).

After 72 hours under subinhibitory concentrations of CIP, the populations were exposed

to two different antibiotic regimes for 9 more days, either constant subinhibitory

concentrations of CIP or increasing concentrations of CIP (called the evolutionary

rescue). For the latter, we doubled the CIP concentrations every 72 hours until 4x MIC.

As a control, the 20 remaining populations were propagated in the absence of CIP (Figure

1).

We propagated the populations into fresh media every 24 hours as described by Turner *et*

al. 2018 (Turner et al., 2018). For planktonic populations, we transferred a 1:100 (50 μl

into 5 mL of M9$^+$) dilution, which corresponded to 6.64 generations per day. For biofilm

populations, we transferred a polystyrene bead (Polysciences, Inc., Warrington, PA) to

fresh media containing three sterile beads. We rinsed each bead in PBS before the

transfer, therefore reducing the transfer of planktonic cells. Each day we alternated

between black and white marked beads, ensuring that the bacteria were growing on the

bead for 24 hours, which corresponds to approximately 6 to 7.5 generations/day (Traverse

et al., 2013; Turner et al., 2018). For the experiment with increasing concentrations of

antibiotics, we froze a sample of each bacterial population on days 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10 and

12. In the experiment with constant exposure to subinhibitory concentrations of

antibiotics, we froze the populations on days 1, 3, 4, 9, and 12. We froze the control

populations at days 1, 4, 9, and 12. For planktonic populations, we froze 1 mL of culture

with 9% of DMSO. For freezing the biofilm populations, we sonicated the beads in 1 mL

of PBS with a probe sonicator and subsequently froze with 9% DMSO.

479 **Phenotypic characterization: antimicrobial susceptibility and biofilm formation**

480 We determined the MIC of CIP by broth microdilution in M9$^+$ according to the Clinical

481 and Laboratory Standards Institute guidelines (CLSI, 2007), in which each bacterial

482 sample was tested to 2-fold-increasing concentration of CIP from 0.0625 to 64 mg/L. To

483 obtain a general picture of the resistance profiles we determined the MIC to 23 antibiotics

484 (amikacin, ampicillin, ampicillin/sulbactam, aztreonam, cefazolin, cefepime,

485 cephalothin, meropenem, ertapenem, cefuroxime, gentamicin, CIP,

486 piperacillin/tazobactam, cefoxitin, trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole, cefpodoxime,

487 ceftazidime, tobramycin, tigecycline, ticarcillin/clavulanic acid, ceftriaxone and

488 tetracycline) by broth microdilution in commercial microtiter plates following the

489 instructions provided by the manufacturers (Sensititre GN3F, Trek Diagnostics Inc.,

490 Westlake, OH). We tested the MIC at days 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10 and 12 for the populations

491 propagated under increasing concentrations of antibiotic, and at days 1 and 12 for the

492 subinhibitory and non-antibiotic treatments. For the CIP-MICs, we used *Pseudomonas*

493 *aeruginosa* PAO1 in Mueller Hinton broth as a control. No differences in the MICs were

494 found between Mueller Hinton and M9$^+$ or if measuring the MIC in 96 well-plate or in 5

495 mL tubes, which are the experimental conditions. Each MIC was performed in triplicate.

496 The CIP was provided by Alfa Aesar (Alfa Aesar, Wardhill, MA). We also determined

497 the MIC of CIP in biofilm conditions adapting the method described by Diez-Aguilar to

498 the bead model (Díez-Aguilar et al., 2018). We resuspended each clone into fresh M9$^+$

499 containing sterile beads (as in the experimental evolution conditions, each tube used

500 contained three sterile beads and 5 mL of M9$^+$). After 24 hours growing at 37º, each bead

501 was propagated into new fresh M9$^+$ containing different CIP concentrations (from 4 to

502 128 mg/L in 2-fold-increasing manner). After 24 growing at 37º, we rinsed each bead in

503 PBS and sonicate them individually as explained before. 10 µl of the sonicated liquid

were transferred to 100 μL of M9+. The MIC was calculated after measuring the optical

density at 650 nm before and after 24 hours incubation. The inhibition of growth was

defined as the lowest antibiotic that resulted in an OD difference at or below 0.05 after 6

hours of incubation.

We estimated the biofilm formation of the selected clones using a modification of the

previously described protocol (O'Toole & Kolter, 1998). We resurrected each clone in 5

mL of M9+ containing 0.5 mg/L of CIP and grew them for 24 hours. For each strain, we

transferred 50 μl into 15 mL of M9+. We tested 200 μl of the previous dilution of each

clone to 4 different subinhibitory CIP concentrations (0 mg/L, 0.01 mg/L, 0.03 mg/L and

0.0625 mg/L). After 24 hours of growing at 37ºC, we measured population sizes by

optical density (OD) at 590nm ($OD_{Populations}$). Then, we added 250 μl of 0.1% crystal violet

and incubated at room temperature for 15 minutes. After washing the wells and drying

for 24 hours, we added 250 μl 95% EtOH solution (95% EtOH, 4.95% dH2O, 0.05%

Triton X-100) to each well and incubated for 15 minutes and biofilm formation was

measured by the OD at 590nm ($OD_{Biofilm}$). Biofilm formation was corrected by population

sizes ($OD_{Biofilm}/OD_{Population}$). Results are the average of three experiments (Figure S3).

Fitness measurement

We selected 5 biofilm and 5 planktonic clones at the end of the evolutionary rescue

experiment (Figure 2) and determined the fitness by directly competing the ancestral

strain and the evolved clone variants both in planktonic and in biofilm conditions (Figure

3) (Turner et al., 2018). We revived each clone from a freezer stock in M9+ for 24 hours.

We maintained the same evolutionary conditions to revive the clones, adding 3 beads

and/or CIP to the broth when required. After 24 hours, we added equal volume of the

clones and the ancestors in M9$^+$ in the absence of antibiotics. For planktonic populations,

we mixed 25 µl of each competitor in 5 mL of M9$^+$. For biofilm competitions, we

sonicated one bead per competitor in 1 mL of PBS and mixed in 5 mL of M9$^+$ containing

3 beads. The mix was cultured at 37ºC for 24 hours. We plated at time zero and after 24

hours. For each competition, we plated aliquots onto nonselective tryptic soy agar and

tryptic soy agar containing CIP. Selection rate (r) was calculated as the difference of the

Malthusian parameters for the two competitors: $r = (\ln(\text{CIP resistant}_{d=1}/\text{CIP}$

$\text{resistant}_{d=0}))/(\ln(\text{CIP susceptible}_{d=1}/\text{CIP susceptible}_{d=0}))/\text{day}$ (Lenski, 1991). Susceptible

populations were calculated as the difference between the total populations (number of

colonies/mL growing on the nonselective plates) and the resistant fraction (number of

colonies/mL growing on the plates containing CIP). As a control for calculating the

correct ratio of susceptible vs. resistant populations, we replica plated 50 to 100 colonies

from the nonselective plates onto plates containing CIP as previously described (Santos-

Lopez et al., 2017). Results are the average of three to five independent experiments.

Genome sequencing

We sequenced whole populations of three evolving replicates per treatment. We

sequenced the populations at days 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, and 12 of the populations under

increasing concentrations of CIP (populations P1, P2, P3 and B1, B2, B3 for planktonic

and biofilm populations) and at days 1, 4, 9, and 12 of the populations under subinhibitory

concentration and no antibiotic treatments. In addition, we selected 49 clones for

sequencing at the end of the experiment (Figure 2F). 12 of the clones were recovered

from the populations propagated in the absence of the antibiotic, 12 clones from the

subinhibitory concentrations of CIP treatment and 25 were isolated from the increasing

concentrations of antibiotic. We revived each population or clone from a freezer stock in

the growth conditions under which they were isolated (*i.e.* the same CIP concentration

which they were exposed to during the experiment) and grew for 24 hours. DNA was

extracted using the Qiagen DNAeasy Blood and Tissue kit (Qiagen, Hiden, Germany).

The sequencing library was prepared as described by Turner and colleagues (Turner et

al., 2018) according to the protocol of Baym *et al.* (Baym et al., 2015), using the Illumina

Nextera kit (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA) and sequenced using an Illumina NextSeq500

at the Microbial Genome Sequencing center (http://micropopbio.org/sequencing.html).

Data processing

All sequences were first quality filtered and trimmed with the Trimmomatic software

v0.36 (Bolger, Lohse, & Usadel, 2014) using the criteria: LEADING:20 TRAILING:20

SLIDINGWINDOW:4:20 MINLEN:70. Variants were called with the breseq software

v0.31.0 (Deatherage & Barrick, 2014) using the default parameters and the -p flag when

required for identifying polymorphisms in populations. This option calls a mutation if it

is observed in 2 reads from each strand and reaches 5% in the population. The average

depth of sequencing for populations was $219 \pm 51x$ and average genome coverage was

$98.7 \pm 0.128\%$. The reference genome used for variant calling was downloaded from the

NCBI RefSeq database using the 17-Mar-2017 version of *A. baumannii* ATCC 17978-

mff complete genome (GCF_001077675.1). In addition to the chromosome

NZ_CP012004 and plasmid NZ_CP012005 sequences, we added two additional plasmid

sequences to the reference genome that are known to be present in our working strain of

A. baumannii ATCC 17978-mff: NC009083, NC_009084. Mutations were then manually

curated and filtered to remove false positives. Mutations were filtered if the gene was

found to contain a mutation when the ancestor sequence was compared to the reference

genome or if a mutation never reached a cumulative frequency of 10% across all replicate

populations. Diversity measurements were made in R using the Shannon index

considering the presence, absence, and frequency of alleles. Significant differences

between biofilm and planktonic populations were determined by the Kruskal Wallis test.

Filtering, mutational dynamics, and plotting were done in R v3.4.4 (www.r-project.org)

with the packages ggplot2 v2.2.1 (Wickham, 2016), dplyr v0.7.4 (Wickham, François,

Henry, & Müller, 2018), vegan v2.5-1 (Oksanen et al., 2018), and reshape2 (Wickham,

2007).

Data Availability

R   code   for   filtering   and   data   processing   can   be   found   here:

https://github.com/sirmicrobe/U01_allele_freq_code. All sequences were deposited into

NCBI under the Biosample accession numbers SAMN09783599-SAMN09783682.

Authors contribution

VSC, AS-L and CWM conceived and designed the study; AS-L and MRS performed the

experiments; DS sequenced the samples; CWM did the bioinformatic analysis; AS-L,

CWM and VSC wrote the paper.

Acknowledgments

We thank Caroline B. Turner for helpful discussions and proofreading of the paper,

Allison L. Welp for laboratory assistance and Christopher Deitrick for depositing the

sequences in the NCBI database. This research was supported by NIH U01AI124302-

01.

Figure 1. Experimental design (A) and dynamics of evolved resistance levels during the evolutionary rescue experiment (B).

A) A single clone of *A. baumannii* ATCC 17978 was propagated both in biofilm and planktonic conditions for 12 days under no antibiotics (top), subinhibitory concentrations of CIP (0.0625 mg/L = 0.5x MIC) (middle) or in increasing concentrations of CIP (bottom). For the latter, termed evolutionary rescue, the concentration of CIP was doubled from 0.5 x MIC to 4.0 x MIC every 72 hours. As a control, five populations of *A.*

baumannii ATCC 17978 were propagated in biofilm and five in planktonic conditions in

the absence of antibiotics. We estimated the MICs to CIP and froze the populations for

sequencing before and after doubling the antibiotic concentrations (red stars). B) MICs

(mg/L) of CIP were measured for replicate populations during the evolutionary rescue.

The red and blue points represent the MICs of three populations propagated in planktonic

or biofilm, respectively, with the 95% CI represented by the error bars. The red and blue

lines represent the grand mean of the three planktonic and biofilm populations,

respectively, with the upper 95% CI indicated by the grey shaded area. Horizontal dashed

line indicates the highest CIP exposure during the experiment (4x MIC) and vertical lines

indicate time when CIP concentration was doubled.

Figure 2. Lifestyle-dependent mutations and dynamics under increasing CIP

selection.

Mutation frequencies in planktonic (A and C) and biofilm populations (B and D) over

time as determined by population-wide whole genome sequencing. A) and B) show the

mutation frequencies obtained under increasing concentrations of CIP. From left to right:

P1, P2 and P3 in A) and B1, B2 and B3 in B). C) and D) show the mutation frequencies

obtained under the subinhibitory (top) and no antibiotic (bottom) treatments. Mutations

in the same gene share a color. Blue: *adeN* or genes regulated by *adeN*; green: *adeL*; gold:

MFS putative transporter ACX60_RS15145; purple: *adeS*; pink: *sohB*; red: *gyrA;* and

orange: *parC*. Grey and brown colors indicate genes potentially unrelated to adaptation

to CIP. F) Mutated genes in the sequenced clones. Each column represents one clone.

Grey shading of populations indicates whole population sequencing and N1 and N2

indicate populations where only clones were sequenced. Grey shaded clones were used

for MIC and fitness estimations. Blue and red indicate SNPs in biofilm and planktonic

growing populations respectively. For all SNPs identified in the 49 clones, see Figure S2

and Table S6. G) The genetic organization of the RND efflux pumps is shown on the left.

MFP and OMP denote membrane fusion protein and outer membrane protein

respectively. All mutations found in the RND regulators are shown on the right.

Figure 3. Evolved trade-off between resistance level and fitness.

Relative fitness (average ± SEM) of 10 evolved clones from the evolutionary rescue experiment compared to the ancestor and their MICs (mg/L) to CIP. Fitness was measured in both planktonic (squares) and biofilm (circles) conditions. MICs were estimated in planktonic conditions. Black dot represents the ancestral clone. *Denotes the clone with *gyrA* and *parC* mutations.

Figure 4. Collateral sensitivities and cross resistances to various antibiotics.

Heat map showing the relative changes in antimicrobial susceptibility to 13 of the 23 antibiotics tested in the evolved populations (those not shown had no changes). Results shown are the median values of the fold change in the evolved populations compared to the ancestral strain. For subinhibitory and no-antibiotic treatments, only day 12 is shown.

	Increasing concentrations		Subinhibitory concentrations	
	Planktonic	Biofilm	Planktonic	Biofilm
Total mutations	30	40	6	16
Nonsynonymous/Synonymous[b]	8.5	9.67	2/0	6
Intergenic	8	11	0	4
Nonsynonymous	9	13	2	6
Percent intergenic mutations[b]	0.32	0.29	0	0.25

653 **Table 1. Mutation spectrum of different selective environments.** Attributes of the

654 contending mutations during the 12 days of the evolution experiment. [a]Results from the

655 last day of the experimental evolution. [b]Accounting for all unique mutations detected after

656 filtering (see methods). For mutation dynamics over time, see Table S3.

Figure S1. Genetic diversity of samples at subinhibitory concentrations of ciprofloxacin (A) or during the evolutionary rescue experiment with increasing concentrations of ciprofloxacin (B). Biofilm populations in blue and planktonic populations in red.

662 **Figure S2. Mutated genes in the sequenced clones differ between treatments.** Each

663 column represents one clone. Grey shaded clones were used for MIC and fitness

664 estimations. Red color indicates SNPs or small indels, blue color indicates new junction

665 evidences and grey indicates missing coverage indicative of a deletion.

Figure S3. Biofilm production under subinhibitory concentrations of CIP. Blue and red bars show biofilm and planktonic clones, respectively. The ancestral strain is represented by the black bar. Individual clone results are shown as points. The averages are shown by bars. 95% CI are indicated by the error bars. Biofilm clones produced more biofilm than planktonic clones: two tailed t-test of biofilm formation with 0.00 mg/L of CIP: $p = 0.006$, $t = 3.008$, df =32; with 0.01 mg/L of CIP: $p = 0.0006$, $t = 3.780$, df =32; with 0.03 mg/L of CIP: $p = 0.0077$ $t = 2.841$, df = 32 and with 0.0625 mg/L of CIP: $p = 0.018$ $t = 2.471$ df = 32.

Treatment and Populations		MIC (mg/L)	Fold MIC increase
No Antibiotic			
Planktonic			
	P1	0.25 ± 0.00	2
	P2	0.25 ± 0	2
	P3	0.21 ± 0.03	1.68
Biofilm			
	B1	0.25 ± 0	2
	B2	0.25 ± 0	2
	B3	0.25 ± 0	2
Subinhibitory			
Planktonic			
	P1	2.33 ± 0.72	18.64
	P2	4 ± 0	32
	P3	1 ± 0	8
Biofilm			
	B1	0.41 ± 0.07	3.28
	B2	0.5 ± 0	4
	B3	0.5 ± 0	4
Evolutionary rescue			
Planktonic			
	P1	26.67 ± 5.34	213.36
	P2	32 ± 0	256
	P3	6.67 ± 1.34	53.36
Biofilm			
	B1	1 ± 0	8
	B2	0.5 ± 0	4
	B3	0.83 ± 0.17	6.64

678 **Table S1. Antibiotic susceptibility of the populations propagated in the absence, in**

679 **subinhibitory concentrations or increasing concentrations of CIP at the end of the**

680 **experiment (day 12).** MICs are expressed in mg/L and standard errors of the mean are

681 indicated. Fold increase in MIC compared to the ancestral strain are also indicated.

Treatment and Populations	MIC measured in biofilms (mg/L)	Fold MIC increase
Evolutionary rescue		
Planktonic		
P1	> 128 ± 0	>1024
P2	> 128 ± 0	>1024
P3	> 128 ± 0	>1024
Biofilm		
B1	32 ± 0	256
B2	32 ± 0	256
B3	32 ± 0	256

683 **Table S2. Antibiotic susceptibility of one clone of each population propagated in**

684 **increasing concentrations of CIP at the end of the experiment (day 12).** MICs were

685 measured in biofilms and are expressed in mg/L and standard errors of the mean are

686 indicated. Fold increase in MIC compared to the ancestral strain are also indicated.

687   **Table S3. Mutation probabilities (attached XLS file)**

	Days	Increasing concentrations				Subinhibitory concentrations			
		Nonsynonymous/Synonymous	Intergenic mutations	Structural variants	Total mutations	Nonsynonymous/Synonymous	Intergenic mutations	Structural variants	Total mutations
Biofilm	1	4/0	0	4	8	4/0	0	4	8
	3	5/0	0	8	13	5/0	0	8	13
	4	2/0	0	2	4	6/1	2	8	17
	6	3/1	0	4	8	-	-	-	-
	7	6/0	3	4	10	-	-	-	-
	9	5/0	2	6	11	4/0	1	6	11
	10	7/0	2	5	12	-	-	-	-
	12	7/0	1	6	13	5/0	1	7	12
Planktonic	1	1/0	0	3	4	1/0	0	3	4
	3	2/0	0	2	4	2/0	0	2	4
	4	1/0	0	2	3	1/0	0	3	4
	6	5/0	2	7	12	-	-	-	-
	7	6/0	3	4	10	-	-	-	-
	9	3/1	3	6	10	2/0	1	4	7
	10	5/2	5	6	13	-	-	-	-
	12	7/1	1	5	13	2/0	2	7	11

689 **Table S4. Attributes of the contending mutations each day in the experimental**

690 **evolution.**

Locus Tag	Mutation	Annotation	Description
ACX60_RS01385	A→G	E292G (GAG→GGG)	energy-dependent translational throttle protein EttA
ACX60_RS04575	C→T	R226H (CGT→CAT)	fructose 1,6-bisphosphatase
ACX60_RS18160	(ATGGTG)9→8	coding (454-459/987 nt)	cation transporter

692 **Table S5. Mutated genes in the ancestral strain compared to the *A. baumannii***

693 **ATCC 17978-mff complete genome (GCF_001077675.1) after 10 days of evolution**

694 **in M9$^+$.**

ACX60_RS01385	A→G	E292G (GAG→GGG)	energy-dependent translational throttle protein EttA
ACX60_RS04575	C→T	R226H (CGT→CAT)	fructose 1,6-bisphosphatase

696   **Table S6. Complete list of mutated genes from the sequenced clones (attached XLS**

697   **file)**

Transporter Family	Regulator	Efflux pump	Substrates[a]
RND	AdeSR	AdeABC	AG, **BL**, CHL, ERY, **FQ**, NAL, TET, TGC
RND	AdeL	AdeFGH	CHL, ERY, **FQ**, NAL, SUL, TET, TGC, TMP
RND	AdeN	AdeIJK	AZI, **BL**, CHL, ERY, **FQ**, FUA, MIN, NAL, RIF, SA, SUL, TET, TMP
MATE	-	AbeM	**FQ**, GEN

Table S7. Efflux pumps and their regulators in *A. baumannii* 17978 targeted under

CIP pressure. Table adapted from (Li, Elkins et al. 2016). AG aminoglycosides, AZI

azithromycin, BL β-lactams, CHL chloramphenicol, CIP CIP, CL clindamycin, ERY

erythromycin, FLO florfenicol, FUA fusidic acid, GEN gentamicin, MIN minocycline,

NAL nalidixic acid, NOR norfloxacin, RIF rifampicin, SUL sulfonamides, TET

tetracycline, TGC tigecycline, TMP trimethoprim. [a] References in (X.-Z. Li et al., 2016).

References

Adams-Haduch, J. M., Paterson, D. L., Sidjabat, H. E., Pasculle, A. W., Potoski, B. A., Muto, C. A., . . . Doi, Y. (2008). Genetic basis of multidrug resistance in Acinetobacter baumannii clinical isolates at a tertiary medical center in Pennsylvania. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother, 52*(11), 3837-3843. doi:10.1128/aac.00570-08

Ahmed, M. N., Porse, A., Sommer, M. O. A., Hoiby, N., & Ciofu, O. (2018). Evolution of antibiotic resistance in biofilm and planktonic P. aeruginosa populations exposed to sub-inhibitory levels of ciprofloxacin. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. doi:10.1128/aac.00320-18

Aka, S. T., & Haji, S. H. (2015). Sub-MIC of antibiotics induced biofilm formation of Pseudomonas aeruginosa in the presence of chlorhexidine. *Braz J Microbiol, 46*(1), 149-154. doi:10.1590/S1517-838246120140218

Alav, I., Sutton, J. M., & Rahman, K. M. (2018). Role of bacterial efflux pumps in biofilm formation. *J Antimicrob Chemother, 73*(8), 2003-2020. doi:10.1093/jac/dky042

Andersson, D. I., & Hughes, D. (2014). Microbiological effects of sublethal levels of antibiotics. *Nat Rev Microbiol, 12*(7), 465-478. doi:10.1038/nrmicro3270

Ardebili, A., Lari, A. R., & Talebi, M. (2014). Correlation of ciprofloxacin resistance with the AdeABC efflux system in Acinetobacter baumannii clinical isolates. *Ann Lab Med, 34*(6), 433-438. doi:10.3343/alm.2014.34.6.433

Asif, M., Alvi, I. A., & Rehman, S. U. (2018). Insight into Acinetobacter baumannii: pathogenesis, global resistance, mechanisms of resistance, treatment options, and alternative modalities. *Infect Drug Resist, 11*, 1249-1260. doi:10.2147/idr.S166750

Azimi, L., & Rastegar Lari, A. (2017). Collateral sensitivity between aminoglycosides and beta-lactam antibiotics depends on active proton pumps. *Microb Pathog, 112*, 122-125. doi:10.1016/j.micpath.2017.09.049

Baquero, F., Negri, M. C., Morosini, M. I., & Blazquez, J. (1998). Antibiotic-selective environments. *Clin Infect Dis, 27 Suppl 1*, S5-11.

Barbosa, C., Trebosc, V., Kemmer, C., Rosenstiel, P., Beardmore, R., Schulenburg, H., & Jansen, G. (2017). Alternative Evolutionary Paths to Bacterial Antibiotic Resistance Cause Distinct Collateral Effects. *Mol Biol Evol, 34*(9), 2229-2244. doi:10.1093/molbev/msx158

Barrick, J. E., & Lenski, R. E. (2013). Genome dynamics during experimental evolution. *Nature reviews. Genetics, 14*, 827-839. doi:10.1038/nrg3564

Barrick, J. E., Yu, D. S., Yoon, S. H., Jeong, H., Oh, T. K., Schneider, D., . . . Kim, J. F. (2009). Genome evolution and adaptation in a long-term experiment with Escherichia coli. *Nature, 461*, 1243-1247. doi:10.1038/nature08480

Basra, P., Alsaadi, A., Bernal-Astrain, G., O'Sullivan, M. L., Hazlett, B., Clarke, L. M., . . . Wong, A. (2018). Fitness tradeoffs of antibiotic resistance in extra-intestinal pathogenic Escherichia coli. *Genome Biol Evol*. doi:10.1093/gbe/evy030

Baumann, P., Doudoroff, M., & Stanier, R. Y. (1968). A study of the Moraxella group. II. Oxidative-negative species (genus Acinetobacter). *J Bacteriol, 95*(5), 1520-1541.

Baym, M., Kryazhimskiy, S., Lieberman, T. D., Chung, H., Desai, M. M., & Kishony, R. (2015). Inexpensive multiplexed library preparation for megabase-sized genomes. *PLoS One, 10*(5), e0128036. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0128036

Baym, M., Lieberman, T. D., Kelsic, E. D., Chait, R., Gross, R., Yelin, I., & Kishony, R. (2016). Spatiotemporal microbial evolution on antibiotic landscapes. *Science, 353*(6304), 1147-1151. doi:10.1126/science.aag0822

Baym, M., Stone, L. K., & Kishony, R. (2016). Multidrug evolutionary strategies to reverse antibiotic resistance. *Science, 351*(6268), aad3292. doi:10.1126/science.aad3292

Bell, G., & Gonzalez, A. (2009). Evolutionary rescue can prevent extinction following environmental change. *Ecol Lett, 12*(9), 942-948. doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2009.01350.x

Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M., & Usadel, B. (2014). Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics, 30*(15), 2114-2120. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170

Bolnick, D. I., Barrett, R. D. H., Oke, K. B., Rennison, D. J., & Stuart, Y. E. (2018).
(Non)Parallel Evolution. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, 49*(1),
303-330. doi:10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-110617-062240
Borriello, G., Werner, E., Roe, F., Kim, A. M., Ehrlich, G. D., & Stewart, P. S. (2004). Oxygen
limitation contributes to antibiotic tolerance of Pseudomonas aeruginosa in biofilms.
Antimicrob Agents Chemother, 48(7), 2659-2664. doi:10.1128/aac.48.7.2659-
2664.2004
Brockhurst, M. A., Harrison, F., Veening, J. W., Harrison, E., Blackwell, G., Iqbal, Z., &
Maclean, C. (2019). Assessing evolutionary risks of resistance for new antimicrobial
therapies. *Nat Ecol Evol, 3*(4), 515-517. doi:10.1038/s41559-019-0854-x
CLSI. (2017). CLSI. Clinical and Laboratory Standars Institute. Performance Standards for
Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing-Seventeenth edition: Approved Standar M100-
S17. Wayne, PA, USA: CLSI.
Cooper, V. S. (2018). Experimental Evolution as a High-Throughput Screen for Genetic
Adaptations. *mSphere, 3*(3). doi:10.1128/mSphere.00121-18
Cooper, V. S., Staples, R. K., Traverse, C. C., & Ellis, C. N. (2014). Parallel evolution of small
colony variants in Burkholderia cenocepacia biofilms. *Genomics, 104*(6 Pt A), 447-452.
doi:10.1016/j.ygeno.2014.09.007
Coyne, S., Courvalin, P., & Perichon, B. (2011). Efflux-mediated antibiotic resistance in
Acinetobacter spp. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother, 55*(3), 947-953.
doi:10.1128/aac.01388-10
Coyne, S., Rosenfeld, N., Lambert, T., Courvalin, P., & Perichon, B. (2010). Overexpression of
resistance-nodulation-cell division pump AdeFGH confers multidrug resistance in
Acinetobacter baumannii. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother, 54*(10), 4389-4393.
doi:10.1128/AAC.00155-10
Dahdouh, E., Gomez-Gil, R., Pacho, S., Mingorance, J., Daoud, Z., & Suarez, M. (2017).
Clonality, virulence determinants, and profiles of resistance of clinical Acinetobacter
baumannii isolates obtained from a Spanish hospital. *PLoS One, 12*(4), e0176824.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0176824
Damier-Piolle, L., Magnet, S., Bremont, S., Lambert, T., & Courvalin, P. (2008). AdeIJK, a
resistance-nodulation-cell division pump effluxing multiple antibiotics in Acinetobacter
baumannii. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother, 52*(2), 557-562. doi:10.1128/AAC.00732-07
de Visser, J. A., & Rozen, D. E. (2006). Clonal interference and the periodic selection of new
beneficial mutations in Escherichia coli. *Genetics, 172*(4), 2093-2100.
doi:10.1534/genetics.105.052373
Deatherage, D. E., & Barrick, J. E. (2014). Identification of mutations in laboratory-evolved
microbes from next-generation sequencing data using breseq. *Methods Mol Biol, 1151*,
165-188. doi:10.1007/978-1-4939-0554-6_12
Dhawan, A., Nichol, D., Kinose, F., Abazeed, M. E., Marusyk, A., Haura, E. B., & Scott, J. G.
(2017). Collateral sensitivity networks reveal evolutionary instability and novel
treatment strategies in ALK mutated non-small cell lung cancer. *Sci Rep, 7*(1), 1232.
doi:10.1038/s41598-017-00791-8
Díez-Aguilar, M., Morosini, M. I., Köksal, E., Oliver, A., Ekkelenkamp, M., & Cantón, R.
(2018). Use of Calgary and Microfluidic BioFlux Systems To Test the Activity of
Fosfomycin and Tobramycin Alone and in Combination against Cystic Fibrosis
Pseudomonas aeruginosa Biofilms. *Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy, 62*(1),
e01650-01617. doi:10.1128/aac.01650-17
Dillon, M. M., Sung, W., Sebra, R., Lynch, M., & Cooper, V. S. (2017). Genome-Wide Biases
in the Rate and Molecular Spectrum of Spontaneous Mutations in Vibrio cholerae and
Vibrio fischeri. *Mol Biol Evol, 34*(1), 93-109. doi:10.1093/molbev/msw224
Doi, Y., Murray, G. L., & Peleg, A. Y. (2015). Acinetobacter baumannii: evolution of
antimicrobial resistance-treatment options. *Semin Respir Crit Care Med, 36*(1), 85-98.
doi:10.1055/s-0034-1398388
Elena, S. F., & Lenski, R. E. (2003). Evolution experiments with microorganisms: the dynamics
and genetic bases of adaptation. *Nature Reviews Genetics, 4*, 457. doi:10.1038/nrg1088

Ellis, C. N., Traverse, C. C., Mayo-Smith, L., Buskirk, S. W., & Cooper, V. S. (2015).
Character displacement and the evolution of niche complementarity in a model biofilm
community. *Evolution, 69*(2), 283-293. doi:10.1111/evo.12581
Eze, E. C., Chenia, H. Y., & El Zowalaty, M. E. (2018). Acinetobacter baumannii biofilms:
effects of physicochemical factors, virulence, antibiotic resistance determinants, gene
regulation, and future antimicrobial treatments. *Infect Drug Resist, 11*, 2277-2299.
doi:10.2147/idr.S169894
Fernando, D., Zhanel, G., & Kumar, A. (2013). Antibiotic resistance and expression of
resistance-nodulation-division pump- and outer membrane porin-encoding genes in
Acinetobacter species isolated from Canadian hospitals. *Can J Infect Dis Med*
Microbiol, 24(1), 17-21.
France, M. T., Cornea, A., Kehlet-Delgado, H., & Forney, L. J. (2019). Spatial structure
facilitates the accumulation and persistence of antibiotic-resistant mutants in biofilms.
Evolutionary Applications, 12(3), 498-507. doi:doi:10.1111/eva.12728
Fux, C. A., Costerton, J. W., Stewart, P. S., & Stoodley, P. (2005). Survival strategies of
infectious biofilms. *Trends Microbiol, 13*(1), 34-40. doi:10.1016/j.tim.2004.11.010
Geisinger, E., & Isberg, R. R. (2015). Antibiotic modulation of capsular exopolysaccharide and
virulence in Acinetobacter baumannii. *PLoS Pathog, 11*(2), e1004691.
doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1004691
Geisinger, E., Vargas-Cuebas, G., Mortman, N. J., Syal, S., Wainwright, E., Lazinski, D. W., . .
. Isberg, R. R. (2018). The landscape of intrinsic and evolved fluoroquinolone resistance
in Acinetobacter baumannii includes suppression of drug-induced prophage replication.
bioRxiv, 442681. doi:10.1101/442681
Habets, M. G., Rozen, D. E., Hoekstra, R. F., & de Visser, J. A. (2006). The effect of population
structure on the adaptive radiation of microbial populations evolving in spatially
structured environments. *Ecol Lett, 9*(9), 1041-1048. doi:10.1111/j.1461-
0248.2006.00955.x
Hamed, K., & Debonnett, L. (2017). Tobramycin inhalation powder for the treatment of
pulmonary Pseudomonas aeruginosa infection in patients with cystic fibrosis: a review
based on clinical evidence. *Ther Adv Respir Dis, 11*(5), 193-209.
doi:10.1177/1753465817691239
He, X., Lu, F., Yuan, F., Jiang, D., Zhao, P., Zhu, J., . . . Lu, G. (2015). Biofilm Formation
Caused by Clinical Acinetobacter baumannii Isolates Is Associated with
Overexpression of the AdeFGH Efflux Pump. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother, 59*(8),
4817-4825. doi:10.1128/AAC.00877-15
Hill, D., Rose, B., Pajkos, A., Robinson, M., Bye, P., Bell, S., . . . Harbour, C. (2005).
Antibiotic Susceptibilities of Pseudomonas aeruginosa Isolates Derived
from Patients with Cystic Fibrosis under Aerobic, Anaerobic, and Biofilm Conditions.
Journal of Clinical Microbiology, 43(10), 5085-5090. doi:10.1128/jcm.43.10.5085-
5090.2005
Hoffman, L. R., D'Argenio, D. A., MacCoss, M. J., Zhang, Z., Jones, R. A., & Miller, S. I.
(2005). Aminoglycoside antibiotics induce bacterial biofilm formation. *Nature,*
436(7054), 1171-1175. doi:10.1038/nature03912
Hoiby, N., Bjarnsholt, T., Givskov, M., Molin, S., & Ciofu, O. (2010). Antibiotic resistance of
bacterial biofilms. *Int J Antimicrob Agents, 35*(4), 322-332.
doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2009.12.011
Hua, X., Chen, Q., Li, X., & Yu, Y. (2014). Global transcriptional response of Acinetobacter
baumannii to a subinhibitory concentration of tigecycline. *Int J Antimicrob Agents,*
44(4), 337-344. doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2014.06.015
Hughes, D., & Andersson, D. I. (2017). Evolutionary Trajectories to Antibiotic Resistance.
Annu Rev Microbiol. doi:10.1146/annurev-micro-090816-093813
Huseby, D. L., Pietsch, F., Brandis, G., Garoff, L., Tegehall, A., & Hughes, D. (2017). Mutation
Supply and Relative Fitness Shape the Genotypes of Ciprofloxacin-Resistant
Escherichia coli. *Mol Biol Evol, 34*(5), 1029-1039. doi:10.1093/molbev/msx052

Imamovic, L., & Sommer, M. O. (2013). Use of collateral sensitivity networks to design drug
cycling protocols that avoid resistance development. *Sci Transl Med, 5*(204), 204ra132.
doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.3006609
Kassen, R. (2009). Toward a general theory of adaptive radiation: insights from microbial
experimental evolution. *Ann N Y Acad Sci, 1168*, 3-22. doi:10.1111/j.1749-
6632.2009.04574.x
Khan, G. A., Berglund, B., Khan, K. M., Lindgren, P. E., & Fick, J. (2013). Occurrence and
abundance of antibiotics and resistance genes in rivers, canal and near drug formulation
facilities--a study in Pakistan. *PLoS One, 8*(6), e62712.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0062712
Kim, S., Lieberman, T. D., & Kishony, R. (2014). Alternating antibiotic treatments constrain
evolutionary paths to multidrug resistance. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 111*(40), 14494-
14499. doi:10.1073/pnas.1409800111
Kirby, A. E., Garner, K., & Levin, B. R. (2012). The relative contributions of physical structure
and cell density to the antibiotic susceptibility of bacteria in biofilms. *Antimicrob
Agents Chemother, 56*(6), 2967-2975. doi:10.1128/AAC.06480-11
Kröger, C., MacKenzie, K. D., Alshabib, E. Y., Kirzinger, M. W. B., Suchan, D. M., Chao, T.
C., . . . Cameron, A. D. S. (2018). The primary transcriptome, small RNAs and
regulation of antimicrobial resistance in Acinetobacter baumannii ATCC 17978.
Nucleic Acids Res, 46(18), 9684-9698. doi:10.1093/nar/gky603
Kugelberg, E., Lofmark, S., Wretlind, B., & Andersson, D. I. (2005). Reduction of the fitness
burden of quinolone resistance in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *J Antimicrob Chemother,
55*(1), 22-30. doi:10.1093/jac/dkh505
Lenski, R. E. (1991). Quantifying fitness and gene stability in microorganisms. *Biotechnology,
15*, 173-192.
Leus, I. V., Weeks, J. W., Bonifay, V., Smith, L., Richardson, S., & Zgurskaya, H. I. (2018).
Substrate specificities and efflux efficiencies of RND efflux pumps of Acinetobacter
baumannii. *J Bacteriol*. doi:10.1128/JB.00049-18
Li, X.-Z., Elkins, C. A., & Zgurskaya, H. I. (2016). *Efflux-Mediated Antimicrobial Resistance in
Bacteria: Mechanisms, Regulation and Clinical Implications*: Springer.
Linares, J. F., Gustafsson, I., Baquero, F., & Martinez, J. L. (2006). Antibiotics as
intermicrobial signaling agents instead of weapons. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 103*(51),
19484-19489. doi:10.1073/pnas.0608949103
Lopes, B. S., & Amyes, S. G. (2013). Insertion sequence disruption of adeR and ciprofloxacin
resistance caused by efflux pumps and gyrA and parC mutations in Acinetobacter
baumannii. *Int J Antimicrob Agents, 41*(2), 117-121.
doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2012.08.012
Lynch, M., Ackerman, M. S., Gout, J.-F., Long, H., Sung, W., Thomas, W. K., & Foster, P. L.
(2016). Genetic drift, selection and the evolution of the mutation rate. *Nature Reviews
Genetics, 17*, 704. doi:10.1038/nrg.2016.104
Maclean, R. C., Hall, A. R., Perron, G. G., & Buckling, A. (2010). The evolution of antibiotic
resistance: insight into the roles of molecular mechanisms of resistance and treatment
context. *Discov Med, 10*(51), 112-118.
Marcusson, L. L., Frimodt-Moller, N., & Hughes, D. (2009). Interplay in the selection of
fluoroquinolone resistance and bacterial fitness. *PLoS Pathog, 5*(8), e1000541.
doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000541
Martínez, J. L. (2008). Antibiotics and Antibiotic Resistance Genes in Natural Environments.
Science, 321(5887), 365-367. doi:10.1126/science.1159483
Moore, F. B., Rozen, D. E., & Lenski, R. E. (2000). Pervasive compensatory adaptation in
Escherichia coli. *Proc Biol Sci, 267*(1442), 515-522. doi:10.1098/rspb.2000.1030
Nichol, D., Rutter, J., Bryant, C., Hujer, A. M., Lek, S., Adams, M. D., . . . Scott, J. G. (2019).
Antibiotic collateral sensitivity is contingent on the repeatability of evolution. *Nature
Communications, 10*(1), 334. doi:10.1038/s41467-018-08098-6

O'Toole, G. A., & Kolter, R. (1998). Initiation of biofilm formation in Pseudomonas fluorescens WCS365 proceeds via multiple, convergent signalling pathways: a genetic analysis. *Mol Microbiol, 28*(3), 449-461.

O´Neill, J. (2016). TACKLING DRUG-RESISTANT INFECTIONS GLOBALLY: FINAL REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS. *Review on Antimicrobal Resistance*.

Oksanen, J., Blanchet, F. G., Friendly, M., Kindt, R., Pierre Legendre, McGlinn, D., . . . Wagner, H. (2018). Vegan: Community Ecology Package. R package version 2.5-3. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan.

Olivares Pacheco, J., Alvarez-Ortega, C., Alcalde Rico, M., & Martínez, J. L. (2017). Metabolic Compensation of Fitness Costs Is a General Outcome for Antibiotic-Resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa Mutants Overexpressing Efflux Pumps. *MBio, 8*(4), e00500-00517. doi:10.1128/mBio.00500-17

Olsen, I. (2015). Biofilm-specific antibiotic tolerance and resistance. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis, 34*(5), 877-886. doi:10.1007/s10096-015-2323-z

Oz, T., Guvenek, A., Yildiz, S., Karaboga, E., Tamer, Y. T., Mumcuyan, N., . . . Toprak, E. (2014). Strength of selection pressure is an important parameter contributing to the complexity of antibiotic resistance evolution. *Mol Biol Evol, 31*(9), 2387-2401. doi:10.1093/molbev/msu191

Pal, C., Papp, B., & Lazar, V. (2015). Collateral sensitivity of antibiotic-resistant microbes. *Trends Microbiol, 23*(7), 401-407. doi:10.1016/j.tim.2015.02.009

Piechaud, M., & Second, L. (1951). [Studies of 26 strains of Moraxella Iwoffi]. *Ann Inst Pasteur (Paris), 80*(1), 97-99.

Podnecky, N. L., Fredheim, E. G. A., Kloos, J., Sørum, V., Primicerio, R., Roberts, A. P., . . . Johnsen, P. J. (2018). Conserved collateral antibiotic susceptibility networks in diverse clinical strains of Escherichia coli. *Nature Communications, 9*(1), 3673. doi:10.1038/s41467-018-06143-y

Poltak, S. R., & Cooper, V. S. (2011). Ecological succession in long-term experimentally evolved biofilms produces synergistic communities. *ISME J, 5*(3), 369-378. doi:10.1038/ismej.2010.136

Pournaras, S., Koumaki, V., Gennimata, V., Kouskouni, E., & Tsakris, A. (2016). In Vitro Activity of Tigecycline Against Acinetobacter baumannii: Global Epidemiology and Resistance Mechanisms. *Adv Exp Med Biol, 897*, 1-14. doi:10.1007/5584_2015_5001

Ridenhour, B. J., Metzger, G. A., France, M., Gliniewicz, K., Millstein, J., Forney, L. J., & Top, E. M. (2017). Persistence of antibiotic resistance plasmids in bacterial biofilms. *Evol Appl, 10*(6), 640-647. doi:10.1111/eva.12480

Rosenfeld, N., Bouchier, C., Courvalin, P., & Perichon, B. (2012). Expression of the resistance-nodulation-cell division pump AdeIJK in Acinetobacter baumannii is regulated by AdeN, a TetR-type regulator. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother, 56*(5), 2504-2510. doi:10.1128/AAC.06422-11

Sackman, A. M., & Rokyta, D. R. (2018). Additive Phenotypes Underlie Epistasis of Fitness Effects. *Genetics, 208*(1), 339-348. doi:10.1534/genetics.117.300451

Salverda, M. L. M., Koomen, J., Koopmanschap, B., Zwart, M. P., & de Visser, J. (2017). Adaptive benefits from small mutation supplies in an antibiotic resistance enzyme. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 114*(48), 12773-12778. doi:10.1073/pnas.1712999114

Santos-Lopez, A., Bernabe-Balas, C., Ares-Arroyo, M., Ortega-Huedo, R., Hoefer, A., San Millan, A., & Gonzalez-Zorn, B. (2017). A Naturally Occurring Single Nucleotide Polymorphism in a Multicopy Plasmid Produces a Reversible Increase in Antibiotic Resistance. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother, 61*(2). doi:10.1128/AAC.01735-16

Stalder, T., & Top, E. (2016). Plasmid transfer in biofilms: a perspective on limitations and opportunities. *NPJ Biofilms Microbiomes, 2*. doi:10.1038/npjbiofilms.2016.22

Steenackers, H. P., Parijs, I., Foster, K. R., & Vanderleyden, J. (2016). Experimental evolution in biofilm populations. *FEMS Microbiol Rev, 40*(3), 373-397. doi:10.1093/femsre/fuw002

Su, X. Z., Chen, J., Mizushima, T., Kuroda, T., & Tsuchiya, T. (2005). AbeM, an H+-coupled Acinetobacter baumannii multidrug efflux pump belonging to the MATE family of

transporters. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother, 49*(10), 4362-4364.
doi:10.1128/AAC.49.10.4362-4364.2005

Traverse, C. C., Mayo-Smith, L. M., Poltak, S. R., & Cooper, V. S. (2013). Tangled bank of
experimentally evolved Burkholderia biofilms reflects selection during chronic
infections. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 110*(3), E250-259. doi:10.1073/pnas.1207025110

Tseng, B. S., Zhang, W., Harrison, J. J., Quach, T. P., Song, J. L., Penterman, J., . . . Parsek, M.
R. (2013). The extracellular matrix protects Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilms by
limiting the penetration of tobramycin. *Environ Microbiol, 15*(10), 2865-2878.
doi:10.1111/1462-2920.12155

Turner, C. B., Marshall, C. W., & Cooper, V. S. (2018). Parallel genetic adaptation across
environments differing in mode of growth or resource availability. *Evolution Letters,*
2(4), 355-367. doi:doi:10.1002/evl3.75

Van den Bergh, B., Swings, T., Fauvart, M., & Michiels, J. (2018). Experimental Design,
Population Dynamics, and Diversity in Microbial Experimental Evolution.
Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews, 82(3), e00008-00018.
doi:10.1128/mmbr.00008-18

Ventola, C. L. (2015). The antibiotic resistance crisis: part 1: causes and threats. *P T, 40*(4),
277-283.

Vogwill, T., & MacLean, R. C. (2015). The genetic basis of the fitness costs of antimicrobial
resistance: a meta-analysis approach. *Evol Appl, 8*(3), 284-295. doi:10.1111/eva.12202

Walters, M. C., 3rd, Roe, F., Bugnicourt, A., Franklin, M. J., & Stewart, P. S. (2003).
Contributions of antibiotic penetration, oxygen limitation, and low metabolic activity to
tolerance of Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilms to ciprofloxacin and tobramycin.
Antimicrob Agents Chemother, 47(1), 317-323.

Wang, Y.-C., Huang, T.-W., Yang, Y.-S., Kuo, S.-C., Chen, C.-T., Liu, C.-P., . . . Lee, Y.-T.
(2018). Biofilm formation is not associated with worse outcome in Acinetobacter
baumannii bacteraemic pneumonia. *Scientific Reports, 8*(1), 7289. doi:10.1038/s41598-
018-25661-9

Warner, D. M., Folster, J. P., Shafer, W. M., & Jerse, A. E. (2007). Regulation of the MtrC-
MtrD-MtrE efflux-pump system modulates the in vivo fitness of Neisseria gonorrhoeae.
J Infect Dis, 196(12), 1804-1812. doi:10.1086/522964

Warner, W. A., Kuang, S. N., Hernandez, R., Chong, M. C., Ewing, P. J., Fleischer, J., . . . Xu,
H. H. (2016). Molecular characterization and antimicrobial susceptibility of
Acinetobacter baumannii isolates obtained from two hospital outbreaks in Los Angeles
County, California, USA. *BMC Infect Dis, 16*, 194. doi:10.1186/s12879-016-1526-y

Wickham, H. (2007). Reshaping Data with the reshape Package. *Journal of Statistical Software,*
21(12), 1-20.

Wickham, H. (2016). *Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis*. New York: Springer-Verlag.

Wickham, H., François, R., Henry, L., & Müller, K. (2018). dplyr: A Grammar of Data
Manipulation. R package version 0.7.8. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=dplyr.

Wistrand-Yuen, E., Knopp, M., Hjort, K., Koskiniemi, S., Berg, O. G., & Andersson, D. I.
(2018). Evolution of high-level resistance during low-level antibiotic exposure. *Nat*
Commun, 9(1), 1599. doi:10.1038/s41467-018-04059-1

Wolcott, R. D. (2017). Biofilms cause chronic infections. *J Wound Care, 26*(8), 423-425.
doi:10.12968/jowc.2017.26.8.423

Wolcott, R. D., Rhoads, D. D., Bennett, M. E., Wolcott, B. M., Gogokhia, L., Costerton, J. W.,
& Dowd, S. E. (2010). Chronic wounds and the medical biofilm paradigm. *J Wound*
Care, 19(2), 45-46, 48-50, 52-43. doi:10.12968/jowc.2010.19.2.46966

Yang, H., Hu, L., Liu, Y., Ye, Y., & Li, J. (2016). Detection of the plasmid-mediated quinolone
resistance determinants in clinical isolates of Acinetobacter baumannii in China. *J*
Chemother, 28(5), 443-445. doi:10.1179/1973947815y.0000000017

Yen, P., & Papin, J. A. (2017). History of antibiotic adaptation influences microbial
evolutionary dynamics during subsequent treatment. *PLoS Biol, 15*(8), e2001586.
doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.2001586

Yoon, E. J., Chabane, Y. N., Goussard, S., Snesrud, E., Courvalin, P., De, E., & Grillot-
Courvalin, C. (2015). Contribution of resistance-nodulation-cell division efflux systems
to antibiotic resistance and biofilm formation in Acinetobacter baumannii. *MBio, 6*(2).
doi:10.1128/mBio.00309-15
Zhao, X., & Drlica, K. (2002). Restricting the selection of antibiotic-resistant mutant bacteria:
measurement and potential use of the mutant selection window. *J Infect Dis, 185*(4),
561-565. doi:10.1086/338571
1037