Active behaviour during early development shapes glucocorticoid reactivity

Luis A. Castillo-Ramírez[1], Soojin Ryu[1], Rodrigo J. De Marco[1,2*]

[1]Developmental Neurobiology of Resilience, German Resilience Center, University Medical

Center of the Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz, Duesbergweg 6, 55128 Mainz, Germany

[2]Max Planck Institute for Medical Research, Jahnstr. 29, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany

[*]**Author for correspondence**:

E-mail: R.J.D.M. <rjdm@protonmail.com>

Abstract

Glucocorticoids are the final effectors of the stress axis, with numerous targets in the central

nervous system and the periphery. They are essential for adaptation, yet currently it is unclear

how early life events program the glucocorticoid response to stress. Here we provide evidence

that involuntary swimming at early developmental stages can reconfigure the cortisol

response to homotypic and heterotypic stress in larval zebrafish (*Danio rerio*), also reducing

startle reactivity and increasing spontaneous activity as well as energy efficiency during

active behaviour. Collectively, these data identify a role of the genetically malleable zebrafish

for linking early life stress with glucocorticoid function in later life.

Introduction

The increased secretion of glucocorticoids like cortisol after the onset of stress (a.k.a.) glucocorticoid reactivity (GC_R) plays a pivotal role in the response to challenge. It is critical for adaptation and central to an organism's resilience[1]. GC_R is a tightly regulated phenomenon, a response of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis to exogenous or endogenous stressors. Altered functionality of the HPA axis and of GC_R have been associated with detrimental and beneficial consequences for health. They have been linked to stress-evoked disorders including mental disorders as well as increased resilience[2,3,4,5,6,7,8]. Glucocorticoid secretion has been investigated extensively under steady-state and stress conditions[9], and there is ample evidence that HPA axis functionality is susceptible to disturbance by early life stress. Early adversity can, for example, alter glucocorticoid regulation and coping capacities later in life[10,11]. However, it is still unclear how active responses to early life stress can reconfigure HPA axis function, pending a detailed functional evaluation of developmental programming of GC_R. Larval zebrafish are excellent to address this knowledge gap due to their external development, their hypothalamic-pituitary-interrenal (HPI) axis, homologous to the mammalian HPA axis[12], their translucent body, ideal for non-invasive brain imaging and optogenetics[13,14,15], their small size, highly suitable for high-throughput screens with full environmental control, and the availability of tools and methods for identifying genetic and epigenetic modulators, including proteomic technology. Therefore, as a first step, we set out to determine the effect of early life stress on GC_R and coping capacity in larval zebrafish. Taken together our results introduce a high-throughput forced swim test for developing zebrafish and demonstrate that mild early life stress can at least transiently reconfigure GC_R and elicit modulatory adjustments in spontaneous activity and startle reactivity.

Results

High-throughput induction of forced swimming and cortisol increase

Firstly, we exposed groups of larvae to water vortex flows of varying strength, expressed in

revolutions per minute (rpm) (for details, see the 'Methods' section). To compare the strength

of these flows, we video-recorded and examined the paths (x-y coordinates) of anesthetized

larvae (i.e., unable to swim) exposed to vortex flows of increasing rpm (Fig. 1a). The results

of these observations confirmed that, as rpm increased, anesthetized larvae followed the

vortex currents, thereby moving at higher speeds and larger distances from the source of the

vortex. These measurements were used to determine vortex flows of low, medium and high

strength. We then assessed the relationship between the strength of the flows and the

behaviour of freely swimming larvae. Larval zebrafish have been shown to display positive

rheotaxis[16,17], i.e., spontaneous swimming against an oncoming current, which allows them to

hold their position instead of being swept downstream by the current. When exposed to vortex

flows, freely swimming larvae held their position away from the vortex's source, thereby

avoiding the strongest currents (Fig. 1b, Kruskal-Wallis test, H=27.6, $p < 0.0001$, followed by

Dunn's multiple comparison tests). They also faced the oncoming current (Fig. 1c, top, Chi-

square test, $X^2(1, N=270)=55.4$, $p < 0.0001$) and adjusted their swim bouts and turns (Fig. 1c,

bottom, one-way ANOVA, F(2,29)=6.7, $p = 0.004$, followed by *post hoc* comparisons) to

compensate for vortex strength. As indicated by their GC_R, these behaviours were taxing for

the larvae. Their whole-body cortisol increased together with the strength of the vortex (Fig.

1d, one-way ANOVA, F(2,17)=35.4, $p < 0.0001$, followed by *post hoc* comparisons).

Cortisol change in response to water vortex flows as a function of development

Secondly, we assessed GC_R to vortex flows as a function of development, expressed in days

post fertilization (dpf). For these tests we selected vortex flows of medium strength (i.e., 330

rpm), avoiding the high strength causing maximum levels of vortex-dependent cortisol

increase and occasional disruptions of positive rheotaxis (not shown). The HPI axis of

zebrafish matures early. Basal whole-body cortisol and expression levels of genes involved in

corticosteroid synthesis and signaling increase drastically around the time of hatching[18,19]. We

observed that whole-body cortisol increased gradually between 2 and 8 dpf (Fig. 2a, top,

Kruskal-Wallis test, H=64.7, $p < 0.0001$, followed by Dunn's multiple comparison tests), and

that the magnitude of the vortex-dependent elevation of cortisol peaked at 6 dpf (Fig. 2a,

bottom, Kruskal-Wallis test, H=36.5, $p < 0.0001$, followed by Dunn's multiple comparison

tests), with circulating levels of cortisol measured ten minutes after a three minute exposure to

vortex flows (for details, see the 'Methods' section). Mechanistically, this points to

fundamental alterations in the HPI axis occurring at 4-6 dpf.

Prolonged forced swimming and HPI activation at 5 dpf

Thirdly, building on the above findings, we exposed 5 dpf larvae to vortex flows of medium

strength for 9 hours. Exposed larvae showed increased levels of whole-body cortisol which

peaked shortly after the onset of the vortex and remained high four hours later compared with

controls, i.e., unexposed larvae that were equally handled, but the vortex flows were not

present. Both exposed and control larvae showed similar levels of whole-body cortisol six

hours after the onset of the vortex (Fig. 2b, Kruskal-Wallis tests, exposed larvae: H=36.9, $p <$

0.0001, control larvae: H=36.3, $p < 0.0001$, followed by two-group comparisons using Mann-

Whitney tests). Also, exposed larvae remained engaged in positive rheotaxis from the

beginning to the end of the vortex, as indicated by their δ body angle (average change in

orientation after a swim bout) measured 5 minutes (Fig. 2c, top, two-tailed *t*-test, t(32)=3.8, *P*

$= 0.0007$) and 8.5 hours (Fig. 2c, bottom, two-tailed *t*-test, t(32)=4.1, *P* = 0.0003) after the

onset of vortex flows (see also the 'Methods' section).

Increased baseline swimming and reduced startle reactivity in pre-exposed larvae

Next, using video-recordings and off-line measurements (for details, see the 'Methods'

section), we assessed the behaviour of 6 dpf larvae that had or had not been exposed to vortex

flows at 5 dpf, i.e., pre-exposed and control larvae, respectively. Compared with controls, pre-

exposed larvae showed higher levels of baseline swimming (Fig. 2d, top, two-tailed t-test,

t(41)=2.2, $P = 0.03$) and reduced startle reactivity upon re-exposure to vortex flows of

medium strength, as specified by the distance they swam directly after the onset of the water

current (Fig. 2d, bottom, two-tailed t-test, t(24)=2.7, $P = 0.01$). Importantly, pre-exposed

larvae engaged in positive rheotaxis as efficiently as controls, as indicated by the proportion

of larvae facing the oncoming current (Fig. 2e, top, Chi-square test, X^2(1, N=180)=1.4, $p =$

0.24) and δ body angle (Fig. 2e, bottom, two-tailed t-test, t(18)=0.08, $P = 0.94$).

Reduced glucocorticoid reactivity to vortex flows in pre-exposed larvae

At 6 dpf, pre-exposed larvae, which had prior experience with the vortex flows at 5 dpf,

displayed the above behavioural adjustments as well as reduced GC_R. Relative to controls,

pre-exposed larvae showed similar levels of basal cortisol and reduced levels of vortex-

dependent cortisol increase upon re-exposure to vortex flows of medium strength (Fig. 2f,

Kruskal-Wallis tests, pre-exposed larvae: H=15.2, $p = 0.0005$, control larvae: H=13.7, $p =$

0.001, followed by two-group comparisons using two-tailed t- or Mann-Whitney tests, basal

cortisol: t(10)=0.48, $P = 0.65$, 10 minutes after exposure: U=2, $P = 0.01$, 20 minutes after

exposure: t(10)=4.0, $P = 0.003$). We found the same pattern of results at 10 dpf (Fig. 2g, two-

way ANOVA, group: F(1,30)=32.8, $p < 0.0001$, time: F(2,30)=40.5, $p < 0.0001$, group x time:

F(2,30)=5.6, $p = 0.009$, followed by *post hoc* comparisons).

Short-term reduced glucocorticoid reactivity to heterotypic stress

To complement these assessments we examined the relationship between GC_R in pre-exposed

larvae and heterotypic stress. For this we exposed 6 dpf pre-exposed and control larvae to

hyperosmotic medium (NaCl), a known stress protocol (for details, see the 'Methods'

section). The results showed that, relative to controls, pre-exposed larvae showed reduced

GC_R to moderate and high levels of salt stress (Fig. 2h, left, two-way ANOVA, group:

$F(1,20)=51.0$, $p < 0.0001$, NaCl concentration: $F(1,20)=299.0$, $p < 0.0001$, group x NaCl

concentration: $F(1,20)=0.3$, $p = 0.59$, followed by *post hoc* comparisons). By contrast, at 10

dpf, both groups showed similar cortisol responses to salt stress (Fig. 2h, right, two-tailed *t*-

test, $t(10)=0.9$, $P = 0.42$).

Discussion

Hormones react to the environment and cause changes in physiology as a function of

maturation. Thus the question arises as to how dynamical patterns of hormone secretion are

achieved and what effects they exert on well-being. How does the environment activate and

guide the development of resilience mechanisms? Current paradigms stipulate that

glucocorticoids are fundamental to the mitigation of allostatic load[9,20]. However, the impact of

early life stress on developmental programming of GC_R has not been explored in full, in part

due to a lack of suitable models. We now show in zebrafish that the increase in cortisol

elicited by a brief period of involuntary swimming peaks at 6 days post fertilization (dpf),

pointing to fundamental changes in GC_R at early larval stages. Importantly, we found that, if

prolonged for hours, forced swimming at 5 dpf caused a transient form of hypercortisolaemia

and later led to reduced GC_R. If subsequently exposed to a brief period of involuntary

swimming (i.e., homotypic stress), pre-exposed larvae showed a decreased cortisol response

that persisted for at least five more days. Moreover, twenty-four hours after prolonged forced

swimming at 5 dpf, the reduced GC_R appeared invariant to stressor identity, as indicated by a

decreased cortisol response to heterotypic stress, i.e., osmotic shock. These data suggested

that the sustained reduction in GC_R did not reflect a process of habituation to sensory input[21].

It seems likely that, in pre-exposed larvae, reduced GC_R was underpinned by changes in state

variables of the HPI axis.

Early stress as well as chronic stress in later life can decrease hypothalamic activity

and expression of corticotropin-releasing-hormone (CRH) and arginine-vasopressin (AVP).

These changes are mediated at least in part by glucocorticoids[22,23,24,25]. Previous studies in fish

showed that prolonged stimulation of the HPI axis can attenuate the stress response[26]. This

effect can result from transcriptional regulation of CRH and adrenocorticotropic hormone

(ACTH)[27,28,29]. Additionally, pituitary corticotrophs and cortisol-producing cells in the

interrenal gland may be desensitized to CRH or ACTH, respectively[30,31]. Stressor exposure on

early stages of rainbow trout can lead to HPI axis hypoactivity later in life[32]. In zebrafish,

incubation in cortisol during the first 48 hours post fertilization caused altered locomotor

reactions to photic stimuli[33]. Also, incubating zebrafish embryos in cortisol during the first

five days post fertilization caused increased whole-body cortisol, glucocorticoid signalling

and expression of immune-related genes; these changes can be long-lasting and result in

dysfunctional capacities for regeneration along with increased expression of inflammatory

genes[34]. A similar treatment using dexamethasone also induced long-lasting behavioural and

metabolic changes still detectable in adulthood[35]. Further experiments are necessary to

determine whether reduced GC_R in pre-exposed larvae occurs via receptor downregulation,

decreased synthesis and/or depletion of hormones, and/or increased sensitivity to

glucocorticoid feedbacks[36,37,38].

An organism is said to be engaged in active behaviour when it is the source of the

output energy required for a given action[39]. Glucocorticoids are known to mobilize energy[1],

necessary to face the demands of forced swimming. In response to the vortex, larvae engaged

in rheotaxis had to adjust their swim bouts and turns continuously to compensate for the

oncoming current. These actions were energy demanding for the larvae, as revealed by their

GC_R. The notion that upholding positive rheotaxis for hours involved mobilizing energy was

supported by the long-lasting hypercortisolic state observed during prolonged forced

swimming. Twenty-four hours after prolonged exposure to the vortex, we observed

differences between pre-exposed and control larvae. Firstly, pre-exposed larvae displayed

increased levels of baseline swimming. A previous study in zebrafish showed that involuntary

swimming at larval stages can subsequently increase spontaneous activity[40]. Secondly, upon a

brief re-exposure to the same vortex, pre-exposed larvae showed reduced startle reactivity to

the onset of water motions. Thirdly, they engaged in positive rheotaxis as efficiently as

controls. On the assumption that the cortisol response to the vortex reflects an energy

requirement for positive rheotaxis, these observations indicated that pre-exposed larvae

responded more efficiently to the energy demands of forced swimming.

In conclusion, we have shown in larval zebrafish that early life stress caused by

prolonged forced swimming at least transiently reconfigures the increased secretion of

cortisol after the onset of homotypic or heterotypic stress, as well as spontaneous activity and

efficient energy use during active behaviour. It remains open how these changes relate to

survival in a species facing greater mortality during early life; there is a lack of evidence

linking early activity patterns of the HPI axis to survival and reproductive outcome.

Collectively, our data provided direct evidence to support the contention that long-term

changes in HPI axis function after early adversity may later lead to increased resilience in

developing zebrafish. Increased resilience to stress may have advantages for larval zebrafish.

Such ability may help larvae to better cope with antagonistic environments. An important

question emerging relates to the study of stress reactivity during adulthood as a function of

early life events in zebrafish. A previous study in mice reported that individuals that had

endured early life stress coped better with forced swimming compared with those that had

experienced a favourable early care regime[41]. In adult rats, the adverse experience of maternal

separation during early life strengthened freezing during fear conditioning after chronic stress

compared with non-maternally separated rats[42]. These studies support the view that early life

stress can subsequently lead to increased resilience. Long-lasting changes in HPA axis

function due to early experiences have been attributed to changes in the epigenome[43],

although the link between early life stress and the activation of resilience mechanisms has

been difficult to pin down in models with intrauterine development. In zebrafish, all three

elements of the HPI axis can be visualized and genetically manipulated at early

developmental stages and measured with modern molecular tools[13]. Moreover, the larval

brain is readily accessible and provides excellent access for assessing how systematic

variations in physiological and behavioural schemes relate to differences in the activity of

neuronal and humoral networks. Further studies are required to determine the applicability of

our high-throughput procedure. In anticipation to these studies, we speculate that zebrafish

larvae will prove fruitful to link early HPI axis activity to proteomic regulation, epigenetic

programming and measures of stress resilience.

Methods

Zebrafish husbandry and handling

Zebrafish breeding and maintenance were performed under standard conditions[44]. Groups of

thirty wild-type embryos (cross of AB and TL strains, AB/TL) were collected in the morning

and raised on a 12:12 light/dark cycle at 28 °C in 35 mm Petri dishes with 5 ml of E2 medium.

At 3 days post fertilization (dpf), the E2 medium was renewed and chorions and debris were

removed from the dishes. Experiments were carried out with 5-6 dpf larvae, with the

exception of the cortisol measurements in Fig. 2a, g and h(left). Larvae older than 6 dpf were

transferred to plastic cages with 400 ml of egg water in groups of thirty and fed with

paramecia daily. Tests were performed between 09:00 hours and 18:00 hours, with different

experimental groups intermixed throughout the day. Zebrafish experimental procedures were

performed according to the guidelines of the German animal welfare law and approved by the

local government (Regierungspräsidium Karlsruhe; G-29/12).

Water vortex flows

Water current can trigger rheotaxis in larval zebrafish and, if sufficiently strong, it can also act

as a stressor, causing a sharp increase in whole-body cortisol via the activation of the HPI

axis. We used water vortex flows in a high-throughput fashion to induce both rheotaxis and

cortisol increase. For this we exposed groups of thirty (4-8 dpf) larvae in 35 mm Petri dishes

with 5 ml of E2 medium to the vortex flows caused by the spinning movements of small

magnetic stir bars (6 x 3mm, Fischerbrand, #11888882, Fisher scientific, Leicestershire, UK.)

inside the dishes. The Petri dishes, each with a single stir bar, were positioned on magnetic

stirrer plates (Variomag, Poly 15; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Leicestershire, UK) and kept at

28°C inside an incubator (RuMed 3101, Rubarth Apparate GmbH, Laatzen, Germany).

Larvae were presented with either short (3 minutes) or long (9 hours of continuous

stimulation) exposure periods to the vortex flows caused by the highly-controlled magnetic

field inversions of the stirrer plate, of 130, 330 or 530 revolutions per minute (rpm). For the

short exposure, we avoided exposure periods longer than 3 minutes to elude maximum levels

of stressor-mediated cortisol increase (not shown). The long exposure at 5 dpf consisted of 9

hours to achieve the longest possible exposure period adjustable to the light/dark cycle. Once

exposed, larvae were immobilized in ice water and used for cortisol measurement (see below).

Control larvae were collected after equal handling, omitting exposure to vortex flows (i.e., stir

bars inside the Petri dishes were absent). To rule out unspecific effects of the magnetic field

inversions produced by a stirrer plate, we compared the level of basal whole-body cortisol

across groups of 6 dpf larvae that either remained unexposed or had been exposed to magnetic

field inversions alone (i.e., without stir bars inside the Petri dishes and thus in the absence of

vortex flows), of 130, 330 and 530 rpm. The results of these tests showed that magnetic field

inversions per se did not alter the level of whole-body cortisol (one-way ANOVA,

$F(3,23) = 0.05, p = 0.98$).

Re-exposure to vortex flows

For these tests we selected vortex flows of medium strength to avoid possible ceiling effects

caused by maximum levels of vortex-dependent cortisol increase. Using the above protocol,

larvae that had or had not been exposed to vortex flows for 9 hours at 5 dpf were re-exposed

to vortex flows (330 rpm) for 3 minutes at either 6 or 10 dpf. They were subsequently used

for cortisol detection or behaviour evaluation.

Re-exposure to vortex flows at 10 dpf

A plastic cage (5 L) containing thirty pre-exposed or control 10 dpf larvae and three magnetic

stir bars (25 x 6 mm, Fisherbrand, #10226853, Fisher scientific, Leicestershire, UK)

distributed equidistantly along the bottom of the cage were placed on top of the magnetic

stirrer plate (Variomag, Poly 15, Thermo Scientific, Leicestershire, UK). Larvae were then

exposed to vortex flows (330 rpm) for 3 minutes. Larvae were then immobilized with ice

water and used for cortisol extraction 10 minutes after the onset of the vortex flows.

Hyperosmotic medium

Groups of thirty larvae (either 6 or 10 dpf) in 35 mm Petri dishes were incubated for 10 min in

steady state E2 medium (controls) or E2 + 50 or 250 mM NaCl (Merck, #106404, Darmstadt,

Germany) at 28°C under white light illumination. They were washed three times with E2

medium and kept for immediate cortisol detection. The wash and transfer period took 3 min

(± 10 s) and was performed at room temperature.

Whole-body cortisol

The procedures and the home-made ELISA used for cortisol measurements were as

previously described[13,45]. Groups of thirty larvae were immobilized in ice water after being

exposed to water vortex flows or NaCl. Unexposed larvae (control samples) were collected

after equal handling, omitting stressor exposure. Samples were then frozen in an ethanol/dry-

ice bath and stored at −20 °C for subsequent extraction. Each replicate consisted of a well

with 30 larvae. Cortisol extraction and detection were carried between 10:30 and 11:30 hours.

Independent sampling

The scheme of independent sampling has been described elsewhere[46]. Briefly, cortisol and

behavioural measurements were made on different groups of equally treated larvae and

therefore constitute fully independent samples. For the behavioural measurements, each

replicate involved a single larva. Yet, these individual measurements were made on larvae

that had also been kept in wells containing a total of thirty larvae per well. Thus, the number

of single larvae matched the number of independent wells. In this manner, the density of

larvae per well during vortex flow exposure remained a constant factor for both the cortisol

and behavioural measurements. For each cortisol measurement, all thirty larvae in a well were

used, whereas each behavioural measurement involved only one larva, the remaining twenty-

nine larvae in the well were used elsewhere. Each replication was fully independent from the

others thus avoiding pseudo-replication.

Anesthetized larvae

To assess the speed and trajectories of anesthetized larvae exposed to vortex flows of

increasing strength, 6 dpf larvae in 35 mm Petri dishes were first incubated in 5 mL of steady

state E2 medium + 100 µL of Tricaine (Sigma-Aldrich #E10521, Schnelldorf, Germany); they

were considered to be anesthetized when they failed to respond to tactile stimulation. They

were then transferred to a new Petri dish with fresh E2 medium (5 mL) for testing.

Behaviour evaluation

Video recordings were conducted under conditions identical to those of the cortisol

measurements. Groups of thirty larvae (either 5 or 6 dpf, depending on the experiment) were

imaged at 12.5 frames s^{-1} with a camera (HDR-CX240 HD Flash, Sony, Berlin, Germany)

positioned above a 35 mm Petri dish with 5 ml of E2 medium and a magnetic stir bar placed

on a magnetic stirrer plate inside the incubator, as described above. Videos samples were later

used for offline data recovery using ImageJ 1.48v software (National Institutes of Health,

Bethesda, USA) and MTrackJ (Biomedical Imaging Group Rotterdam, Rotterdam, The

Netherlands). Larvae were individually tracked and their x-y coordinates at every time point

were subsequently used to calculate motion values, body orientation and position relative to

the rotation axis of the magnetic stir bar, which corresponded in all cases to the center of the

Petri dish. Motion values were expressed as either speed (mm per second) or distance swum

every 5 or 30 seconds. To quantify the proportion of larvae engaged in rheotaxis, we

measured the proportion of larvae directly facing the oncoming current 120 s after the onset

of vortex flows. For this we measured - three times every 10 s - the angle formed between a

larva's body axis and a line connecting the center of its head and the rotation axis of the

magnetic stir bar. A larva was considered to be engaged in rheotaxis when the coefficient of

variation arising from the three angles measured over 30 s remained lower than 10 % and, at

the same time, it exhibited minimum body displacements, i.e., shorter than 0.5 mm * (10

ms)$^{-1}$. To assess the average change in orientation after a swim bout (δ body angle, in

degrees), we measured, as before, the angle formed between a larva's body axis and a line

connecting the center of its head and the rotation axis of the magnetic stir bar, every 933 ms

over a 5 s period 120 s after the onset of vortex flows. The resulting 'δ body angle' values (in

degrees) were then calculated as the average difference between the consecutive angles for

each larva.

General experimental design and statistical analyses

In all experiments, replicates were randomly assigned with a treatment using a computer-

generated random number sequence. Also, we used blinding. For cortisol measurements, a

first experimenter executed the treatments and collected and labelled the samples. A second

experimenter extracted cortisol from the labelled samples and assigned them with new labels.

The first experimenter then quantified cortisol using the newly encoded samples. The results

were compared by the first, second and a third experimenter at the end of the blind procedure.

For behavioural measurements, a first experimenter performed the video-recordings and

labelled the resultant test videos. A second experimenter then scored the behaviour of single

larvae in each labelled video. Thus all videos were assessed blind. At the end of the blind

procedure, the identities of the larvae were linked to the treatments and the results examined

collectively in the presence of a third experimenter. In our study, all data are shown as single

measurement points or mean and standard error of the mean. No data points or samples were

excluded from analysis. Our sample sizes are generally employed in the field and based on

previous publications[13,45], statistical methods to predetermine sample size were not necessary.

The sample sizes in Figs. 1b, 1c (top and bottom), 1d and 2a (top and bottom) are unbalanced

due to the scale of the overall study (only partially shown) and the ensuing number of

simultaneous groups. In our study, several experiments were being carried out in parallel. As

a result, the amount of effort that could be devoted to each one of them varied over time. We

therefore set up a minimum sample size for each dataset from the very beginning, based on

our previous publications and experience. Whenever likely, we added as many data points as

possible, depending on the parallel experiments. Data points could have been randomly

selected so as to keep sample size constant across all groups. Yet the unbalanced samples did

not preclude the validity of the applied tests, they constituted independent samples and

pairwise comparisons remained valid in all cases. We decided against the exclusion of data

points to favour a better estimate of the coefficient of variation of the various samples. The

values in Figs. 1c (top) and 1c (bottom) arise from the same type of treatment, but do not

necessarily involve the same set of animals. Fig. 1c top depicts a fraction (percentage) of

larvae from a group that involved several trials; here sample size refers to the total number of

larvae in several dishes across trials. By contrast, sample size in Fig 1c bottom refers to

individuals. Normality was tested using the Shapiro–Wilk test. Normally distributed data

were then tested for homogeneity of variance using Bartlett's tests. For two-group

comparisons, we used Mann-Whitney tests (for pairwise comparisons with at least one non-

normally distributed dataset) and Student's *t*-tests (two-tailed) (normally distributed data). For

multiple group comparisons we used Chi-square tests, as well as Kruskal-Wallis tests and

ANOVAs, followed by Dunn's multiple comparison tests and Bonferroni's *post hoc* tests,

respectively. Analyses were made with MS-Excel (Microsoft Corp; Redmond, WA, USA),

Prism 5 (Graphpad Software Inc, San Diego, CA, USA), ImageJ (Freeware) and VirtualDub

(Freeware). The figures were assembled in Illustrator (Adobe).

Data accessibility

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the authors on request.

Acknowledgments

We thank T. Thiemann and L. Flores-García for assistance with the experiments. This work

was supported by the Max Planck Society, the University Medical Center of the Johannes

Gutenberg University Mainz, the German Federal Office for Education and Research

(Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung, grant 01GQ1404) and the German Research

Foundation (DFG, grant A04- CRC 1193). We thank R. Singer and A. Schoell for expert fish

care.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization, R.J.D.M. and S.R.; Methodology, R.J.D.M. and S.R.; Investigation,

L.A.C-R., S.R. and R.J.D.M.; Writing – Original Draft, L.A.C-R and R.J.D.M.; Writing –

Review & Editing, R.J.D.M.

Competing Interests

The authors declare no competing (financial and non-financial) interests.

References

1. Sapolsky, R. M., Romero, L. M. & Munck, A. U. How do glucocorticoids influence

stress responses? Integrating permissive, suppressive, stimulatory, and preparative

actions. *Endocr. Rev.* **21**(1), 55-89 (2000).

2. Daskalakis, N. P., Bagot, R. C., Parker, K. J., Vinkers, C. H. & de Kloet, E. R. The three-

hit concept of vulnerability and resilience: toward understanding adaptation to early-life

adversity outcome. *Psychoneuroendocrinol.* **38**(9), 1858-1873,

https://doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2013.06.008 (2013).

3. Khulan, B. & Drake, A. J. Glucocorticoids as mediators of developmental programming

effects. *Best Pract. Res. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* **26**(5), 689-700,

https://doi:10.1016/j.beem.2012.03.007 (2012).

4. McEwen, B. S. Central effects of stress hormones in health and disease: Understanding

the protective and damaging effects of stress and stress mediators. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.*

583, 174–185 (2008).

5. Nederhof, E. & Schmidt, M. V. Mismatch or cumulative stress: toward an integrated

hypothesis of programming effects. *Physiol. Behav.* **106**(5), 691-700,

https://doi:10.1016/j.physbeh.2011.12.008 (2012).

6. Reynolds, R. M. Glucocorticoid excess and the developmental origins of disease: two

decades of testing the hypothesis--2012 Curt Richter Award Winner.

Psychoneuroendocrinol. **38**(1), 1-11, https://doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2012.08.012 (2013).

7. Schmidt, M. V. Molecular mechanisms of early life stress--lessons from mouse models.

Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev. **34**(6), 845-852, https://doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2009.05.002

(2010).

8. Seckl, J. R. & Meaney, M. J. Glucocorticoid programming. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* **1032**,

63-84, https://doi:10.1196/annals.1314.006 (2004).

405 9. Reul, J. M. H. M., Collins, A., Saliba, R. S., Mifsud, K. R., Carter, S. D., Gutierrez-

406   Mecinas, M., Qian, X., Linthorst, A. C. E. Glucocorticoids, epigenetic control and stress

407   resilience. *Neurobiol. Stress* **1**, 44-59, https://doi:10.1016/j.ynstr.2014.10.001 (2014).

408 10. Russo, S. J., Murrough, J. W., Han, M., Charney, D. S. & Nestler, E. J. Neurobiology of

409   Resilience. *Nat. Neurosci.* **15**(11), 1475-1484, https://doi:10.1038/nn.3234 (2012).

410 11. Strüber, N., Strüber, D. & Roth, G. Impact of early adversity on glucocorticoid regulation

411   and later mental disorders. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* **38**, 17–37,

412   https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2013.10.015 (2014)

413 12. Wendelaar Bonga, S. E. The stress response in fish. *Physiol. Rev.* **77**(3), 591-625 (1997).

414 13. De Marco, R. J., Thiemann, T., Groneberg, A. H., Herget, U. & Ryu, S. Optogenetically

415   enhanced pituitary corticotroph cell activity post-stress onset causes rapid organizing

416   effects on behaviour. *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 12620, https://doi:10.1038/ncomms12620 (2016).

417 14. Gahtan, E. & Baier, H. Of lasers, mutants, and see-through brains: functional

418   neuroanatomy in zebrafish. *J. Neurobiol.* **59**, 147–161 (2004).

419 15. Portugues, R., Severi, K. E., Wyart, C. & Ahrens, M. B. Optogenetics in a transparent

420   animal: circuit function in the larval zebrafish. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* **23**, 119–126

421   (2013).

422 16. Olszewski, J., Haehnel, M., Taguchi, M. & Liao, J. C. Zebrafish larvae exhibit rheotaxis

423   and can escape a continuous suction source using their lateral line. *PLoS One* **7**

424   https://doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0036661 (2012).

425 17. Suli, A., Watson, G. M., Rubel, E. W. & Raible, D. W. Rheotaxis in larval zebrafish is

426   mediated by lateral line mechanosensory hair cells. *PLoS One* **7**:e29727, https://doi:

427   10.1371/journal.pone.0029727 (2012).

428 18. Alsop, D. & Vijayan, M. M. Development of the corticosteroid stress axis and receptor

429   expression in zebrafish. *Am. J. Physiol. Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol*. **294**(3), 711-719,

430   https://doi:10.1152/ajpregu.00671.2007 (2008).

19. Alderman, S. L. & Bernier, N. J. Ontogeny of the corticotropin-releasing factor system in

zebrafish. *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* **164**, 61–69 (2009).

20. McEwen, B.S. Plasticity of the hippocampus: adaptation to chronic stress and allostatic

load. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* **933**, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.2001.tb05830.x

(2001).

21. Grissom, N. & Bhatnagar, S. Habituation to repeated stress: get used to it. *Neurobiol.*

Learn. Mem. **92**(2), 215-224, https://doi:10.1016/j.nlm.2008.07.001 (2009).

22. Erkut, Z. A., Pool, C. & Swaab, D. F. Glucocorticoids suppress corticotropin-releasing

hormone and vasopressin expression in human hypothalamic neurons. *J. Clin.*

Endocrinol. Metab. **83**(6), 2066-2073, https://doi:10.1210/jcem.83.6.4881 (1998).

23. Herman, J. P., Flak, J. & Jankord, R. Chronic stress plasticity in the hypothalamic

paraventricular nucleus. *Prog. Brain Res.* **170**, 353-364, https://doi:10.1016/s0079-

6123(08)00429-9 (2008).

24. Tasker, J. G. & Herman, J. P. Mechanisms of rapid glucocorticoid feedback inhibition of

the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis. *Stress* **14**(4), 398-406,

https://doi:10.3109/10253890.2011.586446 (2011).

25. Wismer Fries, A. B., Ziegler, T. E., Kurian, J. R., Jacoris, S. & Pollak, S. D. Early

experience in humans is associated with changes in neuropeptides critical for regulating

social behavior. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **102**(47), 17237-17240,

https://doi:10.1073/pnas.0504767102 (2005).

26. Barton, B. A. Stress in fishes: a diversity of responses with particular reference to

changes in circulating corticosteroids. *Integr. Comp. Biol.,* **42,** 517-25 (2002).

27. Birnberg, N. C., Lissitzky, J. C., Hinman, M. & Herbert, E. Glucocorticoids regulate

proopiomelanocortin gene expression in vivo at the levels of transcription and secretion.

Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. **80**(22), 6982-6986 (1983).

28. Eberwine, J. H. & Roberts, J. L. Glucocorticoid regulation of pro-opiomelanocortin gene

transcription in the rat pituitary. *J. Biol. Chem.* **259**(4), 2166-2170 (1984).

29. Imaki, T., Nahan, J. L., Rivier, C., Sawchenko, P. E. & Vale, W. Differential regulation

of corticotropin-releasing factor mRNA in rat brain regions by glucocorticoids and stress.

J Neurosci. **11**(3), 585-599 (1991).

30. Hontela, A., Rasmussen, J. B., Audet, C. & Chevalier, G. Impaired cortisol stress

response in fish from environments polluted by PAHs, PCBs, and mercury. *Arch.*

Environ. Contam. Toxicol. **22**(3), 278-283 (1992).

31. Mommsen, T. P., Vijayan, M. M. & Moon, T. W. Cortisol in teleosts: dynamics,

mechanisms of action, and metabolic re. *Rev. Fish Biol. Fisheries* **9**, 211–268,

https://doi:10.1023/A:1008924418720 (1999).

32. Auperin, B. & Geslin, M. Plasma cortisol response to stress in juvenile rainbow trout is

influenced by their life history during early development and by egg cortisol content.

Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. **158**(3), 234-239, https://doi:10.1016/j.ygcen.2008.07.002

(2008).

33. Steenbergen, P. J., Richardson, M. K. & Champagne, D. L. The use of the zebrafish

model in stress research. *Prog. Neuropsychopharmacol. Biol. Psychiatry* **35**(6), 1432-

1451, https://doi: 10.1016/j.pnpbp.2010.10.010 (2011).

34. Hartig, E. I., Zhu, S., King, B. L. & Coffman, J. A. Cortisol-treated zebrafish embryos

develop into pro-inflammatory adults with aberrant immune gene regulation. *Biol. Open*

5(8), 1134-1141, https://doi:10.1242/bio.020065 (2016).

35. Wilson, K. S., Tucker, C. S., Al-Dujaili, E. A., Holmes, M. C., Hadoke, P. W., Kenyon,

C. J. & Denvir, M. A. Early-life glucocorticoids programme behaviour and metabolism in

adulthood in zebrafish. *J. Endocrinol.* **230**(1), 125-142, https://doi:10.1530/joe-15-0376

(2016).

36. Fries, E., Hesse, J., Hellhammer, J. & Hellhammer, D. H. A new view on

hypocortisolism. *Psychoneuroendocrinolo.* **30**(10), 1010-1016,

https://doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2005.04.006 (2005).

37. Heim, C., Ehlert, U. & Hellhammer, D. H. The potential role of hypocortisolism in the

pathophysiology of stress-related bodily disorders. *Psychoneuroendocrinol.* **25**(1), 1-35

(2000).

38. Hellhammer, D. H. & Wade, S. Endocrine correlates of stress vulnerability. *Psychother.*

Psychosom. **60**(1), 8-17 (1993).

39. Rosenblueth, A., Wiener, N. & Bigelow, J. Behavior, Purpose and Teleology. *Philosophy*

of Sci. **10**, 18-24 (1943).

40. Bagatto, B., Pelster, B. & Burggren, W. W. Growth and metabolism of larval zebrafish:

effects of swim training. *J. Exp. Biol.* **204**, 4335-4343 (2001).

41. Santarelli, S., Lesuis, S. L., Wang, X. D., Wagner, K. V., Hartmann, J., Labermaier, C.,

Scharf, S. H., Muller, M. B., Holsboer, F. & Schmidt, M. V. Evidence supporting the

match/mismatch hypothesis of psychiatric disorders. *Eur. Neuropsychopharmacol.* **24**,

907-918 (2014).

42. Zalosnik, M. I., Pollano, A., Trujillo, V., Suarez, M. M. & Durando, P. E. Effect of

maternal separation and chronic stress on hippocampal-dependent memory in young adult

rats: evidence for the match-mismatch hypothesis. *Stress* **11**, 1-6 (2014).

43. Weaver, I. C., Cervoni, N., Champagne, F. A., D'Alessio, A. C., Sharma, S., Seckl, J. R.,

Dymov, S., Szyf, M. & Meaney, M. J. Epigenetic programming by maternal behavior.

Nat. Neurosci. **7**, 847-854 (2004).

44. Westerfield, M. *The Zebrafish Book. A Guide for the Laboratory Use of Zebrafish* (*Danio*

rerio) 4th edn (University of Oregon Press, 2000).

45.   Yeh, C. M., Glöck, M. & Ryu, S. An optimized whole-body cortisol quantification

method for assessing stress levels in larval zebrafish. *PLoS ONE* **8**,

https://doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0079406 (2013).

46.   Ryu, S. & De Marco, R. J. Performance on innate behaviour during early development as

a function of stress level. *Scientific Reports* **7**, 7840, https://doi:10.1038/s41598-017-

08400-4 (2017).

Figure Legends

Figure 1. High-throughput induction of forced swimming and cortisol increase. (**a**) Top,

schematic showing how groups of thirty zebrafish larvae in 35 mm diameter petri dishes can

be exposed to water vortex flows in a high-throughput manner while their behaviour is being

video-recorded (see the 'Methods' section). Right, representative x-y coordinates (recorded

every 93.3 ms over a 2.4 s period) of single anesthetized 6 dpf larvae exposed to vortex flows

of increasing strength, expressed in revolutions per minute (rpm). Scale bar, 10 mm. Bottom,

swim velocity and distance to the center of the dish of a larva exposed to vortex flows of low

(orange), medium (blue) and high (vermilion) strength levels (data from the top figures). (**b**)

Distance swam in 5 s by freely behaving larvae after the onset of vortex flows as a function of

vortex strength (as in **a**). Grey and black lines indicate the average distance covered by

anesthetized larvae under similar conditions; double headed arrows highlight the differences

between anesthetized and freely behaving larvae due to rheotaxis: the higher the vortex

strength the lower the distance covered by individuals engaged in rheotaxis. Letters indicate

results of Dunn's multiple comparison tests ($p < 0.01$) after a Kruskal-Wallis test. (**c**) Top,

Proportion of larvae engaged in rheotaxis (measured 120 s after the onset of vortex flows) as a

function of vortex strength (as in **a**); $P<0.0001$ after a Chi-square test. Bottom, Average

change in orientation after a swim bout (δ body angle, in degrees, recorded every 933 ms over

a 5 s period 120 s after the onset of vortex flows) of freely swimming larvae as a function of

vortex strength (as in **a**). (**d**) Whole-body cortisol in 6 dpf larvae as a function of vortex

strength (as in **a**). (**c,d**) Letters indicate results of Bonferroni's tests ($p < 0.01$) after one-way

ANOVAs. (**b,c,d**) Sample size in parentheses.

Figure 2. Prolonged forced swimming during early development increases spontaneous

activity and reduces startle and glucocorticoid reactivity. (**a**) Top, Basal cortisol as a

function of time, expressed in days post fertilization (dpf). Bottom, Cortisol change in

response to vortex flows of medium strength (330 rpm) as a function of development, in dpf.

Letters indicate results of Dunn's multiple comparison tests ($p < 0.01$) after Kruskal-Wallis

tests. (**b**) Cortisol time course in 5 dpf larvae exposed to vortex flows (330 rpm) for 9 hours

(shown up to 6 hours) and controls (unexposed larvae). Cortisol in exposed larvae peaks

shortly after the onset of the vortex and remains high 4 hours later; exposed and control larvae

show similar values 6 hours after the onset of the vortex. (**c**) δ body angle (as in **Fig. 1c**),

indicative of rheotaxis, in exposed and control 5 dpf larvae, measured 5 minutes (top) and 8.5

hours (bottom) after the onset of the vortex (330 rpm). **P<0.01, ***P<0.001 after two-tailed

t-tests. (**d**) Top, spontaneous activity (in mm swam in 30s) in pre-exposed and control 6 dpf

larvae. Bottom, locomotor reaction to the onset of the vortex (330 rpm) (mm swam in 5s,

measured 5 s after the onset) in pre-exposed and control 6 dpf larvae. Pre-exposed larvae,

blue. Control larvae, white. *P*=0.03 (top) and *P*=0.01 (bottom) after two-tailed *t*-tests. (**e**)

Proportion of individuals engaged in rheotaxis (top) and δ body angle (bottom) (as in **Fig. 1c**)

in pre-exposed (blue) and control (white) 6 dpf larvae. Top, *P*=0.24 after a Chi-square test.

Bottom, *P*=0.97 after a two-tailed *t*-test. (**f,g**) Cortisol in pre-exposed (blue) and control

(white) 6 dpf (**f**) and 10 dpf (**g**) larvae, before, 10 and 20 minutes after the onset of the vortex.

(**h**) Cortisol in pre-exposed (blue) and control (white) 6 dpf (left) and 10 dpf (right) larvae in

response to a 10 min incubation in hyperosmotic medium. Right, *P*=0.42 after a two-tailed *t*-

test. (**b,f**) Asterisks (*P<0.05, **P<0.01) indicate results of two-group comparisons using

Mann-Whitney or two-tailed *t*-tests after Kruskal-Wallis tests. (**g,h**) Asterisks (**$P<0.01$,

***$P<0.001$) indicate results of Bonferroni's tests after two-way ANOVAs. (**b,f,g**) Sample

size per group, 6. (**a,c,d,e,h**) Sample size in parentheses.