The circadian clock contributes to the long-term water use efficiency of Arabidopsis

Noriane M. L. Simon[1], Nicholas E. Comben[1], Alistair M. Hetherington[1], Antony N. Dodd[1,2]*

1. School of Biological Sciences, University of Bristol, Life Sciences Building, Bristol BS8

1TQ, U.K.

2. John Innes Centre, Norwich NR4 7UH, U.K.

* corresponding author, antony.dodd@bristol.ac.uk

Word count (body text including methods): 5374

Short title: Circadian regulation and water use efficiency

Author contributions: N.M.L.S., A.M.H. and A.N.D. conceived experiments, N.M.L.S. and

N.E.C. performed experiments, N.M.L.S., A.M.H. and A.N.D. analysed and interpreted the

data, N.M.L.S., A.M.H. and A.N.D. wrote the paper. A.N.D. agrees to serve as the author

responsible for contact and ensures communication.

One-sentence summary: The circadian clock in Arabidopsis makes an important

contribution to long-term water use efficiency.

Abstract

In plants, water use efficiency is a complex trait derived from numerous physiological and developmental characteristics. Here, we investigated the involvement of circadian regulation in long-term water use efficiency. Circadian rhythms are generated by the circadian oscillator, which provides a cellular measure of the time of day. In plants, the circadian oscillator contributes to the regulation of many aspects of physiology, including stomatal opening, the rate of photosynthesis, carbohydrate metabolism and developmental processes. We investigated in Arabidopsis the impact of the misregulation of genes encoding a large number of components of the circadian oscillator upon whole plant, long-term water use efficiency. From this, we identified a role for the circadian oscillator in water use efficiency. This appears to be due to contributions of the circadian clock to the control of transpiration and biomass accumulation. We also identified that the circadian oscillator specifically within guard cells contributes to long-term water use efficiency. Our experiments indicate that knowledge of circadian regulation will be important for developing future crops that use water more efficiently.

Introduction

World population growth is increasing the demand for fresh water for agriculture, with climate change predicted to exacerbate this competition for water resources (Ruggiero et al., 2017). One strategy to sustainably increase agricultural production involves the improvement of crop water use (Condon et al., 2004; Xoconostle-Cazares et al., 2010; Hu and Xiong, 2014; Ruggiero et al., 2017). Because up to 97% of water taken up from the soil by plants is lost through stomatal transpiration (Yoo et al., 2009; Na and Metzger, 2014), the manipulation of transpiration represents an excellent candidate for designing crops with increased water use efficiency (Bertolino et al., 2019).

Plant water loss can be manipulated through changes in the regulation of stomatal opening

and by altering stomatal density and patterning (Pei et al., 1998; Hugouvieux et al., 2001;

Schroeder et al., 2001; Hetherington and Woodward, 2003; Yoo et al., 2010; Lawson and

Blatt, 2014; Franks et al., 2015; Caine et al., 2019). In addition to stomatal responses to

environmental cues such as light, temperature and phytohormones, there are circadian

rhythms of stomatal opening (Gorton et al., 1989; Hennessey and Field, 1991). Circadian

rhythms are self-sustaining biological cycles with a period of about 24 h. These rhythms are

thought to adapt plants to daily cycles of light and dark, by anticipating daily changes in the

environment and co-ordinating cellular processes. In higher plants, circadian rhythms are

generated by several interlocked transcription-translation feedback loops known as the

circadian oscillator (Hsu and Harmer, 2014). The phase of the circadian oscillator is adjusted

continuously to match the phase of the environment through the process of entrainment, in

response to light, temperature and metabolic cues (Somers et al., 1998; Millar, 2004;

Salomé and McClung, 2005; Haydon et al., 2013). Additionally, the circadian oscillator

communicates an estimate of the time of day to circadian-regulated features of the cell,

initially through transcriptional regulation (Harmer et al., 2000). The known circadian

oscillator controls circadian rhythms of stomatal opening because mutations that alter the

circadian period or cause circadian arrhythmia lead to equivalent alterations in the circadian

rhythm of stomatal opening (Somers et al., 1998; Dodd et al., 2004; Dodd et al., 2005). The

circadian oscillator is also involved in the responses of guard cells to environmental cues

such as drought and low temperature (Dodd et al., 2006; Legnaioli et al., 2009).

Circadian rhythms are often studied under conditions of constant light. However, the

circadian oscillator is also important for the regulation of stomatal opening under cycles of

light and dark. For example, constitutive overexpression of the circadian oscillator

component CIRCADIAN CLOCK ASSOCIATED1 (CCA1; CCA1-ox) alters the daily

regulation of stomatal opening such that stomatal conductance increases steadily

throughout the photoperiod (Dodd et al., 2005). In comparison, in wild type plants stomatal

conductance remains relatively uniform during the photoperiod and is substantially lower

than CCA1-ox (Dodd et al., 2005). Similarly, guard cell-specific overexpression of CCA1

generally causes greater stomatal opening during the light period, and alters drought

response phenotypes (Hassidim et al., 2017). Modelling suggests that under light/dark

cycles, the circadian oscillator contributes at the canopy scale to daily rhythms in stomatal

aperture and carbon assimilation in bean and cotton (Resco de Dios et al., 2016).

The contribution of the circadian oscillator to both stomatal opening and biomass

accumulation (Dodd et al., 2005; Graf et al., 2010) suggests that the circadian oscillator

might make an important contribution to long-term water use efficiency (WUE). WUE is the

ratio of carbon dioxide incorporated through photosynthesis into biomass to the amount of

water lost through transpiration. At the single leaf level, instantaneous, intrinsic WUE is often

measured with gas exchange techniques and expressed as net CO_2 assimilation per unit of

water transpired (Vialet-Chabrand et al., 2016; Ruggiero et al., 2017; Ferguson et al., 2018).

However, such measurements do not provide an accurate representation of WUE over the

plant lifetime, which is influenced by features such as leaf position, dark respiration, and time

of day changes in instantaneous WUE (Condon et al., 2004; Tomás et al., 2014; Medrano et

al., 2015; Ferguson et al., 2018). It is important to note that high WUE under well-watered

conditions is not the same as drought resistance, because drought resistance relates to the

capacity to maintain transpirational water supply under water-limited conditions through

strategies such as expanded root systems (Blum, 2009). This means that WUE does not

correlate reliably with drought resistance (Kobata et al., 1996).

Given that the circadian oscillator affects stomatal opening and biomass accumulation

(Gorton et al., 1989; Hennessey and Field, 1991; Dodd et al., 2005; Edwards and Weinig,

2010; Graf et al., 2010; Edwards et al., 2012), we hypothesized that specific components of

the circadian oscillator might make an important contribution to the long-term WUE of plants.

Although the circadian oscillator influences stomatal opening and biomass accumulation, the

influence of the circadian oscillator upon long-term WUE of plants remains unknown. This is

an important question for understanding roles for circadian regulation in crops, because the

long-term water use efficiency ultimately determines the amount of water that is required for

a given yield of the crop. Therefore, we investigated the impact of the misregulation of parts

of the circadian oscillator upon the long-term WUE of Arabidopsis. We identified that the

circadian oscillator has profound effects upon the long-term WUE of plants. Importantly,

some alterations in oscillator function increase long-term WUE, suggesting potential targets

for future improvements of crop WUE.

Results

Circadian oscillator components contribute to long-term water use efficiency

We identified that correct regulation of the circadian oscillator makes a substantial

contribution to WUE. 31 single mutants or overexpressors of genes associated with

circadian regulation, representing 21 circadian oscillator-associated components, were

surveyed for WUE alterations (Fig. 1A, Fig. S1) using a previously-described method

(Wituszyńska et al., 2013). 38% of the mutants or overexpressors examined had a

significantly different WUE from the wild type (Fig. 1A, Fig. S1, Table 1). The *cca1*-11, *elf3*-1,

prr5-3, *prr9*-1, *tps1*-11, *tps1*-12, and *ztl*-1 mutants, as well as TOC1 and KIN10 (line 6.5)

overexpressors, had significantly lower WUE than the wild type (Fig. 1A, Fig. S1, Table 1).

The *gi*-2, *grp7*-1 and *tej*-1 mutants had significantly greater WUE than the wild type (Fig. 1,

Fig. S1, Table 1). This suggests that misregulating the expression of circadian clock

components CCA1, ELF3, GI, GRP7, PRR5, PRR9, TEJ, TOC1 and ZTL can change whole

plant long-term WUE (Fig. 1A, Fig. S1, Table 1). WUE was also altered by changing the

expression of the energy signalling components TPS1 and KIN10 that participate in inputs to

the circadian oscillator (Shin et al., 2017; Frank et al., 2018) (Fig. 1A, Fig. S1, Table 1).

Overall, these data show that correct expression of a variety of circadian clock-associated

genes contributes to long-term WUE of Arabidopsis.

Within this experiment, each background accession had a distinct WUE (C24: 3.01 ± 0.07

mg ml^{-1}; Col-0: 2.22 ± 0.02 mg ml^{-1}; L. *er.*: 1.60 ± 0.04 mg ml^{-1}; Ws: 1.91 ± 0.06 mg ml^{-1})

(Fig. S2). These differences between WUE of different Arabidopsis accessions are

consistent with previous studies of WUE, stomatal function and stomatal density in

Arabidopsis (Nienhuis et al., 1994; Woodward et al., 2002; Dodd et al., 2004; Masle et al.,

2005; Karaba et al., 2007; Ruggiero et al., 2017; Ferguson et al., 2018).

We hypothesised that variations in WUE might be associated with specific circadian

phenotypes in the mutants and overexpressors that we tested. For example, mutations in

circadian clock genes expressed with a particular phase (e.g. morning-expressed or

evening-expressed genes) might have a more pronounced effect on WUE. Likewise, the

nature of the circadian period change or flowering time change resulting from misexpression

of each oscillator component might be associated with certain changes in WUE. To test this,

we compared the data from our WUE screen with the circadian phase of expression of each

mutated or overexpressed gene. We also compared the direction of change of WUE to the

period and flowering time phenotypes that arise from each mutant or overexpressor (Fowler

et al., 1999; Schultz et al., 2001; Doyle et al., 2002; Nakamichi et al., 2002; Yanovsky and

Kay, 2002; Imaizumi et al., 2003; Más et al., 2003; Murakami et al., 2004; Farré et al., 2005;

Hazen et al., 2005; Baena-González et al., 2007; Streitner et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2008;

Baudry et al., 2010; Nakamichi et al., 2010; Rawat et al., 2011; Wahl et al., 2013; Hsu and

Harmer, 2014). We note that the phenotypes reported by these studies were often identified

under constant conditions, whereas our experiments occurred under light/dark cycles.

There was no obvious relationship between the circadian phenotypes that are caused by

each mutant or overexpressor investigated and the WUE of each of these lines (Fig. 1B, C,

D). For example, mutating night-phased oscillator components can either decrease or

increase WUE (Fig. 1B). Mutants that cause long circadian periods and short circadian

periods can both increase and decrease WUE (Fig. 1C). Furthermore, mutants and

overexpressors that cause both early and delayed flowering can each increase and

decrease WUE (Fig. 1D).

We were interested to determine whether the WUE alterations caused by misregulation of

circadian oscillator gene expression arose from changes in either biomass accumulation or

transpiration. Genotypes with greater biomass accumulation generally had greater water

use, whereas genotypes with low biomass accumulation generally had lower water use. This

suggests that the altered WUE phenotypes present in some genotypes with misregulated

circadian clocks was not due to an alteration in just one of either water use or biomass

accumulation (Fig. 2A, B). Therefore, the altered WUE of lines with misregulated circadian

clock genes appears to be due to the net effect of altered biomass accumulation and altered

transpiration in these genotypes (Fig. 2A, B).

Circadian regulation of water use efficiency combines multiple traits

Mutation or overexpression of components of the circadian oscillator can cause changes in

the development of Arabidopsis, such as alterations in rosette size, leaf shape and petiole

length (Fig. 3A) (Zagotta et al., 1992; Schaffer et al., 1998; Wang and Tobin, 1998; Dodd et

al., 2005; Ruts et al., 2012; Rubin et al., 2018). These changes are likely to have

implications for gas exchange because, for example, spatially separated leaves are

predicted to transpire more water (Bridge et al., 2013). We investigated whether the changes

in WUE that were identified by our screen might arise from differences in rosette architecture

between the circadian clock-associated mutants and overexpressors and the wild types.

There was a weak positive correlation between rosette leaf surface area and WUE ($r =$

0.400; $r^2 = 0.160$; $p < 0.001$) (Fig. 3B). Therefore, approximately 16% of variability in WUE

can be explained by the variations in rosette leaf surface area that arise from misregulation

of the circadian oscillator.

In comparison, rosette leaf surface area was strongly correlated with each of the individual

parameters of water used and dry biomass accumulated. The variation in rosette surface

area accounted for 83% of the variability in water transpired across the genotypes (Fig. 3C).

Furthermore, the variation in rosette surface area accounted for 73% of the variability in

biomass accumulation across the genotypes (Fig. 3D), which is unsurprising given that

larger leaves are likely to contain more biomass.

This demonstrates that one way that circadian regulation affects WUE is through the

influence of the circadian oscillator upon plant development and rosette architecture, but this

variation in leaf area does not account for the majority of the influence of circadian regulation

upon WUE. It also further supports the notion that the influence of the circadian oscillator

upon WUE is complex, and cannot be explained by variation in one of water use or biomass

accumulation alone.

Contribution of circadian regulation in guard cells to water use efficiency

Next, we investigated whether the circadian oscillator within guard cells contributes to long-

term WUE. There is evidence that guard cells contain a circadian oscillator that regulates

stomatal opening (Gorton et al., 1989; Hassidim et al., 2017). To investigate the contribution

of the guard cell circadian oscillator to WUE, we overexpressed two circadian oscillator

components (*CCA1*, *TOC1*) in guard cells, using two guard cell-specific promoters (*GC1*,

MYB60) for each of *CCA1* and *TOC1* (Fig. 4A) (Cominelli et al., 2005; Galbiati et al., 2008;

Yang et al., 2008; Nagy et al., 2009; Meyer et al., 2010; Cominelli et al., 2011; Bauer et al.,

2013; Rusconi et al., 2013). *GC1* is a guard cell-specific promoter that is relatively

unresponsive to a variety of environmental cues (cold, light, ABA, gibberellin) (Yang et al.

2008). We used the full-length *MYB60* promoter sequence, because truncated and chimeric

versions of this promoter appear to have weaker activity and/or become rapidly

downregulated by dehydration and ABA (Francia et al., 2008; Cominelli et al., 2011; Rusconi

et al., 2013). This produced four sets of transgenic lines; *GC1::CCA1:nos* (*GC*),

GC1::TOC1:nos (*GT*), *MYB60::CCA1:nos* (*MC*) and *MYB60::TOC1:nos* (*MT*). We termed

these guard cell specific (GCS) plants. We confirmed the guard cell specificity of the *GC1*

and *MYB60* promoters in our hands, by driving green fluorescent protein (GFP) under the

control of these promoters. GFP accumulation was restricted to the guard cells (Fig. S3A,

B). There was not a circadian oscillation in the activity of either the *GC1* or *MYB60* promoter

under our experimental conditions (Fig. S3C), demonstrating that these promoters were

appropriate for constitutive overexpression of circadian oscillator components within guard

cells in our experiments.

To further verify the guard cell-specific overexpression of *CCA1* and *TOC1* in the GCS

plants, we examined *CCA1* and *TOC1* transcript accumulation within guard cells. Under

constant light conditions, we measured *CCA1* transcript accumulation in epidermal peels at

dusk (when *CCA1* transcript abundance is normally low in the wild type) and *TOC1*

transcript accumulation at dawn (when *TOC1* transcript abundance is normally low in the

wild type). Guard cell *CCA1* overexpressors had greater *CCA1* transcript abundance in

epidermal peels at dusk than the wild type (*GC*: t_4 = - 2.233, *p*>0.05; *MC*: t_4 = -7.409, *p* =

0.002) (Fig. S3D), and guard cell *TOC1* overexpressors had greater *TOC1* transcript

abundance at dawn than the wild type (*GT*: t_4 = -6.636, *p* = 0.003; *MT*: t_4 = -2.736, *p* =

0.050) (Fig. S3D). These data indicate that *CCA1* and *TOC1* were overexpressed within the

guard cells of the guard cell-specific *CCA1* or *TOC1* overexpressor plants that we

generated, respectively.

We investigated the effect on WUE of overexpression of CCA1 and TOC1 within guard cells.

Two independent *GC1::CCA1* lines (*GC*-1 and *GC*-2) were significantly more water use

efficient than the wild type (*GC*-1: *p* < 0.001; *GC*-2: *p* = 0.002) (Fig. 4B). *GC*-1 and *GC*-2

were 8% and 4% more water use efficient than the wild type, respectively (Fig. 4B). In

comparison, two independent *MYB60::CCA1* did not have greater WUE than the wild type

(*p* > 0.05) (Fig. 4B). This suggests that overexpressing CCA1 in guard cells can increase

whole plant long-term WUE in a promoter-specific manner. Overexpression of *TOC1* in

guard cells with both the *GC1* and *MYB60* promoters did not alter WUE (*p* > 0.05) (Fig. 4B).

This suggests that decreased WUE in constitutive TOC1-ox plants (Fig. 1A, Fig. 4B) might

not be explained by overexpression of TOC1 within the guard cells, and that this decreased

WUE might instead be due to TOC1 overexpression in other cell types. Because the

stomatal density was unaltered relative to the wild type in the guard cell overexpressors of

CCA1 and TOC1 (Fig. 4C, D), the WUE phenotypes that we identified from these lines might

be caused by alterations in processes within guard cells, such as those regulating stomatal

aperture, rather than altered stomatal density.

Discussion

Pervasive influence of the circadian oscillator upon water use efficiency

Our data indicate that the circadian oscillator is important for regulating the long-term WUE

of Arabidopsis. Misregulation of several functional subsections of the circadian oscillator

altered the WUE of Arabidopsis. Misexpression of morning (PRR9, CCA1), late day (GI,

PRR5) and evening (TOC1, ZTL, ELF3) components of the circadian oscillator all perturb

WUE under our experimental conditions (Fig. 1A, B). Additionally, altered expression of TEJ

and GRP7 alters WUE (Fig. 1A). Therefore, oscillator components that impact WUE are not

confined to a specific expression phase or architectural feature (e.g. morning loop) within the

multi-loop circadian oscillator. Misexpression of genes encoding some proteins that provide

environmental inputs to the circadian oscillator (ELF3, TPS1, ZTL, KIN10; (Covington et al.,

2001; Kim et al., 2007; Shin et al., 2017; Frank et al., 2018)) also alters WUE (Fig. 1A).

Together, this suggests that the entire circadian oscillator can influence WUE, and that

alterations in water use that are caused by mutations to the circadian oscillator are not

confined to a specific sub-loop of the circadian oscillator or restricted to its input or output

pathways. One explanation for these circadian-system wide alterations in WUE relates to the

nature of feedback within the circadian oscillator. The complex feedback and

interconnectivity of the circadian oscillator means that individual components of the circadian

oscillator that directly influence stomatal function or water use are likely to be altered by

mutations that are distal to that component. Therefore, if correct circadian timing is required

for optimum water use efficiency, multiple components of the circadian oscillator are likely to

influence water use efficiency. Alternatively, because mutation of a number of components

of the circadian oscillator had no effect upon WUE, it is possible that the oscillator

components that influence WUE do so through roles in directly regulating outputs of the

circadian oscillator such as by regulating genes involved in stomatal function.

The sugar signalling proteins TPS1 and KIN10 influence a broad range of phenotypes, in

addition to participating in circadian entrainment (Baena-González et al., 2007; Gómez et al.,

2010; Paul et al., 2010; Delatte et al., 2011; Shin et al., 2017; Frank et al., 2018; Nietzsche

et al., 2018; Simon et al., 2018). The *tps1*-12 TILLING mutant of TPS1 decreases stomatal

aperture and increases the ABA sensitivity of guard cells (Gómez et al., 2010), whereas we

found that *tps1*-11 and *tps1*-12 had lower long-term WUE than the wild type (Fig. 1A). Lower

biomass accumulation in *tps1*-12 (Fig. 2B) was consistent with slow growth of these alleles

(Gómez et al., 2010). Overall, this suggests that the decreased stomatal aperture of *tps1*-12

mutants does not translate into an overall increase in WUE, potentially due to slower growth

of the *tps1* mutants (Fig. 2B) (Gómez et al., 2010). The broad range of phenotypes that are

altered in *tps1*-11, *tps1*-12 and KIN10-ox 6.5 indicates that these genotypes might alter

WUE through mechanisms other than circadian regulation.

Potential roles for the evening complex in WUE

Our finding that ELF3 can influence WUE (Fig. 1A) is supported by previous evidence.

Under constant light conditions, wild type Arabidopsis has circadian rhythms of stomatal

aperture, whereas *elf3* stomata are constantly open and unresponsive to light and dark

(Kinoshita et al., 2011). Furthermore, ELF3 negatively regulates blue light-mediated stomatal

opening (Kinoshita and Hayashi, 2011). Therefore, perturbation of the anticipation of

day/night transitions or responses to environmental cues in *elf3* stomata might cause long-

term alterations in WUE.

ELF3 binds to the *PRR9* promoter and *elf3*-1 has elevated PRR9 transcript abundance

(Thines and Harmon, 2010; Dixon et al., 2011; Herrero et al., 2012). The low WUE of *elf3*-1

might potentially be caused by altered PRR9 expression, because misregulation of *PRR9*

also affected WUE (Fig. 1A). In a similar fashion, ELF3/ELF4 signalling represses PRR7,

and *elf3*-1 has elevated *PRR7* transcript abundance (Herrero et al., 2012). Under light-dark

cycles, *elf3*-1 also has high and constitutive *GI* expression (Fowler et al., 1999), and *elf3*-1

and *gi* mutants have opposite WUE phenotypes (Fig. 1). Therefore, the WUE phenotype of

elf3-1 (Fig. 1) might be caused by disruption of ELF3 itself, or specific perturbations of

PRR7, PRR9 and/or GI expression.

Mutating further components of the evening complex (EC) (ELF4 and LUX) did not affect

WUE (Fig. 1). This is despite the way that these genes influence circadian oscillator function

and plant physiology (Hsu and Harmer, 2014; Huang and Nusinow, 2016), and nocturnal

regulation of stomatal aperture impacts WUE (Costa et al., 2015; Coupel-Ledru et al., 2016).

One possibility is that the impact of *elf3*-1 on WUE may be greater than that of *elf4* or *lux*

because ELF3 is key to EC scaffolding, with ELF3 operating genetically downstream from

ELF4 and LUX (Herrero et al., 2012; Huang and Nusinow, 2016).

The EC binds upstream of and regulates a variety of other genes that might also underlie the

WUE alterations in *elf3*-1 mutants (Ezer et al., 2017). This includes regulators of growth,

components of the photosynthetic apparatus, and genes associated with phytohormone

signalling. This means that potential roles for the EC in WUE might occur through several

physiological mechanisms. There also appears to be a negative relationship between

temperature and EC promoter binding (Ezer et al., 2017), so it is possible that any influence

of the EC upon WUE might be temperature-sensitive.

ELF4 appears to play a greater role in circadian regulation in the vascular tissue than

stomatal guard cells, with vasculature expression up to ten times higher than other tissues

(Endo et al., 2014). Processes within the vasculature can affect WUE; for example,

mutations in *CELLULOSE SYNTHASE CATALYTIC SUBUNIT7* (*ATCESA7*) might impact

water use through effects of the collapse of the vasculature upon guard cell size (Liang et

al., 2010). Because *elf3*-1 affects WUE differently from *elf4*-101 and *lux*-1 (Fig. 1), it appears

that ELF3 regulates WUE independently from ELF4 and LUX.

Multiple physiological causes of altered WUE in circadian oscillator mutants

Our data suggest that changes in WUE caused by misexpression of circadian clock

components might be due to a combination of physiological factors. Many mutants or

overexpressors tested alter both biomass accumulation and water loss, often in the same

direction (Fig. 2A, B), so mutations to the circadian oscillator did not alter water use by

specifically altering either carbon assimilation or transpiration. This is consistent with

previous work demonstrating that both stomatal opening and CO_2 fixation is perturbed in

circadian arrhythmic plants under light/dark cycles (Dodd et al., 2005), and with the findings

that daily carbohydrate management is dependent upon correct circadian regulation (Graf et

al., 2010). We speculate that delayed or advanced stomatal and photosynthetic responses

to the day-night cycle might occur in circadian period mutants, because period mutants

inaccurately anticipate the onset of dawn (Dodd et al., 2014). Circadian clock mutants might

also affect WUE by changing the sensitivity of stomatal movements and photosynthesis to

environmental transitions, because there is circadian gating of the responses of both

stomata and photosynthesis to environmental cues (Dodd et al., 2006; Kinoshita et al., 2011;

Litthauer et al., 2015; Joo et al., 2017; Cano-Ramirez et al., 2018). Some effects of the

circadian oscillator upon WUE arise from alterations in leaf size that occur in some circadian

oscillator mutants (Fig. 3A, B). This suggests that developmental alterations arising from

lesions in the circadian oscillator can lead to changes in WUE. Such developmental

alterations might alter WUE by changing airflow around the rosette, boundary layer

conductance, or internal leaf structure.

It has been reported previously that during the light period of light/dark cycles, CCA1-ox has

greater stomatal conductance than the wild type and decreased CO_2 assimilation and

biomass accumulation (Dodd et al., 2005; Graf et al., 2010). If these alterations in CO_2

fixation and transpiration persist throughout the vegetative growth phase, it might be

predicted that CCA1-ox would have lower long-term WUE than the wild type. However, we

found here that long-term WUE was unaltered relative to the wild type in CCA1-ox under our

experimental conditions (Fig. 1). When the quantitative changes in water loss and biomass

accumulation in CCA1-ox are examined, it appears that both biomass accumulation and

water loss were decreased relative to the wild type in CCA1-ox (Fig. 2). This means that

whilst the ratiometric measure of WUE is unaltered in CCA1-ox, the plants are smaller and

use less water overall, potentially due to the smaller leaf area. This difference between

short-term gas exchange characteristics of CCA1-ox (Dodd et al., 2005) and its long-term

WUE (Fig. 1) shows that there can be differences between short-term measures of gas

exchange compared with WUE measured over long periods of growth. It also underlines the

importance of the type of experiments performed here for understanding how specific

molecular mechanisms can alter WUE through the plant lifetime.

Contribution of circadian regulation in guard cells to water use efficiency

Next, we investigated whether the circadian oscillator within guard cells contributes to long-

term WUE. To investigate this, we overexpressed two circadian clock genes in guard cells

using two different guard cell-specific promoters. Comparable approaches have been

adopted to investigate roles of specific cell types in the functioning of the circadian system

and their relationships with physiology and development (Endo et al., 2014; Shimizu et al.,

2015; Hassidim et al., 2017). Under our experimental conditions, we did not identify

consistent alterations in the long-term WUE of seedlings overexpressing *CCA1* or *TOC1* in

stomatal guard cells (Fig. 4B). This suggests that decreased long-term WUE of TOC1-ox

plants (Fig. 1) arises from altered circadian regulation in cell types other than guard cells.

Whilst two lines harbouring a *GC1::CCA1* construct had greater WUE than the wild type,

WUE was unaltered in comparable lines harbouring *MYB60::CCA1* (Fig. 4B). The differing

WUE phenotype of *GC1::CCA1* and *MYB60::CCA1* might be explained by differences in

promoter strength, because the *GC1* promoter appears to have somewhat greater activity

than the *MYB60* promoter (Fig. S3D, E). Although both promoters are guard cell-specific in

our hands (Fig. S3), we cannot exclude the possibility of ectopic promoter activity.

Interestingly, *GC1::CCA1* is reported to have greater drought sensitivity of long-term

biomass accumulation than the wild type (Hassidim et al., 2017), whereas we found that

GC1::CCA1 had greater WUE than the wild type (Fig. 4B). This might reflect the integration

of circadian regulation into ABA signalling (Legnaioli et al., 2009; Robertson et al., 2009), or

occur because guard cell circadian regulation is required for correct guard cell metabolism

and/or stomatal movements under conditions of abiotic stress. For example, circadian

regulation is proposed to participate in daily cycles of triacylglycerol mobilization that are

important for stomatal opening (McLachlan et al., 2016). Together, these findings suggest

that guard cell circadian regulation is important under both well-watered conditions and

conditions of environmental stress (Fig. 4C) (Robertson et al., 2009; Hassidim et al., 2017),

with circadian regulation in other tissues also contributing to overall WUE. It would be

informative in future to perform reverse genetic screening of the dehydration tolerance or

long-term drought tolerance of sets of circadian clock mutants. However, because well-

watered WUE is not a drought tolerance trait (Blum, 2009), it possible that different circadian

clock alleles might confer dehydration or drought tolerance compared with those alleles that

alter WUE (Fig. 1).

Conclusions

We show that circadian regulation contributes to whole plant long-term WUE under cycles of

day and night. This control occurs partly through the influence of components of the

circadian oscillator upon rosette architecture. Mutation or overexpression of CCA1, TOC1,

ELF3, GI, GRP7, PRR5, PRR9, TEJ and ZTL altered WUE under our experimental

conditions. The roles of these genes in WUE may be independent or overlapping, and their

WUE phenotypes might be due to direct effects of these genes, or indirect effects on

transcript and/or protein abundance of other circadian clock gene(s). Misregulation of the

expression of CHE, FKF1, LKP2, RVE4, RVE8, PRR3, ELF4, LUX and WNK1 did not

appear to alter WUE under our experimental conditions.

Our results have broad implications. Firstly, our data suggest that alterations in circadian

function that arise during crop breeding could have the potential to increase or decrease

WUE. Therefore, manipulation of the functioning of the circadian oscillator might represent a

pathway to tune the WUE of crops. Second, our results indicate that circadian regulation in a

single cell type can have implications for whole-plant physiology. Third, our experiments with

guard-cell misregulation of circadian oscillator genes suggest that the circadian oscillator

within guard cells and other cell types influences WUE. Finally, our findings suggest that

circadian regulation potentially alters a single trait (WUE) by affecting many aspects of

physiology, along with leaf area. Overall, our study demonstrates that the circadian oscillator

is important for the water use efficiency of Arabidopsis plants during their entire vegetative

growth period. In future, it will be informative to distinguish the contribution to overall WUE of

circadian regulation within additional cell types, such as the mesophyll, vascular tissue, and

root cell types. It will also be important to identify specific mechanisms underlying the WUE

phenotypes, and determine the extent to which these findings scale to crop species.

Materials and methods

Plant material and growth conditions

Arabidopsis (*Arabidopsis thaliana* (L.) Heynh.) seeds were surface-sterilised as described

previously (Noordally et al., 2013). For experiments investigating stomatal density and index,

seeds were stratified for 3 days at 4 °C, then sown on compost mix comprising a 3:1 ratio of

coarsely sieved Levington Advance F2 seed compost (Everris) and horticultural silver sand

(Melcourt), supplemented with 0.4 g l^{-1} thiacloprid insecticide granules (Exemptor; Everris).

Seedlings were germinated in controlled environment chambers (Reftech, Netherlands)

under an 8 h photoperiod at 70% humidity, 20 °C, and photon flux density of 100 µmol m^{-2} s^{-}

^{1} of overhead lighting supplied by cool white fluorescent tubes (Reftech, Netherlands). For

experiments investigating long-term WUE, seeds were sown within a custom Falcon tube

system then stratified. The genotypes that were screened for WUE alterations are identified

in Table S1, and all have been described previously. For all experiments, at least two

completely independent experimental repeats were performed per genotype and per

treatment, with multiple replicate plants within each of the experimental repeats.

Generation of transgenic lines

To create the *GC1::CCA1:nos* (*GC*)*, GC1::TOC1:nos* (*GT*)*, MYB60::CCA1:nos* (*MC*) and

MYB60::TOC1:nos (*MT*) constructs, the CaMV *nos* terminator sequence was ligated

between the SpeI and NotI restriction sites in the pGREENII0229 binary vector (Hellens et

al., 2000). The *GC1* upstream sequence (-1894 to -190) or *MYB60* upstream sequence (-

1724 to -429) was then ligated between the KpnI and ApaI restriction sites of

pGREENII0229. Finally, the *CCA1* coding sequence or *TOC1* coding sequence, obtained

using RT-PCR, was ligated between the restriction XhoI and XmaI sites. Primers used are

identified in Table S2. Constructs were transformed into Col-0 wild type Arabidopsis using

transformation with *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain GV3101. Transformants were

identified by screening for phosphinothricin resistance, and then further validated using

genomic DNA PCR. Homozygous lines were identified via phosphinothricin (BASTA)

resistance, and two independently transformed homozygous lines were investigated in detail

per genotype.

Guard cell specificity of promoter activity was investigated using *GC1::GFP:nos* and

MYB60::GFP:nos promoter-reporter lines (Fig. S3A-C), which were created as above with

the *GFP* coding sequence ligated between the XhoI and XmaI restriction sites. Leaf discs (5

mm diameter) from seedlings or mature plants were mounted on microscope slides with

dH$_2$O, and examined for GFP fluorescence using confocal microscopy (Leica DMI6000). The

following settings were used: argon laser at 20% capacity, 488 nm laser at 48% capacity

with a bandwidth of 505 nm–515 nm, gain of 1250, offset at 0.2%, 20x or 40x objective,

zoom x1 to x4.

Measurement of water use efficiency

The WUE assay was adapted from Wituszynska et al. (2013) (Wituszyńska et al., 2013).

Plants were grown for 6 weeks in modified 50 ml Falcon tubes, under an 8 h photoperiod at

70% humidity, 20 °C, and photon flux density of 100 µmol m^{-2} s^{-1} of overhead lighting

supplied by cool white fluorescent tubes (Reftech, Netherlands). The Falcon tube systems

consisted of a 50 ml Falcon tube filled with 37.5 ml of a 1:1 ratio of compost: perlite and 35

ml of Milli-Q water (Merck), with the remaining volume filled with a 1:1 ratio of compost: Milli-

Q water (Fig. S4). Each Falcon tube lid had a 2 mm diameter hole drilled in its centre to

allow plant growth. The lid was spray-painted black (Hycote) because we found that the

orange colour of the Falcon tube lid caused leaf curling (Fig. S4). The system was wrapped

in aluminium foil to exclude light (Fig. S4). 10-15 seeds were sown through the Falcon tube

lid using a pipette. Following stratification, Falcon tube systems were placed under growth

conditions using a randomised experimental design. 7 days after germination, seedlings

were thinned to one per Falcon tube system, and initial Falcon tube weight was recorded.

The seedling-thinning step was sensitive to seedling damage for genotypes with

substantially altered morphologies (e.g. *tps1* mutants), reducing the number of replicates

available for some genotypes. After 6 weeks of growth, rosette leaf surface area was

measured by photography (D50; Nikon) and Fiji software, rosette dry weight was measured

(4 d at 60°C), and final Falcon tube weight was recorded. All experiments were stopped

before flowering occurred, with the 8 h photoperiod being used to delay flowering as much

as possible. Plants were not obviously stressed during the experiment (e.g. leaves did not

become purple due to strong anthocyanin accumulation, and plants did not wilt or become

contaminated with mildew) (Fig. S4). Negative controls (Falcon tube systems without plants)

were used to assess soil water evaporation over 18 experimental repeats, with an overall

mean weight loss of 0.513 g ± 0.004 g over 6 weeks for plant-free Falcon tubes.

Plant WUE was calculated as follows:

$$WUE = \frac{d}{(t_i - t_f) - e}$$

Where d is the rosette dry weight at the end of the experiment (mg), t_i and t_f are the falcon

tube weight at the start and end of the experiment, respectively (g), and e is the amount of

water evaporation directly from the compost (g). WUE is derived as mg biomass per ml^{-1}

water lost. These calculations assumed that 1 g of weight change was equivalent to a

change of 1 ml of water. For each of the 2 experimental experimental repeats (Fig. 1, Fig.

S1), 15 plants were screened per genotype. Due to variation between the WUE of each

background (Fig. S2), the WUE of each circadian oscillator genotype was normalized to its

respective background and expressed as a percentage of that background. Statistical

comparisons with the wild types were conducted before this normalization.

Measurement of stomatal density

Plants were grown for 7-8 weeks on compost mix. Dental paste (Coltene) was applied to the

abaxial surface of fully expanded leaves. Transparent nail varnish (Rimmel) was applied to

these leaf moulds once they had set, and then peeled away from the mould using clear

adhesive tape (Scotch Crystal). Stomatal and pavement cells were counted within an

800 μm x 800 μm square at the centre of each leaf half, using an epifluorescence

microscope (HAL100; Zeiss) and Volocity (Perkin Elmer) and Fiji software. For each

experimental repeat, two leaves were sampled per plant and eight plants sampled per

genotype. Stomatal index was calculated as follows:

$$SI = \frac{s}{s + p} * 100$$

Where SI is the stomatal index, s the number of stomata in the field of view (800 μm x

800 μm), and p the number of pavement cells in the field of view.

RNA extraction and qRT-PCR

RNA extractions, cDNA synthesis, and qRT-PCR were performed according to (Simon et al.,

2018), except approximately 10 seedlings were used per RNA sample and analysis was

performed using an MXPro 3005 real time PCR system (Agilent) with 5x HOT FIREPol

EvaGreen qPCR mastermix (Solis Biodyne). qRT-PCR primers are provided in Table S3.

Rhythmic features within qPCR data were identified using the BioDare2 platform (Zielinski et

al., 2014), using the Fast Fourier Transform Non-Linear Least Squares method (FFT-NLLS).

One independently-transformed line of each guard cell-specific circadian clock gene

overexpressor was also investigated using qRT-PCR conducted on RNA isolated from

epidermal peels. Abaxial leaf epidermis was detached, then washed in 10 mM MES (pH

6.15, adjusted using 10 M KOH) to remove RNA derived from ruptured epidermal cells. Each

RNA sample was derived from 20 epidermal peels (five plants, four leaves per plant) that

were collated and flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen. Guard cell RNA was extracted using the

RNeasy UCP Micro Kit (Qiagen) according to manufacturer's instructions, with the following

modification: guard cell lysis was performed by adding glass beads (425 µm - 600 µm

diameter, acid washed, from Sigma-Aldrich) and 350 µl RULT buffer to the sample, then

vortexed for 5 min.

Accession numbers

Arabidopsis Genome Initiative identifiers for the genes mentioned in this study are: *CCA1*

(*CIRCADIAN CLOCK ASSOCIATED1*, At2g46830), *CHE* (*CCA1 HIKING EXPEDITION*,

At5g08330), *ELF3* (*EARLY FLOWERING3*, At2g25930), *ELF4* (*EARLY FLOWERING4*,

At2g40080), *FKF1* (*F BOX1*, At1g68050), *GI* (*GIGANTEA*, At1g22770), *GRP7* (*GLYCINE*

RICH PROTEIN7, At2g21660), *KIN10* (*SNF1-RELATED PROTEIN KINASE1.1*, At3g01090),

LKP2 (*LOV KELCH PROTEIN2*, At2g18915), *LUX* (*LUX ARRHYTHMO*, At3g46640),

MYB60 (*MYB DOMAIN PROTEIN60*, At1g08810), *PRR3* (*PSEUDO-RESPONSE*

REGULATOR3, At5g60100), *PRR5* (*PSEUDO-RESPONSE REGULATOR5*, At5g24470),

PRR9 (*PSEUDO-RESPONSE REGULATOR9*, At2g46790), *RVE4* (*REVEILLE4*,

At5g02840), *TEJ* (*POLY(ADP-RIBOSE)GLYCOHYDROLASE1*, At2g31870), *TIC* (*TIME*

FOR COFFEE, At3gt22380), *TOC1* (*TIMING OF CAB EXPRESSION1*, At5g61380), *TPS1*

(*TREHALOSE-6-PHOSPHATE SYNTHASE1*, At1g78580), *WNK1* (*WITH NO LYSINE*

KINASE1, At3g04910), *ZTL* (*ZEITLUPE*, At5g57360).

Acknowledgements

We thank Kester Cragg-Barber, James Chen, Ioanna Kostaki, Jean-Charles Isner, Deirdre

McLachlan, Peng Sun, Ashutosh Sharma and Dora Cano-Ramirez for technical advice

during experimentation. We thank Marc Knight and Tracy Lawson for helpful discussions

concerning data interpretation. We are grateful to Keara Franklin, Alex Webb, Paloma Mas,

Steve Kay, Isabelle Carre, Takato Imaizumi, Filip Rolland, Ian Graham, Stacey Harmer, and

Steven Penfield for donating seed lines. This research was funded the UK Biotechnology

and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC; grant BB/J014400/1) and the Wolfson

Foundation.

 Figure legends

Figure 1. The circadian clock regulates long-term water use efficiency of Arabidopsis under

light/dark cycles. (A) The WUE of circadian clock mutants and overexpressors is expressed

as absolute WUE and a percentage of their respective background (normalized to the

relevant background as 100%, indicated by red reference line). Percentage calculation

allows comparison between all genotypes by correcting for WUE variation between

background accessions. Data were analysed using independent-samples t-tests and

statistical significance is indicated relative to the background (* = $p < 0.05$; ** = $p < 0.01$; ***

= $p < 0.001$; $n = 5 - 15$). Statistical analysis was performed on raw WUE data, with

significance levels from this indicated on percentage graph also for purposes of comparison.

(B-D) WUE grouped according to (B) phase of expression of each mutated or overexpressed

gene, and the (C) period or (D) flowering time alteration caused by mutation or

overexpression of each gene indicated. Shading of bars on graphs indicates statistical

significance. Studies describing the phase of expression, period and flowering time of the

genotypes tested are identified in the main text. We note that the phase of expression and

period data used for this analysis were often obtained in previous studies under constant

conditions, in contrast to our experiments under light/dark cycles. Screens were repeated

completely independently two times per genotype, with one representative experimental

repeat shown here and the other shown in Fig. S1.

Figure 2. Manipulating the expression of genes associated with circadian regulation alters

WUE by changing both water use and biomass accumulation. (A) Water loss and (B)

biomass accumulation for each genotype relative to its respective background over the

course of the experiments. Data are expressed as both absolute measurements and a

proportion of the respective background (percentage plots are normalized to the background

line as 100%, indicated by horizontal red reference lines) ($n = 5 - 15$). Data were analysed

using independent-samples t-tests and statistical significance is indicated relative to the

background (* = $p < 0.05$; ** = $p < 0.01$; *** = $p < 0.001$).

Figure 3. The circadian oscillator alters WUE partially by changing rosette architecture. (A)

Altering circadian-associated gene expression can affect rosette architecture and size, as

illustrated for *elf3*-1, *lux*-1, and *gi*-2 in the Col-0 background. Image backgrounds removed

for clarity. Variation in rosette leaf surface area across the genotypes investigated explained

(B) 16% of variation in WUE ($p < 0.001$, $r = 0.400$, $r^2 = 0.160$), (C) 83% of variation in

transpiration ($p < 0.001$, $r = 0.912$, $r^2 = 0.832$) and (D) 73% of variation in rosette dry

biomass ($p < 0.001$, $r = 0.857$, $r^2 = 0.734$). Data were analysed using Pearson correlation

tests.

Figure 4. Long-term WUE can be altered by misexpression of circadian oscillator genes

within stomatal guard cells. (A) Constructs used to overexpress *CCA1* or *TOC1* coding

sequence under control of *GC1* or *MYB60* promoters. (B) Guard cell *CCA1* overexpression

can increase WUE. WUE expressed as absolute WUE and percentage of the wild type

(normalised to wild type as 100%, red reference line). Two to four independent experimental

repeats were performed, with data from one representative dataset shown ($n = 5 - 15$). Data

were analysed with independent samples t-tests, with statistical significance compared to

Col-0 indicated by asterisks (** = $p < 0.01$; *** = $p < 0.001$). (C, D) Guard cell *CCA1* or *TOC1*

overexpression does not affect (C) stomatal index nor (D) stomatal density. Two

independent experimental repeats were performed, with data from one representative

dataset shown ($n = 19 - 32$; mean ± S.E.M.). Data were analysed with ANOVA and Tukey's

post hoc tests (NS = $p > 0.05$). Bar colours identify the whole plant overexpressor control

(black), wild type control (dark grey), and guard cell-specific overexpressor genotypes (light

grey).

Table 1. Genotypes having consistently and significantly altered WUE across
experimental repeats.
584
585

Consistently greater WUE than background	Consistently lower WUE than background	No consistent WUE alteration relative to background
gi-2	*cca1*-11	CCA1-ox
grp7-1	*elf3*-1	*che*-1
tej-1	KIN10-ox 6.5	CHE-ox 6
	prr5-3	CHE-ox 17
	prr9-1	*elf4*-101
	TOC1-ox	*fkf1*-2
	tps1-11	*gi*-11
	tps1-12	KIN10-ox 5.7
	ztl-1	*lkp2*-1
		lux-1
		prr3-1
		rve4-1
		rve8-1
		tic-2
		toc1-1
		toc1-2
		toc1-21
		toc1-101
		wnk1

586
587
588

589   **References**

590   **Alonso JM, Stepanova AN, Leisse TJ, Kim CJ, Chen H, Shinn P, Stevenson DK,**

591   **Zimmerman J, Barajas P, Chuek R, Gadrinab C, Heller C, Jeske A, Koesma E,**

592   **Meyers CC, Parker H, Prednis L, Ansari Y, Choy N, Deen H, Geralt M, Hazari N,**

593   **Hom E, Karnes M, Mulholland C, Ndubaku R, Schmidt I, Guzman P, Aguilar-**

594   **Henonin L, Schmid M, Weigel D, Carter DE, Marchand T, Risseeuw E, Brogden**

595   **D, Zeko A, Crosby WL, Berry CC, Ecker JR** (2003) Genome-wide insertional

596   mutagenesis of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Science **301:** 653-657

597   **Baena-González E, Rolland F, Thevelein JM, Sheen J** (2007) A central integrator of

598   transcription networks in plant stress and energy signalling. Nature **448:** 938

599   **Baudry A, Ito S, Song YH, Strait AA, Kiba T, Lu S, Henriques R, Pruneda-Paz JL, Chua**

600   **N-H, Tobin EM, Kay SA, Imaizumi T** (2010) F-Box proteins FKF1 and LKP2 act in

601   concert with ZEITLUPE to control Arabidopsis clock progression. The Plant Cell **22:**

602   606

603   **Bauer H, Ache P, Lautner S, Fromm J, Hartung W, Al-Rasheid Khaled AS, Sonnewald**

604   **S, Sonnewald U, Kneitz S, Lachmann N, Mendel Ralf R, Bittner F, Hetherington**

605   **Alistair M, Hedrich R** (2013) The stomatal response to reduced relative humidity

606   requires guard cell-autonomous ABA synthesis. Current Biology **23:** 53-57

607   **Bertolino LT, Caine RS, Gray JE** (2019) Impact of stomatal density and morphology on

608   water-use efficiency in a changing world. Frontiers in Plant Science **10:** article 225

609   **Blum A** (2009) Effective use of water (EUW) and not water-use efficiency (WUE) is the

610   target of crop yield improvement under drought stress. Field Crops Research **112:**

611   119-123

612   **Bridge LJ, Franklin KA, Homer ME** (2013) Impact of plant shoot architecture on leaf

613   cooling: a coupled heat and mass transfer model. Journal of The Royal Society

614   Interface **10:** 20130326

615   **Caine RS, Yin X, Sloan J, Harrison EL, Mohammed U, Fulton T, Biswal AK, Dionora J,**

616   **Chater CC, Coe RA, Bandyopadhyay A, Murchie EH, Swarup R, Quick WP, Gray**

JE (2019) Rice with reduced stomatal density conserves water and has improved

drought tolerance under future climate conditions. New Phytologist **221:** 371-384

Cano-Ramirez DL, Saskia de Fraine T, Griffiths OG, Dodd AN (2018) Photosynthesis and

circadian rhythms regulate the buoyancy of marimo lake balls. Current Biology **28:**

R869-R870

Cominelli E, Galbiati M, Albertini A, Fornara F, Conti L, Coupland G, Tonelli C (2011)

DOF-binding sites additively contribute to guard cell-specificity of *AtMYB60* promoter.

BMC Plant Biology **11:** article 162

Cominelli E, Galbiati M, Vavasseur A, Conti L, Sala T, Vuylsteke M, Leonhardt N,

Dellaporta SL, Tonelli C (2005) A guard-cell-specific MYB transcription factor

regulates stomatal movements and plant drought tolerance. Current Biology **15:**

1196-1200

Condon AG, Farquhar GD, Rebetzke GJ, Richards RA (2004) Breeding for high water-

use efficiency. Journal of Experimental Botany **55:** 2447-2460

Costa JM, Monnet F, Jannaud D, Leonhardt N, Ksas B, Reiter IM, Pantin F, Genty B

(2015) *OPEN ALL NIGHT LONG*: The dark side of stomatal control. Plant Physiology

167: 289

Coupel-Ledru A, Lebon E, Christophe A, Gallo A, Gago P, Pantin F, Doligez A,

Simonneau T (2016) Reduced nighttime transpiration is a relevant breeding target

for high water-use efficiency in grapevine. Proceedings of the National Academy of

Sciences **113:** 8963

Covington MF, Panda S, Liu XL, Strayer CA, Wagner DR, Kay SA (2001) ELF3

modulates resetting of the circadian clock in Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell **13:** 1305

Delatte TL, Sedijani P, Kondou Y, Matsui M, de Jong GJ, Somsen GW, Wiese-

Klinkenberg A, Primavesi LF, Paul MJ, Schluepmann H (2011) Growth arrest by

trehalose-6-phosphate: An astonishing case of primary metabolite control over

growth by way of the SnRK1 signaling pathway. Plant Physiology **157:** 160

Ding Z, Millar AJ, Davis AM, Davis SJ (2007) *TIME FOR COFFEE* encodes a nuclear

regulator in the *Arabidopsis thaliana* circadian clock. The Plant Cell **19:** 1522

Dixon LE, Knox K, Kozma-Bognar L, Southern MM, Pokhilko A, Millar AJ (2011)

Temporal repression of core circadian genes is mediated through EARLY

FLOWERING 3 in Arabidopsis. Current Biology **21:** 120-125

Dodd AN, Dalchau N, Gardner MJ, Baek S-J, Webb AAR (2014) The circadian clock has

transient plasticity of period and is required for timing of nocturnal processes in

Arabidopsis. New Phytologist **201:** 168-179

Dodd AN, Jakobsen MK, Baker AJ, Telzerow A, Hou S-W, Laplaze L, Barrot L, Scott

Poethig R, Haseloff J, Webb AAR (2006) Time of day modulates low-temperature

Ca2+ signals in Arabidopsis. The Plant Journal **48:** 962-973

Dodd AN, Parkinson K, Webb AAR (2004) Independent circadian regulation of assimilation

and stomatal conductance in the *ztl*-1 mutant of Arabidopsis. New Phytologist **162:**

63-70

Dodd AN, Salathia N, Hall A, Kévei E, Tóth R, Nagy F, Hibberd JM, Millar AJ, Webb

AAR (2005) Plant circadian clocks increase photosynthesis, growth, survival, and

competitive advantage. Science **309:** 630

Doyle MR, Davis SJ, Bastow RM, McWatters HG, Kozma-Bognár L, Nagy F, Millar AJ,

Amasino RM (2002) The ELF4 gene controls circadian rhythms and flowering time

in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Nature **419:** 74

Edwards CE, Ewers BE, McClung CR, Lou P, Weinig C (2012) Quantitative variation in

water-use efficiency across water regimes and its relationship with circadian,

vegetative, reproductive, and leaf gas-exchange traits. Molecular Plant **5:** 653-668

Edwards CE, Weinig C (2010) The quantitative-genetic and QTL architecture of trait

integration and modularity in *Brassica rapa* across simulated seasonal settings.

Heredity **106:** 661

Endo M, Shimizu H, Nohales MA, Araki T, Kay SA (2014) Tissue-specific clocks in

Arabidopsis show asymmetric coupling. Nature **515:** 419

Eriksson ME, Hanano S, Southern MM, Hall A, Millar AJ (2003) Response regulator

homologues have complementary, light-dependent functions in the Arabidopsis

circadian clock. Planta **218:** 159-162

Ezer D, Jung J-H, Lan H, Biswas S, Gregoire L, Box MS, Charoensawan V, Cortijo S,

Lai X, Stöckle D, Zubieta C, Jaeger KE, Wigge PA (2017) The evening complex

coordinates environmental and endogenous signals in Arabidopsis. Nature Plants **3:**

17087

Farré EM, Harmer SL, Harmon FG, Yanovsky MJ, Kay SA (2005) Overlapping and

distinct roles of PRR7 and PRR9 in the Arabidopsis circadian clock. Current Biology

15: 47-54

Ferguson JN, Humphry M, Lawson T, Brendel O, Bechtold U (2018) Natural variation of

life-history traits, water use, and drought responses in Arabidopsis. Plant Direct **2:**

e00035

Fowler S, Lee K, Onouchi H, Samach A, Richardson K, Morris B, Coupland G, Putterill

J (1999) *GIGANTEA*: a circadian clock controlled gene that regulates photoperiodic

flowering in Arabidopsis and encodes a protein with several possible

membrane spanning domains. The EMBO Journal **18:** 4679

Francia P, Simoni L, Cominelli E, Tonelli C, Galbiati M (2008) Gene trap-based

identification of a guard cell promoter in Arabidopsis. Plant Signaling & Behavior **3:**

684-686

Frank A, Matiolli CC, Viana AJC, Hearn TJ, Kusakina J, Belbin FE, Wells Newman D,

Yochikawa A, Cano-Ramirez DL, Chembath A, Cragg-Barber K, Haydon MJ,

Hotta CT, Vincentz M, Webb AAR, Dodd AN (2018) Circadian entrainment in

Arabidopsis by the sugar-responsive transcription factor bZIP63. Current Biology **28:**

2597-2606.e2596

Franks PJ, W. Doheny-Adams T, Britton-Harper ZJ, Gray JE (2015) Increasing water-use

efficiency directly through genetic manipulation of stomatal density. New Phytologist

207: 188-195

Galbiati M, Simoni L, Pavesi G, Cominelli E, Francia P, Vavasseur A, Nelson T, Bevan

M, Tonelli C (2008) Gene trap lines identify Arabidopsis genes expressed in

stomatal guard cells. The Plant Journal **53:** 750-762

Gómez LD, Gilday A, Feil R, Lunn JE, Graham IA (2010) AtTPS1-mediated trehalose 6-

phosphate synthesis is essential for embryogenic and vegetative growth and

responsiveness to ABA in germinating seeds and stomatal guard cells. The Plant

Journal **64:** 1-13

Gorton HL, Williams WE, Binns ME, Gemmell CN, Leheny EA, Shepherd AC (1989)

Circadian stomatal rhythms in epidermal peels from *Vicia faba*. Plant Physiology **90:**

1329

Graf A, Schlereth A, Stitt M, Smith AM (2010) Circadian control of carbohydrate

availability for growth in Arabidopsis plants at night. Proceedings of the National

Academy of Sciences **107:** 9458

Hall A, Bastow RM, Davis SJ, Hanano S, McWatters HG, Hibberd V, Doyle MR, Sung S,

Halliday KJ, Amasino RM, Millar AJ (2003) The *TIME FOR COFFEE* gene

maintains the amplitude and timing of Arabidopsis circadian clocks. The Plant Cell

15: 2719

Harmer SL, Hogenesch JB, Straume M, Chang H-S, Han B, Zhu T, Wang X, Kreps JA,

Kay SA (2000) Orchestrated transcription of key pathways in *Arabidopsis* by the

circadian clock. Science **290:** 2110

Hassidim M, Dakhiya Y, Turjeman A, Hussien D, Shor E, Anidjar A, Goldberg K, Green

RM (2017) *CIRCADIAN CLOCK ASSOCIATED1* (*CCA1*) and the circadian control of

stomatal aperture. Plant Physiology **175:** 1864

Haydon MJ, Mielczarek O, Robertson FC, Hubbard KE, Webb AAR (2013)

Photosynthetic entrainment of the Arabidopsis thaliana circadian clock. Nature **502:**

689

Hazen SP, Schultz TF, Pruneda-Paz JL, Borevitz JO, Ecker JR, Kay SA (2005) *LUX*

ARRHYTHMO encodes a Myb domain protein essential for circadian rhythms.

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **102:** 10387

Hellens RP, Edwards EA, Leyland NR, Bean S, Mullineaux PM (2000) pGreen: a

versatile and flexible binary Ti vector for Agrobacterium-mediated plant

transformation. Plant Molecular Biology **42:** 819-832

Hennessey TL, Field CB (1991) Circadian rhythms in photosynthesis. Plant Physiology **96:**

831

Herrero E, Kolmos E, Bujdoso N, Yuan Y, Wang M, Berns MC, Uhlworm H, Coupland

G, Saini R, Jaskolski M, Webb A, Gonçalves J, Davis SJ (2012) EARLY

FLOWERING4 recruitment of EARLY FLOWERING3 in the nucleus sustains the

Arabidopsis circadian clock. The Plant Cell **24:** 428

Hetherington AM, Woodward FI (2003) The role of stomata in sensing and driving

environmental change. Nature **424:** 901

Hsu PY, Harmer SL (2014) Wheels within wheels: the plant circadian system. Trends in

Plant Science **19:** 240-249

Hu H, Xiong L (2014) Genetic engineering and breeding of drought-resistant crops. Annual

Review of Plant Biology **65:** 715-741

Huang H, Nusinow DA (2016) Into the evening: Complex interactions in the Arabidopsis

circadian clock. Trends in Genetics **32:** 674-686

Hugouvieux V, Kwak JM, Schroeder JI (2001) An mRNA cap binding protein, ABH1,

modulates early abscisic acid signal transduction in Arabidopsis. Cell **106:** 477-487

Imaizumi T, Schultz TF, Harmon FG, Ho LA, Kay SA (2005) FKF1 F-Box protein mediates

cyclic degradation of a repressor of CONSTANS in *Arabidopsis.* Science **309:** 293

Imaizumi T, Tran HG, Swartz TE, Briggs WR, Kay SA (2003) FKF1 is essential for

photoperiodic-specific light signalling in Arabidopsis. Nature **426:** 302

Joo Y, Fragoso V, Yon F, Baldwin IT, Kim S-G (2017) Circadian clock component, LHY,

tells a plant when to respond photosynthetically to light in nature. Journal of

Integrative Plant Biology **59:** 572-587

Karaba A, Dixit S, Greco R, Aharoni A, Trijatmiko KR, Marsch-Martinez N, Krishnan A,

Nataraja KN, Udayakumar M, Pereira A (2007) Improvement of water use

efficiency in rice by expression of *HARDY*, an *Arabidopsis* drought and salt tolerance

gene. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **104:** 15270

Khanna R, Kikis EA, Quail PH (2003) *EARLY FLOWERING 4* functions in Phytochrome B-

regulated seedling de-etiolation. Plant Physiology **133:** 1530

Kikis EA, Khanna R, Quail PH (2005) ELF4 is a phytochrome-regulated component of a

negative-feedback loop involving the central oscillator components CCA1 and LHY.

The Plant Journal **44:** 300-313

Kim W-Y, Fujiwara S, Suh S-S, Kim J, Kim Y, Han L, David K, Putterill J, Nam HG,

Somers DE (2007) ZEITLUPE is a circadian photoreceptor stabilized by GIGANTEA

in blue light. Nature **449:** 356

Kinoshita T, Hayashi Y (2011) New insights into the regulation of stomatal opening by blue

light and plasma membrane H+-ATPase. *In* KW Jeon, ed, International Review of

Cell and Molecular Biology, Vol 289. Academic Press, pp 89-115

Kinoshita T, Ono N, Hayashi Y, Morimoto S, Nakamura S, Soda M, Kato Y, Ohnishi M,

Nakano T, Inoue S-i, Shimazaki K-i (2011) FLOWERING LOCUS T regulates

stomatal opening. Current Biology **21:** 1232-1238

Kobata T, Okuno T, Yamamoto Y (1996) Contributions of capacity for soil water extraction

and water use effficiency to maintenance of dry matter production in rice subjected to

drought. Japanese Journal of Crop Science **65:** 652-662

Lawson T, Blatt MR (2014) Stomatal size, speed, and responsiveness impact on

photosynthesis and water use efficiency. Plant Physiology **164:** 1556

Legnaioli T, Cuevas J, Mas P (2009) TOC1 functions as a molecular switch connecting the

circadian clock with plant responses to drought. The EMBO Journal **28:** 3745

Liang YK, Xie X, Lindsay SE, Wang YB, Masle J, Williamson L, Leyser O, Hetherington

AM (2010) Cell wall composition contributes to the control of transpiration efficiency

in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant Journal **64:** 679-686

Litthauer S, Battle MW, Lawson T, Jones MA (2015) Phototropins maintain robust

circadian oscillation of PSII operating efficiency under blue light. The Plant Journal

83: 1034-1045

Más P, Kim W-Y, Somers DE, Kay SA (2003) Targeted degradation of TOC1 by ZTL

modulates circadian function in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Nature **426:** 567

Masle J, Gilmore SR, Farquhar GD (2005) The *ERECTA* gene regulates plant transpiration

efficiency in Arabidopsis. Nature **436:** 866

McLachlan Deirdre H, Lan J, Geilfus C-M, Dodd Antony N, Larson T, Baker A, Hõrak H,

Kollist H, He Z, Graham I, Mickelbart Michael V, Hetherington Alistair M (2016)

The breakdown of stored triacylglycerols is required during light-induced stomatal

opening. Current Biology **26:** 707-712

Medrano H, Tomás M, Martorell S, Flexas J, Hernández E, Rosselló J, Pou A, Escalona

J-M, Bota J (2015) From leaf to whole-plant water use efficiency (WUE) in complex

canopies: Limitations of leaf WUE as a selection target. The Crop Journal **3:** 220-228

Meyer S, Mumm P, Imes D, Endler A, Weder B, Al-Rasheid KAS, Geiger D, Marten I,

Martinoia E, Hedrich R (2010) AtALMT12 represents an R-type anion channel

required for stomatal movement in Arabidopsis guard cells. The Plant Journal **63:**

1054-1062

Michael TP, Salomé PA, Yu HJ, Spencer TR, Sharp EL, McPeek MA, Alonso JM, Ecker

JR, McClung CR (2003) Enhanced fitness conferred by naturally occurring variation

in the circadian clock. Science **302:** 1049

Millar AJ (2004) Input signals to the plant circadian clock. Journal of Experimental Botany

55: 277-283

Millar AJ, Carre IA, Strayer CA, Chua NH, Kay SA (1995) Circadian clock mutants in

Arabidopsis identified by luciferase imaging. Science **267:** 1161

Murakami M, Yamashino T, Mizuno T (2004) Characterization of circadian-associated

APRR3 pseudo-response regulator belonging to the APRR1/TOC1 quintet in

Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant and Cell Physiology **45:** 645-650

Na J-K, Metzger JD (2014) Chimeric promoter mediates guard cell-specific gene expression

in tobacco under water deficit. Biotechnology Letters **36:** 1893-1899

Nagy R, Grob H, Weder B, Green P, Klein M, Frelet A, Schjoerring JK, Brearley CA,

Martinoia E (2009) The Arabidopsis ATP-binding cassette protein

ATMRP5/ATABCC5 is a high-affinity inositol hexakisphosphate transporter involved

in guard cell signaling and phytate storage. Journal of Biological Chemistry

Nakamichi N, Kiba T, Henriques R, Mizuno T, Chua N-H, Sakakibara H (2010) PSEUDO-

RESPONSE REGULATORS 9, 7, and 5 are transcriptional repressors in *Arabidopsis*

circadian clock. The Plant Cell **22:** 594

Nakamichi N, Murakami-Kojima M, Sato E, Kishi Y, Yamashino T, Mizuno T (2002)

Compilation and characterization of a novel WNK family of protein kinases in

Arabidopsis thaliana with reference to circadian rhythms. Bioscience, Biotechnology,

and Biochemistry **66:** 2429-2436

Nienhuis J, Sills GR, Martin B, King G (1994) Variance for water-use efficiency among

ecotypes and recombinant inbred lines of *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Brassicaceae).

American Journal of Botany **81:** 943-947

Nietzsche M, Guerra T, Alseekh S, Wiermer M, Sonnewald S, Fernie AR, Börnke F

(2018) STOREKEEPER RELATED1/G-element binding protein (STKR1) interacts

with protein kinase SnRK1. Plant Physiology **176:** 1773

Noordally ZB, Ishii K, Atkins KA, Wetherill SJ, Kusakina J, Walton EJ, Kato M, Azuma

M, Tanaka K, Hanaoka M, Dodd AN (2013) Circadian control of chloroplast

transcription by a nuclear-encoded timing signal. Science **339:** 1316

Panda S, Poirier GG, Kay SA (2002) *tej* defines a role for poly(ADP-ribosyl)ation in

establishing period length of the *Arabidopsis* circadian oscillator. Developmental Cell

3: 51-61

Paul MJ, Jhurreea D, Zhang Y, Primavesi LF, Delatte T, Schluepmann H, Wingler A

(2010) Up-regulation of biosynthetic processes associated with growth by trehalose

6-phosphate. Plant Signaling & Behavior **5:** 386-392

Pei Z-M, Ghassemian M, Kwak CM, McCourt P, Schroeder JI (1998) Role of

farnesyltransferase in ABA regulation of guard cell anion channels and plant water

loss. Science **282:** 287

Pruneda-Paz JL, Breton G, Para A, Kay SA (2009) A functional genomics approach

reveals CHE as a component of the Arabidopsis circadian clock. Science **323:** 1481

Rawat R, Takahashi N, Hsu PY, Jones MA, Schwartz J, Salemi MR, Phinney BS,

Harmer SL (2011) REVEILLE8 and PSEUDO-REPONSE REGULATOR5 form a

negative feedback loop within the Arabidopsis circadian clock. PLOS Genetics **7:**

e1001350

Resco de Dios V, Gessler A, Pedro Ferrio J, Alday JG, Bahn M, del Castillo J, Devidal

S, García-Muñoz S, Kayler Z, Landais D, Martín-Gómez P, Milcu A, Piel C,

Pirhofer-Walzl K, Ravel O, Salekin S, Tissue DT, Tjoelker MG, Voltas J, Roy J

(2016) Circadian rhythms have significant effects on leaf-to-canopy scale gas

exchange under field conditions. GigaScience **5**

Robertson FC, Skeffington AW, Gardner MJ, Webb AAR (2009) Interactions beween

circadian and hormonal signalling in plants. Plant Molecular Biology **69:** 419-427

Rubin MJ, Brock MT, Baker RL, Wilcox S, Anderson K, Davis SJ, Weinig C (2018)

Circadian rhythms are associated with shoot architecture in natural settings. New

Phytologist **219:** 246-258

Ruggiero A, Punzo P, Landi S, Costa A, Van Oosten JM, Grillo S (2017) Improving plant

water use efficiency through molecular genetics. Horticulturae **3**

Rusconi F, Simoni L, Simeoni F, Tonelli C, Galbiati M, Cominelli E, Conti L, Riboni M,

Francia P, Martin CR (2013) The Arabidopsis thaliana MYB60 promoter provides a

tool for the spatio-temporal control of gene expression in stomatal guard cells.

Journal of Experimental Botany **64:** 3361-3371

Ruts T, Matsubara S, Wiese-Klinkenberg A, Walter A (2012) Aberrant temporal growth

pattern and morphology of root and shoot caused by a defective circadian clock in

Arabidopsis thaliana. The Plant Journal **72:** 154-161

Salomé PA, McClung CR (2005) *PSEUDO-RESPONSE REGULATOR 7* and *9* are partially

redundant genes essential for the temperature responsiveness of the Arabidopsis

circadian clock. The Plant Cell **17:** 791

Schaffer R, Ramsay N, Samach A, Corden S, Putterill J, Carré IA, Coupland G (1998)

The *late elongated hypocotyl* mutation of Arabidopsis disrupts circadian rhythms and

the photoperiodic control of flowering. Cell **93:** 1219-1229

Schroeder JI, Allen GJ, Hugouvieux V, Kwak JM, Waner D (2001) Guard cell signal

transduction. Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology **52:**

627-658

Schultz TF, Kiyosue T, Yanovsky M, Wada M, Kay SA (2001) A Role for LKP2 in the

Circadian Clock of Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell **13:** 2659

Shimizu H, Katayama K, Koto T, Torii K, Araki T, Endo M (2015) Decentralized circadian

clocks process thermal and photoperiodic cues in specific tissues. Nature Plants **1:**

15163

Shin J, Sánchez-Villarreal A, Davis AM, Du S-x, Berendzen KW, Koncz C, Ding Z, Li C,

Davis SJ (2017) The metabolic sensor AKIN10 modulates the Arabidopsis circadian

clock in a light-dependent manner. Plant, Cell & Environment **40:** 997-1008

Simon NML, Kusakina J, Fernández-López Á, Chembath A, Belbin FE, Dodd AN (2018)

The energy-signaling hub SnRK1 is important for sucrose-induced hypocotyl

elongation. Plant Physiology **176:** 1299

Simon NML, Sawkins E, Dodd AN (2018) Involvement of the SnRK1 subunit KIN10 in

sucrose-induced hypocotyl elongation. Plant Signaling & Behavior **13:** e1457913

Somers DE, Devlin PF, Kay SA (1998) Phytochromes and cryptochromes in the

entrainment of the *Arabidopsis* circadian clock. Science **282:** 1488

Somers DE, Schultz TF, Milnamow M, Kay SA (2000) *ZEITLUPE* encodes a novel clock-

associated PAS protein from *Arabidopsis*. Cell **101:** 319-329

Somers DE, Webb AA, Pearson M, Kay SA (1998) The short-period mutant, *toc1*-1, alters

circadian clock regulation of multiple outputs throughout development in *Arabidopsis*

thaliana. Development **125:** 485

Strayer C, Oyama T, Schultz TF, Raman R, Somers DE, Más P, Panda S, Kreps JA, Kay

SA (2000) Cloning of the *Arabidopsis* clock gene *TOC1*, an autoregulatory response

regulator homolog. Science **289:** 768

Streitner C, Danisman S, Wehrle F, Schöning JC, Alfano JR, Staiger D (2008) The small

glycine-rich RNA binding protein AtGRP7 promotes floral transition in Arabidopsis

thaliana. The Plant Journal **56:** 239-250

Thines B, Harmon FG (2010) Ambient temperature response establishes ELF3 as a

required component of the core Arabidopsis circadian clock. Proceedings of the

National Academy of Sciences**:** 200911006

Tomás M, Medrano H, Escalona JM, Martorell S, Pou A, Ribas-Carbó M, Flexas J

(2014) Variability of water use efficiency in grapevines. Environmental and

Experimental Botany **103:** 148-157

Vialet-Chabrand S, Matthews JSA, Brendel O, Blatt MR, Wang Y, Hills A, Griffiths H,

Rogers S, Lawson T (2016) Modelling water use efficiency in a dynamic

environment: An example using *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant Science **251:** 65-74

Wahl V, Ponnu J, Schlereth A, Arrivault S, Langenecker T, Franke A, Feil R, Lunn JE,

Stitt M, Schmid M (2013) Regulation of flowering by trehalose-6-phosphate

signaling in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Science **339:** 704

Wang Y, Liu K, Liao H, Zhuang C, Ma H, Yan X (2008) The plant WNK gene family and

regulation of flowering time in Arabidopsis. Plant Biology **10:** 548-562

Wang ZY, Tobin EM (1998) Constitutive expression of the CIRCADIAN CLOCK

ASSOCIATED 1 (CCA1) gene disrupts circadian rhythms and suppresses its own

expression. Cell **93:** 1207-1217

Wituszyńska W, Ślesak I, Vanderauwera S, Szechyńska-Hebda M, Kornaś A, Van Der

Kelen K, Mühlenbock P, Karpińska B, Maćkowski S, Van Breusegem F,

Karpiński S (2013) LESION SIMULATING DISEASE1, ENHANCED DISEASE

SUSCEPTIBILITY1, and PHYTOALEXIN DEFICIENT4 conditionally regulate cellular

signaling homeostasis, photosynthesis, water use efficiency, and seed yield in

Arabidopsis. Plant Physiology **161:** 1795

Woodward FI, Lake JA, Quick WP (2002) Stomatal development and CO2: ecological

consequences. New Phytologist **153:** 477-484

Xoconostle-Cazares B, Ramirez-Ortega FA, Flores-Elenes L, Ruiz-Medrano R (2010)

Drought tolerance in crop plants. American Journal of Plant Physiology **5:** 241-256

Yamamoto Y, Sato E, Shimizu T, Nakamich N, Sato S, Kato T, Tabata S, Nagatani A,

Yamashino T, Mizuno T (2003) Comparative genetic studies on the APRR5 and

APRR7 genes belonging to the APRR1/TOC1 quintet implicated in circadian rhythm,

control of flowering time, and early photomorphogenesis. Plant and Cell Physiology

44: 1119-1130

Yang Y, Costa A, Leonhardt N, Siegel RS, Schroeder JI (2008) Isolation of a strong

Arabidopsis guard cell promoter and its potential as a research tool. Plant Methods

4: 6

Yanovsky MJ, Kay SA (2002) Molecular basis of seasonal time measurement in

Arabidopsis. Nature **419:** 308

Yoo CY, Pence HE, Hasegawa PM, Mickelbart MV (2009) Regulation of transpiration to

improve crop water use. Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences **28:** 410-431

Yoo CY, Pence HE, Jin JB, Miura K, Gosney MJ, Hasegawa PM, Mickelbart MV (2010)

The Arabidopsis GTL1 transcription factor regulates water use efficiency and drought

tolerance by modulating stomatal density via transrepression of *SDD1*. The Plant

Cell **22:** 4128

Zagotta MT, Shannon S, Jacobs C, Meeks-Wagner DR (1992) Early-flowering mutants of

Arabidopsis thaliana. Functional Plant Biology **19:** 411-418

Zielinski T, Moore AM, Troup E, Halliday KJ, Millar AJ (2014) Strengths and limitations of

period estimation methods for circadian data. PLOS ONE **9:** e96462

Figure 1. The circadian clock regulates long-term water use efficiency of Arabidopsis under

light/dark cycles. (A) The WUE of circadian clock mutants and overexpressors is expressed

as absolute WUE and a percentage of their respective background (normalized to the

relevant background as 100%, indicated by red reference line). Percentage calculation

allows comparison between all genotypes by correcting for WUE variation between

background accessions. Data were analysed using independent-samples t-tests and

statistical significance is indicated relative to the background (* = $p < 0.05$; ** = $p < 0.01$; ***

= $p < 0.001$; n = 5 - 15). Statistical analysis was performed on raw WUE data, with

significance levels from this indicated on percentage graph also for purposes of comparison.

(B-D) WUE grouped according to (B) phase of expression of each mutated or overexpressed

gene, and the (C) period or (D) flowering time alteration caused by mutation or

overexpression of each gene indicated. Shading of bars on graphs indicates statistical

significance. Studies describing the phase of expression, period and flowering time of the

genotypes tested are identified in the main text. We note that the phase of expression and

period data used for this analysis were often obtained in previous studies under constant

conditions, in contrast to our experiments under light/dark cycles. Screens were repeated

completely independently two times per genotype, with one representative experimental

repeat shown here and the other shown in Fig. S1.

Figure 2. Manipulating the expression of genes associated with circadian regulation alters WUE by changing both water use and biomass accumulation. (A) Water loss and (B) biomass accumulation for each genotype relative to its respective background over the course of the experiments. Data are expressed as both absolute measurements and a proportion of the respective background (percentage plots are normalized to the background line as 100%, indicated by horizontal red reference lines) (*n* = 5 - 15). Data were analysed using independent-samples t-tests and statistical significance is indicated relative to the background (* = *p* < 0.05; ** = *p* < 0.01; *** = *p* < 0.001).

Figure 3. The circadian oscillator alters WUE partially by changing rosette architecture. (A)

Altering circadian-associated gene expression can affect rosette architecture and size, as

illustrated for *elf3*-1, *lux*-1, and *gi*-2 in the Col-0 background. Image backgrounds removed

for clarity. Variation in rosette leaf surface area across the genotypes investigated explained
(B) 16% of variation in WUE ($p < 0.001$, $r = 0.400$, $r^2 = 0.160$), (C) 83% of variation in
transpiration ($p < 0.001$, $r = 0.912$, $r^2 = 0.832$) and (D) 73% of variation in rosette dry
biomass ($p < 0.001$, $r = 0.857$, $r^2 = 0.734$). Data were analysed using Pearson correlation
tests.

Figure 4. Long-term WUE can be altered by misexpression of circadian oscillator genes within stomatal guard cells. (A) Constructs used to overexpress *CCA1* or *TOC1* coding sequence under control of *GC1* or *MYB60* promoters. (B) Guard cell *CCA1* overexpression can increase WUE. WUE expressed as absolute WUE and percentage of the wild type

(normalised to wild type as 100%, red reference line). Two to four independent experimental repeats were performed, with data from one representative dataset shown (n = 5 - 15). Data were analysed with independent samples t-tests, with statistical significance compared to Col-0 indicated by asterisks (** = $p < 0.01$; *** = $p < 0.001$). (C, D) Guard cell *CCA1* or *TOC1* overexpression does not affect (C) stomatal index nor (D) stomatal density. Two independent experimental repeats were performed, with data from one representative dataset shown (n = 19 - 32; mean ± S.E.M.). Data were analysed with ANOVA and Tukey's post hoc tests (NS = $p > 0.05$). Bar colours identify the whole plant overexpressor control (black), wild type control (dark grey), and guard cell-specific overexpressor genotypes (light grey).