Genetic structure of prey populations underlies the geographic mosaic of arms race

coevolution

Authors: Michael T.J. Hague[1*], Amber N. Stokes[2], Chris R. Feldman[3], Edmund D. Brodie, Jr.[4],

Edmund D. Brodie III[5]

Affiliations:

[1] Department of Biological Sciences, University of Montana, Missoula, MT, USA.

[2] Department of Biology, California State University, Bakersfield, CA, USA.

[3] Department of Biology, University of Nevada, Reno, NV, USA.

[4] Department of Biology, Utah State University, Logan, UT, USA.

[5] Department of Biology, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA, USA.

[*] Correspondence to:

Michael T.J. Hague

Department of Biological Sciences, University of Montana

32 Campus Dr. HS 104, Missoula, MT 59812, USA

Tel: (406) 243-2182

Email: michael.hague@mso.umt.edu

Abstract:

Reciprocal adaptation is the hallmark of arms race coevolution[1,2], but the symmetry of evolutionary change in each species is often untested even in the best-studied battles between natural enemies[3]. We tested whether prey and predator exhibit symmetrical evidence of local co-adaptation in the classic example of a geographic mosaic of coevolution between toxic newts (*Taricha granulosa*) and resistant garter snakes (*Thamnophis sirtalis*)[4,5]. Levels of newt toxin and snake resistance are functionally matched in populations across the landscape, implying that mosaic variation in the armaments of both species results from the local pressures of reciprocal selection. Contrary to conventional wisdom, however, we found that landscape-wide variation in the newt toxin is best predicted by neutral population divergence rather than the resistance of local predators. On the other hand, snake resistance is clearly explained by prey toxin levels. Prey populations seem to structure variation in defensive toxin levels across the geographic mosaic, which in turn determines selection on predator resistance. Exaggerated armaments suggest coevolution occurs in certain hotspots, but our results imply that neutral processes including gene flow—rather than reciprocal adaptation—comprise the greatest source of variation across the coevolutionary mosaic.

Main Text:

Coevolutionary dynamics result from the reciprocal selection generated between interacting species[2,6]. Adaptation and counter-adaptation occur at the phenotypic interface of coevolution, the traits in each species that mediate interactions[1,7]. Because species interactions and their fitness consequences vary spatially, heterogeneity in the form of reciprocal selection is expected to generate a geographic mosaic of coevolution in which phenotypes of both species covary due to local conditions[6,8–10]. Coevolving species often exhibit matched trait variation across the landscape, for example, in seed traits and their predators[8,10] or host and pathogen genotypes[11,12], a pattern typically interpreted as a signature of local co-adaptation.

However, a geographic mosaic of matched phenotypes may not be solely the result of reciprocal co-adaptation[3,5]. A simpler, non-adaptive explanation for matched trait variation involves the spatial population structure and ancestry of each species. Common barriers to dispersal or a shared biogeographic history, for example, could structure phenotypic divergence congruently in co-occurring species[13]. Only when phenotypic variation deviates from the neutral expectations of population structure in both species can we infer local adaptation in the geographic mosaic of coevolution[3]. Otherwise, drift, gene flow, and phylogeography provide a parsimonious explanation for patterns of divergence across the landscape.

In western North America, rough-skinned newts (*Taricha granulosa*) secrete the deadly neurotoxin tetrodotoxin (TTX), which binds to the outer pore of voltage-gated sodium channels (Na$_V$) and prevents the initiation of action potentials[14,15]. Common garter snakes (*Thamnophis sirtalis*) exhibit resistance to TTX that is largely due to specific amino acid substitutions in the fourth domain pore-loop (DIV p-loop) of the skeletal muscle sodium channel (Na$_V$1.4) that disrupt toxin-binding (Fig. 1)[16,17]. Channel-level TTX resistance conferred by each allele in the

DIV p-loop is tightly correlated with muscle and whole-animal levels of phenotypic resistance in

Th. sirtalis[16–18]. TTX-resistant alleles occur at high frequency in coevolutionary "hotspots" with

highly toxic newts, but are largely absent in surrounding "coldspots" where newts are non-

toxic[19]. Quantitative levels of newt toxin and snake resistance are functionally matched in many

co-occurring populations[4,5], implying a geographic mosaic of coevolution that is largely the

result of co-adaptation to local pressures in the arms race. Consequently, population divergence

in both prey toxin and predator resistance is presumed to represent adaptive deviations from the

selectively neutral expectations of population structure and ancestry.

We tested whether neutral processes could account for localized trait-matching in this

textbook example of a geographic mosaic of coevolution. We conducted fine-scale population

sampling of newts ($n=138$) and garter snakes ($n=169$) along a latitudinal transect of nine

locations on the Pacific Coast in Washington and Oregon (USA) that spans the geographic

mosaic (Fig. 1, Supplementary Table S1), ranging from low levels of newt toxin and snake

resistance (northern Washington) to a hotspot of extreme escalation in both species (central

Oregon). At each location, we characterized levels of TTX in newt populations and TTX

resistance in garter snakes, including whole-animal phenotypic resistance and $Na_V1.4$ channel

genotypes. We then compared these data to neutral patterns of population genomic variation in

each species using single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in each species.

Spatial patterns of newt TTX and snake resistance were broadly consistent with previous

work indicating arms race coevolution has led to the closely matched phenotypes in each

species[5]. The degree of functional overlap in quantitative levels of prey toxin and predator

resistance suggest that locally varying reciprocal selection occurs throughout the transect

(Extended Fig. 1)[5]. TTX levels ($\mu g/cm^2$) of newts varied by population (ANOVA;

F[8,114]=37.43, p<0.001) and by sex (F[1,114]=4.37, p=0.039) along the latitudinal transect

(Fig. 1; Supplementary Table S1). TTX resistance (50% MAMU dose) of snakes also varied by

population (according to non-overlapping 95% confidence intervals; Fig. 1; Supplementary

Table S1) and was closely correlated with prey toxin (regression of distance matrices, p=0.021;

Table 1). The presence of TTX-resistant alleles in the $Na_V1.4$ channel co-varied with phenotypic

resistance in garter snakes, such that pairwise F_{ST} divergence at the DIV p-loop was correlated

with population divergence in phenotypic resistance (Mantel test, r=0.47, p=0.032).

Variation in levels of newt TTX, however, was best predicted by neutral population

divergence, suggesting that reciprocal co-adaptation cannot fully explain the geographic mosaic

of matched prey toxin and predator resistance. We used neutral SNPs to assess population

genetic structure in prey and predator and found a pattern of isolation-by-distance (IBD) in both

species (distance-based redundancy analysis; newts, F=-38.528, p=0.002; snakes, F=22.021,

p=0.001). Principal coordinate (PCoA) and Bayesian clustering (STRUCTURE) analyses

indicated that newts and snakes each have a distinct spatial pattern of population structure along

the transect (Fig. 2, Extended Fig. 2). We generated distance matrices to test whether phenotypic

divergence in one species (e.g., newt TTX levels) is best explained by (1) neutral genomic

divergence (pairwise F_{ST}; Supplementary Table S4) or (2) phenotypic divergence in the natural

enemy (snake resistance). In univariate regressions, population divergence in the TTX level of

newts was strongly predicted by neutral F_{ST} divergence (R^2=0.414), as well as TTX resistance of

garter snakes (R^2=0.274; Table 1). F_{ST} divergence remained significant in the multiple

regression, indicating that population structure of newts predicts TTX levels, even after

controlling for TTX resistance of the predator (which was at best marginally significant;

p=0.065). In contrast, garter snake resistance was strictly predicted by newt toxin and not neutral

F_{ST} divergence. Both phenotypic resistance and F_{ST} divergence at the DIV p-loop (the site of

toxin-binding in $Na_V1.4$) were uncorrelated with neutral F_{ST} values in garter snakes (Table 1).

These results indicate that neutral genetic divergence is a key determinant of population

differences in prey toxin levels, which in turn predicts differences in TTX resistance among

predator populations. The geographic structure of newt populations appears to be so influential to

spatial dynamics that divergence in garter snake phenotypic resistance and F_{ST} at the DIV p-loop

are both significantly predicted by neutral F_{ST} divergence of newts (Table 1).

Clinal variation in TTX levels of newts is highly congruent with neutral genomic

variation based on the PCoA (Fig. 3) and Bayesian clustering analyses (Extended Fig. 3). TTX

resistance of garter snakes, in contrast, clearly deviates from neutral expectations to track

variation in prey toxin. Cline-fitting analyses show that prey toxin levels and predator resistance

are tightly matched along the 611 km transect; the geographic center points of each cline are

located just 64 km apart and do not differ statistically. The cline center of TTX-resistant alleles

in snakes is also located nearby, although it differed statistically from the center of newt TTX.

Despite similar phenotypic clines for both species, variation in levels of newt toxin showed an

even tighter match to clinal variation in neutral population structure. The center points of the

TTX and neutral clines were located only 19 km apart. PC 1 from the PCoA was a strong

predictor of variation in TTX levels (linear model; t-value=5.682, p<0.001), even after

controlling for the effect of TTX resistance of garter snakes (Supplementary Table S6).

Conversely, variation in phenotypic resistance and TTX-resistant alleles in snakes both deviated

significantly from the neutral cline (Fig. 3), such that resistance was not predicted by PCs 1 or 2

from the PCoA (Supplementary Table S6). The center points of the snake phenotypic resistance

and neutral clines were located a distant 310 km apart.

Levels of prey toxin and predator resistance are tightly matched across the landscape, but

this pattern does not appear to primarily result from local arms race co-adaptation. Although

predator resistance is geographically structured by a signature of local adaptation to prey, levels

of the prey toxin are structured in tight accordance with neutral population divergence. These

results imply that landscape-wide variation in newt toxin across the geographic mosaic is best

explained by non-adaptive processes, such as drift and historical biogeography, rather than local

variation in selection from predators. For example, latitudinal patterns of newt TTX and neutral

divergence are both consistent with a signature of isolation-by-distance along the transect[20].

The asymmetric signatures of adaptation we observed in prey and predator may reflect

differences in the mechanisms that underlie phenotypic variation in each species. Genes

associated with TTX biosynthesis have yet to be discovered; however, toxin production in newts

likely requires a complicated biosynthetic pathway. For example, biosynthesis of a similar

neurotoxin found in puffer fish, saxitoxin (STX), involves gene expression in a cluster of up to

26 genes[21]. Some researchers suggest exogenous factors, like environmentally-derived

precursors, may also affect the ability of newts to synthesize or sequester TTX[22,23]. On the other

hand, TTX resistance in garter snakes is largely due to a small number of amino acid changes to

the p-loops of the $Na_V1.4$ channel[16–19]. These large-effect mutations could make TTX resistance

more evolutionarily labile than toxicity, permitting rapid local adaptation in predator

populations[5,18].

Asymmetric patterns of evolution could also arise from a selective imbalance associated

with the interactions between prey and predator. In antagonistic interactions, the species under

more intense selection is generally expected to be better adapted to local conditions[24]. While

prey are typically thought to experience stronger selection than their predators (the "life-dinner

principle")[25], this asymmetry may be reversed when prey contain deadly toxins like TTX[1]. In

fact, populations in central Oregon are the most toxic newts known[5], so non-resistant predators

should experience severe fitness consequences.

Non-adaptive processes of drift and gene flow provide a parsimonious explanation for

landscape-wide variation in newt TTX across the geographic mosaic, but the extreme levels of

prey toxin and predator resistance in some locations, such as hotspots like central Oregon, are

likely a result of reciprocal arms race coevolution. Outside of hotspots, in regions like northern

Washington, divergent selection may even favor a reduction in TTX (i.e., "coldspots"), such that

the tight spatial correlation we observed between newt TTX and neutral SNPs reflects gene flow

homogenizing variation between cold- and hotspots. This pattern underscores the important role

of "trait remixing", a largely untested component of the geographic mosaic theory that is thought

to generate spatial variation in species interactions[3,6]. The neutral processes of drift and gene

flow are predicted to continually alter the spatial distribution of allelic and phenotypic variation,

potentially interfering with local selection. Gene flow outwards from hotspots of coevolution is

predicted to alter dynamics in surrounding populations[26,27], and if gene flow is high, the

population with the strongest reciprocal effects on fitness is expected to dictate broader

landscape patterns of trait variation[24,26,28]. The homogenizing effects of gene flow may be less

influential in snake populations due to the simple genetic basis of TTX resistance and/or strong

selection on predators.

Our results highlight how not all landscape patterns of phenotypic matching in natural

enemies may be the inherent result of coevolution[3]. External factors such as abiotic conditions[29],

evolutionary constraints[30], or interactions with other species[31] are likely to have unique effects on

the evolution of prey and predator. In the geographic mosaic of arms race coevolution, it appears

that phenotypic divergence in newt toxin in disproportionally the result of population structure, a

pattern that underlies landscape-wide variation in the armaments of both species. Ultimately, the

evolutionary response to selection at the phenotypic interface is almost certain to differ in two

interacting species—so much so that coevolution may not always be the most parsimonious

explanation for observed patterns of phenotypic divergence and trait matching across the

geographic mosaic.

References:

1. Brodie III, E. D. & Brodie, Jr., E. D. Predator-prey arms races. *BioScience* **49**, 557–568 (1999).

2. Janzen, D. H. When is it coevolution? *Evolution* (1980).

3. Gomulkiewicz, R. *et al.* Dos and don'ts of testing the geographic mosaic theory of coevolution. *Heredity* **98**, 249–258 (2007).

4. Brodie, Jr., E. D., Ridenhour, B. J. & Brodie III, E. D. The evolutionary response of predators to dangerous prey: hotspots and coldspots in the geographic mosaic of coevolution between garter snakes and newts. *Evolution* **56**, 2067–2082 (2002).

5. Hanifin, C. T., Brodie, Jr., E. D. & Brodie III, E. D. Phenotypic mismatches reveal escape from arms-race coevolution. *PLoS Biol* **6**, e60 (2008).

6. Thompson, J. N. *The Geographic Mosaic of Coevolution*. (University of Chicago Press, 2005).

7. Brodie III, E. D. & Ridenhour, B. J. Reciprocal selection at the phenotypic interface of coevolution. *Integr. Comp. Biol.* **43**, 408–418 (2003).

8. Benkman, C. W., Parchman, T. L., Favis, A. & Siepielski, A. M. Reciprocal selection causes a coevolutionary arms race between crossbills and lodgepole pine. *Am. Nat.* **162**, 182–194 (2003).

9. Zangerl, A. R. & Berenbaum, M. R. Phenotype matching in wild parsnip and parsnip webworms: causes and consequences. *Evolution* **57**, 806–815 (2003).

10. Toju, H., Ueno, S., Taniguchi, F. & Sota, T. Metapopulation structure of a seed-predator weevil and its host plant in arms race coevolution. *Evolution* **65**, 1707–1722 (2011).

11. Lively, C. M. & Dybdahl, M. F. Parasite adaptation to locally common host genotypes. *Nature* **405**, 679 (2000).

12. Thrall, P. H., Burdon, J. & Bever, J. D. Local adaptation in the *Linum marginale—Melampsora lini* host-pathogen interaction. *Evolution* **56**, 1340–1351 (2002).

13. Swenson, N. G., Howard, D. J., Hellberg, A. E. M. E. & Losos, E. J. B. Clustering of contact zones, hybrid zones, and phylogeographic breaks in North America. *Am. Nat.* **166**, 581–591 (2005).

14. Fozzard, H. A. & Lipkind, G. M. The tetrodotoxin binding site is within the outer vestibule of the sodium channel. *Mar. Drugs* **8**, 219–234 (2010).

15. Tikhonov, D. B. & Zhorov, B. S. Architecture and pore block of Eukaryotic voltage-gated sodium channels in view of NavAb bacterial sodium channel structure. *Mol. Pharmacol.* **82**, 97–104 (2012).

16. Geffeney, S., Brodie, Jr., E. D., Ruben, P. C. & Brodie III, E. D. Mechanisms of adaptation in a predator-prey arms race: TTX-resistant sodium channels. *Science* **297**, 1336–1339 (2002).

17. Geffeney, S. L., Fujimoto, E., Brodie III, E. D., Brodie, Jr., E. D. & Ruben, P. C.
Evolutionary diversification of TTX-resistant sodium channels in a predator-prey interaction.
Nature **434**, 759–763 (2005).

18. Feldman, C. R., Brodie, Jr., E. D., Brodie III, E. D. & Pfrender, M. E. Genetic architecture of
a feeding adaptation: garter snake (*Thamnophis*) resistance to tetrodotoxin bearing prey.
Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. **277**, 3317–3325 (2010).

19. Hague, M. T. J., Feldman, C. R., Brodie, Jr., E. D. & Brodie III, E. D. Convergent adaptation
to dangerous prey proceeds through the same first-step mutation in the garter snake
Thamnophis sirtalis. *Evolution* **71**, 1504–1518 (2017).

20. Ridenhour, B. J., Brodie, Jr., E. D. & Brodie III, E. D. Patterns of genetic differentiation in
Thamnophis and *Taricha* from the Pacific Northwest. *J. Biogeogr.* **34**, 724–735 (2007).

21. Moczydlowski, E. G. The molecular mystique of tetrodotoxin. *Toxicon* **63**, 165–183 (2013).

22. Yotsu, M., Iorizzi, M. & Yasumoto, T. Distribution of tetrodotoxin, 6-epitetrodotoxin, and
11-deoxytetrodotoxin in newts. *Toxicon* **28**, 238–241 (1990).

23. Yasumoto, T. & Yotsu-Yamashita, M. Chemical and etiological studies on tetrodotoxin and
its analogs. *Toxin Rev.* **15**, 81–90 (1996).

24. Gandon, S. Local adaptation and the geometry of host–parasite coevolution. *Ecol. Lett.* **5**,
246–256 (2002).

25. Dawkins, R. & Krebs, J. R. Arms races between and within species. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B*
Biol. Sci. **205**, 489–511 (1979).

26. Gomulkiewicz, R., Thompson, J. N., Holt, R. D., Nuismer, Scott L. & Hochberg, M. E. Hot
spots, cold spots, and the geographic mosaic theory of coevolution. *Am. Nat.* **156**, 156–174
(2000).

27. Thompson, J. N., Nuismer, S. L. & Gomulkiewicz, R. Coevolution and maladaptation.
Integr. Comp. Biol. **42**, 381–387 (2002).

28. Gandon, S. & Michalakis, Y. Local adaptation, evolutionary potential and host–parasite
coevolution: interactions between migration, mutation, population size and generation time.
J. Evol. Biol. **15**, 451–462 (2002).

29. Johnson, S. G., Hulsey, C. D. & León, F. J. G. Spatial mosaic evolution of snail defensive
traits. *BMC Evol. Biol.* **7**, 1–11 (2007).

30. Hague, M. T. J. *et al.* Large-effect mutations generate trade-off between predatory and
locomotor ability during arms race coevolution with deadly prey. *Evol. Lett.* **2**, 406–416
(2018).

31. Benkman, C. W., Holimon, W. C. & Smith, J. W. The influence of a competitor on the
geographic mosaic of coevolution between crossbills and lodgepole pine. *Evolution* **55**, 282–
294 (2001).

32. Gall, B. G. *et al.* Tetrodotoxin levels in larval and metamorphosed newts (*Taricha*
granulosa) and palatability to predatory dragonflies. *Toxicon* **57**, 978–983 (2011).

33. Stokes, A. N., Williams, B. L. & French, S. S. An improved competitive inhibition
enzymatic immunoassay method for tetrodotoxin quantification. *Biol. Proced. Online* **14**, 3
(2012).

34. Hanifin, C. T., Brodie III, E. D. & Brodie, Jr., E. D. Tetrodotoxin levels of the rough-skin
newt, *Taricha granulosa*, increase in long-term captivity. *Toxicon* **40**, 1149–1153 (2002).

35. Hanifin, C. T., Brodie III, E. D. & Brodie, Jr., E. D. A predictive model to estimate total skin
tetrodotoxin in the newt *Taricha granulosa*. *Toxicon* **43**, 243–249 (2004).

36. Hague, M. T. J. *et al.* Toxicity and population structure of the Rough-Skinned Newt (*Taricha*
granulosa) outside the range of an arms race with resistant predators. *Ecol. Evol.* **6**, 2714–
2724 (2016).

37. Murray, S. A., Mihali, T. K. & Neilan, B. A. Extraordinary conservation, gene loss, and
positive selection in the evolution of an ancient neurotoxin. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **28**, 1173–1182
(2010).

38. Brodie III, E. D. & Brodie, Jr., E. D. Tetrodotoxin resistance in garter snakes: an
evolutionary response of predators to dangerous prey. *Evolution* **44**, 651–659 (1990).

39. Ridenhour, B. J., Brodie III, E. D. & Brodie, Jr., E. D. Resistance of neonates and field-
collected garter snakes (*Thamnophis* spp.) to tetrodotoxin. *J. Chem. Ecol.* **30**, 143–154
(2004).

40. Ridenhour, B. The coevolutionary process: The effects of population structure on a predator-
prey system. (Indiana University, 2004).

41. Lynch, M., Walsh, B. & others. *Genetics and analysis of quantitative traits*. **1**, (Sinauer
Sunderland, MA, 1998).

42. Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B. & Walker, S. Fitting linear mixed-effects models using
lme4. *J. Stat. Softw.* **67**, 1–48 (2015).

43. Cumming, G. & Finch, S. Inference by eye: Confidence intervals and how to read pictures of
data. *Am. Psychol.* **60**, 170–180 (2005).

44. Vicoso, B., Emerson, J., Zektser, Y., Mahajan, S. & Bachtrog, D. Comparative sex
chromosome genomics in snakes: differentiation, evolutionary strata, and lack of global
dosage compensation. *PLoS Biol.* **11**, e1001643 (2013).

45. Augstenová, B. *et al.* ZW, XY, and yet ZW: Sex chromosome evolution in snakes even more
complicated. *Evolution* **72**, 1701–1707 (2018).

46. Stephens, M., Smith, N. J. & Donnelly, P. A new statistical method for haplotype
reconstruction from population data. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **68**, 978–989 (2001).

47. Graffelman, J. & Morales-Camarena, J. Graphical tests for Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium
based on the ternary plot. *Hum. Hered.* **65**, 77–84 (2008).

48. Graffelman, J. & Weir, B. S. Multi-allelic exact tests for Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium that
account for gender. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* **18**, 461–473 (2018).

49. Graffelman, J. & Weir, B. S. On the testing of Hardy-Weinberg proportions and equality of
allele frequencies in males and females at biallelic genetic markers. *Genet. Epidemiol.* **42**,
34–48 (2018).

50. Graffelman, J. & Weir, B. Testing for Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium at biallelic genetic
markers on the X chromosome. *Heredity* **116**, 558 (2016).

51. Excoffier, L. & Lischer, H. E. L. Arlequin suite ver 3.5: a new series of programs to perform
population genetics analyses under Linux and Windows. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* **10**, 564–567
(2010).

52. Hanifin, C. T., Yotsu-Yamashita, M., Yasumoto, T. & Brodie, E. D. Toxicity of dangerous
prey: variation of tetrodotoxin levels within and among populations of the newt *Taricha*
granulosa. *J. Chem. Ecol.* **25**, 2161–2175 (1999).

53. Williams, B. L., Brodie, E. D. & Brodie, E. D. Comparisons between toxic effects of
tetrodotoxin administered orally and by intraperitoneal injection to the garter snake
Thamnophis sirtalis. *J. Herpetol.* **36**, 112–115 (2002).

54. Brodie III, E. D. & Brodie, Jr., E. D. Evolutionary response of predators to dangerous prey:
reduction of toxicity of newts and resistance of garter snakes in island populations. *Evolution*
45, 221–224 (1991).

55. Williams, B. L., Brodie, Jr., E. D. & Brodie III, E. D. Coevolution of deadly toxins and
predator resistance: self-assessment of resistance by garter snakes leads to behavioral
rejection of toxic newt prey. *Herpetologica* **59**, 155–163 (2003).

56. Williams, B. L., Hanifin, C. T., Brodie, Jr., E. D. & Brodie III, E. D. Tetrodotoxin affects
survival probability of rough-skinned newts (*Taricha granulosa*) faced with TTX-resistant
garter snake predators (*Thamnophis sirtalis*). *Chemoecology* **20**, 285–290 (2010).

57. Peterson, B. K., Weber, J. N., Kay, E. H., Fisher, H. S. & Hoekstra, H. E. Double digest
RADseq: An inexpensive method for de novo SNP discovery and genotyping in model and
non-model species. *PLoS ONE* **7**, e37135–11 (2012).

58. Andrew, S. *FastQC*. (2016).

59. Catchen, J., Hohenlohe, P. A., Bassham, S., Amores, A. & Cresko, W. A. Stacks: an analysis
tool set for population genomics. *Mol. Ecol.* **22**, 3124–3140 (2013).

60. Langmead, B. & Salzberg, S. L. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat. Methods* **9**,
357–359 (2012).

61. Gruber, B., Unmack, P. J., Berry, O. F. & Georges, A. dartr: An r package to facilitate
analysis of SNP data generated from reduced representation genome sequencing. *Mol. Ecol.*
Resour. (2017).

62. R Core Team. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. (R Foundation for
Statistical Computing, 2018).

63. Foll, M. & Gaggiotti, O. A genome-scan method to identify selected loci appropriate for both
dominant and codominant markers: a Bayesian perspective. *Genetics* **180**, 977–993 (2008).

64. Nei, M. *Molecular evolutionary genetics*. (Columbia University Press, 1987).

65. Goudet, J. & Jombart, T. *hierfstat: Estimation and Tests of Hierarchical F-Statistics*. (2015).

66. Weir, B. S. & Cockerham, C. C. Estimating F-statistics for the analysis of population
structure. *evolution* **38**, 1358–1370 (1984).

67. Pembleton, L. W., Cogan, N. O. I. & Forster, J. W. StAMPP: an R package for calculation of
genetic differentiation and structure of mixed-ploidy level populations. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.*
13, 946–952 (2013).

68. Rousset, F. Genetic differentiation and estimation of gene flow from F-statistics under
isolation by distance. *Genetics* **145**, 1219–1228 (1997).

69. Legendre, P. & Fortin, M.-J. Comparison of the Mantel test and alternative approaches for
detecting complex multivariate relationships in the spatial analysis of genetic data. *Mol.*
Ecol. Resour. **10**, 831–844 (2010).

70. Meirmans, P. G. Seven common mistakes in population genetics and how to avoid them.
Mol. Ecol. **24**, 3223–3231 (2015).

71. Oksanen, J. *et al. vegan: Community Ecology Package.* (2018).

72. Pritchard, J. K., Stephens, M. & Donnelly, P. Inference of population structure using
multilocus genotype data. *Genetics* **155**, 945–959 (2000).

73. Falush, D., Stephens, M. & Pritchard, J. K. Inference of population structure using
multilocus genotype data: linked loci and correlated allele frequencies. *Genetics* **164**, 1567–
1587 (2003).

74. Earl, D. A. & vonHoldt, B. M. STRUCTURE HARVESTER: a website and program for
visualizing STRUCTURE output and implementing the Evanno method. *Conserv. Genet.*
Resour. **4**, 359–361 (2012).

75. Evanno, G., Regnaut, S. & Goudet, J. Detecting the number of clusters of individuals using
the software structure: a simulation study. *Mol. Ecol.* **14**, 2611–2620 (2005).

76. Jakobsson, M. & Rosenberg, N. A. CLUMPP: a cluster matching and permutation program
for dealing with label switching and multimodality in analysis of population structure.
Bioinformatics **23**, 1801–1806 (2007).

77. Francis, R. M. pophelper: An R package and web app to analyse and visualise population
structure. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* **17**, 27–32 (2017).

78. Manly, B. F. J. Randomization and regression methods for testing for associations with
geographical, environmental and biological distances between populations. *Res. Popul. Ecol.*
28, 201–218 (1986).

79. Smouse, P. E., Long, J. C. & Sokal, R. R. Multiple regression and correlation extensions of
the mantel test of matrix correspondence. *Syst. Zool.* **35**, 627 (1986).

80. Legendre, P., Lapointe, F.-J. & Casgrain, P. Modeling brain evolution from behavior: a
permutational regression approach. *Evolution* **48**, 1487–1499 (1994).

81. Lichstein, J. W. Multiple regression on distance matrices: a multivariate spatial analysis tool.
Plant Ecol. **188**, 117–131 (2007).

82. Rosenblum, E. B. Convergent evolution and divergent selection: lizards at the White Sands
ecotone. *Am. Nat.* (2005).

83. Raufaste, N. & Rousset, F. Are partial mantel tests adequate? *Evolution* **55**, 1703–1705
(2001).

84. Rousset, F. & Waller, D. Partial Mantel tests: reply to Castellano and Balletto. *Evolution* **56**,
1874–1875 (2002).

85. Szymura, J. M. & Barton, N. H. Genetic analysis of a hybrid zone between the fire-bellied
toads, *Bombina bombina* and *B. variegata*, near Cracow in southern Poland. *Evolution* **40**,
1141–1159 (1986).

86. Szymura, J. M. & Barton, N. H. The genetic structure of the hybrid zone between the fire-
bellied toads *Bombina bombina* and *B. variegata*: comparisons between transects and
between loci. *Evolution* **45**, 237–261 (1991).

87. Derryberry, E. P., Derryberry, G. E., Maley, J. M. & Brumfield, R. T. HZAR: hybrid zone
analysis using an R software package. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* **14**, 652–663 (2014).

88. Baldassarre, D. T., White, T. A., Karubian, J. & Webster, M. S. Genomic and morphological
analysis of a semipermeable avian hybrid zone suggests asymmetrical introgression of a
sexual signal. *Evolution* **68**, 2644–2657 (2014).

89. Scordato, E. S. *et al.* Genomic variation across two barn swallow hybrid zones reveals traits
associated with divergence in sympatry and allopatry. *Mol. Ecol.* **26**, 5676–5691 (2017).

90. Fox, J. & Weisberg, S. *An R companion to applied regression*. (Sage, 2011).

91. Nakagawa, S. & Schielzeth, H. A general and simple method for obtaining R^2 from
generalized linear mixed-effects models. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* **4**, 133–142 (2013).

92. Bartoń, K. *MuMIn: Multi-Model Inference*. (2018).

Acknowledgements:

We thank the Departments of Fish and Wildlife in Washington and Oregon for scientific

collecting permits to MTJH (18-082 and 063-18, respectively). We also thank IACUC for the

protocol to EDB, Jr. at USU (1008). We are grateful for T. St. Pierre's help with fieldwork. J.

McGlothlin and K. Gendreau helped with sex-linked analyses of $Na_V1.4$ and provided valuable

feedback that improved the quality of this manuscript. R. Cox, D. Taylor, A. Bergland, D. Carr,

and the Brodie and Feldman lab groups also provided helpful input. **Funding**: This work was

supported by a Doctoral Dissertation Improvement Grant from the National Science Foundation

to MTJH and EDB III (DEB 1601296) and NSF support to EDB III (DEB 0922251). **Author**

contributions: MTJH designed the project, collected specimens, generated genetic data, and

performed statistical analyses. ANS collected phenotypic data on newt TTX levels. CRF

collected specimens and phenotypic data on snake resistance. EDB, Jr. collected phenotypic data

on snake resistance and provided leadership on the project. EDB III designed the project and

provided leadership. All authors prepared the manuscript. **Competing interests**: The authors

declare no conflict of interest with this manuscript.

Fig. 1. Matching phenotypes in prey and predator imply local co-adaptation in the arms race. (**A**) Population means of TTX
levels (μg/cm^2) in newts and (**B**) phenotypic TTX resistance (50% MAMU dose) in snakes along the latitudinal transect. Error bars
indicate 95% confidence intervals. The x-axis represents linear distance (km) from the northernmost sampling site (Clallam; 0 km).
For snakes, the frequency of TTX-resistant alleles in the Na$_V$1.4 channel is shown with pie charts proportional to sample size. To the
right, the schematic of Na$_V$1.4 shows the four domains of the channel (DI–DIV), with the extracellular pore loops (p-loops)
highlighted with bold lines. Specific amino acid changes in the DIV p-loop are shown in their relative positions within the pore. The
TTX-sensitive ancestral sequence (purple) is listed, followed by the two derived alleles known to confer increases in channel
resistance in this lineage. (**C**) Map inset illustrates population estimates of prey toxin and predator resistance at each location in the
geographic mosaic. Blue colors correspond to low estimates of TTX (squares) or resistance (circles), whereas red indicates escalated
phenotypes in the arms race.

Fig. 2. Populations of prey and predator differ in geographic structure. Results from the principal coordinate (PCoA) and
STRUCTURE analyses of neutral SNPs from newts and snakes. PCoA graphs are rotated 90º to emphasize the major axis of variation
corresponding to latitude. The PC 1 values for each individual were used as a neutral expectation in the cline-fitting analyses.
STRUCTURE plots are arranged latitudinally by population, in the same order as the map. Each horizontal bar represents the ancestry
assignment of an individual, with populations separated by white dashed lines.

Fig. 3. Levels of prey toxin are best predicted by neutral population structure, whereas predator resistance is predicted by
prey toxin. Cline-fitting results for phenotypic and genetic variation are shown, with error bars indicating confidence intervals
surrounding the geographic cline centers. (**A**) Phenotypic clines of TTX levels (log[TTX μg/cm^2 + 0.1]) and (**B**) TTX resistance
(ln[MAMU + 1]) are shown in red. For snakes, the frequency of TTX-resistant alleles in the Na$_V$1.4 channel was also modeled (in
black). Gray dashed lines represent the neutral expectation for trait variation due to population structure, based on the PCoA. The cline
center points of TTX levels and neutral PC 1 in newts, and phenotypic resistance and TTX-resistant alleles in snakes, are all located
within in 84 km of each other along the 611 km transect.

Table 1. Results from multiple regression of distance matrices (MRMs) comparing population
divergence in phenotypic and genetic data.
439

Response Variable	Explanatory Variable(s)	Coefficient	p-value	R^2
Newt TTX levels	Neutral F_{ST} of newts	5.998	**0.002***	0.414
	TTX resistance of snakes	0.415	**0.019***	0.274
	Neutral F_{ST} + TTX resistance			
	Neutral F_{ST} of newts	4.827	**0.006***	0.501
	TTX resistance of snakes	0.253	0.065˙	
Snake TTX resistance	Neutral F_{ST} of snakes	1.442	0.719	0.006
	TTX level of newts	0.662	**0.021***	0.274
	Neutral F_{ST} + TTX toxicity			
	Neutral F_{ST} of snakes	-5.830	0.189	0.338
	TTX level of newts	0.873	**0.011***	
	Neutral F_{ST} of newts	4.632	**0.035***	0.155
Snake F_{ST} of DIV p-loop	Neutral F_{ST} of snakes	2.649	0.202	0.080
	Neutral F_{ST} of newts	3.196	**0.010***	0.311

Methods:

We sampled phenotypic and genomic data from *Ta. granulosa* (*n*=138) and *Th. sirtalis*

(*n*=169) at nine locations along a latitudinal transect in the states of Washington and Oregon

(Fig. 1; Supplementary Table S1) and compared mosaic patterns of escalation in the arms race to

neutral population genomic structure across the landscape. We chose to focus our analysis on

populations of *Ta. granulosa* and *Th. sirtalis* in the Pacific Northwest of North America. Other

regions of sympatry, particularly in California, contain additional TTX-bearing newt species

(e.g., *Ta. torosa*) and resistant garter snakes (e.g., *Th. atratus*), which could confound inferences

of coevolution between specific species pairs.

TTX levels of Taricha granulosa

We estimated levels of TTX in *Ta. granulosa* using a Competitive Inhibition Enzymatic

Immunoassay (CIEIA) and TTX-specific antibodies[32,33]. We quantified the amount of TTX in a

5mm circular skin punch from the dorsum of each newt using a human skin-biopsy punch (Acu-

Punche, Acuderm Inc.)[34–36]. These data were used to estimate the dorsal skin concentration of

TTX (μg/cm^2) in each individual. TTX is uniformly distributed throughout the dorsum and levels

of TTX in the dorsal skin are tightly correlated with toxicity in other regions[35]. We conducted a

two-way ANOVA to test whether TTX differed by population and by sex, because past work

suggests toxin levels may vary by sex[34]. Distribution and leverage analyses indicated that a log(x

+ 0.1) transformation of TTX was needed. Transformed mean and variance values were used in

the cline-fitting analysis of TTX along the transect.

Although a considerable body of work has described the genetic basis of TTX resistance

in *Th. sirtalis* (see below), similar information regarding TTX in *Ta. granulosa* is unavailable.

Although we were unable to characterize genetic variation underlying prey toxin, we assume that

TTX production probably has a polygenic basis. For example, biosynthesis of saxitoxin (STX), a

similar neurotoxin found in marine species, involves gene expression in a cluster of up to 26

genes in cyanobacteria[37].

TTX resistance of Thamnophis sirtalis

We measured phenotypic TTX resistance using a well-established bioassay of whole

animal performance[4,19,38,39]. Briefly, each individual was assayed on a 4 m racetrack to

characterize its "baseline" crawl speed, then injected intraperitoneally with a known dose of TTX

and assayed for "post-injection" speed. Population estimates of phenotypic TTX resistance are

reported on a scale of mass-adjusted mouse units (MAMUs) to control for differences in body

size[4]. Resistance was estimated as the relative performance after injection: the MAMU dose of

TTX that reduces performance by 50% of baseline speed. We incorporated racetrack data from

previously published estimates of resistance from the same sampling locations to generate

precise population estimates of phenotypic resistance in this study (see Supplementary Table

S1)[4,40].

The 50% MAMU dose was estimated separately for each population from a dose-

response curve using curvilinear regression and the general transform $y'=\ln(1/y-1)$[4]. Individuals

from each population received a series of TTX doses, with an average of 2.5 different doses per

individual. At $y=0.5$ (i.e., 50%), $y'=0$ and the 50% dose is estimable $\hat{x} = -\alpha/\beta$ (where α is the

intercept and β the slope from the curvilinear regression). Because $\hat{x}$ takes the form of a ratio,

the standard error for the estimated 50% dose is calculated using standard methods for the

variance of a ratio[4,41]. Confidence intervals of 95% were calculated as ±1.96 SE. Regression was

performed in R with the "lmer" function implemented in the lme4 package[42]. The individual ID

of each snake was included as a random effect to account for the fact that each snake received

multiple injections. Distribution and leverage analysis indicated that a transformation of the x

variable (MAMU of TTX) was needed, so we transformed the data using $x'=\ln(x + 1)^{4}$.

Differences among populations in phenotypic TTX resistance were deemed significant if 95%

confidence intervals did not overlap by more than half of a one-sided error bar[43].

We genotyped snakes for their amino acid sequence in the DIV p-loop of the $Na_V1.4$

channel. Methods for Sanger sequencing are described in Hague et al. (2017)[19]. For each

individual, we sequenced a 666 bp fragment that includes the DIV p-loop region of $Na_V1.4$. The

$Na_V1.4$ protein is encoded by the *SCN4A* gene located on the Z sex chromosome of *Th. sirtalis*

(Gendreau et al., *in prep*). Colubrid snakes, including garter snakes, have heteromorphic sex

chromosomes (ZZ males, ZW females) that are non-recombining[44,45], and females appear to be

hemizygous for the Z-linked *SCN4A* gene. We used sex-specific PCR primers to confirm the sex

of each individual (ZW.734.F, 5'-TAAGGTCCTGGGCATGTCCT-3'; ZW.2468.R, 5'-

ATGGCTTGGAATGAGGTGGG-3'; Gendreau et al., *in prep*). In DIV p-loop sequences from

males, heterozygous positions on chromatograms were identified by eye and confirmed in both

directions with sequencing. The haplotype phase of the DIV p-loop sequence for each male was

inferred computationally with the program PHASE[46].

We translated the aligned DIV p-loop coding sequences into the amino acids and tested

for departures from Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium (HWE) using a joint test for HWE and

equality of allele frequencies (EAF) using the *HWTriExact* function in the HardyWeinberg

package in R[47–49]. Standard tests for HWE rely on the assumption of EAF in males and females.

The joint exact test for HWE and EAF accounts for the hemizygous sex and tests for joint

departures of HWE and EAF[48–50]. We also calculated pairwise F_{ST} divergence at the DIV p-loop

in the program Arlequin[51] and used a Mantel test to test for a relationship between pairwise F_{ST}

divergence at the DIV p-loop and phenotypic divergence in whole-animal TTX resistance.

Significance was tested with 1000 permutations.

Analysis of phenotypic matching

Following Hanifin et al. (2008)[5], we estimated functional levels of newt TTX and snake

resistance to visualize whether prey and predator exhibit matched levels of escalation along the

transect. The model provides a rough estimate of functional interactions between newt TTX and

snake resistance based on an extensive body of work[4,7,35,38,39,52–54]. Localities are considered

"matched" if a sympatric interaction between prey and predator could potentially result in

variable fitness outcomes for both species, leading to reciprocal selection between newt TTX and

snake resistance[5]. For each locality, we estimated whole-newt levels of TTX (mg) and the dose

of TTX (mg) required to reduce performance of co-occurring snakes to 15%, 50%, and 85% of

their baseline performance. The TTX dose required to reduce snake performance by 50% is

considered a perfect functional match between newt TTX and snake resistance. The 15% and

85% doses delimit the range of functionally relevant doses for snakes. At performance levels

<15%, all snakes that ingest newts are fully immobilized or killed and newts escape, whereas at

performance levels >85% all snakes are unaffected and captured newts die[5,55,56]. Localities where

the full range of TTX doses found in newts fall outside the 15-85% region of phenotypic space

are considered phenotypic "mismatches", such that variable fitness outcomes and reciprocal

selection are unlikely to occur.

Methods for estimating functionally comparable values of newt TTX and snake

resistance are described in Hanifin et al. (2008)[5]. Briefly, we extrapolated our measures of newt

TTX in skin samples ($\mu g/cm^2$) to the whole animal (mg of TTX/newt) using standard methods.

For snakes, the 15, 50, and 85% MAMU doses were extracted from the dose response curves of

each population (as described above). We converted snake resistance from MAMUs based on

intraperitoneal (IP) injections to mg of TTX in oral doses using the following equation:

538                $$IPdose(mg) = (\theta*0.00001429)*snake\ mass(g)$$

where θ is the MAMU dose and 0.0001429 is the conversion factor (1 MAMU= $0.01429\mu g$ TTX

per gram of snake). The effects of TTX are dependent on body size, so we estimated the oral

dose of TTX required to slow the average adult *Th. sirtalis* (mean adult mass = 52.84 g). We

then converted the IP dose (mg) to the oral dose required to achieve the same performance

reduction by multiplying the IP dose by 40. Estimates of orally ingested doses of TTX (mg)

required to reduce snake performance by 15, 50, and 85% were used as a functionally equivalent

metric to compare predator phenotypes to those of the prey (Supplementary Table S2).

ddRADseq library preparation

We prepared ddRADseq libraries separately for *Ta. granulosa* and *Th. sirtalis* using the

protocol described in Peterson et al. (2012)[57]. Genomic DNA was extracted using the DNeasy

Blood & Tissue kit (Qiagen Inc., Valencia, CA.). We digested 600 ng of genomic DNA for each

sample using the restriction enzymes *EcoRI* and *SbfI* for *Ta. granulosa* and *MfeI* and *SbfI* for *Th.*

sirtalis. Unique combinations of individual P1 and P2 barcoded adapters were annealed to the

digested genomic DNA of each sample. Each barcode was six base pairs long and differed by at

least two nucleotides. After barcoding, *Ta. granulosa* and *Th. sirtalis* samples were pooled

separately, purified with AmpureXP beads (Beckman Coulter, Inc., Brea, CA, USA), and size

selected for 500 to 600 bp fragments using a Pippin Prep (Sage Science, Inc., Beverly, MA,

USA). We enriched the adapter-ligated fragments in the size-selected libraries using 16 PCR

cycles and then purified the product with AmpureXP beads. The *Ta. granulosa* and *Th. sirtalis*

libraries were each run on two lanes of the Illumina HiSeq 2500 platform (Illumina, Inc., San

Diego, CA, USA) to generate 125 bp paired-end reads.

Data processing of Illumina sequencing

Read quality of the raw sequence data was assessed using FastQC 0.11.5[58]. We used

process_radtags in Stacks 1.46[59] on both the *Ta. granulosa* and *Th. sirtalis* datasets to

demultiplex reads and removed sequences with low-quality scores or uncalled bases. For the *Ta.*

granulosa dataset, we used the *denovo_map.pl* pipeline in Stacks, because a reference genome is

not currently available. We used a minimum depth of three (*-m*), a distance of three between

stacks (*-M*), and a distance of three between catalog loci (*-n*). The *Th. sirtalis* reads were aligned

to the *Th. sirtalis* genome using Bowtie2 2.2.9[60]. We discarded reads that did not align or had

more than one match to the genome. We used *ref_map.pl* in Stacks to assemble the reference-

aligned sequences into loci, with a minimum depth of three (*-m*). For both species, we used

populations in Stacks to select loci with a minimum depth of 10x coverage. To avoid linkage

among sites within the same locus, we only retained one single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP)

per locus.

We used the dartR package in R[61,62] to remove loci and individuals with >30% missing

data. We also removed loci with a minor allele frequency (MAF) <5%, including those that were

invariant. Finally, we removed putative loci under selection. The program BayeScan 2.1 was

used to search for loci with F_{ST} coefficients that were significantly different than those expected

under neutrality[63]. The Bayesian analysis used 20 pilot runs with 5,000 iterations followed by an

additional burn-in of 50,000 and 50,000 output iterations. An outlier analysis with FDR-

corrected p-values (q-values) <0.05 was used to identify and remove outlier loci putatively under

selection.

Analysis of neutral population structure

The filtered SNPs for each species were used to calculate observed heterozygosity (H_O)

and gene diversity (H_S) for each population[64] using the hierfstat package in R[65]. To estimate

neutral population genomic structure, we calculated global and pairwise F_{ST} values[66]. Confidence

intervals were estimated by running 1000 bootstraps over loci using the hierfstat and stAMPP

packages in R[67]. We tested for a pattern of isolation-by-distance (IBD) along each transect by

performing Mantel tests on matrices of linearized F_{ST} and geographic distance[68]. We also

conducted distance-based redundancy analyses (dbRDA), which are thought to be more reliable

than Mantel tests at detecting spatial patterns like IBD[69,70]. We conducted dbRDA analyses in the

vegan package in R[71] to test for a relationship between pairwise F_{ST} values and the geographic

coordinates (latitude and longitude) of sampling locations. We assessed significance of IBD tests

with 1000 permutations.

We visualized population structure of each species using a principal coordinate analysis

(PCoA) in the dartR package in R. The first axis (PC 1) from the PCoA captured latitudinal

variation along the transect for both newts and snake (Fig. 2); therefore, we used the PC 1 values

for each individual as a neutral expectation in the cline-fitting analyses (see below). We assessed

population structure using a Bayesian assignment approach implemented in the program

STRUCTURE[72,73]. We estimated the optimal number of genetic clusters (K) ranging for one to

nine, without populations included as priors. The model assumed population admixture and

correlated allele frequencies[73]. The analysis first ran for 100,000 iterations as burn-in and then

we collected data from the following 1,000,000 interactions in 10 different independent runs.

STRUCTURE HARVESTER[74] was used to detect the most probable K using the Evanno's

method[75]. Ancestry proportion (Q) values of the 10 runs for each value of the most probable K

we averaged using CLUMPP[76] and visualized using the pophelper package in R[77]. We calculated

the average Q value for each population, which represent the fraction of membership to each

genetic cluster (K). These Q estimates were used as a neutral expectation in cline-fitting analyses

(see below) and compared to the cline results of PC 1 from the PCoA.

Multiple regression of distance matrices (MRMs)

613   MRMs are an extension of Mantel tests that involve multiple regression of a response

distance matrix on explanatory matrices[78–81]. Partial regression coefficients can be used to

understand the relationship between two matrices while controlling for the effects of a third

matrix[10,82]. For each species, we generated two distance matrices: (1) pairwise phenotypic

divergence in the coevolutionary trait (e.g., log-transformed TTX of *Ta. granulosa*) and (2)

pairwise genomic divergence (F_{ST}) from neutral SNPs. We then tested whether population

patterns of phenotypic escalation in a focal species (e.g., TTX of *Ta. granulosa*) are best

explained by neutral genomic divergence (pairwise F_{ST}) or escalation in the natural enemy (TTX

resistance of *Th. sirtalis*). MRM analyses can be confounded when explanatory variables are

spatially autocorrelated, so we compared results when the two explanatory variables were

analyzed separately and together[82–84].

 Cline analyses

We performed ML cline-fitting analyses on the phenotypic and genetic data from each

species[85,86]. For *Ta. granulosa,* we fit a cline to TTX levels using the mean and variance of the

log-transformed TTX data ($\mu g/cm^2$). For *Th. sirtalis*, we fit (1) a cline to phenotypic TTX

resistance using the 50% MAMU dose and variance from the ln-transformed MAMU data and

(2) a genetic cline to the frequency of TTX-resistant alleles in each population. Two different

TTX-resistant DIV alleles occur in the Pacific Northwest: $Na_V1.4^V$ is generally found at high

frequency in the center of the transect, whereas $Na_V1.4^{VA}$ occurs predominately in southern

populations (Fig. 1). We generated separate clines for each allele (data not shown), but the cline

fit to the combined frequency of both TTX-resistant alleles (Fig. 3) was the most representative

of variation along the entire transect. For each species, we compared clinal variation in

coevolutionary traits to variation at the neutral SNPs. We first fit clines to the PC 1 values from

the PCoA of each species, and secondly, we fit clines to the ancestry proportions (Q) from the

most likely value of K for each species (K=2). We reran cline-fitting analyses with the Elk River

population removed from the *Ta. granulosa* dataset, because the PCoA (Fig. 2) and

STRUCTURE plot of K=3 (Extended Fig. 2) both suggest the population is genetically distinct

from all others; however, this produced the same qualitative result as when the population was

included.

We fit clines using the HZAR package in R^{87}. We calculated distances along the cline as

kilometers (km) from the northernmost sampling site (Clallam). We ran 15 separate models that

varied in the number of cline shape parameters estimated. All models estimated the cline center

(distance from sampling location 1, *c*) and width (1/maximum slope, *w*), but could additionally

estimate combinations of exponential decay curve (tail) parameters (neither tail, right tail only,

left tail only, mirrored tails, or both tails separately), which represent the distance from the cline

center to the tail (δ) and the slope of the tail (τ). The genetic models varied as to whether they

estimated allele frequencies at the cline ends (p_{min} and p_{max}) or fixed them at 0 and 1. All models

were then compared using AIC corrected for small sample sizes (AICc) and maximum likelihood

parameters were extracted for the best-fitting model. We considered cline centers with non-

overlapping two log-likelihood unit support limits (confidence intervals; CIs) to occur in

significantly different geographic locations[88,89].

The cline-fitting analyses revealed a tight correlation between the TTX levels of *Ta.*

granulosa and PC 1 from the PCoA of neutral SNPs (Fig. 3). To further assess this relationship,

we fit linear models that tested whether clinal variation in TTX is best explained by neutral

population structure from PCs 1 and 2 of the PCoA (model 1) or escalation of TTX resistance in

Th. sirtalis (model 2). We then fit a combined model including all explanatory variables (model

3) to test whether neutral structure from the PCoA predicts TTX levels even after controlling for

the TTX resistance of *Th. sirtalis* (Supplementary Table S6).

We conducted an analogous set of tests (models 1-3) for *Th. sirtalis* to assess whether

TTX resistance is best predicted by neutral structure from the PCoA or the TTX levels of *Ta.*

granulosa; however, the analyses were more complicated because population estimates of TTX

resistance (50% MAMU doses) are extracted from a dose response curve. TTX resistance of

individual snakes is measured as a proportion (i.e., the reduction in crawl speed after a given

dose of TTX), with each individual receiving multiple injections. We accounted for these data by

fitting linear mixed models using the "lmer" function in the R package *lme4*[42]. We treated "post-

injection" crawl speed (after a given dose of TTX) as the response variable, and included

"baseline" crawl speed and the dose of TTX as covariates in each model. We also included

individual ID as a random effect to account for the fact that individuals received multiple doses.

Post-injection crawl speed was log-transformed to account for non-normality. Statistical

significance of fixed effects was determined by an ANOVA using a Wald Chi-Square test with

type III sum of squares and one degree of freedom, implemented in the *car* package in R[90]. We

reported the marginal R^2 for each model, which describes the proportion of variance explained

by the fixed factors (not including random effects), implemented in the *MuMIn* package[91,92].

Data availability

DNA sequence alignments for the DIV p-loop of $Na_V1.4$ and the ddRADseq data will be

made available on GenBank upon manuscript acceptance. All phenotypic data and the code for

statistical analyses will be submitted to Dryad upon acceptance.

Extended Data
684
Extended Fig. 1. Functional analysis of phenotypic matching in populations of prey and
predator. The functional relationship of average adult *Ta. granulosa* total skin TTX (mg, log
scale) is plotted against the oral dose of TTX (mg, log scale) required to reduce the speed of an
average adult *Th. sirtalis* to 50% of baseline speed post-ingestion of TTX for each locality.
Individual points are colorized by the average level of newt TTX as in Fig. 1. Vertical bars
represent the full range of newt TTX values observed for each population; horizontal bars
represent the 95% confidence interval for the oral 50% dose of the corresponding snake
population. The dashed 50% line represents a functional match between newt TTX and snake
resistance: the dose of TTX in a newt that would reduce a snake of a given resistance to 50% of
its performance. The solid 15% and 85% lines (calculated as best-fit regressions for each
locality) delimit the range of functionally relevant TTX doses for snakes across the range of
sampled localities. Below the 85% line, values of TTX resistance are high enough for snakes to
consume co-occurring newts with no reduction in performance or fitness. Above the 15% line,
doses of newt TTX are so high that any snake that ingests a newt would be completely
incapacitated or killed. Localities with error bars that fall *entirely* outside the boundaries of these
lines (none of which were observed) are considered mismatched and regions where reciprocal
selection could not occur.
702

703
704

Extended Fig. 2. STRUCTURE results for K=2-4. The most likely number of genetic cluster was K=2 for both species (Fig. 2). STRUCTURE plots are arranged latitudinally by population, in the same order as the map. Each horizontal bar represents the ancestry assignment of an individual, with populations separated by white dashed lines.

709   **Extended Fig. 3.** Comparison of neutral clines fit to (1) PC 1 values from the PCoA and (2) average ancestry assignment values
710   (K=2) from the STRUCTURE analysis. Error bars indicate confidence intervals surrounding the geographic cline centers.
711

713