Title: Seascape genomics as a new tool to empower coral reef conservation strategies: an example on north-western Pacific *Acropora digitifera*.

Running Title: Seascape genomics to support coral conservation

List of Authors: Oliver Selmoni[1], Estelle Rochat[1], Gael Lecellier[2,3], Veronique Berteaux-Lecellier[2], Stéphane Joost[1].

Institutional Affiliations:

[1] Laboratory of Geographic Information Systems (LASIG), School of Architecture, Civil and Environmental Engineering, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

[2] UMR250/9220 ENTROPIE IRD-CNRS-UR, Labex CORAIL, Promenade Roger-Laroque, Noumea cedex, New Caledonia

[3] UVSQ, Université de Paris-Saclay, 45 avenue des Etats-Unis, Versailles Cedex, France

Contact Information:

Stéphane Joost: stephane.joost@epfl.ch, +41216935782

Abstract

Coral reefs are suffering a major decline due to the environmental constraints imposed by climate change. Over the last 20 years, three major coral bleaching events occurred in concomitance of anomalous heat waves, provoking a severe loss of coral cover worldwide. The conservation strategies for preserving reefs, as they are implemented now, cannot cope with global climatic shifts. Consequently, researchers are advocating the set-up of preservation frameworks to reinforce coral adaptive potential. However, the main obstacle to this implementation is that studies on coral adaption are usually hard to generalize at the scale of a reef system.

Here, we study the relationships between frequencies of genetic markers with that of environmental characteristics of the sea (seascape genomics), in combination with connectivity analysis, to investigate the adaptive potential of a flagship coral species of the Ryukyu Archipelago (Japan). By associating genotype frequencies with descriptors of historical environmental conditions, we discovered six genomic regions hosting polymorphisms that might promote resistance against thermal stress. Remarkably, annotations of genes in these regions were consistent with molecular roles associated with heat responses. Furthermore, we combined information on genetic and spatial distances between reefs to predict connectivity at a regional scale.

The combination between the results of these analyses portrayed the adaptive potential of this population: we were able to identify reefs carrying potential adaptive genotypes and to understand how they disperse to neighbouring reefs. This information was summarized by objective, quantifiable, and mappable indices covering the whole region, which can be extremely useful for future prioritization of reefs in conservation planning. This framework is transferable to any coral species on any reef system, and therefore represents a valuable tool for empowering preservation efforts dedicated to the protection of coral reef in warming oceans.

Keywords

Coral reefs – local adaptation – seascape genomics – conservation genomics – connectivity analysis

Acknowledgements

 We thank Annie Guillaume and François Bonhomme for the useful comments and suggestions provided during the redaction of this paper.

Abstract

Coral reefs are suffering a major decline due to the environmental constraints imposed by

climate change. Over the last 20 years, three major coral bleaching events occurred in

concomitance of anomalous heat waves, provoking a severe loss of coral cover worldwide. The

conservation strategies for preserving reefs, as they are implemented now, cannot cope with

global climatic shifts. Consequently, researchers are advocating the set-up of preservation

frameworks to reinforce coral adaptive potential. However, the main obstacle to this

implementation is that studies on coral adaption are usually hard to generalize at the scale of a

reef system.

Here, we study the relationships between frequencies of genetic markers with that of

environmental characteristics of the sea (seascape genomics), in combination with connectivity

analysis, to investigate the adaptive potential of a flagship coral species of the Ryukyu

Archipelago (Japan). By associating genotype frequencies with descriptors of historical

environmental conditions, we discovered six genomic regions hosting polymorphisms that

might promote resistance against thermal stress. Remarkably, annotations of genes in these

regions were consistent with molecular roles associated with heat responses. Furthermore, we

combined information on genetic and spatial distances between reefs to predict connectivity at

a regional scale.

The combination between the results of these analyses portrayed the adaptive potential of this

population: we were able to identify reefs carrying potential adaptive genotypes and to

understand how they disperse to neighbouring reefs. This information was summarized by

objective, quantifiable, and mappable indices covering the whole region, which can be

extremely useful for future prioritization of reefs in conservation planning. This framework is

transferable to any coral species on any reef system, and therefore represents a valuable tool

for empowering preservation efforts dedicated to the protection of coral reef in warming

oceans.   2

Keywords (6-10)

Coral reefs – Local adaptation – climate change – seascape genomics – coral bleaching –

conservation genomics – Acropora digitifera – Ryukyu Archipelago

Introduction

Coral reefs are suffering a severe decline due to the effects of climate change (Hughes et al.,

2017). Loss of reef is already showing catastrophic consequences for marine wildlife who

depend on these structures (Pratchett et al., 2018), with disastrous aftermaths expected for

human economies as well (Moberg & Folke, 1999). One of the major threats to the persistence

of these ecosystems is coral bleaching (Bellwood et al., 2004): a physiological response

induced by environmental stress that provokes hard-skeleton corals, the cornerstone of reefs,

to separate from the symbiotic microbial algae essential for its survival (Mydlarz et al., 2010).

Over the last 20 years, episodes ofcoral bleaching struck world-wide and resulted in a local

coral cover loss of up to 50% (Hughes et al., 2017, 2018). Thermal stress is considered the

main driver of coral bleaching (Hughes et al., 2017), but additional causes of anthropogenic

origin were also identified (e.g. ocean acidification, water eutrophication, sedimentation and

overfishing; Anthony et al., 2008; Ateweberhan et al., 2013; Maina et al., 2008).

Conservation efforts to mitigate the threat of coral bleaching tend to focus on restoring reefs

that have undergone severe losses, as well as try to limit the impact of future bleaching events

(Baums, 2008; Bellwood et al., 2004; Young et al., 2012). To achieve these aims, two main

strategies are currently used: establish marine protected areas (MPAs) at reefs, and develop

coral nurseries (Baums, 2008; Bellwood et al., 2004; Young et al., 2012). MPAs are designated

zones in which human access and activities are severely restricted in order to alleviate the

effects of local anthropogenic stressors (Lester et al., 2009). Coral nurseries are underwater

gardens of transplanted colonies that can then be transplanted to restore damaged reefs (Baums,

2008; Young et al., 2012). For both conservation strategies, researchers advocate the use of

methods that account for demographic connectivity such that the location of a conservation

measure can also promote resistance and resilience for neighbouring sites (Baums, 2008;

Krueck et al., 2017; Lukoschek et al., 2016; Palumbi, 2003; Shanks et al., 2003). Despite the

observed beneficial effects of these conservation policies worldwide (Cinner et al., 2016;

Rodgers et al., 2017; Selig & Bruno, 2010), these solutions do not confer resistance against the

temperature oscillations associated with the last mass bleaching events (Baums, 2008; Hughes

et al., 2017). Coral reefs that had experienced previous thermal stress were found to be more

resistant to subsequent heat waves (Hughes et al., 2019; Krueger et al., 2017; Penin et al., 2013;

Thompson & van Woesik, 2009), but to date this information is neglected in conservation

actions (Baums, 2008; Maina et al., 2011). There is an urgent need to understand whether these

observations are due to evolutionary processes and, if so, to determine how the underlying

adaptive potential could be included in predictions of climate change responses and in

conservation programs (Baums, 2008; Logan et al., 2014; Maina et al., 2011; van Oppen et al.,

2015a).

To this end, seascape genomics tools are likely to play an important role. Seascape genomics

is the marine counterpart of landscape genomics, a branch of population genomics that

investigates adaptive potential through field-based experiments (Balkenhol et al., 2017).

Samples that are collected across a landscape are genotyped using next-generation-sequencing

techniques, providing thousands of genetic variants, while simultaneously the environmental

variables of the study area are characterized, usually using remote-sensing data to describe

specific local climatic conditions (Leempoel et al., 2017). Genomics and environmental

information are then combined to detect genetic polymorphisms associated with particular

conditions (*i.e.,* potentially adaptive genotypes; Rellstab et al., 2015). This approach has been

applied to many terrestrial species, and is increasingly being used to analyse marine systems

in what is referred to as *seascape genomics* (exhaustively reviewed in Riginos, Crandall,

Liggins, Bongaerts, & Treml, 2016). To our knowledge no seascape genomics experiment has

yet been applied to reef corals. In fact, adaptation of these species has been mostly studied via

transplantation assays coupled with aquarium conditioning, which is a time- and resource-

demanding approach that is often restricted to a couple of reefs experiencing contrasting

conditions (Howells et al., 2013; Krueger et al., 2017; Palumbi et al., 2014; Sampayo et al.,

2016; Ziegler et al., 2017). Genotype-environment associations studies have also been

conducted on corals, but have used either a limited number of markers (<10 SNPs in Lundgren,

Vera, Peplow, Manel, & van Oppen, 2013), a restricted number of locations (two in Bay &

Palumbi, 2014), or focused on populations with restricted gene flow (L. Thomas et al., 2017).

Contrary to these previous studies, a seascape genomics approach should cover ecologically

meaningful spatial scales and be able to distinguish the pressures caused from different climatic

conditions, as well as account for confounding effects of demographic processes (Balkenhol

et al., 2017).

In the present study, we applied a seascape genomics framework to detect coral reefs that are

carrying potentially adaptive genotypes, and in turn, to show how conservation policies could

implement the results. Our study focuses on *Acropora digitifera* of the Ryukyu Archipelago in

Japan (Figure 1), an emblematic species of the Indo-Pacific and flagship organism for studies

on corals genomics (Shinzato et al., 2011). We first analysed the convergence between genomic

and environmental information to i) detect loci potentially conferring a selective advantage,

and ii) develop a model describing connectivity patterns. Next, we took advantage of these

findings to indicate which reefs were more likely to be carrying adaptive genotypes and to

evaluate their interconnectedness with the rest of the reef system. Finally, we propose an

approach to implement the results obtained into conservation planning. Overall, our work

provides tools for the interface between conservation genomics and marine environmental

sciences, which are likely to empower preservation strategies for coral reefs into the future.

Materials and methods

Our framework is structured on two axes of analysis and prediction: one focusing on the

presence of adaptive genotypes (seascape genomics), and the other on population connectivity

(Fig. 2). The seascape genomics analysis (Fig. 2A) combines genomic data with environmental

information to uncover potentially adaptive genotypes at sampling sites. The model describing

this relationship is then used to predict, at the scale of the whole study area, the probability of

the presence of adaptive genotypes (Fig. 2B). In the connectivity study (Fig. 2C), we made a

model describing how distances based on sea currents (calculated on the basis of remote

sensing data) correspond to the genetic separation between sites. This model is then used to

predict connectivity of sites at the study area scale (Fig. 2D). Finally, the predictions of where

the adaptive genotypes are more likely to exist, and of how the reef system is interconnected,

allow the assessment of adaptive potential across the whole study area (Fig. 2E).

Genomic dataset

The genomic data used come from a publicly available dataset consisting of 155 geo-referenced

colonies of *A. digitifera* from 12 sampling locations (13±5 colonies per site) of the Ryukyu

Archipelago in Japan (Fig. 1; Bioproject Accession PRJDB4188). These samples were

sequenced using a Whole-Genome Sequencing approach in the scope of a population genomics

study. Details on how samples were collected and processed for genomic analysis can be found

in Shinzato et al. (2015).

Genomic data were processed using the Genome Analysis Toolkit framework (GATK;

McKenna et al., 2010) following the recommended pipeline (the "GATK Best Practices"; Van

der Auwera et al., 2013) with the necessary modifications for coping with the absence of

reliable databases of known variants for this species. In short, the *A. digitifera* reference

genome (v. 1.1, GenBank accession: GCA_000222465.2; Shinzato et al., 2011) was indexed

using bwa (v. 0.7.5a, Li & Durbin, 2009), samtools (v. 1.9, Heng Li et al., 2009) and

picard-tools (v. 1.95, http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard) and raw sequencing reads were

aligned using the bwa *mem* algorithm. The resulting alignments were sorted, marked for

duplicate reads, modified for read-group headers and indexed using picard-tools. Next, each

alignment underwent an independent variant discovery using the GATK HaplotypeCaller tool

(using the ERC mode and setting the --minPruning flag to 10) and genotypes were then jointly

called by the GATK GenotypeGVCFs tool in random batches of 18 samples to match our

computational power (18 CPUs). The variant-calling matrices of the different batches were

then joined and filtered in order to keep only bi-allelic Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms

(SNPs) using the GATK CombineVariants and SelectVariants tools, respectively. This resulted

in a raw genotype matrix counting ~1.2 M of SNPs. Subsequently, we used the GATK

VariantAnnotator tool to annotate variants for Quality-by-depth and filtered for this value (<2),

read coverage (>5 and <100 within a sample), minor allele frequency (>0.05), major genotype

frequency (<0.95) and missing rate of both individuals and SNPs (<0.1) using the GATK

VariantFiltrationTool and custom scripts in the R environment (v. 3.5.1, R Core Team, 2016).

Finally, we filtered for linkage disequilibrium using the *snpgdsLDpruning* function of the

SNPrelate R package (v. 1.16, LD threshold=0.3; Zheng et al., 2012). This pipeline produced

the filtered genotype matrix consisting of 136 individuals and 7,607 SNPs.

Natural hybridization and transient species boundaries have been observed in *Acropora* species

(Van Oppen et al., 2002). We investigated these hypotheses by running a preliminary analysis

of fixation index (Fst) variation by genomic position using the R KRIS package (v. 1.1;

Chaichoompu et al., 2018). Since we found no genomic islands of low-recombination (i.e. high

Fst; Nosil et al., 2009) between the populations of Kerama, Yaeayama and Okinawa (Fig. S1)

we excluded the possibility of presence of genetically isolated groups in the dataset.

Importantly, previous studies on this coral population did not report hybridization with other

species, neither the presence of cryptic species nor isolated sub-populations (Nakajima et al.,

2010; Nishikawa, 2008; Shinzato et al., 2015).

Environmental data

Twelve georeferenced datasets describing atmospheric and seawater conditions were retrieved from publicly available resources (EU Copernicus Marine Service, 2017; NASA, 2016; National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 2017; Table S1). These remote sensing derived datasets provide monthly or daily variables measured over several (on average 14) years before the genetic data were sampled (2010; Shinzato et al., 2015). The data cover the entire study area (Fig. 1), with a spatial resolution ranging from 25 km to 4 km (Tab. S1). We processed these variables in the R environment using the *raster* package (v. 2.8, Hijmans, 2016) to compute monthly averages and standard deviations for the entire study period. Furthermore, Sea Surface Temperature (SST) measurements were used to compute a Degree Heat Week (DHW) frequency index, representing the percentage of days during which the bi-weekly accumulated heat stress exceeded 4 °C (Liu et al., 2003; Logan et al., 2014). SST and sea surface salinity records were combined to produce estimates of seawater pH (Covington & Whitfield, 1988), dissolved inorganic carbon (Loukos et al., 2000), and alkalinity (Lee et al., 2006). Bathymetry data (Ryan et al., 2009) were used to retrieve the depth at sampling locations. Finally, population density data (CIESIN Columbia University, 2010) were averaged in a 50 km buffer area to produce a surrogate-variable for anthropogenic pressure (Welle et al., 2017).

We used the geographic coordinates associated with each sample to characterize the environmental conditions using the QGIS point sampling tool (v. 2.18.25, QGIS development team, 2009). For the predictive step of our study (Fig. 2C) at the scale of the whole reef system we retrieved the shapes of the reefs of the region (UNEP-WCMC et al., 2010) and reported them into a regular grid (cell size of 5x5 km) using QGIS. For the reef cells smaller than a 5-by-5 km square, we calculated the actual area (in km^2). Reefs from the neighbouring regions

(Taiwan and Philippines, Fig. 1) were also included to avoid border-effects in computations.

Environmental conditions were assigned to each reef-cell using the average function of the

QGIS zonal statistics tool.

Seascape genomics

We performed the genotype-environment association analysis using the logistic regression

method implemented within the SamBada software (v. 0.7; Stucki et al., 2017), customized to

speed-up multivariate models computation in the Python environment (v. 2.7; Python Software

Foundation, 2018) using the *pandas* (v. 0.23.4; McKinney, 2010) and *statsmodels* (v. 0.9;

Seabold & Perktold, 2010) libraries. The SamBada approach allows proxy variables of genetic

structure to be included in the analysis in order to avoid possible confounding effects (patterns

of neutral genetic variation mimicking signals of adaptation to the local environment;

Holderegger et al., 2008). Here we performed a discriminant analysis of principal components

(DAPC) on the SNPs genotype matrix using the R package *adegenet* (v. 2.1.1; Jombart, 2008).

This procedure highlighted a main separation between two groups of samples along the first

discriminant function (Fig. S2). The latter was therefore used as co-variable in association

models. We then ran the pipeline for the discovery of adaptive signals described below and

summarized in a schematic in Fig. S3. In order to speed up calculations, the 315 environmental

variables were grouped into 29 clusters of highly correlated (Pearson method, $|R|>0.7$)

descriptors in the R environment (Fig. S3A). For each of these groups, one variable was

randomly selected to build logistic models against the three genotypes of each SNP (Fig. S3B).

In total, the SamBada instance evaluated 661,809 association models (29 environmental groups

against 3 x 7,607 SNPs; Fig. S3C, S2D) that were subsequently analysed in the R environment.

For each association-model related to the same environmental variable, p-values of G-scores

(G) and Wald-scores (W) were corrected for multiple testing using the R *q-value* package (v.

2.14, Storey, 2003). Association models scoring q<0.001 for both statistics were deemed

significant (Fig. S3E). If a SNP was found in more than one significant association, only the

best model (according to the value of G) was kept. For each significant association retained,

we then calculated all the models built with the focal SNP against the other environmental

variables from the same correlated descriptor cluster, and we looked for the best association

model (according to G; Fig. S3F). The best association model for each significant SNP is

hereafter referred to as the significant genotype-environment association (SGEA). Finally, we

visualized the logistic regression of each significant SGEA using the R *popbio* library (v. 2.4.4;

Stubben & Milligan, 2007).

Annotation of seascape genomics results

We then annotated the genomic neighbourhood of each SGEA in order to discover genes

potentially linked to an adaptive role. We set the size of the search window to ±250 kbs around

the concerned SNP of each SGEA. This window size was selected because genes(s) possibly

linked to a mutation may lay up to hundreds of kbs away (Brodie et al., 2016; Visel et al.,

2009), and this window size corresponds approximately to the scaffold N50 statistics of the

reference genome (*i.e.* half of the genome is contained within scaffolds of this size or longer).

For every SGEA, the annotation procedure was performed as follows. Based on the NCBI

annotation   of   the   reference   genome

(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/annotation_euk/Acropora_digitifera/100/),   we

retrieved all the predicted genes falling within the ±250 kbs window. Next, we retrieved the

predicted protein sequences related to these genes and ran a similarity search (blastp, (Madden

& Coulouris, 2008) against metazoan protein sequences in the swissprot database (release

2019_07; Boeckmann et al., 2003). For every predicted gene, only the best significant match

(E-score threshold < 10^{-7}) was kept. Finally, predicted genes were annotated with the

eukaryotic cluster of orthologous genes (KOG; Jensen et al., 2008) annotation from the

matching swissprot entry. For every KOG we calculated the relative frequency across the *A.*

digitifera genome. This was obtained by dividing the genome into 500 kbs windows and by

calculating the percentage of windows in which the KOG was observed.

Probability of Presence of Adaptive Genotypes

The results of the seascape genomics analysis were then used to predict the probability of the

presence of adaptive genotypes at the scale of the whole Ryukyu Archipelago (Fig. 2B). For

each SGEA, the SamBada approach provides parameters of a logistic regression that links the

probability of occurrence of the genotype with the value of the environmental variable (Stucki

et al., 2017). These logistic models can therefore be used to estimate the probability of presence

of the genetic variant for any value of the environmental variable (Joost, 2006; Rochat, E.,

Leempoel, K., Vajana, E., Colli, L., Ajmone-Marsan, P., Joost, 2016). We assumed that

potentially adaptive genotypes could reach any reef of the region since several studies reported

migration events between distant islands of the Archipelago (Nakajima et al., 2010; Nishikawa,

2008; Shinzato et al., 2015). Finally, these probabilities where combined into an average

probability (i.e. the arithmetical mean) of carrying adaptive genotypes (P_a) at each reef of the

Ryukyu Archipelago.

Sea current data

Daily records of sea surface current were retrieved from publicly available databases (zonal

and meridional surface velocities from the *global-reanalysis-phy-001-030* product; EU

Copernicus Marine Service, 2017) and used to compute the direction and speed of currents in

the R environment using the *raster* library. By using the resample function of the R *raster*

library, we increased resolution of these data from original 0.083° (~9.2 km) to 0.015° (~1.6

km) and corrected land pixels (*i.e.* removing sea current values) using an high-resolution

bathymetry map (Ryan et al., 2009). These day-by-day records of sea currents (from 1993 to

2010) were then stacked to retrieve, for each pixel of the study area, the cumulated speed

toward each of the eight neighbouring pixels. For every pixel, the cumulated speed in each of

the eight directions was divided by the total speed (the sum of the eight directions) to obtain

the probability of transition in each direction (the conductance). This information was used to

calculate dispersal costs (the inverse of the square conductance) and was summarized in a

transition matrix in the format of the R *gdistance* package (v. 1.2, van Etten, 2018). For the

connectivity analysis (Fig. 2C), the transition matrix was used to calculate sea distances (*i.e.*

the least-cost path) between sampling sites of the genotyped colonies. For the connectivity

predictions (Fig. 2D), we calculated the sea distances between all the reefs of the study area,

whose coordinates were the centroids of the reef-cells computed as described in the

environmental variables section. Importantly, for each pair of reefs (for instance $reef_1$ and $reef_2$)

two sea distances were computed, one for each direction (*i.e.* from $reef_1$ to $reef_2$ and from $reef_2$

to $reef_1$).

Connectivity analysis

Genetic distances between sampling sites were calculated using the pairwise F-statistics (Fst;

Weir & Cockerham, 1984) as implemented in the R *hierfstat* library (v. 0.04; Goudet, 2005).

When there is no dispersal constraint between two sub-populations, the related Fst is equal

to zero. Conversely, when dispersal is constrained, Fst increases up to a maximum value of one

(isolated sub-populations). To avoid bias due to low sample sizes, we only considered sample

sites with more than 10 samples each (7 out of 12).

Next, we built a linear model (hereafter referred to as the connectivity model) to estimate Fst

from the sea distances between each pair of sample sites. Since each pair of sites was connected

by two distances (from reef$_1$ to reef$_2$ and *vice versa*), we built three models based on shortest,

longest and average sea distance between each pair. As an additional comparison, we also built

a connectivity model using Euclidean distances of coordinates as independent variable while

maintaining Fst as response variable. For each model, we then ran a t-test to check for the

statistical significance of the relationship between genetic and geographical distance. Next, we

compared the four models by relying on the coefficients of determination (R2) and the Akaike

information criterion (AIC; Bozdogan, 1987) to identify the best connectivity model to use in

connectivity predictions.

Connectivity Predictions

The best connectivity model defined in the connectivity analysis was used to calculate the

predicted Fst (pFst) between all pairs of reefs of the Ryukyu Archipelago. To this end, we

translated sea distances in pFst using the connectivity model trained during the connectivity

analysis. Importantly, since there are two sea distances connecting each pair of reefs, two pFst

were computed as well (Fig. S5). Based on these predictions, we were able to calculate two

indices for each reef-cell:

- *outbound connectivity index* (OCI; Fig. 4a): the sum of the area (in km^2) of all the reefs

connected under a specific pFst threshold <u>from</u> the focal reef.

- *inbound connectivity index* (ICI; Fig. 4b): the sum of the area (in km^2) of all the reefs

connected under a specific pFst threshold <u>to</u> the focal reef.

These connectivity indices and their interpretation are subordinate to the pFst threshold

applied in the calculation. For this reason, it is crucial to set this threshold by considering the

size of the study area and the distribution of the pFst values observed (Fig. S5). In this work,

we set the pFst threshold to 0.02. In fact, a smaller pFst (for ex. 0.01; Fig. S5) would have

informed on local connectivity only (within neighbouring islands) and neglect connectivity at

the scale of the Ryukyu Archipelago. In contrast, a higher pFst (for ex. 0.05, Fig. S5) would

have exceeded the study area boundaries, causing bias (border effects) in the calculation of the

indices for reefs of the southern Islands (Yaeyama and Miyako) of the Archipelago.

Evaluation of the adaptive potential

The adaptive potential was evaluated by combining the predictions of the presence of adaptive

genotypes (P_a) and connectivity patterns (ICI) in an *index of adaptive potential* (API, Fig. 2E).

Indeed, API is a special case of ICI calculated as the sum of the weighted area (in km^2) of all

the reefs connected under a specific pFst threshold to the focal reef. The weight applied to each

reef corresponded to the probability of carrying adaptive gentoypes (P_a). For the pFst threshold,

we used the same value (0.02) as employed in the ICI and OCI calculations.

Results

Seascape Genomics

We detected 6 significant genotype-environment associations (SGEA, q_G and

q_W<0.001, Tab.1) spanning across 6 distinct scaffolds of the *A. digitifera* reference genome.

Among them, one SGEA was related to SST variation in April and the others to degree heat

week (DHW) frequency.

SGEA1 described the relationship between SST standard deviation in April and one SNP

located within a genomic window (± 250 kb) counting 18 predicted genes, 3 of which annotated

to the eukaryotic cluster of orthologs (KOGs) *Signal sequence receptor delta*, *DNA helicase*,

transposon protein (Tab. 1, Box S1). All the remaining SGEAs (SGEA2-6) were related to

DHW frequency only. In SGEA2, the concerned SNP was surrounded by 21 predicted genes,

6 of which annotated with KOGs *splicing factor U2AF*, *G- protein-coupled receptor*,

geranylgeranyl diphosphate synthase, *Cytochrome p450, receptor*, *NFU1 iron-sulfur cluster*

scaffold homolog (Tab.1, Box S2). In SGEA3, the SNP was surrounded by 14 predicted genes,

with 3 KOGs annotated as *protein kinase, leucine rich repeat* and *jnk1 mapk8-associated*

membrane protein (Tab. 1, Box S3). In SGEA4, 5 and 6, the SNPs were located in genomic

windows counting 11, 5 and 1 predicted genes, respectively, and each containing the same two

KOGs: *DNA helicase* and *Exonuclease 3'-5' domain containing 2* (Tab. 1*;* Box S4, S5, S6).

Probability of Presence of Adaptive Genotypes

The SGEAs of the seascape genomics analysis were then used as the starting point for

predicting the probability of presence of adaptive genotypes (P_a) across the reefs of the region.

Since most of the putative adaptive genotypes were found to be associated with DHW

frequency (SGEA2-6; Tab. 1), we chose this variable as the environmental pressure of interest.

The average of P_a ranged from 0 to 1 (Fig. 3). In Ryukyu Archipelago, P_a was higher in Miyako

($\overline{P_{a\,Miyako}} = 0.55 \pm 0.22$) and Okinawa ($\overline{P_{a\,Okinawa}} = 0.4 \pm 0.24$), lower in Amami

($\overline{P_{a\,Amami}} = 0.22 \pm 0.15$) and Yaeyama ($\overline{P_{a\,Yaeyama}} = 0.22 \pm 0.12$), and close to zero in the

north of the region (Tokara and Osumi; $\overline{P_{a\,Tokara}} = 0.02 \pm 0.04$, $\overline{P_{a\,Osumi}} = \sim 0$; Fig. 3).

Outside the Ryukyu Archipelago, a high P_a (>0.7) was predicted in northern Philippines while

reefs around Taiwan displayed in general low P_a (<0.4; Fig. 3).

Connectivity modelling

The connectivity model based on the shortest sea distances between pairs of sites was the best

to describe Fst variation (R2=0.72, AIC=-234; Fig. S4a), compared to models based on the

longest sea distance (R2=0.62, AIC=-227, Fig. S4b), the average sea distance (R2=0.66, AIC=-

230, Fig. S4c), and the aerial distance (R2=0.66, AIC=-230, Fig S3d). The connectivity indices

were therefore computed using the connectivity model based on the shortest sea distance

between sites.

The ICI variation followed a north to south decrease (Fig. 4a). The reefs around the islands in

the north of the archipelago (Osumi, Tokara and Amami) were generally those with the highest

ICI ($\overline{ICI}_{Tokara} = 1615 \pm 229 \ km^2$; $\overline{ICI}_{Amami} = 1209 \pm 28 \ km^2$; $\overline{ICI}_{Osumi} = 1164 \pm 336$

km^2; Fig. 4a). In the central area (Okinawa), ICI was lower ($\overline{ICI}_{Okinawa} = 999 \pm 42 \ km^2$),

while the lowest ICI values were observed in the southern area (Yaeyama and Miyako;

$\overline{ICI}_{Miyako} = 777 \pm 71 \ km^2$; $\overline{ICI}_{Yaeyama} = 674 \pm 76 \ km^2$; Fig. 4a).

With regards to OCI, we observed a decrease in index with latitude (Fig. 4b). OCI was highest

in the southern half of the archipelago (Yaeyama, Miyako and Okinawa; $\overline{OCI}_{Yaeyama} =$

$1014 \pm 2 \ km^2$; $\overline{OCI}_{Miyako} = 1008 \pm 14 \ km^2$; $\overline{OCI}_{Okinawa} = 936 \pm 91 km^2$; Fig. 4b). A

lower OCI was observed in the northern part (Amami and Tokara; $\overline{OCI}_{Amami} = 766 \pm$

$51 \ km^2$; $\overline{OCI}_{Tokara} = 706 \pm 2 \ km^2$; Fig. 4b), while the extreme north of the Archipelago

(Osumi) had a very low OCI ($\overline{OCI}_{Osumi} = 6 \pm 4 \ km^2$; Fig. 4b).

368
369

Evaluation of the adaptive potential

The variations of API were generally structured along the latitudinal axis (Fig. 5). Reefs in the

northern part of the Archipelago (Tokara, Amami and Osumi) generally showed the highest

API values ($\overline{API}_{Tokara} = 391 \pm 7 \ km^2$; $\overline{API}_{Amami} = 371 \pm 10 \ km^2$; $\overline{API}_{Osumi} = 345 \pm$

$101 \ km^2$; Fig. 5). In the central part of the study area (Okinawa), API was lower

($\overline{API}_{Okinawa} = 332 \pm 14 \ km^2$; Fig. 5) and in the southern part the lowest API values were

observed, ($\overline{API}_{Yaeyama} \ 233 \pm 20 \ km^2$; $\overline{API}_{Miyako} = 280 \pm 28 \ km^2$; Fig. 5).

Discussion

Adaptation to thermal stress

Thermal stress is expected to be one of the major threats to coral reef survival, where the

research for adaptive traits is becoming of paramount importance (Baums, 2008; Logan et al.,

2014; Maina et al., 2011). In the present study, the seascape genomics analysis of *A. digitifera*

of the Ryukyu Archipelago revealed the presence of 6 genomic regions hosting genetic variants

that might confer a selective advantage against thermal stress (Tab. 1). None of the SNPs

related to the SGEA lay directly within a coding sequence of a putative gene, but this is rarely

the case for causative-mutations (Brodie et al., 2016). In fact, genetic variants in intergenic

regions that play a modulatory action on the expression of neighbouring genes are more

frequent and can influence loci at a distance of 1-2 Mb (Visel et al., 2009). The fragmentation

of the reference genome forced us to limit our search window to ±250 Kb around each SNP,

yet we still found annotations corroborating a response to heat stress.

The SNP in SGEA3 was found to be related to KOG3744 (*jnk1 mapk8-associated membrane*

protein; Tab. 1). This KOG is rare across the genome of *A. digitifera* (with an expected

frequency of 0.0009 per 500 kbs window) and previous research corroborates the hypothesis

that this gene plays a role in thermal adaptation. Mitogen-activated-protein kinases (MAPKs)

are proteins known to be involved in cellular responses to stress across a range of taxa

(Neupane et al., 2013), and the c-Jun-N-terminal kinase (JNK) has previously been shown to

be activated under thermal stress in the coral *Stylopohora pistillata* (Courtial et al., 2017).

In SGEA1, 4, 5 and 6, one KOG recurred in the annotations: KOG0351 (*DNA helicase*; Tab.

1). The expected frequency of this KOG is 0.04 per 500 kbs window and remarkably we found

4 of them in 6 distinct 500 kbs windows around SGEA associated with thermal stress. These

orthologs annotate a particular type of DNA helicases (swissprot IDs: Q91920, Q14191)

known as "helicases Q" or "RecQ" (Box S1, 5-7), which are involved in the DNA repairing

mechanism caused by UV-light damage in prokaryotes (Courcelle & Hanawalt, 1999), and for

which light-stress driven effects were observed in eukaryotic cells as well (Sharma et al., 2006).

The modulation of this mechanism might therefore play a role in increasing *A. digitifera*

resistance against light-stress associated with heat waves.

Seascape/Landscape genomics studies are susceptible to high false discovery rates, especially

when neutral genetic structure is not accounted for (Rellstab et al., 2015). To take this element

into account, we processed data following a conservative pipeline and used models explicitly

integrating demographic processes. We also set a restrictive threshold to filter significant

association-models (*i.e.* q<0.001 in two distinct tests). Most of the SGEA found were linked to

DHW, most probably because this variable represents one of the main constraints to coral

survival (Hughes et al., 2003). It is also possible that the initial application of a sampling

scheme adapted to seascape genomics (unlike the one used by Shinzato et al. 2015 who did not

consider environmental variability) would have increased sensitivity to other types of adaptive

signals (Riginos et al., 2016; Selmoni et al., 2019).

We found SGEA related to thermal stress displaying annotations corroborating a role in cellular

heat responses. Nonetheless, annotations analysis is only a first step in the validation of the

SGEA detected. Ideally, further assays as reciprocal transplantations (Palumbi et al., 2014),

experimental conditioning (Krueger et al., 2017) and molecular analysis (Courtial et al., 2017)

could ascertain and help quantifying the link between each genotype and the putative selective

advantage it might confer.

Connectivity patterns

Coral dispersal is driven by the water flow (Paris-Limouzy, 2011), which is highly

asymmetrical in this region (north-east oriented) due to the Kuroshio current (Nishikawa,

2008). As previously observed, the main patterns of migrations in this population occurs from

the south-west to the north-east (Shinzato et al., 2015). Reefs in the southern part of the study

area (Yaeyama and Miyako) showed the lowest ICI values (Fig. 4a), suggesting a potential

lack of recruits arriving from other reefs of the region. In fact, the genetic diversity of southern

reefs of the Ryukyu Archipelago is likely to depend on the recruits arriving from the east-coast

of Taiwan and the northern Philippines, which are located upstream of the Kuroshio current

(Fig. S5a; Chen & Shashank, 2009).

In the previous study on this data (Shinzato et al., 2015), reefs from Yaeyama resulted as those

with the lowest heterozygosity rates across the study area. This observation was attributed to a

population bottleneck caused by the 1998 bleaching event, but it is worth noting that reefs on

the west coast of Okinawa showed higher heterozygosity rates despite having suffered

recurrent bleaching events since 1998 (Donner et al., 2017). The lower heterozygosity rates in

Yaeyama therefore might reflect not only the effects of past bleaching, but also the relative

isolation of these islands from the reefs of the region (Fig. 4a).

In line with the same previous observations (Shinzato et al., 2015), the OCI value showed (Fig.

4b) that the southern reefs (Yaeyama and Miyako) are those expected to be the most prominent

source of recruits for the rest of the Archipelago. Given this crucial aspect, it is even more

important to preserve southern reefs of the Ryukyu Archipelago from the risks of isolation (e.g.

inbreeding depression; Keller & Waller, 2002).

Adaptive potential in the 2016 bleaching event

Reefs from islands of Miyako, Okinawa and the northern part of Amami were those most likely

to carry adaptive genotypes against thermal stress (Fig. 3). Previous work reported severe

bleaching in Okinawa in 1998 (Yamazato, 1999) and that adapted colonies might have resisted

(Van Woesik et al., 2004). In contrast, reefs in the northern part of the Archipelago (Amami,

Tokara and Osumi) experienced bleaching with moderate severity during the 1998 event

(Donner et al., 2017), which might explain why adaptive genotypes are not expected at the

same frequency (Fig. 3).

The adaptive potential index (API) defines the convergence between the probability of carrying

adaptive genotypes with connectivity predictions (Fig. 5). Reefs in the northern part of the

Archipelago (Amami, Tokara and Osumi) showed a higher API compared to those in the

southern half of the region (Okinawa, Yaeyama and Miyako). Two reasons may explain this

result: 1) these northern reefs are located downstream (on the Kuroshio Current) of two areas

where putative adapted reefs are frequent (Okinawa and Miyako; Fig. 3); 2) the region of

Northern Philippines, hosting high density of putative adapted reefs (Fig. 3), is more connected

to the northern part of the Ryukyu Archipelago than with the southern part (Fig. S6). This may

also explain why, despite hosting putative adapted reefs (Fig, 3), the Miyako area showed

among the lowest API values of the Archipelago (Fig. 5).

In 2016, the first mass bleaching event occurred in Japan since the Shinzato and colleagues

published the genetic data re-analysed in this work (Kimura et al., 2018). Field surveys related

to this bleaching event reported severe bleaching in Yaeyama (intensity up to 99%, mortality

up to 68%) and in Miyako (intensity up to 70%, mortality up to 67%; Tab. 2). In Okinawa and

Amami, the impact of this same bleaching event was moderate to mild (Okinawa: intensity up

to 48%, mortality up to 13%; Amami: intensity 8% and mortality 2%; Tab. 2). Reefs predicted

to show low API (the southern reefs) appeared to suffer more severe bleaching than those in

the northern region (which showed higher API; Fig. 5), but care must be taken in the

interpretation due to the confounding role of the degree of heat stress (Tab. 2).

Indeed, satellite records of sea temperature (EU Copernicus Marine Service, 2017) show that

in 2016 the number of days in temperature anomaly (DHW>4°C; Liu et al., 2003) was higher

in the southern part of the Archipelago (Yaeyama: ~81 days; Miyako: ~84 days) than in the

northern region (Okinawa: ~76 days; Amami: ~68; Tab. 2). Nevertheless, when two distant

sites had a comparable degree of heat stress, higher API was generally associated with a

reduced severity in bleaching. For instance, reefs in Kerama (Okinawa) and Sekisei Lagoon

North (Yaeyama) both suffered 81 days of heat stress in 2016, but the bleaching intensity in

the Sekisei Lagoon was more than ten times higher than observed for Kerama (91% vs 7%),

with a lower API (225 km^2 vs 334 km^2; Tab 2).

While these field observations seem to corroborate our predictions on adaptive potential, it is

important to consider that they do not refer specifically to *A. digitifera,* but to the coral

community as a whole (Kimura et al., 2018). Additionally, other local stressors (for instance

anthropogenic pollution) might have modulated the bleaching response (Ateweberhan et al.,

2013). Future bleaching surveys, with larger sample sizes and bleaching data referring to the

specific coral genus, might provide a more reliable ground for validating our predictions.

Application in conservation

Conservation policies require objective and quantifiable information to prioritize areas for

intervention efforts (OECD, 2017). In this study, we presented an original framework to

calculate indices matching these requirements to describe the connectivity and adaptive

potential against thermal stress of a flagship coral species of the north-western Pacific. Insights

of this kind are essential for effective planning of coral conservation strategies (Baums, 2008;

Logan et al., 2014; Palumbi, 2003; van Oppen et al., 2015b).

As they are derived from a universal metric of population connectivity (Fst; Weir &

Cockerham, 1984), the indices we propose here are computable for any coral species. Thus,

connectivity indices for different species can be compared or aggregated for conservation

management planning within a region. Furthermore, each of the indices we propose is

expressed in a tangible spatial unit (km^2) that allows for comparison between different datasets

and areas.

As an example, the predictions from the connectivity indices can be used to support the

planning of marine protected areas (MPAs; Box 1a). An ideal placement of an MPA should

ensure that the connectivity to the rest of the reef system is optimal (Krueck et al., 2017; C. J.

Thomas et al., 2014), and the OCI provides this information (Fig. 4b). Furthermore, the

computation of the ICI (Fig. 4a) from a protected area to the rest of the reef system could be

used to compare how different locations of MPAs may modify the connectivity to other specific

regions (Box 1a).

The indices proposed in this work could also support coral nursery establishment plans (Box

1b). In this type of conservation strategy, the transplantation of adapted colonies (*i.e.* those

carrying the adaptive genotypes) can be used to reinforce the adaptive potential of a population

(Baums, 2008; van Oppen et al., 2015b). Conservation managers can simulate the transplanting

procedure by setting $P_a=1$ at reefs where the nurseries will be established and then measure

how these changes would impact the API of the population (Box. 1b).

To date, the calculation of these indices can be performed using the R scripts and codes (R

Core Team, 2016) made publicly available in this research. In the future, however, this

framework should be transposed to a more user-friendly interface to facilitate its use by

conservation managers.

Conclusions

This study highlights the value of a seascape genomics approach for supporting the

conservation of corals. We applied it to a flagship coral species of the Ryukyu Archipelago and

identified genetic variants that may underpin adaptation to thermal stress. Coupling this

information with a genetic analysis of connectivity made it possible to evaluate the adaptive

potential at the scale of the entire study area. The outputs of this analysis are quantitative

indices that are could be used to support objective prioritization of reefs in conservation plans.

This framework is transferable to any coral species on any seascape and therefore constitutes

a useful conservation tool to evaluate the genomic adaptive potential of coral reefs worldwide.

Data Archiving Statement

All the data and codes used in this article will be made publicly available on Dryad after

manuscript is accepted for publication.

Literature cited

Anthony, K. R. N., Kline, D. I., Diaz-Pulido, G., Dove, S., & Hoegh-Guldberg, O. (2008).
Ocean acidification causes bleaching and productivity loss in coral reef builders.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America,
105(45), 17442–6.

Ateweberhan, M., Feary, D. A., Keshavmurthy, S., Chen, A., Schleyer, M. H., & Sheppard,
C. R. C. (2013). Climate change impacts on coral reefs: Synergies with local effects,
possibilities for acclimation, and management implications. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*,
74(2), 526–539.

Balkenhol, N., Dudaniec, R. Y., Krutovsky, K. V., Johnson, J. S., Cairns, D. M., Segelbacher,
G., … Joost, S. (2017). Landscape Genomics: Understanding Relationships Between
Environmental Heterogeneity and Genomic Characteristics of Populations (pp. 1–62).
Springer, Cham.

Baums, I. B. (2008). A restoration genetics guide for coral reef conservation. *Molecular
Ecology*, *17*(12), 2796–2811.

Bay, R. A., & Palumbi, S. R. (2014). Multilocus Adaptation Associated with Heat Resistance
in Reef-Building Corals. *Current Biology*, *24*(24), 2952–2956.

Bellwood, D. R., Hughes, T. P., Folke, C., & Nyström, M. (2004, June 24). Confronting the
coral reef crisis. *Nature*.

Boeckmann, B., Bairoch, A., Apweiler, R., Blatter, M. C., Estreicher, A., Gasteiger, E., …

Schneider, M. (2003). The SWISS-PROT protein knowledgebase and its supplement

TrEMBL in 2003. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *31*(1), 365–370.

Bozdogan, H. (1987). Model selection and Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC): The

general theory and its analytical extensions. *Psychometrika*, *52*(3), 345–370.

Brodie, A., Azaria, J. R., & Ofran, Y. (2016). How far from the SNP may the causative genes

be? *Nucleic Acids Research*, *44*(13), 6046–54.

Chaichoompu, K., Abegaz, F., Tongsima, S., James Shaw, P., Sakuntabhai, A., Pereira, L., &

Van Steen, K. (2018). KRIS: Keen and Reliable Interface Subroutines for Bioinformatic

Analysis version 1.1.1 from CRAN. Retrieved from https://cran.r-

project.org/package=KRIS

Chen, C. A., & Shashank, K. (2009). Taiwan as a connective stepping-stone in the Kuroshio

Triangle and the conservation of coral ecosystems under the impacts of climate change.

Kuroshio Science, *3*(1), 15–22. Retrieved from https://ci.nii.ac.jp/naid/120001597275

CIESIN Columbia University. (2010). Gridded Population of the World (GPW), v3.

Retrieved January 18, 2019, from http://sedac.ciesin.columbia.edu/data/set/gpw-v3-

population-count

Cinner, J. E., Huchery, C., MacNeil, M. A., Graham, N. A. J., McClanahan, T. R., Maina, J.,

… Mouillot, D. (2016). Bright spots among the world's coral reefs. *Nature*, *535*(7612),

416–419.

Courcelle, J., & Hanawalt, P. C. (1999). RecQ and RecJ process blocked replication forks

prior to the resumption of replication in UV-irradiated Escherichia coli. *Molecular &*

General Genetics : MGG, *262*(3), 543–51. Retrieved from

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10589843

Courtial, L., Picco, V., Grover, R., Cormerais, Y., Rottier, C., Labbe, A., … Ferrier-Pagès, C.

(2017). The c-Jun N-terminal kinase prevents oxidative stress induced by UV and

thermal stresses in corals and human cells. *Scientific Reports*, *7*(1), 45713.

Covington, A. K., & Whitfield, M. (1988). Recommendations for the determination of pH in

sea water and estuarine waters. *Pure and Applied Chemistry*, *60*(6), 865–870.

Donner, S. D., Rickbeil, G. J. M., & Heron, S. F. (2017). A new, high-resolution global mass

coral bleaching database. *PloS One*, *12*(4), e0175490.

EU Copernicus Marine Service. (2017). Global Ocean - In-Situ-Near-Real-Time

Observations. Retrieved February 2, 2017, from http://marine.copernicus.eu

Goudet, J. (2005). HIERFSTAT, a package for R to compute and test hierarchical F-statistics.

Molecular Ecology Notes, *5*(1), 184–186.

Hijmans, R. J. (2016). raster: Geographic Data Analysis and Modeling. Retrieved from

https://cran.r-project.org/package=raster

Holderegger, R., Herrmann, D., Poncet, B., Gugerli, F., Thuiller, W., Taberlet, P., … Manel,

S. (2008). Land ahead: using genome scans to identify molecular markers of adaptive

relevance. *Plant Ecology & Diversity*, *1*(2), 273–283.

Howells, E. J., Berkelmans, R., van Oppen, M. J. H., Willis, B. L., & Bay, L. K. (2013).

Historical thermal regimes define limits to coral acclimatization. *Ecology*, *94*(5), 1078–

1088.

Hughes, T. P., Anderson, K. D., Connolly, S. R., Heron, S. F., Kerry, J. T., Lough, J. M., …

Wilson, S. K. (2018). Spatial and temporal patterns of mass bleaching of corals in the

Anthropocene. *Science*, *359*(6371), 80–83.

Hughes, T. P., Baird, A. H., Bellwood, D. R., Card, M., Connolly, S. R., Folke, C., …

Roughgarden, J. (2003, August 15). Climate change, human impacts, and the resilience

of coral reefs. *Science*.

Hughes, T. P., Kerry, J. T., Álvarez-Noriega, M., Álvarez-Romero, J. G., Anderson, K. D.,

607   Baird, A. H., … Wilson, S. K. (2017). Global warming and recurrent mass bleaching of

608     corals. *Nature*, *543*(7645), 373–377.

609 Hughes, T. P., Kerry, J. T., Connolly, S. R., Baird, A. H., Eakin, C. M., Heron, S. F., …

610     Torda, G. (2019, January 10). Ecological memory modifies the cumulative impact of

611     recurrent climate extremes. *Nature Climate Change*. Nature Publishing Group.

612 Jensen, L. J., Julien, P., Kuhn, M., von Mering, C., Muller, J., Doerks, T., & Bork, P. (2008).

613     eggNOG: automated construction and annotation of orthologous groups of genes.

614     *Nucleic Acids Research*, *36*(Database issue), D250-4.

615 Jombart, T. (2008). adegenet: a R package for the multivariate analysis of genetic markers.

616     *Bioinformatics*, *24*(11), 1403–1405.

617 Joost, S. (2006). *The geographical dimension of genetic diversity: a GIScience contribution*

618     *for the conservation of animal genetic resources*. EPFL. Retrieved from

619     https://infoscience.epfl.ch/record/64345?ln=en

620 Keller, L., & Waller, D. M. (2002). Inbreeding effects in wild populations. *Trends in Ecology*

621     *& Evolution*, *17*(5), 230–241.

622 Kimura, T., Tun, K., & Chou, L. M. (2018). *Status of Coral Reefs in East Asian Seas Region:*

623     *2018*. Tokyo, Japan.

624 Krueck, N. C., Ahmadia, G. N., Green, A., Jones, G. P., Possingham, H. P., Riginos, C., …

625     Mumby, P. J. (2017). Incorporating larval dispersal into MPA design for both

626     conservation and fisheries. *Ecological Applications*, *27*(3), 925–941.

627 Krueger, T., Horwitz, N., Bodin, J., Giovani, M.-E., Escrig, S., Meibom, A., & Fine, M.

628     (2017). Common reef-building coral in the Northern Red Sea resistant to elevated

629     temperature and acidification. *Royal Society Open Science*, *4*(5), 170038.

630 Lee, K., Tong, L. T., Millero, F. J., Sabine, C. L., Dickson, A. G., Goyet, C., … Key, R. M.

631     (2006). Global relationships of total alkalinity with salinity and temperature in surface

waters of the world's oceans. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *33*(19), L19605.

Leempoel, K., Duruz, S., Rochat, E., Widmer, I., Orozco-terWengel, P., & Joost, S. (2017).

Simple Rules for an Efficient Use of Geographic Information Systems in Molecular

Ecology. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*, *5*, 33.

Lester, S., Halpern, B., Grorud-Colvert, K., Lubchenco, J., Ruttenberg, B., Gaines, S., …

Warner, R. (2009). Biological effects within no-take marine reserves: a global synthesis.

Marine Ecology Progress Series, *384*, 33–46.

Li, H., & Durbin, R. (2009). Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler

transform. *Bioinformatics*, *25*(14), 1754–1760.

Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., … 1000 Genome

Project Data Processing Subgroup, 1000 Genome Project Data Processing. (2009). The

Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)*,

25(16), 2078–9.

Liu, G., Strong, A. E., & Skirving, W. (2003). Remote sensing of sea surface temperatures

during 2002 Barrier Reef coral bleaching. *Eos, Transactions American Geophysical*

Union, *84*(15), 137–141.

Logan, C. A., Dunne, J. P., Eakin, C. M., & Donner, S. D. (2014). Incorporating adaptive

responses into future projections of coral bleaching. *Global Change Biology*, *20*(1),

125–139.

Loukos, H., Vivier, F., Murphy, P. P., Harrison, D. E., & Le Quéré, C. (2000). Interannual

variability of equatorial Pacific CO_2 fluxes estimated from temperature and salinity

data. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *27*(12), 1735–1738.

Lukoschek, V., Riginos, C., & van Oppen, M. J. H. (2016). Congruent patterns of

connectivity can inform management for broadcast spawning corals on the Great Barrier

Reef. *Molecular Ecology*, *25*(13), 3065–3080.

Lundgren, P., Vera, J. C., Peplow, L., Manel, S., & van Oppen, M. J. H. (2013). Genotype -

environment correlations in corals from the Great Barrier Reef. *BMC Genetics*, *14*(1), 9.

Madden, T., & Coulouris, G. (2008). BLAST Command Line Applications User Manual

BLAST Command Line Applications User Manual - BLAST ® ... National Center for

Biotechnology Information (US). Retrieved from

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK279690/

Maina, J., McClanahan, T. R., Venus, V., Ateweberhan, M., & Madin, J. (2011). Global

gradients of coral exposure to environmental stresses and implications for local

management. *PLoS ONE*, *6*(8), e23064.

Maina, J., Venus, V., McClanahan, T. R., & Ateweberhan, M. (2008). Modelling

susceptibility of coral reefs to environmental stress using remote sensing data and GIS

models. *Ecological Modelling*, *212*(3), 180–199.

McKenna, A., Hanna, M., Banks, E., Sivachenko, A., Cibulskis, K., Kernytsky, A., …

DePristo, M. A. (2010). The Genome Analysis Toolkit: a MapReduce framework for

analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Genome Research*, *20*(9), 1297–303.

McKinney, W. (2010). Data Structures for Statistical Computing in Python. Retrieved from

http://conference.scipy.org/proceedings/scipy2010/mckinney.html

Moberg, F., & Folke, C. (1999). Ecological goods and services of coral reef ecosystems.

Ecological Economics, *29*(2), 215–233.

Mydlarz, L. D., McGinty, E. S., & Harvell, C. D. (2010). What are the physiological and

immunological responses of coral to climate warming and disease? *The Journal of*

Experimental Biology, *213*(6), 934–45.

Nakajima, Y., Nishikawa, A., Iguchi, A., & Sakai, K. (2010). Gene Flow and Genetic

Diversity of a Broadcast-Spawning Coral in Northern Peripheral Populations. *PLoS*

ONE, *5*(6), e11149.

NASA. (2016). NASA Earth Observations (NEO). Retrieved May 10, 2017, from

http://neo.sci.gsfc.nasa.gov/

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. (2017). NOAA DATA CATALOG.

Retrieved February 2, 2017, from https://data.noaa.gov/dataset/

Neupane, A., Nepal, M. P., Benson, B. V, MacArthur, K. J., & Piya, S. (2013). Evolutionary

history of mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) genes in Lotus, Medicago, and

Phaseolus. *Plant Signaling and Behavior*, *8*(11), e27189.

Nishikawa, A. (2008). Degree and Pattern of Gene Flow in Several Scleractinian Corals in

the Ryukyu Archipelago, Southern Japan. *Https://Doi.Org/10.2984/1534-*

6188(2008)62[413:DAPOGF]2.0.CO;2, *62*(3), 413–422.

Nosil, P., Funk, D. J., & Ortiz-Barrientos, D. (2009, February 1). Divergent selection and

heterogeneous genomic divergence. *Molecular Ecology*. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd

(10.1111).

OECD. (2017). *Marine protected areas : economics, management and effective policy mixes.*

Paris: OECD Publishing.

Palumbi, S. R. (2003). Population genetics, demographic connectivity, and the design of

marine reserves. *Ecological Applications*, *13*(1 SUPPL.), 146–158.

Palumbi, S. R., Barshis, D. J., Traylor-Knowles, N., & Bay, R. A. (2014). Mechanisms of

reef coral resistance to future climate change. *Science*, *344*(6186). Retrieved from

http://science.sciencemag.org/content/344/6186/895

Paris-Limouzy, C. B. (2011). Reef Interconnectivity/Larval Dispersal (pp. 881–889).

Springer, Dordrecht.

Penin, L., Vidal-Dupiol, J., & Adjeroud, M. (2013). Response of coral assemblages to

thermal stress: are bleaching intensity and spatial patterns consistent between events?

Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, *185*(6), 5031–5042.

Pratchett, M. S., Thompson, C. A., Hoey, A. S., Cowman, P. F., & Wilson, S. K. (2018).

Effects of Coral Bleaching and Coral Loss on the Structure and Function of Reef Fish

Assemblages (pp. 265–293). Springer, Cham.

Python Software Foundation. (2018). Python Language Refrence, version 3.5. Retrieved from

www.python.org

QGIS development team. (2009). QGIS Geographic Information System. Open Source

Geospatial Foundation Project. Retrieved from http://www.qgis.org/

R Core Team. (2016). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Retrieved

from https://www.r-project.org/

Rellstab, C., Gugerli, F., Eckert, A. J., Hancock, A. M., & Holderegger, R. (2015). A

practical guide to environmental association analysis in landscape genomics. *Molecular*

Ecology, *24*(17), 4348–4370.

Riginos, C., Crandall, E. D., Liggins, L., Bongaerts, P., & Treml, E. A. (2016). Navigating

the currents of seascape genomics: how spatial analyses can augment population

genomic studies. *Current Zoology*, *62*, doi: 10.1093/cz/zow067.

Rochat, E., Leempoel, K., Vajana, E., Colli, L., Ajmone-Marsan, P., Joost, S. and the N. C.

(2016). Map of genotype frequency change in autochtonous Moroccan sheep breeds due

to global warming. In *Conference on Conservation genomics, May 3-6, 2016, Vairao,*

Portugal.

Rodgers, K. S., Lorance, K., Richards Donà, A., Stender, Y., Lager, C., & Jokiel, P. L.

(2017). Effectiveness of coral relocation as a mitigation strategy in Kāne'ohe Bay,

Hawai'i. *PeerJ*, *5*, e3346.

Ryan, W. B. F., Carbotte, S. M., Coplan, J. O., O'Hara, S., Melkonian, A., Arko, R., …

Zemsky, R. (2009). Global Multi-Resolution Topography synthesis. *Geochemistry,*

Geophysics, Geosystems, *10*(3), n/a-n/a.

Sampayo, E. M., Franceschinis, L., Roff, G., Ridgway, T., Dove, S., & Hoegh-Guldberg, O.

(2016). Coral symbioses under prolonged environmental change: living near tolerance

range limits. *Scientific Reports*, *6*(1), 36271.

Seabold, S., & Perktold, J. (2010). Statsmodels: econometric and statistical modeling with

Python. In *9th Python in Science Conference* (pp. 57–61). Retrieved from

http://statsmodels.sourceforge.net/

Selig, E. R., & Bruno, J. F. (2010). A global analysis of the effectiveness of marine protected

areas in preventing coral loss. *PloS One*, *5*(2), e9278.

Selmoni, O., Vajana, E., Guillaume, A., Rochat, E., & Joost, S. (2019). Sampling strategy

optimization to increase statistical power in landscape genomics: a simulation-based

approach. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 1755–0998.13095.

Shanks, A. L., Grantham, B. A., & Carr, M. H. (2003). Propagule Dispersal Distance and the

Size and Spacing of Marine Reserves. *Ecological Applications*, *13*(1).

Sharma, S., Doherty, K. M., Brosh, R. M., & Jr. (2006). Mechanisms of RecQ helicases in

pathways of DNA metabolism and maintenance of genomic stability. *The Biochemical

Journal*, *398*(3), 319–37.

Shinzato, C., Mungpakdee, S., Arakaki, N., & Satoh, N. (2015). Genome-wide SNP analysis

explains coral diversity and recovery in the Ryukyu Archipelago. *Scientific Reports*,

5(1), 18211.

Shinzato, C., Shoguchi, E., Kawashima, T., Hamada, M., Hisata, K., Tanaka, M., … Satoh,

N. (2011). Using the Acropora digitifera genome to understand coral responses to

environmental change. *Nature*, *476*(7360), 320–323.

Storey, J. D. (2003). The Positive False Discovery Rate: A Bayesian Interpretation and the q-

Value. *Annals of Statistics*, *31*(6), 2013–2035.

Stubben, C., & Milligan, B. (2007). Estimating and Analyzing Demographic Models Using

the **popbio** Package in *R. Journal of Statistical Software*, *22*(11), 1–23.

Stucki, S., Orozco-terWengel, P., Bruford, M. W., Colli, L., Masembe, C., Negrini, R., …

Consortium, the N. (2017). High performance computation of landscape genomic

models integrating local indices of spatial association. *Molecular Ecology Resources*,

17(5), 1072–1089.

Thomas, C. J., Lambrechts, J., Wolanski, E., Traag, V. A., Blondel, V. D., Deleersnijder, E.,

& Hanert, E. (2014). Numerical modelling and graph theory tools to study ecological

connectivity in the Great Barrier Reef. *Ecological Modelling*, *272*, 160–174.

Thomas, L., Kennington, W. J., Evans, R. D., Kendrick, G. A., & Stat, M. (2017). Restricted

gene flow and local adaptation highlight the vulnerability of high-latitude reefs to rapid

environmental change. *Global Change Biology*, *23*(6), 2197–2205.

Thompson, D. M., & van Woesik, R. (2009). Corals escape bleaching in regions that recently

and historically experienced frequent thermal stress. *Proceedings. Biological Sciences /*

The Royal Society, *276*(1669), 2893–2901.

UNEP-WCMC, WorldFish-Center, WRI, & TNC. (2010). Global distribution of warm-water

coral reefs, compiled from multiple sources including the Millennium Coral Reef

Mapping Project. Version 1.3. Retrieved May 9, 2017, from http://data.unep-

wcmc.org/datasets/1

Van der Auwera, G. A., Carneiro, M. O., Hartl, C., Poplin, R., del Angel, G., Levy-

Moonshine, A., … DePristo, M. A. (2013). From FastQ Data to High-Confidence

Variant Calls: The Genome Analysis Toolkit Best Practices Pipeline. In *Current*

Protocols in Bioinformatics (Vol. 43, p. 11.10.1-11.10.33). Hoboken, NJ, USA: John

Wiley & Sons, Inc.

van Etten, J. (2018). gdistance: Distances and Routes on Geographical Grids. Retrieved from

https://cran.r-project.org/package=gdistance

van Oppen, M. J. H., Oliver, J. K., Putnam, H. M., & Gates, R. D. (2015a). Building coral

reef resilience through assisted evolution. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*

Sciences of the United States of America, *112*(8), 2307–13.

van Oppen, M. J. H., Oliver, J. K., Putnam, H. M., & Gates, R. D. (2015b). Building coral

reef resilience through assisted evolution. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*

Sciences of the United States of America, *112*(8), 2307–13.

Van Oppen, M. J. H., Willis, B. L., Van Rheede, T., & Miller, D. J. (2002). Spawning times,

reproductive compatibilities and genetic structuring in the Acropora aspera group:

evidence for natural hybridization and semi-permeable species boundaries in corals.

Molecular Ecology, *11*(8), 1363–76.

Van Woesik, R., Irikawa, A., & Loya, Y. (2004). Coral Bleaching: Signs of Change in

Southern Japan. In *Coral Health and Disease* (pp. 119–141). Berlin, Heidelberg:

Springer Berlin Heidelberg.

Visel, A., Rubin, E. M., & Pennacchio, L. A. (2009). Genomic views of distant-acting

enhancers. *Nature*, *461*(7261), 199–205.

Weir, B. S., & Cockerham, C. C. (1984). Estimating F-Statistics for the Analysis of

Population Structure. *Evolution*, *38*(6), 1358.

Welle, P. D., Small, M. J., Doney, S. C., & Azevedo, I. L. (2017). Estimating the effect of

multiple environmental stressors on coral bleaching and mortality. *PloS One*, *12*(5),

e0175018.

Yamazato, K. (1999). Coral bleaching in Okinawa, 1980 vs 1998. *Journal of the Japanese*

Coral Reef Society, *1999*(1), 83–87.

Young, C. N., Schopmeyer, S. A., & Lirman, D. (2012). A review of reef restoration and

Coral propagation using the threatened genus Acropora in the Caribbean and western

Atlantic. *Bulletin of Marine Science*, *88*(4), 1075–1098.

Zheng, X., Levine, D., Shen, J., Gogarten, S. M., Laurie, C., & Weir, B. S. (2012). A high-

performance computing toolset for relatedness and principal component analysis of SNP

data. *Bioinformatics*, *28*(24), 3326–3328.

Ziegler, M., Seneca, F. O., Yum, L. K., Palumbi, S. R., & Voolstra, C. R. (2017). Bacterial

community dynamics are linked to patterns of coral heat tolerance. *Nature*

Communications, *8*, 14213.

Figures

Figure 1. The study area. The Ryukyu Archipelago extends for more than 1,000 km in the north-western Pacific Ocean. The red circles display the 11 sites where samples were collected for the seascape genomics analysis (adapted from Shinzato, Mungpakdee, Arakaki, & Satoh, 2015).

Figure 2. Workflow of the methods. The starting point for the analysis is the generation of genetic data describing the genotypes observed at different sampling locations (in this example, 4 sampling sites). In the seascape genomics analysis (A), these data are combined with environmental information to uncover genotypes whose frequencies are associated with specific climatic conditions (ENV). Such genotypes are defined as potentially adaptive. The model describing this link is then applied to environmental data at the scale of the whole reef system (B), to predict the probability of presence of the adaptive genotypes (green: high probability; red: low probability). The genetic data are also combined with sea current information to build a connectivity model (C) describing how sea distances correspond to genetic separation between sampling sites. This model is fitted with sea distance between all the reefs of the study area to predict (D) patterns of connectivity from (outbound) and to (inbound) each reef (green: high connectivity; red: low connectivity). Finally, predictions of the presence of adaptive genotypes and connectivity patterns are combined to assess the adaptive potential across the study area (E): reefs that are connected with sites that are likely to carry the adaptive genotype will have a higher adaptive potential (green), while those that are isolated will have lower adaptive potential (red).

Figure 3. Cumulated probability of carrying adaptive genotypes vs DHW. The map shows the probability of presence of genotypes expected to be linked to adaptation to thermal stress across the study area and the neighbouring regions. Five gene-environment associations (SGEA2-6, Tab. 1) describing the association between distinct putative adaptive genotypes and DHW frequency were used to predict expected genotype frequencies. These expected frequencies were then averaged to compute the cumulated probability of adaptive genotypes. The dashed box highlights the position of the Ryukyu Archipelago.

Figure 4. Connectivity indices. The maps show the potential connectivity to (a) and from (b) every reef of the Ryukyu Archipelago. In (a), the inbound connectivity index (ICI) represents the total area (in km²) of the reefs that are connected to the focal reef with a pFst<0.02 (pFst toward the focal reef). In (b), the outbound connectivity index (OCI) displays the total area of the reefs that are connected from the focal reef with a pFst<0.02 (pFst from the focal reef).

a) Inbound connectivity

b) Outbound connectivity

Figure 5. Index of adaptive potential. The map displays the index of adaptive potential (API) against thermal stress (DHW frequency) for every reef of the study area. This index represents the sum of weighted areas of reefs connected to the focal reef with a pFst<0.02 (pFst toward the focal reef). The weight applied correspond to the probability of presence of adaptive genotypes (Pa).

Box 1. Examples of applications of ICI (a) and API (b) in conservation management

a) Marine protected areas (MPAs)

Two different locations for MPA of the same size are compared. In the first location (A), the MPA is established in Okinawa, and in Miyako and Yaeyama for the second one (B). For every reef of the Ryukyu Archipelago, we computed the ICI from each of the two MPA locations. In this map, we show the difference of the two ICI ($\Delta ICI = ICI_A - ICI_B$). An overall $\overline{\Delta ICI} = +40\ km^2$ indicates that the MPA in (A) is expected to produce a stronger increase of the inbound connectivity of reefs of the study area. However, these positive effects do not extend to southern reefs (Yaeyama and Miyako), where an MPA in (B) would provide a stronger increase in ICI.

The different locations of two CNs network are compared. Each network is composed of 10 reefs where the P_a was set equal to 1 (simulating the restoration via transplantation of adapted colonies). In the first scenario (A, green triangles), CNs are established in the island of Yaeyama. In the second scenario (B, red triangles), CNs are located all across the archipelago. For every reef of the study area, API is calculated under the two network scenarios (A and B). The map shows the difference of API between the two locations ($\Delta API = API_A - API_B$). Overall $\overline{\Delta API}$ is $+5 \ km^2$, therefore suggesting that the CN network A provides a general increase of adaptive potential in the study area. Such positive effects are visible in any region of the Archipelago.

1 **Tables**

Table 1. Significant genotype-environment associations. The seascape genomics analysis using the SamBada method detected 6 significant (qG and qW<0.001) genotype-environment associations (SGEA). This table shows, for each SGEA, the genomic position of the concerned SNP (in the format scaffoldID:position; Position), the q-values related to the G-score (qG) and the Wald-score (qW) of the association model, the concerned environmental variables (Env. Var.), the number of predicted genes in a ± 250 kb window around the concerned SNP (with the number of predicted genes annotated by similarity with a known gene, in brackets) and the related annotations on the eukaryote cluster of orthologous genes (KOG; with the frequency of the annotation across the reference genome, in brackets).

ID	Position	q_G	q_W	Env. Var	Genes	Annotated KOG
SGEA1	NW_015441190.1:582812	1.5E-08	1.3E-04	SST variance in April	18 (6)	KOG4088: Signal sequence receptor delta (0.001); KOG0351: DNA helicase (0.041); KOG4585: transposon protein (0.053)
SGEA2	NW_015441080.1:208400	1.1E-09	5.5E-05	DHW frequency	21 (18)	KOG0120: splicing factor U2AF (0.001); KOG4193: G- protein-coupled receptor (0.059); KOG0777: geranylgeranyl diphosphate synthase (0.001); KOG0157: Cytochrome p450 (0.011); KOG3656: receptor (0.324); KOG2358: NFU1 iron-sulfur cluster scaffold homolog (0.002)
SGEA3	NW_015441121.1:665651	1.7E-07	1.5E-04	DHW frequency	14 (2)	KOG0192: protein kinase kinase kinase (0.036); KOG0619: leucine rich repeat (0.061); KOG3744: jnk1 mapk8-associated membrane protein (0.001)
SGEA4	NW_015441282.1:27616	5.8E-06	6.9E-04	DHW frequency	11 (2)	KOG0351: DNA helicase (0.041); KOG4373: Exonuclease 3'-5' domain containing 2 (0.013)
SGEA5	NW_015441785.1:16151	6.6E-06	9.5E-04	DHW frequency	5 (2)	KOG0351: DNA helicase (0.041); KOG4373: Exonuclease 3'-5' domain containing 2 (0.013)
SGEA6	NW_015442197.1:32233	4.14E-06	9.5E-04	DHW frequency	1 (1)	KOG0351: DNA helicase (0.041); KOG4373: Exonuclease 3'-5' domain containing 2 (0.013)

Table 2. Field report of the 2016 mass bleaching event. The table shows the severity and mortality associated with the 2016 bleaching event as reported by Global Coral Reef Monitoring Network (Kimura et al., 2018). For every region surveyed in this report (identified by an ID and a region name), we show the corresponding region in our study and the associated average API, P_a and degree of heat stress in 2016 (estimated as the number of days with DHW>4°C). Colours scales highlight the value variations of each column.

ID	Region Name	Region Area (this study)	Bleaching [%]	Morality [%]	API [km2]	Pa	Heat Stress [# of days]
3	Amami Islands	Amami	8.5	2.1	371	0.26	68
4	Okinawa Island, East coast	Okinawa	21	0.7	339	0.60	69
5	Okinawa Island, West coast	Okinawa	13.1	4.3	328	0.36	75
6	Okinawa Outer Islands	Okinawa	48.4	13.5	336	0.70	82
7	Kerama Islands	Okinawa	7.3	5.4	334	0.08	81
9	Miyako Island	Miyako	68.8	31	282	0.61	84
10	Miyako Outer Reefs	Miyako	70.1	67.5	293	0.69	85
11	Ishigaki Island, East coast	Yaeyama	47.9	8.8	230	0.38	81
12	Ishigaki Island, West coast	Yaeyama	63.2	14.8	226	0.11	80
13	Sekisei Lagoon, North	Yaeyama	91.5	46.9	225	0.16	81
14	Sekisei Lagoon, East	Yaeyama	99.5	67.9	238	0.29	82
15	Sekisei Lagoon, Center	Yaeyama	94.9	49.7	241	0.24	82
16	Sekisei Lagoon, South	Yaeyama	98.2	50	254	0.19	83
17	Iriomote Islands	Yaeyama	94.3	34.8	236	0.28	80

3
4