Characterizing the adaptive potential of north-western Pacific reef corals to empower conservation strategies: a role for seascape genomics

List of Authors: Oliver Selmoni[±], Véronique Berteaux-Lecellier[ℇ], Gael Lecellier[ℇ], Stéphane Joost[±].

Institutional Affiliations:
[±] Laboratory of Geographic Information Systems (LASIG), Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland.
[ℇ] Ecologie Marine Tropicale des Océans Paciqiue et Indien (ENTROPIE), UMR 250 IRD-9220 CNRS-UR, Institut de Récherche pour le Developpement (IRD), Promenade de Roger-Laroque 101, 98848 Nouméa, New Caledonia.
Contact Information: Oliver Selmoni (**oliver.selmoni@epfl.ch**), Laboratory of Geographic Information Systems (LASIG), Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland.

Abstract (300w, last sentence focus on global change)

Coral reefs are suffering a major decline due to the environmental constraints imposed by climate change. Over the last 20 years, three major coral bleaching events occurred in concomitance of anomalous heat waves, provoking a severe loss of coral cover world-wide. Recent works, however, reported of reefs at which recurrent bleaching events resulted in reduced sensitivity to thermal stresses. Adaptation has been suggested to be responsible for this phenomenon. The conservation strategies for preserving the reefs, as they are conceived now, can not cope with global climatic shifts. In this regard, researchers advocated the set-up of a preservation framework to reinforce coral adaptive potential. The main obstacle to this approach is that studies focusing on coral adaptation usually concern a couple of contrasted reefs and their results are therefore hard to generalize at the scale of a reef system. In this work, we ran a seascape genomics analysis to study adaptation of a flagship coral species of the Ryukyu Archipelago (Japan). By associating genotype frequencies with descriptors of historical environmental conditions, we discovered six polymorphisms that might elicit resistance against thermal stress and calculated the probability of presence of these putative adaptive traits across the whole study area. Furthermore, the seascape genomics method allowed us to calculate a connectivity model based on sea surface currents and calibrated on genetic data. These results were used to compute, for every reef of the Ryukyu Archipelago, indexes describing corals adaptive and connective potential. This information can be extremely useful for preservation, since it provides an objective information on how the adaptive potential distributes and disperse across the reef system. Finally, we provide two examples of how this information can be implemented in conservation strategies commonly used such as the establishment of marine protected areas and coral nurseries. Together, the results of our work advocate the value of genetic analyses for empowering preservation efforts dedicated to the protection of coral reef from warming oceans.

Introduction

Coral reefs are suffering a severe decline due to the effects of climate change (Hughes et al., 2017). Loss of reef is already having catastrophic consequences for marine wildlife depending on these structures (Pratchett, Thompson, Hoey, Cowman, & Wilson, 2018) and disastrous

aftermaths are expected for human economies as well (Moberg & Folke, 1999). One of the major threat to the persistence of this ecosystem is coral bleaching (Bellwood, Hughes, Folke, & Nyström, 2004): a physiological response induced by environmental stress that provokes hard-skeleton coral, the cornerstone of the reef, to separate from the symbiotic microbial algae living within their cells (Mydlarz, McGinty, & Harvell, 2010). These algae, called Symbiodiniacea (LaJeunesse et al., 2018), are crucial for the host sustainment and therefore a persistent bleached state leads coral to death (Mydlarz et al., 2010). Three mass bleaching events occurred over the last 20 years in concomitance to anomalously high sea water temperatures, reason why thermal stress is now considered the main driver of this phenomenon (Hughes et al., 2017). These events struck world-wide and lead, under their most severe occurrences, to local losses of coral cover up to 50% (Hughes et al., 2018, 2017). Besides, coral bleaching was found to be associated to other stressors associated to human activity, such as ocean acidification, water eutrophication, sedimentation and overfishing (Anthony, Kline, Diaz-Pulido, Dove, & Hoegh-Guldberg, 2008; Ateweberhan et al., 2013; Maina, Venus, McClanahan, & Ateweberhan, 2008).

Conservation efforts facing the plague of coral bleaching aim to restore reefs that underwent severe losses and to limit the impact of future damages (Baums, 2008; Bellwood et al., 2004; Young, Schopmeyer, & Lirman, 2012). To these purposes, two tools are nowadays usually employed: the establishment of marine protected areas (MPAs) and the set-up of coral nurseries (Baums, 2008; Bellwood et al., 2004; Young et al., 2012). MPAs are designed zones in which human access and activities are severely restricted in order to alleviate the effects of local anthropogenic stressors (Lester et al., 2009). Coral nurseries are underwater gardens of transplanted colonies that can be then be employed to restore damaged reefs (Baums, 2008; Young et al., 2012). For both these strategies, researchers advocated the use of design systems accounting for demographic connectivity so that the position of a conservation measure can

promote resistance and resilience even on neighbouring sites (Baums, 2008; Krueck et al.,

2017; Lukoschek, Riginos, & van Oppen, 2016; Palumbi, 2003; Shanks, Grantham, & Carr,

2003). Even though beneficial effects of this kind of conservation policies have been observed

worldwide (Cinner et al., 2016; Rodgers et al., 2017; Selig & Bruno, 2010) these solutions, as

they are conceived now, can not confer resistance against the temperature oscillations

associated to the last mass bleaching events (Baums, 2008; Hughes et al., 2017). Coral reefs

that experienced past thermal stress were found to be more resistant to subsequent heat waves

(Hughes et al., 2019; Penin, Vidal-Dupiol, & Adjeroud, 2013; Thompson & van Woesik, 2009)

but to date this information is missing from conservation planning (Baums, 2008; Maina,

McClanahan, Venus, Ateweberhan, & Madin, 2011). There is an urgent need of understanding

whether these observations are due to evolutionary processes and, if so, to figure out how the

underlying adaptive potential could be included in predictions of climate change responses and

in conservation plans (Baums, 2008; Logan, Dunne, Eakin, & Donner, 2014; Maina et al.,

2011; van Oppen, Oliver, Putnam, & Gates, 2015).

Landscape genomics is a branch of population genomics that investigates adaptive potential in

field-based experiments (Balkenhol et al., 2017; Rellstab, Gugerli, Eckert, Hancock, &

Holderegger, 2015). Samples are collected across a landscape and then genotyped using next-

generation-sequencing techniques, resulting in the discovery of thousands of genetic

variants(Rellstab et al., 2015). At the same time, an environmental characterization of the study

area is usually derived from remote-sensing data describing specific climatic conditions

(Rellstab et al., 2015). Genomic and environmental information are then combined in order to

detect genetic polymorphisms associated to peculiar conditions (*i.e.,* potentially adaptive traits;

Rellstab et al., 2015). This approach has been already used on many land species and is

becoming more and more frequent on marine animals in what is referred to as *seascape*

genomics (exhaustively reviewed in Riginos, Crandall, Liggins, Bongaerts, & Treml, 2016).

To our knowledge, there are yet no reports concerning seascape genomics experiments on reef

corals. In fact, adaptation of these species has been mostly studied by performing

transplantation assays followed by conditioning in aquarium, a time and resource-demanding

approach that often focuses on a couple of contrasting reef (Howells, Berkelmans, van Oppen,

Willis, & Bay, 2013; Krueger et al., 2017; Palumbi, Barshis, Traylor-Knowles, & Bay, 2014;

Sampayo et al., 2016; Ziegler, Seneca, Yum, Palumbi, & Voolstra, 2017). There have also been

genotype-environment associations studies concerning corals but they focused on a limited

number of markers (Lundgren, Vera, Peplow, Manel, & van Oppen, 2013) or on a restricted

number of locations (Bay & Palumbi, 2014; Thomas, Kennington, Evans, Kendrick, & Stat,

2017). Contrarily to these works, a seascape genomics approach should cover ecologically

meaningful spatial scales, allowing to distinguish pressures of different climatic conditions and

to account for confounding effects of demographic processes (Balkenhol et al., 2017). By

consequence, the main (adaptive) and collateral (connectivity) information offered by this

method provide a valuable contribution for preservation strategies (Baums, 2008; Krueck et

al., 2017; Palumbi, 2003).

In this work we applied a seascape genomics framework to detect reefs carrying potential

adaptive traits and show how conservation policies could implement such findings. Our study

focused on *Acropora digitifera* of the Ryukyu Archipelago in Japan (Fig. 1), an emblematic

species of the Indo-Pacific and flagship organism for studies on corals genomics (C Shinzato

et al., 2011). In the first part of our work, we analysed the convergence between genomics and

environmental information to detect loci potentially conferring selective advantages and to

develop a model describing connectivity patterns. Next, we took advantage of these findings

to indicate which reefs were more likely to be carrying adaptive traits and to evaluate how well

such sites are interconnected with the rest of the reef system. Finally, we displayed how

conservation planning can implement these results. Together, our work provides tools at the

interface between conservation genomics and environmental sciences that can empower

preservation strategies for coral reefs.

Figure 1. The Study Area. The Ryukyu Archipelago extends for more than 1,000 km in north-western Pacific. The red circles display the sites from which samples for the seascape genomics analysis were collected (adapted from Chuya Shinzato, Mungpakdee, Arakaki, & Satoh, 2016).

Materials and methods

Genomics Dataset

The genomics data we used comes from a publicly available dataset of 155 geo-referenced

colonies of *A. digitifera* from 12sampling locations of the Ryukyu Archipelago in Japan (Fig.

1; Bioproject Accession PRJDB4188). Samples from this project were sequenced using a

whole-genome-sequencing approach in the scope of a population genomics study. Details on

how samples were collected and processed for genomics analysis can be found in the

corresponding report (Chuya Shinzato et al., 2015).

SNP discovery pipeline

Genomics data was processed using the GATK framework following the recommended

pipeline with some modifications to cope with the absence of reliable databases of known

variants for this species (McKenna et al., 2010; Van der Auwera et al., 2013). In short, the *A.*

digitifera reference genome (v. 1.1, GenBank accession: GCA_000222465.2; C Shinzato et

al., 2011) was indexed using bwa (v. 0.7.5a, Li & Durbin, 2009), samtools (v. 1.9, Heng Li et

al., 2009) and picardtools (v. 1.95, http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard) and raw sequencing

reads were aligned against it using the bwa *mem* algorithm. The resulting alignments were

sorted, marked for duplicate reads, modified for read-group headers and indexed using picard-

tools. Next, each underwent an independent variant discovery using the GATK

HaplotypeCaller tool (using the ERC mode and setting the --minPruning flag to 10) and

genotypes were then jointly called by the GenotypeGVCFs tool in random batches of 18

samples to match our computational power (18 CPUs). The variant-calling matrices of the

different batches were then joined and filtered for keeping only bi-allelic Single Nucleotide

Polymorphisms (SNPs) using the GATK CombineVariants and SelectVariants tools,

respectively. This resulted in a raw genotype matrix counting ~1.2 M of SNPs. Subsequently,

we used the GATK VariantAnnotator tool to annotate variants for Quality-by-depth and filtered

for this value (<2), read coverage (>5 and <100 within a sample), minor allele frequency

(>0.05), major genotype frequency (<0.95) and missing rate of both individuals and SNPs

(<0.1) using the GATK VariantFiltrationTool and custom scripts in the R environment (v.

3.5.1, R Core Team, 2016). This pipeline produced the filtered genotype matrix counting 136

individuals and 15,516 SNPs.

Environmental Data

Eleven geoinformatics products describing atmospheric and seawater conditions were retrieved from publicly available resources (EU Copernicus Marine Service, 2017; NASA, 2016; National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 2017; Tab S1). This data was remote-sensing derived and therefore provided, for each variable, temporal measurements (monthly or daily over several years) across the whole study area at a resolution usually below 10 km. We processed these products in the R environment using the *raster* package (v. 2.8, Hijmans, 2016) to compute averages and standard deviations by month and for the whole studied period. Furthermore, Sea Surface Temperature (SST) measurements were used to compute a Degree Heat Week (DHW) frequency index, representing the % of days in which the bi-weekly accumulated heat stress exceeded the 4 °C (Liu, Strong, & Skirving, 2003; Logan et al., 2014). SST and sea surface salinity records were combined to produce estimates of seawater pH (Covington & Whitfield, 1988), dissolved inorganic carbon (Loukos, Vivier, Murphy, Harrison, & Le Quéré, 2000) and alkalinity (Lee et al., 2006). Bathymetry data (Ryan et al., 2009) was used to retrieve the depth of sampling locations. Finally, population density data (CIESIN Columbia University, 2010) was averaged on a 50 km buffer to produce a surrogated variable for anthropogenic pressure (Welle, Small, Doney, & Azevedo, 2017). We used the coordinates associated to each sample of the genomics dataset to extrapolate the relative environmental conditions using the QGIS point sampling tool (v. 2.18.25, QGIS development team, 2009).

Seascape Genomics

We performed the genotype-environment association analysis using the method of logistic regressions of the software SamBada (v. 0.7; Stucki et al., 2014), customized to fasten

multivariate models computation in the Python environment (v. 2.7; Python Software

Foundation, 2018) using the *pandas* (v. 0.23.4; McKinney, 2010) and *statsmodels* (v. 0.9;

Seabold & Perktold, 2010) libraries. The SamBada approach allows to include proxy variables

for genetic structure in the analysis in order to avoid the confounding effects of neutral genetic

variation. In our case, we performed a discriminant analysis of principal components (daPCA)

on the SNPs genotype matrix using the R package *adegenet* (v. 2.1.1; Jombart, 2008). This

procedure highlighted that the main separation concerned two groups of samples along the first

discriminant function (Fig. S1) which was therefore used as co-variable in associations models.

In order to speed up calculations, the 315 environmental variables were grouped in 29 clusters

of highly correlated ($|R|>0.7$) descriptors in the R environment. For each of these groups, one

variable was randomly selected to build logistic models against the three genotypes of each

SNP. In total, the SamBada instance evaluated 1,349,892 association-models (29

environmental groups vs. 3 x 15,516 SNPs) that were subsequently analysed in the R

environment. For each association-model concerning the same environmental cluster, p-values

of G-scores (G) and Wald-scores (W) were corrected for multiple testing using the R *q-value*

package (v. 2.14, Storey, 2003). Association-models scoring q<0.001 for both statistics were

retained as significant. If a SNP was found in more than one significant association, only the

best model (according to G) was retained. For each significant association retained, we

calculated all the models of the involved genotype vs. the environmental variables in the cluster

of correlated descriptors and looked for the best association model (according to G). Finally,

we visualized the logistic regression relating to each significant association-model using the R

popbio library (v. 2.4.4; Stubben & Milligan, 2007) and manually checked the annotations

around significant SNPs on the NCBI genome browser (NCBI, 1988). We set our search to a

window of $\pm$ 250 kbs since the gene(s) potentially affected by a mutation can be up to hundreds

of kbs away (Brodie, Azaria, & Ofran, 2016; Visel, Rubin, & Pennacchio, 2009). We chose

this window size because it corresponds roughly to the scaffold N50 statistics of the reference

genome (*i.e.* half of the genome is contained in scaffolds of this size or longer).

Connectivity Analysis

The connectivity analysis consisted in two steps: modelling and prediction. In the first part, we

built a model describing the link between the measured genetic dissimilarities among reefs and

their distances by sea. Afterwards, we used this model to calculate the expected genetic

distances (GDs) between any reef of the Ryukyu Archipelago and created indexes to evaluate

reef-specific connectivity potential.

Daily records of sea surface current were retrieved from publicly available databases (zonal

and meridional surface velocities from the *global-reanalysis-phy-001-030* product; EU

Copernicus Marine Service, 2017) and used to compute currents direction and speed in the R

environment using the *raster* library. These day-by-day calculations were then piled-up to

retrieve, for each pixel of the study area (~0.083°), the cumulated speed (the conductance)

toward each of the eight neighbouring pixels. This information was used to calculate dispersal

costs (the inverse of conductance) and was summarized in a transition matrix in the format of

the R *gdistance* package (v. 1.2, van Etten, 2018). The transition matrix was used to calculated

sea-distances (*i.e.* the least-cost path) between sampling sites of the genotyped colonies.

Coincidentally, we performed a principal component analysis (PCA) on the filtered genotype

matrix. The values of the PCs were used to calculate the Euclidean distances between each

couple of samples that were subsequently averaged by sites in order to obtain GDs between

reefs. Finally, we built a linear model (hereafter referred to as the connectivity model) to

estimate GDs out of log-transformed sea-distances (+0.005 to allow transformation of zeros)

and ran a t-test to verify the statistical significance of the relationship. As a comparison, we

also built a connectivity model using log-transformed aerial distance as independent variable

and computed the coefficient of determination (R2) and the Akaike information criterion (AIC;

Bozdogan, 1987).

We then used the connectivity model to predict GDs among any reef of Ryukyu Archipelago.

Reefs from the neighbouring regions (Taiwan and Philippines, Fig. S5) were included in the

computations to avoid border-effects. By using QGIS (v. 2.18.25, QGIS development team,

2009), the shapes of the reefs of this region (UNEP-WCMC, WorldFish-Center, WRI, & TNC,

2010) were cut in a regular grid (cell size 5x5 km). Sea-distances between every couple of reef-

cells were computed out of remote sensing data as described above and translated in GDs by

fitting the connectivity model. Importantly, for every couple of reefs, two GDs were calculated

corresponding to sea-distances on the two opposite directions. Based on these predictions, we

were able to calculate, for each reef-cell, two indexes:

-   *outbound connectivity* (OC): the % of cells reachable from the focal cell within a GD-

threshold (tGD).

-   *inbound connectivity* (IC): % of cells that can reach the focal cell within a tGD.

Since these indexes were conceived to display contrasts in connectivity among reefs of the

Ryukyu Archipelago, we looked for the tGD value maximizing their variances (Fig. S3). The

values corresponding to the 25%-quantile and the 60%-quantile of the GD distribution were

those boosting the differences in both connectivity indexes. Since we wanted to portrait

differences at a more local scale, we set tGD at the 25%-quantile of the GD distribution (*i.e.*

tGD=79.861).

Adaptive Potential Evaluation

The framework proposed here empowered findings from the previous analyses in order to

define the adaptive potential of reefs of the Ryukyu Archipelago facing a particular

environmental constraint. Since most of the putative adaptive genotypes were found associated

to DHW frequency (Tab. 1) we designated this variable as the environmental pressure of

interest.

For each of the reef-cells constructed during the connectivity analysis, an average DHW

frequency value was calculated using the QGIS zonal statistics tool (v. 2.18.25, QGIS

development team, 2009). The significant genotype-environment association-models related to

DHW (association models #3, #6, #7, #9 and #15, Tab. 1) were fit with these values to

calculate, for each reef, the probability of carrying an adaptive genotype (Rochat, E.,

Leempoel, K., Vajana, E., Colli, L., Ajmone-Marsan, P., Joost, 2016). We assumed that

potentially adaptive traits could reach any reef of the region since several studies reported high

gene flow between distant islands of the Archipelago (Nakajima, Nishikawa, Iguchi, & Sakai,

2010; Nishikawa, 2008; Chuya Shinzato et al., 2015). Finally, these probabilities where

combined in an average probability of carrying adaptive traits (P_a) and we defined reef-cells

approaching the maximal values (P_a>0.6) as putatively adapted reefs. We then used the GDs

calculated during the connectivity analysis to retrieve, for each reef-cell, the GD from the

closest putatively adapted reef.

In the last part of the analysis, we wanted to verify how the adaptive potential responded to

alternative emplacements of two typical preservation initiatives (MPAs and coral nurseries).

In a first scenario, we imitated a conservation effort (such as MPAs) that allows to locally

alleviate coral loss (Selig & Bruno, 2010). To do so, we designed two distinct set-ups in which

adaptive potential was maintained only at one specific site (*i.e.* where the MPA was set). In the

first strategy (A), the protected area was established in Miyako-Yaeyama, while in the second

one (B) in Okinawa. We chose these two locations because they both enclosed reef-cells

previously deemed as putatively adapted reef (*i.e.* P_a>0.6, Fig. S5) but differed by connectivity

potential *to* and *from* neighbouring reefs (Fig. 2). At both locations, the MPAs covered an area

of roughly 15'000 km^2 (Fig. 4a), which is the reported median size of MPAs world-wide

(Hoagland, Sumaila, & Farrow, 2001). We then compared the difference in GD (ΔGD) from

potentially adaptive sites between the two strategies: positive ΔGD values indicated reefs

where the B solution reduced the GD from adaptive sites when compared to the A strategy,

vice-versa for negative values.

We then explored two additional artificial set-ups to assess the effects of coral nurseries on

adaptive potential. To do so, we changed the status of one reef-cell from "not putatively adapted

reef" to "adapted reef" (emulating the transplantation of adapted colonies where absent as good

practices for coral nurseries establishment suggest; Baums, 2008). Differently from the

previous case, here all the other potentially adapted reef across the seascape where maintained.

We tested this approach at two distinct locations: the first one in Amami (strategy C; Fig. 4b)

and the second one in Tokara (strategy D), differing for their connectivity potential (Fig. 2)

and their proximity from already existing potentially adapted reefs (Fig. S5). As in the previous

case, GDs from potentially adapted reef where measured for the two strategies and the ΔGD

was used to assess the effects on adaptive potential. A positive ΔGD designated a more

beneficial effect under strategy D, a negative one under strategy C.

Results

Seascape Genomics

The seascape genomics study detected 15 significant genotype-environment association-

models (q_G and q_W<0.001; Tab.1), referring to genetic markers from 6 distinct scaffolds of the

A. digitifera reference genome. Amid these associations, one related to SST variation in April,

one to changes in sea current velocity in May and thirteen to DHW frequency (or to a highly

correlated variable such as variation in cloud fraction in August).

The SNP in the significant association-model #1 was related to SST standard deviation in April

and fell in a genomic window (± 250 kb) counting 18 predicted genes, 7 of which were

annotated by similarity with known genes (Box S1). Association-models #2 to #5 concerned

four SNP falling on two opposite sides of a long scaffold (~1.3 Mb). Those on one side

(involved in association-models #2 and #3) were associated to DHW frequency and were

surrounded by 17 predicted genes (of which 12 have a putative encoded protein with known

function; Box S2) while those on the other (association-models #4 and #5) related also to Sea

current velocity variance in May and were enclosed by twelve putative genes (Box S3). All the

remaining association-models (#6 to #15) involved only DHW. Association-model #6

concerned a SNP surrounded by 14 putative genes, but only one was annotated and which

predicted protein was *JNK1/MAPK8-associated membrane protein-like* (NCBI accession:

LOC107334815; Box S4). In association-model #7, the SNP of interest fell in a window with

eleven predicted genes (only two with a known match by similarity; Box S5). Association-

models #8 to #14 referred to seven SNPs on the same scaffold (~195 kb long). This region

contained five putative genes of which two annotated by similarity (Box S6). In association-

model #15, finally, the SNP associated to DHW frequency was located on a ~75 kb scaffold

that contained only one putative gene predicted as *ATP-dependent DNA helicase RecQ-like*

(LOC107328703; Box S7).

Table 1. Significant genotype-environment associations. The seascape genomics analysis using the SamBada method discovered 15 significant association-models (q_G and q_W<0.001). This table shows, for each association-model, the genomic position (scaffold of the reference genome and relative situation), the genotype involved (0 and 2=homozygous, 1=heterozygous), the concerned environmental variable (in parenthesis is displayed a variable from the same group of correlated descriptors that resulted in a better model), the q-values associated to the G-score (q_G) and the Wald-score (q_W), the number of predicted genes in a ± 250 kb window around the SNP (with the number of those annotated by similarity with a known gene, in parenthesis) and the annotations from the reference genome that are in the neighborhood (± 250 kb) of the SNP and that corroborate an adaptive role against the respective environmental variable.

Association-model #	Scaffold ID	Position on Scaffold	GT	Environmental Variable	q_G	q_W	Number of Predicted Genes	Relevant Genome Annotations
1	NW_015441190.1	582812	1	SST variance in April	1.56E-08	2.68E-04	18 (7)	*Helicase RecQ at 60 kbs*
2	NW_015441080.1	208387	0	DHW frequency (Cloud Fraction variance in August)	5.83E-08	2.03E-04	17 (12)	*Cytochrome p450 at 150 kbs*
3	NW_015441080.1	208400	0	DHW frequency	2.26E-09	6.39E-05	17 (12)	*Cytochrome p450 at 150 kbs*
4	NW_015441080.1	963844	0	DHW frequency (Cloud Fraction variance in August)	4.00E-09	5.76E-04	12 (7)	
5	NW_015441080.1	963851	0	Sea current velocity variance in May	1.53E-07	9.27E-04	12 (7)	
6	NW_015441121.1	665651	0	DHW frequency	5.93E-08	1.57E-04	14 (1)	*MAPK8/JNK1 at 200 kbs*
7	NW_015441282.1	27616	0	DHW frequency	5.50E-06	5.76E-04	7 (2)	*Helicase Q at 250 kbs*
8	NW_015441785.1	16151	1	DHW frequency	6.15E-06	8.60E-04	5 (2)	*Helicase RecQ at 150kbs*
9	NW_015441785.1	68415	1	DHW frequency	5.83E-08	1.14E-04	5 (2)	*Helicase RecQ at 90kbs*
10	NW_015441785.1	68439	1	DHW frequency	3.15E-07	2.97E-04	5 (2)	*Helicase RecQ at 90kbs*
11	NW_015441785.1	68444	1	DHW frequency	5.19E-06	8.60E-04	5 (2)	*Helicase RecQ at 90kbs*

12	NW_015441785.1	68515	1	DHW frequency (Cloud Fraction variance in August)	5.93E-08	1.57E-04	5 (2)	*Helicase RecQ at 90kbs*
13	NW_015441785.1	68521	1	DHW frequency	5.83E-08	2.03E-04	5 (2)	*Helicase RecQ at 90kbs*
14	NW_015441785.1	68528	1	DHW frequency	6.89E-08	6.71E-04	5 (2)	*Helicase RecQ at 90kbs*
15	NW_015442197.1	32233	0	DHW frequency	4.45E-06	8.60E-04	1 (1)	*Helicase RecQ at 20kbs*

Connectivity Analysis

In the both the dispersal models based on sea- and aerial-distances, we found a significant association between genetic-distance (GD) and spatial separation ($p < 10^{-9}$, Fig. S3). As we compared the two models, we found that the one based on sea-distances better explained the variation in GD (R2=0.57), in comparison to the one using aerial-distances (R2=0.45). The AIC comparison displayed a lower value for the model based on sea-distances (AIC=315) than in the one using aerial-distances (AIC=343).

Sea-distances linking the reefs of the region were translated in GD by fitting the connectivity model (Fig. S4a). Based on this, two indexes of connectivity (IC: *inbound* and OC: *outbound*) were computed for each reef of the archipelago (Fig. 2). The reefs around the islands of Tokara were those with the highest values of IC (0.49±0.01; Fig. 2a). The reefs in the central islands of Ryukyu Archipelago (Okinawa and Amami) showed higher values of the IC index (0.41±0.03; Fig. 2a) in comparison to those at the edges of the reef system (Yaeyama in South, Osumi in the North) had the lowest values (0.27±0.03). For which concerns the OC index, reefs in the southern part (Yaeyama and Miyako) where those predicted with the highest values (0.42±0.03; Fig. 2b) while those in the northern part (Osumi and Tokara) displayed the lowest ones (0.20±0.13).

Figure 2. Connectivity Indexes. The two maps show how dispersal to and from specific reefs is expected to vary across the Ryukyu Archipelago. The dispersal model based on remote sensing data from the 1993-2010 period was used to translate sea-distance between each couple of reefs to genetic distances (GD). By setting the first quartile of the GD distribution as threshold value (tGD=80.32), inbound connectivity (IC, a) was calculated as the % of cells that can reach the focal cell within tGD. Conversely, outbound connectivity (OC, b) represented the % of cells reachable from the focal cell within tGD.

Adaptive Potential Evaluation

We then employed the findings from the seascape genomics and connectivity analyses to evaluate the adaptive potential of reefs of Ryukyu Archipelago against thermal stress. Fitting the association-models (Tab. 1) with DHW frequency values resulted in an average probability of carrying adaptive genotypes (P_a) ranging from 0.40 to 0.62 (Fig. S5). Potentially adapted reefs (*i.e.* reefs with $P_a>0.6$) were located in the islands of Okinawa and Miyako, in the remote Japanese islands east of the Ryukyu Archipelago and in the Northern part of Philippines (Fig. S5). We then investigated the adaptive potential the scale of the reefs system by measuring the GDs from potentially adapted reefs. Reefs in the northern Islands of the Archipelago (Osumi, Tokara and Amami; Fig. 3) were those predicted as the farthest from these kind of spots (GD=79.4±0.3) together with the southern Islands of Yaeyama (GD=79.0±0.1). Conversely, the neighbouring southern islands of Miyako and the ones around Okinawa resulted as those on average with the shortest distances from potentially adaptive reefs, despite a considerable variance (GD=73.6±3.1 and GD=76.4±2.8, respectively).

Figure 3. Distance from Potentially Adaptive Reefs. The map displays the predicted genetic-distance (GD) from the closest reef expected to carry adaptive genotypes against thermal stress. Adaptive sites are designed as those having a cumulative probability of carrying adaptive genotypes (P_a, Fig. S5) higher than 0.6. The GD are computed out of sea-distances using the dispersal models developed in the connectivity analysis.

Genetic Distance from Potentially Adaptive Reefs

- < 74
- 74 - 76
- 76 - 78
- 78 - 80

0   100   200   300 km

In the last part of our analysis, we imitated the effects of two types of conservation initiatives

and evaluated how their emplacement can influence the adaptive potential across the seascape.

In the first case we focused on two alternatives locations for establishing an MPA: the islands

of Miyako and Yaeyama (strategy A) and Okinawa (strategy B; Fig. 4a). The overall difference

in GD (ΔGD) across the Ryukyu Archipelago was +0.17, therefore indicating that strategy B

globally reduced GDs from potentially adapted reefs more than strategy A. In the reefs in the

south (Yaeyama and Miyako) strategy A had more beneficial effects (ΔGD=-1.30±0.72) while

in the central part of the archipelago (Okinawa and Amami) we found the opposite situation

(ΔGD=0.91±0.59). Reefs in the North (Tokara and Osumi) did not display strong differences

(ΔGD=0.03±0.01).

We then evaluated another artificial set-up to appraise the effects on adaptive potential driven

by the location of coral nurseries. The two emplacements we used were in Amami (strategy C)

and in Tokara (strategy D; Fig 4b). The southern part of the archipelago (Yaeyama, Miyako

and Okinawa) was unaffected by the two strategies (ΔGD=0), while in the northern part

(Amami, Tokara, Osumi) a ΔGD=-0.28 indicated that strategy C globally resulted as more

beneficial. Strategy C resulted as more efficient in Amami (ΔGD=-0.84±1.06) while plan D in

Tokara and Osumi (ΔGD=0.79±1.74).

Figure 4. Changes in adaptive potential under different emplacement of conservation strategies.
The two maps display how differential emplacement of management strategies can modify the adaptive potential across the study area. Each map compares the same conservation measure at two different positions by displaying the relative changes in genetic-distance from potentially adaptive sites (ΔGD). In marine protected areas (MPA, a), potentially adaptive sites are maintained only on protected reefs. Positive ΔGD indicates that strategy B (MPA in Okinawa) locally increase the proximity to adaptive sites, in comparison to strategy A (MPA in Miyako). Negative ΔGD *vice-versa*. In coral nurseries (b), potentially adaptive sites are added where absent (C in Amami, D in Tokara). Positive ΔGD indicates that strategy D locally increases proximity to adaptive sites more than strategy C, negative ΔGD means the opposite.

Discussion

Signals of adaptation against thermal stress

Thermal stress is expected to be one of the major threat to coral reef survival and the quest for

adaptive traits is becoming of paramount importance (Baums, 2008; Logan et al., 2014; Maina

et al., 2011). In our work, the seascape genomics analysis of *A. digitifera* of the Ryukyu

Archipelago revealed the presence of 6 genomic regions hosting genetic variants that might

confer a selective advantage against thermal stress (Tab. 1). None of these SNPs directly fell

in a coding sequence of a putative gene, but this is rarely the case for causative-mutations

(Brodie et al., 2016). In fact, genetic variants in intergenic regions that play a modulatory action

on the expression of neighbouring genes are more frequent and can influence loci at 1-2 Mb of

distance (Brodie et al., 2016). The fragmentation of the reference genome forced us to limit

our search window to ±250 Kb around each SNP and still we found several annotations

corroborating a response to heat stress.

The SNP in association-model #6 had "JNK1/MAPK8-associated membrane protein" as the

closest gene with a predicted function (Box S4). Mitogen-activated-protein kinases (MAPKs)

are proteins known to be involved in cellular responses to stress in a wide range of taxa

(Neupane, Nepal, Benson, Macarthur, & Piya, 2013) and the c-Jun-N-terminal kinase (JNK)

was experimentally proved to be activated under thermal stress in coral *Stylopohora pistillata*

(Courtial et al., 2017). Of the 26,060 predicted genes in the *A. digitifera* genome (C Shinzato

et al., 2011)*,* 35 are annotated as "mitogen-activated protein kinase" and only one refers to the

"JNK1/MAPK8-associated membrane protein". Another annotation that supports these

findings concerns gene-models predicted as "ATP-dependent DNA helicase Q" and "RecQ".

These are proteins involved in the DNA repairing mechanism to UV-light damage in

prokaryotes (Courcelle & Hanawalt, 1999) and for which light-stress driven effects were

observed in eukaryotic cells as well (Sharma, Doherty, Brosh, & Jr, 2006). Annotations relating

to these proteins are not extremely uncommon (43 in the reference genome) but it is surprising

to find them around significant SNPs from four distinct genomic regions (Tab. 1). A similar

situation concerned genes assigned to cytochrome P450, a large family of proteins involved in

cellular responses to various types of stresses including heat shocks (Rosic, Pernice, Dunn,

Dove, & Hoegh-Guldberg, 2010; Sang, Ma, Qiu, Zhu, & Lei, 2012; Yampolsky et al., 2014)

and for which differential patterns of gene expression were observed in *Acropora hyacinthus*

under distinct thermal regimes (Palumbi et al., 2014). We detected 4 annotations relating to

these genes in the proximity of one region with significant SNPs (model #2-#3) but the

relatively high frequency of this gene-family (62 occurrences across the genome) invites to a

cautious interpretation.

Landscape genomics studies can be susceptible to high false discovery rates, especially when

neutral genetic structure is not accounted for (Rellstab et al., 2015). In this concern, we

processed data following a conservative pipeline, used models explicitly integrating

demographic processes and set a restrictive threshold to assess association-models significance

(*i.e.* q<0.001 in two distinct tests). Most of the significant association-models we found where

linked to DHW, perhaps because this represents one of the main constraint to coral survival

(Hughes et al., 2017). It is also possible that a sampling scheme deliberately designed for

seascape genomics (unlike the one of this study) would have increased sensitivity to other types

of adaptive signals (Riginos et al., 2016). Annotations analysis were a first step in the validation

of the association-models detected. Ideally, further assays as reciprocal transplantations

(Palumbi et al., 2014), experimental conditioning (Krueger et al., 2017) and molecular analysis

(Courtial et al., 2017) could ascertain and help quantifying the link between each genotype and

the putative selective advantage it might confer.

Potential Connectivity Patterns

Understanding the connectivity patterns across a reef system is essential in order to plan

effective management strategies. This is usually done by either quantifying the dispersal

trajectories of larvae (Storlazzi, van Ormondt, Chen, & Elias, 2017) or by studying the

population structure with genetic data (Lukoschek et al., 2016). The first approach has the main

drawback of being difficult and expensive because it requires an *a priori* knowledge on the

dispersal capabilities of the studied species (McCook et al., 2009). The use of genetic data, on

the other hand, often concerns a few sampling locations and is therefore hard to generalize to

a whole seascape extent (Beger et al., 2014). The framework we proposed coupled these two

approaches: genetic data was used to train dispersal models which were used in return to predict

genetic distances (GDs) at the scale of the whole reef system.

In model training we found that sea-distances clearly represented a better indicator of spatial

separation, compared to aerial distances (Fig. S4). This is probably because coral dispersal is

driven by the water flow (Paris-Limouzy, 2011), which in this region is strongly asymmetrical

(north-east oriented) because of the Kuroshio current (Nishikawa, 2008). By fitting this

dispersal model with sea-distances among any reef of the region we were able to evaluate the

patterns of connectivity. Reefs in the extreme North (Osumi) appeared to face both *inbound*

and *outbound* isolation (Fig. 2), a situation that might lead to inbreeding depression (Keller &

Waller, 2002). Reefs in the extreme south of the system (Yaeyama) also appeared as

endangered: despite their proximity to Miyako the strong directionality of sea-currents in the

region appeared to contrast south-westward dispersal.

This framework is potentially applicable to any coral species for which geo-referenced genetic

data is available and the indexes associated can be extremely valuable in management planning

(Beger et al., 2014). Despite that, there is still a substantial part of GD variation that remains

unexplained by our models and that perhaps might be better described by connectivity models

working at finer geographical and temporal scales (Storlazzi et al., 2017).

Conservation and Adaptive Potential

Conservation strategies to preserve coral reefs need to account for and to reinforce the adaptive

potential facing thermal stress (Baums, 2008; Logan et al., 2014; Maina et al., 2008; van Oppen

et al., 2015). In order to be integrated in prioritization frameworks, this information needs to

be objective and quantifiable (OECD, 2017). Previous work suggested to use climatic records,

but this approach neglects how organisms modulate a response to an environmental constraint

(Logan et al., 2014; Maina et al., 2011). The use of genetic data has also been advocated, but

findings from this kind of analysis are often hard to interpret in a conservation perspective

(Sodergren et al., 2006). In this work, we showed how a seascape genomics framework can

assess the adaptive potential at the scale of a reef system. The field-based fundaments of this

method allow a rapid and straightforward transition from analysis to predictions.

In the specific case of the Ryukyu Archipelago for instance, we found that reef from Miyako

and Okinawa islands as those more likely to carry adaptive traits against thermal stress (Fig. 3).

Previous work reported indeed that adapted colonies from Okinawa might have persisted to the

1998 and 2001 bleaching events (Van Woesik, Irikawa, & Loya, 2004). Contrastingly, reefs in

the northern part of the Archipelago (Amami, Tokara and Osumi) did not experience the same

thermal stress and therefore were not predicted to carry these adaptive traits (Fig. 3).

Beside pointing out weak-spots in terms of adaptive potential, our framework allowed us to

evaluate the expected impact of conservation strategies. Even though the conservation

measures we proposed were a rough imitation of real measures, they displayed how priorities

can be defined if adaptive and connective potential of reefs are known. For instance, the MPA

set-up in Okinawa provided a general adaptive benefit (strategy B; Fig. 4a), compared to the

one in Miyako-Yaeyama (strategy A), because it enclosed more potentially adapted reefs (Fig.

S5) and was better connected to the reef-dense area around Amami (Fig. 4a). Similarly, a coral

nursery in Amami could provide adaptive genetic material to a larger number of reefs,

compared to a set-up in Tokara (Fig. 4b), because of a higher outbound-connectivity potential

(Fig. 2b).

Conclusions

This study highlights the value of the seascape genomics approach for supporting the efforts in

coral conservation. We applied this approach to a flagship coral species of Ryukyu Archipelago

and identified genetic variants that might underpin adaptation to thermal stress. By coupling

this information with a genetic analysis of connectivity, we were able to define the adaptive

potential at the scale of the whole study area. The outputs of this analysis are quantitative

indexes that can strongly support objective prioritization of reefs in conservation plans. This

framework is transferable to any coral species on any seascape and could therefore lay the basis

for reinforcing the adaptive potential in the reefs worldwide.

References

Anthony, K. R. N., Kline, D. I., Diaz-Pulido, G., Dove, S., & Hoegh-Guldberg, O. (2008).
Ocean acidification causes bleaching and productivity loss in coral reef builders.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America,
105(45), 17442–17446. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0804478105

Ateweberhan, M., Feary, D. A., Keshavmurthy, S., Chen, A., Schleyer, M. H., & Sheppard,
C. R. C. (2013). Climate change impacts on coral reefs: Synergies with local effects,
possibilities for acclimation, and management implications. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*,
74(2), 526–539. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2013.06.011

Balkenhol, N., Dudaniec, R. Y., Krutovsky, K. V., Johnson, J. S., Cairns, D. M., Segelbacher,
G., … Joost, S. (2017). Landscape Genomics: Understanding Relationships Between
Environmental Heterogeneity and Genomic Characteristics of Populations (pp. 1–62).
Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/13836_2017_2

Baums, I. B. (2008). A restoration genetics guide for coral reef conservation. *Molecular*
Ecology, *17*(12), 2796–2811. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2008.03787.x

Bay, R. A., & Palumbi, S. R. (2014). Multilocus Adaptation Associated with Heat Resistance
in Reef-Building Corals. *Current Biology*, *24*(24), 2952–2956.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2014.10.044

Beger, M., Selkoe, K. A., Treml, E., Barber, P. H., von der Heyden, S., Crandall, E. D., …
Riginos, C. (2014). Evolving coral reef conservation with genetic information. *Bulletin*
of Marine Science, *90*(1), 159–185. https://doi.org/10.5343/bms.2012.1106

Bellwood, D. R., Hughes, T. P., Folke, C., & Nyström, M. (2004). Confronting the coral reef
crisis. *Nature*, *429*(6994), 827–833. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02691

Bozdogan, H. (1987). Model selection and Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC): The
general theory and its analytical extensions. *Psychometrika*, *52*(3), 345–370.
https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02294361

Brodie, A., Azaria, J. R., & Ofran, Y. (2016). How far from the SNP may the causative genes
be? *Nucleic Acids Research*, *44*(13), 6046–6054. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw500

CIESIN Columbia University. (2010). Gridded Population of the World (GPW), v3.
Retrieved January 18, 2019, from http://sedac.ciesin.columbia.edu/data/set/gpw-v3-
population-count

Cinner, J. E., Huchery, C., MacNeil, M. A., Graham, N. A. J., McClanahan, T. R., Maina, J.,
… Mouillot, D. (2016). Bright spots among the world's coral reefs. *Nature*, *535*(7612),
416–419. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature18607

Courcelle, J., & Hanawalt, P. C. (1999). RecQ and RecJ process blocked replication forks
prior to the resumption of replication in UV-irradiated Escherichia coli. *Molecular &*
General Genetics : MGG, *262*(3), 543–551. Retrieved from
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10589843

Courtial, L., Picco, V., Grover, R., Cormerais, Y., Rottier, C., Labbe, A., … Ferrier-Pagès, C.
(2017). The c-Jun N-terminal kinase prevents oxidative stress induced by UV and
thermal stresses in corals and human cells. *Scientific Reports*, *7*(1), 45713.
https://doi.org/10.1038/srep45713

Covington, A. K., & Whitfield, M. (1988). Recommendations for the determination of pH in
sea water and estuarine waters. *Pure and Applied Chemistry*, *60*(6), 865–870.
https://doi.org/10.1351/pac198860060865

EU Copernicus Marine Service. (2017). Global Ocean - In-Situ-Near-Real-Time
Observations. Retrieved February 2, 2017, from http://marine.copernicus.eu/services-
portfolio/access-to-
products/?option=com_csw&view=details&product_id=INSITU_GLO_NRT_OBSERV
ATIONS_013_030

Hijmans, R. J. (2016). raster: Geographic Data Analysis and Modeling. Retrieved from
https://cran.r-project.org/package=raster
Hoagland, P., Sumaila, U. R., & Farrow, S. (2001). Marine Protected Areas. *Encyclopedia of*
Ocean Sciences, 672–677. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-012374473-9.00499-9
Howells, E. J., Berkelmans, R., van Oppen, M. J. H., Willis, B. L., & Bay, L. K. (2013).
Historical thermal regimes define limits to coral acclimatization. *Ecology*, *94*(5), 1078–
1088. https://doi.org/10.1890/12-1257.1
Hughes, T. P., Anderson, K. D., Connolly, S. R., Heron, S. F., Kerry, J. T., Lough, J. M., …
Wilson, S. K. (2018). Spatial and temporal patterns of mass bleaching of corals in the
Anthropocene. *Science*, *359*(6371), 80–83. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aan8048
Hughes, T. P., Kerry, J. T., Álvarez-Noriega, M., Álvarez-Romero, J. G., Anderson, K. D.,
Baird, A. H., … Wilson, S. K. (2017). Global warming and recurrent mass bleaching of
corals. *Nature*, *543*(7645), 373–377. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature21707
Hughes, T. P., Kerry, J. T., Connolly, S. R., Baird, A. H., Eakin, C. M., Heron, S. F., …
Torda, G. (2019). Ecological memory modifies the cumulative impact of recurrent
climate extremes. *Nature Climate Change*, *9*(1), 40–43. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-
018-0351-2
Jombart, T. (2008). adegenet: a R package for the multivariate analysis of genetic markers.
Bioinformatics, *24*(11), 1403–1405. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btn129
Keller, L., & Waller, D. M. (2002). Inbreeding effects in wild populations. *Trends in Ecology*
& Evolution, *17*(5), 230–241. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347(02)02489-8
Krueck, N. C., Ahmadia, G. N., Green, A., Jones, G. P., Possingham, H. P., Riginos, C., …
Mumby, P. J. (2017). Incorporating larval dispersal into MPA design for both
conservation and fisheries. *Ecological Applications*, *27*(3), 925–941.
https://doi.org/10.1002/eap.1495
Krueger, T., Horwitz, N., Bodin, J., Giovani, M.-E., Escrig, S., Meibom, A., & Fine, M.
(2017). Common reef-building coral in the Northern Red Sea resistant to elevated
temperature and acidification. *Royal Society Open Science*, *4*(5), 170038.
https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.170038
LaJeunesse, T. C., Parkinson, J. E., Gabrielson, P. W., Jeong, H. J., Reimer, J. D., Voolstra,
C. R., & Santos, S. R. (2018). Systematic Revision of Symbiodiniaceae Highlights the
Antiquity and Diversity of Coral Endosymbionts. *Current Biology*, *28*(16), 2570–
2580.e6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2018.07.008
Lee, K., Tong, L. T., Millero, F. J., Sabine, C. L., Dickson, A. G., Goyet, C., … Key, R. M.
(2006). Global relationships of total alkalinity with salinity and temperature in surface
waters of the world's oceans. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *33*(19), L19605.
https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GL027207
Lester, S., Halpern, B., Grorud-Colvert, K., Lubchenco, J., Ruttenberg, B., Gaines, S., …
Warner, R. (2009). Biological effects within no-take marine reserves: a global synthesis.
Marine Ecology Progress Series, *384*, 33–46. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps08029
Li, H., & Durbin, R. (2009). Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler
transform. *Bioinformatics*, *25*(14), 1754–1760.
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp324
Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., … 1000 Genome
Project Data Processing Subgroup, 1000 Genome Project Data Processing. (2009). The
Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)*,
25(16), 2078–2079. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352
Liu, G., Strong, A. E., & Skirving, W. (2003). Remote sensing of sea surface temperatures
during 2002 Barrier Reef coral bleaching. *Eos, Transactions American Geophysical*
Union, *84*(15), 137–141. https://doi.org/10.1029/2003EO150001

Logan, C. A., Dunne, J. P., Eakin, C. M., & Donner, S. D. (2014). Incorporating adaptive
responses into future projections of coral bleaching. *Global Change Biology*, *20*(1),
125–139. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12390
Loukos, H., Vivier, F., Murphy, P. P., Harrison, D. E., & Le Quéré, C. (2000). Interannual
variability of equatorial Pacific CO_2 fluxes estimated from temperature and salinity
data. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *27*(12), 1735–1738.
https://doi.org/10.1029/1999GL011013
Lukoschek, V., Riginos, C., & van Oppen, M. J. H. (2016). Congruent patterns of
connectivity can inform management for broadcast spawning corals on the Great Barrier
Reef. *Molecular Ecology*, *25*(13), 3065–3080. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13649
Lundgren, P., Vera, J. C., Peplow, L., Manel, S., & van Oppen, M. J. H. (2013). Genotype -
environment correlations in corals from the Great Barrier Reef. *BMC Genetics*, *14*(1), 9.
https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2156-14-9
Maina, J., McClanahan, T. R., Venus, V., Ateweberhan, M., & Madin, J. (2011). Global
gradients of coral exposure to environmental stresses and implications for local
management. *PLoS ONE*, *6*(8), e23064. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0023064
Maina, J., Venus, V., McClanahan, T. R., & Ateweberhan, M. (2008). Modelling
susceptibility of coral reefs to environmental stress using remote sensing data and GIS
models. *Ecological Modelling*, *212*(3), 180–199.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2007.10.033
McCook, L. J., Almany, G. R., Berumen, M. L., Day, J. C., Green, A. L., Jones, G. P., …
Thorrold, S. R. (2009). Management under uncertainty: guide-lines for incorporating
connectivity into the protection of coral reefs. *Coral Reefs*, *28*(2), 353–366.
https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-008-0463-7
McKenna, A., Hanna, M., Banks, E., Sivachenko, A., Cibulskis, K., Kernytsky, A., …
DePristo, M. A. (2010). The Genome Analysis Toolkit: a MapReduce framework for
analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Genome Research*, *20*(9), 1297–1303.
https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.107524.110
McKinney, W. (2010). Data Structures for Statistical Computing in Python. Retrieved from
http://conference.scipy.org/proceedings/scipy2010/mckinney.html
Moberg, F., & Folke, C. (1999). Ecological goods and services of coral reef ecosystems.
Ecological Economics, *29*(2), 215–233. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-8009(99)00009-9
Mydlarz, L. D., McGinty, E. S., & Harvell, C. D. (2010). What are the physiological and
immunological responses of coral to climate warming and disease? *The Journal of*
Experimental Biology, *213*(6), 934–945. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.037580
Nakajima, Y., Nishikawa, A., Iguchi, A., & Sakai, K. (2010). Gene Flow and Genetic
Diversity of a Broadcast-Spawning Coral in Northern Peripheral Populations. *PLoS*
ONE, *5*(6), e11149. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0011149
NASA. (2016). NASA Earth Observations (NEO). Retrieved May 10, 2017, from
http://neo.sci.gsfc.nasa.gov/
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. (2017). NOAA DATA CATALOG.
Retrieved February 2, 2017, from https://data.noaa.gov/dataset/
NCBI. (1988). National Center for Biotechnology Information. Retrieved January 18, 2019,
from https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/
Neupane, A., Nepal, M. P., Benson, B. V, Macarthur, K. J., & Piya, S. (2013). Evolutionary
history of mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) genes in Lotus, Medicago, and
Phaseolus. *Plant Signaling & Behavior*, *8*(11), e27189.
https://doi.org/10.4161/psb.27189
Nishikawa, A. (2008). Degree and Pattern of Gene Flow in Several Scleractinian Corals in
the Ryukyu Archipelago, Southern Japan. *Https://Doi.Org/10.2984/1534-*

6188(2008)62[413:DAPOGF]2.0.CO;2, *62*(3), 413–422. https://doi.org/10.2984/1534-6188(2008)62[413:DAPOGF]2.0.CO;2

OECD. (2017). *Marine protected areas : economics, management and effective policy mixes.* Paris: OECD Publishing.

Palumbi, S. R. (2003). Population genetics, demographic connectivity, and the design of marine reserves. *Ecological Applications*, *13*(1 SUPPL.), 146–158. https://doi.org/10.1890/1051-0761(2003)013[0146:PGDCAT]2.0.CO;2

Palumbi, S. R., Barshis, D. J., Traylor-Knowles, N., & Bay, R. A. (2014). Mechanisms of reef coral resistance to future climate change. *Science*, *344*(6186). Retrieved from http://science.sciencemag.org/content/344/6186/895

Paris-Limouzy, C. B. (2011). Reef Interconnectivity/Larval Dispersal (pp. 881–889). Springer, Dordrecht. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-2639-2_138

Penin, L., Vidal-Dupiol, J., & Adjeroud, M. (2013). Response of coral assemblages to thermal stress: are bleaching intensity and spatial patterns consistent between events? *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, *185*(6), 5031–5042. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-012-2923-3

Pratchett, M. S., Thompson, C. A., Hoey, A. S., Cowman, P. F., & Wilson, S. K. (2018). Effects of Coral Bleaching and Coral Loss on the Structure and Function of Reef Fish Assemblages (pp. 265–293). Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-75393-5_11

Python Software Foundation. (2018). Python Language Refrence, version 3.5. Retrieved from www.python.org

QGIS development team. (2009). QGIS Geographic Information System. Open Source Geospatial Foundation Project. Retrieved from http://www.qgis.org/

R Core Team. (2016). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Retrieved from https://www.r-project.org/

Rellstab, C., Gugerli, F., Eckert, A. J., Hancock, A. M., & Holderegger, R. (2015). A practical guide to environmental association analysis in landscape genomics. *Molecular Ecology*, *24*(17), 4348–4370. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13322

Riginos, C., Crandall, E. D., Liggins, L., Bongaerts, P., & Treml, E. A. (2016). Navigating the currents of seascape genomics: how spatial analyses can augment population genomic studies. *Current Zoology*, *62*, doi: 10.1093/cz/zow067. https://doi.org/10.1093/cz/zow067

Rochat, E., Leempoel, K., Vajana, E., Colli, L., Ajmone-Marsan, P., Joost, S. and the N. C. (2016). Map of genotype frequency change in autochtonous Moroccan sheep breeds due to global warming. In *Conference on Conservation genomics, May 3-6, 2016, Vairao, Portugal.*

Rodgers, K. S., Lorance, K., Richards Donà, A., Stender, Y., Lager, C., & Jokiel, P. L. (2017). Effectiveness of coral relocation as a mitigation strategy in Kāne'ohe Bay, Hawai'i. *PeerJ*, *5*, e3346. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.3346

Rosic, N. N., Pernice, M., Dunn, S., Dove, S., & Hoegh-Guldberg, O. (2010). Differential regulation by heat stress of novel cytochrome P450 genes from the dinoflagellate symbionts of reef-building corals. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, *76*(9), 2823–2829. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02984-09

Ryan, W. B. F., Carbotte, S. M., Coplan, J. O., O'Hara, S., Melkonian, A., Arko, R., … Zemsky, R. (2009). Global Multi-Resolution Topography synthesis. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, *10*(3), n/a-n/a. https://doi.org/10.1029/2008GC002332

Sampayo, E. M., Franceschinis, L., Roff, G., Ridgway, T., Dove, S., & Hoegh-Guldberg, O. (2016). Coral symbioses under prolonged environmental change: living near tolerance range limits. *Scientific Reports*, *6*(1), 36271. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep36271

Sang, W., Ma, W.-H., Qiu, L., Zhu, Z.-H., & Lei, C.-L. (2012). The involvement of heat shock protein and cytochrome P450 genes in response to UV-A exposure in the beetle Tribolium castaneum. *Journal of Insect Physiology*, *58*(6), 830–836. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinsphys.2012.03.007

Seabold, S., & Perktold, J. (2010). *Statsmodels: Econometric and Statistical Modeling with Python. PROC. OF THE 9th PYTHON IN SCIENCE CONF*. Retrieved from http://statsmodels.sourceforge.net/

Selig, E. R., & Bruno, J. F. (2010). A global analysis of the effectiveness of marine protected areas in preventing coral loss. *PloS One*, *5*(2), e9278. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0009278

Shanks, A., Grantham, B., & Carr, M. (2003). Propagule dispersal distance and the size and spacing of marine reserves. *Ecological Applications*. Retrieved from http://www.jstor.org/stable/3100005

Sharma, S., Doherty, K. M., Brosh, R. M., & Jr. (2006). Mechanisms of RecQ helicases in pathways of DNA metabolism and maintenance of genomic stability. *The Biochemical Journal*, *398*(3), 319–337. https://doi.org/10.1042/BJ20060450

Shinzato, C., Mungpakdee, S., Arakaki, N., & Satoh, N. (2015). Genome-wide SNP analysis explains coral diversity and recovery in the Ryukyu Archipelago. *Scientific Reports*, *5*(1), 18211. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep18211

Shinzato, C., Shoguchi, E., Kawashima, T., Hamada, M., Hisata, K., Tanaka, M., … Satoh, N. (2011). Using the Acropora digitifera genome to understand coral responses to environmental change. *Nature*, *476*(7360), 320–323. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10249

Sodergren, E., Weinstock, G. M., Davidson, E. H., Cameron, R. A., Gibbs, R. A., Angerer, R. C., … Wright, R. (2006). The Genome of the Sea Urchin Strongylocentrotus purpuratus. *Science*, *314*(5801), 941–952. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1133609

Storey, J. D. (2003). The Positive False Discovery Rate: A Bayesian Interpretation and the q-Value THE POSITIVE FALSE DISCOVERY RATE: A BAYESIAN INTERPRETATION AND THE q-VALUE'. *Source: The Annals of Statistics The Annals of Statistics*, *31*(6), 2013–2035. Retrieved from http://www.jstor.org/stable/3448445%5Cnhttp://www.jstor.org/stable/3448445?seq=1&cid=pdf-reference#references_tab_contents%5Cnhttp://about.jstor.org/terms

Storlazzi, C. D., van Ormondt, M., Chen, Y.-L., & Elias, E. P. L. (2017). Modeling Fine-Scale Coral Larval Dispersal and Interisland Connectivity to Help Designate Mutually-Supporting Coral Reef Marine Protected Areas: Insights from Maui Nui, Hawaii. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, *4*, 381. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2017.00381

Stubben, C., & Milligan, B. (2007). Estimating and Analyzing Demographic Models Using the **popbio** Package in *R. Journal of Statistical Software*, *22*(11), 1–23. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v022.i11

Stucki, S., Orozco-terWengel, P., Bruford, M. W., Colli, L., Masembe, C., Negrini, R., … Consortium, the N. (2017). High performance computation of landscape genomic models integrating local indices of spatial association. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, *17*(5), 1072–1089. https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12629

Thomas, L., Kennington, W. J., Evans, R. D., Kendrick, G. A., & Stat, M. (2017). Restricted gene flow and local adaptation highlight the vulnerability of high-latitude reefs to rapid environmental change. *Global Change Biology*, *23*(6), 2197–2205. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13639

Thompson, D. M., & van Woesik, R. (2009). Corals escape bleaching in regions that recently and historically experienced frequent thermal stress. *Proceedings. Biological Sciences / The Royal Society*, *276*(1669), 2893–2901. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2009.0591

UNEP-WCMC, WorldFish-Center, WRI, & TNC. (2010). Global distribution of warm-water
coral reefs, compiled from multiple sources including the Millennium Coral Reef
Mapping Project. Version 1.3. Retrieved May 9, 2017, from http://data.unep-
wcmc.org/datasets/1
Van der Auwera, G. A., Carneiro, M. O., Hartl, C., Poplin, R., del Angel, G., Levy-
Moonshine, A., … DePristo, M. A. (2013). From FastQ Data to High-Confidence
Variant Calls: The Genome Analysis Toolkit Best Practices Pipeline. In *Current*
Protocols in Bioinformatics (Vol. 43, p. 11.10.1-11.10.33). Hoboken, NJ, USA: John
Wiley & Sons, Inc. https://doi.org/10.1002/0471250953.bi1110s43
van Etten, J. (2018). gdistance: Distances and Routes on Geographical Grids. Retrieved from
https://cran.r-project.org/package=gdistance
van Oppen, M. J. H., Oliver, J. K., Putnam, H. M., & Gates, R. D. (2015). Building coral reef
resilience through assisted evolution. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*
of the United States of America, *112*(8), 2307–2313.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1422301112
Van Woesik, R., Irikawa, A., & Loya, Y. (2004). Coral Bleaching: Signs of Change in
Southern Japan. In *Coral Health and Disease* (pp. 119–141). Berlin, Heidelberg:
Springer Berlin Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-06414-6_5
Visel, A., Rubin, E. M., & Pennacchio, L. A. (2009). Genomic views of distant-acting
enhancers. *Nature*, *461*(7261), 199–205. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08451
Welle, P. D., Small, M. J., Doney, S. C., & Azevedo, I. L. (2017). Estimating the effect of
multiple environmental stressors on coral bleaching and mortality. *PloS One*, *12*(5),
e0175018. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0175018
Yampolsky, L. Y., Zeng, E., Lopez, J., Williams, P. J., Dick, K. B., Colbourne, J. K., &
Pfrender, M. E. (2014). Functional genomics of acclimation and adaptation in response
to thermal stress in Daphnia. *BMC Genomics*, *15*(1), 859. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-
2164-15-859
Young, C. N., Schopmeyer, S. A., & Lirman, D. (2012). A review of reef restoration and
Coral propagation using the threatened genus Acropora in the Caribbean and western
Atlantic. *Bulletin of Marine Science*, *88*(4), 1075–1098.
https://doi.org/10.5343/bms.2011.1143
Ziegler, M., Seneca, F. O., Yum, L. K., Palumbi, S. R., & Voolstra, C. R. (2017). Bacterial
community dynamics are linked to patterns of coral heat tolerance. *Nature*
Communications, *8*, 14213. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms14213
775