Molecular physiology of chemical defenses in a poison frog

Stephanie N. Caty[1], Aurora Alvarez-Buylla[1], Gary D. Byrd[2], Charles Vidoudez[2], Alexandre B. Roland[3], Elicio E. Tapia[4], Bogdan Bodnik[5], Sunia A. Trauger[2], Luis A. Coloma[4], and Lauren A. O'Connell[1*]

1 Department of Biology, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA

2 Small Molecule Mass Spectrometry Facility, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

3 Center for Systems Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts, United States of America

4 Centro Jambatu de Investigación y Conservación de Anfibios, Fundación Otonga, San Rafael, Quito, Ecuador

5 Proteomics Mass Spectrometry Facility, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

Running title: Molecular physiology of chemical defenses

Word count: Abstract (English): 239, Main text: 7109

Key words: alkaloids, sequestration, chemical defense, poison frogs, Dendrobatidae, RNA sequencing, proteomics, plasma

*To whom correspondence should be addressed:
Lauren A. O'Connell
Department of Biology
Stanford University
371 Serra Mall
Stanford, CA 94305
loconnel@stanford.edu

Abstract

Poison frogs sequester small molecule lipophilic alkaloids from their diet of leaf litter arthropods for use as chemical defenses against predation. Although the dietary acquisition of chemical defenses in poison frogs is well-documented, the physiological mechanisms of alkaloid sequestration has not been investigated. Here, we used RNA sequencing and proteomics to determine how alkaloids impact mRNA or protein abundance in the Little Devil Frog (*Oophaga sylvatica*) and compared wild caught chemically defended frogs to laboratory frogs raised on an alkaloid-free diet. To understand how poison frogs move alkaloids from their diet to their skin granular glands, we focused on measuring gene expression in the intestines, skin, and liver. Across these tissues, we found many differentially expressed transcripts involved in small molecule transport and metabolism, as well as sodium channels and other ion pumps. We then used proteomic approaches to quantify plasma proteins, where we found several protein abundance differences between wild and captive frogs, including the amphibian toxin binding protein saxiphilin. Finally, because many blood proteins are synthesized in the liver, we used thermal proteome profiling as an untargeted screen for soluble proteins that bind the alkaloid decahydroquinoline. Using this approach, we identified several candidate proteins that interact with this alkaloid, including saxiphilin. These transcript and protein abundance patterns in chemically defended and alkaloid-free frogs suggest the presence of alkaloids influences frog physiology and that small molecule transport proteins may be involved in toxin bioaccumulation in dendrobatid poison frogs.

Resumen

Las ranas venenosas obtienen moléculas lipofílicas a partir de su dieta de artrópodos que luego usan como una defensa química contra depredadores. Mientras la acumulación de toxinas dietéticas ha sido bien documentada, el mecanismo fisiológico de obtención de alcaloides sigue sin ser investigado. En este estudio usamos secuenciación de RNA y proteómica para determinar cómo la presencia de alcaloides afecta la abundancia de mRNA y proteínas en ranas diablito (*Oophaga sylvatica*) silvestres con defensas químicas en comparación a ranas diablito criadas en cautiverio con una dieta sin alcaloides. Para entender cómo las ranas venenosas mueven los alcaloides de su dieta a las glándulas granulares en su piel, nos enfocamos en medir la expresión de genes en tres tejidos: intestinos, piel e hígado. En estos tejidos, encontramos varios transcriptomas regulados diferencialmente que tienen actividades involucradas con el transporte y metabolismo de pequeñas moléculas, además de canales de sodio y bombas de iones. Luego usamos métodos proteómicos para cuantificar proteínas en plasma, donde encontramos varias diferencias en abundancia de proteínas entre las ranas silvestres y de laboratorio, incluyendo la proteína anfibia de fijación de toxinas, saxifilina. Finalmente, porque muchas proteínas encontradas en la sangre se sintetizan en el hígado, usamos la técnica de perfilación proteómica termal para seleccionar imparcialmente las proteínas solubles que fijan el alcaloide decahydroquinolina. Usando este método, identificamos varias posibles proteínas que interactúan con este alcaloide, incluyendo saxifilina. Estos patrones de cambios en abundancia de transcriptomas y proteínas en ranas con y sin defensas químicas sugieren que la presencia de alcaloides influye en la fisiología de las ranas y que pequeñas moléculas proteicas de transporte podrían estar involucradas en la bioacumulación de toxinas en ranas venenosas dendrobátidos.

Introduction

Many animals, from microbes to vertebrates, have chemical defenses to guard against predation. Although microbes and plants produce secondary metabolites that can serve as toxins [1,2], most animals cannot synthesize small molecule toxins themselves. While some animals like snakes and arachnids produce genetically encoded peptide toxins, other vertebrate animals, including some amphibians, reptiles, and birds, carry small molecule toxins that are acquired from dietary sources [3]. Invertebrates can also sequester small molecule toxins from their diet and this process is well understood in beetles, moths and butterflies [4]. Many decades of research has focused on cataloging the small molecules sequestered by vertebrates and documenting the trophic relationships of these chemically defended animals and their prey [3]. However, much less is known about the physiological adaptations in vertebrates that must occur to resist self-intoxication and uptake small molecule toxins from the diet. In the present study, we explored the physiological changes associated with acquired chemical defenses in a South American poison frog (*Oophaga sylvatica*, family Dendrobatidae) to better understand adaptations that allow toxin bioaccumulation.

The ability to sequester small molecule toxins from dietary sources has evolved many times in frogs, including Neotropical dendrobatids and bufonids, Malagasy mantellids, Australian myobatrachids (*Pseudophryne*) and Cuban eleutherodactylids [5]. These frogs uptake lipophilic alkaloids from arthropod prey items, store them in skin granular glands and secrete them as a defensive response [6]. In order to utilize diet-derived toxins, frogs may be resistant to alkaloids they are accumulating through mutations in target proteins. Many alkaloids target voltage-gated sodium channels and nicotinic acetylcholine receptors in the nervous system and some studies have found ion channel mutations that confer toxin resistance in poison frogs [6–9]. Detailed information on binding properties of a wide range of poison frog alkaloids on many different ion channel types is lacking as early studies focused on a few types of alkaloids and ion channels.

Despite the progress on understanding the evolution of autoresistance and chemical defenses in

poison frogs, no study has examined other molecular aspects of physiological adaptations that

allow toxin sequestration.

To accomplish the sequestration of alkaloids, poison frogs selectively move toxins from

the gastrointestinal tract to the skin glands for storage. In many animals, toxic compounds are

unable to pass through the intestinal wall for absorption due to a series of membrane transporters

in the gut epithelium. These transporters bind compounds and move them either into circulation

or back into the intestinal lumen for excretion [10]. Once absorbed in the intestines, lipophilic

compounds can be circulated by a number of different mechanisms, including binding by carrier

proteins in the blood circulation or within lipoprotein particles like chylomicrons in the lymph

system [11]. In many animals, dietary compounds in the blood circulation are metabolized by the

liver and there is some evidence that poison frogs can metabolize dietary alkaloids. Daly and

colleagues suggested that some (but not all) dendrobatid poison frog species can metabolize

pumiliotoxin **251D** into allopumiliotoxin **267A** [12], although the mechanisms underlying this

observation remain unknown. Clearly coordination across several tissue systems is required for

alkaloid sequestration in poison frogs, but the physiological basis for alkaloid bioaccumulation

remains unexplored.

The goal of this present study was to better understand the physiology of acquired

chemical defenses in dendrobatid poison frogs. In surveying the alkaloid profiles of wild caught

Little Devil frogs (*Oophaga sylvatica*) and juveniles captured in the wild but raised in laboratory

on an alkaloid-free diet, we had an opportunity to examine physiological differences associated

with chemical defenses. We compared gene expression in the intestines, liver, and skin, as well

as plasma protein abundance in wild caught chemically defended frogs and lab-raised alkaloid-

free frogs. To further functionally identify proteins that may bind toxins for transport, we performed

a thermal proteome profiling assay using liver protein lysate from a lab frog. As toxins are

acquired from the diet and transported to the skin granular glands for storage, we expected that

the presence of toxins would cause an increase in expression of genes associated with small
molecule transport or metabolism.

Materials and Methods

Field collection of *Oophaga sylvatica*

Little Devil (or Diablito) frogs (*Oophaga sylvatica*) were collected during the day near the
Otokiki Reserve (N=13) maintained by WIKIRI/Fundación Otonga in northwestern Ecuador in July
2013 [13]. Frogs were individually stored in plastic bags with air and vegetation for 2–5 hours. In
the evening the same day of capture, adult frogs were anesthetized with a topical application of
20% benzocaine to the ventral belly and euthanized by cervical transection. Several juvenile frogs
were transported to Centro Jambatu de Investigación y Conservación de Anfibios in Quito,
Ecuador (CJ), where they were individually housed and fed a diet of crickets and fruit flies for 6
months before being sacrificed as adults as described above. For each individual, the intestines
and liver were dissected and stored in RNALater (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Half of
the dorsal skin was stored in RNALater while the other half was stored in methanol for later
chemical analysis. Remaining frog tissues were preserved in 100% ethanol and deposited in the
amphibian collection of CJ. Additional frogs (N=6) were collected in January 2014 in the Otokiki
Reserve and, along with some captive adults raised at CJ (N=6), were treated as described above
with one additional procedure: after euthanasia, trunk blood was collected into a heparinized
microcentrifuge tube. Blood was centrifuged and plasma was isolated into a fresh tube and flash
frozen in liquid nitrogen. Collections and exportation of specimens were done under permits (001-
13 IC-FAU-DNB/MA, CITES 32/VS and 37/VS) issued by the Ministerio de Ambiente de Ecuador.

The Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Harvard University approved all procedures

(Protocol 15-02-233).

Gas chromatography / mass spectrometry (GC/MS)

Frog dorsal skin samples (N=5 wild caught and N=3 lab raised frogs) were used to

characterize alkaloid profiles as previously described [14]. As an internal standard, 25 µg of D3-

nicotine in methanol (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) was added to each sample prior to alkaloid

extraction. After extraction in methanol, the samples were evaporated to dryness under nitrogen

gas and reconstituted in 0.5 mL of methanol by vortexing. The samples were transferred to a

microcentrifuge tube and spun at 12000 rpm for 10 min. A 200 µL aliquot of the supernatant was

transferred to a 0.3 mL glass insert in an amber sample vial and stored at -20°C until analysis.

GC/MS parameters were conducted as previously described [14], which is based on a

slight modification of the method reported by Saporito and colleagues [15]. Briefly, analyses were

performed on a Waters Quattro Micro system (Beverly, MA) with an Agilent 6890N GC (Palo Alto,

CA). Each total ion chromatogram was reviewed and alkaloid responses were characterized from

their mass spectra and retention times. The extensive database developed by Daly and

colleagues [16] was used to identify alkaloids by matching major mass spectrum peaks and

relative retention times using D3-nicotine as a reference. AMDIS (NIST) was used in conjunction

with the database to identify candidate alkaloids peak. Those peaks were then manually inspected

and the confirmed alkaloids peaks were then integrated. Unidentifiable compounds were removed

from data analysis. The relative abundance values were normalized by nicotine and were

visualized using the heatmap.2 R function in the gplots package. A representative extracted ion

chromatograms for each group was normalized to the same scale to create a visual overview. All

mass spectrometry data is available at DataDryad (submission pending).

Reference transcriptome

To build a reference transcriptome for gene expression analyses, we sequenced tissues from a single *O. sylvatica*. We isolated intestines, liver and dorsal skin from a single lab-bred *O. sylvatica* frog (Indoor Ecosystems Whitehouse, Ohio, USA) for the purpose of *de novo* transcriptome assembly. Flash frozen tissue samples were placed in Trizol (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY) where RNA was extracted according to manufacturer instructions. Poly-adenylated RNA was isolated from each sample using the NEXTflex PolyA Bead kit (Bioo Scientific, Austin, TX, USA) according to manufacturer instructions. Lack of contaminating ribosomal RNA was confirmed using the Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer. Strand specific libraries for each sample (intestines, liver, and skin) were prepared using the dUTP NEXTflex RNAseq kit (Bioo Scientific), which includes a magnetic bead-based size selection. Libraries were pooled in equimolar amounts after library quantification using both quantitative PCR with the KAPA Library Quantification Kit (KAPA Biosystems, Wilmington, MA, USA) and the fluorometric Qubit dsDNA high sensitivity assay kit (Life Technologies), both according to manufacturer instructions.

Libraries were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 2500 over a full flow cell to obtain 433,951,467 paired-end 250 bp reads. We first corrected errors in the Illumina reads using Rcorrector ([17]; parameters: run_rcorrector.pl -k 31) and then applied quality and adaptor trimming using Trim Galore! (http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/trim_galore/; parameters: trim_galore --paired --phred33 --length 36 -q 5 --stringency 5 --illumina -e 0.1). We used Trinity to *de novo* assemble the *O. sylvatica* transcriptome ([18], parameters: --seqType fq --SS_lib_type RF --normalize_reads). The Trinity assembly produced 2,111,230 contigs (N50: 738 bp). To reduce redundancy in the assembly, we ran cd-hit-est ([19]; parameters: -c 0.98) resulting in 1,596,373 contigs (N50: 789 bp). For the purpose of our current study, we were specifically interested in putative protein coding transcripts to gain insight into the physiological mechanisms of toxin sequestration. We used blastx to compare contigs in the assembly to

proteins in the Uniprot Swiss-Prot database (e-value threshold of 1e-5) and retained 241,116

contigs (N50: 2169 bp) with homology to annotated proteins. As we were particularly interested

in frog transcripts, we removed contigs that had homology to non-vertebrate proteins in the

Uniprot Swiss-Prot database, including microbes, nematodes, and arthropods. These

contaminants that likely represent parasites, pathogens, and prey items represented roughly 20%

of the contigs in the draft assembly. Our final *O. sylvatica* draft assembly contained 194,309

contigs (N50=2280 bp). We then assessed the completeness of this filtered assembly by

examining vertebrate ortholog representation using BUSCO (Benchmarking Universal Single-

Copy Orthologs) [20]. BUSCO estimated the completeness of our assembly at 84% (700)

complete single-copy BUSCOs, with 61% (1862) duplicated BUSCOs, 5.9% (181) fragmented

BUSCOS and 9.2% (280) missing BUSCOs out of 3023 total BUSCO groups searched.

We annotated the transcriptome using Trinotate [21] and used this final assembly for

downstream gene expression analyses. We used TransDecoder

(https://github.com/TransDecoder/TransDecoder/wiki) to generate a peptide file populated by the

longest open reading frame for each contig, which was then annotated using blastp to the UniProt

database and hmmscan to the PFam-A database. The results of these database queries were

loaded into an SQlite database.

RNA sequencing and analysis

The alkaloid differences between wild and lab raised frogs presented a unique opportunity

to examine gene expression differences across chemically defended and alkaloid-free frogs (see

results). We focused on the liver and skin, as alkaloid compounds have been detected in these

tissues in other poison frog species previously [5]. We also analyzed gene expression in the

intestines as a likely location of alkaloid absorption [22]. We isolated RNA from the intestines,

liver, and dorsal skin of the lab raised (alkaloid-free) and wild caught frogs, including the same

individuals used for the GC/MS analysis. Illumina libraries were constructed as described above

and sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 2000 over 6 lanes to obtain 910,220,544 paired-end 100bp

reads. All Illumina data is available on the Sequence Read Archive (submission pending).

We first applied quality and adaptor trimming using Trim Galore!

(http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/trim_galore/; parameters: trim_galore --

paired --phred33 --length 36 -q 5 --stringency 5 --illumina -e 0.1). We analyzed tissues separately

because some individuals were removed from specific comparisons because these samples had

fewer than 6 million reads. We aligned the reads to the *O. sylvatica* reference transcriptome (see

above) using kallisto [23]. Differences in gene expression levels between samples were

calculated using edgeR [24] and DESeq2 [25] within the *in silico* Trinity pipeline ($p < 0.05$, 4-fold

change). We planned on retaining significant differences in transcript abundance if this difference

was present in both the edgeR and DESeq2 analyses. However, all transcripts with significant

differences in DESeq2 were present in the edgeR significant gene set, but not vice versa, where

there were 2-3 times the number of significant genes in the edgeR analysis. Thus, only DESeq2

results are presented in the manuscript to be more conservative. All gene expression results from

the DESeq2 analysis were loaded into the SQlite database created from the Trinotate pipeline.

The R package GOseq [26] was used to examine gene ontology enrichment in transcript

expression for each tissue within the *in silico* Trinity pipeline. A subset of differentially expressed

genes were visualized using boxplots, which were made with the R package *ggplot2* (R version

3.4.3).

Plasma proteomics

As alkaloids can potentially be transported through the blood circulation to the skin glands

for storage, we quantified plasma protein abundance in chemically defended wild caught frogs

and alkaloid-free lab-reared frogs. To have enough protein concentration for tandem mass tag

labeling and quantitative proteomics, plasma was pooled for two frogs to create three biological

replicates per group. Tandem Mass Tag (TMT; Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) labeling

was done according to manufacturer's instructions. Samples were off-line fractionated prior to

liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) analysis performed on a LTQ

Orbitrap Elite (Thermo Scientific) equipped with a Waters NanoAcquity high performance liquid

chromatography (HPLC) pump (Milford, MA, USA). Peptides were separated onto a 100 µm inner

diameter microcapillary trapping column packed first with approximately 5 cm of C18 Reprosil

resin (5 µm, 100 Å, Dr. Maisch GmbH, Germany) followed by analytical column ~20 cm of Reprosil

resin (1.8 µm, 200 Å, Dr. Maisch GmbH, Germany). Separation was achieved through applying a

gradient from 5–27% ACN in 0.1% formic acid over 90 min at 200 nl min−1. Electrospray

ionization was enabled through applying a voltage of 1.8 kV using a home-made electrode

junction at the end of the microcapillary column and sprayed from fused silica pico tips (New

Objective, Woburn, MA, USA). The LTQ Orbitrap Elite was operated in data-dependent mode for

the mass spectrometry methods. The mass spectrometry survey scan was performed in the

Orbitrap in the range of 395 –1,800 m/z at a resolution of 6 × 104, followed by the selection of the

twenty most intense ions (TOP20) for CID-MS2 fragmentation in the ion trap using a precursor

isolation width window of 2 m/z, AGC setting of 10,000, and a maximum ion accumulation of 200

ms. Singly charged ion species were not subjected to CID fragmentation. Normalized collision

energy was set to 35 V and an activation time of 10 ms. Ions in a 10 ppm m/z window around ions

selected for MS2 were excluded from further selection for fragmentation for 60 s. The same

TOP20 ions were subjected to HCD MS2 event in Orbitrap part of the instrument. The fragment

ion isolation width was set to 0.7 m/z, AGC was set to 50,000, the maximum ion time was 200

ms, normalized collision energy was set to 27V and an activation time of 1 ms for each HCD MS2

scan. All mass spectrometry data is available at DataDryad (submission pending).

Raw data were analyzed using Proteome Discoverer 2.1.0.81 software (Thermo

Scientific). Assignment of MS/MS spectra was performed using the Sequest HT algorithm by

searching the data against the *O. sylvatica* protein sequence database and other known

contaminants such as human keratins and common lab contaminants. To create an mRNA-based

protein reference database for peptide matching, we used the PHROG tool (**P**roteomic Reference

with **H**eterogeneous **R**NA **O**mitting the **G**enome; [27];

http://kirschner.med.harvard.edu/tools/mz_ref_db.html). We used as input the Trinity fasta file

that had cd-hit-est applied (see above) containing hits to the Uniprot Swiss-Prot database (both

vertebrates, invertebrates, and other potential contaminants like microbes). Briefly, this tool

identifies ORF frames, frameshift corrections and annotation using blastx to a deuterostome

database. The longest peptide is retained and database redundancy is removed with cd-hit. This

output is then used as the protein reference for peptide matching. Sequest HT searches were

performed using a 20 ppm precursor ion tolerance and requiring each peptides N-/C termini to

adhere with Trypsin protease specificity, while allowing up to two missed cleavages. 6-plex TMT

tags on peptide N termini and lysine residues (+229.162932 Da) was set as static modifications

while methionine oxidation (+15.99492 Da) was set as variable modification. A MS2 spectra

assignment false discovery rate (FDR) of 1% on protein level was achieved by applying the target-

decoy database search. Filtering was performed using Percolator [28]. For quantification, a 0.02

m/z window centered on the theoretical m/z value of each the six reporter ions and the intensity

of the signal closest to the theoretical m/z value was recorded. Reporter ion intensities were

exported in result file of Proteome Discoverer 2.1 search engine as an excel table. The total signal

intensity across all peptides quantified was summed for each TMT channel, and all intensity

values were adjusted to account for potentially uneven TMT labeling and/or sample handling

variance for each labeled channel. Sequest found 453 protein matches within the *O. sylvatica*

protein database across all six plasma samples. We omitted from our analysis proteins that had

only one peptide match. The data was then imported into Perseus proteomics analysis software

[29] and a t-test was used to examine differences between groups.

Thermal proteome profiling

A single lab-reared (alkaloid-free) *O. sylvatica* frog was purchased from the Indoor

Ecosystems (Whitehouse, Ohio, USA) and sacrificed as described above to conduct the thermal

proteome profiling experiment [30]. This assay relies on thermodynamic principles of protein-

ligand binding, where a protein that has bound its small molecule target has increased thermal

stability, a shift detectable by tandem mass spectrometry. The liver was dissected, flash frozen in

liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80°C for 2 weeks. On the day of processing, the liver was crushed

in liquid nitrogen with a mortar and pestle. Powdered tissue was then scraped into a Dounce

tissue homogenizer and 1.2 mL of ice-cold 1X PBS supplemented with EDTA-free cOmplete

protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche, Indianapolis, IN, USA) was added. After the tissue powder was

homogenized with 10 plunges of the homogenizer, the cell suspension was transferred to a chilled

microcentrifuge tube. For cell lysis, the sample was placed in liquid nitrogen for 1 min followed by

a brief thaw at room temperature, and then this cycle was repeated twice. Cell lysate was

separated from cellular debris by spinning 20,000xg for 20 min at 4°C. The sample was then

divided in half (~400 uL) and either 4 uL of 10mM DHQ (decahydroquinoline, Sigma) in DMSO

(100 mM final concentration) or 4 uL of DMSO (vehicle treatment) was added. Lysate was

incubated for 30 min at room temperature and then 35 uL of each samples was aliquoted into

each of 10 PCR tubes. PCR tubes were heated at different temperatures (67°C, 64.3°C, 58.5°C,

56.2°C, 53°C, 50°C, 47.4°C, 45.1°C, 39.9°C, 37°C) for 3 min followed immediately by 2 min room

temperature incubation. Immediately after this incubation, samples were flash frozen in liquid

nitrogen and stored at -80°C overnight. The following morning, samples were thawed on ice and

transferred to 0.2 mL polycarbonate thick-wall tubes (Beckman Coulter, Danvers, MA, USA) and

spun at 100,000xg for 20m at 4°C in Optima Max ultracentrifuge (Beckman Coulter). Tubes were

carefully removed from the ultracentrifuge with forceps and 30 uL of supernatant was removed to

a PCR tube with every care taken to avoid the protein pellet. Soluble protein lysate was flash

frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C until downstream processing. Samples were then

TMT labeled and analyzed using LC MS/MS as described above and following [30]. Data was

analyzed using the TPP package in R, which fits protein abundances to a curve and generates p-

values for proteins with a significant thermal shift when incubated with the ligand of interest

compared to the vehicle control. Thermal shift graphs were output using the TPP package and

customized using ggplot in R.

Results

Alkaloid profiles of wild and captive frogs

Wild caught frogs contain many alkaloid chemicals (Figure 1, Supplementary Excel File),

the most abundant of which include four decahydroquinolines (**219A**, **219C**, **223Q**, **251A**), several

3,5,-disubstituted indolizidines (**223AB** and **249A**), a 5,8-disubstituted indolizidine (**235B**), two

lehmizidines (**277A** and **275A**), and an unclassified alkaloid with unknown structure (**283C**).

Control frogs captured as juveniles and housed in laboratory for 6 months on a diet of fruit flies

and crickets contained only trace or undetectable quantities of alkaloids compared to wild caught

frogs. The major compounds detected in lab-reared frogs were nicotine-D3 (spiked internal

reference), benzocaine (anesthetic), and cholesterol remaining from the extraction process.

Figure 1. Skin alkaloid abundance differs between wild caught and laboratory-reared frogs from the Otokiki population of *Oophaga sylvatica*. Alkaloid profiles assayed by gas chromatography / mass spectrometry (GC/MS) show chemical defenses in wild caught (top) and laboratory (bottom) frogs. **(a)** Ion chromatograms show small molecule peaks (labels at the top); compounds common to both groups are highlighted in red. **(b)** Heatmap shows relative abundance of alkaloid compounds in each frog, highlighting the zero or trace alkaloid quantities in lab-reared frogs compared to wild caught frogs. Abbreviations: DHQ, decahydroquinoline; 5,8 Ind, 5,8, disubstituted indolizidines; Lehm, lehmizidine; PTX, pumiliotoxin; 3,5 Pyrrol, 3,5 pyrrolizidine.

Gene expression changes associated with chemical defenses

We next examined how chemical defenses acquired through differences in diet impact physiology by comparing gene expression in several tissues of chemically defended wild caught frogs and captive frogs reared on an alkaloid-free diet. As alkaloids are acquired from the diet and stored in the skin granular glands, we used RNA sequencing to measure gene expression in the intestines, skin, and liver.

Intestines. Comparing the intestinal gene expression profiles of wild caught and lab-

raised frogs, 47 transcripts were differentially expressed between the two groups (Figure 2a).

Chemically defended wild frogs had increased expression of 9 transcripts and decreased

expression of 38 transcripts compared to the lab-reared frogs (See Supplementary Excel File).

Gene ontology (GO) analyses showed enrichment of several interesting processes: molecular

function included ligand gated sodium channel activity (p = 0.002), sodium:chloride symporter

activity (p = 0.011), and sodium channel activity (p = 0.029). Enriched cellular compartments

included sodium channel complex (p = 0.003), extracellular region part (p = 0.004), and apical

plasma membrane (p = 0.004). There were many biological processes enriched in the

differentially expressed genes, with the top two being sodium ion transmembrane transport (p =

0.0007) and small molecule metabolic process (p = 0.002). Given the prominence of sodium

channels and small molecule metabolism in the GO processes, we further explored the

expression of transcripts whose proteins are involved in small molecule transport (sodium

channels and solute carrier proteins) and metabolism (cytochrome p450s) (Figure 2b), which

mostly show a decrease in expression in wild caught animals compared to lab-reared alkaloid-

free animals.

Figure 2. Intestinal gene expression differences between lab-reared and wild poison frogs. (a)
Number of transcripts differentially expressed in captive reared (grey) and wild caught (pink) Little Devil

frogs is shown on the top. The heatmap shows clustering of individual frogs (columns) and differentially
expressed transcripts (rows, yellow is relative increased expression and purple is relative decreased
expression). **(b)** Differentially expressed genes involved in sodium or small molecule transport or
metabolism. Gene name abbreviations: scnn1a, sodium channel epithelial 1 alpha subunit; scnn1b, sodium
channel epithelial 1 beta subunit; slc12a3, solute carrier family 12 member 3; slc52a3, solute carrier family
52 member 3; cyp2k4, cytochrome P450 2K4; cyp2k1, cytochrome P450 2K1.

Skin. A total of 48 transcripts had significant differential expression, with chemically

defended wild caught frogs having increased expression of 39 transcripts and decreased

expression of 9 transcripts compared to the lab-raised frogs (Figure 3a). Only two GO enrichment

terms survived false discovery rate correction, both with molecular function enrichment in RNA

polymerase II function (p = 0.046). Of particular note, we found differential expression of the beta

2 subunit of the sodium potassium ATPase pump. Mutations in the alpha subunit of this ion pump

are well known to be associated with chemical defenses in a number of animals [31].

Figure 3. Skin gene expression differences between lab-reared and wild poison frogs. (a) Number of transcripts differentially expressed in captive reared (grey) and wild caught (pink) Little Devil frogs is shown on the top. The heatmap shows clustering of individual frogs (columns) and differentially expressed transcripts (rows, yellow is relative increased expression and purple is relative decreased expression). **(b)** Differentially expressed genes include the sodium-potassium-transporting ATPase subunit beta 2 (atp1b2), which is involved in sodium transport.

Liver. In the liver, 75 transcripts had significant differential expression, with chemically

defended wild caught frogs having increased expression of 54 transcripts and decreased

expression of 21 transcripts compared to the lab-reared frogs (Figure 4a). Although there were

more transcripts differentially expressed in the liver with better group clustering compared to the

intestines (see heatmap in Figure 2a), no GO enrichment terms survived false discovery rate

correction. Despite this, we noted several functional themes among the differentially expressed

transcripts. There were several cytochrome p450s involved in small molecule metabolism, several

small molecule transport proteins, including apolipoprotein A-IV and solute carrier proteins, and

several molecular chaperones (heat shock proteins) (FIgure 4b). Most of these transcripts

involved in small molecule metabolism and transport had higher expression in the wild caught

chemically defended frogs compared to the lab-reared frogs.

Figure 4. Liver gene expression differences between lab-reared and wild poison frogs. (a) Number
of transcripts differentially expressed in lab-reared (grey) and wild caught (pink) Little Devil frogs is shown
on the top. The heatmap on the bottom shows clustering of individual frogs (columns) and differentially
expressed transcripts (rows, yellow is relative increased expression and purple is relative decreased
expression). **(b)** Differentially expressed genes involved in small molecule transport or metabolism. Gene
name abbreviations: cyp1a5, cytochrome P450 1A5; cyp2k1, cytochrome P450 2K1; cyp2k4, cytochrome
P450 2K4; apoa4, apolipoprotein A-IV; slc38a2, solute carrier family 38 member 2; slc51a, solute carrier
family 51 member a; co3, complement C3; c5ar1, C5a anaphylatoxin chemotactic receptor 1; hsp90, Heat
shock protein HSP 90-alpha; hsp70-like 1, heat shock 70 kDa protein 1-like; hsp70-like 2, heat shock 70
kDa protein 2-like.

Proteomic analyses identify candidate toxin-binding proteins

We reasoned that plasma proteins may shuttle these lipophilic small molecules to their

final destination. Therefore, we quantified plasma proteins in chemically defended wild caught

frogs and lab-reared frogs reared on an alkaloid-free diet. The most abundant plasma proteins

identified were fetuins (fetuin-B and alpha-2-macroglobulins), apolipoproteins (A-I and A-IV),

albumin, hemoglobin, transferrins (serotransferrin and saxiphilin), and immune-related proteins

(complement factors and immunoglobulins). Ten proteins were identified as having significant

differences in abundance between groups, although these did not survive false discovery

adjustments, likely due to the small samples size (See Supplementary Excel File for data and

statistics). Among these proteins was saxiphilin (p=0.02), an amphibian transferrin-like protein

that binds the neurotoxin saxitoxin [32], which was more abundant in lab frogs (Figure 5).

Complement C3 was significantly higher in wild frogs compared to lab frogs raised on an alkaloid-

free diet (p=0.04), supporting gene expression patterns in the liver (Figure 4b). Finally, plasma

alpha-2-macroglobulin protein was also higher in chemically defended wild frogs compared to lab

frogs (p=0.05).

Figure 5. Plasma protein abundance differences between lab-reared and wild poison frogs. Lab-reared (grey) and wild caught (pink) Little Devil frogs have abundance differences of saxiphilin, complement C3, and alpha-2-macroglobulin proteins.

The liver makes many of the proteins found in blood. To identify candidate toxin-binding

proteins that may bind alkaloids for transport in the circulation, we used an untargeted thermal

proteome profiling approach to identify proteins that interact with unlabeled small molecules

(Figure 6a) [30]. The small molecule used in this assay was decahydroquinoline, a commercially

available alkaloid that we have detected in the wild caught frogs (present study) and in other *O.*

sylvatica frog populations previously [14]. Using this assay, we identified several soluble proteins

whose thermal profile shifted more than 4C that parallels other proteins of interest identified from

above (Figure 6b). This includes saxiphilin, which was higher in the plasma of lab frogs compared

to wild frogs (Figures 5), and heat shock protein 90, which had higher transcript abundance in the

livers of chemically defended wild frogs compared to lab frogs raised on an alkaloid-free diet

(Figure 4b).

443
444 **Figure 6. Thermal proteome profiling provide candidates for toxin-binding proteins in poison frogs.**
445 **(a)** Liver lysate was treated with either decahydroquinoline (DHQ, orange) or DMSO (vehicle control, grey)
446 and incubated at a range of temperatures prior to ultracentrifugation followed by quantitative proteomics
447 using liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (LC MS/MS). **(b)** Examples of proteins with a
448 greater than 4C shift in thermal stability when treated with DHQ (orange) compared to vehicle (grey).

Discussion

By comparing the physiology of wild caught chemically defended frogs to lab-raised frogs reared on an alkaloid-free diet, we were able to quantify differences in gene expression and protein abundance that may be involved in pathways linked to chemical defenses. In these comparisons, we found several themes in the differentially expressed transcripts in the intestines, liver and skin, as well as the plasma proteome, including involvement in small molecule metabolism. Moreover, several proteins were identified as possible candidate alkaloid-binding proteins across gene expression and ligand-binding experiments. Here we discuss these results in the context of possible physiological mechanisms of toxin sequestration and highlight the limitations of the present study with suggestions for future research priorities.

Dietary alkaloid compounds

Many of the alkaloids identified in the Otokiki population were indolizidines and lehmizidines. These small molecules have been identified in other *O. sylvatica* populations and many other dendrobatid poison frogs [14,33]. All alkaloids described here have been noted in *O. pumilio* over 30 years of intense chemical ecology research [34]. Research in the 1970s identified histrionicotoxins as the major alkaloid group in *O. sylvatica* ([33], referred to as *D. histrionicus*). However, our present data from the Otokiki population, as well as previous work on three other *O. sylvatica* populations, did not include histrionicotoxins as the major alkaloid [14], but rather lehmizidines and several classes of indolizidines. There could be several reasons for the absence of histrionicotoxins in *O. sylvatica* in this study. Myers and Daly (1976) sampled *O. sylvatica* in the southernmost part of their Ecuadorian range and did not examine northern populations (e.g. Otokiki); perhaps southern populations contain histrionicotoxins whereas northern Ecuadorian populations do not. Alternatively, since diet is a major component of poison frog alkaloid profiles [35], arthropod diversity within the *O. sylvatica* range may have shifted in the last 40 years to

chemically distinct prey items that make indolizidines the dominant alkaloid group. Population

variation in toxin profiles is well established among various poison frogs species [36]-[37] and so

broader sampling of many populations would reveal a more complete picture of dominant

alkaloids in this species.

We detected zero to trace amounts of alkaloids in frogs moved from the wild as juveniles

and into laboratory for six months on a diet of crickets and fruit flies. This came as a surprise, as

there are some reports of poison frogs retaining toxins for long periods of time in terrariums,

including years for the Golden poison frog (*Phyllobates terribilis*) [38]. It is possible that there are

species differences in alkaloid retention duration in captivity, although a robust toxin loss

experiment had never been reported. It is also conceivable that different toxin classes

(batrachotoxin versus indolizidines) are retained for varying amounts of time. For example, there

were trace amounts of pumiliotoxin **307B** and some lehmizidines in the lab-reared frogs and

perhaps these are retained for longer periods of time than indolizidines. On the other hand, it may

be the age at which the lab frogs in our study were collected from the wild that influenced this

result. Juvenile and subadult poison frogs tend to have a lower diversity and abundance of toxins

in their skin [39] as well as smaller granular glands for storage [40]. It is possible that the lab frogs

used in this study did not contain many alkaloids at the time they were collected and were then

subsequently lost in the laboratory. The rate of alkaloid uptake and loss in adult poison frogs is

understudied and such pharmacokinetics studies would lend insight into the dynamics of toxin

sequestration and loss. Regardless, tissue samples from chemically defended and alkaloid-free

frogs collected from a single population enabled us to examine the physiology of alkaloid

sequestration across various tissues.

Sodium transporters

Sodium transport proteins were a common class of differentially expressed genes. In the

intestines, we found significant downregulation of the epithelial sodium ion channel subunit

transcripts (scnn1a and scnn1b; also called amiloride-sensitive sodium channel). This non-

voltage sensitive sodium ion channel is inhibited by the diuretic alkaloid amiloride and is

responsible for sodium influx across the apical membrane of intestinal epithelial cells. It is

regulated by a number of intrinsic and extrinsic factors like diet and hormones [41], which makes

it difficult to determine how the various differences between the frogs groups studied here most

influenced this result. Voltage gated-sodium channels are a well known target of frog alkaloids

[6], but to our knowledge the epithelial sodium channel has not been tested with poison frog

alkaloids. Another transporter of note is the sodium-potassium-ATPase pump, whose beta 2

subunit expression was elevated in chemically defended wild caught frogs compared to lab frogs.

The sodium-potassium-ATPase pump alpha subunit is a frequent target in the evolution of toxin

resistance in a number of herbivorous invertebrates [42][43] and vertebrates [31]. Investigations

of the function and evolution of the beta subunit in the context of toxin resistance is lacking. An

analysis of sodium-potassium-ATPase pump sequence evolution across chemically defended

and non-defended amphibians would likely yield interesting results. Binding studies with these

channels and poison frog alkaloids as well as protein sequence evolution analyses are an

important future goal in understanding potential interactions.

Small molecule transport and metabolism

Vertebrates use two physiological mechanisms for transport of exogenous compounds

depending on the degree of hydrophobicity: the portal venous system and the lymph system [11].

Cholesterol-derived bile acid molecules, which are made in the liver, are secreted to the

gallbladder and then deposited into the intestines through the bile duct. With the help of bile acids

and phospholipids, lipophilic molecules form micelles that are shuttled through the intestinal cells

and excreted into the the portal venous system. The transporter responsible for bile acid-

associated export from intestinal cells into the portal blood is solute carrier protein 51a (slc51a,

also known as organic solute transporter subunit alpha) [44], which has increased expression in

the liver of chemically defended wild frogs compared to lab frogs. Bile acid-associated pathways

are of particular interest, as bile acid derivatives have been observed in the skin of mantellid

poison frogs [45], suggesting a bile acid-based transport system for some poison frog alkaloids.

Alkaloids can be also be carried by a number of transport proteins in the blood circulation. One

protein of particular interest that was increased in lab frogs compared to chemically defended wild

frogs is saxiphilin. Saxiphilin is an amphibian specific transferrin that has high specificity for the

alkaloid neurotoxin saxitoxin [32]. We also found evidence through thermal proteome profiling that

saxiphilin may also bind other alkaloid toxins, like decahydroquinoline. It is currently unknown if

saxiphlin binds additional alkaloid toxins and further studies on the poison frog saxiphilin protein

as a more general alkaloid carrier are warranted.

Not all molecules are transported in the blood however, as highly lipophilic exogenous

compounds are transported by lipoproteins that move lipophilic cargo in chylomicron particles

through the lymphatic system [11]. Apolipoproteins are required for chylomicron assembly and

play some role in determining the types of compounds that are transported in their cargo. In our

study, chemically defended frogs had increased expression of an apolipoprotein A-IV (apoa4)

transcript in the liver compared to captive alkaloid-free frogs. We should also note that these

changes in gene expression could also be due to differences in diet between our two groups,

where wild frogs eat mostly ants and mites and lab frogs were fed *Drosophila* and crickets, which

differ not only in alkaloid content but also in lipid and protein content [46]. A more controlled diet

experiment and additional biochemical testing would be required to completely understand the

involvement of these candidate transport mechanisms in diet and chemical defenses of poison

frogs.

Solute carrier proteins are of special interest as they aid in the transport of ions and small

molecules across cell membranes, which is likely important for alkaloid transport in both the blood

and the lymphatic system. In the intestines, two solute carrier family transcripts had decreased

expression in wild caught frogs compared to lab-reared frogs. One of the transporters is slc12a3,

a sodium and chloride cotransporter that is sensitive to a number of thiazide-like alkaloid diuretics

that are used to treat hypertension [47]; the role of this transporter in the intestines is not well

understood. The other differentially expressed transporter in the intestines is slc52a3, which

transports riboflavin (vitamin B2, also an alkaloid) and is well-studied as loss of function due to

mutations cause Brown-Vialetto-Van Laere syndrome [48]. In both cases, whether these proteins

can transport other compounds is not known, although their expression can be regulated by diet,

such as riboflavin intake [49]. As these transporters interact with plant alkaloids naturally, it

remains an open question as to whether poison frog alkaloids also influence their activity and

gene expression. In the liver, the other small molecule transport protein differentially expressed

between groups was slc38a2 (also called SNAT2), which had increased transcript abundance in

wild frogs compared to alkaloid-free frogs. This protein functions as a sodium-dependent amino

acid transporter and its expression is heavily regulated by nutritional factors, where amino acid

withdrawal induces slc38a2 expression in vitro [50]. As ants have lower amino acid content within

a hard exoskeleton compared to softer fruit flies and crickets [51], slc38a2 expression in these

ant-specialist frogs is likely correlated with diet variation between frog groups rather than the

presence of alkaloids.

Several cytochrome P450s transcripts were differentially expressed in the intestines and

liver. This large protein family is well known for small molecule metabolism and has important

clinical implications in drug metabolism and toxin breakdown [52]. We found three cytochrome

P450s were differentially expressed between chemically defended wild caught frogs and lab-

reared alkaloid-free frogs. In both the intestines and liver, two transcripts in the cytochrome P450

2 family (tentatively cyp2k1 and cyp2k4) were differentially expressed between groups. These

enzymes were originally identified in rainbow trout [53] and their specific chemical function has

not been investigated in detail. In the liver, a cytochrome P540 1A enzyme (tentatively cyp1a5)

was also differentially expressed between groups. This enzyme is well described in birds and is

located in liver microsomes where it oxidizes a number of structurally unrelated compounds,

including xenobiotics and steroids [54,55]. It is important to note that many biological factors can

influence the expression of cytochrome P450s including diet, sex, and temperature [56]. We

cannot conclude based on this study design if the presence of alkaloids induced these changes

in gene expression and further experiments would be necessary to determine the dynamic

regulation of these important enzymes. However, some poison frog species are thought to

metabolize alkaloids, where John Daly and colleagues hypothesized a yet unidentified

"pumiliotoxin 7-hydroxylase" was responsible for the metabolism of PTX **251D** into the more

potent aPTX **267A** [12]. Moving forward, a better understanding of alkaloid metabolism is needed

in order to link poison frog alkaloid profiles to metabolism by cytochrome P450s.

Other proteins classes of interest

Two other classes of proteins were different in many of the comparisons between wild

caught chemically defended frogs and lab-reared frogs described here: proteins involved in

immune system function and heat shock proteins. Two proteins in the complement immune

system showed differences between groups. Complement C3 had increased transcript

abundance in the liver and higher protein abundance in the blood in wild chemically defended

frogs compared to lab-reared frogs. The membrane bound C5a receptor also showed higher

transcript abundance in livers of wild frogs compared to lab frogs. Although complement factors

can bind alkaloids [57], abundance can also be influenced by pathogens and other environmental

factors [58]. Thus, further experiments with more controlled groups are necessary to determine if

these immunity factors are important for chemical defenses. The other class of molecules with

differences in transcript abundance between groups was liver heat shock proteins. Although

expression of these proteins can be modulated by stress and environmental variables [59], we

also found evidence that heat shock protein 90 may bind the poison frog alkaloid

decahydroquinoline. Other alkaloids have also been found to both decrease and increase the

protein folding of the heat shock protein chaperones [60] and, therefore, it is possible that poison

frog alkaloids also modify heat shock protein activity. Alternatively, the alkaloids themselves could

destabilize liver lysate proteins which then leads to an increased thermal shift of heat shock

proteins in the thermal proteome profiling assay, where heat shock proteins are binding to proteins

as they begin to unfold in the presences of decahydroquinoline. More thorough *in vitro* studies

are needed to test these hypotheses.

Conclusions and future directions

Our study has provided many candidate proteins and biological processes that may be

involved in alkaloid sequestration in poison frogs. This includes candidate mechanisms for

alkaloid transport like plasma carrier proteins and chylomicrons as well as genes involved in small

molecule metabolism. However, a limitation of our study is that we compared gene expression or

differences of chemically defended and alkaloid-free frogs that were in different environments

(wild caught in the rainforest compared to laboratory housing). Future studies should be

conducted in identical environmental conditions with controlled toxin feeding regimes. Finally,

although our thermal proteome profiling experiment highlighted candidate proteins, this method

exclusively tests soluble proteins and further studies should be done to test membrane bound

proteins as well. Overall, we have provided the first organismal perspective into how poison frogs

bioaccumulate small molecule chemical defenses from their diet. This work provides a foundation

for future mechanistic and comparative work that will be able to uncover how poison frogs have

altered their physiology to acquire chemical defenses.

Data Accessibility

The Illumina fastq files, GC/MS data from the alkaloid analysis, LC-MS/MS files from

plasma proteomics, the *O. sylvatica* transcriptome and proteome fasta files, the Trinotate SQLite

database that includes the gene expression analysis, and an Excel File containing all data and

analyses (Supplementary Excel File) is available at DataDryad (submission pending).

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Lola Guarderas (Wikiri) for support in Ecuador, Adam Freedman and Aaron Kitzmiller for advice on analyses, and Eugenia Sanchez for comments on early versions of this manuscript. All computational work was performed on the Odyssey cluster supported by the FAS Science Division Research Computing Group at Harvard University. This work was supported by a Myvanwy M. and George M. Dick Scholarship Fund for Science Students (SNC), the Harvard College Research Program (SNC), a Bauer Fellowship from Harvard University (LAO), the L'Oreal For Women in Science Fellowship (LAO), the William F. Milton Fund from Harvard Medical School (LAO), and the National Science Foundation (IOS-1557684 to LAO). EET and LAC acknowledge the support of Wikiri and the Saint Louis Zoo.

Author Contributions

LAO and LAC designed the research; LAO, SNC, ABR, EET and LAC collected frog samples in the Ecuador; EET and LAC maintained the lab frogs; LAO performed the laboratory research and RNAseq analysis; GDB and SAT performed the small molecule mass spectrometry; GDB and CV performed the alkaloid GC/MS analysis; BB conducted the plasma proteomics experiments; AAB performed the thermal proteome profiling analysis; SNC and LAO wrote the paper with contributions from all authors.

References

1. Gershenzon J. Alkaloids: Biochemistry, Ecology, and Medicinal Applications. Crop Sci. 1999;39: 1251.

2. Burja AM, Banaigs B, Abou-Mansour E, Grant Burgess J, Wright PC. Marine cyanobacteria—a prolific source of natural products. Tetrahedron. 2001;57: 9347–9377.

3. Savitzky AH, Mori A, Hutchinson DA, Saporito RA, Burghardt GM, Lillywhite HB, et al. Sequestered defensive toxins in tetrapod vertebrates: principles, patterns, and prospects for future studies. Chemoecology. 2012;22: 141–158.

4. Trigo JR. Effects of pyrrolizidine alkaloids through different trophic levels. Phytochem Rev.
2010;10: 83–98.

5. Saporito RA, Donnelly MA, Spande TF, Martin Garraffo H. A review of chemical ecology in
poison frogs. Chemoecology. 2011;22: 159–168.

6. Santos JC, Tarvin RD, O'Connell LA. A Review of Chemical Defense in Poison Frogs
(Dendrobatidae): Ecology, Pharmacokinetics, and Autoresistance. Chemical Signals in
Vertebrates 13. 2016. pp. 305–337.

7. Tarvin RD, Santos JC, O'Connell LA, Zakon HH, Cannatella DC. Convergent Substitutions
in a Sodium Channel Suggest Multiple Origins of Toxin Resistance in Poison Frogs. Mol
Biol Evol. 2016;33: 1068–1081.

8. Tarvin RD, Borghese CM, Sachs W, Santos JC, Lu Y, O'Connell LA, et al. Interacting
amino acid replacements allow poison frogs to evolve epibatidine resistance. Science.
2017;357: 1261–1266.

9. Wang SY, Wang GK. Point mutations in segment I-S6 render voltage-gated Na+ channels
resistant to batrachotoxin. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1998;95: 2653–2658.

10. Steffansen B, Nielsen CU, Brodin B, Eriksson AH, Andersen R, Frokjaer S. Intestinal solute
carriers: an overview of trends and strategies for improving oral drug absorption. Eur J
Pharm Sci. 2004;21: 3–16.

11. Trevaskis NL, Charman WN, Porter CJH. Lipid-based delivery systems and intestinal
lymphatic drug transport: a mechanistic update. Adv Drug Deliv Rev. 2008;60: 702–716.

12. Daly JW, Garraffo HM, Spande TF, Clark VC, Ma J, Ziffer H, et al. Evidence for an
enantioselective pumiliotoxin 7-hydroxylase in dendrobatid poison frogs of the genus
Dendrobates. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2003;100: 11092–11097.

13. Roland AB, Santos JC, Carriker BC, Caty SN, Tapia EE, Coloma LA, et al. Radiation of the
polymorphic Little Devil poison frog () in Ecuador. Ecol Evol. 2017;7: 9750–9762.

14. McGugan JR, Byrd GD, Roland AB, Caty SN, Kabir N, Tapia EE, et al. Ant and Mite
Diversity Drives Toxin Variation in the Little Devil Poison Frog. J Chem Ecol. 2016;42: 537–
551.

15. Saporito RA, Donnelly MA, Madden AA, Garraffo HM, Spande TF. Sex-related differences
in alkaloid chemical defenses of the dendrobatid frog Oophaga pumilio from Cayo Nancy,
Bocas del Toro, Panama. J Nat Prod. 2010;73: 317–321.

16. Daly JW, Spande TF, Garraffo HM. Alkaloids from amphibian skin: a tabulation of over
eight-hundred compounds. J Nat Prod. 2005;68: 1556–1575.

17. Song L, Florea L. Rcorrector: efficient and accurate error correction for Illumina RNA-seq
reads. Gigascience. 2015;4: 48.

18. Grabherr MG, Haas BJ, Yassour M, Levin JZ, Thompson DA, Amit I, et al. Full-length
transcriptome assembly from RNA-Seq data without a reference genome. Nat Biotechnol.
2011;29: 644–652.

19. Fu L, Niu B, Zhu Z, Wu S, Li W. CD-HIT: accelerated for clustering the next-generation
sequencing data. Bioinformatics. 2012;28: 3150–3152.

20. Simão FA, Waterhouse RM, Ioannidis P, Kriventseva EV, Zdobnov EM. BUSCO: assessing
genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy orthologs. Bioinformatics.
2015;31: 3210–3212.

21. Bryant DM, Johnson K, DiTommaso T, Tickle T, Couger MB, Payzin-Dogru D, et al. A
Tissue-Mapped Axolotl De Novo Transcriptome Enables Identification of Limb
Regeneration Factors. Cell Rep. 2017;18: 762–776.

22. Estudante M, Morais JG, Soveral G, Benet LZ. Intestinal drug transporters: An overview.
Adv Drug Deliv Rev. 2013;65: 1340–1356.

23. Bray NL, Pimentel H, Melsted P, Pachter L. Near-optimal probabilistic RNA-seq
quantification. Nat Biotechnol. 2016;34: 525–527.

24. Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, Smyth GK. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential
expression analysis of digital gene expression data. Bioinformatics. 2010;26: 139–140.

25. Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-
seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. 2014;15: 550.

26. Young MD, Wakefield MJ, Smyth GK, Oshlack A. Gene ontology analysis for RNA-seq:
accounting for selection bias. Genome Biol. 2010;11: R14.

27. Wühr M, Freeman RM Jr, Presler M, Horb ME, Peshkin L, Gygi S, et al. Deep proteomics of
the Xenopus laevis egg using an mRNA-derived reference database. Curr Biol. 2014;24:
1467–1475.

28. Kall L, Storey JD, Noble WS. Non-parametric estimation of posterior error probabilities
associated with peptides identified by tandem mass spectrometry. Bioinformatics. 2008;24:
i42–i48.

29. Tyanova S, Temu T, Sinitcyn P, Carlson A, Hein MY, Geiger T, et al. The Perseus
computational platform for comprehensive analysis of (prote)omics data. Nat Methods.
2016;13: 731–740.

30. Franken H, Mathieson T, Childs D, Sweetman GMA, Werner T, Tögel I, et al. Thermal
proteome profiling for unbiased identification of direct and indirect drug targets using
multiplexed quantitative mass spectrometry. Nat Protoc. 2015;10: 1567–1593.

31. Ujvari B, Casewell NR, Sunagar K, Arbuckle K, Wüster W, Lo N, et al. Widespread
convergence in toxin resistance by predictable molecular evolution. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S
A. 2015;112: 11911–11916.

32. Morabito MA, Moczydlowski E. Molecular cloning of bullfrog saxiphilin: a unique relative of
the transferrin family that binds saxitoxin. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1995;92: 6651.

33. Myers CW, Daly JW. Preliminary Evaluation of Skin Toxins and Vocalizations in Taxonomic
and Evolutionary Studies of Poison-dart Frogs (Dendrobatidae). 1976.

34. Saporito RA, Donnelly MA, Jain P, Martin Garraffo H, Spande TF, Daly JW. Spatial and

temporal patterns of alkaloid variation in the poison frog Oophaga pumilio in Costa Rica
and Panama over 30 years. Toxicon. 2007;50: 757–778.

35.   A. Saporito R, Saporito RA, Spande TF, Martin Garraffo H, Donnelly MA. Arthropod
Alkaloids in Poison Frogs: A Review of the "Dietary Hypothesis." Heterocycles. 2009;79:
277.

36.   Saporito RA, Donnelly MA, Martin Garraffo H, Spande TF, Daly JW. Geographic and
Seasonal Variation in Alkaloid-Based Chemical Defenses of Dendrobates pumilio from
Bocas del Toro, Panama. J Chem Ecol. 2006;32: 795–814.

37.   Stuckert AMM, Saporito RA, Venegas PJ, Summers K. Alkaloid defenses of co-mimics in a
putative Müllerian mimetic radiation. BMC Evol Biol. 2014;14: 76.

38.   Daly JW, Myers CW, Warnick JE, Albuquerque EX. Levels of batrachotoxin and lack of
sensitivity to its action in poison-dart frogs (Phyllobates). Science. 1980;208: 1383–1385.

39.   Murray EM, Bolton SK, Berg T, Saporito RA. Arthropod predation in a dendrobatid poison
frog: does frog life stage matter? Zoology . 2016;119: 169–174.

40.   Saporito RA, Isola M, Maccachero VC, Condon K, Donnelly MA. Ontogenetic scaling of
poison glands in a dendrobatid poison frog. J Zool. 2010;282: 238–245.

41.   Bhalla V, Hallows KR. Mechanisms of ENaC regulation and clinical implications. J Am Soc
Nephrol. 2008;19: 1845–1854.

42.   Yang L, Ravikanthachari N, Marino-Perez R, Deshmukh R, Wu M, Rosenstein A, et al.
Predictability in the evolution of Orthopteran cardenolide insensitivity [Internet].
doi:10.1101/542811

43.   Dobler S, Dalla S, Wagschal V, Agrawal AA. Community-wide convergent evolution in
insect adaptation to toxic cardenolides by substitutions in the Na,K-ATPase. Proc Natl Acad
Sci U S A. 2012;109: 13040–13045.

44.   Dawson PA, Karpen SJ. Intestinal transport and metabolism of bile acids. J Lipid Res.
2014;56: 1085–1099.

45.   Clark VC, Harinantenaina L, Zeller M, Ronto W, Rocca J, Dossey AT, et al. An endogenous
bile acid and dietary sucrose from skin secretions of alkaloid-sequestering poison frogs. J
Nat Prod. 2012;75: 473–478.

46.   Bukkens SGF. The nutritional value of edible insects. Ecol Food Nutr. 1997;36: 287–319.

47.   Gamba G. The thiazide-sensitive Na+-Cl- cotransporter: molecular biology, functional
properties, and regulation by WNKs. Am J Physiol Renal Physiol. 2009;297: F838–48.

48.   Yonezawa A, Inui K-I. Novel riboflavin transporter family RFVT/SLC52: identification,
nomenclature, functional characterization and genetic diseases of RFVT/SLC52. Mol
Aspects Med. 2013;34: 693–701.

49.   Said HM, Mohammadkhani R. Uptake of riboflavin across the brush border membrane of
rat intestine: regulation by dietary vitamin levels. Gastroenterology. 1993;105: 1294–1298.

50. Hoffmann TM, Cwiklinski E, Shah DS, Stretton C, Hyde R, Taylor PM, et al. Effects of
Sodium and Amino Acid Substrate Availability upon the Expression and Stability of the
SNAT2 (SLC38A2) Amino Acid Transporter. Front Pharmacol. 2018;9: 63.

51. McCusker S, Buff PR, Yu Z, Fascetti AJ. Amino acid content of selected plant, algae and
insect species: a search for alternative protein sources for use in pet foods. J Nutr Sci.
2014;3: e39.

52. Danielson PB. The cytochrome P450 superfamily: biochemistry, evolution and drug
metabolism in humans. Curr Drug Metab. 2002;3: 561–597.

53. Buhler DR, Yang YH, Dreher TW, Miranda CL, Wang JL. Cloning and sequencing of the
major rainbow trout constitutive cytochrome P450 (CYP2K1): identification of a new
cytochrome P450 gene subfamily and its expression in mature rainbow trout liver and trunk
kidney. Arch Biochem Biophys. 1994;312: 45–51.

54. Kubota A, Iwata H, Kim E-Y. Catalytic function of avian cytochrome P450 1A4 and 1A5
(CYP1A4 and CYP1A5) enzymes heterologously expressed using in vitro yeast system.
Mar Environ Res. 2008;66: 154–155.

55. Shang S, Jiang J, Deng Y. Chicken Cytochrome P450 1A5 Is the Key Enzyme for
Metabolizing T-2 Toxin to 3'OH-T-2. Int J Mol Sci. 2013;14: 10809–10818.

56. Haasch ML. Effects of vehicle, diet and gender on the expression of PMP70- and
CYP2K1/2M1-like proteins in the mummichog. Mar Environ Res. 2002;54: 297–301.

57. Garcia BL, Skaff DA, Chatterjee A, Hanning A, Walker JK, Wyckoff GJ, et al. Identification
of C3b-Binding Small-Molecule Complement Inhibitors Using Cheminformatics. J Immunol.
2017;198: 3705–3718.

58. Gasque P. Complement: a unique innate immune sensor for danger signals. Mol Immunol.
2004;41: 1089–1098.

59. Feder ME, Hofmann GE. Heat-shock proteins, molecular chaperones, and the stress
response: evolutionary and ecological physiology. Annu Rev Physiol. 1999;61: 243–282.

60. Wisén S, Gestwicki JE. Identification of small molecules that modify the protein folding
activity of heat shock protein 70. Anal Biochem. 2008;374: 371–377.