Subcellular compartments interplay for carbon and nitrogen allocation in

Chromera velia* and *Vitrella brassicaformis

Zoltán Füssy[1,2,*], Tereza Faitová[1,2,3], and Miroslav Oborník[1,2,*]

[1]Faculty of Science, University of South Bohemia, České Budějovice, Czech Republic

[2]Institute of Parasitology, Biology Centre CAS, České Budějovice, Czech Republic

[3]Faculty of Engineering and Natural Sciences, Johannes Kepler University, Linz, Austria

* corresponding authors: zoltan.fussy@gmail.com, obornik@paru.cas.cz

ABSTRACT

Endosymbioses necessitate functional cooperation of cellular compartments to avoid

pathway redundancy and streamline the control of biological processes. To gain insight

into the metabolic compartmentation in chromerids, phototrophic relatives to

apicomplexan parasites, we prepared a reference set of proteins probably localized to

mitochondria, cytosol and the plastid, taking advantage of available genomic and

transcriptomic data. Training of prediction algorithms with the reference set now allows

a genome-wide analysis of protein localization in *C. velia* and *V. brassicaformis*. We

confirm that the chromerid plastids house enzymatic pathways needed for their

maintenance and photosynthetic activity, but for carbon and nitrogen allocation,

metabolite exchange is necessary with the cytosol and mitochondria. This indeed

suggests that the regulatory mechanisms operate in the cytosol to control carbon

metabolism based on the availability of both light and nutrients. We discuss that this

arrangement is largely shared with apicomplexans and dinoflagellates, possibly

stemming from a common ancestral metabolic architecture, and supports the

mixotrophy of the chromerid algae.

KEYWORDS: chromerid, protein localization, prediction algorithm, endosymbiosis,

plastid integration, mixotrophy

INTRODUCTION

Endosymbiotic organelles play crucial roles in cellular biochemistry.

Mitochondria, alpha-proteobacterial endosymbionts of eukaryotes (Oborník 2019;

Gruber 2019), represent an energetic hub, where balancing of catabolic and anabolic

processes takes place tightly regulated with the speed of respiration (e.g. Searcy 2003;

Pagliarini and Rutter 2013; Gray 2015). In plastids, domesticated cyanobacteria (Gruber

2019; Oborník 2019), inorganic carbon is fixed into sugars and several essential

compounds are synthesized, such as fatty acids, isoprenoid units, tetrapyrroles, and

amino acids (e.g. Tetlow et al. 2017). These semiautonomous endosymbiont-derived

organelles contain genetic information and their own translation apparatuses, but by far

do not encode all the proteins required for their function. Due to endosymbiotic gene

transfer, most essential genes were transferred from their genomes to the nuclear

genome and the organelles are greatly dependent on the import of proteins synthesized

in the cytosol (e.g. Mallo et al. 2018). Sorting of proteins to subcellular locations

specifically is therefore crucial for the correct function of both the proteins and the

organelles, and thus targeting signals and protein translocation are key to our

understanding of organellar biology (Kunze & Berger 2015).

Proteins destined to plastids and mitochondria typically encode an N-terminal

motif, i.e. a targeting presequence. The targeting presequence of mitochondrial proteins

is termed the mitochondrial transit peptide (mTP) and has the physicochemical

properties of an amphiphilic helix. Similarly, the chloroplast transit peptides of primary

algae (rhodophytes, chlorophytes and glaucophytes) and plants are amphiphilic helices,

though they are typically enriched in hydroxylated amino acids and less positively

charged than mTPs (Kunze & Berger 2015; Garg & Gould 2016). In comparison, complex

algae (those that maintain eukaryotic endosymbionts) including the chromerids, target

proteins to the plastid via the endomembrane (secretory) pathway, using chloroplast

transit peptides directly preceded by an ER signal peptide, which are referred to as

bipartite targeting sequences (BTS) (reviewed in Patron & Waller 2007).

Reconstruction of ancestral traits (Joy et al. 2016) allows us to unveil changes in

lifestyle and genome organization in an evolutionary perspective and to compare

functionalities among the organisms of interest. The discovery and genome

characterization of chromerids *Chromera velia* and *Vitrella brassicaformis*, the closest

known photosynthetic relatives of apicomplexan parasites, have provided an excellent

framework to study the transition from free-living phototrophs to obligate parasites

(Moore et al. 2008; Oborník et al. 2009; Janouškovec et al. 2010; Burki et al. 2012;

Janouškovec et al. 2015; Woo et al. 2015; Füssy & Oborník 2017b). Much knowledge has

accumulated about the function of the apicomplexan remnant plastid, the apicoplast

(reviewed in Boucher et al. 2018), which given their shared origin structurally and

molecularly resembles the photosynthetic plastid of chromerids (Moore et al. 2008;

Janouškovec et al. 2010; Woo et al. 2015). Nevertheless, the protein composition of the

chromerid plastid is largely unknown, except for a recent work that focused on *C. velia*

photosystems (Sobotka et al. 2017), and therefore a pre-transition model of the

apicoplast could not be studied in detail. The mitochondrial genome of apicomplexans is

massively reduced in gene content and found to contain only three protein-coding

genes, *cox1*, *cox3* and *cyb*, with the majority of mitochondrial proteins requiring import

from cytosol (Nash et al. 2008; Janouškovec et al. 2013; Flegontov et al. 2015).

Strikingly, the *C. velia* mitochondrion was found to contain only two of these genes, *cox1*

and *cox3* (Flegontov et al. 2015). It has been hypothesized that the reduction of the

apicomplexan (and chromerid) mitochondrial genome could be linked with the change

in lifestyle strategy, particularly a change to facultative anaerobiosis (Dorrell et al.

2013), which is consistent with the observed reduction of the respiratory chain in all

myzozoans (dinoflagellates, chromerids and apicomplexans) (Flegontov et al. 2015;

Oborník & Lukeš 2015).

The relatively small nuclear genome size (up to 193 Mb) and largely complete

sequence data of chromerids (Woo et al. 2015) make them ideal for large-scale targeting

signal recognition and, by extension, organellar proteome prediction. Up to now, plastid

proteomes have been determined in only a handful of organisms, mainly plants and

green algae (van Wijk & Baginsky 2011; Terashima et al. 2011; Dorrell et al. 2017), but

also a handful of complex algae and protist parasites (Hopkins et al. 2012; Boucher et al.

2018). Similarly, mitochondrial proteomic data are rather scarce and focused on model

organisms, such as humans (Calvo & Mootha 2010; Palmfeldt & Bross 2017), yeast

(Gonczarowska-Jorge et al. 2017), plants (Huang et al. 2013), and protists (Smith et al.

2007; Atteia et al. 2009; Panigrahi et al. 2009; Danne et al. 2013; Gawryluk et al. 2014).

The aim of the work is to define and characterize the subcellular proteomes of

chromerids by bioinformatic tools with an emphasis on plastid- and mitochondrion-

destined proteins. For the analysis, we compiled sets of compartment-specific proteins

of *C. velia* and *V. brassicaformis* and optimized the performance of the ASAFind (Gruber

et al. 2015) prediction tool with the reference amino acid frequency matrices. Our

analyses bring first implications on carbon and nitrogen allocation among the plastid,

cytosol and mitochondria in chromerids, suggesting interplay of these compartments is

in place for efficient carbon metabolism under changing light and nutrient conditions.

This work also confirms biochemical peculiarities ancestrally shared with

apicomplexans and dinoflagellates, such as the lack of the canonical mitochondrial

pyruvate decarboxylase and cytosolic amino acid synthesis.

DATA SOURCES AND METHODS

The sequence data of the chromerid algae *Chromera velia* CCMP2878 and *Vitrella*

brassicaformis CCMP3155 were retrieved from CryptoDB (www.cryptodb.org, version

34). Additional transcriptomic data were retrieved from NCBI GenBank (Dorrell et al.

2014; Woehle et al. 2011) and MMETSP sequence databases (MMETSP0290 and

MMETSP1451, (Keeling et al. 2014; Cohen et al. 2016). The sequence data were

annotated using the information available at KEGG servers (Kanehisa et al. 2017) and

using the InterProScan annotation tool of Geneious (Jones et al. 2014; Kearse et al.

2012).

Plastid-targeted reference sequences were identified based on several lines of

evidence; a) the protein had a clear role in the plastid metabolism (in synthesis of

pigments and cofactors, or being a subunit of the photosynthetic machinery, etc.), with

an emphasis on filling the gaps between well-defined enzymatic steps; b) reassuring

sequence completeness, an N-terminal extension (40-80 amino acids) that could

possibly encode a bipartite targeting sequence preceded the mature protein (as

determined by InterProScan), though essentially no targeting sequence prediction was

employed to avoid circular reasoning (including predictor-positive proteins and using

them to evaluate this predictor); c) there was a phylogenetic relationship to another

plastid-targeted protein among chromerids or Apicomplexa (in case of ribosomal

proteins; Gupta et al. 2014). Mitochondrial references were compiled similarly, only the

N-terminal extension was found shorter. Cytosolic references lacked an extension and

secretory proteins had an identifiable role in the endomembrane system or at the

cytoplasmic membrane. Metabolic gaps were filled by targeted BLAST searches in the

genomic (CryptoDB) as well as transcriptomic data (CryptoDB, GenBank, MMETSP)

using known-function apicomplexan sequences and KEGG orthologs as queries.

To define the best tool for the subcellular localization of proteins, the sets of

reference sequences of *Chromera* and *Vitrella* were analyzed by prediction algorithms.

The tools tested were selected to be suitable for large-scale analyses and included:

TargetP (Emanuelsson et al. 2000), SignalP (v. 4.1) (Petersen et al. 2011), ASAFind

(Gruber et al. 2015), HECTAR v1.3 (Gschloessl et al. 2008), MultiLoc2 (Blum et al. 2009),

PrediSi (Hiller et al. 2004) and PredSL (Petsalaki et al. 2006). All the prediction

algorithms except HECTAR were run locally with default parameters; SignalP was run

with sensitive cutoff values (-u 0.3 -U 0.3). The sensitivity (proportion of recognized true

positives) and precision (proportion of positive results, also termed the positive

predictive value) of the prediction algorithms were compared, setting a certain

threshold specified for each of the predictors. Sensitivity was computed as:

(Eq.1)   *sensitivity = (true positives) / (true positives + false negatives)*

and positive predictive value was computed as:

(Eq.2)   *precision = (true positives) / (true positives + false positives).*

An optimal threshold would cover maximum positive proteins while including a low

number of false positives (proteins falsely predicted to the organelle in question).

Bit score-weighted matrices of amino acid positions surrounding the signal

cleavage sites were calculated separately for *C. velia* and *V. brassicaformis*, as described

by Crooks et al. (2004). Only cleavage sites which were consensually (by majority

agreement) predicted by PrediSi, PredSL, SignalP and ASAFind were considered. The

transit peptide sequence logos and frequency plots of plastid-targeted proteins from *C.*

velia and *V. brassicaformis* were created with WebLogo (Crooks et al. 2004;

http://weblogo.berkeley.edu/; version 2.8.2).

Where applicable, closest hits for proteins were found using BLAST against nr or

RefSeq databases, and using DIAMOND (Buchfink et al. 2014) against an in-house made

database consisting from sequences collected from NCBI, MMETSP (Keeling et al. 2014;

Cohen et al. 2016) and Ensembl Genomes (release 37; Kersey et al. 2016). Sequences

were aligned using MAFFT v.7 (Katoh & Standley 2013) and automatically trimmed by

trimAL (Capella-Gutiérrez et al. 2009). Maximum likelihood trees were inferred from the

trimmed alignments using the best-fitting substitution model as determined by the IQ-

TREE –m TEST option limited to LG matrix by -mset (Nguyen et al. 2015). Branch

supports were determined by rapid bootstrapping followed by 1,000 ultra-fast

bootstrap replicates (-bb 1000).

RESULTS

Sequence completeness and reference compilation

During the annotation and alignment of reference proteins, we noticed that some

contigs retrieved from CryptoDB (Woo et al. 2015) are apparently truncated at their 5'-

ends. The sequence data appear gene-rich but are still highly fragmented, with 5,966

and 1,064 genomic scaffolds assembled for *C. velia* and *V. brassicaformis*, respectively,

although the number of chromosomes in *C. velia* is estimated to be much smaller (Vazač

et al. 2017). Since it is essential to identify a protein's complete N-terminus to predict its

localization to the plastid or to mitochondria (see Introduction), we pursued an

independent assessment of N-termini completeness. We searched for (almost) identical

transcripts in chromerid transcriptomes available in GenBank and MMETSP and used

these contigs to extend those from CryptoDB towards their 5'-end, where possible.

While these fused contigs are indeed chimeras of orthologs from different strains (the

transcripts were not completely identical), we assume they code for *bona fide* N-termini

in all these sequenced strains of *C. velia* and *V. brassicaformis*. These chimeric contigs are

for clear distinction marked in the reference sequence list (Supplementary Table S1).

Other, apparently truncated contigs were omitted from the reference sets.

We performed a systematic search of housekeeping and metabolically active

proteins to obtain the reference sets from *C. velia* and *V. brassicaformis* data. To find

plastid references, we searched for pathways intimately linked with their biogenesis and

photosynthesis, including the biosynthesis of fatty acids (type II FAS) and lipids, iron-

sulfur clusters, terpenoids and terpenoid derivatives (photosynthetic pigments,

vitamins), tetrapyrrole cofactors, protein translocator subunits and components of the

photosynthetic electron transport chain (recently characterized by Sobotka et al. 2017)

(Fig. 1), and the enzymes of carbon fixation (Fig. 2). Among mitochondrial pathways, we

looked for the enzymes of the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle and the components of the

respiratory chain (Flegontov et al. 2015) (Fig. 2). From pathways localized in the

cytosol, we identified the enzymes of glycolysis, storage amylopectin biosynthesis and

breakdown (Coppin et al. 2005), and the enzymes of the pentose phosphate cycle that

we distinguished from the enzymes of the plastid carbon fixation by their N-terminal

extensions (Fig. 2). Using phylogenetic analyses (not shown), we also identified

ribosomal proteins from all three translationally active compartments (Gupta et al.

2014). We also included biosynthesis pathways of several amino acids and other

compounds, where enzymatic steps showed consistent localization (Fig. 2). Organellar

genome-encoded proteins were dropped from the reference sets (Supplementary

Table 1). In a scarcity of experimental data, we believe this is the most reliable approach

to compile reference sequences.

[insert Fig. 1]

Though most of the searched pathways are near-complete, we failed to identify

representatives of some enzymatic steps in the available transcriptomic and genomic

data. These include the acyl-[acyl carrier protein (ACP)] ligase (in both chromerids) and

two lipid phosphatases of the glycero(phospho)lipid biosynthesis (phosphatidate

phosphatase in *C. velia*, phosphatidylglycerophosphatase in *V. brassicaformis*), several

enzymes of the carotenoid (neoxanthin synthase), terpenoid-quinone (tocopherol

cyclase), and amino acid biosynthesis pathways (undescribed steps of Lys and Pro

synthesis), and one enzyme of the pentose phosphate cycle (cytosolic ribose 5-

phosphate isomerase; Fig. 1, Fig. 2). Some of these steps are possibly catalyzed by

distantly related enzymes that were not recognized by our searches, but other absences

may be of biological relevance. Ribose 5-phosphate isomerase is necessary to recycle

ribulose 5-phosphate, but a cytosolic isoform was not recovered, and maybe

alternatively spliced transcripts encode for a truncated, non-plastid-targeted protein.

Similarly, the final steps of fucoxanthin biosynthesis are missing. Although there are

biochemical data that *Chromera* and *Vitrella*, respectively, accumulate (iso)fucoxanthin

and vaucheriaxanthin – both derivates of neoxanthin – as accessory photosynthetic

pigments (Moore et al. 2008; Oborník et al. 2012), neoxanthin synthase was not found in

our data, while the last enzymes of the respective pathways are unknown (Mikami &

Hosokawa 2013). Plant neoxanthin synthase is a neofunctionalized lycopene cyclase

(Bouvier et al. 2000), opening the possibility that a promiscuous activity of the latter

enzyme is responsible for neoxanthin synthesis in chromerids. In comparison, the

absence of acyl-ACP synthase might be compensated if fatty acids are not released from

the bond with the ACP but rather directly used for lipid synthesis (Bisanz et al. 2006).

Alternatively, intermediate glycerolipids (diacylglycerol esterified with fatty acids of

various length and saturation) could be imported from other cell compartments (Jouhet

et al. 2007).

[insert Fig. 2]

Prediction performance

ASAFind and HECTAR (Gruber et al. 2015; Gschloessl et al. 2008) were designed

to predict the BTS of plastid proteins in complex algae, particularly in heterokonts.

ASAFind identifies plastid proteins based on the output of SignalP (Petersen et al. 2011)

and a sliding-window scan for the highly conserved Phe residue around the predicted

cleavage site. HECTAR uses a combination of predictors in three decision modules and

aims to classify proteins based on presence of four types of targeting modules: signal

peptides, type II signal anchors, chloroplast transit peptides, and mitochondrial transit

peptides. To predict the mTP, several predictors are available. These include TargetP,

MultiLoc2, and HECTAR (Emanuelsson et al. 2000; Blum et al. 2009; Gschloessl et al.

2008).

Both ASAFind and HECTAR were specifically trained on stramenopile sequences,

though ASAFind offers the possibility to use an alternative bit score matrix derived from

a training set. TargetP and MultiLoc2 were trained using plant and animal sequences.

Having reference dataset at hand, we could test the performance of these algorithms on

chromerid datasets, which has been unknown. Our results are summarized in Table 1

(full results in Supplementary Table S2). Mitochondrial predictors offered only

moderate sensitivity and precision, with *V. brassicaformis* sequences being better

resolved. We defined two thresholds for each predictor, one having higher sensitivity

(around 75 %), the other more selective (with around 85 % precision). HECTAR offers

higher precision with the 75%-level sensitivity compared to other mitochondrial

predictors (Supplementary Fig. S3). With plastid sequences, ASAFind and HECTAR

performed comparably, having both high sensitivity and precision. However, it must be

noted that the threshold for HECTAR is very low and most of our plastid controls were

marked as "secretory proteins" by this predictor. Our datasets might be over-fit by

lacking enough endomembrane system proteins as negative controls, therefore

precision is expected to drop with broader reference sets. We also used our plastid

references to derive bit score-weighted matrices of amino acids flanking the cleavage

site independently for *C. velia* and *V. brassicaformis* (as in Gruber et al. 2015). Using this

matrix, we could further improve the performance of ASAFind (Table 1). This suggests

that indeed chromerid plastid-targeting presequences differ from those of

stramenopiles, but possibly also from each other.

[insert Table 1]

To visualize the amino acid enrichment around the signal cleavage site, we

created logo-plots of amino acid frequencies in this motif in chromerids. We found that

both chromerids have a conserved Phe residue just after the cleavage site, though in *C.*

velia the Phe motif is more frequently found (Fig. 3).

[insert Fig. 3]

Plastid housekeeping

Most of the enzymes we expected to localize in chromerid plastids are directly or

indirectly related to photosynthesis. These include the photosystems core subunits, the

proteins of light-harvesting antennae and the photosynthetic electron transport chain,

but also insertase proteins TAT, SEC and SRP/Alb3 that embed these factors in the

thylakoid membrane (Fig. 2, discussed in further detail by Sobotka et al. 2017).

Furthermore, we detected the many enzymatic steps that produce photosystems

cofactors and accessory pigments (Fig. 1). Tetrapyrrole synthesis is one of the prime

biochemical pathways of plastids, since heme is a vital component to the electron

transport chain and retrograde plastid signaling, while chlorophyll is a fundamental

cofactor in light harvesting complexes (de Souza et al., 2017). In *C. velia*, the tetrapyrrole

pathway starts curiously with the delta-aminolevulinic acid (ALA) synthesis from

glycine and succinyl-CoA in the mitochondrion, but the rest of the pathway is predicted

to reside in the plastid (Kořený et al. 2011). This feature is shared by *V. brassicaformis*

and indeed supported by our results (Fig. 1). Heme is also required for the function of

cytosolic and mitochondrial hemoproteins (e.g., respiratory chain components), so it is

exported from the chromerid plastid, as there is apparently no tetrapyrrole synthesis

activity in other compartments besides ALA synthesis.

Chlorophyll *a* (Chl *a*) is the only chlorophyll species employed by chromerids

(Moore et al. 2008). During the last step of Chl *a* synthesis, a terpenoid (phytyl) moiety is

attached to the Mg^{2+}-coordinated tetrapyrrole, chlorophyllide *a*. Phytyl diphosphate is

synthesized from methyl-D-erythritol 4-phosphate (MEP) and the pathway also resides

in the chromerid plastid. The alternative cytosolic (mevalonate) pathway for terpenoid

biosynthesis is missing, but terpenoid diphosphates are apparently exported from the

plastid for further elongation by cytosolic and mitochondrial polyprenyl diphosphate

synthases to produce, among others, ubiquinone for the mitochondrial respiratory chain

(Supplementary Table S1, Imlay & Odom 2014).

Terpenoid derivatives include carotenoids and terpenoid quinones. Carotenoids

violaxanthin and (iso)fucoxanthin are accessory photosynthetic pigments involved in

light-harvesting, and the de-epoxidation of violaxanthin was suggested to be

fundamental for photoprotection in *C. velia* (Kotabová et al. 2011). Plastoquinol is an

electron transport chain electron mediator, while tocopherol has a protective role in

oxidative stress (Müller & Kappes 2007). The plastid localization of these pathways

therefore conditions the photosynthetic abilities of chromerids.

Fatty acid and lipid synthesis are important for the generation of plastid

membranes and the modulation of their physicochemical properties. Chromerid plastids

house the type II fatty acid synthesis pathway that is fed with acetyl-CoA by the plastid

pyruvate dehydrogenase complex (Foth et al. 2005). The produced acyl-ACP molecules

may then be directly esterified with glycerol 3-phosphate to become intermediates of

glycerolipid synthesis. Galactolipids of the mono- and digalactosyl-diacylglycerolipid

groups (MGDG and DGDG) are major lipids of plastids in *C. velia* (Botté et al. 2011),

probably required for proper embedding of photosystems into the thylakoid

membranes but possibly also involved in plastid protein translocation (Schleiff et al.

2003). Their biosynthesis is limited to plastids in both *C. velia* and *V. brassicaformis*, as

the respective protein contain plastid-targeting presequences (Fig. 1).

Flavin cofactors are critical for multiple enzymes of the TCA cycle, fatty acid

oxidation, photosynthesis, respiratory chain, and *de novo* pyrimidine biosynthesis. In

chromerids, riboflavin is synthesized in the plastid from a Calvin cycle intermediate,

ribulose 5-phosphate, and flavin nucleotides are then supposedly exported from the

plastid (Fig. 2).

Iron-sulfur clusters are components of redox proteins and in plastids, they

function as the prosthetic group of the cytochrome b_6f and the ferredoxin redox relay

that signals the plastid redox state to downstream enzymes. Plastid iron-sulfur clusters

are typically synthesized by the SUF system, using ferrous ions and cysteine-derived

sulfur as substrates. Both Cys synthesis and SUF system are found in chromerid plastids

(Fig. 1), suggesting that plastid client proteins have regular access to Fe-S clusters.

Unexpectedly, only few enzymes of amino acid biosynthesis localize to plastids in

C. velia and *V. brassicaformis*. We could reliably predict that only three enzymes of Cys

synthesis reside in the plastid, but this amino acid is directly metabolized by the SUF

system to assemble Fe-S clusters. Strikingly, plant chloroplasts synthesize several amino

acids (Lys, Arg, Ala, Trp, Tyr, Phe; Van Dingenen et al. 2016) and participate on nitrogen

assimilation with the glutamine synthase / glutamine oxoglutarate aminotransferase

(GS/GOGAT) cycle. Apparently, chromerid plastids are much less involved in nitrogen

metabolism than previously studied systems (see below).

In summary, chromerid plastids are well-equipped for the biogenesis and

maintenance of the photosynthetic machinery. In addition, these organelles prime fatty

acid and terpenoid biosynthesis by the formation of short-chain intermediates (short-

chain saturated fatty acids and monoterpenoid diphosphates), that are however

exported for further processing. Heme is another crucial compound produced in this

compartment. All these processes seem closely coupled to photosynthesis and,

importantly, to carbon fixation by the Calvin cycle, which will be overviewed in the next

section.

Compartment interplay

Calvin cycle is the very center of the second phase of photosynthesis; it utilizes

ATP and NADPH produced by the light-dependent reactions to fix carbon dioxide into

carbohydrates. We identified in chromerids all the enzymes of the cycle, discriminating

them from the cytosolic carbohydrate metabolism variants by their N-terminal

extensions having BTS characteristics. For three molecules of CO_2, the Calvin cycle

produces one triose phosphate molecule (dihydroxyacetone phosphate – DHAP, or 3-

phosphoglycerate) that can be metabolized by other pathways (see above), exported to

the cytosol or reintroduced to the cycle to produce sugar phosphates with more carbons.

Triose phosphates enter the cytosol via the triose phosphate transporters, and

those identified in *Plasmodium falciparum* prefer DHAP and phospho*enol*pyruvate (PEP)

as substrates, while also accepting 3-phosphoglycerate (Lim et al. 2010). Upon the entry

of triose phosphates to the cytosol, they can take two major paths, depending on the

metabolic state. Gluconeogenetic pathway leads to the accumulation of storage

saccharides (amylopectin), while glycolysis yields pyruvate, a hub compound for both

anabolic and catabolic reactions. Generally, favorable and illuminated growth of algae

promote storage and anabolic pathways, while dark growth and starvation promote

spending of sugar phosphates in the TCA cycle and respiration.

We found enzymes involved in all processes of polysaccharide accumulation and

degradation (Coppin et al. 2005). Some of the storage sugar enzymes encode signal

peptides or transmembrane domains, suggestive of their extracellular or membrane-

associated activity. This is consistent with chromerids forming a thick cellulose cell-wall

(Moore et al. 2008; Oborník et al. 2012; Füssy & Oborník 2017a).

The Calvin cycle is not only a source of triose phosphates for cytosolic

glycolysis/gluconeogenesis, but also of pentose phosphates that are the starting

substrates for nucleotide (ribose 5-phosphate), amino acid (ribose 5-phosphate and

erythrose 4-phosphate), and vitamin synthesis (ribulose 5-phosphate). In this respect it

complements the cytosolic pentose phosphate cycle that also provides these sugar

phosphates. Based on our predictions, the plastid ribulose 5-phosphate pool serves as

the substrate for flavin nucleotide synthesis, while cytosolic ribulose 5-phosphate is

used for pyridoxin synthesis. Notably, we could not find any cytosolic 5-phosphoribosyl-

1-pyrophosphate (PRPP) synthases that would provide PRPP for the synthesis of His,

Trp and nucleotides in this compartment. Two pairs of orthologs are found in

chromerids. Each of these sequences has a presequence, though only two orthologs have

BTS (the other pair have mTP-like N-termini and were discarded from predictions due

to uncertain localization; Supplementary Table S1). Comparison with PRPP synthase

sequences from apicomplexans revealed that some of those too have predicted

presequences (including both *Toxoplasma gondii* ME49 paralogs), but proteins having

these presequences do not cluster together (Supplementary Table S1). The localization

of PRPP synthases is therefore questionable and might be achieved by alternative

splicing.

Other anabolic reactions also stem from the cytosolic pool of sugar phosphates.

Biosynthesis of most amino acids in chromerids depends on glycolysis and pentose

phosphate cycle intermediates. Cys, Met and Ser derive from 3-phosphoglycerate, while

Phe, Trp and Tyr are synthesized from erythrose 4-phosphate via chorismate, and His is

a derivative of PRPP (but see above). Ala, Arg, Asp, Asn, Lys, Pro and Thr derive from 2-

oxoglutarate (2-OG) transaminated to form Glu by the GS/GOGAT cycle (Fig. 2). Notably,

the cytosolic 2-OG pool for GS/GOGAT cycle exists by the action of cytosolic copies of

TCA cycle enzymes (Fig. 2, Supplementary Table S1). Chromerid plastids do not

principally participate in the amino acid biosynthesis, with a few exceptions. A part of

the Arg pathway (ornithine synthesis) is predicted to localize in the plastid, which might

be a rate-limiting, regulatory measure on ornithine production via dependence on

stromal ATP levels. This is not unexpected, as in plants Arg synthesis is regulated at the

level of N-acetylglutamine phosphorylation (Ferrario-Méry et al. 2006). Notably,

chromerids utilize argJ (Supplementary Table S1), which is a glutamate transacetylating

enzyme that allows to recycle N-acetylglutamate after the production of ornithine. This

reaction does not produce free acetate and thus does not require additional ATP for

acetyl-CoA recycling. In *Plasmodium*, *Cryptosporidium* and *Eimeria*, Arg (via ornithine) is

used for polyamine synthesis (Cook et al. 2007) but this pathway shows cytosolic

localization congruently in apicomplexans and chromerids (Supplementary Table S1;

Shanmugasundram et al. 2013). Gly may be produced by glycine

hydroxymethyltransferase and threonine aldolase in mitochondria, cytosol, and plastids

(Supplementary Table S1), reflecting its involvement in multiple pathways as a reaction

intermediate (for plastid formylmethionine and mitochondrial ALA synthesis, for

instance). There is some incongruence about the localization of Ile, Leu and Val

synthesis among chromerids, as *V. brassicaformis* appears to localize Val and Ile

biosynthesis to both mitochondria and plastid while Leu biosynthesis is cytosolic. In

comparison, all these pathway steps are cytosolic in *C. velia*. Chromerid plastids thus

appear to have minor roles in amino acid biosynthesis.

Both catabolic and anabolic reactions were found to take place in the chromerid

mitochondria, though they are missing some common components. Both apicomplexans

and chromerids ancestrally lack the respiratory complex I (and *C. velia* also lacks

complex III) and utilize alternative NADH dehydrogenases that pass electrons to

ubiquinone but do not contribute to the proton gradient (Fig. 2, Flegontov et al. 2015).

Like Apicomplexa, chromerids also lack a canonical mitochondrial pyruvate

dehydrogenase and instead take advantage of the promiscuous activity of the branched-

chain amino acid dehydrogenase (BKCDH) (Foth et al. 2005; Danne et al. 2013;

Oppenheim et al. 2014). The NAD^+-dependent isocitrate dehydrogenase is missing and

replaced by an $NADP^+$-dependent isozyme, which could be linked to the loss of the

canonical NADH dehydrogenase (respiratory complex I). $NADP^+$-dependent isocitrate

dehydrogenase might in turn support the activity of NADPH-dependent enzymes (Danne

et al. 2013). This is notable because in mammals both NAD^+ and $NADP^+$ isocitrate

dehydrogenases are operational, the latter typically in reverse (reductive) direction

(Sazanov & Jackson 1994; Yoo et al. 2008). In contrast with apicomplexans, both

fumarate hydratase types are present in chromerids (apicomplexans express only type I;

Bulusu et al. 2011), while an ortholog of the conserved apicomplexan malate:quinone

oxidoreductase is missing (Danne et al. 2013). Chromerids localize to mitochondria

several enzymes of amino acid decomposition (Supplementary Table S1), suggesting

that at least some catabolic pathways feed into the mitochondrial metabolism. A set of

lactate dehydrogenases in chromerids allow fermentation of pyruvate to lactate under

temporary dark anaerobic conditions (Flegontov et al. 2015; Oborník & Lukeš 2015) and

participate in methylglyoxal detoxication (Cordeiro et al. 2012). Furthermore, the

mitochondrial metabolism is equipped with malic enzyme (decarboxylating malate

dehydrogenase), which allows the regeneration of pyruvate for anabolic reactions when

the cycle is fed by fatty acid beta-oxidation. Therefore, mitochondria are well-integrated

in the chromerid carbon metabolism in both catabolic and anabolic directions.

Carbon metabolism also affects the synthesis rates of nitrogen pathways. The

GS/GOGAT cycle is responsible for nitrogen (ammonia) assimilation into amino acids in

most phototrophs. In chromerids, GOGAT is an NADH-dependent cytosolic enzyme, and

the restriction of amino acid pathways to the cytosol suggests that they are decoupled

from the redox state of the plastid and rather reflect the redox state of the cytosol

(Fig. 2). This also suggests that the plastids of chromerids are not as deeply involved in

primary metabolism as the plastids of primary algae and plants by lacking the ability to

synthesize amino acids and polysaccharides. Instead, plastid activity is crucial in lower

carbohydrate and fatty acid metabolism and appears to be sensed indirectly, through

the supply of triose phosphates. Under favorable conditions, the cytosol is fed with

photosynthetic sugar phosphates, and reducing agents and ATP are produced by

glycolysis. This promotes anabolic reactions that allow the accumulation of

polysaccharides and production of amino acids and lipids. In the dark or under nutrient

scarcity, the shortage of energy must be compensated by the reactions of the TCA cycle

and respiration in mitochondria. Unfortunately, there are no published large-scale

quantitative data suitable for tracing in more detail the metabolic flows through the

described pathways.

DISCUSSION

The physicochemical character of mitochondrial and plastid targeting

presequences remains quite similar across long evolutionary distances among

eukaryotes. Still, the predicting power of localization algorithms decreases with

divergence between the reference and the analyzed sets. Prediction algorithms perform

best if trained using lineage-specific datasets, usually based on available experimental

data (e.g. (Emanuelsson et al. 2007; Kaundal et al. 2013; Gruber et al. 2015).

Consistently, plastid-localization signals show specific variability among algal clades

(Patron & Waller 2007). Although many tools have been implemented to determine

mitochondrion- and plastid-localized proteins in *C. velia* and *V. brassicaformis* (Kořený et

al. 2011; Woehle et al. 2011; Petersen et al. 2014; Flegontov et al. 2015; Woo et al. 2015;

Sobotka et al. 2017), none of them have been tested on reference proteins in terms of

predictive power. To find a suitable tool to predict protein localization in chromerids,

we prepared a manually curated inventory of references that included proteins from

plastid, mitochondrion, and several other compartments, as negative controls. To date,

two works (Flegontov et al. 2015; Sobotka et al. 2017) have investigated the metabolism

of chromerids on organellar level, and only the latter work supports the localization of

analyzed (plastid) proteins with proteomic data. Our dataset included sequences of

typical plastid-targeted proteins as well as proteins with unambiguous localization to

mitochondria and other compartments, conserved in other eukaryotic lineages. To

ensure that our sequences are largely complete at their N-termini, we used protein

models generated by two independent sequencing initiatives, EuPathDB (deposited at

CryptoDB; (Woo et al. 2015) and MMETSP (Keeling et al. 2014).

We analyzed the performance of several algorithms based on their sensitivity

(percentage of true positive sequences passing a threshold) and precision (percentage

of false positives in the set passing the same threshold). For plastid proteomes, ASAFind

using a species-specific bit score matrix was found to be the most efficient for each

chromerid species. The sensitivity of ASAFind with *Phaeodactylum tricornutum*

sequences was comparable to our results (80%; Gruber et al. 2015). With mitochondrial

references, we could not observe predictive differences for *V. brassicaformis* sequences.

With *C. velia* datasets, HECTAR was more precise at higher sensitivity levels, and

MultiLoc2 could not reach an 85% precision (Table 1). TargetP performed similarly to

HECTAR (TargetP is indeed part of HECTAR's mitochondrial module) and is widely used

for finding mitochondria-targeted genes with sensitivity around 60-75% (depending on

the model and reliability class) in various organisms (Emanuelsson et al. 2007),

including the chromerids (Kořený et al. 2011; Flegontov et al. 2015; Woo et al. 2015).

This accuracy is also relatively lower because a portion of mitochondrial proteins use

alternative routes or signals for translocation to this organelle (Sun & Habermann

2017). Several of our plastid and mitochondrial reference sequences were recovered as

false negatives not passing the probability threshold. Indeed, 136 of the 1,141 reference

sequences had alternative open reading frames or were unannotated and had to be

manually adjusted using homology annotation, alignments and phylogenetic analyses

(Supplementary Table S1). For instance, we could not obtain consistent localizations for

the enzymes of the MEP pathway that is thought to be exclusively plastid-localized

(Fig. 1). With untreated data, the false negative discovery rate would be much higher,

leading to orphan enzymes predicted to unexpected compartments. This points out the

problems with automated analyses – there is an essential need for highly complete

sequence data, which worsens large-scale predictions in the chromerids.

Although targeting presequences are generally not generally not conserved on

sequence level, the conservation of amino acids flanking the BTS cleavage sites was

found to be crucial for proper plastid targeting (Gruber et al. 2007). Proteins targeted to

the rhodophyte-derived complex plastids generally expose an invariant Phe at their N-

terminus after signal peptide cleavage (Gould et al. 2006; Patron & Waller 2007). Based

on our frequency matrices, in *Vitrella* plastid-targeted proteins the Phe motif is not

strictly conserved, while most plastid-targeted proteins of *Chromera* do possess this Phe

(Fig. 3). This suggests there is some versatility of the translocation machineries in

chromerids. Our observations are similar to the results of Woehle et al. (2011), although

the frequency of Phe in their *C. velia* dataset appears higher. AT richness above 57 %

was shown to correlate with a shift in amino acid composition of transit peptides

towards AT-rich codons (Ralph et al. 2004) but the difference in the GC percentage of

the plastid reference transcripts in chromerids appears unlikely to be the cause for a

diminished Phe (53.0 % and 59.6 % GC in *C. velia* and *V. brassicaformis*, respectively).

Lastly, we cannot exclude the possibility that mis-identified cleavage sites or mis-

assembled transcripts in our data affected the amino acid frequencies. Nevertheless, the

Phe motif is less strongly retained in *Toxoplasma* and apparently absent in *Plasmodium*

apicoplast-targeted proteins (Patron & Waller 2007), consistent with our results. In

addition, not all rhodophyte-derived lineages retain a high percentage of plastid-

targeted proteins with the Phe; despite Phe occurs predominantly in cryptophytes

(*Guillardia theta*) and heterokonts (*Thalassiosira pseudonana* and *Phaeodactylum*

tricornutum), haptophytes apparently do not rely on Phe in their transit peptide

presequences (Kilian & Kroth 2005; Patron & Waller 2007; Gruber et al. 2015). Phe

motif is also absent from the transit peptides of chlorophyte-derived algae (Patron &

Waller 2007).

The pathways that localize into plastids are typically associated with

photosynthesis. We identified enzymes responsible for the synthesis of tetrapyrroles,

terpenoids, carotenoids, lipids, iron-sulfur clusters and carbohydrates, hence

compounds required for the proper assembly and function of the photosynthetic

machinery. Our findings are consistent with previous biochemical analyses, showing

that chromerids have a limited set of photosynthetic pigments (Moore et al. 2008;

Kotabová et al. 2011) and that they exhibit unique structural changes to the

photosystems (Sobotka et al. 2017). We show that terpenoid and lipid pathways are

primed with substrates produced by the plastid carbohydrate metabolism (DHAP,

pyruvate, and PEP) and linked with the rate of carbon fixation by substrate availability

(Fig. 1). This is not unprecedented, as plant chloroplasts show a similar arrangement in

photosynthetically active and inactive plastids (e.g. Neuhaus and Emes 2000).

Nevertheless, plastid products might be essential beyond photosynthesis, which

is best illustrated by comparison of algae with relatives that lost photosynthetic abilities

(e.g., Hadariová et al. 2018). Indeed, the biology of non-photosynthetic plastids has been

best studied in apicomplexans to find suitable weak-spots of these infamous parasites.

The apicoplast produces fatty acids and terpenoids and participates in heme synthesis

to sustain parasite growth in hosts where salvage of these compounds is not possible

(reviewed by van Dooren and Striepen 2013). This dependence on a remnant plastid can

be regarded as an evolutionary constraint that cannot be easily overcome once parallel

pathways in the cytosol or mitochondria are lost (though losses of plastids occasionally

happen, see Füssy & Oborník 2018; Oborník 2018). Consistent with this view,

apicomplexans lack apicoplast-independent pathways for terpenoid (via mevalonate),

fatty acid (using type I fatty acid synthase, or FASI) and tetrapyrrole synthesis. Some

apicomplexans appear to possess FASI-like enzymes, though functional analyses suggest

that *Cryptosporidum* FASI is not involved in *de novo* fatty acid synthesis and rather

accepts long-chain fatty acyl thioesters as substrates for elongation (Zhu et al. 2004).

The importance of *Toxoplasma* FASI remains unclear, while other apicomplexan FASI-

like enzymes might be involved in polyketide synthesis (Kohli et al. 2016).

The photosynthetic relatives to apicomplexans, chromerids are also likely to lack

cytosolic pathways for fatty acid, terpenoid and tetrapyrrole synthesis and thus rely

entirely on the plastid synthesis. In addition, chromerid plastids synthesize FAD

cofactors and a nitrogen metabolism intermediate, ornithine (see below). Conversely,

chromerid plastids do not host any fatty acid elongases or desaturases, therefore short-

chain fatty acids need to be exported, processed and reimported for incorporation into

plastid lipids (Botté et al. 2011). This is analogous to the fatty acid synthesis architecture

in apicomplexans (Mazumdar & Striepen 2007). Similarly, chromerid tetrapyrrole

biosynthesis relies on the import of the starting substrate, ALA, from the cytosol

(Kořený et al. 2011). Therefore, chromerid plastids pathways are interdependent with

the cytosol, pointing out possible feedback regulatory mechanisms to limit their

biosynthetic activity.

In the dark, the reduced triose phosphate supply from the chromerid plastid must

be counterbalanced by catabolic reactions of the mitochondrial TCA cycle and

respiration. The chromerid TCA cycle and respiratory chain represent modifications to

the canonical mitochondrial pathways (see Results). The list of chromerid mitochondrial

enzymes is largely shared with parasitic apicomplexans (Flegontov et al. 2015; Jacot et

al. 2016). As yet, it is unclear what impact this arrangement has on the metabolism of

photoautotrophic organisms, but it stands out that through the TCA cycle chromerid

mitochondria are metabolically versatile and integrate catabolic pathways with enzymes

priming anabolic reactions and enzymes typically associated with anaerobiosis

(Flegontov et al. 2015). Intracellular stages of *Toxoplasma gondii* actively catabolize host

glucose via the oxidative TCA cycle to produce energy efficiently (MacRae et al. 2012). In

comparison, for asexual stages of *Plasmodium*, purine salvage from oxaloacetate is vital,

while the importance of the TCA cycle is diminished (Bulusu et al. 2011; Ke et al. 2015).

Similarly, cytosolic ATP citrate lyase contributes to acetyl-CoA production in *T. gondii*

(Tymoshenko et al. 2015). As such, chromerid mitochondria are likely to have major

influence on metabolic fluxes in the cell.

We observed that amino acid biosynthesis pathways consistently showed

cytosolic localizations, with minor exceptions. This is probably not due to mis-

annotation as we could not extend the sequences towards an alternative N-terminus in

most cases. Chromerid plastids thus appear to host only parts of Cys and Arg synthesis,

suggesting that algae do not necessarily synthesize amino acids in plastids as plants do

(Van Dingenen et al. 2016). While plastid Cys synthesis is required by the Fe-S cluster

assembly system SUF, the plastid production of ornithine for Arg biosynthesis might

have a regulatory role in chromerids. Indeed, nitrogen metabolism is energy-demanding

and subject to intense cross-talk with carbon metabolism, and plastids play a crucial role

in balancing the fluxes (Stitt 2002; Németh et al. 2018). As yet, the primarily cytosolic

localization of nitrogen metabolism in chromerids appears extraordinary among

phototrophs (Allen et al. 2011; Bromke 2013; de la Torre et al. 2013; Dorrell et al. 2017).

Of studied apicomplexans, *Toxoplasma* has the broadest capacity to produce amino acids

de novo or secondarily from specific precursors, being auxotrophic only for Arg, His and

Trp (Chaudhary et al. 2014; Tymoshenko et al. 2015). Although the middle steps of Pro

and Lys synthesis are currently unknown (Shanmugasundram et al. 2013), none of these

amino acid synthesis pathways appears to be placed exclusively in the apicoplast, in line

with our results. Arg scavenging from outer sources might have therefore triggered the

loss of the ornithine cycle in the ancestor of apicomplexans. Reliance on amino acid

import from the host resulted in even greater reduction of amino acid synthesis

capabilities. Thus, *Plasmodium* synthesizes six amino acids (Gly, Glu, Gln, Pro, Asp, Asn),

while *Cryptosporidium,* which entirely lacks an apicoplast (Keithly et al. 2000;

Chaudhary et al. 2014), synthesizes only Gly, Glu and Pro. It is tempting to speculate that

the major role of the cytosol in amino acid synthesis facilitated the plastid loss in a

distantly related non-photosynthetic dinoflagellate, *Hematodinium* (Gornik et al. 2015).

In apicomplexans, indeed, the main source of energy is glycolysis of host-drawn

glucose, but the parasites appear to use the same carbohydrate subpathways as

chromerids. These include the compartment exchange of triose phosphates via

phosphate transporters (Lim et al. 2010), parallel cytosolic and plastid glycolysis to

pyruvate (Fleige et al. 2007), cytosolic accumulation of storage polysaccharides (Coppin

et al. 2005) and a notable relocation of the canonical pyruvate dehydrogenase in the

apicoplast to feed the fatty acid synthesis (Foth et al. 2005; Fleige et al. 2007). There are

also obvious commonalities in the arrangement of mitochondrial metabolism, including

the modifications of the canonical TCA cycle, the respiratory chain, and the anabolic

reactions of mitochondria. As a previous comparative analysis of mitochondrial

metabolism of apicomplexans and dinoflagellates showed, this arrangement is largely

shared among all myzozoans, and likely represents an ancestral state employed by the

dinoflagellate-apicomplexan progenitor (Danne et al. 2013).

The overall architecture of biosynthetic pathways in chromerids suggests that

their cytosol represents the compartment which integrates the cellular energy status.

Through metabolite flow it can directly regulate anabolic and catabolic reactions based

on photosynthate supply from the plastid and nutrient availability. Hypothetically, such

a central role for the cytosol could have been employed by a free-living unicellular

eukaryovore/algivore that ingests its prey into a food vacuole and gradually digests it,

much like the one we picture was the ancestor of Myzozoa (Tikhonenkov et al. 2014).

Indeed, also dinoflagellates employ a remarkable spectrum of trophic strategies

(reviewed in Waller & Kořený 2017). Despite this diversity, there are commonalities in

the arrangement of mitochondrial and plastid pathways among myzozoans (Danne et al.

2013; Gornik et al. 2015; Waller et al. 2016), pointing out that the cytosolic pyruvate

hub may be persisting through plastid endosymbiotic events.

The apparently ancestral potential to exploit external resources and the richness

of apicomplexan cell-surface transporters raises the question whether chromerids also

take considerable advantage of extracellular nutrients. Both chromerids were isolated as

coral-associated organisms (Moore et al. 2008; Oborník et al. 2012) and intriguing data

have been presented on mixotrophy of *C. velia* and its association with corals (Cumbo et

al. 2013; Foster et al. 2014; Mohamed et al. 2018). This question therefore needs to be

addressed in more detail, as it might present implications on the early evolution of

Apicomplexa. However, experimental data are currently unavailable to present an in-

depth metabolomic model for chromerids and we can merely compare our results with

those from other alveolates. Here we present a benchmark set of biochemical pathways

that can be investigated by quantitative or phylogenetic approaches for a deeper

understanding of one of the "alveolate ways" of trophic transition from photosynthetic

algae to obligatory parasites.

CONCLUSIONS

Chromerids occupy a pivotal position in the tree of alveolates and hold the key to

our understanding of transition to parasitism; they are free-living phototrophic algae

with relatively canonical chromosomes and branch sister to the apicomplexans. To

sketch a few more pathways on the metabolic map of chromerids, we prepared

reference datasets of plastid, cytosolic and mitochondrial enzymes. We have manually

curated these protein sequences so that most of them are complete, which is crucial for

correct predictions of their subcellular localization. We unveiled that chromerid plastids

vividly exchange compounds with the cytosol and mitochondria in order to produce

terpenoids, lipids and tetrapyrroles. In contrast, chromerid plastids appear to have only

a minor role in amino acid synthesis, as most of these pathways reside in the cytosol.

Uniquely, chromerids were found to use a plastid ornithine cycle combined with a

cytosolic Arg cycle for synthesis and decomposition of this amino acid. We outlined a

major hub represented by lower glycolysis and gluconeogenesis enzymes that appears

to regulate carbon and nitrogen metabolite flow depending on the photoactivity of the

plastid. When compared to apicomplexan metabolic pathways, our model confirms a

conserved architecture of carbon and nitrogen metabolism in these groups. Further

analyses are though needed to gain insight into the regulation of these pathways in

response to various cues. Using the suggested prediction tools, it is now also possible to

introduce more enzymatic steps to the picture.

ABBREVIATIONS: 2-OG – 2-oxoglutarate, ACP – acyl-carrier protein, ALA – delta-

aminolevulinic acid, BTS – bipartite (plastid) targeting signal, Chl *a* – chlorophyll *a*,

DGDG/MGDG – di-/monogalactosyl-diacylglycerolipid, DHAP – dihydroxyacetone

phosphate, Ery-4P – erythrose 4-phosphate, FAD – flavin adenine dinucleotide,

GS/GOGAT – glutamine synthase / glutamine oxoglutarate aminotransferase, IMS –

inter-membrane space, MEP – methyl-D-erythritol 4-phosphate, mTP – mitochondrial

transit peptide, OAA – oxaloacetate, orn – ornithine, PEP – phospho*enol*pyruvate, PQ –

plastoquinol, PRPP – 5-phosphoribosyl-1-pyrophosphate, pyr – pyruvate, Ru-5P –

ribulose 5-phosphate, TCA – tricarboxylic acid, THF - tetrahydrofolate, UQ - ubiquinone.

ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

Not applicable.

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Not applicable.

COMPETING INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

MO and ZF conceived the study. ZF and TF performed all the bioinformatic

analyses and prepared the figures and tables. ZF drafted the manuscript. MO acquired

funding. All authors edited the manuscript and approved its final form.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank the Czech Science Foundation (project 16-

24027S granted to MO) and the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) (No.

CZ.02.1.01/0.0/0.0/16_019/0000759) for funding. Computational resources from

MetaCentrum and CERIT-SC, Brno, Czech Republic, are greatly appreciated. We are

grateful to Ansgar Gruber for helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

Allen AE et al. 2011. Evolution and metabolic significance of the urea cycle in

photosynthetic diatoms. Nature. 473:203–207. doi: 10.1038/nature10074.

Atteia A et al. 2009. A proteomic survey of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* mitochondria

sheds new light on the metabolic plasticity of the organelle and on the nature of the α-

proteobacterial mitochondrial ancestor. Mol. Biol. Evol. 26:1533–1548. doi:

10.1093/molbev/msp068.

Bisanz C et al. 2006. *Toxoplasma gondii* acyl-lipid metabolism: *de novo* synthesis from

apicoplast-generated fatty acids versus scavenging of host cell precursors. Biochem. J.

394:197–205. doi: 10.1042/BJ20050609.

Blum T, Briesemeister S, Kohlbacher O. 2009. MultiLoc2: Integrating phylogeny and

Gene Ontology terms improves subcellular protein localization prediction. BMC

Bioinformatics. 10:274. doi: 10.1186/1471-2105-10-274.

Botté CY et al. 2011. Identification of plant-like galactolipids in *Chromera velia*, a

photosynthetic relative of malaria parasites. J. Biol. Chem. 286:29893–29903. doi:

10.1074/jbc.M111.254979.

Boucher MJ et al. 2018. Integrative proteomics and bioinformatic prediction enable a

high-confidence apicoplast proteome in malaria parasites. PLoS Biol. 16:1–29. doi:

10.1371/journal.pbio.2005895.

Bouvier F, Harlingue AD, Backhaus RA, Kumagai MH, Camara B. 2000. Identification of

neoxanthin synthase as a carotenoid cyclase paralog. Eur J Biochem. 267:6346–6352.

papers2://publication/uuid/4B30A843-FB08-4B02-AD19-FC30B447D8E4.

Bromke MA. 2013. Amino acid biosynthesis pathways in diatoms. Metabolites. 3:294–

311. doi: 10.3390/metabo3020294.

Buchfink B, Xie C, Huson DH. 2014. Fast and sensitive protein alignment using

DIAMOND. Nat. Methods. 12:59. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.3176.

Bulusu V, Jayaraman V, Balaram H. 2011. Metabolic fate of fumarate, a side product of

the purine salvage pathway in the intraerythrocytic stages of *Plasmodium falciparum*.

J. Biol. Chem. 286:9236–9245. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M110.173328.

Burki F et al. 2012. Re-evaluating the green versus red signal in eukaryotes with

secondary plastid of red algal origin. Genome Biol. Evol. 4:626–635. doi:

10.1093/gbe/evs049.

Calvo SE, Mootha VK. 2010. The mitochondrial proteome and human disease. Annu. Rev.

Genomics Hum. Genet. 11:25–44. doi: 10.1146/annurev-genom-082509-141720.

Capella-Gutiérrez S, Silla-Martínez JM, Gabaldón T. 2009. trimAl: A tool for automated

alignment trimming in large-scale phylogenetic analyses. Bioinformatics. 25:1972–

1973. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp348.

Chaudhary K, Fox BA, Bzik DJ. 2014. Comparative aspects of nucleotide and amino acid

metabolism in *Toxoplasma gondii* and other Apicomplexa. In: Toxoplasma gondii (2nd

Edition). Weiss, LM & Kim, K, editors. Elsevier pp. 663–706. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-

396481-6.00020-9.

Cohen L, Alexander H, Brown CT. 2016. Marine Microbial Eukaryotic Transcriptome

Sequencing Project, re-assemblies. figshare. 3840153.

Cook T et al. 2007. Divergent polyamine metabolism in the Apicomplexa. Microbiology.

153:1123–1130. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.2006/001768-0.

Coppin A et al. 2005. Evolution of plant-like crystalline storage polysaccharide in the

protozoan parasite *Toxoplasma gondii* argues for a red alga ancestry. J. Mol. Evol.

60:257–267. doi: 10.1007/s00239-004-0185-6.

Cordeiro C et al. 2012. The glyoxalase pathway in protozoan parasites. Int. J. Med.

Microbiol. 302:225–229. doi: 10.1016/j.ijmm.2012.07.005.

Crooks G, Hon G, Chandonia J, Brenner S. 2004. WebLogo: A sequence logo generator.

Genome Res. 14:1188–1190. doi: 10.1101/gr.849004.1.

Cumbo VR et al. 2013. *Chromera velia* is endosymbiotic in larvae of the reef corals

Acropora digitifera and *A. tenuis*. Protist. 164:237–244. doi:

10.1016/j.protis.2012.08.003.

Danne JC, Gornik SG, MacRae JI, McConville MJ, Waller RF. 2013. Alveolate mitochondrial

metabolic evolution: Dinoflagellates force reassessment of the role of parasitism as a

driver of change in apicomplexans. Mol. Biol. Evol. 30:123–139. doi:

10.1093/molbev/mss205.

Van Dingenen J, Blomme J, Gonzalez N, Inzé D. 2016. Plants grow with a little help from

their organelle friends. J. Exp. Bot. 67:6267–6281. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erw399.

van Dooren GG, Striepen B. 2013. The algal past and parasite present of the apicoplast.

Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 67:271–289. doi: 10.1146/annurev-micro-092412-155741.

Dorrell RG et al. 2017. Chimeric origins of ochrophytes and haptophytes revealed

through an ancient plastid proteome. eLife. 6:e23717. doi: 10.7554/eLife.23717.

Dorrell RG, Butterfield ER, Nisbet RER, Howe CJ. 2013. Evolution: Unveiling early

alveolates. Curr. Biol. 23:R1093–R1096. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2013.10.055.

Dorrell RG, Drew J, Nisbet RER, Howe CJ. 2014. Evolution of chloroplast transcript

processing in *Plasmodium* and its chromerid algal relatives Dutcher, SK, editor. PLoS

Genet. 10:e1004008. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1004008.

Emanuelsson O, Brunak S, von Heijne G, Nielsen H. 2007. Locating proteins in the cell

using TargetP, SignalP and related tools. Nat. Protoc. 2:953–971. doi:

10.1038/nprot.2007.131.

Emanuelsson O, Nielsen H, Brunak S, Von Heijne G. 2000. Predicting subcellular

localization of proteins based on their N-terminal amino acid sequence. J. Mol. Biol.

300:1005–1016. doi: 10.1006/jmbi.2000.3903.

Ferrario-Méry S, Besin E, Pichon O, Meyer C, Hodges M. 2006. The regulatory PII protein

controls arginine biosynthesis in *Arabidopsis*. FEBS Lett. 580:2015–2020. doi:

10.1016/j.febslet.2006.02.075.

Flegontov P et al. 2015. Divergent mitochondrial respiratory chains in phototrophic

relatives of apicomplexan parasites. Mol. Biol. Evol. 32:1115–1131. doi:

10.1093/molbev/msv021.

Fleige T, Fischer K, Ferguson DJP, Gross U, Bohne W. 2007. Carbohydrate metabolism in

the *Toxoplasma gondii* apicoplast: Localization of three glycolytic isoenzymes, the

single pyruvate dehydrogenase complex, and a plastid phosphate translocator.

Eukaryot. Cell. 6:984–996. doi: 10.1128/EC.00061-07.

Foster C, Portman N, Chen M, Šlapeta J. 2014. Increased growth and pigment content of

Chromera velia in mixotrophic culture. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 88:121–128. doi:

10.1111/1574-6941.12275.

Foth BJ et al. 2005. The malaria parasite *Plasmodium falciparum* has only one pyruvate

dehydrogenase complex, which is located in the apicoplast. Mol. Microbiol. 55:39–53.

doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2004.04407.x.

Füssy Z, Oborník M. 2017a. Chromerids and their plastids. In: Advances in Botanical

Research. Hirakawa, Y, editor. Vol. 84 pp. 187–218. doi: 10.1016/bs.abr.2017.07.001.

Füssy Z, Oborník M. 2018. Complex endosymbioses I: From primary to complex plastids,

multiple independent events. Methods Mol. Biol. 1829:17–35. doi: 10.1007/978-1-

4939-8654-5_2.

Füssy Z, Oborník M. 2017b. Reductive evolution of apicomplexan parasites from

phototrophic ancestors. In: Evolutionary Biology: Self/Nonself Evolution, Species and

Complex Traits Evolution, Methods and Concepts. Pontarotti, P, editor. Springer

International Publishing: Cham pp. 217–236. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-61569-1_12.

Garg SG, Gould SB. 2016. The role of charge in protein targeting evolution. Trends Cell

Biol. 26:894–905. doi: 10.1016/j.tcb.2016.07.001.

Gawryluk RMR, Chisholm KA, Pinto DM, Gray MW. 2014. Compositional complexity of

the mitochondrial proteome of a unicellular eukaryote (*Acanthamoeba castellanii*,

supergroup Amoebozoa) rivals that of animals, fungi, and plants. J. Proteomics.

109:400–416. doi: 10.1016/j.jprot.2014.07.005.

Gonczarowska-Jorge H, Zahedi RP, Sickmann A. 2017. The proteome of baker's yeast

mitochondria. Mitochondrion. 33:15–21. doi: 10.1016/j.mito.2016.08.007.

Gornik SG et al. 2015. Endosymbiosis undone by stepwise elimination of the plastid in a

parasitic dinoflagellate. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 112:5767–72. doi:

10.1073/pnas.1423400112.

Gould SB et al. 2006. Protein targeting into the complex plastid of cryptophytes. J. Mol.

Evol. 62:674–681. doi: 10.1007/s00239-005-0099-y.

Gray MW. 2015. Mosaic nature of the mitochondrial proteome: Implications for the

origin and evolution of mitochondria. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 112:10133–8. doi:

10.1073/pnas.1421379112.

Gruber A et al. 2007. Protein targeting into complex diatom plastids: Functional

characterisation of a specific targeting motif. Plant Mol. Biol. 64:519–530. doi:

10.1007/s11103-007-9171-x.

Gruber A. 2019. What's in a name? How organelles of endosymbiotic origin can be

distinguished from endosymbionts. Microb. Cell. 6:123–133. doi:

10.15698/mic2019.02.668.

Gruber A, Rocap G, Kroth PG, Armbrust EV, Mock T. 2015. Plastid proteome prediction

for diatoms and other algae with secondary plastids of the red lineage. Plant J.

81:519–528. doi: 10.1111/tpj.12734.

Gschloessl B, Guermeur Y, Cock JM. 2008. HECTAR: A method to predict subcellular

targeting in heterokonts. BMC Bioinformatics. 9:393. doi: 10.1186/1471-2105-9-393.

Gupta A et al. 2014. Reduced ribosomes of the apicoplast and mitochondrion of

Plasmodium spp. and predicted interactions with antibiotics. Open Biol. 4:140045.

doi: 10.1098/rsob.140045.

Hadariová L, Vesteg M, Hampl V, Krajčovič J. 2018. Reductive evolution of chloroplasts in

non-photosynthetic plants, algae and protists. Curr. Genet. 64:365–387. doi:

10.1007/s00294-017-0761-0.

Hiller K, Grote A, Scheer M, Münch R, Jahn D. 2004. PrediSi: Prediction of signal peptides

and their cleavage positions. Nucleic Acids Res. 32:375–379. doi:

10.1093/nar/gkh378.

Hopkins JF et al. 2012. Proteomics reveals plastid- and periplastid-targeted proteins in

the chlorarachniophyte alga *Bigelowiella natans*. Genome Biol. Evol. 4:1391–1406.

doi: 10.1093/gbe/evs115.

Huang S, Shingaki-Wells RN, Taylor NL, Millar AH. 2013. The rice mitochondria

proteome and its response during development and to the environment. Front. Plant

Sci. 4:16. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2013.00016.

Imlay L, Odom AR. 2014. Isoprenoid metabolism in apicomplexan parasites. Curr. Clin.

Microbiol. Reports. 1:37–50. doi: 10.1007/s40588-014-0006-7.

Jacot D, Waller RF, Soldati-Favre D, MacPherson DA, MacRae JI. 2016. Apicomplexan

energy metabolism: Carbon source promiscuity and the quiescence hyperbole.

Trends Parasitol. 32:56–70. doi: 10.1016/j.pt.2015.09.001.

Janouškovec J et al. 2013. Colponemids represent multiple ancient alveolate lineages.

Curr. Biol. 23:2546–2552. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2013.10.062.

Janouškovec J et al. 2015. Factors mediating plastid dependency and the origins of

parasitism in apicomplexans and their close relatives. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.

112:10200–10207. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1423790112.

Janouškovec J, Horák A, Oborník M, Lukeš J, Keeling PJ. 2010. A common red algal origin

of the apicomplexan, dinoflagellate, and heterokont plastids. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.

107:10949–10954. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1003335107.

Jones P et al. 2014. InterProScan 5: genome-scale protein function classification.

Bioinformatics. 30:1236–1240. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu031.

Jouhet J, Maréchal E, Block MA. 2007. Glycerolipid transfer for the building of

membranes in plant cells. Prog. Lipid Res. 46:37–55. doi:

10.1016/j.plipres.2006.06.002.

Joy JB, Liang RH, McCloskey RM, Nguyen T, Poon AFY. 2016. Ancestral reconstruction.

PLoS Comput. Biol. 12:e1004763. doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1004763.

Kanehisa M, Furumichi M, Tanabe M, Sato Y, Morishima K. 2017. KEGG: new

perspectives on genomes, pathways, diseases and drugs. Nucleic Acids Res. 45:D353–

D361. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkw1092.

Katoh K, Standley DM. 2013. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7:

Improvements in performance and usability. Mol. Biol. Evol. 30:772–780. doi:

10.1093/molbev/mst010.

Kaundal R, Sahu SS, Verma R, Weirick T. 2013. Identification and characterization of

plastid-type proteins from sequence-attributed features using machine learning. BMC

Bioinformatics. 14:S7. doi: 10.1186/1471-2105-14-S14-S7.

Ke H et al. 2015. Genetic investigation of tricarboxylic acid metabolism during the

Plasmodium falciparum life cycle. Cell Rep. 11:164–174. doi:

10.1016/j.celrep.2015.03.011.

Kearse M et al. 2012. Geneious Basic: An integrated and extendable desktop software

platform for the organization and analysis of sequence data. Bioinformatics. 28:1647–

1649. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bts199.

Keeling PJ et al. 2014. The Marine Microbial Eukaryote Transcriptome Sequencing

Project (MMETSP): Illuminating the functional diversity of eukaryotic life in the

oceans through transcriptome sequencing. PLoS Biol. 12:e1001889. doi:

10.1371/journal.pbio.1001889.

Keithly JS, Marchewka MJ, Zhu G, Marchewka MJ, Keithly JS. 2000. *Cryptosporidium*

parvum appears to lack a plastid genome. Microbiology. 146:315–321. doi:

10.1055/s-2001-18357.

Kersey PJ et al. 2016. Ensembl Genomes 2016: more genomes, more complexity. Nucleic

Acids Res. 44:D574–D580. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkv1209.

Kilian O, Kroth PG. 2005. Identification and characterization of a new conserved motif

within the presequence of proteins targeted into complex diatom plastids. Plant J.

41:175–183. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2004.02294.x.

Kohli GS, John U, Van Dolah FM, Murray SA. 2016. Evolutionary distinctiveness of fatty

acid and polyketide synthesis in eukaryotes. ISME J. 10:1877–1890. doi:

10.1038/ismej.2015.263.

Kořený L, Sobotka R, Janouškovec J, Keeling PJ, Oborník M. 2011. Tetrapyrrole synthesis

of photosynthetic chromerids is likely homologous to the unusual pathway of

apicomplexan parasites. Plant Cell. 23:3454–3462. doi: 10.1105/tpc.111.089102.

Kotabová E, Kaňa R, Jarešová J, Prášil O. 2011. Non-photochemical fluorescence

quenching in *Chromera velia* is enabled by fast violaxanthin de-epoxidation. FEBS

Lett. 585:1941–1945. doi: 10.1016/j.febslet.2011.05.015.

Kunze M, Berger J. 2015. The similarity between N-terminal targeting signals for protein

import into different organelles and its evolutionary relevance. Front. Physiol. 6:1–27.

doi: 10.3389/fphys.2015.00259.

de la Torre F, El-Azaz J, Ávila C, Cánovas FM. 2013. Deciphering the role of aspartate and

prephenate aminotransferase activities in plastid nitrogen metabolism. Plant Physiol.

164:92–104. doi: 10.1104/pp.113.232462.

Lim L, Linka M, Mullin KA, Weber APM, Mcfadden GI. 2010. The carbon and energy

sources of the non-photosynthetic plastid in the malaria parasite. FEBS Lett.

584:549–554. doi: 10.1016/j.febslet.2009.11.097.

MacRae JI et al. 2012. Mitochondrial metabolism of glucose and glutamine is required for

intracellular growth of *Toxoplasma gondii*. Cell Host Microbe. 12:682–692. doi:

10.1016/j.chom.2012.09.013.

Mallo N, Fellows J, Johnson C, Sheiner L. 2018. Protein import into the endosymbiotic

organelles of apicomplexan parasites. Genes (Basel). 9:412. doi:

10.3390/genes9080412.

Mazumdar J, Striepen B. 2007. Make it or take it: Fatty acid metabolism of apicomplexan

parasites. Eukaryot. Cell. 6:1727–1735. doi: 10.1128/ec.00255-07.

Mikami K, Hosokawa M. 2013. Biosynthetic pathway and health benefits of fucoxanthin,

an algae-specific xanthophyll in brown seaweeds. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 14:13763–13781.

doi: 10.3390/ijms140713763.

Mohamed AR et al. 2018. Deciphering the nature of the coral-*Chromera* association.

ISME J. 12:776–790. doi: 10.1038/s41396-017-0005-9.

Moore RB et al. 2008. A photosynthetic alveolate closely related to apicomplexan

parasites. Nature. 451:959–963. doi: 10.1038/nature06635.

Müller S, Kappes B. 2007. Vitamin and cofactor biosynthesis pathways in *Plasmodium*

and other apicomplexan parasites. Trends Parasitol. 23:112–121. doi:

10.1016/j.pt.2007.01.009.

Nash EA, Nisbet RER, Barbrook AC, Howe CJ. 2008. Dinoflagellates: a mitochondrial

genome all at sea. Trends Genet. 24:328–335. doi: 10.1016/j.tig.2008.04.001.

Németh E, Nagy Z, Pécsváradi A. 2018. Chloroplast glutamine synthetase, the key

regulator of nitrogen metabolism in wheat, performs its role by fine regulation of

enzyme activity via negative cooperativity of Its subunits. Front. Plant Sci. 9:191. doi:

10.3389/fpls.2018.00466.

Neuhaus HE, Emes MJ. 2000. Nonphotosynthetic metabolism in plastids. Annu. Rev.

Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol. 51:111–140. doi: 10.1146/annurev.arplant.51.1.111.

Nguyen LT, Schmidt HA, Von Haeseler A, Minh BQ. 2015. IQ-TREE: A fast and effective

stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. Mol. Biol. Evol.

32:268–274. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msu300.

Oborník M. 2019. In the beginning was the word: How terminology drives our

understanding of endosymbiotic organelles. Microb. Cell. 6:134–141. doi:

10.15698/mic2019.02.669.

Oborník M et al. 2012. Morphology, ultrastructure and life cycle of *Vitrella brassicaformis*

n. sp., n. gen., a novel chromerid from the Great Barrier Reef. Protist. 163:306–323.

doi: 10.1016/j.protis.2011.09.001.

Oborník M. 2018. The birth of red complex plastids: One, three, or four times? Trends

Parasitol. 34:923–925. doi: 10.1016/j.pt.2018.09.001.

Oborník M, Janouškovec J, Chrudimský T, Lukeš J. 2009. Evolution of the apicoplast and

its hosts: From heterotrophy to autotrophy and back again. Int. J. Parasitol. 39:1–12.

doi: 10.1016/j.ijpara.2008.07.010.

Oborník M, Lukeš J. 2015. The organellar genomes of *Chromera* and *Vitrella*, the

phototrophic relatives of apicomplexan parasites. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 69:129–144.

doi: 10.1146/annurev-micro-091014-104449.

Oppenheim RD et al. 2014. BCKDH: The missing link in apicomplexan mitochondrial

metabolism is required for full virulence of *Toxoplasma gondii* and *Plasmodium*

berghei. PLoS Pathog. 10:e1004263. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1004263.

Pagliarini DJ, Rutter J. 2013. Hallmarks of a new era in mitochondrial biochemistry.

Genes Dev. 27:2615–2627. doi: 10.1101/gad.229724.113.

Palmfeldt J, Bross P. 2017. Proteomics of human mitochondria. Mitochondrion. 33:2–14.

doi: 10.1016/j.mito.2016.07.006.

Panigrahi AK et al. 2009. A comprehensive analysis of *Trypanosoma brucei*

mitochondrial proteome. Proteomics. 9:434–450. doi: 10.1002/pmic.200800477.

Patron NJ, Waller RF. 2007. Transit peptide diversity and divergence: A global analysis

of plastid targeting signal. BioEssays. 29:1048–1058. doi: 10.1002/bies.20638.

Petersen J et al. 2014. *Chromera velia*, endosymbioses and the rhodoplex hypothesis -

Plastid evolution in cryptophytes, alveolates, stramenopiles, and haptophytes (CASH

lineages). Genome Biol. Evol. 6:666–684. doi: 10.1093/gbe/evu043.

Petersen TN, Brunak S, von Heijne G, Nielsen H. 2011. SignalP 4.0: discriminating signal

peptides from transmembrane regions. Nat. Methods. 8:785–786. doi:

10.1038/nmeth.1701.

Petsalaki EI, Bagos PG, Litou ZI, Hamodrakas SJ. 2006. PredSL: A tool for the N-terminal

sequence-based prediction of protein subcellular localization. Genomics, Proteomics

Bioinforma. 4:48–55. doi: 10.1016/S1672-0229(06)60016-8.

Ralph SA, Foth BJ, Hall N, McFadden GI. 2004. Evolutionary pressures on apicoplast

transit peptides. Mol. Biol. Evol. 21:2183–2194. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msh233.

Sazanov LA, Jackson JB. 1994. Proton-translocating transhydrogenase and NAD- and

NADP-linked isocitrate dehydrogenases operate in a substrate cycle which

contributes to fine regulation of the tricarboxylic acid cycle activity in mitochondria.

FEBS Lett. 344:109–116. doi: 10.1016/0014-5793(94)00370-X.

Schleiff E, Soll J, Küchler M, Kühlbrandt W, Harrer R. 2003. Characterization of the

translocon of the outer envelope of chloroplasts. J. Cell Biol. 160:541–551. doi:

10.1083/jcb.200210060.

Searcy DG. 2003. Metabolic integration during the evolutionary origin of mitochondria.

Cell Res. 13:229–238. doi: 10.1038/sj.cr.7290168.

Shanmugasundram A, Gonzalez-Galarza FF, Wastling JM, Vasieva O, Jones AR. 2013.

Library of Apicomplexan Metabolic Pathways: A manually curated database for

metabolic pathways of apicomplexan parasites. Nucleic Acids Res. 41:706–713. doi:

10.1093/nar/gks1139.

Smith DGS et al. 2007. Exploring the mitochondrial proteome of the ciliate protozoon

Tetrahymena thermophila: Direct analysis by tandem mass spectrometry. J. Mol. Biol.

374:837–863. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2007.09.051.

Sobotka R et al. 2017. Extensive gain and loss of photosystem I subunits in chromerid

algae, photosynthetic relatives of apicomplexans. Sci. Rep. 7:13214. doi:

10.1038/s41598-017-13575-x.

Stitt M. 2002. Steps towards an integrated view of nitrogen metabolism. J. Exp. Bot.

53:959–970. doi: 10.1093/jexbot/53.370.959.

Sun S, Habermann BH. 2017. A guide to computational methods for predicting

mitochondrial localization. In: Mitochondria: Practical protocols. Mokranjac, D &

Perocchi, F, editors. Springer New York: New York, NY pp. 1–14. doi: 10.1007/978-1-

4939-6824-4_1.

Terashima M, Specht M, Hippler M. 2011. The chloroplast proteome: A survey from the

Chlamydomonas reinhardtii perspective with a focus on distinctive features. Curr.

Genet. 57:151–168. doi: 10.1007/s00294-011-0339-1.

Tetlow IJ, Rawsthorne S, Raines C, Emes MJ. 2005. Plastid metabolic pathways. In:

Annual Plant Reviews. Møller, SG, editor. Vol. 13 Blackwell Publishing Ltd pp. 60–125.

doi: 10.1002/9781119312994.apr0123.

Tikhonenkov D V. et al. 2014. Description of *Colponema vietnamica* sp.n. and

Acavomonas peruviana n. gen. n. sp., two new alveolate phyla (Colponemidia nom.

nov. and Acavomonidia nom. nov.) and their contributions to reconstructing the

ancestral state of alveolates and eukaryotes Gribaldo, S, editor. PLoS One. 9:e95467.

doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0095467.

Tymoshenko S et al. 2015. Metabolic needs and capabilities of *Toxoplasma gondii*

through combined computational and experimental analysis. PLoS Comput. Biol.

11:e1004261. doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1004261.

Vazač J, Füssy Z, Hladová I, Killi S, Oborník M. 2017. Ploidy and number of chromosomes

in the alveolate alga *Chromera velia*. Protist. 169:53–63. doi:

10.1016/j.protis.2017.12.001.

Waller RF, Gornik SG, Kořený L, Pain A. 2016. Metabolic pathway redundancy within the

apicomplexan-dinoflagellate radiation argues against an ancient chromalveolate

plastid. Commun. Integr. Biol. 9:e1116653. doi: 10.1080/19420889.2015.1116653.

Waller RF, Kořený L. 2017. Plastid complexity in dinoflagellates: A picture of gains,

losses, replacements and revisions. In: Advances in Botanical Research. Hirakawa, Y,

editor. Vol. 84 pp. 105–143. doi: 10.1016/bs.abr.2017.07.004.

van Wijk KJ, Baginsky S. 2011. Plastid proteomics in higher plants: Current state and

future goals. Plant Physiol. 155:1578–1588. doi: 10.1104/pp.111.172932.

Woehle C, Dagan T, Martin WF, Gould SB. 2011. Red and problematic green phylogenetic

signals among thousands of nuclear genes from the photosynthetic and apicomplexa-

related Chromera velia. Genome Biol. Evol. 3:1220–1230. doi: 10.1093/gbe/evr100.

Woo YH et al. 2015. Chromerid genomes reveal the evolutionary path from

photosynthetic algae to obligate intracellular parasites. eLife. 4:e06974. doi:

10.7554/eLife.06974.

Yoo H, Antoniewicz MR, Stephanopoulos G, Kelleher JK. 2008. Quantifying reductive

carboxylation flux of glutamine to lipid in a brown adipocyte cell line. J. Biol. Chem.

283:20621–20627. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M706494200.

Zhu G et al. 2004. Expression and functional characterization of a giant Type I fatty acid

synthase (CpFAS1) gene from *Cryptosporidium parvum*. Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.

134:127–135. doi: 10.1016/j.molbiopara.2003.11.011.

1047

1048

FIGURES:

Figure 1: Overview of reference pathways, focused on the inter-connected reactions

of terpenoid, lipid and tetrapyrrole biosynthesis, mitochondrial sulfur assimilation and

glycine cleavage, and ribosomal proteins. Pathways are color-coded and

enzymes/proteins are numbered according to the Supplementary Table S1.

Abbreviations: ACP – acyl-carrier protein, ALA – delta-aminolevulinic acid, Chl *a* –

chlorophyll *a*, DGDG – digalactosyldiacylglycerolipids, DHAP – dihydroxyacetone

phosphate, GGPP – geranylgeranyl pyrophosphate, IMS – inter-membrane space, MEP –

methyl-D-erythritol 4-phosphate, PEP – phospho*enol*pyruvate, pyr – pyruvate, SQDG –

sulfoquinovosyldiacylglycerolipids, THF – tetrahydrofolate.

1059

Figure 2: Overview of reference pathways, continued, focused on the inter-

connected reactions of carbohydrate and amino acid biosynthesis, mitochondrial

respiration and plastid photosynthesis. Pathways are color-coded and

enzymes/proteins are numbered or coded according to the Supplementary Table S1. 2-

OG – 2-oxoglutarate, DHAP – dihydroxyacetone phosphate, Ery-4P – erythrose 4-

phosphate, FAD – flavin adenine dinucleotide, IMS – inter-membrane space, OAA –

oxaloacetate, orn – ornithine, PEP – phospho*enol*pyruvate, PQ – plastoquinol, PRPP – 5-

phosphoribosyl-1-pyrophosphate, pyr – pyruvate, Ru-5P – ribulose 5-phosphate, TCA –

tricarboxylic acid, THF – tetrahydrofolate, UQ – ubiquinone.

1069

1070   **Figure 3: Sequence motifs surrounding the signal cleavage site differ in**

1071   **chromerids.** While *C. velia* retains a highly conserved Phe residue that follows after the

1072   cleavage site (marked by +1), in *V. brassicaformis* Phe seems less conserved. Logoplot

1073   created by WebLogo (Crooks et al. 2004).

1074

Table 1: Performance of various localization predictors as employed in this study.

ASAFind and HECTAR-plastid were used for plastid reference assessment. Note that

ASAFind has a cumulative score, with our data reaching up to values of 6. ASAFind+

denotes ASAFind with species-specific bit score matrices designed with *C. velia* and *V.*

brassicaformis plastid references. TargetP, HECTAR-mitochondrion and MultiLoc2 were

used for mitochondrial predictions and two thresholds are presented for these

predictors, one aimed at higher sensitivity (s – 75%), the other aimed at high precision

(p – 85%).

1083

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL:

Supplementary Table S1: Reference protein lists grouped by metabolic function;

inferred phylogenies of argJ, polyprenyl-PP synthases, and PRPP synthase; set of

updated reference protein sequences. Trees in Newick format, accessions are marked

on leaves. The maximum-likelihood trees were inferred by the IQ-TREE software (see

main text Methods). Sequence updates are based on homology searches within

alternative transcriptomic datasets (elongated sequences) and comparison of

orthologous sequences in *C. velia* and *V. brassicaformis* (merged contigs).

1092

1093   **Supplementary Table S2: Prediction scores for reference proteins and the species-**

1094   **specific ASAFind scoring matrices of *C. velia* and *V. brassicaformis*.**

1095

1096   **Supplementary Figure S3: Targeting peptide score distributions for reference**

1097   **sequences.** Reference colors: green – plastid, blue – mitochondrial, grey – other

1098   (cytosolic or non-plastid endomembrane route).

1099

	ASAFind	ASAFind+	HECTAR	TargetP - mTP		HECTAR - mTP		MultiLoc2 - mTP	
C. velia				(s)	(p)	(s)	(p)	(s)	(p)
THRESHOLD:	1.4	1.4	0.05	0.35	0.8	0.14	0.41	0.25	0.95
SENSITIVITY:	0.774	0.830	0.769	0.757	0.586	0.748	0.586	0.748	0.361
PRECISION:	0.921	0.921	0.872	0.375	0.844	0.529	0.855	0.485	0.736
V. brassicaformis									
THRESHOLD:	1	1	0.16	0.56	0.81	0.18	0.37	0.64	0.89
SENSITIVITY:	0.759	0.897	0.744	0.757	0.586	0.748	0.559	0.755	0.582
PRECISION:	0.886	0.916	0.967	0.712	0.855	0.664	0.861	0.697	0.842