Classification: Biological Sciences

Minor: Microbiology

Adenine DNA methylation, 3D genome organization and gene expression in the parasite *Trichomonas vaginalis*

Ayelen Lizarraga [1]; Zach Klapholz O'Brown[2,3]; Konstantinos Boulias[2,3]; Lara Roach[2,3]; Eric Lieberman Greer [2,3]; Patricia J. Johnson[4]∗, Pablo H. Strobl-Mazzulla[5] ; Natalia de Miguel[1]

1 Laboratorio de Parásitos Anaerobios, Instituto Tecnológico Chascomús (INTECH), CONICET-UNSAM, Chascomús B7130IWA, Argentina

2 Division of Newborn Medicine, Children's Hospital Boston, 300 Longwood Avenue, Boston, MA 02115, USA

3 Department of Pediatrics, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115, USA

4 Department of Microbiology, Immunology & Molecular Genetics, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90095 USA

5 Laboratorio de Biología del Desarrollo, Instituto Tecnológico Chascomús (INTECH), CONICET-UNSAM, Chascomús B7130IWA, Argentina

∗Corresponding author: Patricia J. Johnson, Department of Microbiology, Immunology & Molecular Genetics, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90095 USA, tel. 310-825-4870; email: johnsonp@ucla.edu

ABSTRACT

Trichomonas vaginalis is a common sexually transmitted parasite that colonizes

the human urogenital tract causing infections that range from asymptomatic to highly

inflammatory. Recent works have highlighted the importance of histone modifications

in the regulation of transcription and parasite pathogenesis. However, the nature of

DNA methylation in the parasite remains unexplored. Using a combination of

immunological techniques and UHPLC, we analyzed the abundance of DNA

methylation in strains with differential pathogenicity demonstrating that N6-

methyladenine (6mA), and not 5-methylcytosine (5mC), is the main DNA methylation

mark in *T. vaginalis*. Genome-wide distribution of 6mA reveals that this mark is

enriched at intergenic regions, with a preference for certain super-families of DNA

transposable elements. We show that 6mA in *T. vaginalis* is associated with silencing

when present on genes. Interestingly, bioinformatics analysis revealed the presence of

transcriptionally active or repressive intervals flanked by 6mA-enriched regions and

results from chromatin conformation capture (3C) experiments suggest these 6mA

flanked regions are in close spatial proximity. These associations were disrupted when

parasites were treated with the demethylation activator ascorbic acid. This finding

revealed a new role for 6mA in modulating 3D chromatin structure and gene expression

in this divergent member of the Excavata.

SIGNIFICANCE STATEMENT

Trichomonas vaginalis causes the most common non-viral sexually transmitted infection yet little is known about the regulation of gene expression in this eukaryotic parasite. We demonstrate that N6-methyladenine (6mA) is the main methylation mark in the *T. vaginalis* genome. 6mA is widespread in DNA of eubacterial genera, but uncommon in genomes of most eukaryotes. Examination of the genome-wide distribution of 6mA reveals a preference for intergenic regions. Transcriptionally active or repressive intervals are found to be flanked by 6mA-enriched regions and data suggest 6mA flanked regions are in close 3D spatial proximity. Our findings describe for the first time the presence of DNA methylation in *T. vaginalis* and reveal a new role for 6mA in modulating 3D chromatin architecture.

INTRODUCTION

Trichomonas vaginalis is a flagellated protozoan parasite responsible for trichomoniasis, the most prevalent non-viral, sexually transmitted infection. Although generally asymptomatic (1), *T. vaginalis* infection can cause numerous pathologies such as vaginitis, urethritis, prostatitis and various complications when infection is acquired during pregnancy (2, 3). Chronic infection has been associated with an increased risk of acquiring and transmitting HIV (4) and higher susceptibility to developing cervical or prostate cancer (5, 6).

With ~160Mb distributed over 6 chromosomes, the genome of *T. vaginalis* is the largest protozoan parasite genome sequenced to date (7). To date, the highly repetitive nature of the genome (up to 65% comprised of repetitive elements) prevented the complete assembly of the scaffolds and subsequent studies of *T. vaginalis* chromosome architecture (7). Analysis of the age distribution of gene families coupled with the large genome size and low repeat polymorphism indicates the genome underwent one or more large-scale genome duplication events (7). Intriguingly, only half of the ~46,000 protein-coding genes appear to be expressed (8, 9) and individual members within gene families show differential regulation in response to different stimuli (8-10). These results indicate that extrinsic and intrinsic factors accurately control the fine tuning of gene expression in this extracellular parasite.

Studies on *T. vaginalis* transcriptional regulation mostly focused on identifying cis-regulatory elements and their cognate transcription factors. Few core promoter elements or transcription factors have been identified to date (11). The metazoan-like Initiator (Inr) element (12) present in ~75% of all protein coding genes (7) was found to be the main core promoter element responsible for directing basal transcription in *T.*

vaginalis. A novel initiator binding protein, IBP39, was identified and demonstrated to

specifically recognize *T. vaginalis* Inr and directly interact with RNAPII (12, 13).

Additionally, several conserved motifs that resemble metazoan Myb recognition

elements (14) as well as proteins containing a Myb DNA binding domain, like novel

transcription factor M3BP (14), have also been found in *T. vaginalis* (11). This Myb

recognition elements can act as cis-regulatory elements directing the transcription start

site selection (14) and transcription of specific genes (15). However, little is known

about other mechanisms of gene regulation in this parasite (11). Epigenetic mechanism

regulates gene expression by modifying chromatin accessibility to transcription factors

and other components of the transcriptional machinery (16). Specifically, histone

modifications have been shown to be of importance in the regulation of gene expression

and antigenic variation of other unicellular parasites (17). Recent studies have

demonstrated that these modifications are present in *T. vaginalis* and play an essential

role in transcriptional regulation and parasite pathogenesis (18, 19).

DNA methylation is one of the major epigenetic mechanisms involved in gene

regulation. The most common DNA modification in eukaryotes is C5-methylcytosine

(5mC), an epigenetic mark usually associated with gene silencing (20) that participates

in a wide variety of processes such as imprinting, X chromosome inactivation, and

silencing of transposable elements (21). Though common among higher eukaryotes,

5mC is not universally present in unicellular organisms (17) and thus few protozoan

parasites with detectable levels of 5mC in their genome have been identified and its

function characterized (17, 22-24). In contrast, N6-methyladenine (6mA) is the most

prevalent DNA modification in prokaryotes (25) and early reports debated the existence

and abundance of this mark in eukaryotes (26). However, in recent years numerous

reports have greatly expanded the list of organisms with 6mA to include flies (27),

nematodes (28), green algae (29) and even vertebrates (30-32). The abundance of this mark varies dramatically between species, with levels of 6mA that range from 6-7 parts per million (p.p.m.) of total adenines in mouse embryonic stem cells (30) up to 2.8% of total adenines in early-diverging fungi (33). Likewise, this variation between organisms was also evidenced in their genomic distribution, suggesting that 6mA might have species-specific functions (34). Intriguingly, in some cases the role of 6mA has been associated with active transcription in *Arabidopsis* (35), *Drosophila* (27), *Chlamydomonas* (29) and early-diverging fungi (33). Only two reports describe 6mA as a repressive mark similar to 5mC (30, 36).

Despite its importance in other organisms, the methylation status of *T. vaginalis* DNA remains unexplored. In this work, using a combination of immunological techniques and UHPLC coupled with mass-spectrometry we identify the presence of 6mA and 5mC in the gDNA of *T. vaginalis*. We interrogate the distribution of 6mA across the genome using an adapted methylated DNA immunoprecipitation assay followed by high-throughput sequencing (MeDIP-seq). Interestingly, we found that 6mA is enriched at intergenic regions, with a preference for repetitive elements. Comparison with published RNA-seq data of the same strain (37) suggests 6mA is associated with silenced transcription when found on gene bodies. Finally, results from chromatin conformation capture experiments suggest that 6mA is associated with chromatin loop formation, raising the intriguing possibility that 6mA could have a role in modulating 3D genome architecture. This is the first time DNA methylation is described in *T. vaginalis* and our data highlight a role for 6mA in epigenetic gene regulation in this deep-branching eukaryote.

RESULTS

Identification of 6mA and 5mC in *T. vaginalis* genomic DNA

Given the importance of 5mC and 6mA in other organisms, we set out to investigate the presence of these marks in *T. vaginalis* genomic DNA. We first evaluated the presence of 5mC in *T. vaginalis* by a dot blot assay using genomic DNA extracted from two *T. vaginalis* strains, B7268 and G3, with high and low adherence capacity, respectively (Fig. 1A). Unexpectedly, we failed to detect 5mC in either strain, contrary to our chicken gDNA control (Fig. 1A). Likewise, although we were able to detect m5C in the RNA, this mark was undetectable by immunofluorescence in the nucleus of the parasite (Fig. 1B). These data suggest the absence, or very low abundance, of 5mC mark in *T. vaginalis* DNA. On the other hand, we revealed the presence of 6mA mark both in the nucleus and cytoplasm by immunofluorescence (Fig. 1C) using antibodies that specifically recognize methylated adenines (Sup. Fig. 1A). Importantly, parasites treated with RNAse revealed 6mA exclusively detected in the nucleus demonstrating that this epigenetic mark is present in the DNA of *T. vaginalis*. Similarly, using restriction enzymes MboI and DpnI which digest unmethylated and methylated GATC sequence respectively (38), we found that *T. vaginalis* genome possesses both methylated and un-methylated adenines in the GATC context (Fig. 1D). In order to determine whether parasite adherence could be correlated with 6mA abundance, we performed dot blot assays (Fig. 1E) and UHPLC followed by mass spectrometry (Fig. 1F) using gDNA from an adherent (B7268) and a less-adherent (G3) strain. However, we found no difference on the abundance of 6mA levels among them. Importantly, we demonstrated that 6mA is present in 2.5% of all adenines, whereas extremely low levels of 5mC was detected (0.004-0.008% of all cytosines) by UHPLC followed by mass spectrometry (Fig. 1F). To exclude the possibility of signal contamination from *M. hominis*, a common bacterial symbiont of *T. vaginalis* (39),

parasite cultures were subjected to antibiotic treatment and checked for the elimination

of *M. hominis* prior to the experiments (Sup. Fig. 1B). These data demonstrate the lack

of the bacterial symbiont and confirm that the detected 5mC and 6mA is in *T. vaginalis*

genomic DNA. Taken together, our results demonstrated that 6mA, but not 5mC, is the

predominant DNA methylation mark in *T. vaginalis*.

To be considered an epigenetic mark, 6mA needs to be maintained after DNA

replication. Our observations using hydroxyurea synchronized cultures revealed the

expected decrease in 6mA levels in S phase due to DNA replication, which remained

during the G2/M phase of the cell cycle, and restored original abundance after cell

division (Sup. Fig. 2). These observations support the presence of active

methyltransferase(s) responsible for maintaining 6mA levels after cell division.

Genome-wide mapping of 6mA in *T. vaginalis*

To determine the function of DNA methylation in *T. vaginalis* it is essential to

identify its genomic distribution. To this end, we performed an adapted methylated

DNA immunoprecipitation technique using specific antibodies followed by high-

throughput sequencing (MeDIP-seq) (40) on the adherent strain B7268 (Fig. 2A). Due

to the extremely low levels of 5mC in *T. vaginalis*, we focused exclusively on 6mA for

further analyses. To this end, two independent high-throughput sequencing experiments,

each containing pooled DNA from three independent immunoprecipitation assays, were

performed. In order to evaluate specificity and enrichments of 6mA in the

immunoprecipitated fraction when using a specific anti-6mA antibody, a dot blot assay

was performed using an IgG control antibody (Fig. 2B).

After quality filtering (q-value < 0,01, FE > 2) we obtained a total of 10388

6mA peaks found in both replicates, corresponding to 92,1% and 75,6% of all peaks

present in each independent MeDIP-seq experiment (Fig. 2C, Sup. Table 1). In order to

validate these results, four genes selected from the MeDIP-seq were analyzed by

MeDIP-qPCR demonstrating an enrichment in 6mA immunoprecipated sample

compared to sample immunoprecipitated with IgG control antibody (Sup. Fig. 3A). The

most prevalent motif, found in 4692 peaks, was CAATACCC (p-value = 1e-1642, Sup.

Fig. 3B). Remarkably, peak visualization in a genome browser suggested a clear

enrichment of peaks in intergenic regions (Fig. 2D). Indeed, peak annotation revealed

that 94% of all MeDIP-seq peaks (9746 6mA peaks) were intergenic (Fig. 3A).

Importantly, a shuffled distribution of peaks across *T. vaginalis* genome would result in

an even distribution between genes and intergenic regions (Fig. 3A), confirming 6mA is

remarkably enriched in intergenic regions.

Among the 642 6mA peaks located in genes, most were distributed between the

coding region (48%) and the transcription termination sites (TTS) (43%), with only 9%

found in the transcription start sites (TSS) (Fig. 3B). Comparing those numbers to the

percentages that would occur if the peaks had a shuffled distribution (22% in the TTS,

60% in the coding region and 12% in the TSS) our results revealed an enrichment of

6mA in the TTS when located in genes (Fig. 3B). In order to understand the functional

role of 6mA, we retrieved the IDs of the methylated genes (Sup. Table 2) and found

70% belong to different families of transposable elements, with the majority (61%)

belonging to families previously described in *T. vaginalis* according to Repbase (Fig.

3C). The remaining genes could be divided into ones with conserved domains that allow

the assignment of a predicted role (8%) and hypothetical proteins with unknown

functions (22%) found exclusively in *T. vaginalis* (Fig. 3C). Intriguingly, the observed

enrichment of 6mA peaks in the TTS was found exclusively in transposable element

(TE) genes, suggesting a particular role for methylation at the 3' of TE genes. Gene

ontology analysis of the methylated genes (excluding genes from TEs) revealed an

enrichment of genes involved in protein modification and phosphorylation (p-value <

0.05) (Sup. Table 3). However, it is important to take into account that most of non-TE

genes have no identifiable domain (hypothetical proteins) and therefore were not

considered in the GO enrichment analysis.

Considering that 6mA has been previously described as an epigenetic mark of

transposable elements in other organisms (27, 30) and 70% of *T. vaginalis* methylated

genes belong to TEs, we analyzed if intergenic peaks were also located within TEs.

Analysis using RepeatMasker revealed that out of 94% of intergenic peaks, 57.4% were

found in *T. vaginalis* repetitive elements (RE) previously described in Repbase. Most of

the peaks were found in Mutator, Kolobok, and Polinton superfamilies of *T. vaginalis*

DNA transposons (Fig. 3D). However, if we consider the numbers of TEs within the

genome, repeat element DNA7 and TE super-families P and Kolobok were the most

enriched in the MedIP-seq (Sup. Table 4), indicating a preference of intergenic 6mA for

certain types of REs and raising the question of whether 6mA could act as a regulator of

specific TEs.

6mA methylation and gene expression
224
6mA has been found to be an epigenetic mark of active genes in *Arabidopsis*

(35), *Drosophila* (27) and *Chlamydomonas* (29) or repressed genes in mouse embryonic

stem cells (30) and rice (36). To examine whether this mark has a role in transcription in

T. vaginalis, we made use of publicly available RNA-seq data from B7268 strain (37).

To test if this data was applicable for our analysis, we divided the genes into low

(RPKM =< 1), moderate (1 < RPKM =< 20), and high (RPKM > 20) expression, and

selected some well characterized genes from each group to measure their relative

expression levels via RT-qPCR. Our results showed the relative expression of each gene

was in accordance to what had been described in bibliography (19, 41) and more

importantly, we could order the genes based on their relative expression obtained by

RT-qPCR similarly as they have been ordered based on their RPKM (Sup. Table 5),

validating the use of the RNA-seq in our analysis.

We next looked into the expression levels of the methylated genes using RNA-

seq data available and maintaining the same criteria for low, moderate and high

expression. Considering that the majority of those genes belong to *T. vaginalis*

transposable elements (Fig. 3C), it was not surprising that gene expression analysis

revealed over 80% of all methylated genes are poorly expressed, with 14% possessing

moderate expression and only a small percentage (4%) highly expressed (Fig. 4A).

However, when we considered the expression levels of the methylated genes without

taking into account TE genes, poorly expressed genes were still the most abundant

group (over 50%, Sup. Table 6), suggesting 6mA could be a sign of repressed

expression when found on genes.

As described previously, most of the MeDIP-seq peaks have an intergenic

localization (Fig. 3A). In order to evaluate if 6mA could be affecting the expression of

adjacent genes as has been described for 6mA in mouse embryonic stem cells (30), we

analyzed the expression level of the genes closest to intergenic methylation (Fig. 4B).

We obtained a total of 6000 genes found near 6714 peaks. However, due to the

fragmented nature of *T. vaginalis* reference genome not all the intergenic peaks could be

considered, and it is likely that the real number of genes near intergenic 6mA could be

higher. Surprisingly, in contrast with our observations regarding the expression levels of

methylated genes (Fig. 4A), we found a higher percentage of expressed genes (13.5%

with moderate and 16.4% with high expression) near intergenic methylation compared

to poorly expressed genes (Fig. 4B). In fact, genes with intergenic 6mA peaks nearby

were expressed at significantly higher levels than genes in unmethylated regions (Fig.

4C). Finally, we examined the association between the 6mA peaks distance and

expression level of associated genes. We observed that intergenic 6mA is primarily

located between -1500bp and +1000bp of the nearest genes regardless of their

expression level (Fig. 4D). Intriguingly, non expressed genes are found significantly

closer to their nearest upstream or downstream intergenic peak than expressed genes

(Sup. Fig. 3B-C). These observations suggest that the effect of 6mA on gene expression

in *T. vaginalis* depends on its relative position to the corresponding gene.

6mA delimits chromatin loops

During the analysis of the expression levels of genes near intergenic methylation

regions, we noticed various instances of intergenic 6mA peaks flanking sections of the

genome where all the genes contained within had similar expression levels. A closer

look revealed the presence of 1046 out of 2937 such intervals with more than one gene:

452 containing genes that were not expressed (repressive intervals) and 594 containing

expressed genes (active intervals) (Fig. 5A). These intervals varied in the number of

genes they contained (from 2 to up to 36 genes) (Fig. 5B) as well as in length, with

some spanning over 20kb (Sup. Table 7). As can be observed in Figure 5B, intervals

containing a greater number of genes tend to be silenced. Conversely, most of the

intervals containing fewer genes are actively transcribed.

In other organisms it is well known that the three-dimensional genome

organization within the nucleus is an important element in the regulation of gene

expression (42). In particular, chromatin looping is a type of intrachromosomal

interaction that has been shown to influence gene expression (43). Recent work in

mammalian cells demonstrated that 5mC was capable of regulating the expression of

two genes 10 kbp apart via chromatin loop formation (44). Based on these antecedents

and our bioinformatics observations, we performed a chromatin conformation capture

(3C) assay (Fig. 6A) to determine if the observed intervals, delimited by intergenic

6mA, could be forming this type of chromatin architecture. In order to select intervals to

analyze by 3C assay, we filtered out all the intervals with fewer than 5 genes, obtaining

a list of 187 active and 233 repressive intervals. Surprisingly, we found several intervals

containing BspA family members: 2 of the repressive intervals contained 4 BspA genes

each, while 6 active intervals contained one BspA gene per interval (Sup. Table 8). In

bacteria, the BspA family of cell surface proteins has been shown to be involved in the

colonization of the oral mucosa and triggering of host immune response and work on *T.*

vaginalis BspAs suggest these proteins might have a similar function in the parasite

(45). Therefore, we decided to focus primarily on those active and repressive intervals

that contained BspA genes. Unfortunately, the repetitive nature of *T. vaginalis* genome

presented a challenge for the design of specific 3C PCR primers, limiting the amount of

BspA containing intervals to be considered for the experiment to repressive intervals

p5512-5513 and p2296-2297, and active intervals p4130-4131, p560-561 and p271-272.

Consequently, we decided to include intervals lacking BspA genes, resulting in four

additional active and repressive intervals to be analyzed by 3C assay (Sup. Table 9).

Amongst this set of regions, we were unable to amplify only five intervals: BspA-

containing intervals p560-561 and p271-272, and intervals p1065-1066 and p228-230.

On the other hand, PCR amplification of 3C product using primer pairs for active

intervals p456-457, p690-692, p806-807 and p4130-4131 as well as repressive intervals

p657-658, p786-787, p1871-1873 and p55125-513 showed bands of the expected size

for each interval, suggesting that these separate regions of DNA (Sup. Table 9) were

physically linked to each other in the cell. Importantly, these amplification products

were either absent or less intense when non-crosslinked control was used as template

and completely absent when undigested gDNA control was tested (1-2, Fig. 6B).

Additionally, the intensity of these bands was higher than the ones obtained using an

alternative set of primers meant to interrogate the interaction between one extreme of

each interval and a flanking region located at a greater distance (1-3, Fig. 6B).

Furthermore, sequencing of each 3C band verified that the fragments belonged to the

expected cross-ligation products (Sup. Fig. 4). Taken together, our results suggest the

presence of chromatin looping at these regions. Additionally, we amplified BspA-

containing interval p2296-2297 (Sup. Fig. 4I), obtaining a band of the expected size.

Although we were not able to achieve reproducible results with this primer pair, band

sequencing confirmed that the identity of the fragment was the expected cross-ligation

product (Sup. Fig. 4J), suggesting the formation of a chromatin loop.

To examine whether 6mA might be responsible for regulating the formation of

these structures, we performed a 3C assay of parasites treated with ascorbic acid (AA),

which has been previously shown to favor DNA demethylation in mammalian cells

(46). Dot blot assay of parasites treated with AA confirmed a diminution of 6mA mark

of parasites treated with 100 mM AA compared to non-treated parasites (Fig. 7A).

Excitingly, loop formation as determined by 3C analysis was diminished in parasites

treated with AA when compared to the non-treated control (Fig. 7B). Consistent with

this finding, our observations suggest that 6mA could indeed be a mark associated with

chromatin looping and may regulate the transcriptional activity of gene-associated

blocks in *T. vaginalis*.

DISCUSSION

Epigenetics studies and its relationship with gene expression in *T. vaginalis* are

still in their infancy. To date, only the contribution of histone modifications to the

regulation of gene expression in the parasite has been described (18, 19). In the present

study, we describe for the first time the presence of 6mA modification in the genome of

this unicellular parasite. Here, we present a whole genome analysis of 6mA distribution

that provides insight into the possible contributions of this modification to 3D genome

architecture and gene expression.

In recent years, the role of 6mA has been expanded from a primarily prokaryotic

DNA modification to an important epigenetic mark present in several multicellular and

unicellular eukaryotic organisms (34). In this study, we use antibody-dependent and

independent methods to demonstrate that 6mA is an abundant DNA modification in *T.*

vaginalis genome. 6mA levels in the parasite are comparable to those found in early-

diverging fungi (33), making *T. vaginalis* one of the eukaryotic organisms with the

highest levels of 6mA reported to date. It is interesting to note that just like early-

diverging fungi (33), 5mC in *T. vaginalis* was nearly undetectable. Unfortunately,

detection methods that rely on the use of antibodies can give false positives when 5mC

levels are low (47), which could explain the low-quality reads obtained from the 5mC

MeDIP-seq experiment. These results support our conclusion that 6mA, and not 5mC, is

the main DNA modification in *T. vaginalis* genomic DNA.

To be considered an epigenetic mark, 6mA needs to be maintained after DNA

replication. In *Tetrahymena*, maintenance methylation occurs quickly after DNA

replication (48). Similarly, 6mA in *Chlamydomonas* is installed shortly after DNA

replication and is stably maintained during cell proliferation (29). Although the precise

moment of 6mA deposition in the newly synthetized DNA strand in the parasite remains

elusive, our observations indicate maintenance of 6mA methylation occurs after cell

division and supports the presence of active 6mA methyltransferase(s) in the parasite. It

should be noted that although phylogenetic analysis revealed the presence of a group of

parasite specific 6mA DNA methyltransferases encoded in the genome database

(TrichDB) (49), functional analyses had not been performed to validate these candidates

yet. On the other hand, while DNA 6mA demethylases have been identified in several

organisms (27, 28, 30, 36), no classical TET or AlkB homologs were identified in *T.*

vaginalis genome (49). This could be indicative of the presence of non-conserved

enzyme, a lack of active 6mA demethylation or the use of an alternative pathway, such

as the base excision-repair DNA demethylation pathway found in plants (50). It will be

of interest to take a closer look at the DNA glycosylases found in *T. vaginalis* genome

database and evaluate if they could be involved in active 6mA DNA demethylation.

Identifying the proteins responsible for the regulation of 6mA levels in the parasite will

further our understanding of the mechanisms and functions of this mark.

Genome-wide distribution of 6mA varies between species (27-30, 51). An

evolutionary conservation for 6mA in unicellular organisms has been proposed due to

the similarities in 6mA distribution pattern and function between green algae and

Tetrahymena (48). However, using a MeDIP-seq approach we found the distribution

pattern in *T. vaginalis* resembles more that of 6mA in *Drosophila* and mouse than other

unicellular eukaryotes. Methylation peaks in *T. vaginalis* are enriched in intergenic

regions and seem to have a preference for certain types of DNA TE superfamilies,

reminiscent of the preference for young LINE-1 transposons described in mouse (30). In

Drosophila, some 6mA peaks are enriched in the gene bodies of transposons (27).

Similarly, we found that the majority of the methylated genes in the parasite belong to

transposable elements, with 6mA peaks preferentially located on the CDS or TTS of

these TE genes (Sup. Table 10). This predilection for certain types of TEs and the

specific localization of peaks in transposon genes raises the question of whether 6mA

could act as a regulator of specific TEs in *T. vaginalis*.

Like its genomic distribution, 6mA association with transcription is dependent of

the organism in question. It has been demonstrated that 6mA acts as a mark of active

transcription in *Arabidopsis* (35), *Drosophila* (27), green algae (29) and fungi (33),

while it is a mark of transcriptional repression in mouse (30) and rice (36). In *T.*

vaginalis, 6mA seems to be associated with silencing when found on genes.

Importantly, we cannot rule out the possibility of 6mA acting in conjunction with other

components of the epigenetic code to regulate gene expression in a context-dependent

manner. In future studies, it will be interesting to determine whether 6mA binding

proteins exist in *T. vaginalis* which could help regulate the response of chromatin to this

modification. An integrative analysis is necessary to fully understand how epigenetics

shapes the transcriptional landscape of *T. vaginalis*.

Chromatin looping is a common intrachromosomal protein-mediated interaction

capable of influencing gene expression. The function of these interactions is determined

by the nature of the sequences brought together and the proteins that interact with them

(52). For example, promoter-enhancer loops are strongly associated with gene activation

(53) and it has been proposed that the presence of chromatin loops may contribute to the

co-regulation of specific block of genes by increasing the local concentration of the

transcription machinery, resulting in the formation of structures similar to transcription

factories (54). Alternatively, chromatin looping has also been implicated in

transcriptional repression in *Drosophila* (55) and mammals (56). Interestingly, our

bioinformatics analysis revealed the presence of active and repressive intervals of

varying length flanked by 6mA. A chromatin conformation capture assay confirmed

that the methylated extremes in both transcriptionally active and repressive blocks of

genes are in close spatial proximity. Notably, some contained one or more members of

the BspA-like gene family of surface proteins which might be involved in parasite

pathogenesis (57). In *P. falciparum*, chromosomes containing virulence genes of the *var*

family form loops that permit the perinuclear colocalization of the var genes (58), and a

recent analysis demonstrated that this clustering of virulent genes is a common feature

among other *Plasmodium* species (59). Since clustered organization of virulence genes

allows coordination of gene expression in *Plasmodium* (59), future studies are

warranted to evaluate if the same is true for *T. vaginalis*.

The association of 6mA with chromatin looping is noteworthy because it points

to a novel function for this epigenetic mark in eukaryotes with scarce 5mC levels. Given

that this work demonstrated that chromatin structure may regulate, positively or

negatively, specific blocks of genes; these finding open new avenues for understanding

the role of 6mA writers, erasers and readers that may play an important role on the

transcriptional regulation of *T. vaginalis*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Parasites, cell cultures and media

Trichomonas vaginalis strains B7268 (60) and G3 (ATCC PRA-98, Kent, UK) were

cultured at 37°C in TYM medium supplemented with 10% horse serum, penicillin and

streptomycin (Invitrogen) (61). To obtain *M. hominis* free strains, parasite cultures were

treated for two weeks with the recommended working concentration of BM-Cyclin

(Roche). *M. hominis* clearance was confirmed by PCR as described previously (62).

6mA and 5mC immunolocalization experiments

Parasites in the absence of host cells were incubated at 37°C on glass coverslips as

previously described (63) for 4 hours, then fixed and followed by permeabilization in

cold methanol for 10 min. Cells were washed with PBS and incubated at 37°C with a

1:100 dilution of RNase A (QIAGEN) in PBS for 3 hours. Non-RNase A treated control

parasites were incubated for 3 hours in PBS. Parasites were washed once again, blocked

with 5% FBS in PBS for 30 min and incubated overnight with a 1:100 dilution of anti-

6mA or anti-5mC (Abcam, USA) primary antibody in PBS containing 2% FBS.

Parasites were washed and then incubated with a 1:5000 dilution of Alexa Fluor

conjugated secondary antibody (Molecular Probes). Nuclei was stained with DAPI (2

mg/ml) and coverslips were mounted onto microscope slips using Fluoromount

Aqueous Mounting Medium (Sigma-Aldrich). All observations were performed on a

Zeiss Axio Observer 7 epifluorescence microscope. Adobe Photoshop (Adobe Systems)

was used for image processing.

Genomic DNA extraction

T. vaginalis cultures were lysed using a solution of 8 M urea, 2% sarkosyl, 0.15 M

NaCl, 0.001 M EDTA, and 0.1 M Tris HCL pH7.5 (64). RNase A was added to a final

concentration of 0.1 mg/ml and lysates were incubated at 37°C for 2-3 hours. Total

DNA was extracted using phenol/chloroform/isoamyl alcohol (Sigma-Aldrich),

precipitated with isopropanol, and resuspended in nuclease-free water.

Dot Blot Assays

RNA free genomic DNA samples were diluted to the desired concentration and 1 µl of

each sample was spotted on Amersham Hybond-N+ membranes (GE Healthcare). For

anti-5mC blots, DNA was denatured for 10 minutes at 95°C. Membranes were allowed

to air dry and then DNA was crosslinked for 3 minutes twice in a Hoefer UVC 500

Crosslinker (Amersham Biosciences). Membranes were stained with 0.02% methylene

blue in 0.3 M NaOAc pH 5.2 solution for DNA loading control and then washed with

distilled water. The membranes were blocked for 1 hour in 5% milk TBS-T and

incubated overnight at 4°C with 1:1000 anti-6mA primary antibody dilution or 1:500

anti-5mC primary antibody dilution in 5% milk TBS-T. Membranes were washed three

times for 10 min with TBS-T and incubated with a 1:10000 dilution of AP-conjugated

secondary antibody in 5% milk TBS-T for 1 hour at room temperature. After three

washes of 10 min with TBS-T, blots were developed using NBT and BCIP (Roche).

Restriction enzyme digestion assay

Restriction enzyme digestion was performed by treating 1 μg of genomic DNA with 1

μl of 10 U/μl MboI or DpnI restriction enzyme (Thermo Scientific) at 25°C overnight.

Sample with no restriction enzyme was used as control. Enzyme was heat inactivated

and the product mixture was separated by agarose gel electrophoresis. Gels were

visualized with a UV transilluminator.

Quantification of 6mA by UHPLC-QQQ-MS/MS Analysis

6mA abundance was quantified as previously described (28, 51). 1.5 μg of genomic

DNA in 30 ml ddH2O was digested to free nucleosides using 5 U of DNA Degradase Plus

(Zymo Research) in 25 μl reactions incubated for 2 hours at 37°C. The diluted solution was

filtered through 0.22 mm filter and 10 ml solution was injected into LC-MS/MS. The

nucleosides were separated by reverse phase ultra-high performance liquid

chromatography (UHPLC) on a C18 column (Agilent), with online mass spectrometry

detection using Agilent 6460 QQQ triple-quadrupole tandem mass spectrometer

(MS/MS) set to multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) in positive electrospray ionization

mode. Nucleosides were quantified using the nucleoside precursor ion to base ion mass

transitions of 266.1-150.0 for 6mA and 252.1-136.0 for A. Quantification of the ratio

6mA/A was performed using the calibration curves obtained from nucleoside standards

running at the same time. Measurement of 6mA and dA levels in the DNA degradase

enzyme mix alone served as an additional control for background levels of nucleic acid

contributed by the digestion enzymes. The low levels of 6mA and dA in the DNA

degradase enzyme mix were subtracted from the final measurements. Because *T.*

vaginalis have relatively high levels of 6mA, the low levels of methylated and

unmethylated DNA in the reaction mix were a negligible fraction of the total digested

DNA samples.

Cell cycle synchronization

Parasites (5 x 105 cells/ml) were incubated overnight at 37°C in the presence of 100 μM

hydroxyurea (HU). The following day cells were resuspended in fresh medium without

HU, divided into 8 ml aliquots and left to grow at 37°C. An aliquot was taken every two

hours and was used for flow cytometry analysis to determine cell cycle stage. The

remaining sample was used for genomic DNA extraction to determine abundance of

6mA.

Flow cytometry

Parasites were treated as described previously (65). Parasites were fixed in 5 ml of ice-

cold 100% EtOH, and incubated at 4°C overnight. Each sample was washed once in 1

ml PBS containing 2% v/v bovine serum (BS) and resuspended in 1 ml PBS containing

180 μg/ml RNase A and 2% v/v BS. After 30 min incubation at 37°C samples were

stained with 25 μg/ml propidium iodide (PI) solution and incubated an additional 30

min at 37°C. Samples were analyzed using a fluorescence-activated cell sorter (BD

FACSCalibur; BD Biosciences, San José, CA, USA) with appropriate filter sets. Data

was analyzed using FlowJo 7.6 software.

MeDIP-seq

20 μg of purified genomic DNA from *T. vaginalis* strain B7268 (60) was diluted in 400

μl 1X IP buffer (10 mM Na-Phosphate pH 7, 140 mM NaCl, 0.05% Triton X-100) and

fragmented to 100-500 bp using a water-bath sonicator (Amp: 50%, Cycle: 30 sec ON

and 30 sec OFF, Time: 20 min). Sample was divided into aliquots containing 6-7 μg of

sonicated DNA and heat-denatured. 1 μg was saved and stored at -20°C to use as input

control, the rest was immunoprecipitated overnight at 4°C using 5 μg of anti-6mA

antibody (Abcam) bound to protein A magnetic beads (Invitrogen). After three washes

with 1X IP buffer, beads containing bound methylated DNA were resuspended in 250 μl

digestion buffer (50 mM Tris pH 8, 10 mM EDTA, 0.5% SDS) with 100 μg Proteinase

K (Roche) and incubated at 65°C for 4 h. Eluted DNA and input control samples were

purified using phenol/choloroform/isoamyl alcohol extraction followed by EtOH

precipitation. MeDIP samples were sent to Applied Biological Materials Inc. for library

preparation and paired-end sequencing. Two independent high-throughput sequencing

experiments,   each   containing   pooled   DNA   from   three   independent

immunoprecipitation, were performed.

Bioinformatics analysis of MeDIP-seq data

After the adaptors were trimmed, alignment of high-quality fastq reads to the reference

genome (GCF 000002825.2 ASM282v1) was performed using Bowtie2 (66) with –qc-

filter parameter and all other parameters at default settings. The BAM files were used as

input for MACS2(67), which was run with the –g parameter set at 1.76e8 and all other

parameters at default settings. Input reads were used as control sample for peak calling.

Peaks were then filtered by q-value (< 0.01) and fold enrichment (> 2). Only peaks with

more than 75% overlap between replicates were considered for further analysis.

HOMER2 (68) was used for peak annotation. For repetitive element annotation,

RepeatMasker v4.0. (69) was used with a custom library of *T. vaginalis* specific RE

taken from Repbase (70). GO enrichment analysis was performed using the Results

Analysis feature at TrichDB with default settings.

Chromatin conformation capture assay

Crosslinking of DNA was achieved by incubation of 50 ml (~1 x 106 cells/ml) of *T.*

vaginalis strain B7268 (60) culture with 1% formaldehyde for 15 min at room

temperature. Crosslinking was stopped by the addition of 125 mM glycine, followed by

5 min incubation at room temperature and then on ice for 15 min. Cells were harvested,

washed in 10 ml PBS/PI and resuspended in 1.4 ml of cold lysis buffer (10 mM Tris-

HCl pH 8, 10 mM NaCl, 0.2% NP-40, 1X PI) followed by homogenization with a

dounce homogenizer pestle A. After centrifugation, the pellet was washed once with

1.25X Buffer H (Promega) and resuspended in 500 μl of 1.25X Buffer H (Promega). A

total of 7.5 μl of SDS 20% was added and incubated for 40 min at 65°C and then for 20

min at 37°C. SDS was quenched with 50 μl of 20% Triton x-100 at 37°C for 1 h. 400U

of EcoRI (Promega) was added and incubated overnight at 37°C followed by enzyme

heat inactivation with 1% SDS at 65 °C for 20 min. Approximately 30 μl of digested

sample was set aside to test restriction enzyme efficiency by electrophoresis and PCR.

Digestion product was cooled and transferred to a pre-chilled 15 ml tube containing 4

ml 1.1X Ligase Buffer (Promega) and 1% Triton X-100. After 1 h incubation at 37°C

the sample was cooled down and mixed with 30 Weiss Units of T4 DNA Ligase

(Promega) and incubated for 4 h in a water bath at 16°C. Crosslinking was reversed

overnight at 65 °C in the presence of 300 μg of Proteinase K (Roche). DNA was

purified using phenol/choloroform/isoamyl alcohol extraction followed by EtOH

precipitation. The sequences of primers used in 3C assays are shown in supplementary

table 11. Two-fold serial dilutions starting with 100 ng/µl were used for PCR

amplification. 3C PCR fragments were purified and sequenced to verify the identity of

the cross-ligation product.

ACCESSION NUMBERS

All sequencing data that support the findings of this study have been deposited in the

NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) and are accessible through the SRA accession

number PRJNA526331. All other relevant data are available from the corresponding

author on request.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Dr. Pablo Manavella and our colleagues in the lab for helpful discussions.

FUNDING

This research was supported with a grant from the ANPCyT grant BID PICT 2015-2118

and PICT-2018-01892 (NdM). Work in the Greer lab was supported by NIH grants

DP2AG055947 and R21HG010066 (ELG) and work in the Johnson lab was supported

by R01AI30537. NdM and PHS are researchers from the National Council of Research

(CONICET) and UNSAM. AL is a PhD fellow from CONICET. The funders had no

role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of

the manuscript.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no competing interests.

REFERENCES

1.   Kissinger P (2015) Trichomonas vaginalis: a review of epidemiologic, clinical

and treatment issues. *BMC Infect Dis* 15:307.

2.   Silver BJ*, et al.* (2015) Incidence of curable sexually transmissible infections

among adolescents and young adults in remote Australian Aboriginal

communities: analysis of longitudinal clinical service data. *Sex Transm Infect*

91(2):135-141.

3.   Swygard H, Sena AC, Hobbs MM, & Cohen MS (2004) Trichomoniasis:

clinical manifestations, diagnosis and management. *Sex Transm Infect* 80(2):91-

95.

4.   Graham SM*, et al.* (2007) Initiation of antiretroviral therapy leads to a rapid

decline in cervical and vaginal HIV-1 shedding. *AIDS* 21(4):501-507.

5.   Gander S, Scholten V, Osswald I, Sutton M, & van Wylick R (2009) Cervical

dysplasia and associated risk factors in a juvenile detainee population. *J Pediatr*

Adolesc Gynecol 22(6):351-355.

6.   Twu O*, et al.* (2014) Trichomonas vaginalis homolog of macrophage migration

inhibitory factor induces prostate cell growth, invasiveness, and inflammatory

responses. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 111(22):8179-8184.

7.   Carlton JM*, et al.* (2007) Draft genome sequence of the sexually transmitted

pathogen Trichomonas vaginalis. *Science* 315(5809):207-212.

8.   Gould SB*, et al.* (2013) Deep sequencing of Trichomonas vaginalis during the

early infection of vaginal epithelial cells and amoeboid transition. *Int J Parasitol*

43(9):707-719.

9.   Huang KY*, et al.* (2014) Adaptive responses to glucose restriction enhance cell

survival, antioxidant capability, and autophagy of the protozoan parasite

Trichomonas vaginalis. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1840(1):53-64.

10.   Horvathova L*, et al.* (2012) Transcriptomic identification of iron-regulated and

iron-independent gene copies within the heavily duplicated Trichomonas

vaginalis genome. *Genome Biol Evol* 4(10):1017-1029.

11.   Smith A & Johnson P (2011) Gene expression in the unicellular eukaryote

Trichomonas vaginalis. *Res Microbiol* 162(6):646-654.

12.   Liston DR, Lau AO, Ortiz D, Smale ST, & Johnson PJ (2001) Initiator

recognition in a primitive eukaryote: IBP39, an initiator-binding protein from

Trichomonas vaginalis. *Mol Cell Biol* 21(22):7872-7882.

13.   Schumacher MA, Lau AO, & Johnson PJ (2003) Structural basis of core

promoter recognition in a primitive eukaryote. *Cell* 115(4):413-424.

14.   Smith AJ*, et al.* (2011) Novel core promoter elements and a cognate

transcription factor in the divergent unicellular eukaryote Trichomonas

vaginalis. *Mol Cell Biol* 31(7):1444-1458.

15.   Ong SJ, Huang SC, Liu HW, & Tai JH (2004) Involvement of multiple DNA

elements in iron-inducible transcription of the ap65-1 gene in the protozoan

parasite Trichomonas vaginalis. *Mol Microbiol* 52(6):1721-1730.

16.   Golbabapour S, Abdulla MA, & Hajrezaei M (2011) A concise review on

epigenetic regulation: insight into molecular mechanisms. *Int J Mol Sci*

12(12):8661-8694.

17.   Croken MM, Nardelli SC, & Kim K (2012) Chromatin modifications,

epigenetics, and how protozoan parasites regulate their lives. *Trends Parasitol*

28(5):202-213.

18.   Song MJ*, et al.* (2017) Epigenome mapping highlights chromatin-mediated gene

regulation in the protozoan parasite Trichomonas vaginalis. *Sci Rep* 7:45365.

19.   Pachano T*, et al.* (2017) Epigenetics regulates transcription and pathogenesis in

the parasite Trichomonas vaginalis. *Cell Microbiol* 19(6).

20.   Chen K, Zhao BS, & He C (2016) Nucleic Acid Modifications in Regulation of

Gene Expression. *Cell Chem Biol* 23(1):74-85.

21.   Jones PA & Takai D (2001) The role of DNA methylation in mammalian

epigenetics. *Science* 293(5532):1068-1070.

22.   Wei H*, et al.* (2017) Characterization of Cytosine Methylation and the DNA

Methyltransferases of Toxoplasma gondii. *Int J Biol Sci* 13(4):458-470.

23.   Ponts N*, et al.* (2013) Genome-wide mapping of DNA methylation in the human

malaria parasite Plasmodium falciparum. *Cell Host Microbe* 14(6):696-706.

24.   Fisher O, Siman-Tov R, & Ankri S (2004) Characterization of cytosine

methylated regions and 5-cytosine DNA methyltransferase (Ehmeth) in the

protozoan parasite Entamoeba histolytica. *Nucleic Acids Res* 32(1):287-297.

25.   Luo GZ, Blanco MA, Greer EL, He C, & Shi Y (2015) DNA N(6)-

640   methyladenine: a new epigenetic mark in eukaryotes? *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*

641   16(12):705-710.

642   26.   Vanyushin BF, Tkacheva SG, & Belozersky AN (1970) Rare bases in animal

643   DNA. *Nature* 225(5236):948-949.

644   27.   Zhang G, *et al.* (2015) N6-methyladenine DNA modification in Drosophila. *Cell*

645   161(4):893-906.

646   28.   Greer EL, *et al.* (2015) DNA Methylation on N6-Adenine in C. elegans. *Cell*

647   161(4):868-878.

648   29.   Fu Y, *et al.* (2015) N6-methyldeoxyadenosine marks active transcription start

649   sites in Chlamydomonas. *Cell* 161(4):879-892.

650   30.   Wu TP, *et al.* (2016) DNA methylation on N(6)-adenine in mammalian

651   embryonic stem cells. *Nature* 532(7599):329-333.

652   31.   Liu J, *et al.* (2016) Abundant DNA 6mA methylation during early

653   embryogenesis of zebrafish and pig. *Nat Commun* 7:13052.

654   32.   Koziol MJ, *et al.* (2016) Identification of methylated deoxyadenosines in

655   vertebrates reveals diversity in DNA modifications. *Nat Struct Mol Biol*

656   23(1):24-30.

657   33.   Mondo SJ, *et al.* (2017) Widespread adenine N6-methylation of active genes in

658   fungi. *Nat Genet* 49(6):964-968.

659   34.   Meyer KD & Jaffrey SR (2016) Expanding the diversity of DNA base

660   modifications with N(6)-methyldeoxyadenosine. *Genome Biol* 17:5.

661   35.   Liang Z, *et al.* (2018) DNA N(6)-Adenine Methylation in Arabidopsis thaliana.

662   *Dev Cell* 45(3):406-416 e403.

36.   Zhou C, *et al.* (2018) Identification and analysis of adenine N(6)-methylation

sites in the rice genome. *Nat Plants* 4(8):554-563.

37.   Bradic M, *et al.* (2017) Genetic Indicators of Drug Resistance in the Highly

Repetitive Genome of Trichomonas vaginalis. *Genome Biol Evol* 9(6):1658-

1672.

38.   Piknova M, Pristas P, Javorsky P, Kasperowic A, & Michalowski T (2004)

GATC-specific restriction and modification systems in treponemes. *Lett Appl*

Microbiol 38(4):311-314.

39.   Fichorova R, Fraga J, Rappelli P, & Fiori PL (2017) Trichomonas vaginalis

infection in symbiosis with Trichomonasvirus and Mycoplasma. *Res Microbiol*

168(9-10):882-891.

40.   Weber M, *et al.* (2005) Chromosome-wide and promoter-specific analyses

identify sites of differential DNA methylation in normal and transformed human

cells. *Nat Genet* 37(8):853-862.

41.   Leitsch D, Janssen BD, Kolarich D, Johnson PJ, & Duchene M (2014)

Trichomonas vaginalis flavin reductase 1 and its role in metronidazole

resistance. *Mol Microbiol* 91(1):198-208.

42.   Denker A & de Laat W (2016) The second decade of 3C technologies: detailed

insights into nuclear organization. *Genes Dev* 30(12):1357-1382.

43.   Rao SS, *et al.* (2014) A 3D map of the human genome at kilobase resolution

reveals principles of chromatin looping. *Cell* 159(7):1665-1680.

44.   Oyinlade O, *et al.* (2018) Analysis of KLF4 regulated genes in cancer cells

reveals a role of DNA methylation in promoter- enhancer interactions.

Epigenetics 13(7):751-768.

45.   Noel CJ*, et al.* (2010) Trichomonas vaginalis vast BspA-like gene family:

evidence for functional diversity from structural organisation and

transcriptomics. *BMC Genomics* 11:99.

46.   Yu XX*, et al.* (2018) Ascorbic acid induces global epigenetic reprogramming to

promote meiotic maturation and developmental competence of porcine oocytes.

Sci Rep 8(1):6132.

47.   Singh A*, et al.* (2018) Determination of the presence of 5-methylcytosine in

Paramecium tetraurelia. *PLoS One* 13(10):e0206667.

48.   Wang Y, Chen X, Sheng Y, Liu Y, & Gao S (2017) N6-adenine DNA

methylation is associated with the linker DNA of H2A.Z-containing well-

positioned nucleosomes in Pol II-transcribed genes in Tetrahymena. *Nucleic*

Acids Res 45(20):11594-11606.

49.   Iyer LM, Zhang D, & Aravind L (2016) Adenine methylation in eukaryotes:

Apprehending the complex evolutionary history and functional potential of an

epigenetic modification. *Bioessays* 38(1):27-40.

50.   Zhu JK (2009) Active DNA demethylation mediated by DNA glycosylases.

Annu Rev Genet 43:143-166.

51.   O'Brown ZK*, et al.* (2019) Sources of artifact in measurements of 6mA and 4mC

abundance in eukaryotic genomic DNA. *BMC Genomics* 20(1):445.

52.   Ong CT & Corces VG (2014) CTCF: an architectural protein bridging genome

topology and function. *Nat Rev Genet* 15(4):234-246.

53.   Rao SSP*, et al.* (2017) Cohesin Loss Eliminates All Loop Domains. *Cell*

171(2):305-320 e324.

54.   Rowley MJ & Corces VG (2018) Organizational principles of 3D genome

architecture. *Nat Rev Genet* 19(12):789-800.

55.   Eagen KP, Aiden EL, & Kornberg RD (2017) Polycomb-mediated chromatin

713   loops revealed by a subkilobase-resolution chromatin interaction map. *Proc Natl*

714   *Acad Sci U S A* 114(33):8764-8769.

715   56.   Guo Y, *et al.* (2018) CRISPR-mediated deletion of prostate cancer risk-

716   associated CTCF loop anchors identifies repressive chromatin loops. *Genome*

717   *Biol* 19(1):160.

718   57.   Hirt RP (2013) Trichomonas vaginalis virulence factors: an integrative

719   overview. *Sex Transm Infect* 89(6):439-443.

720   58.   Ay F, *et al.* (2014) Three-dimensional modeling of the P. falciparum genome

721   during the erythrocytic cycle reveals a strong connection between genome

722   architecture and gene expression. *Genome Res* 24(6):974-988.

723   59.   Bunnik EM, *et al.* (2018) Changes in genome organization of parasite-specific

724   gene families during the Plasmodium transmission stages. *Nat Commun*

725   9(1):1910.

726   60.   Upcroft JA & Upcroft P (2001) Drug susceptibility testing of anaerobic

727   protozoa. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 45(6):1810-1814.

728   61.   Clark CG & Diamond LS (2002) Methods for cultivation of luminal parasitic

729   protists of clinical importance. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 15(3):329-341.

730   62.   Blanchard A, *et al.* (1993) Evaluation of intraspecies genetic variation within the

731   16S rRNA gene of Mycoplasma hominis and detection by polymerase chain

732   reaction. *J Clin Microbiol* 31(5):1358-1361.

733   63.   de Miguel N, *et al.* (2010) Proteome analysis of the surface of Trichomonas

734   vaginalis reveals novel proteins and strain-dependent differential expression.

735   *Mol Cell Proteomics* 9(7):1554-1566.

64.   Mercer F, *et al.* (2016) Leukocyte Lysis and Cytokine Induction by the Human

Sexually Transmitted Parasite Trichomonas vaginalis. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*

10(8):e0004913.

65.   Iriarte LS, *et al.* (2018) TfVPS32 Regulates Cell Division in the Parasite

Tritrichomonas foetus. *J Eukaryot Microbiol* 65(1):28-37.

66.   Langmead B & Salzberg SL (2012) Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2.

Nat Methods 9(4):357-359.

67.   Zhang Y, *et al.* (2008) Model-based analysis of ChIP-Seq (MACS). *Genome*

Biol 9(9):R137.

68.   Heinz S, *et al.* (2010) Simple combinations of lineage-determining transcription

factors prime cis-regulatory elements required for macrophage and B cell

identities. *Mol Cell* 38(4):576-589.

69.   Smit A, Hubley, R & Green, P (2013-2015) RepeatMasker Open-4.0

70.   Bao W, Kojima KK, & Kohany O (2015) Repbase Update, a database of

repetitive elements in eukaryotic genomes. *Mob DNA* 6:11.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1: DNA methylation in *T. vaginalis* genome. A) Dot blot assay to detect 5mC

shows lack of signal in the genomic DNA of highly-adherent strain B7268 and less-

adherent strain G3. Left: gDNA dots stained with methylene blue for loading control.

Right: 5mC signal. Chicken gDNA was used as positive control. B)

Immunofluorescence assay using specific antibodies detects 5mC in the cytoplasm

(RNA) but fails to detect 5mC in *T. vaginalis* nucleus of RNase-treated parasites.

Nucleus was stained with DAPI. C) Immunofluorescence assay using anti-6mA specific

antibody evidenced this modification in the cytoplasm (RNA) and nucleus (gDNA), or

exclusively in the nucleus of RNase-treated parasites. Nucleus was stained with DAPI.

D) Methylation sensitive RE assay shows the presence of methylated and un-methylated

adenines in *T. vaginalis* gDNA. Lane 1: MW. Lane 2: undigested gDNA. Lane 3: MboI

treated gDNA (cuts GATC sites). Lane 4: DpnI treated gDNA (cuts G6mATC sites). E)

Anti-6mA dot blot assay of RNA-free gDNA shows similar abundance of 6mA in the

genomic DNA of strain B7268 and strain G3. Left: gDNA dots stained with methylene

blue for loading control. Right: 6mA signal. F) Quantification of methylated bases in

the gDNA of adherent strain B7268 and less-adherent strain G3 using UHPLC followed

by mass spectrometry shows high levels of 6mA and low levels of 5mC, but not

differences among stains for both modifications. Each column represents the mean and

SD of three independent experiments per group. Graphic is shown in logarithmic scale.

Figure 2: 6mA MeDIP-seq of adherent strain B7268. A) Schematic representation of

the adapted MeDIP-seq protocol. B) Dot blot assay of the different MeDIP fractions

shows enrichment of 6mA-containing fragments in the 6mA-IP fraction compared to the

input control. Lack of signal in the IgG-IP fraction shows the specificity of 6mA

antibody. C) Workflow showing final peak count obtained from two independent 6mA

MeDIP-seq experiments. Peaks were filtered by q-value (<0.01) and fold enrichment

(>2). Only peaks with at least 75% overlap between experiments were considered for

further study. D) Genome browser visualization of 6mA peaks. Representative image of

three different contigs (DS113177, DS113178 and DS113181) shows 6mA possesses a

primarily intergenic localization. To row: 6mA peaks. Bottom row: *T. vaginalis* genome

Figure 3: Genome distribution of 6mA peaks. A) 6mA is enriched at intergenic

regions. Annotated peaks were grouped according to their genomic localization: peaks

that fall within the transcription start sites (TSS), coding region and transcription

termination sites (TTS) were considered gene peaks and grouped together, the rest of

the peaks were considered intergenic. MeDIP: Percentages of intergenic and gene peaks

according MeDIP-seq analysis. Shuffled: MeDIP-seq peaks were randomly distributed

across the genome and the percentage of gene and intergenic peaks was calculated. B)

Enrichment of 6mA at the TTS region of methylated genes. MeDIP: Percentages of

peaks within the TSS, CDS and TTS regions of methylated genes according MeDIP-seq

analysis. Shuffled: Peaks randomly distributed across the genome. C) Identity of *T.*

vaginalis methylated genes. A total of 642 MeDIP peaks fall within 512 genes: 61%

belong to transposable elements described in the parasite (*T. vginalis* TEs), 9% of genes

possess sequence similarity to repetitive elements found in other organisms (other TEs),

22% are *T. vaginalis* specific hypothetical proteins with unknown functions

(hypothetical proteins), 8% are genes with sequence similarity to genes from other

organisms (genes with known domains). D) Identity of *T. vaginalis* methylated

repetitive elements. Only intergenic peaks with more than 50% overlap with a *T.*

vaginalis RE were considered, obtaining a total of 5596 peaks. Most represented DNA

transposon superfamilies included Mutator (MuDR) with 24% of all peaks, Polinton

(27%) and Kolobok (16%). Less represented TE superfamilies included hAT (8%),

Mariner (5%) and P (8%). 10% of the peaks were found in *T. vaginalis* DNA repeat

DNA7.

Figure 4: Expression levels of genes closest to 6mA DNA methylation. A) Intragenic

6mA methylation is associated with low expression. 82% of the 512 identified

methylated genes are poorly expressed (RPKM =< 1), 14% moderately expressed (1 <

RPKM =< 20), and 4% highly expressed (RPKM > 20). B) Percentage of genes with

different expression levels near intergenic 6mA peaks. Of the 6000 genes closest to

intergenic methylation, 3023 have low expression, 2106 have moderate expression and

871 genes have high expression, corresponding to 7.9%, 13.5% and 16.4% of all *T.*

vaginalis poorly, moderately and highly expressed genes respectively. C) Bar plot

comparing the median and interquartile range of RPKM expression of genes near

intergenic 6mA and genes in non-methylated regions shows genes near intergenic

methylation possess a significantly higher expression than genes in non-methylated

regions. The p-value was calculated by a two-sided Mann-Whitney test (P<0.0001). D)

Distribution of methylation frequency show intergenic 6mA is primarily located

between -1500pb and +1000bp of the nearest not expressed (RPKM =< 1) or expressed

(RPKM > 1) genes. Methylation frequency of intergenic peaks was calculated and

plotted against the distance to the nearest gene, with 0 corresponding to peaks that fall

within genes.

Figure 5: Gene blocks flanked by intergenic 6mA. A) Representation of intervals

delimited by 6mA peaks comprised of genes with the similar level of expression. 1046

intervals were found, 452 containing not expressed genes (red) and 592 containing

expressed genes (green). B) Quantification of intervals flanked by 6mA containing

different numbers of expressed (green) and non-expressed (red) genes.

Figure 6: Chromatin conformation capture assay evidence loop formation in *T.*

***vaginalis* genome.** A) Schematic representation of the chromatin conformation capture

assay (3C). B) 3C assay shows evidence of loop formation in *T. vaginalis* genome.

Lanes 1-4: serial dilutions of uncrosslinked control template. Lanes 5: undigested

gDNA. Lanes 6-9: serial dilutions of 3C template. Active: amplification of a regions

containing a block of transcriptionally-active genes. Repressive: amplification of

regions containing a block of transcriptionally-active genes. Non-digested control:

amplification of a region without a restriction enzyme site in between the utilized

primers.

Figure 7: 3C assay on parasites treated with ascorbic acid. A) Quantification of

6mA levels of parasites treated with different concentrations of ascorbic acid (AA)

shows diminution of 6mA abundance in parasites treated with 100 mM AA compared to

untreated parasites. Each column represents the mean and SD of two independent

experiments. D) 3C assay of parasites exposed to 100mM of AA (+) shows diminished

loop formation compared to non-treated parasites (-). 50 ng of 3C template was used for

PCR amplification using primers for each active and repressive loop. Non-digested

control: amplification of a region without a restriction enzyme site in between the

utilized primers.

A)

B)

Expression Level	nº of genes near peaks	total nº of genes	% of genes near peaks
Low	3023	38134	7,9
Moderate	2106	15643	13,5
High	871	5313	16,4

C)

D)

ACTIVE

REPRESSIVE

A)

Crosslinking

RE digestion

Intramolecular ligation

Reverse crosslink

PCR detection

B)

Loop p456-457 (6 genes)

Loop p690-692 (11 genes)

Loop p806-807 (8 genes)

Loop p4130-4131 (8 genes)

Input control (non-digested region)

Loop p657-658 (11 genes)

Loop p786-787 (7 genes)

Loop p1871-1873 (22 genes)

Loop p5512-5513 (11 genes)

Control   3C

A)

B)

	ACTIVE	REPRESSIVE

| Input control | Loop p456-457 | Loop p690-692 | Loop p806-807 | Loop p4130-4131 | Loop p657-658 | Loop p786-787 | Loop p1871-1873 | Loop p5512-5513 |

AA (100mM)   +   -   +   -   +   -   +   -   +   -   +   -   +   -   +   -   +   -

300–
200–
100–