Title

Microbial community dynamics and coexistence in a sulfide-driven phototrophic bloom

Authors

Srijak Bhatnagar†, Elise S. Cowley†, Sebastian H. Kopf, Sherlynette Pérez Castro,
Sean Kearney, Scott C. Dawson, Kurt Hanselmann, S. Emil Ruff *

† Denotes equal contribution

* Corresponding author

Author Affiliations

SB: Geomicrobiology Group, University of Calgary, Alberta, Canada;
srijak.bhatnagar@ucalgary.ca

ESC: Medical Scientist Training Program, School of Medicine and Public Health,
University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI, USA; ecowley@wisc.edu

SHK: Department of Geological Sciences, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, USA;
sebastian.kopf@colorado.edu

SPC: Ecosystems Center/Bay Paul Center, Marine Biological Laboratory, Woods Hole,
MA, USA; sperezcastro@mbl.edu

SK: Department of Biological Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology,
Cambridge, MA, USA; skearney@mit.edu

SCD: Department of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics, University of California
Davis, CA, USA; scdawson@ucdavis.edu

KH: Department of Earth Sciences, ETH-Z, Zürich, CH; kurt.hanselmann@erdw.ethz.ch

SER: Ecosystems Center/Bay Paul Center, Marine Biological Laboratory, Woods Hole,
MA, USA; eruff@mbl.edu

Keywords

Microbial succession, Green sulfur bacteria, Prosthecochloris, microbial bloom, brackish

coastal ecosystem, anoxygenic phototrophy, Microviridae, virus, CRISPR-Cas,

resilience

Abstract

Phototrophic microbial mats commonly contain multiple phototrophic lineages that coexist based on their light, oxygen and nutrient preferences. Here we show that similar coexistence patterns and ecological niches can occur in suspended phototrophic blooms of an organic-rich estuary. The water column showed steep gradients of oxygen, pH, sulfate, sulfide, and salinity. The upper part of the bloom was dominated by aerobic phototrophic *Cyanobacteria*, the middle and lower parts were dominated by anoxygenic purple sulfur bacteria (*Chromatiales*) and green sulfur bacteria (*Chlorobiales*), respectively. We found multiple uncultured phototrophic lineages and present metagenome-assembled genomes of two uncultured organisms within the *Chlorobiales*. Apparently, those *Chlorobiales* populations were affected by *Microviridae* viruses. We suggest a cryptic sulfur cycle within the bloom in which elemental sulfur produced by phototrophs is reduced to sulfide by *Desulfuromonas sp*. These findings improve our understanding of the ecology and ecophysiology of phototrophic blooms and their impact on biogeochemical cycles.

Introduction

Estuarine and coastal water bodies are dynamic and ubiquitous ecosystems that are often characterized by the mixing of terrestrial freshwater and ocean saltwater. Brackish habitats can have striking physical and chemical characteristics that differ from both fresh and saltwater ecosystems (McLusky and Elliott, 2004; Moore, 1999). Brackish ecosystems are very diverse and support large microbial and macrobial communities (McLusky and Elliott, 2004). Estuaries also provide crucial ecosystem services, the most salient of which is trapping and filtering terrestrial runoffs and pollutants before they enter the oceans (Jay et al., 2007; Nelson and Zavaleta, 2012; Pant and Reddy, 2001).

Estuaries harbor abundant and diverse microbial communities that participate in the cycling of carbon, nitrogen, sulfur, and phosphorus. These communities fix carbon dioxide through photosynthesis or chemosynthesis (Boschker et al., 2014; Ritchie and Johnson, 2012; Waidner and Kirchman, 2005). Additionally, carbon introduced as

organic matter from the oceans or land can be remineralized by heterotrophic microbial

communities (Moran et al., 2000; Peduzzi and Herndl, 1991; Smith and Hollibaugh,

1993). This decomposition can deposit sulfide in sediments (Capone and Kiene, 1988).

Further, sulfate brought into estuaries by ocean waters can be utilized by sulfate

reducers, which convert sulfate into elemental sulfur or sulfide (Capone and Kiene,

1988; Purdy et al., 2002). The combination of sulfate introduced by ocean water and

sulfide released from decomposition in the sediments is part of the chemocline of the

brackish water column (Zopfi et al., 2001). Additionally, estuaries and coastal marshes

often exhibit a halocline and the depletion of oxygen in the water column can create an

oxycline (Lee et al., 2015; Long, 1976). These gradients can produce habitats and

niches that influence microbial community structure at different depths and conversely

the microbial community can respond to these gradients (Jørgensen and Revsbech,

1983; Møller et al., 1985; Wimpenny et al., 1982).

The physicochemical properties of estuaries fluctuate frequently and rapidly as a result

of many factors, for example, tidal cycles, weather patterns, and seasonal cycles (Allen

et al., 1980; Badr et al., 2008; Garvine, 1985; Haas, 1977; Maie et al., 2006; Simpson et

al., 1990). Such disturbances can bring about noticeable changes in the microbial

community structure of the ecosystem, including blooms or declines in the populations

of one or more members of the microbial community, as well as changes in the richness

and evenness of the community (Bernhard et al., 2005; Henriques et al., 2006; Lv et al.,

2016; Muylaert et al., 2000; Zaikova et al., 2010).

Trunk River in Woods Hole, MA is a brackish ecosystem, on the coast of Vineyard

Sound (N 41.535236, W -70.641298). Near the mouth, Trunk River forms a shallow

lagoon where freshwater mixes with seawater. Storms, tides, and run-off introduce large

amounts of biomass to the pond forming thick layers of decaying seagrass and other

organic matter. The pond has a distinct sulfidic odor that emanates from the water and

gases bubble up from the sediment. Episodically, strikingly yellow microbial blooms can

be observed just below the water surface (see Figure 1, S1) that typically disappear

again within days to weeks. These transient blooms were observed to occur in natural

depressions in the decaying organic matter and anecdotally seemed to be initiated by

physical disturbance events, potentially from storms, tidal extremes, human activity, or

animals. Given this visually striking natural ecological progression, we set out to test

whether physical disturbance alone could trigger the blooms.

To understand the mechanisms of bloom development, we thus mimicked such

disturbances of the brackish ecosystem by creating artificial depressions in the

decaying organic matter, and monitored the microbial community response and

population dynamics, as well as investigated ecological niches of the key populations.

Based on previous observations, we hypothesized that i) the disturbance would cause a

sulfide-driven phototrophic bloom ii) due to its rapid development the bloom would be

largely dominated by very few populations and iii) sharp photo-/ and physicochemical

gradients would establish that cause narrow habitats and niches. We discuss the

resulting reproducible ecological succession and provide insights into the habitats,

niches, and resilience of such widespread ecosystems. Our findings contribute to the

understanding of the ecological processes and dynamics that shape phototrophic

blooms, which are a naturally occurring phenomenon in many ecosystems.

Results

<u>Visual Observations</u>

At the first sampling time point (2 days post-disturbance), no difference was observed in

the water column or in the samples collected from different depths. Two days later (time

point 2, 4 days post-disturbance), a faint pink layer was observed in the water column,

and faint shades of yellow in samples from the 25 cm depth (Figure S2). At the next

sampling time point (time point 3; 5 days post-disturbance), we observed a bright yellow

suspension below the water surface (Figure 1). Of the samples collected at this time

point, the samples from 25 cm depth were most distinctly yellow (Figure S2). The yellow

color of the suspension intensified by time point 4 (7 days post-disturbance). No

remarkable visual changes in the system were observed for the subsequent three time

points (time points 5, 6, and 7). During these three time points, the yellow suspension

only slightly changed. At time point 8 (16 days post-disturbance), the holes had partially

collapsed, the water was much clearer and the 25-cm samples showed a reduction in

the intensity of the yellow color (Figure S2). We found a green layer at the bottom of each hole, seemingly from sedimented GSB. It has to be noted that the opacity of the samples was higher at the first two timepoints than at the following timepoints. Especially at timepoint 1 the suspension was beige and very opaque, while later on the suspension became more yellow, but also more translucent (Figure S2).

Figure 1: Sampling sites. A. Aerial view of experimental sites (A, E, and K) in the Trunk river lagoon. The water enters the lagoon from the right and exits to the sea through a channel marked outlet. **B.** View of a **LEMON** (**L**ong-term **E**nvironmental **MON**itoring) sampling device during sample collection on time point 3; 5 days post-disturbance. **C.** Schematic of the LEMON.

Physicochemistry of the water column

Within the first three days the pH decreased between one and two units in all layers, with lowest values present in the deepest layer (Figure 2). Over the 15-day sampling period, pH showed more variation in the two upper layers than in the two deeper layers were it was very constant at values between 6 and 6.3. Throughout the experiment the water column in all three experiments showed a stable halocline with brackish water (5 ‰ salinity) at the water surface and saltwater (30 ‰) at 35 cm depth (Figure 2). Salinity increased with depth and was 12 ‰ and 23 ‰ at 10 cm and 25 cm, respectively. Major ions also reflect this trend (e.g. calcium, potassium in Figure S5). The dissolved oxygen (DO) concentrations showed a stable oxycline between 10 and 25 cm. Above 10 cm, DO was always higher than 20 % (36±17 %) and below that DO was always below 20 % (9±9 %). The oxygen concentration slowly decreased in the upper two layers during the first half of the experiment, but recovered again to the original values towards the end of the experiment. At 5 and 10 cm, DO was approximately 41 % and 30 %, respectively (Figure 2). At 25 and 35 cm, the average DO measurements were ≈ 12 % and 5%, respectively. The sulfate concentrations in the water column decreased along the depth gradient, with the highest sulfate concentration at 5 cm (≈ 2 mM) and the lowest at 25 cm (≈ 0.2 mM) (Figure 2). In contrast, the sulfide concentrations were lowest at 5 cm (Figure 2F). Interestingly, the greatest sulfide concentration was measured at 10 cm depth peaking at over 1 mM towards the end of the experiment. Below 10 cm, sulfide concentration was still high, but declined to 0.75 mM ± 0.22 at 25 cm and 0.5 mM ± 0.17 at 35 cm. The normalized biomass measured for the 5 cm samples throughout the sampling period was nearly zero (Figure 2). At 10 cm, 25 cm, and 35 cm, the normalized biomass measured was approximately, 0.2 mg/mL, 0.3 mg/mL, and 0.2 mg/mL, respectively. For details concerning iron (Fe(II), Fe(III), total Fe), nitrate, calcium, potassium, ammonium and acetate refer to Supplementary Results and Figure S5.

Figure 2: **Physicochemistry**. The physicochemical measurements of the sampling sites.
Salinity (parts per thousand). The x-axis shows days since disturbance and the y-axis the
respective units. For further parameters (Fe (II); Fe (III); Total Fe, nitrate) refer to SI.

Spectral Absorbance of Phototrophic Community

We measured absorbance spectra from filters of samples from A, E and K experiments
(Figure S3) and compared the spectra to those of representative cultured species of the
most abundant phototrophic genera from the literature (Borrego et al., 1999; Caumette
et al., 1997; Oren, 2011; Srinivas et al., 2009; Stomp et al., 2008). All absorbance
spectra were normalized to the respective highest peak (Figure 3). Our results show
that pigments belonging to PSB were prominent in the upper layer of the bloom (orange
spectra), while GSB pigments dominated the lower layer of the bloom (red line).
Pigments characteristic for *Cyanobacteria* were less abundant at peak bloom and
increased relatively at the end of the experiment. Pigments of all major phototrophs
were detected throughout the experiment. The spectral results suggest the coexistence
of multiple phototrophs over the entire duration of the experiment.

Figure 3: **Spectral Absorbance**. Sample spectra show averages of at least three replicates per sample. Color bands in the sample data indicate one standard deviation (bands are mostly smaller than center line). Gray vertical bands indicate major absorbance peaks of the *Prosthecochloris* and *Chlorobaculum* group (720-760 nm) and the *Allochromatium* and *Halochromatium* group (790-810 nm and 845-865 nm) highlighting the transient appearance and likely dominance of these phototrophs over the course of the experiments. Also indicated is the general phototroph absorbance band in the 425-465 nm window. Cyanobacterial groups (red and green) have distinct absorbance peaks in the 500-700 nm range that are not prominent in the sample spectra except for the characteristic 670-685 nm peak (also highlighted in gray) reflecting the presence but likely smaller role of these taxa during the experiment.

<u>Microbial Community Structure and Taxonomic Composition</u>

At the beginning of the experiment, the microbial diversity was high in all four water depths and very similar across replicate ecosystems. Alpha diversity rapidly decreased with the onset of the bloom, and within two days the communities in the four depth layers substantially changed (Figure 4, 5, S6, S7). The number of observed amplicon sequence variants (ASV), as well as estimated richness, Shannon entropy, and Inverse Simpson diversity significantly decreased between the surface water and the water at a depth of 10 cm and 25 cm (Figure 4; p=0.001). This change is most striking in the case of Inverse Simpson diversity, a measure for evenness. In just one day, evenness dropped in both 10 cm and 25 cm water depth by over one order of magnitude to low single digit values (Figure 4; Table S1). This means the community was extremely dominated by one ASV (a pure culture has an Inverse Simpson diversity of 1).

Figure 4: Alpha diversity. Diversity Indices of all samples grouped by depth. Pairwise comparisons with low significance levels are shown (NS, *: p<0.1, **: p<0.01). All pairwise comparisons that are not shown were highly significant (***: p<0.001), e.g. panel A 5 cm vs 10 cm.

The rapid and profound change of community structure is corroborated by a high
turnover of ASV between the layers and timepoints, as shown by non-metric
multidimensional scaling (Figure 5, S7). The top layer is well separated from the deeper
layers. The communities at 25 cm water depth experienced the largest turnover, i.e.
change in community structure, as shown in the ordination (Figure 5). The more distant
two points are on the ordination plot the less similar are the underlying communities.
Interestingly, the communities of all three deep layers (15 – 35 cm) had a similar
community structure at the beginning of the experiment and then seemed to converge
again at the end, yet at a different part of the ordination plot. This pattern on the
ordination plot indicates that the bloom shifted the microbial communities to an
alternative stable state.

Figure 5: Microbial Community Turnover. Circle size represents average Shannon Diversity
across three replicate holes. Sampling time points are indicated as numbers. The ordination
shows that communities in 15-35 cm water depth are very different from those in the top layer.
The trajectories indicate that layer 2 and 3 underwent the most substantial changes in
community structure. The community at 25 cm depth had a striking loss in diversity during the
experiment, yet seemed to have recovered at the last time point. Holes were very similar (see SI
Figure S4) and were averaged for clarity. ASV: Amplicon Sequence Variant. Depth is not a
significant indicator for community structure using replicate averages, however in each hole the
communities in the different layers are overlapping but different (see Figure S4).

The taxonomic composition was assessed at all phylogenetic levels (Figure 6, S8). We

observed a total of 73 bacterial phyla. The surface community (5 cm) remained

relatively unchanged throughout the experiment and was dominated by *Proteobacteria*,

Chlorobi, *Cyanobacteria* and *Actinobacteria*. The communities in the deeper layers were

more dynamic, being dominated by *Bacteroidetes*, *Proteobacteria*, *Firmicutes*, and

Chloroflexi. In general, taxonomic diversity was highest in the deepest layer (35 cm).

The profound change in diversity was accompanied by a change in composition. Within

a few days, there was a substantial increase in the abundance of *Chlorobi*, which

comprised more than 75% of the community at that time. This increase persisted for

nine days, but levelled off at the end of the experiment. The datasets of all layers and

timepoints were dominated by ASVs affiliating with phototrophic organisms, as shown

by relative sequence abundances on genus level (Figure 6A). Some phototrophs

occurred in all layers at similar relative sequence abundances, such as *Halochromatium*

and "*Candidatus* Chloroploca". The stable surface layer harbored *Cyanobium* and

"*Candidatus* Aquiluna", which decreased in the deeper layers. The upper layer of the

bloom showed an increased relative sequence abundance of *Allochromatium,* the lower

bloom layer was dominated by *Prosthecochloris* and *Chlorobaculum* (Figure S10).

Interestingly, almost all *Prosthecochloris* affiliated reads belonged to a single sequence

variant, while ASV diversity affiliated with the closely related *Chlorobaculum* increased

over time (Figure 6B, S9).

The relative sequence abundance of *Chlorobiales* noticeably changed at 25 cm depth,

where the yellow microbial bloom was visually observed. *Chlorobiales* ASVs accounted

for >25 % of reads in our dataset. To identify the phylogeny of ASV affiliating with

Chlorobiales, we placed the representative sequence of each ASV on a reference tree

of known *Chlorobiales*. The most abundant *Chlorobiales* ASV (ASV_1) affiliated with the

genus *Prosthecochloris*, and specifically in the monophyletic clade of *Prosthecochloris*

vibrioformis (Figure S11), followed by an ASV (ASV_2) affiliating with *Chlorobaculum*.

Together, these two ASVs account for >97 % of the *Chlorobiales* reads. In general, we

found a high number of unclassified lineages. The 20 most abundant ASV accounted for

about 50 % of all sequences, twelve of those belonged to unclassified genera or

families (Figure S8B). The novelty was especially high within the *Chromatiaceae* five of the eight ASV that ranked among the "top 20" belonged to an unclassified genus.

Figure 6: Microbial community composition on genus level. A: Relative sequence abundance of genera found in different depths (colors) and timepoints (x-axis). Relative sequence abundances were averaged across triplicates, due to the high similarity of all three experiments. Clades that are anaerobic (O), involved in the sulfur cycle (S), or phototrophic (λ) are indicated by full squares. **B**: Relative sequence abundance of amplicon sequence variants (ASV) within the order *Chlorobiales*. The graph shows average values of the three replicate experiments for clarity. The replicate experiments were very similar (see SI Figure S5 and S6).

Chlorobiales-affiliated metagenome-assembled genomes

We calculated the index of replication (iRep) (Brown et al., 2016) of the _Prostheco-_

chloris and _Chlorobaculum_ populations based on the metagenome-assembled genomes

(MAGs) that were recovered from the community metagenomes of two replicate

experiments (Replicates A, E) and the enrichment culture (SK) at timepoint 7. Both

populations were replicating rapidly. _Prosthecochloris_ (bin10) had an iRep value of 3.7

(r^2=0.90, sample 7A3), which indicates that on average every cell had 2.5 replication

events at the time of sampling. _Chlorobaculum_ (bin 6) had iRep values of 2.5 (r^2=0.95,

sample 7E3) and 2.8 (r^2=0.95, sample 7K3), indicating that on average every cell had

~1.5 replication events. Bin 6 (_Chlorobaculum sp.)_ and Bin 10 (_Prosthecochloris sp._)

contain CRISPR arrays denoted as either type I (_cas3_) or III (_cas10_) CRISPR systems

(Makarova et al., 2015) (Figure S16, S17). CRISPR predictions revealed 3 direct repeat

sequences in both MAGs of 30 and 35 (2) bp in length for Bin 6 and 37, 32, and 33 for

the Bin 10 (Table S4). None of the spacers were shared by the closest reference and

representative genomes or matched sequences in the CRISPR database (Grissa et al.,

2007). However, a highly similar CRISPR array and direct repeat sequence were found

between our Bin 6 and _Chlorobaculum parvum_ NCBI8327 with 60% _cas_ genes similarity

(Figure S16). The metagenomes of all experiments, as well as of the GSB enrichment

culture contained high relative sequence abundances of viruses affiliating with

Microviridae (Figure S18).

Discussion

In this study, we mimicked naturally-occurring disturbances in the decaying seagrass

bed of Trunk River to study microbial community succession. We performed triplicate

experiments that showed very similar physicochemical gradients and patterns of

community structure. The observed slight variations between replicate sites were likely

due to differences in the organic matter composition and distance to the lagoon access,

or due to ripples and disturbances caused by weather, animals, and sampling scientists.

The replicated disturbances of the organic matter layers (A-hole, E-hole, and K-hole)

released trapped sulfide and caused the rapid establishment of steep physicochemical

gradients as well as the development of a bloom of sulfide-oxidizing phototrophs. We

monitored the community assembly and succession and highlight the ecological niches
of key populations and their possible mechanisms of coexistence.

Biogeochemistry of the water column

The Trunk River lagoon is a brackish estuary approximately on sea level and
characterized by shallow, warm water. It has one small freshwater inlet, and one small
outlet to the sea, resulting in a long residence time and low flow velocity of the water.
The freshwater overlays a saltwater lens creating very a stable salinity gradient from
brackish water at the surface to basically seawater at the sediment surface in 40 cm
water depth (Figure 2), typical of brackish water estuaries (Levinton, 1995). Before the
experiment the pH decreased from mildly basic near the surface (~ pH 9) to around
seawater near the sediments (~ pH 8). After the disturbance the salinity gradient
remained stable over the 15-day sampling period, pH however decreased drastically in
all layers to as low as ~ 6.25 in the bottom layers (Figure 2). This pH decrease is likely
due to hydrogen sulfide and its dissociation from H_2S to HS^-. The dissolved oxygen
(DO) concentration also decreased with water depth (Figure 2) being below 20 %
saturation in the bottom layers throughout most of the experiment, thus the bottom layer
being *de facto* anoxic. In addition, DO decreased substantially even in the top layers in
the first half of the experiment, recovering in parallel to the slow collapse of the bloom.
The larger variations in pH and DO at 5 cm and 10 cm as compared to 25 cm and 35
cm indicate that the top layers were the more dynamic part of the water column.
Together, the observations – that were very similar in the replicate experiments - show
that after the system settled from the initial perturbations, it reached a stable state with
stable physicochemical gradients in the stratified water column.

Indications for a cryptic sulfur cycle in the water column

Sulfate concentrations in the bottom layers decreased substantially within the first days,
and were lowest in the bloom layer at 25 cm depth, where sulfate was almost entirely
depleted. We found sulfate-reducers affiliating with *Desulfobacteraceae* and
Desulfobulbaceae in the hypoxic layers of the bloom (Figure S8) likely producing sulfide
using either hydrogen or organic acids released from fermented organic matter layers.

The sulfide concentrations were highest at the upper boundary of the bloom at 10 cm

water depth after the system stabilized around day 6 (Figure 2). This is unexpected

since reduced sulfur species, especially hydrogen sulfide, are the electron donor for the

green and purple phototrophs and thus should have been depleted in these layers. At

the same time, we found an increased relative abundance of sulfur-reducing

Desulfuromonas sp. in the bloom layers, peaking at around 15 % relative sequence

abundance. *Desulfuromonas sp.* are known to live in freshwater ecosystems and

reduce elemental sulfur to sulfide (Finster et al., 1997, 1994; Pfennig and Biebl, 1976),

which in turn can be reused by the sulfide-oxidizing phototrophs. This suggests that

sulfide was replenished by sulfate reducers from sulfate as well as from sulfur reducers

from sulfur produced by the phototrophs indicating a "sulfur loop" in the bloom carried

out by multiple species across several phyla (Figure 7). At early timepoints the microbial

suspension was beige and milky, indicating the presence of large amounts of elemental

sulfur in the sample (Figure S2). Later the samples turned more yellow, due to an

increase in phototrophic organisms and their photopigments (Figure 2, 3), but also

cleared up and became translucent (Figure S2). Taken together this indicates that

Desulfuromonas sp. reduced the elemental sulfur that was produced by the anoxygenic

phototrophs so quickly that it was not accumulating in the suspension. This suggested

sulfur loop - potentially a cryptic sulfur cycle depending on the concentration of the

intermediate S^0 - provides a positive feedback that could explain the very rapid

development of the bloom. The involved *Chlorobi* and *Deltaproteobacteria* could even

form tight aggregates to efficiently use the common intermediate similar to

Chlorochromatium aggregatum (Wanner et al., 2008), a topic that merits future

research.

<u>Assembly and coexistence of phototrophic microorganisms</u>

The yellowish layer in the water column (fondly termed "microbial lemonade", Figure

1C) formed around two to four days post-disturbance and was fully established by day

six. The lemonade layer occurred between 10 – 30 cm water depth (Figure S2) with

highest cell numbers and biomass at around 25 cm water depth (Figure 2, S4) in

brackish, mildly acidic, and hypoxic waters (Figure 2). The microbial lemonade

represented a multispecies phototrophic bloom, dominated by green and purple sulfur

bacteria. Due to the relative influence of green and purple sulfur bacteria and their

photopigments, the color of the bloom slightly shifted from yellow-green at early

timepoints to orange at mid timepoints back to yellow-green at late timepoints (Figure

S2). This is reflected by the pigment spectra collected at the different timepoints (Figure

3).

Interestingly, the sequencing data suggested that especially the lower layer of the

bloom was dominated by an apparently clonal population of green sulfur bacteria

affiliated with *Prosthecochloris vibrioformis*. The green sulfur bacteria are sulfur-

oxidizing, strictly anaerobic, obligate photoautotrophs (Alexander and Imhoff, 2006).

Yet, based on our oxygen measurements, the Trunk River GSB population apparently

tolerated low oxygen concentrations. The low concentration of dissolved oxygen at 25

cm depth combined with sulfide, salinity, and low light created an optimal habitat for

Prosthecochloris sp.

Despite the dominance of few populations the disturbance created a habitat with

gradients of pH, salinity, light, oxygen, and sulfide that enabled the coexistence of

multiple phototrophic clades from at least five different phyla (*Actinobacteria, Chlorobi,*

Chloroflexi, Cyanobacteria and Gammaproteobacteria). The coexistence of these high

number of organisms competing for the same energy source is due to the different

absorption maxima of each clades' photopigments (Figure 3), need for different electron

donors, and the varying salinity and oxygen tolerances of each clade. *P. vibrioformis* is

absent at 5 cm and present only in low abundance at 10 cm. The surface layer (5 cm

depth) is inhabited by oxygenic phototrophic *Cyanobacteria* affiliating with *Cyanobium*,

while the upper layer of the bloom (10 cm depth) is dominated by purple sulfur bacteria

of the order *Chromatiales* (Figure 6). Because *Prosthecochloris* are adapted to low light

conditions (Findlay et al., 2015) and respond to different wavelengths of light than

Cyanobacteria and photosynthetic *Proteobacteria* (Herbert and Tanner, 1977; Parkin

and Brock, 1980), it is reasonable that they thrived at depths of 25 cm, where they can

out-compete other phototrophs. *Prosthecochloris* have been previously observed in

many marine and saline habitats, such as the Black Sea (Manske et al., 2005), Baltic

Sea, Sippewissett Salt Marsh, and Badwater basin (Alexander and Imhoff, 2006). They

are considered to belong to a specialized phylogenetic lineage of green sulfur bacteria

adapted for marine and salt water ecosystems. Blooms of *P. vibrioformis* have been

previously observed in stratified lakes, where they dominate the community at a specific

depth (Máthé et al., 2014), sometimes forming clonal blooms (Gregersen et al., 2009).

Remarkably, the suspended phototrophic bloom was spatially organized analogous to

benthic phototrophic mats in the nearby Sippewissett Salt Marsh (Armitage et al., 2012;

Nicholson et al., 1987; Pierson et al., 1987) and elsewhere (Bolhuis et al., 2014; Bolhuis

and Stal, 2011). The layers of phototrophic mats are dominated from top, middle to

bottom by *Cyanobacteria*, PSB and GSB, respectively, except on a scale of few

millimeters to centimeters. Thus, the disturbance experiment that we performed *in situ*

created a transient ecosystem with niches resembling those in coastal microbial mats,

except across a spatial scale that was one order of magnitude greater. The community

slowly collapsed after about two weeks and the water column seemed to return to its

original state (Figure 5). We did not observe a shift from phototrophic to chemotrophic

sulfur oxidation after the phototrophic bloom (Pjevac et al., 2015).

<u>New species of purple and green sulfur bacteria and possible viral predation</u>

Due to the findings of a previous study based on 16S rRNA gene libraries, Imhoff and

colleagues proposed the existence of several uncultivated GSB species in Sippewissett

Salt Marsh and other estuaries (Alexander and Imhoff, 2006). The authors provide

evidence that several GSB clades harbor species that have yet escaped isolation,

among those are species in the genera *Chlorobaculum* and *Prosthecochloris*. We have

strong evidence that we found at least two of these species based on our MAGs of a

Chlorobaculum species (Bin 6, Figure S12, S14) and a *Prosthecochloris* species (Bin

10, Figure S12, S15). Both MAGs cluster sufficiently far away from the closest cultured

species (Figure S11, S13) and have average nucleotide identity (ANI) values of <90 to

their respective closest cultured isolate. Both MAGs also contain CRISPR-Cas systems

that are very different from the cultured isolates (Figure S16, S17). Our CRISPR results

show that Trunk River populations may be under predatory stress, affecting the

abundance of bacterial blooms, and that host immunity is active in this ecosystem

(Llorens-Marès et al., 2017). The unique CRISPR arrays indicate that closely related

species may be infected by different viruses with species specificity (Borton et al.,

2018). However, some viral populations have been reported to have broad host ranges

(Daly et al., 2019). Divergent evolution or strain level microdiversity may also explain

distinct CRISPR-Cas systems (Daly et al., 2016). A lack of public databases containing

viral sequences restricts the detection of viral-host interactions (Goodcare et al., 2018).

While Llorens-Marès *et al.* (2017) characterized a potential green sulfur bacterial viral

infection, to date, phages infecting *Chlorobi* have not been reported. Our analyses

suggest that viruses of the family *Microviridae* played a major role in the transient bloom

(Figure S17), possibly even for its demise. This interesting finding merits future research

on transient phototrophic blooms in estuarine ecosystems.

Figure 7: Ecosystem sketch. Schematic overview of the phototrophic bloom showing the main
phototrophic populations, sulfur compounds, and chemical gradients.

Conclusions

In this study, we mimicked phototrophic blooms that naturally occur in a brackish

estuarine ecosystem to understand the underlying microbial and biogeochemical

dynamics. We suggest that phototrophs of different phyla co-exist in a layered bloom

based on their different light and oxygen requirements, analogous to the communities in

phototrophic microbial mats (Figure 7). Our findings indicate that Chlorobiaceae form a

syntrophic relationship with Desulfuromonas sp. with elemental sulfur as intermediate.

We reconstructed metagenome assembled genomes of two uncultured green sulfur

bacteria, belonging to *Chlorobaculum* and *Prosthecochloris* and show that *Microviridae*

viruses played a role in the bloom, potentially infecting species within the *Chlorobiales*.

Materials and Methods

Experimental Setup and Sample Collection

We used custom-made sampling poles for long-term environmental monitoring of the

water column without disturbing the established gradients (LEMON; Figure 1B, C). The

sampling poles were placed in three replicate depressions (A-hole, E-hole, and K-hole)

that we dug into the thick layers of decaying organic matter (Figure 1A). In each of sites,

a sampling pole was placed such that the inlets sampled water at 5 cm, 10 cm, 25 cm,

and 35 cm depth below the surface (Figure 1B, C). Sampling poles were set up one day

after the holes were dug out and sampling began one day after set up (two days post

disturbance), to allow disturbed sediment to settle. Samples were collected over a 15-

day period during July-August 2015. For each sample, the first 5 ml were discarded,

followed by collection of 100 ml of water in several sterile tubes for further analyses

(Figure S2). The tubes were transported on ice to the laboratory and stored at 4°C. All

sample collections were carried out between 4 pm and 6 pm.

Enrichment cultures

To enrich for GSB we used a defined saltwater medium (400g/l NaCl, 60g/l

$MgCl_2$*$6H_2O$, 3g/l $CaCl_2$*$2H_2O$, 10g/l KCl) buffered at pH 7.2 with 5 mM MOPS. The

medium contained 5 mM NH_4Cl as N source, 1mM K phosphate (pH 7.2) as P source,

70 mM $NaHCO_3$ as C source, 10 mM $Na_2S_2O_3$ as electron donor, 1 mM Na_2S as

reductant or electron donor, a multivitamin solution prepared at 1000× in 10 mM MOPS

at pH 7.2, and a trace metal solution prepared at 1000× in 20 mM HCl. Saltwater base,

MOPS, N- and P-source, and trace metals were autoclaved together in a Widdel

sparging flask, cooled under a stream of N_2/CO_2 (80%:20%) gas. C-source, electron

donors and vitamins were added from filter-sterilized stock solutions after cooling. The

medium was inoculated with biomass removed from *in situ* enrichments of GSB grown

on glass slides using a 770 nm monochromatic LED. After inoculation, the bottle was

kept in dark for 2-4 hours and then placed 5 cm away from a LED light source with the

same specifications. After a visible sign of growth – green coloration – the culture was

filtered through 0.2 µm filter and used for DNA extraction, similar to other samples.

<u>Physicochemical Measurements</u>

In-situ measurements of pH, temperature, dissolved oxygen, oxidation reduction

potential (ORP), and ion selective electrode (ISE) were carried out with a multi-

parameter probe equipped with a quarto probe (YSI Professional Series Model Pro).

The probe was calibrated for pH with 4, 7, and 10 buffers and for dissolved oxygen

using oxygen-saturated water and an anoxic solution of sodium ascorbate and sodium

hydroxide. After each sample collection the probe was lowered into the water to each

depth per site and after probe readings stabilized, the parameters were recorded.

To measure biomass and pigment spectra, up to 10 ml of the collected sample was

filtered through a sterile Millipore filter (0.2 µm GTTP, 0.2 µm GNWP, or 0.22 µm GV).

Filtrates were washed twice with ammonium acetate solutions with the same ionic

strength as each depth. The filters were placed on aluminum foil, dried at 60°C

overnight and subsequently weighed (Figure S3). A Spectral Evolution SR1900

spectrophotometer was used to measure the spectrum of the dried biomass on each

filter with a scanning range of 350-1900 nm. The light source was a Dyonics 60 W lamp.

After sterile filtration, the filtrate was used to measure anion, cation, and organic acid

concentrations using an ion chromatographer. The ion concentrations of samples were

measured by diluting filtrate 1:10 with Millipore water to a total volume of 2 ml. The

diluted samples were measured in triplicate using a ThermoFisher/Dionex ICS2100

equipped with an AS18 column using a 13 minute, 33 mM NaOH isocratic program to

measure anions and a CS12A column using a 13 minute, 25 mM methane sulfonic acid

isocratic program to measure cations. Samples for organic acid analysis were filtered

through 0.2 µm filters and 900 µL of filtrate was added to 100 uL of 5 M H_2SO4 to

precipitate any compounds that might do so on the column. The samples were

centrifuged and the upper portion was removed for HPLC analysis. Samples were

analyzed on a BioRad Aminex HPX-87H column in isocratic elution mode with 5 mM

sulfuric acid.

Iron concentration was quantified using the ferrozine assay (Stookey, 1970). 4.5 ml

filtrate were added on site to 0.5 ml of 1 M HCl to prevent oxidation of any available

Fe(III). For Fe(II), 50 µl filtrate was added to 50 µl of 1M HCl and 100 µl of ferrozine

(0.1% [wt/vol] in 50% ammonium acetate) was added. For total iron, 50 µl filtrate was

added to 50 µl of 10% hydroxylamine hydrochloride in 1M HCl to reduce Fe(III) to Fe(II).

Samples were added to 100 µl of ferrozine. All samples were incubated for 15 min and

a filtrates Absorbances were read in triplicate at 560 nm using a Promega plate reader.

Ferrous ammonium sulfate was used as standard.

Sulfide concentrations were quantified using the Cline assay (Cline, 1969). 1.5 ml filtrate

were added on site to 500 µl of zinc acetate solution (91 mM) to prevent oxidation of the

sulfide. Cline reagent (N, N-dimethyl-p-phenylenediamine sulfate, H_2SO_4,

$NH_4Fe(SO_4)_2 \cdot 12\ H2O$) was added, the samples were incubated in the dark for 30

minutes and absorbance was read at 665 nm.

DNA Extraction, Library Preparations, and Sequencing

Within 2 – 6 hours of sample collection, 50 ml sample was filtered using an autoclaved

0.2 µm polycarbonate filter (GTTP Millipore) and stored at -20˚C. Each filter was cut

with a sterile blade and extracted with the MoBio PowerFecal kit. We followed the

protocol, but instead of bead beating, the samples were twice vortexed horizontally with

the beads (10 min and 20 min with a 10 min pause). DNA concentration and purity were

measured with Nanodrop and Promega Qubit fluorometer and Nanodrop, respectively.

We prepared 16S rRNA gene amplicon library using V4-V5 fusion primers as previously

described (Morrison et al., 2013). Briefly, the fusion primer contains TruSeq adapter

sequences, barcodes, and the forward or reverse 16S rRNA gene primers. The forward

and reverse 16S rRNA gene primers were 518F (CCAGCAGCYGCGGTAAN) and 926R

(CCGTCAATTCNTTTRAGT). The PCR conditions were as follows: initial denaturation

of 94˚C for 3 min, 30 cycles of denaturation at 94˚C for 30 s, annealing at 57˚C for 45 s,

extension at 72˚C for 1 min, and final extension at 72˚C for 2 min. The libraries were

cleaned using Agencourt Ampure XP beads, quantified using picogreen, pooled in

equimolar ratios, and cleaned again using Agencourt Ampure XP beads a second time.

The indexed libraries were then sequenced on the Illumina MiSeq PE250 platform.

The DNA from the depth of 25 cm from timepoint 7 from the three replicates, as well as

from a phototrophic enrichment were used to generate whole-genome shotgun

metagenomic library. The DNA was sheered using Covaris sonicator, size selected for

500-600bp using Pippin prep, and cleaned using Agencourt Ampure XP clean beads.

The cleaned DNA was analyzed using Bioanalyzer DNA1000 chip and amplified using

random hexamer primers with KAPA polymerase for 10-12 cycles. Amplified DNA was

cleaned using Agencourt Ampure XP clean beads and used to prepare metagenomic

library using Nugen Ovation ultralow DR multiplex kit with manufacture supplied

protocol. The libraries were then sequenced on Illumina MiSeq PE250 platform. All the

sequencing was performed at the Keck facility at the J. Bay Paul Center at the Marine

Biological Laboratory, Woods Hole, MA.

<u>Amplicon Sequence Data Analyses</u>

The amplicon data was demultiplexed in mothur v1.39.5 (Schloss et al., 2009), followed

by the trimming of 16S rRNA gene amplification primers using Cutadapt v1.16 (Martin,

2011) with default parameters. The primer-trimmed amplicon sequencing data was

quality checked using DADA2 v1.9.0 R Package (Callahan et al., 2016). In DADA2, the

reads were trimmed at the first instance of quality drop below 8, an expected error rate

of 2, followed by trimming to 220bp and 200bp for forward and reverse reads. Any reads

that matched PhiX or had an ambiguous base were removed. An error profile for the

forward and reverse reads was generated using learnErrors function and then used to

merge the forward and reverse reads using the mergePairs function. The merged reads

were used to generate the amplicon sequence variants using makeSequenceTable

function, which was then filtered for chimeras using removeBimeraDenovo function. The

amplicon sequence variants were assigned taxonomy in DADA2 using Silva reference

database v132 (Quast et al., 2013). Community analyses were performed using a

custom workflow based on R and the packages vegan, labdsv, tidyverse (stringr, dplyr,

ggplot2), UpSetR and custom scripts (Conway et al., 2017; Oksanen et al., 2012;

Roberts, 2012; Wickham, 2018, 2009; Wickham et al., 2018) for details see. Relative

abundance of bacterial ASV (amplicon sequence variants), Bray-Curtis dissimilarities,

Nonmetric Multidimensional Scaling as well as analyses determining Singletons and

percent shared ASVs are based on the unaltered Sample×ASV table as calculated by

DADA2. To compare the diversity between samples using the number of observed

species, Shannon index, Inverse Simpson diversity and Chao1 Richness (Hill et al.,

2003) the ASV abundance tables were rarefied to account for unequal sampling effort

using 31,682 randomly chosen sequences without replacement. For details refer to the

R workflow available at the public database PANGAEA

(https://issues.pangaea.de/browse/PDI-20394). Note: This link is inactive until the

submitted data are processed and public.

Metagenomic Sequence Data Analyses

Quality control of the raw reads was performed using Preprocessing and Information of

SEQuence data (PRINSEQ) to remove sequencing tags and sequences with mean

quality score lower than 25, duplicates and N's (Schmieder and Edwards, 2011). All

runs combined provided a total of approximately 3.5 million 250 bp read pairs. All

forward and reverse reads were placed together in one file and cross co-assembled

using SPAdes using the --meta option (Bankevich et al., 2012). Binning was performed

using MetaBAT (Kang et al., 2015) and Anvi'o (v5.2) metagenomic workflow

(CONCOCT) (Eren et al., 2015). Completeness and contamination of bins was

assessed using CheckM (Parks et al., 2015). Assembled genomes that contained more

than 90% genome completeness, less than 5% contamination, and sequences mainly

from a single genus were further analyzed. This yielded two high quality bacterial

metagenome-assembled genomes (MAGs): Bin 6 and Bin 10. Taxonomic composition

for each bin was predicted using FOCUS (Silva et al., 2014). Phylogenetic analysis

including the identification of their closest phylogenetic neighbors was investigated

using PATRIC Comprehensive Genome Analysis (Wattam et al., 2017).

CRISPRCasFinder (Couvin et al., 2018) and CRISPRone (Zhang and Ye, 2017) were

used to identify CRISPR repeat and spacer sequences. The quality checked reads from

each sample were mapped to the MAGs, Bin 6 and Bin 10 using bowtie2 (Langmead

and Salzberg, 2012). The mapped reads were then analyzed using iRep (Brown et al.,

2016) to estimate replication events in Bin 6 and Bin 10. Unassembled sequences were

processed on the MG-RAST platform version 4.0.3. Percent abundance of viral

sequences was calculated from the RefSeq database using an e-value cutoff of 1e-5, a

minimum identity cutoff of 60%, and an alignment length minimum cutoff of 15 (Meyer et

al., 2008). For details refer to the metagenome analyses workflow publicly accessible at

HackMD (https://hackmd.io/tGZyCM9sSNmuorpHenQVNA).

<u>Availability of data and material</u>

The genomic datasets generated and analyzed during the current study are available on

MG-RAST (Project Name: Trunk River, ID: 4837589.3 (sample SK), 4837590.3 (sample

7K3), 4837591.3 (sample 7E3), 4837592.3 (sample 7A3)) and metagenome-assembled

genomes workflow are available on HackMD

(https://hackmd.io/tGZyCM9sSNmuorpHenQVNA). The raw 16S rRNA amplicon data,

the shotgun metagenomic data, the 16S rRNA gene clonal sequences, and the

metagenome assembled genomes presented in this work are publicly archived in NCBI

under Bioproject PRJNA530984 (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/530984). The

contextual datasets generated and analyzed during the current study are publicly

available at PANGAEA under accession XXX (weblink).

<u>Funding</u>

This work was carried out at the Microbial Diversity summer course at the Marine

Biological Laboratory in Woods Hole, MA. The course was supported by grants from

National Aeronautics and Space Administration, the US Department of Energy, the

Simons Foundation, the Beckman Foundation, and the Agouron Institute.

<u>Competing interests</u>

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

<u>Authors' contributions</u>

SB helped design the study, collected samples, prepared and analyzed sequencing
data, wrote manuscript

ESC helped design the study, collected samples, prepared and analyzed
physicochemical data, wrote manuscript

SHK helped design the study and enrichment cultures, analyzed physicochemical data
and pigment spectra, wrote manuscript

SPC analyzed metagenomic data, wrote manuscript

SK obtained enrichment culture, wrote manuscript

SD helped design the study, wrote manuscript

KH collected samples, prepared and analyzed physicochemical data and pigment
spectra, wrote manuscript

SER designed the study, analyzed and visualized sequencing and physicochemical
data, wrote manuscript with input from all co-authors

All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We would like to express our deepest gratitude towards Dianne Newman and Jared R.

Leadbetter, directors of the Microbial Diversity Summer Course at the Marine Biological

Laboratory 2014-2017. Without their trust, support and encouragement the project

would not have been realized. We are very grateful to Joseph Vinneis, Kim Finnegan,

and Mitchell Sogin for providing laboratory space, equipment, and guidance regarding

next-generation sequencing. We are also very grateful to the students and staff of the

2015 Microbial Diversity Summer Course, specifically Kurt Dahlstrom, Kristina Garcia,

Jessica Choi, Rachel Soble, and Lina Bird. We would like to thank the Simons

Foundation, NSF, DOE, and NASA for funding the Microbial Diversity Summer course,

as well as Promega, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Spectral Evolution, and MBL's Marine

Resources Center for providing reagents and equipment used in this work.

References

Alexander B, Imhoff JF. 2006. Communities of green sulfur bacteria in marine and
saline habitats analyzed by gene sequences of 16S rRNA and Fenna-Matthews-
Olson protein. *Int Microbiol* **9**:259–266.

Allen GP, Salomon JC, Bassoullet P, Du Penhoat Y, de Grandpré C. 1980. Effects of
tides on mixing and suspended sediment transport in macrotidal estuaries.
Sediment Geol **26**:69–90. doi:10.1016/0037-0738(80)90006-8

Armitage DW, Gallagher KL, Youngblut ND, Buckley DH, Zinder SH. 2012. Millimeter-
scale patterns of phylogenetic and trait diversity in a salt marsh microbial mat. *Front*
Microbiol **3**:293. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2012.00293

Badr E-SA, Tappin AD, Achterberg EP. 2008. Distributions and seasonal variability of
dissolved organic nitrogen in two estuaries in SW England. *Mar Chem* **110**:153–
164. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2008.04.007

Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich A a., Dvorkin M, Kulikov AS, Lesin VM,
Nikolenko SI, Pham S, Prjibelski AD, Pyshkin A V., Sirotkin A V., Vyahhi N, Tesler
G, Alekseyev M a., Pevzner P a. 2012. SPAdes: A New Genome Assembly
Algorithm and Its Applications to Single-Cell Sequencing. *J Comput Biol* **19**:455–
477. doi:10.1089/cmb.2012.0021

Bernhard AE, Colbert D, McManus J, Field KG. 2005. Microbial community dynamics
based on 16S rRNA gene profiles in a Pacific Northwest estuary and its tributaries.
FEMS Microbiol Ecol **52**:115–128. doi:10.1016/j.femsec.2004.10.016

Bolhuis H, Cretoiu MS, Stal LJ. 2014. Molecular ecology of microbial mats. *FEMS*
Microbiol Ecol **90**:335–350. doi:10.1111/1574-6941.12408

Bolhuis H, Stal LJ. 2011. Analysis of bacterial and archaeal diversity in coastal microbial
mats using massive parallel 16S rRNA gene tag sequencing. *ISME J* **5**:1701–1712.

Borrego CM, Gerola PD, Miller M, Cox RP. 1999. Light intensity effects on pigment
composition and organisation in the green sulfur bacterium Chlorobium tepidum.
Photosynth Res **59**:159–166. doi:10.1023/A:1006161302838

Borton MA, Daly RA, O'Banion B, Hoyt DW, Marcus DN, Welch S, Hastings SS, Meulia
T, Wolfe RA, Booker AE, Sharma S, Cole DR, Wunch K, Moore JD, Darrah TH,
Wilkins MJ, Wrighton KC. 2018. Comparative genomics and physiology of the
genus *Methanohalophilus* , a prevalent methanogen in hydraulically fractured

shale. *Environ Microbiol* **20**:4596–4611. doi:10.1111/1462-2920.14467

Boschker HTS, Vasquez-Cardenas D, Bolhuis H, Moerdijk-Poortvliet TWC, Moodley L.
2014. Chemoautotrophic Carbon Fixation Rates and Active Bacterial Communities
in Intertidal Marine Sediments. *PLoS One* **9**:e101443.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0101443

Brown CT, Olm MR, Thomas BC, Banfield JF. 2016. Measurement of bacterial
replication rates in microbial communities. *Nat Biotechnol* **34**:1256–1263.
doi:10.1038/nbt.3704

Callahan BJ, McMurdie PJ, Rosen MJ, Han AW, Johnson AJA, Holmes SP. 2016.
DADA2: High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. *Nat*
Methods **13**:581–583.

Capone DG, Kiene RP. 1988. Comparison of microbial dynamics in marine and
freshwater sediments: contrasts in anaerobic carbon catabolism. *Limnol Oceanogr*
33:725–749.

Caumette P, Imhoff JF, Süling J, Matheron R. 1997. Chromatium glycolicum sp. nov., a
moderately halophilic purple sulfur bacterium that uses glycolate as substrate. *Arch*
Microbiol **167**:11–18. doi:10.1007/s002030050410

Cline JD. 1969. Spectrophotometric determination of hydrogen sulfide in natural waters.
Limnol Oceanogr **14**:454–458.

Conway JR, Lex A, Gehlenborg N. 2017. UpSetR: An R Package For The Visualization
Of Intersecting Sets And Their Properties. *bioRxiv*.

Couvin D, Bernheim A, Toffano-Nioche C, Touchon M, Michalik J, Néron B, Rocha
EPC, Vergnaud G, Gautheret D, Pourcel C. 2018. CRISPRCasFinder, an update of
CRISRFinder, includes a portable version, enhanced performance and integrates
search for Cas proteins. *Nucleic Acids Res* **46**:W246–W251.
doi:10.1093/nar/gky425

Daly RA, Borton MA, Wilkins MJ, Hoyt DW, Kountz DJ, Wolfe RA, Welch SA, Marcus
DN, Trexler R V, MacRae JD, Krzycki JA, Cole DR, Mouser PJ, Wrighton KC.
2016. Microbial metabolisms in a 2.5-km-deep ecosystem created by hydraulic
fracturing in shales. *Nat Microbiol* **1**:16146.

Daly RA, Roux S, Borton MA, Morgan DM, Johnston MD, Booker AE, Hoyt DW, Meulia
T, Wolfe RA, Hanson AJ, Mouser PJ, Moore JD, Wunch K, Sullivan MB, Wrighton
KC, Wilkins MJ. 2019. Viruses control dominant bacteria colonizing the terrestrial
deep biosphere after hydraulic fracturing. *Nat Microbiol* **4**:352–361.
doi:10.1038/s41564-018-0312-6

Eren AM, Esen ÖC, Quince C, Vineis JH, Morrison HG, Sogin ML, Delmont TO. 2015.
Anvi'o: an advanced analysis and visualization platform for 'omics data. *PeerJ*
3:e1319. doi:10.7717/peerj.1319

Findlay AJ, Bennett AJ, Hanson TE, Luther GW. 2015. Light-Dependent Sulfide
Oxidation in the Anoxic Zone of the Chesapeake Bay Can Be Explained by Small
Populations of Phototrophic Bacteria. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **81**:7560–7569.
doi:10.1128/aem.02062-15

Finster K, Bak F, Pfennig N. 1994. Desulfuromonas acetexigens sp. nov., a
dissimilatory sulfur-reducing eubacterium from anoxic freshwater sediments. *Arch*
Microbiol **161**:328–332. doi:10.1007/BF00303588

Finster K, Coates JD, Liesack W, Pfennig4 N. 1997. Desulfuromonas thiophila sp. nov.,
a New Obligately Sulfur-Reducing Bacterium from Anoxic Freshwater Sediment. *Int*
J Syst Bacteriol 754–758. doi:10.1099/00207713-47-3-754

Garvine RW. 1985. A simple model of estuarine subtidal fluctuations forced by local and
remote wind stress. *J Geophys Res* **90**:11945–11948.
doi:10.1029/JC090iC06p11945

Goodcare N, Aljanahi A, Nandakumar S, Mikailov M, Khan AS. 2018. A Reference Viral
Database (RVDB) To Enhance Bioinformatics Analysis of High-Throughput
Sequencing for Novel Virus Detection. *mSphere* **3**:1–18.
doi:10.1128/mspheredirect.00069-18

Gregersen LH, Habicht KS, Peduzzi S, Tonolla M, Canfield DE, Miller M, Cox RP,
Frigaard N-U. 2009. Dominance of a clonal green sulfur bacterial population in a
stratified lake. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* **70**:30–41. doi:10.1111/j.1574-
6941.2009.00737.x

Grissa I, Vergnaud G, Pourcel C. 2007. The CRISPRdb database and tools to display
CRISPRs and to generate dictionaries of spacers and repeats. *BMC Bioinformatics*
8:1–10. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-8-172

Haas LW. 1977. The effect of the spring-neap tidal cycle on the vertical salinity structure
of the James, York and Rappahannock Rivers, Virginia, U.S.A. *Estuar Coast Mar*
Sci **5**:485–496. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0302-3524(77)90096-2

Henriques IS, Alves A, Tacão M, Almeida A, Cunha Â, Correia A. 2006. Seasonal and
spatial variability of free-living bacterial community composition along an estuarine
gradient (Ria de Aveiro, Portugal). *Estuar Coast Shelf Sci* **68**:139–148.
doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2006.01.015

Herbert RA, Tanner AC. 1977. The Isolation and Some Characteristics of
Photosynthetic Bacteria (Chromatiaceae and Chlorobiaceae) from Antarctic Marine
Sediments. *J Appl Bacteriol* **43**:437–445. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2672.1977.tb00770.x

Hill TCJ, Walsh KA, Harris JA, Moffett BF. 2003. Using ecological diversity measures
with bacterial communities. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* **43**:1–11.

Jay DA, Orton PM, Chisholm T, Wilson DJ, Fain AM V. 2007. Particle trapping in
stratified estuaries: Application to observations. *Estuaries and Coasts* **30**:1106–

1125. doi:10.1007/BF02841400

Jørgensen BB, Revsbech NP. 1983. Colorless Sulfur Bacteria, Beggiatoa spp. and
Thiovulum spp., in O2 and H2S Microgradients. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **45**:1261–
1270.

Kang DD, Froula J, Egan R, Wang Z. 2015. MetaBAT, an efficient tool for accurately
reconstructing single genomes from complex microbial communities. *PeerJ*
3:e1165. doi:10.7717/peerj.1165

Langmead B, Salzberg SL. 2012. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat*
Methods **9**:357.

Lee DY, Owens MS, Doherty M, Eggleston EM, Hewson I, Crump BC, Cornwell JC.
2015. The Effects of Oxygen Transition on Community Respiration and Potential
Chemoautotrophic Production in a Seasonally Stratified Anoxic Estuary. *Estuaries*
and Coasts **38**:104–117. doi:10.1007/s12237-014-9803-8

Levinton JS. 1995. Marine biology: function, biodiversity, ecology. Oxford University
Press New York.

Llorens-Marès T, Liu Z, Allen LZ, Rusch DB, Craig MT, Dupont CL, Bryant DA,
Casamayor EO. 2017. Speciation and ecological success in dimly lit waters:
Horizontal gene transfer in a green sulfur bacteria bloom unveiled by metagenomic
assembly. *ISME J* **11**:201–211. doi:10.1038/ismej.2016.93

Long RR. 1976. Mass and salt transfers and halocline depths in an estuary. *Tellus*
28:460–472. doi:10.1111/j.2153-3490.1976.tb00695.x

Lv X, Ma B, Yu J, Chang SX, Xu J, Li Y, Wang G, Han G, Bo G, Chu X. 2016. Bacterial
community structure and function shift along a successional series of tidal flats in
the Yellow River Delta. *Sci Rep* **6**:36550. doi:10.1038/srep36550
https://www.nature.com/articles/srep36550#supplementary-information

Maie N, Boyer JN, Yang C, Jaffé R. 2006. Spatial, geomorphological, and seasonal
variability of CDOM in estuaries of the Florida Coastal Everglades. *Hydrobiologia*
569:135–150. doi:10.1007/s10750-006-0128-x

Makarova KS, Wolf YI, Alkhnbashi OS, Costa F, Shah SA, Saunders SJ, Barrangou R,
Brouns SJJ, Charpentier E, Haft DH, Horvath P, Moineau S, Mojica FJM, Terns
RM, Terns MP, White MF, Yakunin AF, Garrett RA, Van Der Oost J, Backofen R,
Koonin E V. 2015. An updated evolutionary classification of CRISPR-Cas systems.
Nat Rev Microbiol **13**:722–736. doi:10.1038/nrmicro3569

Manske AK, Glaeser J, Kuypers MMM, Overmann J. 2005. Physiology and Phylogeny
of Green Sulfur Bacteria Forming a Monospecific Phototrophic Assemblage at a
Depth of 100 Meters in the Black Sea. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **71**:8049–8060.
doi:10.1128/aem.71.12.8049-8060.2005

Martin M. 2011. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput

sequencing reads. *EMBnet.journal* **17**:10. doi:10.14806/ej.17.1.200

Máthé I, Borsodi AK, Tóth EM, Felföldi T, Jurecska L, Krett G, Kelemen Z, Elekes E,
Barkács K, Márialigeti K. 2014. Vertical physico-chemical gradients with distinct
microbial communities in the hypersaline and heliothermal Lake Ursu (Sovata,
Romania). *Extremophiles* **18**:501–514. doi:10.1007/s00792-014-0633-1

McLusky DS, Elliott M. 2004. The estuarine ecosystem: ecology, threats and
management. Oxford University Press on Demand.
doi:10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198525080.001.0001

Meyer F, Paarmann D, D'Souza M, Olson R, Glass EM, Kubal M, Paczian T, Rodriguez
A, Stevens R, Wilke A, Wilkening J, Edwards RA. 2008. The metagenomics RAST
server – a public resource for the automatic phylogenetic and functional analysis of
metagenomes. *BMC Bioinformatics* **9**:1–8. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-9-386

Møller MM, Nielsen LP, Jørgensen BB. 1985. Oxygen Responses and Mat Formation
by Beggiatoa spp. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **50**:373–382.

Moore WS. 1999. The subterranean estuary: a reaction zone of ground water and sea
water. *Mar Chem* **65**:111–125. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4203(99)00014-
6

Moran MA, Sheldon WM, Zepp RG. 2000. Carbon loss and optical property changes
during long-term photochemical and biological degradation of estuarine dissolved
organic matter. *Limnol Oceanogr* **45**:1254–1264. doi:10.4319/lo.2000.45.6.1254

Morrison HG, Grim SL, Vineis JH, Mitchell LS. 2013. 16S amplicon fusion primers and
protocol for Illumina platform sequencing. doi:10.6084/m9.figshare.833944.v1

Muylaert K, Sabbe K, Vyverman W. 2000. Spatial and Temporal Dynamics of
Phytoplankton Communities in a Freshwater Tidal Estuary (Schelde, Belgium).
Estuar Coast Shelf Sci **50**:673–687. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/ecss.2000.0590

Nelson JL, Zavaleta ES. 2012. Salt Marsh as a Coastal Filter for the Oceans: Changes
in Function with Experimental Increases in Nitrogen Loading and Sea-Level Rise.
PLoS One **7**:e38558. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0038558

Nicholson JAM, Stolz JF, Pierson BK. 1987. Structure of a microbiol mat at Great
Sippewissett Marsh, Cape Cod, Massachusetts. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* **45**:343–364.
doi:10.1016/0378-1097(87)90021-8

Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Kindt R, Legendre P, Minchin PR, O´Hara RB, Simpson GL,
Solymos P, Stevens MHH, Wagner H. 2012. vegan: Community Ecology Package.

Oren A. 2011. Characterization of Pigments of Prokaryotes and Their Use in Taxonomy
and Classification. *Methods Microbiol* **38**:261–282. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-387730-
7.00012-7

Pant HK, Reddy KR. 2001. Phosphorus Sorption Characteristics of Estuarine

Sediments under Different Redox Conditions. *J Environ Qual* **30**:1474.
doi:10.2134/jeq2001.3041474x

Parkin TB, Brock TD. 1980. The effects of light quality on the growth of phototrophic
bacteria in lakes. *Arch Microbiol* **125**:19–27. doi:10.1007/bf00403193

Parks DH, Imelfort M, Skennerton CT, Hugenholtz P, Tyson GW. 2015. CheckM:
assessing the quality of microbial genomes recovered from isolates, single cells,
and metagenomes. *Genome Res* **25**:1043–1055. doi:10.1101/gr.186072.114

Peduzzi P, Herndl GJ. 1991. Decomposition and significance of seagrass leaf litter
(Cymodocea nodosa) for the microbial food web in coastal waters (Gulf of Trieste,
Northern Adriatic Sea). *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* **71**:163–174.

Pfennig N, Biebl H. 1976. Desulfuromonas acetoxidans gen. nov. and sp. nov., a new
anaerobic, sulfur-reducing, acetate-oxidizing bacterium. *Arch Microbiol* **110**:3–12.
doi:10.1007/BF00416962

Pierson B, Oesterle A, Murphy GL. 1987. Pigments, light penetration, and
photosynthetic activity in the multi-layered microbial mats of Great Sippewissett
Salt Marsh, Massachusetts. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* **45**:365–376. doi:10.1016/0378-
1097(87)90022-X

Pjevac P, Korlević M, Berg JS, Bura-Nakić E, Ciglenečki I, Amann R, Orlić S. 2015.
Community shift from phototrophic to chemotrophic sulfide oxidation following
anoxic holomixis in a stratified seawater lake. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **81**:298–308.
doi:10.1128/AEM.02435-14

Purdy KJ, Embley TM, Nedwell DB. 2002. The distribution and activity of sulphate
reducing bacteria in estuarine and coastal marine sediments. *Antonie Van
Leeuwenhoek* **81**:181–187. doi:10.1023/a:1020550215012

Quast C, Pruesse E, Yilmaz P, Gerken J, Schweer T, Yarza P, Peplies J, Glöckner FO.
2013. The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing
and web-based tools. *Nucleic Acids Res* **41**:D590–D596. doi:10.1093/nar/gks1219

Ritchie AE, Johnson ZI. 2012. Abundance and Genetic Diversity of Aerobic Anoxygenic
Phototrophic Bacteria of Coastal Regions of the Pacific Ocean. *Appl Environ
Microbiol* **78**:2858–2866. doi:10.1128/aem.06268-11

Roberts DW. 2012. labdsv: Ordination and Multivariate Analysis for Ecology.

Schloss PD, Westcott SL, Ryabin T, Hall JR, Hartmann M, Hollister EB, Lesniewski RA,
Oakley BB, Parks DH, Robinson CJ, Sahl JW, Stres B, Thallinger GG, Van Horn
DJ, Weber CF. 2009. Introducing mothur: Open-source, platform-independent,
community-supported software for describing and comparing microbial
communities. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **75**:7537–7541. doi:10.1128/AEM.01541-09

Schmieder R, Edwards R. 2011. Quality control and preprocessing of metagenomic
datasets. *Bioinformatics* **27**:863–4. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btr026

Silva GGZ, Cuevas D a, Dutilh BE, Edwards R a. 2014. FOCUS: an alignment-free
model to identify organisms in metagenomes using non-negative least squares.
PeerJ **2**:e425. doi:10.7717/peerj.425

Simpson JH, Brown J, Matthews J, Allen G. 1990. Tidal straining, density currents, and
stirring in the control of estuarine stratification. *Estuaries* **13**:125–132.
doi:10.2307/1351581

Smith S V, Hollibaugh JT. 1993. Coastal metabolism and the oceanic organic carbon
balance. *Rev Geophys* **31**:75–89. doi:10.1029/92RG02584

Srinivas TNR, Anil Kumar P, Sucharitha K, Sasikala C, Ramana C V. 2009.
Allochromatium phaeobacterium sp. nov. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* **59**:750–753.
doi:10.1099/ijs.0.65647-0

Stomp M, van Dijk MA, van Overzee HMJ, Wortel MT, Sigon CAM, Egas M, Hoogveld
H, Gons HJ, Huisman J. 2008. The timescale of phenotypic plasticity and its impact
on competition in fluctuating environments. *Am Nat* **172**:169–85.
doi:10.1086/591680

Stookey LL. 1970. Ferrozine---a new spectrophotometric reagent for iron. *Anal Chem*
42:779–781. doi:10.1021/ac60289a016

Waidner LA, Kirchman DL. 2005. Aerobic anoxygenic photosynthesis genes and
operons in uncultured bacteria in the Delaware River. *Environ Microbiol* **7**:1896–
1908.

Wanner G, Vogl K, Overmann J. 2008. Ultrastructural characterization of the prokaryotic
symbiosis in "Chlorochromatium aggregatum". *J Bacteriol* **190**:3721–30.
doi:10.1128/JB.00027-08

Wattam AR, Davis JJ, Assaf R, Boisvert S, Brettin T, Bun C, Conrad N, Dietrich EM,
Disz T, Gabbard JL, Gerdes S, Henry CS, Kenyon RW, Machi D, Mao C, Nordberg
EK, Olsen GJ, Murphy-Olson DE, Olson R, Overbeek R, Parrello B, Pusch GD,
Shukla M, Vonstein V, Warren A, Xia F, Yoo H, Stevens RL. 2017. Improvements
to PATRIC, the all-bacterial bioinformatics database and analysis resource center.
Nucleic Acids Res **45**:D535–D542. doi:10.1093/nar/gkw1017

Wickham H. 2018. stringr: Simple, Consistent Wrappers for Common String Operations.

Wickham H. 2009. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. New York: Springer-
Verlag New York.

Wickham H, François R, Henry L, Müller K. 2018. dplyr: A Grammar of Data
Manipulation.

Wimpenny JWT, Wiegel J, Kuenen JG. 1982. Responses of Microorganisms to Physical
and Chemical Gradients [and Discussion]. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci*
297:497–515.

Zaikova E, Walsh DA, Stilwell CP, Mohn WW, Tortell PD, Hallam SJ. 2010. Microbial
community dynamics in a seasonally anoxic fjord: Saanich Inlet, British Columbia.
Environ Microbiol **12**:172–191. doi:10.1111/j.1462-2920.2009.02058.x

Zhang Q, Ye Y. 2017. Not all predicted CRISPR–Cas systems are equal: isolated cas
genes and classes of CRISPR like elements. *BMC Bioinformatics* **18**:92.
doi:10.1186/s12859-017-1512-4

Zopfi J, Ferdelman TG, Jørgensen BB, Teske A, Thamdrup B. 2001. Influence of water
column dynamics on sulfide oxidation and other major biogeochemical processes in
the chemocline of Mariager Fjord (Denmark). *Mar Chem* **74**:29–51.
doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4203(00)00091-8