Title

Microbial community dynamics and coexistence in a sulfide-driven phototrophic bloom

Authors

Srijak Bhatnagar†, Elise S. Cowley†, Sebastian H. Kopf, Sherlynette Pérez Castro, Sean
Kearney, Scott C. Dawson, Kurt Hanselmann, S. Emil Ruff *

† Denotes equal contribution

* Corresponding author

Author Affiliations

SB: Geomicrobiology Group, University of Calgary, Alberta, Canada;
srijak.bhatnagar@ucalgary.ca

ESC: Medical Scientist Training Program, School of Medicine and Public Health, University of
Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI, USA; ecowley@wisc.edu

SHK: Department of Geological Sciences, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, USA;
sebastian.kopf@colorado.edu

SPC: Ecosystems Center/Bay Paul Center, Marine Biological Laboratory, Woods Hole, MA,
USA; sperezcastro@mbl.edu

SK: Department of Biological Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge,
MA, USA; skearney@mit.edu

SCD: Department of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics, University of California Davis, CA,
USA; scdawson@ucdavis.edu

KH: Department of Earth Sciences, ETH-Z, Zürich, CH; kurt.hanselmann@erdw.ethz.ch

SER: Ecosystems Center/Bay Paul Center, Marine Biological Laboratory, Woods Hole, MA,
USA; eruff@mbl.edu

Keywords

Microbial succession, Green sulfur bacteria, Prosthecochloris, microbial bloom, brackish coastal

ecosystem, anoxygenic phototrophy, Microviridae, virus, CRISPR-Cas, resilience

Abstract

Phototrophic microbial mats commonly contain multiple phototrophic lineages that coexist based

on their light, oxygen and nutrient preferences. Here we show that similar coexistence patterns

and ecological niches can occur in suspended phototrophic blooms of an organic-rich estuary.

The water column showed steep gradients of oxygen, pH, sulfate, sulfide, and salinity. The upper

part of the bloom was dominated by aerobic phototrophic *Cyanobacteria*, the middle and lower

parts were dominated by anoxygenic purple sulfur bacteria (*Chromatiales*) and green sulfur

bacteria (*Chlorobiales*), respectively. We found multiple uncultured phototrophic lineages and

present metagenome-assembled genomes of two uncultured organisms within the *Chlorobiales*.

Apparently, those *Chlorobiales* populations were affected by *Microviridae* viruses. We suggest a

sulfur cycle within the bloom in which elemental sulfur produced by phototrophs is reduced to

sulfide by *Desulfuromonas sp*. These findings improve our understanding of the ecology and

ecophysiology of phototrophic blooms and their impact on biogeochemical cycles.

Background

Estuarine and coastal water bodies are dynamic and ubiquitous ecosystems that are often

characterized by the mixing of terrestrial freshwater and ocean saltwater. Brackish habitats can

have striking physical and chemical characteristics that differ from both fresh and saltwater

ecosystems [1,2]. Brackish ecosystems are very diverse and support large microbial and

macrobial communities [1]. Estuaries also provide crucial ecosystem services, the most salient of

which are trapping and filtering terrestrial runoffs and pollutants before they enter the oceans,

coastal protection, erosion control and habitat-fishery linkages [3–6].

Estuaries harbor abundant and diverse microbial communities that form the basis of a complex

food chain by fixing carbon dioxide through photosynthesis or chemosynthesis [7–9].

Additionally, carbon introduced to estuaries as organic matter from the oceans or land can be

remineralized by heterotrophic microbial communities [10–12]. The decomposition of sulfur

containing organic compounds through fermentation can lead to the production of sulfide in

estuarine sediments [13]. Furthermore, sulfate brought into estuaries by ocean waters can be used

by sulfate reducers, which reduce sulfate into elemental sulfur or sulfide [13,14]. Sulfate

introduced by ocean water and sulfide released from the sediments form gradients in the water

column that cause a chemocline in the brackish water column [15]. Additionally, estuaries and

coastal marshes often exhibit a halocline, i.e. a change in salinity, and the depletion of oxygen in

the water column can create an oxycline [16,17]. Overlapping gradients, e.g. in salinity, light

availability, as well as oxygen and sulfide concentration can create habitats and niches that favor

certain microbial communities and conversely microbial communities can affect and respond to

such gradients [18–20].

Gradients of light availability, oxygen and sulfur concentrations in stratified aquatic

environments offer the development for complex and stable microbial assemblages [21]. These

gradients are usually divided into a surface layer rich in oxygen, an intermediate layer with

decreasing oxygen and a bottom anoxic layer. The surface layer is often dominated by oxygenic

phototrophic microorganism such as *Cyanobacteria*. The anoxic layer, particularly in systems

with high organic loads or seagrass beds, provides niches for anaerobes such as sulfate-reducing

bacteria [22]. In the intermediate layer, anoxygenic phototrophs use the light from the surface

and the sulfide from the bottom layers [23]. The biogeochemical processes leading to

stratification in phototrophic blooms are relatively well understood [24], yet ecological niches,

microbial interactions and community dynamics are less well constrained.

The abiotic and biotic drivers of stratified aquatic environments such as estuaries can fluctuate

frequently and rapidly as a result of many factors, for example, tidal cycles, weather patterns,

and seasonal cycles [25–30]. Such disturbances can bring about noticeable changes in the

microbial community structure of the ecosystem. It was shown that estuarine communities were

structured by salinity [31–34], precipitation [32,35], temperature [33,34], oxygen [35,36] and

also seasonal changes [34]. These community shifts included changes in phytoplankton

populations with salinity [31], declining populations of *Rhodobacterales* with decreasing salinity

[35], declining populations of phototrophic *Ca.* Aquiluna with decreasing oxygen concentration,

as well as general changes in the richness and evenness of the community [31–36].

Trunk River in Woods Hole, MA is a brackish ecosystem, on the coast of Vineyard Sound (N

41.535236, W -70.641298). Near the mouth, Trunk River forms a shallow lagoon where

freshwater mixes with seawater. Storms, tides, and run-off introduce large amounts of biomass to

the pond forming thick layers of decaying seagrass and other organic matter. The pond has a

distinct sulfidic odor that emanates from the water and gases bubble up from the sediment.

Bright yellow microbial blooms can be observed occasionally just below the water surface (see

Figure 1, S1), forming and disappearing within days to weeks. Transient blooms were observed

to occur in natural depressions in the decaying organic matter and anecdotally seemed to be initiated by physical disturbance events, potentially from storms, tidal extremes, human activity, or animals. Given this visually striking natural ecological progression, we set out to test whether physical disturbance could trigger the blooms, and whether the established blooms could be used as a model system to investigate the microbial ecology and ecophysiology of sulfur-oxidizing phototrophs.

Figure 1: Sampling sites. A. Aerial view of experimental sites (A, E, and K) in the Trunk river lagoon. The water enters the lagoon from the right and exits to the sea through a channel marked outlet. **B.** View of a **LEMON** (**L**ong-term **E**nvironmental **MON**itoring) sampling device during sample collection on time point 3; 5 days post-disturbance. **C.** Schematic of the LEMON.

We mimicked physical disturbances of the brackish ecosystem by creating artificial depressions

in the decaying organic matter, and monitored the microbial community response and population

dynamics, as well as investigated ecological niches of the key populations. Based on the above

described observations of thick layers of decaying organic matter and naturally occurring, rapid

blooms of phototrophs, we hypothesized that i) the disturbance would release sulfide from the

sediment and cause a sulfide-driven phototrophic bloom ii) due to its rapid development the

bloom would likely be dominated by very few populations and iii) steep physicochemical

gradients would establish that create (transient) anoxic habitats in the water column analogous to

blooms in stratified lakes. We discuss the resulting reproducible ecological succession and

provide insights into the habitats, niches and coexistence of phototrophic microorganisms. Our

findings contribute to the understanding of the ecological processes and dynamics that shape

phototrophic blooms, which are a naturally occurring phenomenon in many ecosystems.

Results

This study was designed to investigate microbial community assembly, community turnover and

syntrophic interactions in a sulfide-driven phototrophic bloom. To gain insights into the

microorganisms niches and potential key metabolisms we studied the physicochemistry of the

water column and the diversity of photopigments, and performed amplicon and metagenomic

sequencing.

<u>Physicochemistry of the water column</u>

At the first sampling time point (2 days post-disturbance), no difference in color was observed in

the water column. Two days later, a faint pink layer was observed in the water column, and faint

shades of yellow appeared in samples from the 25 cm depth (Figure S2, Supplementing Results).

The yellow color of the suspension was most intense from timepoint 4 to 7 and had almost

disappeared by timepoint 8. Within the first three days of the experiment the pH decreased

between one and two units in all layers, with lowest values present in the deepest layer (Figure

2). Over the 15-day sampling period, pH showed more variation in the two upper layers than in

the two deeper layers where it was very constant at values between 6 and 6.3. Throughout the

experiment the water column in all three experiments showed a stable halocline with brackish

water (5 ‰ salinity) at the water surface and saltwater (30 ‰) at 35 cm depth (Figure 2). Salinity

increased with depth and was 12 ‰ and 23 ‰ at 10 cm and 25 cm, respectively. Major ions also

reflect this trend (e.g. calcium, potassium in Figure S6). The dissolved oxygen (DO)

concentrations showed a relatively stable oxycline between 10 and 25 cm. At 10 cm and above,

DO was mostly higher than 50 µM (91±45 µM) corresponding to ~20 % oxygen saturation

(36±17 %). At 25 and 35 cm DO was mostly below 50 µM (23±18 µM), hence below ~20 %

(9±9 %) saturation. The oxygen concentration slowly decreased in the upper two layers during

the first half of the experiment but recovered again to the original values towards the end of the

experiment. At 5 and 10 cm, DO averaged over the experiment was 101±47 µM and 81±41 µM ,

respectively (Figure 2). At 25 and 35 cm, the average DO was 28±22 µM and 17±11 µM,

respectively. The sulfate concentrations in the water column decreased along the depth gradient,

with the highest sulfate concentration at 5 cm ($\approx$ 2 mM) and the lowest at 25 cm ($\approx$ 0.2 mM)

(Figure 2). In contrast, the sulfide concentrations were lowest at 5 cm (Figure 2F). Interestingly,

the greatest sulfide concentration was measured at 10 cm depth peaking at over 1 mM towards

the end of the experiment. Below 10 cm, sulfide concentration was still high, but declined to 0.75

mM ± 0.22 at 25 cm and 0.5 mM ± 0.17 at 35 cm. The normalized biomass measured for the 5

cm samples throughout the sampling period was nearly zero (Figure 2). At 10 cm, 25 cm, and 35

cm, the normalized biomass measured was approximately, 0.2 mg/mL, 0.3 mg/mL, and 0.2

mg/mL, respectively. For details concerning iron (Fe(II), Fe(III), total Fe), nitrate, calcium,

potassium, ammonium and acetate refer to Supplementary Results and Figure S6. Overall, the

measurements revealed stable and reproducible physicochemical gradients that divided the

previously homogenous water column into layers with different redox conditions and energy

availability.

Figure 2: **Physicochemical measurements at the sampling sites**. Measurements are shown as averages (circles) across the three replicate holes. Measurements at individual holes are shown as squares, diamonds and triangles, the trend is shown as lines connecting average values. The x-axis shows days since disturbance and sampling timepoints in brackets. The y-axis shows the respective units. For an alternative representation of the physicochemical parameters as depth profiles instead of temporal profiles, see Figure S5. For further parameters (Fe (II); Fe (III); Total Fe, nitrate) refer to Figure S6.

Spectral Absorbance of Phototrophic Community

We measured absorbance spectra from filters of samples from A, E and K experiments (Figure 5A) and compared the spectra to those of representative cultured species of the most abundant phototrophic genera from the literature [37–41] (Figure 5B). Our results show that pigments belonging to PSB (purple vertical bands) were prominent in the upper layer of the bloom (orange spectra in 5A) especially between day 10 and 13, while GSB pigments (green vertical band in 5A) dominated the lower layers of the bloom (red and gray spectra) starting at day 10. Pigments characteristic for *Cyanobacteria* (brown vertical band) were less abundant in the bloom but increased at the end of the experiment relative to the PSB and GSB peaks. This suggests a minor role of *Cyanobacteria* initially and during the bloom but a more important role upon return to equilibrium. Pigments of all major phototrophs were detected throughout the experiment (gray vertical band). The spectral results suggest the coexistence of multiple phototrophic lineages over the entire duration of the experiment.

Figure 3: **Spectral Absorbance**. A) Sample spectra for the 3 sites at 5 different time points each showing averages of at least three replicate spectral analyses per sample. Significance bands along the spectra indicate one standard deviation (bands are mostly smaller than center line and thus not visible). Vertical bands indicate major absorbance peaks of the GSB group in green (*Prosthecochloris* and *Chlorobaculum*, 720-760 nm) and the PSB group purple (*Allochromatium* and *Halochromatium*, 790-810 nm and 845-865 nm) highlighting the transient appearance and likely dominance of these phototrophs over the course of the experiments. Also indicated is the general phototroph absorbance band in the 425-465 nm window in light gray. Cyanobacterial groups have distinct absorbance peaks in the 500-700 nm range that are not prominent in the sample spectra except for the characteristic 670-685 nm peak (light brown vertical band) reflecting the presence but likely smaller role of these taxa during the experiment. B) Absorbance spectra of pure culture representatives of major phototrophic groups with the same vertical bands as in panel A highlighting diagnostic absorbance peaks of GSB (in green), PSB (in purple), cyanos (in orange/brown) and phototrophs in general (in gray). All absorbance spectra were normalized to the respective highest peak.

 <u>Microbial Community Structure and Taxonomic Composition</u>

At the beginning of the experiment, the microbial diversity was high in all four water depths and

very similar across replicate ecosystems. Alpha diversity rapidly decreased with the onset of the

bloom, and within two days the communities in the four depth layers substantially changed

(Figure 4, 5, S7, S8). The bloom occurred between 10 – 30 cm water depth (Figure S2) with

highest cell numbers (peaking at $>10^8$ cells ml^{-1}) and biomass at around 25 cm water depth

(Figure 2, S4) in brackish, mildly acidic, and hypoxic waters (Figure 2). The number of observed

amplicon sequence variants (ASV), as well as estimated richness, Shannon entropy, and Inverse

Simpson diversity significantly decreased between the surface water and the water at a depth of

10 cm and 25 cm (Figure 4; p=0.001). This change is most striking in the case of Inverse

Simpson diversity, a measure for evenness. In just one day, evenness dropped in both 10 cm and

25 cm water depth by over one order of magnitude to low single digit values (Figure 4; Table

S1). This means the community was dominated by one ASV (a pure culture has an Inverse

Simpson diversity index of 1). This decrease in diversity was accompanied by a substantial

decrease in pH, as well as an increase in sulfide concentration.

The rapid and profound change of community structure is corroborated by a high turnover of

ASV between the layers and timepoints (Figure 5, S8). The top layer is well separated from the

deeper layers. The communities at 25 cm water depth experienced the largest turnover, i.e.

change in community structure, and showed a loss in diversity during the experiment that

seemed to have recovered at the last time point (Figure 5). The communities of all three deep

layers (15 – 35 cm) had a similar community structure at the beginning of the experiment.

Interestingly, during the course of the experiment the community structure of each layer

followed a different trajectory, yet at the end converged again. The trajectories of layer 2-4

indicate that the bloom shifted the microbial communities in these layers to an alternative stable

state.

Figure 4: Diversity Indices of all samples shown as boxplots grouped by depth. Pairwise comparisons with low significance levels are shown (NS, *: p<0.1, **: p<0.01). All pairwise comparisons that are not shown were highly significant (***: p<0.001), e.g. panel A 5 cm vs 10 cm.

The taxonomic composition was assessed at all phylogenetic levels (Figure 6, S9). We observed a total of 73 bacterial phyla. The surface community (5 cm) remained relatively unchanged throughout the experiment and was dominated by *Proteobacteria*, *Chlorobi*, *Cyanobacteria* and *Actinobacteria*. The communities in the deeper oxygen poor and sulfide rich zones (10 – 35 cm) were more dynamic, being dominated by *Bacteroidetes*, *Proteobacteria*, *Firmicutes*, and *Chloroflexi*. In general, taxonomic diversity was highest in the deepest layer (35 cm). The profound change in diversity was accompanied by a change in composition. Within a few days, there was a substantial increase in the abundance of *Chlorobi*, which comprised more than 75% of the community at that time. This increase persisted for nine days, but levelled off at the end of the experiment. The datasets of all layers and timepoints were dominated by ASVs affiliating

with phototrophic organisms, as shown by relative sequence abundances on genus level (Figure
6A). Some phototrophs occurred in all layers at similar relative sequence abundances, such as
Halochromatium and "*Candidatus* Chloroploca". The stable surface layer harbored *Cyanobium*
and "*Candidatus* Aquiluna", which decreased in the deeper layers. The upper layer of the bloom
showed an increased relative sequence abundance of *Allochromatium*, the lower bloom layer was
dominated by *Prosthecochloris* and *Chlorobaculum* (Figure S11). In addition to phototrophs the
bloom layers were enriched with sulfur-reducing *Desulfuromonas sp.* as well as
Exiguobacterium sp. (Figure 6, Figure S9, S11). The layer above the bloom was slightly enriched
with sulfur-oxidizing *Thiovirga sp.* and the layer below the bloom with *Erypsipelothrix sp.*
Sulfate-reducing *Desulfobacteraceae* and *Desulfobulbaceae* were observed at low relative
abundances in all layers (Figure S9B).

Figure 5: Microbial Community Turnover. Non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) ordination
based on relative abundance of ASV (amplicon sequence variants). Each circle represents one sample, the
closer two samples are the more similar is their microbial community structure. Circle size represents
Shannon Diversity. Numbers indicate sampling time points. Colors indicate bloom layers. Note:
Individual holes were very similar (see Figure S8A) and thus we averaged relative ASV abundances for
clarity, i.e. each circle represents an average across replicate experiments. NMDS ordinations for
individual experiments are shown in Figure S7. The communities in the different layers of individual
experiments are significantly different yet overlapping (see Figure S7).

Interestingly, almost all *Prosthecochloris* affiliated reads belonged to a single sequence variant,

while ASV diversity affiliated with the closely related *Chlorobaculum* increased over time

(Figure 6B, S10). The relative sequence abundance of *Chlorobiales* noticeably changed at 25 cm

depth, where the yellow microbial bloom was visually observed. *Chlorobiales* ASVs accounted

for >25 % of reads in our dataset. To identify the phylogeny of ASV affiliating with

Chlorobiales, we placed the representative sequence of each ASV on a reference tree of known

Chlorobiales. The most abundant *Chlorobiales* ASV (ASV_1) affiliated with the genus

Prosthecochloris, and specifically in the monophyletic clade of *Prosthecochloris vibrioformis*

(Figure S12), followed by an ASV (ASV_2) affiliating with *Chlorobaculum*. Together, these two

ASVs account for >97 % of the *Chlorobiales* reads. In general, we found a high number of

unclassified lineages. The 20 most abundant ASV accounted for about 50 % of all sequences,

twelve of those belonged to unclassified genera or families (Figure S9B). The novelty was

especially high within the *Chromatiaceae* five of the eight ASV that ranked among the "top 20"

belonged to an unclassified genus.

Figure 6: Microbial community composition on genus level. A: Relative sequence abundance of genera found in different depths (colors) and timepoints (x-axis). Relative sequence abundances were averaged across triplicates, due to the high similarity of all three experiments. Clades that are anaerobic (O), involved in the sulfur cycle (S), or phototrophic (λ) are indicated by full squares. B: Relative sequence abundance of amplicon sequence variants (ASV) within the order *Chlorobiales*. The graph shows average values of the three replicate experiments for clarity. The replicate experiments were very similar (see SI Figure S5 and S6).

Metagenomics-derived insights into *Chlorobiales* populations

We calculated the index of replication (iRep) [42] of the *Prosthecochloris* and *Chlorobaculum* populations based on the metagenome-assembled genomes (MAGs) that were recovered from the community metagenomes of two replicate experiments (Replicates A, E) and the enrichment

culture (SK) at timepoint 7. Both populations were replicating rapidly. *Prosthecochloris* (bin10)

had an iRep value of 3.7 (r^2=0.90, sample 7A3), which indicates that on average every cell had

2.5 replication events at the time of sampling. *Chlorobaculum* (bin 6) had iRep values of 2.5

(r^2=0.95, sample 7E3) and 2.8 (r^2=0.95, sample 7K3), indicating that on average every cell had

~1.5 replication events. Both MAGs contained genes of the thiosulfate-oxidizing multienzyme

system (Sox). Bin 6 contained SoxXYZAB while Bin 10 contained only SoxYZ (Figure S17).

Both MAGs also contained the sulfate reductase subunit DsrAB involved in sulfate reduction,

and components of assimilatory sulfate reduction (CysND and Cys). Genes for

bacteriochlorophhyll (BChl) biosynthesis (bchEMU) were found in both MAGs. Bd-type

oxidases (CydAB) were present in both MAGS, while heme-copper oxygen reductases were

only found in Bin 6 including several cytochrome c oxidases (COX10, CyoABCDE and III)

(Table S4).

Bin 6 (*Chlorobaculum sp.*) and bin 10 (*Prosthecochloris sp.*) contain CRISPR arrays denoted as

either type I (cas3) or III (cas10) CRISPR systems [43] (Figure S18, S19). CRISPR predictions

revealed 3 direct repeat sequences in both MAGs of 30 and 35 (2) bp in length for Bin 6 and 37,

32, and 33 for the Bin 10 (Table S5). None of the spacers were shared by the closest reference

and representative genomes or matched sequences in the CRISPR database [44]. However, a

highly similar CRISPR array and direct repeat sequence were found between our Bin 6 and

Chlorobaculum parvum NCBI8327 with 60% *cas* genes similarity (Figure S18). The

metagenomes of all experiments, as well as of the GSB enrichment culture contained high

relative sequence abundances of viruses affiliating with *Microviridae* (Figure S20).

Discussion

In this study, we created artificial depressions in the decaying seagrass layer of Trunk River to

mimick disturbances that naturally occur at this site. We performed triplicate experiments that

showed very similar physicochemical gradients and patterns of community structure enabling us

to study microbial community succession in a natural setting. The observed slight variations

between replicate sites were likely due to differences in the organic matter composition and

distance to the lagoon access, or due to ripples and disturbances caused by weather, animals, and

sampling scientists. The replicated disturbances of the organic matter layers (A-hole, E-hole, and

K-hole) released trapped sulfide and caused the rapid establishment of steep physicochemical

gradients as well as the development of a bloom of sulfide-oxidizing phototrophs. We monitored

the microbial community assembly and succession, highlight the ecological niches of key

populations and indicate syntrophic interactions between phototrophs and sulfur reducers.

<u>Sulfur cycling in the phototrophic bloom</u>

Sulfate concentrations in the bottom layers decreased substantially within the first days and were

lowest in the bloom layer at 25 cm depth, where sulfate was almost entirely depleted. We found

sulfate-reducers affiliating with *Desulfobacteraceae* and *Desulfobulbaceae* in the hypoxic layers

of the bloom (Figure S9B) likely producing sulfide using either hydrogen or organic acids, e.g.

acetate (Figure S6) released from fermented organic matter. The sulfide concentrations were

highest at the upper boundary of the bloom at 10 cm water depth after the system stabilized

around day 6 (Figure 2). This is unexpected since reduced sulfur species, especially hydrogen

sulfide, are the electron donor for the green and purple phototrophs and thus should have been

depleted in these layers. At the same time, we found an increased relative abundance of sulfur-

reducing *Desulfuromonas sp.* in the bloom layers, peaking at around 15 % relative sequence

abundance. *Desulfuromonas sp.* are known to live in freshwater ecosystems and reduce

elemental sulfur to sulfide [45–47], which in turn can be reused by the sulfide-oxidizing

phototrophs. Our findings suggest that the initially present sulfide was released from the

sediment but was likely replenished by sulfate reducers from sulfate, as well as by sulfur

reducers from sulfur. Sulfide (and thiosulfate) are oxidized to elemental sulfur by the anoxygenic

phototrophs and hence the potential sulfur reduction by *Desulfuromonas sp.* indicates sulfur

cycling carried out by multiple species (Figure 7). A similar synergistic interaction was

suggested to occur in Lake Cadagno between sulfur disproportionating *Desulfocapsa*

thiozymogenes and purple sulfur bacteria affiliating with *Lamprocystis* [48]. At early timepoints

the microbial suspension was beige and milky, indicating the presence of large amounts of

elemental sulfur in the sample (Figure S2). Later the samples turned more yellow, due to an

increase in phototrophic organisms and their photopigments (Figure 2, 3), but also cleared up and

became translucent (Figure S2). Taken together these findings could mean that *Desulfuromonas*

sp. reduced the elemental sulfur (possibly present as polysulfides) that was produced by the

anoxygenic phototrophs before it accumulated in the suspension. An observation that merits

future research. Such a sulfur loop provides a positive feedback that could explain the

enrichment of sulfide in the bloom as well as the very rapid development of the sulfur-oxidizing

phototrophs. The involved *Chlorobi* and *Deltaproteobacteria* could even form tight aggregates

similar to *Chlorochromatium aggregatum* [49], to efficiently use the sulfur intermediate.

Assembly and coexistence of phototrophic microorganisms

The multispecies phototrophic bloom (fondly termed "microbial lemonade", Figure 1C) formed

around two to four days post-disturbance and was fully established by day six. The bloom

contained lineages from multiple phyla but was dominated by green and purple sulfur bacteria.

The color of the bloom slightly shifted from yellow-white at early timepoints to yellow-orange at

mid timepoints to yellow-green at late timepoints (Figure S2), likely due to the relative influence

of the photopigments of green and purple sulfur bacteria. The change in bacteriochlorophylls is

reflected by the pigment spectra collected at the different timepoints (Figure 3). The opacity and

color of the suspension, especially at the beginning of the experiment, is likely influenced by the

presence of polysulfides that are produced abiotically [50], as well as biotically by purple and

green sulfur bacteria due to their lack of the soxCD genes [51].

Interestingly, the sequencing data suggested that especially the lower layer of the bloom was

dominated by an apparently clonal population of green sulfur bacteria affiliated with

Prosthecochloris vibrioformis. The green sulfur bacteria are sulfur-oxidizing, strictly anaerobic,

obligate photoautotrophs [52]. Yet, based on our oxygen measurements, the Trunk River GSB

populations apparently tolerated relatively high oxygen concentrations of around 30 µM, but up

to 80 µM (Figure 2). The low concentration of dissolved oxygen at 25 cm depth combined with

sulfide, salinity, and low light created an optimal habitat for *Prosthecochloris sp*. The observed

community turnover (Figure 4) indicates that communities in the layers 2-4 shifted from one

stable state at the beginning of the experiment (timepoint 1) to an alternative stable state at the

end of the experiment (timepoint 8). It appears that PSB (*Allochromatium sp.*) played a key role

in stable state one, while the community of stable state two was equally dominated by both GSB

populations (*Prosthecochloris sp.* and *Chlorobium sp.*). The change of relative abundances of

phototrophs over the course of the experiment seems to be responsible for the pronounced

community turnover, because together these few clades made up the majority of sequence reads.

The high affinity for sulfide and the efficient growth of GSB at low light conditions [53] may

have enabled GSB to outcompete PSBs at the end of the experiment leading to a community

adapted to the changed conditions.

Despite the dominance of few populations the disturbance created a habitat with gradients of pH,

salinity, light, oxygen, and sulfide that enabled the coexistence of multiple phototrophic clades

from at least five different phyla (*Actinobacteria, Chlorobi, Chloroflexi, Cyanobacteria and*

Gammaproteobacteria). Coexistence of multiple phototrophic lineages was observed before,

especially in lakes [21,54,55]. The coexistence of organisms competing for the same energy

source is due to the different absorption maxima of each clades' photopigments (Figure 3), as

well as their need for different electron donors, and the varying salinity and oxygen tolerances of

each clade. At Trunk River *P. vibrioformis* is absent at 5 cm and present only in low abundance

at 10 cm. The surface layer (5 cm depth) is inhabited by oxygenic phototrophic *Cyanobacteria*

affiliating with *Cyanobium*, while the upper layer of the bloom (10 cm depth) is dominated by

purple sulfur bacteria of the order *Chromatiales* (Figure 6). Because *Prosthecochloris* are

adapted to low light conditions [56] and respond to different wavelengths of light than

Cyanobacteria and photosynthetic *Proteobacteria* [57,58], it is reasonable that they thrived at

depths of 25 cm, where they can out-compete other phototrophs. *Prosthecochloris* have been

previously observed in many marine and saline habitats, such as the Black Sea [59], Baltic Sea,

Sippewissett Salt Marsh, and Badwater basin [52]. They are considered to belong to a specialized

phylogenetic lineage of green sulfur bacteria adapted for marine and salt water ecosystems.

Blooms of *P. vibrioformis* have been previously observed in stratified lakes, where they

dominate the community at a specific depth [60], sometimes forming clonal blooms [61].

The suspended phototrophic bloom was spatially organized analogous to benthic phototrophic

mats in the nearby Sippewissett Salt Marsh [62–64] and elsewhere [65,66]. Thus, the disturbance

experiment that we performed *in situ* created a transient ecosystem with niches resembling those

in coastal microbial mats, except across a spatial scale that was one order of magnitude greater.

The community slowly collapsed after about two weeks and the water column seemed to return

to its original state (Figure 5). We did not observe a shift from phototrophic to chemotrophic

sulfur oxidation after the phototrophic bloom [21].

<u>New species of green sulfur bacteria and possible viral predation</u>

Due to the findings of a previous study based on 16S rRNA gene libraries, Imhoff and colleagues

proposed the existence of several uncultivated GSB species in Sippewissett Salt Marsh and other

estuaries [52]. The authors provide evidence that several GSB clades harbor species that have yet

escaped isolation, among those are species in the genera *Chlorobaculum* and *Prosthecochloris*.

We have strong evidence that we found at least two of these species based on our MAGs of a

Chlorobaculum species (Bin 6, Figure S13, S15) and a *Prosthecochloris* species (Bin 10, Figure

S13, S16). Both MAGs cluster sufficiently far away from the closest cultured isolate (Figure

S12, S14) and have average nucleotide identity (ANI) values of <90 to their respective closest

cultured isolate.

Physiological and functional characteristics cannot be easily deduced from the presence of genes

alone. Yet, it is very likely that the populations represented by bin 6 and 10 were sulfur

oxidizers, because their genomes encoded for enzymes performing thiosulfate oxidation. As in

most GSB, bin 6 contained the genes SoxABXYZ coding for enzymes that oxidize thiosulfate

to sulfate and polysulfides [67]. Bin 10 only contained SoxYZ (Figure S17). The absence of

SoxB genes has been identified in other non-thiosulfate oxidizing GSB such as the close relative

Prosthecochloris estuarii, or in *Chlorobium limicola* DSM 245 and *Chlorobium luteolum* DSM

273 [68]. Bacteriochlorophyll synthesis genes were identical in both Chlorobi MAGs coding for

pigments common to *Chlorobi*. In bin 6 we found complete operons encoding for cytochrome o

oxidase (CyoABCDE) and cytochrome d oxidase (CydAB) [69]. The latter was found also in bin

10, indicating that both organisms have means to cope with oxygen stress, hence explaining their

presence at relatively high oxygen concentrations and their ability of performing anoxygenic

photosynthesis under (hyp)oxic conditions.

Both MAGs also contain CRISPR-Cas systems that are very different from the cultured isolates

(Figure S18, S19). Our CRISPR results show that Trunk River populations may be under

predatory stress, affecting the abundance of bacterial blooms, and that host immunity is active in

this ecosystem [70]. The unique CRISPR arrays indicate that closely related species may be

infected by different viruses with species specificity [71]. However, some viral populations have

been reported to have broad host ranges [72]. Divergent evolution or strain level microdiversity

may also explain distinct CRISPR-Cas systems [73]. A lack of public databases containing viral

sequences restricts the detection of viral-host interactions [74]. While Llorens-Marès *et al.*

(2017) characterized a potential green sulfur bacterial viral infection, to date, phages infecting

Chlorobi have not been reported. Our analyses suggest that viruses of the family *Microviridae*

played a major role in the transient bloom (Figure S19), possibly even for its demise. This

interesting finding merits future research on transient phototrophic blooms in estuarine

ecosystems.

Figure 7: Schematic overview of the phototrophic bloom showing the main phototrophic populations,
sulfur compounds, and chemical gradients.

Conclusions

In this study, we investigated phototrophic blooms that naturally occur in a brackish estuarine
ecosystem to understand the underlying microbial and biogeochemical dynamics. We show that
phototrophs belonging to different phyla spatially organized within the water column based upon
their light and oxygen requirements forming a layered bloom, analogous to the layered
communities in phototrophic microbial mats (Figure 7). The community composition of the
bloom indicates the presence of a sulfur cycle between anoxygenic phototrophs and sulfur
reducers that could explain the rapid development of the bloom. We identified metagenome
assembled genomes of two novel species of green sulfur bacteria, belonging to *Chlorobaculum*
and *Prosthecochloris*. The MAGs contained terminal oxidases that may allow the organisms to
thrive in a hypoxic environment, as well as repeats that evidence of host-virus dynamics. The
metagenome contained viral sequences suggesting that *Microviridae* viruses infect species within
the *Chlorobiales*. Overall, Trunk River is an excellent model ecosystem to study the microbial
ecology and ecophysiology of phototrophic blooms in a natural setting.

     Methods

Experimental Setup and Sample Collection

We used custom-made sampling poles for long-term environmental monitoring of the water

column without disturbing the established gradients (LEMON; Figure 1B, C). The sampling

poles were placed in three replicate depressions (A-hole, E-hole, and K-hole) that we dug into

the thick layers of decaying organic matter (Figure 1A). In each of sites, a sampling pole was

placed such that the inlets sampled water at 5 cm, 10 cm, 25 cm, and 35 cm depth below the

surface (Figure 1B, C). Sampling poles were set up one day after the holes were dug out and

sampling began one day after set up (two days post disturbance), to allow disturbed sediment to

settle. Samples were collected over a 15-day period during July-August 2015. For each sample,

the first 5 ml were discarded, followed by collection of 100 ml of water in several sterile tubes

for further analyses. The tubes were transported on ice to the laboratory and stored at 4˚C. All

sample collections were carried out between 4 pm and 6 pm.

Enrichment cultures

To enrich for GSB we used a defined saltwater medium (400g/l NaCl, 60g/l $MgCl_2*6H_2O$, 3g/l

$CaCl_2*2H_2O$, 10g/l KCl) buffered at pH 7.2 with 5 mM MOPS. The medium contained 5 mM

NH_4Cl as N source, 1mM K phosphate (pH 7.2) as P source, 70 mM $NaHCO_3$ as C source, 10

mM $Na_2S_2O_3$ as electron donor, 1 mM Na_2S as reductant or electron donor, a multivitamin

solution prepared at 1000× in 10 mM MOPS at pH 7.2, and a trace metal solution prepared at

1000× in 20 mM HCl. Saltwater base, MOPS, N- and P-source, and trace metals were autoclaved

together in a Widdel sparging flask, cooled under a stream of N_2/CO_2 (80%:20%) gas. C-source,

electron donors and vitamins were added from filter-sterilized stock solutions after cooling. The

medium was inoculated with biomass removed from *in situ* enrichments of GSB grown on glass

slides using a 770 nm monochromatic LED. After inoculation, the bottle was kept in dark for 2-4

hours and then placed 5 cm away from a LED light source with the same specifications. After a

visible sign of growth – green coloration – the culture was filtered through 0.2 μm filter and used

for DNA extraction, similar to other samples.

Physicochemical Measurements

In-situ measurements of pH, temperature, dissolved oxygen, oxidation reduction potential

(ORP), and ion selective electrode (ISE) were carried out with a multi-parameter probe equipped

with a quarto probe (YSI Professional Series Model Pro). The probe was calibrated for pH with

4, 7, and 10 buffers and for dissolved oxygen using oxygen-saturated water and an anoxic

solution of sodium ascorbate and sodium hydroxide. After each sample collection the probe was

lowered into the water to each depth per site and after probe readings stabilized, the parameters

were recorded.

To measure biomass and pigment spectra, up to 10 ml of the collected sample was filtered

through a sterile Millipore filter (0.2 μm GTTP, 0.2 μm GNWP, or 0.22 μm GV). Filtrates were

washed twice with ammonium acetate solutions with the same ionic strength as each depth. The

filters were placed on aluminium foil, dried at 60°C overnight and subsequently weighed (Figure

S3). A Spectral Evolution SR1900 spectrophotometer was used to measure the spectrum of the

dried biomass on each filter with a scanning range of 350-1900 nm. The light source was a

Dyonics 60 W lamp.

After sterile filtration, the filtrate was used to measure anion, cation, and organic acid

concentrations using an ion chromatographer. The ion concentrations of samples were measured

by diluting filtrate 1:10 with Millipore water to a total volume of 2 ml. The diluted samples were

measured in triplicate using a ThermoFisher/Dionex ICS2100 equipped with an AS18 column

using a 13 minute, 33 mM NaOH isocratic program to measure anions and a CS12A column

using a 13 minute, 25 mM methane sulfonic acid isocratic program to measure cations. Samples

for organic acid analysis were filtered through 0.2 μm filters and 900 μL of filtrate was added to

100 uL of 5 M H_2SO4 to precipitate any compounds that might do so on the column. The

samples were centrifuged and the upper portion was removed for HPLC analysis. Samples were

analyzed on a BioRad Aminex HPX-87H column in isocratic elution mode with 5 mM sulfuric

acid.

Iron concentration was quantified using the ferrozine assay [75]. 4.5 ml filtrate were added on

site to 0.5 ml of 1 M HCl to prevent oxidation of any available Fe(III). For Fe(II), 50 μl filtrate

was added to 50 μl of 1M HCl and 100 μl of ferrozine (0.1% [wt/vol] in 50% ammonium

acetate) was added. For total iron, 50 μl filtrate was added to 50 μl of 10% hydroxylamine

hydrochloride in 1M HCl to reduce Fe(III) to Fe(II). Samples were added to 100 μl of ferrozine.

All samples were incubated for 15 min and a filtrates Absorbances were read in triplicate at 560

nm using a Promega plate reader. Ferrous ammonium sulfate was used as standard.

Sulfide concentrations were quantified using the Cline assay [76]. 1.5 ml filtrate were added on

site to 500 µl of zinc acetate solution (91 mM) to prevent oxidation of the sulfide. Cline reagent

(N, N-dimethyl-p-phenylenediamine sulfate, H_2SO_4, $NH_4Fe(SO_4)_2 \cdot 12\ H_2O$) was added, the

samples were incubated in the dark for 30 minutes and absorbance was read at 665 nm. A table

with all physicochemical and biomass measurements is publicly available at PANGAEA

(https://doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.900343)

<u>DNA Extraction, Library Preparations, and Sequencing</u>

Within 2 – 6 hours of sample collection, 50 ml sample was filtered using an autoclaved 0.2 µm

polycarbonate filter (GTTP Millipore) and stored at -20˚C. Each filter was cut with a sterile

blade and extracted with the MoBio PowerFecal kit. We followed the protocol, but instead of

bead beating, the samples were twice vortexed horizontally with the beads (10 min and 20 min

with a 10 min pause). DNA concentration and purity were measured with Nanodrop and

Promega Qubit fluorometer and Nanodrop, respectively.

We prepared 16S rRNA gene amplicon library using V4-V5 fusion primers as previously

described [77]. Briefly, the fusion primer contains TruSeq adapter sequences, barcodes, and the

forward or reverse 16S rRNA gene primers. The forward and reverse 16S rRNA gene primers

were 518F (CCAGCAGCYGCGGTAAN) and 926R (CCGTCAATTCNTTTRAGT). The PCR

conditions were as follows: initial denaturation of 94˚C for 3 min, 30 cycles of denaturation at

94˚C for 30 s, annealing at 57˚C for 45 s, extension at 72˚C for 1 min, and final extension at

72˚C for 2 min. The libraries were cleaned using Agencourt Ampure XP beads, quantified using

picogreen, pooled in equimolar ratios, and cleaned again using Agencourt Ampure XP beads a

second time. The indexed libraries were then sequenced on the Illumina MiSeq PE250 platform.

The DNA from the depth of 25 cm from timepoint 7 from the three replicates, as well as from a

phototrophic enrichment were used to generate whole-genome shotgun metagenomic library.

The DNA was sheared using Covaris sonicator, size selected for 500-600bp using Pippin prep,

and cleaned using Agencourt Ampure XP clean beads. The cleaned DNA was analyzed using

Bioanalyzer DNA1000 chip and used to prepare metagenomic library using Nugen Ovation

ultralow DR multiplex kit with manufacture supplied protocol. The libraries were then

sequenced on Illumina MiSeq PE250 platform. All the sequencing was performed at the Keck

facility at the J. Bay Paul Center at the Marine Biological Laboratory, Woods Hole, MA.

Amplicon Sequence Data Analyses

Amplicon Sequence Data Analyses

The amplicon data was demultiplexed in mothur v1.39.5 [78], followed by the trimming of 16S

rRNA gene amplification primers using Cutadapt v1.16 [79] with default parameters. The

primer-trimmed amplicon sequencing data was quality checked using DADA2 v1.9.0 R Package

[80]. In DADA2, the reads were trimmed at the first instance of quality drop below 8, an

expected error rate of 2, followed by trimming to 220bp and 200bp for forward and reverse

reads. Any reads that matched PhiX or had an ambiguous base were removed. An error profile

for the forward and reverse reads was generated using learnErrors function and then used to

merge the forward and reverse reads using the mergePairs function. The merged reads were used

to generate the amplicon sequence variants using makeSequenceTable function, which was then

filtered for chimeras using removeBimeraDenovo function. The amplicon sequence variants

were assigned taxonomy in DADA2 using Silva reference database v132 [81]. Community

analyses were performed using a custom workflow based on R and the packages vegan, labdsv,

tidyverse (stringr, dplyr, ggplot2), UpSetR and custom scripts [82–87] for details see. Relative

abundance of bacterial ASV (amplicon sequence variants), Bray-Curtis dissimilarities,

Nonmetric Multidimensional Scaling as well as analyses determining Singletons and percent

shared ASVs are based on the unaltered Sample×ASV table as calculated by DADA2. The

ASV×Sample table including taxonomy is available at PANGAEA

(https://doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.900354). To compare the diversity between samples

using the number of observed species, Shannon index, Inverse Simpson diversity and Chao1

Richness [88] the ASV abundance tables were rarefied to account for unequal sampling effort

using 31,682 randomly chosen sequences without replacement. For details refer to the R

workflow available at the public database PANGAEA

(https://doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.900344).

Metagenomic Sequence Data Analyses

Metagenomic Sequence Data Analyses

Quality control of the raw reads was performed using Preprocessing and Information of

SEQuence data (PRINSEQ) to remove sequencing tags and sequences with mean quality score

lower than 25, duplicates and N's [89]. All runs combined provided a total of approximately 3.5

million 250 bp read pairs. All forward and reverse reads were placed together in one file and

cross co-assembled using SPAdes using the --meta option [90]. Binning was performed using

MetaBAT [91] and Anvi'o (v5.2) metagenomic workflow (CONCOCT) [92]. Completeness and

contamination of bins was assessed using CheckM [93]. Assembled genomes that contained

more than 90% genome completeness, less than 5% contamination, and sequences mainly from a

single genus were further analyzed. This yielded two high quality bacterial metagenome-

assembled genomes (MAGs): Bin 6 and Bin 10. Taxonomic composition for each bin was

predicted using FOCUS [94]. Phylogenetic analysis including the identification of their closest

phylogenetic neighbors was investigated using PATRIC Comprehensive Genome Analysis [95].

Gene prediction for MAGs was performed using prodigal (V2.60, -p meta). We searched for

sulfur, terminal oxidases and chlorophyll pathways using Ghost-KOALA against the KEGG

GENES database. The *Chlorobi* bins 6 and 10 contained 2008 and 1938 predicted proteins,

respectively. CRISPRCasFinder [96] and CRISPRone [97] were used to identify CRISPR repeat

and spacer sequences. The quality checked reads from each sample were mapped to the MAGs,

Bin 6 and Bin 10 using bowtie2 [98]. The mapped reads were then analyzed using iRep [42] to

estimate replication events in Bin 6 and Bin 10. Unassembled sequences were processed on the

MG-RAST platform version 4.0.3. Percent abundance of viral sequences was calculated from the

RefSeq database using an e-value cutoff of 1e-5, a minimum identity cutoff of 60%, and an

alignment length minimum cutoff of 15 [99]. For details refer to the metagenome analyses

workflow publicly accessible at HackMD (https://hackmd.io/tGZyCM9sSNmuorpHenQVNA).

Declarations

<u>Availability of data and material</u>

The genomic datasets generated and analyzed during the current study are available on MG-

RAST (Project Name: Trunk River, ID: 4837589.3 (sample SK), 4837590.3 (sample 7K3),

4837591.3 (sample 7E3), 4837592.3 (sample 7A3)) and metagenome-assembled genomes

workflow are available on HackMD (https://hackmd.io/tGZyCM9sSNmuorpHenQVNA). The

raw 16S rRNA amplicon data, the shotgun metagenomic data, the 16S rRNA gene clonal

sequences, and the metagenome assembled genomes presented in this work are publicly archived

in NCBI under Bioproject PRJNA530984 (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/530984).

The contextual datasets generated and analyzed during the current study are publicly available at

PANGAEA under: https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.900343

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

This work was carried out at the Microbial Diversity summer course at the Marine Biological

Laboratory in Woods Hole, MA. The course was supported by grants from National Aeronautics

and Space Administration, the US Department of Energy, the Simons Foundation, the Beckman

Foundation, and the Agouron Institute.

Authors' contributions

SB helped design the study, collected samples, prepared and analyzed sequencing data, wrote
manuscript

ESC helped design the study, collected samples, prepared and analyzed physicochemical data,
wrote manuscript

SHK helped design the study and enrichment cultures, analyzed physicochemical data and
pigment spectra, wrote manuscript

SPC analyzed metagenomic data, wrote manuscript

SK obtained enrichment culture, wrote manuscript

SD helped design the study, wrote manuscript

KH collected samples, prepared and analyzed physicochemical data and pigment spectra, wrote
manuscript

SER designed the study, analyzed and visualized sequencing and physicochemical data, wrote
manuscript with input from all co-authors

All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We would like to express our deepest gratitude towards Dianne Newman and Jared R.

Leadbetter, directors of the Microbial Diversity Summer Course at the Marine Biological

Laboratory 2014-2017. Without their trust, support and encouragement the project would not

have been realized. We are very grateful to Joseph Vinneis, Kim Finnegan, and Mitchell Sogin

for providing laboratory space, equipment, and guidance regarding next-generation sequencing.

We are also very grateful to the students and staff of the 2015 Microbial Diversity Summer

Course, specifically Kurt Dahlstrom, Kristina Garcia, Jessica Choi, Rachel Soble, and Lina Bird.

We would like to thank the Simons Foundation, NSF, DOE, and NASA for funding the

Microbial Diversity Summer course, as well as Promega, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Spectral

Evolution, and MBL's Marine Resources Center for providing reagents and equipment used in

this work.

References

1. McLusky DS, Elliott M. The estuarine ecosystem: ecology, threats and management
[Internet]. Oxford University Press on Demand; 2004. Available from:
http://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198525080.001.0001/acprof-
9780198525080

2. Moore WS. The subterranean estuary: a reaction zone of ground water and sea water. Mar
Chem [Internet]. 1999;65:111–25. Available from:
http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0304420399000146

3. Pant HK, Reddy KR. Phosphorus Sorption Characteristics of Estuarine Sediments under
Different Redox Conditions. J Environ Qual [Internet]. American Society of Agronomy, Crop
Science Society of America, Soil Science Society; 2001 [cited 2019 Feb 13];30:1474. Available
from: https://www.agronomy.org/publications/jeq/abstracts/30/4/1474

4. Jay DA, Orton PM, Chisholm T, Wilson DJ, Fain AM V. Particle trapping in stratified
estuaries: Application to observations. Estuaries and Coasts [Internet]. Springer-Verlag; 2007
[cited 2019 Feb 13];30:1106–25. Available from: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/BF02841400

5. Nelson JL, Zavaleta ES. Salt Marsh as a Coastal Filter for the Oceans: Changes in Function
with Experimental Increases in Nitrogen Loading and Sea-Level Rise. PLoS One [Internet].
2012;7:e38558. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0038558

6. Barbier EB, Hacker SD, Kennedy C, Koch EW, Stier AC, Silliman BR. The value of estuarine
and coastal ecosystem services. Ecol Monogr [Internet]. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2011 [cited
2019 Jul 11];81:169–93. Available from: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1890/10-1510.1

7. Waidner LA, Kirchman DL. Aerobic anoxygenic photosynthesis genes and operons in
uncultured bacteria in the Delaware River. Environ Microbiol. 2005;7:1896–908.

8. Ritchie AE, Johnson ZI. Abundance and Genetic Diversity of Aerobic Anoxygenic
Phototrophic Bacteria of Coastal Regions of the Pacific Ocean. Appl Environ Microbiol
[Internet]. 2012;78:2858–66. Available from: http://aem.asm.org/content/78/8/2858.abstract

9. Boschker HTS, Vasquez-Cardenas D, Bolhuis H, Moerdijk-Poortvliet TWC, Moodley L.
Chemoautotrophic Carbon Fixation Rates and Active Bacterial Communities in Intertidal Marine
Sediments. PLoS One [Internet]. 2014;9:e101443. Available from:
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4086895/

10. Peduzzi P, Herndl GJ. Decomposition and significance of seagrass leaf litter (Cymodocea
nodosa) for the microbial food web in coastal waters (Gulf of Trieste, Northern Adriatic Sea).
Mar Ecol Prog Ser [Internet]. 1991;71:163–74. Available from:
http://www.jstor.org/stable/24817387

11. Smith S V, Hollibaugh JT. Coastal metabolism and the oceanic organic carbon balance. Rev
Geophys [Internet]. 1993;31:75–89. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/92RG02584

12. Moran MA, Sheldon WM, Zepp RG. Carbon loss and optical property changes during long-
term photochemical and biological degradation of estuarine dissolved organic matter. Limnol
Oceanogr [Internet]. 2000;45:1254–64. Available from:
http://dx.doi.org/10.4319/lo.2000.45.6.1254

13. Capone DG, Kiene RP. Comparison of microbial dynamics in marine and freshwater
sediments: contrasts in anaerobic carbon catabolism. Limnol Oceanogr. 1988;33:725–49.

14. Purdy KJ, Embley TM, Nedwell DB. The distribution and activity of sulphate reducing
bacteria in estuarine and coastal marine sediments. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek [Internet].
2002;81:181–7. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1020550215012

15. Zopfi J, Ferdelman TG, Jørgensen BB, Teske A, Thamdrup B. Influence of water column
dynamics on sulfide oxidation and other major biogeochemical processes in the chemocline of
Mariager Fjord (Denmark). Mar Chem [Internet]. 2001;74:29–51. Available from:
http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0304420300000918

16. Long RR. Mass and salt transfers and halocline depths in an estuary. Tellus [Internet].
1976;28:460–72. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.2153-3490.1976.tb00695.x

17. Lee DY, Owens MS, Doherty M, Eggleston EM, Hewson I, Crump BC, et al. The Effects of
Oxygen Transition on Community Respiration and Potential Chemoautotrophic Production in a
Seasonally Stratified Anoxic Estuary. Estuaries and Coasts [Internet]. Springer US; 2015 [cited
2019 Feb 13];38:104–17. Available from: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s12237-014-9803-8

18. Wimpenny JWT, Wiegel J, Kuenen JG. Responses of Microorganisms to Physical and
Chemical Gradients [and Discussion]. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci [Internet].
1982;297:497–515. Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/2395933

19. Jørgensen BB, Revsbech NP. Colorless Sulfur Bacteria, Beggiatoa spp. and Thiovulum spp.,
in O2 and H2S Microgradients. Appl Environ Microbiol [Internet]. 1983;45:1261–70. Available

from: http://aem.asm.org/content/45/4/1261.abstract

20. Møller MM, Nielsen LP, Jørgensen BB. Oxygen Responses and Mat Formation by Beggiatoa
spp. Appl Environ Microbiol [Internet]. 1985;50:373–82. Available from:
http://aem.asm.org/content/50/2/373.abstract

21. Pjevac P, Korlević M, Berg JS, Bura-Nakić E, Ciglenečki I, Amann R, et al. Community
shift from phototrophic to chemotrophic sulfide oxidation following anoxic holomixis in a
stratified seawater lake. Appl Environ Microbiol [Internet]. American Society for Microbiology;
2015 [cited 2019 Mar 28];81:298–308. Available from:
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25344237

22. Wasmund K, Mußmann M, Loy A. The life sulfuric: microbial ecology of sulfur cycling in
marine sediments. Environ Microbiol Rep [Internet]. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd (10.1111); 2017
[cited 2019 Jul 23];9:323–44. Available from: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/1758-2229.12538

23. Bush T, Diao M, Allen RJ, Sinnige R, Muyzer G, Huisman J. Oxic-anoxic regime shifts
mediated by feedbacks between biogeochemical processes and microbial community dynamics.
Nat Commun [Internet]. Nature Publishing Group; 2017 [cited 2019 Jul 23];8:789. Available
from: http://www.nature.com/articles/s41467-017-00912-x

24. Zhu K, Lauro FM, Su H. Stratification Modelling of Key Bacterial Taxa Driven by
Metabolic Dynamics in Meromictic Lakes. Sci Rep [Internet]. Nature Publishing Group; 2018
[cited 2019 Jul 23];8:9538. Available from: http://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-018-27973-2

25. Haas LW. The effect of the spring-neap tidal cycle on the vertical salinity structure of the
James, York and Rappahannock Rivers, Virginia, U.S.A. Estuar Coast Mar Sci [Internet].
1977;5:485–96. Available from:
http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0302352477900962

26. Allen GP, Salomon JC, Bassoullet P, Du Penhoat Y, de Grandpré C. Effects of tides on
mixing and suspended sediment transport in macrotidal estuaries. Sediment Geol [Internet].
Elsevier; 1980 [cited 2019 Feb 13];26:69–90. Available from:
https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0037073880900068

27. Garvine RW. A simple model of estuarine subtidal fluctuations forced by local and remote
wind stress. J Geophys Res [Internet]. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 1985 [cited 2019 Feb
13];90:11945–8. Available from: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/JC090iC06p11945

28. Simpson JH, Brown J, Matthews J, Allen G. Tidal straining, density currents, and stirring in
the control of estuarine stratification. Estuaries [Internet]. 1990;13:125–32. Available from:
https://doi.org/10.2307/1351581

29. Maie N, Boyer JN, Yang C, Jaffé R. Spatial, geomorphological, and seasonal variability of
CDOM in estuaries of the Florida Coastal Everglades. Hydrobiologia [Internet]. 2006;569:135–
50. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-006-0128-x

30. Badr E-SA, Tappin AD, Achterberg EP. Distributions and seasonal variability of dissolved
organic nitrogen in two estuaries in SW England. Mar Chem [Internet]. 2008;110:153–64.
Available from: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0304420308000686

31. Muylaert K, Sabbe K, Vyverman W. Spatial and Temporal Dynamics of Phytoplankton
Communities in a Freshwater Tidal Estuary (Schelde, Belgium). Estuar Coast Shelf Sci
[Internet]. 2000;50:673–87. Available from:
http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0272771400905900

32. Bernhard AE, Colbert D, McManus J, Field KG. Microbial community dynamics based on
16S rRNA gene profiles in a Pacific Northwest estuary and its tributaries. FEMS Microbiol Ecol
[Internet]. 2005;52:115–28. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.femsec.2004.10.016

33. Henriques IS, Alves A, Tacão M, Almeida A, Cunha Â, Correia A. Seasonal and spatial
variability of free-living bacterial community composition along an estuarine gradient (Ria de
Aveiro, Portugal). Estuar Coast Shelf Sci [Internet]. 2006;68:139–48. Available from:
http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0272771406000497

34. Lv X, Ma B, Yu J, Chang SX, Xu J, Li Y, et al. Bacterial community structure and function
shift along a successional series of tidal flats in the Yellow River Delta. Sci Rep [Internet].
2016;6:36550. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/srep36550

35. Aguirre M, Abad D, Albaina A, Cralle L, Goñi-Urriza MS, Estonba A, et al. Unraveling the
environmental and anthropogenic drivers of bacterial community changes in the Estuary of
Bilbao and its tributaries. Kelly JJ, editor. PLoS One [Internet]. Public Library of Science; 2017
[cited 2019 Jul 12];12:e0178755. Available from:
http://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0178755

36. Zaikova E, Walsh DA, Stilwell CP, Mohn WW, Tortell PD, Hallam SJ. Microbial
community dynamics in a seasonally anoxic fjord: Saanich Inlet, British Columbia. Environ
Microbiol [Internet]. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd (10.1111); 2010 [cited 2019 Feb 13];12:172–91.
Available from: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2009.02058.x

37. Oren A. Characterization of Pigments of Prokaryotes and Their Use in Taxonomy and
Classification. Methods Microbiol [Internet]. Academic Press; 2011 [cited 2019 Mar
26];38:261–82. Available from:
https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780123877307000127

38. Srinivas TNR, Anil Kumar P, Sucharitha K, Sasikala C, Ramana C V. Allochromatium
phaeobacterium sp. nov. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol. 2009;59:750–3.

39. Caumette P, Imhoff JF, Süling J, Matheron R. Chromatium glycolicum sp. nov., a
moderately halophilic purple sulfur bacterium that uses glycolate as substrate. Arch Microbiol.
1997;167:11–8.

40. Stomp M, van Dijk MA, van Overzee HMJ, Wortel MT, Sigon CAM, Egas M, et al. The
timescale of phenotypic plasticity and its impact on competition in fluctuating environments. Am
Nat [Internet]. The University of Chicago Press; 2008 [cited 2019 Mar 26];172:169–85.
Available from: https://www.journals.uchicago.edu/doi/10.1086/591680

41. Borrego CM, Gerola PD, Miller M, Cox RP. Light intensity effects on pigment composition
and organisation in the green sulfur bacterium Chlorobium tepidum. Photosynth Res [Internet].
Kluwer Academic Publishers; 1999 [cited 2019 Mar 26];59:159–66. Available from:
http://link.springer.com/10.1023/A:1006161302838

42. Brown CT, Olm MR, Thomas BC, Banfield JF. Measurement of bacterial replication rates in
microbial communities. Nat Biotechnol [Internet]. Nature Publishing Group; 2016 [cited 2018
Dec 18];34:1256–63. Available from: http://www.nature.com/articles/nbt.3704

43. Makarova KS, Wolf YI, Alkhnbashi OS, Costa F, Shah SA, Saunders SJ, et al. An updated
evolutionary classification of CRISPR-Cas systems. Nat Rev Microbiol. Nature Publishing
Group; 2015;13:722–36.

44. Grissa I, Vergnaud G, Pourcel C. The CRISPRdb database and tools to display CRISPRs and
to generate dictionaries of spacers and repeats. BMC Bioinformatics. 2007;8:1–10.

45. Finster K, Bak F, Pfennig N. Desulfuromonas acetexigens sp. nov., a dissimilatory sulfur-
reducing eubacterium from anoxic freshwater sediments. Arch Microbiol [Internet]. Springer-
Verlag; 1994 [cited 2019 Feb 8];161:328–32. Available from:
http://link.springer.com/10.1007/BF00303588

46. Finster K, Coates JD, Liesack W, Pfennig4 N. Desulfuromonas thiophila sp. nov., a New
Obligately Sulfur-Reducing Bacterium from Anoxic Freshwater Sediment. Int J Syst Bacteriol.
1997;754–8.

47. Pfennig N, Biebl H. Desulfuromonas acetoxidans gen. nov. and sp. nov., a new anaerobic,
sulfur-reducing, acetate-oxidizing bacterium. Arch Microbiol [Internet]. Springer-Verlag; 1976
[cited 2019 Feb 8];110:3–12. Available from: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/BF00416962

48. Tonolla M, Peduzzi S, Demarta A, Peduzzi R, Hahn D. Phototropic sulfur and sulfate-
reducing bacteria in the chemocline of meromictic Lake Cadagno, Switzerland. J Limnol
[Internet]. 2004 [cited 2019 Jul 9];63:161. Available from:
http://jlimnol.it/index.php/jlimnol/article/view/jlimnol.2004.161

49. Wanner G, Vogl K, Overmann J. Ultrastructural characterization of the prokaryotic
symbiosis in "Chlorochromatium aggregatum". J Bacteriol [Internet]. American
Society for Microbiology Journals; 2008 [cited 2019 Apr 8];190:3721–30. Available from:
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18344357

50. Findlay AJ. Microbial impact on polysulfide dynamics in the environment. Boden R, editor.
FEMS Microbiol Lett [Internet]. Narnia; 2016 [cited 2019 Jul 24];363:fnw103. Available from:
https://academic.oup.com/femsle/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/femsle/fnw103

51. Welte C, Hafner S, Krätzer C, Quentmeier A, Friedrich CG, Dahl C. Interaction between Sox
proteins of two physiologically distinct bacteria and a new protein involved in thiosulfate
oxidation. FEBS Lett [Internet]. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2009 [cited 2019 Jul 8];583:1281–6.
Available from: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1016/j.febslet.2009.03.020

52. Alexander B, Imhoff JF. Communities of green sulfur bacteria in marine and saline habitats
analyzed by gene sequences of 16S rRNA and Fenna-Matthews-Olson protein. Int Microbiol.
2006;9:259–66.

53. Veldhuis MJW, Gemerden H. Competition between purple and brown phototrophic bacteria
in stratified lakes: sulfide, acetate, and light as limiting factors. FEMS Microbiol Lett [Internet].
Narnia; 1986 [cited 2019 Jul 11];38:31–8. Available from:
https://academic.oup.com/femsec/article-lookup/doi/10.1111/j.1574-6968.1986.tb01936.x

54. Tonolla M, Peduzzi R, Hahn D. Long-term population dynamics of phototrophic sulfur
bacteria in the chemocline of Lake Cadagno, Switzerland. Appl Environ Microbiol [Internet].
American Society for Microbiology; 2005 [cited 2019 Jul 9];71:3544–50. Available from:
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16000760

55. Eckert W, Frevert T, Dan TB-B, Cavari BZ. Competitive development of *Thiocapsa*
roseopersicina and *Chlorobium phaebacteroides* in Lake Kinneret. Can J Microbiol [Internet].
NRC Research Press Ottawa, Canada ; 1986 [cited 2019 Jul 9];32:917–21. Available from:
http://www.nrcresearchpress.com/doi/10.1139/m86-169

56. Findlay AJ, Bennett AJ, Hanson TE, Luther GW. Light-Dependent Sulfide Oxidation in the
Anoxic Zone of the Chesapeake Bay Can Be Explained by Small Populations of Phototrophic
Bacteria. Appl Environ Microbiol [Internet]. 2015;81:7560–9. Available from:
http://aem.asm.org/content/81/21/7560.abstract

57. Herbert RA, Tanner AC. The Isolation and Some Characteristics of Photosynthetic Bacteria
(Chromatiaceae and Chlorobiaceae) from Antarctic Marine Sediments. J Appl Bacteriol
[Internet]. 1977;43:437–45. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-
2672.1977.tb00770.x

58. Parkin TB, Brock TD. The effects of light quality on the growth of phototrophic bacteria in
lakes. Arch Microbiol [Internet]. 1980;125:19–27. Available from:
https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00403193

59. Manske AK, Glaeser J, Kuypers MMM, Overmann J. Physiology and Phylogeny of Green
Sulfur Bacteria Forming a Monospecific Phototrophic Assemblage at a Depth of 100 Meters in
the Black Sea. Appl Environ Microbiol [Internet]. 2005;71:8049–60. Available from:
http://aem.asm.org/content/71/12/8049.abstract

60. Máthé I, Borsodi AK, Tóth EM, Felföldi T, Jurecska L, Krett G, et al. Vertical physico-
chemical gradients with distinct microbial communities in the hypersaline and heliothermal Lake
Ursu (Sovata, Romania). Extremophiles [Internet]. 2014;18:501–14. Available from:
https://doi.org/10.1007/s00792-014-0633-1

61. Gregersen LH, Habicht KS, Peduzzi S, Tonolla M, Canfield DE, Miller M, et al. Dominance
of a clonal green sulfur bacterial population in a stratified lake. FEMS Microbiol Ecol [Internet].
2009;70:30–41. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2009.00737.x

62. Armitage DW, Gallagher KL, Youngblut ND, Buckley DH, Zinder SH. Millimeter-scale
patterns of phylogenetic and trait diversity in a salt marsh microbial mat. Front Microbiol
[Internet]. Frontiers; 2012 [cited 2019 Mar 20];3:293. Available from:
http://journal.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fmicb.2012.00293/abstract

63. Pierson B, Oesterle A, Murphy GL. Pigments, light penetration, and photosynthetic activity
in the multi-layered microbial mats of Great Sippewissett Salt Marsh, Massachusetts. FEMS
Microbiol Lett. 1987;45:365–76.

64. Nicholson JAM, Stolz JF, Pierson BK. Structure of a microbiol mat at Great Sippewissett
Marsh, Cape Cod, Massachusetts. FEMS Microbiol Lett. 1987;45:343–64.

65. Bolhuis H, Cretoiu MS, Stal LJ. Molecular ecology of microbial mats. FEMS Microbiol Ecol

[Internet]. Oxford University Press; 2014 [cited 2019 Mar 20];90:335–50. Available from:
https://academic.oup.com/femsec/article/90/2/335/2680422/Molecular-ecology-of-microbial-
mats

66. Bolhuis H, Stal LJ. Analysis of bacterial and archaeal diversity in coastal microbial mats
using massive parallel 16S rRNA gene tag sequencing. ISME J [Internet]. International Society
for Microbial Ecology; 2011;5:1701–12. Available from:
http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2011.52

67. Hamilton TL, Bovee RJ, Thiel V, Sattin SR, Mohr W, Schaperdoth I, et al. Coupled
reductive and oxidative sulfur cycling in the phototrophic plate of a meromictic lake. Geobiology
[Internet]. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd (10.1111); 2014 [cited 2019 Jul 9];12:451–68. Available
from: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/gbi.12092

68. Ogawa T, Furusawa T, Nomura R, Seo D, Hosoya-Matsuda N, Sakurai H, et al. SoxAX
binding protein, a novel component of the thiosulfate-oxidizing multienzyme system in the green
sulfur bacterium Chlorobium tepidum. J Bacteriol [Internet]. American Society for Microbiology
Journals; 2008 [cited 2019 Jul 10];190:6097–110. Available from:
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18641134

69. Cotter PA, Chepuri V, Gennis RB, Gunsalus RP. Cytochrome o (cyoABCDE) and d (cydAB)
oxidase gene expression in Escherichia coli is regulated by oxygen, pH, and the fnr gene product.
J Bacteriol [Internet]. American Society for Microbiology Journals; 1990 [cited 2019 Jul
10];172:6333–8. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2172211

70. Llorens-Marès T, Liu Z, Allen LZ, Rusch DB, Craig MT, Dupont CL, et al. Speciation and
ecological success in dimly lit waters: Horizontal gene transfer in a green sulfur bacteria bloom
unveiled by metagenomic assembly. ISME J. 2017;11:201–11.

71. Borton MA, Daly RA, O'Banion B, Hoyt DW, Marcus DN, Welch S, et al. Comparative
genomics and physiology of the genus *Methanohalophilus* , a prevalent methanogen in
hydraulically fractured shale. Environ Microbiol. 2018;20:4596–611.

72. Daly RA, Roux S, Borton MA, Morgan DM, Johnston MD, Booker AE, et al. Viruses control
dominant bacteria colonizing the terrestrial deep biosphere after hydraulic fracturing. Nat
Microbiol. 2019;4:352–61.

73. Daly RA, Borton MA, Wilkins MJ, Hoyt DW, Kountz DJ, Wolfe RA, et al. Microbial
metabolisms in a 2.5-km-deep ecosystem created by hydraulic fracturing in shales. Nat
Microbiol [Internet]. Macmillan Publishers Limited; 2016;1:16146. Available from:
http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmicrobiol.2016.146

74. Goodcare N, Aljanahi A, Nandakumar S, Mikailov M, Khan AS. A Reference Viral Database
(RVDB) To Enhance Bioinformatics Analysis of High-Throughput Sequencing for Novel Virus
Detection. mSphere. 2018;3:1–18.

75. Stookey LL. Ferrozine---a new spectrophotometric reagent for iron. Anal Chem [Internet].
American Chemical Society; 1970 [cited 2019 Feb 13];42:779–81. Available from:
http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/ac60289a016

76. Cline JD. Spectrophotometric determination of hydrogen sulfide in natural waters. Limnol

Oceanogr. 1969;14:454–8.

77. Morrison HG, Grim SL, Vineis JH, Mitchell LS. 16S amplicon fusion primers and protocol
for Illumina platform sequencing [Internet]. 2013. Available from:
https://figshare.com/articles/16S_amplicon_fusion_primers_and_protocol_for_Illumina_platfor
m_sequencing/833944

78. Schloss PD, Westcott SL, Ryabin T, Hall JR, Hartmann M, Hollister EB, et al. Introducing
mothur: Open-source, platform-independent, community-supported software for describing and
comparing microbial communities. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2009;75:7537–41.

79. Martin M. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads.
EMBnet.journal [Internet]. 2011 [cited 2019 Feb 25];17:10. Available from:
http://journal.embnet.org/index.php/embnetjournal/article/view/200

80. Callahan BJ, McMurdie PJ, Rosen MJ, Han AW, Johnson AJA, Holmes SP. DADA2: High-
resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. Nat Methods [Internet]. Nature
Publishing Group, a division of Macmillan Publishers Limited. All Rights Reserved.;
2016;13:581–3. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3869

81. Quast C, Pruesse E, Yilmaz P, Gerken J, Schweer T, Yarza P, et al. The SILVA ribosomal
RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools. Nucleic Acids Res
[Internet]. 2013;41:D590–6. Available from:
http://nar.oxfordjournals.org/content/41/D1/D590.abstract

82. Wickham H. stringr: Simple, Consistent Wrappers for Common String Operations. 2018.

83. Wickham H. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. [Internet]. New York: Springer-
Verlag New York; 2009. Available from: http://ggplot2.org

84. Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Kindt R, Legendre P, Minchin PR, O´Hara RB, et al. vegan:
Community Ecology Package. 2012; Available from: http://cran.r-project.org/package=vegan

85. Roberts DW. labdsv: Ordination and Multivariate Analysis for Ecology [Internet]. 2012.
Available from: http://cran.r-project.org/package=labdsv

86. Conway JR, Lex A, Gehlenborg N. UpSetR: An R Package For The Visualization Of
Intersecting Sets And Their Properties. bioRxiv [Internet]. 2017; Available from:
http://biorxiv.org/content/early/2017/03/25/120600.abstract

87. Wickham H, François R, Henry L, Müller K. dplyr: A Grammar of Data Manipulation. 2018.

88. Hill TCJ, Walsh KA, Harris JA, Moffett BF. Using ecological diversity measures with
bacterial communities. 2003;43:1–11.

89. Schmieder R, Edwards R. Quality control and preprocessing of metagenomic datasets.
Bioinformatics [Internet]. Oxford University Press; 2011 [cited 2019 Feb 4];27:863–4. Available
from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21278185

90. Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich A a., Dvorkin M, Kulikov AS, et al. SPAdes: A
New Genome Assembly Algorithm and Its Applications to Single-Cell Sequencing. J Comput
Biol. 2012;19:455–77.

91. Kang DD, Froula J, Egan R, Wang Z. MetaBAT, an efficient tool for accurately
reconstructing single genomes from complex microbial communities. PeerJ [Internet]. PeerJ Inc.;
2015 [cited 2019 Feb 14];3:e1165. Available from: https://peerj.com/articles/1165

92. Eren AM, Esen ÖC, Quince C, Vineis JH, Morrison HG, Sogin ML, et al. Anvi'o: an
advanced analysis and visualization platform for 'omics data. PeerJ [Internet]. PeerJ Inc.; 2015
[cited 2019 Feb 14];3:e1319. Available from: https://peerj.com/articles/1319

93. Parks DH, Imelfort M, Skennerton CT, Hugenholtz P, Tyson GW. CheckM: assessing the
quality of microbial genomes recovered from isolates, single cells, and metagenomes. Genome
Res [Internet]. 2015;25:1043–55. Available from:
http://genome.cshlp.org/content/25/7/1043.abstract

94. Silva GGZ, Cuevas D a, Dutilh BE, Edwards R a. FOCUS: an alignment-free model to
identify organisms in metagenomes using non-negative least squares. PeerJ. 2014;2:e425.

95. Wattam AR, Davis JJ, Assaf R, Boisvert S, Brettin T, Bun C, et al. Improvements to
PATRIC, the all-bacterial bioinformatics database and analysis resource center. Nucleic Acids
Res. 2017;45:D535–42.

96. Couvin D, Bernheim A, Toffano-Nioche C, Touchon M, Michalik J, Néron B, et al.
CRISPRCasFinder, an update of CRISRFinder, includes a portable version, enhanced
performance and integrates search for Cas proteins. Nucleic Acids Res [Internet]. Oxford
University Press; 2018 [cited 2019 Feb 14];46:W246–51. Available from:
https://academic.oup.com/nar/article/46/W1/W246/5001162

97. Zhang Q, Ye Y. Not all predicted CRISPR–Cas systems are equal: isolated cas genes and
classes of CRISPR like elements. BMC Bioinformatics [Internet]. BioMed Central; 2017 [cited
2019 Feb 14];18:92. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28166719

98. Langmead B, Salzberg SL. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat Methods
[Internet]. Nature Publishing Group, a division of Macmillan Publishers Limited. All Rights
Reserved.; 2012;9:357. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1923

99. Meyer F, Paarmann D, D'Souza M, Olson R, Glass EM, Kubal M, et al. The metagenomics
RAST server – a public resource for the automatic phylogenetic and functional analysis of
metagenomes. BMC Bioinformatics [Internet]. 2008;9:1–8. Available from:
http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-9-386