The BLIMP1 – EZH2 nexus in a non-Hodgkin lymphoma

Kimberley Jade Anderson[1,2,6], Árný Björg Ósvaldsdóttir[1,2,6], Birgit Atzinger[1,2,6], Gunnhildur Ásta Traustadóttir[1,6], Kirstine Nolling Jensen[3,4,6], Aðalheiður Elín Lárusdóttir[1,2,6], Jón Þór Bergþorsson[2,5,6], Ingibjörg Harðardóttir[3,4,6], Erna Magnúsdóttir[1,2,6]

1. Department of Anatomy, Faculty of Medicine, Vatnsmýrarvegur 16, 101 Reykjavik, Iceland
2. Department of Biomedical Science, Faculty of Medicine, 101 Reykjavík, Iceland
3. Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Faculty of Medicine, 101 Reykjavík, Iceland
4. Department of Immunology, Landspitali-The National University Hospital of Iceland, 101 Reykjavik, Iceland
5. Department of Laboratory Haematology, Landspitali-The National University Hospital of Iceland, 101 Reykjavik, Iceland
6. The University of Iceland Biomedical Center, Vatnsmýrarvegur 16, 101 Reykjavík Iceland

Abstract

Waldenström's macroglobulinemia (WM) is a non-hodgkin lymphoma, affecting antibody-secreting lymphoplasmacytic cells in the bone marrow. BLIMP1 is a key transcriptional repressor which drives the transition from B cells to plasma cells and is essential for antibody secretion. Despite this, a potential role for BLIMP1 in WM has not yet been explored. Here we provide evidence of a crucial role for BLIMP1 in the survival of WM cells. We further demonstrate that BLIMP1 is necessary for the expression of the histone methyltransferase EZH2 in both WM and multiple myeloma, most likely through a regulation of proteasomal targeting of EZH2. Chromatin immunoprecipitation analysis and genome wide transcriptome profiling show that the two factors co-operate in regulating genes involved in cancer cell immune evasion. Co-cultures of natural killer cells and WM cells further reveal that both factors participate directly in immune evasion, promoting escape from natural killer cell mediated cytotoxicity. Together, the interplay of BLIMP1 and EZH2 plays a vital role in promoting the survival of WM cells.

Introduction

Waldenström's macroglobulinemia (WM) is a disease characterised by the expansion of monoclonal lymphoplasmacytic cells in the bone marrow, which secrete immunoglobulin M (IgM) (Vijay & Gertz, 2007). Around 3-6 people per million are diagnosed with WM every year, although this varies by geographical region (Brandefors, Melin et al., 2018, Iwanaga, Chiang et al., 2014, Kyle, Larson et al., 2018, Wang, Chen et al., 2012). The activating mutation, MYD88^{L265P}, is present in ~96% of untreated patients and serves as a key oncogenic driver of WM, as well as in a subset of activated B cell-like diffuse large B cell lymphoma (ABC-DLBCL) (Treon, Xu et al., 2012, Xu, Hunter et al., 2014, Yu, Li et al., 2018).

The transcription factor B-lymphocyte induced maturation protein-1 (BLIMP1) is a master regulator of terminal B-cell development, and acts via recruitment of co-factors to chromatin (Győry, Wu et al., 2004, Ren, Chee et al., 1999, Yu, Angelin-Duclos et al., 2000). BLIMP1 also has essential functions in the

development of many cells and tissues, including primordial germ cells (Ohinata,

Payer et al., 2005), the epidermis (Magnúsdóttir, Kalachikov et al., 2007), and the

placenta (Vincent, Dunn et al., 2005), to name a few. Interestingly, BLIMP1 is known

to be induced downstream of toll like receptor engagement (Morgan, Magnusdottir et

al., 2009). Furthermore, LPS-stimulated B cells from MYD88 knock-out mice show

decreased expression levels of *Prdm1*, the gene encoding BLIMP1, showing that

BLIMP1 is induced downstream of MYD88 (Pasare & Medzhitov, 2005). BLIMP1

was described early on as a repressor of immune signalling mechanisms in B cells,

including the Class II transactivator (*CIITA*) (Piskurich, Lin et al., 2000b, Tooze,

Stephenson et al., 2006), B cell receptor signalling, and surface antigens and receptors

(Shaffer, Lin et al., 2002). It is a key regulator of plasma cell terminal differentiation

and antibody secretion (Minnich, Tagoh et al., 2016, Shaffer et al., 2002, Tellier, Shi

et al., 2016) and is necessary for the survival of multiple myeloma cells (Lin, Kuo et

al., 2007). Conversely, BLIMP1 is frequently inactivated in DLBCL, and is thought to

function as a tumour suppressor in that context, consistent with its role in repressing

cell cycle genes during the transition from mature B-cells to plasma cells (Calado,

Zhang et al., 2010, Mandelbaum, Bhagat et al., 2010, Pasqualucci, Compagno et al.,

2006, Shaffer et al., 2002). Furthermore, in WM, there are frequent heterozygous

losses of *PRDM1* (Schop, Kuehl et al., 2002), however despite this, BLIMP1 is

expressed in a subset of WM lymphoplasmacytic cells (Roberts, Chadburn et al.,

2013, Zhou, Liu et al., 2014), consistent with the requirement for BLIMP1 in

antibody secretion (Minnich et al., 2016, Savitsky & Calame, 2006, Shapiro-Shelef,

Lin et al., 2003). Given the contradictory roles of BLIMP1 in ABC-DLBCL and

myeloma, its involvement in WM has been critically under studied.

Another important player in plasma cell differentiation, EZH2 has been

described as both a physical and genetic interaction partner of BLIMP1 (Guo, Price et

al., 2018, Minnich et al., 2016). The interaction was first suggested in mouse

primordial germ cells (PGCs), where BLIMP1 and EZH2 were found to bind to the

same sites on a genome-wide scale (Kurimoto, Yabuta et al., 2015, Magnúsdóttir,

Dietmann et al., 2013). EZH2 functions as the catalytic component of the polycomb

repressive complex 2, placing methyl groups on lysine 27 of histone 3, typically tri-

methylation (H3K27me3), to repress transcription (Czermin, Melfi et al., 2002,

Müller, Hart et al., 2002). EZH2 is essential for embryonic development (Carroll,

Erhardt et al., 2001). In addition, EZH2 has frequent activating mutations in germinal

centre and follicular DLBCL and is a promising therapeutic target (Kim & Roberts,

2016, Morin, Johnson et al., 2010). Surprisingly, while aberrant regulation of histone

modifications has been implied in WM pathogenesis (Roccaro, Sacco et al., 2010),

the role of EZH2 is yet to be investigated.

In this study, we sought to examine the role of BLIMP1 in WM, and its

potential interplay with EZH2 in the disease. We demonstrate for the first time a role

for BLIMP1 in regulating WM cell survival and in maintaining EZH2 protein levels.

We identify a large overlap in transcriptional targets of the two factors but only a

small degree of proximal binding of BLIMP1 with the H3K27me3 mark, implying

that the factors repress transcription on an overlapping set of genes in parallel fashion.

Finally, we reveal novel roles for BLIMP1 and EZH2 in evasion from natural-killer

(NK) cell mediated cytotoxicity.

Results

BLIMP1 is important for cell survival in Waldenström's macroglobulinemia

As BLIMP1 is expressed in CD19$^+$ cells in a subset of WM patients (Roberts et al.,

2013, Zhou et al., 2014), and given its roles in myeloma and DLBCL, we wanted to

determine whether it plays a role in WM. Interestingly, in published transcriptome

profiles from WM patients, the mRNA levels of *PRDM1*, the gene encoding BLIMP1,

were elevated in WM cells from patients carrying the the MYD88^{L265P} mutation

(Hunter, Xu et al., 2016), which is associated with poorer prognosis in WM compared

to MYD88^{WT} (Treon, Cao et al., 2014). This suggests that BLIMP1 expression is

induced downstream of MYD88^{L265P}. As BLIMP1 is known to be expressed in

myeloma cell lines, we compared its expression in the myeloma cell line OPM-2 to

that of the three WM cell lines RPCI-WM1 (RP), MWCL-1 (MW) and BCWM.1

(BC) by immunofluorescence staining (Fig 1A). All the cell lines expressed BLIMP1,

with the RP cells showing relatively uniform expression levels in all cells. However,

the MW and BC cells showed more heterogeneous protein levels, with 43% of MW

and 18% of BC cells expressing BLIMP1 above background levels. The heterogeneity

of BLIMP1 expression in these cell lines does not rule out its importance, as the
symptomatic antibody-secreting compartment is likely driven by BLIMP1. To
examine the potential role of BLIMP1 in WM, we engineered the RP cell line with
two distinct doxycycline (dox)-inducible artificial miRNAs targeting the *PRDM1*
mRNA (*PmiR1 and PmiR2)* to knock-down (KD) BLIMP1 or a non-targeting control
miRNA (*NTmiR*). The induction of *PmiR1* and *PmiR2* led to a 76% and 60%
decrease in BLIMP1 protein respectively (Fig 1B). We also used *PmiR1* to engineer
MW cells leading to a 60% reduction in BLIMP1 protein levels (Fig 1C).
129
BLIMP1 KD in WM cells led to decreased cell survival, with 62% and 71% live
cells remaining in RP and MW cell cultures respectively 48h post dox addition, (Fig
1D) and only 3% viable cells remaining in RP cultures at five days after *PmiR1*
induction. Despite an initial decrease in viability, by day 5, MW cells recovered their
viability, likely due to cells without BLIMP1 KD overtaking the culture as a uniform
knock-down in all the cells was not obtained. The proportion of apoptotic cells went
up in RP cells by 2.64 and 2.25-fold upon *PmiR1* and *PmiR2* induction respectively,
(Fig EV1A). While BLIMP1 KD led to a decrease in viability of MW cells as
described above, the increase in apoptosis levels at 48 h did not reach statistical
significance (Fig EV1B). The smaller effect of BLIMP1 KD in the MW cell line
could be due to having a lower degree of KD in these cells, or because of the high
proportion of cells lacking high levels of BLIMP1. Attempts at knocking down
BLIMP1 in the BC cell line were inconclusive due to the lack of stable miR
transduction and maintenance, presumably because of leakiness of miR expression
from the inducible promoter.
145
We performed a genetic complementation test, where RP *PmiR1* cells were
transduced with a lentivirus harbouring either *PmiR1*-resistant BLIMP1-EGFP
encoding cDNA or EGFP (Lois, Hong et al., 2002) (Fig 1E). Crucially, this revealed
that the decrease in cell viability could be rescued in cells transduced with miR-
resistant BLIMP1 (81% viable cells, normalized to BLIMP1-transduced *NTmiR* RP
cells) compared to the EGFP control (65% viable cells, normalized to BLIMP1-
EGFP-transduced *NTmiR* cells) at 48h post BLIMP1-KD induction (Fig 1F). At five
days post BLIMP1-KD induction 57% of the BLIMP1-transduced cells were viable
compared to only 3% of the EGFP-transduced cells (Fig 1F). Additionally, 5 days

post KD, the miR resistant BLIMP1-transduced cells were still viable (23% resazurin

reduction) compared to EGFP-transduced cells (6%) (Fig 1G). Together, these data

show that BLIMP1 is a survival factor in WM cells, and that BLIMP1-mediated

viability is likely due to the suppression of apoptosis. This is in line with previous

findings of BLIMP1 suppressing apoptosis in myeloma through its interaction with

Aiolos (Hung, Su et al., 2016).

BLIMP1 expression maintains EZH2 protein levels

The expression of BLIMP1 is induced by MYD88, among other factors. MYD88 is

necessary for initiation of the antiviral germinal centre (GC) response (Hou, Saudan et

al., 2011). The GC reaction is the mechanism by which B cells undergo somatic

hypermutation and class switch recombination in order to increase their affinity to

antigens (Basso & Dalla-Favera, 2015). Another essential factor in the GC reaction is

the histone methyltransferase EZH2, which drives proliferation of GC B cells

(Béguelin, Popovic et al., 2013). Furthermore, EZH2 is necessary for the early T-

independent development of plasma cells in response to a viral antigen (Guo et al.,

2018). As several lines of evidence from studies of mouse plasmablasts and PGCs

show a functional overlap and perhaps a direct interaction between BLIMP1 and

EZH2, we sought to investigate the potential interplay between the two factors in WM

(Kurimoto et al., 2015, Magnúsdóttir et al., 2013, Minnich et al., 2016). Our first line

of inquiry revealed a decrease in EZH2 expression upon BLIMP1 KD in RP cells (Fig

2A). Interestingly, this result was replicated in OPM-2 multiple myeloma cells

harbouring *PmiR1* (Fig 2B). Simultaneous transduction of RP cells with the miR-

resistant BLIMP1 construct as above (Fig 1E) restored EZH2 protein levels,

confirming the specificity of the KD (Fig 2C, quantified in Fig EV2A). Furthermore,

the signal intensities of BLIMP1 and EZH2 within approximately 2×10^4 individual

nuclei were positively correlated in two separate experiments ($R^2 = 0.338$, $R^2 =$

0.684). These experiments for the first time, uncover a dependency of EZH2 on

BLIMP1 expression.

We then sought to understand the mechanism by which BLIMP1 maintains EZH2

protein levels. To this end, we performed quantitative reverse transcription PCR (RT-

qPCR) comparing *PmiR2* to *NTmiR* RP cells upon BLIMP1 KD induction. Consistent

with the loss of BLIMP1 protein, we saw a potential increase in steady state *PRDM1*

levels due to the loss of BLIMP1 auto-repression (Martins & Calame, 2008) (Fig 2D).

However, *EZH2* mRNA levels were not decreased in the RP cell line showing that the

loss of EZH2 downstream of BLIMP1 KD is at the post-transcriptional level in this

cell line (Fig 2D). The levels of *EZH2* mRNA were slightly decreased in OPM2

myeloma cells (Fig 2E). However, when we treated RP *PmiR1* cells with the

proteasome inhibitor MG-132, the EZH2 protein levels were restored to the same

levels as that of *NTmiR* cells also treated with proteasome inhibitor (Fig 2F,G). This

reveals that the modulation of EZH2 levels by BLIMP1 occurs through the inhibition

of proteasome mediated protein degradation.

As EZH2 is known as a key driver of cancer cell transformation (Béguelin et al.,

2013, Kleer, Cao et al., 2003) and can play a role in preventing apoptosis (Wu, Zheng

et al., 2009), we sought to determine if BLIMP1 mediated WM cell survival is

dependent on EZH2. To test this, we genetically complemented the loss of BLIMP1

in the RP *PmiR1* cells by lentiviral transduction of an EZH2 expression construct (Fig

2H). However, the restoration of EZH2 levels did not confer an increase in viability to

the BLIMP1 KD cells, with only 2% viable cells remaining 5 days post BLIMP1-KD

induction (Fig 2I). Consistent with this, the EZH2-specific catalytic inhibitor

tazemetostat did not affect RP cell viability even over a 96 h period (Fig EV2B),

despite a dose dependent decrease in H3K27me3 levels (Fig EV2C). Thus, BLIMP1

maintains WM cell survival independently of EZH2.

BLIMP1 KD induces large transcriptional changes

In order to investigate the molecular mechanisms underpinning the above

phenotypic observations, we examined the global gene expression changes induced by

BLIMP1 KD. We performed transcriptome profiling of the RP *PmiR2* and *NTmiR* cell

lines following 48 h of dox treatment. We profiled *PmiR2* rather than the *PmiR1* cells

because the lower extent of cell death made them more suitable for RNAseq library

construction. Using a q-value cutoff of 0.05, we identified 7814 differentially

expressed genes between *PmiR2* and *NTmiR* (Fig 3A and EV Table 1).

As BLIMP1 is the key repressor of the B cell transcriptional program during plasma cell differentiation (Kallies, Hasbold et al., 2004, Minnich et al., 2016, Shaffer et al., 2002, Shapiro-Shelef et al., 2003), we asked whether this mechanism was perturbed in WM where the differentiation process is somewhat halted. Previously characterized B cell lineage targets of BLIMP1, including *CIITA* (Chen, Gilbert et al., 2007, Piskurich, Lin et al., 2000a), *PAX5* (Lin, Angelin-Duclos et al., 2002), *SPIB* and *BCL6* (Shaffer et al., 2002) showed significantly increased expression following BLIMP1 KD (Fig 3B), suggesting that they are repressed by BLIMP1 in WM cells. However, other BLIMP1 targets such as *MYC* (Lin, Wong et al., 1997) and *ID3* (Shaffer et al., 2002) were unaltered. On the other hand, the plasma cell transcription factor *IRF4*, which is important for cell survival in multiple myeloma (Shaffer, Emre et al., 2008), was previously shown to be activated downstream of BLIMP1 in plasma cells (Minnich et al., 2016). Curiously, here we observed it to be induced downstream of BLIMP1 repression and therefore repressed by BLIMP1. Interestingly, and highly relevant to WM pathogenesis and treatment, the BTK inhibitor, *IBTK* was induced upon BLIMP1 KD. The inhibition of BTK via Ibrutinib is now a common treatment modality in WM (Gertz, 2019). Taken together, BLIMP1 does appear to be repressing most but not all of its canonical B cell targets in RP cells. To examine the pro-apoptotic effect of BLIMP1 KD in the RP cell line, we looked at the expression profiles for key apoptosis genes. In line with the phenotype, we observed a large number of apoptosis genes with significantly increased expression following BLIMP1 KD. These included *MAP3K5* (*ASK1*), *XAF1*, *CASP4*, *CASP8*, *FAS*, *DFFA*, *JUN* and *BCL2L11* (*BIM*) amongst others (Fig 3C). Finally, we observed a significant de-repression of the *SMURF2* mRNA (EV3A). SMURF2 is an E3 ubiquitin ligase known to target EZH2 for proteasome-mediated degradation and could thus contribute to the decrease in EZH2 protein levels upon the loss of BLIMP1 (Yu, Chou et al., 2013). Taken together, BLIMP1 KD induces extensive gene expression changes in RP including the de-repression of B cell- and apoptosis-related genes, as well as *SMURF2*.

BLIMP1 and EZH2 transcriptionally regulate overlapping pathways

Because of the suggested BLIMP1-EZH2 regulatory relationship (Guo et al., 2018, Magnúsdóttir et al., 2013, Minnich et al., 2016), we wanted to determine to which

extent the transcriptional changes brought about by BLIMP1 are dependent on EZH2

catalytic activity. We treated RP cells with the EZH2 catalytic inhibitor, tazemetostat,

or DMSO and performed genome wide expression profiling to uncover 450

differentially expressed genes (Fig 3D and EV Table 2). There was an overall smaller

amplitude of change for individual genes compared to the changes induced by

BLIMP1 KD (Fig EV2C). Nevertheless, there was a highly significant overlap

between transcripts increased in *PmiR2* vs. *NTmiR* and in tazemetostat vs. DMSO

treated cells (184 genes, p = 1.8e-37) (Fig 3E). Meanwhile, transcripts that showed

decreased expression, i.e. genes activated by BLIMP1 or EZH2, overlapped to a much

smaller extent, in line with the smaller number of transcripts decreasing in expression

with tazemetostat treatment (Fig 3F). Tazemetostat treatment did not induce the same

amplitude or extent of transcriptional changes of B cell genes as BLIMP1 KD did,

although a number of genes were still significantly de-repressed, including *PIK3CD*,

CCR7 and *ID3* (Fig 3G). Furthermore, consistent with EZH2 inhibition not causing

alterations in cell survival, fewer apoptosis genes were differentially expressed

compared to the BLIMP1 KD, however a number of apoptosis genes were de-

repressed, including *XAF1*, *CASP4*, *FAS* and *JUN* (Fig 3H).

To investigate the pathways jointly regulated by BLIMP1 and EZH2, we

performed gene set enrichment analysis using the Hallmarks collection of gene sets

(Liberzon, Birger et al., 2015, Subramanian, Tamayo et al., 2005). A number of gene

sets were positively enriched with BLIMP1 KD, including interferon and TNFα

responses, apoptosis and the inflammatory response (Fig EV3B). These gene sets are

consistent with previous studies demonstrating BLIMP1-mediated repression of

interferon responsive genes and apoptosis (Doody, Stephenson et al., 2007, Elias,

Robertson et al., 2018a, Hung et al., 2016, Lin et al., 2007, Tooze et al., 2006).

Additionally, the unfolded protein response and mTORC1 signalling gene sets were

depleted upon BLIMP1 KD (Tellier et al., 2016). Transcriptional changes upon

tazemetostat treatment were positively enriched for overlapping sets of genes with

BLIMP1 KD, including interferon and TNFα responses (Fig EV3C). While far fewer

gene sets were depleted in expression upon tazemetostat treatment, they all

overlapped with that of the BLIMP1 KD depleted sets. This suggests that pathways

involved in the immune response may be regulated in concert by BLIMP1 and EZH2.

Collectively, the transcriptomic analyses demonstrate a large overlap in targets of
repression by BLIMP1 and EZH2, highlighting the interplay of the two factors.
291

292   **BLIMP1 binds to a set of H3K27me3 marked genes at a distance from the**
293   **mark**
294

295   Given the large overlap in transcriptional targets and pathways identified above,
296   and the previously published overlaps in genome wide binding of EZH2 and
297   BLIMP1, we next sought to define their direct genomic targets. This should help
298   distinguish gene expression changes resulting from BLIMP1's effect on EZH2
299   stability, or if BLIMP1 might recruit EZH2 to chromatin.
300

To this end we performed chromatin immunoprecipitation coupled to deep
sequencing (ChIPseq) for H3K27me3, the histone modification catalysed by EZH2,
and BLIMP1 in the RP cell line. We identified 14813 peaks for the H3K27me3 mark
(EV Table 3), assigned to 4198 genes (EV Table 4), whereas 505 BLIMP1 peaks
were identified (EV table 5), assigned to 841 genes (EV Table 6). The previously
identified DNA binding motif for BLIMP1 (Kuo & Calame, 2004) was enriched by
de novo motif analysis in the called BLIMP1 peaks, validating the quality of the
experiment (Fig EV4A). Peaks were mapped relative to their assigned transcription
start sites (TSS) for BLIMP1 (Fig EV4B) and H3K27me3 (Fig EV4C).
310

If BLIMP1 recruits EZH2 to chromatin in WM cells, as is suggested in mouse
plasmablasts (Minnich et al., 2016), we hypothesised that a large proportion of
BLIMP1 peaks would be located in close proximity to H3K27me3 marks. However,
only 42 peaks from each factor were present at sites within 10 kb of each other, and
this overlap was not statistically significant (Fig 4A). When we plotted signal for
H3K27me3 at ± 3 kb flanking BLIMP1 peaks, only a small level of enrichment was
observed (Fig 4B). However, when we assigned the peaks from the respective
experiments to genes, a significant overlap of 261 genes emerged between BLIMP1
and H3K27me3 in RP cells (Fig 4C), including B-cell genes *PIK3CD* and *POU2F2*
(OCT2), the STAT1 activator *RNF19B* (*NKLAM*) (Lawrence & Kornbluth, 2016), and
the cell-growth inhibitor *NUB1* (Fig 4D).
322

To test whether these findings can be applied more generally than only to WM

cells, we performed ChIPseq for BLIMP1 and H3K27me3 in the OPM-2 (EV Tables

7-10) and NCI-H929 (EV Tables 11-14) myeloma cell lines as well as ChIPseq for

EZH2 in NCI-H929 cells (EV Tables 15-16) to reveal the direct binding sites for

EZH2, rather than just the polycomb mark. As before, the BLIMP1 consensus binding

motif was identified within the called peaks by *de novo* motif analysis (Fig EV4D).

When we mapped the peaks relative to their TSS for BLIMP1 (Fig EV4E),

H3K27me3 (Fig EV4F) and EZH2 (Fig EV4G), we observed a strong enrichment of

signal over TSSs. Interestingly, both the multiple myeloma cell lines displayed a

much stronger enrichment of H3K27me3 over TSSs than the RP WM cell line,

indicating that H3K27me3 is more often present at distal sites in the RP cell line.

There was a strong enrichment for BLIMP1 binding in the OPM-2 and NCI-H929 cell

lines over sites bound by BLIMP1 in the RP cell line (Fig EV4H), showing that

BLIMP1 binds to mostly the same sites in WM and multiple myeloma. Contrastingly,

we observed less enrichment of the H3K27me3 mark in the OPM-2 and NCI-H929

cell lines over sites marked by H3K27me3 in the RP cell line (Fig EV4I). This

corresponds to the different enrichments of H3K27me3 over TSSs in th RP cells

compared to the myeloma cell lines, suggesting that H3K27me3 has a different

distribution in WM compared to myeloma. Interestingly, *SMURF2* was one of the

genes bearing both BLIMP1 peaks and the H3K27me3 mark in the RP cell line (Fig

EV4J). As with the RP cells, we rarely observed peaks within a 10kb distance of one

another when comparing BLIMP1 and H3K27me3 (Fig EV4K) or BLIMP1 and

EZH2 (Fig EV4L) in the OPM-2 and NCI-H929 cell lines. When we plotted the

distribution of the H3K27me3 mark and EZH2 over BLIMP1 peaks in NCI-H929

cells there was a small level of enrichment of the H3K27me3 mark flanking the

BLIMP1 peaks, which is more likely to reflect general histone distribution than a

specific enrichment of the H3K27me3 mark, given the paucity of called H3K27me3

peaks in the proximity of BLIMP1 binding (Fig 4E). Again, we observed a higher

degree of overlap between genes assigned to peaks for the respective factors, with a

statistically significant overlap between genes bound by BLIMP1 and H3K27me3 in

NCI-H929 cells, but not OPM-2 cells (Fig EV4M). Genes bound both by BLIMP1

and EZH2 were not statistically over-represented (Fig EV4N). The above analyses

reveal that the majority of BLIMP1 and EZH2 binding to chromatin occur at

relatively distal sites between the two factors and their direct regulation on chromatin

is therefore unlikely to be due to a direct physical interaction.

In order to determine the direct transcriptional targets of BLIMP1 and EZH2, we

compared our ChIPseq and transcription profiling data from the RP cell line. There

were 231 and 120 genes associated with BLIMP1 binding that were either induced or

repressed upon BLIMP1 KD respectively (Fig 4F-G), in line with previous

observations showing that BLIMP1 has a stronger effect in gene repression than

activation (Magnúsdóttir et al., 2013, Magnúsdóttir et al., 2007, Minnich et al., 2016,

Shaffer et al., 2002). Conversely there were 118 genes associated with the H3K27me3

mark that were induced upon tazemetostat treatment (Fig 4H), but only 7 genes with

decreased expression overlapped (Fig 4I). Overall, only approximately 3% of genes

marked by H3K27me3 were de-repressed following tazemetostat treatment in these

experiments. This indicates that inhibition of EZH2's catalytic activity alone is

insufficient to activate most H3K27me3 targets. Meanwhile, approximately one third

of BLIMP1-bound genes were direct targets of transcriptional repression, indicating

that BLIMP1 binding actively maintains gene repression. Taken together, as BLIMP1

and H3K27me3 are largely present at distinct sites from one another, a great extent of

their co-operation is likely through their binding to different sites on the same genes,

as well as through BLIMP1 maintenance of EZH2 protein levels.

BLIMP1 represses transcription of immune surveillance and signalling

molecules in concert with EZH2

As gene sets in immune signalling pathways were the most highly enriched upon

BLIMP1 KD and EZH2 inhibition, we looked further into the biological significance

of these insights. Indeed, immune signalling genes differentially expressed upon

BLIMP1 KD can be divided into three mechanistic categories. First, BLIMP1

represses the expression of genes encoding surface ligands that activate T and NK

cells, including *ICOSLG*, *TNFSF9*, *CD48*, *MICA*, *CLEC2B*, *ICAM1* and *ITGAM*

(Pardoll, 2012, Vivier, Tomasello et al., 2008), MHC class II molecules including

HLA-DMA, *HLA-DMB* and *CD1D*, along with Class II transactivator (*CIITA)*, and the

immune synapse molecule *CAV1* (Fig 5A). Furthermore, MHC class I pathway

members, *HLA-A* and *HLA-B* are decreased with BLIMP1 KD, in contrast to previous

studies (Doody et al., 2007, Mould, Morgan et al., 2015). Second, BLIMP1 represses

both immune-checkpoint inhibitory ligands and their receptors, which are de-

repressed in the cells with BLIMP1 KD. These include *TNFRSF14* and *BTLA*,

HAVCR2 and *LGALS9* (Pardoll, 2012, Vivier et al., 2008). Additionally, inhibitory

ligands whose receptors are not differentially expressed are also repressed by

BLIMP1, including PD-L2, with BLIMP1 binding just downstream of the *PDCDLG2*

gene (Fig EV5A). Of note, the PD-1 receptor encoded by *PDCD1* can also have an

inhibitory effect on B cell activation (Thibult, Mamessier et al., 2013), and is

expressed at a comparable level to its ligand in the RP cell line. The third mechanistic

category repressed by BLIMP1 includes genes involved in the repression of

downstream signalling from cytokines such as interferons and TNFα. The receptor-

encoding genes *IFNGR1*, *IFNAR1*, *IFNLR1*, *TNFRSF1A* and *TNFRSF1B* are all de-

repressed upon BLIMP1 KD. So too are downstream players in interferon signalling,

JAK1, *STAT1*, *STAT2*, *IRF9* and *IFIT1*-3 and *OAS1-3*, as well as TNF pathway

members *MAP3K5*, *CASP8* and *CASP9*, which are also apoptosis mediators (Fig 3C).

Around half of the genes discussed above were also significantly changed with

tazemetostat treatment (Fig EV5B), including *NCR3LG1*, *CD48*, *LILRB1*,

PDCD1LG2, *LGALS3* and *STAT1*. Interestingly, while none of the surface molecule

genes except for *PDCDLG2* were bound by BLIMP1, some were enriched for

H3K27me3 (Fig 5B). Whereas the downstream signalling effectors, *IFIT2* and

STAT1, were bound by BLIMP1 (Fig 5C), consistent with previous findings in

mammary epithelial cells (Elias, Robertson et al., 2018b). In addition, a number of

these differentially expressed genes did not bear either H3K27me3 or BLIMP1, and

so are likely regulated by secondary effectors or through distal enhancers.

Next, we sought to determine if the genes bearing H3K27me3 marks but not bound

by BLIMP1 are repressed by BLIMP1 via the maintenance of EZH2. Using EZH2

overexpression in RP cells with BLIMP1 KD, we performed qPCR and observed that

repression of the B cell genes *RCAN3, ZFP36L1* and *CIITA* was restored (Fig EV5C).

Furthermore, the repression of the immune inhibitory checkpoint ligand *TNFRSF14*

and the inhibitory receptor *HAVCR2* was restored upon restoration of EZH2 levels.

By comparison, BLIMP1 targets not bearing the H3K27me3 mark such as *STAT1* and

TFEC were not altered upon EZH2 restoration.

Taken together, BLIMP1 and EZH2 repress the transcription of genes that mediate

killing by NK or T cells, inhibitory receptors and their ligands, as well as cytokine

receptors and downstream responders. A subset of these targets are regulated by

BLIMP1 through EZH2 maintenance, whereas others are regulated independently of

EZH2.

BLIMP1 confers evasion from NK cell-mediated cytotoxicity

The transcriptional changes above suggested that BLIMP1 and EZH2 were

repressing cytotoxic synapse molecules and receptors, which may lead to escape from

immune surveillance. We hypothesised that the KD of BLIMP1 or inhibition of EZH2

could lead to differential activation of NK cell-mediated cytotoxicity. Towards this,

we isolated NK cells from human blood and co-cultured them with RP cells treated to

induce BLIMP1 KD or with tazemetostat. We assessed NK cell degranulation by

staining the NK cells for the expression of CD107a (also called LAMP-1), which

appears on the cell surface upon degranulation. Negative and positive controls are

shown in Fig EV5D. The NK cells co-cultured with *PmiR1* RP cells showed a

significant increase in the frequency of CD107a$^+$ cells compared to that when co-

cultured with *NTmiR* cells, revealing the sensitisation of NK-cells to WM cells upon

BLIMP1 KD (Fig 5D-E). Tazemetostat treatment failed to induce changes in NK-cell

degranulation, perhaps reflecting its relatively smaller effect on gene expression

compared to that of BLIMP1 KD. However, when we measured the effect of BLIMP1

KD or EZH2 inhibition on NK cell-mediated cytotoxicity of RP cells by the level of

cytolysis, both BLIMP1 KD and tazemetostat treatment induced statistically

significant increases in NK cell-mediated cytotoxicity (Fig 5F). As such, EZH2

inhibition does not appear to affect NK cell activation, with no change in the

degranulation of NK cells cultured with DMSO- or tazemetostat-treated RP cells.

However, it may sensitise WM cells to cell-mediated cytotoxicity. Collectively, these

data indicate that BLIMP1 and EZH2 mediated transcriptional repression drives

escape from immune surveillance in WM.

Discussion

BLIMP1, a key driver of plasma cell terminal differentiation has as of yet not been extensively studied studied in WM, despite it's crucial role for antibody secretion (Minnich et al., 2016, Shapiro-Shelef et al., 2003). Antibody secretion is a critical aspect of WM pathology, since a large proportion of WM symptoms are caused by high IgM serum levels (Treon, 2009). In this study we show for the first time direct evidence for the importance of BLIMP1's role in WM cell survival. We further show that BLIMP1 maintains the protein levels of the histone methyltransferase EZH2, demonstrating that the functional interaction between BLIMP1 and EZH2 is more complex than previously thought (Guo et al., 2018, Kurimoto et al., 2015, Magnúsdóttir et al., 2013, Minnich et al., 2016). Furthermore, our scrutiny of the genes regulated by BLIMP1 and EZH2 revealed that the factors collaborate in the evasion of immune surveillance mechanisms. This was evidenced by enhanced degranulation of NK cells co-cultured with BLIMP-1 KD WM cells, as well as enhanced NK cell-mediated WM cellular cytotoxicity upon either BLIMP KD or EZH2 inhibition.

Our finding that BLIMP1 promotes survival in WM cells provides new insight into WM pathology and potentially its aetiology. BLIMP1 is activated downstream of MYD88 signalling in B cells (Pasare & Medzhitov, 2005), and BLIMP1 expression is increased in tumours harbouring the MYD88^{L265P} activating mutation in WM (Hunter et al., 2016). However, MYD88^{L265P} tumours have an increased frequency of heterozygous deletions in the 6q locus containing *PRDM1* (Schop et al., 2002). This is particularly interesting in light of findings showing that high levels of BLIMP1 tend to inhibit proliferation, whereas intermediate levels promote survival and immunoglobulin (Ig) secretion (Kallies et al., 2004, Nutt, Fairfax et al., 2007). Placed in the context of our findings, we can speculate that perhaps the loss of one copy of the *PRDM1* gene upon MYD88 constitutive activation dampens the anti-proliferative effect of BLIMP1 while still maintaining its positive effect on survival and Ig secretion. Indeed, our results demonstrate decreased cell viability with BLIMP1 KD even for the MW cell line that expresses low BLIMP1 levels. It is also interesting to speculate that a complete loss of BLIMP1 during tumorigenesis would more likely

result in B cell lymphoma (Pasqualucci et al., 2006), but the loss of Ig secretion
ability and plasma cell differentiation would preclude WM tumour formation.

A first line of treatment in WM is rituximab therapy, targeting the B cell
specific surface molecule CD20. However, the cells remaining after this treatment
present one of the biggest challenges in WM therapy, and rituximab is not
recommended for patients exhibiting high serum IgM levels (Gavriatopoulou, Musto
et al., 2018). This highlights the importance of the plasma cell compartment in WM,
which is likely maintained by BLIMP1. Furthermore, our results demonstrate
repression of *IBTK*, an inhibitor of BTK signaling, by BLIMP1, providing a
mechanism by which BLIMP1 may potentiate BTK signaling downstream of MYD88
activation. The inhibition of BTK by Ibrutinib is another WM therapy that results in a
dramatic reduction in WM symptoms (Treon, Tripsas et al., 2015).

A key question addressed in this study was in elucidating the interplay of
BLIMP1 and EZH2 in WM. BLIMP1 shows an overlapping binding pattern with
EZH2 in mouse PGCs implicating an interaction between these factors in epigenetic
reprogramming during differentiation (Kurimoto et al., 2015, Magnúsdóttir et al.,
2013). This implication was expanded in mouse plasmablasts with the discovery that
BLIMP1 physically interacts with EZH2, directing the placement of H3K27me3 to
repress B cell genes during the transition to plasma cells (Minnich et al., 2016). In
addition, EZH2 aids in the generation of antibody-secreting plasma cells in the mouse
and represses BLIMP1 target genes (Guo et al., 2018). Here, we demonstrate that
BLIMP1 maintains EZH2 protein levels which could at least in part explain the
overlap in transcriptional targets seen by Guo et al. (Guo et al., 2018) in mouse
plasmablasts, beyond the mechanism of BLIMP1 potentially recruiting EZH2 to
chromatin. However, it is interesting to speculate that the BLIMP1-EZH2 interaction
is necessary for normal epigenetic reprogramming during the B cell to plasma cell
transition, and the perturbation of this interaction may contribute to the aetiology of B
cell malignancies. Interestingly, the restoration of EZH2 levels did not improve cell
survival following BLIMP1 KD, indicating that the requirement for BLIMP1 in
mediating WM cell survival is through other mechanisms rather than its effect on
EZH2.

While both multiple myeloma and normal plasma cells rely on BLIMP1 for
their survival (Lin et al., 2007, Shapiro-Shelef et al., 2003, Shapiro-Shelef, Lin et al.,
2005) , EZH2 expression is decreased as post-germinal centre B cells transition to
plasma cells (Croonquist & Van Ness, 2005, Zhan, Tian et al., 2003), whereas many
multiple myeloma cell lines are reliant on EZH2 for cytokine independent growth and
thus their more aggressive plasma cell leukemia phenotype (Croonquist & Van Ness,
2005, Hernando, Gelato et al., 2016). Our results indicate that the effects of BLIMP1
on EZH2 levels might extend to myeloma, and perhaps other tumours where the two
factors are co-expressed, and warrants further study.

Immune evasion is most extensively studied in relation to solid tumours, but in
cancers of immune system cells, the paradigm is somewhat different. Lymphocytes
interact with other cells of the immune system not only when they are abnormal, such
as in cancer, but also to receive stimulatory and inhibitory signals, regulating their
own immune response (de Charette & Houot, 2018). In WM, PD-1 signaling from
secreted ligands inhibits T cell responses (Jalali, Price-Troska et al., 2018), but other
mechanisms of immune evasion have not been investigated. Our results demonstrate
for the first time that BLIMP1 and EZH2 mediate evasion from NK cell surveillance.
This occurs both in terms of NK cell activation and cytotoxicity against WM cells
downstream of BLIMP1. Meanwhile, the effect of EZH2 inhibition is restricted to
NK-cell mediated cytotoxicity without activation of the NK cells themselves. Based
on our transcriptomic profiling, the main mechanism by which BLIMP1 promotes
immune evasion in WM is likely to be "hiding" from cytotoxic lymphocytes by
transcriptional repression of activating ligands. Whereas, EZH2 is more likely to be
de-sensitising WM cells to external cytotoxicity-inducing signals. Interestingly, in
melanoma EZH2 promotes evasion from IFNγ-producing cytotoxic T cells (Zingg,
Arenas-Ramirez et al., 2017). Investigation into the use of EZH2 inhibition for
sensitising WM and other cancer cells to immune-mediated killing should be
considered for prospective therapies.

In conclusion, we provide key evidence for a crucial role of BLIMP1 in
promoting WM cell survival. In the WM cell context, we discover that BLIMP1
maintains EZH2 protein levels. We show cooperation between BLIMP1 and EZH2 in
the repression of immune surveillance genes, among others. Finally, we find that

BLIMP1 confers evasion from NK-cell mediated cytotoxicity. In future studies it

would be important to investigate the intricate interplay between BLIMP1 and EZH2

in cancers to expand their therapeutic potential.

Materials and Methods

Suspension cell lines

RPCI-WM1 (a gift from Prof. Asher A. Chanan-Khan), BCWM.1 (a gift from Prof.

Steven P. Treon) and OPM-2 (#ACC50, Leibniz Institute DSMZ) cell lines were

maintained in RPMI media (#SH30255.FS, Hyclone, GE Healthcare Biosciences)

supplemented with 10% foetal bovine serum (FBS) (#SV30160.03, Hyclone, GE

Healthcare Biosciences, Denmark). The MWCL-1 (a gift from Stephen M. Ansell)

and NCI-H929 (#ACC163, Leibniz Institute DSMZ) cell lines were maintained in

RPMI media supplemented with 10% FBS 1mM sodium pyruvate (#SH30239.01,

Hyclone GE Healthcare Biosciences) and 50µM beta-mercaptoethanol (#0482,

VWR).

Cloning

For knock-down of BLIMP1, artificial miRNA sequences were designed to target the

PRDM1 transcript using the RNAiDesigner tool (Thermo Fisher). These were named

PmiR1 and *PmiR2*. A non-targeting control miRNA (*NTmiR*) was also used as

previously described (Hackett, Sengupta et al., 2013). The miRNA sequences were

cloned into the tetracycline-inducible pPB-hCMV*1-miR plasmid (Hackett et al.,

2013, Murakami, Günesdogan et al., 2016). See Appendix Table 1 for miRNA

sequences.

Site directed mutagenesis was used to introduce mutations in coding sequence of the

FUW-PRD1-BF1 lentiviral expression construct (Magnúsdóttir et al., 2007) to render

it resistant to the targeting miRNAs. The plasmid was amplified using primers listed

in Appendix Table 2. FUW-EZH2 was derived first by PCR amplification from the

pCMVHA-hEZH2 plasmid (Bracken, Pasini et al., 2003), then incorporated via

Gibson assembly (New England Biolabs) into the FUGW backbone (Lois et al.,

2002). The pCMVHA hEZH2 plasmid was a gift from Kristian Helin (Addgene
plasmid # 24230 ; http://n2t.net/addgene:24230 ; RRID:Addgene_24230).

Construction of knock-down cell lines

Knock-down cell lines were constructed via electroporation 220V, 350µF using Gene
Pulser XCell (Bio-Rad) with plasmids containing our miRNA as described above,
using the piggyBac transposon system with the piggyBac transposase, the reverse-
tetracycline transactivator and a tetracycline-inducible EGFP. Stable cell lines were
selected for with 0.5 mg/mL or 1 mg/mL G418 disulfate solution (#A6798,
Applichem) for either the RP and MW, or OPM-2 cells respectively for nine days.
The RP cells were seeded at a density of 0.5 cells per well in a 96-well plate and
clones with the highest KD were used for further analyses. MW and OPM-2 cells
were used as a pool of cells directly after antibiotic selection. miRNA expression was
induced with 0.2 or 0.5 µg/mL Doxycyline Hyclate (#sc-211380, Santa Cruz
Biotechnology) for either the RP and OPM-2, or MW cells respectively.

Lentiviral transduction

Lentivirus was produced by transient transfection of HEK293T cells with the
envelope vesicular stomatitis virus G protein (VSVG)-encoding pMD2.G plasmid, the
packaging plasmid psPAX2, and either the FUW-PRDI-BF1, FUW-EZH2 or FUGW
transfer plasmid. psPAX2 was a gift from Didier Trono (Addgene plasmid #12260;
http://n2t.net/addgene:12260; RRID:Addgene_12260). pMD2.G was a gift from
Didier Trono (Addgene plasmid #12259; http://n2t.net/addgene:12259;
RRID:Addgene_12259).
Viral supernatant was concentrated by ultracentrifugation using 100kDa Amicon
centrifugal filters (#UFC910008, Sigma-Aldrich). Suspension cell lines were
transduced by spinfection, 800 xg for 30 min with 8 µg/mL polybrene.

Immunofluorescence staining

Cells were cytospun onto glass slides for 3 min at 800 rpm. Samples were fixed and
permeabilised 10 min in 4% formaldehyde (#28906, Thermo Fisher Scientific), 0.1%

triton-X-100 (#T-9284, Sigma-Aldrich), then blocked for 1 h at room temperature

with 0.1% triton-X-100, 1% bovine serum albumin (#A1391, Applichem), and 10%

goat serum (#16210064, Gibco). Slides were incubated overnight at 4°C with primary

antibody, anti-BLIMP1 (#9115, Cell Signaling Technologies) at a 1:100 dilution.

Nuclei were counterstained with 1μg/mL DAPI (#sc-3598, Santa Cruz

Biotechnology). The secondary antibody used was goat anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 647

(#A21244, Thermo Fisher Scientific) at a 1:1000 dilution. The signal intensity within

nuclei was quantified using CellProfiler, with background signal subtracted

(Carpenter, Jones et al., 2006).

Protein extraction, SDS-PAGE, and Western Blot

Cells were lysed in protein sample buffer (60mM Tris pH 6.8, 2% SDS, 10%

glycerol, 0.01% bromophenol blue, 1.25% β-mercaptoethanol), at 95°C for 5 min.

Benzonase nuclease (#sc-202391, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) was used to digest

chromatin before loading on SDS-PAGE gel. Western blotting was performed as

previously described (Burnette, 1981).

RNA Isolation, cDNA synthesis and RT-qPCR

Cells were lysed in TRIsure reagent (#BIO-38032, Bioline), and RNA was extracted

according to the manufacturer's instructions. cDNA was synthesised using the

GoScript Reverse Transcriptase system (#A5001, Promega), in combination with

dNTP mix (#R0191, Thermo Fisher Scientific), random primer 6 (#S1230S, New

England Biolabs), murine RNase inhibitor (#M0314S, New England Biolabs) and

1.25mM $MgCl_2$. RT-qPCR was performed using the SensiFAST SYBR Lo-Rox Kit

(#BIO-94020, Bioline) on the 7500 Real-Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems).

Relative quantitation was calculated according to the Pfaffl method (Pfaffl, 2001),

with *ACTB* and *PPIA* used as reference genes. Primer sequences are listed in

Appendix Table 3.

Viability, reduction and apoptosis assays

The percentage of live cells was determined by trypan blue exclusion assay (Gibco).

The per cent reduction was determined by resazurin assay, with resazurin sodium salt

(Santa Cruz Biotechnology) added 5h before the assay end-point, and absorbance

measured at 595 and 570nm. Per cent reduction was calculated relative to the fully

reduced form of resazurin, resorufin. See Appendix Methods for more details.

Apoptosis was assessed using APC-Annexin V (#550474, BD Biosciences) together

with Annexin V binding buffer (#556454, BD Biosciences). Staining was carried out

according to the manufacturer's instructions and assessed using the MACSQuant

analyser (Miltenyi Biotec).

Proteasomal inhibition

To test inhibition of the proteasome, RP *NTmiR* or *PmiR1* cells were treated with

5µM MG-132 (#sc-201270, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) for 4 h, 20 h post dox

addition.

RNAseq

The *PmiR2* and *NTmiR* RP cells were treated with 0.2µg/mL dox for 48h. RP cells

were treated with 300nM tazemetostat (EPZ-6438, #S7128, Selleckchem) or DMSO

for 48h. Total RNA was isolated using TRI-reagent (#AM9738, Thermo Fisher

Scientific) according to the manufacturer's instructions, then treated with HL-

dsDNase (#70800-201, ArcticZymes), and purified using the RNeasy MinElute

Cleanup Kit (#74204, Qiagen). RNAseq libraries were constructed using the NEB

NEBNext® Ultra™ Directional RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina® (#E7420S, New

England Biolabs) in combination with the NEBNext® Poly(A) mRNA Magnetic

Isolation Module (#E7490S, New England Biolabs). Pooled samples were clustered

on paired-end (PE) flowcells using a cBot instrument (Illumina). Sequencing was

performed on Illumina HiSeq 2500 using the v4 SBS sequencing kits with a

readlength of 2x125 cycles plus a 6 base index read. Primary processing and base

calling was done using HCS and RTA. Demultiplexing and generation of FASTQ

files was performed using scripts from Illumina (bcl2fastq v.1.8).

RNAseq raw reads were trimmed using Trim Galore

(https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/trim_galore/), and

pseudoaligned to the hg38 transcriptome and quantified using Kallisto (Bray, Pimentel et al., 2016). Differential expression analysis was performed using the likelihood ratio test in Sleuth (Pimentel, Bray et al., 2017), on a gene level, with a q-value of 0.05, and a $\log_2$ fold-change of ±0.3 as a significance cutoff. Gene set enrichment analysis using the Hallmarks collection of gene sets was run in pre-ranked mode using a list of all detected genes (Liberzon et al., 2015, Subramanian et al., 2005). The rank value was calculated as $-\log_{10}$(q-value) × (sign of fold change).

ChIPseq

Transcription factor ChIP for BLIMP1 and EZH2 was performed as previously described (Boyer, Lee et al., 2005, Magnúsdóttir et al., 2013, Magnúsdóttir et al., 2007). ChIP was carried out for BLIMP1, using 60μL rabbit polyclonal antibody recognising the C-terminal region of BLIMP1 (Kuo & Calame, 2004) with 3×10^7 nuclei, crosslinked with 0.4% formaldehyde. For EZH2, ChIP was performed using 40μL anti-EZH2 (D2C9, #5246, Cell Signaling Technology) with 2×10^7 nuclei, crosslinked with 1% formaldehyde.

Histone ChIP for pan-H3 and the H3K27me3 mark was performed as previously described (Shaffer et al., 2008). ChIP was performed for pan-H3 using 3μL anti-histone H3 (D2B12, #4620S, Cell Signaling Technology). For H3K27me3, ChIP was performed using 5μg anti-H3K27me3 (#ab6002, Abcam). All histone ChIP experiments were performed with 1×10^7 cells per ChIP, crosslinked with 0.4% formaldehyde.

Sequencing was performed as described above. Raw ChIPseq reads were trimmed as above and aligned to the hg38 genome using Bowtie2 (Langmead & Salzberg, 2012). Peaks were called using MACS2 (Zhang, Liu et al., 2008), and tracks were visualised using the UCSC genome browser (Kent, Sugnet et al., 2002). Enrichment over BLIMP1 peaks, H3K27me3 peaks and TSSs was calculated and mapped using Deeptools (Ramírez, Ryan et al., 2016). Overlapping regions were tested for significance using the hypergeometric test in the ChIPPeakAnno package in R (Zhu, Gazin et al., 2010). Overlapping genes were tested for significance using Fisher's exact test in the GeneOverlap package in R (http://shenlab-sinai.github.io/shenlab-sinai/).

NK cell isolation

NK cells were isolated from heparinised buffy coats obtained from healthy human
donors, who all provided informed consent, provided by the Icelandic Blood Bank
(ethical approval #06-068). PBMCs were first isolated by density gradient
centrifugation with Histopaque-1077 (#10771, Sigma-Aldrich), then NK cells were
purified by negative enrichment using the NK cell isolation kit (#130-092-657,
Miltenyi Biotech) according to the manufacturer's instructions. NK cells were
cultured overnight in RPMI media supplemented with 10% FBS and 10ng/mL IL-2
(R&D Systems).

Degranulation Assay

NK cells were co-cultured with pre-treated RP cells at a 10:1 ratio. Anti-CD107a-PE
(clone H4A3, BioLegend) was added to the co-culture media. For the positive control,
NK cells in co-culture with RP cells were treated with 2.5μg/mL phorbol 12-myristate
13-acetate and 0.5μg/mL ionomycin. After 1h of co-culture, 2μM monensin was
added to every sample and incubated for an additional 4h. Cells were stained with
anti-CD56-APC (clone CMSSB, EBioscience), fixed with 1% PFA and analysed on
the Sony SH800S flow cytometer. Data were analysed using FlowJo v10.

Cytotoxicity Assay

NK cells were co-cultured with pre-treated RP cells at a 20:1 ratio for 4h.
Cytotoxicity was assessed by measurement of the adenylate kinase activity in the
culture medium using the ToxiLight assay (#LT07-217, Lonza). Luminescence was
measured using a Modulus microplate reader (Promega). Values were normalised to
wells containing pre-treated RP cells without NK cells, and are presented as relative
luminescence units (RLU).

Data availability

RNAseq and ChIPseq data are available at

http://www.ebi.ac.uk/arrayexpress/experiments/E-MTAB-7739 under the accession

code: E-MTAB-7739.

Author contributions

EM conceived, designed and supervised the work. KJA, ABO, BA, GAT and AEL

performed and designed the experimental work. KNJ performed flow cytometric

analyses and contributed to experimental design. KJA performed the bioinformatics

analyses. JTB and IH contributed to critical methodologies. KA and EM wrote the

paper.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgements

We thank Arnar Palsson and Dagny A. Runarsdottir for their advice on RNAseq data

analysis. We thank Jona Freysdottir and Sunnefa Yeatman Omarsdottir for their

advice on the isolation of NK cells. We also thank the group of Eirikur Steingrimsson

for many good discussions and advice on the project. We thank Dr. Roopsha

Sengupta for providing critical inputs and proof-reading the manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by project grants from the Icelandic Centre for Research

(RANNIS) (grant no. 140950-051) and the Icelandic Cancer Society, a doctoral

fellowship from the University of Iceland, and grant from the University of Iceland

Eggertssjodur and funds from the COST Project EpiChemBio.

Figure Legends

Figure 1: BLIMP1 promotes survival of WM cells

(A) BLIMP1 expression in myeloma cell line OPM-2 and in WM cell lines RP, MW

and BC as detected by immunofluorescence staining, with bar graph representing

percentage of BLIMP1^{bright} cells. Scale bars represent 20μm. **(B)** Immunoblot of BLIMP1 expression following 48h dox induction of RP cells expressing *NTmiR*, *PmiR1* or *PmiR2*, with bar graph representing the quantity of BLIMP1 relative to actin; ****$p < 0.0001$. **(C)** Immunofluorescence staining of BLIMP1 following 48h dox induction in MW cells expressing *NTmiR*, *PmiR1* or *PmiR2*, with CellProfiler quantification; $p = 0.0228$. **(D)** Percentage of live cells as determined by Trypan blue exclusion assay in the RP and MW cell lines. RP, $p = 0.0019$; MW, $p = 0.0162$. **(E)** Immunoblot depicting lentiviral ectopic expression of EGFP or BLIMP1-EGFP in the RP *PmiR1* cells, next to the RP *NTmiR* cells. **(F)** The percentage of live RP *PmiR1* cells with ectopic EGFP or BLIMP1-EGFP expression determined by the Trypan blue exclusion assay normalised to the percentage of live cells following transduction of RP *NTmiR* cells with EGFP or BLIMP1-EGFP. Day 2, *$p = 0.0165$; Day 5, ***$p = 0.0003$. **(G)** Per cent reduction as measured by resazurin assay for RP *PmiR1* cells with EGFP or BLIMP1-EGFP, five days after dox induction. *$p = 0.0208$. All p-values as determined by student's two-tailed t-test. All graphs plotted as mean of three independent experiments, with error bars representing standard deviation.

Figure 2: BLIMP1 maintains EZH2 protein levels

(A) Immunoblot of BLIMP1 and EZH2 expression following 48h dox-induction of RP cells expressing *NTmiR*, *PmiR1* or *PmiR2*, with quantification of signal relative to actin in bar plot; ***$p = 0.0002$; ***$p = 0.001$; **$p = 0.0027$. **(B)** Immunoblot of BLIMP1 and EZH2 expression following 48h dox-induction of OPM-2 cells expressing *NTmiR* or *PmiR1*, with quantification of signal relative to actin in bar plot; ****$p < 0.0001$; *$p = 0.016$. **(C)** Immunofluorescence staining of BLIMP1 and EZH2 expression following 48 h dox-induction of RP cells expressing *NTmiR* or *PmiR1*, transduced with EGFP or BLIMP1-EGFP. Scale bars represent 20 μm. **(D)** RT-qPCR results depicting relative mRNA expression of *PRDM1* and *EZH2* normalised to *PPIA* and *ACTB* in the RP cell line and **(E)** in the OPM-2 cell line. **(F)** Immunoblot of BLIMP1 and EZH2 expression following 24h dox-induction of RP cells expressing *NTmiR* or *PmiR1* treated with DMSO or 5μM MG-132 for 4h. **(G)** The ratio of EZH2 expression relative to actin for RP *PmiR1* cells divided by RP *NTmiR* cells treated with DMSO or MG132 as in Fig. 2F; $p = 0.0134$. **(H)** Immunoblot of BLIMP1 and EZH2 expression following 48h dox-induction of RP *NTmiR* cells or *PmiR1* cells transduced with EGFP or EZH2-EGFP. **(I)** Percentage of live cells as determined by

Trypan blue exclusion assay in the RP *PmiR1* cells transduced with EGFP or EZH2-

EGFP. All p-values as determined by student's two-tailed t-test. All graphs plotted as

mean of three independent experiments with error bars representing standard

deviation.

Figure 3: BLIMP1 KD induces large transcriptional changes

RNAseq results for **(A)** 48h dox-induced RP cells comparing *PmiR1* to *NTmiR*.

Values are plotted as $\log_2$ fold change vs. $-\log_{10}$(qvalue). Red indicates those genes

with a q-value ≤ 0.05 and a $\log_2$ fold change ≤ -0.3 or ≥ 0.3. Heat maps depicting the

Z-score of the $\log_2$ fold change comparing *PmiR1* to *NTmiR* for three independent

replicates looking at **(B)** B cell genes and **(C)** apoptosis genes. **(D)** RNAseq results for

RP cells treated for 48h with 300nM tazemetostat compared to vehicle control

(DMSO). **(E)** Overlapping genes with increased expression following *PRDM1* KD or

tazemetostat treatment. **(F)** Overlapping genes with decreased expression following

PRDM1 KD or tazemetostat treatment. Overlaps tested using Fisher's exact test. Heat

maps depicting the Z-score of the $\log_2$ fold change comparing tazemetostat treatment

to DMSO for three independent replicates looking at **(G)** B cell genes and **(H)**

apoptosis genes.

Figure 4: BLIMP1 and EZH2 are present at a subset of proximal genomic loci

(A) Venn diagram of H3K27me3 and BLIMP1 peaks extended ±10kb, showing

overlaps in these regions. (ns) not significant as determined by hypergeometric test.

Called peaks determined by overlap from peak calling from two independent

experiments. **(B)** Enrichment of signal from ChIPseq tracks ±3kb from the centre of

BLIMP1 binding sites. Data depicts representative experiment of two biological

replicates. **(C)** Venn diagram of genes assigned to H3K27me3 and BLIMP1 peaks

showing overlapping genes. p= 2.9e-25 as determined by Fisher's exact test. **(D)**

ChIPseq tracks for H3K27me3 and BLIMP1 in the RP cell line. Data represents

combination of reads from two independent experiments. **(E)** Enrichment of signal

from ChIPseq tracks ±10kb from the centre of BLIMP1 binding sites in the NCI-

H929 cell line. **(F)** Venn diagram depicting the overlap in genes with significantly

increased expression following BLIMP1 KD and genes assigned to BLIMP1 binding

sites. p= 7e-15, as determined by Fisher's exact test. **(G)** Venn diagram depicting the

overlap in genes with significantly decreased expression following BLIMP1 KD and

genes assigned to BLIMP1 binding sites. (ns) not significant as determined by

Fisher's exact test. **(H)** Venn diagram depicting genes with significantly increased

expression following tazemetostat treatment overlapping with genes assigned to

H3K27me3 peaks. p= 7.9e-9, as determined by Fisher's exact test. **(I)** Venn diagram

depicting genes with significantly decreased expression following tazemetostat

treatment overlapping with genes assigned to H3K27me3 peaks. (ns) not significant,

as determined by Fisher's exact test. All ChIPseq experiments were performed as two

biological replicates.

Figure 5: BLIMP1 and EZH2 promote immune evasion

(A) Heat maps showing z-score of the log2 fold change for *PmiR2* compared to

NTmiR and in RP cells with three independent replicates looking at genes involved in

stimulation of T and NK cells, MHC molecules, inhibitory ligands and receptors, IFN

and TNF receptors and downstream signalling. ChIPseq tracks for H3K27me3 and

BLIMP1 in the RP cell line over **(B)** the immune surface molecule genes *HLA-B,*

MICA, LILRB1, NCR3LG1, TNFRSF1A, or **(C)** the downstream signalling genes,

IFIT2 and *STAT1*. Data represents combination of reads from two independent

experiments. **(D)** Percentage CD56+CD107a+ cells (Q2) representing degranulating

NK cells, as determined by flow cytometry following co-culture with RP cells with

NTmiR or *PmiR1*, or RP cells treated with DMSO or Tazemetostat. One

representative experiment is displayed. **(E)** Relative quantification of the

degranulation assay **p = 0.0088. **(F)** Cytotoxicity depicted in relative luminescence

units (RLU), as measured by adenylate kinase activity in the culture media following

4 h co-culture of NK cells with RP cells expressing *NTmiR* or *PmiR1*, or RP cells

treated with DMSO or 1μM Tazemetostat. Cells were co-cultured at the

effector:target ratio of 20:1. ****p = 3.1×10^{-5}; *p = 0.02. Results of the degranulation

and cytotoxicity assays from four individual donors, performed in two pairs on two

separate occasions.

Expanded view

Expanded view figure legends

Expanded view Figure 1

(A) Annexin V staining of dox-induced RP cells with *NTmiR*, *PmiR1* or *PmiR2*; ***p

= 0.0004; **p = 0.0071. **(B)** Annexin V staining of dox-induced MW cells with

NTmiR or *PmiR1*; p = 0.0572.

Expanded view Figure 2

(A) Signal intensity of immunofluorescence staining for BLIMP1 and EZH2 as

quantified by CellProfiler from approximately 5000 cells per sample across two

independenct replicates. **(B)** Relative growth of RP cells treated with tazemetostat for

96h, shown next to RP cells treated with Ibrutinib for 48h. **(C)** Immunoblot of histone

extracts from RP cells stained for H3K27me3 with total H3 as a loading control

following 48h tazemetostat treatment at the indicated concentrations. The 0

concentration was treated with vehicle control, DMSO.

Expanded view Figure 3

(A) Graph of RNAseq results depicting normalised transcripts per million (TPM)

values for *SMURF2* in RP cells with *NTmiR* and *PmiR1*. Bar plots depicting

normalised enrichment score for Hallmarks gene sets identified using GSEA pre-

ranked with FDR-corrected p-value ≤ 0.05 for **(B)** *PmiR1* compared to *NTmiR* and

(C) tazemetostat treatment compared to DMSO.

Expanded view Figure 4

(A) Motif enrichment analysis showing the top motif for BLIMP1 ChIPseq

experiments in the RP cell line. Profiles showing enrichment over ±30 kb regions

from TSSs assigned to **(B)** BLIMP1 and **(C)** H3K27me3 peaks in the RP cell line. **(D)**

Motif enrichment analysis showing the top motif for BLIMP1 ChIPseq experiments in

the OPM-2 and NCI-H929 cell lines. Profiles showing enrichment over ±30 kb

regions from TSSs assigned to **(E)** BLIMP1, **(F)** H3K27me3 and **(G)** EZH2 peaks in

the OPM-2 and NCI-H929 cell lines. **(H)** Enrichment of BLIMP1 signal in the RP,

OPM-2 and NCI-H929 cell lines over BLIMP1 peaks from the RP cell line. **(I)**

Enrichment of H3K27me3 signal in the RP, OPM-2 and NCI-H929 cell lines over H3K27me3 peaks from the RP cell line. **(J)** ChIPseq tracks for H3K27me3 and BLIMP1 over the *SMURF2* gene in the RP cell line. **(K)** Venn diagrams of H3K27me3 and BLIMP1 peaks extended ±10kb, showing overlaps in these regions in the OPM-2 and NCI-H929 cell lines. (ns) not significant as determined by hypergeometric test. **(L)** Venn diagram of EZH2 and BLIMP1 peaks extended ±10kb, showing overlaps in these regions in the NCI-H929 cell line. (ns) not significant as determined by hypergeometric test. **(M)** Venn diagrams of overlapping genes assigned to peaks for H3K27me3 or BLIMP1 in the OPM-2 and NCI-H929 cell lines. Significance determined by Fisher's exact test. OPM-2, ns, not significant; NCI-H929, p = 5.9e-20. **(N)** Venn diagram of overlapping genes assigned to peaks for EZH2 or BLIMP1 in the NCI-H929 cell lines. (ns) not significant, as determined by Fisher's exact test.

Expanded view Figure 5

(A) ChIPseq tracks for H3K27me3 and BLIMP1 over the *PDCD1LG2* gene in the RP cell line. **(B)** Heat map showing the z-score of the $_{log2}$ fold change for Tazemetostat compared to DMSO in RP cells with three independent replicates looking at genes involved in stimulation of NK cells, MHC molecules, inhibitory ligands and receptors, and downstream signalling. **(C)** RT-qPCR from three independent experiments depicting relative mRNA expression comparing RP cells with *NTmiR*, and *PmiR1* transduced with EGFP or EZH2-EGFP. **(D)** Negative control for the degranulation assay, consisting of NK cells cultured alone, and positive control consisting of NK cells in co-culture with RP cells treated with 2.5μg/mL phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate and 0.5μg/mL ionomycin.

Appendix

Appendix Methods

Resazurin assay

The percentage reduction of resazurin was calculated according to the following formula:

$$\text{Percentage reduction of resazurin} = \frac{(O2 \times A1) - (O1 \times A2)}{(R1 \times N2) - (R2 \times N1)} \times 100$$

Where O1 = molar extinction coefficient (E) of oxidized resazurin at 570 nm (80586); O2= E of oxidized resazurin at 595 nm (117216); R1 = E of reduced resazurin (Red) at 570 nm (155677); R2= E of reduced resazurin at 595 nm (14652); A1 = absorbance of test wells at 570 nm; A2 = absorbance of test wells at 595 nm; N1 = absorbance of negative control well (media plus resazurin but no cells) at 570 nm; N2 = absorbance of negative control well (media plus resazurin but no cells) at 595 nm.

Appendix Table 1: Artificial miRNA sequences

Name	Sequence (5'-3')
PmiR1	**GTACACTTCTCTTCAAACTCAG**GTTTTGGCCACTGACTGACCTGAGTTTAGAGAAGTGTACA
PmiR2	**GTTACTCATCACTCCAATAACC**GTTTTGGCCACTGACTGACGGTTATTGGTGATGAGTAACA
Non-targeting (*NTmiR*)	**AAATGTACTGCGCGTGGAGAC**GTTTTGGCCACTGACTGACGTCTCCACGCAGTACATTT

Appendix Table 2: Primers used for mutagenesis

FUW-P-miR1-mut_Fwd	gaaaaatgcaCATACATTGTGAACGACCAC
FUW-P-miR1-mut_Rev	ctcgaattccgCCTCTGTCCACAGAGTCA

Appendix Table 3: Primers used for qPCR

Primer	**Sequence**

PRDM1_fwd	GGTACACACGGGAGAAAAGC
PRDM1_rev	GAGATTGCTGGTGCTGCTAA
EZH2_fwd	ACATCCTTTTCATGCAACACC
EZH2_rev	GCTCCCTCCAAATGCTGGTA
STAT1_fwd	CTGTGCGTAGCTGCTCCTT
STAT1_rev	GAGTCAAGCTGCTGAAGTTCG
TFEC_fwd	ACATGGGGCTTACAAGTGCT
TFEC_rev	TCAATGAGGTTGTGGTTGTCC
POU2F2_fwd	ACTCATGTTGACGGGCAGC
POU2F2_rev	GGTAGCAGGAACTGAGCAGG
MICA_fwd	ACATTCCATGTTTCTGCTGTTGC
MICA_rev	GACCTGCAGGCTCACGA
LGALS9_fwd	TCAATGGGACCGTTCTCAGC
LGALS9_rev	GAGGGTTGAAGTGGAAGGCA
BCL2L11_fwd	CCTCGGCGCCCTTTCTT
BCL2L11_rev	AGGTTGCTTTGCCATTTGGTC
CASP4_fwd	TGCTGTTTACAAGACCCACG
CASP4_rev	AGAGCCCATTGTGCTGTCTC
OAS2_fwd	CAGGAACCCGAACAGTTCCC
OAS2_rev	AGGACAAGGGTACCATCGGA
PIK3CD_fwd	TGTACGCCGTGATCGAGAAA
PIK3CD_rev	CGGTCTTAAGCTGGTCCTTGT

RCAN3_fwd RCAN3_rev	GCGCGAATAGAACTCCACGA GCGGCAGGAGATAGGACTTG
ZFP36L1_fwd ZFP36L1_rev	GTCTGCCACCATCTTCGACT TTTCTGTCCAGCAGGCAACC
CIITA_fwd CIITA_rev	CATCCTTGGGGAAGCTGAGG CTGTGAGCTGCCTTGGGG
TNFRSF14_fwd TNFRSF14_rev	GCAGTGCCAAATGTGTGACC CCTGGACGATGCAGAAGTGG
HAVCR2_fwd HAVCR2_rev	GGCTCTTATCTTCGGCGCT GGGAGGTTGGCCAAAGAGAT
PPIA_fwd PPIA_rev	CATCTGCACTGCCAAGACTGA TGGCCTCCACAATATTCATGC
ACTIN_fwd ACTIN_rev	AGGCACCAGGGCGTGAT GCCCACATAGGAATCCTTCTGAC

References

Basso K, Dalla-Favera R (2015) Germinal centres and B cell lymphomagenesis. Nature Reviews Immunology 15: 172

Béguelin W, Popovic R, Teater M, Jiang Y, Bunting Karen L, Rosen M, Shen H, Yang Shao N, Wang L, Ezponda T, Martinez-Garcia E, Zhang H, Zheng Y, Verma Sharad K, McCabe Michael T, Ott Heidi M, Van Aller Glenn S, Kruger Ryan G, Liu Y, McHugh Charles F et al. (2013) EZH2 Is Required for Germinal Center Formation and Somatic EZH2 Mutations Promote Lymphoid Transformation. Cancer Cell 23: 677-692

Boyer LA, Lee TI, Cole MF, Johnstone SE, Levine SS, Zucker JP, Guenther MG, Kumar RM, Murray HL, Jenner RG, Gifford DK, Melton DA, Jaenisch R, Young RA (2005) Core Transcriptional Regulatory Circuitry in Human Embryonic Stem Cells. Cell 122: 947-956

Bracken AP, Pasini D, Capra M, Prosperini E, Colli E, Helin K (2003) EZH2 is downstream of the pRB-E2F pathway, essential for proliferation and amplified in cancer. Embo j 22: 5323-35

Brandefors L, Melin B, Lindh J, Lundqvist K, Kimby E (2018) Prognostic factors and primary treatment for Waldenström macroglobulinemia – a Swedish Lymphoma Registry study. British Journal of Haematology 183: 564-577

Bray NL, Pimentel H, Melsted P, Pachter L (2016) Near-optimal probabilistic RNA-seq quantification. Nature biotechnology 34: 525

Burnette WN (1981) "Western Blotting": Electrophoretic transfer of proteins from sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gels to unmodified nitrocellulose and radiographic detection with antibody and radioiodinated protein A. Analytical Biochemistry 112: 195-203

Calado DP, Zhang B, Srinivasan L, Sasaki Y, Seagal J, Unitt C, Rodig S, Kutok J, Tarakhovsky A, Schmidt-Supprian M, Rajewsky K (2010) Constitutive Canonical NF-κB Activation Cooperates with Disruption of BLIMP1 in the Pathogenesis of Activated B Cell-like Diffuse Large Cell Lymphoma. Cancer Cell 18: 580-589

Carpenter AE, Jones TR, Lamprecht MR, Clarke C, Kang IH, Friman O, Guertin DA, Chang JH, Lindquist RA, Moffat J, Golland P, Sabatini DM (2006) CellProfiler: image analysis software for identifying and quantifying cell phenotypes. Genome biology 7: R100

Carroll D, Erhardt S, Pagani M, Barton SC, Surani MA, Jenuwein T (2001) The Polycomb-Group Gene Ezh2 Is Required for Early Mouse Development. Molecular and Cellular Biology 21: 4330

Chen H, Gilbert CA, Hudson JA, Bolick SC, Wright KL, Piskurich JF (2007) Positive regulatory domain I-binding factor 1 mediates repression of the MHC class II transactivator (CIITA) type IV promoter. Molecular Immunology 44: 1461-1470

Croonquist PA, Van Ness B (2005) The polycomb group protein enhancer of zeste homolog 2 (EZH2) is an oncogene that influences myeloma cell growth and the mutant ras phenotype. Oncogene 24: 6269

Czermin B, Melfi R, McCabe D, Seitz V, Imhof A, Pirrotta V (2002) Drosophila Enhancer of Zeste/ESC Complexes Have a Histone H3 Methyltransferase Activity that Marks Chromosomal Polycomb Sites. Cell 111: 185-196

de Charette M, Houot R (2018) Hide or defend, the two strategies of lymphoma immune evasion: potential implications for immunotherapy. Haematologica 103: 1256-1268

Doody GM, Stephenson S, McManamy C, Tooze RM (2007) PRDM1/BLIMP-1
Modulates IFN-γ-Dependent Control of the MHC Class I Antigen-Processing and
Peptide-Loading Pathway. The Journal of Immunology 179: 7614
Elias S, Robertson EJ, Bikoff EK, Mould AW (2018a) Blimp-1/PRDM1 is a critical
regulator of Type III Interferon responses in mammary epithelial cells. Scientific
reports 8: 237-237
Elias S, Robertson EJ, Bikoff EK, Mould AW (2018b) Blimp-1/PRDM1 is a critical
regulator of Type III Interferon responses in mammary epithelial cells. Scientific
Reports 8: 237
Gavriatopoulou M, Musto P, Caers J, Merlini G, Kastritis E, van de Donk N, Gay F,
Hegenbart U, Hajek R, Zweegman S, Bruno B, Straka C, Dimopoulos MA, Einsele
H, Boccadoro M, Sonneveld P, Engelhardt M, Terpos E (2018) European myeloma
network recommendations on diagnosis and management of patients with rare
plasma cell dyscrasias. Leukemia 32: 1883-1898
Gertz MA (2019) Waldenström macroglobulinemia: 2019 update on diagnosis,
risk stratification, and management. American Journal of Hematology 94: 266-
276
Guo M, Price MJ, Patterson DG, Barwick BG, Haines RR, Kania AK, Bradley JE,
Randall TD, Boss JM, Scharer CD (2018) EZH2 Represses the B Cell
Transcriptional Program and Regulates Antibody-Secreting Cell Metabolism and
Antibody Production. The Journal of Immunology 200: 1039-1052
Győry I, Wu J, Fejér G, Seto E, Wright KL (2004) PRDI-BF1 recruits the histone H3
methyltransferase G9a in transcriptional silencing. Nature Immunology 5: 299
Hackett JA, Sengupta R, Zylicz JJ, Murakami K, Lee C, Down TA, Surani MA (2013)
Germline DNA demethylation dynamics and imprint erasure through 5-
hydroxymethylcytosine. Science (New York, NY) 339: 448-452
Hernando H, Gelato KA, Lesche R, Beckmann G, Koehr S, Otto S, Steigemann P,
Stresemann C (2016) EZH2 Inhibition Blocks Multiple Myeloma Cell Growth
through Upregulation of Epithelial Tumor Suppressor Genes. Molecular Cancer
Therapeutics 15: 287
Hou B, Saudan P, Ott G, Wheeler Matthew L, Ji M, Kuzmich L, Lee Linda M,
Coffman Robert L, Bachmann Martin F, DeFranco Anthony L (2011) Selective
Utilization of Toll-like Receptor and MyD88 Signaling in B Cells for Enhancement
of the Antiviral Germinal Center Response. Immunity 34: 375-384
Hung KH, Su ST, Chen CY, Hsu PH, Huang SY, Wu WJ, Chen MJM, Chen HY, Wu PC,
Lin FR, Tsai MD, Lin KI (2016) Aiolos collaborates with Blimp-1 to regulate the
survival of multiple myeloma cells. Cell Death And Differentiation 23: 1175
Hunter ZR, Xu L, Yang G, Tsakmaklis N, Vos JM, Liu X, Chen J, Manning RJ, Chen JG,
Brodsky P, Patterson CJ, Gustine J, Dubeau T, Castillo JJ, Anderson KC, Munshi
NM, Treon SP (2016) Transcriptome sequencing reveals a profile that
corresponds to genomic variants in Waldenström macroglobulinemia. Blood
128: 827-838
Iwanaga M, Chiang CJ, Soda M, Lai MS, Yang YW, Miyazaki Y, Matsuo K, Matsuda
T, Sobue T (2014) Incidence of lymphoplasmacytic lymphoma/Waldenstrom's
macroglobulinaemia in Japan and Taiwan population-based cancer registries,
1996-2003. International journal of cancer 134: 174-80
Jalali S, Price-Troska T, Paludo J, Villasboas J, Kim H-J, Yang Z-Z, Novak AJ, Ansell
SM (2018) Soluble PD-1 ligands regulate T-cell function in Waldenstrom
macroglobulinemia. Blood Advances 2: 1985

Kallies A, Hasbold J, Tarlinton DM, Dietrich W, Corcoran LM, Hodgkin PD, Nutt SL (2004) Plasma Cell Ontogeny Defined by Quantitative Changes in Blimp-1 Expression. The Journal of Experimental Medicine 200: 967

Kent WJ, Sugnet CW, Furey TS, Roskin KM, Pringle TH, Zahler AM, Haussler, David (2002) The Human Genome Browser at UCSC. Genome Research 12: 996-1006

Kim KH, Roberts CWM (2016) Targeting EZH2 in cancer. Nature Medicine 22: 128

Kleer CG, Cao Q, Varambally S, Shen R, Ota I, Tomlins SA, Ghosh D, Sewalt RGAB, Otte AP, Hayes DF, Sabel MS, Livant D, Weiss SJ, Rubin MA, Chinnaiyan AM (2003) EZH2 is a marker of aggressive breast cancer and promotes neoplastic transformation of breast epithelial cells. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 100: 11606

Kuo TC, Calame KL (2004) B Lymphocyte-Induced Maturation Protein (Blimp)-1, IFN Regulatory Factor (IRF)-1, and IRF-2 Can Bind to the Same Regulatory Sites. The Journal of Immunology 173: 5556

Kurimoto K, Yabuta Y, Hayashi K, Ohta H, Kiyonari H, Mitani T, Moritoki Y, Kohri K, Kimura H, Yamamoto T, Katou Y, Shirahige K, Saitou M (2015) Quantitative Dynamics of Chromatin Remodeling during Germ Cell Specification from Mouse Embryonic Stem Cells. Cell Stem Cell 16: 517-532

Kyle RA, Larson DR, McPhail ED, Therneau TM, Dispenzieri A, Kumar S, Kapoor P, Cerhan JR, Rajkumar SV (2018) Fifty-Year Incidence of Waldenstrom Macroglobulinemia in Olmsted County, Minnesota, From 1961 Through 2010: A Population-Based Study With Complete Case Capture and Hematopathologic Review. Mayo Clinic proceedings 93: 739-746

Langmead B, Salzberg SL (2012) Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nature Methods 9: 357

Lawrence DW, Kornbluth J (2016) E3 ubiquitin ligase NKLAM ubiquitinates STAT1 and positively regulates STAT1-mediated transcriptional activity. Cellular Signalling 28: 1833-1841

Liberzon A, Birger C, Thorvaldsdottir H, Ghandi M, Mesirov JP, Tamayo P (2015) The Molecular Signatures Database (MSigDB) hallmark gene set collection. Cell systems 1: 417-425

Lin F-R, Kuo H-K, Ying H-Y, Yang F-H, Lin K-I (2007) Induction of Apoptosis in Plasma Cells by B Lymphocyte–Induced Maturation Protein-1 Knockdown. Cancer Research 67: 11914

Lin K-I, Angelin-Duclos C, Kuo TC, Calame K (2002) Blimp-1-dependent repression of Pax-5 is required for differentiation of B cells to immunoglobulin M-secreting plasma cells. Molecular and cellular biology 22: 4771-4780

Lin Y, Wong K-k, Calame K (1997) Repression of c-myc transcription by Blimp-1, an inducer of terminal B cell differentiation. Science 276: 596-599

Lois C, Hong EJ, Pease S, Brown EJ, Baltimore D (2002) Germline transmission and tissue-specific expression of transgenes delivered by lentiviral vectors. Science 295: 868-872

Magnúsdóttir E, Dietmann S, Murakami K, Günesdogan U, Tang F, Bao S, Diamanti E, Lao K, Gottgens B, Azim Surani M (2013) A tripartite transcription factor network regulates primordial germ cell specification in mice. Nature Cell Biology 15: 905

Magnúsdóttir E, Kalachikov S, Mizukoshi K, Savitsky D, Ishida-Yamamoto A, Panteleyev AA, Calame K (2007) Epidermal terminal differentiation depends on B lymphocyte-induced maturation protein-1. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 104: 14988

Mandelbaum J, Bhagat G, Tang H, Mo T, Brahmachary M, Shen Q, Chadburn A, Rajewsky K, Tarakhovsky A, Pasqualucci L, Dalla-Favera R (2010) BLIMP1 is a Tumor Suppressor Gene Frequently Disrupted in Activated B Cell-like Diffuse Large B Cell Lymphoma. Cancer cell 18: 568-579

Martins G, Calame K (2008) Regulation and Functions of Blimp-1 in T and B Lymphocytes. Annual Review of Immunology 26: 133-169

Minnich M, Tagoh H, Bönelt P, Axelsson E, Fischer M, Cebolla B, Tarakhovsky A, Nutt SL, Jaritz M, Busslinger M (2016) Multifunctional role of the transcription factor Blimp-1 in coordinating plasma cell differentiation. Nature Immunology 17: 331

Morgan MAJ, Magnusdottir E, Kuo TC, Tunyaplin C, Harper J, Arnold SJ, Calame K, Robertson EJ, Bikoff EK (2009) Blimp-1/Prdm1 Alternative Promoter Usage during Mouse Development and Plasma Cell Differentiation. Molecular and Cellular Biology 29: 5813

Morin RD, Johnson NA, Severson TM, Mungall AJ, An J, Goya R, Paul JE, Boyle M, Woolcock BW, Kuchenbauer F, Yap D, Humphries RK, Griffith OL, Shah S, Zhu H, Kimbara M, Shashkin P, Charlot JF, Tcherpakov M, Corbett R et al. (2010) Somatic mutations altering EZH2 (Tyr641) in follicular and diffuse large B-cell lymphomas of germinal-center origin. Nature Genetics 42: 181

Mould AW, Morgan MAJ, Nelson AC, Bikoff EK, Robertson EJ (2015) Blimp1/Prdm1 Functions in Opposition to Irf1 to Maintain Neonatal Tolerance during Postnatal Intestinal Maturation. PLOS Genetics 11: e1005375

Müller J, Hart CM, Francis NJ, Vargas ML, Sengupta A, Wild B, Miller EL, O'Connor MB, Kingston RE, Simon JA (2002) Histone Methyltransferase Activity of a Drosophila Polycomb Group Repressor Complex. Cell 111: 197-208

Murakami K, Günesdogan U, Zylicz JJ, Tang WWC, Sengupta R, Kobayashi T, Kim S, Butler R, Dietmann S, Azim Surani M (2016) NANOG alone induces germ cells in primed epiblast in vitro by activation of enhancers. Nature 529: 403

Nutt SL, Fairfax KA, Kallies A (2007) BLIMP1 guides the fate of effector B and T cells. Nature Reviews Immunology 7: 923

Ohinata Y, Payer B, O'carroll D, Ancelin K, Ono Y, Sano M, Barton SC, Obukhanych T, Nussenzweig M, Tarakhovsky A (2005) Blimp1 is a critical determinant of the germ cell lineage in mice. Nature 436: 207

Pardoll DM (2012) The blockade of immune checkpoints in cancer immunotherapy. Nature Reviews Cancer 12: 252

Pasare C, Medzhitov R (2005) Control of B-cell responses by Toll-like receptors. Nature 438: 364

Pasqualucci L, Compagno M, Houldsworth J, Monti S, Grunn A, Nandula SV, Aster JC, Murty VV, Shipp MA, Dalla-Favera R (2006) Inactivation of the PRDM1/BLIMP1 gene in diffuse large B cell lymphoma. The Journal of Experimental Medicine 203: 311

Pfaffl MW (2001) A new mathematical model for relative quantification in real-time RT–PCR. Nucleic Acids Research 29: e45-e45

Pimentel H, Bray NL, Puente S, Melsted P, Pachter L (2017) Differential analysis of RNA-seq incorporating quantification uncertainty. Nature methods 14: 687

Piskurich JF, Lin K-I, Lin Y, Wang Y, Ting JP-Y, Calame K (2000a) BLIMP-1
mediates extinction of major histocompatibility class II transactivator expression
in plasma cells. Nature immunology 1: 526
Piskurich JF, Lin KI, Lin Y, Wang Y, Ting JPY, Calame K (2000b) BLIMP-1 mediates
extinction of major histocompatibility class II transactivator expression in
plasma cells. Nature Immunology 1: 526
Ramírez F, Ryan DP, Grüning B, Bhardwaj V, Kilpert F, Richter AS, Heyne S,
Dündar F, Manke T (2016) deepTools2: a next generation web server for deep-
sequencing data analysis. Nucleic Acids Research 44: W160-W165
Ren B, Chee KJ, Kim TH, Maniatis T (1999) PRDI-BF1/Blimp-1 repression is
mediated by corepressors of the Groucho family of proteins. Genes &
Development 13: 125-137
Roberts MJ, Chadburn A, Ma S, Hyjek E, Peterson LC (2013) Nuclear Protein
Dysregulation in Lymphoplasmacytic Lymphoma/Waldenström
Macroglobulinemia. American Journal of Clinical Pathology 139: 210-219
Roccaro AM, Sacco A, Jia X, Azab AK, Maiso P, Ngo HT, Azab F, Runnels J, Quang P,
Ghobrial IM (2010) microRNA-dependent modulation of histone acetylation in
Waldenstrom macroglobulinemia. Blood: blood-2010-01-265686
Savitsky D, Calame K (2006) B-1 B lymphocytes require Blimp-1 for
immunoglobulin secretion. The Journal of Experimental Medicine 203: 2305
Schop RFJ, Kuehl WM, Van Wier SA, Ahmann GJ, Price-Troska T, Bailey RJ, Jalal
SM, Qi Y, Kyle RA, Greipp PR, Fonseca R (2002) Waldenström macroglobulinemia
neoplastic cells lack immunoglobulin heavy chain locus translocations but have
frequent 6q deletions. Blood 100: 2996
Shaffer AL, Emre NCT, Lamy L, Ngo VN, Wright G, Xiao W, Powell J, Dave S, Yu X,
Zhao H, Zeng Y, Chen B, Epstein J, Staudt LM (2008) IRF4 addiction in multiple
myeloma. Nature 454: 226
Shaffer AL, Lin K-I, Kuo TC, Yu X, Hurt EM, Rosenwald A, Giltnane JM, Yang L,
Zhao H, Calame K, Staudt LM (2002) Blimp-1 Orchestrates Plasma Cell
Differentiation by Extinguishing the Mature B Cell Gene Expression Program.
Immunity 17: 51-62
Shapiro-Shelef M, Lin K-I, McHeyzer-Williams LJ, Liao J, McHeyzer-Williams MG,
Calame K (2003) Blimp-1 is required for the formation of immunoglobulin
secreting plasma cells and pre-plasma memory B cells. Immunity 19: 607-620
Shapiro-Shelef M, Lin K-I, Savitsky D, Liao J, Calame K (2005) Blimp-1 is required
for maintenance of long-lived plasma cells in the bone marrow. The Journal of
Experimental Medicine 202: 1471
Subramanian A, Tamayo P, Mootha VK, Mukherjee S, Ebert BL, Gillette MA,
Paulovich A, Pomeroy SL, Golub TR, Lander ES, Mesirov JP (2005) Gene set
enrichment analysis: A knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-
wide expression profiles. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 102:
15545
Tellier J, Shi W, Minnich M, Liao Y, Crawford S, Smyth GK, Kallies A, Busslinger M,
Nutt SL (2016) Blimp-1 controls plasma cell function through the regulation of
immunoglobulin secretion and the unfolded protein response. Nature
Immunology 17: 323
Thibult M-L, Mamessier E, Gertner-Dardenne J, Pastor S, Just-Landi S, Xerri L,
Chetaille B, Olive D (2013) PD-1 is a novel regulator of human B-cell activation.
International immunology 25: 129-137

Tooze RM, Stephenson S, Doody GM (2006) Repression of IFN-γ Induction of Class II Transactivator: A Role for PRDM1/Blimp-1 in Regulation of Cytokine Signaling. The Journal of Immunology 177: 4584-4593

Treon SP (2009) How I treat Waldenström macroglobulinemia. Blood 114: 2375

Treon SP, Cao Y, Xu L, Yang G, Liu X, Hunter ZR (2014) Somatic mutations in MYD88 and CXCR4 are determinants of clinical presentation and overall survival in Waldenström macroglobulinemia. Blood 123: 2791

Treon SP, Tripsas CK, Meid K, Warren D, Varma G, Green R, Argyropoulos KV, Yang G, Cao Y, Xu L, Patterson CJ, Rodig S, Zehnder JL, Aster JC, Harris NL, Kanan S, Ghobrial I, Castillo JJ, Laubach JP, Hunter ZR et al. (2015) Ibrutinib in Previously Treated Waldenström's Macroglobulinemia. New England Journal of Medicine 372: 1430-1440

Treon SP, Xu L, Yang G, Zhou Y, Liu X, Cao Y, Sheehy P, Manning RJ, Patterson CJ, Tripsas C, Arcaini L, Pinkus GS, Rodig SJ, Sohani AR, Harris NL, Laramie JM, Skifter DA, Lincoln SE, Hunter ZR (2012) MYD88 L265P Somatic Mutation in Waldenström's Macroglobulinemia. New England Journal of Medicine 367: 826-833

Vijay A, Gertz MA (2007) Waldenström macroglobulinemia. Blood 109: 5096-5103

Vincent SD, Dunn NR, Sciammas R, Shapiro-Shalef M, Davis MM, Calame K, Bikoff EK, Robertson EJ (2005) The zinc finger transcriptional repressor Blimp1/Prdm1 is dispensable for early axis formation but is required for specification of primordial germ cells in the mouse. Development 132: 1315

Vivier E, Tomasello E, Baratin M, Walzer T, Ugolini S (2008) Functions of natural killer cells. Nature Immunology 9: 503

Wang H, Chen Y, Li F, Delasalle K, Wang J, Alexanian R, Kwak L, Rustveld L, Du XL, Wang M (2012) Temporal and geographic variations of Waldenstrom macroglobulinemia incidence: a large population-based study. Cancer 118: 3793-800

Wu ZL, Zheng SS, Li ZM, Qiao YY, Aau MY, Yu Q (2009) Polycomb protein EZH2 regulates E2F1-dependent apoptosis through epigenetically modulating Bim expression. Cell Death And Differentiation 17: 801

Xu L, Hunter ZR, Yang G, Cao Y, Liu X, Manning R, Tripsas C, Chen J, Patterson CJ, Kluk M, Kanan S, Castillo J, Lindeman N, Treon SP (2014) Detection of MYD88 L265P in peripheral blood of patients with Waldenström's Macroglobulinemia and IgM monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance. Leukemia 28: 1698

Yu J, Angelin-Duclos C, Greenwood J, Liao J, Calame K (2000) Transcriptional Repression by Blimp-1 (PRDI-BF1) Involves Recruitment of Histone Deacetylase. Molecular and Cellular Biology 20: 2592-2603

Yu X, Li W, Deng Q, Li L, Hsi ED, Young KH, Zhang M, Li Y (2018) MYD88 L265P Mutation in Lymphoid Malignancies. Cancer Research

Yu YL, Chou RH, Shyu WC, Hsieh SC, Wu CS, Chiang SY, Chang WJ, Chen JN, Tseng YJ, Lin YH, Lee W, Yeh SP, Hsu JL, Yang CC, Hung SC, Hung MC (2013) Smurf2 - mediated degradation of EZH2 enhances neuron differentiation and improves functional recovery after ischaemic stroke. EMBO Molecular Medicine 5: 531

Zhan F, Tian E, Bumm K, Smith R, Barlogie B, Shaughnessy J (2003) Gene expression profiling of human plasma cell differentiation and classification of

multiple myeloma based on similarities to distinct stages of late-stage B-cell
development. Blood 101: 1128
Zhang Y, Liu T, Meyer CA, Eeckhoute J, Johnson DS, Bernstein BE, Nusbaum C,
Myers RM, Brown M, Li W, Liu XS (2008) Model-based analysis of ChIP-Seq
(MACS). Genome biology 9: R137
Zhou Y, Liu X, Xu L, Hunter ZR, Cao Y, Yang G, Carrasco R, Treon SP (2014)
Transcriptional repression of plasma cell differentiation is orchestrated by
aberrant over-expression of the ETS factor SPIB in Waldenström
macroglobulinaemia. British Journal of Haematology 166: 677-689
Zhu LJ, Gazin C, Lawson ND, Pages H, Lin SM, Lapointe DS, Green MR (2010)
ChIPpeakAnno: a Bioconductor package to annotate ChIP-seq and ChIP-chip
data. BMC bioinformatics 11: 237
Zingg D, Arenas-Ramirez N, Sahin D, Rosalia RA, Antunes AT, Haeusel J, Sommer
L, Boyman O (2017) The Histone Methyltransferase Ezh2 Controls Mechanisms
of Adaptive Resistance to Tumor Immunotherapy. Cell Reports 20: 854-867
1278

Figure 1

Figure 1: BLIMP1 promotes survival of WM cells

(A) BLIMP1 expression in myeloma cell line OPM-2 and in WM cell lines RP, MW and BC as detected by immunofluorescence staining, with bar graph representing percentage of BLIMP1bright cells. Scale bars represent 20μm. (B) Immunoblot of BLIMP1 expression following 48h dox induction of RP cells expressing NTmiR, PmiR1 or PmiR2, with bar graph representing the quantity of BLIMP1 relative to actin; ****p < 0.0001. (C) Immunofluorescence staining of BLIMP1 following 48h dox induction in MW cells expressing NTmiR, PmiR1 or PmiR2, with cell profiler quantification; p = 0.0228. (D) Percentage of live cells as determined by Trypan blue exclusion assay in the RP and MW cell lines. RP, p = 0.0019; MW, p = 0.0162. (E) Immunoblot depicting lentiviral ectopic expression of EGFP or BLIMP1-EGFP in the RP PmiR1 cells, next to the RP NTmiR cells. (F) The percentage of live RP PmiR1 cells with ectopic EGFP or BLIMP1-EGFP expression determined by the Trypan blue exclusion assay normalised to the percentage of live cells following transduction of RP NTmiR cells with EGFP or BLIMP1-EGFP. Day 2, *p = 0.0165; Day 5, ***p = 0.0003. (G) Per cent reduction as measured by resazurin assay for RP PmiR1 cells with EGFP or BLIMP1-EGFP, five days after dox induction. *p = 0.0208. All p-values as determined by student's two-tailed t-test. All graphs plotted as mean of three independent experiments, with error bars representing standard deviation.

Figure 2

Figure 2: BLIMP1 maintains EZH2 protein levels

(A) Immunoblot of BLIMP1 and EZH2 expression following 48h dox-induction of RP cells expressing NTmiR, PmiR1 or PmiR2, with quantification of signal relative to actin in bar plot; ***p = 0.0002; ***p = 0.001; **p = 0.0027. (B) Immunoblot of BLIMP1 and EZH2 expression following 48h dox-induction of OPM-2 cells expressing NTmiR or PmiR1, with quantification of signal relative to actin in bar plot; ****p < 0.0001; *p = 0.016. (C) Immunofluorescence staining of BLIMP1 and EZH2 expression following 48 h dox-induction of RPCI-WM1 cells expressing NTmiR or PmiR1, transduced with EGFP or BLIMP1-EGFP. Scale bars represent 20 μm. (D) RT-qPCR results depicting relative mRNA expression of PRDM1 and EZH2 normalised to PPIA and ACTB in the RP cell line and (E) in the OPM-2 cell line. (F) Immunoblot of BLIMP1 and EZH2 expression following 24h dox-induction of RP cells expressing NTmiR or PmiR1 treated with DMSO or 5μM MG-132 for 4h. (G) The ratio of EZH2 expression relative to actin for RP PmiR1 cells divided by RP NTmiR cells treated with DMSO or MG132 as in Fig. 2F; p = 0.0134. (H) Immunoblot of BLIMP1 and EZH2 expression following 48h dox-induction of RP NTmiR cells or PmiR1 cells transduced with EGFP or EZH2-EGFP. (I) Percentage of live cells as determined by Trypan blue exclusion assay in the RP PmiR1 cells transduced with EGFP or EZH2-EGFP. All p-values as determined by student's two-tailed t-test. All graphs plotted as mean of three independent experiments with error bars representing standard deviation.

Figure 3

A

B

C

D

E

F

G

H

Figure 3: BLIMP1 KD induces large transcriptional changes

RNAseq results for (A) 48h dox-induced RP cells comparing PmiR1 to NTmiR. Values are plotted as log2 fold change vs. –log10(qvalue). Red indicates those genes with a q-value ≤ 0.05 and a log2 fold change ≤ -0.3 or ≥ 0.3. Heat maps depicting the Z-score of the log2 fold change comparing PmiR1 to NTmiR for three independent replicates looking at (B) B cell genes and (C) apoptosis genes. (D) RNAseq results for RP cells treated for 48h with 300nM tazemetostat compared to vehicle control (DMSO). (E) Overlapping genes with increased expression following PRDM1 KD or tazemetostat treatment. (F) Overlapping genes with decreased expression following PRDM1 KD or tazemetostat treatment. Overlaps tested using Fisher's exact test. Heat maps depicting the Z-score of the log2 fold change comparing tazemetostat treatment to DMSO for three independent replicates looking at (G) B cell genes and (H) apoptosis genes.

Figure 4

Figure 4: BLIMP1 and EZH2 are present at a subset of proximal genomic loci

(A) Venn diagram of H3K27me3 and BLIMP1 peaks extended ±10kb, showing overlaps in these regions. (ns) not significant as determined by hypergeometric test. Called peaks determined by overlap from peak calling from two independent experiments. (B) Enrichment of signal from ChIPseq tracks ±3kb from the centre of BLIMP1 binding sites. Data depicts representative experiment of two biological replicates. (C) Venn diagram of genes assigned to H3K27me3 and BLIMP1 peaks showing overlapping genes. p= 2.9e-25 as determined by Fisher's exact test. (D) ChIPseq tracks for H3K27me3 and BLIMP1 in the RP cell line. Data represents combination of reads from two independent experiments. (E) Enrichment of signal from ChIPseq tracks ±10kb from the centre of BLIMP1 binding sites in the NCI-H929 cell line. (F) Venn diagram depicting the overlap in genes with significantly increased expression following BLIMP1 KD and genes assigned to BLIMP1 binding sites. p= 7e-15, as determined by Fisher's exact test. (G) Venn diagram depicting the overlap in genes with significantly decreased expression following BLIMP1 KD and genes assigned to BLIMP1 binding sites. (ns) not significant as determined by Fisher's exact test. (H) Venn diagram depicting genes with significantly increased expression following tazemetostat treatment overlapping with genes assigned to H3K27me3 peaks. p= 7.9e-9, as determined by Fisher's exact test. (I) Venn diagram depicting genes with significantly decreased expression following tazemetostat treatment overlapping with genes assigned to H3K27me3 peaks. (ns) not significant, as determined by Fisher's exact test. All ChIPseq experiments were performed as two biological replicates.

Figure 5

Figure 5: BLIMP1 and EZH2 promote immune evasion

(A) Heat maps showing z-score of the log2 fold change for PmiR2 compared to NTmiR and in RP cells with three independent replicates looking at genes involved in stimulation of T and NK cells, MHC molecules, inhibitory ligands and receptors, IFN and TNF receptors and downstream signalling. ChIPseq tracks for H3K27me3 and BLIMP1 in the RP cell line over (B) the immune surface molecule genes HLA-B, MICA, LILRB1, NCR3LG1, TNFRSF1A, or (C) the downstream signalling genes, IFIT2 and STAT1. Data represents combination of reads from two independent experiments. (D) Percentage CD56+CD107a+ cells (Q2) representing degranulating NK cells, as determined by flow cytometry following co-culture with RP cells with NTmiR or PmiR1, or RP cells treated with DMSO or Tazemetostat. One representative experiment is displayed. (E) Relative quantification of the degranulation assay **p = 0.0088. (F) Cytotoxicity depicted in relative luminescence units (RLU), as measured by adenylate kinase activity in the culture media following 4 h co-culture of NK cells with RP cells expressing NTmiR or PmiR1, or RP cells treated with DMSO or 1μM Tazemetostat. Cells were co-cultured at the effector:target ratio of 20:1. ****p = 3.1×10-5; *p = 0.02. Results of the degranulation and cytotoxicity assays from four individual donors, performed in two pairs on two separate occasions.