The BLIMP1 – EZH2 nexus in a non-Hodgkin lymphoma

Running title: BLIMP1 and EZH2 in lymphoma

Kimberley Jade Anderson[1,2,6], Árný Björg Ósvaldsdóttir[1,2,6], Birgit Atzinger[1,2,6],

Gunnhildur Ásta Traustadóttir[1,6], Kirstine Nolling Jensen[3,4,6], Aðalheiður Elín

Lárusdóttir[1,2,6], Jón Þór Bergþorsson[2,5,6], Ingibjörg Harðardóttir[3,4,6], Erna

Magnúsdóttir[1,2,6]

1. Department of Anatomy, Faculty of Medicine, Vatnsmýrarvegur 16, 101

Reykjavik, Iceland

2. Department of Biomedical Science, Faculty of Medicine, 101 Reykjavík, Iceland

3. Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Faculty of Medicine, 101

Reykjavík, Iceland

4. Department of Immunology, Landspitali-The National University Hospital of

Iceland, 101 Reykjavik, Iceland

5. Department of Laboratory Haematology, Landspitali-The National University

Hospital of Iceland, 101 Reykjavik, Iceland

6. The University of Iceland Biomedical Center, Vatnsmýrarvegur 16, 101

Reykjavík Iceland

Corresponding Author: Erna Magnúsdóttir

Phone: +354 525 4238

Address: Vatnsmyrarvegur 16, 101 Reykjavik, Iceland

Abstract

Waldenström's macroglobulinemia (WM) is a non-Hodgkin lymphoma, resulting in antibody-secreting lymphoplasmacytic cells in the bone marrow and pathologies resulting from high levels of monoclonal immunoglobulin M (IgM) in the blood. Despite the key role for BLIMP1 in plasma cell maturation and antibody secretion, its potential role in WM cell biology has not yet been explored. Here we provide evidence of a crucial role for BLIMP1 in the survival of WM cells and further demonstrate that BLIMP1 is necessary for the expression of the histone methyltransferase EZH2 in both WM and multiple myeloma. The effect of BLIMP1 on EZH2 levels is post translational, at least partially through the regulation of proteasomal targeting of EZH2. Chromatin immunoprecipitation analysis and transcriptome profiling suggest that the two factors co-operate in regulating genes involved in cancer cell immune evasion. Co-cultures of natural killer cells and WM cells further reveal that both factors participate directly in immune evasion, promoting escape from natural killer cell mediated cytotoxicity. Together, the interplay of BLIMP1 and EZH2 plays a vital role in promoting the survival of WM cells.

Introduction

Waldenström's macroglobulinemia (WM) is a rare plasma cell dyscrasia with around 3-6 people per million diagnosed annually world wide [1-4]. It is characterised by the expansion of a monoclonal population of malignant cells in the bone marrow with a lymphoplasmacytic character, that is, cellular phenotypes ranging from that of B-lymphocytes to overt plasma cells that exhibit hypersecretion of immunoglobulin M

(IgM) [5]. A large proportion of WM symptoms arise because of high levels of IgM paraprotein in patients' blood and tissues [6]. Curiously, over 90% of WM tumours carry an activating mutation in the signaling adaptor MYD88, typically L265P that serves as a key oncogenic driver in the disease [7-9].

The transcription factor B-lymphocyte induced maturation protein-1 (BLIMP1) drives plasma cell differentiation, mediating transcriptional changes via the recruitment of co-factors to chromatin [10-12]. During plasma cell maturation, BLIMP1 represses key B-lymphocyte identity factors and signalling mediators [13, 14], while simultaneously driving plasma cell specific gene expression and antibody secretion [15-17]. Depending on the mouse model system used, BLIMP1 appears to be required for the survival of long-lived plasma cells in the bone marrow and promotes multiple myeloma (MM) cell survival [16, 18-20]. Conversely, BLIMP1 functions as a tumour suppressor in diffuse large B cell lymphoma (DLBCL), consistent with its repression of proliferation genes during plasma cell differentiation [15, 21-23]. Consistent with a potential tumour suppressor role, WM tumours harbour frequent heterozygous losses of *PRDM1*, the gene encoding BLIMP1 [24]. However, BLIMP1 is expressed in a subset of WM lymphoplasmacytic cells [25, 26], in line with its necessity for antibody secretion [17, 19, 27], a critical aspect of WM pathology. Furthermore, BLIMP1 is induced downstream of toll like receptor engagement and MYD88 [28, 29] and *PRDM1* mRNA is elevated in tumours harbouring the MYD88[L265P] mutation [30], which is associated with poorer prognosis in WM [31].

Also important in plasma cell differentiation, enhancer of zeste 2 (EZH2) is

both a physical and genetic interaction partner of BLIMP1 [17, 32]. The interaction

was first suggested in mouse primordial germ cells, where BLIMP1 and EZH2 share a

highly overlapping set of binding sites [33, 34]. EZH2 is the catalytic component of

the polycomb repressive complex 2, placing methyl groups on lysine 27 of histone 3,

typically tri-methylation (H3K27me3), to repress transcription [35, 36]. While EZH2

is essential for embryonic development [37], it has frequent activating mutations in

DLBCL, and is commonly overexpressed in MM, making it a promising therapeutic

target [38-40]. Surprisingly, while aberrant regulation of histone modifications has

been implicated in WM pathogenesis [41], the role of EZH2 is yet to be investigated.

In this study, we examined the role of BLIMP1 in WM, and its potential

interplay with EZH2. We demonstrate for the first time that BLIMP1 regulates WM

cell survival and maintains EZH2 protein levels. We identify a large overlap in

transcriptional targets of the two factors and show that they repress transcription on an

overlapping set of genes in a parallel fashion. In a highly novel finding, we reveal

roles for BLIMP1 and EZH2 in evasion from natural-killer (NK) cell mediated

cytotoxicity, with BLIMP1 suppressing NK cell activation in response to WM cells

and both factors suppressing NK cell mediated WM cell death. Thus, inhibition of

BLIMP1 or EZH2 could be a promising therapeutic strategy. Together, our data

highlights the multifaceted roles of the BLIMP1-EZH2 nexus in WM cell survival.

Materials and Methods

RNA isolation, cDNA synthesis and RT-qPCR

Cells were lysed in TRIsure reagent (#BIO-38032, Bioline, London, UK), and RNA

was extracted according to the manufacturer's instructions. cDNA synthesis and RT-

qPCR are described in supplementary materials and methods.

Viability and reduction assays

The percentage of live cells was determined by trypan blue exclusion assay (Thermo

Fisher Scientific, USA). The per cent reduction was determined by resazurin assay

(#sc-206037, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, CA, USA).

Proteasomal inhibition

To inhibit proteasome activity, the cells were treated for 4 h with 5µM MG-132 (#sc-

201270, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, dissolved in DMSO), 20 h post dox addition.

RNAseq and ChIPseq

Prior to RNAseq, The *PmiR2* and *NTmiR* RP cells were treated with 0.2µg/mL dox

for 48 h. RP cells were treated with 300nM tazemetostat (EPZ-6438, #S7128,

Selleckchem, Munich, Germany) or DMSO for 48 h. Transcription factor ChIP for

BLIMP1 and EZH2 was performed as previously described [33, 42, 43]. Histone

ChIP for pan-H3 and the H3K27me3 mark was performed as previously described

[44].

NK cell isolation, degranulation and cytotoxixity assays

NK cells were isolated from heparinised buffy coats obtained from healthy human

donors, who all provided informed consent, provided by the Icelandic Blood Bank

(ethical approval #06-068).

Additional details for Western blotting, immunofluorescence, reduction assays,

RNAseq, ChIPseq, NK cell isolation, degranulation and cytotoxicity assays are

described in Supplementary Materials and Methods.

Results

BLIMP1 is important for cell survival in Waldenström's macroglobulinemia

As BLIMP1 is expressed in a subset of WM patients' lymphoplasmacytic cells [25,

26], and given its crucial roles in antibody secretion and plasma cell differentiation,

we wanted to determine whether it plays a role in WM cell biology. We compared

BLIMP1 expression in the myeloma cell line OPM-2 [45] to that of three WM cell

lines RPCI-WM1 (RP), MWCL-1 (MW) and BCWM.1 (BC) by immunofluorescence

staining (Fig. 1A). All of the RP cells expressed BLIMP1, while the MW and BC

cells had more heterogeneous expression, with 43% and 18% of the cells expressing

high levels of BLIMP1 respectively (Fig. 1A right panel). This heterogeneity of

BLIMP1 expression is interesting because the antibody-secreting cells responsible for

the IgM associated pathology of WM are likely dependent on BLIMP1 for secretion.

We subsequently chose to focus mainly on the RP cell line as it had the most uniform

BLIMP1 expression.

To knock-down (KD) BLIMP1, we engineered RP cells with two distinct

doxycycline (dox)-inducible artificial miRNAs targeting *PRDM1* mRNA (*PmiR1 and*

PmiR2), or a non-targeting control miRNA (*NTmiR*), and MW cells with *NTmiR and*

PmiR1. The induction of *PmiR1* and *PmiR2* led to the loss of BLIMP1 protein in RP

cells (Fig. 1B) and *PmiR1* led to a 60% reduction in MW cells (Fig. 1C). The KD

resulted in decreased cell survival, with 58% and 72% live cells remaining relative to

NTmiR in RP *PmiR1* and *PmiR2* cell cultures respectively, 48 h post dox addition

(Fig. 1D). No viable cells remained 6 days after induction of *PmiR1* or *PmiR2* (Fig.

S1A). MW *PmiR1* cells also displayed an initial decrease in viability to 71% of the

NTmiR (Fig. 1D), but by day 5 had recovered their viability, perhaps due to the

survival of the non-BLIMP1 expressing cellular compartment. The proportion of

apoptotic cells increased in RP cells by 2.6- and 2.3-fold 48h post *PmiR1* and *PmiR2*

induction respectively (Fig. S1B). Although we consistently saw an increase in

Annexin-V positive MW cells upon BLIMP1-KD, it was not statistically significant

(Fig. S1C).

Crucially, the decreased cell viability upon BLIMP1-KD in RP cells was rescued

in *PmiR1* cells transduced with miR-resistant BLIMP1 from a lentiviral construct [46]

(Fig. 1E). BLIMP1 overexpression resulted in 81% viability, relative to BLIMP1-

transduced *NTmiR* control, whereas EGFP-transduced cells were only 65% viable at

48 h post *PmiR1* induction (Fig. 1F). Five days post BLIMP1 KD, 57% of the

BLIMP1-transduced cells were viable, compared to only 3% of the EGFP-transduced

cells (Fig. 1F), further reflected in an increased reduction capacity of the BLIMP1

complemented cells (23% vs. 6% resazurin reduction) (Fig. 1G). Taken together,

BLIMP1 is a survival factor in WM cells, likely due to the suppression of apoptosis.

BLIMP1 expression maintains EZH2 protein levels

As studies of mouse plasmablasts and germ cells have shown a functional overlap

and direct interaction of BLIMP1 and EZH2 [17, 33, 34], we investigated the

potential interplay between the two factors in WM and MM. Our first line of inquiry

revealed a decrease in EZH2 protein expression upon BLIMP1 KD in RP cells (Fig.

2A) and in OPM-2 MM cells also engineered with inducible *PmiR1* (Fig. 2B).

Genetic complementation of miR expression with miR-resistant BLIMP1 (Fig. 1E)

restored EZH2 protein levels in RP cells, confirming the effect is specifically due to

BLIMP1 depletion (Fig. 2C, quantified in Fig. S2A). Furthermore, BLIMP1 and

EZH2 levels were positively correlated in two separate complementation experiments

($R^2 = 0.338$, $R^2 = 0.684$) based on quantitation of nuclear fluorescent signal. Taken

together these data reveal for the first time, a dependency of EZH2 expression on

BLIMP1.

Reverse transcription followed by quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) revealed that

EZH2 mRNA levels were unchanged in RP *PmiR2* cells upon BLIMP1 KD and only

slightly decreased in OPM-2 cells upon *PmiR1* induction, indicating that the loss of

EZH2 expression is at the post-transcriptional level (Fig. 2D-E). Notably, the

treatment of RP *PmiR1* cells with the proteasome inhibitor MG-132, restored EZH2 to

the same level as that of *NTmiR* cells also treated with proteasome inhibitor (Fig. 2F-

G), revealing that BLIMP1 modulates EZH2 by inhibition of proteasome mediated

degradation.

However, complementing the RP *PmiR1* cells with ectopic EZH2 expression (Fig.

2H) failed to increase their viability (Fig. 2I). Consistent with this, the EZH2-specific

catalytic inhibitor tazemetostat did not affect RP cell viability even over a 96 h period

(Fig. S2B), despite a dose dependent decrease in H3K27me3 levels (Fig. 2J), nor did

7 days of treatment. Taken together, BLIMP1 maintains EZH2 protein levels via

modulation of proteasome mediated degradation, but the effect of BLIMP1 on cell

survival is independent of EZH2.

BLIMP1 KD induces large transcriptional changes

To investigate the overlap of BLIMP1 and EZH2 in downstream gene regulation in

WM cells, we performed transcriptome profiling of the RP *PmiR2* and *NTmiR* cells

following 48 h of induction. Using a q-value cutoff of 0.05, we identified 7814

differentially expressed genes between *PmiR2* and *NTmiR* (Fig. 3A and Table S1).

Consistent with BLIMP1 repressing the B cell transcriptional program [15, 17, 19,

47], previously characterised B cell lineage targets of BLIMP1, including *CIITA* [48,

49], *PAX5* [50], *SPIB* and *BCL6* [15] were repressed by BLIMP1 in our study (Fig.

3B). However, other BLIMP1 targets such as *MYC* [51] and *ID3* [15] were unaltered.

Curiously, the myeloma-driving transcription factor *IRF4* [44], which is activated

downstream of BLIMP1 in plasma cells [17] was repressed by BLIMP1 in RP cells

(Fig. 3B). Interestingly, the BTK inhibitor, *IBTK* was also repressed by BLIMP1. The

inhibition of BTK via Ibrutinib is now a common treatment for WM [52]. The

expression of key apoptosis genes was increased following BLIMP1 KD (Fig. 3C)

and finally, we also observed a de-repression of *SMURF2* mRNA (Fig. S3A).

SMURF2 is an E3 ubiquitin ligase known to target EZH2 for proteasome-mediated

degradation and could therefore contribute to the decrease in EZH2 protein levels

upon the loss of BLIMP1 [53]. Taken together, BLIMP1 KD induces extensive gene

expression changes in RP cells including the de-repression of B cell- and apoptosis-

related genes, as well as *SMURF2*.

BLIMP1 and EZH2 regulate overlapping pathways

Because of the dependency of EZH2 on BLIMP1 levels, we wanted to determine

to which extent the effect of BLIMP1 on transcription is dependent on EZH2's

catalytic activity, and therefore performed transcriptome profiling upon catalytic

inhibition of EZH2 with tazemetostat. This resulted in 450 differentially expressed

genes compared to vehicle alone (Fig. 3D and Table S2). The amplitude of change for

individual genes was smaller than the changes induced by BLIMP1 KD (Comparing

Fig. 3D and 3A). Nevertheless, a highly significant overlap emerged between

transcripts increased in the BLIMP1 KD and tazemetostat treated cells (184 genes, p

= 1.8e-37) (Fig. 3E, Table S3), but the genes with decreased expression did not

significantly overlap (Fig. 3F, Table S4). Tazemetostat led to the de-repression of B

cell identity genes, including *STAT5B* and *ID3* (Fig. S3B) and interestingly, despite

EZH2 inhibition not affecting cell survival, a number of apoptosis genes were

differentially expressed, including *XAF1*, *CASP4*, *FAS* and *JUN* (Fig. S3C),

suggesting a possible sensitisation to apoptosis.

To investigate the pathways jointly regulated by BLIMP1 and EZH2, we

performed an overlap analysis using the molecular signatures database [54, 55] of the

184 genes induced by both BLIMP1 KD and tazemetostat. Several gene sets were

significantly enriched, including interferon and TNFα responses, the inflammatory

response and apoptosis (Fig. 3G), consistent with the known roles of BLIMP1 [14, 18,

56-58], and suggesting that pathways involved in immune responses are regulated in

concert by BLIMP1 and EZH2. Collectively, the transcriptomic analyses demonstrate

a large overlap in targets of repression by BLIMP1 and EZH2, highlighting the

interplay of the two factors.

BLIMP1 binds to a set of H3K27me3 marked genes at a distance from the

mark

Given the overlap in genome wide positioning of BLIMP1 and the H3K27me3

mark in mouse plasmablasts [17], we examined whether the overlapping effects of

BLIMP1 and EZH2 on gene transcription could be modulated not only through the

regulation of EZH2 by BLIMP1 but also by BLIMP1 potentially recruiting EZH2 to

chromatin in WM cells. We therefore performed chromatin immunoprecipitation

coupled to deep sequencing (ChIPseq) for H3K27me3 and BLIMP1 in RP cells. We

identified 14946 H3K27me3 peaks (Table S5), assigned to 4198 genes (Table S6),

and 506 BLIMP1 peaks (Table S7), assigned to 841 genes (Table S8). If BLIMP1

recruits EZH2 to chromatin in WM cells, a large proportion of BLIMP1 peaks would

be located in close proximity to H3K27me3 marks. However, only 8 sites bore both

H3K27me3 and BLIMP1 within 500 bp (Fig. 4A) and only a small level of bimodel

enrichment of H2K27me3 was observed in the $\pm$ 3 kb flanking BLIMP1 peaks (Fig.

4B). Interestingly however, when we compared the genes assigned to the peaks in the

respective experiments, 261 genes were both bound BLIMP1 and marked by

H3K27me3 in RP cells, a highly statistically significant overlap (p = 2.9e-25) (Fig.

4C, Table S9). An analysis [54, 55] of the genes marked by both BLIMP1 and

H3K27me3 in the RP cells demonstrated significant enrichment for the same immune

signalling gene sets as in the RNAseq data, as well as genes relating to the estrogen

response, hypoxia and the p53 pathway (Fig. S4A).

To test whether these findings apply also to MM, we performed ChIPseq for

BLIMP1 and H3K27me3 in the OPM-2 (Tables S10-13) and NCI-H929 (Tables S14-

17) myeloma cell lines, and EZH2 in NCI-H929 cells (Tables S18-19). As with the

RP cells, we rarely observed peaks within a 500bp distance when comparing BLIMP1

and H3K27me3 (Fig. S4B) or BLIMP1 and EZH2 (Fig. S4C) in the OPM-2 and NCI-

H929 cell lines. However, we observed a statistically significant overlap between

genes bound by BLIMP1 and H3K27me3 in NCI-H929 cells, but not OPM-2 cells

(Fig. S4D). Genes bound both by BLIMP1 and EZH2 were not statistically over-

represented in NCI-H929 cells (Fig. S4E). The above analyses reveal that the majority

of BLIMP1 and EZH2 binding to chromatin occurs at relatively distant sites both in

MM and WM cells. Thus, their direct regulation on chromatin is unlikely to be due to

a direct physical interaction.

The known DNA binding motif for BLIMP1 [59] was enriched by *de novo* motif

analysis in the BLIMP1 peaks for all three cell lines (Fig. S4F) and the BLIMP1

ChIPseq signals were enriched at proximal positions relative to their assigned

transcription start sites (TSSs) (Fig. S4G). Comparing the distribution of BLIMP1

peaks in OPM-2 and NCI-H929 to the RP cell line (Fig. S4H), showed that BLIMP1

binds to largely the same sites in WM and MM.

An analysis [54, 55] of genes assigned to H3K27me3 peaks for each cell line

revealed similar gene sets marked by H3K27me3 in all three cell lines (Fig.ure S5A).

Interestingly, TNFα and IL2/STAT5 signalling genes were amongst the most highly

enriched in the RP but not the myeloma cells. The myeloma cell lines displayed a

much stronger enrichment of H3K27me3 over TSSs than the RP cells (Fig. S5B),

indicating that H3K27me3 is more often present at gene distal sites in RP cells than

myeloma cells. EZH2 was also highly enriched just downstream of TSSs in NCI-

H929 cells (Fig. S5C). Correspondingly, we observed low enrichment of the

H3K27me3 mark in the OPM-2 and NCI-H929 cell lines over sites marked by

H3K27me3 in the RP cell line (Fig. S5D). Interestingly, *SMURF2* which can affect

EZH2 stability [53], was bound by BLIMP1 in all three cell lines and bore the

H3K27me3 mark in the RP cells as well as EZH2 in the NCI-H929 cell line (Fig.

S5E).

To identify the direct transcriptional targets of BLIMP1 and EZH2, we compared

our ChIPseq and transcriptome profiling data from the RP cell line. There were 231

and 120 genes associated with BLIMP1 binding that were either induced or repressed

upon BLIMP1 KD respectively (Fig. 4F-G). Conversely, 118 genes were both

induced upon tazemetostat treatment and associated with the H3K27me3 mark (Fig.

4H), but only 7 genes with decreased expression were marked by H3K27me3 (Fig.

4I). Overall, only 3% of genes marked by H3K27me3 were de-repressed following

tazemetostat treatment in these experiments, indicating that inhibition of EZH2's

catalytic activity alone is insufficient to activate most H3K27me3 targets over 48 h.

Meanwhile, approximately one third of BLIMP1-bound genes showed altered

expression upon BLIMP1 KD, indicating that BLIMP1 binding actively maintains

gene repression. Taken together, as BLIMP1 and H3K27me3 are largely present at

distinct sites from one another, and most of their overlapping effects on transcription

are likely through binding to the same genes at distinct sites, as well as BLIMP1

maintenance of EZH2 protein levels.

A subset of BLIMP1 targets are regulated via EZH2

A number of genes repressed by BLIMP1 bore both BLIMP1 and the H3K27me3

mark, such as *PIK3CD*, *POU2F2* (Fig. 4D) and *ZFP36L1* (Fig. 5A). Meanwhile,

others bore only the H3K27me3 mark, but not BLIMP1, including *RCAN3*,

TNFRSF14 and *CIITA* (Fig. 5B-D). Yet others such as the macrophage gene *TFEC*

[60] were bound by BLIMP1 alone (Fig. 5E). We therefore asked whether the genes

bearing the H3K27me3 mark are repressed by BLIMP1 via the maintenance of EZH2.

By overexpressing EZH2 in RP cells upon BLIMP1 KD, we performed RT-qPCR and

observed that repression of the genes *RCAN3* and *ZFP36L1* was restored (Fig. 5F) as

well as the repression of the immune inhibitory checkpoint ligand/receptor gene

TNFRSF14 [61] and the inhibitory receptor gene *HAVCR2* [62]. By comparison,

BLIMP1 binding targets not bearing the H3K27me3 mark such as *TFEC* were not

altered upon EZH2 restoration. Thus, a subset of BLIMP1 targets are regulated

through EZH2 maintenance and can be identified by the H3K27me3 mark, whereas

others are regulated independently of EZH2.

BLIMP1 represses transcription of immune surveillance and signalling

molecules in concert with EZH2

Further analysis of the highly enriched immune signalling genes differentially

expressed upon BLIMP1 KD identified three mechanistic categories. First, BLIMP1

represses the expression of genes encoding surface ligands that can activate T and NK

cells, including *ICOSLG*, *TNFSF9*, *CD48*, *MICA*, *CLEC2B*, *ICAM1* and *ITGAM*, and

MHC class II molecules including *HLA-DMA*, *HLA-DMB* and *CD1D* (Fig. 6A).

Second, BLIMP1 represses genes encoding both immune-checkpoint inhibitory

ligands and their corresponding receptors, including the recptor-ligand pairs

TNFRSF14 and *BTLA*, as well as *LAG3* and *LGALS3*. Furthermore, the inhibitory

receptor gene *LILRB1,* whose loss promotes immune escape in myeloma [63] is

repressed by BLIMP1. Additionally, the gene encoding the inhibitory ligand PD-L2 is

repressed, with BLIMP1 binding just downstream of *PDCDLG2* (Fig. S6A). Notably,

repression of inhibitory ligands and receptors could promote or inhibit escape from

immune surveillance. The third mechanistic category includes interferon and TNFα

signalling genes. The receptor-encoding genes *IFNGR1*, *IFNAR1*, *IFNLR1*,

TNFRSF1A and *TNFRSF1B* are de-repressed upon BLIMP1 KD, as well as

downstream players in interferon signalling, *JAK1*, *STAT1*, *STAT2*, *IRF9 IFIT1-3*,

OAS1-3, and TNF pathway members *MAP3K5*, *CASP8* and *CASP9*, which are also

apoptosis mediators (Fig. 3C). Beyond these categories, MHC class I genes, *HLA-A*

and *HLA-B* which can both mediate inhibition of NK-cell immune surveillance, are

induced by BLIMP1, in contrast to previous studies [58, 64].

Around half of the genes discussed above were also significantly changed with

tazemetostat treatment (Fig. S6B), including *CD48*, *LILRB1*, *LGALS3, PDCD1LG2*

and *STAT1*. Interestingly, while none of the surface molecule genes except for

PDCDLG2 were bound by BLIMP1, some were enriched for H3K27me3 (Fig. 6B).

Whereas the downstream signalling effectors, *IFIT2* and *STAT1*, were bound by

BLIMP1 (Fig. 6C), consistent with previous findings [65]. In addition, a number of

these differentially expressed genes did not bear either H3K27me3 or BLIMP1, and

their expression changes are therefore likely secondary effects downstream of EZH2

and BLIMP1. Taken together, BLIMP1 and EZH2 repress the transcription of genes

that encode mediators of killing by NK or T cells when expressed on target cells, NK-

and T-cell inhibitory receptors and their ligands, as well as cytokine receptors and

downstream signal propagation.

BLIMP1 and EZH2 confer evasion from NK cell-mediated cytotoxicity

The transcriptional changes above suggested that BLIMP1 and EZH2 were potentially

mediating escape from tumour immune surveillance [66]. We therefore hypothesised

that the alterations in gene expression upon the loss of BLIMP1 protein or EZH2

activity could lead to changes in NK cell-mediated cytotoxicity. We isolated NK cells

from human blood and co-cultured them with RP cells after BLIMP1 KD or treatment

with tazemetostat and measured the surface expression of the degranulation marker

LAMP-1 (also called CD107a) on NK cells by flow cytometry. This revealed a 1.5-

fold increase in frequency of LAMP-1$^+$ NK cells upon co-culture with *PmiR1*

compared to *NTmiR* RP cells, showing that the loss of BLIMP1 sensitises NK-cells

to activation by RP (Fig. 6D-E and S5D), however tazemetostat-treated RP cells did

not increase NK-cell degranulation. Strikingly though, both BLIMP1 KD and

tazemetostat treatment resulted in increased NK cell-mediated death of RP cells (Fig.

6F). Collectively, these data indicate that BLIMP1 and EZH2 drive the escape from

NK-cell immune surveillance in WM, with BLIMP1 both suppressing NK cell

activation and the resultant WM cell death, whereas EZH2 suppresses the cell death

response of WM cells.

Discussion

In this study we show for the first time that the plasma cell master regulator

BLIMP1 has a crucial role in WM cell survival and maintains the protein levels of the

histone methyltransferase EZH2, demonstrating a more complex functional

interaction between BLIMP1 and EZH2 than previously thought [17, 32-34].

Furthermore, we show that the factors mediate the evasion of immune surveillance, as

evidenced by the enhanced degranulation of NK cells upon loss of BLIMP1 in WM

cells, and enhanced NK cell-mediated WM cellular cytotoxicity upon either BLIMP1

KD or EZH2 inhibition.

Our finding that BLIMP1 promotes survival of WM cells provides new insight

into WM biology and potentially its aetiology. BLIMP1 is activated downstream of

MYD88 signalling in B cells [29], and BLIMP1 expression is increased in tumours

harbouring the MYD88^{L265P} activating mutation in WM [30]. However, heterozygous

deletions in the 6q locus containing *PRDM1* are increased in MYD88^{L265P} tumours

[24]. As high levels of BLIMP1 inhibit proliferation, and intermediate levels promote

survival and immunoglobulin (Ig) secretion [47, 67], our findings might imply that

the loss of one copy of the *PRDM1* gene subsequent to MYD88 constitutive

activation dampens the anti-proliferative effect of BLIMP1 while still maintaining its

positive effect on survival and Ig secretion. Indeed, we observed decreased cell

viability upon the loss of BLIMP1 even in MW cells that expresses low BLIMP1

levels. A complete loss of BLIMP1 during tumorigenesis has been shown to give rise

to B cell lymphomas in mice [21-23], but the loss of Ig secretion ability and plasma

cell differentiation would likely preclude WM tumour formation, with the subsequent

requirement for BLIMP1 in survival.

A first line of treatment in WM is rituximab therapy, targeting the B cell

specific surface molecule CD20 at least in part through NK-cell engagement [68].

However, the plasma cells remaining after this treatment present one of the biggest

challenges in WM therapy [69]. Futhermore, rituximab is not recommended for

patients exhibiting high serum IgM levels [70], due to the risks of an IgM 'flare'

following treatment [71]. This highlights the importance of the antibody-secreting

CD20^{low} or negative plasma cell compartment in WM [69, 72], which is likely

maintained by BLIMP1.

Interestingly, the requirement for BLIMP1 in mediating WM cell survival is

through other mechanisms than its effect on EZH2. While both MM and normal

plasma cells rely on BLIMP1 for their survival [18, 19, 73], EZH2 expression is

decreased in mature plasma cells [74, 75]. Nevertheless, many MM cell lines are

reliant on EZH2 for cytokine independent growth and thus their more aggressive

plasma cell leukemia phenotype [40, 75]. Our results indicate that the effects of

BLIMP1 on EZH2 levels might extend to myeloma, and perhaps other tumours where

the two factors are co-expressed, and warrants further study.

Immune evasion is most extensively studied in relation to solid tumours, but in

lymphomas, the paradigm is somewhat different. Lymphocytes interact with other

cells of the immune system not only when they are abnormal, such as in cancer, but

also to receive stimulatory and inhibitory signals, regulating their own functions [76].

In WM, secreted PD-1 ligands inhibit T cell responses [77], but other mechanisms of

immune evasion have not been investigated. Our results demonstrate for the first time

that BLIMP1 and EZH2 mediate evasion from NK cell surveillance, both in terms of

the suppression of NK cell activation downstream of BLIMP1 as well as resistance to

NK cell mediated cytotoxicity downstream of both factors. Based on our

transcriptomic profiling, BLIMP1 likely promotes immune evasion in WM by

repressing activating ligands and MHC class I molecules leading to "hiding" from

cytotoxic lymphocytes. Conversely, EZH2 is more likely to be de-sensitising WM

cells to external cytotoxicity-inducing signals perhaps by repressing pro-apoptotic

gene expression. Interestingly, in melanoma EZH2 promotes evasion from IFNγ-

producing cytotoxic T cells [78]. This finding together with our current study make

EZH2 an interesting target for chemical sensitisation of WM and other tumours to

immune-cell mediated killing.

In conclusion, we provide evidence for a crucial role of BLIMP1 in promoting

WM cell survival and we show that BLIMP1 maintains EZH2 protein levels in both

WM and MM cells. We further reveal a cooperation between BLIMP1 and EZH2 in

the repression of immune surveillance genes, and find that BLIMP1 and EZH2 confer

evasion from NK-cell mediated cytotoxicity. In future studies it would be important to

further investigate the intricate interplay between BLIMP1 and EZH2 in cancers to

expand their therapeutic potential.

Data availability

RNAseq and ChIPseq data are available at

http://www.ebi.ac.uk/arrayexpress/experiments/E-MTAB-7739 under the accession

code: E-MTAB-7739.

Acknowledgements

We thank Arnar Palsson and Dagny A. Runarsdottir for their advice on RNAseq data

analysis, Jona Freysdottir and Sunnefa Yeatman Omarsdottir for their advice on the

isolation of NK cells, and the group of Eirikur Steingrimsson for useful discussions

and advice on the project. We thank Dr. Roopsha Sengupta for providing critical

inputs and proof-reading the manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by project grants from the Icelandic Centre for Research

(RANNIS) (grant no. 140950-051) and the Icelandic Cancer Society, a doctoral

fellowship from the University of Iceland, and grant from the University of Iceland

Eggertssjodur and funds from the COST Project EpiChemBio.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Figure Legends

Figure 1: BLIMP1 promotes the survival of WM cells

(A) BLIMP1 expression in the myeloma cell line OPM-2 and in WM cell lines RP,

MW and BC as detected by immunofluorescence staining, with a bar graph

representing percentage of BLIMP1^{bright} cells. Scale bars represent 20μm. **(B)**

Representative immunoblot of BLIMP1 expression following 48h induction of RP

cells expressing *NTmiR*, *PmiR1* or *PmiR2*. **(C)** Immunofluorescence staining of

BLIMP1 following 48h induction in MW cells expressing *NTmiR* or *PmiR1*, with

CellProfiler quantification. **(D)** Percentage of live cells as determined by Trypan blue

exclusion assay in the RP and MW cell lines comparing *PmiR1* or *PmiR2* to *NTmiR*

following 48h of induction. **(E)** Immunoblot depicting lentiviral ectopic expression of

EGFP or BLIMP1-EGFP in the RP *PmiR1* cells, next to the RP *NTmiR* cells. **(F)** The

percentage of live RP *PmiR1* cells with ectopic EGFP or BLIMP1-EGFP expression

determined by the Trypan blue exclusion assay normalised to the percentage of live

cells following transduction of RP *NTmiR* cells with EGFP or BLIMP1-EGFP.

BLIMP1-EGFP compared to EGFP at Day 2. **(G)** Per cent reduction as measured by

resazurin assay for RP *PmiR1* cells with EGFP compared to BLIMP1-EGFP, five

days after miR induction. All p-values as determined by student's two-tailed t-test. *$*p$

≤ 0.05; **$p \leq 0.01$; *** $p \leq 0.001$; ****$p \leq 0.0001$. All graphs were plotted as the

mean of three independent experiments, with error bars representing standard

deviation.

Figure 2: BLIMP1 maintains EZH2 protein levels in WM cells

(A) Immunoblot of BLIMP1 and EZH2 expression following 48h induction of RP

cells expressing *NTmiR*, *PmiR1* or *PmiR2*. **(B)** Immunoblot of BLIMP1 and EZH2

expression following 48h induction of OPM-2 cells expressing *NTmiR* compared to

PmiR1. **(C)** Immunofluorescence staining of BLIMP1 and EZH2 expression

following 48 h induction of RP cells expressing *NTmiR* or *PmiR1*, transduced with

EGFP or BLIMP1-EGFP. Scale bars represent 20 µm. **(D)** RT-qPCR results depicting

relative mRNA expression of *PRDM1* and *EZH2* normalised to *PPIA* and *ACTB* in

the RP cell line and **(E)** in the OPM-2 cell line. **(F)** Immunoblot of BLIMP1 and

EZH2 expression following 24 h induction of RP cells expressing *NTmiR* or *PmiR1*

treated with DMSO or 5µM MG-132 for 4h. **(G)** The ratio of EZH2 expression

relative to actin for RP *PmiR1* cells divided by RP *NTmiR* cells treated with DMSO or

MG132 as in Fig. 2F. **(H)** Immunoblot of BLIMP1 and EZH2 expression following

48 h induction of RP *NTmiR* cells or *PmiR1* cells transduced with EGFP or EZH2-

EGFP. **(I)** Percentage of live cells as determined by Trypan blue exclusion assay in

the RP *PmiR1* cells transduced with EGFP or EZH2-EGFP. All p-values as

determined by student's two-tailed t-test. (ns) $p > 0.05$; *$p \leq 0.05$; **$p \leq 0.01$; *** $p \leq$

0.001; ****$p \leq 0.0001$. All graphs were plotted as the mean of three independent

experiments with error bars representing standard deviation. **(J)** Immunoblot of

histone extracts from RP cells stained for H3K27me3 with total H3 as a loading

control following 48h tazemetostat treatment at the indicated concentrations. The 0

concentration was treated with vehicle control, DMSO.

Figure 3: BLIMP1 KD and EZH2 inhibition induce overlapping transcriptional

changes

RNAseq results for **(A)** 48h-induced RP cells comparing *PmiR2* to *NTmiR*. Values are

plotted as $\log_2$ fold change vs. $-\log_{10}$(q-value). Red indicates those genes with a q-

value ≤ 0.05 and a $\log_2$ fold change ≤ -0.3 or ≥ 0.3. Heat maps depicting the Z-score

of the $\log_2$ fold change comparing *PmiR2* to *NTmiR* for three independent replicates

looking at **(B)** B cell genes and **(C)** apoptosis genes. **(D)** RNAseq results for RP cells

treated for 48h with 300nM tazemetostat compared to vehicle control (DMSO). **(E)**

Overlapping genes with increased expression following *PRDM1* KD or tazemetostat

treatment. **(F)** Overlapping genes with decreased expression following *PRDM1* KD or

tazemetostat treatment. Overlaps tested using Fisher's exact test. **(G)** Overlaps of

genes significantly induced by both BLIMP1 KD and tazemetostat with Hallmarks

gene sets from the molecular signatures database, showing the top 10 most

significantly overlapping gene sets.

Figure 4: BLIMP1 binds at a distance to the H3K27me3 mark

(A) Venn diagram of H3K27me3 and BLIMP1 peaks extended ±500 bp, showing

overlaps in these regions. (ns) not significant as determined by hypergeometric test.

Called peaks determined by overlap from peak calling from two independent

experiments. **(B)** Enrichment of signal from ChIPseq tracks ±3kb from the centre of

BLIMP1 binding sites. Data depicts representative experiment of two biological

replicates. **(C)** Venn diagram of genes assigned to H3K27me3 and BLIMP1 peaks

showing overlapping genes. p= 2.9e-25 as determined by Fisher's exact test. **(D)**

ChIPseq tracks for H3K27me3 and BLIMP1 in the RP cell line. Data represents

combination of reads from two independent experiments. **(E)** Enrichment of signal

from ChIPseq tracks ±10kb from the centre of BLIMP1 binding sites in the NCI-

H929 cell line. **(F)** Venn diagram depicting the overlap in genes with significantly

increased expression following BLIMP1 KD and genes assigned to BLIMP1 binding

sites. p= 7e-15, as determined by Fisher's exact test. **(G)** Venn diagram depicting the

overlap in genes with significantly decreased expression following BLIMP1 KD and

genes assigned to BLIMP1 binding sites. (ns) not significant as determined by

Fisher's exact test. **(H)** Venn diagram depicting genes with significantly increased

expression following tazemetostat treatment overlapping with genes assigned to

H3K27me3 peaks. p= 7.9e-9, as determined by Fisher's exact test. **(I)** Venn diagram

depicting genes with significantly decreased expression following tazemetostat

treatment overlapping with genes assigned to H3K27me3 peaks. (ns) not significant,

as determined by Fisher's exact test. All ChIPseq experiments were performed as two

biological replicates.

Figure 5: BLIMP1 regulates a subset of target genes via EZH2

ChIPseq tracks for H3K27me3 and BLIMP1 over the genes **(A)** *ZFP36L1*, **(B)**

RCAN3, **(C)** *TNFRSF14*, **(D)** *CIITA*, and **(E)** *TFEC*. **(F)** Bar graph depicting RT-

qPCR experiments in RP cells expressing *NTmiR* or *PmiR1* with EGFP or EZH2-

EGFP. The selected target genes bear peaks for either BLIMP1, H3K27me3 or both

factors. All p-values as determined by student's two-tailed t-test. (ns) $p > 0.05$; *$p \leq$

0.05; **$p \leq 0.01$; ****$p \leq 0.0001$. The bar graph was plotted as the mean of three

independent experiments with error bars representing standard deviation.

Figure 6: BLIMP1 and EZH2 promote WM tumour immune evasion

(A) Heat maps showing z-score of the log2 fold change for *PmiR2* compared to

NTmiR and in RP cells with three independent replicates looking at genes involved in

stimulation of T and NK cells, MHC molecules, inhibitory ligands and receptors, IFN

and TNF receptors and downstream signalling. ChIPseq tracks for H3K27me3 and

BLIMP1 in the RP cell line over **(B)** the immune surface molecule genes *HLA-B,*

MICA, LILRB1, NCR3LG1, TNFRSF1A, or **(C)** the downstream signalling genes,

IFIT2 and *STAT1*. Data represents a combination of reads from two independent

experiments. **(D)** Percentage CD56$^+$LAMP1$^+$ cells (Q2) representing degranulating

NK cells, as determined by flow cytometry following co-culture with RP cells with

NTmiR or *PmiR1*, or RP cells treated with DMSO or Tazemetostat. One

representative experiment is displayed. **(E)** Relative quantification of the

degranulation assay. **(F)** Cytotoxicity depicted in relative luminescence units (RLU),

as measured by adenylate kinase activity in the culture media following 4 h co-culture

of NK cells with RP cells expressing *NTmiR* or *PmiR1*, or RP cells treated with

DMSO or 1μM Tazemetostat. Cells were co-cultured at the effector:target ratio of

20:1. Results of the degranulation and cytotoxicity assays from four individual

donors, performed in two pairs on two separate occasions. All p-values as determined

by student's two-tailed t-test. (ns) $p > 0.05$; *$p \le 0.05$; **$p \le 0.01$; ****$p \le 0.0001$.

All graphs were plotted as the mean of three independent experiments with error bars

representing standard deviation.

References

1   Kyle RA, Larson DR, McPhail ED, Therneau TM, Dispenzieri A, Kumar S *et*

al. Fifty-Year Incidence of Waldenstrom Macroglobulinemia in Olmsted

County, Minnesota, From 1961 Through 2010: A Population-Based Study

With Complete Case Capture and Hematopathologic Review. *Mayo Clinic*

proceedings 2018; 93: 739-746.

2   Wang H, Chen Y, Li F, Delasalle K, Wang J, Alexanian R *et al*. Temporal and

geographic variations of Waldenstrom macroglobulinemia incidence: a

large population-based study. *Cancer* 2012; 118: 3793-3800.

3   Iwanaga M, Chiang CJ, Soda M, Lai MS, Yang YW, Miyazaki Y *et al*.

Incidence of lymphoplasmacytic lymphoma/Waldenstrom's

macroglobulinaemia in Japan and Taiwan population-based cancer

registries, 1996-2003. *International journal of cancer* 2014; 134: 174-180.

4   Brandefors L, Melin B, Lindh J, Lundqvist K, Kimby E. Prognostic factors

and primary treatment for Waldenström macroglobulinemia – a Swedish

Lymphoma Registry study. *British Journal of Haematology* 2018; 183:

564-577.

5   Vijay A, Gertz MA. Waldenström macroglobulinemia. *Blood* 2007; 109:

5096-5103.

6   Treon SP. How I treat Waldenström macroglobulinemia. *Blood* 2009; 114:

2375.

7   Treon SP, Xu L, Yang G, Zhou Y, Liu X, Cao Y *et al*. MYD88 L265P Somatic

Mutation in Waldenström's Macroglobulinemia. *New England Journal of*

Medicine 2012; 367: 826-833.

8   Xu L, Hunter ZR, Yang G, Cao Y, Liu X, Manning R *et al*. Detection of MYD88

L265P in peripheral blood of patients with Waldenström's

Macroglobulinemia and IgM monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined

significance. *Leukemia* (Original Article) 2014; 28: 1698.

9   Yu X, Li W, Deng Q, Li L, Hsi ED, Young KH *et al.* MYD88 L265P Mutation in

643   Lymphoid Malignancies. *Cancer Research* (10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-18-

644   0215) 2018.

646   10   Ren B, Chee KJ, Kim TH, Maniatis T. PRDI-BF1/Blimp-1 repression is

647   mediated by corepressors of the Groucho family of proteins. *Genes &*

648   *Development* 1999; 13: 125-137.

650   11   Yu J, Angelin-Duclos C, Greenwood J, Liao J, Calame K. Transcriptional

651   Repression by Blimp-1 (PRDI-BF1) Involves Recruitment of Histone

652   Deacetylase. *Molecular and Cellular Biology* 2000; 20: 2592-2603.

654   12   Győry I, Wu J, Fejér G, Seto E, Wright KL. PRDI-BF1 recruits the histone H3

655   methyltransferase G9a in transcriptional silencing. *Nature Immunology*

656   (Article) 2004; 5: 299.

658   13   Piskurich JF, Lin KI, Lin Y, Wang Y, Ting JPY, Calame K. BLIMP-1 mediates

659   extinction of major histocompatibility class II transactivator expression in

660   plasma cells. *Nature Immunology* (Article) 2000; 1: 526.

662   14   Tooze RM, Stephenson S, Doody GM. Repression of IFN-γ Induction of

663   Class II Transactivator: A Role for PRDM1/Blimp-1 in Regulation of

664   Cytokine Signaling. *The Journal of Immunology* 2006; 177: 4584-4593.

15   Shaffer AL, Lin K-I, Kuo TC, Yu X, Hurt EM, Rosenwald A *et al.* Blimp-1

Orchestrates Plasma Cell Differentiation by Extinguishing the Mature B

Cell Gene Expression Program. *Immunity* 2002; 17: 51-62.

16   Tellier J, Shi W, Minnich M, Liao Y, Crawford S, Smyth GK *et al.* Blimp-1

controls plasma cell function through the regulation of immunoglobulin

secretion and the unfolded protein response. *Nature Immunology*

(Article) 2016; 17: 323.

17   Minnich M, Tagoh H, Bönelt P, Axelsson E, Fischer M, Cebolla B *et al.*

Multifunctional role of the transcription factor Blimp-1 in coordinating

plasma cell differentiation. *Nature Immunology* (Article) 2016; 17: 331.

18   Lin F-R, Kuo H-K, Ying H-Y, Yang F-H, Lin K-I. Induction of Apoptosis in

Plasma Cells by B Lymphocyte–Induced Maturation Protein-1

Knockdown. *Cancer Research* (10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-07-1868) 2007;

67: 11914.

19   Shapiro-Shelef M, Lin K-I, McHeyzer-Williams LJ, Liao J, McHeyzer-

Williams MG, Calame K. Blimp-1 Is Required for the Formation of

Immunoglobulin Secreting Plasma Cells and Pre-Plasma Memory B Cells.

Immunity 2003; 19: 607-620.

20 Kallies A, Hasbold J, Fairfax K, Pridans C, Emslie D, McKenzie BS *et al.*

Initiation of Plasma-Cell Differentiation Is Independent of the

Transcription Factor Blimp-1. *Immunity* 2007; 26: 555-566.

21 Pasqualucci L, Compagno M, Houldsworth J, Monti S, Grunn A, Nandula SV

et al. Inactivation of the PRDM1/BLIMP1 gene in diffuse large B cell

lymphoma. *The Journal of Experimental Medicine* 2006; 203: 311.

22 Mandelbaum J, Bhagat G, Tang H, Mo T, Brahmachary M, Shen Q *et al.*

BLIMP1 is a Tumor Suppressor Gene Frequently Disrupted in Activated B

Cell-like Diffuse Large B Cell Lymphoma. *Cancer cell* 2010; 18: 568-579.

23 Calado DP, Zhang B, Srinivasan L, Sasaki Y, Seagal J, Unitt C *et al.*

Constitutive Canonical NF-κB Activation Cooperates with Disruption of

BLIMP1 in the Pathogenesis of Activated B Cell-like Diffuse Large Cell

Lymphoma. *Cancer Cell* 2010; 18: 580-589.

24 Schop RFJ, Kuehl WM, Van Wier SA, Ahmann GJ, Price-Troska T, Bailey RJ

et al. Waldenström macroglobulinemia neoplastic cells lack

immunoglobulin heavy chain locus translocations but have frequent 6q

deletions. *Blood* (10.1182/blood.V100.8.2996) 2002; 100: 2996.

25 Roberts MJ, Chadburn A, Ma S, Hyjek E, Peterson LC. Nuclear Protein

Dysregulation in Lymphoplasmacytic Lymphoma/Waldenström

Macroglobulinemia. *American Journal of Clinical Pathology* 2013; 139:

210-219.

26   Zhou Y, Liu X, Xu L, Hunter ZR, Cao Y, Yang G *et al.* Transcriptional

repression of plasma cell differentiation is orchestrated by aberrant over-

expression of the ETS factor SPIB in Waldenström macroglobulinaemia.

British Journal of Haematology 2014; 166: 677-689.

27   Savitsky D, Calame K. B-1 B lymphocytes require Blimp-1 for

immunoglobulin secretion. *The Journal of Experimental Medicine* 2006;

203: 2305.

28   Morgan MAJ, Magnusdottir E, Kuo TC, Tunyaplin C, Harper J, Arnold SJ *et*

al. Blimp-1/Prdm1 Alternative Promoter Usage during Mouse

Development and Plasma Cell Differentiation. *Molecular and Cellular*

Biology (10.1128/MCB.00670-09) 2009; 29: 5813.

29   Pasare C, Medzhitov R. Control of B-cell responses by Toll-like receptors.

Nature 2005; 438: 364.

30   Hunter ZR, Xu L, Yang G, Tsakmaklis N, Vos JM, Liu X *et al.* Transcriptome

sequencing reveals a profile that corresponds to genomic variants in

Waldenström macroglobulinemia. *Blood* (10.1182/blood-2016-03-

708263) 2016; 128: 827-838.

31   Treon SP, Cao Y, Xu L, Yang G, Liu X, Hunter ZR. Somatic mutations in

MYD88 and CXCR4 are determinants of clinical presentation and overall

survival in Waldenström macroglobulinemia. *Blood* 2014; 123: 2791.

32   Guo M, Price MJ, Patterson DG, Barwick BG, Haines RR, Kania AK *et al.*

EZH2 Represses the B Cell Transcriptional Program and Regulates

Antibody-Secreting Cell Metabolism and Antibody Production. *The Journal*

of Immunology 2018; 200: 1039-1052.

33   Magnúsdóttir E, Dietmann S, Murakami K, Günesdogan U, Tang F, Bao S *et*

al. A tripartite transcription factor network regulates primordial germ cell

specification in mice. *Nature Cell Biology* (Article) 2013; 15: 905.

34   Kurimoto K, Yabuta Y, Hayashi K, Ohta H, Kiyonari H, Mitani T *et al.*

Quantitative Dynamics of Chromatin Remodeling during Germ Cell

Specification from Mouse Embryonic Stem Cells. *Cell Stem Cell* 2015; 16:

517-532.

35   Müller J, Hart CM, Francis NJ, Vargas ML, Sengupta A, Wild B *et al.* Histone

Methyltransferase Activity of a Drosophila Polycomb Group Repressor

Complex. *Cell* 2002; 111: 197-208.

36   Czermin B, Melfi R, McCabe D, Seitz V, Imhof A, Pirrotta V. Drosophila

Enhancer of Zeste/ESC Complexes Have a Histone H3 Methyltransferase

Activity that Marks Chromosomal Polycomb Sites. *Cell* 2002; 111: 185-

196.

37   Carroll D, Erhardt S, Pagani M, Barton SC, Surani MA, Jenuwein T. The

Polycomb-Group Gene Ezh2 Is Required for Early Mouse Development.

Molecular and Cellular Biology 2001; 21: 4330.

38   Morin RD, Johnson NA, Severson TM, Mungall AJ, An J, Goya R *et al.*

Somatic mutations altering EZH2 (Tyr641) in follicular and diffuse large

B-cell lymphomas of germinal-center origin. *Nature Genetics* 2010; 42:

181.

39   Pawlyn C, Bright MD, Buros AF, Stein CK, Walters Z, Aronson LI *et al.*

Overexpression of EZH2 in multiple myeloma is associated with poor

prognosis and dysregulation of cell cycle control. *Blood Cancer Journal*

(Original Article) 2017; 7: e549.

40   Hernando H, Gelato KA, Lesche R, Beckmann G, Koehr S, Otto S *et al.* EZH2

Inhibition Blocks Multiple Myeloma Cell Growth through Upregulation of

Epithelial Tumor Suppressor Genes. *Molecular Cancer Therapeutics* 2016;

15: 287.

41   Roccaro AM, Sacco A, Jia X, Azab AK, Maiso P, Ngo HT *et al.* microRNA-

dependent modulation of histone acetylation in Waldenstrom

macroglobulinemia. *Blood* 2010: blood-2010-2001-265686.

42   Boyer LA, Lee TI, Cole MF, Johnstone SE, Levine SS, Zucker JP *et al.* Core
      Transcriptional Regulatory Circuitry in Human Embryonic Stem Cells. *Cell*
      2005; 122: 947-956.

43   Magnúsdóttir E, Kalachikov S, Mizukoshi K, Savitsky D, Ishida-Yamamoto
      A, Panteleyev AA *et al.* Epidermal terminal differentiation depends on B
      lymphocyte-induced maturation protein-1. *Proceedings of the National
      Academy of Sciences* (10.1073/pnas.0707323104) 2007; 104: 14988.

44   Shaffer AL, Emre NCT, Lamy L, Ngo VN, Wright G, Xiao W *et al.* IRF4
      addiction in multiple myeloma. *Nature* 2008; 454: 226.

45   Garcia JF, Roncador G, Garcia JF, Sanz AI, Maestre L, Lucas E *et al.*
      PRDM1/BLIMP-1 expression in multiple B and T-cell lymphoma.
      Haematologica 2006; 91: 467.

46   Lois C, Hong EJ, Pease S, Brown EJ, Baltimore D. Germline transmission
      and tissue-specific expression of transgenes delivered by lentiviral
      vectors. *Science* 2002; 295: 868-872.

47   Kallies A, Hasbold J, Tarlinton DM, Dietrich W, Corcoran LM, Hodgkin PD
      et al. Plasma Cell Ontogeny Defined by Quantitative Changes in Blimp-1
      Expression. *The Journal of Experimental Medicine* 2004; 200: 967.

48   Piskurich JF, Lin K-I, Lin Y, Wang Y, Ting JP-Y, Calame K. BLIMP-1

mediates extinction of major histocompatibility class II transactivator

expression in plasma cells. *Nature immunology* 2000; 1: 526.

49   Chen H, Gilbert CA, Hudson JA, Bolick SC, Wright KL, Piskurich JF. Positive

regulatory domain I-binding factor 1 mediates repression of the MHC

class II transactivator (CIITA) type IV promoter. *Molecular Immunology*

2007; 44: 1461-1470.

50   Lin K-I, Angelin-Duclos C, Kuo TC, Calame K. Blimp-1-dependent

repression of Pax-5 is required for differentiation of B cells to

immunoglobulin M-secreting plasma cells. *Molecular and cellular biology*

2002; 22: 4771-4780.

51   Lin Y, Wong K-k, Calame K. Repression of c-myc transcription by Blimp-1,

an inducer of terminal B cell differentiation. *Science* 1997; 276: 596-599.

52   Gertz MA. Waldenström macroglobulinemia: 2019 update on diagnosis,

risk stratification, and management. *American Journal of Hematology*

2019; 94: 266-276.

53   Yu YL, Chou RH, Shyu WC, Hsieh SC, Wu CS, Chiang SY *et al.* Smurf2‐

mediated degradation of EZH2 enhances neuron differentiation and

improves functional recovery after ischaemic stroke. *EMBO Molecular*

Medicine 2013; 5: 531.

54   Subramanian A, Tamayo P, Mootha VK, Mukherjee S, Ebert BL, Gillette MA

et al. Gene set enrichment analysis: A knowledge-based approach for

interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. *Proceedings of the National*

Academy of Sciences 2005; 102: 15545.

55   Liberzon A, Birger C, Thorvaldsdottir H, Ghandi M, Mesirov JP, Tamayo P.

The Molecular Signatures Database (MSigDB) hallmark gene set

collection. *Cell systems* 2015; 1: 417-425.

56   Elias S, Robertson EJ, Bikoff EK, Mould AW. Blimp-1/PRDM1 is a critical

regulator of Type III Interferon responses in mammary epithelial cells.

Scientific reports 2018; 8: 237-237.

57   Hung KH, Su ST, Chen CY, Hsu PH, Huang SY, Wu WJ *et al*. Aiolos

collaborates with Blimp-1 to regulate the survival of multiple myeloma

cells. *Cell Death And Differentiation* (Original Paper) 2016; 23: 1175.

58   Doody GM, Stephenson S, McManamy C, Tooze RM. PRDM1/BLIMP-1

Modulates IFN-γ-Dependent Control of the MHC Class I Antigen-

Processing and Peptide-Loading Pathway. *The Journal of Immunology*

(10.4049/jimmunol.179.11.7614) 2007; 179: 7614.

59   Kuo TC, Calame KL. B Lymphocyte-Induced Maturation Protein (Blimp)-1,

IFN Regulatory Factor (IRF)-1, and IRF-2 Can Bind to the Same Regulatory

Sites. *The Journal of Immunology* 2004; 173: 5556.

60   Rehli M, Lichanska A, Cassady AI, Ostrowski MC, Hume DA. TFEC Is a

Macrophage-Restricted Member of the Microphthalmia-TFE Subfamily of

Basic Helix-Loop-Helix Leucine Zipper Transcription Factors. *The Journal*

of Immunology 1999; 162: 1559.

61   Steinberg MW, Cheung TC, Ware CF. The signaling networks of the

herpesvirus entry mediator (TNFRSF14) in immune regulation.

Immunological Reviews 2011; 244: 169-187.

62   Anderson Ana C, Joller N, Kuchroo Vijay K. Lag-3, Tim-3, and TIGIT: Co-

inhibitory Receptors with Specialized Functions in Immune Regulation.

Immunity 2016; 44: 989-1004.

63   Lozano E, Díaz T, Mena M-P, Suñe G, Calvo X, Calderón M *et al.* Loss of the

Immune Checkpoint CD85j/LILRB1 on Malignant Plasma Cells

Contributes to Immune Escape in Multiple Myeloma. *The Journal of*

Immunology 2018: ji1701622.

64   Mould AW, Morgan MAJ, Nelson AC, Bikoff EK, Robertson EJ.

Blimp1/Prdm1 Functions in Opposition to Irf1 to Maintain Neonatal

884   Tolerance during Postnatal Intestinal Maturation. *PLOS Genetics* 2015; 11:

885   e1005375.

887 65 Elias S, Robertson EJ, Bikoff EK, Mould AW. Blimp-1/PRDM1 is a critical

888   regulator of Type III Interferon responses in mammary epithelial cells.

889   *Scientific Reports* 2018; 8: 237.

891 66 de Charette M, Houot R. Hide or defend, the two strategies of lymphoma

892   immune evasion: potential implications for immunotherapy.

893   *Haematologica* 2018; 103: 1256.

895 67 Nutt SL, Fairfax KA, Kallies A. BLIMP1 guides the fate of effector B and T

896   cells. *Nature Reviews Immunology* 2007; 7: 923.

898 68 Cartron G, Watier H, Golay J, Solal-Celigny P. From the bench to the

899   bedside: ways to improve rituximab efficacy. *Blood* 2004; 104: 2635.

901 69 Barakat FH, Medeiros LJ, Wei EX, Konoplev S, Lin P, Jorgensen JL. Residual

902   Monotypic Plasma Cells in Patients With Waldenström

903   Macroglobulinemia After Therapy. *American Journal of Clinical Pathology*

904   2011; 135: 365-373.

906 70 Gavriatopoulou M, Musto P, Caers J, Merlini G, Kastritis E, van de Donk N

907   *et al*. European myeloma network recommendations on diagnosis and

management of patients with rare plasma cell dyscrasias. *Leukemia* 2018;

32: 1883-1898.

71 Ghobrial IM, Fonseca R, Greipp PR, Blood E, Rue M, Vesole DH *et al.* Initial

immunoglobulin M 'flare' after rituximab therapy in patients diagnosed

with Waldenstrom macroglobulinemia. *Cancer* 2004; 101: 2593-2598.

72 Varghese AM, Rawstron AC, Ashcroft AJ, Moreton P, Owen RG. Assessment

of Bone Marrow Response in Waldenström's Macroglobulinemia. *Clinical*

Lymphoma and Myeloma 2009; 9: 53-55.

73 Shapiro-Shelef M, Lin K-I, Savitsky D, Liao J, Calame K. Blimp-1 is required

for maintenance of long-lived plasma cells in the bone marrow. *The*

Journal of Experimental Medicine 2005; 202: 1471.

74 Zhan F, Tian E, Bumm K, Smith R, Barlogie B, Shaughnessy J. Gene

expression profiling of human plasma cell differentiation and

classification of multiple myeloma based on similarities to distinct stages

of late-stage B-cell development. *Blood* 2003; 101: 1128.

75 Croonquist PA, Van Ness B. The polycomb group protein enhancer of

zeste homolog 2 (EZH2) is an oncogene that influences myeloma cell

growth and the mutant ras phenotype. *Oncogene* (Original Paper) 2005;

24: 6269.

76   de Charette M, Houot R. Hide or defend, the two strategies of lymphoma

immune evasion: potential implications for immunotherapy.

Haematologica 2018; 103: 1256-1268.

77   Jalali S, Price-Troska T, Paludo J, Villasboas J, Kim H-J, Yang Z-Z *et al.*

Soluble PD-1 ligands regulate T-cell function in Waldenstrom

macroglobulinemia. *Blood Advances* 2018; 2: 1985.

78   Zingg D, Arenas-Ramirez N, Sahin D, Rosalia RA, Antunes AT, Haeusel J *et*

al. The Histone Methyltransferase Ezh2 Controls Mechanisms of Adaptive

Resistance to Tumor Immunotherapy. *Cell Reports* 2017; 20: 854-867.

Figure 1

Figure 1: BLIMP1 promotes the survival of WM cells

Figure 1: BLIMP1 promotes the survival of WM cells
(A) BLIMP1 expression in the myeloma cell line OPM-2 and in WM cell lines RP, MW and BC as detected by immunofluorescence staining, with a bar graph representing percentage of BLIMP1bright cells. Scale bars represent 20μm. (B) Representative immunoblot of BLIMP1 expression following 48h induction of RP cells expressing NTmiR, PmiR1 or PmiR2. (C) Immunofluorescence staining of BLIMP1 following 48h induction in MW cells expressing NTmiR or PmiR1, with CellProfiler quantification. (D) Percentage of live cells as determined by Trypan blue exclusion assay in the RP and MW cell lines comparing PmiR1 or PmiR2 to NTmiR following 48h of induction. (E) Immunoblot depicting lentiviral ectopic expression of EGFP or BLIMP1-EGFP in the RP PmiR1 cells, next to the RP NTmiR cells. (F) The percentage of live RP PmiR1 cells with ectopic EGFP or BLIMP1-EGFP expression determined by the Trypan blue exclusion assay normalised to the percentage of live cells following transduction of RP NTmiR cells with EGFP or BLIMP1-EGFP. BLIMP1-EGFP compared to EGFP at Day 2. (G) Per cent reduction as measured by resazurin assay for RP PmiR1 cells with EGFP compared to BLIMP1-EGFP, five days after miR induction. All p-values as determined by student's two-tailed t-test. *p ≤ 0.05; **p ≤ 0.01; *** p ≤ 0.001; ****p ≤ 0.0001. All graphs were plotted as the mean of three independent experiments, with error bars representing standard deviation.

Figure 2

Figure 2: BLIMP1 maintains EZH2 protein levels in WM cells

(A) Immunoblot of BLIMP1 and EZH2 expression following 48h induction of RP cells expressing NTmiR, PmiR1 or PmiR2. (B) Immunoblot of BLIMP1 and EZH2 expression following 48h induction of OPM-2 cells expressing NTmiR compared to PmiR1. (C) Immunofluorescence staining of BLIMP1 and EZH2 expression following 48 h induction of RP cells expressing NTmiR or PmiR1, transduced with EGFP or BLIMP1-EGFP. Scale bars represent 20 µm. (D) RT-qPCR results depicting relative mRNA expression of PRDM1 and EZH2 normalised to PPIA and ACTB in the RP cell line and (E) in the OPM-2 cell line. (F) Immunoblot of BLIMP1 and EZH2 expression following 24 h induction of RP cells expressing NTmiR or PmiR1 treated with DMSO or 5µM MG-132 for 4h. (G) The ratio of EZH2 expression relative to actin for RP PmiR1 cells divided by RP NTmiR cells treated with DMSO or MG132 as in Fig. 2F. (H) Immunoblot of BLIMP1 and EZH2 expression following 48 h induction of RP NTmiR cells or PmiR1 cells transduced with EGFP or EZH2-EGFP. (I) Percentage of live cells as determined by Trypan blue exclusion assay in the RP PmiR1 cells transduced with EGFP or EZH2-EGFP. All p-values as determined by student's two-tailed t-test. (ns) p > 0.05; *p ≤ 0.05; **p ≤ 0.01; *** p ≤ 0.001; ****p ≤ 0.0001. All graphs were plotted as the mean of three independent experiments with error bars representing standard deviation. (J) Immunoblot of histone extracts from RP cells stained for H3K27me3 with total H3 as a loading control following 48h tazemetostat treatment at the indicated concentrations. The 0 concentration was treated with vehicle control, DMSO.

Figure 3

A

B

C

Row Z−Score

D

E

BLIMP1 KD

Taz

4076   241

p = 1.8e-37

F

BLIMP1 KD

Taz

3564

p = 0.056

G

−log10 qval

INTERFERON_GAMMA_RESPONSE
TNFA_SIGNALING_VIA_NFKB
INTERFERON_ALPHA_RESPONSE
INFLAMMATORY_RESPONSE
APOPTOSIS
IL6_JAK_STAT3_SIGNALING
ALLOGRAFT_REJECTION
MYOGENESIS
APICAL_JUNCTION
COMPLEMENT

Figure 3: BLIMP1 KD and EZH2 inhibition induce overlapping transcriptional changes

RNAseq results for (A) 48h-induced RP cells comparing PmiR2 to NTmiR. Values are plotted as log2 fold change vs. –log10(q-value). Red indicates those genes with a q-value ≤ 0.05 and a log2 fold change ≤ -0.3 or ≥ 0.3. Heat maps depicting the Z-score of the log2 fold change comparing PmiR2 to NTmiR for three independent replicates looking at (B) B cell genes and (C) apoptosis genes. (D) RNAseq results for RP cells treated for 48h with 300nM tazemetostat compared to vehicle control (DMSO). (E) Overlapping genes with increased expression following PRDM1 KD or tazemetostat treatment. (F) Overlapping genes with decreased expression following PRDM1 KD or tazemetostat treatment. Overlaps tested using Fisher's exact test. (G) Overlaps of genes significantly induced by both BLIMP1 KD and tazemetostat with Hallmarks gene sets from the molecular signatures database, showing the top 10 most significantly overlapping gene sets.

Figure 4

Figure 4: BLIMP1 binds at a distance to the H3K27me3 mark

(A) Venn diagram of H3K27me3 and BLIMP1 peaks extended ±500 bp, showing overlaps in these regions. (ns) not significant as determined by hypergeometric test. Called peaks determined by overlap from peak calling from two independent experiments. (B) Enrichment of signal from ChIPseq tracks ±3kb from the centre of BLIMP1 binding sites. Data depicts representative experiment of two biological replicates. (C) Venn diagram of genes assigned to H3K27me3 and BLIMP1 peaks showing overlapping genes. p= 2.9e-25 as determined by Fisher's exact test. (D) ChIPseq tracks for H3K27me3 and BLIMP1 in the RP cell line. Data represents combination of reads from two independent experiments. (E) Enrichment of signal from ChIPseq tracks ±10kb from the centre of BLIMP1 binding sites in the NCI-H929 cell line. (F) Venn diagram depicting the overlap in genes with significantly increased expression following BLIMP1 KD and genes assigned to BLIMP1 binding sites. p= 7e-15, as determined by Fisher's exact test. (G) Venn diagram depicting the overlap in genes with significantly decreased expression following BLIMP1 KD and genes assigned to BLIMP1 binding sites. (ns) not significant as determined by Fisher's exact test. (H) Venn diagram depicting genes with significantly increased expression following tazemetostat treatment overlapping with genes assigned to H3K27me3 peaks. p= 7.9e-9, as determined by Fisher's exact test. (I) Venn diagram depicting genes with significantly decreased expression following tazemetostat treatment overlapping with genes assigned to H3K27me3 peaks. (ns) not significant, as determined by Fisher's exact test. All ChIPseq experiments were performed as two biological replicates.

Figure 5

Figure 5: BLIMP1 regulates a subset of target genes via EZH2

ChIPseq tracks for H3K27me3 and BLIMP1 over the genes (A) ZFP36L1, (B) RCAN3, (C) TNFRSF14, (D) CIITA, and (E) TFEC. (F) Bar graph depicting RT-qPCR experiments in RP cells expressing NTmiR or PmiR1 with EGFP or EZH2-EGFP. The selected target genes bear peaks for either BLIMP1, H3K27me3 or both factors. All p-values as determined by student's two-tailed t-test. (ns) $p > 0.05$; *$p \leq 0.05$; **$p \leq 0.01$; ****$p \leq 0.0001$. The bar graph was plotted as the mean of three independent experiments with error bars representing standard deviation.

Figure 6

Figure 6: BLIMP1 and EZH2 promote WM tumour immune evasion

(A) Heat maps showing z-score of the log2 fold change for PmiR2 compared to NTmiR and in RP cells with three independent replicates looking at genes involved in stimulation of T and NK cells, MHC molecules, inhibitory ligands and receptors, IFN and TNF receptors and downstream signalling. ChIPseq tracks for H3K27me3 and BLIMP1 in the RP cell line over (B) the immune surface molecule genes HLA-B, MICA, LILRB1, NCR3LG1, TNFRSF1A, or (C) the downstream signalling genes, IFIT2 and STAT1. Data represents a combination of reads from two independent experiments. (D) Percentage CD56+LAMP1+ cells (Q2) representing degranulating NK cells, as determined by flow cytometry following co-culture with RP cells with NTmiR or PmiR1, or RP cells treated with DMSO or Tazemetostat. One representative experiment is displayed. (E) Relative quantification of the degranulation assay. (F) Cytotoxicity depicted in relative luminescence units (RLU), as measured by adenylate kinase activity in the culture media following 4 h co-culture of NK cells with RP cells expressing NTmiR or PmiR1, or RP cells treated with DMSO or 1μM Tazemetostat. Cells were co-cultured at the effector:target ratio of 20:1. Results of the degranulation and cytotoxicity assays from four individual donors, performed in two pairs on two separate occasions. All p-values as determined by student's two-tailed t-test. (ns) p > 0.05; *p ≤ 0.05; **p ≤ 0.01; ****p ≤ 0.0001. All graphs were plotted as the mean of three independent experiments with error bars representing standard deviation.