Reductive TCA cycle enzymes and reductive amino acid synthesis pathways contribute to

electron balance in a *Rhodospirillum rubrum* Calvin cycle mutant

Alexandra L. McCully[1,2†], Maureen C. Onyeziri[1†], Breah LaSarre[1], Jennifer R. Gliessman[1],

and James B. McKinlay[1]*

[1]Department of Biology, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN

[2] Current address: Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Stanford University, CA

[†]Equal contributions.

*Corresponding author, jmckinla@indiana.edu

Keywords: electron balance, Calvin cycle, reductive tricarboxylic acid cycle, *Rhodospirillum*
rubrum, Rhodopseudomonas palustris, purple nonsulfur bacteria

Abstract

Purple nonsulfur bacteria (PNSB) use light for energy and organic substrates for carbon and

electrons when growing photoheterotrophically. This lifestyle generates more reduced electron

carriers than are required for biosynthesis, even during consumption of some of the most

oxidized organic substrates like malate and fumarate. Excess reduced electron carriers must be

oxidized for photoheterotrophic growth to occur. Diverse PNSB commonly rely on the CO_2-

fixing Calvin cycle to oxidize excess reduced electron carriers. Some PNSB also produce H_2 or

reduce terminal electron acceptors as alternatives to the Calvin cycle. *Rhodospirillum rubrum*

Calvin cycle mutants defy this trend by growing phototrophically on malate or fumarate without

H_2 production or access to terminal electron acceptors. We used ^{13}C-tracer experiments to

examine how a *Rs. rubrum* Calvin cycle mutant maintains electron balance under such

conditions. We detected the reversal of some TCA cycle enzymes, which carried reductive flux

from malate or fumarate to α-ketoglutarate. This pathway and the reductive synthesis of amino

acids derived from α-ketoglutarate are likely important for electron balance, as supplementing

the growth medium with α-ketoglutarate-derived amino acids prevented *Rs. rubrum* Calvin cycle

mutant growth unless a terminal electron acceptor was provided. Flux estimates also suggested

that the Calvin cycle mutant preferentially synthesized isoleucine using the reductive threonine-

dependent pathway instead of the less-reductive citramalate-dependent pathway. Collectively,

our results suggest that alternative biosynthetic pathways can contribute to electron balance

within the constraints of a relatively constant biomass composition.

Introduction

Purple nonsulfur bacteria (PNSB) are a metabolically versatile group that are often

cultured under anaerobic conditions that support photoheterotrophic growth, wherein light is

used for energy and organic compounds are used for carbon and electrons. This lifestyle presents

a challenge in maintaining electron balance, as oxidative metabolic pathways generate an excess

of reduced electron carriers (i.e., reductant) (1, 2). Oxidation of excess reductant is essential for

growth and is often coupled to CO_2 fixation in the Calvin cycle. This is true even for growth on

substrates that are more oxidized than the average composition of cellular biomass, such as

acetate, succinate, malate, and fumarate (Table 1) (3). Calvin cycle mutants are generally

incapable of photoheterotrophic growth unless another means of electron disposal is possible,

such as the reduction of terminal electron acceptors, like dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) (4-7), or H_2

production via nitrogenase (3, 8-11). Such trends have been observed for several PNSB

including *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* (4, 5, 7, 11), *Rhodobacter capsulatus* (6, 12), and

Rhodopseudomonas palustris (3, 8-10). An exception to this general observation is

Rhodospirillum rubrum, for which Calvin cycle mutants grow photoheterotrophically on malate

and fumarate without access to terminal electron acceptors and without producing H_2 (9, 13).

However, a terminal electron acceptor was still required by *Rs. rubrum* Calvin cycle mutants for

phototrophic growth on succinate, a more reduced substrate (Table 1) (9). The mechanism by

which *Rs. rubrum* Calvin cycle mutants maintain electron balance during phototrophic growth on

malate or fumarate is unknown, but the fact that growth only occurs on these relatively oxidized

substrates suggests that there is an inherent constraint on the amount of excess reducing

equivalents that can be dealt with.

Alternative central metabolic pathways that could maintain electron balance during

phototrophic growth in the absence of the Calvin cycle have been explored in silico (16), and

some have been ruled out. For example, phototrophic growth by Calvin cycle mutants on malate

could be possible if the cells produced formate (16). However, we previously found that *Rs.*

rubrum Calvin cycle mutants did not excrete formate, nor did they accumulate

polyhydroxybutyrate, an electron rich storage polymer that could potentially help maintain

electron balance (9). There is also evidence that an alternative CO_2-fixing pathway could be

involved, as an *Rs. rubrum* Calvin cycle mutant was observed to grow when CO_2 was the sole

carbon source (17). In *Rb. sphaeroides* and *Rs. rubrum*, the CO_2-fixing ethylmalonyl-CoA

pathway can substitute for the Calvin cycle to achieve electron balance during phototrophic

growth on acetate (18, 19). However, during phototrophic growth on malate, the ethylmalonyl-

CoA pathway is not expected to be active because malate is also the product of the pathway and

such a cycle would therefore be oxidative overall (16). Another CO_2-fixing mechanism that has

been proposed to participate in electron balance in *Rs. rubrum* Calvin cycle mutants is the partial

reversal of the TCA cycle, specifically, the reductive activity of α-ketoglutarate (αKG) synthase

that combines succinyl-CoA + CO_2 + reductant to form αKG (16, 20, 21).

Here we used ^{13}C-tracer experiments to gain insight into electron-balancing mechanisms

in a *Rs. rubrum* Calvin cycle mutant. Labeling patterns suggested net reductive TCA flux to αKG

from fumarate and malate. The reductive TCA cycle branch feeds into canonical reductive

pathways for the synthesis of αKG-derived amino acids. These amino acid synthesis pathways

likely also contribute to electron balance in a *Rs. rubrum* Calvin cycle mutant, as supplementing

the medium with αKG-derived amino acids prevented phototrophic growth on malate unless

DMSO was provided as a terminal electron acceptor. ^{13}C-tracer experiments using H$_2$-producing

strains of *Rp. palustris,* which requires the Calvin cycle or H$_2$ production to grow

phototrophically on malate or fumarate, suggest that both fumarate reductase and αKG synthase

are required for growth on malate or fumarate without the Calvin cycle. Flux estimates also

revealed the preferential use of the reductive threonine-dependent isoleucine synthesis pathway

over the less-reductive citramalate-dependent pathway in a *Rs. rubrum* Calvin cycle mutant but

not in *Rp. palustris*. Thus, *Rs. rubrum* appears to have flexibility within its biosynthetic pathways

that *Rp. palustris* does not, which can be used to satisfy electron balance during phototrophic

growth on substrates as oxidized as malate and fumarate.

Results and Discussion

^{13}C-metabolic flux analysis of *Rs. rubrum* strains. To gain insight into how *Rs. rubrum*

maintains electron balance without the Calvin cycle during phototrophic growth on relatively

oxidized substrates, we performed ^{13}C-metabolic flux analysis (^{13}C-MFA) to estimate in vivo

metabolic fluxes. In ^{13}C-MFA, the activities of different pathways generate signature patterns of

^{12}C and ^{13}C that are imprinted on proteinaceous amino acids, which can be deciphered using gas

chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) (22). Software is then used to identify a set of

fluxes that can explain the observed mass isotopomer distributions (MIDs; i.e., labeling patterns).

Directly measured fluxes, such as those for excreted products and those needed to generate a

defined biomass composition, are also taken into account. We grew either wild-type (WT) *Rs.*

rubrum or a Calvin cycle mutant lacking genes for phosphoribulokinase (PRK) and ribulose-1,5-

bisphosphate carboxylase (RuBisCO), hereon referred to as the ΔPRKΔRubisco mutant (13), in a

defined medium with [1,4-^{13}C]fumarate. Cells harvested in exponential phase were used to

determine MIDs in proteinaceous amino acids. No H$_2$ was detected at the time of harvesting,

indicating that H$_2$ production was not involved in electron balance. High performance liquid

chromatography (HPLC) analysis of culture supernatants revealed that malate was excreted by

both strains, but more so by the ΔPRKΔRubisco mutant (46.2 ± 24.9 [± SD] mol malate/100 mol

fumarate] than the WT strain (8.5 ± 3.9 mol malate/100 mol fumarate). Malate excretion during

growth on fumarate has previously been observed in other bacteria, including *Rp. palustris* (3)

and *Geobacter sulfurreducens* (23). Malate accumulation could result from an imbalance

between the activities of fumarase and oxidative malate dehydrogenase when fumarate

concentrations exceed those normally encountered in nature. Alternatively, malate accumulation

might be necessary to make malate oxidation thermodynamically feasible (23). The higher level

of malate excretion in the ΔPRKΔRubisco mutant could be due to either, or both, a slower rate of

electron carrier oxidation that limits the NAD$^+$ available for malate oxidation or an accumulation

of NADH that increases the thermodynamic barrier for malate oxidation.

We then used the ^{13}C-MFA software INCA (24) to estimate a set of fluxes that could

explain the observed amino acid MIDs (Table S1), the malate excretion levels, and the *Rs.*

rubrum biomass composition (Table S2 and S3) using a redox-constrained model of *Rs. rubrum*

central metabolism and amino acid synthesis pathways (Table S4). For the biomass compositions

of the *Rs. rubrum* strains, we determined the protein content (75 ± 4% of the dry cell weight

[DCW]; statistically similar for both strains) and the amino acid composition (Table S3), and we

assumed that the remaining 25% of the DCW had a composition similar to that of *Rp. palustris*

(10). Our models originally included the ethylmalonyl-CoA pathway. Optimal solutions

indicated that a small reverse flux (<1% of the fumarate uptake rate) or exchange flux through

the ethylmalonyl-CoA pathway was needed to explain the level of m+2 glutamate (Glu) that we

observed. However, using INCAs simulation tool, we also found that exchange flux through only

one ethylmalonyl-CoA pathway reaction, namely, malate synthase, was sufficient to explain the

observed level of m+2 Glu (Fig. S1). We considered that exchange flux through malate synthase

was more likely than net flux in either direction through the entire 12-step pathway, which is

expected to be upregulated only during growth on C2 substrates like acetate (25), and as

described in the introduction, would not contribute to electron balance during growth on

compounds like malate or fumarate (16). The flux solutions we describe hereafter therefore

include reversible malate synthase but exclude the ethylmalonyl-CoA pathway. Optimal flux

solutions showed good agreement between the simulated and measured MIDs (Fig. S2). There

was also good agreement between most measured fluxes and simulated fluxes except for

summed biosynthetic redox reactions in the ΔPRKΔRubisco mutant and malate excretion for

both strains (Fig. S2). These few disagreements suggest that our flux solutions contain some

quantitative errors but, nevertheless, should point to qualitative trends that could contribute to

electron balance, which we address below.

***Rs. rubrum* flux solutions suggest reductive flux from fumarate to αKG.** The flux maps for

both WT *Rs. rubrum* and the ΔPRKΔRubisco mutant revealed a bifurcation of the TCA cycle;

specifically, flux through an oxidative arm and flux through a reductive arm converged at αKG

(Fig. 1). Our flux estimates are consistent with the notion that *Rs. rubrum* lacks a complete

reverse TCA cycle but could still operate a reductive branch involving αKG synthase (20, 21).

Flux through αKG synthase was previously proposed as a mechanism by which a Calvin cycle

mutant could achieve electron balance (16, 21), based on αKG synthase activity detected in *Rs.*

rubrum cell extracts (20). We questioned whether the reductive flux from fumarate to αKG,

however small the estimated magnitude (0.1 and 0.6 mole % of the fumarate uptake rate in the

WT and ΔPRKΔRubisco strains, respectively), could be important for electron balance.

We first wanted to understand the labeling patterns that would be generated by reductive

flux from fumarate to αKG. Higher proportions of m+2 and m+3 Glu were observed in the

ΔPRKΔRubisco mutant compared to WT *Rs. rubrum* (Fig. 2). As noted above, malate synthase

exchange flux combined with either reductive or oxidative flux to αKG could generate m+2 Glu

(Fig. S1). From similar labeling experiments with *Rp. palustris*, we knew that m+3 metabolites,

including m+3 Glu, can be a signature of Calvin cycle activity during growth on substrates with

^{13}C-labeled carboxyl groups (3). Without the Calvin cycle, we reasoned that m+3 Glu could be

generated from reductive flux from [1,4-^{13}C]fumarate to αKG when $^{13}CO_2$ is combined with

[1,4-^{13}C]succinyl-CoA to form [1,2,5-^{13}C]αKG, from which Glu is derived (Fig. 2A). The $^{13}CO_2$

needed for such a mechanism would come from the decarboxylation reactions stemming from

substrates with ^{13}C-labeled carboxyl groups (Fig. 2A) (3). Unlike m+3 Glu, other m+3 amino

acids were less abundant in the ΔPRKΔRubisco mutant than in the WT strain, which can also

generate m+3 metabolites via the Calvin cycle (Fig. 2A, Table S1). Thus, the mechanism by

which m+3 Glu was generated in the ΔPRKΔRubisco mutant must be within a few reactions

from Glu biosynthesis; reductive TCA flux from fumarate to αKG is consistent with such a

mechanism. Adjusting malate synthase activity using INCAs simulation tool indicated that

malate synthase cannot contribute to generating m+3 Glu, suggesting that reductive αKG

synthase is solely responsible for generating m+3 Glu in the ΔPRKΔRubisco mutant.

INCA's simulation tool does not produce a new optimal solution every time an individual

flux is adjusted. Thus, to confirm that reductive flux to αKG was the only mechanism that could

generate m+3 Glu in the ΔPRKΔRubisco mutant, we ran fitting algorithms using a model in

which αKG synthase flux was constrained to be strictly forward (i.e., oxidative). Whereas

optimal solutions for WT *Rs. rubrum* models with strictly oxidative αKG synthase activity could

fully explain the Glu labeling patterns, optimal solutions for the ΔPRKΔRubisco mutant resulted

in a disagreement between the simulated and observed levels of m+3 Glu (Fig. 2B, C). In fact,

without the Calvin cycle or reductive αKG synthase flux, the optimal solutions could not

generate m+3 Glu (Fig. 2B, C). Thus, reductive flux to αKG is the only mechanism that can

generate m+3 Glu in the ΔPRKΔRubisco mutant.

MIDs from a separate labeling experiment, wherein *Rs. rubrum* strains were cultured

with unlabeled malate and $NaH^{13}CO_3$ as a source of $^{13}CO_2$, also suggested net reductive flux to

αKG for both WT and ΔPRKΔRubisco mutant strains (0.7 and 4.6 mole % of the fumarate

uptake rate, respectively), in this case from malate (Fig. 3, yellow inset; Table S4). We expected

that providing $NaH^{13}CO_3$ would result in the labeling of Glu by the reductive carboxylation of

succinyl-CoA to αKG. However, both Glu and all other amino acids analyzed showed

enrichment of m+1 isotopomers to varying degrees (Fig. 3; Table S1), which likely resulted from

carboxylation via the Calvin cycle (WT strain only) and exchange flux through carboxylation

reactions (both strains), such as those between PEP and oxaloacetate, between pyruvate and

acetyl-CoA, and those in the citramalate-dependent isoleucine biosynthesis pathway; these

possibilities were verified using INCAs simulation tool. Importantly, these reactions alone could

not fully explain the measured Glu MIDs. In both strains, although only approximately 20% of

aspartate, derived from oxaloacetate, had a mass of m+1, more than 30% of Glu, derived from

αKG, had a mass of m+1 Glu. (Fig. 3). Thus, some of the m+1 Glu must have been derived from

reductive flux from unlabeled malate to αKG. The ΔPRKΔRubisco mutant also exhibited a

higher proportion of m+2 and m+3 Glu isotopomers compared to the WT strain (Fig. 3). These

higher molecular weight Glu isotopomers could only be explained by the reductive carboxylation

of single- and double-labeled succinyl-CoA, which itself must have been derived from exchange

flux from single- and double-labeled oxaloacetate (Fig. 3). Both INCA simulations and

sensitivity matrixes indicated that Glu labeling was relatively insensitive to malate synthase

exchange flux under these experimental conditions, thus malate synthase was not a source of

these labeling patterns. Thus, the results of two separate labeling experiments indicated reductive

flux to αKG through multiple reactions typically associated with the reductive TCA cycle as a

compensatory response to the absence of the Calvin cycle in the *Rs. rubrum* ΔPRKΔRubisco

mutant.

αKG-derived amino acids inhibit growth of the *Rs. rubrum* ΔPRKΔRubisco mutant. Similar

to our findings with photoheterotrophic *Rp. palustris* (3, 10), the relatively small flux to αKG in

our *Rs. rubrum* flux maps was primarily dictated by the need for αKG as a biosynthetic

precursor; although reductive to flux to αKG might play a role in electron balance, the flux did

not exceed that needed to synthesize the amino acids Glu, Gln, Pro, and Arg. These four amino

acids are all produced via reductive pathways and thus could also contribute to electron balance

(Fig. 1C and 4A). In other bacteria, such as *E. coli*, the presence of each of these amino acids

inhibits its own synthesis (26-30). We predicted that if these amino acid synthesis pathways are

similarly regulated in *Rs. rubrum*, then their synthesis could be repressed by including them in

the growth medium; in this manner, supplementation with these amino acids might prevent

reductive flux to αKG. Addition of the four amino acids (EQPR) had no effect on the

phototrophic growth trends of WT *Rs. rubrum* grown on malate but prevented ΔPRKΔRubisco

mutant growth (Fig. 4B). These results could be interpreted to mean that adding Glu, Gln, Pro,

and Arg inhibited the respective amino acid synthesis pathways and thereby prevented electron

balance. An alternative explanation could be that, even at 0.2 mM each, the catabolism of these

amino acids contributed to an excess of reductant. However, adding four amino acids derived

from oxaloacetate (DTMK) did not affect growth of either WT or the ΔPRKΔRubisco mutant on

malate (Fig. 4C). The addition of either 0.7 mM isoleucine (Fig. 5) or 0.7 mM leucine (data not

shown), both of which should also generate reductant through their catabolism, also did not

affect the growth of the ΔPRKΔRubisco mutant on malate. Thus, the inhibitory effect of Glu,

Gln, Pro, and Arg on the ΔPRKΔRubisco mutant is specific to this amino acid mixture. To verify

that the growth inhibition was linked to electron balance rather than to an unrelated form of

toxicity, we repeated the growth experiment in medium supplemented with the terminal electron

acceptor DMSO. Adding DMSO rescued ΔPRKΔRubisco mutant growth on malate in the

presence of Glu, Gln, Pro, and Arg (Fig. 4D). Thus, the addition of Glu, Gln, Pro, and Arg

created a growth-inhibiting electron imbalance in the ΔPRKΔRubisco mutant.

Our attempts to genetically determine whether reductive flux to αKG was essential were

inconclusive. *Rs. rubrum* is annotated to have both irreversible αKG dehydrogenase

(Rru_A1213–A1215) and reversible αKG synthase (Rru_A2720–A2722). We deleted

Rru_A2721, annotated to encode the αKG synthase α-subunit, in a ΔPRK mutant (UR2565) (13).

The ΔPRK strain cannot fix CO_2 via the Calvin cycle, has similar growth trends to the

ΔPRKΔRubisco mutant (9), and has the genetic background necessary for genetic engineering

(unlike the ΔPRKΔRubisco mutant, the ΔPRK strain is not resistant to gentamycin, which is

needed to select for integration of the suicide vector). Unfortunately, the ΔPRKΔRru_A2721

mutant exhibited a high incidence of H_2 production (10 of 12 biological replicates across four

independent experiments grew accompanied by H_2 production), likely due to a spontaneous

mutation that activates nitrogenase; such suppressor mutations are known to improve the growth

of *Rs. rubrum* Calvin cycle mutants (31). The production of H_2 could suggest that H_2 production

was necessary for the mutant to grow. However, the high frequency of H_2 production prevented

us from making a definitive conclusion about the essentiality of αKG synthase in the absence of

the Calvin cycle.

Isoleucine is produced primarily by a reductive pathway in the *Rs. rubrum* ΔPRKΔRubisco

mutant. *Rs. rubrum* has two pathways for isoleucine synthesis. The canonical threonine-

dependent pathway uses 1 oxaloacetate and 1 pyruvate, whereas the alternative citramalate-

dependent pathway uses 1 AcCoA and 2 pyruvate (Fig. 5A) (32). Although both pathways are

technically reductive, we herein consider the citramalate-dependent pathway to be oxidative

because the path from fumarate or malate to 2 pyruvate + 1 AcCoA generates more reducing

equivalents than can be oxidized in the subsequent synthesis of isoleucine (Fig. 5A). The optimal

flux solutions for *Rs. rubrum* strains grown on [1,4-^{13}C]fumarate estimated that the WT strain

used the threonine-dependent pathway to make 76% of the isoleucine, whereas the

ΔPRKΔRubisco mutant used this pathway to make 85% of the isoleucine (Fig. 5B). Flux

solutions from conditions using unlabeled malate and Na^{13}CO$_3$ were more skewed, indicating

that isoleucine was made primarily by the oxidative citramalate-dependent pathway in the WT

strain, whereas the reductive threonine-dependent pathway was dominant in the ΔPRKΔRubisco

mutant (Fig. 5B). Overall, the ^{13}C-MFA solutions suggest that the threonine-dependent

isoleucine synthesis pathway is used as a compensatory mechanism for maintaining electron

balance in the absence of the Calvin cycle. The flexibility that the two isoleucine synthesis

pathways impart in the management of electrons could explain why both seemingly redundant

pathways are maintained in some bacteria (32-34).

To assess whether the reductive isoleucine pathway was essential for electron balance in

the absence of the Calvin cycle, we deleted *ilvA* (Rru_A2877), encoding threonine dehydratase,

in both WT *Rs. rubrum* and the ΔPRK mutant to prevent isoleucine synthesis via the threonine-

dependent pathway. Separately, we also grew WT and ΔPRKΔRubisco *Rs. rubrum* strains in the

presence of isoleucine, which has the potential to inhibit both isoleucine synthesis pathways if

the pathways are regulated in *Rs. rubrum* as they are in *E. coli* (35) and *Leptospira interrogans*

(36). Neither deleting *ilvA* (Fig. 5C) nor adding isoleucine to the growth medium (Fig. 5D)

affected the growth trends of either the WT or the Calvin cycle mutants. No H_2 accumulated

during the course of these experiments. Thus, although the ^{13}C labeling patterns suggested that

the flux through the two isoleucine synthesis pathways was influenced by the need to maintain

electron balance, the reductive threonine-dependent pathway is not essential for electron balance

in *Rs. rubrum* Calvin cycle mutants.

Sulfide production does not participate in electron balance in *Rs. rubrum*. Reduction of

sulfate to sulfide by a spontaneous mutant of *Rb. sphaeroides* was previously implicated as an

alternative electron-balancing mechanism during photoheterotrophic growth (37). Thus, we also

considered whether sulfate reduction could contribute to electron balance in *Rs. rubrum*.

However, using lead acetate strips, we did not detect any sulfide in either WT or ΔPRKΔRubisco

mutant cultures (Fig. S3).

Why is the Calvin cycle required by *Rp. palustris* for photoheterotrophic growth? *Rs.*

rubrum Calvin cycle mutants can grow on fumarate and malate, whereas *Rp. palustris* Calvin

cycle mutants cannot unless they can dispose of excess electrons as H_2 (9). The *R. palustris*

CGA009 genome is annotated to have an α-oxoacid ferredoxin oxidoreductase (RPA1225–

1228), suggesting that it could be capable of reductive αKG synthase activity. We considered

that the reason *Rp. palustris* Calvin cycle mutants cannot grow on malate or fumarate might be

due to an inability to reduce fumarate, which would deny αKG synthase from participating in

electron balance during growth on fumarate and malate. All *Rp. palustris* strains tested by other

groups have not exhibited fumarate reductase activity, unlike *Rs. rubrum* (38, 39). In *Rs. rubrum*,

fumarate reductase activity is dependent on rhodoquinone (38), a compound that is notably

absent from all *Rp. palustris* strains that have been analyzed (38, 40-42). Our BLAST searches

against the *R. palustris* CGA009 genome (43) using the only known *Rs. rubrum* protein required

for rhodoquinone synthesis, namely, the methyltransferase RquA, (Rru_A3227) (44), also

suggested that *Rp. palustris* cannot synthesize rhodoquinone; the top hit was RPA0138,

annotated as the chemotaxis methyl transferase CheR1, with only 31% coverage and 28% amino

acid identity (E value: 0.002).

To assess whether *R. palustris* is capable of fumarate reductase and reductive αKG

synthase activities in vivo, we performed four separate labeling experiments with an H_2-

producing *Rp. palustris* NifA* strain, CGA676, and its ΔPRKΔRubisco mutant counterpart,

CGA4011 (9), and either [1,4-^{13}C]succinate, [1,4-^{13}C]fumarate, unlabeled succinate with

NaH^{13}CO$_3$, or unlabeled malate with NaH^{13}CO$_3$. We used two models for each strain: one that

allowed for reversible αKG synthase activity, and another that allowed for only oxidative αKG

synthase activity. If *Rp. palustris* carried out reversible αKG synthase activity under these

growth conditions then we expected that reductive αKG synthase activity would be required to

explain Glu labeling patterns in the NifA* ΔPRKΔRubisco mutant during growth on succinate.

If *Rp. palustris* is incapable of fumarate reductase activity then we expected that reductive αKG

synthase activity would not be required to explain Glu labeling patterns on fumarate or malate, as

there would be no way to reduce either of these compounds to the succinyl-CoA needed for

reductive αKG synthase activity.

In models that allowed for reversible of αKG synthase activity, the optimal solutions

showed net reductive flux to αKG when the NifA*ΔPRKΔRubisco mutant was grown with either

[1,4-^{13}C]succinate or succinate with NaH^{13}CO$_3$ (Fig. 6A). Net oxidative αKG synthase flux was

estimated in all *Rp. palustris* NifA* conditions and in NifA*ΔPRKΔRubisco mutant conditions

supplied with either [1,4-^{13}C]fumarate or malate with NaH^{13}CO$_3$ (Fig. 6A). These solutions

support the notion that *Rp. palustris* is capable of reductive αKG synthase activity but not

fumarate reductase activity. The estimated reductive αKG synthase flux in the

NifA*ΔPRKΔRubisco strain only could suggest that reductive αKG synthase activity provided

some compensatory electron-balancing activity during growth on succinate in the absence of the

Calvin cycle, despite being unessential given the requirement for H_2 production (3, 10).

However, it is noteworthy that markedly less m+3 Glu was generated by *Rp. palustris* NifA*

ΔPRKΔRubisco compared to *Rp. palustris* NifA* during growth on [1,4-^{13}C]succinate (Fig. 6B).

Similarly, the abundance of m+1, m+2, and m+3 Glu was less in *Rp. palustris* NifA*

ΔPRKΔRubisco compared to *Rp. palustris* NifA* when grown with succinate with NaH^{13}CO$_3$.

These observations are opposite of the trends observed with *Rs. rubrum* and thus strongly

suggest that reductive flux to αKG is not a general mechanism used by *Rp. palustris* in response

to the loss of the Calvin cycle, at least during phototrophic growth on succinate when H$_2$ is

produced.

When the models were constrained to allow for only oxidative αKG synthase activity,

Glu MIDs could still be explained for both *Rp. palustris* strains under most conditions.

Experiments with NaH^{13}CO$_3$ did not determine αKG synthase activity with confidence in the

NifA* ΔPRKΔRubisco mutant (Fig. 6A). However, similar to our observations with *Rs. rubrum*,

reductive αKG synthase activity was required to generate m+3 Glu in the model for the NifA*

ΔPRKΔRubisco mutant grown on [1,4-^{13}C]succinate (Fig. 6C). The fact that reductive αKG

synthase activity was required in this case but was not required to explain Glu labeling patterns

when the NifA* ΔPRKΔRubisco mutant was grown on [1,4-^{13}C]fumarate (Fig. 6C; data for other

conditions not shown) supports the notion that there is no fumarate reductase activity to supply

double-labeled succinate from which m+3 Glu would ultimately be derived (Fig. 2A). Thus,

overall, there is good evidence that the inability to reduce fumarate is the reason why *Rp.*

palustris Calvin cycle mutants cannot grow phototrophically on malate or fumarate without

producing H$_2$.

Although not expected to be an essential electron-balancing mechanism based on our data

with *Rs. rubrum* (Fig. 8), we also examined whether H_2-producing *Rp. palustris* adjusted fluxes

through the same two isoleucine synthesis pathways (3, 10) in response to the loss of the Calvin

cycle. Unlike for *Rs. rubrum*, the oxidative citramalate-dependent pathway was estimated to

dominate under all but one condition, and flux through the reductive threonine-dependent

pathway did not increase in response to the absence of the Calvin cycle (Fig. S4).

Conclusion. Our data support previous results from our own group (9) and others (13) that *Rs.*

rubrum can maintain electron balance during photoheterotrophic growth on malate and fumarate

without the Calvin cycle, H_2 production, or access to terminal electron acceptors. Our results

suggest that a *Rs. rubrum* Calvin cycle mutant can maintain electron balance during phototrophic

growth on malate and fumarate by selectively utilizing various biosynthetic pathways. The

relatively static nature of biomass composition for a given growth condition places constraints on

the extent to which different biosynthetic pathways can be employed to achieve electron balance

and thus likely explains why a *Rs. rubrum* Calvin cycle mutant cannot grow with succinate,

which has only two more electrons than malate or fumarate (Table 1). The two biosynthetic

pathways we identified to contribute to electron balance in *Rs. rubrum* were (i) reductive flux

from fumarate to αKG linked to the reductive synthesis of amino acids from αKG and (ii) the

preferential use of the reductive threonine-dependent pathway for isoleucine synthesis instead of

the less-reductive citramalate-dependent pathway. Given the relatively minor influence the

pathways are estimated to have on electron balance (Fig. 1C), it is possible that other

biosynthetic pathways not be detected by our approach, such as altered fatty acid composition,

could also offer limited flexibility to contribute to electron balance. Flexibility in electron

management could explain why some bacteria maintain seemingly redundant biosynthetic

pathways, such as those for isoleucine synthesis and those supplying αKG for amino acid

synthesis. Our flux estimates suggest that αKG can be synthesized by via both reduction and

oxidation of TCA cycle intermediates from malate and fumarate in *Rs. rubrum* and from

succinate in *Rp. palustris*. Such activities would add these two PNSB to a short list of bacteria

known to produce αKG-derived amino acids via two separate pathways (45, 46). The previous

detection of fumarate reductase activity in diverse PNSB (38, 39) raises the possibility that such

dual pathways might be found in other PNSB as well and perhaps play a conserved role in

electron balance during photoheterotrophic growth in these bacteria.

388
Methods.

Strains and growth conditions. *Rs. rubrum* strains ΔPRKΔRubisco (UR2557, Δ*cbbM::*Gm^R

Δ*cbbP*::Km^R (13)) and ΔPRK (UR2565, Δ*cbbP*::Km^R (13)) were derived from type-strain UR2

(47). *Rp. palustris* strains CGA676 (NifA*, (10)) and CGA4011 (NifA*, Δ*cbbLS*, Δ*cbbM*,

Δ*cbbP*::Km^R (9)) were derived from type-strain CGA009. *Rs. rubrum* or *Rp. palustris* strains

were revived from frozen stocks containing 10% DMSO or 25% glycerol, respectively, by

streaking for single colonies on defined photosynthetic medium (PM) agar (48) supplemented

with 10 mM disodium succinate and 0.1% yeast extract without antibiotics. Colonies were then

used to inoculate 3 ml aerobic starter cultures of PM (*Rp. palustris*) or defined MA medium (*Rs.*

rubrum) (9), each with 10 mM succinate. Aerobic starter cultures were grown at 30°C with

shaking at 150 rpm. A 0.1-ml inoculum from stationary phase aerobic starter cultures was then

transferred into 10 ml of anaerobic PM (*Rp. palustris*) or MA (*Rs. rubrum*) supplemented with

10 mM of an organic substrate, as indicated, in 28-ml anaerobic test tubes sealed with rubber

stoppers under an argon headspace, as described (9). Where indicated, media were supplemented

with specific amino acids (20 mg/L each), DMSO (60mM), or $NaHCO_3$ (20 mM), prior to

inoculation. Antibiotics were not used in comparative growth experiments or in ^{13}C-labeling

experiments but were otherwise added to media where appropriate. Gentamycin and kanamycin

were each used at 100 μg/ml for *Rp. palustris* and at 10 μg/ml for *Rs. rubrum*. *Bacillus subtilis*

3610 (49) and *Escherichia coli* strains NEB10β (New England Biolabs) and WM3064 were

cultured aerobically on LB agar or in LB broth. WM3064 is a diaminopimelic acid (DAP)

auxotroph and was thus supplemented with 0.6 mM DAP (W. Metcalf, unpublished data).

Gentamycin was used at 15 μg/ml for *E. coli*.

Analytical techniques. Cell density was assayed by optical density (OD_{660}) using a Genesys 20

visible spectrophotometer (Thermo-Fisher, Pittsburgh, PA). Specific growth rates were

determined using measurements with values between $0.1 - 0.8$ OD_{660}, where a linear relationship

between cell density and OD_{660} was maintained. H_2 was sampled from culture headspace using a

gas-tight syringe and analyzed using a Shimadzu GC-2014 gas chromatograph as described (50).

Organic acid levels in culture supernatants were determined by HPLC (Shimadzu) as described

(51). Sulfide was detected using lead acetate strips suspended above the cultures. Strips were

removed and photographed once cultures reached stationary phase (52).

Rs. rubrum **biomass composition.** Measurements were performed for the WT strain (UR2) and

the ΔPRKΔRubisco mutant (UR2557) grown in MA medium. Culture samples were taken

between 0.08 and 0.85 OD_{660} as measured using plastic cuvettes with a 1-cm path length. DCWs

were determined as described (53) by filtering 30-mL of culture through preweighed 0.22-μm

HA filters (Millipore) and then drying the filters overnight at 80 °C. Protein was quantified using

a bicinchoninic acid assay (Pierce) as described (10). Amino acid composition was determined

using a Hitachi L-8800 amino acid analyzer at the University of California, Davis Molecular

Structure Facility. The polyhydroxybutyrate content was previously found to be below the

detection limit (9). Because protein accounted for 75% of the DCW, the remaining DCW not

accounted for in protein was assumed to comprise other major macromolecules at the same

proportions as found in *Rp. palustris* and with the same monomeric composition. A common

biomass composition was used for both strains because the slopes for linear regression of

DCW/L vs. OD_{660} (400 ± 40 mg/L/ OD_{660}) and protein vs. DCW ($75 \pm 4\%$ of DCW) were

statistically similar ($p > 0.1$, determined using the linear regression analysis function in

Graphpad Prism 6.0).

^{13}C-labeling experiments and metabolic flux analysis. Three or four biological replicates of

each strain were inoculated from unlabeled starter cultures into media containing the indicated

^{13}C-labeled substrate, provided at 100%. Cultures were harvested in exponential phase between

$0.2 - 0.7$ OD_{660} as described previously (10). Amino acids were obtained from the cell pellets by

hydrolysis in 6 N HCl, the amino acids were derivatized, and then MIDs were determined using

GC-MS as described (53) at the Indiana University Mass Spectrometry Facility. MIDs were

corrected for natural abundances of all atoms except for carbons in amino acid backbones using

the IsoCor software (54). Corrected amino acid MIDs and flux measurements (i.e., excreted

malate or fumarate and fluxes derived from the biomass composition [Table S2]) were used with

a metabolic model and the software INCA (24) to map the carbon atom transitions through the

central metabolism of *Rs. rubrum* or *Rp. palustris* (Table S4). Our *Rs. rubrum* model included

the TCA cycle, gluconeogenesis/glycolysis, the Calvin cycle, the pentose phosphate pathway,

and the ethylmalonyl-CoA pathway (Table S4). Models that required that the sum of fluxes

through reduction reactions equal the sum of fluxes through oxidation reactions included terms

representing oxidized electron carriers (X) and reduced electron carriers (XH) in each redox

reaction. Equations were also included to reflect the reductant required for biosynthesis and the

reductant generated by biosynthesis. For each condition or model variation tested, at least 100

different arrangements of starting free parameter values were used. An optimal solution was

selected based on that which had the lowest sum of squared residuals between the simulated and

measured data sets for MIDs and biosynthetic and extracellular fluxes. Upper and lower bounds

for flux values were determined using INCAs parameter continuation tool.

***Rs. rubrum* strain construction.** To create an in-frame deletion of Rru_A2721 (αKG synthase

α-subunit), the region upstream of Rru_A2721 was amplified using primers BL501

(gacttctagactataacgatctggccgattggc) and BL524 (gactggtacccgtcatcatccgtctttcagagaag), and the

region downstream was amplified using primers BL525 (gactggtacccagggagagtgatcatggatacg)

and BL504 (gacttctagaactgggcttcgatttcggtgaa). The resulting PCR products were digested using

KpnI and ligated together, and then the ligation reaction was used as template for a second round

of PCR using primers BL501 and BL504. The resulting PCR product was purified, digested with

XbaI, and then ligated into XbaI-digested pJQ200SK (55). To create an in-frame deletion of

Rru_A2877 (*ilvA*, threonine deaminase), the upstream region was amplified using primers

BL646 (gctggagctccaccgtgactccgtctggccccaag) and BL635 (tccccggcggtcatcgtccgccctcc), and the

downstream region was amplified using primers BL636 (cgatgaccgccggggattagggcaaatc) and

BL647 (attcctgcagcccggcgtcgattacaccggcctc). The upstream and downstream PCR products were

mixed with pJQ200SK, which was PCR-linearized using primers BL644 (cggtggagctccagcttttg)

and BL645 (ccgggctgcaggaattcg), and then assembled by Gibson Assembly (NEB). Ligation and

Gibson reactions were transformed into *E. coli* NEB10β (New England Biolabs), and

transformants were verified by PCR and sequencing. Vectors were first introduced into

electrocompetent *E. coli* WM3064 and were then transferred to *Rs. rubrum* strains by

conjugation on PM agar with yeast extract, succinate, and DAP. Mating patches were streaked

for single recombinants on PM agar with yeast extract, succinate, and Gm (no DAP). Colonies

were then grown in liquid medium without Gm to allow for a second recombination event.

Cultures were plated on PM agar with yeast extract, succinate, and 10% sucrose, resultant

colonies were screened for Gm sensitivity, and then Gm-sensitive colonies were screened by

PCR for the desired deletions.

Author statements.

JBM conceived the study. All authors performed experiments. ALM, MCO, JRG, and JBM

analyzed the data. JBM wrote the initial manuscript draft. ALM, BL, and JBM edited subsequent

drafts. All authors reviewed and approved the final draft.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This work was funded by the Indiana University College of Arts and Sciences.

We thank GC Gordon for contributions to preliminary studies, JA Karty for GC-MS training and

advice, and anonymous reviewers for insightful questions that led to the improvement of this

work.

References

1. **Erb TJ**. Carboxylases in natural and synthetic microbial pathways. *Appl Environ*
Microbiol. 2011;77:8466-77.
2. **Muller FM**. On the metabolism of the purple sulphur bacteria in orgainic media. *Arch*
Microbiol. 1933;4:131-66.

3. **McKinlay JB, Harwood CS**. Calvin cycle flux, pathway constraints, and substrate
oxidation state together determine the H_2 biofuel yield in photoheterotrophic bacteria.
mBio. 2011;2:e00323-10.
4. **Hallenbeck PL, Lerchen R, Hessler P, Kaplan S**. Roles of CfxA, CfxB, and external
electron acceptors in regulation of ribulose 1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase
expression in *Rhodobacter sphaeroides*. *J Bacteriol*. 1990;172:1736-48.
5. **Hallenbeck PL, Lerchen R, Hessler P, Kaplan S**. Phosphoribulokinase activity and
regulation of CO_2 fixation critical for photosynthetic growth of *Rhodobacter sphaeroides*.
J Bacteriol. 1990;172:1749-61.
6. **Paoli GC, Vichivanives P, Tabita FR**. Physiological control and regulation of the
Rhodobacter capsulatus cbb operons. *J Bacteriol*. 1998;180:4258-69.
7. **Falcone DL, Tabita FR**. Expression of endogenous and foreign ribulose 1,5-
bisphosphate carboxylase-oxygenase (RubisCO) genes in a RubisCO deletion mutant of
Rhodobacter sphaeroides. *J Bacteriol*. 1991;173:2099-108.
8. **McCully AL, McKinlay JB**. Disrupting Calvin cycle phosphoribulokinase activity in
Rhodopseudomonas palustris increases the H_2 yield and specific production rate
proportionately. *Int J Hydrogen Energy*. 2016;41:4143-9.
9. **Gordon GC, McKinlay JB**. Calvin cycle mutants of photoheterotrophic purple nonsulfur
bacteria fail to grow due to an electron imbalance rather than toxic metabolite
accumulation. *J Bacteriol*. 2014;196:1231-7.
10. **McKinlay JB, Harwood CS**. Carbon dioxide fixation as a central redox cofactor recycling
mechanism in bacteria. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2010;107:11669-75.
11. **Farmer RM, Laguna R, Panescu J, McCoy A, Logsdon B, Zianni M, et al**. Altered
residues in key proteins influence the expression and activity of the nitrogenase
complex in an adaptive CO_2 fixation-deficient mutant strain of *Rhodobacter sphaeroides*.
Microbiology. 2014;160:198-208.
12. **Öztürk Y, Gökçe A, Peksel B, Gürgan M, Özgür E, Gündüz U, et al**. Hydrogen
production properties of *Rhodobacter capsulatus* with genetically modified redox
balancing pathways. *Int J Hydrogen Energy*. 2012;37:2014–20.
13. **Wang D, Zhang Y, Pohlmann EL, Li J, Roberts GP**. The poor growth of *Rhodospirillum
rubrum* mutants lacking RubisCO is due to the accumulation of ribulose-1,5-
bisphosphate. *J Bacteriol*. 2011;193:3293-303.
14. **Gottschalk G**. Bacterial metabolism. 2 ed. New York, NY: Springer-Verlag; 1986.
15. **Carlozzi P, Sacchi A**. Biomass production and studies on *Rhodopseudomonas palustris*
grown in an outdoor, temperature controlled, underwater tubular photobioreactor. *J
Biotechnol*. 2001;88:239-49.
16. **Hädicke O, Grammel H, Klamt S**. Metabolic network modeling of redox balancing and
biohydrogen production in purple nonsulfur bacteria. *BMC Syst Biol*. 2011;5:150.
17. **Wang X, Modak HV, Tabita FR**. Photolithoautotrophic growth and control of CO_2
fixation in *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* and *Rhodospirillum rubrum* in the absence of
ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase-oxygenase. *J Bacteriol*. 1993;175:7109-14.
18. **De Meur Q, Deutschbauer A, Koch M, Wattiez R, Leroy B**. Genetic plasticity and
ethylmalonyl coenzyme A pathway during acetate assimilation in *Rhodospirillum
rubrum* S1H under photoheterotrophic conditions. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 2018;84:
e02038-17.

19. **Laguna R, Tabita FR, Alber BE**. Acetate-dependent photoheterotrophic growth and the
differential requirement for the Calvin-Benson-Bassham reductive pentose phosphate
cycle in *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* and *Rhodopseudomonas palustris*. *Arch Microbiol*.
2011;193:151-4.
20. **Buchanan BB, Evans MC, Arnon DI**. Ferredoxin-dependent carbon assimilation in
Rhodospirillum rubrum. *Arch Mikrobiol*. 1967;59:32-40.
21. **Joshi HM, Tabita FR**. Induction of carbon monoxide dehydrogenase to facilitate redox
balancing in a ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase-deficient mutant strain of
Rhodospirillum rubrum. *Arch Microbiol*. 2000;173:193-9.
22. **Buescher JM, Antoniewicz MR, Boros LG, Burgess SC, Brunengraber H, Clish CB, et
al**. A roadmap for interpreting ^{13}C metabolite labeling patterns from cells. *Curr Opin
Biotechnol*. 2015;34:189-201.
23. **Galushko AS, Schink B**. Oxidation of acetate through reactions of the citric acid cycle
by *Geobacter sulfurreducens* in pure culture and in syntrophic coculture. *Arch Microbiol*.
2000;174:314-21.
24. **Young JD**. INCA: a computational platform for isotopically non-stationary metabolic
flux analysis. *Bioinformatics.* 2014;30:1333-5.
25. **Leroy B, De Meur Q, Moulin C, Wegria G, Wattiez R**. New insight into the
photoheterotrophic growth of the isocytrate lyase-lacking purple bacterium
Rhodospirillum rubrum on acetate. Microbiology. 2015;161:1061-72.
26. **Perez-Arellano I, Rubio V, Cervera J**. Mapping active site residues in glutamate-5-
kinase. The substrate glutamate and the feed-back inhibitor proline bind at overlapping
sites. *FEBS Lett*. 2006;580:6247-53.
27. **Caspi R, Billington R, Fulcher CA, Keseler IM, Kothari A, Krummenacker M, et al**.
The MetaCyc database of metabolic pathways and enzymes. Nucleic Acids Res.
2018;46:D633-D9.
28. **Leisinger T, Haas D**. N-Acetylglutamate synthase of *Escherichia coli* regulation of
synthesis and activity by arginine. *J Biol Chem*. 1975;250:1690-3.
29. **Jiang P, Peliska JA, Ninfa AJ**. The regulation of *Escherichia coli* glutamine synthetase
revisited: role of 2-ketoglutarate in the regulation of glutamine synthetase
adenylylation state. *Biochemistry*. 1998;37:12802-10.
30. **Miller RE, Stadtman ER**. Glutamate synthase from *Escherichia coli*. An iron-sulfide
flavoprotein. *J Biol Chem*. 1972;247:7407-19.
31. **Wang D, Zhang Y, Welch E, Li J, Roberts GP**. Elimination of Rubisco alters the
regulation of nitrogenase activity and increases hydrogen production in *Rhodospirillum
rubrum*. *Int J Hydrogen Energy*. 2010;35:7377-85.
32. **Risso C, Van Dien SJ, Orloff A, Lovley DR, Coppi MV**. Elucidation of an alternate
isoleucine biosynthesis pathway in *Geobacter sulfurreducens. J Bacteriol*.
2008;190:2266-74.
33. **Westfall HN, Charon NW, Peterson DE**. Multiple pathways for isoleucine biosynthesis
in the spirochete *Leptospira. J Bacteriol*. 1983;154:846-53.
34. **Wu B, Zhang B, Feng X, Rubens JR, Huang R, Hicks LM, et al.** Alternative isoleucine
synthesis pathway in cyanobacterial species. *Microbiology*. 2010;156:596-602.
35. **Eisenstein E, Yu HD, Schwarz FP**. Cooperative binding of the feedback modifiers
isoleucine and valine to biosynthetic threonine deaminase from *Escherichia coli. J Biol
Chem*. 1994;269:29423-9.

36. **Xu H, Zhang Y, Guo X, Ren S, Staempfli AA, Chiao J, et al**. Isoleucine biosynthesis in
Leptospira interrogans serotype lai strain 56601 proceeds via a threonine-independent
pathway. *J Bacteriol*. 2004;186:5400-9.
37. **Rizk ML, Laguna R, Smith KM, Tabita FR, Liao JC**. Redox homeostasis phenotypes in
RubisCO-deficient *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* via ensemble modeling. *Biotechnol Prog*.
2011;27:15-22.
38. **Hiraishi A**. Fumarate reduction systems in members of the family *Rhodospirillaceae*
with different quinone types. *Arch Microbiol*. 1988;150:56-60.
39. **Beatty JT, Gest H**. Generation of succinyl-coenzyme A in photosynthetic bacteria. *Arch
Microbiol*. 1981;129:335-40.
40. **Ramana VV, Chakravarthy SK, Raj PS, Kumar BV, Shobha E, Ramaprasad EV, et al**.
Descriptions of *Rhodopseudomonas parapalustris* sp. nov., *Rhodopseudomonas
harwoodiae* sp. nov. and *Rhodopseudomonas pseudopalustris* sp. nov., and emended
description of *Rhodopseudomonas palustris*. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol*. 2012;62:1790-8.
41. **Hiraishi A, Hoshino Y**. Distribution of rhodoquinone in Rhodosprillaceae and its
taxonomic implications. *J Gen Appl Microbiol*. 1984;30:435-48.
42. **Ramirez-Ponce MP, Ramirez JM, Gimenez-Gallego G**. Rhodoquinone as a constituent
of the dark electron-transfer system of *Rhodospirillum rubrum*. *FEBS Lett*.
1980;119:137-40.
43. **Larimer FW, Chain P, Hauser L, Lamerdin J, Malfatti S, Do L, et al**. Complete genome
sequence of the metabolically versatile photosynthetic bacterium *Rhodopseudomonas
palustris*. *Nat Biotechnol*. 2004;22:55-61.
44. **Lonjers ZT, Dickson EL, Chu TP, Kreutz JE, Neacsu FA, Anders KR, et al**.
Identification of a new gene required for the biosynthesis of rhodoquinone in
Rhodospirillum rubrum. J Bacteriol. 2012;194:965-71.
45. **Li F, Hagemeier CH, Seedorf H, Gottschalk G, Thauer RK**. *Re*-citrate synthase from
Clostridium kluyveri is phylogenetically related to homocitrate synthase and
isopropylmalate synthase rather than to *Si*-citrate synthase. *J Bacteriol*. 2007;189:4299-
304.
46. **Kim M, Le HM, Xie X, Feng X, Tang YJ, Mouttaki H, et al**. Two pathways for glutamate
biosynthesis in the syntrophic bacterium *Syntrophus aciditrophicus*. *Appl Environ
Microbiol*. 2015;81:8434-44.
47. **Fitzmaurice WP, Saari LL, Lowery RG, Ludden PW, Roberts GP**. Genes coding for the
reversible ADP-ribosylation system of dinitrogenase reductase from *Rhodospirillum
rubrum. Mol Gen Genet*. 1989;218:340-7.
48. **Kim M, Harwood CS**. Regulation of benzoate-CoA ligase in *Rhodopseudomonas
palustris. FEMS Microbiol Lett*. 1991;83:199-203.
49. **Kearns DB, Losick R**. Swarming motility in undomesticated *Bacillus subtilis. Mol
Microbiol*. 2003;49:581-90.
50. **Huang JJ, Heiniger EK, McKinlay JB, Harwood CS**. Production of hydrogen gas from
light and the inorganic electron donor thiosulfate by *Rhodopseudomonas palustris. Appl
Environ Microbiol*. 2010;76:7717-22.
51. **McKinlay JB, Zeikus JG, Vieille C**. Insights into *Actinobacillus succinogenes*
fermentative metabolism in a chemically defined growth medium. *Appl Environ
Microbiol*. 2005;71:6651-6.

52. **Xia Y, Lu C, Hou N, Xin Y, Liu J, Liu H, et al**. Sulfide production and oxidation by
heterotrophic bacteria under aerobic conditions. *ISME J.* 2017;11:2754-66.
53. **McKinlay JB, Shachar-Hill Y, Zeikus JG, Vieille C**. Determining *Actinobacillus*
succinogenes metabolic pathways and fluxes by NMR and GC-MS analyses of ^{13}C-labeled
metabolic product isotopomers. *Metab Eng.* 2007;9:177-92.
54. **Millard P, Letisse F, Sokol S, Portais JC**. IsoCor: correcting MS data in isotope labeling
experiments. *Bioinformatics.* 2012;28:1294-6.
55. **Quandt J, Hynes MF**. Versatile suicide vectors which allow direct selection for gene
replacement in gram-negative bacteria. *Gene.* 1993;127:15-21.

Table 1. Oxidation states of substrates mentioned in this study relative to biomass.

Substrate	Formula	Oxidation state[a]
carbon dioxide	CO_2	+4
formate	CH_2O_2	+2
fumarate	$C_4H_4O_4$	+1
malate	$C_4H_6O_5$	+1
succinate	$C_4H_6O_4$	+0.5
acetate	$C_2H_4O_2$	0
biomass	$CH_{1.8}N_{0.18}O_{0.38}$	-0.5

655 [a]Values were determined for each carbon atom as described previously (10, 14) and then

656 averaged by dividing the sum by the number of carbon atoms. Formulas for the acids of organic

657 acids are shown.

658 [b]Biomass composition of *R. palustris* 42OL was used (15).

  Figures and legends

Fig. 1. ¹³C-tracer experiments suggest net reductive TCA flux to αKG in *Rs. rubrum* grown

on fumarate. (A, B) Optimal flux solutions for WT *Rs. rubrum* (UR2) (A) and the

ΔPRKΔRubisco mutant (UR2557) (B) grown phototrophically on [1,4-¹³C]fumarate. Flux

magnitudes (mol % of the fumarate uptake rate) are indicated by solid arrow thickness. Dashed

arrows indicate fluxes with magnitudes less than 1 mol % of the fumarate uptake rate. Net flux

direction is indicated by an enlarged arrowhead. (C) Comparison of fluxes through redox

reactions. XH columns refer to oxidative pathways that reduce electron carriers, whereas X⁺

columns refer to reductive pathways that oxidize electron carriers. EQPR is the synthesis of Glu,

Gln, Pro, and Arg estimated from the total flux to αKG multiplied by the proportion of αKG used

for each amino acid (Table S3) and then by the number of oxidation or reduction steps in each

synthesis pathway. DH, dehydrogenase; OPPP, oxidative pentose phosphate pathway;

aKGDH/syn, αKG DH/synthase; GNG/Calvin, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate DH in either

gluconeogenesis (GNG) or the Calvin cycle (Calvin). (A-C) Absolute flux values were 1.73 ±

0.09 and 0.66 ± 0.16 mmol fumarate/g DCW/h ± SD for the WT strain and the ΔPRKΔRubisco

mutant, respectively. All flux values are in Table S4.

Fig. 2. m+3 Glu is a signature of reductive flux from [1,4-^{13}C]fumarate to αKG in the *Rs.*

***rubrum* ΔPRKΔRubisco mutant.** (A) m+3 Glu can be generated by both the Calvin cycle and

reductive flux to αKG (red). However, the proportion of m+3 Asp is insufficient to explain the

proportion of m+3 Glu by oxidative flux to αKG alone (blue). Yellow boxes show proportions

for the indicated mass isotopomer ± SD. Decarboxylation reactions are the source of $^{13}CO_2$ for

carboxylation reactions. White, ^{12}C; black, ^{13}C. (B, C) Top, WT *Rs. rubrum* (UR2); bottom, *Rs.*

rubrum ΔPRKΔRubisco (UR2557). (B) Linear regression of measured vs simulated MIDs from

the optimal flux solutions for strains grown with [1,4-^{13}C]fumarate. Simulations used a flux

model that either allowed (left) or did not allow (right) for reversible flux between succinate

(Suc) and αKG (αKG synthase). Irreversible flux from isocitrate (Ict) to αKG was assumed for

all flux models. Yellow, Glu MIDs; black, MIDs for all other examined amino acids. (C)

Comparison of measured and simulated (Sim) Glu MIDs from both models used in panel B.

Error bars, SD; n = 4.

Fig. 3. Flux estimates from experiments with unlabeled malate and NaH^{13}CO$_3$ suggest reductive net flux from malate to αKG in WT *Rs. rubrum* (UR2) and the ΔPRKΔRubisco mutant (UR2557). Only reductive flux to αKG can explain the high proportions of m+1, m+2, and m+3 Glu, all derived from αKG, relative to the corresponding MIDs of aspartate, derived from oxaloacetate. White, ^{12}C; black, ^{13}C; gray, partial labeling. Yellow inset: net flux value estimates between succinate and αKG (αKG synthase) with non-symmetrical upper and lower bounds determined by parameter continuation. Similar net flux values were obtained from malate to αKG (Table S4).

Fig. 4. αKG-derived amino acids repress phototrophic growth of the *Rs. rubrum* ΔPRKΔRubisco mutant on malate. (A) Simplified pathway illustrating the redox reactions involved in the synthesis of the four amino acids synthesized from αKG. (B-D) Growth curves for WT *Rs. rubrum* and the ΔPRKΔRubisco mutant grown with a mixture of either glutamate, glutamine, proline, and arginine (EQPR) (B), with or without DMSO (D), or aspartate, threonine, methione, and lysine (DTMK) (C).

Fig. 5. A non-essential reductive isoleucine synthesis pathway is favored in *Rs. rubrum* in

the absence of the Calvin cycle. (A) *Rs. rubrum* has two pathways for isoleucine synthesis. The

canonical threonine-dependent pathway (orange) is reductive, whereas the alternative

citramalate-dependent pathway (red) is oxidative during growth on malate or fumarate. αKB, α-

ketobutyrate. (B) Estimated fluxes as a percent of total isoleucine synthesis for the oxidative

citramalate-dependent pathway and the reductive threonine-dependent pathway for the WT

(UR2) and ΔPRKΔRubisco (UR2557) strains during growth on either $[1,4-^{13}C]$fumarate or

malate with $NaH^{13}CO_3$. All flux values are in Table S4. (C) Growth trends of WT and ΔPRK

(UR2565) strains with or without deletion of *ilvA*, which encodes threonine deaminase in the

reductive threonine-dependent pathway. (D) Growth trends of WT and ΔPRKΔRubisco strains

with or without added isoleucine in the medium.

Fig. 6. ^{13}C-labeling experiments suggest that *Rp. palustris* is capable of reductive αKG

synthase activity but not fumarate reductase activity. (A, B) Net αKG synthase flux values

(A) and Glu MIDs (B) from optimal flux solutions for *Rp. palustris* NifA* (CGA676) and *Rp.*

palustris NifA* ΔPRKΔRubisco (CGA4011) grown phototrophically on various labeled

substrates. (A) Flux values are mole % of either the fumarate, malate, or succinate uptake rate.

Gray bars serve to separate experimental results. All flux values are in Table S4. (B) NifA* Glu

MIDs were reported previously (3, 10). (C) Comparison of measured and simulated (Sim) Glu

MIDs from models for *Rp. palustris* NifA* ΔPRKΔRubisco. Simulations either allowed or did

not allow for reversible flux between succinate and αKG (αKG synthase). Error bars, SD; n = 3.

 Supplementary figures and legends

Fig. S1. Exchange flux through malate synthase (highlighted yellow) can contribute to the generation of m+2 Glu in *Rs. rubrum* during growth on [1,4-^{13}C]fumarate. Step 1. Reverse malate synthase activity generates single-labeled acetyl-CoA while pyruvate dehydrogenase generates unlabeled acetyl-CoA. Step 2. Forward malate synthase activity combines unlabeled acetyl-CoA with single-labeled glyoxylate to form single-labeled malate. Single-labeled malate can be reduced to double-labeled αKG, serving as a precursor for m+2 Glu. Meanwhile, citrate synthase combines double-labeled oxaloacetate and single-labeled acetyl-CoA to generate triple-labeled citrate. Subsequent oxidative decarboxylation leads to double-labeled αKG, serving as another source of m+2 Glu. This latter oxidative route can theoretically still explain the observation of m+2 Glu, but not m+3 Glu, in models that do not allow for reductive flux to αKG (Fig. 2 and 6). White circles, ^{12}C; black circles, ^{13}C; half-filled circles, either ^{12}C or ^{13}C due to scrambling from the symmetry of fumarate and succinate.

Fig. S2. Measured and simulated MIDs and fluxes are similar except for malate excretion

and biosynthetic redox reactions for *Rs. rubrum*. Comparison of measured and simulated

MIDs (A, B) or flux values (C, D) from optimal flux solutions for WT *Rs. rubrum* (A, C) or the

ΔPRKΔRubisco mutant (B, D) grown with [1,4-^{13}C]fumarate. (C, D) Values are mole % of the

fumarate uptake rate. Error bars, SD; n = 4 for MIDs and malate excretion. Biosynthetic fluxes

were assumed to have an SD of 10% of the mean.

Fig. S3. *Rs. rubrum* cultures test negative for sulfide

production using lead acetate strips. Strips darken when

exposed to sulfide. An aerobic culture of *Bacillus subtilis*

3610 grown in LB medium was used as a positive control for

sulfide production (52). Similar trends were observed for two

other biological replicates.

Fig. S4. ***Rp. palustris* does not adjust fluxes through the reductive and oxidative isoleucine**

synthesis pathways in response to the absence of the Calvin cycle. Estimated fluxes as a

percent of total isoleucine synthesis for the oxidative citramalate-dependent pathway and the

reductive threonine-dependent pathway in *Rp. palustris* NifA* (CGA676) and the NifA*

ΔPRKΔRubisco strain (CGA4011) during growth on the carbon sources indicated. All flux

values are in Table S4.