The reverse TCA cycle and reductive amino acid synthesis pathways contribute to electron

balance in a *Rhodospirillum rubrum* Calvin cycle mutant

Alexandra L. McCully[1,2†], Maureen C. Onyeziri[1†], Breah LaSarre[1], Jennifer R. Gliessman[1],

and James B. McKinlay[1]*

[1]Department of Biology, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN

[2] Current address: Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Stanford University, CA

[†]Equal contributions.

*Corresponding author, jmckinla@indiana.edu

Abstract

Purple nonsulfur bacteria (PNSB) use light for energy and organic substrates for carbon and

electrons when growing photoheterotrophically. This lifestyle generates more reduced electron

carriers than are required for biosynthesis. It is essential that this excess reducing power be

oxidized for photoheterotrophic growth to occur. Diverse PNSB commonly rely on the CO_2-

fixing Calvin cycle to oxidize excess reducing power. Some PNSB additionally utilize H_2

production or reduction of electron acceptors, such as dimethylsulfoxide, as alternative reductive

pathways to the Calvin cycle. *Rhodospirillum rubrum* Calvin cycle mutants defy this trend by

growing phototrophically on relatively oxidized substrates like malate and fumarate without H_2

production or access to electron acceptors. How *Rs. rubrum* Calvin cycle mutants maintain

electron balance under these conditions was unknown. Here, using ^{13}C-tracer experiments and

physiological assays, we found that *Rs. rubrum* Calvin cycle mutants use a reductive arm of the

tricarboxylic acid cycle when growing phototrophically on malate and fumarate. The reductive

synthesis of amino acids stemming from α-ketoglutarate is also likely important for electron

balance, as supplementing the growth medium with α-ketoglutarate-derived amino acids

prevented *Rs. rubrum* Calvin cycle mutant growth unless dimethylsulfoxide was provided as an

electron acceptor. Fluxes estimated from ^{13}C-tracer experiments also suggested the preferential

use of a reductive isoleucine synthesis pathway when the Calvin cycle was genetically

inactivated; however, this pathway was not essential for growth of a Calvin cycle mutant.

Importance. The lifestyle by which PNSB use organic carbon and light for energy comes with a

challenge in managing electrons. Excess electrons from the organic substrates can be coupled to

the assimilation of CO_2 in the Calvin cycle, avoiding a buildup of reduced electron carriers that

would halt metabolism. As an exception, *Rs. rubrum* can grow without the Calvin cycle when

provided with light and relatively oxidized substrates. By tracking stable isotopes in a *Rs.*

rubrum Calvin cycle mutant, we observed the reversal of an arm of the tricarboxylic acid cycle,

feeding electron-requiring amino acid synthesis pathways. Providing the mutant with these

amino acids prevented growth, suggesting that their synthesis is required for electron balance.

Our results highlight the contribution of biosynthetic reactions to electron balance and the

metabolic diversity that exists between PNSB, as most PNSB cannot grow without the Calvin

cycle under the conditions used in this study.

Introduction

Purple nonsulfur bacteria (PNSB) are a metabolically versatile group that are often cultured

under anaerobic conditions supporting photoheterotrophic growth, wherein light is used for

energy and organic compounds are used for carbon and electrons. This lifestyle presents a

challenge in maintaining electron balance, as oxidative metabolic pathways generate an excess of

reduced electron carriers, or reducing power (1, 2). Oxidation of excess reducing power is

essential for growth and is often coupled to CO_2 fixation in the Calvin cycle. Calvin cycle

mutants are generally incapable of photoheterotrophic growth unless another means of electron

disposal is possible, such as reduction of electron acceptors, like dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) (3-

6), or H_2 production via nitrogenase (7-11). Such trends have been observed for several model

PNSB including *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* (3, 4, 6, 11), *Rhodobacter capsulatus* (5, 12), and

Rhodopseudomonas palustris (7-10). An exception to this general observation is *Rhodosprillum*

rubrum, for which mutants incapable of Calvin cycle activity can grow photoheterotrophically

on relatively oxidized substrates, like malate and fumarate, without access to electron acceptors

and without producing H_2 (8, 13). However, these alternative electron-balancing mechanisms

were still required by *Rs. rubrum* Calvin cycle mutants for photoheterotrophic growth on more

reduced substrates, like succinate (8). The mechanism by which *Rs. rubrum* Calvin cycle mutants

maintain electron balance is unknown, but the fact that growth only occurs on relatively oxidized

substrates suggests that there is a constraint on the number of excess electrons that can be dealt

with in these mutants.

Alternative central metabolic pathways that could maintain electron balance during phototrophic

growth in the absence of the Calvin cycle have been explored in silico (14), and some have been

ruled out. For example, phototrophic growth by Calvin cycle mutants on malate could be

possible if the cells produced formate (14). However, we previously found that *Rs. rubrum*

Calvin cycle mutants did not excrete formate, nor did they accumulate polyhydroxybutyrate, an

electron rich storage polymer that could potentially help maintain electron balance (8). There is

also evidence that an alternative CO_2-fixing pathway could be involved, given that an *Rs. rubrum*

Calvin cycle mutant was observed to grow when CO_2 was the sole carbon source (15). The CO_2-

fixing ethylmalonyl-CoA pathway can substitute for the Calvin cycle to achieve electron balance

during phototrophic growth on acetate (16). However, during phototrophic growth on malate, the

ethylmalonyl-CoA pathway would not satisfy electron balance given that more reducing power

would be made enroute to the ethylmalonyl-CoA pathway than could subsequently be oxidized

by the ethylmalonyl-CoA pathway (14). Another CO_2-fixing pathway that might participate in

electron balance in *Rs. rubrum* Calvin cycle mutants is the reverse TCA cycle (14, 17).

Here we used ^{13}C-tracer experiments to gain insight into electron-balancing mechanisms in a *Rs.*

rubrum Calvin cycle mutant. Labeling patterns suggested the involvement of a reductive arm of

the TCA cycle from fumarate to α-ketoglutarate (αKG). This pathway feeds into reductive

pathways for the synthesis of αKG-derived amino acids. These amino acid synthesis pathways

also likely contribute to electron balance, as adding αKG-derived amino acids to the medium

prevented photoheterotrophic growth of a Calvin cycle mutant on malate unless DMSO was

added as an electron acceptor. Flux estimates from labeling experiments also revealed the

preferential use of a reductive isoleucine synthesis pathway over an alternative pathway in the

Calvin cycle mutant. Thus, *Rs. rubrum* appears to have flexibility within its innate biosynthetic

pathways to satisfy electron balance during phototrophic growth on relatively oxidized

substrates.

Results and Discussion

^{13}C-metabolic flux analysis of *Rs. rubrum* strains. To gain insight into how *Rs. rubrum* maintains electron balance without the Calvin cycle during phototrophic growth on relatively oxidized substrates, we performed ^{13}C-metabolic flux analysis (^{13}C-MFA) to estimate in vivo metabolic fluxes. In ^{13}C-MFA, the activities of different pathways generate signature patterns of ^{12}C and ^{13}C that are imprinted on proteinaceous amino acids, which can be deciphered using gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) (18). Software is then used to identify a set of fluxes that can explain the observed labeling patterns. Directly measured fluxes, such as those for excreted products and those needed to generate a defined biomass composition, are also taken into account. We grew wild-type (WT) *Rs. rubrum* and a Calvin cycle mutant lacking genes for phosphoribulokinase (PRK) and ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase (RuBisCO), hereon referred to as the ΔCalvin mutant, each in a defined medium with [1,4-^{13}C]fumarate. Cells were harvested in exponential phase to determine mass isotopomer distributions (MIDs) in proteinaceous amino acids (i.e., labeling patterns). No H$_2$ was detected at the time of harvesting, indicating that H$_2$ production was not involved in electron balance. High performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) analysis of culture supernatants revealed that malate was excreted by each strain but more so by the ΔCalvin mutant (malate yield [mol/100 mol fumarate] ± SD: WT, 8.5 ± 3.9; ΔCalvin, 46.2 ± 24.9).

We then used the ^{13}C-MFA software 13CFLUX2 (19) to estimate a set of fluxes that could explain the observed amino acid MIDs (Table S1), malate excretion, and the *Rs. rubrum* biomass composition (Table S2). For the *Rs. rubrum* biomass composition we determined the protein content (75 ± 4% of dry cell weight [DCW]; Fig. S1) and amino acid composition (Table

S3), and assumed that the remaining composition was similar to that of *Rp. palustris* (10). Fitting

algorithms used in ^{13}C-MFA can potentially generate multiple solutions. The fitting algorithm is

automatically constrained for carbon balance but does not account for electron balance. Thus,

one way to determine if an optimal solution is realistic is to examine whether it satisfies electron

balance; the total flux through reductive reactions must equal the total flux through oxidative

reactions. Neither flux map fully satisfied electron balance, but the violation for the ΔCalvin

mutant flux map was severe (Fig. S2); optimal solutions suggested that WT reductive fluxes

(NAD(P)$^{+}$ generating) countered 86% of the oxidative fluxes (NAD(P)H generating) whereas

ΔCalvin reductive fluxes countered only 56% of the oxidative fluxes. We therefore made a

redox-constrained model wherein the sum of fluxes through reductive reactions must equal the

fluxes through oxidative reactions. The optimal solutions from the redox-constrained models

(Fig. 1, Table S4) identified a set of fluxes that could still explained the observed MIDs for both

strains (Fig. 2A). However, like the flux solutions for the unconstrained models (Table S4), the

optimal flux solutions were also unable to fully satisfy the expected fluxes to biomass and

excreted malate for both strains (Fig. S3). Given this disagreement between simulated and

experimental biomass fluxes and the need to introduce a redox constraint, we do not place high

confidence in the resulting flux values. Nevertheless, the resulting optimal solutions point to

qualitative trends that could contribute to electron balance, which we address below.

Reverse TCA cycle flux from α-ketoglutarate to fumarate in *Rs. rubrum*. Comparing the flux

maps between WT *Rs. rubrum* and the ΔCalvin mutant revealed lower gluconeogenic (GNG)

flux in the mutant due to the combination of higher malate excretion and the absence of Calvin

cycle activity. Also of note was bifurcated TCA cycle flux converging on αKG for both strains

(Fig. 1). The reverse TCA cycle had previously been proposed as a mechanism by which a

Calvin cycle mutant could achieve electron balance (14) based on αKG synthase activity

detected in *Rs. rubrum* cell extracts (17). Thus, despite the estimated small magnitude of the flux

from fumarate to αKG (< 1 mole % of the fumarate uptake rate) we questioned whether this

reverse TCA cycle flux could be important for electron balance.

We first wanted to verify that the reverse TCA cycle flux from fumarate to αKG was

associated with at least one signature labeling pattern; in other words, we asked what labeling

patterns would be generated by reverse TCA cycle activity. We noticed that higher proportions

of double and triple-labeled glutamate (Glu) were observed in the ΔCalvin mutant compared to

WT *Rs. rubrum* (Fig. 2). We reasoned that in the case of reverse TCA cycle flux from [1,4-

^{13}C]fumarate to αKG, triple-labeled Glu could be generated when $^{13}CO_2$, liberated from

decarboxylation reactions in the catabolism of [1,4-^{13}C]fumarate, would carboxylate [1,4-

^{13}C]succinyl-CoA to form triple-labeled αKG, from which Glu is derived (Fig. 3A). Double-

labeled Glu can be explained by unlabeled CO_2 participating in the same carboxylation of

succinyl-CoA (Fig. 3B). The sources of unlabeled CO_2 could include catabolism of unlabeled

fumarate carried over from the starter culture or repeated turns of the oxidative TCA cycle,

which would eventually generate unlabeled CO_2 even from [1,4-^{13}C]fumarate (Fig. 3B).

To confirm that higher molecular weight (MW) Glu isotopomers were a signature of

reverse TCA cycle flux, we ran fitting algorithms using a model in which TCA cycle flux was

constrained to be strictly forward, or oxidative, with no reverse flux. Indeed, using this model

with strictly forward TCA cycle for the ΔCalvin mutant resulted in a disagreement between

simulated and observed Glu labeling patterns (Fig. 2). However, the WT optimal solutions from

this model could explain the Glu labeling patterns (Fig. 2). Enrichment of higher MW amino

acid isotopomers was a signature of Calvin cycle activity in our previous ^{13}C-labeling studies

with *Rp. palustris* (9, 10). Thus, in a WT model constrained to have only forward TCA cycle

flux, the higher MW Glu isotopomers could still be explained from Calvin cycle activity. In the

ΔCalvin mutant, reverse TCA cycle activity is the only pathway that can generate high MW Glu

isotopomers, explaining the discrepancy between simulated and observed Glu MIDs when the

TCA cycle was constrained to the forward direction.

MIDs from a separate labeling experiment, wherein WT and ΔCalvin *Rs. rubrum* strains were

cultured with unlabeled malate and NaH^{13}CO$_3$ as a source of ^{13}CO$_2$, also suggested reverse TCA

cycle flux from fumarate to αKG for both strains. In this case, the reverse TCA cycle flux was

determined with greater confidence for the ΔCalvin mutant at ~ 3 ± 1 mole % of the fumarate

uptake rate (Fig. 4A). The standard deviation for this activity in the WT strain remained large

(Fig. 4A), likely due to the possibility that the signature high MW Glu MIDs can also be

generated by the Calvin cycle. Consistent with the previous labeling experiment, the ΔCalvin

mutant also exhibited a higher proportion of higher MW Glu isotopomers compared to the WT

strain (Fig. 4B). Even though both the reverse TCA cycle and the Calvin cycle can generate

higher MW Glu isotopomers, labeling patterns generated by the Calvin cycle are more likely to

influence amino acids derived from glycolytic intermediates than from TCA cycle intermediates

like αKG, from which Glu is derived. αKG labeling is expected to be more heavily influenced by

the direct uptake of fumarate or malate nearby in the TCA cycle (9, 10). Thus the consistently

elevated proportions of high MW Glu isotopomers in the ΔCalvin mutant support the notion that

the labeling patterns were generated nearby and likely in response to the absence of the Calvin

cycle.

The *Rs. rubrum* gene annotated to encode αKG synthase is not required to generate higher

MW Glu isotopomers. We sought to genetically verify the involvement of the reverse TCA

cycle in maintaining electron balance in *Rs. rubrum*. The *Rs. rubrum* gene Rru_A2721 is

annotated to encode the αKG synthase α-subunit, an enzyme normally required for reverse TCA

cycle flux. We deleted Rru_A2721 in WT *Rs. rubrum* and in a ΔPRK mutant, which lacks the

gene for the essential Calvin cycle enzyme phosphoribulokinase (PRK). The ΔPRK mutant

cannot fix CO_2 via the Calvin cycle, has similar growth trends to the ΔCalvin mutant (8), and

offered a convenient background for genetic engineering because, unlike the ΔCalvin mutant, it

is not resistant to the antibiotic needed to select for integration of the suicide vector. We then

examined whether ΔRru_A2721 mutants generated high MW Glu under ^{13}C-labeling conditions

permitting growth. Specifically, we compared amino acid MIDs from WT *Rs. rubrum* to those

from a ΔRru_A2721 mutant grown with [1,4-^{13}C]succinate, and we also compared patterns from

the ΔPRK mutant and the ΔPRK ΔRru_A2721 mutant grown with [1,4-^{13}C]succinate and DMSO

as an alternative electron acceptor to permit growth. Both strains with the ΔRru_A2721 mutation

produced high MW Glu, including triple-labeled Glu (Table S1). There are three possibilities

that could explain this observation: (i) Rru_A2721 does not encode αKG synthase; (ii) *Rs.*

rubrum has redundant αKG synthase activities; or (iii) in the case of the mutants lacking PRK,

the high MW Glu patterns are generated by an activity other than the reverse TCA cycle or the

Calvin cycle.

In considering other pathways that might generate high MW Glu isotopomers, the ethylmalonyl-

CoA pathway was already ruled out because (i) it would not achieve electron balance during

growth on malate (14) and (ii) our optimal flux solutions showed negligible flux through the

ethylmalonyl-CoA pathway (Table S4). We also considered the involvement of CO

dehydrogenase, which was previously found to be upregulated in an H_2-producing *Rs. rubrum*

Calvin cycle mutant (20). The authors speculated that CO dehydrogenase could contribute to

electron balance by operating in reverse of the normally ascribed physiological direction,

reducing CO_2 to CO with subsequent coupling of CO oxidation to H_2 production (20). However,

we did not observe H_2 accumulation in our experiments nor would such a scenario explain the

high MW Glu isotopomers. In acetogens, CO dehydrogenase can participate in the conversion of

CO_2 to acetyl-CoA via the Wood-Ljungdahl pathway (21). This activity could contribute to label

enrichment but the *Rs. rubrum* CO dehydrogenase is thought to be incapable of such activity

(22). In agreement with this notion, including a reaction converting 2 CO_2 into AcCoA in a flux

model unconstrained for electron balance and lacking the Calvin cycle resulted in solutions that

favored cleavage of AcCoA into 2 CO_2 rather than the creation of AcCoA from CO_2 (Table S4).

These solutions also preserved reverse TCA cycle flux from fumarate to αKG. Thus, although the

possibility of another unknown reductive pathway in *Rs. rubrum* cannot be ruled out, the labeling

patterns we have observed thus far point to the activity of reverse TCA cycle flux from fumarate

to αKG.

An *Rp. palustris* Calvin cycle mutant does not generate higher MW Glu isotopomers. *Rs.*

rubrum Calvin cycle mutants can grow on fumarate and malate, whereas *Rp. palustris* Calvin

cycle mutants cannot unless they can dispose of excess electrons as H_2 (8). We therefore used

this knowledge to test the hypothesis that the increased proportion of high MW Glu isotopmers

in the *Rs. rubrum* ΔCalvin mutant is indicative of an electron-balancing mechanism that *Rs.*

rubrum possesses but *Rp. palustris* does not. To test this notion, we performed four separate

labeling experiments with the H_2-producing NifA* *Rp. palustris* strain CGA676 and its ΔCalvin

mutant counterpart, CGA4011 (8), using [1,4-^{13}C]succinate, [1,4-^{13}C]fumarate, unlabeled

succinate with $NaH^{13}CO_3$, or unlabeled malate with $NaH^{13}CO_3$. Unlike the *Rs. rubrum* ΔCalvin

mutant, which showed a higher abundance of higher MW Glu than the WT strain, the *Rp.*

palustris ΔCalvin mutant showed a lower abundance of higher MW Glu compared to the parent

NifA* strain in all labeling experiments (Fig. 5). Thus, the higher MW Glu isotopomers

observed in the *Rs. rubrum* ΔCalvin mutant arose as the result of a pathway that distinguishes *Rs.*

rubrum from *Rp. palustris*, with reverse TCA cycle flux from fumarate to αKG as the likely

candidate.

αKG-derived amino acids inhibit growth of a *Rs. rubrum* Calvin cycle mutant. Similar to

our findings for photoheterotrophic growth in *Rp. palustris* (9, 10), the small amount of flux to

αKG in our *Rs. rubrum* flux maps suggests that the magnitude of the flux to αKG is primarily

dictated by the need for αKG as a biosynthetic precursor. αKG is a precursor for the amino acids

Glu, Gln, Pro, and Arg, all of which are produced via reductive pathways and thus could also

contribute to electron balance (Fig. 1C and 6A). Glu, Gln, Pro, and Arg are all inhibitors of their

own synthesis pathways in *E. coli* (23-27). We predicted that if these amino acid synthesis

pathways are similarly regulated in *Rs. rubrum* then their synthesis could be repressed by

supplementing the growth medium with these amino acids; in this manner, supplementation with

these amino acids might avert the reverse TCA cycle flux to αKG. The addition of all four amino

acids (EQPR) had no effect on WT *Rs. rubrum* phototrophic growth trends on malate but

prevented ΔCalvin mutant culture growth (Fig. 6B). These results could be interpreted to mean

that adding Glu, Gln, Pro, and Arg inhibited the respective amino acid synthesis pathways and

thereby prevented electron balance. An alternative explanation could be that, even at 0.2 mM

each, the catabolism of these amino acids could contribute to an excess of reducing power.

However, adding four amino acids derived from oxaloacetate (DTMK) did not affect the growth

of either the WT or the ΔCalvin mutant on malate (Fig. 6C). The addition of either 0.7 mM

isoleucine (Fig. 7) or 0.7 mM leucine (data not shown), both of which should also generate

reducing power through their catabolism, also failed to affect the growth of the ΔCalvin mutant

on malate. While these results do not rule out the possibility of the catabolism of Glu, Gln, Pro,

and Arg creating an electron imbalance, they show that the inhibitory effect of Glu, Gln, Pro, and

Arg on the ΔCalvin mutant was specific to this mixture. To verify that the growth inhibition

caused by the αKG-derived amino acids was linked to electron balance rather than an unrelated

form of toxicity, we repeated the growth experiment in medium supplemented with the electron

acceptor DMSO. Indeed, adding DMSO rescued ΔCalvin mutant growth on malate in the

presence of αKG-derived amino acids (Fig. 6D). Thus, the addition of Glu, Gln, Pro, and Arg

created a growth-inhibiting electron imbalance in the ΔCalvin mutant.

Since the production of αKG-derived amino acids appears to contribute to electron

balance, one could expect the ΔCalvin mutant to generate more of these amino acids. However,

we did not observe a shift in biomass composition to favor the production of αKG-derived amino

acids in the ΔCalvin mutant. Whole cell protein content and amino acid composition were

similar between WT *Rs. rubrum* and the ΔCalvin mutant (Fig. S1 and Table S3). Excretion of

these amino acids is also unlikely because (i) accumulation of αKG-derived amino acids

represses growth of the ΔCalvin mutant (Fig. 6B) and (ii) excretion would leave electron

disposal unconstrained and would thus allow for the ΔCalvin mutant to achieve electron balance

during phototrophic growth on succinate, which is not observed (8). Thus, the contribution of the

combined reverse TCA cycle flux and synthesis of Glu, Gln, Pro, and Arg to electron balance is

likely limited by the constraints of the *Rs. rubrum* biomass composition.

Isoleucine is produced exclusively by a reductive pathway in the *Rs. rubrum* ΔCalvin

mutant. *Rs. rubrum* has two pathways for isoleucine synthesis. One pathway uses 1 oxaloacetate

and 1 pyruvate, and the other uses 1 AcCoA and 2 pyruvate (Fig. 7A). While both pathways are

technically reductive, we herein consider the AcCoA-utilizing pathway to be oxidative because

the path from fumarate to 2 pyruvate + 1 AcCoA generates more reducing equivalents than can

be oxidized in the subsequent synthesis of isoleucine (Fig. 7A). The optimal flux solutions for

Rs. rubrum strains grown on [1,4-^{13}C]fumarate estimated that the WT strain used the reductive

pathway to make 72% of the isoleucine whereas 100% of isoleucine was made by the reductive

pathway in the ΔCalvin mutant (Fig. 7A). Flux solutions from conditions using unlabeled malate

and Na^{13}CO$_2$ were more skewed, indicating that 100% of isoleucine was made by the oxidative

pathway in the WT strain whereas 100% of isoleucine was made by the reductive pathway in the

ΔCalvin mutant (Fig. 7A). It is possible that the abundance of CO_2 in the malate + Na^{13}CO$_2$

condition alleviated the need for reductive isoleucine synthesis in the WT strain, for example, by

stimulating Calvin cycle flux. Overall, our ^{13}C-MFA results suggest a role for reductive

isoleucine synthesis in maintaining electron balance, especially when Calvin cycle activity is

absent, as in the ΔCalvin mutant, or is limited by available CO_2.

To assess whether the reductive isoleucine pathway was essential for electron balance in

the absence of Calvin cycle activity, we deleted *ilvA*, encoding threonine dehydratase, in WT and

ΔPRK mutant backgrounds to prevent isoleucine synthesis via the reductive pathway. We also

grew WT and ΔCalvin *Rs. rubrum* strains in the presence of isoleucine, which has the potential

to inhibit both isoleucine synthesis pathways if they are regulated in *Rs. rubrum* as they are in *E.*

coli (28) and *Leptospira interrogans* (29). Neither deleting *ilvA* (Fig. 7B) nor adding isoleucine

to the growth medium (Fig. 7C) had a major effect on the growth trends of either the WT or the

Calvin cycle mutants. Thus, while the ^{13}C labeling patterns suggest that the flux through the two

isoleucine synthesis pathways is influenced by the need to maintain electron balance, the

reductive pathway is not essential for electron balance in *Rs. rubrum* Calvin cycle mutants.

Sulfide production does not participate in electron balance in *Rs. rubrum*. In addition to the

reverse TCA cycle flux suggested by the ^{13}C-labeling patterns, we also considered whether

pathways separate from carbon metabolism and H_2 production could contribute to electron

balance. Reduction of sulfate to sulfide by a spontaneous mutant of *Rb. sphaeroides* was

previously implicated as an alternative electron balancing mechanism (30). However, we did not

detect any sulfide in either WT or ΔCalvin mutant cultures using lead acetate strips (Fig. 8).

Conclusion. Overall, our data support previous results from our own group (8) and others (13)

that *Rs. rubrum* can maintain electron balance during photoheterotrophic growth on relatively

oxidized substrates without the Calvin cycle, H_2 production, or access to alternative electron

acceptors. While we consider it prudent to keep an open mind towards the possibility of an

additional reductive pathway, our results suggest that a *Rs. rubrum* Calvin cycle mutant can

maintain electron balance during phototrophic growth on malate and fumarate by using different

biosynthetic pathways. The relatively static nature of biomass composition for a given growth

condition places constraints on the extent to which different biosynthetic pathways can be

employed to achieve electron balance, and thus likely explains why a *Rs. rubrum* Calvin cycle

mutant cannot grow with succinate, which has only two more electrons than malate or fumarate

(8). Our flux estimates suggest that electron balance through biosynthetic pathways in a *Rs.*

rubrum Calvin cycle mutant is possible (Fig. 1C) and that alternative reductive pathways for

synthesizing certain amino acids likely participate in electron balance, specifically, (i) the

coupling of reverse TCA cycle flux to the synthesis of amino acids derived from αKG, and (ii)

the use of a reductive pathway instead of an oxidative pathway for isoleucine synthesis. It is also

possible that other biosynthetic pathways that would not be detected by our approach, such as

altered fatty acid composition, could also offer limited flexibility to contribute to electron

balance. Overall, our results highlight that while electron balance is a common challenge for all

PNSB during photoheterotrophic growth, the strategies that come into play to address the

challenge can differ between PNSB, with *Rs. rubrum* having electron-balancing mechanisms that

others do not.

337
Methods.

Strains and growth conditions. *Rs. rubrum* strains ΔCalvin (UR2557, Δ*cbbM::*Gm^R

Δ*cbbP*::Km^R (13)) and ΔPRK (UR2565, Δ*cbbP*::Km^R (13)) were derived from type-strain UR2

(32). *Rp. palustris* strains CGA676 (NifA*, (10)) and CGA4011 (NifA*, Δ*cbbLS*, Δ*cbbM*,

Δ*cbbP*::Km^R (8)) were derived from type-strain CGA009. *Bacillus subtilis* 3610 (33) and

Escherichia coli strains NEB10β (New England Biolabs) and WM3064 were cultured

aerobically on LB agar or in LB broth. WM3064 is a diaminopimelic acid (DAP) auxotroph and

was thus supplemented with 0.6 mM DAP (W. Metcalf, unpublished data). *E. coli* strains were

stored in growth medium with 25% glycerol at -80°C. Other cultures were revived from 10%

DMSO (*Rs. rubrum*) or 25% glycerol (*Rp. palustris*) frozen stocks by streaking for single

colonies on photosynthetic medium (PM) agar (34) supplemented with 10 mM disodium

succinate and 0.1% yeast extract without antibiotics for *Rs. rubrum* and *Rp. palustris*. Colonies

were then used to inoculate 3 ml aerobic starter cultures of PM (*Rp. palustris*) or MA medium

(*Rs. rubrum*) (8), each with 10 mM succinate. Aerobic starter cultures were grown at 30°C with

shaking at 150 rpm. A 0.1 ml inoculum from stationary phase aerobic starter cultures was then

transferred to 10 ml anaerobic PM (*Rp. palustris*) or MA (*Rs. rubrum*) supplemented with 10

mM of an organic substrate as indicated in 28-ml anaerobic test tubes sealed with rubber

stoppers under an argon headspace, as described (8). Where indicated, media was supplemented

with specific amino acids at 20 mg/L each prior to inoculation. Antibiotics were not used in

comparative growth experiments or ^{13}C-labeling experiments but were otherwise added to media

where appropriate. Gentamycin and kanamycin were used at 100 µg/ml each for *Rp. palustris*

and at 10 µg/ml each for *Rs. rubrum*. Gentamycin was used at 15 µg/ml for *E. coli*.

Analytical techniques. Cell density was assayed by optical density (OD_{660}) using a Genesys 20

visible spectrophotometer (Thermo-Fisher, Pittsburgh, PA). Specific growth rates were

determined using measurements with values between $0.1 - 0.8$ OD_{660}, where a linear

relationship between cell density and OD_{660} was maintained. H_2 was sampled from culture

headspace using a gas-tight syringe and analyzed using a Shimadzu GC-2014 gas chromatograph

as described (35). Organic acid levels in culture supernatants were determined by HPLC

(Shimadzu) as described (36). Sulfide was detected using lead acetate strips suspended above the

cultures. Strips were removed and photographed once cultures reached stationary phase (31).

***Rs. rubrum* biomass composition.** Measurements were performed for the WT strain (UR2) and

the ΔCalvin mutant (UR2557) grown in MA medium. Culture samples were taken between 0.08

and 0.85 OD_{660} and measured using plastic cuvettes with a 1-cm path length. DCWs were

determined as described (37) by filtering 30 mL of culture through preweighed 0.22-μm HA

filters (Millipore) and then drying overnight at 80 °C. Protein was quantified using a

bicinchoninic acid assay (Pierce) as described (10). Amino acid composition was determined

using a Hitachi L-8800 amino acid analyzer at the University of California, Davis Molecular

Structure Facility. The polyhydroxybutyrate content was previously found to be below the

detection limit (8). Since protein accounted for 75% of the DCW, other major macromolecules

were assumed to make up the same proportions of the remaining DCW not accounted for in

protein as found in *Rp. palustris* and with the same monomeric composition. Biomass

compositions for each strain were combined since the slopes for linear regression of DCW/L vs

OD_{660} (400 ± 40 mg/L/ OD_{660}) and protein vs. DCW (75 ± 4% of DCW) were both found to be

statistically similar (Fig. S1; $p > 0.1$, determined using the linear regression analysis function in

Graphpad Prism 6.0h).

[13]C-labeling experiments and metabolic flux analysis. Three or four biological replicates of

each strain were inoculated from unlabeled starter cultures into medium containing the indicated

[13]C-labeled substrate, provided at 100%. Cultures were harvested in exponential phase between

0.2 – 0.7 OD_{660} as described previously (10). Amino acids were obtained from the cell pellets by

hydrolysis in 6 N HCl, derivatized, and then MIDs determined using gas chromatography-mass

spectrometry (GC-MS) as described (37). GC-MS analysis was performed at the Indiana

University Mass Spectrometry Facility. MIDs were corrected for natural abundances of all atoms

except for carbons in amino acid backbones using IsoCor software (38). Corrected amino acid

mass isotopomer distributions and flux measurements (i.e., excreted malate and fluxes derived

from biomass composition (Table S2)) were used with a metabolic model mapping the carbon

atom transitions through *Rs. rubrum* central metabolism (Table S4) using the software suite

13CFLUX2 (19). Our *Rs. rubrum* model includes the TCA cycle, gluconeogenesis/glycolysis,

the Calvin cycle, the pentose phosphate pathway, and the ethylmalonyl-CoA pathway (Table

S4). Redox models that required that the sum of fluxes through reduction reactions equaled the

sum of fluxes through oxidation reactions used the following constraint (wherein biosynthetic

fluxes include those to EQPR):

WT: ECP1 + GNG2 + vIleT*5 + vIle_alt + 1.264 (biosynthetic NADPH oxidation) - 0.395

(biosynthetic NAD$^+$ reduction) - oppp - vPyrDH - vME - MalDH - SDH - aKGDH1 - aKGDH2 -

IDH = 0

ΔCalvin: ECP1 + GNG2 + vIleT*5 + vIle_alt + 0.753 (biosynthetic NADPH oxidation) - 0.235

(biosynthetic NAD$^+$ reduction) - oppp - vPyrDH - vME - MalDH - SDH - aKGDH1 - aKGDH2 -

IDH = 0

For each condition or model variation tested, at least 100 different arrangements of starting free

parameter values was chosen at random using the 13CFLUX2 program, multifit. An optimal

solution was selected based on that which has the lowest sum of squared residuals between

simulated and measured data sets of MIDs and biosynthetic and extracellular fluxes. Standard

deviations for flux values were determined using the 13CFLUX2 linearized statistical analysis

program, fwdsim.

***Rs. rubrum* strain construction.** To create an in-frame deletion of Rru_A2721 (αKG synthase

α-subunit), the region upstream Rru_A2721 was amplified using primers BL50

(gacttctagactataacgatctggccgattggc) and BL524 (gactggtacccgtcatcatccgtctttcagagaag) and the

region downstream was amplified using primers BL525 (gactggtacccagggagagtgatcatggatacg)

and BL504 (gacttctagaactgggcttcgatttcggtgaa). The resulting PCR products were digested using

KpnI, ligated together, and then the ligation reaction was used as template for a second round of

PCR using primers BL501 and BL504. The resulting PCR product was purified, digested with

XbaI, and then ligated into XbaI-digested pJQ200SK (39). To create an in-frame deletion of

Rru_A2877 (*ilvA*, threonine deaminase), the upstream region was amplified using BL646

(gctggagctccaccgtgactccgtctggccccaag) and BL635 (tccccggcggtcatcgtccgccctcc) and the

downstream region was amplified using BL636 (cgatgaccgccggggattagggcaaatc) and BL647

(attcctgcagcccggcgtcgattacaccggcctc). The upstream and downstream PCR products were mixed

with pJQ200SK, which was PCR-linearized using BL644 (cggtggagctccagcttttg) and BL645

(ccgggctgcaggaattcg), and then assembled by Gibson Assembly (NEB). Ligation and Gibson

reactions were transformed into *E. coli* NEB10β (New England Biolabs) and transformants

verified by PCR and sequencing. Vectors were then introduced into electrocompetent *E.*

coli WM3064.

Vectors containing deletion constructs were transferred to *Rs. rubrum* strains by conjugation

with WM3064 on PM agar with yeast extract, succinate, and DAP. Mating patches were streaked

for single recombinants on PM agar with yeast extract, succinate, and Gm. Colonies were then

grown in liquid media without Gm to allow for a second recombination event. Cultures were

plated to PM agar with yeast extract, succinate, and 10% sucrose, screened for Gm sensitivity,

and then Gm-sensitive colonies were screened by PCR for the desired mutations.

Acknowledgements. This work was funded by the Indiana University College of Arts and Sciences. We thank GC Gordon for contributions to preliminary studies and JA Karty for GCMS training and advice.

References

1. Erb TJ. 2011. Carboxylases in natural and synthetic microbial pathways. Appl Environ Microbiol 77:8466-8477.
2. Muller FM. 1933. On the metabolism of the purple sulphur bacteria in orgainic media. Arch Microbiol 4:131-166.
3. Hallenbeck PL, Lerchen R, Hessler P, Kaplan S. 1990. Roles of CfxA, CfxB, and external electron acceptors in regulation of ribulose 1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase expression in *Rhodobacter sphaeroides*. J Bacteriol 172:1736-1748.
4. Hallenbeck PL, Lerchen R, Hessler P, Kaplan S. 1990. Phosphoribulokinase activity and regulation of CO_2 fixation critical for photosynthetic growth of *Rhodobacter sphaeroides*. J Bacteriol 172:1749-1761.
5. Paoli GC, Vichivanives P, Tabita FR. 1998. Physiological control and regulation of the *Rhodobacter capsulatus cbb* operons. J Bacteriol 180:4258-4269.
6. Falcone DL, Tabita FR. 1991. Expression of endogenous and foreign ribulose 1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase-oxygenase (RubisCO) genes in a RubisCO deletion mutant of *Rhodobacter sphaeroides*. J Bacteriol 173:2099-2108.
7. McCully AL, McKinlay JB. 2016. Disrupting Calvin cycle phosphoribulokinase activity in *Rhodopseudomonas palustris* increases the H_2 yield and specific production rate proportionately. Int J Hydrogen Energy 41:4143-4149.
8. Gordon GC, McKinlay JB. 2014. Calvin cycle mutants of photoheterotrophic purple nonsulfur bacteria fail to grow due to an electron imbalance rather than toxic metabolite accumulation. J Bacteriol 196:1231-1237.
9. McKinlay JB, Harwood CS. 2011. Calvin cycle flux, pathway constraints, and substrate oxidation state together determine the H_2 biofuel yield in photoheterotrophic bacteria. mBio 2: e01620-17.
10. McKinlay JB, Harwood CS. 2010. Carbon dioxide fixation as a central redox cofactor recycling mechanism in bacteria. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107:11669-11675.
11. Farmer RM, Laguna R, Panescu J, McCoy A, Logsdon B, Zianni M, Moskvin OV, Gomelsky M, Tabita FR. 2014. Altered residues in key proteins influence the expression and activity of the nitrogenase complex in an adaptive CO_2 fixation-deficient mutant strain *of Rhodobacter sphaeroides*. Microbiology 160:198-208.
12. Öztürk Y, Gökçe A, Peksel B, Gürgan M, Özgür E, Gündüz U, Eroğlu I, Yücel M. 2012. Hydrogen production properties of *Rhodobacter capsulatus* with genetically modified redox balancing pathways. Int J Hydrogen Energy 37:2014–2020.
13. Wang D, Zhang Y, Pohlmann EL, Li J, Roberts GP. 2011. The poor growth of *Rhodospirillum rubrum* mutants lacking RubisCO is due to the accumulation of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate. J Bacteriol 193:3293-3303.

14. Hädicke O, Grammel H, Klamt S. 2011. Metabolic network modeling of redox balancing and
biohydrogen production in purple nonsulfur bacteria. BMC Syst Biol 5:150.
15. Wang X, Modak HV, Tabita FR. 1993. Photolithoautotrophic growth and control of CO_2
fixation in *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* and *Rhodospirillum rubrum* in the absence of ribulose
bisphosphate carboxylase-oxygenase. J Bacteriol 175:7109-7114.
16. De Meur Q, Deutschbauer A, Koch M, Wattiez R, Leroy B. 2018. Genetic plasticity and
ethylmalonyl coenzyme A pathway during acetate assimilation in *Rhodospirillum rubrum*
S1H under photoheterotrophic conditions. Appl Environ Microbiol 84: e02038-17.
17. Buchanan BB, Evans MC, Arnon DI. 1967. Ferredoxin-dependent carbon assimilation in
Rhodospirillum rubrum. Arch Mikrobiol 59:32-40.
18. Buescher JM, Antoniewicz MR, Boros LG, Burgess SC, Brunengraber H, Clish CB,
DeBerardinis RJ, Feron O, Frezza C, Ghesquiere B, Gottlieb E, Hiller K, Jones RG,
Kamphorst JJ, Kibbey RG, Kimmelman AC, Locasale JW, Lunt SY, Maddocks OD, Malloy
C, Metallo CM, Meuillet EJ, Munger J, Noh K, Rabinowitz JD, Ralser M, Sauer U,
Stephanopoulos G, St-Pierre J, Tennant DA, Wittmann C, Vander Heiden MG, Vazquez A,
Vousden K, Young JD, Zamboni N, Fendt SM. 2015. A roadmap for interpreting [13]C
metabolite labeling patterns from cells. Curr Opin Biotechnol 34:189-201.
19. Weitzel M, Noh K, Dalman T, Niedenfuhr S, Stute B, Wiechert W. 2013. 13CFLUX2-high-
performance software suite for ^{13}C-metabolic flux analysis. Bioinformatics 29:143-145.
20. Joshi HM, Tabita FR. 2000. Induction of carbon monoxide dehydrogenase to facilitate redox
balancing in a ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase-deficient mutant strain of
Rhodospirillum rubrum. Arch Microbiol 173:193-199.
21. Ragsdale SW, Pierce E. 2008. Acetogenesis and the Wood-Ljungdahl pathway of CO_2
fixation. Biochim Biophys Acta 1784:1873-1898.
22. Bonam D, Ludden PW. 1987. Purification and characterization of carbon monoxide
dehydrogenase, a nickel, zinc, iron-sulfur protein, from *Rhodospirillum rubrum*. J Biol Chem
262:2980-2987.
23. Perez-Arellano I, Rubio V, Cervera J. 2006. Mapping active site residues in glutamate-5-
kinase. The substrate glutamate and the feed-back inhibitor proline bind at overlapping sites.
FEBS Lett 580:6247-6253.
24. Caspi R, Billington R, Fulcher CA, Keseler IM, Kothari A, Krummenacker M, Latendresse
M, Midford PE, Ong Q, Ong WK, Paley S, Subhraveti P, Karp PD. 2018. The MetaCyc
database of metabolic pathways and enzymes. Nucleic Acids Res 46:D633-D639.
25. Leisinger T, Haas D. 1975. N-Acetylglutamate synthase of *Escherichia coli* regulation of
synthesis and activity by arginine. J Biol Chem 250:1690-1693.
26. Jiang P, Peliska JA, Ninfa AJ. 1998. The regulation of *Escherichia coli* glutamine synthetase
revisited: role of 2-ketoglutarate in the regulation of glutamine synthetase adenylylation state.
Biochemistry 37:12802-12810.
27. Miller RE, Stadtman ER. 1972. Glutamate synthase from *Escherichia coli*. An iron-sulfide
flavoprotein. J Biol Chem 247:7407-7419.
28. Eisenstein E, Yu HD, Schwarz FP. 1994. Cooperative binding of the feedback modifiers
isoleucine and valine to biosynthetic threonine deaminase from *Escherichia coli*. J Biol
Chem 269:29423-29429.
29. Xu H, Zhang Y, Guo X, Ren S, Staempfli AA, Chiao J, Jiang W, Zhao G. 2004. Isoleucine
biosynthesis in *Leptospira interrogans* serotype lai strain 56601 proceeds via a threonine-
independent pathway. J Bacteriol 186:5400-5409.

30. Rizk ML, Laguna R, Smith KM, Tabita FR, Liao JC. 2011. Redox homeostasis phenotypes
in RubisCO-deficient *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* via ensemble modeling. Biotechnol Prog
27:15-22.
31. Xia Y, Lu C, Hou N, Xin Y, Liu J, Liu H, Xun L. 2017. Sulfide production and oxidation by
heterotrophic bacteria under aerobic conditions. ISME J 11:2754-2766.
32. Fitzmaurice WP, Saari LL, Lowery RG, Ludden PW, Roberts GP. 1989. Genes coding for
the reversible ADP-ribosylation system of dinitrogenase reductase from *Rhodospirillum*
rubrum. Mol Gen Genet 218:340-347.
33. Kearns DB, Losick R. 2003. Swarming motility in undomesticated *Bacillus subtilis*. Mol
Microbiol 49:581-590.
34. Kim M, Harwood CS. 1991. Regulation of benzoate-CoA ligase in *Rhodopseudomonas*
palustris. FEMS Microbiol Lett 83:199-203.
35. Huang JJ, Heiniger EK, McKinlay JB, Harwood CS. 2010. Production of hydrogen gas from
light and the inorganic electron donor thiosulfate by *Rhodopseudomonas palustris*. Appl
Environ Microbiol 76:7717-7722.
36. McKinlay JB, Zeikus JG, Vieille C. 2005. Insights into *Actinobacillus succinogenes*
fermentative metabolism in a chemically defined growth medium. Appl Environ Microbiol
71:6651-6656.
37. McKinlay JB, Shachar-Hill Y, Zeikus JG, Vieille C. 2007. Determining *Actinobacillus*
succinogenes metabolic pathways and fluxes by NMR and GC-MS analyses of ^{13}C-labeled
metabolic product isotopomers. Metab Eng 9:177-192.
38. Millard P, Letisse F, Sokol S, Portais JC. 2012. IsoCor: correcting MS data in isotope
labeling experiments. Bioinformatics 28:1294-1296.
39. Quandt J, Hynes MF. 1993. Versatile suicide vectors which allow direct selection for gene
replacement in gram-negative bacteria. Gene 127:15-21.

Figures and legends

Fig. 1. Redox-constrained flux models suggest reverse TCA cycle flux from fumarate to αKG for

WT *Rs. rubrum* (UR2) (A) and the ΔCalvin mutant (UR2557) (B) grown phototrophically on

malate. The ethylmalonyl-CoA pathway was estimated to carry negligible flux and is not shown

for simplicity. Flux magnitudes are indicated by arrow thickness. Net flux direction is indicated

by an enlarged arrowhead. Fluxes that have a value of less than 1 mol % of the fumarate uptake

rate are shown as dashed arrows. (C) Comparison of fluxes through redox reactions. NAD(P)H

columns refer to those reactions that reduce electron carriers (oxidative pathways), whereas

NAD(P)$^+$ columns refer to those reactions that oxidize electron carriers (reductive pathways).

Biosyn, biosynthesis; PyrDH, pyruvate dehydrogenase; MalDH, malate dehydrogenase; IDH,

isocitrate dehydrogenase; OPPP, oxidative pentose phosphate pathway; ECP, ethylmalonyl-CoA

pathway; aKGDH, αKG dehydrogenase/synthase; SDH, succinate dehydrogenase; Ile – alt,

isoleucine synthesis from pyruvate and AcCoA; Ile – trad'l, isoleucine synthesis from pyruvate

and oxaloacetate; GNG/Calvin, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase in either

gluconeogenesis or the Calvin cycle; EQPR, synthesis of Glu, Gln, Pro, and Arg. (A-C) All

fluxes are normalized to the fumarate uptake rate for each strain. Absolute flux values were 1.73

± 0.09 and 0.66 ± 0.16 mmol fumarate / g DCW/ h ± SD, for the WT and ΔCalvin mutant,

respectively. All flux values are in Table S4.

Fig. 2. Reverse TCA cycle flux is required to explain Glu MIDs in the *Rs. rubrum* ΔCalvin

mutant. (A, B) Top, WT *Rs. rubrum* (UR2); bottom, *Rs. rubrum* ΔCalvin (UR2557). **A.** Linear

regression of measured vs simulated MID values from the optimal flux solutions for strains

grown with [1,4-^{13}C]fumarate. Simulations used a flux model that either allowed (left) or did not

allow (right) for reversible flux between succinate (Suc) and αKG. Irreversible flux from

isocitrate (Ict) to αKG was assumed for all flux models. Yellow, Glu MIDs; black, MIDs for all

other examined amino acids. **B.** Comparison of measured and simulated (Sim) Glu MIDs from

panel A. Error bars, SD; n=4.

Fig. 3. Possible mechanisms leading to the production of triple-labeled glutamate (**A**) or lower MW glutamate isotopomers (**B**) from [1,4-^{13}C]fumarate in *Rs. rubrum*. Note that double- and triple-labeled glutamate are each expected to arise from reverse TCA cycle activity, especially in a ΔCalvin mutant. Black, ^{13}C; white, ^{12}C.

Fig. 4. Flux estimates from MIDs generated during phototrophic growth on unlabeled malate and NaH^{13}CO$_3$ suggest reverse TCA cycle flux from fumarate to αKG in the *Rs. rubrum* ΔCalvin mutant. (A) Estimate flux through αKG dehydrogenase/synthase (positive: αKG → succinate; negative: succinate → αKG) from the optimal flux solutions. (B) Comparison of measured Glu MIDs from the WT strain (UR2) and the ΔCalvin mutant (UR2557). Error bars, SD; n=3.

Fig. 5. Glu MIDs from *Rp. palustris* NifA* (CGA676) and a *Rp. palustris* NifA* Calvin cycle mutant (CGA4011) grown phototrophically on [1,4-^{13}C]succinate (A), [1,4-^{13}C]fumarate (B), succinate + NaH^{13}CO$_3$ (C), or malate + NaH^{13}CO$_3$ (D). CGA676 data from [1,4-^{13}C]succinate and [1,4-^{13}C]fumarate conditions were reported previously (9).

Fig. 6. αKG-derived amino acids represses phototrophic growth of the *Rs. rubrum* ΔCalvin mutant on malate. (A) Abbreviated pathway illustrating the redox reactions involved in the synthesis of the four amino acids synthesized from αKG-derived. (B-D) Growth curves for WT and the ΔCalvin mutant grown with either a mixture of glutamate, glutamine, proline, and arginine (EQPR) (B) with or without DMSO (D) or with a mixture of aspartate, threonine, methione, and lysine (C; DTMK).

Fig. 7. *Rs. rubrum* Calvin cycle mutants use a non-essential reductive isoleucine synthesis

pathway. (A) Estimated fluxes as a percent of total isoleucine synthesis for the reductive

(orange) and oxidative (red) isoleucine synthesis pathways for the WT and ΔCalvin strains

during growth on either $[1,4-^{13}C]$fumarate or malate with $NaHC^{13}O_3$. (B) Effect of deleting the

ilvA, encoding threonine deaminase in the reductive isoleucine synthesis pathway, on growth

trends in WT and ΔPRK backgrounds. (C) Effect of adding isoleucine on the growth trends of

WT and ΔCalvin strains.

Bacillus subtilis 3610   *Rs. rubrum*   WT   ΔCalvin

Fig. 8. *Rs. rubrum* cultures test negative for sulfide production

using lead acetate strips. Strips darken when exposed to sulfide.

An aerobic culture of *Bacillus subtilis* 3610 grown in LB medium

was used as a positive control for sulfide production (31). Similar

trends were observed for 2 other biological replicates.

Supplementary figures and legends

Fig S1. Protein makes up 75% of *Rs. rubrum* DCW based on linear regression. WT (UR2; A)

and the ΔCalvin mutant (UR2557; B) were grown in MA medium with 10 mM disodium

fumarate. The solid line indicates the averaged slope and the dashed lines show 95% CI. There

was no statistical difference between the slopes for the WT and ΔCalvin plots according to a

linear regression analysis by Graphpad Prism 6.0h. Therefore the combined data were used to

determine the *Rs. rubrum* protein content (C).

Fig. S2. Unconstrained flux solutions for WT *Rs. rubrum* (UR2; A) and the ΔCalvin mutant

(UR2557; B) grown phototrophically on [1,4-^{13}C]fumarate do not satisfy electron balance.

Fluxes through reactions that either reduce electron carriers (oxidative pathways; NAD(P)H) or

oxidize electron carriers (reductive pathways; NAD(P)$^+$) are shown. A complete set of flux

values is available in Table S4.

Fig. S3 *Rs. rubrum* flux models fail to fully explain biomass composition. Flux values are

mole % of fumarate uptake rate. Each symbol represents either malate excretion (Mal) or the

total flux from a central metabolite needed to make components of biomass according to Table

S2 (e.g., the flux from pyruvate [Pyr] needed to make fatty acids, amino acids, etc.). Error bars,

SD. SD for malate was based on experimental measurements. SD for other biomass fluxes was

assumed to be 10% of the flux value.