Concurrence of Porin Loss and Modular Amplification of β-Lactamase Encoding Genes

Drives Carbapenem Resistance in a Cohort of Recurrent *Enterobacterales* Bacteremia

William C. Shropshire[1,2], Samuel L. Aitken[2,3], Reed Pifer[4], Jiwoong Kim[5], Micah M. Bhatti[6],

Xiqi Li[7], Awdhesh Kalia[8], Jessica Galloway-Peña[2,7,9], Pranoti Sahasrabhojane[7], Cesar A.

Arias[1,2,10,11], David E. Greenberg[2,12,13], Blake M. Hanson[1,2], Samuel A. Shelburne[2,7,9]#

[1]Center for Infectious Diseases, School of Public Health, University of Texas Health Science

Center, Houston, Texas, USA

[2]Center for Antimicrobial Resistance and Microbial Genomics, Division of Infectious Diseases,

University of Texas McGovern Medical School at Houston, Houston, Texas, USA

[3]Division of Pharmacy, MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas, USA

[4]Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Internal Medicine, University of Texas

McGovern Medical School at Houston, Houston, Texas, USA

[5]Department of Bioinformatics, UT Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, Texas, USA

[6]Department of Laboratory Medicine, MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas, USA

[7]Department of Infectious Diseases, MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas, USA

[8]Graduate Program in Diagnostic Genetics, School of Health Professions, The University of

Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas, USA

[9]Department of Genomic Medicine, MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas, USA

[10]Department of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics, University of Texas McGovern Medical

School at Houston, Houston, Texas, USA

[11]Molecular Genetics and Antimicrobial Resistance Unit-International Center for Microbial

Genomics, Universidad El Bosque, Bogotá, Colombia.

[12]Department of Internal Medicine, UT Southwestern, Dallas, Texas, USA

[13]Department of Microbiology, UT Southwestern, Dallas, Texas, USA

#Address correspondence to Samuel A. Shelburne, MD, PhD, sshelburne@mdanderson.org

ABSTRACT

Background

Carbapenem resistant *Enterobacterales* (CRE) remain urgent antimicrobial resistance threats.

Approximately half of CRE clinical isolates lack carbapenem hydrolyzing enzymes and develop

carbapenem resistance through alternative mechanisms. The purpose of this study was to

elucidate the development of carbapenem resistance mechanisms from clonal, recurrent

extended-spectrum β-lactamase positive *Enterobacterales* (ESBL-E) bacteremia isolates in a

vulnerable patient population.

Methods

This study investigated a historical, retrospective cohort of ESBL-E bacteremia cases in the

University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center (MDACC) from January 2015 to July 2016.

Phylogenetic and comparative genomic analyses were performed to identify clonal, recurrent

ESBL-E isolates developing carbapenem resistance. Oxford Nanopore Technology (ONT) long-

read and Illumina short-read sequencing data were used to generate consensus assemblies and to

identify signatures of mobile genetic element mediated amplification and transposition of

antimicrobial resistance genes. Serial passaging experiments were performed on a set of clinical

ST131 ESBL-E isolates to recapitulate *in vivo* observations. qPCR and qRT-PCR were used to

determine respective copy number and transcript levels of β-lactamase genes.

Results

116 ESBL-E bacteremia cases were identified, 16 of which had documented recurrent infections.

Four serial, recurrent isolates displayed a carbapenem resistant phenotype, three without the

acquisition of a known carbapenemase. These three isolates had non-carbapenemase-producing

CRE (non-CP-CRE) mechanisms driven by IS*26*- and IS*Ecp1*-mediated amplification of

respective translocatable units (TU) and transposition units (TPU) harboring both bla_{OXA-1} and

bla_{CTX-M} variants with concomitant outer membrane porin disruption. The TU and TPU

structures inserted into the open reading frames of outer membrane porin genes in a subset of

non-CP-CRE isolates. Serial passage of an index ST131 ESBL-E isolate under selective

carbapenem exposure resulted in chromosomal amplification of modular, TUs harboring β-

lactamase genes with concomitant porin inactivation, recapitulating the *in vivo* carbapenem

resistance progression. Long-read sequencing of two additional MDACC bacteremia strains

identified similar non-CP-CRE mechanisms observed in the serial isolates.

Conclusions

Non-CP-CRE *de novo* mechanisms were the primary driver of CRE development in recurrent

bacteremia cases within this vulnerable patient population. The incorporation of long-read ONT

data into AMR surveillance platforms is critical to identify high-risk CRE isolates that are

difficult to identify with low-resolution phenotypic and molecular characterization methods.

Keywords:

Non-carbapenemase-producing carbapenem resistant *Enterobacterales*, Oxford Nanopore

Technologies MinION Sequencing, Mobile Genetic Element Amplifications, Mobile Genetic

Element Transpositions

BACKGROUND

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is an emerging global health priority and carbapenem resistant *Enterobacterales* (CRE) are among the most serious AMR threats (1). Carbapenem resistance can develop due to the acquisition of enzymes that hydrolyze carbapenems, known as carbapenemases, as well as through changes in outer membrane permeability and/or drug efflux activity, which decrease intracellular carbapenem concentrations (2). While most CRE research has focused on characterizing carbapenemases (2), recent clinical and molecular epidemiology studies indicate approximately 50% of CRE isolates are not carbapenemase carriers, suggesting a substantial proportion of CRE isolates develop carbapenem resistance through alternative mechanisms (3, 4). Molecular characterization of these alternative mechanisms indicate non carbapenemase-producing carbapenem resistant *Enterobacterales* (non-CP-CRE) generally carry extended-spectrum β-lactamases (ESBL) or AmpC-like enzymes with concomitant mutations that alter porin function (2). Further studies reveal that *Enterobacterales* strains carrying outer membrane porin mutations, but lacking ESBL or AmpC-like enzymes, develop *de novo* carbapenem resistance at lower rates during serial passage under increasing carbapenem concentrations (5, 6). Thus, the presence of ESBL or cephalosporinase genes may be a component cause in non-CP-CRE development. Additionally, van Boxtel et al. demonstrated that serial passaging of an ESBL or AmpC-producing isolate in the presence of a carbapenem can result in amplification of plasmid-borne β-lactamase genes (7). Increased expression of the narrow-spectrum TEM β-lactamases has similarly been reported to result in cefepime (8) and piperacillin-tazobactam resistance (9-11), indicating that β-lactamase gene dosage is a factor in increasing resistance to multiple β-lactam chemotherapies. These findings demonstrate expression level and copy number of β-lactamases without known carbapenemase activity have

important effects on carbapenem susceptibility in porin deficient backgrounds (6, 7).

Nevertheless, there remains a gap in knowledge regarding how these non-CP-CRE mechanisms

evolve *in vivo*, as few existing studies have investigated serially collected isolates from large,

patient cohorts (12-16).

This gap in knowledge is particularly relevant in carbapenem resistant *Escherichia coli*, where

the majority of these isolates are non-CP-CRE (3, 4). Additionally, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*

isolates with non-CP-CRE phenotypes have also been identified, albeit less frequently (3, 4).

Both non-CP-CR *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* can cause severe disease, including bacteremia,

which have high mortality rates (17). The detection and treatment of infections with these non-

CP-CRE isolates remain challenging relative to carbapenemase producing CRE as phenotypic

tests may incorrectly identify these isolates as carbapenem susceptible and definitive therapy

options may not be readily evident. This highlights the importance to further characterize non-

CP-CRE mechanism development, which may provide insights into new surveillance and/or

treatment options. Since there remains a lack of studies fully characterizing non-CP-CRE

development, we performed a systematic analysis of non-CP-CRE mechanisms using a large

cohort of patients with whole genome sequencing (WGS).

We utilized a cohort of patients with ESBL-E bacteremia from the University of Texas MD

Anderson Cancer Center (MDACC). Importantly, analysis of a previous MDACC cohort of non-

CP-CRE bacteremia isolates indicated these strains had increased short-read mapping of β-

lactamase encoding genes suggestive of β-lactamase encoding gene amplification (18).

Nevertheless, the amplification structures and genomic context of these gene amplifications

could not be discerned with this short-read sequencing analysis. This is due to inherent

limitations with short-read sequencing assemblers, which have difficulties resolving the

complex, repetitive mobile genetic elements (MGEs) that carry resistance genes (19). Therefore,

we present an analysis utilizing Oxford Nanopore Technologies (ONT) MinION sequencing, a

long-read sequencing platform, which overcomes these limitations to elucidate these

amplification mechanisms. This study aims to track the *de novo* development of carbapenem

resistance mechanisms during recurrent *Enterobacterales* bacteremia infection by specifically

focusing on ESBL positive *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* strains and characterizing the non-CP-

CRE associated β-lactamase amplification mechanisms within our cohort.

METHODS

Study design and clinical data abstraction

A retrospective review of patients with ESBL-E bacteremia hospitalized from January 2015 to

July 2016 was conducted at MDACC in Houston, Texas. All patients with one or more episodes

of ESBL-E bacteremia who were 18 years of age or greater were eligible for inclusion. Blood

culture isolates are routinely saved at MDACC and stored at -80°C. Clinical and demographic

characteristics were manually extracted from electronic medical records and recorded using

REDCap software (Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN) (20).

Isolate identification and antimicrobial susceptibility testing

Antibiotic susceptibility testing was performed per routine clinical laboratory practice using an

automated system (Vitek2, bioMérieux, Marcy L'Étoile, France) with additional testing

performed as needed using individual antibiotic gradient strips (Etest, bioMérieux). ESBL

production was assessed per routine laboratory practice on *E. coli*, *K. pneumonia*, and *K. oxytoca*

isolates that were resistant to one or more oxyimino-cephalosporins (e.g. cefotaxime,

ceftriaxone, or ceftazidime) using either the ESBL Etest (bioMérieux) or the Rapid ESBL Screen

kit (ROSCO, Taastrup, Denmark). Carbapenemase production was evaluated in the clinical lab

on any *Enterobacterales* isolate resistant to one more of the carbapenems using the Neo-Rapid

CARB kit (ROSCO) according to manufacturer's instructions. Carbapenem resistance (CR) was

defined as resistance to either ertapenem or meropenem using CLSI criteria (21). Recurrent

Enterobacterales bacteremia was defined as identification of the same species in blood culture at

any point during the follow-up period following at least one negative blood culture and

completion of an antibiotic treatment regimen.

Illumina short-read sequencing

All available isolates from recurrent bacteremia patients, initially underwent whole genome

sequencing (WGS) via Illumina HiSeq as described previously (18). The paired-end short-reads

were assessed using the FastQC toolkit (Babraham Institute), and adaptors as well as low-quality

reads were trimmed using Trimmomatic v0.33 (22). Genome assembly was performed using

SPAdes v3.9.1 (23). Depth of short read mapping to individual genes of interest was quantitated

relative to the average read mapping depth for the pubMLST housekeeping gene schema for *E.*

coli ST10 and ST131 respectively.

Oxford Nanopore Technologies (ONT) long-read sequencing

Serial isolates that developed non-CP-CRE, isolates used in the serial passaging experiments,

and non-CP-CRE isolates from a previous study (18) underwent Oxford Nanopore Technologies

(ONT) long-read sequencing. Library preps were completed using the SQK-RBK004 rapid

barcoding kit with ~400 ng of input DNA and run on ONT MinION R9.4.1 flow cells using the

ONT GridION X5 (Oxford, UK) per manufacturer's instructions. ONT fast5 data was generated

using ONT MinKNOW software (v3.0.13) with subsequent base-calling using Guppy v3.2.2

software (Oxford, UK). qcat-v1.1.0 was used for read demultiplexing, read length filtering

(>1000 bp), and barcode removal (nanoporetech qcat GitHub:

https://github.com/nanoporetech/qcat). A custom python script was used for the generation of

polished, consensus assemblies (Shropshire, W flye_hybrid_assembly_pipeline GitHub:

https://github.com/wshropshire/flye_hybrid_assembly_pipeline

). Briefly, the Flye-v2.5 (24) assembler was used for *de novo* assembly, and contigs were

circularized using berokka-v0.2 (Seemann, T berokka GitHub:

https://github.com/tseemann/berokka). The circlator v1.5.5 (25) 'clean' command was then used

to remove duplicate contigs sharing at least 90% identity. The remaining contigs were polished

with Racon-v.1.4.5 (26) using the Oxford Nanopore long reads and then re-oriented with

Circlator (25) "fixstart" to standardize the chromosome to the *dnaA* gene. A second long-read

polish was then performed with Racon-v1.4.5 and these polished, corrected contigs were used as

input for Medaka v0.8.1 long-read polishing (nanoporetech medaka GitHub:

https://github.com/nanoporetech/medaka) followed by multiple rounds of Illumina short-read

polishing using Racon-v1.4.5 (26). ONT assembly metrics are provided in **Table S1**.

Phylogenetics, genetic variant calling, and clonality analysis

Phylogenetic analysis, *in silico* MLST, and subsequent variant-calling of serial isolates compared

against the index strain ONT consensus assembly were used to determine clonality. Initially, the

pan-genome pipeline tool Roary-v3.12.0 (27) was used to perform a core genome alignment of

the short-read SPAdes assemblies using the probabilistic alignment program PRANK (27, 28). A

core genome pairwise SNP distance matrix was generated with these data using a custom Python

script (Narechania, A

GitHub:https://github.com/narechan/amnh/blob/master/bin/snp_matrixBuilder.pl), which was

subsequently used to build a maximum likelihood (ML) phylogenetic tree using RAxML-v8.2.12

(29). *In silico* multi-locus sequencing typing (MLST) was performed on the short-read SPAdes

assemblies using mlst-v2.15.1 (Seemann, T mlst Github: https://github.com/tseemann/mlst; (30).

Recurrent isolates that became non-CP-CRE were checked for clonality using the variant calling

pipeline tool Snippy-v4.3.6 (Seemann, T snippy GitHub: https://github.com/tseemann/snippy)

with INDELs removed.

Genome annotation, AMR gene, and MGE identification

Gene calling and functional annotation was performed using Prokka-v1.14.0 (31). Annotated

consensus genomes, as well as individual contigs, were parsed with ABRicate (Seemann, T

ABRicate Github: https://github.com/tseemann/abricate) using the Comprehensive Antibiotic

Resistance Database (CARD) (32) and PlasmidFinder (33) to search for AMR determinants and

plasmid signatures respectively. Additionally, annotated Prokka gbk files were used to

characterize ORFs of interests as well as confirm the results found with ABRicate. CARD,

PlasmidFinder, ISFinder (34) and BLAST webtools were utilized during manual inspection of

the assemblies to ensure correct context of annotated features, identify inverted repeat regions

and target site duplications of insertion sequences, and confirm likely AMR gene mutations if

present.

In cases where assemblies were not completely resolved due to putative large repeat regions that

could not be fully captured on ONT long reads, we used a newly developed tool SVAnts-v0.1

(35) (Hanson, Blake SVAnts Github: https://github.com/EpiBlake/SVAnts) to investigate subsets

of ONT long reads. This tool enabled us to find individual ONT long reads containing MGEs of

interest and align the DNA bordering these MGEs to our index assemblies to identify the regions

in which the MGE was inserted within each bacterial genome.

B-lactamase encoding gene and gene transcript level analysis

Quantitative PCR (qPCR) and quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) was used to assess both

DNA copy number and RNA transcript levels respectively. Strains were grown in triplicate on

two separate days (six biologic replicates) to mid-exponential phase ($OD_{600} \sim 0.5$) in Luria-

Bertani (LB) broth (ThermoFisher) at 37º C shaking at 220 rpm. DNA isolation was performed

using the DNEasy kit (Qiagen) and qPCR was performed using TaqMan reagents on the StepOne

Plus Real Time PCR platform (Applied Biosystems). The DNA levels of bla_{OXA-1} and bla_{CTX-M}

were determined relative to the *rpsL* control gene using the ΔCt method (6).

For RNA transcript level analysis, cells were mixed 1:2 with RNAProtect (Qiagen) and

harvested via centrifugation. RNA was isolated from cell pellets using the RNEasy kit (Qiagen)

and converted to cDNA using the High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied

Biosystems). Relative transcript levels of the β-lactamase encoding genes (bla_{OXA-1} and bla_{CTX-M})

were assayed using TaqMan reagents on the StepOne Plus Real Time PCR machine (Applied

Biosystems). The transcript level of bla_{OXA-1} and bla_{CTX-M} were determined relative to the

endogenous control gene *rpsL* (36) using the ΔCt method. qPCR and qRT-PCR primers and

probes are provided in **Table S2**.

Serial passaging experiments on clinical *E. coli* ST131 index bacteremia isolate

p4A passaging experiments with antibiotic selection were performed in LB broth under shaking

conditions at 37ºC. A single colony from the index strain of patient 4 (p4A) was grown

overnight, then diluted 1:100 into fresh LB containing ertapenem (ETP) (Sigma-Aldrich) at 0.5

MIC ETP. The process was repeated with increasing concentrations of ETP until growth was

observed at an ETP concentration of 32 µg/mL. Passaging experiments were performed twice

with growth occurring at an ETP MIC of ≥ 32 µg/mL within 3 passages (i.e. 72 hours) on each

occasion. For the first passaging experiment, cells were collected on four consecutive days

(strains p4A_1 to p4A_4) while continuing to passage at an ETP concentration of 32 µg/mL.

This was done in order to determine whether progressive β-lactamase encoding gene

amplification would be observed. On the second set of passaging experiments, cells were

collected on the first day that the ETP MIC reached ≥ 32 µg/mL, serially diluted onto agar plates,

and two different isolates were studied to assess for heterogeneity (strains p4A_H1 and

p4A_H2). Additional serial passaging experiments were conducted using p4C and p4D isolates

in the absence of antibiotic selection to determine the stability of the amplified units with

protocol adapted from previously published methods (37).

β-lactamase cloning and expression analysis

The open reading frames of β-lactamases were amplified from genomic DNA of the *K.*

pneumoniae strain MB101 using Q5 polymerase and the primers listed in **Table S2**. Cloned

ORFs were inserted into the arabinose inducible vector pBAD33 by Gibson assembly (38) of

purified products. pBAD33*bla*$_{CTX-M-15}$ and pBAD33*bla*$_{OXA-1}$ were transformed into DH5α *E. coli*

and were maintained with 50 μg/mL chloramphenicol in cation adjusted Mueller Hinton (MHII)

media. MIC assays were performed with ceftriaxone (Sandoz GmbH), or piperacillin-tazobactam

(Fresenius Kabi USA) as follows. DH5α strains carrying pBAD33*bla*$_{CTX-M-15}$, pBAD33*bla*$_{OXA-1}$,

or control vector were grown for 18 hours at 220 rpm at 37°C in MHII with 50 μg/mL

chloramphenicol. These cultures were diluted to approximately $5x10^5$ CFU/mL in MHII with

0.2% L-Arabinose or vehicle control, without chloramphenicol. Each strain was exposed to serial

dilutions of the above drugs in microtiter plates sealed with gas-permeable membranes (Midsci).

Microtiter plates were incubated for 18 hours at 220 rpm at 37°C, followed by OD$_{600}$

measurement in a Biotek Synergy HT plate reader. The lowest tested antibiotic concentration

yielding OD$_{600}$ measurement of 0.06 or less in at least two of three replicate wells was

considered to be the MIC.

Statistical analyses

All statistical analyses were performed using Stata v13.1 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX).

Bivariate comparisons between patients with recurrent bacteremia and patients with a single

bacteremia episode were made with the Wilcoxon Rank-sum test and Fisher's exact test as

appropriate based on covariate distributions. Comparisons of DNA and RNA levels among

strains was performed using the Kruskall-Wallis test when more than two strains were analyzed

or the Wilcoxon Rank-sum test when two strains were analyzed. MIC comparisons were

performed using ANOVA with Dunnett's test of multiple comparisons. Statistical significance

was assigned as a two-sided *P* value < 0.05.

Data availability

The index isolate assemblies from recurrent bacteremia patients that developed non-CP-CRE as

well as the long-read and short-read data for all isolates have been deposited in the National

Center for Biotechnology (NCBI) BioProject database PRJNA603908. ONT-sequencing data of

non-CP-CRE isolates from a previous study (18) were deposited in NCBI BioProject database

PRJNA388450. All other data analyzed during this study are available in the supplemental

materials and/or available upon request from the corresponding author.

RESULTS

116 patients with ESBL-E bacteremia were identified from the University of Texas MD

Anderson Cancer Center (MDACC) from January 2015 to July 2016

Clinical and demographic features are presented on **Table S3**. *E. coli* was the most common

organism isolated (100/116; 86.2%), followed by *K. pneumoniae* (14/116; 12.1%), and *K.*

oxytoca (2/116; 1.7%). Carbapenems were used as primary treatment in 92% of index cases.

Recurrent bacteremia was identified in 16/116 (13.8%) patients and primarily occurred either in

patients with leukemia or recipients of hematopoietic stem cell transplants (14/16 cases, **Table**

S3). The majority (14/16) of recurrent bacteremia cases had *E. coli* isolated, with the remaining

two cases being due to *K. pneumoniae* infections. Carbapenem-resistant isolates were present in

4/16 (25%) recurrent bacteremia patients (**Fig. 1**). All four recurrent isolates that developed

carbapenem resistance were *E. coli* isolates from leukemia patients. The full set of serial isolates

was available for 11/16 (68.8%) patients, including all strains from the four patients that had at

least one recurrent isolate that developed a carbapenem resistant phenotype.

FIG 1 Overview of strains using Illumina short-read data. Timeline showing date of serial

isolation from blood cultures. Patient numbers are in the first column. The shape and color of the

isolates as labelled in the legend indicate clonality and antimicrobial resistance respectively.

Strains were considered possibly clonal if they were the same sequence type and clustered on the

phylogenetic tree. Patient subgroups (e.g. Patient 1; isolate A and B) refers to the order of

isolation. Abbreviations are as follows: ESBL-E = extended spectrum β-lactamase producing

Enterobacterales, CRE = carbapenem resistant *Enterobacterales*.

Detection of non-CP-CRE emergence from three sets of clonal, ESBL-E recurrent

bacteremia isolates

The 11 sets of patient serial isolates included nine *E. coli* serial isolates and two *K. pneumoniae*

serial isolates. We performed Illumina short-read sequencing on the 26 strains identified from the

11 sets of serial isolates. Strain details are provided in **Table S4**. Serial strain relatedness was

assessed using phylogenetic analysis with strains considered possibly clonal if they were the

same sequence type, had the same Bayesian population structure, and clustered together on the

phylogenetic tree (**Fig. S1**). The temporal collection, antimicrobial resistance, and strain

relatedness are depicted in **Fig. 1**. Only a single strain, the 3rd isolate from patient 7, which we

will abbreviate as p7C, had a carbapenemase based on a positive Neo-Rapid CARB Kit Test

result (**Fig. 1**). Whole genome, short-read sequencing analysis confirmed the presence of the

class D carbapenemase, *bla*$_{OXA-181}$ in p7C. Furthermore, p7C was a different sequence type from

the patient 7 index strain (p7A) indicating new strain acquisition.

We focused our subsequent analyses on the isolates from patient 4 (p4), patient 10 (p10), and

patient 11 (p11), as each had at least one carbapenem resistant recurring non-CP-CRE isolate.

Our HiSeq WGS data indicated that all three patients had recurrent *E. coli* isolates that

respectively clustered together within a core genome phylogenetic tree, had the same Bayesian

hierarchical population structure, and belonged to the same sequence type (**Fig. S1**). We

confirmed clonality by measuring pairwise SNP distances between each recurrent isolate and

their respective index strain using their highly resolved, ONT consensus assemblies. Our analysis

indicated that there were less than 20 SNPs for all respective recurrent strains relative to their

334   index strain suggesting that these three patients had clonal, re-infecting strains that had

335   developed carbapenem resistance through a non-carbapenemase mechanism (**Table 1**).

Table 1. Clonality, Antibiotic Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC), Outer Membrane Protein, and β-lactamase Characterization of *Enterobacterales* Isolates

Patient	Isolate	ST	Species	Pairwise SNP Distance	CAZ MIC[a]	CEP MIC[a]	TZP MIC[a]	ETP MIC[a]	MEM MIC[a]	*ompC*[b,d]	*ompF*[c,d]	ESBL Amplification
patient 4	p4A	131	*E. coli*	Ref	16	≥64	8	≤0.5	≤0.25	WT	WT	None
	p4B	131	*E. coli*	2	16	8	≥128	≤0.5	≤0.25	WT	WT	bla_{OXA-1}
	p4C	131	*E. coli*	14	16	64	≥128	≥32	4	c.504_505ins[e]	c.548delA	bla_{OXA-1}
	p4D	131	*E. coli*	15	≥64	≥64	≥128	≥32	4	c.504_505ins[e]	c.548delA	bla_{OXA-1}
patient 10	p10A	10	*E. coli*	Ref	16	2	8	≤0.5	≤0.25	WT	WT	None
	p10B	10	*E. coli*	1	≥64	≥64	≥128	≥32	8	c.634_649del	c.77_87del	$bla_{CTX-M-55}$
	p10C	10	*E. coli*	0	≥64	≥64	16	≤0.5	≤0.25	WT	WT	$bla_{CTX-M-55}$
patient 11	p11A	131	*E. coli*	Ref	≥64	≥64	64	≤0.5	≤0.5	c.508_521delins[f]	c.305_312del	bla_{OXA-1}, $bla_{CTX-M-15}$
	p11B	131	*E. coli*	0	≥64	≥64	≥128	4	1	c.508_518del	c.305_312del	bla_{OXA-1}, $bla_{CTX-M-15}$
NA	MB101	37	*K. pneumoniae*	NA	≥64	≥64	≥128	≥32	8	c.607_626ins[e]	NA	bla_{OXA-1}, $bla_{CTX-M-15}$
NA	MB746	405	*E. coli*	NA	64	≥64	≥128	≥32	4	c.131insA	c.760_763ins	bla_{OXA-1}, $bla_{CTX-M-15}$

[a]All minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) reported in µg/ml; abbreviations for each antibiotic are as follows: CAZ

(ceftazidime), CEP (cefepime), TZP (piperacillin-tazobactam), ETP (ertapenem), MEM (meropenem)

[b]Uniprot reference entry names for *ompC* are A0A192C9D6_ECOLX, OMPC_ECOLI, and U9Y7F2_ECOLX for ST131, ST10, and

ST405 *E. coli* isolates respectively; MB101 porin is *ompK36* with Uniprot reference entry name A0A0H3H0Y2_KLEPH

[c]Uniprot reference entry names for *ompF* are A0A192CJUO_ECOLX, OMPF_ECOLI, and S0Z171_ECOLX for ST131, ST10, and

ST405 *E. coli* isolates respectively

[d]WT indicates 'wild type' coding DNA sequence, 'ins' indicates insertion, 'del' indicates deletion, and 'delins' indicates

insertion/deletion; all indel events are frame-shift mutations that leave premature, truncated coding DNA sequences unless otherwise

noted.

[e]Insertion of MB1860TU_A with variable number of repeating units

[f]INDEL, Y170_N174delinsKR, creates an in-frame OmpC protein

[e]Insertion of 1X copy of MB101TPU

Gene amplification and porin loss associated with emergence of non-CP-CRE

Each of the serial isolates that developed a non-CP-CRE phenotype (p4A-D, p10A-C, and p11A-B) had increased Illumina short-read and ONT long-read coverage depth for β-lactamase encoding genes indicating amplification (**Fig. 2, Table S5 and Table S6**). Specifically, we noted increased coverage depth of bla_{OXA-1} in all p4 recurrent isolates, $bla_{CTX-M-55}$ in p10 recurrent isolates, and bla_{OXA-1} and $bla_{CTX-M-15}$ in both the index and recurrent isolate of p11 (**Table 1**). We used qPCR to confirm the increased short-read and long-read coverage depth of our WGS analyses and found that the increased coverage depth corresponded to increased transcript levels of the amplified β-lactamase encoding genes (**Fig. 2**).

We further characterized outer membrane porin genes with our sequencing data as disruptions of these genes are often correlated with carbapenem resistance. The only consistently identified variation in the non-CP-CRE strains relative to their ESBL-E index strains were mutations that disrupted the ORFs of the porin proteins OmpC and OmpF (**Table 1**). The initial sequencing results indicated that an insertion sequence (IS) as well as nucleotide deletions that resulted in frame-shifts mediated *ompC* gene disruption in isolates that developed non-CP-CRE. Conversely, we consistently found frame-shift inducing deletions in *ompF* genes for both the carbapenem susceptible and resistant isolates (**Table 1**). For p10 isolates, interruption of OmpC and OmpF in the second, non-CP-CRE isolate (p10B) was followed by reversion to the WT OmpC and OmpF genes in the third, carbapenem-susceptible isolate (p10C).

FIG 2 PCR analysis of β-lactamase encoding gene levels and transcript levels. Rows from top to

bottom are data from patient 4 (p4), patient 11 (p11), and patient 10 (p10). (A, D, G) Taq-Man

qPCR of genomic DNA results collected in triplicate on two separate days (n = 6) for either

bla_{OXA-1} (blue) or bla_{CTX-M} (red) relative to the endogenous control gene $rpsL$. Data shown are

individual data points with mean ± SD superimposed. (B, E, H) bla_{OXA-1} transcript level relative

to endogenous control gene $rpsL$. RNA was collected from mid-exponential phase in triplicate on

two separate days (n = 6). Data shown are mean ± SD. (C, F) similar analysis to (B, E, H) except

that data are for bla_{CTX-M}. Note that the p10 strains do not contain bla_{OXA-1}. P values refer to

measurements in the serial isolates relative to initial isolate using the Kruskal-Wallis (p4 and

p10) or Wilcoxon rank-sum tests (p11).

Class 1 transposon Tn*MB1860* found in both ST131 p4 and p11 serial isolates.

The consensus ONT assembly index strains for patient 4 (p4A) and patient 11 (p11A) both

contain an 8,147 bp, IS*26*-mediated translocatable unit (TU), designated as MB1860TU_A,

which carries *bla*$_{OXA-1}$, and inserts upstream adjacent to another 3,985 bp TU, designated

MB1860TU_B, that carries *bla*$_{CTX-M-15}$ (**Fig. 3A**). MB1860TU_C is the combination of both

respective TUs that is 12,132 bp in length. The entire putative class 1 transposon structure

designated Tn*MB1860* is 12,952 bp (**Fig. 3A**).

When moving from the 5' to 3' end of Tn*MB1860* using the p4A chromosome (**Fig. 3A;**

GenBank Accession #: CP049085) as the reference, the first resistance island contains two

flanking IS*26 tnpA* genes in opposite orientation with the aminoglycoside N6'-acetyltransferase

variant gene (*aac(6')-Ib-cr*)*,* the oxacillinase gene (*bla*$_{OXA-1}$), and a truncated chloramphenicol

resistance determinant (*ΔcatB3*). Following the second IS*26* element in Tn*MB1860*, there is an

aminoglycoside N3'-acetyltransferase III variant gene, *aacC3,* and the tunicamycin resistance

gene, *tmrB*. Downstream of the *tmrB* gene is an IS*3* element, which has a modified left inverted

repeat (IR$_L$) and a frame-shifted transposase that has been truncated by a third IS*26* element at

the 3' end of the IS*3* transposase. Immediately downstream of this IS*26* element is a truncated

Tn2-like transposase which marks the 3' boundary of MB1860TU_A. MB1860TU_A is inserted

adjacent to the smaller translocatable unit, MB1860TU_B, which includes another fragment of a

Tn2-like transposase, as well as *orf477*, *bla*$_{CTX-M-15}$, and an IS*Ecp1* truncated by an intact IS*26*,

which marks the 3' boundary of both MB1860TU_B, as well as serves as the 3' flanking IS*26*

element for the full length Tn*MB1860*. Similar IS*26*/Tn2 family transposable elements that have

putatively formed via homologous recombination events have previously been reported in

association with IS*26*-mediated AMR transfer in *Enterobacterales* (6, 39, 40).

Tn*MB1860* is located on the p4 chromosome (1,764,660 – 1,777,611 bp; GenBank Accession #:

CP049085) and the p11 chromosome (1,812,524 – 1,825,475 bp; GenBank Accession #:

CP049077). One of the signatures of transposition are variable sized direct repeats called target

site duplications (TSDs) that flank insertion sequences and are created during the transposition

process (41-43). The Tn*MB1860* composite transposon on the p11A chromosome has 7-bp TSDs

that indicate a transposition within a 3,762 bp ORF that putatively is involved in molybdopterin

cofactor biosynthesis (**Fig. S2**). Interestingly, p4A differs in chromosomal context relative to

p11A due to an intramolecular transposition event that occurred in reverse orientation (**Fig. S3**).

This is evidenced by the fact that p4A has an approximately 61 kbp region that is inverted with a

downstream IS*26* in inverse orientation that has an 8-bp TSD within the colicin I receptor gene,

cirA, in reverse complement orientation (**Fig. S3**). An alignment of p4A and p11A with two

other *E. coli* ST131 chromosomes, TO217 (GenBank Accession #: LS992192.1) and

Ecol_AZ146 (GenBank Accession #: CP018991.1) indicate similar chromosomal carriage of

Tn*MB1860* with the noted inversion event that has occurred in the p4A isolate (**Fig. S3**).

FIG 3 Characterization of IS*26*-flanked composite transposon with amplification and

transposition of modular translocatable units in patient 4 serial isolates (i.e., p4A – p4D).

Terminal left and right inverted repeats (IR_L and IR_R respectively) of insertion sequences (ISs)

are specified by grey triangles that bracket respective complete and incomplete *tnpA* genes.

ORFs are colored as follows: non-β-lactam AMR encoding genes (maroon), *bla*$_{OXA-1}$ (blue),

bla$_{CTX-M-15}$ (green), IS*26 tnpA* (white), and other IS/Tn elements (gray). (A) Schematic indicates

chromosomal context (1,763,016 – 1,780,348 bp; GenBank Accession #: CP049085)) of

Tn*MB1860* locus flanked by directly oriented IS*26* transposases found in p4A isolate.

Immediately below schematic are normalized, short-read coverage depth line graphs for the four

p4 serial isolates with MB1860TU_A bracketed by dotted lines. 'ETP-S' = ertapenem

susceptible; 'ETP-R' = ertapenem resistant. Font color for each serial isolate labelled in

normalized coverage graph representing carbapenem susceptibility (black) or carbapenem

resistance (red). (B) Characterization of amplification, transposition, and ORF disruption events

in each of the respective patient 4 recurrent episode isolates. Black brackets beneath ORFs

indicate MB168TU_A. The p4B chromosomal context shows a ~10X MB1860TU_A

amplification event in the original p4A locus. The p4C chromosomal context additionally

contains transposition and disruption of the *ompC* porin gene (pink) ~63 kbp upstream of

original p4A *TnMB1860* locus with subsequent amplification. p4D isolate has previous

amplification and transposition events found in p4B and p4C as well as another MB1860TU_A

transposition and disruption of a putative glycoside hydrolase gene (i.e. GH25; yellow) ~71 kbp

downstream of Tn*MB1860* locus with an amplification. The target site duplications (TSDs)

created by the transposition of the TU are indicated above each respective junction site that flank

the insertion and disruption of the OmpC and GH25 genes respectively.

Delineation of MB1860TU_A amplification and transposition in ST131 p4 and p11 serial isolates

Patient 11 isolates p11A and p11B had relatively the same increase in mapping coverage for both bla_{OXA-1} and $bla_{CTX-M-15}$ (**Table S3**). The consensus assemblies of both p11 isolates revealed that this increase in relative short-read coverage was due to two copies of bla_{OXA-1} and $bla_{CTX-M-15}$ being present on a chromosomally located Tn*MB1860* as well as on IS*26*-mediated genomic resistant modules present on a 180,963bp multireplicon, F-type plasmid, p11A_p2 (**Fig. S2, Fig. S3;** GenBank Accession #: CP049079). The CRE phenotype of p11B relative to p11A appears to be driven by additional inactivation of the *ompC* gene given that the *ompF* gene is truncated in both strains (**Table 1**).

In contrast to the p11 isolates, the amplification and transposition of the modular, translocatable units that compose Tn*MB1860* in p4 was completely in a chromosomal context (**Fig. 3**). There was a consistent increase in short-read coverage depth (**Fig. 3A**) of the entire MB1860TU_A structure up to approximately 23-fold in p4D relative to the seven housekeeping pubMLST genes for ST131. We first analyzed strain p4B, which had developed resistance to piperacillin-tazobactam (TZP) but remained carbapenem susceptible (**Table 1**). In p4B, MB1860TU_A generated a ~10X tandem array *in situ* most likely through a conservative, IS*26*-mediated transposition mechanism or homologous recombination (**Fig. 3B**) (44, 45). We were unable to assemble the full-length tandem array due to limitations in read length size. However, we were able to use the SVAnts tool to identify thirty individual reads with two or greater number of tandem arrays of MB1860TU_A with 7 individual reads having 4X copies of bla_{OXA-1}. The occurrence of the 10X TU amplification at the original Tn*MB1860* locus was confirmed by

aligning the p4B long-reads to the reference chromosome p4A using SVAnts in conjunction with

a short-read pileup analysis. Additionally, all outer membrane protein genes were WT and

remained intact with p4B. Given that p4B had developed resistance to TZP relative to p4A

(**Table 1**), we sought to determine whether overexpression of bla_{OXA-1} could drive TZP

resistance. Inducing bla_{OXA-1} expression through cloning under an arabinose responsive promoter

increased TZP MIC 6.8-fold relative to uninduced cells (**Fig. S4**).

Next, we examined the non-CP-CRE serial strains p4C and p4D. Interestingly, in both p4C and

p4D a transposition and insertion of MB1860TU_A into *ompC* was present approximately 63

kbp upstream of the original *Tn*MB1860 chromosomal locus (**Fig. 3B**). This *ompC* gene

disruption was confirmed through the identification of multiple p4C long-reads > 30 kbp that

covered the full transposition site as well as identifying this insertion on the p4C and p4D

incomplete chromosomal assemblies. We found two individual long reads that were able to span

the full length of the MB1860TU_A array (3X copies) which disrupts *ompC* for p4C and

confirmed the exact MB1860TU insertion location within *ompC* (c.504_505ins; **Table 1**). We

also were able to identify 8-bp TSDs ('5-TTGCTGTT-3') at each end of the *ompC* insertion

sites, which indicates MB1860TU_A replicative transposition. The p4D assembly and individual

long-reads indicated a second MB1860TU_A transposition and insertion ~67 kbp downstream of

the Tn*MB1860* within a GH25 lysozyme gene (**Fig. 3B**). This transposition event could be

confirmed by 8-bp TSDs (5'-TTGCTGTT-3') flanking the full insertion site (**Fig 3B**). A

progressive increase in both DNA and RNA levels for bla_{OXA-1} but not $bla_{CTX-M-15}$ in the p4 serial

isolates was confirmed using qPCR and qRT-PCR, respectively (**Fig. 2A-C**).

Serial passage of patient 4 index strain with ETP elicits _bla_$_{OXA-1}$ and _bla_$_{CTX-M-15}$

amplification through unique translocatable units relative to _in vivo_ recurrent strains

We passaged strain p4A in increasing concentration of ertapenem (ETP) to determine whether

antimicrobial exposure was driving the genetic changes observed in our serial clinical isolates.

Resistance to ETP developed within three passages corresponding to three days, upon which we

collected strains for the next four days (p4A_1- p4A_4). Short-read and qPCR analyses

demonstrated all four isolates had amplification of both _bla_$_{OXA-1}$ and _bla_$_{CTX-M-15}$ relative to the

p4A index strain prior to ETP exposure (**Fig 4**). ONT sequencing on all four isolates indicated

that similar to p4B, there was _in situ_ amplification occurring at the original Tn_MB1680_

chromosomal locus. However, the full-length TU, MB1860TU_C, which consists of

MB1860TU_A and MB1860TU_B (**Fig 4A**) that harbor _bla_$_{OXA-1}$ and _bla_$_{CTX-M-15}$ respectively,

was the amplifying structure for the passaged isolates in contrast to what we saw in the _in vivo_

isolates where MB1860TU_A was the sole amplifying structure (**Table 2**).

Table 2. p4A Serial Passaging Characterization					
Sample	**ETP MIC (µg/ml)**	_ompC_	_ompF_	**_bla_$_{OXA-1}$ copy #[a]**	**_bla_$_{CTX-M-15}$ copy #[a]**
p4A_1	≥ 32	c.208T>C; p.Q70X	c.17_18insIS1A	6	7
P4A_2	≥ 32	c.208T>C; p.Q70X	WT	8	9
P4A_3	≥ 32	c.208T>C; p.Q70X	c.62_63insIS1A	6	7
P4A_4	≥32	c.208T>C; p.Q70X	c.517_518insIS1A	6	7
p4A_H1	≥ 32	IS1A variant mediated insertion 96 bp upstream of +1 ompC start site	WT	1	9
p4A_H2	≥ 32	IS1A variant mediated insertion 96 bp upstream of +1 ompC start site	WT	1	17

[a]See Materials and Methods for Calculation

We repeated the experiment and again found that ETP resistance developed within three

passages from the p4A isolate. ONT sequencing of the 2nd round of passaged isolates (p4A_H1

and p4A_H2) revealed amplification of MB1860TU_B that harbors _bla_$_{CTX-M-15}$ solely, which we

verified using qPCR (**Fig. 4**). Similar to what we observed *in vivo*, the serially passaged ETP

resistant isolates contained inactivating mutations in *ompC* although we did not observe any

MB1860TU mediated interruptions (**Table 2**). INDELs inactivating the *ompF* gene were

observed in a fraction of the serial isolates suggesting *ompF* inactivation may not be necessary

for the development of non-CP-CRE (**Table 2**). We found that p4A responded *in vitro* to ETP

similarly to what was observed in our serial clinical isolates by amplification of modular

MB1860TU elements with concomitant porin disruption. Nevertheless, there was differential

amplification of IS*26* translocatable units demonstrating the modularity of these MGEs.

In order to determine the chromosomal stability of the MB1860TU tandem arrays in the absence

of antibiotic selective pressure, both ertapenem resistant (ETP-R) strains p4C and p4D were

passaged for 10 days (~60 generations) without supplemented ertapenem. Both ETP-R recurrent

strains consistently maintained carbapenem resistance through 60 generations of growth (**Fig.**

S5). The p4C and p4D strains had relative copy number decreases of bla_{OXA-1} from 33 to 18X

(45% decrease) and 53 to 42X (21% decrease) respectively (**Fig. S5**). These results indicate that

both adapted strains can have persisting carbapenem resistance with associated tandem arrays of

amplified bla_{OXA-1} maintained in the absence of antibiotic exposure.

FIG 4 Identification and characterization of β-lactamase gene amplification following p4A serial

passaging under ertapenem (ETP) exposure. Strain p4A was grown in ETP with isolates p4A_1-

4 collected during the 1st round of passaging and p4A_H1 and p4A_H2 collected during the 2nd

round. (A) Schematic of Tn*MB1860* locus from p4A as detailed in **FIG 2**. Immediately below

the schematic are normalized, short-read coverage depth line graphs for p4A_1 and p4A_H2

aligned to p4A with location of MB1860TU_A and MB1860TU_B bracketed by dotted lines.

Note amplification of MB1860 TU_C in strain p4A_1 whereas only MB1860TU_B amplified in

strain p4A_H2. (B) and (C) are Taq-Man qPCR of genomic DNA collected in triplicate on two

separate days (n = 6) for either bla_{OXA-1} (B) or bla_{CTX-M} (C) relative to the endogenous control

gene *rpsL*. Data shown are individual data points with mean ± SD superimposed.

Unique IS*Ecp1*-mediated plasmid transposition of $bla_{CTX-M-55}$ in patient 10 serial ST10 *E.*

***coli* isolates** Compared to the p4 and p11 strains, the serial isolates from patient 10 (p10A –

p10C) contain a different MGE that putatively drives the amplification of the ESBL encoding

gene, $bla_{CTX-M-55}$. Index strain p10A harbors $bla_{CTX-M-55}$ located on an IS*Ecp1* transposition unit

designated EC215TPU that resides on a multireplicon FIB-FIC-FII plasmid (**Fig. 5; GenBank**

Accession #: CP049082). 'Transposition unit' (TPU) is used here to distinguish from

translocatable unit (TU) as they have different transposition mechanisms that are mediated by

IS*Ecp1* and IS*26* respectively. There are three additional small plasmids present in p10A, one of

which is a ColE1-like 6.8 kbp plasmid designated as p10A_p2 (GenBank Accession #:

CP049083), that is highly comparable to pCERC1 (GenBank Accession #: JN012467) (46).

EC215TPU translocates from the multireplicon, type F plasmid in p10A, designated p10A_p1, to

p10A_p2 in the second serial isolate p10B (**Fig. 5B**). The IS*Ecp1*-mediated transposition can be

identified and confirmed by the 5-bp TSDs that are immediately upstream of the IS*Ecp1* 14 bp

IR_L and downstream of another alternative, 14 bp right inverted repeat (IRRalt) respectively (41,

42, 47). There are TSDs flanking EC215TPU at the p10A_p2 recombination site with both the

IR:L and IRRalt represented as a blue triangle (5'-CCTCACACCTTCGA-3') on **Fig. 5B**.

Notably, as the entire insertion region with three $bla_{\text{CTX-M-55}}$ copies on p10B has 5 bp TSDs (5'-

GATAT-3') that flank IR_L and IR_{Ralt} respectively, one can postulate that an amplification event

occurred on p10A_p1, which then subsequently inserted into p10A_p2 (**Fig. 5B**). p10B is also

the only carbapenem-resistant p10 serial isolate. This likely is due in part to deletions in *ompC*

and *ompF* that create frame-shift truncations of each respective porin in contrast to the WT

genotypes of each respective porin found in p10A and p10C. When analyzing the third isolate

p10C, there only is one copy of $bla_{\text{CTX-M-55}}$ identified in the assembly and short-reads on

p10A_p2. However, the short-read and long-read coverage depth analysis suggests an increase in

copy number (**Table S6**). The subsequent increase in initiation RNA genes, a marker for ColE1-

like plasmids with $bla_{\text{CTX-M-55}}$ suggests that p10C amplification occurs by an increase in the

overall copy number of p10A_p2. These data are consistent with our qPCR analysis which

demonstrate progressively higher DNA levels of $bla_{\text{CTX-M-55}}$ for each serial isolate (**Fig. 2**).

FIG 5 Genomic analysis of IS*Ecp1*-mediated mobilization of $bla_{CTX-M-55}$ from a multireplicon F

type plasmid (GenBank Accession #: CP049082) to a ColE1-like, high copy number plasmid

(GenBank Accession #: CP049083) in p10 isolates. (A) Non-chromosomal genomic context for

patient 10 index strain (p10A) harboring four circular plasmid structures. The $bla_{CTX-M-55}$ gene is

located on a 143,163 bp FIB-FIC-FII plasmid (p10A_p1) on an IS*Ecp1*-$bla_{CTXM-5-55}$-IS*26* 5945

bp transposition unit (TPU) indicated by a green bracket. The blue and red regions are the union

of this green bracketed region and represent regions highlighted in the following section. (B)

Progression of TPU insertion into ColE1-like plasmid (p10A_p2). p10A illustrates the full

genome context of p10A_p2 including the *sul2-ΔstrA-dfrA14-ΔstrA-strB* resistance island. The

top black, bracketed region indicates genome that is derived from the Tn5393 transposon. The

region indicated by double-ended arrows indicates where the *dfrA14* cassette inserted itself into

Tn5393. The box downstream of *dfrA14* indicates the *attC* recombination site. p10B shows the

amplified TPU (11,939 bp) insertion into the p10A_p2 recombination site (indicated by red

arrows). The region is bracketed by 5-bp TSDs (5'-GATAT-3') indicative of IS*Ecp1* mediated

transposition. An alternative, right inverted repeat (IRRalt) is indicated by blue triangle. p10C

contains an 8,998 bp deletion (black dotted lines) where two copies of $bla_{CTX-M-55}$ are dropped.

This creates a TPU flanked by the IRL and IRRalt of IS*Ecp1* (blue bracket).

Detection of β-lactamase gene amplification and porin disruption by AMR elements in non-

serial *Enterobacterales* strains

There were two non-CP-CRE strains, MB101 (*K. pneumoniae*) and MB746 (*E. coli*), identified

in a previous study examining the role of short-read WGS in predicting β-lactam resistance

(**Table 1**) (18). We performed ONT sequencing on the two isolates to determine whether

mechanisms of gene amplification and porin disruption were similar to what was observed in the

cohort of non-CP-CRE bacteremia serial isolates. Both isolates contain IS26-mediated TUs

carrying bla_{OXA-1} as previously characterized in Tn*MB1860* (**Fig. 6**).

For strain MB101 (**Fig. 6A-B**), a ST37 *K. pneumoniae* isolate, the ~9310 bp IS26-mediated TU,

designated MB101TU harbors *aac-(6')-Ib-cr, bla_{OXA-1}, ΔcatB3, aacC3,* and *tmrB* and is nearly

identical (100% coverage; 99.9% ID) to MB1860TU_A found in serial *E. coli* isolates from

patients 4 and 11 (**Fig. 6A**). An IS*Ecp1*-mediated TPU harboring $bla_{CTX-M-15}$, designated

MB101TPU, was also present on the MB101 chromosome as well as an FIB$_K$ type plasmid, with

at least five copies present on the chromosome based on the Flye assembly and individual long

reads. The assembly and long reads indicate the transposition of MB101TPU into a putative

glycoporin encoding gene which was followed by the transposition of MB101TU to an existing

IS26 element downstream of MB101TPU (**Fig. 6A**). We identified an amplification of

MB101TU at this locus that created a tandem array of at least 8X copies based on the

identification of multiple long-reads with multiple MB101TU copies. Interestingly, there was

also a transposition event of MB101TPU into the *ompK36* encoding gene, a homolog of *ompC* in

E. coli (**Fig. 6B**). Thus, MB101 has amplification of both bla_{OXA-1} and $bla_{CTX-M-15}$ via distinct

mechanisms along with TPU mediated porin disruption.

The ST405 *E. coli* isolate, MB746, has an IS26-mediated translocatable unit, designated

MB746TU, which also includes a genomic resistance module that carries *aac(6')-Ib-cr, bla_{OXA-1},*

and a truncated chloramphenicol resistance determinant (**Fig. 6C-D**) similar to what is seen on

MB1860TU_A. MB746TU carries an additional tetracycline resistance operon and macrolide

resistance operon, not present on Tn*MB1860*. We found four individual long-reads that carried at

least 3X copies of MB746TU present in the same MB746 chromosomal location (**Fig. 6C**).

Additionally, we identified a FIB plasmid that harbored both bla_{OXA-1} and $bla_{CTX-M-15}$ which has a

similar configuration and orientation to Tn*MB1860* (Red bracket; **Fig. 6D**). The IS*26*-mediated

TU carrying $bla_{CTX-M-15}$ has 99.7% BLAST identity with MB1860_B with the only substantial

difference being a size of 5558 bp vs the 3985 bp MB1860TU_B (**Fig. 6D**). Notably, MB746

also had *ompC* and *ompF* gene disruptions (**Table 1)** comparable to what was observed in serial

isolates that developed non-CP-CRE phenotypes with concomitant β-lactamase amplifications.

These data show that there are multiple, non-CP-CRE clinical isolates within our region that

have amplification and transposition of similar IS*26* and IS*Ecp1*-mediated elements that harbor

β-lactamase genes encoding genes in conjunction with porin disruption.

FIG 6 Genomic context of end-stage MB101 and MB746 isolates respectively. Terminal left and

right inverted repeats (IR$_L$ and IR$_R$ respectively) of insertion sequences (ISs) are specified by

grey triangles that bracket respective complete and incomplete *tnpA* genes. ORFs are colored as

follows: AMR genes (maroon), *bla*$_{OXA-1}$ (blue), *bla*$_{CTX-M-15}$ (green), IS26 *tnpA* (white), and other

IS/Tn elements (gray). Delta (Δ) next to annotated genetic region indicates a truncation or

disruption (A-B) Chromosomal locations of MB101TU (Fig. 6A) and MB101TPU (Fig. 6B)

indicating respective amplification and transposition of each element. ~8X MB101TU repeat

indicated by black bracket. Truncated *ompK36* gene labelled in pink (Fig. 6B). (C-D) Genomic

context of MB746TU and respective genomic resistance modules carrying AMR genes. Black

brackets beneath Fig. 6C schematic indicate repeating MB101TU unit. Fig. 6D indicates FIB

plasmid carriage of genomic resistance modules. Red bracket indicates IS*26* transposon structure

that shares 100% coverage; 99% BLAST similarity with Tn*MB1860*. Blue bracket indicates

small, 2X repeat structure.

DISCUSSION

While recent systematic surveillance studies indicate that the prevalence of non-CP-CRE

remains high (3, 4), the mechanisms contributing to non-CP-CRE emergence within clinical

settings are largely unknown. This public health issue is particularly troublesome given the

difficulties in properly diagnosing non-CP-CRE infections and prescribing effective

antimicrobials due to the transient nature of their carbapenem resistance phenotypes as well as

their lack of a carbapenemase. This analysis demonstrates that multiple TU- and TPU-mediated

modular amplifications of β-lactamase encoding genes in conjunction with porin inactivation are

driving non-CP-CRE emergence in our cohort of cancer patients with recurrent bacteremia . It is

well established that "copy-in" replicative transposition is a common mechanism by which IS*26*-

mediated TUs mobilize to regions lacking a pre-existing IS*26* element (43, 45, 48). Recent

studies have shown that TUs can create tandem resistance gene arrays by targeting genomes with

an existing copy of IS*26* through an intermolecular, conservative transposition mechanism and,

less frequently, through RecA-dependent homologous recombination (43-45, 49). We were able

to determine that the initial incorporation of the composite transposon Tn*MB1860* as well as

MB1860TU_A transposition into *ompC* and the predicted glycoside hydrolase gene occurred

through a replicative transposition mechanism based on characteristic TSDs at insertion sites.

The amplification of MB1860TU_A, MB1860TU_B, and MB1860TU_C that created tandem

arrays of resistance genes observed in our study likely occurred through a conservative

transposition mechanism although RecA-dependent homologous recombination could also be

contributing to the generation of these tandem arrays. The ability to detect genetic structures

capable of amplifying β-lactamase encoding genes that synergistically insert into porin encoding

genes will be essential for diagnostic and surveillance purposes in order to ensure we are

properly identifying clinical isolates that have the ability to develop CRE.

Amplification of bla_{OXA-1} in both serial and non-serial isolates consistently included *aac-(6')-Ib-*

cr and Δ*catB3* in association with other modular, IS*26*-mediated translocatable units carrying

resistance genes. Livermore et al. recently observed the co-occurrence of *aac-(6')-Ib'* and

bla_{OXA-1} in 147/149 *E. coli* strains, primarily ST131 isolates, suggesting that many *E. coli*

isolates carrying bla_{OXA-1} may be capable of this amplification (40, 50). The finding that bla_{OXA-1}

amplification was consistently associated with progressive development of β-lactam resistance

was somewhat surprising given that this enzyme is typically considered a narrow spectrum β-

lactamase (51). However, overexpression of bla_{OXA-1} using an arabinose inducing promoter was

shown to generate resistance to ertapenem in a porin deficient strain (6). This study, along with

our own results, indicates that bla_{OXA-1} likely contains sufficient carbapenem hydrolysis activity

to generate resistance with the combination of augmented gene copy number and decreased

carbapenem concentration due to porin inactivation. Additionally, we demonstrate that

overexpression of bla_{OXA-1} without porin inactivation produced TZP resistance, a finding that

could help resolve previously noted discrepancies between β-lactamase gene content and TZP

susceptibility (18, 52). Similar amplifications of the narrow-spectrum, TEM β-lactamases have

also been shown to be associated with TZP resistance, suggesting amplification of narrow-

spectrum β-lactamases may be a substantial mechanism contributing to TZP resistance (9-11).

The other amplified β-lactamase in our cohort was bla_{CTX-M}. CTX-M is the most commonly

identified ESBL enzyme in *Enterobacterales* (53) and high level CTX-M production has

previously been shown to confer carbapenem resistance in porin-deficient *E. coli* (6) as well as

K. pneumoniae (54). Amplification of MB1860TU_B harboring $bla_{CTX-M-15}$ with concomitant

porin disruption was associated with development of ETP resistance in one of our two passaging

experiments, demonstrating the versatility of various modules of Tn*MB1860* in responding to

carbapenem exposure. Unlike bla_{OXA-1}, we did not identify bla_{CTX-M} genes in association with

other AMR encoding elements, but rather bla_{CTX-M} genes were consistently present with an

IS*ECp1* element, which has been previously described for *E. coli* (55, 56). The array of

mechanisms by which bla_{CTX-M} copy numbers could increase included (1) *in situ* IS*26*-mediated

amplification of MB1860TU_B (strain P4A_H1) and MB1860TU_C (strain p4A1 – p4A4); (2)

IS*Ecp1*-mediated transposition of EC215TPU from a low-copy, F-type plasmid to a multi-copy,

ColE1-like plasmid (strain p10B); (3) and multiple chromosomal IS*Ecp1*-mediated transposition

unit insertions including into the *ompK36* porin encoding gene (strain MB101). Thus, the various

*bla*CTX-M amplification mechanisms suggest that augmentation of *bla*CTX-M copy number may be a

major driver of non-CP-CRE development.

Along with permitting direct visualization of genomic resistance module amplifications

containing various β-lactamase genes, ONT sequencing also allowed for identifying porin-

mediated disruption by TU and TPU structures. Laboratory studies that generated CRE strains

through serial passaging have consistently found that OmpC and OmpF porin production is

reduced in carbapenem resistant isolates, but mechanisms have generally involved alterations in

the porin regulatory protein OmpR or in OmpR binding sites (6, 7). Similarly, a recent study of a

single patient with recurrent *E. coli* infection identified a single amino acid change in OmpR as

leading to loss of OmpC and OmpF expression with development of ertapenem resistance (15).

Conversely, we observed direct inactivation of OmpC and OmpF either through TU/TPU

transposition into their respective ORFs or through INDELs that resulted in frame-shift

mutations whereas no alterations in OmpR were identified in our cohort. To our knowledge,

there have been only two other reports of an IS*Ecp1*-mediated TPU harboring a β-lactamase

encoding gene causing the disruption of a porin, in both cases OmpK35 from *K. pneumoniae* (57,

58). While there have been a number of studies that have implicated IS-mediated porin

disruptions, including IS*26* mediated disruptions (54, 59-61), to our knowledge, this is the first

documented IS*26*-mediated translocatable unit disruption of an outer membrane porin followed

by amplification of a β-lactamase gene. Given the length of the TUs, as well as the fact that they

have the ability to amplify and create tandem arrays once inserted into the porin encoding genes,

it is unlikely that targeted PCR based strategies or commonly used short-read approaches alone

would have been able to identify the full extent of the TUs observed in our study. Thus,

performing long read sequencing on larger cohorts of *Enterobacterales* with porin deficient

backgrounds should reveal whether TU/TPUs harboring AMR genes are a frequent mediator of

porin gene disruption, but have not been previously identified due to the long and repetitive

nature of the involved DNA structures.

The clinical impact of β-lactamase gene amplification and porin loss driving carbapenem

resistance has been postulated to be mitigated by the fitness costs imposed on the organism by

such genetic changes (37, 62-64). However, such AMR mechanisms are increasingly being

recognized as commonly occurring in clinical isolates, including in the serious infections

described in our cohort (37, 62-64). This would suggest that organisms with the capability to

amplify AMR genes are widespread and capable of causing significant human infections

especially under antibiotic selective pressure (7, 9, 10, 65). Moreover, the most recent systematic

data on CRE in the U.S. found no difference in outcomes between patients with CRE and non-

CP-CRE suggesting that circulating non-CP-CRE organisms may not have significant fitness

defects (4). Furthermore, our own results from serial passaging p4C and p4D without ETP

exposure suggests these amplified structures harboring AMR genes associated with increased

MICs to ertapenem and meropenem may be fairly stable in the absence of antibiotic selective

pressure. The chromosomal context of Tn*MB1860* may provide insights into the stability of this

structure as a previous study had noted stable transposon carriage when mobilized from plasmid

to chromosome at very low levels of antibiotic exposure (66).

In addition to possibly imposing a fitness cost on the organism, AMR gene amplifications have

also been associated with the presence of antimicrobial heteroresistance (65, 67). The interplay

between AMR gene amplification, heteroresistance and fitness is likely reflected in the genotype

changes of patient 10 isolates given the development and subsequent reversion of porin

mutations observed in those strains (Table 1). Recent systematic surveys have indicated

approximately 25% of strains reported as carbapenem resistant tested as susceptible at a central

laboratory, likely reflecting the transient phenomenon of AMR heteroresistance (4, 64). A

limitation of our study is that we only sequenced a single colony for each isolate, which almost

certainly underestimates the genetic complexity of a bacterial population responding to

antimicrobial therapy. Nevertheless, a challenge presents itself in capturing population

heterogeneity with a single genome assembly as multiple, heterogeneous sequencing reads will

break current assembler algorithms.

CONCLUSIONS

We have used serial, clinical isolates subjected to complementary WGS approaches to identify

that a combination of porin inactivation and IS-mediated amplification of TU and TPU elements

harboring β-lactamase encoding genes underlies the emergence of non-CP-CRE from ESBL-E

parental isolates within our study population. We predict that more widespread application of

long-read sequencing technologies will facilitate appreciation of the mechanisms and impact of

TU- and TPU-mediated transpositions, porin disruptions, and gene amplifications on a diverse

array of AMR pathogens in the clinical setting.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

non-carbapenemase-producing carbapenem resistant *Enterobacterales* = non-CP-CRE;

translocatable unit = TU; transposition unit = TPU

DECLARATIONS

Ethics approval and consent to participate: A waiver of informed consent to collect clinical

data from electronic medical records and analyze the isolates was provided by the MDACC IRB

(PA15-0799). A waiver of consent from UTHealth Science Center at Houston (IRB #: HSC-

SPH-20-0032) was obtained to perform bacterial genomics sequencing and analysis.

Consent for publication: Not applicable

Availability of data and materials: The index isolate assemblies from recurrent bacteremia

patients that developed non-CP-CRE as well as the long-read and short-read data for all isolates

have been deposited in the National Center for Biotechnology (NCBI) BioProject database

PRJNA603908. ONT-sequencing data of non-CP-CRE isolates from a previous study (18) were

deposited in NCBI BioProject database PRJNA388450. All other data analyzed during this study

are available in the supplemental materials and/or available upon request from the corresponding

author.

Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests

Funding: Financial support for this study was provided by the Shelby Foundation (R. Lee Clark

Fellow Award to SAS). Sequencing was performed at the MDACC DNA sequencing facility

which is supported by the National Cancer Institute [grant number P30-CA016672 via the

Bioinformatics Shared Resource].

JK is supported by the Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas (RP150596). Other

support provided by the UT Southwestern DocStars award (DEG, JK). JGP is supported by the

NIAID (1K01AI143881-01). CAA is supported by NIH/NIAID grants K24AI121296,

R01AI134637, R21AI143229, UTHealth Presidential Award, University of Texas System

STARS Award, and Texas Medical Center Health Policy Institute Funding Program.

Author contributions: WCS developed the database, generated and analyzed the data, and was

a major contributor to the writing of the manuscript. SLA designed the study, collected and

analyzed the data, and significant contributor to writing the manuscript. RP helped designed the

study, performed the experiments, and analyzed the data. JK performed experiments and

analyzed the data. MB performed the experiments and contributed to writing the paper. XL

helped perform phylogenomic analyses. AK helped perform phylogenomic analyses and

contributed to writing the paper. JGP contributed to the design of the study, analyzed the isolates,

and writing of the paper. PS collected and curated the isolates. CAA helped design the study,

analyze the data, and writing of the paper. DEG analyzed the data and contributed to the writing

of the paper. BMH wrote scripts to analyze the data, analyzed the data, and contributed to

writing the paper. SAS designed the study, analyzed the data, and major contributor to the

writing of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements: We thank the personnel of the clinical microbiology laboratory at MD

Anderson Cancer Center for assistance with collecting isolates. Would also like to thank Jennifer

Walker, PhD for her insightful input in drafting the manuscript.

REFERENCES

1.   CDC. Antibiotic Resistance Threats in the United States, 2019. Atlanta, GA: U.S.
Department of Health and Human Services, CDC; 2019.
2.   Logan LK, Weinstein RA. The Epidemiology of Carbapenem-Resistant
Enterobacteriaceae: The Impact and Evolution of a Global Menace. J Infect Dis.
2017;215(suppl_1):S28-S36.
3.   Guh AY, Bulens SN, Mu Y, Jacob JT, Reno J, Scott J, et al. Epidemiology of
Carbapenem-Resistant Enterobacteriaceae in 7 US Communities, 2012-2013. JAMA.
2015;314(14):1479-87.
4.   Duin Dv, Arias C, Komarow L, Chen L, Hanson B, Weston G, et al. Molecular and
Clinical Epidemiology of Carbapenem-Resistant Enterobacteriaceae in the United States: a
Prospective Cohort Study. Lancet ID. 2020.
5.   Tangden T, Adler M, Cars O, Sandegren L, Lowdin E. Frequent emergence of porin-
deficient subpopulations with reduced carbapenem susceptibility in ESBL-producing Escherichia
coli during exposure to ertapenem in an in vitro pharmacokinetic model. J Antimicrob
Chemother. 2013;68(6):1319-26.
6.   Adler M, Anjum M, Andersson DI, Sandegren L. Influence of acquired beta-lactamases
on the evolution of spontaneous carbapenem resistance in Escherichia coli. J Antimicrob
Chemother. 2013;68(1):51-9.
7.   van Boxtel R, Wattel, A. A., Arenas, J., Goessens, W. H., & Tommassen, J. Acquisition
of Carbapenem Resistance by Plasmid-Encoded-AmpC-Expressing Escherichia coli.
Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy. 2017;61(1):e01413-16.
8.   Beceiro A, Maharjan S, Gaulton T, Doumith M, Soares NC, Dhanji H, et al. False
extended-spectrum {beta}-lactamase phenotype in clinical isolates of Escherichia coli associated
with increased expression of OXA-1 or TEM-1 penicillinases and loss of porins. J Antimicrob
Chemother. 2011;66(9):2006-10.
9.   Schechter LM, Creely DP, Garner CD, Shortridge D, Nguyen H, Chen L, et al. Extensive
Gene Amplification as a Mechanism for Piperacillin-Tazobactam Resistance in Escherichia coli.
MBio. 2018;9(2).
10.   Rodriguez-Villodres A, Gil-Marques ML, Alvarez-Marin R, Bonnin RA, Pachon-Ibanez
ME, Aguilar-Guisado M, et al. Extended-spectrum resistance to beta-lactams/beta-lactamase
inhibitors (ESRI) evolved from low-level resistant Escherichia coli. J Antimicrob Chemother.
2019.
11.   Hansen KH, Andreasen MR, Pedersen MS, Westh H, Jelsbak L, Schonning K. Resistance
to piperacillin/tazobactam in Escherichia coli resulting from extensive IS26-associated gene
amplification of blaTEM-1. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2019;74(11):3179-83.
12.   Poirel L, Heritier C, Spicq C, Nordmann P. In vivo acquisition of high-level resistance to
imipenem in Escherichia coli. J Clin Microbiol. 2004;42(8):3831-3.
13.   Oteo J, Delgado-Iribarren A, Vega D, Bautista V, Rodriguez MC, Velasco M, et al.
Emergence of imipenem resistance in clinical Escherichia coli during therapy. Int J Antimicrob
Agents. 2008;32(6):534-7.

14.   Chia JH, Siu LK, Su LH, Lin HS, Kuo AJ, Lee MH, et al. Emergence of carbapenem-
resistant Escherichia coli in Taiwan: resistance due to combined CMY-2 production and porin
deficiency. J Chemother. 2009;21(6):621-6.
15.   Dupont H, Choinier P, Roche D, Adiba S, Sookdeb M, Branger C, et al. Structural
Alteration of OmpR as a Source of Ertapenem Resistance in a CTX-M-15-Producing Escherichia
coli O25b:H4 Sequence Type 131 Clinical Isolate. Antimicrob Agents Ch. 2017;61(5).
16.   Kao CY, Chen JW, Liu TL, Yan JJ, Wu JJ. Comparative Genomics of Escherichia coli
Sequence Type 219 Clones From the Same Patient: Evolution of the IncI1 blaCMY-Carrying
Plasmid in Vivo. Front Microbiol. 2018;9:1518.
17.   Zou H, Xiong SJ, Lin QX, Wu ML, Niu SQ, Huang SF. CP-CRE/non-CP-CRE
Stratification And CRE Resistance Mechanism Determination Help In Better Managing CRE
Bacteremia Using Ceftazidime-Avibactam And Aztreonam-Avibactam. Infect Drug Resist.
2019;12:3017-27.
18.   Shelburne SA, Kim J, Munita JM, Sahasrabhojane P, Shields RK, Press EG, et al. Whole-
Genome Sequencing Accurately Identifies Resistance to Extended-Spectrum beta-Lactams for
Major Gram-Negative Bacterial Pathogens. Clin Infect Dis. 2017;65(5):738-45.
19.   Cao MD, Nguyen SH, Ganesamoorthy D, Elliott AG, Cooper MA, Coin LJ. Scaffolding
and completing genome assemblies in real-time with nanopore sequencing. Nat Commun.
2017;8:14515.
20.   Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic
data capture (REDCap)--a metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing
translational research informatics support. J Biomed Inform. 2009;42(2):377-81.
21.   Institute CaLS. Perofrmance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptiblity Testing. CLSI
supplement M100. 2018.
22.   Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence
data. Bioinformatics. 2014;30(15):2114-20.
23.   Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich AA, Dvorkin M, Kulikov AS, et al. SPAdes:
a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. J Comput Biol.
2012;19(5):455-77.
24.   Kolmogorov M, Yuan J, Lin Y, Pevzner PA. Assembly of long, error-prone reads using
repeat graphs. Nat Biotechnol. 2019;37(5):540-6.
25.   Hunt M, Silva ND, Otto TD, Parkhill J, Keane JA, Harris SR. Circlator: automated
circularization of genome assemblies using long sequencing reads. Genome Biol. 2015;16:294.
26.   Vaser R, Sović, I., Nagarajan, N., & Šikić, M. Fast and accurate de novo genome
assembly from long uncorrected reads. Genome research. 2017.
27.   Page AJ, Cummins CA, Hunt M, Wong VK, Reuter S, Holden MT, et al. Roary: rapid
large-scale prokaryote pan genome analysis. Bioinformatics. 2015;31(22):3691-3.
28.   Löytynoja A. Phylogeny-aware alignment with PRANK. Totowa, NJ: Humana Press;
2014.
29.   Stamatakis A. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of
large phylogenies. Bioinformatics. 2014;30(9):1312-3.
30.   Jolley KA, & Maiden, M. C. BIGSdb: scalable analysis of bacterial genome variation at
the population level. BMC bioinformatics. 2010;11(1):595.
31.   Seemann T. Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. Bioinformatics.
2014;30(14):2068-9.

32.   Jia B, Raphenya AR, Alcock B, Waglechner N, Guo P, Tsang KK, et al. CARD 2017:
expansion and model-centric curation of the comprehensive antibiotic resistance database.
Nucleic Acids Res. 2017;45(D1):D566-D73.
33.   Carattoli A, Zankari E, Garcia-Fernandez A, Voldby Larsen M, Lund O, Villa L, et al. In
silico detection and typing of plasmids using PlasmidFinder and plasmid multilocus sequence
typing. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2014;58(7):3895-903.
34.   Siguier P, Perochon J, Lestrade L, Mahillon J, Chandler M. ISfinder: the reference centre
for bacterial insertion sequences. Nucleic Acids Res. 2006;34(Database issue):D32-6.
35.   Hanson B, Johnson J, Leopold S, Sodergren E, Weinstock G. SVants – A long-read based
method for structural variation detection in bacterial genomes. bioRxiv. 2019:822312.
36.   Dumas JL, van Delden C, Perron K, Kohler T. Analysis of antibiotic resistance gene
expression in Pseudomonas aeruginosa by quantitative real-time-PCR. FEMS Microbiol Lett.
2006;254(2):217-25.
37.   Adler M, Anjum M, Berg OG, Andersson DI, Sandegren L. High fitness costs and
instability of gene duplications reduce rates of evolution of new genes by duplication-divergence
mechanisms. Mol Biol Evol. 2014;31(6):1526-35.
38.   Gibson DG, Young L, Chuang RY, Venter JC, Hutchison CA, 3rd, Smith HO. Enzymatic
assembly of DNA molecules up to several hundred kilobases. Nature methods. 2009;6(5):343-5.
39.   Partridge SR, Zong Z, Iredell JR. Recombination in IS26 and Tn2 in the evolution of
multiresistance regions carrying blaCTX-M-15 on conjugative IncF plasmids from Escherichia
coli. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2011;55(11):4971-8.
40.   Sandegren L, Linkevicius M, Lytsy B, Melhus A, Andersson DI. Transfer of an
Escherichia coli ST131 multiresistance cassette has created a Klebsiella pneumoniae-specific
plasmid associated with a major nosocomial outbreak. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2012;67(1):74-
83.
41.   Partridge SR. Analysis of antibiotic resistance regions in Gram-negative bacteria. FEMS
Microbiol Rev. 2011;35(5):820-55.
42.   Partridge SR, Kwong, S. M., Firth, N., S.O. Mobile Genetic Elements Associated with
Antimicrobial Resistance. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2018;31(4):e00088-17.
43.   He S, Hickman AB, Varani AM, Siguier P, Chandler M, Dekker JP, et al. Insertion
Sequence IS26 Reorganizes Plasmids in Clinically Isolated Multidrug-Resistant Bacteria by
Replicative Transposition. MBio. 2015;6(3):e00762.
44.   Harmer CJ, Hall RM. IS26-Mediated Formation of Transposons Carrying Antibiotic
Resistance Genes. mSphere. 2016;1(2).
45.   Harmer CJ, Moran RA, Hall RM. Movement of IS26-associated antibiotic resistance
genes occurs via a translocatable unit that includes a single IS26 and preferentially inserts
adjacent to another IS26. MBio. 2014;5(5):e01801-14.
46.   Anantham S, Hall RM. pCERC1, a small, globally disseminated plasmid carrying the
dfrA14 cassette in the strA gene of the sul2-strA-strB gene cluster. Microb Drug Resist.
2012;18(4):364-71.
47.   Boyd DA, Tyler S, Christianson S, McGeer A, Muller MP, Willey BM, et al. Complete
nucleotide sequence of a 92-kilobase plasmid harboring the CTX-M-15 extended-spectrum beta-
lactamase involved in an outbreak in long-term-care facilities in Toronto, Canada. Antimicrob
Agents Chemother. 2004;48(10):3758-64.
48.   Iida S, Mollet B, Meyer J, Arber W. Functional characterization of the prokaryotic
mobile genetic element IS26. Molecular and General Genetics MGG. 1984;198(1):84-9.

49.   Harmer CJ, Hall RM. IS26-Mediated Precise Excision of the IS26-aphA1a
Translocatable Unit. MBio. 2015;6(6):e01866-15.
50.   Livermore DM, Day M, Cleary P, Hopkins KL, Toleman MA, Wareham DW, et al.
OXA-1 beta-lactamase and non-susceptibility to penicillin/beta-lactamase inhibitor combinations
among ESBL-producing Escherichia coli. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2018.
51.   Evans BA, Amyes SG. OXA beta-lactamases. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2014;27(2):241-63.
52.   Evans SR, Hujer AM, Jiang H, Hujer KM, Hall T, Marzan C, et al. Rapid Molecular
Diagnostics, Antibiotic Treatment Decisions, and Developing Approaches to Inform Empiric
Therapy: PRIMERS I and II. Clin Infect Dis. 2016;62(2):181-9.
53.   Bevan ER, Jones AM, Hawkey PM. Global epidemiology of CTX-M beta-lactamases:
temporal and geographical shifts in genotype. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2017;72(8):2145-55.
54.   Mena A, Plasencia V, Garcia L, Hidalgo O, Ayestaran JI, Alberti S, et al.
Characterization of a large outbreak by CTX-M-1-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae and
mechanisms leading to in vivo carbapenem resistance development. J Clin Microbiol.
2006;44(8):2831-7.
55.   Stoesser N, Sheppard AE, Pankhurst L, De Maio N, Moore CE, Sebra R, et al.
Evolutionary History of the Global Emergence of the Escherichia coli Epidemic Clone ST131.
mBio. 2016;7(2):e02162.
56.   Poirel L, Lartigue M-F, Decousser J-W, Nordmann P. ISEcp1B-mediated transposition of
blaCTX-M in Escherichia coli. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy. 2005;49(1):447-50.
57.   Zowawi HM, Forde BM, Alfaresi M, Alzarouni A, Farahat Y, Chong TM, et al. Stepwise
evolution of pandrug-resistance in Klebsiella pneumoniae. Sci Rep. 2015;5:15082.
58.   Simner PJ, Antar AAR, Hao S, Gurtowski J, Tamma PD, Rock C, et al. Antibiotic
pressure on the acquisition and loss of antibiotic resistance genes in Klebsiella pneumoniae. J
Antimicrob Chemother. 2018;73(7):1796-803.
59.   Hernández-Allés S, Benedí VJ, Martínez-Martínez L, Pascual Á, Aguilar A, Tomás JM,
et al. Development of resistance during antimicrobial therapy caused by insertion sequence
interruption of porin genes. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy. 1999;43(4):937-9.
60.   Doumith M, Ellington MJ, Livermore DM, Woodford N. Molecular mechanisms
disrupting porin expression in ertapenem-resistant Klebsiella and Enterobacter spp. clinical
isolates from the UK. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2009;63(4):659-67.
61.   Vandecraen J, Chandler M, Aertsen A, Van Houdt R. The impact of insertion sequences
on bacterial genome plasticity and adaptability. Crit Rev Microbiol. 2017;43(6):709-30.
62.   Sandegren L, Andersson DI. Bacterial gene amplification: implications for the evolution
of antibiotic resistance. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2009;7(8):578-88.
63.   Andersson DI, Hughes D. Antibiotic resistance and its cost: is it possible to reverse
resistance? Nat Rev Microbiol. 2010;8(4):260-71.
64.   Leavitt A, Chmelnitsky I, Colodner R, Ofek I, Carmeli Y, Navon-Venezia S. Ertapenem
resistance among extended-spectrum-beta-lactamase-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae isolates.
J Clin Microbiol. 2009;47(4):969-74.
65.   Nicoloff H, Hjort K, Levin BR, Andersson DI. The high prevalence of antibiotic
heteroresistance in pathogenic bacteria is mainly caused by gene amplification. Nat Microbiol.
2019;4(3):504-14.
66.   Gullberg E, Albrecht LM, Karlsson C, Sandegren L, Andersson DI. Selection of a
multidrug resistance plasmid by sublethal levels of antibiotics and heavy metals. mBio.
2014;5(5):e01918-14.

67.   Andersson DI, Nicoloff H, Hjort K. Mechanisms and clinical relevance of bacterial
heteroresistance. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2019;17(8):479-96.
1001