A broad-host range CRISPRi toolkit for silencing gene expression in Burkholderia

Short Title: CRISPRi toolkit for Burkholderia

Category: Microbiology

Andrew M. Hogan[1], A. S. M. Zisanur Rahman[1], Tasia J. Lightly[1] , Silvia T. Cardona[1,2*].

[1]Department of Microbiology, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB, Canada.

[2]Department of Medical Microbiology & Infectious Disease, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg,

Canada.

*To whom correspondence should be addressed: Silvia.Cardona@umanitoba.ca

Keywords: CRISPR-interference, *Burkholderia*, gene silencing, genetic tool

16   **Abstract**

17   Genetic tools are critical to dissecting the mechanisms governing cellular processes, from

18   fundamental physiology to pathogenesis. Species of *Burkholderia* have emerged over recent

19   decades as both important opportunistic pathogens in immunocompromised patients and as a rich

20   source of secondary metabolites for biotechnology. With large, high GC content genomes, there

21   are limited tools available for genetic manipulation of *Burkholderia* species. To address this, we

22   have developed CRISPR interference (CRISPRi) technology for gene silencing in *Burkholderia*.

23   Tunable expression was provided by placing a codon-optimized *dcas9* from *Streptococcus*

24   *pyogenes* under control of a rhamnose-inducible promoter, which was validated by immunoblot.

25   Expression from a multicopy plasmid caused a growth defect; therefore, the mini-CTX single-

26   copy insertion system was used to deliver *dcas9* to the chromosome, resulting in wild-type growth.

27   As a proof of concept, the *paaABCDE* operon controlling genes necessary for phenylacetic acid

28   degradation was targeted by plasmid-borne sgRNAs, resulting in near complete inhibition of

29   growth on phenylacetic acid as a sole carbon source. The utility of CRISPRi to probe other

30   functions at the single cell level was demonstrated knocking down *phbC* and *fliF,* which

31   dramatically reduces polyhydroxybutyrate granule accumulation and motility, respectively. As a

32   hallmark of the mini-CTX system is the broad host-range of integration, we putatively identified

33   67 genera of Proteobacteria that might be amenable to modification with our CRISPRi toolkit.

34

35

36

37

Significance statement

Members of the genus *Burkholderia* have potential for biotechnological applications but can also

cause disease in humans with a debilitated immune system. The lack of suitable genetic tools to

edit *Burkholderia* GC-rich genomes has hampered the exploration of useful capacities and the

understanding of pathogenic features. Our CRISPRi tool kit provides a simple and rapid way to

silence gene expression to produce an observable phenotype. Linking genes to functions with

CRISPRi will facilitate genome editing with the goal of enhancing biotechnological capabilities

while reducing *Burkholderia's* pathogenic arsenal.

Author contributions

STC conceived the idea and design of the research; AMH designed and cloned the dCas9

constructs; AMH and ASMZ designed the sgRNAs, assessed knockdown phenotypes, processed

data, and wrote and edited the manuscript; TJL performed qRT-PCR analysis and edited the

manuscript; STC supervised the work and provided financial support.

Introduction

The genus *Burkholderia* comprises a diverse group of Gram-negative bacteria characterized by a remarkable biotechnological potential, with species that can be exploited for bioremediation purposes, production of bioactive compounds, and agricultural growth promotion. Perhaps due to the phylogenetic diversity of the genus, some species of *Burkholderia* can also pose a threat to human health (Eberl and Vandamme, 2016). Within the multiple deep-branching groups of the *Burkholderia* phylogenetic tree (Depoorter et al., 2016), one branch contains the clades known as the *Burkholderia cepacia* complex (Bcc) and the *B. pseudomallei* group. The Bcc group comprises species that cause severe infections in people with the genetic disease cystic fibrosis and other patients with a compromised immune system (Mahenthiralingam et al., 2005). *B. cenocepacia* and *B. multivorans* are two relevant species of this group, which are prevalent in cystic fibrosis patients (Kenna et al., 2017). The *B. pseudomallei* group contains the risk group 3 members *B. mallei*, *B. pseudomallei*, and its close relative *B. thailandensis*, the last one being a frequent surrogate used in *B. pseudomallei* and *B. mallei* research (Howe et al., 1971). Other branches, such as the *B. xenovorans* group comprise species isolated from diverse environmental sources, like polluted soils, and plant rhizospheres (Depoorter et al., 2016). While species with and without pathogenic potential tend to cluster separately in most phylogenetic trees, the genetic characteristics that define the pathogenic potential of *Burkholderia* are poorly understood. Environmental species can cause serious infections (Nally et al., 2018), calling for caution in the use of *Burkholderia* strains for biotechnological applications. The incomplete understanding of *Burkholderia* pathogenic potential may be related to the limited tools available to link gene to function. Most genome editing methods designed for Gram-negative bacteria are inefficient in

Burkholderia due in part to their high resistance to the antibiotics used as genetic markers (Rhodes

and Schweizer, 2016), and the high GC content of their large genomes.

Tools that facilitate controlled gene expression are necessary for interrogation of gene

function. Programmable control of gene expression by promoter replacement is a valuable tool to

link gene to phenotype (Judson and Mekalanos, 2000). Yet, promoter replacement implies that the

natural regulatory circuitry of the target gene is interrupted. Instead, clustered regularly interspaced

short palindromic repeats interference (CRISPRi) (Qi et al., 2013) is a method of silencing native

gene expression, which is based on a dead Cas9 (dCas9), a nuclease-inactive version of the RNA-

guided endonuclease Cas9 (Cho et al., 2013). In CRISPRi, a single guide RNA (sgRNA) designed

towards the 5' end of the target gene and the dCas9 protein form an RNA-protein complex that

recognizes the target region by base-pairing, and sterically blocks transcription initiation, if

targeting the promoter, or elongation if targeting downstream of the promoter, by the RNA

polymerase (Figure 1A).

Initially developed in *Escherichia coli*, CRISPRi technology has been applied to

functionally characterize genomes of a handful of bacteria (Lee et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2017; Peters

et al., 2016). To achieve genome-wide control of gene expression a wide range of dCas9 expression

levels are necessary, which can be provided by expressing *dcas9* with strong inducible promoters

(Peters et al., 2016) or by providing multiple copies of *dcas9* engineered in a plasmid (Lee et al.,

2019). Limitations to the success of these genomic efforts are the proteotoxicity of dCas9 when

expressed at high levels (Cui et al., 2018) and the necessity of customizing CRISPRi delivery tools

across bacteria. Recently, the use of Tn7 transposon mutagenesis, which specifically delivers

genetic constructs close to a single *glmS* site (Choi and Schweizer, 2006; Choi et al., 2005) was

applied to deliver a mobile CRISPRi system across bacteria (Peters et al., 2019). However, the

Tn7 system is less suitable for Burkholderia species as their genomes contain multiple copies of

glmS, requiring additional steps to confirm the site of chromosomal integration (Choi et al., 2006).

In this work, we employ a mini-CTX-derived mutagenesis system (Hoang et al., 2000) to achieve

specific chromosomal delivery of *dcas9* in three species of *Burkholderia*, *B. cenocepacia*, *B.*

thailandensis and *B. multivorans*. Placing the chromosomal copy of *dcas9* under the control of the

E. coli rhamnose-inducible promoter (Cardona and Valvano, 2005), we demonstrate durable and

tunable control of endogenous gene expression, which affects cellular function producing

observable phenotypes. We extend the usability of our CRISPRi tool kit by exploring other

bacterial genomes for putative mini-CTX insertion sites.

Results

Construction of CRISPRi system

The dCas9 from *Streptococcus pyogenes* has been shown to provide robust gene repression

in diverse bacteria (Peters et al., 2016, 2019; Qi et al., 2013); we therefore selected it as our first

approach. To function, the dCas9 binds a sgRNA and, by complementary base pairing, is guided

to target and silence a gene of interest by sterically blocking the RNA polymerase (Figure 1A) (Qi

et al., 2013). However, the genome of *S. pyogenes* has low GC-content (~40%), and from

inspection of the *dcas9* gene, we expected poor codon usage in high GC-content organisms such

as *Burkholderia* (67%) and subsequently low levels of expression. Indeed, we did not observe

detectable levels of dCas9 by immunoblot upon expression of the native gene from *S. pyogenes*

from a single copy in the *B. cenocepacia* K56-2 chromosome (Supplemental Figure 1). Upon

codon optimization for *B. cenocepacia*, we first introduced the gene into a multicopy plasmid

under the control of the rhamnose-inducible promoter (Cardona and Valvano, 2005); however, we

observed a severe growth defect upon induction, except at minute concentrations of rhamnose

(Supplemental Figure 2A). Growth inhibition was not observed in the vector control

(Supplemental Figure 2B) and it remains unclear if the inhibitory effect of dCas9 expression on

growth was caused by metabolic load from expression of a large protein in multicopy, or from

proteotoxicity.

We reasoned a single chromosomal copy of *dcas9* may provide sufficient levels of

expression as observed previously (Choudhary et al., 2015; Peters et al., 2016, 2019). Using the

mini-CTX system, we introduced a single copy of *dcas9* under control of the rhamnose-inducible

promoter into *B. cenocepacia* K56-2 (Supplemental Figure 3A and B). We observed titratable

dCas9 expression at various levels of rhamnose by immunoblot (Figure 1B and Supplemental

Figure 4A). At rhamnose concentrations up to 0.2% there was no growth defect (Figure 1C)

compared to the vector control mutant (Supplemental Figure 4B) Furthermore, dCas9 expression

in the presence of a sgRNA with a randomized binding region (sgRNA-non-target) had no effect

on growth (Supplemental Figure 4C), demonstrating the current system is well-tolerated in *B.*

cenocepacia K56-2.

Tunable and durable CRISPRi silencing of paaA strongly suppresses growth on phenylacetic acid

To evaluate the utility of the CRISPRi system for gene repression in *B. cenocepacia* K56-

2, we first chose to target the *paaA* gene, which encodes phenylacetate-CoA oxygenase subunit

PaaA (Teufel et al., 2010). This gene, and the rest of the *paaABCDE* operon, enable growth with

PA as a sole carbon source in *B. cenocepacia* K56-2 (Pribytkova et al., 2014), with the lack of

growth being a clearly observable phenotype when the *paaA* gene is disrupted. In addition, as this

is the first characterization of CRISPRi in *Burkholderia*, we also wished to assess the effect on

repression efficiency when targeting the non-template (NT) and template (T) strands, as previous

studies have demonstrated profound differences (Bikard et al., 2013; Qi et al., 2013). We therefore

designed five sgRNAs: three sgRNAs targeted the promoter elements and adjacent to the

transcription start site (TSS) on the NT strand (sgRNA 1, 2 and 3), one sgRNA targeted near the

start codon of *paaA* on the NT strand (sgRNA 4), and one sgRNA targeted near the start codon of

paaA on the T strand (sgRNA 5) (Figure 2A). For phenotypic characterization of the *paaA* mutants,

we used M9 minimal medium with PA (M9+PA) as the sole carbon source. Upon induction of

dCas9, the growth of all mutants (except the controls) was suppressed approximately 30-fold

(Figure 2B) to the same level of a Δ*paaABCDE* mutant, which is unable to utilize PA as a sole

carbon source (Pribytkova et al., 2014). Furthermore, in the absence of rhamnose all of the mutants

grew at or near wild-type levels, suggesting that *dcas9* expression is tightly repressed in non-

inducing conditions. Interestingly, we did not observe a differential effect of placement of the

sgRNA-binding site, as the growth of all mutants was suppressed equally. We also found there to

be no difference in control strains; mutants expressing dCas9 and either a guideless or non-

targeting sgRNA displayed the same levels of growth.

Next, we sought to determine the tunability of our CRISPRi system in *Burkholderia*.

Tuning is useful to control the level of transcription inhibition when precision is required, such as

in the study of essential genes. To that end, we examined the growth of the dCas9 mutant of *B.*

cenocepacia K56-2 with the sgRNA1 (targeting *paaA*), using PA as a sole carbon source in the

presence of a 2-fold serial dilution of rhamnose. The results showed that our CRISPRi system is

tunable, exhibiting growth reduction in a dose-dependent manner with variable repression across

sub-saturating rhamnose concentrations (Figure 2C). Intermediate levels of growth were observed

in concentrations of rhamnose between 0.00625% and 0.05%. We observed a nearly 30-fold

repression in OD_{600nm} at concentrations well below maximum induction as identified by

immunoblot, suggesting our system produces more dCas9 than is required for maximum

repression. Rhamnose levels beyond 0.2% were intrinsically growth inhibitory precluding their

use for inducing gene expression (Figure 2C).

Although once rhamnose is removed from the culture, expression of dCas9 is no longer

induced, it remained possible that the dCas9 already synthesized may persist and cause long-term,

or durable, silencing. To address this, the mutant strains harbouring sgRNAs targeting *paaA,* were

grown overnight in rich medium with 0.2% rhamnose, effectively priming the cells with dCas9.

When grown in M9+PA with and without rhamnose, we again observed strong repression of

growth (~30-fold) in all conditions regardless of the presence of rhamnose in the M9+PA, and at

levels similar to the Δ*paaABCDE* mutant (Figure 2D). This suggests that after the inducer is

removed, dCas9 is either slowly degraded in K56-2 or is present at high enough levels in the cells

for durable repression.

Single-cell analysis reveals an 'all or none' effect in B. cenocepacia K56-2

While at the culture level the effect of CRISPRi is tunable, we further explored the effect

of maximum dCas9 induction at the single-cell level. We therefore targeted *fliF,* a gene encoding

a transmembrane protein that forms the M ring of the basal body complex of the flagellum. We

targeted *fliF* by introducing four sgRNAs designed to bind near the putative promoter and start

codon (Supplemental Figure 5A). Our goal was to assess individual cell flagellation as it compares

to overall culture swimming motility, and indeed, insertional inactivation of *fliF* completely

ablates swimming motility (Supplemental Figure 5B), supporting previous findings (Tomich et al.,

2002). While we observed effective inhibition of swimming motility compared to controls in a

plate-based assay (approximately 4-fold reduction) (Supplemental Figure 5B and C), we were

unable to observe flagella in any of the mutants harbouring gRNAs targeting *fliF* (Supplemental

Figure 5D). It is possible that interfering with *fliF* expression rendered fragile flagella that could

not remained attached to the cell during the staining process, while still being functional when

grown in culture (Komatsu et al., 2016).

As a second option, we targeted *phbC,* a gene encoding poly-β-hydroxybutyrate

polymerase, an enzyme required for PHB synthesis. To repress *phbC* expression, we designed

three sgRNAs to target the region up to 50 bp before the start codon, at the putative promoter site

(Figure 3A). Polyhydroxyalkanoate (PHA) granule accumulation was assessed by fluorescence

microscopy with Nile red staining after overnight induction with rhamnose. For comparison to a

null phenotype, we created a *phbC* insertional mutant (*phbC*::pAH27) which was unable to

produce PHA granules (Figure 3B and D). Compared to the wild-type and non-target controls,

markedly few cells harbouring sgRNAs targeting *phbC* contained PHA granules, ranging from 17-

70% depending on the sgRNA (Figure 3B). sgRNA6 rendered the strongest level of repression,

with only 17.1% of cells containing PHA granules in contrast to 86.9% for the wild-type (Figure

3B). Interestingly, although few cells possessed PHA granules, the granules were of identical size

to those in wild-type cells, averaging 0.65 μm in diameter (Figure 3C and D). As shown by the

insertional mutant, inactivation of *phbC* ablates PHA granule accumulation; therefore, the

presence of granules of the same size in the dCas9 mutants as in the wild-type is indicative of an

'all-or-none' effect in *B. cenocepacia* K56-2, where most cells display the silenced phenotype, but

some manage to escape the effect of CRISPRi.

Broad host range of the mini-CTX system extends applicability to other species

Having shown that the *S. pyogenes* dCas9 renders strong gene repression in *B.* cenocepacia

K56-2, we next turned our attention to other important species of *Burkholderia.* We introduced the

codon-optimized *dcas9* gene into the chromosome of *B. multivorans* ATCC 17616 and targeted

the *paaA* and *phbC* genes by designing three sgRNAs for each centered around the putative

promoter (Figures 4A and C). Targeted repression of *paaA* by each of the three sgRNAs strongly

suppressed growth in M9+PA by approximately 25-fold (Figure 4B), very similar to the activity

observed in *B. cenocepacia* K56-2. However, we observed poor expression of dCas9 by

immunoblot (Supplemental Figure 6A). Given that the observed phenotype is indicative of

effective gene silencing, it is unlikely that the actual expression of dCas9 is as low as detected by

immunoblot; however, the basis for this discrepancy is unknown. Expression of dCas9 in the

presence of the non-targeting sgRNA did not affect growth in *B. multivorans* ATCC 17616

(Supplemental Figure 4C). Following this, targeting *phbC* rendered relatively poor inhibition of

PHA granule accumulation with between 35-50% of cells containing PHA granules, depending on

the sgRNA (Figure C and D). In contrast to what was seen in *B. cenocepacia* K56-2, we observed

granules of reduced size (Figure 4E and F), suggesting there might be compensatory PHA

synthesis pathways in *B. multivorans* ATCC 17616.

Members of the *B. pseudomallei* group are phylogenetically distinct from the Bcc (Eberl

and Vandamme, 2016), but remain of interest due to the ability to cause infection (such as

melioidosis and glanders) and for their remarkable capacity for secondary metabolite production

(Mao et al., 2017). *B. thailandensis* is a commonly used model for the pathogenic members of the

B. pseudomallei group, we therefore also introduced the codon-optimized *dcas9* gene into the

chromosome of *B. thailandensis* strain E264. When induced with rhamnose, dCas9 was highly

expressed (Supplemental Figure 6) and did not impair growth in the presence of a non-targeting

sgRNA (Supplemental Figure 4C). Similarly, as for *B. cenocepacia* K56-2 and *B. multivorans*

ATCC 17616, we designed three sgRNAs targeting the putative promoters of the *paaA* and *phbC*

genes (Figure 5A and C). Upon induction of dCas9 with 0.2% rhamnose, growth of the mutants

harbouring the *paaA*-targeting sgRNAs was suppressed in M9+PA to varying levels ranging from

3-fold (sgRNA19) to 25-fold (sgRNA20) (Figure 5B). The fact that some sgRNAs yielded only

modest repression was not unexpected as the work characterizing the *paaA* promoter done in K56-

2 (Pribytkova et al., 2014) would not likely apply as well to E264 as to the more closely related

ATCC 17616. Lastly, for the sgRNAs targeting *phbC*, the results mirror those seen in ATCC

17616, as depending on the sgRNA there was variation in the percent of cells with PHA granules

(10-40%) and the diameter of the granules (overall decrease in size) (Figure 5C and F). Though

the exact contributions to PHA synthesis in E264 remain unclear, it has been previously suggested

that PhbC is not the only polyhydroxyalkanoate polymerase in E264 (Funston et al., 2017).

The integration vector we implemented to deliver *dcas9* to the chromosome of various

species of *Burkholderia* relies on the expression of the φCTX integrase and recombination using

the plasmid-borne *attP* site with the chromosomal *attB* site. φCTX is a *Pseudomonas*-infecting

phage, and the mini-CTX integration system was originally designed for use in *P. aeruginosa*

(Hoang et al., 2000). The mini-CTX integration system has been used successfully in other species;

however, this has been mostly limited to members of *Burkholderia* (Chapalain et al., 2017; Le

Guillouzer et al., 2017). The utility in *Burkholderia* has been comparable to *P. aeruginosa*, in part

owing to efficient integration. In the species used in this study, we observed the integration

efficiencies to be 6×10^{-7} in K56-2, 6×10^{-8} in E264, and 5×10^{-9} in ATCC 17616 (Supplemental

Figure 7A), compared to 10^{-7} to 10^{-8} observed previously in *P. aeruginosa* (Hoang et al., 2000).

To further broaden the scope of the applicability of our CRISPRi system, we used NCBI BLAST

to search all published genomes for putative *attB* sites. While the full-length *attB* site is 30 nt,

integration is known to occur if only the 5' 19 nt are completely complementary, such as for many

species of *Burkholderia*. This shorter *attB* site was therefore used as a BLAST query, resulting in

1760 hits with 100% alignment (Supplementary Table 4). Enterobacteria were excluded from the

search as the pMB1 *oriR* in the mini-CTX system is functional is these species; therefore,

integrants cannot be easily isolated. Furthermore, the search parameters were modified to only

include species of Proteobacteria. Overall, there were 168 unique species from 67 genera. As

expected, the most abundant hit corresponded to species and strains of *Pseudomonas* (480 hits),

then followed by *Acinetobacter* (443 hits), *Burkholderia* (276 hits), *Neisseria* (170 hits), and

Ralstonia (146 hits), with members of the other 62 genera comprising the remaining 245 hits. A

summary of the major hits and species of interest (pathogenic, environmental, biotechnological,

etc) can be found along with the genomic context in Table 1. We note that the hit table comprises

species with both high and low GC-content genomes, and while the GC-rich codon-optimized

dcas9 (in pAH-CTX1-rhadCas9) may be better suited for species with high GC-content genomes,

such as those in the families *Pseudomonadaceae* and *Alcaligenaceae*, the native *dcas9* (in pAH-

CTX1-rhadCas9-native) may have better functionality in species with low GC-content genomes,

such as those in the families *Moraxellaceae* and *Neisseriaceae*.

A further consideration for use of our CRISPRi system is that the pFLPe recombinase-

expressing plasmids might not be functional in all species. In which case, the *int* and *tet* genes

along with the *oriT* and pBM1 *oriR* would not be excised and the mutant would remain resistant

to tetracycline. To explore if experiments could be performed in the absence of tetracycline

selection, we assessed the stability of the mini-CTX integration in K56-2, ATCC 17616, and E264

in serial passages over four days without tetracycline. For all species over the entire experiment

we determined CFU counts on agar with and without tetracycline selection and found equal

recovery of tetracycline-resistant colonies as total colonies (Supplemental Figure 7B-D),

suggesting the integration is stable.

Discussion

Genetic tools are necessary to dissect the molecular mechanisms governing cellular

processes. Here, we report the development of a CRISPRi system for efficient repression of gene

expression in *Burkholderia*. By mobilizing the *dcas9* gene that was codon-optimized for the GC-

rich *Burkholderia* on a broad host range mini-CTX1 integration vector, we demonstrate robust,

tunable, and durable repression of endogenous genes. While others have shown effective

repression using the native *dcas9* gene in *E. coli* (Qi et al., 2013), *B. subtilis* (Peters et al., 2016),

Staphylococcus aureus, and *Acinetobacter baumannii* (Peters et al., 2019), codon-optimization

was a necessary step for K56-2, as expression of the native *dcas9* gene was not detectable in K56-

2. Indeed, for expression in species with high GC-content, codon optimization appears to be

necessary. In *P. aeruginosa* (66.3% GC) both the *S. pyogenes* dCas9 (Peters et al., 2019) and the

S. pasteurianus dCas9 (Tan et al., 2018) were not expressed unless first codon-optimized, albeit

for *Mycobacterium* (~67% GC) or *Homo sapiens* (optimized at 50.2% GC). Additionally, the *S.*

thermophilus dCas9 was codon-optimized for *Mycobacterium* for efficient expression in *M.*

tuberculosis and *M. smegmatis* (Rock et al., 2017).

Despite codon-optimizing the *dcas9* gene, we observe a severe growth defect when

expressed from a multicopy pBBR1 origin plasmid. At this time, we are unsure if this was caused

by a metabolic burden of expressing a large protein from a multicopy plasmid or from direct

proteotoxicity. Previous studies have demonstrated that expression of the canonical *S. pyogenes*

dCas9 causes toxicity in *M. smegmatis*, *M. tuberculosis* (Rock et al., 2017), and *E. coli* (Cho et al.,

2018), which provides rationale for developing a system with low-enough levels of dCas9

expression to maintain cell viability without sacrificing repression activity. While this effort has

spurred the exploration of alternative dCas9 orthologues (Rock et al., 2017), we found that

introducing *dcas9* in single copy in the chromosome provided a balance of repression activity

without affecting growth. Furthermore, while other systems display up to 3-fold repression in the

absence of inducer (Peters et al., 2016; Tan et al., 2018), our application of the tightly regulated

rhamnose-inducible promoter from *E. coli* does not display such a phenomenon in any of the three

species tested. Consequently, we suggest this attribute is suited for the investigation of essential

genes, as we would predict wild-type growth in the absence of inducer. However, at the cost of

apparently negligible uninduced expression, the rhamnose-inducible promoter is incapable of

attaining the high levels of maximal expression seen in other systems, such as the xylose-inducible

promoter used in the *B. subtilis* CRISPRi library (Peters et al., 2016) (Supplementary Figure 4A).

While we did observe increased expression at rhamnose concentrations of 0.5% and 1.0%, it was

accompanied by a substantial growth defect. This is similar to the use of the arabinose-inducible

promoter in K56-2, in which the 2-3% arabinose necessary to induce maximal expression caused

marked changes in cell morphology reminiscent of osmotic stress (Cardona and Valvano, 2005).

As a result of the lower levels of maximal expression, it remains possible that our CRISPRi system

may not be capable of effectively repressing highly transcribed genes, but this is under

investigation. While work by Vigouroux et al. (Vigouroux et al., 2018) suggests that when the

sgRNA binding site is saturated with the dCas9:sgRNA complex, fold change in repression is

independent of promoter strength; however, the absolute repression of highly active promoters is

lower and it is unclear what constitutes saturating levels of dCas9. Furthermore, multiplexing

CRISPRi with simultaneous use of more than one sgRNA might reduce the repression at each

locus by titrating away dCas9, and this effect may be even more apparent if lower levels of dCas9

are present.

Critical to fine manipulation of gene expression in precision experiments is tunability. We

show that using the rhamnose-inducible promoter can provide intermediate levels of repression

and a resulting phenotype of gene depletion rather than complete silencing. Combined with the

lack of repression in the uninduced state, we propose that our CRISPRi system will be useful in

providing dynamic gene repression. Moreover, the repression caused by dCas9 is durable, as even

a full 24 hours after removal of the inducer, we observed the same phenotype as in the cultures

grown with inducer. This is in line with previous findings in *Giardia lamblia* (McInally et al.,

2019) and *in vitro* (Jones et al., 2017; Sternberg et al., 2014). Interestingly, binding of the

dCas9:sgRNA complex to a target is nearly irreversible once the sgRNA has bound with complete

complementarity to its target site (Sternberg et al., 2014). Furthermore, this means that the

dCas9:sgRNA complex does not follow Michaelis-Menton enzyme kinetics, with each dCas9

acting like a single-turnover enzyme that, *in vitro*, can only be removed from the target by strong

detergents (Sternberg et al., 2014). *In vivo* however, although the RNA polymerase is largely

incapable of displacing the dCas9:sgRNA complex, the DNA replication machinery is not blocked

by dCas9. In fact, it has been observed that the dissociation of the dCas9:sgRNA complex

correlates well with the generation time in *E. coli* (Jones et al., 2017). This is an important

consideration for understanding the durability of growth suppression we observed when using PA

as a sole carbon source. When priming the cells by pre-incubation with rhamnose and then placing

the cells in M9+PA, they were unable to grow, that is *paaA* became a conditionally essential gene,

thereby shutting down cell division and DNA replication. Hence, the DNA replication machinery

would not displace the dCas9:sgRNA from the *paaA* gene, resulting in long-term growth

suppression. By extension, we predict that strong knockdown of any (conditionally) essential gene

that causes a halt in DNA replication would be durable in this manner. This is a useful aspect of

CRISPRi that we are investigating further.

Although it has been reported that the CRISPRi system is less effective in silencing the

gene expression when the template strand (T) is targeted compared to the non-template (NT)

strand, our results demonstrated nearly 30-fold repression of the growth irrespective of the *paaA*

target strand (Figure 2B). This suggests that the efficiency of the CRISPRi system might not strand

specific at all loci, supporting the findings from Howe et al. (Howe et al., 2017). However, this

effect might have been masked by the strong repression we observed, as it is difficult to compare

across null phenotypes. Additionally, sgRNAs targeting different regions of the 5' region of *paaA*

produced a strong 25-30-fold repression in all three species studied here, suggesting that

knockdown efficiency is largely unaffected by sgRNA placement on targeting regions overlapping

or adjacent to the −35 and −10 promoter elements. possibly resulted from the proximity of the

large dCas9:sgRNA complex to the promoter sterically interfering with the RNA polymerase

binding. Indeed, the ~160 kDa dCas9 enzyme has an average DNA footprint of 78.1 bp, much

larger than most promoter regions (Josephs et al., 2015).

Single cell level analysis of our system demonstrated a unimodal 'all or none' effect in

K56-2 with ~17% cells escaping the silenced phenotype when targeting *phbC* compared to an

insertion mutant, which did not possess any granules. The PHB granules in these cells were of

identical diameter to wild type, averaging 0.65μm in diameter. The 'all or none' effect might be

attributed to an uneven distribution of the membrane transporter involved in transporting rhamnose

into the cell, essentially escaping the rhamnose mediated *dCas9* induction to silence the target gene

(Siegele and Hu, 1997). Interestingly, targeting *phbC* in *B. multivorans* ATCC17616 and *B.*

thailandensis E264 resulted in a mixed phenotype with a reduced number of cells with granules as

well as an overall decrease in granule size. Even though some of the cells seemed to have escaped

the repression (up to 35% in ATCC17616 and 6% in E264), more than 90% of the cells contained

granules of reduced size. The residual presence of PHA granule suggests the existence of an

unelucidated PHA synthesis pathways in *B. thailandensis* E264 and *B. multivorans* ATCC 17616,

supporting the finding from Funston et al. (Funston et al., 2017).

One of the hallmarks of CRISPRi is the broad-range amenability in diverse bacteria,

enabling synthetic biology and mechanistic investigations into many dozens of species in

innovative ways. We wished to apply our CRISPRi system in this manner and therefore mobilized

both the native *dcas9* gene, suitable for low/medium GC-content organisms, and the *dcas9* gene,

codon-optimized for GC-rich *Burkholderia*, on the mini-CTX1 integration vector. Our analysis of

putative hosts (with *attB* sites near the 3' end of the serine tRNA) identified 168 unique species in

67 genera, mostly from the β- and γ-Proteobacteria. Previous works have mobilized *dcas9* on

broad host-range integrative plasmids (Peters et al., 2019; Tan et al., 2018); however, both systems

use the mini-*Tn*7 system, which has multiple insertion locations in certain genomes, such as many

species of *Burkholderia*. Together, our work contributes to the available genetic toolkit for rapid

functional analysis of bacteria.

Methods

Strains, selective antibiotics, and growth conditions

All strains and plasmids are found in Supplemental Table 1. All strains were grown in LB-

Lennox medium (Difco). *B. cenocepacia* K56-2 and strains of *E. coli* were grown at 37°C, while

B. thailandensis E264 and *B. multivorans* ATCC 17616 were grown at 30°C. The following

selective antibiotics were used: chloramphenicol (Sigma; 100 μg/mL for *B. cenocepacia,* 20

μg/mL for *E. coli*), trimethoprim (Sigma; 100 μg/mL for strains of *Burkholderia*, 50 μg/mL for *E.*

coli), tetracycline (Sigma; 50 μg/mL for all strains of *Burkholderia*, 20 μg/mL for *E. coli*),

kanamycin (250 μg/mL for *B. thailandensis,* 150 μg/mL for *B. multivorans*, 40 μg/mL for *E. coli*),

ampicillin (100 μg/mL for *E. coli*), gentamicin (50 μg/mL for all strains of *Burkholderia*).

Construction of pSC-rhadCas9, pAH-CTX1-rhadCas9, pAH-CTX1-rhadCas9-native, and dCas9

insertional mutants

The endogenous *cas9* gene from *S. pyogenes* has low GC content (averaging 34.1%) and

subsequently poor codon usage for GC-rich organisms (http://www.kazusa.or.jp/codon/). The

nuclease-inactive variant (*dcas9*) was therefore codon optimized for *B. cenocepacia* by purchasing

the optimized gene in two fragments from IDT (2462 bp and 1849 bp, Supplemental table 2), each

with 38 bp overlapping regions. Strong, rho-independent terminators were added following the

gene. The full-length gene (with terminal *Nde*I and *Hind*III cut sites) was synthesized by overlap-

extension PCR using Q5 polymerase with high GC buffer (NEB) and primers 979 and 987

(Supplemental Table 2). The first ten rounds of PCR were performed without primers to synthesize

the full-length product using the overlap regions; primers were added for the following 25 cycles.

The cycle parameters are as follows: 98°C for 30 sec, (98°C for 10 sec, 67.5°C for 20 sec, 72°C for

2.5 min)x10 cycles, 72°C for 5 min, 98°C for 30 sec, (98°C for 10 sec, 62.5°C for 20 sec,72 $^{\circ}$C for

2.5 min)x25 cycles, 72°C for 10 min. The 4267 bp product was gel-purified (Qiagen) and

introduced into pSCrhaB2 by restriction cloning using *Nde*I and *Hind*III (NEB). The resulting

plasmid, pSC-rhadCas9, was transformed into *E. coli* DH5α, and trimethoprim-resistant colonies

were screened by colony PCR with primers 954 and 955. Triparental mating with *E. coli*

MM290/pRK2013 as a helper was performed as previously described (Hogan et al., 2018).

Serial restriction cloning was used to introduce the optimized *dcas9* into the mini-CTX1

insertion plasmid (Hoang et al., 2000). The rhamnose-inducible promoter from pSC201 (Ortega et

al., 2007) was first PCR amplified with Q5 polymerase and primers 976 and 1071, containing

*Hind*III and *Spe*I restriction sites, respectively. This fragment was introduced into mini-CTX1, to

create pAH-CTX1-rha, and tetracycline-resistant *E. coli* DH5α were screened by colony PCR

using primers 957 and 1074. The fragment containing the dCas9 gene was PCR amplified as above,

but instead using primers 1072 and 1073, introducing *Spe*I and *Not*I restriction sites, respectively.

This fragment was introduced into pAH-CTX1-rha, to create pAH-CTX1-rhadCas9, and

tetracycline-resistant *E. coli* DH5α colonies were screened by colony PCR using primers 954 and

955.

The native (non codon-optimized) *dcas9* was also introduced into pAH-CTX1-rha. The

native *dcas9* and transcriptional terminators were PCR amplified from pdCas9-bacteria (Addgene

plasmid # 44249) with Q5 polymerase (NEB) using primers 1216 and 1217. The PCR product was

cloned into pAH-CTX1-rha (creating pAH-CTX1-rhadcas9-native) using *Not*I and *Spe*I restriction

sites then transformed into *E. coli* DH5α. Tetracycline-resistant colonies were screened by PCR

using primers 954 and 1218.

pAH-CTX1-rha, pAH-CTX1-rhadCas9, and pAH-CTX1-rhadCas9-native were

introduced into *Burkholderia* species by triparental mating using *E. coli* MM290/pRK2013 as a

helper as above. Tetracycline-resistant colonies were screened by colony PCR using primer 954

(for pAH-CTX1-rha) or 1075 (for pAH-CTX1-rhadCas9) or 1219 (for pAH-CTX1-rhadcas9-

native) and 1008 (for *B. cenocepacia*), 1167 (for *B. multivorans*), or 1168 (for *B. thailandensis*).

While the pFLPe plasmids have been used to remove the tetracycline resistance and

integrase genes in other species (Choi et al., 2008), sensitive colonies could not be obtained in *B.*

cenocepacia. Therefore, the clean deletion method of Flannagan et al was used as previously

described (Flannagan et al., 2008). To do this, a fragment with 475 bp overlapping the upstream

and downstream regions of the *FRT* sites when pAH-CTX1-rhadCas9 was inserted into the

genome (Supplemental Figure 2), was designed and synthesized (IDT) with *Kpn*I and *Eco*RI

restriction sites, respectively. The fragment was ligated into pGPI-*Sce*I (Flannagan et al., 2008)

via the *Kpn*I and *Eco*RI restriction sites, creating pAH18, and transformed into *E. coli* SY327.

Trimethoprim-resistant colonies were screened for the insertion of the fragment with primer 153

and 154. pAH18 was introduced into the mutant backgrounds via triparental mating, as described

above. Trimethoprim-resistant K56-2 were screened by PCR for both possible integration

orientations using primers 154 and 1126, or 153 and 1133. To initiate the second recombination,

an *Sce*I-expressing plasmid is required; however, the conventional plasmid, pDAI-*Sce*I, confers

tetracycline resistance and could not be selected for in the mutant background. Therefore, the

tetracycline resistance cassette was removed by digestion with *Age*I and *Xho*I. The

chloramphenicol resistance gene *cat* was PCR amplified from pKD3 (Datsenko and Wanner, 2000)

using primers 1084 and 1150, then ligated into the pDAI-*Sce*I backbone and transformed into *E.*

coli DH5α, creating pAH25-*Sce*I. Chloramphenicol-resistant colonies were screened with primers

1091 and 1150. pAH35-*Sce*I was introduced into the mutant backgrounds by triparental mating as

described above. Chloramphenicol-resistant colonies were screened for sensitivity to trimethoprim

(indicating excision of pAH18) and tetracycline (indicating excision of the genes between the *FRT*

sites), and then screened by PCR with primers 1126 and 1133, which bridge the excision.

The pFLPe system was used to remove the tetracycline resistance and integrase genes in

the dCas9 mutants in *B. multivorans* ATCC 17616 and *B. thailandensis* E264. Triparental mating

to introduce pFLPe4 into the strains was performed as for K56-2 above, except 0.2% rhamnose

was added to the mating and antibiotic selection plates. Tetracycline-sensitive colonies were

screened by PCR using primers 957 and 1194 (for *B. multivorans*) or 1195 (for *B. thailandensis*).

pFLPe4 has a temperature-sensitive origin of replication; therefore, mutants were grown overnight

in LB without antibiotics at $37^{o}C$. Single colonies were then tested for kanamycin sensitivity and

then by colony PCR for pFLPe4 using primers 1128 and 1129.

Design and construction of the sgRNA-expressing plasmids

PAM sequences closest to the 5' end of the transcription start site (TSS) were first

identified on both the non-template and template strands. We extracted 20-23 nucleotides adjacent

to the PAM sequence to design the base-pairing region of the sgRNAs in the following format: 5'-

CCN-$N_{(20-23)}$-3' for targeting the non-template strand and 5'$N_{(20-23)}$-NGG-3' for the template

strand. To score the specificity and identify off-target binding sites, the 5' end of the 20-23nt

variable base-pairing sequences were trimmed one base at a time and the remaining base-pairing

region was searched against the appropriate organism's reference genome. This was repeated until

only 10 nt was used as a search query. Potential sgRNAs were discarded if off-target sites were

discovered in this manner.

The expression vector pSCrhaB2 (Cardona and Valvano, 2005) was chosen as the method

of sgRNA expression due to the broad host range of the pBBR1 origin of replication. The sgRNA

cassette from pgRNA-bacteria (Qi et al., 2013) (Addgene plasmid # 44251) was introduced into

pSCrhaB2 by restriction cloning with *Eco*RI and *Hind*III (NEB) to create pSCrhaB2-sgRNA. To

remove *rhaS* and *rhaR*, inverse PCR was performed using Q5 polymerase (NEB) and primers 847

and 1025. The resulting fragment was ligated by blunt-end ligation using 1μL of PCR product

incubated with 0.5 μL *Dpn*I, 0.5 μL T4 polynucleotide kinase, and 0.5 μL T4 ligase (NEB) with

quick ligation buffer (NEB) at 37°C for 30 minutes. The resulting plasmid, pSCB2-sgRNA, was

screened using primers 781 and 848, which span the ligated junction. Individual sgRNAs were

introduced into pSCB2-sgRNA using inverse PCR as previously described (Qi et al., 2013)

(Supplemental Table 3).

Construction of the fliF insertional mutant

Inactivation of *fliF* was performed with the mutagenesis system of Flannagan et al.

(Flannagan et al., 2007). Briefly, a 322 bp internal fragment of *fliF* was PCR amplified from the

K56-2 genome using primers 1156 and 1157 and Q5 polymerase (NEB). The fragment and pGPΩ-

Tp were double digested with *Kpn*I and *Eco*RI (NEB) and ligated with T4 ligase (NEB). The

resulting plasmid, pAH26, was electroporated into *E. coli* SY327, and trimethoprim-resistant

colonies were screened by colony PCR for the *fliF* fragment. Triparental matings were performed

as above. Trimethoprim-resistant exconjugants, were screened by motility assay (below).

Construction of the phbC insertional mutant

Inactivation of *phbC* (WQ49_RS30385) was performed as for *fliF*. Briefly, a 328 bp

internal fragment of *phbC* was PCR amplified from the K56-2 genome using primers 1196 and

1197 and Q5 polymerase (NEB). The plasmid, pAH27, created from ligating the fragment into

pGPΩ-Tp using *Kpn*I and *Eco*RI (NEB) restriction sites, was electroporated into *E. coli* SY327

and trimethoprim-resistant colonies were screened by colony PCR for the *phbC* fragment.

Triparental matings were performed as above, and trimethoprim-resistant exconjugants were

screened by staining for polyhydroxyalkanoate granule accumulation (below).

Assays for integration efficiency and stability of the mini-CTX1-based system

To assess integration efficiency, triparental matings were started as above. However, after

the mating on LB agar, the pellicles were serially diluted and plated for CFU/mL on LB agar with

50 μg/mL gentamicin and LB agar with 50 μg/mL tetracycline and 50 μg/mL gentamicin.

To assess stability of the integration, cultures of the dCas9 mutants (containing the

tetracycline resistance cassette) were serial passaged over 4 days without antibiotics. Each day, a

fresh culture was started by 1:2500 dilution of the previous day's stationary phase culture. In

addition, the cultures were serially diluted and plated for CFU/mL on LB agar without antibiotics

and LB agar with 50 μg/mL tetracycline.

Growth assay with phenylacetic acid as the sole carbon source

Overnight cultures, started from isolated colonies, of the appropriate strains were washed

at 4000x g for 4 minutes and resuspended in PBS (2.7 mM KCl, 136.9 mM NaCl, 1.5 mM KH_2PO_4,

8.9 mM Na_2HPO_4, pH 7.4) to remove growth medium. The OD_{600nm} of the cultures was normalized

to 0.01 in M9 medium supplemented with 5 mM phenylacetic acid, 100 μg/mL trimethoprim, and

0.2% rhamnose as required. The culture was added to wells of a 96-well plate and incubated with

continuous shaking at 37°C (for *B. cenocepacia* K56-2) or 30°C (for *B. multivorans* ATCC 17616

and *B. thailandensis* E264). The OD_{600nm} of the cultures was measured after 24 hours for *B.*

cenocepacia K56-2 and *B. multivorans* ATCC 17616, or 48 hours for *B. thailandensis* E264.

Fluorescent microscopy and polyhydroxyalkanoate granule detection

Overnight cultures of the appropriate strains with or without rhamnose were first washed

to remove growth medium and resuspended in PBS. Cells were fixed in 3.7% formaldehyde + 1%

methanol at room temperature for 10 minutes (*B. cenocepacia* K56-2) or 20 minutes (*B.*

multivorans ATCC 17616 and *B. thailandensis* E264) then quenched by the addition of an equal

volume of 0.5 M glycine. The cells were washed and resuspended in PBS with 0.5 μg/mL Nile

Red (Carbosynth) and stained at room temperature in the dark for 20 minutes, after which the cells

were washed to remove excess stain and resuspended in PBS. The cells were mounted on 1.5%

agarose pads and imaged by fluorescence microscopy at 1000x total magnification on an upright

AxioImager Z1 (Zeiss). Nile red was excited at 546/12 nm and detected at 607/33 nm.

Plate-based motility assay

Assays were performed as previously described (Kumar and Cardona, 2016), with some

modifications. Briefly, strains were grown on LB agar with the appropriate antibiotics and single

colonies were stab-inoculated into motility medium consisting of nutrient broth (Difco) with 0.3%

agar. Medium was supplemented with rhamnose (Sigma) as appropriate. Plates were incubated

right-side up for 22 hours at 37°C.

Flagellum staining

Staining was performed as previously described (Kumar and Cardona, 2016). Briefly, an

overnight culture was rested statically at room temperature for 20 minutes. Gently, a 1 in 10

dilution was prepared in water and rested statically for a further 20 minutes. A small drop of the

diluted culture was placed on a clean glass slide and rested for 20 minutes. A coverglass was gently

applied and one side was flooded with Ryu flagellum stain (Remel), then allowed to dry for 2

hours at room temperature. Slides were observed by light microscopy at 1000x total magnification

on an upright AxioImager Z1 (Zeiss).

SDS-PAGE and Immunoblotting

Cells from an overnight culture were subcultured into fresh medium and grown at $37^{o}C$

($30^{o}C$ for *B. thailandensis*) to an OD_{600nm} of 0.4, then exposed to various concentrations of

rhamnose for 3 hours. Soluble protein was isolated first by sonicating the cells in TNG Buffer (100

mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, pH 7.4) then by centrifugation at 15 000g for 20

minutes. Following boiling denaturation in SDS loading buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 2% SDS, 0.2%

bromophenol blue, 20% glycerol, 100 mM DTT, pH 6.8), samples were run on an 8% Tris/glycine

gel. To ensure equal loading, 20 µg protein was loaded per well (as determined by NanoDrop) and

gels were run in duplicate (one for immunoblot, and another for Coomassie staining). Protein was

transferred by iBlot to a PVDF membrane, blocked in 5% skim milk-TBST (150 mM NaCl, 10

mM Tris-HCl, 0.5% Tween-20, pH 7.5) at room temperature for 1 hour, then probed with a 1:2

000 dilution of primary α-Cas9 antibody (ThermoFisher 10C11-A12) in 5% skim milk-TBST

overnight at $4^{o}C$. Following washes, the blot was probed with a 1:20 000 dilution of secondary

antibody linked to alkaline phosphatase (ThermoFisher G-21060) in 5% skim milk-TBST for 1

hour at room temperature. Protein was detected by incubation with a solution of NBT/BCIP

(Roche) as per the manufacturer's protocols.

Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by grants from the Cystic Fibrosis Foundation, Cystic Fibrosis Canada, and the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) to STC; AMH was supported by grants from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR) and Cystic Fibrosis Canada.

The authors are grateful to Eric Déziel from the Institut National de la Recherche Scientifique – Institut Armand-Frappier for providing the miniCTX1 integration vector.

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

Bikard, D., Jiang, W., Samai, P., Hochschild, A., Zhang, F., and Marraffini, L.A. (2013). Programmable repression and activation of bacterial gene expression using an engineered CRISPR-Cas system. Nucleic Acids Res. *41*, 7429–7437.

Cardona, S.T., and Valvano, M.A. (2005). An expression vector containing a rhamnose-inducible promoter provides tightly regulated gene expression in *Burkholderia cenocepacia*. Plasmid *54*, 219–228.

Chapalain, A., Groleau, M.-C., Le Guillouzer, S., Miomandre, A., Vial, L., Milot, S., and Déziel, E. (2017). Interplay between 4-Hydroxy-3-Methyl-2-Alkylquinoline and N-Acyl-Homoserine Lactone Signaling in a Burkholderia cepacia Complex Clinical Strain. Front. Microbiol. *8*, 1021.

Cho, S., Choe, D., Lee, E., Kim, S.C., Palsson, B., and Cho, B.-K. (2018). High-Level dCas9

Expression Induces Abnormal Cell Morphology in Escherichia coli. ACS Synth. Biol.

Cho, S.W., Kim, S., Kim, J.M., and Kim, J.-S. (2013). Targeted genome engineering in human

cells with the Cas9 RNA-guided endonuclease. Nat. Biotechnol. *31*, 230–232.

Choi, K.-H., and Schweizer, H.P. (2006). mini-Tn7 insertion in bacteria with single attTn7 sites:

example Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Nat. Protoc. *1*, 153–161.

Choi, K.H., Gaynor, J.B., White, K.G., Lopez, C., Bosio, C.M., Karkhoff-Schweizer, R.R., and

Schweizer, H.P. (2005). A Tn7-based broad-range bacterial cloning and expression system.

Nat.Methods *2*, 443–448.

Choi, K.H., DeShazer, D., and Schweizer, H.P. (2006). mini-Tn7 insertion in bacteria with

multiple glmS-linked attTn7 sites: example *Burkholderia mallei* ATCC 23344. Nat. Protoc. *1*,

162–169.

Choi, K.H., Mima, T., Casart, Y., Rholl, D., Kumar, A., Beacham, I.R., and Schweizer, H.P.

(2008). Genetic tools for select-agent-compliant manipulation of *Burkholderia pseudomallei*.

Appl. Environ. Microbiol. *74*, 1064–1075.

Choudhary, E., Thakur, P., Pareek, M., and Agarwal, N. (2015). Gene silencing by CRISPR

interference in mycobacteria. Nat. Commun. *6*, ncomms7267.

Cui, L., Vigouroux, A., Rousset, F., Varet, H., Khanna, V., and Bikard, D. (2018). A CRISPRi

screen in E. coli reveals sequence-specific toxicity of dCas9. Nat. Commun. *9*, 1912.

Darling, P., Chan, M., Cox, A.D., and Sokol, P.A. (1998). Siderophore production by cystic

fibrosis isolates of *Burkholderia cepacia*. Infect. Immun. *66*, 874–877.

Datsenko, K.A., and Wanner, B.L. (2000). One-step inactivation of chromosomal genes in

Escherichia coli K-12 using PCR products. Proc Natl Acad Sci U A *97*, 6640–6645.

Depoorter, E., Bull, M.J., Peeters, C., Coenye, T., Vandamme, P., and Mahenthiralingam, E.
(2016). Burkholderia: an update on taxonomy and biotechnological potential as antibiotic
producers. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. *100*, 5215–5229.

Eberl, L., and Vandamme, P. (2016). Members of the genus *Burkholderia*: good and bad guys.
F1000Research *5*, 1007.

Figurski, D.H., and Helinski, D.R. (1979). Replication of an origin-containing derivative of
plasmid RK2 dependent on a plasmid function provided in trans. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.
76, 1648–1652.

Flannagan, R.S., Aubert, D., Kooi, C., Sokol, P.A., and Valvano, M.A. (2007). Burkholderia
cenocepacia requires a periplasmic HtrA protease for growth under thermal and osmotic stress and
for survival *in vivo*. Infect. Immun. *75*, 1679–1689.

Flannagan, R.S., Linn, T., and Valvano, M.A. (2008). A system for the construction of targeted
unmarked gene deletions in the genus *Burkholderia*. Environ. Microbiol. *10*, 1652–1660.

Funston, S.J., Tsaousi, K., Smyth, T.J., Twigg, M.S., Marchant, R., and Banat, I.M. (2017).
Enhanced rhamnolipid production in Burkholderia thailandensis transposon knockout strains
deficient in polyhydroxyalkanoate (PHA) synthesis. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. *101*, 8443–
8454.

Hoang, T.T., Kutchma, A.J., Becher, A., and Schweizer, H.P. (2000). Integration-proficient
plasmids for Pseudomonas aeruginosa: site-specific integration and use for engineering of reporter
and expression strains. Plasmid *43*, 59–72.

Hogan, A.M., Scoffone, V.C., Makarov, V., Gislason, A.S., Tesfu, H., Stietz, M.S., Brassinga,
A.K.C., Domaratzki, M., Li, X., Azzalin, A., et al. (2018). Competitive Fitness of Essential Gene
Knockdowns Reveals a Broad-Spectrum Antibacterial Inhibitor of the Cell Division Protein FtsZ.
Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. *62*.

Howe, C., Sampath, A., and Spotnitz, M. (1971). The pseudomallei group: a review. J. Infect. Dis.
124, 598–606.

Howe, F.S., Russell, A., Lamstaes, A.R., El-Sagheer, A., Nair, A., Brown, T., and Mellor, J.

(2017). CRISPRi is not strand-specific at all loci and redefines the transcriptional landscape. ELife

6.

Jones, D.L., Leroy, P., Unoson, C., Fange, D., Ćurić, V., Lawson, M.J., and Elf, J. (2017). Kinetics

of dCas9 target search in Escherichia coli. Science *357*, 1420–1424.

Josephs, E.A., Kocak, D.D., Fitzgibbon, C.J., McMenemy, J., Gersbach, C.A., and Marszalek, P.E.

(2015). Structure and specificity of the RNA-guided endonuclease Cas9 during DNA

interrogation, target binding and cleavage. Nucleic Acids Res. *43*, 8924–8941.

Judson, N., and Mekalanos, J.J. (2000). TnAraOut, a transposon-based approach to identify and

characterize essential bacterial genes. Nat Biotechnol *18*, 740–745.

Kenna, D.T.D., Lilley, D., Coward, A., Martin, K., Perry, C., Pike, R., Hill, R., and Turton, J.F.

(2017). Prevalence of Burkholderia species, including members of Burkholderia cepacia complex,

among UK cystic and non-cystic fibrosis patients. J. Med. Microbiol. *66*, 490–501.

Komatsu, H., Hayashi, F., Sasa, M., Shikata, K., Yamaguchi, S., Namba, K., and Oosawa, K.

(2016). Genetic analysis of revertants isolated from the rod-fragile fliF mutant of Salmonella.

Biophys. Physicobiology *13*, 13–25.

Kumar, B., and Cardona, S.T. (2016). Synthetic Cystic Fibrosis Sputum Medium Regulates

Flagellar Biosynthesis through the flhF Gene in *Burkholderia* cenocepacia. Front. Cell. Infect.

Microbiol. *6*, 65.

Le Guillouzer, S., Groleau, M.-C., and Déziel, E. (2017). The Complex Quorum Sensing Circuitry

of Burkholderia thailandensis Is Both Hierarchically and Homeostatically Organized. MBio *8*.

Lee, H.H., Ostrov, N., Wong, B.G., Gold, M.A., Khalil, A.S., and Church, G.M. (2019). Functional

genomics of the rapidly replicating bacterium Vibrio natriegens by CRISPRi. Nat. Microbiol.

Liu, X., Gallay, C., Kjos, M., Domenech, A., Slager, J., van Kessel, S.P., Knoops, K., Sorg, R.A.,

Zhang, J.-R., and Veening, J.-W. (2017). High-throughput CRISPRi phenotyping identifies new

essential genes in Streptococcus pneumoniae. Mol. Syst. Biol. *13*, 931.

Mahenthiralingam, E., Urban, T.A., and Goldberg, J.B. (2005). The multifarious, multireplicon

Burkholderia cepacia complex. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. *3*, 144–156.

Mao, D., Bushin, L.B., Moon, K., Wu, Y., and Seyedsayamdost, M.R. (2017). Discovery of scmR

as a global regulator of secondary metabolism and virulence in *Burkholderia thailandensis* E264.

Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. *114*, E2920–E2928.

McInally, S.G., Hagen, K.D., Nosala, C., Williams, J., Nguyen, K., Booker, J., Jones, K., and

Dawson, S.C. (2019). Robust and stable transcriptional repression in Giardia using CRISPRi. Mol.

Biol. Cell *30*, 119–130.

Miller, V.L., and Mekalanos, J.J. (1988). A novel suicide vector and its use in construction of

insertion mutations: osmoregulation of outer membrane proteins and virulence determinants in

Vibrio cholerae requires *toxR*. J. Bacteriol. *170*, 2575–2583.

Nally, E., Groah, S.L., Pérez-Losada, M., Caldovic, L., Ljungberg, I., Chandel, N.J., Sprague, B.,

Hsieh, M.H., and Pohl, H.G. (2018). Identification of Burkholderia fungorum in the urine of an

individual with spinal cord injury and augmentation cystoplasty using 16S sequencing: copathogen

or innocent bystander? Spinal Cord Ser. Cases *4*, 85.

Ortega, X.P., Cardona, S.T., Brown, A.R., Loutet, S.A., Flannagan, R.S., Campopiano, D.J.,

Govan, J.R., and Valvano, M.A. (2007). A putative gene cluster for aminoarabinose biosynthesis

is essential for *Burkholderia cenocepacia* viability. J. Bacteriol. *189*, 3639–3644.

Peters, J.M., Colavin, A., Shi, H., Czarny, T.L., Larson, M.H., Wong, S., Hawkins, J.S., Lu, C.H.,

Koo, B.M., Marta, E., et al. (2016). A comprehensive, CRISPR-based functional analysis of

essential genes in bacteria. Cell *165*, 1493–1506.

Peters, J.M., Koo, B.-M., Patino, R., Heussler, G.E., Hearne, C.C., Qu, J., Inclan, Y.F., Hawkins,

J.S., Lu, C.H.S., Silvis, M.R., et al. (2019). Enabling genetic analysis of diverse bacteria with

Mobile-CRISPRi. Nat. Microbiol. *4*, 244.

Pribytkova, T., Lightly, T.J., Kumar, B., Bernier, S.P., Sorensen, J.L., Surette, M.G., and Cardona,

S.T. (2014). The attenuated virulence of a Burkholderia cenocepacia paaABCDE mutant is due to

inhibition of quorum sensing by release of phenylacetic acid. Mol. Microbiol. *94*, 522–536.

Qi, L.S., Larson, M.H., Gilbert, L.A., Doudna, J.A., Weissman, J.S., Arkin, A.P., and Lim, W.A.
(2013). Repurposing CRISPR as an RNA-guided platform for sequence-specific control of gene
expression. Cell *152*, 1173–1183.

Rhodes, K.A., and Schweizer, H.P. (2016). Antibiotic resistance in Burkholderia species. Drug
Resist. Updat. *28*, 82–90.

Rock, J.M., Hopkins, F.F., Chavez, A., Diallo, M., Chase, M.R., Gerrick, E.R., Pritchard, J.R.,
Church, G.M., Rubin, E.J., Sassetti, C.M., et al. (2017). Programmable transcriptional repression
in mycobacteria using an orthogonal CRISPR interference platform. Nat. Microbiol. *2*, 16274.

Siegele, D.A., and Hu, J.C. (1997). Gene expression from plasmids containing the araBAD
promoter at subsaturating inducer concentrations represents mixed populations. Proc Natl Acad
Sci U A *94*, 8168–8172.

Sternberg, S.H., Redding, S., Jinek, M., Greene, E.C., and Doudna, J.A. (2014). DNA interrogation
by the CRISPR RNA-guided endonuclease Cas9. Nature *507*, 62–67.

Tan, S.Z., Reisch, C.R., and Prather, K.L.J. (2018). A Robust CRISPRi Gene Repression System
inPseudomonas. J. Bacteriol. *200*, e00575-17.

Teufel, R., Mascaraque, V., Ismail, W., Voss, M., Perera, J., Eisenreich, W., Haehnel, W., and
Fuchs, G. (2010). Bacterial phenylalanine and phenylacetate catabolic pathway revealed. Proc.
Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. *107*, 14390–14395.

Tomich, M., Herfst, C.A., Golden, J.W., and Mohr, C.D. (2002). Role of flagella in host cell
invasion by Burkholderia cepacia. Infect. Immun. *70*, 1799–1806.

Vigouroux, A., Oldewurtel, E., Cui, L., Bikard, D., and Teeffelen, S. van (2018). Tuning dCas9's
ability to block transcription enables robust, noiseless knockdown of bacterial genes. Mol. Syst.
Biol. *14*, e7899.

Table 1. Putative host range of the mini-CTX system and genomic context of selected hit sites

Class	Order	Family	Genus and Species	Strain	%GC	tRNA^Ser (◄►) Genomic Context
Gammaproteobacteria	Pseudomonadales	Pseudomonadaceae	*Pseudomonas aeruginosa*	PAO1	66.6	PA2603 — PA2603.1 — PA2604
			Pseudomonas fluorescens	NCTC 10783	65.9	EL286_RS07725 — EL286_RS07730 — EL286_RS07735
			Pseudomonas mendocina	NK-01	64.7	MDS_RS12060 — MDS_RS12065 — MDS_RS12070
		Moraxellaceae	*Acinetobacter baumannii*	ATCC 17978	39	AUO97_RS02215 AUO97_RS02215 AUO97_RS02220 *fadH* AUO97_RS12570 AUO97_RS12570
			Acinetobacter nosocomialis	NCTC 8102	38.7	DIW83_RS04465 DIW83_RS04470 DIW83_RS04475 DIW83_RS13465 DIW83_RS13470 *fadH*
	Xanthomonadales	Xanthomonadaceae	*Xylella fastidiosa*	M12	51.9	XFASM12_RS11770 — XFASM12_RS07835 — XFASM12_RS07840
Betaproteobacteria	Burkholderiales	Alcaligenaceae	*Achromobacter xylosoxidans*	NCTC 10808	67.4	DQO23_RS06440 — DQO23_RS06445 — DQO23_RS06450
			Bordatella bronchiseptica	D448	68.1	CS344_RS23895 — CS344_RS23900 — CS344_RS23905
			Alcaligenes faecalis	DSM 30030	56.6	CPY64_RS11990 — CPY64_RS11995 — CPY64_RS12000
		Burkholderiaceae	*Ralstonia pickettii*	DTP0602	65.9	N234_04430 — N234_04435 — N234_04440
			Burkholderia cenocepacia	K56-2	67	WQ49_RS02320 — WQ49_RS02325 — WQ49_RS02330
			Burkholderia multivorans	ATCC 17616	66.7	BMULJ_RS32145 — BMULJ_RS04140 — BMULJ_RS04145
			Burkholderia thailandensis	E264	67.7	BTH_RS32930 — BTH_RS20275 — BTH_RS20280
			Burkholderia mallei	NCTC 10229	68.5	BMA10229_RS30760 — BMA10229_RS21960 — BMA10229_RS21965
			Burkholderia pseudomallei	NCTC 13178	67.9	BBJ_RS32360 — BBJ_RS01310 — BBJ_RS01315
			Burkholderia oklahomensis	EO147	66.9	DM82_RS11255 — DM82_RS11260 — DM82_RS11265
			Cupriavidus necator	NH9	65.5	BJN34_RS05080 — BJN34_RS05085 — BJN34_RS05090
			Pandoraea apista	AU2161	62.6	AA956_RS16715 — AA956_RS16720 — AA956_RS25505
			Lautropia mirabilis	NCTC 12852	65.5	EL249_RS10610 — EL249_RS10615 — EL249_RS10620
		Oxalobactericeae	*Collimonas fungivorans*	Ter6	59	CFter6_RS10510 — CFter6_RS10515 — CFter6_RS25055
		Commamonadaceae	*Rhodoferax antarcticus*	DSM 24876	58.9	RA876_RS18910 — RA876_RS18915 — RA876_RS18920
			Polaromonas naphthalenivorans	CJ2	61.7	PNAP_RS04840 — PNAP_RS04845 — *ureG*
	Neisseriales	Neisseriaceae	*Neisseria meningitidis*	NCTC 10026	51.4	EL323_RS06235 — EL323_RS06240 — *yaaA*
			Neisseria gonorrheae	NCTC 13484	52.5	EL177_RS06240 — EL177_RS06245 — *yaaA*
			Neisseria sicca	FDAARGOS_260	50.9	A6J88_RS26510 — A6J88_RS26515 — A6J88_RS26520
			Chromobacterium violaceum	CV1197	65.6	CR207_RS10565 — CR207_RS10570 — CR207_RS10575
	Nitrosomonadales	Nitrosomonadaceae	*Nitrosomonas communis*	Nm2	44.7	AAW31_RS16120 — AAW31_RS16125 — AAW31_RS16130
Hydrogenophilalia	Hydrogenophilales	Hydrogenophilaceae	*Hydrogenophilus thermoluteolus*	TH-1	61.7	HPTL_RS08770 — HPTL_RS08775 — HPTL_RS08780
Alphaproteobacteria	Rhodospirillales	Rhodospirillaceae	*Haematospirillum jordaniae*	H5569	55.6	AY555_RS08435 — AY555_RS08440 — AY555_RS08445

Figure 1. Development of CRISPRi in *B. cenocepacia* K56-2. A) The CRISPRi toolkit is composed of a chromosomal codon-optimized version of the *S. pyogenes dcas9* and plasmid pgRNA harboring the sgRNA under the control of the constitutive promoter P_{J23119}. B) dCas9 is dynamically expressed from the chromosome of K56-2 by rhamnose. Cells were grown to OD_{600nm} of 0.6 then induced with rhamnose for three hours. Soluble protein was harvested and run on an 8% SDS gel. dCas9 was detected by an α-dCas9 antibody then by a 2° antibody linked to alkaline phosphatase C) Chromosomally-encoded dCas9 induced with rhamnose up to 0.2% does not affect growth in K56-2.

Figure 2. Targeting *paaA* with CRISPRi effectively suppresses growth in phenylacetic acid as the sole carbon source in *B. cenocepacia* K56-2. A) Positions of the sgRNAs targeting different regions upstream of and on *paaA*. sgRNAs 1, 2, and 3 were designed to target the promoter elements (-35 and -10 boxes) on the non-template (NT) strand. gRNA4 targeted the start codon on the NT strand and gRNA5 targeted the downstream region adjacent to the start codon on the T strand. B) CRISPRi blocks transcription in a strand non-specific manner. WT, a mutant of the *paaABCDE* operon (Δ*paaABCDE*), K56-2::CTX1-rha (-dCas9) and K56-2::dCas9 (+dCas9) harboring pgRNA with or without specific gRNAs were grown for 24 hours in minimal medium with PA (M9+PA) without (-Rha) or with 0.2% rhamnose (+Rha). C) Expression of the dCas9 can be controlled by varying the amount of inducer added to the medium, providing tunability to the CRISPRi system. However, high level induction of dCas9 with rhamnose (0.4% and beyond) was lethal for the non-genome targeting mutant expressing dCas9. D) Cells were grown overnight in LB medium with 0.2% rhamnose to induce expression of dCas9. Then cells were transferred to fresh medium and grown with (+/+ Rha) and without (+/- Rha) 0.2% rhamnose. All the values are the average of three independent biological replicates; error bars represent arithmetic mean ± SD.

Figure 3. Targeting *phbC* with CRISPRi reduces polyhydroxyalkanoate (PHA) granule accumulation in K56-2. A) Positions of the sgRNAs targeting different regions upstream of *phbC*. sgRNAs 6, 7, and 8 were designed to target the promoter elements (-35 and -10 boxes) on the non-template (NT) strand. B) CRISPRi reduces but does not completely abrogate the number of cells with PHA granules. WT, an insertional mutant of the *phbC* gene (*phbC*::pAH27), K56-2::CTX1-rha (-dCas9) and K56-2::dCas9 (+dCas9) harboring pgRNA with or without specific gRNAs were grown overnight without (-Rha) or with 0.2% rhamnose (+Rha). Cells were washed and stained with Nile Red, and observed by fluorescence microscopy. One to two-hundred cells were counted and the % of cells with PHA granules was calculated. C) PHA granules that remain are identical to those in the WT. Strains were grown and processed as for B and the diameter of the PHA granules was measured. Thicker areas of the violin bars represent more granules with that diameter. The mean in each condition is shown by a black dot. D) The strains were grown and processed as for B). Dashes indicate cell boundaries and the scale bar is 5 μm.

Figure 4. CRISPRi in *B. multivorans* ATCC17616 effectively represses *paaA* and *phbC*. A) Positions of the sgRNAs targeting upstream regions of *paaA*. sgRNAs 12 and 13 were designed to target the -35 and -10 boxes of the promoter, while sgRNA14 targeted the 5' region of the ORF. All sgRNAs targeted the NT strand. B) Targeting *paaA* suppressed growth on PA as a sole carbon source. WT, ATCC 17616::dCas9 (+dCas9) with or without sgRNAs in A) were grown for 24 hours in M9+PA without (-Rha) or with 0.2% rhamnose (+Rha). C) Positions of the sgRNAs targeting upstream regions of *phbC*. All sgRNAs were designed to target the -35 or -10 elements of the promoter on the NT strand. D) Targeting *phbC* reduces the overall number of cells with

PHA granules. Strains were grown overnight without (-Rha) or with 0.2% rhamnose (+Rha). Cells

were washed and stained with Nile Red, and observed by fluorescence microscopy. One to two-

hundred cells were counted and the % of cells with PHA granules was calculated. E) PHA granules

that remain are smaller than those in the WT. Strains were grown and processed as for D); however,

the diameter of the PHA granules was measured, with thicker areas representing more granules

with that diameter. The mean in each condition is shown by a black dot. F) The strains were grown

and processed as for D). Dashes indicate cell boundaries and the scale bar is 5 μm.

Figure 5. CRISPRi in *B. thailandensis* E264 effectively represses *paaA* (BTH_I3097) and *phbC*. Positions of the sgRNAs targeting upstream regions of *paaA* (BTH_I3097). sgRNAs 18 and 19 were designed to target the -35 and -10 boxes of the promoter, while sgRNA 20 targeted the 5' region of the ORF. All sgRNAs targeted the NT strand. B) Targeting *paaA* suppressed growth on PA as a sole carbon source. WT, E264::dCas9 (+dCas9) with or without sgRNAs in A) were grown for 48 hours in M9+PA without (-Rha) or with 0.2% rhamnose (+Rha). C) Positions of the sgRNAs targeting regions of *phbC*. sgRNA 21 targeted just upstream of the -35 box, sgRNA22 and 23 targeted just downstream of the -10 box, all on the NT strand. D) Targeting *phbC* reduces the

overall number of cells with PHA granules. Strains were grown overnight without (-Rha) or with

0.2% rhamnose (+Rha). Cells were washed and stained with Nile red, and observed by

fluorescence microscopy. One to two-hundred cells were counted and the % of cells with PHA

granules was calculated. E) PHA granules that remain are smaller than those in the WT. Strains

were grown and processed as for D); however, the diameter of the PHA granules was measured,

with thicker areas representing more granules with that diameter. The mean in each condition is

shown by a black dot. F) The strains were grown and processed as for D). Dashes indicate cell

boundaries and the scale bar is 5 μm.

40