Co-infections by non-interacting pathogens are not independent & require new tests of interaction

⁴ Frédéric M. Hamelin ¹, Linda J.S. Allen ², Vrushali A. Bokil ³, Louis J. Gross ⁴,

⁵ Frank M. Hilker ⁵, Michael J. Jeger ⁶, Carrie A. Manore ⁷, Alison G. Power ⁸,

⁶ Megan A. Rúa ⁹, Nik J. Cunniffe ^{10,*}

¹ IGEPP, Agrocampus Ouest, INRA, Université de Rennes 1, Université Bretagne-Loire, 35000 7 Rennes, France; ² Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, 8 TX 79409, USA; ³ Department of Mathematics, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR 97331, USA; ⁴ National Institute for Mathematical and Biological Synthesis, University of Tennessee, 10 Knoxville, TN 37996, USA; ⁵ Institute of Environmental Systems Research, School of Mathe-11 matics/Computer Science, Osnabrück University, 49069 Osnabrück, Germany; ⁶ Centre for 12 Environmental Policy, Imperial College London, Ascot, SL5 7PY, UK; ⁷ Theoretical Biology and 13 Biophysics, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545, USA; ⁸ Department of 14 Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA; ⁹ Department 15 of Biological Sciences, Wright State University, Dayton, OH 45435, USA; ¹⁰ Department of 16 Plant Sciences, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, CB2 3EA, UK.; 17 * Corresponding author: njc1001@cam.ac.uk 18 19

Abstract If pathogen species, strains or clones do not interact, intuition suggests 20 the proportion of co-infected hosts should be the product of the individual 21 prevalences. Independence consequently underpins the wide range of methods for 22 detecting pathogen interactions from cross-sectional survey data. However, the 23 very simplest of epidemiological models challenge the underlying assumption of 24 statistical independence. Even if pathogens do not interact, death of co-infected 25 hosts causes net prevalences of individual pathogens to decrease simultaneously. 26 The induced positive correlation between prevalences means the proportion of 27 co-infected hosts is expected to be higher than multiplication would suggest. By 28 modeling the dynamics of multiple non-interacting pathogens, we develop a pair of 29 novel tests of interaction that properly account for non-independence. Our tests 30 allow us to reinterpret data from previous studies including pathogens of humans, 31 plants, and animals. Our work demonstrates how methods to identify interactions 32 between pathogens can be updated using simple epidemic models. 33

34

Keywords: coinfection, multiple pathogens, SIS epidemic, statistical independence, pathogen
 association

37 Author contributions: Designed research: All authors. Performed mathematical analyses: F.M.Ha., L.J.A., N.J.C.

38 Explored and analyzed data: F.M.Ha., F.M.Hi., M.A.R., N.J.C. Wrote the paper: F.M.Ha., L.J.A., N.J.C.

1 Introduction

It is increasingly recognized that infections often involve multiple pathogen species 40 or strains/clones of the same species (Balmer and Tanner, 2011; Vaumourin et al., 41 2015). Infection by one pathogen can affect susceptibility to subsequent infection by 42 others (Griffiths et al., 2011; Petney and Andrews, 1998). Co-infection can also affect 43 the severity and/or duration of infection, as well as the extent of symptoms and the 44 level of infectiousness (Graham et al., 2005). Antagonistic, neutral and facilitative 45 interactions are possible (Karvonen et al., 2018; Rigaud et al., 2010). Co-infection 46 therefore potentially has significant epidemiological, clinical and evolutionary impli-47 cations (Susi et al., 2015; Hilker et al., 2017; Alizon et al., 2013). 48

However, detecting and quantifying biological interactions between pathogens is 49 notoriously challenging (Johnson and Buller, 2011; Hellard et al., 2015). In pathogens 50 of some host taxa, most notably plant pathogens, biological interactions can be 51 quantified by direct experimentation (Mascia and Gallitelli, 2016). However, often 52 ethical considerations mean this is impossible, and so any signal of interaction must 53 be extracted from population scale data. Analysis of longitudinal data remains the 54 gold standard (Fenton et al., 2014), although the associated methods are not infal-55 lible (Telfer et al., 2010). However, collecting longitudinal data requires a dedicated 56 and intensive sampling campaign, meaning in practice cross-sectional data are often 57 all that are available. Methods for cross-sectional data typically concentrate on iden-58 tifying deviation from statistical independence, using standard methods such as χ^2 59 tests or log-linear modelling to test whether the observed probability of co-infection 60 differs from the product of the prevalences of the individual pathogens (Booth and 61 Bundy, 1995; Howard et al., 2001; Bogaert et al., 2004; Raso et al., 2004; Regev-62 Yochay et al., 2004; Nielsen et al., 2006; Chaturvedi et al., 2011; Rositch et al., 2012; 63 Degarege et al., 2012; Malagón et al., 2016; Teweldemedhin et al., 2018). Detecting 64 such a non-random statistical association between pathogens is then taken to signal 65 a biological interaction. The underlying mechanism can range, for example, from 66 individual-scale direct effects on within-host pathogen dynamics (Tollenaere et al., 67 2016; Mascia and Gallitelli, 2016), to indirect within-host immune-mediated interac-68 tions (de Roode et al., 2005), to indirect population-scale "ecological interference" 69 caused by competition for susceptible hosts (Rohani et al., 1998, 2003). 70

A well-known difficulty is that factors other than biological interactions between 71 pathogens can drive statistical associations. For instance, host heterogeneity - that 72 some hosts are simply more likely than others to become infected - can generate 73 positive statistical associations, since co-infection is more common in the most vul-74 nerable hosts. Heterogeneity in host age can also generate statistical associations, 75 as infections accumulate in older individuals (Lord et al., 1999; Kucharski and Gog, 76 2012; Kucharski et al., 2016). Methods aimed at disentangling such confounding fac-77 tors have been developed, but show mixed results in detecting biological interactions 78 (Pedersen and Fenton, 2007; Fenton et al., 2010; Hellard et al., 2012; Vaumourin 79 et al., 2014). Methods using dynamic epidemiological models to track co-infections 80 are also emerging, although more often than not require longitudinal data (Shrestha 81 et al., 2011, 2013; Reich et al., 2013; Man et al., 2018; Alizon et al., 2019). 82

More fundamentally, however, the underpinning and long standing assumption 83 that non-interaction implies statistical independence (Forbes, 1907; Cohen, 1973) 84 has not been challenged. Here we confront the intuition that biological interactions 85 can be detected via statistical associations, demonstrating how simple epidemiolog-86 ical models can change the way we think about biological interactions. In particular, 87 we show that non-interacting pathogens should not be expected to have prevalences 88 that are statistically independent. Co-infection by non-interacting pathogens is more 89 probable than multiplication would suggest, invalidating any test invoking statistical 90 independence. 91

The paper is organized as follows. First, we use a simple epidemiological model 92 to show that the probability that a host is co-infected by both of a pair of non-93 interacting pathogens is greater than the product of the net prevalences of the in-94 dividual pathogens. Second, we extend this result to an arbitrary number of non-95 interacting pathogens. This allows us to construct a novel test for biological inter-96 action, based on testing the extent to which co-infection data can be explained by 97 our epidemiological models in which pathogens do not interact. Different versions of 98 this test, conditioned on the form of available data and whether or not co-infections 99 are caused by different pathogen species, allow us to reinterpret a number of pre-100 vious reports (Chaturvedi et al., 2011; López-Villavicencio et al., 2007; Andersson 101 et al., 2013; Koepfli et al., 2011; Nickbakhsh et al., 2016; Seabloom et al., 2009; 102

Moutailler et al., 2016; Howard et al., 2001; Molineaux et al., 1980). Our examples
 include plant, animal and human pathogens, and the methodology can potentially
 be applied to any cross-sectional survey data tracking co-infection.

106 2 Results

107 2.1 Two non-interacting pathogens

2.1.1 Dynamics of the individual pathogens

We consider two distinct pathogen species, strains or clones (henceforth pathogens), 109 which we assume do not interact, i.e. the interaction between the host and one 110 of the pathogens is entirely unaffected by its infection status with respect to the 111 other. Epidemiological properties that are therefore unaffected by the presence or 112 absence of the other pathogen include initial susceptibility, within-host dynamics 113 including rates of accumulation and/or movement within tissues, host responses to 114 infection, as well as onward transmission. Assuming a fixed-size host population and 115 Susceptible-Infected-Susceptible (S-I-S) dynamics (Keeling and Rohani, 2007), the 116 proportion of the host population infected by pathogen $i \in \{1, 2\}$ follows 117

$$\dot{I}_i = \beta_i I_i (1 - I_i) - \mu I_i, \tag{1}$$

in which the dot denotes differentiation with respect to time, β_i is a pathogen-specific infection rate, and μ is the host's natural death rate.

¹²⁰While natural mortality may be negligible for acute infections, it cannot be ne-¹²¹glected for chronic (i.e. long-lasting) infections, which are responsible for a large ¹²²fraction of co-infections in humans and animals (Griffiths et al., 2011; Gorsich et al., ¹²³2018). Likewise, plants remain infected over their entire lifetime following infection ¹²⁴by most pathogens, including almost all plant viruses, as well as the anther smut ¹²⁵fungus, which drives one of our examples here (López-Villavicencio et al., 2007).

We assume that the disease-induced death rate (virulence) is zero, as otherwise there would be ecological interactions between pathogens (Rohani et al., 2003). However our model can be extended to handle pathogen-specific rates of clearance (Supplementary Information: Sections S1.4, S1.5 and S2.3). bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/618900; this version posted July 29, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

130 2.1.2 Tracking co-infection





¹³¹ Making identical assumptions, but instead distinguishing hosts infected by differ-¹³² ent combinations of pathogens, leads to an alternate representation of the dynam-¹³³ ics. We denote the proportion of hosts infected by only one of the two pathogens by ¹³⁴ J_i , with $J_{1,2}$ representing the proportion co-infected. Pathogen-specific net forces of ¹³⁵ infection are

$$F_{i} = \beta_{i} I_{i} = \beta_{i} (J_{i} + J_{1,2}), \qquad (2)$$

136 and so

$$\dot{J}_{1} = F_{1}J_{\emptyset} - (F_{2} + \mu)J_{1},$$

$$\dot{J}_{2} = F_{2}J_{\emptyset} - (F_{1} + \mu)J_{2},$$

$$\dot{J}_{1,2} = F_{2}J_{1} + F_{1}J_{2} - \mu J_{1,2}.$$

$$(3)$$

in which $J_{\emptyset} = 1 - J_1 - J_2 - J_{1,2}$ is the proportion of hosts uninfected by either pathogen (Fig. 1).

2.1.3 Prevalence of co-infected hosts

¹⁴⁰ We assume the basic reproduction number, $R_{0,i} = \beta_i/\mu > 1$ for both pathogens. ¹⁴¹ Solving Eq. (3) numerically for arbitrary but representative parameters (Fig. 2A) ¹⁴² shows the proportion of co-infected hosts ($J_{1,2}$) to be larger than the product of the ¹⁴³ individual prevalences ($P = I_1I_2$ from Eq. (1)). That $J_{1,2}(t) \ge P(t)$ for large t (for all ¹⁴⁴ parameters) can be proved analytically (Supplementary Information: Section S1.1). ¹⁴⁵ Simulations of a stochastic analogue of the model (Fig. 2B) reveal the key driver ¹⁴⁶ of this behavior. The net prevalences of the pathogens considered in isolation, I_1 and ¹⁴⁷ I_2 , are positively correlated (Fig. 2C; Eq. (27) in Methods: Section 4.1.4, "Stochastic ¹⁴⁸ models"), due to simultaneous reductions whenever co-infected hosts die.



Figure 2: Simulations of the two-pathogen model show that net densities of the two pathogens are positively correlated. J_1 and J_2 are hosts singly infected by pathogens 1 and 2, respectively, $J_{1,2}$ are co-infected hosts, $I_1 = J_1 + J_{1,2}$ and $I_2 = J_2 + J_{1,2}$ are net densities of hosts infected by pathogens 1 and 2, respectively. (A) Dynamics of the deterministic model (1-3), with $\beta_1 = 5$, $\beta_2 = 2.5$, and $\mu = 1$ (parameters have units of inverse time). (B) Dynamics of a stochastic version of the model, in a population of size N = 1000 (see also Methods: Section 4.1.4, "Stochastic models"). (C) A single trajectory from the stochastic simulation (black line) in panel B (restricted to the time interval starting from the dashed line at t = 5) in the phase plane (I_1 , I_2), and the 90% and 99% confidence ellipses (dashed and dotted curves, respectively) generated from an analytical approximation to the stochastic model.

149 **2.1.4** Deviation from statistical independence

¹⁵⁰ For $R_{0,i} > 1$ the relative deviation of the equilibrium prevalence of co-infection $(\bar{J}_{1,2})$ ¹⁵¹ from that required by statistical independence ($\bar{P} = \bar{I}_1 \bar{I}_2$) is

$$\frac{\bar{J}_{1,2} - \bar{P}}{\bar{P}} = \frac{\mu}{\beta_1 + \beta_2 - \mu} = \frac{1}{R_{0,1} + R_{0,2} - 1} \ge 0$$
(4)

¹⁵² (Eq. (9) in Methods: Section 4.1.1, "Equilibria of the two-pathogen model"). The ¹⁵³ deviation is therefore zero if and only if the host natural death rate $\mu = 0$.

The observed outcome would conform with statistical independence only for noninteracting pathogens where there is no host natural death (at the timescale of an infection). This reiterates the role of host natural death in causing deviation from a
 statistical association pattern.

This result (Eq. (4)) was first published by Kucharski and Gog (2012) in a differ-158 ent context (model reduction in multi-strain influenza models). Moreover, using a 159 continuous age-structured model, Kucharski and Gog (2012) showed that one may 160 recover statistical independence within infinitesimal age-classes. The result in Eq. 161 (4) is related to aging, as individuals acquire more infections as they age. As age 162 increases, so does the probability of being infected with pathogens 1 and/or 2. There-163 fore, the prevalences of pathogens 1 and 2 are positively correlated (Kucharski et al., 164 2016). The reason for a greater deviation from independence as the mortality rate 165 μ increases is likely due to the fact that prevalence is increasing and concave with 166 respect to age, and saturates in older age-classes (Lord et al., 1999). 167

2.2 Testing for interactions between pathogens

Eq. (3) can be straightforwardly extended to track *n* pathogens which do not interact in any way (including pairwise and three-way interactions). Equilibria of this model are prevalences of different classes of infected or co-infected hosts carrying different combinations of non-interacting pathogens. These can be used to derive a test for interaction between pathogens which properly accounts for the lack of statistical independence revealed by our analysis of the simple two-pathogen model.

2.2.1 Modelling co-infection by *n* non-interacting pathogens

¹⁷⁶ We denote the proportion of hosts simultaneously co-infected by the (non-empty) ¹⁷⁷ set of pathogens Γ to be J_{Γ} , and use $\Omega_i = \Gamma \setminus \{i\}$ (for $i \in \Gamma$) to represent combinations ¹⁷⁸ with one fewer pathogen.

The dynamics of the $2^n - 1$ distinct values of J_{Γ} follow

$$\dot{J}_{\Gamma} = \sum_{i \in \Gamma} F_i J_{\Omega_i} - \left(\sum_{i \notin \Gamma} F_i + \mu \right) J_{\Gamma}, \tag{5}$$

in which the net force of infection of pathogen i is

$$F_{i} = \beta_{i} I_{i} = \beta_{i} \sum_{\Gamma \in \nabla_{i}} J_{\Gamma},$$
(6)

and ∇_i is the set of all subsets of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ containing *i* as an element. Eq. (5) can be interpreted by noting

- the first term tracks inflow due to hosts carrying one fewer pathogen becoming
 infected;
- the second term tracks the outflows due to hosts becoming infected by an
 additional pathogen, or death.

Predicted prevalences. If $R_{0,i} = \beta_i/\mu > 1$ for all i = 1, ..., n, the equilibrium prevalence of hosts infected by any given combination of pathogens, \bar{J}_{Γ} , can be obtained by (recursively) solving a system of 2^n linear equations (Eq. (16) in Methods: Section 4.1.2, "Equilibria of the *n*-pathogen model").

These equilibrium prevalences are the prediction of our "Non-interacting Distinct Pathogens" (NiDP) model, which in dimensionless form has *n* parameters (the $R_{0,i}$'s, i = 1, ..., n; Methods: Section 4.2.2, "Fitting the models").

Epidemiologically interchangeable pathogens. If we simplify the model by assuming that all pathogen infection rates are equal (i.e. $\beta_i = \beta$ for all *i*), then if $R_0 = \beta/\mu > 1$, the proportion of hosts infected by *k* distinct pathogens can be obtained by (recursively) solving n + 1 linear equations (Eq. (22) in Methods: Section 4.1.3, "Deriving the NiSP model from the NiDP model"). This constitutes the prediction of our "Non-interacting Similar Pathogens" (NiSP) model, a simplified form of the NiDP model requiring only a single parameter (R_0).

201 2.2.2 Using the models to test for interactions

If either the NiSP or NiDP model adequately explain co-infection data, those data are
 consistent with the underpinning assumption that pathogens do not interact. Which
 model is fitted depends on the form of the available data.

Numbers of distinct pathogens. Studies often quantify only the number of dis tinct pathogens carried by individual hosts, without necessarily specifying the combi nations involved (López-Villavicencio et al., 2007; Seabloom et al., 2009; Chaturvedi

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/618900; this version posted July 29, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.



Figure 3: **Comparing predictions of the NiSP model with binomial models assuming statistical independence**. In using the NiSP model, pathogens are assumed to be epidemiologically interchangeable: we have therefore restricted attention to data sets concerning strains/clones of a single pathogen species. (A) strains of human papillomavirus (Chaturvedi et al., 2011); (B) strains of the anther smut pathogen (*M. violaceum*) on the white campion (*S. latifolia*) (López-Villavicencio et al., 2007); (C) strains of tick-transmitted bacteria (*B. afzelii*) on bank voles (*M. glareolus*) (Andersson et al., 2013); and (D) clones of malaria (*P. vivax*) (Koepfli et al., 2011). Insets to each panel show a "zoomed-in" section of the graph corresponding to high multiplicities of clone/strain co-infection. Asterisks indicate predicted counts smaller than 0.1. In all four cases, the NiSP model is a better fit to the data than the binomial model (Δ AIC = 572.8, 158.6, 293.8 and 596.3, respectively). For the data shown in panel (A), there is no evidence that the NiSP model does not fit the data (lack of goodness-of-fit *p* = 0.08), and so our test indicates the human papillomavirus strains do not interact. For the data shown in panels (B)-(D), there is evidence of lack of goodness-of-fit (all have lack of goodness-of-fit *p* < 0.01). Our test therefore indicates these strains/clones interact (or are epidemiologically different). et al., 2011; Koepfli et al., 2011; Andersson et al., 2013; Moutailler et al., 2016; Nickbakhsh et al., 2016). There are insufficient degrees of freedom in such data to fit the NiDP model, and so we fall back upon the NiSP model. In using the NiSP model, we additionally assume all pathogens within a given study are epidemiologically interchangeable.

We identified four suitable studies reporting data concerning strains/clones of a 213 single pathogen, and tested whether these data are consistent with no interaction. 214 For all four studies (Fig. 3), the best-fitting NiSP model is a better fit to the data 215 than the corresponding binomial model assuming statistical independence (Eq. (28) 216 in Methods: Section 4.2.1, "Models corresponding to assuming statistical indepen-217 dence"). Three additional examples for data-sets considering distinct pathogens, 218 which deviate more markedly from the epidemiological equivalence assumption, are 219 in the Supplementary Information (Section S2.1). 220

In one case – co-infection by different strains of human papillomavirus (Chaturvedi et al., 2011) (Fig. 3A) – we find no evidence that the reported data cannot be explained by the NiSP model. These data therefore support the hypothesis of no interaction – and indeed no epidemiological differences – between the pathogen strains in question.

In the three other cases we considered – strains of anther smut (Microbotryum 226 violaceum) on the white campion (Silene latifolia) (López-Villavicencio et al., 2007) 227 (Fig. 3B); strains of the tick-transmitted bacterium *Borrelia afzelii* on bank voles (My-228 odes glareolus) (Andersson et al., 2013) (Fig. 3C); and clones of a single malaria par-229 asite (Plasmodium vivax) infecting children (Koepfli et al., 2011) (Fig. 3D) - despite 230 outperforming the model corresponding to statistical independence, the best-fitting 231 NiSP model does not adequately explain the data. We therefore reject the hypothe-232 ses of no interaction in all three cases, noting that our use of the NiSP model means 233 it might be epidemiological differences between pathogen strains/clones that have 234 in fact been revealed. 235

Combinations of pathogens. Other studies report the proportion of hosts infected by particular combinations (rather than counts) of pathogens, although many of those concentrate on helminth macroparasites for which our underlying S-I-S

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/618900; this version posted July 29, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.



Figure 4: **Using the NiDP model to re-analyse malaria data sets considered by Howard et al.** (2001). In using the NiDP model there is no need to assume malaria-causing *Plasmodium* spp. are epidemiologically interchangeable. (A) Comparing the predictions of the NiDP model with a multinomial model of infection (i.e. statistical independence) for the data set on *P. falciparum* (F), *P. malariae* (M) and *P. ovale* (O) co-infection in Nigeria reported by Molineaux et al. (1980). The NiDP model is a better fit to the data than the multinomial model (Δ AIC = 326.2); additionally, there is no evidence of lack of goodness-of-fit (*p* = 0.40). This data set is therefore consistent with no interaction between the three *Plasmodium* species. (B) Comparing the results of fitting the NiDP model and the methodology of Howard et al. (2001) based on log-linear regression and so statistical-independence. For 16 (i.e. 12 + 4) out of the 41 data sets we considered, the conclusions of the two methods differ.

²³⁹ model is well-known to be inappropriate (Anderson and May, 1991).

However, a methodological article by Howard et al. (2001) introduces the use of log-linear modeling to test for statistical associations. Conveniently, that article reports the results of that methodology as applied to a large number of studies focusing on *Plasmodium* spp. causing malaria.

By interrogating the original data sources (Methods: Section 4.3.2, "Combinations 244 of pathogens (NiDP model)"), we found a total of 41 studies of malaria reporting the 245 disease status of at least N = 100 individuals, and in which three of *P. falciparum*, 246 P. malariae, P. ovale and P. vivax were considered. Data therefore consist of counts 247 of the number of individuals infected with different combinations of three of these 248 four pathogens, a total of eight classes. There were sufficient degrees of freedom 249 to fit the NiDP model, which here has three parameters, each corresponding to the 250 infection rate of a single Plasmodium spp. Fig. 4A shows the example of fitting the 251 NiDP model to data from a study of malaria in Nigeria (Molineaux et al., 1980). 252

Fitting the NiDP model allows us to test for interactions between *Plasmodium* spp., without assuming they are epidemiologically interchangeable. In 18 of the 41 cases we considered, our methods suggest the data are consistent with no interaction (Fig. 4B). We note that in 12 of these 18 cases the methodology based on statistical independence of Howard et al. (2001) instead suggests the *Plasmodium* spp. interact.

259 **3 Discussion**

We have shown that pathogens which do not interact and so have uncoupled preva-260 lence dynamics (Eq. (1)) are not statistically independent. For two pathogens, 261 the prevalence of co-infection is always greater than the product of the preva-262 lences (Eq. (4)), unless host natural death does not occur. This result was first pub-263 lished in an age-structured, multi-strain influenza model (Kucharski and Gog, 2012). 264 Pathogens share a single host in co-infections, and so when a co-infected host dies, 265 net prevalences of both pathogens decrease simultaneously. The prevalences of in-266 dividual pathogens, regarded as random variables, therefore co-vary positively. A 267 related interpretation is due to Kucharski and Gog (2012): the prevalences of the 268

pathogens are positively correlated through a single independent variable, namely the age of the hosts. As a side result, we note our analysis indicates a high-profile model of May and Nowak (1995) is based on a faulty assumption of probabilistic independence (Supplementary Information: Section S1.3). More importantly, our analysis shows that statistically independent pathogens may well be interacting (Supplementary Information: Section S1.5) which confirms that statistical independence is far from equivalent to the absence of biological interaction between pathogens.

We extended our model to an arbitrary number of pathogens to develop a novel 276 test for interaction that properly accounts for statistical non-independence. Many 277 data sets summarize co-infections in terms of multiplicity of infection, regardless 278 of which pathogens are involved. Since there would be as many epidemiological 279 parameters as pathogens in our default NiDP model, and so as many parameters as 280 data-points, the full model would be over-parameterised. We therefore introduced 281 the additional assumption that all pathogens are epidemiologically interchangeable. 282 This formed the basis of the parsimonious NiSP model, which is most appropriate for 283 testing for interactions between strains or clones of a single pathogen species. 284

Despite the strong and perhaps even unrealistic assumption that strains/clones are interchangeable, the NiSP model outperformed the binomial model assuming statistical independence for all four data sets we considered. In particular, the NiSP model successfully captured the fat tails characteristic of observed multiplicity of infection distributions. All four data sets therefore support the idea that co-infection is far more frequent than statistical independence would imply.

For the data set concerning co-infection by different strains of human papillomavirus (Chaturvedi et al., 2011), the NiSP model also passed the goodness-of-fit test, allowing us to conclude strains of this pathogen do not interact. Goodnessof-fit for such a simple model is a particularly conservative test, especially for the NiSP model, when we assume pathogens clones/strains are epidemiologically interchangeable.

We illustrated our methods via case studies for which suitable data are readily available, and our purpose was not to come to definitive conclusions concerning any particular system. That would require dedicated studies. However, by fitting even a highly-simplified version of our model to data, we have demonstrated how results

of simple epidemiological models challenge previous methods based on statistical
 independence.

To explore further the implications of our findings, we analyzed available data sets tracking combinations of pathogens involved in each occurrence of co-infection. For methodological comparison purposes, we restricted ourselves to data referenced in Howard et al. (2001), concerning interactions between *Plasmodium* spp. causing malaria. Relaxing the assumption of epidemiological interchangeability (i.e. using the NiDP model), we found that 43.9% (i.e. 18/41) of data sets considered in Howard et al. (2001) are consistent with no interaction.

One may wonder whether focusing on age classes may be sufficient to correct 310 for the positive correlation between non-interacting pathogens (Lord et al., 1999). 311 Of the 41 data sets identified by Howard et al. (2001) that we analysed, 14 focused 312 only on data collected from children, and therefore, associations are less likely less 313 likely to emerge solely by the confounding effect of age (Fenton et al., 2010). Of 314 these 14 studies, we came to the same conclusion as Howard et al. (2001) in only 6 315 cases. We identified 2 cases in which our methods suggest there is an interaction in 316 which Howard et al. (2001) concluded no interaction (studies 71 and 77), as well as 317 6 cases in which we conclude no interaction whereas Howard et al. (2001) conclude 318 there is an interaction (studies 76, 68, 69, 70, 79 and 80). Thus, focusing on discrete 319 and arbitrary age classes may not be sufficient to correct for the positive correlation 320 between non-interacting pathogens. 321

Again we do not intend to conclusively demonstrate interactions – or lack of in-322 teractions – for malaria. Instead what is important is that our results very often 323 diverge from those originally reported in Howard et al. (2001) using a method based 324 on statistical associations, namely log-linear regression. Log-linear regression suf-325 fers from well-acknowledged difficulties in cases in which there are zero counts (i.e. 326 certain combinations of pathogens are not observed) (Fienberg and Rinaldo, 2012). 327 Such cases often arise in epidemiology. Methods based on epidemiological models 328 therefore offer a twofold advantage: biological interactions are not confounded with 329 statistical associations, and parameter estimation is well-posed, irrespective of zero 330 counts. 331

Moreover, simple epidemiological models (with no explicit age structure) intrin-

sically correct the bias due to the positive correlation between age and prevalence,
which makes it unnecessary to control for age. Therefore, and this may be our main
conclusion: although age is an evident confounding factor, epidemiological models
make it unnecessary to keep track of the age of infected hosts. This is made possible
by replacing the paradigm of "statistical Independence and random distributions"
with "model-based distributions in absence of biological interactions."

We focused here on the simple S-I-S model, since it is sufficiently generic to be 339 applicable to a number of systems. However, an important assumption of our model 340 is that natural mortality occurs at a time scale comparable to that of an infection. 341 Our model is therefore tailored for chronic (i.e. long-lasting) infections, which rep-342 resent a large fraction of of co-infections in humans, animals, and plants. Also, 343 our study is restricted to nonlethal infections, as otherwise there may be ecological 344 interactions between pathogens. In future work focusing on particular pathogens, 345 models including additional system-specific detail would of course be appropriate. 346 We leave further analysis of more complex underpinning epidemiological models to 347 such future research. 348

Lastly, we speculate our results may have implications beyond epidemiology. 349 After all, pathogens are species which form meta-populations occupying discrete 350 patches (hosts) (Seabloom et al., 2015). Meta-community ecology has long been 351 concerned with whether interactions between species can be detected from co-352 occurrence data (Forbes, 1907; Caswell, 1976; Connor and Simberloff, 1979) and 353 most existing methods are based on detecting statistical associations (Gotelli, 2000; 354 Gotelli and Ulrich, 2012), but see Hastings (1987). Our dynamical modeling approach 355 may also provide a new perspective in this area. 356

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/618900; this version posted July 29, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

357 4 Methods

358 4.1 Mathematical analyses

359 4.1.1 Equilibria of the two-pathogen model

The 2-pathogen model is given by Eq. (1-2-3). Since the population size is constant, $J_{\emptyset} = 1 - J_1 - J_2 - J_{1,2}$, and so it follows that

$$\dot{J}_{\varnothing} = \mu(J_1 + J_2 + J_{1,2}) - (F_1 + F_2)J_{\varnothing} = \mu(1 - J_{\varnothing}) - (F_1 + F_2)J_{\varnothing}.$$
(7)

It is well-known (Keeling and Rohani, 2007) that if $R_{0,i} = \beta_i/\mu > 1$ and $I_i(0) > 0$, the prevalence of pathogen *i* will tend to an equilibrium $\bar{I}_i = 1 - 1/R_{0,i}$.

Since $F_i = \beta_i I_i$ and $J_i = I_i - J_{1,2}$, the rate of change of co-infected hosts in Eq. (3) can be recast as

$$\dot{J}_{1,2} = \beta_2 I_2 (I_1 - J_{1,2}) + \beta_1 I_1 (I_2 - J_{1,2}) - \mu J_{1,2} \,. \tag{8}$$

The equilibrium prevalence of co-infected hosts $(\bar{J}_{1,2})$ can therefore be written in terms of the individual net prevalences at equilibrium (\bar{I}_1 and \bar{I}_2),

$$\bar{J}_{1,2} = \left(\frac{\beta_1 + \beta_2}{\beta_1 + \beta_2 - \mu}\right) \bar{I}_1 \bar{I}_2.$$
(9)

This immediately leads to the result concerning the deviation of $\bar{J}_{1,2}$ from $\bar{P} = \bar{I}_1 \bar{I}_2$ (i.e., the expected prevalence of co-infected hosts given statistical independence) quoted in Eq. (4).

4.1.2 Equilibria of the *n*-pathogen model

The *n*-pathogen model is given by Eq. (1-5-6). Since the host population size is constant, $J_{\emptyset} = 1 - \sum_{\Gamma \in \nabla} J_{\Gamma}$, where ∇ is the set of all $2^n - 1$ sets with infected or coinfected hosts. It is also true that

$$\dot{J}_{\varnothing} = \mu(1 - J_{\varnothing}) - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} F_i\right) J_{\varnothing}.$$
 (10)

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/618900; this version posted July 29, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

At equilibrium, Eq. (5) becomes

$$0 = \sum_{i \in \Gamma} \bar{F}_i \bar{J}_{\Omega_i} - \left(\sum_{i \notin \Gamma} \bar{F}_i + \mu \right) \bar{J}_{\Gamma} , \qquad (11)$$

in which \bar{J}_{Ω_i} and \bar{J}_{Γ} are equilibrium prevalences, and \bar{F}_i is the force of infection of pathogen *i* at equilibrium, i.e.

$$\bar{F}_i = \beta_i \bar{I}_i = \beta_i \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{\beta_i} \right) = \beta_i - \mu.$$
(12)

Since these forces of infection are constant and do not depend on the equilibrium prevalences, the set of $2^n - 1$ equations partially characterizing the equilibrium is linear, with

$$0 = \sum_{i \in \Gamma} (\beta_i - \mu) \bar{J}_{\Omega_i} - \left(\sum_{i \notin \Gamma} (\beta_i - \mu) + \mu \right) \bar{J}_{\Gamma}.$$
(13)

381 Similarly, Eq. (10) is linear

$$0 = \mu(1 - \bar{J}_{\varnothing}) - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\beta_i - \mu)\right) \bar{J}_{\varnothing}.$$
(14)

The equilibrium prevalences can be written very conveniently in a recursive form (i.e. using the first equation to fix \bar{J}_{\emptyset} , using \bar{J}_{\emptyset} to independently calculate all values of \bar{J}_{Γ} for $|\Gamma| = 1$, then using the set of values of \bar{J}_{Γ} when $|\Gamma| = 1$ to independently calculate all values of \bar{J}_{Γ} for $|\Gamma| = 2$, and so on). A recurrence relation to find all equilibrium prevalences can therefore be initiated with the following expression for the density of uninfected hosts:

$$\bar{J}_{\emptyset} = \frac{\mu}{\mu + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\beta_{i} - \mu)} = \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (R_{0,i} - 1)}.$$
(15)

³⁸⁸ Then, one may recursively use the following equation, equivalent to Eq. (13):

$$\bar{J}_{\Gamma} = \frac{\sum_{i \in \Gamma} (\beta_i - \mu) \bar{J}_{\Omega_i}}{\mu + \sum_{i \notin \Gamma} (\beta_i - \mu)} = \frac{\sum_{i \in \Gamma} (R_{0,i} - 1) \bar{J}_{\Omega_i}}{1 + \sum_{i \notin \Gamma} (R_{0,i} - 1)}.$$
(16)

³⁸⁹ Since the densities in Eq. (16) are entirely in terms of the equilibrium densities ³⁹⁰ of hosts carrying one fewer pathogen (\bar{J}_{Ω_i}) , this allows us to recursively find the ³⁹¹ densities of all pathogens given pathogen-by-pathogen values of $R_{0,i}$.

392 4.1.3 Deriving the NiSP model from the NiDP model

³⁹³ If all pathogens are interchangeable, and so have identical values of $R_{0,i} = R_0 \ \forall i$, ³⁹⁴ then for any pair of combinations of infecting pathogens, Γ_1 and Γ_2 , it must be the ³⁹⁵ case that $\bar{J}_{\Gamma_1} = \bar{J}_{\Gamma_2}$ whenever $|\Gamma_1| = |\Gamma_2|$. This means the equilibrium prevalences of ³⁹⁶ hosts infected by the same number of distinct pathogens must all be equal, irrespec-³⁹⁷ tive of the particular combination of pathogens that is carried. In this case solving ³⁹⁸ the system is much simpler. First, Eq. (11) can be rewritten as

$$0 = |\Gamma|\bar{F}\bar{J}_{\Omega_i} - ((n - |\Gamma|)\bar{F} + \mu)\bar{J}_{\Gamma}, \qquad (17)$$

in which $\bar{F} = \beta - \mu$. The net prevalence of hosts infected by k distinct pathogens is

$$\bar{M}_{k} = \sum_{\Gamma \in \nabla(k)} \bar{J}_{\Gamma}, \tag{18}$$

in which $\nabla(k)$ is the set of combinations of $\{1, ..., n\}$ with k elements. Since the form of Eq. (17) depends only on $|\Gamma|$, all individual prevalences involved in \overline{M}_k are identical, and so

$$\bar{M}_k = C_k^n \bar{J}_{\Gamma,k}, \tag{19}$$

⁴⁰³ in which C_k^n is a combinatorial coefficient, and $\bar{J}_{\Gamma,k}$ is any of the individual prevalences ⁴⁰⁴ for which $|\Gamma| = k$. The ratio between successive values of \bar{M}_k is given by

$$\frac{\bar{M}_{k}}{\bar{M}_{k-1}} = \frac{C_{k}^{n}}{C_{k-1}^{n}} \frac{\bar{J}_{\Gamma,k}}{\bar{J}_{\Gamma,k-1}} = \frac{n-k+1}{k} \frac{\bar{J}_{\Gamma,k}}{\bar{J}_{\Gamma,k-1}}.$$
(20)

⁴⁰⁵ From Eq. (15), it follows that

$$\bar{M}_0 = \frac{\mu}{\mu + n\bar{F}} = \frac{1}{1 + n(R_0 - 1)},$$
(21)

in which $R_0 = \beta/\mu$. For $1 \le k \le n$, Eq. (17) and (20) together imply

$$\bar{M}_{k} = \frac{(n-k+1)\bar{F}}{(n-k)\bar{F}+\mu}\bar{M}_{k-1} = \frac{(n-k+1)(R_{0}-1)}{(n-k)(R_{0}-1)+1}\bar{M}_{k-1},$$
(22)

⁴⁰⁷ a form which admits a simple recursive solution.

408 4.1.4 Stochastic models

Figs. 2B and 2C were generated by simulating the stochastic differential equation corresponding to Eq. (3); simulating a continuous time Markov chain model using Gillespie's algorithm gave consistent results. Confidence ellipses were obtained from an approximate expression for the covariance matrix at equilibrium (see below).

Continuous-time Markov chain. The continuous-time Markov chain model cor-413 responding to the unscaled version of Eq. (3-7) tracks a vector of integer-valued 414 random variables $X(t) = (J_{\emptyset}(t), J_1(t), J_2(t), J_{1,2}(t))$. Defining $\Delta X = X(t + \Delta t) - X(t) =$ 415 $(\Delta J_{\emptyset}, \Delta J_1, \Delta J_2, \Delta J_{1,2})$, changes of ±1 to each element of X(t) occur in small periods 416 of time Δt at the rates given in Table 1. Stochastic trajectories from this model can 417 conveniently be simulated via the Gillespie algorithm (Gillespie, 1977). Note that 418 the numeric values of the infection rates and the host birth rate must be altered to 419 account for the scaling by population size. 420

Table 1: Transitions in the two-pathogen stochastic models. The prevalence of uninfected host is J_{\emptyset} , the prevalence of each class of singly-infected hosts is J_i (for $i \in [1, 2]$), and the prevalence of co-infected host is $J_{1,2}$. The net force of infection of pathogen i is $F_i = \beta_i I_i / N = \beta_i (J_i + J_{1,2}) / N$ (note the scaling by the population size N relative to the forces of infection as used in the deterministic version of the model). To ensure a constant host population size, we have made the simplifying assumption that removal and replacement occur simultaneously; this has no effect on our qualitative results.

E t	French	Data	
Event	Event	Rate	Change(s) to state
number			variable(s) (ΔX)
1	Infection of uninfected host by pathogen 1	$F_1 J_{\varnothing} \Delta t + o(\Delta t)$	$J_{\emptyset} \rightarrow J_{\emptyset} - 1$
			$J_1 \rightarrow J_1 + 1$
2	Infection of uninfected host by pathogen 2	$F_2 J_{\varnothing} \Delta t + o(\Delta t)$	$J_{\emptyset} \rightarrow J_{\emptyset} - 1$
			$J_2 \rightarrow J_2 + 1$
3	Infection by pathogen 1 of host singly-infected by pathogen 2	$F_1J_2\Delta t + o(\Delta t)$	$J_2 \rightarrow J_2 - 1$
			$J_{1,2} \rightarrow J_{1,2} + 1$
4	Infection by pathogen 2 of host singly-infected by pathogen 1	$F_2 J_1 \Delta t + o(\Delta t)$	$J_1 \rightarrow J_1 - 1$
			$J_{1,2} \rightarrow J_{1,2} + 1$
5	Death of host singly-infected by pathogen 1	$\mu J_1 \Delta t + o(\Delta t)$	$J_1 \rightarrow J_1 - 1$
	and replacement with an uninfected host		$J_{\emptyset} \rightarrow J_{\emptyset} + 1$
6	Death of host singly-infected by pathogen 2	$\mu J_2 \Delta t + o(\Delta t)$	$J_2 \rightarrow J_2 - 1$
	and replacement with an uninfected host		$J_{\emptyset} \rightarrow J_{\emptyset} + 1$
7	Death of co-infected host	$\mu J_{1,2}\Delta t + o(\Delta t)$	$J_{1,2} \rightarrow J_{1,2} - 1$
	and replacement with an uninfected host		$J_{\emptyset} \rightarrow J_{\emptyset} + 1$

Stochastic differential equations. The model can also be written as a system
of stochastic differential equations (SDEs), an approximation to the continuous-time
Markov chain that is valid for sufficiently large *N* (Kurtz, 1970) and which is particularly well-suited for simulation of the stochastic model when the population size is

⁴²⁵ large. This form of the model again tracks the seven events in Table 1, although in ⁴²⁶ the SDE formulation the random variables in X(t) are continuous-valued. A heuristic ⁴²⁷ derivation is based on a normal approximation described below. Alternately, the for-⁴²⁸ ward Kolmogorov differential equations in the continuous-time Markov chain model ⁴²⁹ are closely related to the Fokker Planck equation for the probability density function ⁴³⁰ of the SDE model (Allen et al., 2008).

⁴³¹ The expected change $\mathbb{E}(\Delta X)$ and covariance of the changes $\mathbb{V}(\Delta X)$ can be com-⁴³² puted from Table 1 to order Δt via

$$\mathbb{E}(\Delta X) \approx \tilde{f} \Delta t \text{ and } \mathbb{V}(\Delta X) \approx \mathbb{E}(\Delta X [\Delta X]^{T}) = \Sigma \Delta t,$$
(23)

where $dJ = \tilde{f} dt$ is the unscaled version of the deterministic model as specified in Eq. (3-7) with $N = J_{\emptyset} + J_1 + J_2 + J_{1,2}$ (a constant) and $F_i = \beta_i (J_i + J_{1,2})/N$. In addition, the matrix Σ is given by

⁴³⁶ The changes in a small time interval Δt are approximated by a normal distribution ⁴³⁷ via the Central Limit Theorem: $\Delta X(t) - \mathbb{E}(\Delta X(t)) \approx \text{Normal}(0, \Sigma \Delta t)$, where 0 = zero⁴³⁸ vector. The covariance matrix Σ can be written as $\Sigma = GG^T$. Letting $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$, the SDE ⁴³⁹ model can therefore be expressed as

$$dX = \tilde{f}dt + GdW.$$
(25)

The matrix *G* is not unique but a simple form with dimension 4×7 accounts for each event in Table 1 (Allen et al., 2008). Each entry in matrix *G* involves a square root and *W* is a vector of seven independent standard Wiener processes, where $dW_i \approx \Delta W_i(t) = W_i(t + \Delta t) - W_i(t) \sim \text{Normal}(0, \Delta t)$. An explicit form for the SDE 444 model in Eq. (25) is

$$dJ_{\varnothing} = \tilde{f}_{0}dt - \sqrt{F_{1}J_{\varnothing}} dW_{1} - \sqrt{F_{2}J_{\varnothing}} dW_{2} + \sqrt{\mu}J_{1} dW_{5} + \sqrt{\mu}J_{2} dW_{6} + \sqrt{\mu}J_{1,2} dW_{7},$$

$$dJ_{1} = \tilde{f}_{1}dt + \sqrt{F_{1}J_{\varnothing}} dW_{1} - \sqrt{F_{2}J_{1}} dW_{4} - \sqrt{\mu}J_{1} dW_{5},$$

$$dJ_{2} = \tilde{f}_{2}dt + \sqrt{F_{2}J_{\varnothing}} dW_{2} - \sqrt{F_{1}J_{2}} dW_{3} - \sqrt{\mu}J_{2} dW_{6},$$

$$dJ_{1,2} = \tilde{f}_{1,2}dt + \sqrt{F_{1}J_{2}} dW_{3} + \sqrt{F_{2}J_{1}} dW_{4} - \sqrt{\mu}J_{1,2} dW_{7}.$$

(26)

Covariance matrix at the endemic equilibrium. In Supplementary Information
(Section S1.2) we show that the covariance between the prevalences of pathogen 1
and pathogen 2 as they fluctuate in the vicinity of their equilibrium values is approximately

$$\operatorname{cov}\left(\frac{I_{1}}{N},\frac{I_{2}}{N}\right) = \frac{\mu \bar{J}_{1,2}}{N^{2}[(\beta_{1}-\mu)+(\beta_{2}-\mu)]} = \frac{(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2})(\beta_{1}-\mu)(\beta_{2}-\mu)\mu}{N\beta_{1}\beta_{2}(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}-\mu)(\beta_{1}-\mu+\beta_{2}-\mu)} \ge 0,$$
(27)

with equality if and only if $\mu = 0$ (assuming $\beta_i > \mu$, i = 1, 2). Only in the specific case $\mu = 0$, is the deviation from statistical independence equal to zero (Eq. (4)).

451 4.2 Statistical methods

452 **4.2.1** Models corresponding to assuming statistical independence

If data are observations of numbers of individuals infected with k distinct pathogens, 453 O_k , for $k \in [0, n]$, statistical independence corresponds to assuming the infection 454 load of a single individual follows the one-parameter, binomial model Bin(n,p), in 455 which p is the pathogen prevalence (assumed identical for each pathogen, and fit-456 ted appropriately to the data), and n is the maximum number of infections that is 457 possible (i.e. the total number of distinct pathogens under consideration). Model pre-458 dictions are then simply N samples from this binomial distribution, where $N = \sum_k O_k$ 459 is the total number of individuals observed in the data. One interpretation is as a 460 multinomial model in which 461

$$O_k \sim Nq_k$$
 where $q_k = C_k^n p^k (1-p)^{n-k}$. (28)

⁴⁶² For the data for malaria corresponding to numbers of individuals, O_{Γ} , infected by dif-⁴⁶³ ferent sets of pathogens, Γ , statistical independence corresponds to an *n*-parameter ⁴⁶⁴ multinomial model, parameterised by the prevalences of the individual pathogens p_i ⁴⁶⁵ (again fitted to the data), i.e.

$$O_{\Gamma} \sim N \prod_{i \in \Gamma} p_i \prod_{i \notin \Gamma} (1 - p_i).$$
⁽²⁹⁾

466 4.2.2 Fitting the models

⁴⁶⁷ The host natural death rate, μ , can be scaled out of the equilibrium prevalences ⁴⁶⁸ by rescaling time. Fitting the models therefore corresponds to finding value(s) for ⁴⁶⁹ scaled infection rate(s) β_i , i.e. $R_{0,i} = \beta_i / \mu$ (all are equal for the NiSP model).

The method used to fit the model does not depend on whether the data are numbers of hosts infected by a particular combination of pathogens, or numbers of hosts carrying particular numbers of distinct pathogens, since both can be viewed as *N* samples drawn from a multinomial distribution, with q_j observations of the j^{th} class. If the corresponding probabilities generated by the model being fitted are p_j , then the log-likelihood is

$$L = \sum_{j} q_{j} \log(p_{j}).$$
(30)

The models were fitted by maximizing *L* via optim() in R (R Core Team, 2016). Convergence to a plausible global maximum was checked by repeatedly refitting the model from randomly chosen starting sets of parameters. All models were fitted in a transformed form to allow only biologically-meaningful values of parameters; that is, the basic reproduction numbers were estimated after transformation with $\log(R_{0,i}-1)$ to ensure $R_{0,i} > 1$.

482 4.2.3 Model comparison

To compare the best-fitting NiSP or NiDP model and an appropriate model assuming statistical independence (binomial or multinomial), we use the Akaike Information Criterion $AIC = 2k - 2\hat{L}$, in which \hat{L} is the log-likelihood of the best-fitting version of each model and k is the number of model parameters. This is necessary since these comparisons involve pairs of models that are not nested.

488 4.2.4 Goodness-of-fit

We use a Monte-Carlo technique to estimate *p*-values for model goodness-of-fit, generating 1,000,000 independent sets of samples of total size *N* from the multinomial distribution corresponding to the best-fitting model, calculating the likelihood (Eq. (30)) of each of these synthetic data sets, and recording the proportion with a smaller value of *L* than the value calculated for the data (Sokal and Rohlf, 2012). This was done using the function xmonte() in the R package XNomial (Engels, 2015).

495 **4.3** Sources of data and results of model fitting

496 4.3.1 Numbers of distinct pathogens (NiSP model)

Results of fitting the NiSP model to data from four publications for strains of a single pathogen are presented in Figure 3. Error bars are 95% confidence intervals using exact methods for binomial proportions via binconf() in the R package Hmisc (Harrell Jr et al., 2016). Results for three further data sets concerning different pathogens of a single host (Andersson et al., 2013; Moutailler et al., 2016; Nickbakhsh et al., 2016) are provided as Supplementary Information (Section S2.1).

For convenience the raw data as extracted for use in model fitting are re-tabulated in Table 2. Results of model fitting are summarized in Table 3. We used the value n = 102 for the number of distinct strains in López-Villavicencio et al. (2007) following personal communication with the authors; there might be undetected genetic differences due to missing data – which would require a larger value of *n* in our model fitting procedure – but we confirmed that our inferences are unaffected by taking any value of $n \in [100, 200]$.

510 4.3.2 Combinations of pathogens (NiDP model)

Howard et al. (2001) report results of analyzing 73 data sets concerning multiple *Plasmodium* spp. causing malaria (rows 68–140 of Table 1 in that paper). We reanalyzed the subset of these studies satisfying certain additional constraints as detailed in the main text (see Supplementary Information: Section S2.2 for a full description of how the studies were filtered). This left a final total of 41 data sets taken from 35 distinct papers: 24 data sets considering the three-way interaction between

Table 2: Sources of data for fitting the NiSP model in which pathogen types, clones or strains are assumed to be epidemiologically-interchangeable. The data sets include human papillomavirus (Chaturvedi et al., 2011), anther smut (*M. violaceum*) (López-Villavicencio et al., 2007), *Borrelia afzelii* on bank voles (Andersson et al., 2013), and malaria (*Plasmodium vivax*) (Koepfli et al., 2011).

Pathogens with <i>n</i> distinct		Observed counts, Ok									Total	
types, strains or clones	n	n 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9						9	N			
Human papillomavirus	25	2933	1409	646	267	102	39	12	2	2	-	5412
Anther smut (<i>M. violaceum</i>)	102	285	74	60	32	14	3	3	2	1	1	475
Borrelia afzelii on bank voles	7	807	33	26	13	10	11	6	-	-	-	906
Malaria (<i>Plasmodium vivax</i>)	57	1023	404	291	208	118	50	16	5	1	1	2117

Table 3: Fitting the NiSP model. The NiSP model was highly supported over the binomial model (Δ AlC \gg 10) in all cases tested. The final column of the table – GoF – corresponds to the goodness-of-fit test of the NiSP model; values p > 0.05 correspond to lack of evidence for failure to fit the data, and so the NiSP model is adequate for the data concerning human papillomavirus (Chaturvedi et al., 2011).

	N	iSP	Bin	omial		GoF
	R ₀	L	p	L	$\Delta AIC=2\Delta L$	p
Human papillomavirus	1.032	-6580.9	0.031	-6868.8	575.8	0.077
Anther smut (<i>M. violaceum</i>)	1.008	-614.0	0.008	-693.3	158.6	0.001
Borrelia afzelii on bank voles	1.044	-652.1	0.040	-799.0	293.8	0.000
Malaria (<i>Plasmodium vivax</i>)	1.021	-3169.2	0.021	-3467.3	596.3	0.000

⁵¹⁷ *P. falciparum*, *P. malariae* and *P. vivax* and 17 data sets considering the three-way ⁵¹⁸ interaction between *P. falciparum*, *P. malariae* and *P. ovale*.

We used our method based on the NiDP model to test whether any of these data 519 sets were consistent with no interaction between the *Plasmodium* spp. considered 520 (Table 4). We found 15 data sets for which the NiDP model was: i) a better fit than 521 the multinomial model as indicated by $\Delta AIC \ge 2$; ii) sufficient to explain the data 522 as revealed by our goodness-of-fit test. In these 15 cases our methods therefore 523 support the hypothesis of no interaction. For 11 of these 15 data sets (76, 109, 118, 524 130, 132, 68, 69, 70, 79, 95, 97, 98, 99, 100, 102) the results as reported in (Howard 525 et al., 2001) instead suggest the strains interact. 526

527 5 Data availability

The cross-sectional survey data extracted from previous publications which we have used to test our methodology are tabulated in Table 2 in the main text, and Tables S2 and S4 in the Supplementary Information. These data are available in electronic format as . csv files from the corresponding author upon reasonable request. Table 4: Fitting the NiDP model. Data sets which are consistent with no interaction between the *Plasmodium* spp. considered are highlighted in grey. Such data sets have both *p*-values for the goodness-of-fit test of the NiDP model p(GoF) > 0.05, and $\Delta AIC \ge 2$, meaning the NiDP model is adequate. The multinomial model corresponds to the statistical independence hypothesis. Parameters $R_{0,1}$ and $R_{0,2}$ are associated with *P. falciparum* and *P. malariae*, respectively. Parameter $R_{0,3}$ corresponds either to *P. vivax* (upper part of the table, data sets 74–137) or to *P. ovale* (lower part of the table, data sets 68–103). The final column contains a tick whenever at least one association between a pair of pathogens was assessed to be significant in Howard et al. (2001). Red ticks correspond to possible statistical associations that are consistent with our no-interaction model (NiDP), i.e. cases in which our methods lead to results diverging from those reported in Howard et al. (2001).

	NiDP					Multinomial						Association(s) in
	R _{0,1}	R _{0,2}	R _{0,3}	L	p(GoF)	p_1	p ₂	<i>p</i> ₃	L	p(GoF)	ΔΑΙϹ	Howard et al. (2001)
74	1.764	1.256	1.004	-340.7	0.000	0.468	0.220	0.004	-311.0	0.000	-59.3	\checkmark
75	1.694	1.248	1.022	-194.8	0.000	0.445	0.215	0.022	-177.4	0.000	-34.8	\checkmark
76	1.235	1.019	1.005	-492.5	0.251	0.190	0.019	0.005	-493.7	0.098	2.4	\checkmark
82	1.776	1.165	1.108	-996.0	0.000	0.463	0.147	0.101	-936.3	0.000	-119.2	\checkmark
84	1.212	1.017	1.207	-684.2	0.000	0.180	0.017	0.177	-660.4	0.000	-47.6	\checkmark
88	1.296	1.120	1.260	-314.7	0.000	0.242	0.111	0.217	-295.0	0.000	-39.3	\checkmark
106	1.818	1.146	1.055	-4105.2	0.000	0.442	0.125	0.052	-4296.6	0.000	382.9	\checkmark
108	1.241	1.024	1.096	-1147.5	0.000	0.197	0.023	0.089	-1132.1	0.721	-30.9	X
109	1.023	1.013	1.045	-359.3	0.866	0.023	0.013	0.043	-361.1	0.343	3.5	✓
111	1.198	1.005	1.786	-1929.2	0.000	0.175	0.005	0.467	-1798.8	0.000	-260.7	√
112	1.307	1.086	1.056	-119.6	0.115	0.241	0.080	0.054	-116.6	0.552	-6.0	×
113	1.213	1.007	1.119	-1324.1	0.000	0.179	0.007	0.108	-1290.8	0.000	-66.6	√
114	1.615	1.084	1.038	-1224.4	0.000	0.392	0.080	0.037	-1182.6	0.000	-83.6	\checkmark
116	1.780	1.124	1.100	-1035.1	0.000	0.471	0.116	0.094	-953.5	0.000	-163.2	\checkmark
117	1.072	1.000	1.268	-31530.5	0.000	0.068	0.000	0.214	-30958.7	0.000	-1143.5	√
118	1.085	1.039	1.171	-225.3	0.990	0.078	0.037	0.146	-227.5	0.515	4.5	√
119	1.433	1.164	1.375	-265.7	0.000	0.325	0.146	0.291	-249.0	0.146	-33.6	\checkmark
123	1.016	1.055	1.098	-6684.7	0.000	0.016	0.052	0.090	-6623.5	0.000	-122.4	\checkmark
124	1.254	1.100	1.082	-3600.6	0.000	0.206	0.092	0.076	-3541.3	0.017	-118.7	\checkmark
127	1.341	1.005	1.266	-1087.4	0.000	0.265	0.005	0.219	-1039.0	0.000	-96.8	√
130	1.013	1.002	1.350	-352.7	0.978	0.013	0.002	0.259	-353.7	0.636	2.0	×
132	1.397	1.027	1.074	-591.8	0.347	0.285	0.026	0.068	-594.3	0.067	4.9	\checkmark
133	1.571	1.022	1.332	-687.9	0.000	0.375	0.022	0.257	-676.2	0.001	-23.4	\checkmark
137	1.196	1.005	1.130	-2356.8	0.000	0.166	0.005	0.117	-2309.6	0.000	-94.3	√
68	1.910	1.091	1.021	-152.0	0.200	0.469	0.082	0.020	-157.8	0.002	11.7	\checkmark
69	4.827	1.443	1.036	-177.2	0.822	0.796	0.310	0.035	-181.4	0.121	8.5	\checkmark
70	4.612	1.203	1.089	-239.2	0.953	0.781	0.168	0.082	-247.4	0.012	16.4	\checkmark
71	6.070	1.370	1.181	-310.1	0.001	0.822	0.261	0.148	-336.2	0.000	52.1	×
77	14.275	1.383	1.142	-155.3	0.032	0.944	0.286	0.127	-150.4	0.931	-9.9	×
78	4.171	1.178	1.006	-166.2	0.264	0.773	0.153	0.006	-163.2	0.997	-5.8	X
79	1.855	1.033	1.005	-1260.1	0.969	0.461	0.032	0.005	-1263.4	0.224	6.6	√
80	1.546	1.062	1.021	-715.1	0.735	0.355	0.059	0.020	-715.2	0.675	0.2	✓
95	1.855	1.033	1.005	-1260.1	0.970	0.461	0.032	0.005	-1263.4	0.224	6.6	×
96	1.910	1.071	1.017	-240.4	0.019	0.469	0.065	0.016	-248.9	0.000	17.1	×
97	1.952	1.077	1.004	-242.6	0.568	0.486	0.071	0.004	-246.7	0.031	8.3	\checkmark
98	1.662	1.014	1.018	-183.7	0.373	0.396	0.013	0.018	-187.0	0.030	6.6	X
99	1.627	1.019	1.019	-133.7	0.823	0.384	0.019	0.019	-135.6	0.332	3.8	X
100	1.037	1.003	1.000	-432.1	0.254	0.035	0.003	0.000	-433.2	0.083	2.3	\checkmark
101	3.590	1.269	1.063	-11014.1	0.000	0.720	0.211	0.060	-11392.7	0.000	757.3	√
102	2.473	1.153	1.027	-8188.9	0.403	0.595	0.132	0.027	-8352.0	0.000	326.2	\checkmark
103	1.798	1.180	1.015	-7425.7	0.000	0.437	0.150	0.015	-7736.6	0.000	621.8	\checkmark

532 6 Code availability

- ⁵³³ Code illustrating all statistical methods is freely available at:
- s34 https://github.com/nikcunniffe/Coinfection.

535 7 Acknowledgments

This work was initiated during the Multiscale Vectored Plant Viruses Working Group 536 at the National Institute for Mathematical and Biological Synthesis, supported by 537 the National Science Foundation through NSF Award #DBI-1300426, with additional 538 support from The University of Tennessee, Knoxville. This material is based upon 539 research supported by the Thomas Jefferson Fund of the Embassy of France in the 540 United States and the FACE Foundation. We thank S. Alizon, T. Berrett, E. Bussell, 541 V. Calcagno, C. Donnelly, R. Donnelly, T. Giraud, J. Gog, M. López-Villavicencio, T. Oba-542 dia, M. Parry, M. Plantegenest, O. Restif, E. Seabloom, J. Shykoff, R. Thompson and 543 C. Trotter for helpful discussions or provision of data. 544

545 **References**

- Alizon, S., de Roode, J., and Michalakis, Y. (2013). Multiple infections and the evolu tion of virulence. *Ecology Letters*, 16:556–567.
- Alizon, S., Murall, C. L., Saulnier, E., and Sofonea, M. (2019). Detecting within-host
 interactions from genotype combination prevalence data. *Epidemics*. In press.
- Allen, E., Allen, L. J. S., Arciniega, A., and Greenwood, C. (2008). Construction of equivalent stochastic differential equation models. *Stochastic Analysis and Applications*, 26:274–297.
- ⁵⁵³ Anderson, R. and May, R. (1991). *Infectious Diseases of Humans: Dynamics and* ⁵⁵⁴ *Control*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Andersson, M., Scherman, K., and Råberg, L. (2013). Multiple-strain infections of
 Borrelia afzelii: a role for within-host interactions in the maintenance of antigenic
 diversity? *The American Naturalist*, 181:545–554.

⁵⁵⁸ Balmer, O. and Tanner, M. (2011). Prevalence and implications of multiple-strain ⁵⁵⁹ infections. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*, 11:868–878.

⁵⁶⁰ Bogaert, D., van Belkum, A., Sluijter, M., Luijendijk, A., de Groot, R., Rümke, H.,
 ⁵⁶¹ Verbrugh, H., and Hermans, P. (2004). Colonisation by *Streptococcus pneumoniae* ⁵⁶² and *Staphylococcus aureus* in healthy children. *The Lancet*, 363:1871–1872.

Booth, M. and Bundy, D. (1995). Estimating the number of multiple-species geo helminth infections in human communities. *Parasitology*, 111:645–653.

Caswell, H. (1976). Community structure: a neutral model analysis. *Ecological Mono- graphs*, 46:327–354.

⁵⁶⁷ Chaturvedi, A. K., Katki, H. A., Hildesheim, A., Rodríguez, A. C., Quint, W., Schiffman,

M., Van Doorn, L.-J., Porras, C., Wacholder, S., Gonzalez, P., et al. (2011). Human

papillomavirus infection with multiple types: pattern of coinfection and risk of cer-

vical disease. *The Journal of Infectious Diseases*, 203:910–920.

⁵⁷¹ Cohen, J. E. (1973). Heterologous immunity in human malaria. *The Quarterly Review* ⁵⁷² of Biology, 48:467–489.

⁵⁷³ Connor, E. F. and Simberloff, D. (1979). The assembly of species communities: ⁵⁷⁴ chance or competition? *Ecology*, 60:1132–1140.

⁵⁷⁵ de Roode, J. C., Helinski, M. E., Anwar, M. A., and Read, A. F. (2005). Dynamics ⁵⁷⁶ of multiple infection and within-host competition in genetically diverse malaria ⁵⁷⁷ infections. *The American Naturalist*, 166:531–542.

⁵⁷⁸ Degarege, A., Legesse, M., Medhin, G., Animut, A., and Erko, B. (2012). Malaria and
 ⁵⁷⁹ related outcomes in patients with intestinal helminths: a cross-sectional study.
 ⁵⁸⁰ BMC Infectious Diseases, 12:291.

⁵⁸¹ Engels, B. (2015). XNomial: Exact Goodness-of-Fit Test for Multinomial Data with
 ⁵⁸² Fixed Probabilities. R package version 1.0.4.

Fenton, A., Knowles, S. C., Petchey, O. L., and Pedersen, A. B. (2014). The reliability of
 observational approaches for detecting interspecific parasite interactions: compar ison with experimental results. *International Journal for Parasitology*, 44:437–445.

Fenton, A., Viney, M. E., and Lello, J. (2010). Detecting interspecific macroparasite in teractions from ecological data: patterns and process. *Ecology letters*, 13(5):606–
 615.

Fienberg, S. E. and Rinaldo, A. (2012). Maximum likelihood estimation in log-linear
 models. *The Annals of Statistics*, 40:996–1023.

- Forbes, S. A. (1907). On the local distribution of certain Illinois fishes: an essay
 in statistical ecology. *Bulletin of the Illinois State Laboratory of Natural History*,
 7:273–303.
- ⁵⁹⁴ Gillespie, D. T. (1977). Exact stochastic simulation of coupled chemical reactions. ⁵⁹⁵ *The Journal of Physical Chemistry*, 81:2340–2361.
- ⁵⁹⁶ Gorsich, E. E., Etienne, R. S., Medlock, J., Beechler, B. R., Spaan, J. M., Spaan, R. S.,
 ⁵⁹⁷ Ezenwa, V. O., and Jolles, A. E. (2018). Opposite outcomes of coinfection at indi ⁵⁹⁸ vidual and population scales. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*,
 ⁵⁹⁹ 115:7545–7550.
- Gotelli, N. J. (2000). Null model analysis of species co-occurrence patterns. *Ecology*,
 81:2606–2621.
- Gotelli, N. J. and Ulrich, W. (2012). Statistical challenges in null model analysis. *Oikos*,
 121:171–180.
- Graham, A., Lamb, T., Read, A., and Allen, J. (2005). Malaria-filaria coinfection in mice
 makes malarial disease more severe unless filarial infection achieves patency. *Jour- nal of Infectious Disease*, 191:410–421.
- Griffiths, E. C., Pedersen, A. B., Fenton, A., and Petchey, O. L. (2011). The nature and
 consequences of coinfection in humans. *Journal of Infection*, 63:200–206.
- Harrell Jr, F. E., with contributions from C Dupont, and many others. (2016). *Hmisc*.
 R package version 4.1.1.
- Hastings, A. (1987). Can competition be detected using species co-occurrence data?
 Ecology, 68:117–123.
- ⁶¹³ Hellard, E., Fouchet, D., Vavre, F., and Pontier, D. (2015). Parasite–parasite interac-⁶¹⁴ tions in the wild: How to detect them? *Trends in Parasitology*, 31:640–652.

- Hellard, E., Pontier, D., Sauvage, F., Poulet, H., and Fouchet, D. (2012). True versus
 false parasite interactions: a robust method to take risk factors into account and
 its application to feline viruses. *PLoS ONE*, 7:e29618.
- Hilker, F. M., Allen, L. J., Bokil, V. A., Briggs, C. J., Feng, Z., Garrett, K. A., Gross, L. J.,

Hamelin, F. M., Jeger, M. J., Manore, C A, P. A. G., Redinbaugh, M. G., Rúa, M. A., and

620 Cunniffe, N. J. (2017). Modeling virus coinfection to inform management of maize

lethal necrosis in Kenya. *Phytopathology*, 107:1095–1108.

Howard, S., Donnelly, C., and Chan, M.-S. (2001). Methods for estimation of associations between multiple species parasite infections. *Parasitology*, 122:233–251.

Johnson, P. T. and Buller, I. D. (2011). Parasite competition hidden by correlated coinfection: using surveys and experiments to understand parasite interactions. *Ecology*, 92:535–541.

- Karvonen, A., Jokela, J., and Laine, A.-L. (2018). Importance of sequence and timing
 in parasite coinfections. *Trends in Parasitology*, 35:109–118.
- Keeling, M. J. and Rohani, P. (2007). *Modeling Infectious Diseases in Humans and* Animals. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.
- Koepfli, C., Ross, A., Kiniboro, B., Smith, T. A., Zimmerman, P. A., Siba, P., Mueller, I.,
 and Felger, I. (2011). Multiplicity and diversity of *Plasmodium vivax* infections in
 a highly endemic region in Papua New Guinea. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*,
 12:e1424.
- ⁶³⁵ Kucharski, A. J., Andreasen, V., and Gog, J. R. (2016). Capturing the dynamics of ⁶³⁶ pathogens with many strains. *Journal of mathematical biology*, 72(1-2):1–24.
- Kucharski, A. J. and Gog, J. R. (2012). Age profile of immunity to influenza: effect of
 original antigenic sin. *Theoretical population biology*, 81(2):102–112.
- Kurtz, T. G. (1970). Solutions of ordinary differential equations as limits of pure jump
 markov processes. *Journal of Applied Probability*, 7:49–58.
- López-Villavicencio, M., Jonot, O., Coantic, A., Hood, M. E., Enjalbert, J., and Giraud, T.
 (2007). Multiple infections by the anther smut pathogen are frequent and involve
 related strains. *PLoS Pathogens*, 3:e176.

Lord, C., Barnard, B., Day, K., Hargrove, J., McNamara, J., Paul, R., Trenholme, K., and
 Woolhouse, M. (1999). Aggregation and distribution of strains in microparasites.
 Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society, B., 354:799–807.

Malagón, T., Lemieux-Mellouki, P., Laprise, J.-F., and Brisson, M. (2016). Bias due to
 correlation between Times-at-risk for infection in epidemiologic studies measuring
 biological interactions between sexually transmitted infections: A case study us ing human papillomavirus type interactions. *American Journal of Epidemiology*,
 184:873–883.

Man, I., Wallinga, J., and Bogaards, J. A. (2018). Inferring pathogen type interactions
 using cross-sectional prevalence data: opportunities and pitfalls for predicting type
 replacement. *Epidemiology*, 29:666–674.

Mascia, T. and Gallitelli, D. (2016). Synergies and antagonisms in virus interactions.
 Plant Science, 252:176–192.

⁶⁵⁷ May, R. M. and Nowak, M. A. (1995). Coinfection and the evolution of parasite viru-⁶⁵⁸ lence. *Proceedings of the Royal Society, B.*, 261:209–215.

Molineaux, L., Storey, J., Cohen, J., and Thomas, A. (1980). A longitudinal study of
 natural malaria in the West African Savanna in the absence of control measures:
 relationships between different *Plasmodium* species, in particular *P. falciparum* and *P. malariae*. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, 29:725–737.

Moutailler, S., Valiente Moro, C., Vaumourin, E., Michelet, L., Tran, F. H., Devillers, E.,
 Cosson, J.-F., Gasqui, P., Van, V. T., Mavingui, P., Vourc'h, G., and Vayssier-Taussat,
 M. (2016). Co-infection of ticks: the rule rather than the exception. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, 10:1–17.

Nickbakhsh, S., Thorburn, F., Von Wissmann, B., McMenamin, J., Gunson, R., and
 Murcia, P. (2016). Extensive multiplex PCR diagnostics reveal new insights into the
 epidemiology of viral respiratory infections. *Epidemiology & Infection*, 144:2064–
 2076.

⁶⁷¹ Nielsen, N., Simonsen, P. E., Magnussen, P., Magesa, S., and Friis, H. (2006). Cross-⁶⁷² sectional relationship between HIV, lymphatic filariasis and other parasitic infections in adults in coastal northeastern Tanzania. *Transactions of the Royal Society* of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene, 100:543–550.

Pedersen, A. B. and Fenton, A. (2007). Emphasizing the ecology in parasite commu nity ecology. *Trends in ecology & evolution*, 22(3):133–139.

Petney, T. N. and Andrews, R. H. (1998). Multiparasite communities in animals and
 humans: frequency, structure and pathogenic significance. *International Journal for Parasitology*, 28:377–393.

R Core Team (2016). *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. R
 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.

Raso, G., Luginbühl, A., Adjoua, C. A., Tian-Bi, N. T., Silué, K. D., Matthys, B.,
Vounatsou, P., Wang, Y., Dumas, M.-E., Holmes, E., et al. (2004). Multiple parasite infections and their relationship to self-reported morbidity in a community of
rural Côte d'Ivoire. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 33:1092–1102.

Regev-Yochay, G., Dagan, R., Raz, M., Carmeli, Y., Shainberg, B., Derazne, E., Ra hav, G., and Rubinstein, E. (2004). Association between carriage of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and *Staphylococcus aureus* in children. *JAMA*, 292:716–720.

Reich, N. G., Shrestha, S., King, A. A., Rohani, P., Lessler, J., Kalayanarooj, S., Yoon, I. K., Gibbons, R. V., Burke, D. S., and Cummings, D. A. (2013). Interactions between
 serotypes of dengue highlight epidemiological impact of cross-immunity. *Journal* of The Royal Society Interface, 10:20130414.

Rigaud, T., Perrot-Minnot, M., and Brown, M. (2010). Parasite and host assemblages:
 embracing the reality will improve our knowledge of parasite transmission and
 virulence. *Proceedings of the Royal Society, B.*, 277:3693–3702.

⁶⁹⁶ Rohani, P., Earn, D. J., Finkenstädt, B., and Grenfell, B. T. (1998). Population dy ⁶⁹⁷ namic interference among childhood diseases. *Proceedings of the Royal Society,* ⁶⁹⁸ B., 265:2033–2041.

Rohani, P., Green, C., Mantilla-Beniers, N., and Grenfell, B. (2003). Ecological inter ference between fatal diseases. *Nature*, 422:885–888.

Rositch, A. F., Poole, C., Hudgens, M. G., Agot, K., Nyagaya, E., Moses, S., Snijders,
 P. J., Meijer, C. J., Bailey, R. C., and Smith, J. S. (2012). Multiple human papillo mavirus infections and type competition in men. *Journal of Infectious Diseases*,
 205:72–81.

Seabloom, E. W., Borer, E. T., Gross, K., Kendig, A. E., Lacroix, C., Mitchell, C. E.,
 Mordecai, E. A., and Power, A. G. (2015). The community ecology of pathogens:
 coinfection, coexistence and community composition. *Ecology Letters*, 18:401–
 415.

Seabloom, E. W., Hosseini, P. R., Power, A. G., and Borer, E. T. (2009). Diversity and
 composition of viral communities: coinfection of barley and cereal yellow dwarf
 viruses in California grasslands. *The American Naturalist*, 173:E79–E98.

Shrestha, S., Foxman, B., Weinberger, D. M., Steiner, C., Viboud, C., and Rohani, P.
 (2013). Identifying the interaction between influenza and pneumococcal pneumo nia using incidence data. *Science Translational Medicine*, 5:191ra84.

⁷¹⁵ Shrestha, S., King, A. A., and Rohani, P. (2011). Statistical inference for multi-⁷¹⁶ pathogen systems. *PLoS Computational Biology*, 7:e1002135.

⁷¹⁷ Sokal, R. and Rohlf, F. (2012). *Biometry: the Principles and Practice of Statistics in* ⁷¹⁸ *Biological Research*. W.H. Freeman, New York.

⁷¹⁹ Susi, H., Barrès, B., Vale, P., and Laine, A. (2015). Co-infection alters population
 ⁷²⁰ dynamics of infectious disease. *Nature Communications*, 6:5975.

Telfer, S., Lambin, X., Birtles, R., Beldomenico, P., Burthe, S., Paterson, S., and Begon,
 M. (2010). Species interactions in a parasite community drive infection risk in a
 wildlife population. *Science*, 330:243–246.

Teweldemedhin, M., Asres, N., Gebreyesus, H., and Asgedom, S. W. (2018).
 Tuberculosis-human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) co-infection in Ethiopia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Infectious Diseases*, 18:676.

Tollenaere, C., Susi, H., and Laine, A.-L. (2016). Evolutionary and epidemiological
 implications of multiple infection in plants. *Trends in Plant Science*, 21:80–90.

Vaumourin, E., Vourc'h, G., Telfer, S., Lambin, X., Salih, D., Seitzer, U., Morand,
 S., Charbonnel, N., Vayssier-Taussat, M., and Gasqui, P. (2014). To be or not to
 be associated: power study of four statistical modeling approaches to identify
 parasite associations in cross-sectional studies. *Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology*, 4:62.

Vaumourin, E., Vourc'h, G., Gasqui, P., and Vayssier-Taussat, M. (2015). The impor tance of multiparasitism: examining the consequences of co-infections for human
 and animal health. *Parasites & Vectors*, 8:545.