Serotonergic modulation of visual neurons in *Drosophila melanogaster*

Maureen M Sampson[1,2]*, Katherine M Myers Gschweng[1,3]*, Ben J Hardcastle[4], Shivan L Bonanno[1,3], Tyler R Sizemore[5], Rebecca C Arnold[1,3], Fuying Gao[3], Andrew M Dacks[5], Mark A Frye[4], David E Krantz[1,3]

*These authors contributed equally

[1]UCLA, Hatos Center for Neuropharmacology, Los Angeles, CA, USA

[2]UCLA, Molecular Toxicology Interdepartmental Program, Los Angeles, CA, USA

[3]UCLA, Psychiatry and Biobehavioral Sciences, David Geffen School of Medicine, Los Angeles, CA, USA

[4]UCLA, Department of Integrative Biology and Physiology, Los Angeles, CA, USA

[5]Department of Biology, West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV, USA

Abstract

Sensory systems rely on neuromodulators, such as serotonin, to provide flexibility for

information processing in the face of a highly variable stimulus space. Serotonergic neurons

broadly innervate the optic ganglia of *Drosophila melanogaster*, a widely used model for

studying vision. The role for serotonergic signaling in the *Drosophila* optic lobe and the

mechanisms by which serotonin regulates visual neurons remain unclear. Here we map the

expression patterns of serotonin receptors in the visual system, focusing on a subset of cells

with processes in the first optic ganglion, the lamina, and show that serotonin can modulate

visual responses. Serotonin receptors are expressed in several types of columnar cells in the

lamina including 5-HT2B in lamina monopolar cell L2, required for the initial steps of visual

processing, and both 5-HT1A and 5-HT1B in T1 cells, whose function is unknown. Subcellular

mapping with GFP-tagged 5-HT2B and 5-HT1A constructs indicates that these receptors

localize to layer M2 of the medulla, proximal to serotonergic boutons, suggesting that the

medulla is the primary site of serotonergic regulation for these neurons. Serotonin increases

intracellular calcium in L2 terminals in layer M2 and alters the kinetics of visually induced

calcium transients in L2 neurons following dark flashes. These effects were not observed in flies

without a functional 5-HT2B, which displayed severe differences in the amplitude and kinetics of

their calcium response to both dark and light flashes. While we did not detect serotonin receptor

expression in L1 neurons, they also undergo serotonin-induced calcium changes, presumably

via cell non-autonomous signaling pathways. We provide the first functional data showing a role

for serotonergic neuromodulation of neurons required for initiating visual processing in

Drosophila and establish a new platform for investigating the serotonergic neuromodulation of

sensory networks.

Author Summary

Serotonergic neurons innervate the *Drosophila melanogaster* eye, but the function of

serotonergic signaling is not known. We found that serotonin receptors are expressed in all

neuropils of the optic lobe and identify specific neurons involved in visual information processing

that express serotonin receptors. We then demonstrate that activation of these receptors can

alter how visual information is processed. These are the first data suggesting a functional role

for serotonergic signaling in *Drosophila* vision. This study contributes to the understanding of

serotonin biology and modulation of sensory circuits.

Introduction

Serotonin acts a neuromodulator [1-5] in a variety of networks including the sensory

systems required for olfaction, hearing, and vision [6-17]. In the mammalian visual cortex,

serotonin regulates the balance of excitation and inhibition [6], cellular plasticity [18-21], and

response gain [8, 22]. In some cases, the contribution of individual receptor subtypes is known;

for example, in the mammalian retina, serotonergic signaling reduces GABAergic amacrine cell

input to retinal ganglion cells via 5-HT1A [23] and can modulate the response to visual stimuli

[24]. However, for most sensory circuits, the manner in which serotonin receptor activation is

integrated to regulate information processing remains poorly understood.

The visual system of *Drosophila melanogaster* provides a powerful genetic model to

study the mechanisms underlying visual circuit activity and regulation [25, 26]. In *Drosophila,*

visual processing begins in the lamina where intrinsic monopolar neurons receive direct input

from photoreceptors [27]. Lamina monopolar cells L1 and L2 are first-order neurons that feed

into pathways discriminating light "ON" (i.e., increase in luminance) and light "OFF" (i.e.,

decrease in luminance) stimuli respectively [28, 29]. L1 and L2 neurons respond to changes in

luminance in a physiologically identical manner [30-32], while downstream neurons in the

medulla transform this information to discriminate ON versus OFF stimuli [29]. Further

processing occurs in the lobula and lobula plate to mediate higher-order computations for both

motion and contrast detection [29, 33, 34]. Significant progress has been made in mapping the

synaptic connectivity and function of visual processing neurons, including those required for

motion detection [27, 35-40].

Additional studies have shown that aminergic neuromodulators can modulate visual

information processing in flies and other insects [26, 41-46]. Octopamine, the invertebrate

equivalent of noradrenaline, is present in processes innervating the medulla and lobula in

Drosophila, where it regulates state-dependent modulation of visual interneurons [42, 46]

including the saliency of objects during flight [43]. Serotonergic neurons also innervate the optic

ganglia [47-52] and previous studies indicate that serotonin has an impact on both cellular

activity and visual behavior in insects [44, 45, 53, 54]. In the blowfly, serotonin alters

electrophysiological field recordings representing the combined output of lamina neurons [53]. In

the honeybee, single cell recordings in motion-sensitive lobula neurons showed that

serotonergic signaling reduces background activity, directional selectivity, and the amplitude of

field potentials evoked by moving stripes [45]. In the house fly, serotonin and other

neurotransmitters regulate rhythmic size changes in L1 and L2 terminals in the medulla [55] and

in *Drosophila*, serotonin was shown to modulate the voltage dependence of potassium channels

in photoreceptors [44]. Despite extensive evidence that serotonin modulates the insect visual

system, the molecular mechanisms responsible for these effects and the potential contributions

of specific subtypes of serotonin receptors remain unclear.

Serotonin receptor signaling occurs via diverse secondary messenger cascades [56, 57]

and receptors may act individually or in combination within a single cell [58, 59] or circuit [60].

Moreover, receptors can have different functions in different cellular compartments and can

induce both immediate and long-term changes in cell physiology. In addition, since serotonergic

modulation targets cells within larger circuits, it is likely that cell non-autonomous mechanisms

can induce regulatory changes in neurons that do not themselves express any serotonin

receptors. In sum, the precise effects of serotonin receptor activation in specific cells are difficult

to predict and necessitate the use of functional assays to complement expression studies.

Although previous studies suggest that serotonin affects visual processing, and two recent

studies have reported serotonin receptor expression in visual neurons [61, 62], to our knowledge

there is no information on the functional effect(s) of any serotonin receptor in any cell within the

insect visual system. In this work, we show how a specific serotonin receptor, 5-HT2B, regulates

visual responses in L2 lamina monopolar cells, which are critical for the initiation of visual

information processing. Expansion of the approach demonstrated here to other receptors and

cells may be used to generate a comprehensive picture of serotonergic neuromodulation within

a well-defined sensory circuit.

Results

Distinct lamina neurons express different serotonin receptors

Five genes encoding serotonin receptors have been identified in the *Drosophila* genome:

5-HT1A, 5-HT1B, 5-HT2A, 5-HT2B and 5-HT7 [63-67]. To identify specific optic lobe neurons

expressing each receptor, we expressed the marker mCD8::RFP (or GFP) under the control of

a recently characterized panel of T2A-GAL4 insertions in Minos-Mediated Integration Cassettes

(MiMICs) located in serotonin receptor gene introns [68]. The GAL4 sequence was inserted into

receptor-encoding genes where it acts as an artificial exon and is expected to "mimic" the

endogenous gene expression patterns [69]. Ribosome skipping via T2A allows GAL4 to be

expressed as a separate protein, rather than a fusion protein with the serotonin receptor [68,

70].

We observed distinct expression patterns for each receptor including projections into the

optic lobe neuropils: the lamina (la), medulla (me), lobula (lo) and lobula plate (lp) (S1 Fig). We

focus here on receptor subtypes showing expression in the lamina because of the ease of

identifying cells based on their morphology [71] as well as the prominent role of this structure in

the early stages of visual processing [28, 29, 72]. Since the primary goal of our study was to

establish a role in the visual system for one or more of the receptors, it was essential that the

function of some identified cells had been previously characterized.

To identify the specific cell types that express each serotonin receptor in the lamina, we

used the receptor MiMIC-T2A-GAL4 lines described above in combination with the sparse

labeling technique MultiColor FlpOut 1 (MCFO) [73]. Importantly, in contrast to most neurons in

the central brain, the stereotyped position and morphology of all neurons in the lamina as well

as their organization into repetitive arrays allow them to be identified on the basis of their shape

and location alone. Although MCFO can be used for lineage tracing [73], we induced MCFO in

adult flies, when visual system neurons are post-mitotic, and MCFO labeling does not represent

clonal events. Using 5-HT1A and 5-HT1B MiMIC-T2A-GAL4 lines with MCFO we observed a

subtype of cells with a soma in the medulla cortex, a long basket-like projection in the lamina,

and a smaller projection in the medulla (Fig 1A-B). This morphology is identical to that of T1

cells and distinct from other cell types in the lamina (Fig 1C) [71]. T1 cells were labeled in 23 of

31 brains (71%) for 5-HT1A and in 10 of 11 brains (91%) for 5-HT1B. On average, we observed

thirteen MCFO-labeled T1 cells per individual optic lobe for 5-HT1A and nine T1 cells per optic

lobe for 5-HT1B. These data are consistent with the results of recently published studies that

used TAPIN-Seq or FACS-SMART-Seq to analyze expression in T1 as well as other cells in the

visual system [61, 62] (see S2 Fig for comparison).

Using the 5-HT2B MiMIC-T2A-GAL4 driver with MCFO, we observed cells with a soma

in the lamina cortex, dense projections extending into the lamina neuropil, and a single bushy

terminal in the medulla (Fig 1D), a morphology identical to lamina monopolar neuron L2 and no

other lamina cell types (Fig 1C) [71]. We observed L2 cells in 9 of 9 (100%) 5-HT2B>MCFO

brains, observing an average of eleven L2 neurons per optic lobe. Additionally, we co-

expressed 5-HT2B>RFP with MiMIC-T2A-Lex-ChAT>GFP and found that a subset of the lamina

monopolar cells co-labeled with both lines, consistent with the neurochemical identity of L2 cells

as cholinergic (S3A Fig, arrowheads). A previous TAPIN-Seq study [61] reported a high

probability of 5-HT2B expression in L2 cells, consistent with these findings.

For 5-HT7>MCFO, we observed lamina monopolar cells in 7 of 13 brains (54%) (Fig 1E),

with an average of 20 cells per optic lobe. Over 99% of the lamina monopolar cells labeled with

5-HT7>MCFO lacked the dense processes in the neuropil that are characteristic of L1-L3, and

also lacked the vertically oriented collaterals in the inner (proximal) lamina seen in L4 neurons

(Fig 1C, E). We therefore suggest that 5-HT7 is expressed in the one remaining lamina

monopolar cell subtype, L5 cells, consistent with the results of another recent study [61].

Additional examples of 5-HT7>MCFO labeled L5 cells are included in S3B-C Fig.

Fig 1. Lamina neurons including T1 and L2 express serotonin receptors. (**A-E**) Serotonin
receptor MiMIC-T2A-GAL4 lines were crossed to UAS-MCFO-1 to sparsely label individual cells
in the lamina. Cell bodies are indicated by an arrowhead. 5-HT1A (**A**) and 5-HT1B (**B**) MCFO
crosses revealed cells with morphologies identical to T1 neurons. (**C**) A diagram showing lamina
neurons adapted from [71] highlights L2 (green), L5 (orange), T1 (purple), and C2 (pink). (**D**)
5-HT2B>MCFO labeled cells are morphologically identical to L2 neurons. (**E**) 5-HT7>MCFO-1
labeled neurons most likely representing L5 lamina monopolar cells. Scale bars are 20 μm and
n=9-31 brains imaged per receptor subtype. Due to the nature of stochastic labeling, some cell
types were observed in only a subset of brains: 22/31 (**A**), 10/11 (**B**), 9/9 (**D**), and 7/13 (**E**).

As noted above, multiple cells of each subtype were generally labeled in each brain.

However, we also detected less frequent events for other columnar cells in the lamina. These

include observations of multiple centrifugal C2 cells in 3 of 31 5-HT1A>MCFO brains (S3D Fig)

consistent with two previous reports of 5-HT1A in C2 [61, 62]. Further supporting the expression

of 5-HT1A in C2, we observed co-expression of 5-HT1A>RFP and MiMIC-LexA GAD1>GFP in

thin projections in the lamina and in cell bodies between the proximal medulla cortex and the

lobula plate (S2E Fig, arrowheads).

Medulla neurons, glia and serotonergic neurons express serotonin receptors

The results of other more comprehensive studies of RNA expression in visual system

neurons prompted us to look beyond our primary focus of lamina neurons [61, 62]. In addition to

the columnar neurons that express serotonin receptors and extend projections into the lamina

[71], we have tentatively identified cells with projections that are either confined to the medulla,

or include both medulla and lobula complex, and may also express serotonin receptors (S3F-H

Fig).

For 5-HT2A>MCFO, additional pleomorphic labeling was observed in the lamina cortex

(S4A Fig) in a pattern that appeared similar to that of optic lobe glia [74]. Anti-repo labeled nuclei

showed close proximity to many 5-HT2A labeled cells (S4B Fig), but one-to-one matching was

not possible due to irregular cell morphology. A previous microarray study of glia suggested that

5-HT1A and 5-HT7 are enriched in repo-GAL4 specified glia, while 5-HT1B was enriched in

surface glia [75]. Additionally, a previous study [61] reported expression of 5-HT7 in three types

of lamina glia—epithelial glia, proximal satellite glia and marginal glia.

The major ganglia of the visual system do not contain any serotonergic cell bodies;

rather projections from neurons in the accessory medulla and central brain innervate the optic

lobes. Immunolabeling for serotonergic boutons can be observed within all optic ganglia

neuropil as well as the lamina cortex (S5A Fig) [50, 76-79]; e.g. arborizations from 5-HT1B>GFP

labeled cells in the outer medulla were surrounded by a honeycomb pattern of serotonergic

immunolabeling (S5C Fig). Sparse labeling with 5-HT1B>MCFO co-labeled with serotonin

immunolabeled boutons in the inner medulla (iM), medulla layer 4 (M4), and lobula (lo) (S5E

Fig). Co-labeling with serotonin suggests that 5-HT1B could function as an autoreceptor in

these processes. A previous study also reported co-labeling between 5-HT1B-GAL4 and

serotonin-immunoreactive cell bodies in the subesophageal ganglion and suggested that 5-

HT1B may function as an autoreceptor in serotonergic neurons [80]. Another study sequenced

serotonergic neurons specified by TRH-GAL4 and found elevated 5-HT1A, 5-HT1B and 5-HT7

transcripts [81]. Although we did not comprehensively map all putative serotonin autoreceptors

in the central brain, we used serotonin cell maps described in [50, 76, 78, 79] to identify 5-HT1B+

cell clusters as LP2 (Cb1), PLP (LP1), and PMP, (S5 Fig) and 5-HT1A+ serotonergic clusters as

PLP (LP1), SEL, AMP and PMP (S6 Fig). The integration of functional studies in both

serotonergic neurons and post-synaptic neurons represents a future goal to assess the interplay

between auto- and post-synaptic receptors in visual circuits.

L2 neurons express 5-HT2B and T1 neurons express 5-HT1A and 5HT1B

Before embarking on further, time-intensive functional studies of specific neurons in the

lamina, we sought to confirm the expression of serotonin receptors independently of both

previous studies [61, 62] and the data we obtained using MiMIC-T2A-GAL4 lines. To this end,

we used a separate set of split-GAL4 [82] or LexA drivers previously shown to be specific for

particular cell types and focused on a small subset of lamina neurons: T1, L1 and L2. Drivers

representing each cell were used to express GFP, and the GFP-labeled cells were isolated via

Fluorescence Activated Cell Sorting (FACS). RNA was then extracted from T1, L1 and L2 FACS

isolates as well as the unlabeled cells. To probe for serotonin receptor expression in each cell

type, we used both RNA-Seq (Fig 2 and S1 Table) and RT-qPCR (S7 Fig and S2 Table). For

RNA-Seq, we compared the relative abundance for each receptor by calculating Transcripts Per

Million (TPMs). We found that 5-HT1A (182±43 TPM±stdev) and 5-HT1B (278±25 TPM±stdev)

were more abundant than other serotonin receptors (range 0.1±0.1 to 2.5±4 TPM±stdev) in T1

samples (N=3, Fig 2A and S1 Table). Two previous sequencing studies similarly reported the

expression of 5-HT1A and 5-HT1B in T1 [61, 62]. L2 isolates showed higher TPMs for 5-HT2B

(130±75 TPM±stdev) compared to other serotonin receptors (range 6±6 to 31±9 TPM±stdev)

(Fig 2B and S1 Table), also consistent with the results of another recent transcriptomic study

[61]. For RT-qPCR, we calculated enrichment (i.e., fold change) relative to pooled, unlabeled

optic lobe cells using the comparative CT method [83]. Relative to unlabeled optic lobe neurons,

L2 samples were enriched for 5-HT2B in 4/5 L2 samples but also showed enrichment for 5-HT7

and 5-HT2A in 3/5 and 5-HT2A in 1/5 samples respectively (S7 Fig and S2 Table). Although we

cannot rule out the presence of 5-HT2A or 5-HT7 in L2 based on the results of RT-qPCR, our

data and those of others [61] suggest that 5-HT2B may be the only serotonin receptor

abundantly expressed in L2 cells.

We did not observe evidence of any serotonin receptor expression in L1 neurons using

the serotonin receptor MiMIC-T2A-GAL4 lines to drive MCFO. In agreement with this

observation, RT-qPCR from isolated L1 cells (S7 Fig and S1 Table) showed virtually no receptor

expression, apart from one sample weakly enriched for 5-HT1B. Others have also reported a

low likelihood of any serotonin receptor expression in L1 neurons [61]. In sum, MCFO sparse

labeling in combination with RNA-Seq and RT-qPCR by our group and others [61, 62] indicate

that T1 neurons express 5-HT1A and 5-HT1B, and L2 neurons express 5-HT2B, whereas L1

neurons may not express any serotonin receptor subtypes.

Fig 2. L2 neurons express 5-HT2B and T1 neurons express both 5-HT1A and 5-HT1B serotonin receptors. T1 or L2 neurons were isolated by FACS for RNA-Seq. Transcript abundance was calculated as Transcripts Per Kilobase Million (TPMs). (**A**) T1 RNA-Seq TPMs (color low to high, blue to yellow) were higher for 5-HT1A and 5-HT1B compared to other serotonin receptors. (**C**) L2 RNA-Seq showed high abundance 5-HT2B in two of three samples, with 5-HT2B being the most abundant serotonin receptor transcript in all replicates.

5-HT2B and 5-HT1A receptors localize to the medulla neuropil

Both T1 and L2 neurons have dense projections in the lamina neuropil and arborize in layer 2 (M2) of the medulla neuropil. Serotonergic neurons directly innervate M1 and M2 of the medulla neuropil raising the possibility that serotonergic signaling might occur at this site. If so, we reasoned that the serotonin receptors expressed in L2 and T1 might localize to M1 and/or M2. To test this possibility, we took advantage of a 5-HT1A allele that had been tagged at the C-terminus with GFP [84]. The tag was inserted into the endogenous 5-HT1A gene, such that, similar to MiMIC-T2A-GAL4 lines [68, 69], the receptor::GFP fusion protein product is putatively expressed at the same level and in the same cells as the endogenous protein [84]. In 5-HT1A::GFP flies, we observed enrichment of the tagged protein in layer M2 of the medulla relative to other subcellular sites (Fig 3A-A"), suggesting that serotonergic signaling to

5-HT1A::GFP expressing neurons occurs in this region. This might include T1 as well as other

columnar neurons that extend processes into M2. Regardless of cell type, there is very low 5-

HT1A::GFP signal in the lamina neuropil (Fig 3A'), suggesting that serotonergic signaling

primarily targets 5-HT1A receptors localized to the medulla neuropil. We also observed anti-

serotonin immunoreactive puncta that co-labeled with 5-HT1A::GFP in the medulla suggesting

that 5-HT1A could act as an autoreceptor in serotonergic projection neurons innervating the

medulla (Fig 3B, arrows, and S6 Fig).

Since we were unable to obtain an endogenously tagged allele of 5-HT2B, we relied on

expression of a UAS-5-HT2B::GFP transgene [85] under the control of L2-split-GAL4 to

investigate its subcellular localization (Fig 3C). 5-HT2B::GFP was enriched in terminals within

M2 of the medulla as compared to the lamina neuropil (Fig 3C-C") and showed additional

punctate labeling in L2 cell bodies (Fig 3C', arrowhead). To control for the possibility that all

membrane-bound proteins might appear to be enriched in M2, we expressed the plasma

membrane marker UAS-mCD8::GFP using the same L2 split-GAL4 driver. In contrast to

5-HT2B::GFP, labeling with mCD8::GFP was most prominent in the cell body and proximal

processes with progressively weaker labeling through the lamina and medulla neuropil and no

enrichment in layer M2 (Fig 3D"). These data strongly suggest that both 5-HT2B and 5-HT1A

preferentially localize to the terminals of L2 and T1 respectively in the medulla layer M2 rather

than the lamina neuropil. Serotonergic boutons also localize to several layers within the medulla

neuropil but are not found in the lamina neuropil (see S5A). It is therefore more likely that T1

and L2 neurons receive serotonergic signals in the medulla rather than the lamina. This may

occur in M2, although we cannot rule out other sites in the medulla where serotonergic boutons

are present, but the receptors are less enriched.

Fig 3. Serotonin receptors 5-HT1A and 5-HT2B are enriched in layer M2 of the medulla.
(**A-A"**) 5-HT1A::GFP localized to layer M2 of the medulla, adjacent to some of the boutons in the medulla neuropil immunogenic for serotonin. Neuropil (anti-N-Cadherin, blue) and serotonin (magenta) labeling provide anatomical context for the lamina (la) and medulla (me). The region specified by the dotted lines in (**A'**) is enlarged in (**A"**). (**B-B"**) In some cases, serotonin immunoreactive boutons appear to be co-labeled rather than adjacent to 5-HT1A::GFP (arrow) possibly representing projections from serotonergic neurons in the central brain. (**C-C"**) Subcellular localization of 5-HT2B was visualized by expressing the fusion construct UAS-5-HT2B::sfGFP in L2 neurons specified by L2-split-Gal4. L2>5-HT2B::sfGFP labeling is stronger in the L2 terminals in medulla layer 2 (M2) compared to L2 projections in the lamina (la). Punctate GFP signal is observed in the L2 cell bodies (arrowhead). The region specified by the dotted lines in (**C'**) is enlarged in (**C"**). (**D-D"**) For comparison, membrane-directed UAS-mCD8::GFP was expressed in L2 neurons. L2>mCD8::GFP signal is similar in the medulla and lamina compartments. Strong GFP signal was observed in the cell bodies (arrowhead). The area within the dotted lines in (**D'**) is enlarged in (**D"**). Scale bars are 20 µm in **A-A'**, **B-B"**, **C-C'** and **D-D'**. Scale bars are 10 µm in **A"** and 8 µm in both **C"** and **D"**. Biological replicates are N=6 for 5-HT1A::GFP (**A-B**), N=15 for L2>5-HT2B::GFP (**C-C"**), and N=10 for L2>mCD8::GFP (**D-D"**).

To further explore serotonergic signaling to L2 and T1 in the medulla, we used

sybGRASP to probe for potential synaptic connections between serotonergic boutons in M2 and

the terminals of L2 and T1 [86]. We used the previously established ultrastructural connectivity

of L2 onto T1 neurons in the medulla [37, 38] as a positive control to validate the use of

sybGRASP in detecting interactions within M2, and obtained a robust signal (S8A Fig). By

contrast, we failed to detect a signal in M2 in sybGRASP experiments in which the serotonergic

neurons were "presynaptic" to L2, T1 or L1 (S8B-E Fig). These data suggest that serotonergic

signaling to L2, T1 (and perhaps other columnar neurons), is more likely to be mediated by

volume transmission rather than true synaptic transmission, consistent with the use of volume

transmission by most aminergic synapses in mammalian systems [87-90].

307   Serotonin increases calcium levels in L2 and L1 neurons

The data presented here and by others [61, 62] strongly suggest that L2 and other cells

in the visual system express serotonin receptors but do not address their function. To address

the potential effects of serotonin on L2 neurons, we bath applied serotonin to the optic lobe and

used live imaging to monitor cellular activity. The data for receptor expression in L2 was

strongest for 5-HT2B receptors, which couple with $G_{q/11}$ to increase intracellular calcium *in vitro*

[67, 91]. We therefore used the genetically encoded calcium indicator GCaMP6f [92] to follow

changes in L2 activity that might be induced by serotonin. We again employed the L2split-GAL4

driver used for transcriptional analysis (Fig 2) to specifically express GCaMP6f in L2 neurons

(Fig 4A). Since we observed enrichment of 5-HT2B::sfGFP in L2 terminals in M2, we focused

our recordings of calcium signaling on these sites. For each experiment, we first recorded a

baseline while perfusing the tissue with saline; the perfusion solution was then switched to

either saline containing 100 µM serotonin or saline alone. We included tetrodotoxin (TTX) in the

perfusion solution in these experiments to reduce inputs to L2 neurons. TTX has been used by

others to study the effects of serotonin in *Drosophila,* and represents a standard method to

reduce cell-non autonomous neuronal inputs [93-95]

We consistently observed a large increase in GCaMP6f fluorescence in L2 terminals

following serotonin application (Fig 4B and S9A Fig). This increase continued throughout the

time course of the recordings, peaking at 1.73 ΔF/F ± 0.77 SEM (compared to saline control -

0.03 ΔF/F ± 0.05 SEM at the same timepoint; p=0.0095 by two-tailed Wilcoxon rank sum test).

Thus, serotonin leads to an accumulation of cytosolic calcium in L2 cells, consistent with the

predicted outcome of activating $G_{q/11}$ coupled 5-HT2B receptors [67, 91]. Importantly, many

neurons in the optic lobe use graded electrical signaling. Synaptic inputs to L2 neurons from

these or other cells relatively unaffected by TTX in these experiments could mediate cell non-

autonomous effects of serotonin in L2 neurons.

Fig 4. Bath application of serotonin leads to increased calcium in L2 and L1 neurons, but not T1 neurons. (**A**) The experimental setup is shown in the top of panel A, along with a sample image of L2 terminals (bottom of panel A, gray) as imaged in the medulla. (**B-D**) GCaMP6f was paired with L2, L1 and T1 split-GAL4 drivers to monitor responses to 100 µM serotonin (colored traces) or saline controls (gray traces). The perfusion change occurred approximately 105 s into the recording, which corresponds to 45 s in (B-D) because the first 60 s are not shown. (**B**) In L2 terminals serotonin application led to a significant increase in GCaMP6f signal indicating increased calcium levels as compared to saline controls (p=00095). (**C**) L1 terminals showed a similar increase in calcium following a switch to serotonin (p=0.02). (**D**) T1 cells expressing GCaMP6f showed no significant change in calcium following serotonin application (p>0.05). For (B-D) N=4-8 individual flies; the dark trace is an average of all traces and the shaded region is 1 SD; saline vs. serotonin comparisons are two-tailed Wilcoxon rank sum tests.

Since we did not detect serotonin receptors in L1 neurons, we did not expect serotonin to measurably change intracellular calcium levels in these cells. However, with GCaMP6f expressed in L1 cells using a cell-specific driver, we regularly observed a robust increase in baseline fluorescence following serotonin exposure (Fig 4C and S9B Fig). Although the increase in GCaMP6f signal did not reach the same response amplitude as observed in L2 neurons, the time course was similar: the signal persisted throughout the recording and peaked at 0.98 ΔF/F ± 0.34 SEM (compared to saline control at 0.07 ΔF/F ± 0.09 SEM; p=0.02 by two-tailed Wilcoxon rank sum test). A direct action of serotonin on L1 is unlikely since neither we (S7C Fig) nor others [61] detect endogenous serotonin receptors in L1 neurons. Possible mechanisms include cell-non-autonomous inputs from either columnar or non-columnar neurons intrinsic to

the visual system, more distal projections from the central brain or perhaps electrical coupling

between L1 and L2 [28]. The cell non-autonomous inputs mediating the indirect effects of

serotonin would seem be more likely to use graded potentials, as is common in the visual

system [96-98], since TTX was included in the perfusion solution in these experiments and TTX

blocks sodium channels that are required for action potentials [94, 95]. However, we cannot rule

out the possibility that TTX blocked channels mediating additional modes of communication.

We next examined whether serotonin could affect the activity of T1 cells. Both 5-HT1A

and 5-HT1B receptors, expressed in T1 neurons, are expected to couple with G_i proteins and

negatively regulate adenylyl cyclase [65, 91]. Due to the generally inhibitory function of these

receptors, we hypothesized that serotonin would dampen activity in T1 neurons, possibly

manifested as a decrease in cytosolic calcium or membrane potential [99]. Using the T1 split-

GAL4 driver [82] to express either GCaMP6f or the voltage sensor Arclight [100], we did not

observe a significant change in fluorescence during perfusion with serotonin (Fig 4D, S9C-D

Fig) $p > 0.1$). Thus, further experiments will be needed to determine the effects of serotonin on

T1 neurons. These negative data are nonetheless important for the current study, since the

absence of a GCaMP6f response in T1 neurons indicates that the responses observed in L1

and L2 are not artifacts or a generalized phenomenon common to all cells in the lamina.

Serotonin in visual processing

To explore the possibility that serotonergic neuromodulation plays a role in visual

processing, we tested whether exogenous serotonin alters visually induced calcium transients in

L2 neurons. We used GCaMP6f to record and compare calcium transients in flies receiving

saline or serotonin perfusion. Previous studies using voltage indicators found that L2 neurons

depolarize in response to dark flashes and hyperpolarize in response to light flashes [31].

Similarly, calcium-indicator recordings showed that intracellular calcium increased in the dark

and decreased in the light in [30]. Brief light or dark flashes induce bi-phasic calcium transients

[31] that enable analysis of calcium kinetics. For this reason, we used brief dark or light flashes

to test whether serotonin might alter the magnitude or kinetics of visually induced calcium

transients in L2 terminals. Flies were suspended over an LED arena (see Fig 4A) and either a

light or dark flash of the entire LED screen (100 ms) was presented at 5 s intervals. Between

each flash, the screen showed an intermediate brightness level, indicated as grey in Fig 5A.

One-minute "epochs" consisting of 12 flashes of randomly shuffled polarity were presented six

times for each trial (Fig 5A). The first 60 s epoch was recorded in saline alone, followed by a

switch to either saline with 100 µM serotonin or saline alone during epoch 2 (Fig 5A). Unlike the

experiments shown in Fig 4, we did not include TTX in the perfusion solutions because we did

not want to affect neuronal communication in any way that might interfere with the response to

visual stimuli. Performing additional further experiments in the absence of TTX was also

important to rule out the possibility TTX was responsible for the effects seen in Fig 4.

Dark flashes induced a large increase in calcium that returned to baseline within ~1

second as previously described [31] (Fig 5B). The amplitude of the dark flash induced calcium

transients increased over the time course of the experiment for animals receiving either

serotonin or saline (Fig 5B). To compare differences between the serotonin and saline control

groups and the potential effects of serotonin over the time course of the experiment, we

quantified three calcium transient variables: amplitude (5C), the time required for decay from the

peak to a subsequent minimum (5C'), and the slope of the decay (5C''). To facilitate the direct

comparison of results obtained during perfusion with saline alone versus saline followed by

serotonin, we first calculated the average for each variable prior to serotonin exposure

measured during epoch-1. We then subtracted the epoch 1 baseline from each epoch,

effectively setting the initial value of each plot to 0 for epoch 1 in Fig 5C-C".

Fig 5C shows the change in calcium transient amplitude, calculated as the difference

between the pre-stimulus ΔF/F and the peak ΔF/F, relative to epoch 1. We did not detect a

difference between the serotonin and saline groups. Fig 5C' quantifies the decay time from peak

amplitude, calculated as the time (s) between the peak ΔF/F and the subsequent minimum

ΔF/F, relative to epoch 1. We detected a modest but statistically significant (p=0.0036 by

Repeated Measures ANOVA) increase in the decay time of the dark flash response in animals

perfused with serotonin versus saline alone. In Fig 5C" we quantify the change in the slope of

the decay relative to epoch 1; we did not detect a difference in slope between flies treated with

serotonin versus saline (Fig 5C").

Light flashes induced a transient decrease in GCaMP6f fluorescence, followed by a

secondary sustained rebound (Fig 5D) as previously reported [31]. To analyze calcium

transients induced by light flashes, we quantified three variables: the magnitude of the initial

calcium decrease relative to the pre-stimulus baseline (Fig 5E), the time from minimal to

maximal ΔF/F (Fig 5E'), and the slope of this rebound (Fig 5E''). Baseline values obtained for

the response to light flashes in epoch 1 were subtracted from each epoch to set the initial value

of each variable to 0 for epoch 1 in the plots in Fig 5E-E". We did not observe any significant

differences between saline and serotonin groups when calculating the magnitude of the initial

downward deflection (Fig 5E), the time from minimal to maximal ΔF/F (Fig 5') or the slope of the

rebound (Fig 5E").

In sum, serotonin drove a robust increase in intracellular calcium levels of L2 neurons in

wildtype flies, regardless of the presence (Fig 4) or absence (Fig 5) of TTX. We also detected a

modest, but statistically significant effect of serotonin on the kinetics, but not the amplitude, of

the GCaMP6f response of L2 to a dark flash (Fig 5C'). We did not detect any effect of serotonin

on the response of L2 to a light flash in 5-HT2B +/+ flies.

Fig 5. Serotonin modulates L2 neuron visually induced calcium transient kinetics. L2-split-GAL4 was crossed to UAS-GCaMP6f to monitor calcium transients following 100-ms light or dark flashes. The optic lobe was initially perfused with saline alone during a 60 s baseline recording designated as "epoch 1". The solution was then switched to either saline with serotonin or saline control after 105 s, with the switch occurring during epoch 2. (**A**) A sample recording with serotonin perfusion following the initial baseline is shown in the upper panel. Light or dark stimuli (A, middle panel) were flashed at random every 5 s for 6 min. To visualize changes in the response to light and dark flashes in L2, data from each 60 s epoch was binned (see epochs 1-6 in A, lower panel). (**B**) Response to dark flashes. Color coded traces representing each 60 s epoch are shown for flies receiving saline (left panel, cyan to blue) or serotonin perfusion (right panel, yellow to red). In both groups, L2 terminals responded to a dark flash with a strong increase in GCaMP6f fluorescence before returning to baseline. (**C-C"**) Analysis of dark flash response from panel B. For plotting each variable shown in C, the average value for the epoch 1 baseline was subtracted; epoch 1 is therefore always set to 0 in panel C-C". (**C**) The change in the amplitude of the calcium transient (the difference between pre-stimulus ΔF/F and maximum ΔF/F) relative to epoch 1 is shown in (C) and did not differ between flies exposed to saline versus serotonin. (**C'**) The change in the time (s) to reach a minimum ΔF/F following the maximum ΔF/F relative to epoch 1 is shown in (C') and was significantly longer (p≤0.01**) in serotonin-perfused flies compared to saline controls. (**C"**) The change in the slope of the decay from maximum ΔF/F to minimum ΔF/F relative to epoch 1 was not different between the saline and serotonin groups. (**D**) Response to light flashes. When a light flash was presented in control experiments, L2 cells responded with a decrease in GCaMP signal followed by a large sustained rebound. Color coded traces representing each 60 s epoch for saline (left panel, cyan to blue) and serotonin (right panel, yellow to red) are shown. (**E-E"**) Analysis of light flash response from panel D. For plotting each variable shown in E, the average value for the epoch 1 baseline was subtracted; epoch 1 is therefore always set to 0 in panel E-E" as in panel C-C". (**E**) The change in the amplitude of the initial decrease in calcium (the difference between pre-stimulus ΔF/F and the subsequent minimum ΔF/F) relative to epoch 1 is shown in (E) and was not different between the saline and serotonin groups. (**E'**) The change in the time to reach a maximum ΔF/F (time, s) relative to epoch 1 and (**E"**) the change in the slope of the rebound relative to epoch 1 was not significantly differ between serotonin versus saline controls. Recordings in B-E represent, N=14 and N=20 individual flies perfused with serotonin or saline respectively. Shaded areas show mean +/- SEM. Comparisons are two-way repeated measures ANOVA (brackets show interactions between time and genotype) and Sidak's multiple comparisons tests, p≤0.05 *, p≤0.01**, p≤0.001***, p≤0.0001****.

5-HT2B mediates the effects of serotonin on L2

Since 5-HT2B is expressed in L2 neurons and is predicted to use calcium as a second messenger we hypothesized that it would mediate the response of L2 neurons to serotonin. In flies expressing wild type 5-HT2B we observed a robust serotonin-mediated increase in intracellular calcium (Fig 4B, with TTX in the perfusion solution and Fig 6A, without TTX in the perfusion solution). We next examined whether loss of 5-HT2B would blunt the gradual increase in baseline calcium we observed in flies exposed to serotonin (Fig 6B). As a negative control for experiments using the 5-HT2B homozygous mutants (-/-) we used heterozygous siblings (+/-) in which one wild type allele of 5-HT2B was present (Fig 6B). Similar to 5-HT2B +/+ flies (Fig 6A), 5-HT2B heterozygous controls perfused with serotonin showed a gradual increase in baseline calcium levels over the six-minute time course of the experiment (Fig 6B). In contrast, in the 5-HT2B -/- mutant, the baseline calcium signal was nearly flat over the time course of the experiment (p=0.0024 by two-tailed Wilcoxon rank sum test). To more specifically reduce the expression of 5-HT2B in L2, we also tested available RNAi transgenes directed against 5-HT2B but did not detect a significant effect (data not shown). We therefore cannot rule out indirect cell non-autonomous effects from 5-HT2B expression in other cell types. However, the simplest explanation for the observed results is that activation of 5-HT2B in L2 neurons generates a gradual increase in cytosolic calcium.

We next examined whether loss of 5-HT2B would alter the calcium transients in L2 neuron terminals following light or dark flashes. We hypothesized that loss of 5-HT2B would block any changes in the kinetics of the calcium response seen with serotonin perfusion (see Fig 5C'). We also reasoned that a mutation in the serotonergic signaling pathway might provide a "sensitized genetic background" to enhance the detection of serotonin's functional effects. As a negative control, we used heterozygous siblings (5-HT2B +/-). We again graphed averages for each epoch after subtraction of the average for epoch-1. For dark flashes, we plotted the change in amplitude of the increase from pre-stimulus ΔF/F to the maximum ΔF/F relative to

epoch 1 (Fig 6D), the change in the time between the peak ΔF/F and the subsequent minimum

ΔF/F relative to epoch 1 (Fig 6D'), and the change in slope of the decay relative to epoch 1 (Fig

6D"). As we observed in 5-HT2B +/+ flies (see Fig 5C'), perfusion of 5-HT2B +/- heterozygous

controls with serotonin led to a gradual reduction in the time required for L2 terminals to reach a

minimum after responding to a dark flash (Fig 6D'). In striking contrast, in 5-HT2B -/-

homozygous loss of function flies, the time between the peak and the subsequent minimum (Fig

6D'), and the slope of the decay (Fig 6D") remained unchanged over the course of the

experiment and continuous perfusion with serotonin. In sum, the 5-HT2B mutation ablated the

serotonin-dependent change in the kinetics of the L2 response to dark flashes. The effects on

amplitude (Fig 6D) were not statistically significant between the 5-HT2B -/- mutant and

heterozygous controls.

To compare how 5-HT2B +/- and 5-HT2B -/- flies responded to light flashes, we

calculated the change in the amplitude of the initial downward deflection from the pre-stimulus

baseline relative to epoch 1 (Fig 6F), the change in the time from minimal to maximal ΔF/F

relative to epoch 1 (Fig 6F') and the change in the slope of the rebound relative to epoch 1 (Fig

6F"). The 5-HT2B +/- heterozygotes preparations showed a progressive increase in the

magnitude of the initial downward deflection (Fig 6F); strikingly, this progression was absent in

5-HT2B -/- mutants and the difference between the time course of the heterozygotes and

homozygotes was highly significant ($p \leq 0.0001$) (Fig 6F). Similarly, the change in both the time

required for ΔF/F to progress from a post-stimulus minimum to secondary maximum (Fig 6F')

and the slope of the rebound relative to epoch 1 were significantly different (Fig 6F"). Together,

the differences between 5HT2B +/- and 5-HT2B -/- in the response to a dark flash (Fig 6D-D")

and these data strongly suggest that 5-HT2B mediates the effects of serotonin on the response

of L2 neurons to at least one type of visual stimulus, although we cannot yet conclude whether

this occurred in a cell-autonomous manner.

Fig 6. 5-HT2B mediates the L2 neuron response to serotonin. (**A**) L2-split-GAL4>GCaMP6f was used to monitor intracellular calcium in L2 terminals. The optic lobe was initially perfused with saline alone and was then switched to either saline with serotonin or saline control after 105 s. Serotonin perfusion (in the absence of TTX) induced an increase in baseline calcium in 5-HT2B +/+ lines receiving serotonin compared to saline controls. (**B**) Mutant 5-HT2B-"KO"-GAL4 flies (5-HT2B -/-) were combined with UAS-GCaMP6f to monitor calcium changes in L2 terminals. Homozygous (5-HT2B -/-) and heterozygous (5-HT2B +/-) flies both received serotonin perfusion. The serotonin-mediated baseline increase was seen in 5-HT2B +/- flies, as in wild type flies, but was not observed in 5-HT2B -/- flies. (**C-F**) Homozygous (5-HT2B -/-) and heterozygous (5-HT2B +/-) 5-HT2B- "KO" -GAL4 flies expressing UAS-GCaMP6f were used to record calcium transients following brief light or dark flashes. The preparation was initially perfused with saline alone during a 60 s baseline recording designated as epoch 1 and the solution was then switched to saline with serotonin after 105 s, with the switch occurring in epoch 2. (**C**) Response to dark flashes. Traces representing each 60 s epoch (yellow to red) are shown for 5-HT2B +/- (left) and 5-HT2B -/- (right). All preparations received serotonin perfusion. In both groups, dark flashes induced a strong, transient increase in GCaMP6f fluorescence that returned to baseline. Dark-flash calcium transient amplitude increased over the course of the experiment for 5-HT2B +/- flies, but this was not seen in 5-HT2B -/- flies. (**D-D"**) Analysis of dark-flash data from panel C. For plotting each variable shown in D-D", the average value for epoch 1 was subtracted; epoch 1 is therefore always set to 0. (**D**) The change in the amplitude of the maximum calcium transient (the difference between pre-stimulus ΔF/F and maximum ΔF/F) relative to epoch 1 is shown. (**D'**) The change in the time to reach a minimum ΔF/F following the maximum ΔF/F of the calcium transient relative to epoch 1 was significantly decreased in 5-HT2B +/- flies compared to 5-HT2B -/- flies in which this period was essentially unchanged over the time course of the experiment. (**D"**) The change in the slope of the decay relative to epoch 1 was significantly decreased in 5-HT2B +/- flies compared to 5-HT2B -/- flies; it appeared essentially unchanged for 5-HT2B -/- flies over the course of the experiment. (**E**) Response to light flashes. When a light flash was presented, L2 cells responded with a decrease in the GCaMP6f signal followed by a large sustained rebound. Responses for 5-HT2B +/- (left) and 5-HT2B -/- (right) flies are shown. (**F-F"**) Analysis of light flash data from panel E. For plotting each variable shown in F-F", the average value for the epoch 1 baseline was subtracted; epoch 1 is therefore always set to 0 as in panels D-D". (**F**) The change in the amplitude of the light flash induced a calcium decrease (calculated as the difference between the pre-stimulus ΔF/F and minimum ΔF/F) relative to epoch 1 that was significantly enhanced over the course of the experiment in 5-HT2B +/- flies relative to 5-HT2B -/- flies. (**F'**) The change in the time to reach a maximum relative to epoch 1 was significantly decreased in 5-HT2B -/- flies compared to the response of 5-HT2B +/- flies which appeared unchanged. (**F"**) The change in the rebound slope relative to epoch 1 increased significantly in 5-HT2B +/- flies relative to 5-HT2B -/- flies. For (A), N=14 serotonin and N=20 saline exposed flies were tested. For (B-F), N=13 5-HT2B +/- and N=15 5-HT2B -/- flies, all receiving serotonin, were tested. Shaded areas show mean +/- SEM. Comparisons in (D, F) are two-way repeated measures ANOVA (brackets show interactions between time and genotype) and Sidak's multiple comparisons tests, p≤0.05 *, p≤0.01**, p≤0.001***, p≤0.0001****.

Discussion

Serotonergic modulation occurs by activation of multiple receptors and associated secondary messenger cascades [56, 57]. Understanding how serotonergic signaling tunes circuit activity requires functional experiments to determine the effects on specific cells in addition to mapping the cellular and subcellular cites of individual receptors. Previous studies have mapped the expression pattern of multiple receptor subtypes to specific cells within the visual system [61, 62]. To develop the fly visual system as a new molecular-genetic model to study serotonergic neuromodulation we have confirmed the expression of the five *Drosophila* serotonin receptors in a subset of experimentally tractable cells in the lamina and used live imaging to determine their potential function. To our knowledge, these represent the first functional data for serotonergic neuromodulation of the *Drosophila* visual system with the exception of a single report in 1995 [44]. We also present the first data on the subcellular localization of serotonin receptors in the visual system. In addition, our data mapping the cellular expression pattern of the receptors are complementary to two previous transcriptomic analyses [61, 62] since we have used a different experimental approach.

We focused primarily on the lamina to identify a subset of cells that might express serotonin receptors. Our goal was to identify cells that could be used for further functional studies and the function of several lamina neurons have been previously characterized [29, 34, 82, 101]. In addition, the lamina contains a relatively small number of neurons, allowing identification based on morphology alone [71]. MiMIC-T2A-GAL4 drivers [68] are useful for replicating the endogenous expression patterns and provided a convenient method to screen for cells that express each receptor (Fig 1, S1, S3-6). To validate receptor expression before performing functional studies we used a combination of MiMIC-based reporter lines, RNA-Seq and RTq-PCR. All of these techniques indicated that 5-HT2B is expressed in L2 lamina monopolar neurons, which are involved in motion [28, 102] and contrast vision [34]. The idea that

L2 cells express 5-HT2B is further supported by data from a previous report that mapped neurotransmitter receptor transcripts to cells throughout the visual system [61]. Although our RT-qPCR supports the possibility that L2 neurons might also express 5-HT7, neither we nor others detect an enrichment of 5-HT7 using RNA-Seq [61].

We observed consistent expression of 5-HT1A and 5-HT1B in T1 neurons, whose function remains poorly understood [82]. We did not detect expression of any serotonin receptors in the L1 subclass of lamina monopolar neurons, which acts in parallel to L2 for visual processing. Our data on T1 and L1 are consistent with recent transcriptional studies of these and other cells in the visual system [61, 62]. Konstantinides et al. used FACS-SMART-Seq (GSE103772) and found 5-HT1A and 5-HT1B in T1 cells, 5-HT7 in Mi1 cells, and 5-HT1A in C2 cells. Davis et al. used TAPIN-seq [61] and also reported 5-HT2B in L2 cells, 5-HT1A in C2 cells, and 5-HT7 in L5 cells, consistent with the sparse labeling experiments shown here in Fig 1 and S3. In S2 Fig we directly compare serotonin receptor expression reported for each cell type in the current study, Davis et al. [61], and Konstantinides et al. [62].

Differences in the methods used to isolate target cell populations, extract RNA, and create DNA libraries can impact sequencing results. For example, Davis et al. used TAPIN-seq to purify nuclei, subjecting tissue homogenates to two rounds of immunoprecipitation to isolate tagged nuclei before preparing RNA, a process which may lead to non-specific binding. Conversely, our use of FACS can lead to contamination by other cell types due to incomplete dissociation of GFP-tagged cells or due to non-specific labeling by the driver lines. Additionally, differences in cDNA library construction, including different approaches for poly-A mRNA enrichment, can significantly affect the results obtained in different studies. In contrast to Davis et al. we did not detect expression of serotonin receptors in photoreceptor cells or in lamina monopolar neuron L3 using MiMIC-T2A-GAL4>UAS-MCFO. These inconsistencies could be due to biological variability in levels of gene expression or infidelity in the MiMIC-based

approach, which may not perfectly reflect endogenous expression due to disruption of the

genetic locus. Further studies may reconcile these differences; meanwhile we suggest that

using multiple, overlapping methods may be important to fully evaluate complex patterns of

gene expression.

Our results suggest serotonin receptor expression in lamina glia (S4 Fig). Possible roles

for glia in the visual system have been suggested previously and studies of RNA expression in

glia suggest a possible enrichment in some subtypes of serotonin receptors [103, 104]. The

presence of serotonin receptors in glia immediately adjacent to the basement membrane of the

retina raises the possibility that they could regulate photoreceptor cells in a non cell-

autonomous manner. The only prior report on acute serotonergic signaling events in the

Drosophila visual system described the modulation of potassium channels in photoreceptors

[44]. However, the ex vivo preparations used for these experiments may have included other

cells or cell fragments, and unlike a previous transcriptomic study [61], we did not detect

serotonin receptor expression in photoreceptors. We therefore speculate that serotonin could

regulate photoreceptors non-autonomously as we report for L1 either via inputs from other

neurons or perhaps glia [27, 44, 105].

Serotonergic signaling occurs via G-protein coupled receptors, which can induce

immediate or long-term changes in cell physiology. We examined acute responses to serotonin

receptor activation by bath applying serotonin onto optic lobe tissue. Consistent with the

predicted coupling of 5-HT2B to G_q, we found that L2 neurons respond with a robust increase in

calcium measured by GCaMP6f fluorescence (Fig 4B, Fig 6A, S9A Fig) and this effect was

dramatically reduced in 5-HT2B -/- flies (Fig 6B). The simplest explanation for these effects is

that 5-HT2B regulates L2 in a cell autonomous manner. However, it remains possible that

5-HT2B expressed in other cells could contribute to the effects we observe. Specific knockdown

of 5-HT2B in L2 neurons will be required to address this important issue, but available 5-HT2B

RNAi lines have been ineffective in our hands, and additional genetic tools will be needed to

perform cell type specific knock down or knock out experiments [106]. Additional experiments

will also be needed to assess the potential developmental effects of the 5-HT2B mutant. Finally,

we cannot completely rule out the possibility that 5-HT7 could contribute to the regulation of at

least some L2 cells (see S7B Fig). These limitations aside, our data indicate that 5-HT2B plays

a role in regulating one of the cells required for most visual processing in the fly.

In L1 neurons, which do not express serotonin receptors, we unexpectedly observed a

large calcium response to serotonin similar to that of L2 neurons (Fig 4C). These data suggest

that L1 cells are indirectly regulated by input from other cells, but further studies will be needed

to determine the underlying mechanism. The most likely explanation would seem to be indirect,

non-autonomous activation of L1 neurons by input from cells that express serotonin receptors

either in the optic lobes or perhaps the central brain. Either synaptic or non-synaptic inputs from

neighboring columnar neurons or from wide field neurons that innervate multiple layers of the

medulla or glia could be responsible for these effects. TTX was included in solutions used for

the experiments on L1 shown in Fig 4. TTX blocks sodium channel-based action potentials and

graded potentials, which have been well documented in the insect brain including photoreceptor

cells and other optic lobe neurons, and could contribute to non-autonomous regulatory effects in

the presence of TTX; however, we cannot rule out the possibility that TTX affects sodium

channels expressed by cells that use graded potentials [96-98]. We also note that GABAergic

C2 neurons [107] express 5-HT1A (S2 Fig, S3D-E Fig) and are known to synapse onto L1 in M1

[36]. Likewise, L5 neurons express the 5-HT7 receptor (Fig 1E, S2 Fig) and reciprocally synapse

with L1 neurons in M1 and M5 [36]. Alternatively, it is possible that the gap junctions that couple

L1 and L2 neurons or interactions with glia could mediate indirect serotonergic regulation of L1

[28]. Regardless of the underlying mechanism, our data highlight the importance of indirect

pathways for the serotonergic modulation of circuit activity.

Multiple neuroanatomical experiments, including data presented here (S5 Fig), show that

serotonergic boutons are distributed within several layers of the medulla neuropil including M1,

M2, M4 and the inner medulla (iM) [50, 78, 108, 109]. By contrast, they are absent from the

lamina neuropil. We found that a molecularly tagged version of 5-HT2B expressed in L2

neurons was enriched in the medulla neuropil, most prominently in layer M2 (Fig 3). A tagged

version of 5-HT1A expressed via the 5-HT1A locus in T1 and other cells localized to a similar

site in the medulla neuropil (Fig 3). These data strongly suggest that the medulla rather than the

lamina is the most relevant site for serotonergic regulation of these cells. The lamina cortex,

which contains the somata of L2 and other monopolar cells is also innervated by serotonergic

processes; however, to our knowledge there is no data in flies in support of the aminergic

innervation of cell bodies rather than the neuropil.

Similar to most other circuits, serotonergic signaling to L2 in the medulla is likely to occur

extrasynaptically. In both mammals and insects, serotonin can be released extra-synaptically

through volume transmission [88, 110] or through synaptic sites [48, 111-114]. However, the

majority of mammalian aminergic release sites lack an identifiable synaptic partner, and even

synaptic release can lead to spillover and extrasynaptic release. Ultrastructural studies in the fly

visual system have established a connectome for many neurons in the lamina and medulla

including L1, L2 and T1 neurons. By contrast, the ultrastructure of serotonergic and other

aminergic processes in the optic lobe of *Drosophila* are not known. Serotonergic release sites

appear to lack synaptic partners in the lamina of the blowfly *Calliphora* [115] and our data using

sybGRASP to test whether serotonergic neurons synapse on L2, T1, and L1 was negative (S8

Fig). It is possible that ultrastructural studies will prove more fruitful, but in the absence of true

synaptic connections we anticipate that new methods may be needed to unambiguously

determine the relationship of aminergic boutons to potential targets in the visual system.

In T1 neurons, we were unable to detect any acute changes in baseline calcium or

voltage in response to serotonin application (Fig 4D and S9C-D Fig) and other probes (e.g., for

cAMP) may be necessary to detect the acute response of T1 to serotonin. However, it is also

possible that activation of 5-HT1 receptors does not induce any acute physiological response,

and that more chronic indices will necessary to detect the potential effects of serotonin on T1

neurons. For now, the absence of a GCaMP6f response in T1 neurons serves as an important

negative control and demonstrates the specificity of the responses observed in L1 and L2.

In *Drosophila*, L1 and L2 neurons detect changes in luminance and together are

necessary for the full complement of motion vision. Both neurons receive synaptic input from

photoreceptors, and respond to luminance changes with graded potentials, depolarizing in dark

conditions and hyperpolarizing in light [30-32]. These two neurons feed forward into parallel

pathways to enable further visual processing such as motion and contrast detection [29, 34,

101]. The modulation of visually induced calcium transients in L2 following serotonin application

(Fig 5-6) suggests a role for serotonin in potentiating the response of L2-dependent visual

processing pathways [31]. Our data also suggest a molecular-mechanism for previous

observations made in larger insects including serotonin-induced changes field recordings in

blowfly representing the output of lamina monopolar cells [53] and honeybee motion detection

in the lobula [45].

The effects of serotonin on calcium signaling in L2 cells were readily apparent in

experiments comparing its effects on 5-HT2B homozygous mutants versus heterozygous

controls. We detected serotonin-mediated differences in both the kinetics and amplitude of L2

neurons' response to visual stimuli and saw effects on both dark and light flash induced calcium

transients in mutants (Fig 6). By contrast, experiments comparing the effects of saline versus

serotonin in 5-HT2B +/+ flies showed a significant difference in only one kinetic measurement

and only in response to a dark flask (Fig 5). We suggest that the 5-HT2B mutant functioned as a

"sensitized genetic background" to enhance the detection of serotonin's effects and speculate

that endogenous serotonergic release and its activation of 5-HT2B may have obscured the

effects of bath-applied serotonin in wild type 5-HT2B flies. A saturation point for

neuromodulatory input is critical for circuit stability as has been described previously [2, 116,

117], and release of endogenous serotonin under the conditions used for these experiments

might generate extracellular concentrations near the saturation point for regulating the response

of L2 to visual stimuli. In the absence of a functional 5-HT2B receptor, neither endogenous nor

exogenous serotonin would have any effect, thus increasing our ability to detect a difference

between the 5-HT2B mutant and heterozygous controls (Fig 6). Similarly, the effects of

serotonin on pathways mediated by other receptors in the visual system may only become

detectable using additional receptor mutants. More generally, we suggest that our data

underscore the power of a genetically sensitized background to fully understand the

mechanisms underlying the aminergic regulation of circuit function.

While the precise function of serotonergic signaling in L2 neurons or elsewhere in the fly

visual system remains unknown, it may allow neurons to adapt to changes in visual stimuli. We

are struck by the similarities between the changes we observe during perfusion with serotonin

and the graded responses of lamina neurons to stimuli of increasing contrast [31]. Changes in

calcium levels at the nerve terminal suggests that serotonin might regulate inputs to L2 nerve

terminals, or perhaps regulate neurotransmitter release, where increased calcium levels could

drive an increase in neurotransmitter release onto postsynaptic neurons. Dissecting these

potential mechanisms will require the analysis of neurons downstream of L2, but these too, may

undergo direct or indirect serotonergic regulation independent of the effects of serotonin on L2

neurons [37, 118, 119]. Studies in mammals have already begun to dissect the contributions of

serotonergic tuning in multiple cells within individual circuits including the visual system [6, 18,

23, 120, 121] but the way in which this information is integrated remains poorly understood. The

interactions between receptors expressed on L2 and other neurons in the fly visual system

provide a new framework to dissect the mechanism by which multiplexed serotonergic inputs

combine to regulate circuit function.

Conclusion

748   In this study we confirm that several cells in the *Drosophila* optic lobe express specific

749   serotonin receptor subtypes. We then demonstrate that subsets of neurons involved in the initial

750   steps of visual processing are regulated by serotonin through both presumptive cell autonomous

751   and non-autonomous mechanisms. These data established a new platform to study the cellular

752   mechanisms by which serotonin regulates sensory circuits.

Supporting Information Legends

S1 Fig. Serotonin receptors and serotonergic projections in the optic lobe. (**A-H**) Serotonin receptor MiMIC-T2A-GAL4 lines were crossed to UAS-mCD8::RFP (visualized here in green) to identify patterns of expression in the optic lobe. (**A**) A schematic of the optic lobe neuropils including the lamina (la), medulla (me), lobula (lo) and lobula plate (lp) with the neuropil in grey and the cortex containing neuronal cell bodies in white. (**B-F**) Neuropil is labeled by anti-N-Cadherin staining (blue) to provide anatomical reference for labeled cells (green) representing 5-HT1A (**B**), 5-HT1B (**C**), 5-HT2A (**D**), 5-HT2B (**E**), and 5-HT7 (**F**). MiMIC-T2A-GAL4 line labeled projections were visible in all optic lobe neuropils including the lamina neuropil, which is enlarged in (B'), (C'), (E') and (F'). N=4-8 and scale bars are 20 µm.

S2 Fig. Data sets reporting evidence of serotonin receptor expression in optic lobe neurons. The current study includes MiMIC-T2A-GAL4>MCFO for identification based on morphology (green) and FACS-SMART-Seq of L2 and T1 neurons (blue). Davis et al. 2020 employed TAPIN-Seq and reported probability of expressions (GSE116969, Table 7B) for each cell type. Serotonin receptor expression with a p>0.75 are shown (purple). Konstantinides et al. 2018 used FACS-SMART-Seq for T1, Mi1, C2 and C3 cells (GSE103772). Serotonin receptors with counts greater than 1,000 in at least two replicates are shown (orange).

S3 Fig. Serotonin receptor MiMIC-T2A-GAL4 lines potentially label L2, C2, TMY3, and Mi1 cells. (**A**) 5-HT2B-MiMIC-T2A-GAL4>UAS-RFP (green) was combined with ChAT-MiMIC-LexA>LexAop-GFP (magenta). Co-labeling was observed in cell bodies in the lamina cortex, shown in insets (arrowheads). (**B-C**) 5-HT7-MiMIC-T2A-GAL4 labeled cells with a morphology

similar to lamina monopolar cell 5 (L5). (**D**) 5-HT1A-MiMIC-T2A-GAL4>MCFO labeled C2-like cells in the lamina neuropil. (**E**) Colocalization was observed between 5-HT1A MiMIC-T2A-GAL4 and GAD1 MiMIC-T2A-LexA in the lamina neuropil and cell bodies adjacent to the lobula plate (arrowhead, E). (**F-G**) 5-HT7-MiMIC-GAL4>MCFO (F) and 5-HT1A-MiMIC-T2A-GAL4>MCFO (G) labeled cells with morphology similar to TmY3. (**H**) 5-HT7-MiMIC-GAL4>MCFO also labeled cells that resembled Mi1. For co-labeling in (A) and (E), N=4-8 brains per condition. For MCFO, L5-like cells in (B-C) were observed in 7/13 brains, C2 cells in (D) were observed in 3/31 brains, TMY3 cells in (F) were observed in 4/13 brains, TmY3 cells in (G) were observed in 5/31 brains and Mi1 cells in (H) were observed in 6/13 brains. Scale bars are 20 μm for (A, D-H) and 10 μm for (B-C).

S4 Fig. 5-HT2A labeling in lamina cortex may represent glia cells. (**A**) 5-HT2A-GAL4>MCFO epitopes V5 (green) and HA (magenta) label unidentified cells confined the distal lamina cortex. (**B**) 5-HT2A-T2A-GAL4>UAS-mCD8::GFP (green) labels cells in the lamina cortex in close proximity to nuclei labeled with repo antibody (magenta). Neuropil is labeled by anti-N-Cadherin staining (blue) to provide anatomical reference. N=18 brains for (A) and N=4 brains from (B). Scale bars are 20 um.

S5 Fig. Serotonin receptor 5-HT1B co-labels with serotonin immunoreactive sites in optic lobe and cell bodies in the central brains. Anti-serotonin immunolabeling (magenta) was used to identify serotonergic cells and projections in (A-F). (**A**) Serotonin immunoreactive sites (magenta) were visible in the optic lobe neuropils: lamina (la), medulla (me), lobula (lo) and lobula plate (lp). (**A'-A" and C-C"**) 5-HT1B-MiMIC-T2A-GAL4>UAS-mCD8::GFP labeled cells throughout the optic lobe with close apposition to serotonergic boutons. A neuron in serotonergic cell cluster LP2 is also labeled by 5-HT1B driven GFP (arrowhead, A-A"). (**B**) A schematic of the optic lobe and its major neuropils. (**E-E"**) Serotonin receptor MiMIC-T2A-GAL4 lines were crossed to UAS-MCFO-1 to label individual cells. Using 5-HT1B-MiMIC-T2A-GAL4>MCFO (green), we observed co-labeling between MCFO-labeled cells and serotonergic boutons (magenta) processes in the inner medulla (iM), medulla layer 4 (M4), and lobula (lo). (**D**) A schematic of the fly brain with dashed lines showing the approximate anatomical locations for (C) and (E). (**F-F"**) Anti-serotonin immunolabeling (magenta) co-labeled with 5-HT1B-MiMIC-T2A-GAL4>UAS-mCD8::GFP labeled cell bodies in the central brain. 5-HT1B-labeled kenyon cells (KC) are labeled for anatomical reference in (F"). (**G**) The approximate anatomical location for images in (F-F") are shown in the boundaries of the dashed line. Serotonin co-labeling was performed N=5 for 5-HT1B>GFP (A-A", C-C", and F-F") and N=6 brains for 5-HT1B>MCFO (E-E"). Scale bars are 20 μm.

S6 Fig. Serotonin receptor 5-HT1A co-labels with serotonin immunoreactive sites in optic lobe and cell bodies in the central brain. (**A**) Schematic of the optic lobe neuropils—lamina (la), medulla (me), lobula (lo) and lobula plate (lp)—and serotonergic PLP cells. (**B-B"**) 5-HT1A-MiMIC-T2A-GAL4 driving UAS-mCD8::GFP (green) was co-stained with anti-serotonin immunolabeling (magenta) to map potential autoreceptors to specific cell clusters in the central brain. PLP neurons co-labeling for 5-HT1A labeling and anti-serotonin immunolabeling are indicated by the arrowhead. (**C-E**) Anterior to posterior images taken in the same brain show several serotonergic cell clusters expressing 5-HT1A (labeled at arrowheads). 5-HT1A-labeled mushroom body (MB) and kenyon cells (KC) are labeled for anatomical reference in (**C** and **E**).

(**F**) A cartoon of the fly brain with dashed lines to indicate the approximate anatomical location
for (C-E). Scale bars are 20 μm and N=6.

S7 Fig. RT-qPCR shows L2 neurons express 5-HT2B and T1 neurons express both
5-HT1A and 5-HT1B serotonin receptors. Enrichment (i.e., fold change) was calculated for
cDNA from GFP-labeled cell isolates relative to pooled, unlabeled optic lobe cell isolates using
the comparative CT method. (**A**) RT-qPCR performed on cDNA from isolated T1 neurons
expressing GFP showed enrichment for serotonin receptors 5-HT1A and 5-HT1B relative to
other GFP-negative cells from the optic lobe. (**B**) FACS isolates from L2 cells showed
enrichment of 5-HT2B and 5-HT7 in RT-qPCR. (**C**) L1 RT-qPCR enrichment was not detectable
for any serotonin receptors. RT-qPCR error bars represent mean±SEM. N=3-6 biological
replicates pooled from 18-40 brains per replicate.

S8 Fig. **Serotonergic neurons do not show sybGRASP signal with postsynaptic T1, L2 or**
L1 neurons in the medulla. SybGRASP was used to probe whether serotonergic neurons
make synaptic contacts onto L2, T1 or L1 neurons. L2 neurons are known to synapse onto T1
projections in the medulla and we used this connection as a positive control. (**A-A'**) SybGRASP
was observed with L2 split-GAL4 presynaptic to T1-LexA in M2. The dashed inset in (**A**) is
shown in (**A'**). (**B-E**) A SerT-GAL4 driver was used to express the pre-synaptic portion of GFP in
serotonergic neurons and LexA drivers were used to express the postsynaptic portion of GFP in
L2 (**B-C**) L1 (**D**) or T1 (**E**) as indicated. No sybGRASP signal was detected in the medulla when
SerT was presynaptic to L2 (**B**) however, occasional sparse GFP puncta (arrowhead) were
visible in the lamina (**C**). When SerT-GAL4 neurons were presynaptic to L1 (**D**) or T1 (**E**)
neurons, we did not detect a sybGRASP signal in either the lamina or medulla. All tissue was
labeled with primary antibodies to both 5-HT (magenta) and GFP (green). N=7-10 brains. Scale
bars are 15 μm (**A**, **B**, **D-E**); 5 μm (**A"** and **C-C"**).

S9 Fig. Individual traces for serotonin bath application experiments. (**A-D**) Individual traces
representing all experiments (Fig 4) for serotonin or saline controls with L2, L1 or T1 split-
GAL4>GCaMP6f or T1 split-GAL4>ArcLight. For all experiments, the first 60 s of baseline is not
shown; traces represent data recorded following a switch to saline with serotonin or saline
alone. The length of time for the switch to complete was estimated to be 105 s, but the first 60 s
of the recording are not shown so the switch occurs at 45 s on the x axis in A-D. Saline controls
are gray, serotonin exposed preps are colored, and the dark line represents the mean (**A**)
L2>GCaMP6f experiments, along with (**B**) L1>GCaMP6f, show an increase in calcium following
serotonin application as compared to saline controls (L2, p=00095; L1, p=0.02). (**C, D**) T1 cells
show no significant change with either GCaMP6f (**C**) or ArcLight (**D**) relative to saline (p>0.05)
For bath application experiments (**A-D**), N=4-8 individual flies.

S1 Table. RNA-Seq Serotonin Receptor TPMs, averages and standard deviations.

S2 Table. RT-qPCR Threshold Cycle (CT) measurements and calculated enrichment for FACS-
isolated T1, L2, and L1 samples as shown in S7 Fig. Enrichment (i.e., fold change) was
calculated for cDNA from GFP-labeled cell isolates relative to pooled, unlabeled optic lobe cell
isolates using the comparative CT method.

S3 Table. Fly strains used in this study.

S4 Table. RT-qPCR primer sequences and mRNA (cDNA) target information.

Methods

Fly Husbandry and Genetic Lines

Flies were maintained on a standard cornmeal and molasses-based agar media with a 12:12 hour light/dark cycle at room temperature (22-25°C). All fly strains used in this study are listed in S3 Table. Serotonin receptor MiMIC-T2A-GAL4 lines described in [68] were a gift from Herman Dierick (Baylor College of Medicine), and include 5-HT1A-T2A-GAL4^{MI01468}, 5-HT1A-T2A-GAL4^{MI01140}, 5-HT1A-T2A-GAL4^{MI04464}, 5-HT1B-T2A-GAL4^{MI05213}, 5-HT2A-T2A-GAL4 ^{MI0459}, 5-HT2A-GAL4^{MI03299}, 5-HT2B-T2A-GAL4^{MI06500}, 5-HT2B-T2A-GAL4^{MI5208}, 5-HT2B-GAL4^{MI7403}, and 5-HT7-GAL4 ^{MI00215}. L1 and T1 split-GAL4 lines [82], as well as unpublished LexA lines for L1 and T1, were provided by Aljoscha Nern (HHMI/Janelia Research Campus). S.L. Zipursky (UCLA) generously provided L2-split-GAL4 and L2-LexA (RRID:BDSC_52510). Yi Rao (Peking University) generously shared 5-HT2B-KO-GAL4 SII (5-HT2B mutant) [85], 5-HT1A::sfGFP [84], and UAS-5-HT2B::sfGFP [85].

SerT-GAL4 (RRID:BDSC_38764), GAD1 Trojan LexA (RRID:BDSC_60324) and ChAT Trojan LexA (RRID:BDSC_60319) were obtained from Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center (BDSC) at Indiana University (Bloomington, IN, USA). Additional reporters from BDSC include: UAS-mCD8::GFP (RRID:BDSC_5137), UAS-MCFO-1 (RRID:BDSC_64085), UAS-GCaMP6f (RRID:BDSC_42747), UAS-ArcLight (RRID:BDSC_51056), UAS-mCD8::RFP, LexAop-mCD8::GFP (RRID:BDSC_32229), and UAS-nSyb::GFP1-10, LexAop-CD4:GFP11 (RRID:BDSC_64314; provided through S.L. Zipursky (UCLA)).

S3 Table. Animal strains used in this study.

Immunofluorescent Labeling and Imaging

Flies were dissected 5-10 days after eclosion, and equal numbers of males and females were used for all experiments unless otherwise noted. Brains were dissected in ice-cold PBS

(Alfa Aesar, Cat#J62036, Tewksbury, MA), then fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (FisherScientific,

Cat#50-980-493, Waltham, MA) in PBS with 0.3% Triton X-100 (Millipore Sigma, Cat#X100,

Burlington, MA) (PBST) for one hour at room temperature. After fixation, brains were washed

three times with PBST for 10 minutes, then blocked for 30 minutes in PBST containing 0.5%

normal goat serum (NGS) (Cayman Chemical, Cat#10006577, Ann Arbor, MA). Antibodies were

diluted in 0.5% NGS/PBST. Primary antibodies were incubated with the tissue overnight at 4°C.

The next day, the brains were washed three times with PBST for 10 minutes, then incubated

with secondary antibodies for 2 hours in the dark at room temperature. Brains were washed

three times with PBST for 10 minutes before mounting.

For frontal mounting, brains were washed with 60% and 80% glycerol (Millipore Sigma,

Cat#G5516) and mounted in Fluoromount-G (SouthernBiotech, Cat#0100-01, Birmingham, AL).

For dorsal-ventral mounting, brains were fixed in 2% PFA/PBST overnight. The next day, brains

were washed three times with PBST. Brains were dehydrated with a series of 10 min ethanol

baths of increasing concentrations (30%, 50%, 75%, 95%, 100%, 100%, and 100%). Brains

were then transferred to 100% xylene before mounting in DPX (FisherScientific, Cat#50-980-

370).

Serotonin immunolabeling was performed with 1:25 rat anti-serotonin (Millipore Sigma,

Cat#MAB352, RRID:AB_11213564), 1:1000 rabbit anti-serotonin (ImmunoStar, Cat#20080,

Hudson, WI ,RRID:AB_572263) or 1:1000 goat anti-serotonin (ImmunoStar, Cat#20079,

RRID:AB_572262). Where noted, GFP was labeled with 1:250 mouse anti-GFP (Sigma-Aldrich,

Cat#G6539, RRID:AB_259941; or, ThermoFisher, Waltham, MA, Cat#A-11120,

RRID:AB_221568). Secondary antibodies were used at 1:400 and include: Donkey anti-mouse

Alexa Fluor 488, Donkey anti-Rabbit Alexa Fluor 594 or Alexa Fluor Donkey anti-rat 647

(Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Westgrove, PA, Cat#715-545-151, # 711-585-152, #

712-605-153) or Alexa Fluor 555 (Life Technologies, ThermoFisher, Cat#A-21428).

When serotonin receptor MiMIC-GAL4 lines were combined with ChAT or GAD1 MiMIC-

LexA (S3 Fig), brains were processed and imaged as described in Sizemore and Dacks 2016

[122]. MultiColor FlpOut (MCFO-1) sparse labeling was induced by heat activation at 37°C for

10-15 minutes at least 2 days prior to dissection as described [73]. Primary antibodies included

1:300 rabbit anti-HA (Cell Signaling Technology, Cat#3724, Danvers, MA, RRID:AB_1549585),

1:150 rat anti-FLAG (Novus, Littleton, CA, Cat#NBP1-06712, RRID:AB_1625982), and 1:400

mouse anti-V5::Dylight-550 (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, Cat#MCA1360D550GA,

RRID:AB_2687576). The rat anti-N-Cadherin (DN-Ex#8) and mouse anti-repo (8D12

concentrate) was obtained from the Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, created by the

NICHD of the NIH and maintained at The University of Iowa, Department of Biology, Iowa City,

IA 52242. Secondary antibodies used for MCFO are listed above. N-Synaptobrevin GFP

Reconstitution Across Synaptic Partners (sybGRASP) flies [86] were dissected, fixed and

immunolabeled as described above, without KCl induction. The tissue was labeled with mouse

antiserum specific to reconstituted GFP (1:250; Sigma-Aldrich, Cat#G6539, RRID:AB_259941)

[123] and either anti-serotonin (antibodies listed above).

Imaging was performed with a Zeiss LSM 880 Confocal with Airyscan (Zeiss,

Oberkochen, Germany) using a 40x water or 63x oil immersion objective. Post-hoc processing

of images was done with Fiji [125] or Adobe Photoshop (Adobe, San Jose, CA) .

FACs and RNA Extraction

L2 and L1 neurons were labeled using split-GAL4 drivers combined with UAS-

mCD8::GFP (RRID:BDSC_5137). For RNA-Seq in Fig 2, N=3 T1-LexA samples were tested.

For RT-qPCR in S7 Fig, we included N=3 T1-split-GLA4 samples and N=3 T1-LexA samples.

Brains were dissected on the day of eclosion and optic lobes were dissociated according to

previously published methods [126]. The dissociated optic lobe cells were separated by

fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) into GFP-positive and GFP-negative isolates using a

BD FACS Aria II high-speed cell sorter in collaboration with the UCLA Jonsson Comprehensive

Cancer Center (JCCC) and Center for AIDS Research Flow Cytometry Core Facility

(http://cyto.mednet.ucla.edu/home.html). For FACS, each experiment was performed with 18-40

brains, and yielded between 1,700-7,800 GFP$^+$ cells. RNA was extracted from isolated cells with

ARCTURUS® PicoPure® RNA Isolation Kit (ThermoFisher, KIT0204) or RNeasy Plus Micro Kit

(QIAGEN, 74034).

RT-qPCR

RNA extracted from FACS isolates was reverse transcribed using SuperScript III

(Invitrogen, ThermoFisher, Cat#18080093). RT-qPCR was performed for receptor cDNA using

validated primers (S4 Table) and SYBR Green Power PCR Mix (Applied Biosystems,

ThermoFisher) on an iQ5 real-time qPCR detection system (Bio-Rad). Primers were designed

using Primer-Blast (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tools/primer-blast/) or were from the DGRC

FlyPrimerBank [127]; oligonucleotides were obtained from Integrated DNA Technologies

(Coralville, Iowa). Primer pairs were validated to amplify a single product, verified by a single

melting temperature and single band on an electrophoresis gel. The efficiency for each primer

pair was between 85-115%. Comparisons between GFP$^+$ and GFP$^-$ samples were calculated as

enrichment (i.e., fold change) using the comparative CT method [83]. A zero value was imputed

for samples with no amplification (i.e., no CT value). Raw CT values are shown in S2 Table.

RNA-Seq

RNA-Seq was performed using a SMART-Seq protocol adapted from [126, 128, 129].

Libraries were constructed using the SMART-seq v4 Ultra Low-input RNA sequencing kit with

Nextera XT (Takara Bio). Paired-end sequencing was conducted by the UCLA genomic core

facility (https://www.semel.ucla.edu/ungc/services). After demultiplexing, we obtained between

39-270 (average 105) million reads per sample. Quality control was performed on base qualities

and nucleotide composition of sequences. Alignment to the *Drosophila melanogaster* genome

(BDGP6) was performed using the STAR spliced read aligner [130] with default parameters.

Additional QC was performed after the alignment to examine the following: level of mismatch

rate, mapping rate to the whole genome, repeats, chromosomes, and key transcriptomic regions

(exons, introns, UTRs, genes). Between 75-85% of the reads mapped uniquely to the fly

genome. Total counts of read fragments aligned to candidate gene regions within the reference

gene annotation were derived using HTSeq program and used as a basis for the quantification

of gene expression. Only uniquely mapped reads were used for subsequent analyses. Following

alignment and read quantification, we performed quality control using a variety of indices,

including consistency of replicates and average gene coverage. For Fig 2, L2 samples were run

in two separate sequencing runs and we did not perform corrections for any potential batch

effects. Data is shown as Transcripts Per Million (TPMs).

Live Cell Imaging

Calcium imaging was performed as previously described [131]. Briefly, flies were

anesthetized at 4°C and placed into a chemically etched metal shim within a larger custom-built

fly holder. The fly holder was based on a previously described design [132]. The head capsule

and the thorax were glued to the metal shim using UV-curable glue (www.esslinger.com). The

legs, proboscis and antennae were immobilized using beeswax applied with a heated metal

probe (Waxelectric-1, Renfert). The head capsule was immersed in insect saline (103 mM NaCl,

3 mM KCl, 1.5mM CaCl2, 4 mM MgCl2, 26 mM NaHCO3, 1 mM NaH2PO4, 10 mM trehalose,

10 mM glucose, 5 mM TES, 2 mM sucrose) [133]. A small window on the right rear head

capsule was opened using sharp forceps (Dumont, #5SF). Muscles and fat covering the optic

lobe were cleared before placing the fly under the 2-photon microscope (VIVO, 3i: Intelligent

Imaging Innovations, Denver, CO). Neurons expressing GCaMP6f were imaged at 920-nm

using a Ti:Sapphire Laser (Chameleon Vision, Coherent). Images were acquired at 10-20

frames/s for Fig 4 and 25-30 frames/s for Fig 5-6 live imaging. Only female flies were used for

live imaging experiments.

A custom-built gravity perfusion system was used for bath application of either serotonin

or saline control to the fly's exposed optic lobe for Fig 4. For Fig 4 and S9 Fig, the tissue was

first perfused with insect saline containing 1μm tetrodotoxin citrate (TTX) (Alomone Labs,

Jerusalem, Israel, Cat#T-550) for at least 5 minutes at 2 mL/min, prior to each recording. TTX

remained present throughout the experiment. To examine the effects of serotonin on calcium

levels, baseline GCaMP6f fluorescence was recorded for one minute before switching to the

second input containing either 100μM serotonin hydrochloride (Sigma Aldrich, Cat# H9523) or

saline alone for an additional five minutes of recording. Due to perfusion tubing length and dead

volume, the perfusion switch took approximately 105 s to reach the tissue. Fig 4 does not show

the first minute of the recording, so the solution switch occurs at approximately 45 s on the x

axis. For more precise control of perfusion solutions, we used a programmable valve controller

(VC-6, Warner Instruments, Hamden, CT) for Fig 5-6 visual experiments (see details below).

1007

Visual Stimulus Experiments

Visual stimuli were shown using an arena composed of 48 eight by eight-pixel LED

panels, at 470 nm (Adafruit, NY, NY). The panels were assembled into a curved display that

extends 216° along the azimuth and ±35° in elevation. Each pixel subtended an angle of 2.2° on

the retina at the equatorial axis. To prevent spurious excitation of the imaging photomultiplier

tubes, three layers of blue filter (Rosco no. 59 Indigo) were placed over the LED display.

Each stimulus consisted of a brief increment (light flash) or decrement (dark flash) of the

entire display for 100 ms, before returning to a mid-intensity brightness for 4.9 s. Images were

acquired at 25-30 frames/s for Fig 5-6 visual stimulation experiments. Stimuli were presented in

sets of six bright and six dark flashes randomly shuffled for each minute of the experiment.

Responses were then pooled for each minute. During the first minute ("epoch 1" in Figs 5-6),

and prior to imaging, the tissue was perfused with saline for a baseline recording. At the end of

the first minute, a valve controller (VC-6, Warner Instruments, Hamden, CT) activated by a TTL

signal switched the perfusion to either saline with 100 µM serotonin or saline alone; imaging

then continued for an additional five minutes, for a total of one baseline set and five post-switch

sets of stimuli. The perfusion switch took approximately 45 s to reach the tissue using the

programmable valve system.

Analysis

Calcium imaging data were analyzed with Matlab R2017a (Mathworks, Natick, MA). Post

hoc, recordings were corrected for movement of the brain within the imaging plane using a

custom algorithm [134]. Regions of interest (ROIs) were found semi-automatically for data in

Figs 4-6: first, the median intensity of all pixels across all image frames was found; this value

was used as a threshold and all pixels with mean intensity below the threshold, typically within

the image background, were discarded. The 1-D time series of intensity for each remaining pixel

was then extracted. K-means clustering was used to identify pixels with similar activity over the

course of the experiment: three clusters were identified and the cluster with the highest number

of pixels was retained. This reliably identified the pixels within active neurons in the imaging

data and aided in identifying preparations with out-of-plane movement, which were discarded.

For baseline activity experiments (Fig 4), the remaining cluster was used as a single ROI and the mean intensity within the ROI was found for each image frame to produce a single time-series for the entire experiment. For visual response experiments (Figs 5-6), pixels within the remaining cluster were automatically divided into groups corresponding to individual L2 terminals using a watershed transform. The mean intensity within each ROI was found for each image frame to produce a single time-series for the entire experiment, and the time-series for all terminal ROIs within an individual animal were then averaged. For Fig 6, ROIs of L2 terminals were first identified automatically, as above, then manually selected individually according to layer position because the 5-HT2B GAL4 SII mutant line labeled other cells in addition to L2 neurons.

Approximately half of the bath application recordings showed oscillations in activity due to slow, periodic movement of the brain at around 0.04 Hz; we applied a second-order notch filter at this frequency with a bandwidth of 0.005 Hz to remove these oscillations. For the bath application experiments (Fig 4), we plotted $\Delta F/F$, defined as $(F_t-F_0)/F_0$, where F_t is the mean fluorescence in the ROI at the indicated time and F_0 is the mean value of F_t during 60 seconds of baseline activity at the beginning of the experiment and prior to the change in perfusion. For the visual stimulus experiments (Figs 5-6), we again plotted $\Delta F/F$, defined as $(F_t-F_0)/F_0$, where F_t is the mean fluorescence across all individual terminal ROIs at the indicated time and F_0 is the mean of 30 seconds of non-consecutive baseline activity between stimulus presentations during epoch 1 at the beginning of the experiment and prior to the change in perfusion (Fig 5A). For the stimulus response plots (Figs 5B, D, 6C, E), we found the average $\Delta F/F$ time-series within each epoch for each fly after subtracting the average pre-stimulus baseline activity level (0.5 s preceding each flash stimulus) from each time-series, so that all responses started aligned at 0 $\Delta F/F$. For further analysis (Figs 5C, E, 6D, F), we found the changes in response amplitude across epochs, defined for the dark stimulus presentation (top) as the difference between the

pre-stimulus baseline and the maximum ΔF/F value that occurred up to 1.75 s after cessation of

the 0.1 s flash, and for the light stimulus (bottom) as the minimum ΔF/F value that occurred in

the same period of time. For each epoch, we subtracted the value of the responses during

epoch 1 at the beginning of the experiment and prior to the change in perfusion, in order to find

the change in amplitude relative to epoch 1. We followed a similar procedure for the analysis of

peak-peak time (Figs 5C', E', 6D', F'), defined as the length of time between the minimum and

maximum ΔF/F values that occurred within 1.75 s after cessation of the 0.1 s flash. The slope of

the transient decay was also calculated (Figs 5C", E", 6D", F").

To examine the baseline changes in fluorescence in visual experiments shown in Figs

6A-B responses to the visual stimuli were removed using a series of second-order notch filters

at 0.19-0.21 Hz and 0.38-0.42 Hz.

Statistical Tests

For Fig 5 (C-C", E-E") and Fig 6 (D-D", E-E") comparisons are two-way repeated

measure ANOVA (brackets show interactions between time and genotype) and Sidak's multiple

comparisons tests, $p \leq 0.05$ *, $p \leq 0.01$**, $p \leq 0.001$***, $p \leq 0.0001$****. These tests were performed

using Graphpad Prism Software (San Diego, CA). Differences in baseline calcium shown in Fig

6A-B were calculated by two-tailed Wilcoxon rank sum tests in Matlab R2017a.

Replicates

Each biological replicate (N) represents one fly, except for RT-qPCR and RNA-Seq (Fig

2, and S7 Fig E) where each biological replicate was pooled from 18+ flies. RT-qPCR

experiments include 3 technical replicates, which are averaged to represent a single biological

replicate. Animals from at least 3 crosses were used for each experiment. Data for each

experiment was collected over 2-6 months in at least 3 experiments. No outliers were removed

from any data set. Live imaging recordings with too much movement were excluded and not

analyzed.

1086

Acknowledgements: We thank members of Larry Zipursky's lab (UCLA) including Elizabeth

Zuniga Sanchez, Liming Tan, and Juyoun Yoo for advice on FACS, RNA extraction, RT-qPCR

and SMART-Seq. Thank you to Deanne Umbay (Brown University) and Nikoo Dalili (UCLA) for

their assistance. We thank members of the Frye and Krantz labs for helpful discussions, and

Aljoscha Nern (HHMI/Janelia Research Campus) and Herman Dierick (Baylor) for generously

supplying fly lines.

1093

Funding: This work was funded by R01 MH107390 (DEK), R01 MH114017 (DEK) R01

EY026031 (MAF), IOS-1455869 (MAF), R03 DC013997 (AMD), R01 DC016293 (AMD) and a

seed grant from the UCLA Depression Grand Challenge (DEK, MAF). MMS was supported by a

National Science Foundation GRFP, UCLA Cota-Robles fellowship and F99 NS113454. TRS

was supported by a Grant-In-Aid of Research (G20141015669888) from Sigma Xi, The

Scientific Research Society.

Competing interests: The authors deny any relevant paid employment or consultancy, stock

ownership, patent applications, personal relationships with relevant individuals, or membership

of an advisory board.

1103

References

References

1.   Kupfermann I. Modulatory actions of neurotransmitters. Annual review of neuroscience.
1979;2:447-65. doi: 10.1146/annurev.ne.02.030179.002311. PubMed PMID: 44174.
2.   Marder E. Neuromodulation of neuronal circuits: back to the future. Neuron.
2012;76(1):1-11. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2012.09.010. PubMed PMID: 23040802; PubMed
Central PMCID: PMC3482119.
3.   Marder E, O'Leary T, Shruti S. Neuromodulation of circuits with variable parameters:
single neurons and small circuits reveal principles of state-dependent and robust
neuromodulation. Annual review of neuroscience. 2014;37:329-46. doi: 10.1146/annurev-neuro-
071013-013958. PubMed PMID: 25032499.
4.   Nadim F, Bucher D. Neuromodulation of neurons and synapses. Current opinion in
neurobiology. 2014;29:48-56. doi: 10.1016/j.conb.2014.05.003. PubMed PMID: 24907657;
PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4252488.
5.   Katz PS. Beyond neurotransmission : neuromodulation and its importance for
information processing. Osford ; New York: Oxford University Press; 1999. xiii, 391 p. p.
6.   Moreau AW, Amar M, Le Roux N, Morel N, Fossier P. Serotoninergic fine-tuning of the
excitation-inhibition balance in rat visual cortical networks. Cereb Cortex. 2010;20(2):456-67.
Epub 2009/06/11. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhp114. PubMed PMID: 19520765.
7.   Arechiga H, Banuelos E, Frixione E, Picones A, Rodriguez-Sosa L. Modulation of
crayfish retinal sensitivity by 5-hydroxytryptamine. The Journal of experimental biology.
1990;150:123-43. PubMed PMID: 2355208.
8.   Seillier L, Lorenz C, Kawaguchi K, Ott T, Nieder A, Pourriahi P, et al. Serotonin
Decreases the Gain of Visual Responses in Awake Macaque V1. The Journal of neuroscience :
the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience. 2017;37(47):11390-405. doi:
10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1339-17.2017. PubMed PMID: 29042433; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMC5700422.
9.   Kloppenburg P, Ferns D, Mercer AR. Serotonin enhances central olfactory neuron
responses to female sex pheromone in the male sphinx moth manduca sexta. The Journal of
neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience. 1999;19(19):8172-81.
PubMed PMID: 10493719.
10.   Dacks AM, Christensen TA, Hildebrand JG. Modulation of olfactory information
processing in the antennal lobe of Manduca sexta by serotonin. Journal of neurophysiology.
2008;99(5):2077-85. doi: 10.1152/jn.01372.2007. PubMed PMID: 18322001.
11.   Lottem E, Lorincz ML, Mainen ZF. Optogenetic Activation of Dorsal Raphe Serotonin
Neurons Rapidly Inhibits Spontaneous But Not Odor-Evoked Activity in Olfactory Cortex. The
Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience. 2016;36(1):7-18.
doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3008-15.2016. PubMed PMID: 26740645.
12.   Brunert D, Tsuno Y, Rothermel M, Shipley MT, Wachowiak M. Cell-Type-Specific
Modulation of Sensory Responses in Olfactory Bulb Circuits by Serotonergic Projections from
the Raphe Nuclei. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for
Neuroscience. 2016;36(25):6820-35. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3667-15.2016. PubMed PMID:
27335411; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4916254.
13.   Petzold GC, Hagiwara A, Murthy VN. Serotonergic modulation of odor input to the
mammalian olfactory bulb. Nature neuroscience. 2009;12(6):784-91. doi: 10.1038/nn.2335.
PubMed PMID: 19430472.
14.   Papesh MA, Hurley LM. Modulation of auditory brainstem responses by serotonin and
specific serotonin receptors. Hearing research. 2016;332:121-36. doi:
10.1016/j.heares.2015.11.014. PubMed PMID: 26688176.

15.   Fotowat H, Harvey-Girard E, Cheer JF, Krahe R, Maler L. Subsecond Sensory
Modulation of Serotonin Levels in a Primary Sensory Area and Its Relation to Ongoing
Communication Behavior in a Weakly Electric Fish. eNeuro. 2016;3(5). doi:
10.1523/ENEURO.0115-16.2016. PubMed PMID: 27844054; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMC5093153.
16.   Andres M, Seifert M, Spalthoff C, Warren B, Weiss L, Giraldo D, et al. Auditory Efferent
System Modulates Mosquito Hearing. Current biology : CB. 2016;26(15):2028-36. doi:
10.1016/j.cub.2016.05.077. PubMed PMID: 27476597.
17.   Watakabe A, Komatsu Y, Sadakane O, Shimegi S, Takahata T, Higo N, et al. Enriched
expression of serotonin 1B and 2A receptor genes in macaque visual cortex and their
bidirectional modulatory effects on neuronal responses. Cereb Cortex. 2009;19(8):1915-28. doi:
10.1093/cercor/bhn219. PubMed PMID: 19056862; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2705701.
18.   Gagolewicz PJ, Dringenberg HC. Age-Dependent Switch of the Role of Serotonergic 5-
HT1A Receptors in Gating Long-Term Potentiation in Rat Visual Cortex In Vivo. Neural Plast.
2016;2016:6404082. Epub 2016/05/10. doi: 10.1155/2016/6404082. PubMed PMID: 27247804;
PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4877497.
19.   Lombaert N, Hennes M, Gilissen S, Schevenels G, Aerts L, Vanlaer R, et al. 5-HTR2A
and 5-HTR3A but not 5-HTR1A antagonism impairs the cross-modal reactivation of deprived
visual cortex in adulthood. Mol Brain. 2018;11(1):65. Epub 2018/11/06. doi: 10.1186/s13041-
018-0404-5. PubMed PMID: 30400993; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6218970.
20.   Gu Q, Singer W. Involvement of serotonin in developmental plasticity of kitten visual
cortex. Eur J Neurosci. 1995;7(6):1146-53. PubMed PMID: 7582087.
21.   Wang Y, Gu Q, Cynader MS. Blockade of serotonin-2C receptors by mesulergine
reduces ocular dominance plasticity in kitten visual cortex. Exp Brain Res. 1997;114(2):321-8.
PubMed PMID: 9166921.
22.   Shimegi S, Kimura A, Sato A, Aoyama C, Mizuyama R, Tsunoda K, et al. Cholinergic
and serotonergic modulation of visual information processing in monkey V1. Journal of
physiology, Paris. 2016;110(1-2):44-51. doi: 10.1016/j.jphysparis.2016.09.001. PubMed PMID:
27619519.
23.   Zhou X, Zhang R, Zhang S, Wu J, Sun X. Activation of 5-HT1A receptors promotes
retinal ganglion cell function by inhibiting the cAMP-PKA pathway to modulate presynaptic
GABA release in chronic glaucoma. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the
Society for Neuroscience. 2018. Epub 2018/12/12. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1685-18.2018.
PubMed PMID: 30541912.
24.   Trakhtenberg EF, Pita-Thomas W, Fernandez SG, Patel KH, Venugopalan P, Shechter
JM, et al. Serotonin receptor 2C regulates neurite growth and is necessary for normal retinal
processing of visual information. Developmental neurobiology. 2017;77(4):419-37. doi:
10.1002/dneu.22391. PubMed PMID: 26999672.
25.   Borst A, Helmstaedter M. Common circuit design in fly and mammalian motion vision.
Nature neuroscience. 2015;18(8):1067-76. doi: 10.1038/nn.4050. PubMed PMID: 26120965.
26.   Cheng KY, Frye MA. Neuromodulation of insect motion vision. Journal of comparative
physiology A, Neuroethology, sensory, neural, and behavioral physiology. 2019. doi:
10.1007/s00359-019-01383-9. PubMed PMID: 31811398.
27.   Meinertzhagen IA, O'Neil SD. Synaptic organization of columnar elements in the lamina
of the wild type in Drosophila melanogaster. The Journal of comparative neurology.
1991;305(2):232-63. doi: 10.1002/cne.903050206. PubMed PMID: 1902848.
28.   Joesch M, Schnell B, Raghu SV, Reiff DF, Borst A. ON and OFF pathways in Drosophila
motion vision. Nature. 2010;468(7321):300-4. doi: 10.1038/nature09545. PubMed PMID:
21068841.

29.   Strother JA, Nern A, Reiser MB. Direct observation of ON and OFF pathways in the
Drosophila visual system. Current biology : CB. 2014;24(9):976-83. Epub 2014/04/03. doi:
10.1016/j.cub.2014.03.017. PubMed PMID: 24704075.
30.   Clark DA, Bursztyn L, Horowitz MA, Schnitzer MJ, Clandinin TR. Defining the
computational structure of the motion detector in Drosophila. Neuron. 2011;70(6):1165-77. doi:
10.1016/j.neuron.2011.05.023. PubMed PMID: 21689602; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMC3121538.
31.   Yang HH, St-Pierre F, Sun X, Ding X, Lin MZ, Clandinin TR. Subcellular Imaging of
Voltage and Calcium Signals Reveals Neural Processing In Vivo. Cell. 2016;166(1):245-57.
Epub 2016/06/02. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2016.05.031. PubMed PMID: 27264607; PubMed Central
PMCID: PMCPMC5606228.
32.   Zheng L, de Polavieja GG, Wolfram V, Asyali MH, Hardie RC, Juusola M. Feedback
network controls photoreceptor output at the layer of first visual synapses in Drosophila. The
Journal of general physiology. 2006;127(5):495-510. doi: 10.1085/jgp.200509470. PubMed
PMID: 16636201; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2151524.
33.   Behnia R, Desplan C. Visual circuits in flies: beginning to see the whole picture. Current
opinion in neurobiology. 2015;34:125-32. doi: 10.1016/j.conb.2015.03.010. PubMed PMID:
25881091; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4577302.
34.   Bahl A, Serbe E, Meier M, Ammer G, Borst A. Neural Mechanisms for Drosophila
Contrast Vision. Neuron. 2015;88(6):1240-52. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2015.11.004. PubMed
PMID: 26673659.
35.   Rivera-Alba M, Vitaladevuni SN, Mishchenko Y, Lu Z, Takemura SY, Scheffer L, et al.
Wiring economy and volume exclusion determine neuronal placement in the Drosophila brain.
Current biology : CB. 2011;21(23):2000-5. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2011.10.022. PubMed PMID:
22119527; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3244492.
36.   Takemura SY, Lu Z, Meinertzhagen IA. Synaptic circuits of the Drosophila optic lobe: the
input terminals to the medulla. The Journal of comparative neurology. 2008;509(5):493-513. doi:
10.1002/cne.21757. PubMed PMID: 18537121; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2481516.
37.   Takemura SY, Bharioke A, Lu Z, Nern A, Vitaladevuni S, Rivlin PK, et al. A visual motion
detection circuit suggested by Drosophila connectomics. Nature. 2013;500(7461):175-81. doi:
10.1038/nature12450. PubMed PMID: 23925240; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3799980.
38.   Takemura SY, Xu CS, Lu Z, Rivlin PK, Parag T, Olbris DJ, et al. Synaptic circuits and
their variations within different columns in the visual system of Drosophila. Proceedings of the
National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2015;112(44):13711-6. doi:
10.1073/pnas.1509820112. PubMed PMID: 26483464; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4640747.
39.   Takemura SY, Nern A, Chklovskii DB, Scheffer LK, Rubin GM, Meinertzhagen IA. The
comprehensive connectome of a neural substrate for 'ON' motion detection in. Elife. 2017;6.
Epub 2017/04/22. doi: 10.7554/eLife.24394. PubMed PMID: 28432786; PubMed Central
PMCID: PMCPMC5435463.
40.   Shinomiya K, Huang G, Lu Z, Parag T, Xu CS, Aniceto R, et al. Comparisons between
the ON- and OFF-edge motion pathways in the Drosophila brain. Elife. 2019;8. doi:
10.7554/eLife.40025. PubMed PMID: 30624205; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6338461.
41.   Wasserman SM, Aptekar JW, Lu P, Nguyen J, Wang AL, Keles MF, et al. Olfactory
neuromodulation of motion vision circuitry in Drosophila. Current biology : CB. 2015;25(4):467-
72. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2014.12.012. PubMed PMID: 25619767; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMC4331282.
42.   Strother JA, Wu ST, Rogers EM, Eliason JLM, Wong AM, Nern A, et al. Behavioral state
modulates the ON visual motion pathway of Drosophila. Proceedings of the National Academy
of Sciences of the United States of America. 2018;115(1):E102-E11. doi:
10.1073/pnas.1703090115. PubMed PMID: 29255026; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5776785.

43. Cheng KY, Colbath RA, Frye MA. Olfactory and Neuromodulatory Signals Reverse
Visual Object Avoidance to Approach in Drosophila. Current biology : CB. 2019;29(12):2058-65
e2. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2019.05.010. PubMed PMID: 31155354; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMC6615044.
44. Hevers W, Hardie RC. Serotonin modulates the voltage dependence of delayed rectifier
and Shaker potassium channels in Drosophila photoreceptors. Neuron. 1995;14:845-56.
45. Kloppenburg P, Erber J. The modulatory effects of serotonin and octopamine in the
visual system of the honey bee (Apis mellifera L.) II. Electrophysiological analysis of motion-
sensitive neurons in the lobula. Journal of comparative physiology A, Neuroethology, sensory,
neural, and behavioral physiology. 1995;176:119-29.
46. Suver MP, Mamiya A, Dickinson MH. Octopamine neurons mediate flight-induced
modulation of visual processing in Drosophila. Current biology : CB. 2012;22(24):2294-302. doi:
10.1016/j.cub.2012.10.034. PubMed PMID: 23142045.
47. Leitinger G, Pabst MA, Kral K. Serotonin-immunoreactive neurones in the visual system
of the praying mantis: an immunohistochemical, confocal laser scanning and electron
microscopic study. Brain Res. 1999;823(1-2):11-23. PubMed PMID: 10095007.
48. Nässel DR, Meyer EP, Klemm N. Mapping and ultrastructure of serotonin-
immunoreactive neurons in the optic lobes of three insect species. The Journal of comparative
neurology. 1985;232(2):190-204. doi: 10.1002/cne.902320205. PubMed PMID: 3973090.
49. Nässel DR, Ohlsson L, Sivasubramanian P. Postembryonic differentiation of serotonin-
immunoreactive neurons in fleshfly optic lobes developing in situ or cultured in vivo without eye
discs. The Journal of comparative neurology. 1987;255(3):327-40. doi: 10.1002/cne.902550302.
PubMed PMID: 3546409.
50. Valles AM, White K. Serotonin-containing neurons in Drosophila melanogaster:
development and distribution. The Journal of comparative neurology. 1988;268(3):414-28. doi:
10.1002/cne.902680310. PubMed PMID: 3129459.
51. Hamanaka Y, Kinoshita M, Homberg U, Arikawa K. Immunocytochemical localization of
amines and GABA in the optic lobe of the butterfly, Papilio xuthus. PloS one. 2012;7(7):e41109.
doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0041109. PubMed PMID: 22844431; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMC3402530.
52. Schafer S, Bicker G. Common projection areas of 5-HT- and GABA-like immunoreactive
fibers in the visual system of the honeybee. Brain Res. 1986;380(2):368-70. PubMed PMID:
3530374.
53. Chen B, Meinertzhagen IA, Shaw SR. Circadian rhythms in light-evoked responses of
the fly's compound eye, and the effects of neuromodulators 5-HT and the peptide PDF. J Comp
Physiol [A]. 1999;185:393-404.
54. Ichikawa T. Light suppresses the activity of serotonin-immunoreactive neurons in the
optic lobe of the swallowtail butterfly. Neurosci Lett. 1994;172(1-2):115-8. PubMed PMID:
8084513.
55. Pyza E, Meinertzhagen IA. Neurotransmitters regulate rhythmic size changes amongst
cells in the fly's optic lobe. Journal of comparative physiology A, Sensory, neural, and
behavioral physiology. 1996;178(1):33-45. doi: 10.1007/bf00189588. PubMed PMID: 8568723.
56. Nichols DE, Nichols CD. Serotonin receptors. Chemical reviews. 2008;108(5):1614-41.
doi: 10.1021/cr078224o. PubMed PMID: 18476671.
57. McCorvy JD, Roth BL. Structure and function of serotonin G protein-coupled receptors.
Pharmacology & therapeutics. 2015;150:129-42. doi: 10.1016/j.pharmthera.2015.01.009.
PubMed PMID: 25601315; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4414735.
58. Maroteaux L, Bechade C, Roumier A. Dimers of serotonin receptors: Impact on ligand
affinity and signaling. Biochimie. 2019;161:23-33. doi: 10.1016/j.biochi.2019.01.009. PubMed
PMID: 30685449.

59.   Araneda R, Andrade R. 5-Hydroxytryptamine2 and 5-hydroxytryptamine 1A receptors
mediate opposing responses on membrane excitability in rat association cortex. Neuroscience.
1991;40(2):399-412. doi: 10.1016/0306-4522(91)90128-b. PubMed PMID: 1851255.
60.   Celada P, Puig MV, Artigas F. Serotonin modulation of cortical neurons and networks.
Frontiers in integrative neuroscience. 2013;7:25. doi: 10.3389/fnint.2013.00025. PubMed PMID:
23626526; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3630391.
61.   Davis FP, Nern A, Picard S, Reiser MB, Rubin GM, Eddy SR, et al. A genetic, genomic,
and computational resource for exploring neural circuit function. Elife. 2020;9. doi:
10.7554/eLife.50901. PubMed PMID: 31939737.
62.   Konstantinides N, Kapuralin K, Fadil C, Barboza L, Satija R, Desplan C. Phenotypic
Convergence: Distinct Transcription Factors Regulate Common Terminal Features. Cell.
2018;174(3):622-35 e13. Epub 2018/06/19. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2018.05.021. PubMed PMID:
29909983; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6082168.
63.   Colas JF, Launay JM, Kellermann O, Rosay P, Maroteaux L. Drosophila 5-HT2
serotonin receptor: coexpression with fushi-tarazu during segmentation. Proceedings of the
National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 1995;92(12):5441-5. PubMed
PMID: 7777527; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC41710.
64.   Gasque G, Conway S, Huang J, Rao Y, Vosshall LB. Small molecule drug screening in
Drosophila identifies the 5HT2A receptor as a feeding modulation target. Scientific reports.
2013;3:srep02120. doi: 10.1038/srep02120. PubMed PMID: 23817146; PubMed Central
PMCID: PMC3698492.
65.   Saudou F, Boschert U, Amlaiky N, Plassat JL, Hen R. A family of Drosophila serotonin
receptors with distinct intracellular signalling properties and expression patterns. The EMBO
journal. 1992;11(1):7-17. PubMed PMID: 1310937; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC556419.
66.   Witz P, Amlaiky N, Plassat JL, Maroteaux L, Borrelli E, Hen R. Cloning and
characterization of a Drosophila serotonin receptor that activates adenylate cyclase.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America.
1990;87(22):8940-4. PubMed PMID: 2174167; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC55076.
67.   Blenau W, Daniel S, Balfanz S, Thamm M, Baumann A. Dm5-HT2B: Pharmacological
Characterization of the Fifth Serotonin Receptor Subtype of Drosophila melanogaster. Front
Syst Neurosci. 2017;11:28. Epub 2017/05/11. doi: 10.3389/fnsys.2017.00028. PubMed PMID:
28553207; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5425475.
68.   Gnerer JP, Venken KJ, Dierick HA. Gene-specific cell labeling using MiMIC transposons.
Nucleic acids research. 2015;43(8):e56. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkv113. PubMed PMID: 25712101;
PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4417149.
69.   Venken KJ, Schulze KL, Haelterman NA, Pan H, He Y, Evans-Holm M, et al. MiMIC: a
highly versatile transposon insertion resource for engineering Drosophila melanogaster genes.
Nature methods. 2011;8(9):737-43. PubMed PMID: 21985007; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMC3191940.
70.   Diao F, Ironfield H, Luan H, Shropshire WC, Ewer J, Marr E, et al. Plug-and-play genetic
access to drosophila cell types using exchangeable exon cassettes. Cell Rep. 2015;10(8):1410-
21. Epub 2015/02/26. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2015.01.059. PubMed PMID: 25732830; PubMed
Central PMCID: PMCPMC4373654.
71.   Fischbach KF, Dittrich APM. The optic lobe of Drosophila melanogaster. I. A
Golgi analysis of wild type structure. Cell Tissue Res. 1989;258:441-75.
72.   Sanes JR, Zipursky SL. Design principles of insect and vertebrate visual systems.
Neuron. 2010;66(1):15-36. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2010.01.018. PubMed PMID: 20399726;
PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2871012.
73.   Nern A, Pfeiffer BD, Rubin GM. Optimized tools for multicolor stochastic labeling reveal
diverse stereotyped cell arrangements in the fly visual system. Proceedings of the National

Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2015;112(22):E2967-76. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1506763112. PubMed PMID: 25964354; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4460454.

74.   Edwards TN, Meinertzhagen IA. The functional organisation of glia in the adult brain of Drosophila and other insects. Progress in neurobiology. 2010;90(4):471-97. doi: 10.1016/j.pneurobio.2010.01.001. PubMed PMID: 20109517; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2847375.

75.   DeSalvo MK, Hindle SJ, Rusan ZM, Orng S, Eddison M, Halliwill K, et al. The Drosophila surface glia transcriptome: evolutionary conserved blood-brain barrier processes. Front Neurosci. 2014;8:346. Epub 2014/11/27. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2014.00346. PubMed PMID: 25426014; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4224204.

76.   Nässel DR. Serotonin and serotonin-immunoreactive neurons in the nervous system of insects. Progress in neurobiology. 1988;30(1):1-85. PubMed PMID: 3275407.

77.   Xu L, He J, Kaiser A, Graber N, Schlager L, Ritze Y, et al. A Single Pair of Serotonergic Neurons Counteracts Serotonergic Inhibition of Ethanol Attraction in Drosophila. PloS one. 2016;11(12):e0167518. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0167518. PubMed PMID: 27936023; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5147910.

78.   Pooryasin A, Fiala A. Identified Serotonin-Releasing Neurons Induce Behavioral Quiescence and Suppress Mating in Drosophila. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience. 2015;35(37):12792-812. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1638-15.2015. PubMed PMID: 26377467.

79.   Sitaraman D, Zars M, Laferriere H, Chen YC, Sable-Smith A, Kitamoto T, et al. Serotonin is necessary for place memory in Drosophila. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2008;105(14):5579-84. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0710168105. PubMed PMID: 18385379; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2291120.

80.   Yuan Q, Lin F, Zheng X, Sehgal A. Serotonin modulates circadian entrainment in Drosophila. Neuron. 2005;47(1):115-27. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2005.05.027. PubMed PMID: 15996552.

81.   Alekseyenko OV, Chan YB, Okaty BW, Chang Y, Dymecki SM, Kravitz EA. Serotonergic Modulation of Aggression in Drosophila Involves GABAergic and Cholinergic Opposing Pathways. Current biology : CB. 2019;29(13):2145-56 e5. Epub 2019/06/25. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2019.05.070. PubMed PMID: 31231050; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6633915.

82.   Tuthill JC, Nern A, Holtz SL, Rubin GM, Reiser MB. Contributions of the 12 neuron classes in the fly lamina to motion vision. Neuron. 2013;79(1):128-40. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2013.05.024. PubMed PMID: 23849200; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3806040.

83.   Schmittgen TD, Livak KJ. Analyzing real-time PCR data by the comparative C(T) method. Nature protocols. 2008;3(6):1101-8. PubMed PMID: 18546601.

84.   Deng B, Li Q, Liu X, Cao Y, Li B, Qian Y, et al. Chemoconnectomics: Mapping Chemical Transmission in Drosophila. Neuron. 2019;101(5):876-93 e4. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2019.01.045. PubMed PMID: 30799021.

85.   Qian Y, Cao Y, Deng B, Yang G, Li J, Xu R, et al. Sleep homeostasis regulated by 5HT2b receptor in a small subset of neurons in the dorsal fan-shaped body of drosophila. Elife. 2017;6. doi: 10.7554/eLife.26519. PubMed PMID: 28984573; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5648528.

86.   Macpherson LJ, Zaharieva EE, Kearney PJ, Alpert MH, Lin TY, Turan Z, et al. Dynamic labelling of neural connections in multiple colours by trans-synaptic fluorescence complementation. Nat Commun. 2015;6:10024. Epub 2015/12/04. doi: 10.1038/ncomms10024. PubMed PMID: 26635273; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4686661.

87.   Trueta C, De-Miguel FF. Extrasynaptic exocytosis and its mechanisms: a source of molecules mediating volume transmission in the nervous system. Frontiers in physiology.

2012;3:319. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2012.00319. PubMed PMID: 22969726; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3432928.

88.   Bunin MA, Wightman RM. Paracrine neurotransmission in the CNS: involvement of 5-HT. Trends Neurosci. 1999;22(9):377-82. PubMed PMID: 10441294.

89.   Vizi ES, Fekete A, Karoly R, Mike A. Non-synaptic receptors and transporters involved in brain functions and targets of drug treatment. British journal of pharmacology. 2010;160(4):785-809. doi: 10.1111/j.1476-5381.2009.00624.x. PubMed PMID: 20136842; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2935987.

90.   Fuxe K, Dahlstrom AB, Jonsson G, Marcellino D, Guescini M, Dam M, et al. The discovery of central monoamine neurons gave volume transmission to the wired brain. Progress in neurobiology. 2010;90(2):82-100. doi: 10.1016/j.pneurobio.2009.10.012. PubMed PMID: 19853007.

91.   Hoyer D, Hannon JP, Martin GR. Molecular, pharmacological and functional diversity of 5-HT receptors. Pharmacol Biochem Behav. 2002;71(4):533-54. PubMed PMID: 11888546.

92.   Chen TW, Wardill TJ, Sun Y, Pulver SR, Renninger SL, Baohan A, et al. Ultrasensitive fluorescent proteins for imaging neuronal activity. Nature. 2013;499(7458):295-300. doi: 10.1038/nature12354. PubMed PMID: 23868258; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3777791.

93.   Liu C, Meng Z, Wiggin TD, Yu J, Reed ML, Guo F, et al. A Serotonin-Modulated Circuit Controls Sleep Architecture to Regulate Cognitive Function Independent of Total Sleep in Drosophila. Current biology : CB. 2019;29(21):3635-46 e5. Epub 2019/11/02. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2019.08.079. PubMed PMID: 31668619; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6832866.

94.   Narahashi T. Tetrodotoxin: a brief history. Proc Jpn Acad Ser B Phys Biol Sci. 2008;84(5):147-54. Epub 2008/10/23. doi: 10.2183/pjab.84.147. PubMed PMID: 18941294; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2858367.

95.   Narahashi T, Moore JW, Scott WR. Tetrodotoxin Blockage of Sodium Conductance Increase in Lobster Giant Axons. The Journal of general physiology. 1964;47:965-74. Epub 1964/05/01. doi: 10.1085/jgp.47.5.965. PubMed PMID: 14155438; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2195365.

96.   Mu L, Ito K, Bacon JP, Strausfeld NJ. Optic glomeruli and their inputs in Drosophila share an organizational ground pattern with the antennal lobes. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience. 2012;32(18):6061-71. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0221-12.2012. PubMed PMID: 22553013; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3358351.

97.   Juusola M, Uusitalo RO, Weckstrom M. Transfer of graded potentials at the photoreceptor-interneuron synapse. The Journal of general physiology. 1995;105(1):117-48. doi: 10.1085/jgp.105.1.117. PubMed PMID: 7537323; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2216927.

98.   Uusitalo RO, Juusola M, Kouvalainen E, Weckstrom M. Tonic transmitter release in a graded potential synapse. Journal of neurophysiology. 1995;74(1):470-3. doi: 10.1152/jn.1995.74.1.470. PubMed PMID: 7472349.

99.   Polter AM, Li X. 5-HT1A receptor-regulated signal transduction pathways in brain. Cell Signal. 2010;22(10):1406-12. Epub 2010/04/02. doi: 10.1016/j.cellsig.2010.03.019. PubMed PMID: 20363322; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2903656.

100.   Cao G, Platisa J, Pieribone VA, Raccuglia D, Kunst M, Nitabach MN. Genetically targeted optical electrophysiology in intact neural circuits. Cell. 2013;154(4):904-13. Epub 2013/08/08. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2013.07.027. PubMed PMID: 23932121; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3874294.

101.   Maisak MS, Haag J, Ammer G, Serbe E, Meier M, Leonhardt A, et al. A directional tuning map of Drosophila elementary motion detectors. Nature. 2013;500(7461):212-6. doi: 10.1038/nature12320. PubMed PMID: 23925246.

102.   Takemura SY, Nern A, Chklovskii DB, Scheffer LK, Rubin GM, Meinertzhagen IA. The
comprehensive connectome of a neural substrate for 'ON' motion detection in Drosophila. Elife.
2017;6. doi: 10.7554/eLife.24394. PubMed PMID: 28432786; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMC5435463.
103.   Stuart AE, Borycz J, Meinertzhagen IA. The dynamics of signaling at the histaminergic
photoreceptor synapse of arthropods. Progress in neurobiology. 2007;82(4):202-27. doi:
10.1016/j.pneurobio.2007.03.006. PubMed PMID: 17531368.
104.   Chaturvedi R, Reddig K, Li HS. Long-distance mechanism of neurotransmitter recycling
mediated by glial network facilitates visual function in Drosophila. Proceedings of the National
Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2014;111(7):2812-7. doi:
10.1073/pnas.1323714111. PubMed PMID: 24550312; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3932938.
105.   Nikolaev A, Zheng L, Wardill TJ, O'Kane CJ, de Polavieja GG, Juusola M. Network
adaptation improves temporal representation of naturalistic stimuli in Drosophila eye: II
mechanisms. PloS one. 2009;4(1):e4306. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0004306. PubMed PMID:
19180195; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2628722.
106.   Fisher YE, Yang HH, Isaacman-Beck J, Xie M, Gohl DM, Clandinin TR. FlpStop, a tool
for conditional gene control in Drosophila. eLife. 2017;6. Epub 2017/02/18. doi:
10.7554/eLife.22279. PubMed PMID: 28211790; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5342825.
107.   Kolodziejczyk A, Sun X, Meinertzhagen IA, Nassel DR. Glutamate, GABA and
acetylcholine signaling components in the lamina of the Drosophila visual system. PloS one.
2008;3(5):e2110. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0002110. PubMed PMID: 18464935; PubMed
Central PMCID: PMC2373871.
108.   Chang H-Y, Grygoruk A, Brooks ES, Ackerson LC, Maidment NT, Bainton RJ, et al.
Over-expression of the Drosophila vesicular monoamine transporter increases motor activity
and courtship but decreases the behavioral response to cocaine. Molecular Psychiatry.
2006;11:99-113.
109.   Monastirioti M. Biogenic amine systems in the fruit fly Drosophila melanogaster.
Microscopy research and technique. 1999;45(2):106-21. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1097-
0029(19990415)45:2<106::AID-JEMT5>3.0.CO;2-3. PubMed PMID: 10332728.
110.   Descarries L, Mechawar N. Ultrastructural evidence for diffuse transmission by
monoamine and acetylcholine neurons of the central nervous system. Prog Brain Res.
2000;125:27-47. doi: 10.1016/S0079-6123(00)25005-X. PubMed PMID: 11098652.
111.   Coates KE, Majot AT, Zhang X, Michael CT, Spitzer SL, Gaudry Q, et al. Identified
Serotonergic Modulatory Neurons Have Heterogeneous Synaptic Connectivity within the
Olfactory System of Drosophila. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society
for Neuroscience. 2017;37(31):7318-31. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0192-17.2017. PubMed
PMID: 28659283; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5546105.
112.   Gaspar P, Lillesaar C. Probing the diversity of serotonin neurons. Philosophical
transactions of the Royal Society of London Series B, Biological sciences.
2012;367(1601):2382-94. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2011.0378. PubMed PMID: 22826339; PubMed
Central PMCID: PMC3405676.
113.   Herve D, Pickel VM, Joh TH, Beaudet A. Serotonin axon terminals in the ventral
tegmental area of the rat: fine structure and synaptic input to dopaminergic neurons. Brain Res.
1987;435(1-2):71-83. PubMed PMID: 2892580.
114.   Moukhles H, Bosler O, Bolam JP, Vallee A, Umbriaco D, Geffard M, et al. Quantitative
and morphometric data indicate precise cellular interactions between serotonin terminals and
postsynaptic targets in rat substantia nigra. Neuroscience. 1997;76(4):1159-71. PubMed PMID:
9027876.
115.   Nässel DR, Elekes K. Ultrastructural demonstration of serotonin-immunoreactivity in the
nervous system of an insect (Calliphora erythrocephala). Neurosci Lett. 1984;48(2):203-10.
PubMed PMID: 6384830.

116.   Marder E. Variability, compensation, and modulation in neurons and circuits.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2011;108
Suppl 3:15542-8. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1010674108. PubMed PMID: 21383190; PubMed Central
PMCID: PMC3176600.
117.   Prinz AA, Thirumalai V, Marder E. The functional consequences of changes in the
strength and duration of synaptic inputs to oscillatory neurons. The Journal of neuroscience :
the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience. 2003;23(3):943-54. PubMed PMID:
12574423; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6741924.
118.   Takemura SY, Karuppudurai T, Ting CY, Lu Z, Lee CH, Meinertzhagen IA. Cholinergic
circuits integrate neighboring visual signals in a Drosophila motion detection pathway. Current
biology : CB. 2011;21(24):2077-84. Epub 2011/12/01. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2011.10.053. PubMed
PMID: 22137471; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3265035.
119.   Shinomiya K, Karuppudurai T, Lin TY, Lu Z, Lee CH, Meinertzhagen IA. Candidate
neural substrates for off-edge motion detection in Drosophila. Current biology : CB.
2014;24(10):1062-70. Epub 2014/04/24. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2014.03.051. PubMed PMID:
24768048; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4031294.
120.   Halberstadt AL. Recent advances in the neuropsychopharmacology of serotonergic
hallucinogens. Behav Brain Res. 2015;277:99-120. Epub 2014/07/15. doi:
10.1016/j.bbr.2014.07.016. PubMed PMID: 25036425; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC4642895.
121.   Li YH, Xiang K, Xu X, Zhao X, Li Y, Zheng L, et al. Co-activation of both 5-HT1A and 5-
HT7 receptors induced attenuation of glutamatergic synaptic transmission in the rat visual
cortex. Neurosci Lett. 2018;686:122-6. Epub 2018/09/08. doi: 10.1016/j.neulet.2018.09.013.
PubMed PMID: 30205142.
122.   Sizemore TR, Dacks AM. Serotonergic Modulation Differentially Targets Distinct
Network Elements within the Antennal Lobe of Drosophila melanogaster. Scientific reports.
2016;6:37119. doi: 10.1038/srep37119. PubMed PMID: 27845422; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMC5109230.
123.   Gordon MD, Scott K. Motor control in a Drosophila taste circuit. Neuron. 2009;61(3):373-
84. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2008.12.033. PubMed PMID: 19217375; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMC2650400.
124.   Boppana S, Kendall N, Akinrinsola O, White D, Patel K, Lawal H. Immunolocalization of
the vesicular acetylcholine transporter in larval and adult Drosophila neurons. Neurosci Lett.
2017;643:76-83. Epub 2017/02/07. doi: 10.1016/j.neulet.2017.02.012. PubMed PMID:
28188850; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5382984.
125.   Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, et al. Fiji: an
open-source platform for biological-image analysis. Nature methods. 2012;9(7):676-82. Epub
2012/06/28. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.2019. PubMed PMID: 22743772; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC3855844.
126.   Tan L, Zhang KX, Pecot MY, Nagarkar-Jaiswal S, Lee PT, Takemura SY, et al. Ig
Superfamily Ligand and Receptor Pairs Expressed in Synaptic Partners in Drosophila. Cell.
2015;163(7):1756-69. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2015.11.021. PubMed PMID: 26687360; PubMed
Central PMCID: PMC4804707.
127.   Hu Y, Sopko R, Foos M, Kelley C, Flockhart I, Ammeux N, et al. FlyPrimerBank: an
online database for Drosophila melanogaster gene expression analysis and knockdown
evaluation of RNAi reagents. G3 (Bethesda). 2013;3(9):1607-16. Epub 2013/09/04. doi:
10.1534/g3.113.007021. PubMed PMID: 23893746; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC3755921.
128.   Picelli S, Bjorklund AK, Faridani OR, Sagasser S, Winberg G, Sandberg R. Smart-seq2
for sensitive full-length transcriptome profiling in single cells. Nature methods.
2013;10(11):1096-8. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.2639. PubMed PMID: 24056875.

129.   Picelli S, Faridani OR, Bjorklund AK, Winberg G, Sagasser S, Sandberg R. Full-length
RNA-seq from single cells using Smart-seq2. Nature protocols. 2014;9(1):171-81. doi:
10.1038/nprot.2014.006. PubMed PMID: 24385147.
130.   Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, et al. STAR: ultrafast
universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics. 2013;29(1):15-21. doi:
10.1093/bioinformatics/bts635. PubMed PMID: 23104886; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMC3530905.
131.   Keles MF, Frye MA. Object-Detecting Neurons in Drosophila. Current biology : CB.
2017;27(5):680-7. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2017.01.012. PubMed PMID: 28190726; PubMed Central
PMCID: PMCPMC5340600.
132.   Weir PT, Dickinson MH. Functional divisions for visual processing in the central brain of
flying Drosophila. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of
America. 2015;112(40):E5523-32. Epub 2015/09/02. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1514415112. PubMed
PMID: 26324910; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4603480.
133.   Wilson RI, Turner GC, Laurent G. Transformation of olfactory representations in the
Drosophila antennal lobe. Science. 2004;303(5656):366-70. Epub 2003/12/18. doi:
10.1126/science.1090782. PubMed PMID: 14684826.
134.   Akin O, Zipursky SL. Frazzled promotes growth cone attachment at the source of a
Netrin gradient in the Drosophila visual system. Elife. 2016;5. doi: 10.7554/eLife.20762.
PubMed PMID: 27743477; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5108592.

1574

1575

1576