Serotonergic Modulation of a Visual Microcircuit in *Drosophila melanogaster*

Katherine M Myers Gschweng[1,3]*, **Maureen M Sampson**[1,2]*, **Ben J Hardcastle**[4], **Tyler R Sizemore**[5], **Andrew M Dacks**[5], **Mark A Frye**[4], **David E Krantz**[1,3]

*These authors contributed equally

[1]UCLA, Hatos Center for Neuropharmacology, Los Angeles, CA, USA

[2]UCLA, Molecular Toxicology Interdepartmental Program, Los Angeles, CA, USA

[3]UCLA, Psychiatry and Biobehavioral Sciences, David Geffen School of Medicine, Los Angeles, CA, USA

[4]UCLA, Department of Integrative Biology and Physiology, Los Angeles, CA, USA

[5]Department of Biology, West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV, USA

Abstract

Serotonin (5-HT, 5-hydroxytryptamine) and other neuromodulators tune circuit activity to impart behavioral flexibility and adaptation. We demonstrate that *Drosophila* optic lobe neurons involved in the initial steps of visual processing are subject to serotonergic neuromodulation. The visual processing neurons L2 and T1 express 5-HT2B and 5-HT1-type receptors, respectively. Serotonin increases calcium in L2, but not T1 neurons, and serotonin potentiates the L2 neuron response to luminance increases. While we did not detect serotonin receptor expression in L1 neurons, they also undergo serotonin-induced calcium changes, likely through electrical coupling between L1 and L2 neurons. We also found that L2 and T1 form reciprocal synapses onto serotonergic projections in the medulla, forming a potential feedback loop. Together, these results describe a serotonergic microcircuit for regulating the first steps of visual processing in *Drosophila*.

Introduction

Behavioral flexibility requires dynamic changes in neural circuit function, which is often achieved by aminergic or peptidergic neuromodulatory signaling (Katz, 1999; Kupfermann, 1979; Marder, 2012; Marder et al., 2014; Nadim et al., 2014). Neuromodulators alter the biophysical and synaptic properties of individual neurons and circuits to shape behavior and to meet the animal's changing needs (Katz, 1999; Kupfermann, 1979; Marder, 2012; Marder et al., 2014; Nadim et al., 2014). Serotonin acts a neuromodulator in a variety of circuits including the sensory systems required for olfaction, hearing, and vision (Andres et al., 2016; Arechiga et al., 1990; Brunert et al., 2016; Dacks et al., 2008; Fotowat et al., 2016; Kloppenburg et al., 1999; Lottem et al., 2016; Moreau et al., 2010; Papesh et al., 2016; Petzold et al., 2009; Seillier et al., 2017; Watakabe et al., 2009). In the mammalian visual cortex, serotonin regulates the balance of excitation and inhibition (Moreau et al., 2010), cellular plasticity (Gagolewicz et al., 2016; Gu et al., 1995; Lombaert et al., 2018; Wang et al., 1997), and response gain (Seillier et al., 2017; Shimegi et al., 2016). In the mammalian retina, serotonin signaling reduces GABAergic amacrine cell input to retinal ganglion cells (RGCs) via 5-HT1A (Zhou et al., 2018) and can modulate the response of RGCs to visual stimuli (Trakhtenberg et al., 2017). Multiple serotonin receptor subtypes are expressed in visual and other sensory systems; however, the manner in which serotonin receptor activation is integrated into sensory circuits to regulate information processing remains poorly understood.

The visual system of *Drosophila melanogaster* provides a powerful genetic model to study the mechanisms underlying visual circuit activity and regulation (Borst et al., 2015). In *Drosophila,* visual processing begins in the lamina where intrinsic monopolar neurons L1, L2, and L3 receive direct input from photoreceptors (Meinertzhagen et al., 1991). L1 and L2 neurons are first-order neurons that feed into pathways discriminating light "ON" (i.e., increase in luminance) and light "OFF" (i.e., decrease in luminance) stimuli respectively (Joesch et al.,

2010; Strother et al., 2014). L1 and L2 neurons respond in a physiologically identical manner to

changes in luminance (Clark et al., 2011; Yang et al., 2016; Zheng et al., 2006), while

downstream neurons in the medulla transform this information to discriminate ON versus OFF

stimuli (Strother et al., 2014). Further processing occurs in the lobula and lobula plate to

mediate higher-order computations for both motion and contrast detection (Bahl et al., 2015;

Behnia et al., 2015; Strother et al., 2014).

Serotonergic neurons broadly innervate the optic ganglia of *Drosophila* and other

insects, including the lamina, medulla, lobula, and lobula plate (Hamanaka et al., 2012; Leitinger

et al., 1999; Nässel et al., 1985; Nässel et al., 1987; Schafer et al., 1986; Valles et al., 1988).

Significant progress has been made in mapping the synaptic connectivity of the optic lobe,

including neurons required for motion detection (Meinertzhagen et al., 1991; Rivera-Alba et al.,

2011; Shinomiya et al., 2019; Takemura et al., 2013; Takemura et al., 2008; Takemura et al.,

2017; Takemura et al., 2015). By contrast, the connectivity of serotoninergic projections in the

optic lobe and the mechanisms by which serotonergic signaling may regulate visual processing

in flies or other insects remains unclear.

Here, we leverage *Drosophila* molecular-genetic tools to identify the expression patterns

of serotonin receptors present in a subset of critical visual processing lamina neurons and the

connectivity of these cells. We demonstrate that serotonin signaling increases intracellular

calcium and enhances stimulus-response in L2 neurons, establishing a potential mechanism for

serotonergic regulation of the initial events required for visual processing.

Results

Serotoninergic circuitry in the optic lobe

Five genes encoding serotonin receptors have been identified in the *Drosophila* genome: 5-HT1A, 5-HT1B, 5-HT2A, 5-HT2B and 5-HT7 (Colas et al., 1995; Gasque et al., 2013; Saudou et al., 1992; Witz et al., 1990). To identify specific neurons in the optic lobes expressing each receptor, we expressed the marker mCD8::GFP in flies under the control of a recently characterized panel of GAL4 insertions in Minos-mediated Integration Cassettes (MiMICs) (Diao et al., 2015; Gnerer et al., 2015). MiMICS are contained within receptor-encoding genes and "mimic" their endogenous expression patterns (Venken et al., 2011). We observed distinct expression patterns for each receptor throughout the optic lobes (Figure 1), however we focused on expression in the lamina, the first optic ganglion and a region crucial for early visual processing. In particular, 5-HT1A, 5-HT1B and 5-HT2B receptor subtypes showed prominent expression in the lamina (Figure 1A-C) and we therefore concentrate on these receptor subtypes. Both 5-HT1A and 5-HT1B GFP-positive neurons innervated the lamina neuropil. We did not detect expression of either receptor in cell bodies of the lamina cortex, but rather observed GFP-labeled somata at the edge of the medulla (Figure 1A, B). 5-HT2B driven expression was prominent in lamina cortex cell bodies as well as in the lamina and medulla neuropil (Figure 1C). For 5-HT1B, additional pleomorphic labeling was observed in the lamina cortex (arrowhead in Figure 1B) in a pattern that appeared similar to that of optic lobe glia (Edwards et al., 2010). We focus here on serotonin receptor expression in lamina neurons rather than glia.

Serotonin immunolabeling was observed in processes within all optic ganglia as well as a cluster of 8-10 cell bodies in the accessory medulla (Figure 1D, G). The cell bodies correspond to cluster LP2 (or Cb1), previously shown to project into the medulla (Nässel, 1988; Valles et al., 1988; Xu et al., 2016). Many of the neuronal processes expressing serotonin

receptor MiMIC-GAL4 driven GFP showed close apposition to serotonergic boutons (Figure 1D-

I). For example, 5-HT1B-expressing terminals in the distal medulla were surrounded by a

honeycomb pattern of serotonergic

labeling (Figure 1G-I),

Figure 1. Serotonin receptors and
serotonergic projections in the optic
lobe. (**A-I**) Serotonin receptor MiMIC-
GAL4 lines were crossed to UAS-
mCD8::GFP to identify patterns of
expression in the optic lobe. (**A-C**) GFP-
labeled cells representing the 5-HT1A
(**A**), 5-HT1B (**B**), and 5-HT2B (**C**)
MiMIC-GAL4 lines are visible in the
neuropils of the lamina (la), medulla
(me), lobula (lo) and lobula plate (lp).
The arrowhead in (**B**) marks
pleomorphic 5-HT1B>GFP labeling in
the lamina cortex, possibly representing
glial expression. (**D-F**) Anti-serotonin
labeled boutons were observed
throughout the optic lobe, including
medulla layer M2 (asterisk) and the
indicated LP2 cluster of cells. (**G-I**) In a
frontal view, serotonin boutons (**G, I**)
surround each column containing 5-
HT1B>GFP projections in the medulla
(**H, I**). n = 6-13 brains per condition. Scale bars: 20µm (A-C), 25µm (D-F), and 10µm (G-I).

Distinct lamina neurons express different serotonin receptors

To identify individual neurons expressing serotonin receptors in the lamina, we used the

receptor MiMIC-GAL4 lines in combination with the sparse labeling technique MultiColor FlpOut

(MCFO-1) (Nern et al., 2015). In 5-HT1A and 5-HT1B sparse-labeling experiments we

consistently observed cells with a soma in the medulla cortex, a long basket-like projection in

the lamina, and a smaller projection in the medulla. This morphology is consistent with that of

T1 cells (Figure 2A-B, D-E, G) (Fischbach et al., 1989). Using the 5-HT2B driver, MCFO-1

labeled cells with a soma in the lamina cortex, dense projections extending into the lamina

neuropil, and a single bushy terminal in the medulla (Figure 2C, F), a morphology identical to

the lamina monopolar neuron L2 (Figure 2G) (Fischbach et al., 1989).

Figure 2. T1 and L2 neurons
express serotonin receptors. (**A-**
G) Serotonin receptor MiMIC-
GAL4 lines were crossed to UAS-
MCFO-1 to sparsely label
individual cells in the lamina. Most
of the optic lobe is shown in (**A-C**)
and individual cells are shown at
higher magnification to illustrate
their morphology in (**D-F**). Both 5-
HT1A (**A, D**) and 5-HT1B (**B, E**)
MCFO-1 crosses revealed cells
with morphologies identical to T1
neurons. (**C, F**) 5-HT2B driving
MCFO-1 labeled cells
morphologically identical to L2

neurons. (**G**) A diagram showing lamina neurons adapted from (Fischbach et al., 1989)
highlights L1 (blue), L2 (green) and T1 (magenta) cells. (**H-J**) RT-qPCR performed on cDNA
from isolated T1, L2 or L1 neurons expressing GFP showed enrichment for serotonin receptors
relative to other GFP-negative cells from the optic lobe (H-J, top panels). End-point PCR from a
representative RT-qPCR reaction is shown below each bar graph (H-J, bottom panels). Scale
bars are 20μm (A-C) and 10μm (D-F); for (A-F) n = 10-35 brains. For (H-J), n = 3-6 biological
replicates; bars in (H-J) represent mean ± SEM.

To independently confirm expression of 5-HT1A and 5-HT1B in T1 neurons, and 5-HT2B

in L2 neurons, we used split-GAL4 drivers specific for each cell type to express GFP (Tuthill et

al., 2013). GFP-labeled cells were isolated via Fluorescence Activated Cell Sorting (FACS) and

RT-qPCR was performed on isolates from either T1 or L2 cells to probe for serotonin receptor

expression. Consistent with our MCFO-1 data, RT-qPCR from GFP-labeled T1 isolates showed

enrichment of both 5-HT1A and 5-HT1B transcripts, but not other serotonin receptors (Figure

2H and Supplemental Table 1).

In contrast to T1, L2 isolates showed consistent enrichment for 5-HT2B, but not 5-HT1A

or 5-HT1B transcripts (Figure 2I and Supplemental Table 1). Additional receptors were

occasionally detected in the L2 samples: 5-HT7 was amplified in 3 out of 5 samples and 5-HT2A

in a single sample (Figure 2I and Supplemental Table 1). It is possible that these transcripts

were derived from neurons incompletely dissociated from L2 prior to FACS. Alternatively,

expression of some serotonin receptors may occur in L2 in a mosaic pattern too sparse to be

easily detected using single cell labeling methods such as MCFO-1.

We did not observe evidence of any serotonin receptor expression in L1 neurons using

the serotonin receptor MiMIC-GAL4 lines to drive either mCD8::GFP or MCFO-1 (see Figure 1).

In agreement with this observation, RT-qPCR from isolated L1 cells showed virtually no receptor

expression, apart from one sample weakly enriched for 5-HT1B (Figure 2J and Supplemental

Table 1). In sum, MCFO-1 sparse labeling in combination with RT-qPCR show that T1 neurons

express 5-HT1A and 5-HT1B, L2 neurons express 5-HT2B, and L1 neurons do not detectably

express any serotonin receptor subtypes. These data are consistent with a recent computational

genomics study, which reported a high probability of expression for 5-HT2B in L2, 5-HT1A and

5-HT1B in T1, and a low likelihood of any serotonin receptor expression in L1 neurons (Davis et

al., 2018).

Serotonin increases calcium levels in L2 and L1 neurons

To determine the potential effects of serotonin on lamina neurons expressing serotonin

receptors, we bath applied serotonin and used GCaMP6f to monitor cytosolic calcium levels. 5-

HT2B receptors couple with $G_{q/11}$ and increase intracellular calcium *in vitro* (Blenau et al., 2017;

Hoyer et al., 2002). To test whether serotonin could have similar effects in 5-HT2B-expressing

L2 neurons, we used the L2 split-GAL4 driver to express GCaMP6f (Figure 3A). After recording

a baseline in saline, the perfusion solution was switched to either saline containing 100 µM

serotonin or saline alone. Tetrodotoxin (TTX) was included in all perfusion solutions to inhibit

synaptic input from other cells. We consistently observed a large increase in L2>GCaMP6f

fluorescence following serotonin application (Figure 3B and Supplemental Figure S1A). This

increase continued throughout the time course of recording, peaking at 1.73 ΔF/F ± 0.77 SEM

(compared to saline control -0.03 ΔF/F ± 0.05 SEM at the same timepoint; p=0.0095). Thus,

serotonin leads to an accumulation of cytosolic calcium in L2 cells, consistent with the predicted

outcome of activating $G_{q/11}$ coupled 5-HT2B receptors (Blenau et al., 2017; Hoyer et al., 2002).

Figure 3. Bath application
of serotonin leads to
increased calcium in L2 and
L1 neurons, but not T1
neurons. (**A-C**) GCaMP6f
was paired with L2, L1 and
T1 split GAL4 drivers to
monitor responses to 100
µM serotonin (colored
traces) or saline controls
(gray traces). (**A**) The
experimental setup is
shown in the top panel,
along with a sample image
of L2 terminals (bottom
panel) as imaged in the
medulla (gray), and with the overlaid ROI used for
analysis (green). For bath application experiments (**B, C, E, F**), the first 60s of baseline is not
shown; traces represent data recorded following a switch at time 0 to saline with serotonin or
saline alone. The length of time for the switch to complete was roughly 1min 45s. In L2
terminals (**B**), serotonin application led to a significant increase in GCaMP6f signal indicating
increased calcium levels as compared to saline controls (p = 00095). L1 terminals (**C**) showed a
similar increase in calcium following a switch to serotonin (p = 0.02). (**D**) RT-qPCR from cell
isolates revealed enrichment of the gap junction protein ShakB in L2 and L1, but not T1
neurons. (**E**) T1 cells expressing GCaMP6f showed no significant change in calcium following
serotonin application (p>0.05). (**F**) T1 cells expressing the voltage sensor ArcLight similarly

displayed no significant change following serotonin application (p>0.05). For (B, C, E, F) n = 4-8

individual flies; the dark trace is an average of all traces and the shaded region is 1 SD. For (D),

n = 3 individual isolates for each cell type. Bars in (D) represent the mean ± SEM.

We did not detect serotonin receptors in L1 neurons and therefore we did not expect

serotonin to measurably change cytosolic calcium in these neurons. However, when GCaMP6f

was expressed in L1 cells, we regularly observed a robust increase in baseline fluorescence

following serotonin exposure with a similar latency to L2 (Figure 3C and S1B). Although the

increase in GCaMP6f signal did not reach the same response amplitude as observed in L2

neurons, the effect in L1 neurons similarly persisted throughout the time of recording and

peaked at 0.98 $\Delta F/F$ ± 0.34 SEM (compared to saline control at 0.07 $\Delta F/F$ ± 0.09 SEM; p=0.02).

As the experiments were performed in the presence of TTX, the serotonin response in L1 is

unlikely to be a result of synaptic input; a direct action of serotonin on L1 is also unlikely due to

the absence of endogenous serotonin receptors. We therefore hypothesized that electrical

coupling between L1 and L2 could account for the observed effect of serotonin in L1 (Joesch et

al., 2010). In support of this possibility, we found that transcripts for the gap junction protein

Shaking-B (ShakB) were enriched in L1 and L2 neurons, but not T1 neurons (Figure 3D).

We next examined whether serotonin could affect the activity of T1 cells. Both 5-HT1A

and 5-HT1B receptors, expressed in T1 neurons, are expected to couple with G_i proteins and

negatively regulate adenylyl cyclase (Hoyer et al., 2002; Saudou et al., 1992). Due to the

generally inhibitory function of these receptors, we hypothesized that serotonin would dampen

activity in T1 neurons, possibly manifested as a decrease in cytosolic calcium. Using the T1

split-GAL4 driver to express GCaMP6f, however, we did not observe a significant change in

fluorescence comparable to that seen in L2 or L1 neurons (Figure 3E). Importantly, the absence

of a GCaMP6f response in T1 neurons indicates that the response observed in L1 and L2 is not

a generalized phenomenon common to all cells in the lamina.

As 5-HT1A receptor activation can lead to hyperpolarization in neurons through

regulation of potassium channels (Polter et al., 2010), we also examined whether a voltage

sensor could identify baseline changes in T1 cells following serotonin application. However, we

did not observe any significant serotonin-evoked change in fluorescence using the voltage

sensor ArcLight (Cao et al., 2013) expressed in T1 cells (Figure 3F and S1D; p>0.1) and further

experiments will be needed to determine the effects of serotonin on T1.

T1 and L2 provide synaptic feedback onto serotonergic neurons in the optic lobes

Serotonergic circuits often receive synaptic feedback from their target networks, as is the

case for long-range projections from the mammalian cortex and the retina back to the raphe

(Huang et al., 2017; Ogawa et al., 2014; Pollak Dorocic et al., 2014; Weissbourd et al., 2014; T.

Zhang et al., 2016). A number of processes in the fly optic lobe have been shown to be axo-

dendritic and contain both pre- and post-synaptic specializations (Meinertzhagen et al., 1991;

Takemura et al., 2013; Takemura et al., 2015). We speculated that serotonergic neurons in the

optic lobe might not only provide modulatory input, but also receive input from optic lobe

neurons. To explore this possibility, we used a SerT-GAL4 driver to express the dendritic

marker DenMark (Nicolai et al., 2010) in serotonin neurons that project to the optic lobe. We

found that the postsynaptic marker and serotonergic-immunolabeled puncta (representing pre-

synaptic release sites) were adjacent or overlapping at multiple sites in both the lamina (data

not shown) and medulla (Figure 4A).

To more directly test whether L1, L2 or T1 neurons synapse onto serotonergic

projections in the optic lobe we used an activity-dependent synaptic version of GFP

Reconstitution Across Synaptic Partners (sybGRASP) (Macpherson et al., 2015). In this variant

of GRASP, the presynaptic cell expresses split-GFP fused to the synaptic vesicle protein n-

synaptobrevin to direct one of the GFP fragments to vesicular release sites. The postsynaptic

cell expresses a fusion of the second fragment of GFP and the membrane protein CD4

(Macpherson et al., 2015). When L2 was presynaptic to serotonergic processes, reconstituted

GFP was observed in the lamina cortex and the medulla neuropil (Figure 4B,C and S2). In the

medulla neuropil, robust punctate GFP labeling was observed in layer M2 (Figure 4C) where

serotonin varicosities and L2 terminals converge. L2 neurons are cholinergic (Takemura et al.,

2015), and we observed reconstituted GFP either co-localized or closely apposed *Drosophila*

Vesicular Acetylcholine Transporter (VAChT) immunoreactive processes in and around M2

(Figure 4B', C') (Boppana et al., 2017).

Figure 4. Visual neurons form sybGRASP
contacts onto postsynaptic serotonergic
processes. (**A**) SerT-GAL4 was used to express the
postsynaptic marker DenMark. Punctate DenMark
labeling (**A, A''**) was detected in the medulla (me)
along with release sites labeled with anti-serotonin
(**A', A''**). (**B-D**) For SybGRASP, L2 or T1 split GAL4
drivers were used to express the presynaptic half of
GFP and the postsynaptic half of GFP was
expressed in serotonergic neurons using a TRH-
LexA driver. (**B, C**) With L2 neurons presynaptic to
TRH cells, sybGRASP signal was observed in cell
bodies in the lamina (arrowhead, see Supplemental
Figure for higher magnification) and in the medulla
neuropil (boxed area in **B** shown at higher
magnification in **C**). The sybGRASP signal was
adjacent to VAChT, a marker for cholinergic neurons
including L2 (**B', C'**). (**D**) With T1 neurons pre-
synaptic to TRH, sybGRASP signal was detected in
the medulla neuropil and adjacent to post-synaptic
anti-serotonin immunolabeling. n = 6-13 brains per condition. Scale bars: (A) 25 µm, (B) 15µm,
(C, D) 5µm.

We similarly examined whether T1 neurons synapse onto serotonergic processes. As

with L2 neurons, punctate GFP labeling was present throughout the M2 layer of the medulla

representing contacts from T1 onto serotonergic neurons (Figure 4D). To allow co-labeling with

a marker for T1, we attempted to determine its neurochemical identity using a panel of

molecular markers for acetylcholine, glutamate and GABA, but failed to establish that T1

expresses ChAT, VGlut or GAD1 (Figure S3). We therefore relied on serotonin-immunolabeling

to mark the serotonergic component of the presumptive synapses. We found that reconstituted

GFP signal was adjacent to serotonin labeling in the medulla (Figure 4D") and did detect

sybGRASP labeling in the lamina (data not shown). Together, these data suggest that T1

neurons synapse onto serotonergic processes in M2.

In contrast to L2 and T1, sybGRASP experiments in which L1 was presynaptic to

serotonergic cells, did not show reconstituted GFP in M2 or elsewhere in the neuropil of either

the lamina or medulla (Figure S4). However, we occasionally observed a sybGRASP signal in

L1 cell bodies within the lamina cortex (Figure S4), and we also observed labeling in cell bodies

in L2 sybGRASP experiments (Figure 4B and S2). We have not pursued this observation since

all known synaptic communication in *Drosophila* occurs in the neuropil rather than the cortex

and neurotransmitter release from lamina cell bodies has not been reported.

Serotonergic neurons likely signal through volume transmission in the optic lobe

Although some mammalian serotonergic neurons make synaptic connections (Gaspar et

al., 2012; Herve et al., 1987; Moukhles et al., 1997) most serotonergic signaling in the

mammalian brain occurs through extra-synaptic volume transmission (Bunin et al., 1999; Fuxe

et al., 2010; Trueta et al., 2012; Vizi et al., 2010). Little is known about serotonergic connectivity

in the *Drosophila* optic ganglia, and EM studies in other insects have revealed sites likely to

represent both synaptic and non-synaptic release (Nässel et al., 1984; Nässel et al., 1985). To

determine whether serotonergic neurons directly synapse upon L1, L2 or T1 cells, we again

used sybGRASP with serotonergic cells expressing the presynaptic component of GFP

(Macpherson et al., 2015). With SerT presynaptic to L2, T1 or L1 neurons we did not detect any

reconstituted GFP in the medulla (Figure S5) and only occasional GFP puncta in the lamina

cortex (3 out of 7 L2 brains, see Figure S5). Although it remains possible that serotonergic

synapses onto L1, L2 or T1 neurons were present but undetectable, this seems unlikely since

we observed a robust signal in parallel experiments in which the post-synaptic component of

GFP was expressed in serotonergic cells (see Figure 4). Together, these data suggest that

most signaling from serotonergic neurons onto L1, L2 and T1 neurons is likely to occur through

a non-synaptic mechanism, similar to the extensive use of volume transmission in the

mammalian brain (Fuxe et al., 2010; Vizi et al., 2010).

L2 and T1 neurons form reciprocal synaptic connections

L2 and T1 terminals converge with serotonergic processes in medulla layer M2 and we

reasoned that the two might have direct synaptic contact here. In examining previously

published ultrastructural studies, we found that synapses have been identified from L2 onto T1

neurons in the medulla, as well as from T1 onto L2 neurons, albeit to a lesser extent (Takemura

et al., 2013; Takemura et al., 2015). Using sybGRASP, we observed robust signals representing

presynaptic L2 contacts onto postsynaptic T1 sites and vice versa in layer M2 (Figure S6).

To confirm the synaptic nature of L2 and T1 neurons in this region, we used syt.eGFP

and DenMark markers to label pre and postsynaptic sites, respectively. In both neuron types,

we detected strong labeling with both syt.eGFP and DenMark in the medulla, supporting a

mixed axo-dendritic nature for both neuron types in the medulla (Figure S7). Our GRASP data

and previously published ultrastructural data are thus consistent with a reciprocal connection

between L2 and T1 neurons in the medulla, and more specifically, in layer M2.

Serotonin in visual processing

Having identified serotonin receptors in L2 and T1, and robust calcium accumulation in

L1 and L2 neurons in response to serotonin, we predicted that serotonergic neuromodulation

could impact visual processing. To test this hypothesis we used brief light or dark flashes to

stimulate L2 neurons with methods previously described (Yang et al., 2016). We expressed

GCaMP6f in L2 neurons and imaged terminals in the medulla of flies suspended over an LED

arena to present visual stimuli (see Figure 3A). Light and dark flashes of the entire LED screen,

each lasting 100 ms, were presented at 5 s intervals with intermediate-level brightness. One-

minute epochs consisting of 12 flashes of randomly shuffled polarity were presented six times

for each trial (Figure 5A). The first epoch was recorded in saline, followed by a switch to either

saline with 100 µM serotonin or saline alone. Similar to previous observations, serotonin

perfusion increased baseline calcium levels (Figure 3B, 5A). When flies were presented with a

light flash under baseline perfusion with saline, L2 neurons responded with an initial stimulus-

mediated decrease in GCaMP6f fluorescence, followed by a sustained increase (Figure 5D),

consistent with previous results (Yang et al., 2016). Strikingly, when perfusion was switched to

saline with serotonin, the amplitude of the L2 responses to light flashes was strongly enhanced

and continued to increase over the

course of the experiment (Figure 5E, G;

p<0.01).

Figure 5. **Serotonin enhances the L2**
neuron response to light flashes. L2
split GAL4 was crossed to UAS-
GCaMP6f to monitor calcium changes
following brief light or dark flashes, either
with or without serotonin in the perfusion
solution. A sample experiment with
serotonin is shown in (**A**). Light or dark
stimuli (upper middle panel) were flashed
at random every 5s. The preparation was
initially perfused with saline alone, and
the solution was switched to saline with
serotonin after one minute (lower middle
panel); the perfusion switch took ~45s to
complete. The time course of each
experiment was divided into 60s epochs

for analysis in (**B, C, D, E**). The highlighted areas (**a, b**) in the top panel are also shown as
insets with an expanded time scale. (**B, C, D, E**) Mean traces representing each progressive
60s epoch are shown with responses to dark flashes (**B, C**) and light flashes (**D, E**). (**B**) In
control experiments, L2 terminals show a strong increase in GCaMP6f fluorescence following a
dark pulse before returning to baseline. (**C**) Similar waveform responses were observed
following addition of serotonin to the bath. (**F**) Dark flash peaks for each 60s epoch show that
serotonin did not significantly impact responses (p>0.05). (**D**) When a light flash was presented
in control experiments, L2 cells responded with a decrease in GCaMP signal followed by a large
sustained increase. (**E**) The same waveform response was seen for L2 light responses in
serotonin. (**G**) The peak responses following a light pulse are summarized and show that
serotonin significantly increased the amplitude relatively to saline alone (p<0.01). For (B-G) n =
7-11 individual flies.

Dark flashes also induced a large increase in calcium that returned to baseline within ~1

second (Figure 5B), however, in contrast to light flashes, the effect on response amplitude to the

dark flash did not differ from saline controls (Figure 5F; p>0.05). These data indicate that in

addition to increasing absolute intracellular calcium levels, serotonin enhances L2 responses in

a stimulus specific manner.

Discussion

To begin our study of serotonergic regulation of the *Drosophila* visual system, we

mapped the expression of specific subtypes of serotonin receptors to visual processing neurons

in the optic lobe. We observed expression of serotonin receptors throughout the optic lobe, with

distinct expression patterns, suggesting a role for serotonin signaling in visual processing.

Specifically, we found that L2 neurons express 5-HT2B and that T1 neurons express 5-HT1A

and 5-HT1B, while L1 neurons do not consistently show expression of any serotonin receptors.

Our data are consistent with a recent report of transcriptional profiles for L1, L2, T1 as well as

other optic lobe neurons (Davis et al., 2018).

Recent work has reported serotonin receptor expression by photoreceptors (Davis et al.,

2018), and an earlier study described serotonergic modulation of potassium channels in

photoreceptors (Hevers et al., 1995). However, we did not detect expression of any serotonin

receptors in photoreceptors using MiMIC-GAL4 lines. It is possible that incomplete dissociation

of glia, which we suggest express 5-HT1B, could have contaminated isolates of photoreceptors

used in the prior genomic analysis of receptor expression (Davis et al., 2018). Likewise,

incomplete dissociation could have resulted in the presence of L2 processes on photoreceptor

cell preparations used for physiological preparations (Hevers et al., 1995). Since L2 provides

feedback onto photoreceptors R1-6 (Meinertzhagen et al., 1991), our demonstration that

serotonin modulates L2 neurons suggests a potential mechanism for the previous physiological

observations (Hevers et al., 1995). The use of MiMIC-GAL4 lines bypasses issues of cell

dissociation that were a caveat in previous strategies to map receptor expression. However, the

lower limits detection using this strategy are unclear. Therefore, we cannot at present rule out

the possibility that some photoreceptors express serotonin receptors that were not detected

using the MiMIC-GAL4 lines.

Serotonin signaling occurs via G-protein coupled receptors, which can induce immediate

or long-term changes in cell physiology. We examined acute responses to serotonin receptor

activation by bath applying serotonin onto optic lobe tissue. Consistent with the predicted

coupling of 5-HT2B to G_q, we found that L2 neurons respond with a robust increase in calcium

measured by GCaMP6f fluorescence (Figure 3B and S1A); however, we cannot rule out a

potential contribution from either 5-HT2A or 5-HT7, as both showed expression in our RT-qPCR

data set (Figure 2I). In contrast to the robust increase in calcium in L2 neurons in response to

serotonin, we did not observe a significant change in baseline calcium or voltage with T1

neurons (Figure 3E-F and S1C-D). It is possible that serotonin regulates dynamic patterns of

neuronal activity in T1 rather than static properties such as cytosolic calcium concentration or

resting membrane potential. Alternatively, other probes (e.g., for cAMP) may be necessary to

detect the acute response of T1 to serotonin. Either possibility could explain our inability to

detect an acute response to bath applied serotonin in T1 using either GCaMP or ArcLight.

However, it is also possible that activation of 5HT1 receptors do not induce significant acute

physiological responses, and that chronic changes in 5HT1 receptor activation are necessary to

induce measurable changes in T1.

In L1 neurons, which do not express serotonin receptors, we unexpectedly observed a

large calcium response to serotonin similar to that of L2 (Figures 3C and S1B). As these

experiments were performed in the presence of the voltage-gated Na^+ channel inhibitor TTX,

which inhibits action potentials, we expect that the effect was non-synaptic. Notably, we did not

observe a comparable calcium change in T1 neurons, which do receive synaptic input from L2

neurons (Figure S6) (Takemura et al., 2015). A compelling explanation for the calcium effect in

L1 is that gap junction coupling with L2 neurons mediates the response indirectly (Joesch et al.,

2010). Joesch et al. (2010) found that electrical coupling was able to activate both L1 and L2

even when photoreceptor input to one of the two cells was blocked (Joesch et al., 2010). L1 and

L2 show immunolabeling with Shaking B (Joesch et al., 2010), a gap junction protein, and we

found here that both L2 and L1, but not T1 neurons, are enriched in Shaking B transcript (Figure

3D). Alternatively, L1 may be electrically coupled with other as yet unidentified cells that are

modulated by serotonin. Other mechanisms are also possible such as chemically mediated

synaptic inputs to L1 that do not depend on action potentials. Regardless of the underlying

mechanism, our data underscore the potential importance of an indirect pathway for

serotonergic neuromodulation here and in other circuits.

In both mammals and insects, serotonin can be released extra-synaptically through

volume transmission (Bunin et al., 1999; Descarries et al., 2000) or through synaptic sites

(Coates et al., 2017; Gaspar et al., 2012; Herve et al., 1987; Moukhles et al., 1997; Nässel et

al., 1985). The ultrastructure of serotonergic processes in the optic lobe of *Drosophila* is not

known; however, serotonergic release sites are exclusively non-synaptic in the lamina of the

blowfly *Calliphora* (Nässel et al., 1984). Our data obtained using sybGRASP (Figure S5) imply

that serotonin is released extra-synaptically in the neuropil of the medulla. Future experiments in

the fly visual system may be used to explore the poorly understood relationship between volume

transmission and specific sub-types of serotonin receptors.

Previous work in other systems indicates that feedback onto serotonergic neurons

regulates circuit function (Celada et al., 2001; Ogawa et al., 2014; Pollak Dorocic et al., 2014;

Weissbourd et al., 2014). This includes the mammalian visual system, in which ON and OFF

RGCs modulate serotonergic neurons in the raphe (Huang et al., 2017; T. Zhang et al., 2016).

Evidence for sensory system input to serotonergic neurons in insects includes an observed

decrease in tonic firing in response to light in the butterfly (Ichikawa, 1994) and input to

serotonergic clusters from olfactory neurons in *Drosophila* (Coates et al., 2017; X. Zhang et al.,

2016). Similarly, our sybGRASP data suggest that both L2 and T1 neurons make synaptic

contacts onto serotonergic processes in M2 (Figure 4). This, in addition to the reciprocal

synaptic contacts between L2 and T1 in layer M2 of the medulla (Figure S6 and (Takemura et

al., 2013; Takemura et al., 2015)) suggest that M2 may act as an important hub for

neuromodulatory activity. The proposed microcircuit formed by these connections is

summarized in Figure 6.

Figure 6. Proposed circuit diagram for L2, T1, L1 and serotonin neurons in the optic lobe.

Small clusters of serotonergic
neurons (represented as a single
orange circle) extend projections
across multiple columns (gray
rectangles) in the medulla. We
propose that serotonin release,
acting extra-synaptically (dashed
lines), signals to L2 (green circles)
and T1 (purple circles) neurons

through receptors 5-HT2B, 5-HT1A, and 5-HT1B, respectively. Serotonin may act indirectly on L1 neurons (blue circles), which are electrically coupled to L2 (indicated as a teal bar). L2 and T1 neurons form reciprocal synaptic connections (solid arrows) with each other and with serotonin projections within layer M2 of the medulla, possibly allowing local, serotonergic neuromodulation within individual columns.

Since all of these interactions appear to occur within the same region, we speculate that M2 represents a local hub to integrate neuromodulatory information for visual processing. To further test this hypothesis, we are now developing molecular tags for 5-HT2B, 5-HT1A and 5-HT1B to determine if they localize within layer M2. Although mammalian studies have primarily focused on more long-range feedback loops, it is possible that individual serotonergic boutons in mammals could also undergo local feedback, a potential mechanism to regulate specific components of broadly projecting serotonergic neurons.

Serotonin modulates circadian behaviors in *Drosophila* (Nichols, 2007; Yuan et al., 2005) and previous studies in other insects have found that serotonin levels vary throughout the day (Kloppenburg et al., 1999; Saifullah et al., 2002), possibly correlating with changes in photosensory input. It is possible that these effects are in part mediated by serotonergic activation of L2 or other neurons involved in the initial steps of visual processing. Cell-specific knock down of 5-HT2B or other serotonin receptors could be used to address this possibility. Here, we focus on the effects of serotonin on visual processing.

In *Drosophila*, L1 and L2 neurons detect changes in luminance and together are necessary for the full complement of motion vision. Both neurons receive synaptic input from photoreceptors, and respond to luminance changes with graded potentials, depolarizing in dark conditions and hyperpolarizing in light (Clark et al., 2011; Yang et al., 2016; Zheng et al., 2006). These two neurons feed into parallel pathways to enable further visual processing such as motion and contrast detection (Bahl et al., 2015; Maisak et al., 2013; Strother et al., 2014). The

enhancement of visually induced calcium transients in L2 following serotonin application (Figure

5G) suggests a role for serotonin in potentiating the response of L2-dependent visual

processing pathways (Yang et al., 2016). It is possible that serotonin could regulate the

response to synaptic input from photoreceptors. Alternatively increased calcium levels in L2

terminals following serotonin application could increase neurotransmitter release onto the

neurons postsynaptic to L2. In either case, the effects of serotonin on L2-dependent pathways

will only become evident in experiments testing the responses of downstream neurons such as

Tm1, Tm2 and Tm4 (Shinomiya et al., 2014; Takemura et al., 2013; Takemura et al., 2011).

In general, both L1 and L2 respond to both light and dark flashes and it is unclear why

the response of L2 to dark pulses was not significantly enhanced by serotonin (Figure 5F).

Unlike the experiments shown in Figure 3, TTX was absent from the perfusion for the visual

response experiments shown in Figure 5, so it is possible that the observed effects of serotonin

on L2 include input from additional sites, and that non cell-autonomous serotonergic effects

dampened the response of L2 to dark flashes. Previous experiments in *Calliphora* underscore

this possibility and the complexity of serotonin's effects on the visual system (Chen et al., 1999).

In these previous studies, extracellular electroretinograms were used to record the combined

output of L1 and L2 neurons in the lamina (Chen et al., 1999). While injection of serotonin into

the haemolymph increased both the light ON and the light OFF transients, serotonin injected

directly into the retina led to a net reduction in both the ON and OFF responses (Chen et al.,

1999). These complex effects suggest that multiple serotonergic pathways can influence the

activity of L1 and L2 neurons, possibly including non-cell autonomous activation of serotonin

receptors on neurons that innervate L1 and/or L2 neurons.

To understand these effects and to identify the mechanisms by which serotonin

regulates visual processing it will be necessary to examine multiple cellular components in the

ON/OFF pathways beyond L2. Studies in mammals have already begun to dissect the

contributions of serotonergic tuning in multiple cells within individual circuits including the visual

system (Gagolewicz et al., 2016; Halberstadt, 2015; Li et al., 2018; Moreau et al., 2010; Zhou et

al., 2018). However, the way in which this information is integrated remains poorly understood.

We speculate that interactions between receptors expressed on L2, T1 and other neurons in the

fly visual system may provide a framework to dissect the mechanism by which multiplexed

serotonergic inputs combine to regulate circuit function.

In other dipteran species, T1 neurons respond to increased luminance with a

hyperpolarization and decreased luminance with a depolarization, similar to L2 and L1

(Douglass et al., 1995; Järvilehto et al., 1973). In *Drosophila*, depolarizing T1 neurons leads to

disruptions in steering and other visually directed behavior (Tuthill et al., 2013), however its

precise role in visual processing remains enigmatic. Interestingly, the same behavioral deficits

that were seen following inactivation of L1 or L2 were seen when T1 was activated (Tuthill et al.,

2013). It is possible that T1 acts to provide feedback or buffering to L2 output. Since T1, L2 and

serotonergic terminals all converge in the M2 region of the medulla, we speculate that

interactions in this region may be critical to visual processing as well as neuromodulatory

effects. If so, testing the effects of serotonin on T1 may help us to determine its function in

visual processing.

Conclusion

We find that L1 and L2, two neurons at the head of most visual processing pathways in

Drosophila, respond to serotonin signaling with an increase in calcium. In L2 neurons, this was

sufficient to enhance the response to bright flashes. We also identified a potential regulatory

microcircuit that includes processes from serotonergic, L2, L1 and T1 neurons, all converging

within medulla layer M2. Our data suggest that L2 and T1 neurons synapse directly onto

serotonergic projections in M2, establishing a potential mechanism for neuromodulatory

feedback or a route for communicating visual input to the central brain. We demonstrate that

serotonin has a multifaceted effect on visual processing by 1) selectively targeting individual cell

classes via differential receptor expression, 2) leveraging indirect mechanisms to broaden

sensory modulation and 3) enforcing stimulus specific response modulation by targeting distinct

L2 response features. In future work, we will continue to explore the mechanisms underlying

these effects and the possibility of reciprocal signaling between serotonin projections and other

visual processing neurons in the optic lobe.

Supplemental Figure S1. Individual traces for serotonin bath application experiments. (**A-**
D) Individual traces representing all experiments (Figure 3) for serotonin or saline control with
L2, L1 or T1 split GAL4>GCaMP6f or T1 split GAL4>ArcLight. For all experiments, the first 60s
of baseline is not shown; traces represent data recorded following a switch to saline with
serotonin or saline alone. The length of
time for the switch to complete was
estimated to be 105s. Saline controls
are gray, serotonin exposed preps are
colored, and the dark line represents
the mean (**A**) L2>GCaMP experiments,
along with (**B**) L1>GCaMP, show an
increase in calcium following serotonin
application as compared to saline
controls (L2, p = 00095; L1, p = 0.02).
(**C, D**) T1 cells show no significant
change with either GCaMP (**C**) or
ArcLight (**D**) relative to saline (p>0.05).
For bath application experiments, n =
4-8 individual flies.

Supplemental Figure S2. L2 sybGRASP signal
in lamina cortex cell bodies. With L2 neurons
presynaptic to TRH cells, sybGRASP signal was
observed in cell bodies in the lamina colocalized
with or adjacent to anti-VAChT labeling
(arrowheads). n = 13 brains. Scale bar: 5µm.

Supplemental Figure S3. Serotonin receptor MiMIC-GAL4 lines with VGlut, ChAT and GAD1 MiMIC-LexA. 5-HT1A, 5-HT1B or 5-HT2B MiMIC-GAL4>UAS RFP (green) were combined with VGlut (**A-C**), ChAT (**D-F**), or GAD1 (**G-I**) MiMIC-LexA>LexAop GFP (magenta). Insets show the lamina cortex cell bodies (**A-C, F**) or medulla cortex cell bodies (**D, E, G-I**). Colocalization was not observed between 5-HT1A or 5-HT1B MiMIC RFP with any of the MiMIC-LexA lines in the lamina neuropil nor medulla cortex (open arrows). Colocalization was observed between 5-HT2B MiMIC RFP and Chat MiMIC-LexA GFP in the lamina (closed triangles) but not GAD1 or VGlut. n = 4-8 brains per condition. Scale bars: 10µm.

Supplemental Figure S4. L1 neurons do not show sybGRASP signal with postsynaptic serotonergic neurons in the medulla. With L1 presynaptic to TRH, sybGRASP signal was not detected in the medulla (**A**, **B**) but could be seen at low levels in the cell bodies of the lamina cortex (arrowhead in **B''**) close to puncta immunolabeled for serotonin. Panels in (**B**) represents the area within the lamina cortex indicated by the rectangle in (**A**). n = 11 brains. Scale bars: 15μm (A); 5μm (B).

Supplemental Figure S5. **Serotonergic neurons do not show sybGRASP signal with postsynaptic T1, L2 or L1 neurons in the medulla.** SybGRASP was used to probe whether serotonergic neurons make synaptic contacts onto L2, T1 or L1 neurons. (**A-D**) A SerT-GAL4 driver was used to express the pre-synaptic portion of GFP in serotonergic neurons and LexA drivers were used to express the post synaptic portion of GFP in L2 (**A, B**) L1 (**C**) or T1 (**D**) as indicated. No sybGRASP signal was detected in the medulla when SerT was presynaptic to L2 (**A**) however, occasional sparse GFP puncta (arrowhead) were visible in the lamina (**B**). When SerT was presynaptic to T1 (**C**) or L1 (**D**) neurons, we did not detect a sybGRASP signal in either the lamina or medulla. All tissue was labeled with primary antibodies to both serotonin (5-HT) and GFP. n = 7-10 brains per condition. Scale bars: 15μm (A,C); 5μm (B,D).

Supplemental Figure S6. L2 and T1 neurons form reciprocal connections. sybGRASP was observed with L2 split GAL4 presynaptic to T1 LexA (**A, B**) and T1 split GAL4 pre-synaptic onto L2 LexA (**C, D**). The dashed insets in (**A**) and (**C**) are shown in (**B**) and (**D**), respectively. (**A-D**) are labeled with antibody to GFP and serotonin (5-HT). n = 10 brains per condition. Scale bars: 15µm (A,C); 5µm (B, D).

Supplemental Figure S7. Pre- and post-synaptic labeling with DenMark and syt.eGFP. L2 and T1 split GAL4 lines were crossed with UAS-DenMark, UAS-syt.eGFP to label dendrites and presynaptic boutons, respectively. Frontal views of L2 (**A**) and T1 (**B**) neurons show overlapping syt.eGFP and DenMark labeled compartments. (**C**) L2 neuron drivers expressed syt.eGFP in the lamina and medulla, including lamina cell bodies, while the DenMark signal was primarily in the neuropil. (**D**) T1 syt.eGFP and DenMark expression were observed in the medulla neuropil. n = 3-4 brains per condition. Scale bars: 25µm (A, B) and 10µm (C, D).

Supplemental Table 1. RT-qPCR Threshold Cycle (CT) measurements and calculated enrichment for FACS-isolated T1, L2, and L1 samples as shown in Figure 2H-J.

Target	T1 - Sample 1			T1 - Sample 2			T1 - Sample 3		
	GFP+ Ave CT	GFP- Ave CT	Enrichment	GFP+ Ave CT	GFP- Ave CT	Enrichment	GFP+ Ave CT	GFP- Ave CT	Enrichment
RP49	39.7	32.6		43.2	37.5		38.1	32.4	
5HT1A	40.3	36.1	7.29	43.3	41.7	17.1	39.4	36.1	5.64
5HT1B	41.4	36.1	3.65	44.9	41.9	6.48	41.2	36.9	2.73
5HT2A	ND	46.9		ND	ND		ND	47.0	
5HT2B	38.2	38.2		ND	44.1		ND	39.5	
5HT7	ND	37.1		QC Failure			ND	37.5	

Target	T1 - Sample 4			T1 - Sample 5			T1 - Sample 6		
	GFP+ Ave CT	GFP- Ave CT	Enrichment	GFP+ Ave CT	GFP- Ave CT	Enrichment	GFP+ Ave CT	GFP- Ave CT	Enrichment
RP49	37.0	32.5		37.9	31.9		38.6	33.6	
5HT1A	39.4	37.3	5.16	39.9	36.7	6.70	39.9	37.7	6.74
5HT1B	38.7	36.6	5.04	39.7	36.0	4.80	39.5	37.3	7.14
5HT2A		46.9			46.8			47.4	
5HT2B		39.0			38.3			39.7	
5HT7		37.3			36.2			37.9	

Target	L2 - Sample 1			L2 - Sample 2			L2 - Sample 3		
	GFP+ Ave CT	GFP- Ave CT	Enrichment	GFP+ Ave CT	GFP- Ave CT	Enrichment	GFP+ Ave CT	GFP- Ave CT	Enrichment
RP49	43.7	37.2		40.9	35.1		40.0	32.8	
5HT1A	ND	42.7		ND	38.2		45.9	36.0	0.152
5HT1B	ND	41.0		ND	37.7		45.7	36.6	0.262
5HT2A	49.8	49.1	57.8	ND	ND		ND	46.9	
5HT2B	48.7	43.8	3.12	45.8	42.4	5.49	45.8	38.7	1.07
5HT7	ND	42.9		44.8	40.0	2.13	ND	37.7	

Target	L2 - Sample 4			L2 - Sample 5					
	GFP+ Ave CT	GFP- Ave CT	Enrichment	GFP+ Ave CT	GFP- Ave CT	Enrichment			
RP492	40.1	34.1		38.4	34.3		703		
5HT1A	ND	35.9		ND	40.2				
5HT1B	45.2	36.4	0.151	ND	40.1				
5HT2A	ND	ND		ND	ND		704		
5HT2B	44.8	37.7	0.495	ND	43.3				
5HT7	41.6	36.7	2.266	45.0	40.8	0.99			

Target	L1 - Sample 1			L1 - Sample 2			L1 - Sample 3		
	GFP+ Ave CT	GFP- Ave CT	Enrichment	GFP+ Ave CT	GFP- Ave CT	Enrichment	GFP+ Ave CT	GFP- Ave CT	Enrichment
RP49	45.3	36.7		42.4	38.0		41.9	32.8	
5HT1A	ND	36.4		ND	41.5		ND	37.5	
5HT1B	ND	36.8		ND	41.9		45.7	37.1	1.416
5HT2A	ND	41.2		ND	ND		ND	ND	
5HT2B	ND	40.4		ND	43.6		ND	40.6	
5HT7	ND	37.5		ND	45.1		ND	39.1	

Target	L1 - Sample 1			L1 - Sample 2			L1 - Sample 3		
	GFP+ Ave CT	GFP- Ave CT	Enrichment	GFP+ Ave CT	GFP- Ave CT	Enrichment	GFP+ Ave CT	GFP- Ave CT	Enrichment
RP49	45.7	40.2		43.8	33.3		42.1	40.2	
ShakB	42.1	39.7	8.44	38.2	34.3	95.7	45.2	40.6	0.147

Target	L2 - Sample 1			L2 - Sample 2			L2 - Sample 3		
	GFP+ Ave CT	GFP- Ave CT	Enrichment	GFP+ Ave CT	GFP- Ave CT	Enrichment	GFP+ Ave CT	GFP- Ave CT	Enrichment
RP49	40.9	38.2		40.9	32.4		40.3	35.6	
ShakB	36.1	37.1	13.0	36.1	33.3	52.7	39.5	37.2	4.98

Target	T1 - Sample 1			T1 - Sample 2			T1 - Sample 3		
	GFP+ Ave CT	GFP- Ave CT	Enrichment	GFP+ Ave CT	GFP- Ave CT	Enrichment	GFP+ Ave CT	GFP- Ave CT	Enrichment
RP49	38.3	32.3		39.4	31.8		39.4	33.6	
ShakB	43.5	33.1	0.0181	44.8	31.7	0.0226	44.9	33.3	0.0181

Supplemental Table 2. RT-qPCR primer sequences and mRNA (cDNA) target information.

Primer ID	Gene Symbol	Exon Junction	Product Length (bp)	Primer Sequence (5' to 3')	Primer Length	One Primer Spans Exon Junction?	Transcript Specificity	Design
5HT1A Forward	Dmel\5-HT1A	2027/2028	159	TTCGTGGCCTGCCTAGTAAT	20	Yes	All	BLAST
5HT1A Reverse	Dmel\5-HT1A	2027/2028	159	CCAGTAACGATCGACGGCAA	20	Yes	All	BLAST
5HT2B Forward	Dmel\5-HT2B	302/303	135	AAAGCCGATTGCTTCTCCAAC	21	Yes	All	BLAST
5HT2B Reverse	Dmel\5-HT2B	302/303	135	GATTCAGGACTCGCGAAAGG	20	Yes	All	BLAST
5HT1B Forward	Dmel\5-HT1B	2148/2149	129	ATTTCGCCAGTTTGGCCATT	20	Yes	All	BLAST
5HT1B Reverse	Dmel\5-HT1B	2148/2149	129	CGTTGCTGGTGCGATAATCA	20	Yes	All	BLAST
5HT7 Forward	Dmel\5-HT7	700/701	117	TCGACGACTTTTGGAAGCAC	20	Yes	All	BLAST
5HT7 Reverse	Dmel\5-HT7	700/701	117	ATTGTCGTCGGGAACTGGG	19	Yes	All	BLAST
5HT2A Forward	Dmel\5-HT2A	480/481	186	CGACTGCAAAATGTGGGTCT	20	No	All except F	BLAST
5HT2A Reverse	Dmel\5-HT2A	480/481	186	CCTCGCAGATGTGTCTGTTG	20	No	All except F	BLAST
RP49 Forward	Dmel\RpL32	28/29	147	GGTTTCCGGCAAGCTTCAA	19	Yes	B Only	BLAST
RP499 Reverse	Dmel\RpL32	28/29	147	TGTTGTCGATACCCTTGGGC	20	Yes	B Ony	BLAST
PP3447 Forward	Dmel\shakB	NA	86	ACACCAAGATGGCTGTGGAAA	21	No	All	DGRC
PP3447 Reverse	Dmel\shakB	NA	86	GCTTCGGAACAAATGCCTATGTC	23	No	All	DGRC

706 **Supplemental Table 3.** Animal strains used in this study.

Figure	Driver>Reporter	Genotype
1A	5-HT1A MiMIC T2A GAL4>UAS-MCD8-GFP	y1, w*; 5-HT1A-T2A-GAL4MI01140/UAS-mCD8::GFP;
Not Shown	5-HT1A MiMIC T2A GAL4>UAS-MCD8-GFP	y1, w*; 5-HT1A-T2A-GAL4MI04464/UAS-mCD8::GFP;
Not Shown	5-HT1A MiMIC T2A GAL4>UAS-MCD8-GFP	y1, w*; 5-HT1A-T2A-GAL4MI01468/UAS-mCD8::GFP;
1B, 1D-I	5-HT1B MiMIC T2A GAL4>UAS-MCD8-GFP	y1, w*; 5-HT1B-T2A-GAL4MI05213/UAS-mCD8::GFP;
1C, 1J-L	5-HT2B MiMIC T2A GAL4>UAS-MCD8-GFP	y1, w*;UAS-mCD8::GFP/w;5-HT2B-T2A-GAL4MI05208/w
2A, 2D	5-HT1A MiMIC T2A GAL4>UAS-MCFO1	pBPhsFlp2::PEST/y1, w*; 5-HT1A-T2A-GAL4MI01140/w; HA-V5-FLAG/w
Not Shown	5-HT1A MiMIC T2A GAL4>UAS-MCFO1	pBPhsFlp2::PEST/y1, w*; 5-HT1A-T2A-GAL4MI04464/w; HA-V5-FLAG/w
Not Shown	5-HT1A MiMIC T2A GAL4>UAS-MCFO1	pBPhsFlp2::PEST/y1, w*; 5-HT1A-T2A-GAL4MI01468/w; HA-V5-FLAG/w
2B, 2E	5-HT1B MiMIC T2A GAL4>UAS-MCFO1	pBPhsFlp2::PEST/y1, w*; 5-HT1B-T2A-GAL4MI05213/w; HA-V5-FLAG/w
2C, 2F	5-HT2B MiMIC T2A GAL4>UAS-MCFO1	pBPhsFlp2::PEST/y1, w*;5-HT2B-T2A-GAL4MI05208/w; HA-V5-FLAG/w
2H	T1spGAL4>UAS-MCD8-GFP	;R31F10AD attP40/UAS-mCD8::GFP; R30F10DBD attP2/w;
2I	L2spGAL4>UAS-MCD8-GFP	;R82F12AD attP40/UAS-mCD8::GFP; R75H08DBD attP2/w;
2J	L1spGAL4>UAS-MCD8-GFP	R48A08AD attP40/UAS-mCD8::GFP; R66A01DBD att P2/w;
3B	L2spGAL4>GCaMPf	;R82F12AD attP40/20XUAS-IVS-GCaMP6f attP40; R75H08DBD attP2/w
3C	L1spGAL4>GCaMPf	R48A08AD attP40/20XUAS-IVS-GCaMP6f attP40; R66A01DBD att P2/w
3D	T1spGAL4>UAS-MCD8-GFP	;R31F10AD attP40/UAS-mCD8::GFP; R30F10DBD attP2/w;
3D	L2spGAL4>UAS-MCD8-GFP	;R82F12AD attP40/UAS-mCD8::GFP; R75H08DBD attP2/w;
3D	L1spGAL4>UAS-MCD8-GFP	R48A08AD attP40/UAS-mCD8::GFP; R66A01DBD att P2/w;
3E	T1>GCaMP	;R31F10AD attP40/20XUAS-IVS-GCaMP6f attP40; R30F10DBD attP2/w
3F	T1>ArcLight	;R31F10AD attP40/+; R30F10DBD attP2/UAS-ArcLight attP2
4A	SerT-GAL4>DenMark	w[1118]; L1/CyO; UAS-DenMark, UAS-syt.eGFP (3)/w;GMR50H05-GAL4 attP2/w
4B	L2spGAL4 onto TRH-lexA	;TRH-lexA/R82F12AD attP40; UAS-nSyb::spGFP1-10,LexAop-CD4::spGFP11/R75H08DBD attP2
4C	T1spGAL4 onto TRH-lexA	;TRH-lexA/31F10AD attP40; UAS-nSyb::spGFP1-10,LexAop-CD4::spGFP11/R30F10DBD attP2
5	L2spGAL4>GCaMPf	;R82F12AD attP40/20XUAS-IVS-GCaMP6f attP40; R75H08DBD attP2/w
Supp S1A	L2spGAL4>GCaMPf	;R82F12AD attP40/20XUAS-IVS-GCaMP6f attP40; R75H08DBD attP2/w
Supp S1B	L1spGAL4>GCaMPf	R48A08AD attP40/20XUAS-IVS-GCaMP6f attP40; R66A01DBD att P2/w
Supp S1C	T1spGAL4>GCaMP	;R31F10AD attP40/20XUAS-IVS-GCaMP6f attP40; R30F10DBD attP2/w
Supp S1D	T1spGAL4>ArcLight	;R31F10AD attP40/+; R30F10DBD attP2/UAS-ArcLight attP2
Supp S2A	5-HT1A MiMIC>RFP, VGlut MiMIC>GFP	y1, w*, 10XUAS-IVS-mCD8::RFP, 13XLexAop2-mCD8::GFP; 5-HT1A-T2A-GAL4MI01468/Mi{Trojan-lexA:QFAD.2}VGlut[MI04979-TlexA:QFAD.2]
Supp S2B	5-HT1B MiMIC>RFP, VGlut MiMIC>GFP	y1, w*, 10XUAS-IVS-mCD8::RFP, 13XLexAop2-mCD8::GFP; 5-HT1B-T2A-GAL4MI05213/Mi{Trojan-lexA:QFAD.2}VGlut[MI04979-TlexA:QFAD.2]
Supp S2C	5-HT2B MiMIC>RFP, VGlut MiMIC>GFP	y1, w*, 10XUAS-IVS-mCD8::RFP, 13XLexAop2-mCD8::GFP; Mi{Trojan-lexA:QFAD.2}VGlut[MI04979-TlexA:QFAD.2]/+; 5-HT2B-T2A-GAL4MI05208/+

Supp S2D	5-HT1A MiMIC>RFP, Chat MiMIC>GFP	y1, w*, 10XUAS-IVS-mCD8::RFP, 13XLexAop2-mCD8::GFP; 5-HT1A-T2A-GAL4MI01468/+; Mi{Trojan-lexA:QFAD.0}ChAT[MI04508-TlexA:QFAD.0]/+
Supp S2E	5-HT1B MiMIC>RFP, Chat MiMIC>GFP	y1, w*, 10XUAS-IVS-mCD8::RFP, 13XLexAop2-mCD8::GFP; 5-HT1B-T2A-GAL4MI05213/+; Mi{Trojan-lexA:QFAD.0}ChAT[MI04508-TlexA:QFAD.0]/+
Supp S2F	5-HT2B MiMIC>RFP, Chat MiMIC>GFP	y1, w*, 10XUAS-IVS-mCD8::RFP, 13XLexAop2-mCD8::GFP; ; Mi{Trojan-lexA:QFAD.0}ChAT[MI04508-TlexA:QFAD.0]/5-HT2B-T2A-GAL4MI05208
Supp S2G	5-HT1A MiMIC>RFP, GAD1 MiMIC>GFP	y1, w*, 10XUAS-IVS-mCD8::RFP, 13XLexAop2-mCD8::GFP; 5-HT1A-T2A-GAL4MI01468/+; Mi{Trojan-lexA:QFAD.2}Gad1[MI09277-TlexA:QFAD.2]/+
Supp S2H	5-HT1B MiMIC>RFP, GAD1 MiMIC>GFP	y1, w*, 10XUAS-IVS-mCD8::RFP, 13XLexAop2-mCD8::GFP; 5-HT1B-T2A-GAL4MI05213/+; Mi{Trojan-lexA:QFAD.2}Gad1[MI09277-TlexA:QFAD.2]/+
Supp S2I	5-HT2B MiMIC>RFP, GAD1 MiMIC>GFP	y1, w*, 10XUAS-IVS-mCD8::RFP, 13XLexAop2-mCD8::GFP; ; Mi{Trojan-lexA:QFAD.2}Gad1[MI09277-TlexA:QFAD.2]/5-HT2B-T2A-GAL4MI05208
Supp S3	L1spGAL4 onto TRH-lexA	;TRH-lexA/R48A08AD attP40; UAS-nSyb::spGFP1-10,LexAop-CD4::spGFP11/R66A01DBD att P2
Supp S4	L2spGAL4 onto TRH-lexA	;TRH-lexA/R82F12AD attP40; UAS-nSyb::spGFP1-10,LexAop-CD4::spGFP11/R75H08DBD attP2
Supp S5A-B	SerT-GAL4 onto L2-LexA	w[1118]; GMR16H03-lexA attP40/w; UAS-nSyb::spGFP1-10,LexAop-CD4::spGFP11/GMR50H05-GAL4 attP2
Supp S5C	SerT-GAL4 onto L1-LexA	w[1118]; UAS-nSyb::spGFP1-10,LexAop-CD4::spGFP11/w; 01-LexAp65-WPRE VK00027/GMR50H05-GAL4 attP2
Supp S5D	SerT-GAL4 onto T1-LexA	w[1118]; 30F10-LexAp65 attP40/w; UAS-nSyb::spGFP1-10,LexAop-CD4::spGFP11/GMR50H05-GAL4 attP2
Supp S6A-B	L2spGAL4 onto T1-lexA	;30F10-LexAp65 in attP40/R82F12AD attP40; UAS-nSyb::spGFP1-10,LexAop-CD4::spGFP11/R75H08DBD attP2
Supp S6C-D	T1spGAL4 onto L2-lexA	w[1118]; GMR16H03-lexA attP40/31F10AD attP40; UAS-nSyb::spGFP1-10,LexAop-CD4::spGFP11/R30F10DBD attP2
Supp S7A, C	L2spGAL4>DenMark	w[1118]; P{w[+mC]=UAS-DenMark}2, P{w[+mC]=UAS-syt.eGFP}2/R82F12AD attP40;R75H08DBD attP2/w
Supp S7B, D	T1spGAL4>DenMark	w[1118]; P{w[+mC]=UAS-DenMark}2, P{w[+mC]=UAS-syt.eGFP}2/31F10AD attP40;R30F10DBD attP2/w

Methods

Fly Husbandry and Genetic Lines

Flies were maintained on a standard cornmeal and molasses-based agar media with a 12:12 hour light/dark cycle at room temperature (22-25°C). Serotonin receptor MiMIC-T2A-GAL4 lines described in (Gnerer et al., 2015) were a gift from Herman Dierick (Baylor College of Medicine), and include 5-HT1A-T2A-GAL4[MI01468], 5-HT1A-T2A-GAL4[MI01140], 5-HT1A-T2A-GAL4[MI04464], 5-HT1B-T2A-GAL4[MI05213], 5-HT2B-T2A-GAL4[MI06500], 5-HT2B-T2A-GAL4[MI5208], and 5-HT2B-GAL4[MI7403]. Split-GAL4 lines for L1, L2 and T1 neurons and LexA lines for L1 and T1 (Tuthill et al., 2013) were provided by Aljoscha Nern (HHMI/Janelia Research Campus). SerT-GAL4 (RRID:BDSC_38764), TRH-LexA (RRID:BDSC_52248), L2-LexA (RRID:BDSC_52510),

GAD1 Trojan LexA (RRID:BDSC_60324), ChAT Trojan LexA (RRID:BDSC_60319), and VGlut

Trojan LexA (RRID:BDSC_60314; provided by Quentin Gaudry (UMD)) were obtained from

Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center at Indiana University (Bloomington, IN, USA). Reporter

lines include: UAS-mCD8::GFP (RRID:BDSC_5137), UAS-MCFO-1 (RRID:BDSC_64085),

UAS-GCaMP6f (RRID:BDSC_42747), UAS-ArcLight (RRID:BDSC_51056), UAS-DenMark,

UAS-Syt.eGFP (RRID:BDSC_33064 and RRID:BDSC_33065), UAS-mCD8::RFP, LexAop-

mCD8::GFP (RRID:BDSC_32229), and UAS-nSyb::GFP1-10, LexAop-CD4:GFP11

(RRID:BDSC_64314; provided by Larry Zipursky (UCLA)).

Immunohistochemistry and Imaging

Flies were dissected 5-10 days after eclosion, and equal numbers of males and females

were used for all experiments unless otherwise noted. Brains were dissected in ice-cold PBS

(Alfa Aesar, Cat#J62036, Tewksbury, MA), then fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (FisherScientific,

Cat#50-980-493, Waltham, MA) in PBS with 0.3% Triton X-100 (Millipore Sigma, Cat#X100,

Burlington, MA) (PBST) for one hour at room temperature. Brains were washed three times with

PBST for 10 minutes, then blocked for 30 minutes in PBST containing 0.5% normal goat serum

(NGS) (Cayman Chemical, Cat#10006577, Ann Arbor, MA) PBST. Antibodies were diluted in

0.5% NGS/PBST. Primary antibodies were incubated with the tissue overnight at 4°C. The next

day, the brains were washed three times with PBST for 10 minutes, then incubated with

secondary antibodies for 2 hours in the dark at room temperature. Brains were washed three

times with PBST for 10 minutes, followed by 60% and 80% glycerol (Millipore Sigma,

Cat#G5516) before mounting with Fluoromount-G (SouthernBiotech, Cat#0100-01, Birmingham,

AL).

Serotonin immunolabeling was performed with 1:25 rat anti-serotonin (Millipore Sigma,

Cat#MAB352, RRID:AB_11213564), 1:1000 rabbit anti-serotonin (ImmunoStar, Cat#20080,

Hudson, WI ,RRID:AB_572263) or 1:1000 goat anti-serotonin (ImmunoStar, Cat#20079,

RRID:AB_572262). Where noted, GFP was labeled with 1:250 mouse anti-GFP (Sigma-Aldrich,

Cat#G6539, RRID:AB_259941; or, ThermoFisher, Waltham, MA, Cat#A-11120,

RRID:AB_221568). Secondary antibodies were used at 1:400 and include: Alexa Fluor 488, 594

or 647 (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Westgrove, PA, Cat#715-545-151, # 711-585-

152, # 712-605-153) or Alexa Fluor 555 (Life Technologies, ThermoFisher, Cat#A-21428).

When serotonin receptor MiMIC-GAL4 lines were combined with VGlut, ChAT and

GAD1 MiMIC-LexA (Supplemental Figure S2), brains were processed and imaged as described

in Sizemore and Dacks 2016 (Sizemore et al., 2016).

MultiColor FlpOut (MCFO-1) sparse labeling was induced by heat activation at 37°C for

10-15 minutes at least 2 days prior to dissection as described (Nern et al., 2015). Primary

antibodies included 1:300 rabbit anti-HA (Cell Signaling Technology, Cat#3724, Danvers, MA,

RRID:AB_1549585), 1:150 rat anti-FLAG (Novus, Littleton, CA, Cat#NBP1-06712,

RRID:AB_1625982), and 1:400 anti-V5::Dylight-550 (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA,

Cat#MCA1360D550GA, RRID:AB_2687576). N-Synaptobrevin GFP Reconstitution Across

Synaptic Partners (sybGRASP) flies (Macpherson et al., 2015) were dissected, fixed and

immunolabeled as described above, without KCl induction. The tissue was labeled with mouse

antiserum specific to reconstituted GFP (1:250; Sigma-Aldrich, Cat#G6539, RRID:AB_259941)

(Gordon et al., 2009) and either anti-serotonin (antibodies listed above) or rabbit anti-VAChT

(1:500; provided by Hakeem Lawal) (Boppana et al., 2017).

Imaging was performed with a Zeiss LSM 880 Confocal with Airyscan (Zeiss, Oberkochen,

Germany) using a 40x water or 63x oil immersion objective. Images shown represent a single

optical slice except where indicated. Post-hoc processing of images was done with Fiji

(Schindelin et al., 2012) or Adobe Photoshop (Adobe, San Jose, CA) .

FACs and RT-qPCR

L2, T1 and L1 neurons were labeled using split-GAL4 drivers (Tuthill et al., 2013)

combined with UAS-mCD8::GFP (RRID:BDSC_5137). Brains were dissected on the day of

eclosion and optic lobes were dissociated according to previously published methods (Tan et

al., 2015) The dissociated optic lobe cells were separated by fluorescence-activated cell sorting

(FACS) into GFP-positive and GFP-negative isolates using a BD FACS Aria II high-speed cell

sorter in collaboration with the UCLA Jonsson Comprehensive Cancer Center (JCCC) and

Center for AIDS Research Flow Cytometry Core Facility

(http://cyto.mednet.ucla.edu/home.html). For FACS, each experiment was performed with 18-40

brains, and yielded between 1,700-7,800 GFP^+ cells. RNA was extracted from isolated cells with

ARCTURUS® PicoPure® RNA Isolation Kit (ThermoFisher, KIT0204) followed by reverse

transcription with SuperScript III (Invitrogen, ThermoFisher, Cat#18080093).

RT-qPCR was performed for receptor mRNA using validated primers (Supplemental

Table 2) and SYBR Green Power PCR Mix (Applied Biosystems, ThermoFisher) on an iQ5 real-

time qPCR detection system (Bio-Rad). Primers were designed using Primer-Blast

(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tools/primer-blast/) or were from the DGRC FlyPrimerBank (Hu et

al., 2013); oligonucleotides were obtained from Integrated DNA Technologies (Coralville, Iowa).

Primer pairs were validated to amplify a single product, verified by a single melting temperature

and single band on an electrophoresis gel. The efficiency for each primer pair was between 85-

115%. Comparisons between GFP^+ and GFP^- samples were calculated as enrichment (i.e., fold

change) using the comparative CT method (Schmittgen et al., 2008). A zero value was imputed

for samples with no amplification (i.e., no CT value). Raw CT values are shown in Supplemental

Table 1.

Live Cell Imaging

Calcium imaging was performed as previously described (Keles et al., 2017). Briefly,

flies were anesthetized at 4°C and placed into a chemically etched metal shim within a larger

custom-built fly holder. The fly holder was based on a previously described design (Weir and

Dickinson, 2015). The head capsule and the thorax were glued to the metal shim using UV-

curable glue (www.esslinger.com). The legs, proboscis and antennae were immobilized using

beeswax applied with a heated metal probe (Waxelectric-1, Renfert). The head capsule was

immersed in insect saline (103 mM NaCl, 3 mM KCl, 1.5mM CaCl2, 4 mM MgCl2, 26 mM

NaHCO3, 1 mM NaH2PO4, 10 mM trehalose, 10 mM glucose, 5 mM TES, 2 mM sucrose)

(Wilson et al., 2004). A small window on the right rear head capsule was opened using sharp

forceps (Dumont, #5SF). Muscles and fat covering the optic lobe were cleared before placing

the fly under the 2-photon microscope (VIVO, 3i: Intelligent Imaging Innovations, Denver, CO).

Neurons expressing GCaMP6f were imaged at 920-nm using a Ti:Sapphire Laser (Chameleon

Vision, Coherent). Images were acquired at 10-20 frames/s. Only female flies were used for live

imaging experiments.

A custom-built gravity perfusion system was used for bath application of either serotonin

or saline control to the fly's exposed optic lobe. The tissue was first perfused with insect saline

containing 1µm tetrodotoxin citrate (TTX) (Alomone Labs, Jerusalem, Israel, Cat#T-550) for at

least 5 minutes at 2 mL/min, prior to each recording. TTX remained present throughout the

experiment. To examine the effects of serotonin on calcium levels, baseline GCaMP6f

fluorescence was recorded for one minute before switching to the second input containing either

100µM serotonin hydrochloride (Sigma Aldrich, Cat# H9523) or saline alone for an additional

five minutes of recording. Due to perfusion tubing length and dead volume, the perfusion switch

took approximately 1 min 45 s to reach the tissue.

Visual Stimulus Experiments

Visual stimuli were shown using an arena composed of 48 eight by eight-pixel LED

panels, at 470 nm (Adafruit, NY, NY). The panels were assembled into a curved display that

extends 216° along the azimuth and ±35° in elevation. Each pixel subtended an angle of 2.2° on

the retina at the equatorial axis. To prevent spurious excitation of the imaging photomultiplier

tubes, three layers of blue filter (Rosco no. 59 Indigo) were placed over the LED display.

Each stimulus consisted of a brief increment (light flash) or decrement (dark flash) of the

entire display for 100ms, before returning to a mid-intensity brightness for 4.9s. Images were

acquired at 25-30 frames/s. Stimuli were presented in sets of six bright and six dark flashes

randomly shuffled for each minute of the experiment. Responses were then pooled for each

minute. During the first minute, and prior to imaging, the tissue was perfused with saline for a

baseline recording. At the end of the first minute, a valve controller (VC-6, Warner Instruments,

Hamden, CT) activated by a TTL signal switched the perfusion to either saline with 100μM

serotonin or saline alone; imaging then continued for an additional five minutes, for a total of

one baseline set and five post-switch sets of stimuli. The perfusion switch took approximately

50s to reach the tissue.

Analysis

Calcium imaging data were analyzed with Matlab R2017a (Mathworks, Natick, MA). Post

hoc, recordings were corrected for movement of the brain within the imaging plane using a

custom algorithm (Akin et al., 2016). Regions of interest (ROIs) were found semi-automatically

for each experiment: first, the median intensity of all pixels across all image frames was found;

this value was used as a threshold and all pixels with mean intensity below the threshold,

typically within the image background, were discarded. The 1-D time-series of intensity for each

remaining pixel was then extracted. K-means clustering was used to identify pixels with similar

activity over the course of the experiment; three clusters were identified, and the cluster that

included the highest number of pixels was used as an ROI. This reliably identified the pixels

within active neurons in the imaging data and aided in identifying preparations with out-of-plane

movement, which were discarded. The mean intensity within the ROI was found for each image

frame to produce a single time-series for the entire experiment. Approximately half of the bath

application recordings showed oscillations in activity due to slow, periodic movement of the

brain at around 0.04 Hz; we applied a notch filter at this frequency with a bandwidth of 0.005 Hz

to remove these oscillations. For the bath application experiments (Figure 3), we plotted $\Delta F/F$,

defined as $(F_t-F_0)/F_0$, where F_t is the mean fluorescence in the ROI at the indicated time and F_0

is the mean value of F_t during 60 seconds of baseline activity at the beginning of the experiment

and prior to the change in perfusion. For the visual stimulus experiments (Figure 6), we again

plotted $\Delta F/F$, defined as $(F_t-F_0)/F_0$, where F_t is the mean fluorescence in the ROI at the indicated

time and F_0 is the mean of 30 seconds of non-consecutive baseline activity between trials in

epoch 0 at the beginning of the experiment and prior to the change in perfusion (Figure 6A-E).

For further analysis, we calculated F_{peak} for each epoch, defined as the maximum $\Delta F/F$ value

that occurred for each light or dark stimulus presentation within 1.75 s after cessation of the

0.1 s flash; for each fly, we found the mean of the maximum values for the six light flashes or

the six dark flashes that occurred within each epoch of 60 seconds. We then normalized the

F_{peak} values for each fly by calculating $F_{peak}/F_{peak(0)}$, where $F_{peak(0)}$ is the fly's F_{peak} value for epoch

0 at the beginning of the experiment and prior to the change in perfusion. The median of the

$F_{peak}/F_{peak(0)}$ values was then found for the saline and serotonin groups (Figure 6F, G). We used

a two-tailed Wilcoxon rank sum test to compare the $F_{peak}/F_{peak(0)}$ values for the saline versus

serotonin groups.

Acknowledgements: We thank members of Larry Zipursky's lab (UCLA) including Eilzabeth

Zuniga Sanchez and Liming Tan for advice on FACS, RNA extraction and RT-qPCR. We thank

members of the Frye and Krantz labs for helpful discussions, Aljoscha Nern (HHMI/Janelia

Research Campus) and Herman Dierick (Baylor) for generously supplying fly lines, and Hakeem

Lawal for the DVAChT antiserum.

Funding: This work was funded by R01 MH107390 (DEK), R01 MH114017 (DEK) R01

EY026031 (MAF), IOS-1455869 (MAF), R03 DC013997 (AMD), R01 DC016293 (AMD) and a

seed grant from the UCLA Depression Grand Challenge (DEK, MAF). MMS was supported by a

National Science Foundation GRFP and UCLA Cota-Robles fellowship. TRS was supported by

a Grant-In-Aid of Research (G20141015669888) from Sigma Xi, The Scientific Research

Society.

Competing interests: The authors deny any relevant paid employment or consultancy, stock

ownership, patent applications, personal relationships with relevant individuals, or membership

of an advisory board.

References

Akin, O., & Zipursky, S. L. (2016). Frazzled promotes growth cone attachment at the source of a
Netrin gradient in the Drosophila visual system. *Elife, 5*. doi: 10.7554/eLife.20762
Andres, M., Seifert, M., Spalthoff, C., Warren, B., Weiss, L., Giraldo, D., . . . Gopfert, M. C.
(2016). Auditory Efferent System Modulates Mosquito Hearing. *Curr Biol, 26*(15), 2028-
2036. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2016.05.077
Arechiga, H., Banuelos, E., Frixione, E., Picones, A., & Rodriguez-Sosa, L. (1990). Modulation
of crayfish retinal sensitivity by 5-hydroxytryptamine. *J Exp Biol, 150*, 123-143.
Bahl, A., Serbe, E., Meier, M., Ammer, G., & Borst, A. (2015). Neural Mechanisms for
Drosophila Contrast Vision. *Neuron, 88*(6), 1240-1252. doi:
10.1016/j.neuron.2015.11.004
Behnia, R., & Desplan, C. (2015). Visual circuits in flies: beginning to see the whole picture.
Curr Opin Neurobiol, 34, 125-132. doi: 10.1016/j.conb.2015.03.010
Blenau, W., Daniel, S., Balfanz, S., Thamm, M., & Baumann, A. (2017). Dm5-HT2B:
Pharmacological Characterization of the Fifth Serotonin Receptor Subtype of Drosophila
melanogaster. *Front Syst Neurosci, 11*, 28. doi: 10.3389/fnsys.2017.00028
Boppana, S., Kendall, N., Akinrinsola, O., White, D., Patel, K., & Lawal, H. (2017).
Immunolocalization of the vesicular acetylcholine transporter in larval and adult
Drosophila neurons. *Neurosci Lett, 643*, 76-83. doi: 10.1016/j.neulet.2017.02.012
Borst, A., & Helmstaedter, M. (2015). Common circuit design in fly and mammalian motion
vision. *Nat Neurosci, 18*(8), 1067-1076. doi: 10.1038/nn.4050

Brunert, D., Tsuno, Y., Rothermel, M., Shipley, M. T., & Wachowiak, M. (2016). Cell-Type-Specific Modulation of Sensory Responses in Olfactory Bulb Circuits by Serotonergic Projections from the Raphe Nuclei. *J Neurosci, 36*(25), 6820-6835. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3667-15.2016

Bunin, M. A., & Wightman, R. M. (1999). Paracrine neurotransmission in the CNS: involvement of 5-HT. *Trends Neurosci, 22*(9), 377-382.

Cao, G., Platisa, J., Pieribone, V. A., Raccuglia, D., Kunst, M., & Nitabach, M. N. (2013). Genetically targeted optical electrophysiology in intact neural circuits. *Cell, 154*(4), 904-913. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2013.07.027

Celada, P., Puig, M. V., Casanovas, J. M., Guillazo, G., & Artigas, F. (2001). Control of dorsal raphe serotonergic neurons by the medial prefrontal cortex: Involvement of serotonin-1A, GABA(A), and glutamate receptors. *J Neurosci, 21*(24), 9917-9929.

Chen, B., Meinertzhagen, I. A., & Shaw, S. R. (1999). Circadian rhythms in light-evoked responses of the fly's compound eye, and the effects of neuromodulators 5-HT and the peptide PDF. *J Comp Physiol [A], 185*, 393-404.

Clark, D. A., Bursztyn, L., Horowitz, M. A., Schnitzer, M. J., & Clandinin, T. R. (2011). Defining the computational structure of the motion detector in Drosophila. *Neuron, 70*(6), 1165-1177. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2011.05.023

Coates, K. E., Majot, A. T., Zhang, X., Michael, C. T., Spitzer, S. L., Gaudry, Q., & Dacks, A. M. (2017). Identified Serotonergic Modulatory Neurons Have Heterogeneous Synaptic Connectivity within the Olfactory System of Drosophila. *J Neurosci, 37*(31), 7318-7331. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0192-17.2017

Colas, J. F., Launay, J. M., Kellermann, O., Rosay, P., & Maroteaux, L. (1995). Drosophila 5-HT2 serotonin receptor: coexpression with fushi-tarazu during segmentation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 92*(12), 5441-5445.

Dacks, A. M., Christensen, T. A., & Hildebrand, J. G. (2008). Modulation of olfactory information processing in the antennal lobe of Manduca sexta by serotonin. *J Neurophysiol, 99*(5), 2077-2085. doi: 10.1152/jn.01372.2007

Davis, Fred P., Nern, Aljoscha, Picard, Serge, Reiser, Michael B., Rubin, Gerald M., Eddy, Sean R., & Henry, Gilbert L. (2018). A genetic, genomic, and computational resource for exploring neural circuit function. *bioRxiv*.

Descarries, L., & Mechawar, N. (2000). Ultrastructural evidence for diffuse transmission by monoamine and acetylcholine neurons of the central nervous system. *Prog Brain Res, 125*, 27-47. doi: 10.1016/S0079-6123(00)25005-X

Diao, F., Ironfield, H., Luan, H., Shropshire, W. C., Ewer, J., Marr, E., . . . White, B. H. (2015). Plug-and-play genetic access to drosophila cell types using exchangeable exon cassettes. *Cell Rep, 10*(8), 1410-1421. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2015.01.059

Douglass, J. K., & Strausfeld, N. J. (1995). Visual motion detection circuits in flies: peripheral motion computation by identified small-field retinotopic neurons. *J Neurosci, 15*(8), 5596-5611.

Edwards, T. N., & Meinertzhagen, I. A. (2010). The functional organisation of glia in the adult brain of Drosophila and other insects. *Prog Neurobiol, 90*(4), 471-497. doi: 10.1016/j.pneurobio.2010.01.001

Fischbach, K. F., & Dittrich, A.P.M. (1989). The optic lobe of Drosophila melanogaster. I. A Golgi analysis of wild type structure. *Cell Tissue Res, 258*, 441-475.

Fotowat, H., Harvey-Girard, E., Cheer, J. F., Krahe, R., & Maler, L. (2016). Subsecond Sensory Modulation of Serotonin Levels in a Primary Sensory Area and Its Relation to Ongoing Communication Behavior in a Weakly Electric Fish. *eNeuro, 3*(5). doi: 10.1523/ENEURO.0115-16.2016

Fuxe, K., Dahlstrom, A. B., Jonsson, G., Marcellino, D., Guescini, M., Dam, M., . . . Agnati, L.
(2010). The discovery of central monoamine neurons gave volume transmission to the
wired brain. *Prog Neurobiol, 90*(2), 82-100. doi: 10.1016/j.pneurobio.2009.10.012
Gagolewicz, P. J., & Dringenberg, H. C. (2016). Age-Dependent Switch of the Role of
Serotonergic 5-HT1A Receptors in Gating Long-Term Potentiation in Rat Visual Cortex
In Vivo. *Neural Plast, 2016*, 6404082. doi: 10.1155/2016/6404082
Gaspar, P., & Lillesaar, C. (2012). Probing the diversity of serotonin neurons. *Philos Trans R*
Soc Lond B Biol Sci, 367(1601), 2382-2394. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2011.0378
Gasque, G., Conway, S., Huang, J., Rao, Y., & Vosshall, L. B. (2013). Small molecule drug
screening in Drosophila identifies the 5HT2A receptor as a feeding modulation target.
Sci Rep, 3, srep02120. doi: 10.1038/srep02120
Gnerer, J. P., Venken, K. J., & Dierick, H. A. (2015). Gene-specific cell labeling using MiMIC
transposons. *Nucleic Acids Res, 43*(8), e56. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkv113
Gordon, M. D., & Scott, K. (2009). Motor control in a Drosophila taste circuit. *Neuron, 61*(3),
373-384. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2008.12.033
Gu, Q., & Singer, W. (1995). Involvement of serotonin in developmental plasticity of kitten visual
cortex. *Eur J Neurosci, 7*(6), 1146-1153.
Halberstadt, A. L. (2015). Recent advances in the neuropsychopharmacology of serotonergic
hallucinogens. *Behav Brain Res, 277*, 99-120. doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2014.07.016
Hamanaka, Y., Kinoshita, M., Homberg, U., & Arikawa, K. (2012). Immunocytochemical
localization of amines and GABA in the optic lobe of the butterfly, Papilio xuthus. *PLoS*
One, 7(7), e41109. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0041109
Herve, D., Pickel, V. M., Joh, T. H., & Beaudet, A. (1987). Serotonin axon terminals in the
ventral tegmental area of the rat: fine structure and synaptic input to dopaminergic
neurons. *Brain Res, 435*(1-2), 71-83.
Hevers, W., & Hardie, R. C. (1995). Serotonin modulates the voltage dependence of delayed
rectifier and Shaker potassium channels in Drosophila photoreceptors. *Neuron, 14*, 845-
856.
Hoyer, D., Hannon, J. P., & Martin, G. R. (2002). Molecular, pharmacological and functional
diversity of 5-HT receptors. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav, 71*(4), 533-554.
Hu, Y., Sopko, R., Foos, M., Kelley, C., Flockhart, I., Ammeux, N., . . . Mohr, S. E. (2013).
FlyPrimerBank: an online database for Drosophila melanogaster gene expression
analysis and knockdown evaluation of RNAi reagents. *G3 (Bethesda), 3*(9), 1607-1616.
doi: 10.1534/g3.113.007021
Huang, L., Yuan, T., Tan, M., Xi, Y., Hu, Y., Tao, Q., . . . Ren, C. (2017). A retinoraphe
projection regulates serotonergic activity and looming-evoked defensive behaviour. *Nat*
Commun, 8, 14908. doi: 10.1038/ncomms14908
Ichikawa, T. (1994). Light suppresses the activity of serotonin-immunoreactive neurons in the
optic lobe of the swallowtail butterfly. *Neurosci Lett, 172*(1-2), 115-118.
Järvilehto, M., & Zettler, F. (1973). Electrophysiological-histological studies on some functional
properties of visual cells and second order neurons of an insect retina. *Z Zellforsch*
Mikrosk Anat, 136(2), 291-306.
Joesch, M., Schnell, B., Raghu, S. V., Reiff, D. F., & Borst, A. (2010). ON and OFF pathways in
Drosophila motion vision. *Nature, 468*(7321), 300-304. doi: 10.1038/nature09545
Katz, Paul S. (1999). *Beyond neurotransmission : neuromodulation and its importance for*
information processing. Osford ; New York: Oxford University Press.
Keles, M. F., & Frye, M. A. (2017). Object-Detecting Neurons in Drosophila. *Curr Biol, 27*(5),
680-687. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2017.01.012
Kloppenburg, P., Ferns, D., & Mercer, A. R. (1999). Serotonin enhances central olfactory
neuron responses to female sex pheromone in the male sphinx moth manduca sexta. *J*
Neurosci, 19(19), 8172-8181.

Kupfermann, I. (1979). Modulatory actions of neurotransmitters. *Annu Rev Neurosci, 2*, 447-
465. doi: 10.1146/annurev.ne.02.030179.002311
Leitinger, G., Pabst, M. A., & Kral, K. (1999). Serotonin-immunoreactive neurones in the visual
system of the praying mantis: an immunohistochemical, confocal laser scanning and
electron microscopic study. *Brain Res, 823*(1-2), 11-23.
Li, Y. H., Xiang, K., Xu, X., Zhao, X., Li, Y., Zheng, L., & Wang, J. (2018). Co-activation of both
5-HT1A and 5-HT7 receptors induced attenuation of glutamatergic synaptic transmission
in the rat visual cortex. *Neurosci Lett, 686*, 122-126. doi: 10.1016/j.neulet.2018.09.013
Lombaert, N., Hennes, M., Gilissen, S., Schevenels, G., Aerts, L., Vanlaer, R., . . . Arckens, L.
(2018). 5-HTR2A and 5-HTR3A but not 5-HTR1A antagonism impairs the cross-modal
reactivation of deprived visual cortex in adulthood. *Mol Brain, 11*(1), 65. doi:
10.1186/s13041-018-0404-5
Lottem, E., Lorincz, M. L., & Mainen, Z. F. (2016). Optogenetic Activation of Dorsal Raphe
Serotonin Neurons Rapidly Inhibits Spontaneous But Not Odor-Evoked Activity in
Olfactory Cortex. *J Neurosci, 36*(1), 7-18. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3008-15.2016
Macpherson, L. J., Zaharieva, E. E., Kearney, P. J., Alpert, M. H., Lin, T. Y., Turan, Z., . . .
Gallio, M. (2015). Dynamic labelling of neural connections in multiple colours by trans-
synaptic fluorescence complementation. *Nat Commun, 6*, 10024. doi:
10.1038/ncomms10024
Maisak, M. S., Haag, J., Ammer, G., Serbe, E., Meier, M., Leonhardt, A., . . . Borst, A. (2013). A
directional tuning map of Drosophila elementary motion detectors. *Nature, 500*(7461),
212-216. doi: 10.1038/nature12320
Marder, E. (2012). Neuromodulation of neuronal circuits: back to the future. *Neuron, 76*(1), 1-11.
doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2012.09.010
Marder, E., O'Leary, T., & Shruti, S. (2014). Neuromodulation of circuits with variable
parameters: single neurons and small circuits reveal principles of state-dependent and
robust neuromodulation. *Annu Rev Neurosci, 37*, 329-346. doi: 10.1146/annurev-neuro-
071013-013958
Meinertzhagen, I. A., & O'Neil, S. D. (1991). Synaptic organization of columnar elements in the
lamina of the wild type in Drosophila melanogaster. *J Comp Neurol, 305*(2), 232-263.
doi: 10.1002/cne.903050206
Moreau, A. W., Amar, M., Le Roux, N., Morel, N., & Fossier, P. (2010). Serotoninergic fine-
tuning of the excitation-inhibition balance in rat visual cortical networks. *Cereb Cortex,*
20(2), 456-467. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhp114
Moukhles, H., Bosler, O., Bolam, J. P., Vallee, A., Umbriaco, D., Geffard, M., & Doucet, G.
(1997). Quantitative and morphometric data indicate precise cellular interactions
between serotonin terminals and postsynaptic targets in rat substantia nigra.
Neuroscience, 76(4), 1159-1171.
Nadim, F., & Bucher, D. (2014). Neuromodulation of neurons and synapses. *Curr Opin*
Neurobiol, 29, 48-56. doi: 10.1016/j.conb.2014.05.003
Nässel, D. R. (1988). Serotonin and serotonin-immunoreactive neurons in the nervous system
of insects. *Prog Neurobiol, 30*(1), 1-85.
Nässel, D. R., & Elekes, K. (1984). Ultrastructural demonstration of serotonin-immunoreactivity
in the nervous system of an insect (Calliphora erythrocephala). *Neurosci Lett, 48*(2),
203-210.
Nässel, D. R., Meyer, E. P., & Klemm, N. (1985). Mapping and ultrastructure of serotonin-
immunoreactive neurons in the optic lobes of three insect species. *J Comp Neurol,*
232(2), 190-204. doi: 10.1002/cne.902320205
Nässel, D. R., Ohlsson, L., & Sivasubramanian, P. (1987). Postembryonic differentiation of
serotonin-immunoreactive neurons in fleshfly optic lobes developing in situ or cultured in
vivo without eye discs. *J Comp Neurol, 255*(3), 327-340. doi: 10.1002/cne.902550302

Nern, A., Pfeiffer, B. D., & Rubin, G. M. (2015). Optimized tools for multicolor stochastic labeling
reveal diverse stereotyped cell arrangements in the fly visual system. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*
U S A, 112(22), E2967-2976. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1506763112
Nichols, C. D. (2007). 5-HT2 receptors in Drosophila are expressed in the brain and modulate
aspects of circadian behaviors. *Dev Neurobiol, 67*(6), 752-763. doi: 10.1002/dneu.20370
Nicolai, L. J., Ramaekers, A., Raemaekers, T., Drozdzecki, A., Mauss, A. S., Yan, J., . . .
Hassan, B. A. (2010). Genetically encoded dendritic marker sheds light on neuronal
connectivity in Drosophila. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 107*(47), 20553-20558. doi:
10.1073/pnas.1010198107
Ogawa, S. K., Cohen, J. Y., Hwang, D., Uchida, N., & Watabe-Uchida, M. (2014). Organization
of monosynaptic inputs to the serotonin and dopamine neuromodulatory systems. *Cell*
Rep, 8(4), 1105-1118. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2014.06.042
Papesh, M. A., & Hurley, L. M. (2016). Modulation of auditory brainstem responses by serotonin
and specific serotonin receptors. *Hear Res, 332*, 121-136. doi:
10.1016/j.heares.2015.11.014
Petzold, G. C., Hagiwara, A., & Murthy, V. N. (2009). Serotonergic modulation of odor input to
the mammalian olfactory bulb. *Nat Neurosci, 12*(6), 784-791. doi: 10.1038/nn.2335
Pollak Dorocic, I., Furth, D., Xuan, Y., Johansson, Y., Pozzi, L., Silberberg, G., . . . Meletis, K.
(2014). A whole-brain atlas of inputs to serotonergic neurons of the dorsal and median
raphe nuclei. *Neuron, 83*(3), 663-678. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2014.07.002
Polter, A. M., & Li, X. (2010). 5-HT1A receptor-regulated signal transduction pathways in brain.
Cell Signal, 22(10), 1406-1412. doi: 10.1016/j.cellsig.2010.03.019
Rivera-Alba, M., Vitaladevuni, S. N., Mishchenko, Y., Lu, Z., Takemura, S. Y., Scheffer, L., . . .
de Polavieja, G. G. (2011). Wiring economy and volume exclusion determine neuronal
placement in the Drosophila brain. *Curr Biol, 21*(23), 2000-2005. doi:
10.1016/j.cub.2011.10.022
Saifullah, A. S., & Tomioka, K. (2002). Serotonin sets the day state in the neurons that control
coupling between the optic lobe circadian pacemakers in the cricket Gryllus bimaculatus.
J Exp Biol, 205(Pt 9), 1305-1314.
Saudou, F., Boschert, U., Amlaiky, N., Plassat, J. L., & Hen, R. (1992). A family of Drosophila
serotonin receptors with distinct intracellular signalling properties and expression
patterns. *EMBO J, 11*(1), 7-17.
Schafer, S., & Bicker, G. (1986). Common projection areas of 5-HT- and GABA-like
immunoreactive fibers in the visual system of the honeybee. *Brain Res, 380*(2), 368-370.
Schindelin, J., Arganda-Carreras, I., Frise, E., Kaynig, V., Longair, M., Pietzsch, T., . . .
Cardona, A. (2012). Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat*
Methods, 9(7), 676-682. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.2019
Schmittgen, T. D., & Livak, K. J. (2008). Analyzing real-time PCR data by the comparative C(T)
method. *Nat Protoc, 3*(6), 1101-1108.
Seillier, L., Lorenz, C., Kawaguchi, K., Ott, T., Nieder, A., Pourriahi, P., & Nienborg, H. (2017).
Serotonin Decreases the Gain of Visual Responses in Awake Macaque V1. *J Neurosci,*
37(47), 11390-11405. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1339-17.2017
Shimegi, S., Kimura, A., Sato, A., Aoyama, C., Mizuyama, R., Tsunoda, K., . . . Sato, H. (2016).
Cholinergic and serotonergic modulation of visual information processing in monkey V1.
J Physiol Paris, 110(1-2), 44-51. doi: 10.1016/j.jphysparis.2016.09.001
Shinomiya, K., Huang, G., Lu, Z., Parag, T., Xu, C. S., Aniceto, R., . . . Meinertzhagen, I. A.
(2019). Comparisons between the ON- and OFF-edge motion pathways in the
Drosophila brain. *Elife, 8*. doi: 10.7554/eLife.40025
Shinomiya, K., Karuppudurai, T., Lin, T. Y., Lu, Z., Lee, C. H., & Meinertzhagen, I. A. (2014).
Candidate neural substrates for off-edge motion detection in Drosophila. *Curr Biol,*
24(10), 1062-1070. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2014.03.051

Sizemore, T. R., & Dacks, A. M. (2016). Serotonergic Modulation Differentially Targets Distinct
Network Elements within the Antennal Lobe of Drosophila melanogaster. *Sci Rep, 6*,
37119. doi: 10.1038/srep37119
Strother, J. A., Nern, A., & Reiser, M. B. (2014). Direct observation of ON and OFF pathways in
the Drosophila visual system. *Curr Biol, 24*(9), 976-983. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2014.03.017
Takemura, S. Y., Bharioke, A., Lu, Z., Nern, A., Vitaladevuni, S., Rivlin, P. K., . . . Chklovskii, D.
B. (2013). A visual motion detection circuit suggested by Drosophila connectomics.
Nature, 500(7461), 175-181. doi: 10.1038/nature12450
Takemura, S. Y., Karuppudurai, T., Ting, C. Y., Lu, Z., Lee, C. H., & Meinertzhagen, I. A.
(2011). Cholinergic circuits integrate neighboring visual signals in a Drosophila motion
detection pathway. *Curr Biol, 21*(24), 2077-2084. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2011.10.053
Takemura, S. Y., Lu, Z., & Meinertzhagen, I. A. (2008). Synaptic circuits of the Drosophila optic
lobe: the input terminals to the medulla. *J Comp Neurol, 509*(5), 493-513. doi:
10.1002/cne.21757
Takemura, S. Y., Nern, A., Chklovskii, D. B., Scheffer, L. K., Rubin, G. M., & Meinertzhagen, I.
A. (2017). The comprehensive connectome of a neural substrate for 'ON' motion
detection in. *Elife, 6*. doi: 10.7554/eLife.24394
Takemura, S. Y., Xu, C. S., Lu, Z., Rivlin, P. K., Parag, T., Olbris, D. J., . . . Scheffer, L. K.
(2015). Synaptic circuits and their variations within different columns in the visual system
of Drosophila. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 112*(44), 13711-13716. doi:
10.1073/pnas.1509820112
Tan, L., Zhang, K. X., Pecot, M. Y., Nagarkar-Jaiswal, S., Lee, P. T., Takemura, S. Y., . . .
Zipursky, S. L. (2015). Ig Superfamily Ligand and Receptor Pairs Expressed in Synaptic
Partners in Drosophila. *Cell, 163*(7), 1756-1769. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2015.11.021
Trakhtenberg, E. F., Pita-Thomas, W., Fernandez, S. G., Patel, K. H., Venugopalan, P.,
Shechter, J. M., . . . Goldberg, J. L. (2017). Serotonin receptor 2C regulates neurite
growth and is necessary for normal retinal processing of visual information. *Dev
Neurobiol, 77*(4), 419-437. doi: 10.1002/dneu.22391
Trueta, C., & De-Miguel, F. F. (2012). Extrasynaptic exocytosis and its mechanisms: a source of
molecules mediating volume transmission in the nervous system. *Front Physiol, 3*, 319.
doi: 10.3389/fphys.2012.00319
Tuthill, J. C., Nern, A., Holtz, S. L., Rubin, G. M., & Reiser, M. B. (2013). Contributions of the 12
neuron classes in the fly lamina to motion vision. *Neuron, 79*(1), 128-140. doi:
10.1016/j.neuron.2013.05.024
Valles, A. M., & White, K. (1988). Serotonin-containing neurons in Drosophila melanogaster:
development and distribution. *J Comp Neurol, 268*(3), 414-428. doi:
10.1002/cne.902680310
Venken, K. J., Schulze, K. L., Haelterman, N. A., Pan, H., He, Y., Evans-Holm, M., . . . Bellen,
H. J. (2011). MiMIC: a highly versatile transposon insertion resource for engineering
Drosophila melanogaster genes. *Nat Methods, 8*(9), 737-743.
Vizi, E. S., Fekete, A., Karoly, R., & Mike, A. (2010). Non-synaptic receptors and transporters
involved in brain functions and targets of drug treatment. *Br J Pharmacol, 160*(4), 785-
809. doi: 10.1111/j.1476-5381.2009.00624.x
Wang, Y., Gu, Q., & Cynader, M. S. (1997). Blockade of serotonin-2C receptors by mesulergine
reduces ocular dominance plasticity in kitten visual cortex. *Exp Brain Res, 114*(2), 321-
328.
Watakabe, A., Komatsu, Y., Sadakane, O., Shimegi, S., Takahata, T., Higo, N., . . . Yamamori,
T. (2009). Enriched expression of serotonin 1B and 2A receptor genes in macaque
visual cortex and their bidirectional modulatory effects on neuronal responses. *Cereb
Cortex, 19*(8), 1915-1928. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhn219

Weissbourd, B., Ren, J., DeLoach, K. E., Guenthner, C. J., Miyamichi, K., & Luo, L. (2014).
Presynaptic partners of dorsal raphe serotonergic and GABAergic neurons. *Neuron,*
83(3), 645-662. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2014.06.024
Wilson, R. I., Turner, G. C., & Laurent, G. (2004). Transformation of olfactory representations in
the Drosophila antennal lobe. *Science, 303*(5656), 366-370. doi:
10.1126/science.1090782
Witz, P., Amlaiky, N., Plassat, J. L., Maroteaux, L., Borrelli, E., & Hen, R. (1990). Cloning and
characterization of a Drosophila serotonin receptor that activates adenylate cyclase.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 87(22), 8940-8944.
Xu, L., He, J., Kaiser, A., Graber, N., Schlager, L., Ritze, Y., & Scholz, H. (2016). A Single Pair
of Serotonergic Neurons Counteracts Serotonergic Inhibition of Ethanol Attraction in
Drosophila. *PLoS One, 11*(12), e0167518. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0167518
Yang, H. H., St-Pierre, F., Sun, X., Ding, X., Lin, M. Z., & Clandinin, T. R. (2016). Subcellular
Imaging of Voltage and Calcium Signals Reveals Neural Processing In Vivo. *Cell,*
166(1), 245-257. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2016.05.031
Yuan, Q., Lin, F., Zheng, X., & Sehgal, A. (2005). Serotonin modulates circadian entrainment in
Drosophila. *Neuron, 47*(1), 115-127. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2005.05.027
Zhang, T., Huang, L., Zhang, L., Tan, M., Pu, M., Pickard, G. E., . . . Ren, C. (2016). ON and
OFF retinal ganglion cells differentially regulate serotonergic and GABAergic activity in
the dorsal raphe nucleus. *Sci Rep, 6*, 26060. doi: 10.1038/srep26060
Zhang, X., & Gaudry, Q. (2016). Functional integration of a serotonergic neuron in the
Drosophila antennal lobe. *Elife, 5*. doi: 10.7554/eLife.16836
Zheng, L., de Polavieja, G. G., Wolfram, V., Asyali, M. H., Hardie, R. C., & Juusola, M. (2006).
Feedback network controls photoreceptor output at the layer of first visual synapses in
Drosophila. *J Gen Physiol, 127*(5), 495-510. doi: 10.1085/jgp.200509470
Zhou, X., Zhang, R., Zhang, S., Wu, J., & Sun, X. (2018). Activation of 5-HT1A receptors
promotes retinal ganglion cell function by inhibiting the cAMP-PKA pathway to modulate
presynaptic GABA release in chronic glaucoma. *J Neurosci*. doi:
10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1685-18.2018

1181

1182