Redistribution of NORTIA in response to pollen tube arrival facilitates fertilization

in *Arabidopsis thaliana*

Jing Yuan[1,2*], Yan Ju[1,2*], Daniel S. Jones[4,5], Weiwei Zhang[2,3], Noel Lucca[1,2],

Christopher J. Staiger[1,2,3], and Sharon A. Kessler[1,2,**]

[1]Department of Botany and Plant Pathology, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana

USA

[2]Purdue Center for Plant Biology, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana USA

[3]Department of Biological Sciences, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana USA

[4]Biology Department, The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North

Carolina USA (current address)

[5]Department of Microbiology and Plant Biology, University of Oklahoma, Norman,

Oklahoma USA

*These authors contributed equally

** Corresponding author

Email: sakessler@purdue.edu

Abstract

During gamete delivery in *Arabidopsis thaliana*, intercellular communication between

the attracted pollen tube and the receptive synergid cell leads to subcellular events in

both cells culminating in the rupture of the tip-growing pollen tube and release of the

sperm cells to achieve double fertilization. Live imaging of pollen tube reception

revealed dynamic subcellular changes that occur in the female synergid cells. Pollen

tube arrival triggers the trafficking of NORTIA (NTA) MLO protein from Golgi-associated

compartments and the accumulation of endosomes at or near the synergid filiform

apparatus, a membrane-rich region that acts as the site of communication between the

pollen tube and synergids. Domain swaps and site-directed mutagenesis suggest that

NTA's C-terminal cytoplasmic tail with its calmodulin-binding domain regulates NTA

movement and function in pollen tube reception. Signal-mediated trafficking of NTA to

the filiform apparatus upon pollen tube arrival may facilitate intercellular communication

that leads to pollen tube rupture.

Introduction

Intercellular communication is central to the proper development and maintenance of all

multicellular organisms. During this communication, signals from one cell are perceived

by receptors in another cell and translated into various subcellular responses. These

include signal transduction cascades leading to transcription of other genes, calcium

signaling, and trafficking of proteins to different organelles or regions of the cell. A well-

studied example of signal-induced protein trafficking in plant development is the

redistribution of the PIN polar auxin transporters to different sides of the cell during

important developmental events such as embryo patterning, leaf initiation and lateral

root initiation (Petrasek et al., 2006; Naramoto, 2017; Salanenka et al., 2018). In plants,

most intercellular communication occurs between cells that are genetically identical and

connected by adjoining cell walls. One exception is pollination, in which pollen (the male

gametophyte) is released from an anther, transported to a receptive stigma, and

produces a tip-growing pollen tube that grows through the female tissues of the pistil

and delivers the two sperm cells to the female gametophyte (also known as the embryo

sac, Fig 1A). The pollen tube's journey through the pistil requires cell-to-cell interactions

with the female that allows water and nutrient uptake and enables the detection of cues

important for guidance toward the female gametes (Johnson et al., 2019).

In the model plant *Arabidopsis thaliana*, complex signaling events ranging from pollen

landing on the stigma to fusion of gametes occur over several hours. Most of our

knowledge about the signaling pathways involved along the pollen tube's journey

through the female is limited to the final stages of pollination and involve a highly

specialized pair of female gametophyte cells known as synergids. During female

gametophyte development, meiosis followed by three rounds of mitosis produce the egg

cell and central cell along with 2 synergid cells flanking the egg cell and 3 antipodal cells

on the chalazal end of the embryo sac (Drews and Yadegari, 2002), Fig 1A). The

synergid cells are accessory cells that control the behavior of the pollen tube during the

final stages of pollination. Before pollen tube arrival, they secrete cysteine-rich LURE

peptides that act as short-range pollen tube attractants that are recognized by receptor-

like kinases in the tip of the pollen tube to regulate the direction of pollen tube growth

and guide the pollen tube to the micropyle of the ovule (Okuda et al., 2009; Takeuchi

and Higashiyama, 2016; Wang et al., 2016). After pollen tube arrival, the synergids

communicate with the pollen tube to induce changes that result in pollen tube rupture

and delivery of the sperm cells (Kessler and Grossniklaus, 2011; Johnson et al., 2019).

Thus, synergids are critical for ensuring that double fertilization can occur to produce

seeds.

In Arabidopsis, live imaging has been used to examine the behavior of both the pollen

tube and the synergids during the process of pollen tube reception. A pollen tube

follows the gradient of LURE attractants, enters the micropyle of the ovule, and pauses

its growth for 30 min to 1 h just outside the receptive synergid (Iwano et al., 2012;

Denninger et al., 2014; Ngo et al., 2014). During this pause in pollen tube growth,

communication occurs between the pollen tube and the synergids that leads to

subcellular changes and ultimately to the death of both the pollen tube and the receptive

synergid. Cytoplasmic calcium ($[Ca^{2+}]_{cyto}$) oscillations occur in both the tip of the pollen

tube and in the 2 synergid cells during this communication phase. $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyto}$ levels

continue to increase in both cell types until the pollen tube starts to grow again and

bursts to release the sperm cells, a catastrophic event for both the pollen tube and the

receptive synergid, which also degenerates (Iwano et al., 2012; Denninger et al., 2014;

Ngo et al., 2014). Mutations in genes that regulate communication between the

synergids and pollen tube during pollen tube reception result in a pollen tube

overgrowth phenotype in which the pollen tubes are attracted normally to the ovules, but

do not get the signal to burst and release the sperm cells. Presumably, synergid-

induced changes in the cell wall of the pollen tube tip do not occur in these mutants,

therefore the pollen tube continues to grow and coil inside the embryo sac. Synergid-

expressed genes that participate in pollen tube reception include the FERONIA (FER)

receptor-like kinase, the GPI-anchored protein LORELEI (LRE), and the Mildew

Resistance Locus-O (MLO) protein NORTIA (NTA, also known as AtMLO7) (Escobar-

Restrepo et al., 2007; Capron et al., 2008; Kessler et al., 2010; Ngo et al., 2014; Li et

al., 2015; Liu et al., 2016). Mutations in all of these genes lead to the pollen tube

overgrowth phenotype due to disruption of the pollen tube-synergid communication

pathway.

FER and LRE are necessary for the calcium oscillations that occur in synergids in

response to pollen tube arrival (Ngo et al., 2014). In contrast, *nta-1* mutants have

$[Ca^{2+}]_{cyto}$ oscillations at lower amplitudes, indicating that NTA may participate in

modulating Ca^{2+} fluxes in the synergids during communication with the pollen tube and

likely acts downstream of FER and LRE (Ngo et al., 2014). Like all members of the MLO

gene family, NTA has seven membrane-spanning domains and a predicted calmodulin-

binding domain (CaMBD) in its C-terminal intracellular tail (Devoto et al., 2003; Kusch et

al., 2016). Calmodulin (CaM) is a small protein that binds Ca^{2+} and is involved in signal

transduction for many cellular processes (Yang and Poovaiah, 2003). We previously

showed that the C-terminal domain of NTA is necessary and sufficient for MLO function

in pollen tube reception (Jones et al., 2017), but the significance of the CaMBD in pollen

tube reception remains an open question.

The subcellular localization of these important pollen tube reception proteins is not

always predictive of their function in communicating with the pollen tube. As expected

for early response proteins, both FER and LRE are expressed in synergid cells where

they localize in or near a specialized region called the filiform apparatus, a membrane

rich area located at the micropyle end of the synergids (Huck et al., 2003; Rotman et al.,

2003; Escobar-Restrepo et al., 2007; Capron et al., 2008; Li et al., 2015; Lindner et al.,

2015; Liu et al., 2016). The filiform apparatus is thought to be important for the secretion

of attractant peptides and is the first site of interaction between the pollen tube and

synergid cell prior to pollen tube reception (Mansfield et al., 1991; Huang and Russell,

1992; Leshem et al., 2013). In contrast, before pollen tube arrival, NTA is sequestered

in a Golgi-associated compartment within the synergid cell and excluded from the

filiform apparatus region (Jones et al., 2017). At the end of pollen tube reception, NTA

protein is only detected in the region of the filiform apparatus, indicating that this protein

changes its subcellular localization during pollen tube reception (Kessler et al., 2010).

This suggests that pollen tube-triggered regulation of the synergid secretory system

may be a crucial subcellular response to pollen tube arrival and that NTA function may

be related to its subcellular distribution; however, the precise timing and significance of

NTA's redistribution are still unclear.

In this study, we take advantage of a live-imaging system to further characterize

synergid cellular dynamics during pollen tube reception and to determine the timing and

significance of the polar redistribution of NTA to the filiform apparatus. To investigate

the link between Ca^{2+} and MLO function in pollen tube reception, we assayed the

influence of the CaMBD on NTA's function and subcellular distribution through C-

terminal truncations and a point mutation disrupting the CaMBD. We show that the polar

redistribution of NTA is triggered by the approach of a pollen tube, is important for

pollen tube reception, and is regulated by the CaMBD. While most subcellular

compartments remain distributed throughout the synergid cells during pollen tube

reception, recycling endosomes respond to pollen tube arrival by accumulating towards

the filiform apparatus. Moreover, we show that targeting NTA to the filiform apparatus

before pollen tube attraction does not induce synergid cell death.

Results

Time-lapse imaging of NORTIA redistribution using a semi-*in vivo* assay

Pollen tube reception is a complex process that requires the synergid cells to recognize

the approaching pollen tube and to send signals back to the pollen tube that result in

release of the sperm cells at the correct time and place. We previously showed that the

NTA-GFP fusion protein localizes to a Golgi-associated compartment of the synergids

before pollen tube attraction (Jones et al., 2017). When imaged after pollen tube

reception, NTA-GFP is concentrated at the micropylar end of the synergid (in or near

the filiform apparatus) (Kessler et al., 2010). NTA-GFP doesn't accumulate near the

filiform apparatus in *fer* ovules with pollen tube overgrowth, suggesting that FER-

mediated signaling that occurs during pollen tube reception triggers NTA-GFP

redistribution that in turn contributes to the interaction of the synergid with the pollen

tube (Kessler et al., 2010). An alternative hypothesis is that pollen tube rupture triggers

NTA-GFP redistribution and is a symptom of pollen tube reception rather than an

important contributor to the signaling pathway. To distinguish between these two

possibilities, we used a semi-*in vivo* pollination system combined with spinning disk

confocal microscopy to determine the timing of NTA-GFP redistribution during the pollen

tube reception process. In the semi-*in vivo* system, pollen tubes grow out of a cut style

and are attracted to ovules arranged on pollen germination media (Palanivelu and

Preuss, 2006). This system has previously been used to quantify and track pollen tube

attraction to ovules and to image ($[Ca^{2+}]_{cyto}$ reporters during pollen tube reception

(Hamamura et al., 2011; Hamamura et al., 2012; Denninger et al., 2014; Hamamura et

al., 2014; Ngo et al., 2014). In order to follow subcellular changes in NTA-GFP protein

localization before, during, and after pollen tube arrival, we used pollen from plants

expressing the pollen-specific AUTOINHIBITED Ca^{2+}-ATPASE9$_{pro}$::DsRed

(ACA9$_{pro}$::DsRed) reporter and ovules expressing NTA$_{pro}$::NTA-GFP in the semi-*in vivo*

system. Approximately 4 h after pollination, pollen tubes emerged from the style onto

the media and were attracted to ovules (Fig 1B). Images in the red and green channels

were collected every 5 min from when a pollen tube approached an ovule until after the

pollen tube ruptured inside the ovule. In our system, most of the ovules displayed

successful pollen tube attraction and reception, while others did not attract a pollen tube

during the time course of the imaging experiments (Fig 1B and S1). A second group

were imaged under the same conditions and serve as a negative control for

environmentally-induced changes in NTA-GFP localization. 83% of the ovules that

attracted a pollen tube that successfully burst to deliver the sperm cells (n=93)

displayed NTA-GFP redistributed to the micropylar end of the synergid cell (Fig 1C-E).

Ovules without NTA-GFP redistribution displayed abnormal pollen tube behavior in

which pollen tubes were attracted but stopped growing and never ruptured to release

the sperm cells. Neighboring ovules that did not attract a pollen tube (n=103) but were

imaged under the same semi-*in vivo* conditions did not have redistribution of NTA-GFP

(Fig 1E), nor did ovules that were incubated on pollen germination media without a

pollinated pistil (n=133, Fig 1E). These data suggest that pollen tube arrival is

necessary for NTA-GFP redistribution and that the imaging conditions do not trigger

redistribution (Fig 1E).

Figure 1. NTA redistributes to the filiform apparatus region as the pollen tube approaches. (A) Diagram of a mature *Arabidopsis thaliana* ovule and embryo sac, modified from Jones et al, 2018. (B, C) Live imaging of pollen tube (PT) reception using NTA-GFP labeled synergids (green signal) and ACA9::DsRed pollen tubes (magenta signal). (B) NTA-GFP redistribution occurred in ovules that attracted a pollen tube (ovules with white stars), while NTA-GFP redistribution did not occur in ovules without pollen tube attraction (ovules with green stars). (C) Time-lapse imaging of NTA-GFP movement during pollen tube reception. NTA-GFP before (ovules with yellow stars) and after (ovules with blue stars) the PT resumes growth after initial arrival at the filiform apparatus. (D) Quantification of NTA-GFP signal before (yellow starred ovule) and after (blue starred ovule) pollen tube arrival. Synergid cell from chalazal end to filiform apparatus (FA) end was defined from 0 to 33 μm in length. (E) Quantification of the percentage of ovules with NTA redistribution under different experimental conditions. Bars=50μm (A, C). CC, Central Cell; Syn, Synergid Cells; EC, Egg Cell; An, Antipodal cells; FA, Filiform Apparatus; PT, Pollen Tube.

Our semi-*in vivo* system also allowed us to determine the timing of NTA-GFP

redistribution in relation to the position of the pollen tube as it approached the synergids.

We defined the 0 min timepoint as the time where the pollen tube just reached the

micropylar opening of the ovule (Fig 1C, ovules with yellow stars, Movies S1 and S2). In

all cases, NTA-GFP movement also started from this time point. During the following

30–50 min, pollen tubes grew through the micropyle region of ovule and arrived at the

filiform apparatus of the receptive synergid cell. During this time, three quarters to half

of the NTA-GFP signal moved to the micropylar end of synergid cells, indicating that the

approach of the pollen tube triggers NTA-GFP movement. As reported in (Ngo et al.,

2014) and (Denninger et al., 2014), the arriving pollen tubes paused their growth

outside the filiform apparatus for 30–50 min, presumably for cell-to-cell communication.

During this period, NTA-GFP signal continued to move toward the filiform apparatus.

The whole movement took around 70–80 min, and after the redistribution completed,

pollen tubes resumed growth and ruptured to deliver the sperm cells and complete

double fertilization (Fig 1C and Movies S1 and S2). Even though only one of the

synergids receives the pollen tube, NTA-GFP was actively redistributed to the filiform

apparatus in both synergid cells in response to pollen tube arrival, similar to the

activation of $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyto}$ oscillations in both synergids during pollen tube reception

reported in (Ngo et al., 2014).

The Golgi apparatus does not concentrate at the filiform apparatus during pollen

tube reception

We previously determined that NTA is sequestered in a Golgi-associated compartment

in synergid cells that have not attracted a pollen tube (Jones et al., 2017) . Our live-

imaging data suggests that NTA-GFP is selectively moved out of the Golgi and

trafficked to the region of the filiform apparatus in response to pollen tube arrival;

however, it is possible that the observed NTA-GFP movement is a result of massive

reorganization of subcellular compartments. To distinguish between these possibilities,

we investigated the behavior of Golgi in synergid cells during pollen tube reception. We

used the semi-*in vivo* imaging system described above with a synergid-expressed Golgi

marker (Man49-mCherry) co-expressed with NTA-GFP (Jones et al., 2018). In all

replicates, the Golgi marker was distributed throughout the synergids, excluded from the

filiform apparatus, and co-localized with NTA-GFP as reported previously (Fig 2A).

When a pollen tube approached the synergids, NTA-GFP redistributed to the filiform

apparatus region of the synergids as observed previously (Fig 1), but the Golgi-mCherry

marker remained consistently distributed throughout the synergid cells and did not

concentrate near the filiform apparatus (Fig 2B). In order to examine the behavior of the

Golgi during later stages of pollen tube reception, we used the synergid-expressed

Golgi marker line (Man49-mCherry) and pollen that was expressing GFP

(Lat52$_{pro}$::GFP). In all cases, the Golgi marker remained randomly distributed

throughout the synergid cells, even after pollen tube rupture (Fig 2C-D, Movies S3 and

S4). These results indicate that the movement of NTA-GFP during pollen tube reception

is not linked to mass redistribution of the Golgi apparatus.

Figure 2. The Golgi marker is randomly distributed throughout synergids during pollen tube reception. (A) NTA-GFP (green signal) and Golgi-mCherry signals (magenta signal) are evenly distributed along the length of the synergid and co-localized within synergid cells before pollen tube arrival. (B) After pollen tube arrival, NTA-GFP redistributed to FA region, but Golgi-mCherry did not redistribute to FA. (C) Live imaging of Golgi-mCherry during reception of Lat52::GFP labeled pollen tubes. (D) Quantification of Golgi-mCherry signal along the length of synergids before (ovule with yellow star in C) and after (ovule with blue star in C) pollen tube arrival. Bars=30µm (A-B), 50µm (C). Syn, Synergid cells, FA, Filiform Apparatus.

Distribution of cellular compartments in synergid cells during pollen tube

reception

A signal from the arriving pollen tube seems to trigger the movement of NTA-GFP out of

the Golgi-associated compartments. It is possible that pollen tube arrival triggers other

changes to synergid subcellular organization. We previously reported the localization of

synergid-expressed markers for the ER, peroxisome, endosome and the trans-Golgi

Network (TGN) in unfertilized ovules *in vivo* using confocal laser scanning microscopy

(Jones et al., 2018). Before pollen tube arrival, SP-mCherry-HDEL (an ER-associated

marker), mCherry-SKL (a peroxisome-associated marker), and mCherry-RabA1g (a

recycling endosome-associated marker) were all distributed evenly throughout synergid

cells (Fig S2 and 3A; (Jones et al., 2018). The TGN-associated marker SYP61 exhibited

two types of distribution patterns before pollen tube arrival: in type 1 synergids, the

marker accumulated near the filiform apparatus, whereas type 2 synergids displayed a

punctate distribution pattern throughout the cells (Fig S2; (Jones et al., 2018). During

pollen tube reception, no change was seen in the TGN marker distribution in either type

of synergids (Fig S2, C-D, Movies S5 and S6). Likewise, the ER and peroxisome

markers maintained a diffuse distribution throughout the synergids and did not

accumulate at the filiform apparatus region (Fig S2, A-B and E-F, Movies S7-10). In

contrast, we detected a more dynamic behavior of the endosome marker during pollen

tube reception. Endosomes are membrane-bound compartments that are involved in

the endocytic membrane transport pathway from the plasma membrane to the vacuole.

Endosomes also transport molecules from the Golgi and either continue to vacuole or

recycle back to the Golgi (Stoorvogel et al., 1991). We previously reported that

mCherry-RabA1g is distributed throughout synergid cells and had some overlap with

NTA-GFP in synergids of unpollinated ovules (Jones et al., 2018). Using the semi-*in*

vivo system, we confirmed that before pollen tube arrival, mCherry-RabA1g distributed

throughout synergid cells (Fig 3A). Interestingly, as pollen tubes approached, the

endosome marker started to accumulate in the filiform apparatus region of the synergid

cells (Fig 3A and B). By the time that pollen tube reception was completed, most of the

endosome signal was concentrated at or near the filiform apparatus (Fig 3B-D and S3,

Movies S11 and S12). These results indicate that the RabA1g endosome compartments

have a distinct response to pollen tube arrival and may play a role in facilitating the

intercellular signaling pathway that occurs between the synergids and the pollen tube.

Figure 3. Endosome marker polarly accumulates toward filiform apparatus during pollen tube reception. (A) Rab-mCherry endosome marker (magenta signal) accumulates at the FA region in response to pollen tube arrival (ovule with white star). (B) Higher magnification of the micropylar region of starred ovule in (red box in panel A). (C) Timing of Rab-mCherry polar accumulation during pollen tube arrival (ovules with stars). (D) Quantification of Rab-mCherry signal along the length of synergids during pollen tube reception. Bars=50µm. (E) Quantification of ovule percentage with endosome marker throughout the synergids (gray bars) or with polar accumulation near the filiform apparatus (black bars). CC, Central Cell; EC, Egg Cell; Syn, Synergid Cells; FA, Filiform Apparatus; PT, Pollen Tube.

The CaMBD is important for NTA's function in pollen tube reception

The timing of the NTA redistribution during pollen tube arrival is similar to the start of

synergid $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyto}$ oscillations that are triggered by the pollen tube in a FER-dependent

manner (Iwano et al., 2012; Hamamura et al., 2014; Ngo et al., 2014). MLO proteins

have the potential to bind Ca^{2+} through calmodulin binding domains (CaMBD) in their C-

terminal cytoplasmic tails following the seventh transmembrane domain (Devoto et al.,

1999; Kim et al., 2002a; Panstruga, 2005; Consonni et al., 2006). Like all MLO proteins,

NTA has a predicted CaMBD in its C-terminal tail (Fig 4A). In *nta-1* mutants, pollen

tube-triggered $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyto}$ oscillations occur but at a lower amplitude (Ngo et al., 2014).

Domain swaps with MLO8 revealed that the C-terminal tail domain of NTA is necessary

and sufficient for MLO-mediated pollen tube reception and important for NTA's

subcellular distribution in synergids (Jones et al., 2017). Together, these data suggest

that the subcellular distribution of NTA before and during pollen tube reception could be

influenced by $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyto}$ levels sensed by the CaMBD. Previous studies have found that

CaM-binding activity of the CaMBD influences MLO function in powdery mildew

susceptibility (Kim et al., 2002a).

We hypothesized that CaM-binding activity is also important for NTA's function during

pollen tube reception. To test this, a truncation removing the C-terminal tail including the

CaMBD (NTA$^{\Delta 450}$), a point mutation in a conserved tryptophan (NTA^{W458A}) necessary for

CaM-binding function in other CaMBDs (Arazi et al., 1995; Yamada et al., 1995; Kim et

al., 2002b), and a truncation removing the tail immediately following the CaMBD

(NTA$^{\Delta 481}$), all fused to GFP, were generated and expressed under the synergid-

expressed MYB98 promoter in the *nta-1* background (Fig 4). Ovule counts in

homozygous lines revealed that all three constructs had significant reductions in

unfertilized ovules compared to *nta-1*. However, only NTA$^{\Delta481}$ rescued at similar levels

as full-length NTA (Fig 4B), indicating that the C-terminal tail after the CaMBD is

dispensable for NTA function. Both NTA$^{\Delta450}$ and NTA^{W458A} partially rescued *nta-1*,

suggesting that either removal or disruption of the CaMBD have a similar impact on

NTA's function.

MYB98pro:NTA (variant) - GFP

Figure 4. Analysis of NTA variants expressed in synergids of *nta-1*. (A) Diagram of the NTA protein with variant positions indicated. The yellow bar represents a lipid bilayer, with the N-terminal extension predicted to be outside the membrane. (B) Complementation analysis of NTA variants in T2 plants homozygous for MYB98$_{pro}$::NTA(variant)-GFP constructs in *nta-1* mutants. (C-F) NTA (variant)-GFP (green) distribution in synergid cells of unfertilized ovules merged with FM4-64 (magenta). Bars = 10 µm. Adjusted P values from a Student's *t*-test are as follows: **** indicates $P < 0.0001$; *** indicates $P = 0.001$ to 0.0001($P = 0.0009$ when compare between NTA and NTA$^{\Delta450}$; $P = 0.0005$ when compared between NTA and NTA^{W458A}); and ns indicates $P = 0.0633$. FA, Filiform Apparatus.

A functional CaMBD facilitates NTA accumulation at the filiform apparatus during

pollen tube arrival

Prior to pollen tube arrival, NTA is distributed throughout the entire synergid cell where it

is localized primarily within Golgi (Fig 4C) (Kessler et al., 2010; Jones et al., 2017).

When expressed in synergid cells, related MLO proteins that localize within Golgi can

rescue *nta-1* whereas those that localize elsewhere do not function in pollen tube

reception (Jones et al., 2017). The partial complementation of *nta-1* by the CaMBD-

disrupted variants could be due to disrupted localization patterns before and/or after

pollen tube arrival. In virgin ovules, both NTA$^{\Delta481}$ and NTA^{W458A} were distributed

throughout the synergid cell in punctate compartments and were predominantly

excluded from the filiform apparatus (Fig 4E and F). NTA$^{\Delta450}$ accumulated in punctate

compartments throughout the synergid, but was also detected near the filiform

apparatus and in the vacuole (Fig 4D). Although the two variants with disrupted

CaMBDs (NTA$^{\Delta450}$ and NTA^{W458A}) both partially rescued the *nta-1* unfertilized ovule

phenotype at similar levels, they had different distributions in the synergid cell. This

suggests that differences in localization between these two variants may not be

functionally relevant to pollen tube reception. Due to this, we focused primarily on

NTA^{W458A} for our downstream analyses and comparisons with wildtype NTA so as to not

further complicate the interpretation of our results.

With "NTA-like" distribution in the synergid cell, we suspected that the NTA^{W458A} variant

would accumulate within Golgi-associated compartments similar to wildtype NTA.

NTA^{W458A} was co-expressed with fluorescent markers for Golgi (LRE$_{pro}$::Man49-

mCherry, (Liu et al., 2016)) and the TGN (MYB98pro:Syp61-mCherry,(Jones et al.,

2017)) in synergid cells and virgin ovules were analyzed via CLSM (Fig S4). The

NTA^{W458A} variant partially co-localized with the Golgi maker (Fig S4A) and had no

overlap with the TGN marker (Fig S4B), similar to wildtype NTA localization(Jones et al.,

2017). These data demonstrate that neither NTA's distribution within the synergid cell,

where it is maintained out of the filiform apparatus prior to pollen tube arrival, nor its

localization within Golgi-associated compartments are dependent on a functional

CaMBD.

During pollen tube reception, NTA is actively redistributed toward the filiform apparatus

region. The NTA^{W458A} variant maintains a wildtype distribution and localization pattern in

synergids without pollen tubes but does not fully rescue *nta-1*'s unfertilized ovule

phenotype. To test whether the CaMBD is important for NTA's redistribution in response

to pollen tube arrival, the semi-*in vivo* system described above was used to monitor

NTA^{W458A} movement in the *nta-1* background. In this background, the partial rescue by

NTA^{W458A} leads to some ovules having normal pollen tube reception, while others

exhibit pollen tube overgrowth and a failure of pollen tube rupture. In the semi-*in vivo*

system, NTA^{W458A} ovules that did not attract a pollen tube, maintained distributions

outside of the filiform apparatus, consistent with unpollinated flowers above (Fig S5A

and C). In ovules with successful pollen tube reception, NTA^{W458A} redistributed to the

filiform apparatus region, but in many cases this redistribution was not as complete as

with the wild-type NTA-GFP protein, with some GFP signal remaining outside the

filiform apparatus region (Fig 5 and Fig S5, B and D). In ovules where pollen tube

reception was not successful due to pollen tube overgrowth, NTA^{W458A} did not

accumulate at the filiform apparatus region of the synergids (Fig 5C). These data

suggest that an active CaMBD enhances NTA's redistribution to the filiform apparatus

region during pollen tube reception and that NTA redistribution is correlated with pollen

tube rupture.

Figure 5. A point mutation in the CaMBD (NTA^{W458A}) affects redistribution and pollen tube
reception. (A) NTA^{W458A} has 3 different localization patterns in response to PT arrival under semi
in-vivo conditions. (B) Quantification of GFP signal intensity in NTA^{W458A} synergids during pollen
360 tube reception. (C) Analysis of NTA^{W458A}-GFP distribution patterns in ovules with successful (PT
bursting) and unsuccessful (no PT or PT overgrowth (PT OG)) pollen tube reception. Bar=50 μm
361

Premature distribution of NTA to the filiform apparatus region is not toxic to synergid cells

The selective targeting of NTA-GFP from the Golgi apparatus to the filiform apparatus region of the synergid cells during pollen tube arrival (Fig 1-2) and the link between NTA^{W458A} redistribution and pollen tube reception (Fig 5) suggests that NTA accumulation at the pollen tube/synergid interface is important for the intercellular communication process that occurs between the pollen tube and synergids. In *nta-1* mutants, around 30% of ovules display pollen tube overgrowth and fail to complete double fertilization, but the other 70% are fertilized normally (Kessler et al., 2010). This indicates that NTA is not absolutely required for pollen tube reception, but may function as a modifier of the FER signaling pathway. Since FER signaling in the synergids leads to cell death as pollen tube reception is completed (Huck et al., 2003; Rotman et al., 2003; Escobar-Restrepo et al., 2007), NTA trafficking to the filiform apparatus from could be a mechanism to regulate this death and would thus require sequestration of "toxic" NTA in the Golgi before pollen tube arrival. In order to test this hypothesis, we took advantage of sequence variation leading to differential subcellular localization of MLO proteins to manipulate the subcellular localization of NTA. When expressed in synergids, other proteins from the Arabidopsis MLO family have different subcellular localization patterns, indicating that specific sequences within the MLOs direct them to different parts of the secretory system (Jones and Kessler, 2017). MLO1-GFP localizes in the filiform apparatus region of the synergids when it is ectopically expressed under control of the synergid-specific MYB98 promoter and cannot complement the *nta-1* pollen tube reception phenotype (Jones et al., 2017), also see Fig 6C). Domain swaps

between different regions of NTA and MLO1 revealed that the C-terminal cytoplasmic

tail including the CaMBD of MLO1 (NTA-MLO1^{CTerm}, see diagram in Fig 6B) was

sufficient to direct the fusion protein to the filiform apparatus region of the synergids, in

a pattern very similar to MLO1-GFP (Fig 6A-C). Quantification of the GFP signal along

the length of the synergids from the chalazal end to the filiform apparatus in the NTA-

GFP, NTA-MLO1^{Cterm}-GFP, and MLO1-GFP confirmed that the MLO1 tail was sufficient

to move the NTA protein to the filiform apparatus end of the cell (Fig 6D). In all MLO1-

GFP and NTA-MLO1^{Cterm}-GFP ovules, the majority of GFP signal was detected in the

lower 20-40% of the synergids and most of the signal overlapped with the diffuse FM4-

64 staining in the filiform apparatus (Fig 6E). In contrast, NTA-GFP is excluded from the

filiform apparatus (Fig 6A and (Jones et al., 2017).

The successful manipulation of NTA subcellular localization provided a tool for

determining the functional relevance of NTA redistribution. We transformed the NTA-

MLO1^{CTerm}-GFP construct into *nta-1* plants and used the percentage of unfertilized

ovules as a measurement for the ability of the fusion construct to complement the *nta-1*

phenotype of unfertilized ovules caused by pollen tube overgrowth (Kessler et al.,

2010).Two independent NTA-MLO1^{CTerm}-GFP insertions were able to rescue the *nta-1*

phenotype when expressed in synergids (Fig 6F). These data indicate that premature

targeting of NTA to the filiform apparatus is not toxic to synergid cells.

410 **Figure 6. Targeting of NTA to the filiform apparatus region before pollen tube arrival is not
411 toxic to synergid cells.** (A-C) Localization patterns of MYB98 promoter-driven MLO-GFP variants
(green signal) in synergids of mature virgin ovules stained with FM4-64 (magenta signal) to reveal
the outline of the synergid and the filiform apparatus (FA, diffuse magenta signal). (D)
Quantification of the GFP intensity of the MLO variants in A-C along the length of the synergids.
412 (E) Percentage of ovules showing MLO-GFP signal throughout the synergids (100% of length), in
the micropylar 20% of synergid length that includes the filiform apparatus, and in the region
413 surrounding and including the filiform apparatus (40% of length). (F) Scatter plot of unfertilized
ovule percentages in homozygous plants of pMYB98::MLO-GFP in *nta-1* mutants to assess the
ability of the MLO-GFP constructs to complement *nta-1*. WS, Wassilewskija. Significance was
determined by a Student's *t*-test (****, $P < 0.0001$; *, $P = 0.0281$). Bars = 10 µm.

Discussion

Synergids respond to a signal from the approaching pollen tube

Successful pollination and production of seeds requires a series of signaling events between the male gametophyte (pollen tube) and both sporophytic and gametophytic cells of the female. In this study, we used live imaging to characterize dynamic subcellular changes that occur in the synergid cells of the female gametophyte in response to the arrival of the pollen tube. We showed that both the NTA protein and endosomes are actively mobilized to the filiform apparatus region where male-female communication occurs during pollen tube reception (Fig 7). Disruption of NTA's CaMBD partially compromised NTA redistribution and function in pollen tube reception, revealing that Ca^{2+} may play a role the synergid response to the signal from the pollen tube.

The polar accumulation of the RabA1g endosome marker near the filiform apparatus during pollen tube reception suggests a change in synergid secretory system behavior that is triggered by the approaching pollen tube. Our results with the ER, Golgi, TGN, and peroxisome markers indicate that the mobilization of the RabA1g endosomes toward the approaching pollen tube is not just a symptom of FER signaling triggering synergid cell death that leads to mass disruption of subcellular compartments. Trans-Golgi Network/Early endosomes (TGN/EEs) have been shown to be involved in the trafficking of both secretory and endocytic cargo (Viotti et al., 2010). RabA1g is present in endosomes that are highly sensitive to Brefeldin A in roots, suggesting that they could function as recycling endosomes (Geldner et al., 2009). While the resolution of our live imaging system did not allow us to determine whether NTA completely co-localizes with

441   this compartment, it is tempting to speculate that the RabA1g endosomes are mediating

442   the polar movement of NTA to the filiform apparatus region. Alternatively, these

443   endosomes could be transporting other signaling molecules either to or from the filiform

444   apparatus.

446   **Figure 7. Subcellular dynamics in synergids during pollen tube reception.** (A) Pollination
using a semi-*in vivo* pollen tube guidance assay. (B) Before pollen tube arrival, NTA is in a
447   Golgi-associated compartment and endosomes are distributed throughout the synergids. (C)
As a pollen tube arrives, NTA and endosomes move toward the filiform apparatus (FA). NTA
448   redistribution is dependent on signaling from the FER receptor like kinase, which acts in a
complex with LRE. Abbreviations: CC, Central Cell; Syn, Synergid cells; EC, Egg Cell; An,
Antipodal cells; nuc, Nucleus; FA, Filiform Apparatus; V, Vacuole.

Signal-mediated protein trafficking

Signal-mediated regulation of protein trafficking is an elegant mechanism to control the

delivery of molecules to the precise location where they are needed for critical signaling

events that occur over relatively short time frames. Selective protein targeting similar to

NTA movement in response to pollen tube arrival has also been observed during cell-to-

cell communication between the egg and sperm cells in Arabidopsis. After pollen tube

reception and release of the sperm cells, a signal from the sperm and/or the

degenerated synergid cell causes the egg cell to secrete EGG CELL 1 (EC1) peptides

that have been stored in punctate compartments in the egg cytoplasm toward the sperm

cells. The sperm cells perceive the EC1 signal and, in turn, mobilize the gamete

fusogen HAPLESS2/GENERATIVE CELL SPECIFIC1 (HAP2/GCS1) from a

cytoplasmic compartment to the cell surface (Sprunck et al., 2012). This controlled

movement of proteins that have already been translated and stored allows for a quick

response that allows the egg and sperm to become activated for fertilization. Likewise,

NTA mobilization to the filiform apparatus region of the synergids as the pollen tube

arrives could play a role in sending a signal to the pollen tube that leads to the

mobilization of pollen tube proteins that allow the pollen tube to rupture and release the

sperm cells. For example, proteins that regulate the integrity of the tip of the pollen tube

could be quickly delivered after the "arrival" signal from the synergid is perceived.

Recent work on the role of the pollen-expressed ANXUR1 and 2 and BUDDHA PAPER

SEAL1 and 2 receptor-like kinases in pollen tube tip integrity support this hypothesis.

During pollen tube growth through the female tissues, RALF4 and RALF19 peptides that

are secreted from pollen tubes act as ligands for ANX1/2 and BPS1/2 to promote tip

growth, while RALF34 secreted from the synergids displaces RALF4 and 19 from the

receptors leading to subcellular changes that result in pollen tube rupture (Ge et al.,

2017).

Calcium and NTA movement

Our study revealed that an intact CaMBD facilitates the movement of NTA from the

Golgi to the filiform apparatus in response to the stimulus from the approaching pollen

tube. This result provides an intriguing link to Ca^{2+} since the polar movement of NTA-

GFP to the filiform apparatus region occurs in a similar time frame to $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyto}$ spiking in

the synergids during pollen tube reception (Denninger et al., 2014; Ngo et al., 2014).

Subcellular Ca^{2+} spiking occurs in plant responses to both biotic and abiotic external

stimuli. Notably, Ca^{2+} oscillations occur during pollen tube-synergid interactions, egg-

sperm interactions, and in biotrophic interactions between plant cells and both beneficial

and harmful microbes (reviewed in (Chen et al., 2015). In most cases, the mechanism

for decoding Ca^{2+} spikes into a cellular response is not known, but Ca^{2+}-binding proteins

such as calmodulin (CaM) and calmodulin-like proteins could play a role in relaying Ca^{2+}

signals (Chin and Means, 2000). In *nta-1* mutants, the $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyto}$ oscillations still occur,

but at a lower magnitude than in wild-type synergids, suggesting that NTA could be

involved in modulating Ca^{2+} flux (Ngo et al., 2014). The source of Ca^{2+} during these

oscillations is not known, but it is possible that NTA regulates Ca^{2+} channels to regulate

the flow of Ca^{2+}ions into or out of the apoplast near the filiform apparatus.

Ca^{2+} has also been linked to regulation of endomembrane trafficking (reviewed in

(Himschoot et al., 2017). In animals, calmodulin plays a role in regulating vesicle

tethering and fusion (Burgoyne and Clague, 2003), and in plants calmodulin-like

proteins are associated with endosomal populations (Ruge et al., 2016). Thus, it is

possible that the CaMBD in NTA is critical for the precise targeting of NTA in response

to pollen tube arrival. Another mechanism that has been proposed for Ca^{2+}regulation of

protein targeting is that electrostatic interactions between Ca^{2+} and anionic

phospholipids in specific domains of the plasma membrane regulate vesicle fusion and

differential recruitment of proteins to these domains (Simon et al., 2016; Platre et al.,

2018). The filiform apparatus of the synergids is distinctive from the plasma membrane

in other parts of the synergid and likely has a unique phospholipid composition that

could play a role in recruiting NTA to this domain. Whether NTA movement is a cause

or consequence of $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyto}$ spiking requires more live imaging experiments at a higher

time resolution to determine if NTA redistribution happens before or after the initiation of

$[Ca^{2+}]_{cyto}$ spiking.

Cell death and pollen tube reception

MLOs were first discovered in barley as powdery mildew resistance genes (Buschges et

al., 1997). *mlo* mutants in both monocots and dicots are resistant to powdery mildew

infection, indicating that the MLO proteins are required for infection. These mutants also

have ectopic cell death, indicating that one function of MLO proteins is to negatively

regulate cell death (Panstruga, 2005). Pollen tube reception is catastrophic for both the

pollen tube and the receptive synergid cell: both cells die as a result of successful male-

female signaling and delivery of the male gametes. The timing of synergid degeneration

remains under debate, with some studies suggesting that it occurs upon pollen tube

arrival at the synergid and others concluding that it occurs concurrently with pollen tube

rupture (Sandaklie-Nikolova et al., 2007; Hamamura et al., 2011; Leydon et al., 2015).

Our live imaging experiments with both NTA-GFP and the subcellular compartment

reporters suggest that the synergid cells are still alive and regulating their secretory

systems up to the point of pollen tube rupture. Given the function of the "powdery

mildew" members of the MLO gene family in preventing cell death, it is possible that one

role of NTA is to prevent early degeneration of the synergids. An interesting parallel

between powdery mildew infection and pollen tube reception is that, in both cases, an

MLO protein gets redistributed to the site of interaction with a tip-growing cell. In the

powdery mildew system, active transport of proteins and lipids to penetration site leads

to membrane remodeling and establishment of the extrahaustorial membrane which

separates the plant cytoplasm from the invading fungal hyphae (Huckelhoven and

Panstruga, 2011). Although the relationship between the arriving pollen tube and the

filiform apparatus has not been established, it is possible that similar reorganization

occurs in the filiform apparatus during signaling with the pollen tube. In both cases,

perhaps an MLO protein is needed in these special membrane regions to stabilize the

cell and prevent precocious cell death. Our result that premature delivery of NTA (in the

NTA-MLO1^{CTerm} domain swap construct) to the filiform apparatus region of the cell does

not disrupt pollen tube reception is consistent with this hypothesis, since other signaling

processes occurring in the synergids during communication with the arriving pollen tube

would likely not be triggered by simply moving NTA to the filiform apparatus in the

absence of a pollen tube.

In this study, we showed that signals from an approaching pollen tube trigger the

movement of NTA out of the Golgi and to the region of the filiform apparatus and that

this redistribution is correlated with pollen tube reception. However, localization of the

NTA-MLO1^{CTerm} fusion protein was able to complement the *nta-1* mutant phenotype,

indicating that the final localization of the NTA protein may be more important than the

active trafficking from the Golgi compartment. Future work will focus on determining the

mechanism through which NTA becomes polarly redistributed and on identifying the

signals from the pollen tube that lead to important subcellular changes in the synergids

during pollen tube reception.

Materials and methods

Plant materials and growth conditions

Arabidopsis thaliana lines expressing NTA$_{pro}$::NTA-GFP, MYB98$_{pro}$::NTA-GFP,

MYB98$_{pro}$::MLO1-GFP Golgi-associated marker (Man49-mCherry), ER-associated

marker (SP-mCherry-HDEL), TGN-associated marker (SYP61-mCherry), peroxisome-

associated marker (Peroxisome-mCherry) and recycling endosome-associated marker

(mCherry-RabA1g), were generated and described in previous publications (Kessler et

al., 2010; Liu et al., 2016; Jones et al., 2017; Jones et al., 2018). Pollen tube marker

lines, ACA9::DsRed (Boisson-Dernier et al., 2008) and Lat52::GFP (Palanivelu and

Preuss, 2006) were generously provided by Dr. Aurelien Boisson-Dernier and Dr. Ravi

Palanivelu. Seeds were sterilized and plated on ½-strength Murashige and Skoog (MS)

plates. All plates were sealed and stratified at 4˚C for two days, and then transferred to

the growth chamber (long day conditions, 16 h of light and 8 h of dark at 22˚C) for

germination and growth. After 5-7 days, seedlings were transplanted to soil. Seeds from

transformed lines were sterilized and plated on ½ MS plate with 20 mg/L hygromycin for

selection of transgenic seedlings, which were then transplanted to soil and grown in

long days.

Live Imaging of Pollination Using a Semi-*in vivo* Pollen Tube Guidance Assay

The semi-*in vivo* system of pollen tube reception was modified from (Palanivelu and

Preuss, 2006). Approximately 150 µL of pollen germination media (5 mM KCl, 1 mM

$MgSO_4$, 0.01% (w/v) H_3BO_3, 5 mM $CaCl_2$, 20% sucrose, 1.5% agarose, and adjusted

pH to 7.5 with KOH) was poured into a Glass Bottom Culture Petri Dish (MatTek

Corporation, P35G-1.0-20-C) and spread out using a pipette. Pistils were emasculated

and 2 d later were hand pollinated with ACA9::DsRed or Lat52::GFP pollen and

returned to the growth chamber. Approximately 1 h after pollination, pistils were

removed from plants and placed on double sided tape on a glass slide. Stigmas were

cut using single-sided razor blade and placed on pollen germination media using

forceps. 8-10 ovules were arranged around the cut style and the petri dish was returned

to the growth chamber. After 4-6 h, pollen tubes grew through the stigma and style and

emerged near the ovules. Imaging commenced when the pollen tubes approached

ovules. Time-lapse images were acquired at 5 min intervals by spinning disk confocal

microscopy using an Andor Revolution XD platform with a Yokogawa CSU-X1-A1

scanner unit mounted on an Olympus IX-83 microscope and a 20X/0.5 NA objective

(Olympus). An Andor iXon Ultra 897BV EMCCD camera was used to capture GFP

fluorescence (488-nm excitation) and red fluorescent protein (dsRed or mCherry)

fluorescence (561-nm excitation).

For each experimental condition, at least 60 ovules were imaged over the time course

from pollen tube approaching the ovule to completion of pollen tube reception (pollen

tube rupture to release the sperm cells). Neighboring ovules that did not attract pollen

tubes were imaged at the same time and served as controls for photoxicity.

Confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM)

CLSM to examine MLO variant subcellular localization was performed on ovules

dissected 2 d after emasculation. FM4-64 staining was performed according to the

protocol described in (Jones et al., 2017). CLSM was done using either a Nikon A1Rsi

inverted confocal microscope according to (Yuan and Kessler, 2019) or a Leica SP8

upright confocal microscope according to (Jones et al., 2017).

Quantification of fluorescence signal intensity

Two-channel images were adjusted for brightness and contrast using Fiji (Schindelin et

al., 2012). Then, they were input to NIS-Elements software (Ver. 5.02) to measure the

fluorescence signal intensity. A line that spanned from the chalazal end to the filiform

apparatus end of the synergid was used for the signal intensity measurements. For a

more accurate representation of the total area of the synergid, signal intensities were

recorded along the same length line at five parallel position within the synergid cell.

Finally, all the measurement data were output as Excel files. Graphs and statistical

analysis were performed with Prism software (www.graphpad.com).

Video processing

Images were filtered to remove the noise and cropped using Fiji (Version 2.0.0).

QuickTime Player (Version 10.5) was used for movie editing and time-lapse analyses.

Cloning and Generation of Transgenic Lines

PCR amplification with PHUSION High-Fidelity Polymerase (NEB, M0535S) or Q5 High-

Fidelity DNA Polymerase (NEB, M0419S) were used to generate the following

constructs in this study. Genes were amplified with primers that had attB1 and attB2

sites for recombination via BP reaction into the Gateway-compatible entry vector

pDONR207. Full-length NTA entry vectors used in this study was generated as

described previously (Jones et al., 2017) . NTA truncations were amplified using NTA

full-length entry vector as a template with forward primer NTA-FattB1 and the following

reverse primers: NTA450-RattB2 and NTA481-RattB2 (See all primer sequences in

Table S1). The NTA^{W458A} point mutation was generated using the same *NTA* template

and amplifying two fragments of *NTA* with desired point mutations introduced into the

primers: NTA-FattB1 + NTAW458A-R and NTAW458A-F + NTA-RattB2. The two

fragments were purified and pasted together with overlaps using a PCR-pasting

protocol. The NTA-MLO1^{CTerm} construct was generated using the full-length entry

vectors of NTA and MLO1 used in previous study (Jones et al., 2017) as templates and

amplifying two fragments of *NTA* and *MLO1* using the two pairs of primers: NTA-FattB1

+NTA-R19 and MLO1-F + MLO1-RattB2. The two fragments were purified and pasted

together with overlaps using a PCR-pasting protocol. The coding sequence from both

truncations and the point mutation and the fusion sequence were fully sequenced in

entry vectors. All entries were then recombined via LR reactions into the pMDC83

backbone with the MYB98$_{pro}$ (Muller et al., 2016) to drive expression of each NTA

variant in synergid cells with a C-terminal GFP fusion.

For *nta-1* complementation assay and co-localization analyses, expression vectors were

transformed into the *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain GV3101 and transformed into

the *nta-1* mutant background or the Col-0 background (co-localization – Col-0 was

stably expressing the Golgi or TGN synergid secretory markers) via the floral-dip

method (Bent, 2006). Stable transgenic lines were selected using their respective

selections described above. Homozygous T2 lines were used in *nta-1* complementation

assay and co-localization imaging in the synergid was done in a T1 analysis.

***nta-1* Complementation Assays**

3-4 independent insertion lines for each construct were taken to the T2 generation and

screened for homozygosity using fluorescence microscopy to ensure transgene

expression in synergids of every ovule. Unfertilized vs. fertilized ovule counts from self-

pollinated flowers were assessed in at least three plants of each insertion line and

compared to *nta-1*, Wassilewskija (Ws-2; wildtype), and the previously published full-

length NTA (MYB98$_{pro}$::NTA-GFP in *nta-1* background, (Jones et al., 2017). Ovule

counts were statistically analyzed using Prism (www.graphpad.com) with significance

determined using a Student's *t*-test. Comparisons of the NTA variants were made to full-

length NTA and the *nta-1* mutant.

Acknowledgements

We thank Patrick Day for technical assistance and Rachel Flynn and Thomas Davis for

helpful discussions and comments on the manuscript. This work was supported by

funds from NSF IOS-1733865 to SAK, Purdue University Start-up funds to SAK, and a

grant from the Oklahoma Center for the Advancement of Science and Technology

#PS14-008 to SAK. Spinning disk confocal microscopy in the Staiger laboratory was

supported, in part, by an award from the Office of Science at the US Department of

Energy, Physical Biosciences Program, under contract number DE-FG02-09ER15526

to CJS.

Author Contributions

JY, YJ, DSJ, and SAK conceived and designed the experiments. JY, YJ, DSJ, NL, and

WZ performed the experiments. JY, YJ, DSJ, and SAK analyzed the data. JY, YJ, DSJ,

and SAK wrote the manuscript, and all authors revised and approved the final

manuscript.

Competing Interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Supplemental Materials

Supplementary figure 1. NTA consistently redistributes to the filiform apparatus region

during pollen tube arrival.

Supplementary figure 2. Subcellular marker behavior during reception of Lat52::GFP

labeled pollen tubes.

Supplementary figure 3. Additional examples of RabA1g endosome markers during

pollen tube reception.

Supplementary figure 4. NTA^{W458A} co-localizes with a Golgi marker in synergid cells.

Supplementary figure 5. NTA and NTA^{W458A} distribution in the synergid at pollen tube

arrival.

Movies

Supplementary movie 1. NTA-GFP (green signal) redistributes to filiform apparatus

region as ACA9::DsRed labeled pollen tube (magenta signal) approaches.

Supplementary movie 2. NTA-GFP (green signal) redistributes to filiform apparatus

region during pollen tube reception (GFP channel only, same movie as S1).

Supplementary movie 3. Golgi-mCherry signals (magenta signal) are evenly

distributed along the length of the synergid as Lat52::GFP labeled pollen tube (green

signal) approaches.

Supplementary movie 4. Golgi-mCherry signals (magenta signal) are evenly

distributed along the length of the synergid during pollen tube reception (mCherry

channel only, same movie as S3).

Supplementary movie 5. The trans-Golgi marker SYP61-mCherry (magenta signal) is

localized toward the micropyle region of synergid cells both before and after pollen tube

(green signal) arrival.

Supplementary movie 6. The trans-Golgi marker SYP61-mCherry (magenta signal) is

localized toward the micropyle region of synergid cells during pollen tube reception

(mCherry channel only, same movie as S5).

Supplementary movie 7. Before and after pollen tube (green signal) arrival, the ER

marker SP-mCherry-HDEL (magenta signal) is distributed throughout synergid cells.

Supplementary movie 8. The ER marker SP-mCherry-HDEL (magenta signal) is

distributed throughout synergid cells (mCherry channel only, same movie as S7).

Supplementary movie 9. The peroxisome marker mCherry-SLK (magenta signal) does

not redistribute to the filiform apparatus region after pollen tube (green signal) arrival.

Supplementary movie 10. The peroxisome marker mCherry-SLK (magenta signal)

does not redistribute to the filiform apparatus region during pollen tube reception

(mCherry channel only, same movie as S9).

Supplementary movie 11. RabA1g-mCherry endosome marker (magenta signal)

accumulates at the filiform apparatus region in response to pollen tube (green signal)

arrival.

Supplementary movie 12. RabA1g-mCherry endosome marker (magenta signal)

accumulates at the filiform apparatus region during pollen tube reception (mCherry

channel only, same movie as S11).

Supplementary table 1. List of primers used for cloning.

Figure 4 Source Data: Seed count data from NTA truncation and point mutation

complementation experiments.

724   **Figure 6 Source Data:** Seed count data from NTA-MLO1^{CTerm} complementation
725   experiments.
726

References

Arazi, T., Baum, G., Snedden, W.A., Shelp, B.J., and Fromm, H. (1995). Molecular and
Biochemical-Analysis of Calmodulin Interactions with the Calmodulin-Binding Domain of
Plant Glutamate-Decarboxylase. Plant Physiology **108,** 551-561.
Bent, A. (2006). Arabidopsis thaliana Floral Dip Transformation Method. In Methods in
Molecular Biology, K. Wang, ed (Totowa, NJ: Humana Press Inc.
Boisson-Dernier, A., Frietsch, S., Kim, T.H., Dizon, M.B., and Schroeder, J.I. (2008). The peroxin
loss-of-function mutation abstinence by mutual consent disrupts male-female
gametophyte recognition. Curr Biol **18,** 63-68.
Burgoyne, R.D., and Clague, M.J. (2003). Calcium and calmodulin in membrane fusion. Biochim
Biophys Acta **1641,** 137-143.
Buschges, R., Hollricher, K., Panstruga, R., Simons, G., Wolter, M., Frijters, A., van Daelen, R.,
van der Lee, T., Diergaarde, P., Groenendijk, J., Topsch, S., Vos, P., Salamini, F., and
Schulze-Lefert, P. (1997). The barley Mlo gene: a novel control element of plant
pathogen resistance. Cell **88,** 695-705.
Capron, A., Gourgues, M., Neiva, L.S., Faure, J.E., Berger, F., Pagnussat, G., Krishnan, A.,
Alvarez-Mejia, C., Vielle-Calzada, J.P., Lee, Y.R., Liu, B., and Sundaresan, V. (2008).
Maternal Control of Male-Gamete Delivery in Arabidopsis Involves a Putative GPI-
Anchored Protein Encoded by the LORELEI Gene. Plant Cell **20,** 3038-3049.
Chen, J., Gutjahr, C., Bleckmann, A., and Dresselhaus, T. (2015). Calcium signaling during
reproduction and biotrophic fungal interactions in plants. Mol Plant **8,** 595-611.
Chin, D., and Means, A.R. (2000). Calmodulin: a prototypical calcium sensor. Trends Cell Biol
10, 322-328.
Consonni, C., Humphry, M.E., Hartmann, H.A., Livaja, M., Durner, J., Westphal, L., Vogel, J.,
Lipka, V., Kemmerling, B., Schulze-Lefert, P., Somerville, S.C., and Panstruga, R. (2006).
Conserved requirement for a plant host cell protein in powdery mildew pathogenesis.
Nat Genet **38,** 716-720.
Denninger, P., Bleckmann, A., Lausser, A., Vogler, F., Ott, T., Ehrhardt, D.W., Frommer, W.B.,
Sprunck, S., Dresselhaus, T., and Grossmann, G. (2014). Male-female communication
triggers calcium signatures during fertilization in Arabidopsis. Nature communications **5,**
4645.
Devoto, A., Piffanelli, P., Nilsson, I., Wallin, E., Panstruga, R., von Heijne, G., and Schulze-
Lefert, P. (1999). Topology, subcellular localization, and sequence diversity of the Mlo
family in plants. J Biol Chem **274,** 34993-35004.
Devoto, A., Hartmann, H.A., Piffanelli, P., Elliott, C., Simmons, C., Taramino, G., Goh, C.S.,
Cohen, F.E., Emerson, B.C., Schulze-Lefert, P., and Panstruga, R. (2003). Molecular

phylogeny and evolution of the plant-specific seven-transmembrane MLO family. J Mol
Evol **56,** 77-88.
Drews, G.N., and Yadegari, R. (2002). Development and function of the angiosperm female
gametophyte. Annu Rev Genet **36,** 99-124.
Escobar-Restrepo, J.M., Huck, N., Kessler, S., Gagliardini, V., Gheyselinck, J., Yang, W.C., and
Grossniklaus, U. (2007). The FERONIA receptor-like kinase mediates male-female
interactions during pollen tube reception. Science **317,** 656-660.
Ge, Z., Bergonci, T., Zhao, Y., Zou, Y., Du, S., Liu, M.C., Luo, X., Ruan, H., Garcia-Valencia, L.E.,
Zhong, S., Hou, S., Huang, Q., Lai, L., Moura, D.S., Gu, H., Dong, J., Wu, H.M.,
Dresselhaus, T., Xiao, J., Cheung, A.Y., and Qu, L.J. (2017). Arabidopsis pollen tube
integrity and sperm release are regulated by RALF-mediated signaling. Science **358,**
1596-1600.
Geldner, N., Denervaud-Tendon, V., Hyman, D.L., Mayer, U., Stierhof, Y.D., and Chory, J.
(2009). Rapid, combinatorial analysis of membrane compartments in intact plants with a
multicolor marker set. Plant J **59,** 169-178.
Hamamura, Y., Nagahara, S., and Higashiyama, T. (2012). Double fertilization on the move.
Curr Opin Plant Biol **15,** 70-77.
Hamamura, Y., Nishimaki, M., Takeuchi, H., Geitmann, A., Kurihara, D., and Higashiyama, T.
(2014). Live imaging of calcium spikes during double fertilization in Arabidopsis. Nat
Commun **5,** 4722.
Hamamura, Y., Saito, C., Awai, C., Kurihara, D., Miyawaki, A., Nakagawa, T., Kanaoka, M.M.,
Sasaki, N., Nakano, A., Berger, F., and Higashiyama, T. (2011). Live-cell imaging reveals
the dynamics of two sperm cells during double fertilization in Arabidopsis thaliana. Curr
Biol **21,** 497-502.
Himschoot, E., Pleskot, R., Van Damme, D., and Vanneste, S. (2017). The ins and outs of Ca(2+)
in plant endomembrane trafficking. Curr Opin Plant Biol **40,** 131-137.
Huang, B.-Q., and Russell, S.D. (1992). Female Germ Unit: Organization, Isolation, and Function
140, 233-293.
Huck, N., Moore, J.M., Federer, M., and Grossniklaus, U. (2003). The Arabidopsis mutant
feronia disrupts the female gametophytic control of pollen tube reception.
Development **130,** 2149-2159.
Huckelhoven, R., and Panstruga, R. (2011). Cell biology of the plant-powdery mildew
interaction. Curr Opin Plant Biol **14,** 738-746.
Iwano, M., Ngo, Q.A., Entani, T., Shiba, H., Nagai, T., Miyawaki, A., Isogai, A., Grossniklaus, U.,
and Takayama, S. (2012). Cytoplasmic Ca2+ changes dynamically during the interaction
of the pollen tube with synergid cells. Development **139,** 4202-4209.
Johnson, M.A., Harper, J.F., and Palanivelu, R. (2019). A Fruitful Journey: Pollen Tube
Navigation from Germination to Fertilization. Annu Rev Plant Biol.
Jones, D.S., and Kessler, S.A. (2017). Cell type-dependent localization of MLO proteins. Plant
Signal Behav **12**.
Jones, D.S., Yuan, J., Smith, B.E., Willoughby, A.C., Kumimoto, E.L., and Kessler, S.A. (2017).
MILDEW RESISTANCE LOCUS O Function in Pollen Tube Reception Is Linked to Its
Oligomerization and Subcellular Distribution. Plant Physiol **175,** 172-185.

Jones, D.S., Liu, X., Willoughby, A.C., Smith, B.E., Palanivelu, R., and Kessler, S.A. (2018).
Cellular distribution of secretory pathway markers in the haploid synergid cells of
Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant J **94,** 192-202.
Kessler, S.A., and Grossniklaus, U. (2011). She's the boss: signaling in pollen tube reception.
Curr Opin Plant Biol **14,** 622-627.
Kessler, S.A., Shimosato-Asano, H., Keinath, N.F., Wuest, S.E., Ingram, G., Panstruga, R., and
Grossniklaus, U. (2010). Conserved molecular components for pollen tube reception
and fungal invasion. Science **330,** 968-971.
Kim, M.C., Panstruga, R., Elliott, C., Muller, J., Devoto, A., Yoon, H.W., Park, H.C., Cho, M.J.,
and Schulze-Lefert, P. (2002a). Calmodulin interacts with MLO protein to regulate
defence against mildew in barley. Nature **416,** 447-451.
Kim, M.C., Lee, S.H., Kim, J.K., Chun, H.J., Choi, M.S., Chung, W.S., Moon, B.C., Kang, C.H.,
Park, C.Y., Yoo, J.H., Kang, Y.H., Koo, S.C., Koo, Y.D., Jung, J.C., Kim, S.T., Schulze-
Lefert, P., Lee, S.Y., and Cho, M.J. (2002b). Mlo, a modulator of plant defense and cell
death, is a novel calmodulin-binding protein. Isolation and characterization of a rice Mlo
homologue. J Biol Chem **277,** 19304-19314.
Kusch, S., Pesch, L., and Panstruga, R. (2016). Comprehensive Phylogenetic Analysis Sheds Light
on the Diversity and Origin of the MLO Family of Integral Membrane Proteins. Genome
Biol Evol **8,** 878-895.
Leshem, Y., Johnson, C., and Sundaresan, V. (2013). Pollen tube entry into the synergid cell of
Arabidopsis is observed at a site distinct from the filiform apparatus. Plant reproduction
26, 93-99.
Leydon, A.R., Tsukamoto, T., Dunatunga, D., Qin, Y., Johnson, M.A., and Palanivelu, R. (2015).
Pollen Tube Discharge Completes the Process of Synergid Degeneration That Is Initiated
by Pollen Tube-Synergid Interaction in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol **169,** 485-496.
Li, C., Yeh, F.L., Cheung, A.Y., Duan, Q., Kita, D., Liu, M.C., Maman, J., Luu, E.J., Wu, B.W.,
Gates, L., Jalal, M., Kwong, A., Carpenter, H., and Wu, H.M. (2015).
Glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored proteins as chaperones and co-receptors for
FERONIA receptor kinase signaling in Arabidopsis. Elife **4**.
Lindner, H., Kessler, S.A., Müller, L.M., Shimosato-Asano, H., Boisson-Dernier, A., and
Grossniklaus, U. (2015). TURAN and EVAN mediate pollen tube reception in Arabidopsis
synergids through protein glycosylation. PLoS Biol **13,** e1002139.
Liu, X., Castro, C., Wang, Y., Noble, J., Ponvert, N., Bundy, M., Hoel, C., Shpak, E., and
Palanivelu, R. (2016). The Role of LORELEI in Pollen Tube Reception at the Interface of
the Synergid Cell and Pollen Tube Requires the Modified Eight-Cysteine Motif and the
Receptor-Like Kinase FERONIA. Plant Cell **28,** 1035-1052.
Mansfield, S.G., Briarty, L.G., and Erni, S. (1991). Early embryogenesis in Arabidopsis thaliana. I.
The mature embryo sac. Canadian Journal of Botany **69,** 447-460.
Muller, L.M., Lindner, H., Pires, N.D., Gagliardini, V., and Grossniklaus, U. (2016). A subunit of
the oligosaccharyltransferase complex is required for interspecific gametophyte
recognition in Arabidopsis. Nat Commun **7,** 10826.
Naramoto, S. (2017). Polar transport in plants mediated by membrane transporters: focus on
mechanisms of polar auxin transport. Curr Opin Plant Biol **40,** 8-14.

Ngo, Q.A., Vogler, H., Lituiev, D.S., Nestorova, A., and Grossniklaus, U. (2014). A Calcium
Dialog Mediated by the FERONIA Signal Transduction Pathway Controls Plant Sperm
Delivery. Dev Cell **29,** 491-500.
**Okuda, S., Tsutsui, H., Shiina, K., Sprunck, S., Takeuchi, H., Yui, R., Kasahara, R.D., Hamamura,
Y., Mizukami, A., Susaki, D., Kawano, N., Sakakibara, T., Namiki, S., Itoh, K., Otsuka, K.,
Matsuzaki, M., Nozaki, H., Kuroiwa, T., Nakano, A., Kanaoka, M.M., Dresselhaus, T.,
Sasaki, N., and Higashiyama, T.** (2009). Defensin-like polypeptide LUREs are pollen tube
attractants secreted from synergid cells. Nature **458,** 357-361.
Palanivelu, R., and Preuss, D. (2006). Distinct short-range ovule signals attract or repel
Arabidopsis thaliana pollen tubes in vitro. BMC Plant Biol **6,** 7.
Panstruga, R. (2005). Serpentine plant MLO proteins as entry portals for powdery mildew fungi.
Biochem Soc Trans **33,** 389-392.
**Petrasek, J., Mravec, J., Bouchard, R., Blakeslee, J.J., Abas, M., Seifertova, D., Wisniewska, J.,
Tadele, Z., Kubes, M., Covanova, M., Dhonukshe, P., Skupa, P., Benkova, E., Perry, L.,
Krecek, P., Lee, O.R., Fink, G.R., Geisler, M., Murphy, A.S., Luschnig, C., Zazimalova, E.,
and Friml, J.** (2006). PIN proteins perform a rate-limiting function in cellular auxin efflux.
Science **312,** 914-918.
**Platre, M.P., Noack, L.C., Doumane, M., Bayle, V., Simon, M.L.A., Maneta-Peyret, L., Fouillen,
L., Stanislas, T., Armengot, L., Pejchar, P., Caillaud, M.C., Potocky, M., Copic, A.,
Moreau, P., and Jaillais, Y.** (2018). A Combinatorial Lipid Code Shapes the Electrostatic
Landscape of Plant Endomembranes. Dev Cell **45,** 465-480 e411.
Rotman, N., Rozier, F., Boavida, L., Dumas, C., Berger, F., and Faure, J.E. (2003). Female control
of male gamete delivery during fertilization in Arabidopsis thaliana. Curr Biol **13,** 432-
436.
Ruge, H., Flosdorff, S., Ebersberger, I., Chigri, F., and Vothknecht, U.C. (2016). The calmodulin-
like proteins AtCML4 and AtCML5 are single-pass membrane proteins targeted to the
endomembrane system by an N-terminal signal anchor sequence. J Exp Bot **67,** 3985-
3996.
Salanenka, Y., Verstraeten, I., Lofke, C., Tabata, K., Naramoto, S., Glanc, M., and Friml, J.
(2018). Gibberellin DELLA signaling targets the retromer complex to redirect protein
trafficking to the plasma membrane. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **115,** 3716-3721.
Sandaklie-Nikolova, L., Palanivelu, R., King, E.J., Copenhaver, G.P., and Drews, G.N. (2007).
Synergid cell death in Arabidopsis is triggered following direct interaction with the
pollen tube. Plant Physiol **144,** 1753-1762.
**Schindelin, J., Arganda-Carreras, I., Frise, E., Kaynig, V., Longair, M., Pietzsch, T., Preibisch, S.,
Rueden, C., Saalfeld, S., Schmid, B., Tinevez, J.Y., White, D.J., Hartenstein, V., Eliceiri,
K., Tomancak, P., and Cardona, A.** (2012). Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-
image analysis. Nat Methods **9,** 676-682.
**Simon, M.L., Platre, M.P., Marques-Bueno, M.M., Armengot, L., Stanislas, T., Bayle, V.,
Caillaud, M.C., and Jaillais, Y.** (2016). A PtdIns(4)P-driven electrostatic field controls cell
membrane identity and signalling in plants. Nat Plants **2,** 16089.
Sprunck, S., Rademacher, S., Vogler, F., Gheyselinck, J., Grossniklaus, U., and Dresselhaus, T.
(2012). Egg cell-secreted EC1 triggers sperm cell activation during double fertilization.
Science **338,** 1093-1097.

Stoorvogel, W., Strous, G.J., Geuze, H.J., Oorschot, V., and Schwartz, A.L. (1991). Late
endosomes derive from early endosomes by maturation. Cell **65,** 417-427.
Takeuchi, H., and Higashiyama, T. (2016). Tip-localized receptors control pollen tube growth
and LURE sensing in Arabidopsis. Nature **531,** 245-248.
Viotti, C., Bubeck, J., Stierhof, Y.D., Krebs, M., Langhans, M., van den Berg, W., van Dongen,
W., Richter, S., Geldner, N., Takano, J., Jurgens, G., de Vries, S.C., Robinson, D.G., and
Schumacher, K. (2010). Endocytic and secretory traffic in Arabidopsis merge in the
trans-Golgi network/early endosome, an independent and highly dynamic organelle.
Plant Cell **22,** 1344-1357.
Wang, T., Liang, L., Xue, Y., Jia, P.F., Chen, W., Zhang, M.X., Wang, Y.C., Li, H.J., and Yang, W.C.
(2016). A receptor heteromer mediates the male perception of female attractants in
plants. Nature **531,** 241-244.
Yamada, M., Miyawaki, A., Saito, K., Nakajima, T., Yamamoto-Hino, M., Ryo, Y., Furuichi, T.,
and Mikoshiba, K. (1995). The calmodulin-binding domain in the mouse type 1 inositol
1,4,5-trisphosphate receptor. Biochem J **308 (Pt 1),** 83-88.
Yang, T., and Poovaiah, B. (2003). Calcium/calmodulin-mediated signal network in plants.
Trends in plant science **8,** 505-512.
Yuan, J., and Kessler, S.A. (2019). A genome-wide association study reveals a novel regulator of
ovule number and fertility in Arabidopsis thaliana. PLoS Genet **15,** e1007934.
913

914
915
Figure Legends

Figure 1. NTA redistributes to the filiform apparatus region as the pollen tube

approaches. (A) Diagram of a mature *Arabidopsis thaliana* ovule and embryo sac,

modified from Jones et al, 2018. (B, C) Live imaging of pollen tube (PT) reception using

NTA-GFP labeled synergids (green signal) and ACA9::DsRed pollen tubes (magenta

signal). (B) NTA-GFP redistribution occurred in ovules that attracted a pollen tube

(ovules with white stars), while NTA-GFP redistribution did not occur in ovules without

pollen tube attraction (ovules with green stars). (C) Time-lapse imaging of NTA-GFP

movement during pollen tube reception. NTA-GFP before (ovules with yellow stars) and

after (ovules with blue stars) the PT resumes growth after initial arrival at the filiform

apparatus. (D) Quantification of NTA-GFP signal before (yellow starred ovule) and after

(blue starred ovule) pollen tube arrival. Synergid cell from chalazal end to filiform

apparatus (FA) end was defined from 0 to 33 µm in length. (E) Quantification of the

percentage of ovules with NTA redistribution under different experimental conditions.

Bars=50µm (A, C). CC, Central Cell; Syn, Synergid Cells; EC, Egg Cell; An, Antipodal

cells; FA, Filiform Apparatus; PT, Pollen Tube.

Figure 2. The Golgi marker is randomly distributed throughout synergids during

pollen tube reception. (A) NTA-GFP (green signal) and Golgi-mCherry signals

(magenta signal) are evenly distributed along the length of the synergid and co-localized

within synergid cells before pollen tube arrival. (B) After pollen tube arrival, NTA-GFP

redistributed to FA region, but Golgi-mCherry did not redistribute to FA. (C) Live imaging

of Golgi-mCherry during reception of Lat52::GFP labeled pollen tubes. (D)

Quantification of Golgi-mCherry signal along the length of synergids before (ovule with

yellow star in C) and after (ovule with blue star in C) pollen tube arrival. Bars=30µm (A-

B), 50µm (C). Syn, Synergid cells, FA, Filiform Apparatus.

Figure 3. Endosome marker polarly accumulates toward filiform apparatus during

pollen tube reception. (A) Rab-mCherry endosome marker (magenta signal)

accumulates at the FA region in response to pollen tube arrival (ovule with white star).

(B) Higher magnification of the micropylar region of starred ovule in (red box in panel A).

(C) Timing of Rab-mCherry polar accumulation during pollen tube arrival (ovules with

stars). (D) Quantification of Rab-mCherry signal along the length of synergids during

pollen tube reception. Bars=50µm. (E) Quantification of ovule percentage with

endosome marker throughout the synergids (gray bars) or with polar accumulation near

the filiform apparatus (black bars). CC, Central Cell; EC, Egg Cell; Syn, Synergid Cells;

FA, Filiform Apparatus; PT, Pollen Tube.

Figure 4. Analysis of NTA variants expressed in synergids of *nta-1*. (A) Diagram of

the NTA protein with variant positions indicated. The yellow bar represents a lipid

bilayer, with the N-terminal extension predicted to be outside the membrane. (B)

Complementation analysis of NTA variants in T2 plants homozygous for

MYB98$_{pro}$::NTA(variant)-GFP constructs in *nta-1* mutants. (C-F) NTA (variant)-GFP

(green) distribution in synergid cells of unfertilized ovules merged with FM4-64

(magenta). Bars = 10 µm. Adjusted P values from a Student's *t*-test are as follows: ****

indicates $P < 0.0001$; *** indicates $P = 0.001$ to 0.0001($P = 0.0009$ when compare

between NTA and NTA$^{\Delta450}$; $P = 0.0005$ when compare between NTA and NTA^{W458A});

and ns indicates $P = 0.0633$. FA, Filiform Apparatus.

Figure 5. A point mutation in the CaMBD (NTA^{W458A}) affects redistribution and

pollen tube reception. (A) NTA^{W458A} has 3 different localization patterns in response to

PT arrival under semi *in-vivo* conditions. (B) Quantification of GFP signal intensity in

NTA^{W458A} synergids during pollen tube reception. (C) Analysis of NTA^{W458A}-GFP

distribution patterns in ovules with successful (PT bursting) and unsuccessful (no PT or

PT overgrowth (PT OG)) pollen tube reception. Bar=50 µm (B).

Figure 6. Targeting of NTA to the filiform apparatus region before pollen tube arrival is not toxic to synergid cells. (A-C) Localization patterns of MYB98 promoter-driven MLO-GFP variants (green signal) in synergids of mature virgin ovules stained with FM4-64 (magenta signal) to reveal the outline of the synergid and the filiform apparatus (FA, diffuse magenta signal). (D) Quantification of the GFP intensity of the MLO variants in A-C along the length of the synergids. (E) Percentage of ovules showing MLO-GFP signal throughout the synergids (100% of length), in the micropylar 20% of synergid length that includes the filiform apparatus, and in the region surrounding and including the filiform apparatus (40% of length). (F) Scatter plot of unfertilized ovule percentages in homozygous plants of pMYB98::MLO-GFP in *nta-1* mutants to assess the ability of the MLO-GFP constructs to complement *nta-1*. WS, Wassilewskija. Significance was determined by a Student's *t*-test (****, $P < 0.0001$; *, $P = 0.0281$). Bars = 10 μm.

Figure 7. Subcellular dynamics in synergids during pollen tube reception. (A) Pollination using a semi-*in vivo* pollen tube guidance assay. (B) Before pollen tube arrival, NTA is in a Golgi-associated compartment and endosomes are distributed throughout the synergids. (C) As a pollen tube arrives, NTA and endosomes move toward the filiform apparatus (FA). NTA redistribution is dependent on signaling from the FER receptor like kinase, which acts in a complex with LRE. Abbreviations: CC, Central Cell; Syn, Synergid cells; EC, Egg Cell; An, Antipodal cells; nuc, Nucleus; FA, Filiform Apparatus; V, Vacuole.