Pollen tube-triggered accumulation of NORTIA at the filiform apparatus facilitates fertilization in *Arabidopsis thaliana*

Jing Yuan[1,2*], Yan Ju[1,2*], Daniel S. Jones[4,5], Weiwei Zhang[2,3], Noel Lucca[1,2], Christopher J. Staiger[1,2,3], and Sharon A. Kessler[1,2,**]

[1]Department of Botany and Plant Pathology, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana USA
[2]Purdue Center for Plant Biology, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana USA
[3]Department of Biological Sciences, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana USA
[4]Biology Department, The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina USA (current address)
[5]Department of Microbiology and Plant Biology, University of Oklahoma, Norman, Oklahoma USA
*These authors contributed equally

** Corresponding author
Email: sakessler@purdue.edu

Abstract

During gamete delivery in *Arabidopsis thaliana*, intercellular communication between the attracted pollen tube and the receptive synergid cell leads to subcellular events in both cells culminating in the rupture of the tip-growing pollen tube and release of the sperm cells to achieve double fertilization. Live imaging of pollen tube reception revealed dynamic subcellular changes that occur in the female synergid cells. Pollen tube arrival triggers the trafficking of NORTIA (NTA) MLO protein from Golgi-associated compartments and the accumulation of endosomes at or near the synergid filiform apparatus, a membrane-rich region that acts as the site of communication between the pollen tube and synergids. Domain swaps and site-directed mutagenesis reveal that NTA's C-terminal cytoplasmic tail with its calmodulin-binding domain influences the subcellular localization and function of NTA in pollen tube reception and that early

accumulation of NTA at the filiform apparatus is sufficient for MLO function in pollen tube

reception.

Key words

MLO, Pollination, gametophyte, synergid, pollen tube reception, calmodulin binding domain

Introduction

Intercellular communication is central to the proper development and maintenance of all

multicellular organisms. During this communication, signals from one cell are perceived by

receptors in another cell and translated into various subcellular responses. These responses

include signal transduction cascades leading to transcription of other genes, calcium signaling,

and trafficking of proteins to different organelles or regions of the cell. A well-studied example of

signal-induced protein trafficking in plant development is the redistribution of the PIN polar auxin

transporters to different sides of the cell during important developmental events such as embryo

patterning, leaf initiation and lateral root initiation (Petrasek et al., 2006; Naramoto, 2017;

Salanenka et al., 2018). In plants, most intercellular communication occurs between cells that

are genetically identical and connected by adjoining cell walls. One exception is pollination, in

which pollen (the male gametophyte) is released from an anther, transported to a receptive

stigma, and produces a tip-growing pollen tube that grows through the female tissues of the

pistil and delivers the two sperm cells to the female gametophyte (also known as the embryo

sac, Figure 1A). The pollen tube's journey through the pistil requires cell-to-cell interactions with

the female that allows water and nutrient uptake and enables the detection of cues important for

guidance toward the female gametes (Johnson et al., 2019).

In the model plant *Arabidopsis thaliana*, complex signaling events ranging from pollen landing

on the stigma to fusion of gametes occur over several hours. Most of our knowledge about the

signaling pathways involved along the pollen tube's journey through the female is limited to the

final stages of pollination and involve a highly specialized pair of female gametophyte cells

known as synergids. During female gametophyte development, meiosis followed by three

rounds of mitosis produce the egg cell and central cell along with 2 synergid cells flanking the

egg cell and 3 antipodal cells on the chalazal end of the embryo sac (Drews and Yadegari,

2002), Figure 1A). The synergid cells are accessory cells that control the behavior of the pollen

tube during the final stages of pollination. Before pollen tube arrival, they secrete cysteine-rich

LURE peptides that act as short-range pollen tube attractants that are recognized by receptor-

like kinases in the tip of the pollen tube to regulate the direction of pollen tube growth and guide

the pollen tube to the micropyle of the ovule (Okuda et al., 2009; Takeuchi and Higashiyama,

2016; Wang et al., 2016). After pollen tube arrival, the synergids communicate with the pollen

tube to induce changes that result in pollen tube rupture and delivery of the sperm cells (Kessler

and Grossniklaus, 2011; Johnson et al., 2019). Thus, synergids are critical for ensuring that

double fertilization can occur to produce seeds.

In Arabidopsis, live imaging has been used to examine the behavior of both the pollen tube and

the synergids during the process of pollen tube reception. A pollen tube follows the gradient of

LURE attractants, enters the micropyle of the ovule, and pauses its growth for 30 min to 1 h just

outside the receptive synergid (Iwano et al., 2012; Denninger et al., 2014; Ngo et al., 2014).

During this pause in pollen tube growth, communication occurs between the pollen tube and the

synergids leading to subcellular changes and ultimately the death of both the pollen tube and

the receptive synergid. Cytoplasmic calcium oscillations occur in both the tip of the pollen tube

and in the 2 synergid cells during this communication phase. Cytoplasmic calcium levels

continue to increase in both cell types until the pollen tube starts to grow again and bursts to

release the sperm cells, a catastrophic event for both the pollen tube and the receptive

synergid, which also degenerates (Iwano et al., 2012; Denninger et al., 2014; Ngo et al., 2014).

Mutations in genes that regulate communication between the synergids and pollen tube during

pollen tube reception result in a pollen tube overgrowth phenotype in which the pollen tubes are

attracted normally to the ovules, but do not get the signal to burst and release the sperm cells.

Presumably, synergid-induced changes in the cell wall of the pollen tube tip do not occur in

these mutants, therefore the pollen tube continues to grow and coil inside the embryo sac.

Synergid-expressed genes that participate in pollen tube reception include the FERONIA (FER)

receptor-like kinase, the GPI-anchored protein LORELEI (LRE), and the Mildew Resistance

Locus-O (MLO) protein NORTIA (NTA, also known as AtMLO7) (Escobar-Restrepo et al., 2007;

Capron et al., 2008; Kessler et al., 2010; Ngo et al., 2014; Li et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2016).

Mutations in all of these genes lead to the pollen tube overgrowth phenotype due to disruption

of the pollen tube-synergid communication pathway.

FER and LRE are necessary for the calcium oscillations that occur in synergids in response to

pollen tube arrival (Ngo et al., 2014). In contrast, *nta-1* mutants have $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyto}$ oscillations at

lower amplitudes, indicating that NTA may participate in modulating Ca^{2+} fluxes in the synergids

during communication with the pollen tube and likely acts downstream of FER and LRE (Ngo et

al., 2014). Like all members of the MLO gene family, NTA has seven membrane-spanning

domains and a predicted calmodulin-binding domain (CaMBD) in its C-terminal intracellular tail

(Devoto et al., 2003; Kusch et al., 2016). Calmodulin (CaM) is a small protein that binds Ca^{2+}

and is involved in signal transduction for many cellular processes (Yang and Poovaiah, 2003).

We previously showed that the C-terminal domain of NTA could confer pollen tube reception

function to the related MLO8 protein (Jones et al., 2017), but the significance of the CaMBD in

pollen tube reception remains an open question.

The subcellular localization of these important pollen tube reception proteins is not always

predictive of their function in communicating with the pollen tube. As expected for early

response proteins, both FER and LRE are expressed in synergid cells where they localize in or

near a specialized region called the filiform apparatus, a membrane rich area located at the

micropyle end of the synergids (Huck et al., 2003; Rotman et al., 2003; Escobar-Restrepo et al.,

2007; Capron et al., 2008; Li et al., 2015; Lindner et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2016). The filiform

apparatus is thought to be important for the secretion of attractant peptides and is the first site of

interaction between the pollen tube and synergid cell prior to pollen tube reception (Mansfield et

al., 1991; Huang and Russell, 1992; Leshem et al., 2013). In contrast, before pollen tube arrival,

NTA is localized to a Golgi-associated compartment within the synergid cell and absent from the

filiform apparatus (Jones et al., 2017). At the end of pollen tube reception, NTA protein is only

detected at the filiform apparatus, indicating that this protein changes its subcellular localization

during pollen tube reception (Kessler et al., 2010). This suggests that pollen tube-triggered

regulation of the synergid secretory system may be a crucial subcellular response to pollen tube

arrival and that NTA function may be related to its subcellular distribution; however, the precise

timing and significance of NTA's redistribution remain unclear.

Here, we use a live-imaging system to further characterize synergid cellular dynamics during

pollen tube reception and to determine the timing and significance of the polar redistribution of

NTA to the filiform apparatus. To investigate the link between Ca^{2+} and MLO function in pollen

tube reception, we assayed the influence of the CaMBD on NTA's function and subcellular

distribution through C-terminal truncations and a point mutation disrupting the CaMBD. We

show that the polar redistribution of NTA is triggered by the approach of a pollen tube, is

important for pollen tube reception, and is facilitated by the CaMBD. While most subcellular

compartments remain distributed throughout the synergid cells during pollen tube reception,

recycling endosomes respond to pollen tube arrival by accumulating towards the filiform

apparatus. Moreover, we show that targeting NTA to the filiform apparatus before pollen tube

attraction does not induce synergid cell death.

Results

NORTIA Dynamically Redistributes to the Filiform Apparatus during Pollen Tube

Reception

Pollen tube reception requires synergid cells to recognize the approaching pollen tube and to

send signals back to the pollen tube that result in release of the sperm cells at the correct time

and place so that double fertilization can be completed. Based on static images, we previously

reported that NTA-GFP fusion protein localizes to a Golgi-associated compartment in synergids

prior to pollen tube attraction (Jones et al., 2017). When imaged after pollen tube reception,

NTA-GFP is concentrated at the micropylar end of the synergid (in or near the filiform

apparatus) (Kessler et al., 2010). NTA-GFP does not accumulate at the filiform apparatus in *fer*

ovules with pollen tube overgrowth, suggesting that FER-mediated signaling during pollen tube

reception triggers NTA-GFP redistribution that in turn contributes to the interaction of the

synergid with the pollen tube (Kessler et al., 2010). An alternative hypothesis is that pollen tube

rupture triggers NTA-GFP redistribution and is a symptom of pollen tube reception rather than

an important contributor to the signaling pathway. To distinguish between these two

possibilities, we used a semi-*in vivo* pollination system combined with time-lapse spinning disk

confocal microscopy to determine the timing of NTA-GFP redistribution during the pollen tube

reception process. In the semi-*in vivo* system, pollen tubes grow out of a cut style and are

attracted to ovules arranged on pollen germination media (Palanivelu and Preuss, 2006). This

system has previously been used to quantify and track pollen tube attraction to ovules and to

image $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyto}$ reporters during pollen tube reception (Hamamura et al., 2011; Hamamura et

al., 2012; Denninger et al., 2014; Hamamura et al., 2014; Ngo et al., 2014).

To follow subcellular changes in NTA-GFP protein localization before, during, and after pollen

tube arrival, we used pollen from plants expressing the pollen-specific *AUTOINHIBITED Ca^{2+}-*

ATPase9$_{pro}$::DsRed (*ACA9$_{pro}$::DsRed*) reporter and ovules expressing *NTA$_{pro}$::NTA-GFP* in the

semi-*in vivo* system. Approximately 4 h after pollination, pollen tubes emerged from the style

onto the media and were attracted to ovules (Figure 1B). Fluorescence images in both channels

were collected every 5 min from when a pollen tube approached an ovule until after the pollen

tube ruptured inside the ovule. In ~83% (n=93) of the ovules that attracted a pollen tube and

successfully burst to deliver the sperm cells, NTA-GFP accumulated at the filiform apparatus of

the synergids (Figures 1C-E). The rest of the ovules (~17%) attracted pollen tubes that stopped

growing in the micropyle and did not rupture. In these ovules, NTA-GFP did not accumulate in

the filiform apparatus. To exclude the possibility that prolonged imaging causes stress in

synergids which leads to filiform apparatus accumulation of NTA-GFP, we analyzed neighboring

ovules that did not attract pollen tubes but were imaged together with ovules that attracted

pollen tubes. NTA-GFP did not accumulate at the filiform apparatus in these ovules (n=103)

(Figure 1F). Likewise, ovules that were incubated on pollen germination media without a

pollinated pistil (n=133, Figures 1F and S1) and imaged over the same time frame did not

accumulate NTA-GFP in the filiform apparatus. These data indicate that pollen tube arrival is

necessary for NTA-GFP accumulation at the filiform apparatus rather than being retained in the

Golgi, and that this accumulation is not an artifact of the semi- *in vivo* imaging system. (Figure

1F).

Our semi-*in vivo* system also allowed us to determine the timing of NTA-GFP accumulation at

the filiform apparatus in relation to the position of the pollen tube as it approached the

synergids. We defined the 0 min timepoint as the time where the pollen tube just reached the

micropylar opening of the ovule (Figure 1C, ovules with yellow stars, Movies S1 and S2). In all

cases, the shift of NTA-GFP signal from the Golgi to the filiform apparatus also started from this

time point. During the following 30–50 min, pollen tubes grew through the micropyle region of

ovule and arrived at the filiform apparatus of the receptive synergid cell. During this time, three

quarters to half of the NTA-GFP signal moved to the micropylar end of synergid cells, indicating

that the approach of the pollen tube triggers NTA-GFP accumulation at the filiform apparatus.

As reported in Ngo et al., 2014 and Denninger et al., 2014, the arriving pollen tubes paused

their growth outside the filiform apparatus for 30–50 min, presumably for cell-to-cell

communication. During this period, the NTA-GFP signal continued to shift toward the filiform

apparatus. At 70–80 min after pollen tube arrival at the micropyle, NTA-GFP signal was only

detected in the filiform apparatus and pollen tubes resumed growth and ruptured to release the

sperm cells (Figures 1C, D and Movies S1 and S2). Even though only one of the synergids

receives the pollen tube, NTA-GFP accumulated at the filiform apparatus in both synergid cells

in response to pollen tube arrival, similar to the activation of $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyto}$ oscillations in both

synergids during pollen tube reception reported in (Ngo et al., 2014).

Golgi do not concentrate at the filiform apparatus during pollen tube reception

We previously determined that NTA is sequestered in a Golgi-associated compartment in

synergid cells that have not attracted a pollen tube (Jones et al., 2017) . Our live-imaging data

suggest that NTA-GFP is selectively moved out of the Golgi and transported to the filiform

apparatus in response to pollen tube arrival; however, it is possible that the observed NTA-GFP

accumulation at the filiform apparatus is a result of massive reorganization of subcellular

compartments. To distinguish between these possibilities, we investigated the behavior of Golgi

in synergid cells during pollen tube reception. We used the semi-*in vivo* imaging system

described above with a synergid-expressed Golgi marker (Man49-mCherry) co-expressed with

NTA-GFP (Jones et al., 2018). In all replicates, the Golgi marker was distributed throughout the

synergids, excluded from the filiform apparatus, and co-localized with NTA-GFP as reported

previously (Figure 2A, n=93). When a pollen tube approached the synergids, NTA-GFP

accumulated at the filiform apparatus region of the synergids as observed previously (Figure 1),

but the Golgi-mCherry marker remained consistently distributed throughout the synergid cells

and did not concentrate near the filiform apparatus (Figure 2B). In order to examine the

behavior of the Golgi during later stages of pollen tube reception, we used the synergid-

expressed Golgi marker line (*LRE_pro::Man49-mCherry*) and pollen that was expressing GFP

(*Lat52_pro::GFP*). In all cases, the Golgi marker remained randomly distributed throughout the

synergid cells, even after pollen tube rupture (Figures 2C-D, Movies S3 and S4, n=106). These

results indicate that the accumulation of NTA-GFP at the filiform apparatus during pollen tube

reception is not linked to mass redistribution of Golgi.

Endosomes accumulate at the filiform apparatus during pollen tube reception

A signal from the arriving pollen tube seems to trigger the movement of NTA-GFP out of the

Golgi-associated compartments. It is possible that pollen tube arrival triggers other changes to

synergid subcellular organization. We previously reported the localization of synergid-expressed

markers for the ER, peroxisome, endosome and the trans-Golgi Network (TGN) in unfertilized

ovules *in vivo* using confocal laser scanning microscopy (Jones et al., 2018). Before pollen tube

arrival, SP-mCherry-HDEL (an ER-associated marker), mCherry-SKL (a peroxisome-associated

marker), and mCherry-RabA1g (a recycling endosome-associated marker) were all distributed

evenly throughout synergid cells (Figures S2-S4 and 3A; (Jones et al., 2018). The TGN-

associated marker SYP61 exhibited two types of distribution patterns before pollen tube arrival:

in type 1 synergids, the marker accumulated near the filiform apparatus, whereas type 2

synergids displayed a punctate distribution pattern throughout the cells (Figure S3; (Jones et al.,

2018). During pollen tube reception, no change was seen in the TGN marker distribution in

either type of synergids (Figure S3, C-D, Movies S5 and S6). Likewise, the ER and peroxisome

markers maintained a diffuse distribution throughout the synergids and did not accumulate at

the filiform apparatus (Figures S2 and S4, Movies S7-10). In contrast, we detected a more

dynamic behavior of the endosome marker during pollen tube reception. Endosomes are

membrane-bound compartments that are involved in the endocytic membrane transport

pathway from the plasma membrane to the vacuole. Endosomes also transport molecules from

the Golgi and either continue to the vacuole or recycle back to the Golgi (Stoorvogel et al.,

1991). We previously reported that mCherry-RabA1g is distributed throughout synergid cells

and had some overlap with NTA-GFP in synergids of unpollinated ovules (Jones et al., 2018).

Using the semi-*in vivo* system, we confirmed that before pollen tube arrival, mCherry-RabA1g

distributed throughout synergid cells (Figure 3A). Interestingly, as pollen tubes approached, the

endosome marker started to accumulate in the filiform apparatus region of the synergid cells

(Figures 3A and B). By the time pollen tube reception was completed, most of the endosome

signal was concentrated at or near the filiform apparatus (Figures 3B-F and S5, Movies S11 and

S12). These results indicate that the RabA1g endosome compartments have a distinct response

to pollen tube arrival and may play a role in facilitating the intercellular signaling pathway that

occurs between the synergids and the pollen tube.

Pollen tube-independent targeting of NTA to the filiform apparatus is not toxic to the

synergids

The selective targeting of NTA-GFP from the Golgi to the filiform apparatus during pollen tube

arrival (Figures 1 and 2) suggests that NTA trafficking to the pollen tube/synergid interface is

important for the intercellular communication process that occurs between the pollen tube and

synergids. In *nta-1* mutants, around 30% of ovules display pollen tube overgrowth and fail to

complete double fertilization, but the other 70% are fertilized normally (Kessler et al., 2010).

This indicates that NTA is not absolutely required for pollen tube reception, but may function as

a modifier of the signaling pathway. Since FER signaling in the synergids leads to cell death as

pollen tube reception is completed (Huck et al., 2003; Rotman et al., 2003; Escobar-Restrepo et

al., 2007), NTA trafficking to the filiform apparatus could be a mechanism to regulate this death

and would thus require sequestration of "toxic" NTA in the Golgi before pollen tube arrival. To

test this hypothesis, we took advantage of sequence variation leading to differential subcellular

localization of MLO proteins to manipulate the subcellular localization of NTA. When expressed

in synergids, other proteins from the Arabidopsis MLO family have different subcellular

localization patterns, indicating that specific sequences within the MLOs direct them to different

parts of the secretory system (Jones and Kessler, 2017). MLO1-GFP localizes in the filiform

apparatus when it is ectopically expressed under control of the synergid-specific *MYB98*

promoter and cannot complement the *nta-1* pollen tube reception phenotype (Jones et al., 2017,

and Figure 4B). Domain swaps between different regions of NTA and MLO1 revealed that the

C-terminal cytoplasmic tail including the CaMBD of MLO1 (NTA-MLO1^{CTerm}, see diagram in

Figure 4C) was sufficient to direct the fusion protein to the filiform apparatus region of the

synergids, in a pattern very similar to MLO1-GFP (Figure 4B). Quantification of the GFP signal

along the length of the synergids from the chalazal end to the filiform apparatus in the NTA-

GFP, NTA-MLO1^{Cterm}-GFP, and MLO1-GFP confirmed that the MLO1 tail was sufficient to

cause NTA protein to accumulate at the filiform apparatus (Figure 4D). In all MLO1-GFP and

NTA-MLO1^{Cterm}-GFP ovules, the majority of GFP signal was detected in the lower 20-40% of the

synergids and most of the signal overlapped with the diffuse FM4-64 staining in the filiform

apparatus (Figure 4E). In contrast, wild-type NTA-GFP is excluded from the filiform apparatus

(Figure 4A and (Jones et al., 2017).

The successful manipulation of NTA subcellular localization provided a tool for determining the

functional relevance of NTA redistribution. We transformed the NTA-MLO1^{CTerm}-GFP construct

into *nta-1* plants and used the percentage of unfertilized ovules as a measurement for the ability

of the fusion construct to complement the *nta-1* phenotype of unfertilized ovules caused by

pollen tube overgrowth (Kessler et al., 2010). Synergid-expression of NTA-MLO1^{CTerm}-GFP

rescued the *nta-1* pollen tube reception phenotype (Figure 4F), indicating that 1) the MLO1^{CTerm}

domain is functionally equivalent to the NTA^{CTerm} domain when the protein is localized in the

filiform apparatus and 2) premature targeting of NTA to the filiform apparatus is not toxic to

synergid cells.

Disrupting the NTA CaMBD compromises NTA's ability to accumulate at the filiform

apparatus during pollen tube reception

The dramatic differences in protein localization between NTA-GFP and NTA-MLO1^{CTerm}-GFP

revealed that the C-terminal cytoplasmic domain of NTA contains sequences that cause NTA to

be sequestered in the Golgi before pollen tube arrival and possibly also to allow for directed

transport to the filiform apparatus in response to signals from the arriving pollen tube. The C-

terminal cytoplasmic domains of MLO proteins contain a predicted CaMBD followed by an

unconserved tail of variable length (Devoto et al., 1999; Kim et al., 2002b; Kim et al., 2002a). A

comparison of C-terminal domains (Figure 5A) revealed that NTA and MLO1 share the

conserved 20 amino acid 1-8-14 CaM binding motif of hydrophobic residues interspersed with

basic residues that define the MLO CaMBD (Kim et al., 2002b), but have different residues

surrounding the conserved tryptophan that has been shown to necessary for CaM binding in

MLO proteins (Kim et al., 2002b; Kim et al., 2002a). In addition, NTA and MLO1 have different

length C-terminal tails after the CaMBD (Figure 5A). In order to determine if the C-terminal tail

after the CaMBD is necessary for NTA sequestration in the Golgi before pollen tube arrival, we

made a GFP fusion with NTA truncated just after the predicted CaMBD (NTA$^{\Delta 481}$, Figure 5A)

expressed under control of the synergid-specific MYB98 promoter. This construct

complemented the *nta-1* pollen tube reception phenotype and localized in the Golgi before

pollen tube arrival (Figure 5C and 5E), revealing that the C-terminal tail after the CaMBD is not

necessary for NTA sequestration or function. We next tested whether disruption of the CaMBD

would affect NTA localization and/or function. A point mutation in the conserved tryptophan

necessary for CaM-binding in other CaMBDs (Arazi et al., 1995; Yamada et al., 1995; Kim et al.,

2002b) was introduced into our NTA-GFP expression construct (NTA^{W458A}, Figure 5A) and

transformed into the *nta-1* background. In contrast to wild-type NTA and NTA$^{\Delta481}$, which result

in an even higher fertility in *nta-1* mutants than in the Ws controls, NTA^{W458A} only partially

complemented the *nta-1* fertility phenotype (Figure 5E). Before pollen tube arrival, NTA^{W458A} co-

localizes with a Golgi marker in synergids similar to wild-type NTA (Figure 5D and Figure S6).

However, during pollen tube reception, NTA^{W458A} displays different accumulation patterns that

correlate with the ability of the pollen tube to rupture. In our semi-*in vivo* system, ovules with

normal pollen tube rupture had at least partial NTA^{W458A} accumulation at the filiform apparatus,

while ovules with pollen tube overgrowth did not accumulate NTA^{W458A} at the filiform apparatus

(Figure 6). These data reveal that an intact CaMBD enhances NTA's redistribution to the filiform

apparatus during pollen tube reception and that NTA accumulation at the filiform apparatus

promotes pollen tube rupture, while the C-terminal tail after the CaMBD is dispensable for NTA

function.

The influence of the CaMBD on NTA accumulation at the filiform apparatus could indicate a

connection with the calcium oscillations that occur in synergids during signaling with the arriving

pollen tube. The receptor-like kinase FER and the GPI-anchored protein LRE are filiform-

localized proteins that interact with each other and form a co-receptor for signals from the

arriving pollen tube (Escobar-Restrepo et al., 2007; Li et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2016). *fer* and *lre*

mutant ovules fail to initiate synergid calcium oscillations at pollen tube arrival and have highly

penetrant pollen tube overgrowth phenotypes (Ngo et al., 2014). In *nta-1* mutant ovules,

synergids have dampened calcium oscillations and around 30% of ovules carrying the mutation

display pollen tube overgrowth (Kessler et al., 2010; Ngo et al., 2014). In both *fer-1* and *lre-7*

backgrounds, NTA-GFP does not accumulate at the filiform apparatus in response to signals

from the pollen tube (Figure S7 and Kessler, 2010), placing NTA accumulation at the filiform

apparatus downstream of both FER and LRE and the calcium oscillations conditioned by these

proteins.

Discussion

Synergids respond to a signal from the approaching pollen tube

Successful pollination and production of seeds requires a series of signaling events between the

male gametophyte (pollen tube) and both sporophytic and gametophytic cells of the female. In

this study, we used live imaging to characterize dynamic subcellular changes that occur in the

synergid cells of the female gametophyte in response to the arrival of the pollen tube. We

showed that both the NTA protein and endosomes are actively mobilized to the filiform

apparatus region where male-female communication occurs during pollen tube reception

(Figure 7). Disruption of NTA's CaMBD partially compromised NTA redistribution and function in

pollen tube reception, revealing that Ca^{2+} may play a role in the synergid response to the signal

from the pollen tube.

The polar accumulation of the RabA1g endosome marker, but not a Golgi marker (Man49-GFP),

near the filiform apparatus during pollen tube reception suggests a change in synergid secretory

system behavior that is triggered by the approaching pollen tube. Our results with the ER, Golgi,

TGN, and peroxisome markers indicate that the mobilization of the RabA1g endosomes toward

the approaching pollen tube is not just a symptom of FER signaling triggering synergid cell

death that leads to mass disruption of subcellular compartments. Trans-Golgi Network/Early

endosomes (TGN/EEs) have been shown to be involved in the trafficking of both secretory and

endocytic cargo (Viotti et al., 2010). RabA1g is present in endosomes that are highly sensitive to

Brefeldin A in roots, suggesting that they could function as recycling endosomes (Geldner et al.,

2009). While the resolution of our live imaging system did not allow us to determine whether

NTA completely co-localizes with this compartment, it is tempting to speculate that the RabA1g

endosomes are mediating the polar movement of NTA to the filiform apparatus region.

Alternatively, these endosomes could be transporting other signaling molecules either to or from

the filiform apparatus.

Signal-mediated protein trafficking

Signal-mediated regulation of protein trafficking is an elegant mechanism to control the delivery

of molecules to the precise location where they are needed for critical signaling events that

occur over relatively short time frames. Selective protein targeting similar to NTA movement in

response to pollen tube arrival has also been observed during cell-to-cell communication

between the egg and sperm cells in Arabidopsis. After pollen tube reception and release of the

sperm cells, a signal from the sperm and/or the degenerated synergid cell causes the egg cell to

secrete EGG CELL 1 (EC1) peptides that have been stored in punctate compartments in the

egg cytoplasm toward the sperm cells. The sperm cells perceive the EC1 signal and, in turn,

mobilize the gamete fusogen HAPLESS2/GENERATIVE CELL SPECIFIC1 (HAP2/GCS1) from

a cytoplasmic compartment to the cell surface (Sprunck et al., 2012). This controlled movement

of proteins that have already been translated and stored facilitates a quick response to activate

the egg and sperm for fertilization. Likewise, NTA mobilization to the filiform apparatus region of

the synergids as the pollen tube arrives could play a role in sending a signal to the pollen tube

that leads to the mobilization of pollen tube proteins that allow the pollen tube to rupture and

release the sperm cells. For example, proteins that regulate the integrity of the tip of the pollen

tube could be quickly delivered after the "arrival" signal from the synergid is perceived. Recent

work on the role of the pollen-expressed ANXUR1 and 2 and BUDDHA PAPER SEAL1 and 2

receptor-like kinases in pollen tube tip integrity support this hypothesis. During pollen tube

growth through the female tissues, RALF4 and RALF19 peptides that are secreted from pollen

tubes act as ligands for ANX1/2 and BPS1/2 to promote tip growth, while RALF34 secreted from

the synergids displaces RALF4 and 19 from the receptors leading to subcellular changes that

result in pollen tube rupture (Ge et al., 2017).

Calcium and NTA movement

Our study revealed that an intact CaMBD facilitates the trafficking of NTA from the Golgi to the

filiform apparatus in response to a stimulus from the approaching pollen tube and that the

FER/LRE co-receptor is necessary for perceiving this stimulus. This result provides an intriguing

link to Ca^{2+} since the polar accumulation of NTA-GFP at the filiform apparatus region occurs in a

similar time frame to the FER/LRE-dependent $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyto}$ spiking in the synergids during pollen

tube reception (Denninger et al., 2014; Ngo et al., 2014). Subcellular Ca^{2+} spiking occurs in

plant responses to both biotic and abiotic external stimuli. Notably, $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyto}$ oscillations occur

during pollen tube-synergid interactions, egg-sperm interactions, and in biotrophic interactions

between plant cells and both beneficial and harmful microbes (reviewed in Chen et al., 2015). In

most cases, the mechanism for decoding $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyto}$ spikes into a cellular response is not known,

but Ca^{2+}-binding proteins such as calmodulin (CaM) and CaM-like proteins could play a role in

relaying Ca^{2+} signals (Chin and Means, 2000). In *nta-1* mutants, the $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyto}$ oscillations still

occur, but at a lower magnitude than in wild-type synergids, suggesting that NTA could be

involved in modulating Ca^{2+} flux (Ngo et al., 2014). The source of Ca^{2+} during these oscillations

is not known, but it is possible that NTA regulates Ca^{2+} channels to regulate the flow of Ca^{2+}ions

into or out of the apoplast near the filiform apparatus.

Ca^{2+} has also been linked to regulation of endomembrane trafficking (reviewed in Himschoot et

al., 2017). In animals, CaM plays a role in regulating vesicle tethering and fusion (Burgoyne and

Clague, 2003), and in plants CaM-like proteins are associated with endosomal populations

(Ruge et al., 2016). Thus, it is possible that the CaMBD in NTA is critical for the precise

targeting of NTA in response to pollen tube arrival. Another mechanism that has been proposed

for Ca^{2+} regulation of protein targeting is that electrostatic interactions between Ca^{2+} and anionic

phospholipids in specific domains of the plasma membrane regulate vesicle fusion and

differential recruitment of proteins to these domains (Simon et al., 2016; Platre et al., 2018). The

filiform apparatus of the synergids is distinctive from the plasma membrane in other parts of the

synergid and likely has a unique phospholipid composition that could play a role in recruiting

NTA to this domain. Whether NTA movement is a cause or consequence of $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyto}$ spiking

requires more live imaging experiments at a higher time resolution to determine if NTA

redistribution happens before or after the initiation of $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyto}$ spiking.

Cell death and pollen tube reception

MLOs were first discovered in barley as powdery mildew resistance genes (Buschges et al.,

1997). *mlo* mutants in both monocots and dicots are resistant to powdery mildew infection,

indicating that the MLO proteins are required for infection. These mutants also have ectopic cell

death, indicating that one function of MLO proteins is to negatively regulate cell death

(Panstruga, 2005). Pollen tube reception is catastrophic for both the pollen tube and the

receptive synergid cell: both cells die as a result of successful male-female signaling and

delivery of the male gametes. The timing of synergid degeneration remains under debate, with

some studies suggesting that it occurs upon pollen tube arrival at the synergid and others

concluding that it occurs concurrently with pollen tube rupture (Sandaklie-Nikolova et al., 2007;

Hamamura et al., 2011; Leydon et al., 2015). Our live imaging experiments with both NTA-GFP

and the subcellular compartment reporters suggest that the synergid cells are still alive and

regulating their secretory systems up to the point of pollen tube rupture. Given the function of

the "powdery mildew" members of the MLO gene family in preventing cell death, it is possible

that one role of NTA is to prevent early degeneration of the synergids. An interesting parallel

between powdery mildew infection and pollen tube reception is that, in both cases, an MLO

protein accumulates at the site of interaction with a tip-growing cell. In the powdery mildew

system, active transport of proteins and lipids to penetration site leads to membrane remodeling

and establishment of the extrahaustorial membrane which separates the plant cytoplasm from

the invading fungal hyphae (Huckelhoven and Panstruga, 2011). Although the relationship

between the arriving pollen tube and the filiform apparatus has not been established, it is

possible that similar reorganization occurs in the filiform apparatus during signaling with the

pollen tube. In both cases, perhaps an MLO protein is needed in these special membrane

regions to stabilize the cell and prevent precocious cell death. Our result that premature delivery

of NTA (in the NTA-MLO1^{CTerm} domain swap construct) to the filiform apparatus region of the

cell does not disrupt pollen tube reception is consistent with this hypothesis, since other

signaling processes occurring in the synergids during communication with the arriving pollen

tube would likely not be triggered by simply moving NTA to the filiform apparatus in the absence

of a pollen tube.

In this study, we showed that signals from an approaching pollen tube trigger the movement of

NTA out of the Golgi and to the filiform apparatus and that this redistribution is correlated with

pollen tube reception. However, filiform apparatus-localization of the NTA-MLO1^{CTerm} fusion

protein was able to complement the *nta-1* mutant phenotype, indicating that the final localization

of the NTA protein may be more important than the active trafficking from the Golgi. Future work

will focus on determining the mechanism through which NTA polarly accumulates at the filiform

apparatus and on identifying the signals from the pollen tube that lead to important subcellular

changes in the synergids during pollen tube reception.

Materials and methods

Plant materials and growth conditions

Arabidopsis thaliana lines expressing *NTA$_{pro}$::NTA-GFP*, *MYB98$_{pro}$::NTA-GFP*,

MYB98$_{pro}$::MLO1-GFP, Golgi-associated marker (Man49-mCherry), ER-associated marker (SP-

mCherry-HDEL), TGN-associated marker (SYP61-mCherry), peroxisome-associated marker

(Peroxisome-mCherry) and recycling endosome-associated marker (mCherry-RabA1g), were

generated and described in previous publications (Kessler et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2016; Jones et

al., 2017; Jones et al., 2018). Pollen tube marker lines, *ACA9::DsRed* (Boisson-Dernier et al.,

2008) and *Lat52::GFP* (Palanivelu and Preuss, 2006) were generously provided by Dr. Aurelien

Boisson-Dernier and Dr. Ravi Palanivelu. *fer-1* seeds were provided by Dr. Ueli Grossniklaus

and *lre-7* seeds were provided by Dr. Ravi Palanivelu. Seeds were sterilized and plated on ½-

strength Murashige and Skoog (MS) plates. All plates were sealed and stratified at 4°C for two

days, and then transferred to the growth chamber (long day conditions, 16 h of light and 8 h of

dark at 22°C) for germination and growth. After 5-7 days, seedlings were transplanted to soil.

Seeds from transformed lines were sterilized and plated on ½ MS plate with 20 mg/L

hygromycin for selection of transgenic seedlings, which were then transplanted to soil and

grown in long days.

Live Imaging of Pollination Using a Semi-*in vivo* Pollen Tube Guidance Assay

The semi-*in vivo* system of pollen tube reception was modified from (Palanivelu and Preuss,

2006). Approximately 150 µL of pollen germination media (5 mM KCl, 1 mM MgSO$_4$, 0.01%

(w/v) H$_3$BO$_3$, 5 mM CaCl$_2$, 20% sucrose, 1.5% agarose, and adjusted pH to 7.5 with KOH) was

poured into a Glass Bottom Culture Petri Dish (MatTek Corporation, P35G-1.0-20-C) and

spread out using a pipette. Pistils were emasculated and 2 d later were hand pollinated with

ACA9::DsRed or *Lat52::GFP* pollen and returned to the growth chamber. Approximately 1 h

after pollination, pistils were removed from plants and placed on double sided tape on a glass

slide. Stigmas were cut using single-sided razor blade and placed on pollen germination media

using forceps. 8-10 ovules were arranged around the cut style and the petri dish was returned to

the growth chamber. After 4-6 h, pollen tubes grew through the stigma and style and emerged

near the ovules. Imaging commenced when the pollen tubes approached ovules. Time-lapse

images were acquired at 5 min intervals by spinning disk confocal microscopy using an Andor

Revolution XD platform with a Yokogawa CSU-X1-A1 scanner unit mounted on an Olympus IX-

83 microscope and a 20X/0.5 NA objective (Olympus). An Andor iXon Ultra 897BV EMCCD

camera was used to capture GFP fluorescence (488-nm excitation) and red fluorescent protein

(dsRed or mCherry) fluorescence (561-nm excitation).

For each experimental condition, at least 60 ovules were imaged over the time course from

pollen tube approaching the ovule to completion of pollen tube reception (pollen tube rupture to

release the sperm cells). Neighboring ovules that did not attract pollen tubes were imaged at the

same time and served as controls for photoxicity.

Confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM)

CLSM to examine MLO variant subcellular localization was performed on ovules dissected 2 d

after emasculation. FM4-64 staining was performed according to the protocol described in

(Jones et al., 2017). CLSM was done using either a Nikon A1Rsi inverted confocal microscope

according to (Yuan and Kessler, 2019) or a Leica SP8 upright confocal microscope according to

(Jones et al., 2017).

Quantification of fluorescence signal intensity

Two-channel images were adjusted for brightness and contrast using Fiji (Schindelin et al.,

2012). Then, they were input to NIS-Elements software (Ver. 5.02) to measure the fluorescence

signal intensity. A line that spanned from the chalazal end to the filiform apparatus end of the

synergid was used for the signal intensity measurements. For a more accurate representation of

the total area of the synergid, signal intensities were recorded along the same length line at five

parallel position within the synergid cell. Finally, all the measurement data were output as Excel

files. Graphs and statistical analysis were performed with Prism software (www.graphpad.com).

Video processing

Images were filtered to remove the noise and cropped using Fiji (Version 2.0.0). QuickTime

Player (Version 10.5) was used for movie editing and time-lapse analyses.

Cloning and Generation of Transgenic Lines

PCR amplification with PHUSION High-Fidelity Polymerase (NEB, M0535S) or Q5 High-Fidelity

DNA Polymerase (NEB, M0419S) were used to generate the following constructs in this study.

Genes were amplified with primers that had attB1 and attB2 sites for recombination via BP

reaction into the Gateway-compatible entry vector pDONR207. Full-length NTA entry vectors

used in this study was generated as described previously (Jones et al., 2017) . NTA truncations

were amplified using NTA full-length entry vector as a template with forward primer NTA-FattB1

and the following reverse primers: NTA450-RattB2 and NTA481-RattB2 (See all primer

sequences in Table S1). The NTA^{W458A} point mutation was generated using the same *NTA*

template and amplifying two fragments of *NTA* with desired point mutations introduced into the

primers: NTA-FattB1 + NTAW458A-R and NTAW458A-F + NTA-RattB2. The two fragments

were purified and pasted together with overlaps using a PCR-pasting protocol. The NTA-

MLO1^{CTerm} construct was generated using the full-length entry vectors of NTA and MLO1 used

in previous study (Jones et al., 2017) as templates and amplifying two fragments of *NTA* and

MLO1 using the two pairs of primers: NTA-FattB1 +NTA-R19 and MLO1-F + MLO1-RattB2. The

two fragments were purified and pasted together with overlaps using a PCR-pasting protocol.

The NTA-MLO1^{CTerm}-RNIKCD construct was generated using the full-length expression vector of

MYB98$_{pro}$-NTA-MLO1^{CTerm}-GFP as template and amplifying two fragments with NTA-MLO1^{CTerm}

and GFP-RNIKCD with five glycines as linker using the two pairs of primers: NTA-FattB1 +

MLO1-Gly5-GFP-R and MLO1-Gly5-GFP-F + GFP-RNIKCD-RattB2. The two fragments were

purified and pasted together with overlaps using a PCR-pasting protocol. The coding sequence

from both truncations and the point mutation and the fusion sequences were fully sequenced in

entry vectors. All entries were then recombined via LR reactions into the pMDC83 backbone

with the MYB98$_{pro}$ (Muller et al., 2016) to drive expression of each NTA variant in synergid cells

with a C-terminal GFP fusion.

For *nta-1* complementation assay and co-localization analyses, expression vectors were

transformed into the *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain GV3101 and transformed into the *nta-1*

mutant background or the Col-0 background (for co-localization combinations, Col-0 stably

expressing the Golgi or TGN synergid secretory markers was used for transformation) via the

floral-dip method (Bent, 2006). Stable transgenic lines were selected using their respective

selections described above. Homozygous T2 lines were used in *nta-1* complementation assay

and co-localization imaging in the synergid was done in a T1 analysis. The NTApro::NTA-GFP

construct described in (Kessler et al., 2010) was introduced into the *lre-7* background by floral

dip as described above.

***nta-1* Complementation Assays**

3-4 independent insertion lines for each construct were taken to the T2 generation and

screened for homozygosity using fluorescence microscopy to ensure transgene expression in

synergids of every ovule. Unfertilized vs. fertilized ovule counts from self-pollinated flowers were

assessed in at least five plants of each insertion line and compared to *nta-1*, Wassilewskija (Ws-

2; wildtype), and the previously published full-length NTA (MYB98$_{pro}$::NTA-GFP in *nta-1*

background, (Jones et al., 2017). Ovule counts were statistically analyzed using Prism with

significance determined using a Student's *t*-test. Comparisons of the NTA variants were made

to full-length NTA and the *nta-1* mutant.

Acknowledgements

We thank Patrick Day for technical assistance and Rachel Flynn and Thomas Davis for helpful

discussions and comments on the manuscript. This work was supported by funds from NSF

IOS-1733865 to SAK, Purdue University Start-up funds to SAK, and a grant from the Oklahoma

Center for the Advancement of Science and Technology #PS14-008 to SAK. Spinning disk

confocal microscopy in the Staiger laboratory was supported, in part, by an award from the

Office of Science at the US Department of Energy, Physical Biosciences Program, under

contract number DE-FG02-09ER15526 to CJS.

Author Contributions

JY, YJ, DSJ, and SAK conceived and designed the experiments. JY, YJ, DSJ, NL, and WZ

performed the experiments. JY, YJ, DSJ, and SAK analyzed the data. JY, YJ, DSJ, and SAK

wrote the manuscript, and all authors revised and approved the final manuscript.

Competing Interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. NTA-GFP accumulates at the filiform apparatus as the pollen tube approaches.

(A) Diagram of a mature *Arabidopsis thaliana* ovule and embryo sac, modified from Jones et al,

2018. CC, Central Cell; Syn, Synergid Cells; EC, Egg Cell; An, Antipodal cells; FA, Filiform

Apparatus (B, C) Live imaging of pollen tube (PT) reception using NTA-GFP labeled synergids

(green signal) and *ACA9::DsRed* pollen tubes (magenta signal). (B) Polar NTA-GFP

accumulation at the filiform apparatus (FA) occurred in ovules that attracted a pollen tube

(ovules with yellow stars), while polar NTA-GFP accumulation did not occur in ovules without

pollen tube attraction (ovules with white stars). (C) Time-lapse imaging of NTA-GFP

accumulation during pollen tube reception. (D) Quantification of NTA-GFP signal along the

length of the synergid from chalazal end (0µm) to FA end (33µm) at 0min, 25min, 50min, 75min,

and 100min timepoints, respectively. (E) 6 more examples of the quantification of NTA-GFP

signal along the length of synergids after pollen tube arrival. (F) Quantification of the percentage

of ovules with different NTA accumulation patterns under the same imaging conditions. Bars=50

µm (B and C).

Figure 2. The Golgi marker is randomly distributed throughout synergids during pollen

tube reception. (A) NTA-GFP (green signal) and Golgi-mCherry signals (magenta signal) are

evenly distributed along the length of the synergid and co-localized within synergid cells before

pollen tube arrival. (B) After pollen tube arrival, NTA-GFP accumulated at the FA, but Golgi-

mCherry did not accumulate at the FA. (C) Live imaging of Golgi-mCherry during reception of

Lat52::GFP labeled pollen tubes. (D) Quantification of Golgi-mCherry signal along the length of

synergids shown in (C) before and after pollen tube arrival. Bars=30µm (A-B), 50µm (C).

Figure 3. RabA1g endosomes polarly accumulate toward the filiform apparatus during

pollen tube reception. (A) RabA1g-mCherry endosome marker (magenta signal) accumulates

at the FA region in response to pollen tube arrival (ovule with yellow star). The ovule with no

pollen tube attraction (white star) serves as a negative control imaged under the same

conditions. (B) Higher magnification of the micropylar region of starred ovule in (red box in panel

A). (C) Timing of RabA1g-mCherry polar accumulation during pollen tube arrival. Bars=50µm.

(D) Quantification of RabA1g-mCherry signal along the length of synergids during pollen tube

reception. Synergid cell from chalazal end to filiform apparatus (FA) end was defined from 0 to

33 µm in length. (E) 6 more examples of the quantification of RabA1g-mCherry signal after

pollen tube arrival. (F) Quantification of ovule percentage with RabA1g endosome marker

throughout the synergids (gray bars) or with polar accumulation at or near the filiform apparatus

(black bars).

Figure 4. Targeting of NTA to the filiform apparatus before pollen tube arrival is not toxic

to synergid cells. (A-C) Localization patterns of MYB98 promoter driven MLO-GFP variants

(green signal) in synergids of mature virgin ovules stained with FM4-64 (magenta signal) to reveal

the outline of the synergid and the filiform apparatus (FA, diffuse magenta signal). Bars = 10 µm.

(D) Quantification of the GFP intensity of the MLO variants in A-C along the length of the synergids.

(E) Percentage of ovules showing MLO-GFP signal throughout the synergids (100% of length), in

the FA only (20% of length) and the region surrounding and including the FA (40% of length). (F)

Scatter plot of unfertilized ovule percentages in homozygous plants of pMYB98::MLO-GFP in *nta-*

1 mutants to assess the ability of the MLO-GFP constructs to complement *nta-1*. WS,

Wassilewskija. Significance was determined by a Student's *t*-test (****, $P < 0.0001$; *, $P = 0.0281$;

and ns, $P = 0.2020$).

Figure 5. Analysis of NTA variants expressed in synergids of *nta-1*. (A) Alignment of MLO1

and NTA C-terminal domains after the seventh membrane span. Red bars highlight the CaMBD;

W458A and Δ481 indicate the point mutation in NTA and the deletion point, respectively ; a.a.,

amino acid. (B-D) NTA (variant)-GFP (green) distribution in synergid cells of unfertilized ovules

stained with FM4-64 (magenta signal). Bar = 10 µm. (E) Complementation analysis of NTA

variants in T2 plants homozygous for MYB98_{pro}::NTA(variant)-GFP constructs in *nta-1* mutants.

Adjusted P values from a Student's *t*-test are as follows: **** indicates *P* < 0.0001; *** indicates

P = 0.001 to 0.0001; and ns indicates *P* > 0.05.

Figure 6. A point mutation in the CaMBD (NTA^W458A) affects filiform apparatus

accumulation of NTA and pollen tube reception. (A) NTA^W458A-GFP has 3 different

localization patterns in response to PT arrival under semi *in-vivo* conditions. Bar=50 µm (B)

Quantification of GFP signal intensity in NTA^W458A synergids during pollen tube reception. (C)

Analysis of NTA^W458A-GFP distribution patterns in ovules with successful (PT bursting) and

unsuccessful (no PT or PT overgrowth (PT OG)) pollen tube reception.

Figure 7. Subcellular dynamics in synergids during pollen tube reception. (A) Pollination

using a semi-*in vivo* pollen tube guidance assay. (B) Before pollen tube arrival, NTA is in a

Golgi-associated compartment and RabA1g endosomes are distributed throughout the

synergids. (C) As a pollen tube arrives, NTA and RabA1g endosomes move toward the filiform

apparatus (FA). NTA accumulation is dependent on signaling from the FER receptor like

kinase, which acts in a complex with LRE. Abbreviations: CC, Central Cell; Syn, Synergid cells;

EC, Egg Cell; An, Antipodal cells; nuc, Nucleus; FA, Filiform Apparatus.

Supplemental Materials

Supplementary figure 1. NTA-GFP does not polarly accumulate at the filiform apparatus in

synergids without pollen tube attraction.

Supplementary figure 2. Peroxisomes do not exhibit polar accumulation at the FA during

pollen tube reception.

Supplementary figure 3. Trans-Golgi marker distribution in synergids does not change in

response to pollen tube reception.

Supplementary figure 4. ER marker distribution in synergids does not change in response to

pollen tube reception.

Supplementary figure 5. Additional examples of the polar accumulation of RabA1g endosomes

during pollen tube reception.

Supplementary figure 6. NTA^{W458A} co-localizes with Golgi marker in synergid cells before pollen

tube arrival.

Supplementary figure 7. NTA-GFP polar accumulation requires FER and LRE.

Supplemental Movies

Supplementary movie 1. NTA-GFP (green signal) redistributes to filiform apparatus region as

ACA9::DsRed labeled pollen tube (magenta signal) approaches.

Supplementary movie 2. NTA-GFP (green signal) redistributes to filiform apparatus region

during pollen tube reception (GFP channel only, same movie as S1).

Supplementary movie 3. Golgi-mCherry signals (magenta signal) are evenly distributed along

the length of the synergid as Lat52::GFP labeled pollen tube (green signal) approaches.

Supplementary movie 4. Golgi-mCherry signals (magenta signal) are evenly distributed along

the length of the synergid during pollen tube reception (mCherry channel only, same movie as

S3).

Supplementary movie 5. The trans-Golgi marker SYP61-mCherry (magenta signal) is localized

toward the micropyle region of synergid cells both before and after pollen tube (green signal)

arrival.

Supplementary movie 6. The trans-Golgi marker SYP61-mCherry (magenta signal) is localized

toward the micropyle region of synergid cells during pollen tube reception (mCherry channel

only, same movie as S5).

Supplementary movie 7. Before and after pollen tube (green signal) arrival, the ER marker SP-

mCherry-HDEL (magenta signal) is distributed throughout synergid cells.

Supplementary movie 8. The ER marker SP-mCherry-HDEL (magenta signal) is distributed

throughout synergid cells (mCherry channel only, same movie as S7).

Supplementary movie 9. The peroxisome marker mCherry-SLK (magenta signal) does not

redistribute to the filiform apparatus region after pollen tube (green signal) arrival.

Supplementary movie 10. The peroxisome marker mCherry-SLK (magenta signal) does not

redistribute to the filiform apparatus region during pollen tube reception (mCherry channel only,

same movie as S9).

Supplementary movie 11. RabA1g-mCherry endosome marker (magenta signal) accumulates

at the filiform apparatus region in response to pollen tube (green signal) arrival.

References

Arazi, T., Baum, G., Snedden, W.A., Shelp, B.J., and Fromm, H. (1995). Molecular and
711   Biochemical-Analysis of Calmodulin Interactions with the Calmodulin-Binding Domain of
712   Plant Glutamate-Decarboxylase. Plant Physiology **108,** 551-561.
713 **Bent, A.** (2006). Arabidopsis thaliana Floral Dip Transformation Method. In Methods in
714   Molecular Biology, K. Wang, ed (Totowa, NJ: Humana Press Inc.
715 **Boisson-Dernier, A., Frietsch, S., Kim, T.H., Dizon, M.B., and Schroeder, J.I.** (2008). The
716   peroxin loss-of-function mutation abstinence by mutual consent disrupts male-female
717   gametophyte recognition. Curr Biol **18,** 63-68.
718 **Burgoyne, R.D., and Clague, M.J.** (2003). Calcium and calmodulin in membrane fusion.
719   Biochim Biophys Acta **1641,** 137-143.
720 **Buschges, R., Hollricher, K., Panstruga, R., Simons, G., Wolter, M., Frijters, A., van**
721   **Daelen, R., van der Lee, T., Diergaarde, P., Groenendijk, J., Topsch, S., Vos, P.,**
722   **Salamini, F., and Schulze-Lefert, P.** (1997). The barley Mlo gene: a novel control
723   element of plant pathogen resistance. Cell **88,** 695-705.
724 **Capron, A., Gourgues, M., Neiva, L.S., Faure, J.E., Berger, F., Pagnussat, G., Krishnan, A.,**
725   **Alvarez-Mejia, C., Vielle-Calzada, J.P., Lee, Y.R., Liu, B., and Sundaresan, V.** (2008).
726   Maternal Control of Male-Gamete Delivery in Arabidopsis Involves a Putative GPI-
727   Anchored Protein Encoded by the LORELEI Gene. Plant Cell **20,** 3038-3049.
728 **Chen, J., Gutjahr, C., Bleckmann, A., and Dresselhaus, T.** (2015). Calcium signaling during
729   reproduction and biotrophic fungal interactions in plants. Mol Plant **8,** 595-611.
730 **Chin, D., and Means, A.R.** (2000). Calmodulin: a prototypical calcium sensor. Trends Cell Biol
731   **10,** 322-328.
732 **Denninger, P., Bleckmann, A., Lausser, A., Vogler, F., Ott, T., Ehrhardt, D.W., Frommer,**
733   **W.B., Sprunck, S., Dresselhaus, T., and Grossmann, G.** (2014). Male-female
734   communication triggers calcium signatures during fertilization in Arabidopsis. Nature
735   communications **5,** 4645.

Devoto, A., Piffanelli, P., Nilsson, I., Wallin, E., Panstruga, R., von Heijne, G., and Schulze-
Lefert, P. (1999). Topology, subcellular localization, and sequence diversity of the Mlo
family in plants. J Biol Chem **274,** 34993-35004.
Devoto, A., Hartmann, H.A., Piffanelli, P., Elliott, C., Simmons, C., Taramino, G., Goh, C.S.,
Cohen, F.E., Emerson, B.C., Schulze-Lefert, P., and Panstruga, R. (2003). Molecular
phylogeny and evolution of the plant-specific seven-transmembrane MLO family. J Mol
Evol **56,** 77-88.
Drews, G.N., and Yadegari, R. (2002). Development and function of the angiosperm female
gametophyte. Annu Rev Genet **36,** 99-124.
Escobar-Restrepo, J.M., Huck, N., Kessler, S., Gagliardini, V., Gheyselinck, J., Yang, W.C.,
and Grossniklaus, U. (2007). The FERONIA receptor-like kinase mediates male-female
interactions during pollen tube reception. Science **317,** 656-660.
Gao, C., Yu, C.K., Qu, S., San, M.W., Li, K.Y., Lo, S.W., and Jiang, L. (2012). The Golgi-
localized Arabidopsis endomembrane protein12 contains both endoplasmic reticulum
export and Golgi retention signals at its C terminus. Plant Cell **24,** 2086-2104.
Ge, Z., Bergonci, T., Zhao, Y., Zou, Y., Du, S., Liu, M.C., Luo, X., Ruan, H., Garcia-Valencia,
L.E., Zhong, S., Hou, S., Huang, Q., Lai, L., Moura, D.S., Gu, H., Dong, J., Wu, H.M.,
Dresselhaus, T., Xiao, J., Cheung, A.Y., and Qu, L.J. (2017). Arabidopsis pollen tube
integrity and sperm release are regulated by RALF-mediated signaling. Science **358,**
1596-1600.
Geldner, N., Denervaud-Tendon, V., Hyman, D.L., Mayer, U., Stierhof, Y.D., and Chory, J.
(2009). Rapid, combinatorial analysis of membrane compartments in intact plants with a
multicolor marker set. Plant J **59,** 169-178.
Hamamura, Y., Nagahara, S., and Higashiyama, T. (2012). Double fertilization on the move.
Curr Opin Plant Biol **15,** 70-77.
Hamamura, Y., Nishimaki, M., Takeuchi, H., Geitmann, A., Kurihara, D., and Higashiyama,
T. (2014). Live imaging of calcium spikes during double fertilization in Arabidopsis. Nat
Commun **5,** 4722.
Hamamura, Y., Saito, C., Awai, C., Kurihara, D., Miyawaki, A., Nakagawa, T., Kanaoka,
M.M., Sasaki, N., Nakano, A., Berger, F., and Higashiyama, T. (2011). Live-cell
imaging reveals the dynamics of two sperm cells during double fertilization in
Arabidopsis thaliana. Curr Biol **21,** 497-502.
Himschoot, E., Pleskot, R., Van Damme, D., and Vanneste, S. (2017). The ins and outs of
Ca(2+) in plant endomembrane trafficking. Curr Opin Plant Biol **40,** 131-137.
Huang, B.-Q., and Russell, S.D. (1992). Female Germ Unit: Organization, Isolation, and
Function **140,** 233-293.
Huck, N., Moore, J.M., Federer, M., and Grossniklaus, U. (2003). The Arabidopsis mutant
feronia disrupts the female gametophytic control of pollen tube reception. Development
130, 2149-2159.
Huckelhoven, R., and Panstruga, R. (2011). Cell biology of the plant-powdery mildew
interaction. Curr Opin Plant Biol **14,** 738-746.
Iwano, M., Ngo, Q.A., Entani, T., Shiba, H., Nagai, T., Miyawaki, A., Isogai, A.,
Grossniklaus, U., and Takayama, S. (2012). Cytoplasmic Ca2+ changes dynamically
during the interaction of the pollen tube with synergid cells. Development **139,** 4202-
4209.
Johnson, M.A., Harper, J.F., and Palanivelu, R. (2019). A Fruitful Journey: Pollen Tube
Navigation from Germination to Fertilization. Annu Rev Plant Biol.
Jones, D.S., and Kessler, S.A. (2017). Cell type-dependent localization of MLO proteins. Plant
Signal Behav **12.**

Jones, D.S., Yuan, J., Smith, B.E., Willoughby, A.C., Kumimoto, E.L., and Kessler, S.A.
(2017). MILDEW RESISTANCE LOCUS O Function in Pollen Tube Reception Is Linked
to Its Oligomerization and Subcellular Distribution. Plant Physiol **175,** 172-185.
Jones, D.S., Liu, X., Willoughby, A.C., Smith, B.E., Palanivelu, R., and Kessler, S.A. (2018).
Cellular distribution of secretory pathway markers in the haploid synergid cells of
Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant J **94,** 192-202.
Kessler, S.A., and Grossniklaus, U. (2011). She's the boss: signaling in pollen tube reception.
Curr Opin Plant Biol **14,** 622-627.
Kessler, S.A., Shimosato-Asano, H., Keinath, N.F., Wuest, S.E., Ingram, G., Panstruga, R.,
and Grossniklaus, U. (2010). Conserved molecular components for pollen tube
reception and fungal invasion. Science **330,** 968-971.
Kim, M.C., Panstruga, R., Elliott, C., Muller, J., Devoto, A., Yoon, H.W., Park, H.C., Cho,
M.J., and Schulze-Lefert, P. (2002a). Calmodulin interacts with MLO protein to regulate
defence against mildew in barley. Nature **416,** 447-451.
Kim, M.C., Lee, S.H., Kim, J.K., Chun, H.J., Choi, M.S., Chung, W.S., Moon, B.C., Kang,
C.H., Park, C.Y., Yoo, J.H., Kang, Y.H., Koo, S.C., Koo, Y.D., Jung, J.C., Kim, S.T.,
Schulze-Lefert, P., Lee, S.Y., and Cho, M.J. (2002b). Mlo, a modulator of plant
defense and cell death, is a novel calmodulin-binding protein. Isolation and
characterization of a rice Mlo homologue. J Biol Chem **277,** 19304-19314.
Kusch, S., Pesch, L., and Panstruga, R. (2016). Comprehensive Phylogenetic Analysis Sheds
Light on the Diversity and Origin of the MLO Family of Integral Membrane Proteins.
Genome Biol Evol **8,** 878-895.
Leshem, Y., Johnson, C., and Sundaresan, V. (2013). Pollen tube entry into the synergid cell
of Arabidopsis is observed at a site distinct from the filiform apparatus. Plant
reproduction **26,** 93-99.
Leydon, A.R., Tsukamoto, T., Dunatunga, D., Qin, Y., Johnson, M.A., and Palanivelu, R.
(2015). Pollen Tube Discharge Completes the Process of Synergid Degeneration That Is
Initiated by Pollen Tube-Synergid Interaction in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol **169,** 485-496.
Li, C., Yeh, F.L., Cheung, A.Y., Duan, Q., Kita, D., Liu, M.C., Maman, J., Luu, E.J., Wu,
B.W., Gates, L., Jalal, M., Kwong, A., Carpenter, H., and Wu, H.M. (2015).
Glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored proteins as chaperones and co-receptors for
FERONIA receptor kinase signaling in Arabidopsis. Elife **4**.
Lindner, H., Kessler, S.A., Müller, L.M., Shimosato-Asano, H., Boisson-Dernier, A., and
Grossniklaus, U. (2015). TURAN and EVAN mediate pollen tube reception in
Arabidopsis synergids through protein glycosylation. PLoS Biol **13,** e1002139.
Liu, X., Castro, C., Wang, Y., Noble, J., Ponvert, N., Bundy, M., Hoel, C., Shpak, E., and
Palanivelu, R. (2016). The Role of LORELEI in Pollen Tube Reception at the Interface
of the Synergid Cell and Pollen Tube Requires the Modified Eight-Cysteine Motif and the
Receptor-Like Kinase FERONIA. Plant Cell **28,** 1035-1052.
Mansfield, S.G., Briarty, L.G., and Erni, S. (1991). Early embryogenesis in Arabidopsis
thaliana. I. The mature embryo sac. Canadian Journal of Botany **69,** 447-460.
Muller, L.M., Lindner, H., Pires, N.D., Gagliardini, V., and Grossniklaus, U. (2016). A subunit
of the oligosaccharyltransferase complex is required for interspecific gametophyte
recognition in Arabidopsis. Nat Commun **7,** 10826.
Naramoto, S. (2017). Polar transport in plants mediated by membrane transporters: focus on
mechanisms of polar auxin transport. Curr Opin Plant Biol **40,** 8-14.
Ngo, Q.A., Vogler, H., Lituiev, D.S., Nestorova, A., and Grossniklaus, U. (2014). A Calcium
Dialog Mediated by the FERONIA Signal Transduction Pathway Controls Plant Sperm
Delivery. Dev Cell **29,** 491-500.
Okuda, S., Tsutsui, H., Shiina, K., Sprunck, S., Takeuchi, H., Yui, R., Kasahara, R.D.,
Hamamura, Y., Mizukami, A., Susaki, D., Kawano, N., Sakakibara, T., Namiki, S.,

Itoh, K., Otsuka, K., Matsuzaki, M., Nozaki, H., Kuroiwa, T., Nakano, A., Kanaoka,
M.M., Dresselhaus, T., Sasaki, N., and Higashiyama, T. (2009). Defensin-like
polypeptide LUREs are pollen tube attractants secreted from synergid cells. Nature **458,**
357-361.
Palanivelu, R., and Preuss, D. (2006). Distinct short-range ovule signals attract or repel
Arabidopsis thaliana pollen tubes in vitro. BMC Plant Biol **6,** 7.
Panstruga, R. (2005). Serpentine plant MLO proteins as entry portals for powdery mildew fungi.
Biochem Soc Trans **33,** 389-392.
Petrasek, J., Mravec, J., Bouchard, R., Blakeslee, J.J., Abas, M., Seifertova, D.,
Wisniewska, J., Tadele, Z., Kubes, M., Covanova, M., Dhonukshe, P., Skupa, P.,
Benkova, E., Perry, L., Krecek, P., Lee, O.R., Fink, G.R., Geisler, M., Murphy, A.S.,
Luschnig, C., Zazimalova, E., and Friml, J. (2006). PIN proteins perform a rate-limiting
function in cellular auxin efflux. Science **312,** 914-918.
Platre, M.P., Noack, L.C., Doumane, M., Bayle, V., Simon, M.L.A., Maneta-Peyret, L.,
Fouillen, L., Stanislas, T., Armengot, L., Pejchar, P., Caillaud, M.C., Potocky, M.,
Copic, A., Moreau, P., and Jaillais, Y. (2018). A Combinatorial Lipid Code Shapes the
Electrostatic Landscape of Plant Endomembranes. Dev Cell **45,** 465-480 e411.
Rotman, N., Rozier, F., Boavida, L., Dumas, C., Berger, F., and Faure, J.E. (2003). Female
control of male gamete delivery during fertilization in Arabidopsis thaliana. Curr Biol **13,**
432-436.
Ruge, H., Flosdorff, S., Ebersberger, I., Chigri, F., and Vothknecht, U.C. (2016). The
calmodulin-like proteins AtCML4 and AtCML5 are single-pass membrane proteins
targeted to the endomembrane system by an N-terminal signal anchor sequence. J Exp
Bot **67,** 3985-3996.
Salanenka, Y., Verstraeten, I., Lofke, C., Tabata, K., Naramoto, S., Glanc, M., and Friml, J.
(2018). Gibberellin DELLA signaling targets the retromer complex to redirect protein
trafficking to the plasma membrane. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **115,** 3716-3721.
Sandaklie-Nikolova, L., Palanivelu, R., King, E.J., Copenhaver, G.P., and Drews, G.N.
(2007). Synergid cell death in Arabidopsis is triggered following direct interaction with the
pollen tube. Plant Physiol **144,** 1753-1762.
Schindelin, J., Arganda-Carreras, I., Frise, E., Kaynig, V., Longair, M., Pietzsch, T.,
Preibisch, S., Rueden, C., Saalfeld, S., Schmid, B., Tinevez, J.Y., White, D.J.,
Hartenstein, V., Eliceiri, K., Tomancak, P., and Cardona, A. (2012). Fiji: an open-
source platform for biological-image analysis. Nat Methods **9,** 676-682.
Simon, M.L., Platre, M.P., Marques-Bueno, M.M., Armengot, L., Stanislas, T., Bayle, V.,
Caillaud, M.C., and Jaillais, Y. (2016). A PtdIns(4)P-driven electrostatic field controls
cell membrane identity and signalling in plants. Nat Plants **2,** 16089.
Sprunck, S., Rademacher, S., Vogler, F., Gheyselinck, J., Grossniklaus, U., and
Dresselhaus, T. (2012). Egg cell-secreted EC1 triggers sperm cell activation during
double fertilization. Science **338,** 1093-1097.
Stoorvogel, W., Strous, G.J., Geuze, H.J., Oorschot, V., and Schwartz, A.L. (1991). Late
endosomes derive from early endosomes by maturation. Cell **65,** 417-427.
Takeuchi, H., and Higashiyama, T. (2016). Tip-localized receptors control pollen tube growth
and LURE sensing in Arabidopsis. Nature **531,** 245-248.
Viotti, C., Bubeck, J., Stierhof, Y.D., Krebs, M., Langhans, M., van den Berg, W., van
Dongen, W., Richter, S., Geldner, N., Takano, J., Jurgens, G., de Vries, S.C.,
Robinson, D.G., and Schumacher, K. (2010). Endocytic and secretory traffic in
Arabidopsis merge in the trans-Golgi network/early endosome, an independent and
highly dynamic organelle. Plant Cell **22,** 1344-1357.

Wang, T., Liang, L., Xue, Y., Jia, P.F., Chen, W., Zhang, M.X., Wang, Y.C., Li, H.J., and
Yang, W.C. (2016). A receptor heteromer mediates the male perception of female
attractants in plants. Nature **531,** 241-244.
Yamada, M., Miyawaki, A., Saito, K., Nakajima, T., Yamamoto-Hino, M., Ryo, Y., Furuichi,
T., and Mikoshiba, K. (1995). The calmodulin-binding domain in the mouse type 1
inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate receptor. Biochem J **308 (Pt 1),** 83-88.
Yang, T., and Poovaiah, B. (2003). Calcium/calmodulin-mediated signal network in plants.
Trends in plant science **8,** 505-512.
Yuan, J., and Kessler, S.A. (2019). A genome-wide association study reveals a novel regulator
of ovule number and fertility in Arabidopsis thaliana. PLoS Genet **15,** e1007934.
895

Figure 1. NTA-GFP accumulates at the filiform apparatus as the pollen tube approaches. (A) Diagram of a mature *Arabidopsis thaliana* ovule and embryo sac, modified from Jones et al, 2018. CC, Central Cell; Syn, Synergid Cells; EC, Egg Cell; An, Antipodal cells; FA, Filiform Apparatus (B, C) Live imaging of pollen tube (PT) reception using NTA-GFP labeled synergids (green signal) and ACA9::DsRed pollen tubes (magenta signal). (B) Polar NTA-GFP accumulation at the filiform apparatus (FA) occurred in ovules that attracted a pollen tube (ovules with yellow stars), while polar NTA-GFP accumulation did not occur in ovules without pollen tube attraction (ovules with white stars). (C) Time-lapse imaging of NTA-GFP accumulation during pollen tube reception. (D) Quantification of NTA-GFP signal along the length of the synergid from chalazal end (0μm) to FA end (33μm) at 0min, 25min, 50min, 75min, and 100min timepoints, respectively. (E) 6 more examples of the quantification of NTA-GFP signal along the length of synergids after pollen tube arrival. (F) Quantification of the percentage of ovules with different NTA accumulation patterns under the same imaging conditions. Bars=50 μm (B and C).

Figure 2. A Golgi marker is randomly distributed throughout synergids during pollen tube reception. (A) NTA-GFP (green signal) and Golgi-mCherry signals (magenta signal) are evenly distributed along the length of the synergid and co-localized within synergid cells before pollen tube arrival. (B) After pollen tube arrival, NTA-GFP accumulated at the FA, but Golgi-mCherry did not accumulate at the FA. (C) Live imaging of Golgi-mCherry during reception of Lat52::GFP labeled pollen tubes. (D) Quantification of Golgi-mCherry signal along the length of synergids shown in (C) before and after pollen tube arrival. Bars=30μm (A-B), 50μm (C).

Figure 3. RabA1g endosomes polarly accumulate toward the filiform apparatus during pollen tube reception. (A) RabA1g-mCherry endosome marker (magenta signal) accumulates at the FA region in response to pollen tube arrival (ovule with yellow star). The ovule with no pollen tube attraction (white star) serves as a negative control imaged under the same conditions. (B) Higher magnification of the micropylar region of starred ovule in (red box in panel A). (C) Timing of RabA1g-mCherry polar accumulation during pollen tube arrival. Bars=50μm. (D) Quantification of RabA1g-mCherry signal along the length of synergids during pollen tube reception. Synergid cell from chalazal end to filiform apparatus (FA) end was defined from 0 to 33 μm in length. (E) 6 more examples of the quantification of RabA1g-mCherry signal after pollen tube arrival. (F) Quantification of ovule percentage with RabA1g endosome marker throughout the synergids (gray bars) or with polar accumulation at or near the filiform apparatus (black bars).

Figure 4. Targeting of NTA to the filiform apparatus before pollen tube arrival is not toxic to synergid cells. (A-C) Localization patterns of MYB98 promoter driven MLO-GFP variants (green signal) in synergids of mature virgin ovules stained with FM4-64 (magenta signal) to reveal the outline of the synergid and the filiform apparatus (FA, diffuse magenta signal). Bars = 10 μm. (D) Quantification of the GFP intensity of the MLO variants in A-C along the length of the synergids. (E) Percentage of ovules showing MLO-GFP signal throughout the synergids (100% of length), in the FA only (20% of length) and the region surrounding and including the FA (40% of length). (F) Scatter plot of unfertilized ovule percentages in homozygous plants of pMYB98::MLO-GFP in *nta-1* mutants to assess the ability of the MLO-GFP constructs to complement *nta-1*. WS, Wassilewskija. Significance was determined by a Student's *t*-test (****, $P < 0.0001$; *, $P = 0.0281$; and ns, $P = 0.2020$).

Figure 5. Disruption of the CaMBD affects NTA localization and function. (A) Alignment of MLO1 and NTA C-terminal domains after the seventh membrane span. Red bars highlight the CaMBD; W458A and Δ481 indicate the point mutation in NTA and the deletion point, respectively ; a.a., amino acid. (B-D) NTA (variant)-GFP (green) distribution in synergid cells of unfertilized ovules stained with FM4-64 (magenta signal). Bar = 10 μm. (E) Complementation analysis of NTA variants in T2 plants homozygous for MYB98$_{pro}$::NTA(variant)-GFP constructs in *nta-1* mutants. Adjusted P values from a Student's *t*-test are as follows: **** indicates *P* < 0.0001; *** indicates *P* = 0.001 to 0.0001; and ns indicates *P* > 0.05.

Figure 6. A point mutation in the CaMBD (NTA^W458A) affects filiform apparatus accumulation of NTA and pollen tube reception. (A) NTA^W458A-GFP has 3 different localization patterns in response to PT arrival under semi *in-vivo* conditions. Bar=50 μm (B) Quantification of GFP signal intensity in NTA^W458A synergids during pollen tube reception. (C) Analysis of NTA^W458A-GFP distribution patterns in ovules with successful (PT bursting) and unsuccessful (no PT or PT overgrowth (PT OG)) pollen tube reception.

Figure 7. Subcellular dynamics in synergids during pollen tube reception. (A) Pollination using a semi-*in vivo* pollen tube guidance assay. (B) Before pollen tube arrival, NTA is in a Golgi-associated compartment and RabA1g endosomes are distributed throughout the synergids. (C) As a pollen tube arrives, NTA and RabA1g endosomes move toward the filiform apparatus (FA). NTA accumulation is dependent on signaling from the FER receptor like kinase, which acts in a complex with LRE. Abbreviations: CC, Central Cell; Syn, Synergid cells; EC, Egg Cell; An, Antipodal cells; nuc, Nucleus; FA, Filiform Apparatus.

Supplementary figure 1. NTA-GFP does not polarly accumulate at the filiform apparatus in synergids without pollen tube attraction. (A) NTA-GFP (green signal) distribution pattern in synergids at 0min, 25min, 50min, 75min, and 100min timepoints, respectively. (B)Signal intensity measurement of NTA-GFP intensity at 0min, 25min, 50min, 75min, and 100min timepoints, respectively. Bar=50μm.

Supplementary figure 2. Peroxisomes do not exhibit polar accumulation at the FA during pollen tube reception. (A) The peroxisome marker mCherry-SLK (magenta signal) does not accumulate to the FA region after pollen tube (green signal) arrival (ovules with green stars). (B) Quantification of mCherry-SLK signal before and after PT arrival. Bars=50µm.

Supplementary figure 3. Trans-Golgi marker distribution in synergids does not change in response to pollen tube reception. (A) The trans-Golgi marker SYP61-mCherry (magenta signal) is concentrated toward the micropyle region of synergid cells both before and after pollen tube (green signal) arrival (ovules with yellow stars). (B) Quantification of SYP61-mCherry signal along the length of a synergid before and after pollen tube reception. Bars=50μm.

Supplementary figure 4. ER marker distribution in synergids does not change in response to pollen tube reception. (A) Before and after pollen tube (green signal) arrival, the ER marker SP-mCherry-HDEL (magenta signal) is distributed throughout synergid cells (ovules with white stars). (B) Quantification of SP-mCherry-HDEL signal along the length of synergids before and after pollen tube reception. Bars=50μm.

Supplementary figure 5. **Additional examples of the polar accumulation of RabA1g endosomes during pollen tube reception.** Endosome marker accumulates near the filiform apparatus region as pollen tube approaches (ovules with white stars), but no polar accumulation was found in ovules that did not attract a pollen tube (ovules with yellow stars). Bars=50μm.

Supplementary figure 6. NTA^W458A co-localizes with Golgi marker in synergid cells before pollen tube arrival. Colocalization of NTA^W458A-GFP (green) with Golgi marker (LRE:proMan49-mCherry, magenta) (A) and the trans-Golgi network marker (TGN, MYB98pro:SYP61:mCherry, magenta) (B) in the synergid cell of unpollinated ovules. Bars = 10 μm.

Supplementary figure 7. **NTA-GFP polar accumulation requires FER and LRE.** (A-B) In *fer* mutant synergids, NTA-GFP (green signal) distributes throughout synergids (arrows) with ACA9::DsRed pollen tube overgrowth (magenta signal). (C) Quantification of NTA-GFP signal along the length of *fer-1* synergids before and after pollen tube reception. (D-E) In *lre-7* ovules, NTA-GFP distributes throughout synergids (white arrow) with pollen tube overgrowth. (F) Quantification of NTA-GFP signal along the length of *lre-7* synergids before and after pollen tube reception. FA, Filiform Apparatus. Bars=50μm.

Supplementary table 1. List of primers used for cloning.

Primer Name	Primer Sequences (5' to 3')
NTA481-RattB2	GGGGACCACTTTGTACAAGAAAGCTGGGTGTGAAACACCAAGTGTCTTGCT
NTAW458A-F	GCATTGAAGAAG**GC**GCACAAAGACATCAAATTGAAGAAAG
NTA-RattB2	GGGGACCACTTTGTACAAGAAAGCTGGGTGAGAGTTGTGGAATTGCATCTC
NTA-FattB1	GGGGACAAGTTTGTACAAAAAAGCAGGCTTCACCATGATCACAAGAAGCAGGTGT
NTAW458A-R	C**GC**CTTCTTCAATGCCTTTGCCAT
NTA-R19	CTCATCAAACACTGCTTTCTTCATG
MLO1-F	GCAGTGTTTGATGAGAATGTGCAGGTTGGTCTTGTTG
MLO1-RattB2	GGGGACCACTTTGTACAAGAAAGCTGGGTGGTTGTTATGATCAGGTGTAATCTCA