Deceptive combined effects of short allele dominance and stuttering: an example

with *Ixodes scapularis*, the main vector of Lyme disease in the U.S.A.

Thierry De Meeûs[1,*], Cynthia T. Chan[2,3], John M. Ludwig[2,4], Jean I. Tsao[5], Jaymin Patel[2,6],

Jigar Bhagatwala[2,7], and Lorenza Beati[2].

1. Intertryp, IRD, Cirad, Univ Montpellier, Montpellier, France.

2. The U.S. National Tick Collection, Institute for Coastal Plain Science, Georgia Southern

University, Statesboro, GA, USA.

3. College of Agricultural & Environmental Sciences, University of Georgia, Athens, GA,

USA.

4. Department of Microbiology, University of Georgia, Athens, GA, USA

5. Department of Fisheries and Wildlife, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, USA

6. Division of Hospital Medicine, College of Medicine, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL,

USA.

7. Medical College of Georgia, Augusta University, Augusta, GA, USA.

* Corresponding author: thierry.demeeus@ird.fr

Keywords: Microsatellite loci, short allele dominance, stuttering, heterozygote deficit,

linkage disequilibrium, curing microsatellite data.

Running title: Short allele dominance and stuttering

Abstract

Null alleles, short allele dominance (SAD), and stuttering increase the perceived relative inbreeding of individuals and subpopulations as measured by Wright's F_{IS} and F_{ST}. Ascertainment bias, due to such amplifying problems are usually caused by inaccurate primer design (if developed from a different species or a distant population), poor DNA quality, low DNA concentration, or a combination of some or all these sources of inaccuracy. When combined, these issues can increase the correlation between polymorphism at concerned loci and, consequently, of linkage disequilibrium (LD) between those. In this note, we studied an original microsatellite data set generated by analyzing nine loci in *Ixodes scapularis* ticks from the eastern U.S.A. To detect null alleles and SAD we used correlation methods and variation measures. To detect stuttering, we evaluated heterozygote deficit between alleles displaying a single repeat difference. We demonstrated that an important proportion of loci affected by amplification problems (one with null alleles, two with SAD and three with stuttering) lead to highly significant heterozygote deficits (F_{IS}=0.1, *p*-value<0.0001). This occurred together with an important proportion (22%) of pairs of loci in significant LD, two of which were still significant after a false discovery rate (FDR) correction, and some variation in the measurement of population subdivision across loci (Wright's F_{ST}). This suggested a strong Wahlund effect and/or selection at several loci. By finding small peaks corresponding to previously disregarded larger alleles in some homozygous profiles for loci with SAD and by pooling alleles close in size for loci with stuttering, we generated an amended dataset. Except for one locus with null alleles and another still displaying a modest SAD, the analyses of the corrected dataset revealed a significant excess of heterozygotes (F_{IS}=-0.07 as expected in dioecious and strongly subdivided populations, with a more reasonable proportion (19%) of pairs of loci characterized by significant LD, none of which stayed significant after the FDR procedure. Strong subdivision was also confirmed by the standardized F_{ST}' corrected for null alleles (F_{ST}'=0.19) and small effective subpopulation sizes (N_e=7).

Introduction

Null alleles, short allele dominance (SAD) and stuttering are frequent consequences of poor PCR amplifications, in particular for microsatellite markers. Amplification problems usually arise when primers are designed by using DNA of a different species or a distant population, when DNA is degraded, at too low of a concentration (Chapuis and Estoup, 2007), or any combination of these listed causes.

Null alleles occur when a mutation on the flanking sequence of the targeted locus affects the hybridization of the corresponding primer, resulting in amplification failure. Heterozygous individuals with one null allele falsely appear to be homozygous, while homozygous individuals for null alleles are considered to be missing data.

SAD, also called large allele dropout (Van Oosterhout et al., 2004), known from minisatellite markers, was also discovered to occur in microsatellite loci (Wattier et al., 1998). In heterozygous samples, longer alleles are less successfully amplified than shorter alleles through competition for Taq polymerase. This can lead to misinterpreting heterozygous individuals as homozygous for the shortest allele (De Meeûs et al., 2007).

Stuttering is the result of inaccurate PCR amplification through Taq slippage of a specific DNA strand. This generates several PCR products that differ from each other by one repeat and can cause difficulties when discriminating between fake and true homozygotes, such as heterozygous individuals for dinucleotide microsatellite allele sequences with a single repeat difference.

Allelic dropout is akin to SAD, but occurs randomly to any allele irrespective of its size, and can affect both alleles of heterozygous individuals.

The consequence of these issues is a homozygous excess when compared to the expected Castle-Weinberg proportions (Castle, 1903; Weinberg, 1908) (classically known as Hardy-Weinberg, however, more accurately depicted as Castle-Weinberg; because the former was the first to derive it for two equifrequent alleles in 1903 and the latter generalized the concept in January 1908, prior to Hardy in April 1908 (Hardy, 1908)), as measured by Wright's F_{IS} (Wright, 1965). These problems, like all others associated with amplification, are locus specific (Van Oosterhout et al., 2004; De Meeûs et al., 2007; De Meeûs, 2018a) and thus lead to locus specific variation (namely, an increase) of F_{IS}. A less well known, though well documented (Chapuis and Estoup, 2007; Séré et al., 2017b; Manangwa et al., 2019) effect of such amplification problems consists of an increase of Wright's F_{ST} (Wright, 1965) that is commonly used to measure the degree of genetic differentiation between subpopulations.

While an analytical cure exists for null alleles (Chapuis and Estoup, 2007; Séré et

al., 2017a), such remediation is unavailable for SAD and stuttering. To the best of our

knowledge, the impact of amplification problems on linkage disequilibrium (LD) between

locus pairs has yet to be investigated. Problems with amplification can be expected to

more commonly occur in individuals that display some kind of deviating DNA in terms of

quantity or quality: flanking sequences that have accumulated mutations, samples

containing weak DNA concentration, badly preserved DNA extracts, or a combination of

these different problems. When combined, the effect of the occurrence of null alleles, SAD,

and stuttering may artificially generate a positive correlation between allele occurrences at

affected loci and then increase the perceived LD between them.

In this note, we utilize an original data set generated through the analysis of nine

microsatellite loci in *Ixodes scapularis*, sampled across the eastern U.S. to show that the

combined effect of SAD, stuttering, and null alleles can induce an increase in the number

of locus pairs in significant LD. We then propose and test an efficient way to amend such

data.

Material and Methods

Sampling and DNA extraction

Larvae, nymphs, and adults of *I. scapularis* were sampled indiscriminately from

different sites across the eastern U.S. on different occasions, extending from November

2001 to May 2014, by means of dragging and flagging the vegetation (Figure 1 and Table

1) (Rulison et al., 2013).

Gravid females fall on the ground where they lay thousands of eggs at the same

place that hatch as weakly mobile larvae. Larval collections can thus be composed of

clusters of thousands of sisters and brothers within the same subsample (Kempf et al.,

2011). Consequently, to avoid possible Wahlund effects, where a heterozygote deficit

results from the admixture of individuals from genetically distant subpopulations (e.g. see

(De Meeûs, 2018a)) (here families), we removed immature stages from the present study.

The remaining 387 adult ticks were subdivided into cohorts, with each cohort comprised of

samples collected across two consecutive years in the fall, the following winter and spring

across the tick distribution range. This subdivision was based on observations showing

that northeastern adults active in Fall can undergo winter quiescence and resume activity

in spring (Yuval and Spielman, 1990).

Many publications have emphasized the importance of mitochondrial clades in

different populations of *I. scapularis* across the U.S. (Norris et al., 1996; Qiu et al., 2002;

Sakamoto et al., 2014). Thus, to account for the mitochondrial clade representation and to (again) avoid possible Wahlund effects, all ticks were assigned clades by phylogenetic analysis of their 12S rDNA gene sequences. We identified 6 main clades in our dataset, the previously identified American clade was subdivided in two lineages (AMI and AMII), and the so-called southern clade was subdivided in 4 lineages (SOI, SOII, SOIII and SOIV) (Table 1).

In conclusion, the combination of Site-Clade-Cohort data defined 61 subsamples within the 387 individual adult ticks. Overall, 35 subsamples included a small number of individuals (1-4), 12 subsamples contained at least 10 individuals and 5 subsamples contained at least 20 individuals (Table 1). Because the smallest subsamples were expected to exert a negligible weight on our analyses, they were not eliminated.

Procedures for all DNA extractions followed modified published protocols (Beati and Keirans, 2001) with a DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA).

Selection and characterization of microsatellite markers

Thirteen of the first batches of genome sequences of *I. scapularis* that were accessioned by VectorBase (www.vectorbase.org; Giraldo-Calderón *et al.* 2015) in GenBank (AC205653.1, AC205652.1, AC205650.1, AC205647.1, AC205646.1, AC205643.1, AC205642.1, AC205641.1, AC205638.1, AC205635.1, AC205634.1, AC205632.1, AC205630.1) were used to manually detect motifs with at least 6 repeats of AG, AT, CA, TA, TG, CT, GC, ACG, GTT, TTA, CAC, GAT, and AAAC. Primer pairs were selected in the flanking regions by using Oligo v.5 (Molecular Biology Insights, Colorado Springs, CO). DNA, extracted and pooled from six ticks from Connecticut, was used to test whether the selected primer sets successfully amplified fragments of the expected size. PCRs were performed using the 5-Prime Master PCR kit (5-Prime, Gaithersburg, MD) and a single touch-down amplification protocol consisting of 5 min. denaturation at 93°C; 5 cycles: 20 sec. denaturation at 93°C, 20 sec. annealing at 55°C-1.5°C/cycle, 30 sec. elongation at 72°C; 30 cycles: 20 sec. denaturation at 93°C, 25 sec. annealing at 47°C, 30 sec. elongation at 70°C; final extension at 70°C for 5 min. Amplicons were run on 4% E-gels (Life Technologies Co., Carlsbad, CA). The risk of having selected primers within repeated portions of the genome had to be considered due to the fact that large repeated genomic fragments are known to occur abundantly in *I. scapularis* (Gulia-Nuss et al., 2016). In order to confirm that the primers were amplifying the targeted loci, the amplicon of one randomly chosen tick was cloned with a TOPO-TA PCR cloning kit (Life Technologies Co, Carlsbad, CA) for each locus. Five cloned colonies were picked

randomly for each tick and the insert amplified and sequenced (DNA Analysis Facility on

Science Hill, Yale University). Finally, as microsatellite primers are known to amplify

sometimes more than one closely related species, the same set of primers was tested on

DNA samples of *Ixodes ricinus*, *Ixodes pacificus*, and *Ixodes persulcatus* (LB, personal

collection), all taxa belonging to the *I. ricinus* complex of ticks (Keirans et al., 1999).

The primer pairs that yielded amplicons of the expected size were then used to

individually amplify a subset of 67 DNA samples from ticks, representative of the

distribution area of *I. scapularis* in USA, and collected by flagging or dragging in Alabama

(10 ticks), Georgia (15), Connecticut (16), Massachusetts (14), New York (2),

Pennsylvania (2), and South Carolina (8). For these amplifications, forward primers were

labeled with fluorescent dyes (Applied Biosystems, Thermo Fisher Scientific, CA) as listed

in Table 2. The amplicons were sent to the DNA Analysis Facility on Science Hill (Yale

University, New Haven, CT) for genotyping. The allele peaks were scored using

GeneMarker (SoftGenetics, State College, PA). All data were recorded in an Excel

spreadsheet for further ease of conversion.

Figure 1: Sampling sites for *Ixodes scapularis* from the eastern U.S.A. (State codes as in

Table 1).

Table 1: State, site, GPS coordinates (decimal degrees), 12S clade membership, date of sampling, corresponding cohort membership and size of *Ixodes scapularis* adult subsamples (*N*) from the eastern U.S.A.

State	Site	Latitude	Longitude	Clade	Date	Cohort	*N*
	AL1	33.24	-86.13	AMI	2011 Jan	C7	21
	AL1	33.24	-86.13	SOI	2011 Jan	C7	6
	AL1	33.24	-86.13	AMII	2011 Jan	C7	18
Alabama	AL1	33.24	-86.13	SOII	2011 Jan	C7	6
	AL2	32.95	-87.14	AMI	2012 Dec	C9	3
	AL2	32.95	-87.14	AMII	2012 Dec	C9	2
Connecticut	CT1	41.35	-72.76	AMI	2001 Nov	C1	3
	CT1	41.35	-72.76	AMI	2003 Jun	C2	21
	FL1	30.65	-84.21	SOII	2012 Dec	C9	4
	FL2	30.06	-81.37	AMI	2011 Jan	C7	2
Florida	FL2	30.06	-81.37	AMII	2011 Jan	C7	3
	FL2	30.06	-81.37	SOI	2011 Jan	C7	1
	FL2	30.06	-81.37	SOII	2011 Jan	C7	4
	GA1	32.45	-81.78	AMI	2009 Dec	C5	11
Georgia	GA1	32.45	-81.78	SOI	2009 Dec	C5	5
	GA1	32.45	-81.78	SOII	2009 Dec	C5	17
	GA1	32.45	-81.78	SOIII	2009 Dec	C5	1
Iowa	IA1	42.67	-91.59	AMI	2007 May	C3	3
	LA1	30.94	-91.46	AMI	2012 Dec	C9	3
	LA1	30.94	-91.46	AMII	2012 Dec	C9	2
Louisiana	LA1	30.94	-91.46	SOIII	2012 Dec	C9	1
	LA1	30.94	-91.46	SOIV	2012 Dec	C9	2
Massachusetts	MA1	41.71	-69.92	AMI	2010 May	C5	6
Maryland	MD1	39.29	-76.88	AMI	2010 Oct	C7	11
	NC1	33.91	-78.39	AMI	2009 Jan	C4	22
North-Carolina	NC1	33.91	-78.39	SOI	2009 Jan	C4	4
	NC1	33.91	-78.39	SOIII	2009 Jan	C4	2
New-York	NY1	40.76	-72.83	AMI	2009 Oct	C5	10
Pennsylvania	PA1	40.67	-75.96	AMI	2010 Oct	C7	5
	PA2	41.06	-79.48	AMI	2014 May	C10	33
	SC1	33.33	-81.66	AMI	2011 Apr	C7	8
	SC1	33.33	-81.66	AMII	2011 Apr	C7	1
	SC1	33.33	-81.66	SOI	2011 Apr	C7	3
	SC1	33.33	-81.66	SOII	2011 Apr	C7	1
	SC1	33.33	-81.66	SOIII	2011 Apr	C7	1
	SC2	33.23	-81.73	AMI	2010 Dec	C7	4
South-Carolina	SC2	33.23	-81.73	AMII	2010 Dec	C7	8
	SC2	33.23	-81.73	SOI	2010 Dec	C7	4
	SC2	33.23	-81.73	SOII	2010 Dec	C7	10
	SC3	33.15	-81.61	SOII	2010 Dec	C7	2
	SC5	34.29	-79.87	AMI	2012 Dec	C9	3
	SC5	34.29	-79.87	SOII	2012 Dec	C9	2
Tennessee	TN1	35.37	-86.07	AMI	2010 Dec	C7	23
	TX1	31.80	-96.23	AMI	2012 Dec	C9	5
	TX1	31.80	-96.23	SOI	2012 Dec	C9	2
	TX1	31.80	-96.23	SOIV	2012 Dec	C9	3
	TX2	30.24	-100.72	AMI	2012 Dec	C9	1
Texas	TX2	30.24	-100.72	SOIV	2012 Dec	C9	5
	TX3	31.91	-95.9	AMI	2012 Dec	C9	3
	TX3	31.91	-95.9	SOI	2012 Dec	C9	2
	TX3	31.91	-95.9	SOIV	2012 Dec	C9	6
	TX4	31.59	-95.61	AMI	2012 Dec	C9	2
	TX4	31.59	-95.61	SOI	2012 Dec	C9	2
Virginia	VA1	38.29	-78.29	AMI	2010 Oct	C7	7
	VA2	37.32	-80.73	AMI	2012 Dec	C9	4
	WI1	43.95	-90.70	AMI	2011 Oct	C8	8
	WI1	43.95	-90.70	AMI	2012 Oct	C9	4
Wisconsin	WI2	44.04	-90.65	AMI	2011 Oct	C8	8
	WI2	44.04	-90.65	AMI	2012 Oct	C9	12
	WI3	44.02	-90.64	AMI	2011 Oct	C8	8
	WI3	44.02	-90.64	AMI	2012 Oct	C9	3

185 Table 2: List of primer sets used or developed for this study. PAC = *Ixodes pacificus*, PER = *I. persulcatus*, RIC = *I. ricinus*.

Locus	Repeat	Primer name	Primer sequences (5'→3')	Ref	Dye	Size)	NAI	Sp
IS1	(AG)10	Amy1-IsAG25a Amy2-IsAG25b	AAATGTCCGAACAGCCTTAT GCCCTTGAGTCTACCCACTA	Fagerberg *et al* (2001)	6 FAM	93-193	17	PAC/PER/RIC
IS3	(GTT)$_5$	bac1d_a bac1d_b	GCAGATCTCTTGGGCTAG AAGCTAAGGCGTTCGTTG	AC205653	VIC	76-100	7	none
IS4	(AT)21	bac1m_a bac1m_b	TGTCGGTTTGATGCCAA GGCTCCATTCACCAGTC	AC205653	VIC	88-126	17	PAC/PER/RIC
IS5	(CA)9	bac3dh_a bac3dh_b	TGCCTGTGACGAAACCA TCTCCCAAGAGATCTAGGTA	AC205650	NED	62-140	17	none
IS6	(TA)10	bac1j_a bac1j_b	TCTCCCAAGAGATCTAGGTA ATCTGTTCAGTGGGCACA	AC205653	VIC	100-186	13	PER
IS7	(TA)11	bac1k_a bac1k_b	GGGACTGGACACACGA CTAGGTGGCGCAAGTC	AC205653	VIC	48-170	26	none
IS8	(CA)14	bac3s_a bac3s_b	CGTTTCAAAGTCGGAGA GATGTGAGGGCGTGGT	AC205650	PET	96-194	11	PER
IS9	(AAAC)5	bac4cef_a bac4cef_b	CGCCTTTTGTCCCAACC GACTAACAGCATTGGAGCA	AC205647	6 FAM	85-125	12	PER
IS10	(TTA)9	bac5cf_a bac5cf_b	TCCCCCAACAAGATTGATG GAGACGACGTAGATTCTTG	AC205646	6 FAM	77-137	15	none
IS11	(TTA)$_6$	bac5g_a bac5g_b	GCTTTAGCGGGCTGGT TACGTGAATACGTCCTTGG	AC205646	PET	81-165	12	PER
IS12	(TA)43	bac6a_a bac6a_b	GCAAGCTTCGCTATTCTC CAGTAATTTCGCATCGGTT	AC205643	6 FAM	111-229	26	none
IS13	(TA)22	bac6c_a bac6c_b	TAGGTACAAGAAAACGTGCT CAAGGTAATTGTTCTCGTCA	AC205643	NED	37-91	17	none
IS14	(TA)5	bac6d_a bac6d_b	CCTTGCCTTACATGGTT CGTACCAAACCAAAGCAAG	AC205643	HEX	57-105	13	PAC/PER/RIC
IS15	(AT)$_8$	bac6e_a bac6e_b	TATTGTAACCGACGCTAGG GACAATCTCTACGCAAATCC	AC205643	NED	79-125	18	none
IS16	(CA)$_8$	bac6f_a bac6f_b	CCCCCAAACACGCACA TTGCTTCATGCAGGGAAC	AC205643	VIC	80-106	12	RIC
IS17	(CA)$_6$	bac7e_a bac7e_b	CCAGCATTTAACCCTCAAG TAGTGGGGTATGGCACTG	AC205642	HEX	139-197	12	PER/RIC
IS18	(TG)$_6$	bac8a_a bac8a_b	GTAGGTACCCTAAGAAGGAT TTGAGGAAGCAGAATGTAGG	AC205641	6 FAM	75-195	16	PER/RIC
IS19	(CT)$_7$	bac9a_a bac9a_b	AGAACCAGTTCAGCATTCC GAACATTTTCACGTGTTGC	AC205638	PET	94-166	6	PER
IS20	(GC)9	bac11a_a bac11a_b	CGCTCCCTTCGAAGTTC GAGAAGACAGTTTCCATCG	This study	HEX	76-106	13	PAC/PER/RIC
IS21	(ACG)6	bac11c_a bac11c_b	CGAATCGCGCACACTAG GCTGTGTTGCTGGTCAC	This study	NED	109-251	14	PAC/PER
IR27	(AC)9		ATACCCGTAGAACGAGAG GTTTTTCAAGATTTCCGCC	Delaye *et al* (2008)	6 FAM			RIC

186 Ref: Reference or GenBank accession number; Size: Approximate size range (bp, 67 individuals); Nal: Number of alleles (67 individuals); Sp: Cross-species amplification

 Genotyping

Based on their degree of polymorphism, nine microsatellite loci (IR27, IS1, IS3,

IS11, IS15, IS16, IS17, IS18, and IS19) were used for genotyping at the continental scale

(Table 2). Of these, IR27 (Delaye et al., 1998) and IS1 (Fagerberg et al., 2001), were

drawn from previously published studies. The loci were amplified and genotyped using the

procedures described above, although PCR conditions had to be slightly optimized for

markers IS11 and IS15 (touchdown annealing temperature decreased from 58°C to 50°C)

and IR27 (touchdown annealing temperature decreased from 56°C to 53°C) (Table 2).

Population genetics analyses

The raw data set was coded and converted into all required formats using Create

(Coombs et al., 2008).

To test for LD, we used the *G*-based test first described by Goudet et al. (Goudet et

al., 1996) and adapted for contingency tables of locus pairs, with 15000 reshuffling of

genotypes to get maximum precision and minimize possible *p*-values before false

discovery rate corrections (see below). The *G* statistics obtained for each subsample were

then summed over all subsamples to get a single statistic and hence, a single test across

subsamples. This procedure was shown to be the most powerful (De Meeûs et al., 2009)

and was implemented within Fstat 2.9.4 (Goudet, 2003) an updated version of the original

1995 Fstat software (Goudet, 1995). There are as many tests as locus pairs and these

tests are correlated (one locus is used as many times as there is any other locus). To take

into account this repetition of correlated tests, we used Benjamini and Yekutieli (BY) false

discovery rate procedure (Benjamini and Yekutieli, 2001) with R version 3.5.1 (R-Core-

Team, 2018). To check if some loci were involved in a significant LD pair more often than

by chance, as compared to the other loci, we also undertook a Fisher exact test with

Rcmdr version 2.3-1 (Fox, 2005; Fox, 2007).

For a hierarchy with three levels (individuals, subsamples, and total sample), three

F-statistics can be defined (Wright, 1965). F_{IS} measures inbreeding of individuals relative

to inbreeding of subsamples or relative deviation of observed genotypic proportions from

local random mating proportions. F_{ST} measures inbreeding of subsamples relative to total

inbreeding or relative inbreeding due to the subdivision of the total population into several

isolated subpopulations. F_{IT} measures inbreeding of individuals relative to total inbreeding.

Under the null hypothesis (panmixia and no subdivision), all these statistics are expected

to be null. Otherwise, F_{IS} and F_{IT} can vary from -1 (one heterozygote class) to +1 (all

individuals homozygous) and F_{ST} from 0 (all subsamples share similar allele frequencies)

to +1 (all subsamples fixed for one or the other allele). These statistics were estimated with

Weir and Cockerham's unbiased estimators (Weir and Cockerham, 1984) with Fstat.

In dioecious species (like ticks), heterozygote excess occurs over all loci (e.g. (De

Meeûs et al. 2007)) and is proportional to subpopulation size ($N_e = -1/(2 \times F_{IS}) - F_{IS}/(1+F_{IS})$)

(Balloux, 2004). Therefore, the finding of homozygous excesses really represents a strong

deviation from random mating expectations. Technical problems, like null alleles,

stuttering, SAD or allele dropouts unevenly affects some loci, producing a positive F_{IS} with

an important variation across loci and significant outliers (De Meeûs 2018). Significant

departure from 0 of these F-statistics was tested with 10000 randomizations of alleles

between individuals within subsample (deviation from local random mating test) or of

individuals between subsamples within the total sample (population subdivision test). For

F_{IS}, the statistic used was f (Weir and Cockerham's F_{IS} estimator). To test for subdivision,

we used the G-based test (Goudet et al. 1996) over all loci, which is the most powerful

procedure when combining tests across loci (De Meeûs et al. 2009).

To compute 95% confidence intervals (95%CI) of F-statistics, we used the standard

error of F_{IS} (StrdErrFIS) and F_{ST} (StrdErrFST) computed by jackknifing over populations, or

5000 bootstraps over loci as described elsewhere (De Meeûs et al. 2007). For jackknives,

the number of usable subsamples was restricted to subsamples with at least 5 ticks (23

subsamples) (e.g. (De Meeûs, 2012) p 73).

In case of significant homozygote excess and LD we tried to discriminate

demographic from technical causes with the determination key proposed by De Meeûs (De

Meeûs 2018). Null alleles better explain the data if the StrdErrFIS becomes at least twice

as high as StrdErrFST; F_{IS} and F_{ST} are positively correlated; and a positive correlation

links F_{IS} and the number of missing data (putative null homozygotes). The significance of

correlations was tested with a unilateral ($\rho > 0$) Spearman's rank correlation test with R. The

presence of null alleles was also verified with MicroChecker v 2.2.3 (Van Oosterhout et al.

2004) and null allele frequencies estimated with Bookfield's second method (Brookfield

1996). The adjustment between observed and expected numbers of missing data was

tested with a unilateral exact binomial test in R with the alternative hypothesis being "there

is not enough missing data as expected if heterozygote deficits were entirely explained by

null alleles under panmixia". MicroChecker also seeks stuttering and SAD. Stuttering is

detected when MicroChecker reveals an observed proportion of heterozygous individuals

for alleles with one repeat difference significantly smaller than the expected value. The

presence of stuttering was detected with the graphic output of MicroChecker (we ignored

the comments panel that happened to contradict the graphic in some instances). We

considered that the observed deficit of heterozygous individuals for one repeat difference
was a likely consequence of stuttering. Due to the small population sample sizes and
bootstrapping procedure in MicroChecker, the statistical support (p-value) of this result
was not always significant for all runs. Hence, we set the randomization at the maximum
value (10000) and repeated the analysis three times to check for consistency. Stuttering
was admitted when two out of three tests supported it. The occurrence of SAD was also
checked with an unilateral ($\rho<0$) Spearman's rank correlation test between allele size and
F_{IT} as proposed by (Manangwa et al., 2019). This test is more powerful than with F_{IS} as
was proposed earlier (Wattier et al., 1998; De Meeûs et al., 2004). If previous tests are not
significant and if StrdErrFIS > StrdErrFST, then a Wahlund effect better explains the data
(De Meeûs, 2018a), this is especially true in instances of significant LD (Manangwa et al.,
2019). In these cases, a positive correlation between the number of times a locus is found
in significant LD (NLD) and its total genetic diversity as measured by Nei's H_T (Nei and
Chesser, 1983) (Spearman's correlation above 0.1) suggests an admixture of individuals
from several subpopulations of the same species but with an important degree of genetic
differentiation between admixed subpopulations (i.e. number of immigrants $N_em=2$). If the
correlation is negative and the proportion of significant LDs is above 40%, an admixture of
different strongly divergent entities (e.g. species) better explains the data (Manangwa et
al., 2019). We thus undertook a bilateral Spearman's test.

In some instances, the same null hypothesis was repeatedly tested (i.e. SAD was
tested for each locus one by one). Repetition of independent tests were submitted to
Benjamini and Hochberg (BH) correction (Benjamini and Hochberg, 2000) (computed with
R), to check for robustness of significant p-values.

To our knowledge, when diagnosed, there is no analytical remedy for SAD or
stuttering as there is for null alleles (Chapuis and Estoup, 2007; Séré et al., 2017a).
Nevertheless, SAD can be cured by going back to the chromatograms of homozygous
individuals and trying to find a micro-peak (see the Results and discussion section), with a
larger size, ignored in the first reading. If enough profiles can be corrected this might
salvage the incriminated locus.

Stuttering can be addressed by pooling alleles close in size. However, this
procedure requires that none of the pooled allele groups is constituted of rare alleles only.
Indeed, pooling rare alleles, usually found in heterozygosity with a more frequent allele,
will tend to artificially generate heterozygous excesses. In order to avoid this
consequence, each pooled group should contain at least one frequent allele (e.g. with
$p \geq 0.05$).

In dioecious small populations, a heterozygote excess is expected. However, loci
with null alleles may display heterozygote deficits. In such situations a bilateral test (F_{IS} is
not different from 0) is needed and obtained as $p_{bilateral}=p_{mini}+1-p_{maxi}$, where p_{mini} is the
minimum unilateral p-value (for heterozygote deficit or excess) and p_{max} is the maximum
one.
Finally, a more accurate estimate of F_{ST} can be made for datasets with null alleles
after recoding missing genotypes as homozygous for allele 999 (null allele) with the ENA
method as implemented in FreeNA (Chapuis and Estoup, 2007). This estimate can then
be corrected for polymorphism with the formula $F_{ST}'=F_{ST}/(1-H_S)$ (Hedrick, 1999). This
helped compute the number of immigrants as $Nm=(1-F_{ST}')/(4F_{ST})$ (assuming an Island
model of migration) (e.g. (De Meeûs et al., 2007)).
304
Results and discussion
Primer selection and characterization of loci
The inspection of the GenBank genomic sequences revealed the presence of 67
short tandem repeated motifs. The program Oligo v.5 did not find suitable primers for 17 of
them. Of the remaining 50 primer pairs, 22 amplified the pooled DNA sample and the sizes
of the amplicons were approximately as expected. The cloned amplified inserts all
contained the expected microsatellite repeats and flanking regions. The 22 primer sets
consistently amplified DNA from the 67 *I. scapularis* ticks and some of them also amplified
DNA of the other *Ixodes* species (Table 2). Finally, based on their polymorphism and ease
of interpretation, nine loci were retained for the population genetics analyses: IR27 (from
(Delaye et al., 1998)), IS1, IS3, IS11, IS15, IS16, IS17, IS18, and IS19 (from the present
study), with 9.8, 3.4, 1.3, 8, 8.3, 4.9, 2.8, 5.7 and 4.1 % missing genotypes (blanks)
respectively.
318
Raw data analyses
All data are available in the supplementary File S1.
There was a very important proportion of locus pairs in significant LD (~22%), with a
negative correlation between NLD and H_T (ρ=-0.04, p-value=0.9242). Two locus pairs
remained in significant LD after BY correction: IR27-IS3 (p-value=0.0301) and IS11-IS16
(p-value=0.0451). No single locus was found more often in significant LD than the others
(p-value=0.09).
There was a highly significant heterozygote deficit (F_{IS}=0.111, in 95%CI=[-0.02,
0.236, p-value<0.0002), with a considerable variation across loci (Figure 2).

Figure 2: F_{IS} values for each locus and averaged across those (All) of *Ixodes scapularis* from the eastern U.S.A. with 95% jackknife confidence intervals over subsamples (for each locus) and bootstraps over loci (All). Results of tests for panmixia, short allele dominance, number of subsamples with stuttering for each locus, and null allele frequencies are also indicated.

	IR27	IS1	IS3	IS11	IS15	IS16	IS17	IS18	IS19	All
	0.6952	0.0002	0.002	0.0002	0.0002	0.5844	0.0012	0.0002	0.2232	0.0002
	0.2513	0.0015	0.6186	0.7303	0.008	0.613	0.9718	0.4679	0.3962	
	0	2	0	0	2	5	2	0	1	
	0.1675	0.1271	0.0572	0.2758	0.2528	0.0944	0.1224	0.0897	0.1105	

Locus names
p-values (two sided)
p-value for short allele dominance
Number of subsamples with stuttering
Average frequencies for null alleles (Brookfield's 2nd method)

StdrdErrFIS (0.07) was almost four times higher than StrdErrFST (0.019); the

correlation between F_{IS} and F_{ST} was negative (ρ=-0.6166, *p*-value=0.962) and the

correlation between F_{IS} and the number of blanks (missing genotypes) was positive but not

significant (ρ=0.1833, *p*-value=0.3218). These results suggested that locus-specific effects

were involved. Nevertheless, null alleles only partly explained the observed pattern at best.

The substantial proportion of significant LDs suggested the existence of a possible strong

Wahlund effect, though a negative correlation between NLD and H_T with less than 40%

significant tests observed here would refute this interpretation (Manangwa et al., 2019).

Small subsampling due to the partitioning of the data into cohorts and 12S clades

was expected to considerably lower the power of these tests, especially so after the rather

stringent BY procedure for the LD test series.

Subdivision was substantial (F_{ST}=0.101, 95%CI=[0.066, 0.136], *p*-value<0.0001),

and variable across loci and subsamples (Figure 3), but not meaningfully more variable

than expected (see Figure 6 in (De Meeûs, 2018b)). Some loci (IS1, IS15 and IS17)

displayed very low values (Figure 3). Selection (e.g. balanced selection) might have

produced the pattern observed, though evidence for this is weak (large confidence

intervals).

Figure 3: F_{ST} values for each locus and averaged across those (All) of *Ixodes scapularis*

from the eastern U.S.A. with 95% jackknife confidence intervals over subsamples

(for each locus) and bootstrap over loci (All). Results of tests for significant

subdivision (*p*-value) are also indicated.

Two loci displayed highly significant SAD (Figure 2): loci IS1 (ρ=-0.57, *p*-

value=0.0015) and IS15 (ρ=-0.46, *p*-value=0.008), which stayed significant after BH

correction (0.0135 and 0.036 respectively). Stuttering was diagnosed for four loci (IS1,

IS15, IS16, IS17 and IS19). According to Brookfield's second method, null allele

frequencies could range between 0.06 and 0.28 on average (Figure 2), but these

estimates do not correct for other errors and, as discussed above, null alleles only partly

explain the observed F_{IS} and its variation across loci.

These heterozygote deficits and high proportion of significant LD may come from

amplification problems detected as SAD, stuttering and null alleles. Amplification problems

are expected to occur in individuals presenting an apostate DNA. This can happen if these

individuals belong to lineages that are significantly divergent from the majority; when their

DNA is partly degraded, at low concentration; or a combination of these different causes.

This may amplify a preexisting correlation between allele occurrences at different loci. One
way to test for this is to study the correlation between the number of missing genotypes
(blanks) of an individual and its number of heterozygous sites. This correlation is expected
to be negative if amplification problems occur more often in some individuals than in others
(Kaboré et al., 2011). A Spearman's rank correlation test with Rcmdr outputted ρ=-0.26 (p-
value<0.0001).

Cured data set

To prevent the omission of five (out of nine) loci, due to stuttering, SAD and/or
possible selection, we went back to the data. We first scanned the chromatograms for
previously ignored micro-peaks in homozygous individuals at loci displaying SAD (IS1 and
IS15) (Figure 4). For IS1 and IS15, SAD might well have explained why we also found
stuttering at these locus (see below). We then tried to pool alleles close in size as
described above for loci IS16, IS17 and IS19. For IS16, alleles 88, 90 and 92 were
recoded as 94; and allele 96 as 98. For locus IS17, alleles 170 and 172 were recoded as
174; alleles 178, 180, 182 and 184 were recoded as 186; alleles 188, 190 and 192 were
recoded as 194; and alleles 196 and 198 as 200. Finally, for locus IS19, allele 91 was
recoded as 93; alleles 97, 99, 101 and 103 as105; and alleles 107 and 109 as 111. The
obtained amended dataset was called "Cured dataset" (Supplementary file S1). Pooling
alleles only increases homoplasy. Everything being equal, the effect of homoplasy on F_{IS}
or F_{ST} is equivalent to a mutation rate increase by a factor $K/(K$-1) (Rousset, 1996), where
K is the number of possible alleles. Thus, for microsatellite loci with many possible alleles,
the effect is deemed negligible, especially for F_{IS}. Here, the resulting number of alleles per
locus was 17 on average, with 5 and 27 as the outermost-lying values. The resulting
homoplasy effect on F-statistics can thus be safely ignored.

Figure 4: Examples of an initially dismissed micro-peak that produced SAD at locus IS15
(the peak for allele 92 appears much smaller than for allele 84) and of stuttering at
locus IS19 (stutters around allele 109 hides the possible presence of allele 111).

Allele sizes →   84 92   109

With the cured dataset, the proportion of locus pairs in significant LD dropped to

19%, but more importantly, the smallest p-value after BY correction was 0.0797. The

correlation between NLD and H_T became positive (ρ=0.57, p-value=0.1056). This change

may point towards a Wahlund effect (Manangwa et al., 2019). Nevertheless, this would be

incompatible with the F_{IS} observed (see below) and with the fact that none of these tests

remained significant after BY adjustment. A heterozygote deficit was no longer observed

(F_{IS}=-0.004 in 95%CI=[-0.105, 0.124], bilateral p-value=0.7034) (Figure 5).

StrdErrFIS=0.064 was three times higher than StrdErrFST=0.022. There was no

correlation between F_{IS} and F_{ST} (ρ=-0.27, p-value=0.77). The correlation between number

of missing genotypes and F_{IS} was positive, though marginally not significant (ρ=0.52, p-

value=0.0809). MicroChecker diagnosed null alleles in 11 subsamples for locus IS11 and

in one subsample for loci IR39 and IS19, which correspondingly displayed relatively high

and variable F_{IS} and substantial proportions of missing genotypes (see above and Figure

5). IS15 still displayed SAD (ρ=-0.3814, p-value=0.0248), though with much less intensity.

In addition, after BH correction, the test was not significant any longer (p-value=0.2232).

When IS11 and IS15 were removed from the dataset, global F_{IS} became significantly

negative (F_{IS}=-0.074 in 95%CI=[-0.142, -0.017], p-value=0.0002) as expected for small

subpopulations in dioecious species (Balloux, 2004). As for subdivision, F_{ST} remained

almost unaffected, even with the ENA method (F_{ST}=0.103 in 95%CI=[0.067..0.14], *p*-

value<0.0001).

Figure 5: F_{IS} values for each locus, averaged across those (All), or over 7 loci without

amplification problems (IS11 and IS15) for *Ixodes scapularis* cured data from the

eastern U.S.A. with 95% jackknife confidence intervals over subsamples (for each

locus) and bootstraps over loci (All). Results of tests for panmixia, short allele

dominance, number of subsamples with stuttering for each locus, and null allele

frequencies are also indicated.

	IR27	IS1	IS3	IS11	IS15	IS16	IS17	IS18	IS19	All	7 loci
	0.706	0.1562	0.0022	0.0002	0.6416	0.0002	0.7584	0.0002	0.782	0.7034	0.0002
	0.2513	0.2320	0.6186	0.8454	0.0248	0.9397	0.8250	0.4679	0.6431		
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	0.1675	0.0366	0.0572	0.2751	0.1026	0.0690	0.1063	0.0897	0.1148		

Locus names
p-values for deviation from panmictic expectations (two sided)
p-value for short allele dominance (one sided)
Number of subsamples with stuttering
Average frequencies for null alleles (Brookfield's 2nd method)

Conclusion

Combinations of amplification errors manifesting as null alleles, SAD or stuttering

lead not only to heterozygote deficits, but an overall increase in LD. In order to correct for

SAD, it may be useful to hunt for small and hard-to-detect peaks in chromatograms for

homozygous individuals and pool alleles close in size to correct for stuttering. Uncorrected,

these problems have the potential to lead to the unnecessary withdrawal of the "flawed"

loci. These proposed amendments, together with null allele management with the ENA

algorithm (Chapuis and Estoup, 2007), resulted in analyses revealing heterozygote

excesses, as expected in small dioecious subpopulations. These changes also reduced

the proportion of significant LD tests which, more importantly, were no longer significant

after BY correction. Such corrections can then lead to more accurate estimates of the

degree of population subdivision, as shown elsewhere for null alleles (Chapuis and

Estoup, 2007; Séré et al., 2017b). The different steps to be followed during population

genetics data analyses of such datasets are summarized as a flowchart in Figure 6.

447

Figure 6: Flowchart of the recommended steps in population genetics data analyses.

* With False Discovery Rate (FDR) corrections (with R)

In our case, the relatively important global LD across loci is probably due to small

effective sizes of the *I. scapularis* subpopulations (Waples, 2006; Waples and Do, 2010).

Additionally, null alleles are still influencing the cured data, and predominantly affect

individuals that display some kind of deviating DNA (as explained in the introduction) and

may also contribute to inflate the perceived LD. In fact, the correlation between the number

of missing genotypes and the number of heterozygous sites was still significantly negative

in the cured data set (ρ=-0.32, p-value<0.0001).

It is worthy to note that a reanalysis of the raw data set using MicroDrop 1.01 (Wang

et al., 2012) resulted in smaller F_{IS} and F_{ST} values and only three significant LD tests, none

of which stayed significant after BY correction (though the smaller p-value=0.0556 was

marginal). However, locus IS15 still displayed a significant SAD, (p-value=0.0085, and

corrected p-value=0.0765) and stuttering still was detected once, twice and three times for

loci IS1, IS16 and IS17, respectively, and appeared once for locus IR27. MicroDrop takes

into consideration that heterozygote deficits and missing data are entirely due to allelic

dropouts. It is difficult to thoroughly understand these results since here we have

convincing evidence that the amplification problems were due to SAD, stuttering and null

alleles. This issue would require a full simulation study with different scenarios and a

comparison of methods. That MicroDrop may efficiently cure not only allelic dropouts but

any kind of amplification problem without any bias offers the potential for an extremely

valuable tool for the scientific community, however, this remains to be ascertained.

Nevertheless, MicroDrop did not entirely cure the data from SAD and stuttering, which

does not advocate for the efficacy of the algorithm used in this software. As far as our *I.*

scapularis data set is concerned, the cures proposed provided satisfactory results and

additional useful tools to those already proposed in recently published papers on the

detection and identification of causes of heterozygote deficits (Waples, 2015; De Meeûs,

2018b; Waples, 2018; Manangwa et al., 2019).

Subdivision into small and isolated subpopulations was confirmed by the relatively

small effective population size estimated from F_{IS} (without IS11 and IS15) with Balloux's

method (Balloux, 2004) ($N_e \approx 7$ in 95%CI=[4, 29] individuals), and the relatively important

subdivision measurements between contemporaneous subsamples (to avoid temporal

effects) in cohorts where these were possible (i.e. cohorts 5, 7, 8 and 9) (average

$F_{ST}' \approx 0.19$ in 95%CI=[0.1, 0.29]). This provided an estimate for an immigration rate of

$Nm \approx 1$ individual per generation. These results contrast with those obtained from the

closely related European *I. ricinus*, with no or weak LD in adults, displaying Wahlund

effects at small scales, and with much weaker subdivisions (Delaye et al., 1997; De Meeûs

et al., 2002; Kempf et al., 2010).

Finally, we still do not know if mitochondrial clades have any real biological meaning

for *I. scapularis* population structure or systematics. This will be treated in detail in a

further study that will also include immature stages.

Data availability

The raw and cured datasets are available as "supplementary file S1" at:

http://www.t-de-meeus.fr/Data/DeMeeus-et-al-SAD&StutteringI-scapularisUSA-PCI-

EvolBiol-TableS1.xlsx

Acknowledgements

This work was funded by NSF Grant # EF0914390 to L.B. and EEID EF-0914476 to

J.T. We thank the members of the Lyme Gradient Consortium and many individuals who

provided ticks. We are also grateful to Heather Walker, Jenny Dickson, Keely Duff, Laquita

Burton, Alysha Benn and Nina Griffin, and the several other undergraduate students who provided field and laboratory assistance. We would like to thank one anonymous referee and Eric Petit for their comments and suggestions that helped with improving the present manuscript.

References

Balloux, F., 2004. Heterozygote excess in small populations and the heterozygote-excess effective population size. Evolution 58, 1891-1900.

Beati, L., Keirans, J.E., 2001. Analysis of the systematic relationships among ticks of the genera *Rhipicephalus* and *Boophilus* (Acari : Ixodidae) based on mitochondrial 12S ribosomal DNA gene sequences and morphological characters. J. Parasitol. 87, 32-48.

Benjamini, Y., Hochberg, Y., 2000. On the adaptive control of the false discovery fate in multiple testing with independent statistics. Journal of Educational and Behavioral Statistics 25, 60-83.

Benjamini, Y., Yekutieli, D., 2001. The control of the false discovery rate in multiple testing under dependency. Ann. Stat. 29, 1165–1188.

Castle, W.E., 1903. The laws of heredity of Galton and Mendel, and some laws governing race improvement by selection. Proc Am Acad Arts Sci 39, 223-242.

Chapuis, M.P., Estoup, A., 2007. Microsatellite null alleles and estimation of population differentiation. Mol. Biol. Evol. 24, 621-631.

Coombs, J.A., Letcher, B.H., Nislow, K.H., 2008. CREATE: a software to create input files from diploid genotypic data for 52 genetic software programs. Mol. Ecol. Res. 8, 578–580.

De Meeûs, T., 2012. Initiation à la génétique des populations naturelles: Applications aux parasites et à leurs vecteurs. IRD Editions, Marseille.

De Meeûs, T., 2018a. Revisiting F_{IS}, F_{ST}, Wahlund effects and null alleles. J. Hered. 109, 446-456.

De Meeûs, T., 2018b. Revisiting F_{IS}, F_{ST}, Wahlund effects, and Null alleles. J. Hered. 109, 446-456.

De Meeûs, T., Beati, L., Delaye, C., Aeschlimann, A., Renaud, F., 2002. Sex-biased genetic structure in the vector of Lyme disease, *Ixodes ricinus*. Evolution 56, 1802-1807.

De Meeûs, T., Guégan, J.F., Teriokhin, A.T., 2009. MultiTest V.1.2, a program to
binomially combine independent tests and performance comparison with other
related methods on proportional data. BMC Bioinformatics 10, 443.

De Meeûs, T., Humair, P.F., Grunau, C., Delaye, C., Renaud, F., 2004. Non-Mendelian
transmission of alleles at microsatellite loci: an example in *Ixodes ricinus*, the vector
of Lyme disease. Int. J. Parasitol. 34, 943-950.

De Meeûs, T., McCoy, K.D., Prugnolle, F., Chevillon, C., Durand, P., Hurtrez-Boussès, S.,
Renaud, F., 2007. Population genetics and molecular epidemiology or how to
"débusquer la bête". Infect. Genet. Evol. 7, 308-332.

Delaye, C., Aeschlimann, A., Renaud, F., Rosenthal, B., De Meeûs, T., 1998. Isolation and
characterization of microsatellite markers in the *Ixodes ricinus* complex (Acari :
Ixodidae). Mol. Ecol. 7, 360-361.

Delaye, C., Béati, L., Aeschlimann, A., Renaud, F., De Meeûs, T., 1997. Population
genetic structure of *Ixodes ricinus* in Switzerland from allozymic data: no evidence
of divergence between nearby sites. Int. J. Parasitol. 27, 769-773.

Fagerberg, A.J., Fulton, R.E., Black, W.C., 2001. Microsatellite loci are not abundant in all
arthropod genomes: analyses in the hard tick, *Ixodes scapularis* and the yellow
fever mosquito, *Aedes aegypti*. Insect Mol. Biol. 10, 225-236.

Fox, J., 2005. The R commander: a basic statistics graphical user interface to R. J. Stat.
Software 14, 1–42.

Fox, J., 2007. Extending the R commander by "plug in" packages. R News 7, 46–52.

Goudet, J., 1995. FSTAT (Version 1.2): A computer program to calculate F-statistics. J.
Hered. 86, 485-486.

Goudet, J., 2003. Fstat (ver. 2.9.4), a program to estimate and test population genetics
parameters. Available at http://www.t-de-meeus.fr/Programs/Fstat294.zip, Updated
from Goudet (1995).

Goudet, J., Raymond, M., De Meeûs, T., Rousset, F., 1996. Testing differentiation in
diploid populations. Genetics 144, 1933-1940.

Gulia-Nuss, M., Nuss, A.B., Meyer, J.M., Sonenshine, D.E., Roe, R.M., Waterhouse, R.M.,
Sattelle, D.B., de la Fuente, J., Ribeiro, J.M., Megy, K., Thimmapuram, J., Miller,
J.R., Walenz, B.P., Koren, S., Hostetler, J.B., Thiagarajan, M., Joardar, V.S.,
Hannick, L.I., Bidwell, S., Hammond, M.P., Young, S., Zeng, Q.D., Abrudan, J.L.,
Almeida, F.C., Ayllon, N., Bhide, K., Bissinger, B.W., Bonzon-Kulichenko, E.,
Buckingham, S.D., Caffrey, D.R., Caimano, M.J., Croset, V., Driscoll, T., Gilbert, D.,
Gillespie, J.J., Giraldo-Calderon, G.I., Grabowski, J.M., Jiang, D., Khalil, S.M.S.,

Kim, D., Kocan, K.M., Koci, J., Kuhn, R.J., Kurtti, T.J., Lees, K., Lang, E.G.,

Kennedy, R.C., Kwon, H., Perera, R., Qi, Y.M., Radolf, J.D., Sakamoto, J.M.,

Sanchez-Gracia, A., Severo, M.S., Silverman, N., Simo, L., Tojo, M., Tornador, C.,

Van Zee, J.P., Vazquez, J., Vieira, F.G., Villar, M., Wespiser, A.R., Yang, Y.L., Zhu,

J.W., Arensburger, P., Pietrantonio, P.V., Barker, S.C., Shao, R.F., Zdobnov, E.M.,

Hauser, F., Grimmelikhuijzen, C.J.P., Park, Y., Rozas, J., Benton, R., Pedra, J.H.F.,

Nelson, D.R., Unger, M.F., Tubio, J.M.C., Tu, Z.J., Robertson, H.M., Shumway, M.,

Sutton, G., Wortman, J.R., Lawson, D., Wikel, S.K., Nene, V.M., Fraser, C.M.,

Collins, F.H., Birren, B., Nelson, K.E., Caler, E., Hill, C.A., 2016. Genomic insights

into the *Ixodes scapularis* tick vector of Lyme disease. Nat Commun 7.

Hardy, G.H., 1908. Mendelian proportions in a mixed population. Science 28, 49-50.

Hedrick, P.W., 1999. Perspective: Highly variable loci and their interpretation in evolution

and conservation. Evolution 53, 313-318.

Kaboré, J., MacLeod, A., Jamonneau, V., Ilboudo, H., Duffy, C., Camara, M., Camara, O.,

Belem, A.M.G., Bucheton, B., De Meeûs, T., 2011. Population genetic structure of

Guinea *Trypanosoma brucei gambiense* isolates according to host factors. Infect.

Genet. Evol. 11, 1129-1135.

Keirans, J.E., Needham, G.R., Oliver, J.H., 1999. The *Ixodes* (*Ixodes*) *ricinus* complex

worldwide. Diagnosis of the species in the complex, hosts and distribution, in:

Needham, G.R., R., M., Horn, D.J., Welbourn, W.C. (Eds.), Acarology IX:

Symposia. Ohio Biological Survey, Columbus, Ohio, pp. 341-347.

Kempf, F., De Meeûs, T., Vaumourin, E., Noel, V., Taragel'ová, V., Plantard, O., Heylen,

D.J.A., Eraud, C., Chevillon, C., McCoy, K.D., 2011. Host races in *Ixodes ricinus*,

the European vector of Lyme borreliosis. Infect. Genet. Evol. 11, 2043-2048.

Kempf, F., McCoy, K.D., De Meeûs, T., 2010. Wahlund effects and sex-biased dispersal in

Ixodes ricinus, the European vector of Lyme borreliosis: new tools for old data.

Infect. Genet. Evol. 10, 989-997.

Manangwa, O., De Meeûs, T., Grébaut, P., Segard, A., Byamungu, M., Ravel, S., 2019.

Detecting Wahlund effects together with amplification problems : cryptic species,

null alleles and short allele dominance in Glossina pallidipes populations from

Tanzania. Mol. Ecol. Res. 19, 757-772.

Nei, M., Chesser, R.K., 1983. Estimation of fixation indices and gene diversities. Ann.

Hum. Genet. 47, 253-259.

Norris, D.E., Klompen, J.S.H., Keiransand, J.E., Black, I.W.C., 1996. Population genetics
of *Ixodes scapularis* (Acari: Ixodidae) based on mitochondrial 16S and 12S
genes. J. Med. Entomol. 33, 78–89.

Qiu, W.G., Dykhuizen, D.E., Acosta, M.S., Luft, B.J., 2002. Geographic uniformity of the
Lyme disease spirochete (Borrelia burgdorferi) and its shared history with tick vector
(*Ixodes scapularis*) in the Northeastern United States. Genetics 160, 833-849.

R-Core-Team, 2018. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing, Version
3.5.0 (2018-04-23) Ed. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria,
http://www.R-project.org.

Rousset, F., 1996. Equilibrium values of measures of population subdivision for stepwise
mutation processes. Genetics 142, 1357-1362.

Rulison, E.L., Kuczaj, I., Pang, G., Hickling, G.J., Tsao, J.I., Ginsberg, H.S., 2013.
Flagging versus dragging as sampling methods for nymphal *Ixodes scapularis*
(Acari: Ixodidae). J. Vector Ecol. 38, 163-167.

Sakamoto, J.M., Goddard, J., Rasgon, J.L., 2014. Population and demographic structure
of *Ixodes scapularis* Say in the eastern United States. PLoS One 9, e101389.

Séré, M., Thévenon, S., Belem, A.M.G., De Meeûs, T., 2017a. Comparison of different
genetic distances to test isolation by distance between populations. Heredity 119,
55-63.

Séré, M., Thévenon, S., Belem, A.M.G., De Meeûs, T., 2017b. Comparison of different
genetic distances to test isolation by distance between populations. Heredity 119,
55-63.

Van Oosterhout, C., Hutchinson, W.F., Wills, D.P.M., Shipley, P., 2004. MICRO-
CHECKER: software for identifying and correcting genotyping errors in
microsatellite data. Mol. Ecol. Notes 4, 535-538.

Wang, C., Schroeder, K.B., RosenbergO, N.A., 2012. A maximum-likelihood method to
correct for allelic dropout in microsatellite data with no replicate genotypes.
Genetics 192, 651-669.

Waples, R.S., 2006. A bias correction for estimates of effective population size based on
linkage disequilibrium at unlinked gene loci. Conserv Genet 7, 167-184.

Waples, R.S., 2015. Testing for Hardy-Weinberg proportions: have we lost the plot? J.
Hered. 106, 1-19.

Waples, R.S., 2018. Null alleles and $F_{IS} \times F_{ST}$ correlations. J. Hered. In press.

Waples, R.S., Do, C., 2010. Linkage disequilibrium estimates of contemporary N_e using
highly variable genetic markers: a largely untapped resource for applied
conservation and evolution. Evol. Appl. 3, 244-262.

Wattier, R., Engel, C.R., Saumitou-Laprade, P., Valero, M., 1998. Short allele dominance
as a source of heterozygote deficiency at microsatellite loci: experimental evidence
at the dinucleotide locus Gv1CT in *Gracilaria gracilis* (Rhodophyta). Mol. Ecol. 7,
1569-1573.

Weinberg, W., 1908. Über den Nachweis der Verebung beim Menschen. Jahresheft des
Vereins fur Vaterlundische Naturkunde in Wurttemberg 64, 368-382.

Weir, B.S., Cockerham, C.C., 1984. Estimating F-statistics for the analysis of population
structure. Evolution 38, 1358-1370.

Wright, S., 1965. The interpretation of population structure by F-statistics with special
regard to system of mating. Evolution 19, 395-420.

Yuval, B., Spielman, A., 1990. Duration and Regulation of the Developmental Cycle of
Ixodes dammini (Acari: Ixodidae). J. Med. Entomol. 27, 196-201.