

Title: Role of ethnicity and socio-economic status (SES) in the presentation of retinoblastoma: findings from the UK

Authors:

Rabia Bourkiza¹, Phillippa Cumberland⁵, Hiranya Abeysekera⁶, Manoj Parulekar⁶, Mandeep S Sagoo^{1,4,7}, Jugnoo Rahi^{2,3,4,5}, M. Ashwin Reddy^{1,4,7*}

Affiliations:

¹ Moorfields Eye Hospital. 162 City Road, London EC1V 2PD, UK

² Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, University College London, 30 Guilford St, London WC1N 1EH

³ Great Ormond Street Hospital NIHR Biomedical Research Centre, London, UK

⁴ NIHR Biomedical Research Centre at Moorfields Eye Hospital and University College London Institute of Ophthalmology, London, UK

⁵ Ulverscroft Vision Research Group, Great Ormond Street Institute Child Health UCL, London, UK

⁶ Birmingham Children's Hospital. Steelhouse Lane, Birmingham B4 6NH, UK

⁷ Barts Health NHS Trust. Whitechapel Rd, Whitechapel, London E1 1BB

Corresponding author:

E-mail: mashwinreddy@hotmail.com

Contributorship:

All authors contributed equally to this work.

1 **Abstract:**

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38

Purpose: The aim of this study was to investigate if there was a relationship between ethnicity or socioeconomic status and the presentation of advanced non-familial retinoblastoma in the UK.

Methods: A cross sectional study based at the two centres providing retinoblastoma care in the UK. Non-familial cases of retinoblastoma (Rb) presenting between January 2006 and December 2011 were included. Data collected included: age at diagnosis , gender, child’s ethnicity, International Intraocular Retinoblastoma Classification (IIRC) stage with Groups D and E being considered advanced, laterality, treatment, and postcodes. Individual postcode (ZIP code) data was used to obtain the Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD) score. A postal questionnaire was sent to participants’ parents to collect further, person-level, information on languages spoken and household socioeconomic position. Measures of severity of retinoblastoma also included: requirement for primary enucleation; the use of adjuvant chemotherapy; and mortality.

Results: 189 cases were analyzed. 98 (52%) male and 91 (48%) female. Median age at diagnosis was 16 months [IQR 8 – 34 months]. 153/189 (81%) of cases presented with advanced retinoblastoma; 75 (40%) group E, 78 (41%) group D. 134 (72%) of cases were treated with enucleation.

Multivariable analysis showed that older age at presentation was associated with enucleation and bilateral disease was associated with adjuvant chemotherapy. There was some indication that South Asian ethnicity and being in the most deprived IMD quintile were associated with a higher likelihood of presentation with advanced disease, but these estimates did not reach statistical significance.

Conclusions: In this first national UK study of patients with non-familial retinoblastoma, there was no evidence of an association of ethnicity or socio-economic status and the risk of presenting with advanced disease. This may reflect equality in access of health care in the UK. As a result, awareness campaigns should continue.

39 **Introduction**

40

41 Retinoblastoma (Rb) is the most common primary intraocular malignancy of childhood
42 worldwide,¹ with approximately 50-60 new cases per year in the UK.

43 The International Intraocular Retinoblastoma Classification (IIRC) describes five groups of
44 retinoblastoma (A to E),² which represent the continuum of disease progression. Whilst globe
45 salvage with focal treatments and/or a form of chemotherapy occurs in more than 90% for
46 Groups A to C,³ the figure is just over 60% for group D eyes,⁴ and group E eyes (the most
47 advanced form) are often enucleated at presentation. Retinoblastoma surgeons often elect to
48 enucleate as 39% of patients with Group E eyes require adjuvant chemotherapy to reduce the
49 risk of metastases.^{5,6} Thus, early diagnosis and prompt treatment is crucial for globe salvage,
50 preservation of vision and reduced morbidity.

51 It is recognized that in resource poor countries increased lag time (time to diagnosis interval) is
52 associated with increased mortality and extra-ocular Rb.^{7,8} Recently, it has been demonstrated
53 in the UK that increased lag time for children with Rb is not associated with an increased risk of
54 adjuvant chemotherapy post-enucleation nor higher frequency of Group E eyes.⁹

55 Similarly, low socioeconomic status has been stated as an important factor in the development
56 of advanced disease in resource poor countries.¹⁰ In the United States, one study reported a
57 trend for Hispanic children and children with no healthcare insurance to have more advanced
58 disease although statistical significance was not achieved.¹¹

59 In the UK, the National Health Service (NHS) aims to provide equal access to healthcare. We
60 were keen to understand which ethnic or socioeconomic groups, if any, were presenting with
61 advanced retinoblastoma leading to adverse outcomes including mortality, enucleation and
62 adjuvant chemotherapy. Identification of specific groups would enable resources to be directed
63 to these groups.

64

65

66 **Materials and Methods**

67 ***Study population and data collection***

68 This was a national multicenter, retrospective, non-comparative study evaluating non-familial
69 retinoblastoma cases in the United Kingdom (UK).

70 Two centres in London and Birmingham provide the National Retinoblastoma service to the UK
71 population in which all affected children are treated. The registries at these two centres, the
72 Royal London Hospital, Barts Health NHS Trust and Birmingham Children's Hospital were
73 reviewed and non-familial cases of Retinoblastoma presenting between 1st January 2006 and
74 31st December 2011 were identified. This allowed a minimum of 5-year follow-up to investigate
75 mortality. Only non-familial cases were included in this study as screening is already available
76 for first degree relatives of patients with retinoblastoma. As such presentation of familial
77 retinoblastoma is not initiated by these families. The study was approved by the National
78 Research Ethics Committee (Reference 11/LO/0981). This research adhered to the tenets of
79 the Declaration of Helsinki. Written parental consent was obtained for inclusion of participants in
80 the study.

81 Data collected on all patients from electronic patient records included: age at diagnosis
82 (months), gender, child's ethnicity, International Intraocular Retinoblastoma Classification (IIRC)
83 stage at diagnosis², laterality, treatment, and postcodes. In cases of bilateral disease, the stage
84 of the worse eye was recorded. Individual postcode (ZIP code) data was used to obtain the
85 Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD) score, a relative deprivation score based on residential
86 location.¹² Deprivation across 8414 geographical areas was assessed based on income,

87 employment, health, education, housing, access and child poverty. Higher scores of IMD
88 indicate higher socioeconomic status.

89
90 A postal questionnaire was also sent to participants' parents to collect further, person-level,
91 information on languages spoken at home and household socioeconomic position, including
92 housing tenure, main carer's educational qualifications and main wage-earner's employment
93 status and occupation coded using the Standard Occupational Classification from the UK Office
94 of National Statistics.¹³

95 Parents were contacted twice by mail and at least twice by telephone if they did not respond to
96 maximize completion and return of the questionnaire.

97

98 ***Outcome measures***

99 Measures of severity of retinoblastoma included: IIRC stage (A to E) at diagnosis with Groups D
100 and E being considered advanced; requirement for primary enucleation; the use of adjuvant
101 chemotherapy dependent upon presence of high-risk features for systemic spread on
102 histopathological evaluation i.e. massive choroidal invasion (>3mm),¹⁴ retrolaminar optic nerve
103 invasion or scleral invasion; and mortality.

104

105 ***Statistical methods***

106 Descriptive statistics are reported for the distribution of factors by severity of retinoblastoma,
107 enucleation and adjuvant chemotherapy treatment. Multivariable logistic regression was used to
108 model associations between the outcomes of interest and demographic and sociodemographic
109 factors.

110

111 **Results**

112 **Study sample characteristics**

113 A total of 192 children with sporadic non-familial retinoblastoma presented in the UK over the
114 six-year period of the study (1 January 2006 to 31 December 2011). Three cases were excluded
115 from analysis. Two were due to incomplete data as they emigrated, and one declined consent.
116 No child died during the study period, and no child died within 5 years from diagnosis. Thus, 189
117 cases were available for the present study: 98 (52%) male and 91 (48%) female. (Table 1)
118 Median age at diagnosis was 16 months [IQR 8 – 34 months], range 1 month to 12 years and 2
119 months; 117 (62%) of cases presented in the first two years of life. There were 59 bilateral and
120 130 unilateral cases (67 right eye, and 63 left eye). Left eyes were the worst affected eye in
121 bilateral cases, compared to right eyes (47% left eye vs 32% right eye); this difference was not
122 statistically significant.

123
124 Overall, 153/189 (81%) of non-familial retinoblastoma cases presented with advanced
125 retinoblastoma (IIRC groups D and E); 75 (40%) group E, 78 (41%) group D, 24 (13%) group C,
126 11 (6%) group B and 1 group A. The child with an IIRC A grade was detected by an optometrist
127 on routine assessment. The majority, 134 (72%), of cases were treated with enucleation, 124
128 (93%) of whom had advanced disease. Those presenting with advanced retinoblastoma and
129 those treated with enucleation were similarly distributed by demographic and socioeconomic
130 factors to all cases (Table 1), as were those receiving adjuvant chemotherapy (68, 50% of those
131 that were enucleated).

132
133 Multivariable analysis showed children 2 years or older and those with bilateral retinoblastoma
134 were more likely to present with advanced disease (Table 2). Older age at presentation was
135 associated with enucleation and bilateral disease with receipt of adjuvant chemotherapy. There
136 was some indication that Indian/Pakistani/Bangladeshi ethnicity and being in the lowest (most

137 deprived) IMD quintile were also associated with a higher likelihood of presentation with
 138 advanced disease, but these estimates did not reach statistical significance.

139

140 Table 1: Distribution of demographic and clinical factors for all cases (N=189), by severity and
 141 treatment.

	Total N = 189		Advanced IIRC groups (D&E) N = 153 (81%)		Enucleation N = 134 (71%)		Adjuvant chemotherapy N = 68/134 (50%)	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Gender								
Female	91	48	73	48	64	48	36	53
Male	98	52	80	52	70	52	32	47
Ethnicity								
English/Scottish/Welsh	140	74	110	72	98	74	54	79
Indian/Pakistani/ Bangladeshi	23	12	21	14	18	14	9	13
Black Caribbean/African	8	4	6	4	4	3	1	1
Mixed White	11	6	9	6	8	6	1	1
Other White	3	2	3	2	3	2	1	1
Other	3	2	3	2	2	1	2	3
IMD score (quintiles)								
1 (most deprived)	39	21	34	23	27	20	14	21
2	44	23	35	23	32	24	17	25
3	29	15	21	14	20	15	11	16
4	33	18	28	18	25	19	12	18
5 (least deprived)	43	23	34	22	29	22	13	19
Age at diagnosis								
<1 yr	71	37	52	34	41	31	27	40
1 to <2 years	46	24	35	23	31	23	16	23
2 to <3 years	32	17	29	19	28	21	10	15
3 to <4 years	27	14	24	16	21	16	10	15
4 to 12 years	13	6	13	8	13	9	5	7
Laterality								
Unilateral	130	69	103	67	97	72	35	51
Bilateral	59	31	50	33	37	28	33	49

143 Table 2: Associations between advanced presentation retinoblastoma (grade D & E) and treatment, and demographic and
 144 socioeconomic factors. (N = 189)

	Advanced IIRC grade N = 153/189 (81%)		Enucleation * N = 134/189 (71%)		Adjuvant chemotherapy * N = 68/134 (50%)	
	Unadj OR	Adj OR	Unadj OR	Adj OR	Unadj OR	Adj OR
Gender						
Female	1		1	1	1	1
Male	1.10	1.03 [0.46, 2.30]	1.01	1.04 [0.47, 2.30]	0.68	0.50 [0.22, 1.17]
Ethnicity						
English/Scottish/Welsh	1	1	1	1	1	1
Indian/Pakistani/ Bangladeshi	2.86	3.13 [0.62, 16]	1.86	1.40 [0.36, 5.41]	0.75	0.75 [0.22, 2.59]
Black Caribbean/African	0.82	0.59 [0.09, 3.72]	0.41	0.16 [0.02, 1.18]	0.28	0.35 [0.03, 4.20]
Mixed/Other White or Other	2.05	3.92 [0.77, 20]	1.34	0.93 [0.23, 3.76]	0.37	0.37 [0.09, 1.56]
IMD score (quintiles)						
1 (most deprived)	1	1	1	1	1	1
2	0.57	0.61 [0.17, 2.15]	1.19	1.90 [0.59, 6.17]	1.13	0.94 [0.28, 3.14]
3	0.39	0.35 [0.09, 1.37]	1.11	2.55 [0.63, 10]	1.22	1.03 [0.25, 4.28]
4	0.82	0.79 [0.19, 3.39]	1.59	1.99 [0.54, 7.37]	0.92	0.55 [0.15, 2.04]
5 (least deprived)	0.55	0.57 [0.16, 2.07]	0.99	1.19 [0.36, 3.94]	0.81	0.66 [0.20, 2.25]
Age at diagnosis						
<1 yr	1	1	1	1	1	1
1 to <2 years	1.16	1.58 [0.59, 4.25]	1.62	1.39 [0.52, 3.74]	0.52	0.66 [0.19, 2.25]
2 to <3 years	3.53	6.24 [1.50, 26]	5.12	3.58 [0.96, 13]	0.29	0.66 [0.19, 2.30]
3 to 12 years	4.5	9.57 [2.34, 39]	6.22	4.30 [1.06, 17]	0.41	1.01 [0.31, 3.27]
Laterality						
Unilateral	1	1	1	1	1	1
Bilateral	1.46	3.24 [1.23, 8.57]	0.52	0.48 [0.19, 1.20]	15	16 [4.8, 56]

145 Models adjusted for all factors in the table. *Additional adjustment for IIRC groups

146 **Enhanced analysis of SES and Rb presentation (Questionnaire results)**

147 The response rate was 42 % (79 responses out of 189). IIRC groups D or E were less likely
148 to respond (39 % responded) compared to group A-C (53 % responded); Odds Ratio 0.57
149 [0.28, 1.20], which was not statistically significant.

150

151 Although there was a trend towards responding to the questionnaire with higher quantiles of
152 IMD the results were not statistically significant ($p = 0.06$). With regards to ethnicity,
153 compared to English/Scottish/Welsh, mixed race participants were more likely to respond
154 (OR 6.36 [1.33, 30] $p = 0.021$). A summary of the questionnaire responses is presented in
155 Table 3. There was no statistically significant association between language, employment
156 status, social class, parental qualifications and accommodation tenure and outcomes
157 (enucleation rate and adverse histopathology). Of note, there was no statistically significant
158 association between the factors listed in Table 3 and advanced disease (IIRC Groups D and
159 E).

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172 Table 3: Distribution of household sociodemographic factors in subsample with enhanced
 173 individual level data on SES, by IIRC grade, enucleation and adjuvant chemotherapy. *Main
 174 wage earner ** State examination at age 16 *** State examination at age 18

	N	% D or E IIRC grade	% Enucleation	% Adjuvant chemotherapy
Language spoken				
English only	63	73.0	69.4	41.9
English and other language	15	86.7	66.7	36.4
No English	1	100	100	0
		p=0.46	p=0.78	p=0.67
Employment status*				
Student/unemployed	5	100	80.0	75
Employed	74	74.3	68.5	37.3
		p=0.94	p=0.59	p=0.14
Social class status*				
Professional	22	90.9	72.7	43.8
Skilled	28	60.7	64.3	42.1
Semi-skilled	11	81.8	72.7	25.0
Manual	9	77.8	75.0	33.0
		p=0.09	p=0.89	p=0.81
Highest qualification of main carer				
None	8	87.5	75.0	33.3
O Level**	23	65.2	54.6	41.7
A Level***	16	68.8	81.3	30.8
Degree	32	84.4	71.9	45.8
		p=0.29	p=0.32	p=0.82
Accommodation tenure				
Home owner	59	76.3	65.5	46.2
Rental accommodation	20	75.0	80.0	25.0
		p=0.91	p=0.23	p=0.15

175

176 Discussion

177 In this first national study of patients with non-familial retinoblastoma diagnosed over a six-
 178 year period to 2011 in the UK, there was no evidence of an association of ethnicity or socio-
 179 economic status and the risk of presenting with advanced disease.

180

181 A key strength of our study is that data was extracted from a prospective retinoblastoma
 182 database with no selection bias. In addition, we collected information on socioeconomic

183 status directly from the patients' parents to increase granularity of the data. For example,
184 education is different from income and might help us with further interventions.
185 Also, during this period of data collection enucleation rates were over 70% and we had
186 information regarding high risk histopathological features. As globe salvage has increased
187 due to new treatments (intra-arterial chemotherapy and intravitreal chemotherapy) such
188 information is more difficult to acquire. However, the number of eyes that fall in the more
189 advanced Groups D and E remain valid parameters to study.
190
191 In the US, it has recently been shown that there was a trend for Hispanics and those with
192 unfavorable socioeconomic factors to have more advanced disease at presentation (more
193 high risk adverse histopathology on local review). However, central review of histopathology
194 slides did not provide evidence that this was statistically significant.¹¹ This suggests that
195 there may have been bias at local review particularly if the name of the child was not
196 masked from the histopathologist. From 2000 to 2010, the data from 830 children were
197 analyzed and an association between requirement for enucleation and being Hispanic
198 and/or low SES existed.¹⁵ A retrospective analysis of the presentation of disease according
199 IIRC (particularly groups D and E) may have been difficult to perform and the decision to
200 enucleate was not standardized according to classification, thus bias on the part of the
201 surgeon may have played a part. In addition, statistical significance was noted in mortality: 2
202 of 262 white children died (99% 5-year survival) compared to 6 of 89 black children (93% 5-
203 year survival). The causes of mortality from retinoblastoma are again complex ranging from
204 associated pinealoblastoma to treatment strategies and poor follow-up. Unfortunately, such
205 details were not provided in that study.
206
207 Human Development Index for different countries correlates with survival for
208 retinoblastoma.¹⁶ In Mexico, lower maternal education and poor prenatal housing conditions
209 were significantly predictive of overall survival in unilateral disease, and more advanced IIRC
210 grouping in bilateral disease, independent of diagnostic delay.¹⁷ In Brazil, maternal

211 education carried a significant difference with outcomes (advanced stage at diagnosis,
212 enucleation and survival).¹⁸ Interestingly, low SES *per se* was not associated with poorer
213 outcomes.

214

215 We have previously shown that in the UK high-risk retinoblastoma (requiring adjuvant
216 chemotherapy) is not associated with delayed lag time (time to diagnosis).⁹ This is
217 counterintuitive but is found in all other paediatric cancers in high resource countries.¹⁹
218 Whereas low SES may be associated with more advanced disease at presentation in low
219 resource countries or countries with unequal health care access, we wanted to understand if
220 there were any vulnerable groups in a healthcare system that was free at point of access
221 such as the UK. We have found no evidence of an association to suggest socioeconomic
222 status or a certain ethnic group is disadvantaged. It is also difficult to argue that any
223 particular ethnic group (present in the UK) is biologically more susceptible to advanced
224 disease.

225

226 Our study draws on a national sample representative of the UK population of children with
227 non-familial Rb. Nevertheless, power to detect true differences in risk of presentation with
228 advanced disease may have been limited by the size of the sample. An inherent limitation to
229 studying Rb is the rarity of the disease. As we hypothesized that ethnicity and socio-
230 economic status may be risk factors, we undertook primary data collection on
231 person/individual level SES factors, in order to allow deeper understanding of pathways.
232 Unfortunately, we had only a moderate response to the questionnaire survey which limited
233 our sample size further. There were some differences that failed to reach statistical
234 significance. For example, there was some indication that Indian/Pakistani/Bangladeshi
235 ethnicity, and being in the lowest IMD quintile were associated with higher likelihood of D/E
236 IIRC grade, but this was not statistically significant. This may be due to the small study
237 population size leading to inadequate power. However, the results are similar to the findings
238 of another high resource country (USA) that has looked at this study question.¹¹

239

240 In summary, we report the largest cohort of patients with retinoblastoma in the UK with
241 prospective data on ethnicity and socioeconomic status. Although, there is a trend between
242 low SES and certain ethnic groups with advanced retinoblastoma, we have found no
243 evidence of an association. This may reflect equality in access in primary health care. As a
244 result, awareness campaigns highlighting the white reflex and strabismus should continue in
245 their present format.

246

247 **Acknowledgments:**

248 Jugnoo Rahi is a National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Senior Investigator. The
249 views expressed in this article are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the
250 NHS, the NIHR, or the Department of Health.

251

252 Research Grant from the Royal National Institute of the Blind, UK. The funding
253 organization had no role in the design or conduct of this research.

254 Phillippa M Cumberland is funded by the Ulverscroft Foundation

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272 **References:**

- 273 1. Kivela T. The epidemiological challenge of the most frequent eye cancer:
274 retinoblastoma, an issue of birth and death. *Br J Ophthalmol.* 2009; 93: 1129–1131
- 275 2. Murphree AL. Intraocular retinoblastoma: the case for a new group classification.
276 *Ophthalmology clinics of North America* 2005;18: 41-53
- 277 3. Shields CL, Mashayekhi A, Au AK, Czyz C, Leahey A, Meadows AT, Shields JA. The
278 International Classification of Retinoblastoma predicts chemoreduction success.
279 *Ophthalmology.* 2006;113(12):2276-80.
- 280 4. Fabian ID, Stacey AW, Johnson KP, et al. Primary intravenous chemotherapy for
281 group D retinoblastoma: a 13-year retrospective analysis. *Br J Ophthalmol.*
282 2017;101:82–8
- 283 5. Kaliki S, Srinivasan V, Gupta A, et al. Clinical features predictive of high-risk
284 retinoblastoma in 403 Asian Indian patients: a case-control study. *Ophthalmology.*
285 2015;122:1165–72.
- 286 6. Honavar SG, Singh AD, Shields CL, et al. Postenucleation Adjuvant Therapy in High-
287 Risk Retinoblastoma. *Arch Ophthalmol.* 2002;120(7):923–931
- 288 7. Leander C, Fu LC, Peña A, et al. Impact of an education program on late diagnosis
289 of retinoblastoma in Honduras. *Pediatr Blood Cancer.* 2007;49:817–9.
- 290 8. Ribeiro KC, Antoneli CB. Trends in eye Cancer mortality among children in Brazil,
291 1980-2002. *Pediatr Blood Cancer.* 2007; 48:296–305.
- 292 9. Posner M, Jaulim A, Vasalaki M, Rantell K, Sagoo MS, Reddy MA. Lag time for
293 retinoblastoma in the UK revisited: a retrospective analysis. *BMJ Open.* 2017;13:7
- 294 10. Chawla B, Kumar K, Singh AD. Influence of Socioeconomic and Cultural Factors on
295 Retinoblastoma Management. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Oncology Nursing.*
296 2017;4(3):187-190.

- 297 11. Green AL, Chintagumpala M, Krailo M, Langholz B, Albert D, Eagle R, Cockburn M,
298 Chevez-Barrios P, Rodriguez-Galindo C. Correlation of Insurance, Race, and
299 Ethnicity with Pathologic Risk in a Controlled Retinoblastoma Cohort: A Children's
300 Oncology Group Study. *Ophthalmology*. 2016; 6420(16):30235-4.
- 301 12. UK Government website. Last accessed on 3 February 2019:
302 <https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/english-indices-of-deprivation>
- 303 13. Office of National Statistics. Standard Occupational Classification 2000. Last
304 accessed on 3 February 2019:
305 [https://www.ons.gov.uk/methodology/classificationsandstandards/standardoccupatio](https://www.ons.gov.uk/methodology/classificationsandstandards/standardoccupationalclassificationsoc)
306 [nalclassificationsoc](https://www.ons.gov.uk/methodology/classificationsandstandards/standardoccupationalclassificationsoc)
- 307 14. Kaliki S, Shields CL, Shah SU, et al. Postenucleation adjuvant chemotherapy with
308 vincristine, etoposide, and carboplatin for the treatment of high-risk retinoblastoma.
309 *Arch Ophthalmol*. 2011; 129:1422–7.
- 310 15. Truong B, Green AL, Friedrich P, Ribeiro KB, Rodriguez-Galindo C. Ethnic, Racial,
311 and Socioeconomic Disparities in Retinoblastoma. *JAMA Pediatr*. 2015;
312 169(12):1096-104
- 313 16. Canturk S, Qaddoumi I, Khetan V, et al. Survival of retinoblastoma in less-developed
314 countries impact of socioeconomic and health-related indicators. *British Journal of*
315 *Ophthalmology*. 2010;94:1432-1436
- 316 17. Ramírez-Ortiz MA, Ponce-Castañeda MV, Cabrera-Muñoz ML, Medina-Sansón A,
317 Liu X, Orjuela MA. Diagnostic delay and sociodemographic predictors of stage at
318 diagnosis and mortality in unilateral and bilateral retinoblastoma. *Cancer Epidemiol*
319 *Biomarkers Prev*. 2014 May;23(5):784-92.
- 320 18. Mattosinho CCS, Grigorovski N, Lucena E, Ferman S, Soares de Moura ATM, Portes
321 AF. Prediagnostic Intervals in Retinoblastoma: Experience at an Oncology Center in
322 Brazil. *J Glob Oncol*. 2016;3(4):323-330.
- 323 19. Barr RD. "Delays" in diagnosis: a misleading concept, yet providing opportunities for
324 advancing clinical care. *J Pediatr Hematol Oncol*. 2014;36:169–72.

