Corticomuscular control of walking in older people and people with Parkinson's disease

Luisa Roeder[1,2,3], Tjeerd W Boonstra[1,2,4,5], Graham K Kerr[1,2]

[1] Movement Neuroscience Group, Institute of Health and Biomedical Innovation, Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, Australia

[2] School of Exercise and Nutrition Sciences, Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, Australia

[3] College of Medicine and Dentistry, James Cook University, Cairns Hospital, Cairns, Australia

[4] Faculty of Psychology and Neuroscience, Department of Neuropsychology and Psychopharmacology, Maastricht University, Maastricht, The Netherlands

[5] Neuroscience Research Australia, Sydney, Australia

Address for correspondence:

Graham Kerr, Ph.D. and Luisa Roeder, Ph.D.

Institute of Health and Biomedical Innovation

School of Exercise and Nutrition Sciences

Queensland University of Technology

60 Musk Ave, Kelvin Grove, Queensland 4059, AUSTRALIA

Tel: +61 73138 6303; Fax: +61 73138 6030

Email: g.kerr@qut.edu.au, l.roeder@qut.edu.au

Abstract

Changes in human gait that result from ageing or neurodegenerative diseases are multifactorial. Here we assess the effects of age and Parkinson's disease (PD) on corticospinal control by performing time-frequency analysis of electrophysiological activity recorded during treadmill and overground walking. Electroencephalography (EEG) and electromyography (EMG) were acquired from 22 healthy young, 24 healthy older and 20 adults with PD. Participants walked at their preferred speed, which was matched across both gait conditions. Event-related power, corticomuscular coherence (CMC) and inter-trial coherence (ITC) were assessed for EEG from bilateral sensorimotor cortices and EMG from the bilateral tibialis anterior muscles during the double support phase of the gait cycle. CMC and EMG power in the low beta band (13-21 Hz) was significantly decreased in older and PD participants compared to young people, but there was no difference between older and PD groups. Older and PD participants spent shorter time in the swing phase than healthy young individuals. These differences in electrophysiological and gait measures in older and PD people indicate age-related changes in corticospinal control. Parallel and transient modulations in power and coherence within the gait cycle suggest that these changes reflect bursts of beta activity that are superimposed on ongoing activity, which may be involved in the temporal coordination of gait. Task-dependent differences in neural control of locomotion are also suggested by the frequency-dependent differences between overground and treadmill walking.

Highlights

- Parallel and transient increases in power and coherence during double support
- Low beta corticomuscular coherence and EMG power decreased in older and PD people
- Shorter swing phase in older and PD people
- Tibialis anterior EMG amplitude during early swing larger in older and PD people

Keywords: human gait, age, Parkinson's disease, corticomuscular coherence, ambulatory EEG, neural oscillations, time-frequency analysis

Introduction

Human gait is known to change with age and to be affected by neurodegenerative diseases such as Parkinson's disease (PD). Changes in gait often affect mobility and activities of daily living, and increase the risk for falls, disability, morbidity, and mortality in these populations (Kalia & Lang 2015, Perera et al. 2016, Peterson & Horak 2016). Mechanical and dynamical changes in gait have been well documented. Older adults are known to walk slower, take shorter strides, spend more time in stance and double support, use their hip extensors more, and their ankle plantar flexors and knee extensors less than young individuals (Himann et al. 1988, Elble et al. 1991, Winter & Eng 1995, Maki 1997, DeVita & Hortobagyi 2000, Brach et al. 2008, Lee et al. 2017). Movement kinematics, including joint moments and powers at the ankle, and ground reaction forces, also change with ageing (Boyer et al. 2017). PD gait is characterised by slowness, increased variability and impaired postural control (Blin et al. 1990, Hausdorff 2005, Hausdorff 2009, Giladi et al. 2013, Moon et al. 2016, Peterson & Horak 2016). Many stride parameters are altered in people with PD compared to age-matched controls, including decreased walking velocity, stride length, cadence, arm swing, head-trunk control and single support time (Cole et al. 2010, 2011). Spatial and temporal gait parameters are also more variable (Hausdorff 2009) and more asymmetric (Peterson & Horak 2016). In addition, PD patients may experience episodic gait disturbances such as freezing or festination of gait (Giladi et al. 2013, Nonnekes et al. 2015, Peterson & Horak 2016).

The neural mechanisms associated with age- and disease-related gait changes have been investigated using neuroimaging techniques, such as functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS) and electroencephalography (EEG). Studies using these imaging techniques have shown that gait-related brain activity differs in older adults and people with PD (Maillet et al. 2012, Bohnen & Jahn 2013, Herman et al. 2013, Hamacher et al. 2015, Stuart et al. 2018, Wilson et al. 2018). For example, older adults exhibit greater activity in various cortical regions including motor, somatosensory, visual and frontal cortices than young individuals during gait imagery as revealed by fMRI (Wai et al. 2012, Zwergal et al. 2012, Allali et al. 2014). In people with PD, fMRI studies have shown that neural activity during gait imagery tasks is altered in various brain regions compared to age-matched

controls (Snijders et al. 2011, Crémers et al. 2012, Peterson et al. 2014), and that freezing of gait is associated with impaired functional connectivity between neural networks (Shine et al. 2013, Ehgoetz Martens et al. 2018). Moreover, regional cerebral blood flow in the supplementary motor area (SMA) was found to be decreased during treadmill walking in people with PD compared to healthy controls as detected by SPECT (Hanakawa et al. 1999). While these studies indicate changes in gait-related brain activity that occur with ageing and PD, they are limited to imagined gait (or recordings after the performance of walking tasks) and may not apply to real walking as participants cannot move during a fMRI scan. NIRS, on the other hand, is a mobile imaging technique that allows capturing changes in oxygenated haemoglobin levels during walking. Using NIRS, Harada et al. (2009) found that the SMA, sensorimotor cortices and the prefrontal cortex are involved in gait control in healthy older people. Prefrontal cortical activity has been observed to decrease during walking with additional cognitive tasks in older but not in young people (Beurskens et al. 2014) and has been observed to predict falls (Verghese et al. 2017). In people with PD, oxygenated haemoglobin levels over frontal and pre-frontal regions have been reported to be sensitive to freezing of gait (FOG) episodes, walking with obstacle crossings and dual-task walking (Maidan et al. 2015, Maidan et al. 2016, Nieuwhof et al. 2016, Maidan et al. 2017).

EEG can be used to record electrical activity of the brain during walking with high temporal resolution. EEG signals can be analysed in the time (event-related potentials) and frequency (oscillatory activity) domain to investigate cortical control of gait. EEG acquired during treadmill walking has revealed delayed and diminished event-related potentials in response to a cognitive go/no-go task in older compared to young adults (Malcolm et al. 2015). In people with PD, movement-related cortical potentials during a gait initiation task were also reduced compared to healthy young people (Shoushtarian et al. 2011). Time-frequency analysis revealed that cortical oscillations were modulated by age and PD. EEG gamma power over frontal cortices was enhanced in older people during dual-task walking (Marcar et al. 2014). Theta and low-gamma power was increased during turning with freezing of gait in people with PD compared to normal fluent turning in a number of cortical regions (Shine et al. 2014, Handojoseno et al. 2015). Interestingly, previous research has also demonstrated that people with PD show abnormalities in beta oscillatory activity in the basal ganglia

(subthalamic nucleus, STN) and cerebral cortex at rest as well as during movement (Brown 2007, Jenkinson & Brown 2011, van Wijk et al. 2012, Oswal et al. 2013, Brittain & Brown 2014, Wilson 2015). For instance, at rest in an untreated state (after a medication wash-out period and STN deep brain stimulating (DBS) electrodes switched off), beta power in the STN and beta activity amongst basal ganglia-cortical networks was increased compared to an optimally medicated state and STN DBS switched on (Litvak et al. 2011, Cagnan et al. 2015, de Hemptinne et al. 2015, Kurani et al. 2015, Quinn et al. 2015). Notably, walking and cycling was found to decrease STN beta power in people with PD (Storzer et al. 2017, Fischer et al. 2018, Hell et al. 2018). Moreover, stepping movements have been shown to modulate STN beta power relative to the movement phase (suppressed during contralateral foot lift, Fischer et al. 2018). Some disturbances in gait-related brain activity may be due to the dopamine deficit in the basal ganglia–thalamo–cortical circuit in PD, but other pathways, including cortical cholinergic deficits, may also contribute to PD gait disorders (Bohnen & Jahn 2013, Lewis 2015, Peterson & Horak 2016).

Although both the changes in brain activity and movement kinematics during gait in older people and PD have been extensively documented, it is not clearly understood how these changes are related. How do the changes in brain activity result in the specific gait changes observed with ageing and in PD? Investigating functional interactions between the central and peripheral nervous system may help to address this research question. For example, corticomuscular (EEG-EMG) coherence has revealed correlated activity in the beta band that likely reflects efferent activity from corticospinal projections (Conway et al. 1995, Mima & Hallett 1999). While corticomuscular coherence has been mainly investigated during precision grip tasks, it has also been assessed during walking (Petersen et al. 2012). We recently showed that in young healthy adults corticomuscular coherence is observed during the double support phase of the gait cycle and largely absent during the swing phase (Roeder et al. 2018). Using directed connectivity analysis, Artoni et al. (2017) showed that the functional interactions during normal walking primarily reflect unidirectional drive from contralateral motor cortex to muscles. Changes in corticomuscular coherence with ageing and PD has not yet been investigated during walking, but a few studies have investigated it in other tasks. During cyclical ankle movements – as a simulation of rhythmic natural walking

– corticomuscular coherence was reduced in older compared to young participants (Yoshida et al. 2017), but it was not different between people with PD and age-matched controls even though movement performance varied between these groups (Yoshida et al. 2018). Notably, beta-band coherence was enhanced in older compared to young participants during abduction of the index finger (Johnson & Shinohara 2012), although others reported it reduced during elbow flexion (Graziadio et al. 2010, Bayram et al. 2015). Interestingly, levels of corticomuscular beta-band coherence during forearm contractions were found to be similar in people with PD and age-matched controls (Salenius et al. 2002, Pollok et al. 2012). Yet, STN DBS has been observed to enhance corticomuscular beta-band coherence in people with PD during a precision grip task (Weiss et al. 2012), and levodopa medication during forearm contractions has been found to reduce it (Salenius et al. 2002).

In this study we aimed to investigate whether corticomuscular coherence during walking is affected in older people and people with PD. We therefore collected ambulatory EEG and EMG during overground and treadmill walking in three different groups: young, old and PD. We assessed corticomuscular coherence during the gait cycle as well as other spectral measures that have previously been investigated during gait (Gwin et al. 2011, Marcar et al. 2014, Seeber et al. 2015, Roeder et al. 2018). If the changes in gait observed with ageing and/or PD reflect, at least in part, deficient cortical control due to neurodegeneration in the brain, we expect that corticomuscular coherence will be affected in the older and PD groups. By investigating functional interactions between brain and muscle we aim to contribute to a better understanding of the changes in cortical control of gait with ageing and PD.

Materials and Methods

Three groups participated in the study with 69 participants in total: 1) 24 healthy young adults, 2) 24 healthy older adults, 3) 21 individuals with PD. All experimental protocols were approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee of Queensland University of Technology (#1300000579) in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and all participants gave written informed consent prior to participation. The results of the young adults have been previously described in Roeder et al. (2018). Here we investigate the differences in corticomuscular activity and coupling between groups. In particular, we compare changes in

spectral power, inter-trial coherence (ITC) and corticomuscular coherence (CMC) between people with PD and age- and gender-matched controls, as well as between younger and older participants to investigate changes in the neural control of gait with ageing and PD.

Experimental protocol

Participants performed overground walking for 12-14 minutes and treadmill walking for approximately seven minutes. Participants walked barefoot at their preferred speed (3.3 – 4.8 km h^{-1}), which was matched across conditions on an individual basis. In the overground condition, participants walked back and forth along a straight path (~14 m) on a firm surface in the gait laboratory and turned at each end of the room. The turning sections, including acceleration at the beginning of the straight-line path and deceleration at the end (~2.4 m), were excluded from further analyses and only straight-line walking (8.9 m) was used for further analysis. The order of treadmill and overground walking was randomised across participants, and participants rested for 5 minutes between both walking conditions.

Healthy older people and people with PD also completed the following tests on a day other than the walking experiments within a 6-week period: Addenbrooke's Cognitive Examination (ACE-R) and Mini-Mental-State-Exam (MMSE) (Mioshi et al. 2006, Reyes et al. 2009), visual function tests (Bailey Lovie, Melbourne Edge Test, Pelli Robson, Elliott et al. 1990, Haymes & Chen 2004, Bailey & Lovie-Kitchin 2013), and a peripheral sensation test assessing tactile sensitivity at different sites of their feet (lateral malleolus, plantar surfaces of the great toe, midfoot/arch and heel) using Semmes-Weinstein pressure aesthesiometer with nylon monofilaments (Lord et al. 2003). Moreover, participants completed a number of health-related questionnaires including the ABC scale (Powell & Myers 1995), ASCQ (Asano et al. 2007), and Edinburgh Handedness Inventory (Oldfield 1971). Additionally, people with PD were assessed for disease severity using the Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale (MDS-UPDRS) and Hoehn & Yahr scoring (Hoehn & Yahr 1967, Goetz et al. 2008), and filled in the PD Gait and Falls questionnaire (Giladi et al. 2000). People with PD presented in their optimally medicated state for all experiments.

Data acquisition gait experiments

EEG, EMG and kinematic events (heel strike and toe off) were recorded while participants performed both gait conditions (overground and treadmill). Bipolar surface EMG was recorded from the left and right tibialis anterior muscle (TA) and filtered at 1-1000 Hz. Simultaneously, EEG signals were recorded using water-based AgCl electrodes placed at 10 cortical sites according to the international 10-20 standard (P3, P4, C3, Cz, C4, F7, F3, Fz, F4, F8), and filtered at 1-500 Hz. Footswitches were attached onto the participants' sole at the heel and the big toe of both feet. All signals (EEG, EMG and footswitches) were recorded synchronously with a wireless 32-channel amplifier system (TMSi Mobita, The Netherlands) and sampled at 2 kHz. The recording system and wireless transmitter were placed in a belt bag and tied around the participants' waist.

Data analysis

All processing of EEG, EMG and footswitch data were performed in MATLAB (2017a) using custom written routines. Temporal gait parameters were calculated from the footswitch recordings. We report walking velocity, stride and step time, stride- and step time variability, swing phase, stance phase, single- and double-support time.

Prior to spectral analysis, electrophysiological data were normalized to unit variance and pre-processed to remove artefacts. EEG channels were first visually inspected and segments with excessive noise (large-amplitude movement artefacts, EMG activity) were removed. EEG signals were then band-pass filtered (2nd order Butterworth, 0.5-70 Hz) and re-referenced to a common average reference (EEGLAB version 13.6.5, Delorme & Makeig 2004), as recommended in Snyder et al. (2015). Subsequently, independent component analysis (ICA) was performed using the infomax ICA algorithm implemented in EEGLAB. Independent components containing eye blink, muscle, or movement artefacts were removed from the data. The remaining components were retained and projected back onto the channels. Finally, we calculated bipolar EEG montages based on the monopolar recordings to assess cortical activity from bilateral sensorimotor cortices: C3-F3 for the left sensorimotor cortex, and C4-F4 for the right sensorimotor cortex (cf. Long et al. 2015).

EMG data were high-pass filtered (4th order Butterworth, 20 Hz cut-off) and full-wave rectified using the Hilbert transform (Boonstra & Breakspear 2012). For coherence analysis EMG signals were also demodulated (the Hilbert amplitude was normalized while leaving the Hilbert phase unchanged) to avoid spurious coherence estimates resulting from periodic changes in EMG amplitude (Boonstra et al. 2009).

Time-frequency analysis

We then used time-frequency analysis to assess changes in spectral measures within the gait cycle. To this end, EEG and EMG signals were segmented into 220 segments of 1-s length (-800 to +200 ms with respect to heel strike). Heel strike served as reference point (t = 0) in the gait cycle to which all segments were aligned. Event-related power and coherence spectra were estimated across the 220 segments using Short-time Fourier transform (a 375-ms Hanning window with increments of 25 ms). We computed five spectral measures: EEG and EMG power, inter-trial coherence of EEG and EMG signals, and corticomuscular coherence (Boonstra 2019). Event-related EEG power was expressed as percentage change from the average by subtracting and dividing by the mean power across the time interval separately for each frequency (Boonstra et al. 2007). Event-related EMG power was log transformed. Event-related power and coherence spectra were computed for each participant during overground and treadmill walking, and subsequently averaged across participants to render the grand-average for each estimate and condition. Additionally, event-related EMG envelopes were computed using filtered and rectified EMG data. The EMG envelopes were smoothed (2th order low-pass filter at 45 Hz) and expressed as a percentage of the peak amplitude within the gait cycle (Yang & Winter 1984).

Statistical analysis

The significance level (alpha) was set at 0.05 for all statistical analyses, which were performed in SPSS (version 25). Linear mixed models (LMM) were used to compare gait parameters across groups. Fixed effect factors included in the model were group (young, old

and PD) and condition (overground, treadmill). The random effect was participant. When a significant main effect was found for a comparison, Fisher's LSD was used as post-hoc test.

Clinical measures available for the old and PD groups (ACE-R, MMSE, visual function measures, tactile sensitivity, ABC, ASCQ) were compared between groups via independent samples t-tests. Disease characteristics of PD such as MDS-UPDRS scores, Hoehn & Yahr (H&Y), Gait and Falls questionnaire (GFQ) and levodopa equivalent daily dosage (LEDD) were summarised as group means.

Coherence (corticomuscular coherence and inter-trial coherence) was transformed to z-scores for statistical comparison between groups and conditions. A parametric approach was used to convert magnitude-squared coherence to p-values (Halliday et al. 1995), and we then used the standard normal distribution to convert p-values into z-scores (Roeder et al. 2018), which have an expected value of 0 and a standard deviation of 1 under the null hypothesis (EEG and EMG signals are independent).

We used LMMs again to compare spectral measures across groups and conditions. Spectral estimates (EEG power, EMG power, EEG inter-trial coherence, EMG inter-trial coherence, corticomuscular coherence) were assessed in five time-frequency windows of interest: Double support (0-125 ms relative to heel strike) at theta (4-7 Hz), alpha (8-12 Hz), lower beta (13-20 Hz), higher beta (21-30 Hz), and gamma frequencies (31-45 Hz). Hence, 25 separate LMMs were completed (one for each outcome measure and frequency band), and the false discovery rate was controlled using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure (Benjamini & Hochberg 1995). We used a Matlab script to control the false discovery rate (Groppe 2015). The fixed effect factors included in the LMMs were group and condition, and the random effect was participant (i.e. using the participant identification number), which accounts for the repeated spectral measures. Statistically significant main effects were followed up with post-hoc comparisons (Fisher's LSD). We also calculated Cohen's d_s of all possible pairwise group comparisons as a measure of effect size. For this we used the ESCI intro software (Cumming 2016).

The same LMM was used to compare the EMG envelopes across groups and conditions. To this end, the EMG amplitude was averaged over two time windows within the gait cycle in each group: 1. during early swing between -0.4 to -0.25 s relative to heel strike (foot lift phase), 2. between 0.04 and 0.08 s (foot drop) relative to heel strike. Post-hoc comparisons (Fisher's LSD) for significant main effects were also completed.

Subsequently, EMG amplitude during both time intervals was correlated with corticomuscular coherence over significant time-frequency windows within each of the groups (young, old and PD) and conditions (treadmill and overground).

Results

In the young and PD groups, data of some participants were excluded from the spectral analysis due to either excessive EEG artefacts across all channels and conditions (large-amplitude movement artefacts (>300µV) occurring with regular rhythmicity in each gait cycle throughout the entire record), or due to problems with the footswitch recordings (fewer than 100 heel strike triggers). Hence, spectral measures, demographics, clinical and gait assessments were based on n = 22 for the younger group, n = 24 for the older group, and n = 20 for PD group (n = 18 for spectral measures during treadmill walking). In addition, some data were missing for particular outcome measures: in the older group data of one participant were missing for body weight, visual function, and tactile sensitivity (n = 23 for these measures); in the PD group data of one participant were missing for the Bailey Lovie – low contrast sensitivity vision test (n = 19 for this measure).

Group characteristics

The mean (SD) age of healthy young participants was 25.9 (3.2) years, they had a body height of 170.4 (9.5) cm, and body weight of 68.8 (12.1) kg; 50% were male. Participants in the old and PD groups were of similar age (mid 60s), height (170 cm), weight (75 kg) and gender balance (50% male in the older and 60% in the PD group). PD participants were in the early stages of the disease (H&Y score 1.3) and had an average MDS-UPDRS score of 38.8,

indicating a mild to moderate disease severity. The results of the GFQ demonstrated that the PD participants experience only mild gait impairments (**Table 1**).

Table 1 Clinical characteristics of PD group

Measure	Mean	SD	N
MDS-UPDRS total	38.8	13.9	20
MDS-UPDRS motor	18.8	7.3	20
Hoehn & Yahr	1.3	0.5	20
LEDD (mg)	544	241	20
GFQ	4.3	4.0	19

Abbreviations: GFQ, Gait & Falls Questionnaire; LEDD, levodopa equivalent daily doses; MDS-UPDRS, Movement Disorders Society Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale

The PD and healthy older control group had similar levels of cognitive function, no cognitive decline, and all were well above recognised cut-offs for dementia (Mioshi et al. 2006). Both groups had similar levels of tactile sensitivity on the soles of their feet (**Table 2**). High and low contrast visual acuity as well as contrast sensitivity (Pelli Robson; Melbourne Edge Test) were impaired in people with PD compared to controls ($p \leq 0.03$). The ABC scale showed that healthy older people had more confidence undertaking activities without falling than people with PD ($p < 0.04$); the ASCQ showed a similar trend but the group difference was not statistically significant ($p = 0.07$). It is worth noting that the ABC and ASCQ scores were also more variable for the PD than for the older group.

Table 2 Demographics and clinical characteristics of healthy older and PD groups

Measure	Healthy old (n=24)		PD (n=20)		Group effect	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	t-value	p-value
Demographics						
Age (years)	65.1	7.8	67.4	7.3	-1.01	0.32
Sex (female, count)	12		8			
Height (cm)	169.2	8.2	170.3	9.2	-0.42	0.68
Weight (kg)	75.2	14.2	74.9	14.8	0.06	0.95
Balance and gait self-confidence						
ABC	94.5	5.5	89.4	10.1	2.14	0.038
ASCQ	9.56	0.58	9.06	1.17	1.83	0.07
Cognitive function						
ACE-R	94.2	3.8	91.2	6.1	2.01	0.051
MMSE	28.9	1.2	28.8	1.5	0.18	0.86
Vision						
Bailey Lovie – high	0.03	0.08	0.15	0.17	-3.2	0.003
Bailey Lovie – low	0.38	0.14	0.53	0.19	-2.87	0.007
Pelli Robson	1.92	0.13	1.82	0.18	2.21	0.03
Melbourne Edge Test	18.5	1.4	17.5	1.9	2.01	0.051
Tactile sensitivity						
Ankle	4.02	0.78	4.63	1.23	-1.95	0.059
Toe	3.39	1.11	3.55	0.94	-0.50	0.62
Medial plantar	3.63	1.51	3.83	1.54	-0.42	0.68
Heel	5.41	1.11	5.05	1.70	0.84	0.41
Total	4.11	0.85	4.26	1.12	-0.49	0.63

Abbreviations: ABC, Activities-specific Balance Confidence; ACE-R, Addenbrookes Cognitive Examination Revised; ASCQ, Ambulatory Self-Confidence Questionnaire; HOP, healthy older people; MMSE, Mini Mental State Exam; PD, Parkinson's disease

Temporal gait parameters

Most temporal gait parameters were similar for both groups (p ≥ 0.09) (**Table 3**). The duration of swing and single support was significantly different between groups ($F_{2, 63}$ = 3.64, p = 0.03). Healthy older people had a significantly shorter swing and single support phase than healthy young people (p = 0.016), and so did people with PD (p = 0.027). There was no significant difference in swing or single support time between healthy older people and people with PD (p = 0.91). The main effect of condition (overground vs treadmill walking) for all gait parameters is presented in Table S1. Stride time, step time, stance phase and

swing/single support phase were significantly shorter during treadmill compared to overground walking.

Table 3 Temporal Gait Parameters in healthy young, healthy older and PD groups

Gait parameter	Healthy young		Healthy old		PD		Group effect	
	mean	SEM	mean	SEM	mean	SEM	F	P
Walking speed (km/h)	4.16	0.12	4.00	0.11	3.97	0.12	0.84	0.44
Stride time (s)	1.10	0.02	1.09	0.01	1.06	0.02	1.45	0.24
Stride time variability (s)	0.020	0.002	0.019	0.002	0.021	0.002	0.46	0.64
Step time (s)	0.548	0.008	0.541	0.008	0.522	0.009	2.48	0.09
Step time variability (s)	0.012	0.002	0.010	0.002	0.012	0.002	0.35	0.71
Stance phase (s)	0.689	0.013	0.696	0.012	0.674	0.014	0.74	0.48
Swing phase/ Single support (s)	0.404	0.007	0.381	0.006	0.382	0.007	**3.79**	**0.03**
Double support (s)	0.146	0.010	0.156	0.010	0.160	0.011	0.53	0.59

Time-frequency power and coherence spectra

Event-related changes in time-frequency power and coherence within the gait cycle were assessed to investigate differences in neural control of gait between groups. This included estimates of five spectral measures using time-frequency decomposition: EEG and EMG power, inter-trial coherence of EMG and EEG, and corticomuscular coherence. These spectral estimates revealed a consistent pattern across groups: Power and coherence increased across a range of frequencies during double support and was reduced during the swing and single support phases. **Figure 1** shows examples of time-frequency spectra of the right sensorimotor cortex and EMG from the left TA during overground walking in young, older and people with PD.

Figure 1. Grand-average time-frequency spectra of EEG power, inter-trial coherence and corticomuscular coherence. Event-related EEG power (EEG Pow, top row), inter-trial coherence of EEG (ITC EEG, middle row) and corticomuscular coherence (CMC, bottom row) acquired from bipolar EEG signals of the right sensorimotor cortex (C3-F3) and EMG from the left TA during overground walking in the young, old and PD groups. EEG power shows the percent change from the average. Coherence values (ITC and CMC) are thresholded: average coherence values below the 95% CI are set to zero (black). The x-axis shows the time in seconds relative to heel strike (t=0) of the left foot and the y-axis the frequencies in Hz. White horizontal lines indicate the double-support phase (from left heel strike to right toe off).

The averaged power and coherence across the double-support phase (0-125 ms after heel strike) are shown in **Figure 2**. Most of the power and coherence during the double-support phase was observed at low frequencies and decreased at higher frequencies. In addition, a peak around 16 Hz can be observed in EEG power and inter-trial coherence, which is most prominent in the young group. A peak in the low-beta band (13-21 Hz) can also be observed in EMG power, inter-trial coherence and corticomuscular coherence, although these are less pronounced.

Figure 2. Grand-average frequency spectra during double support. Spectral measures averaged over double support for each group (young, old, PD): EEG power (first column), EMG power (second column), inter-trial coherence of EEG (ITC EEG, third column), inter-trial coherence of EMG (ITC EMG, forth column) and corticomuscular coherence (CMC, fifth column) during overground (top row) and treadmill (bottom row) walking. Data derived from bipolar EEG signals of the right sensorimotor cortex (C3-F3) and EMG from the left TA. X-axis shows frequencies in Hz; grey vertical lines highlight the different frequency bands and the dashed horizontal line the 95% CI of the coherence estimates.

The spectral measures were statistically compared between groups for five frequency bands (theta, alpha, low beta, high beta and gamma) using LMMs and the false discovery rate was controlled using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure. Table 4 reports the main effect of group for all spectral measures. Several significant main effects of group were observed during double support: EMG power at all frequency windows ($p_{adj} < 0.02$); EMG inter-trial coherence at theta frequencies ($p_{adj} = 0.025$); corticomuscular coherence at low beta frequencies ($p_{adj} = 0.013$). Similarly, several significant main effects of condition (overground, treadmill) were found (see supplementary Table S2) whereby magnitudes were reduced for treadmill walking: EEG power at theta frequency; EMG power across all frequency windows; EMG inter-trial coherence at high beta and gamma frequencies; corticomuscular coherence at high beta and gamma frequencies.

Table 4 Main effect of group for spectral measures and mean estimates with 95% confidence intervals

Spectral measure	Healthy young		Healthy old		PD		Group effect		
	mean	95% CI	mean	95% CI	mean	95% CI	F	P	$P_{adjusted}$
EEG power (%)									
Theta	21.7	14.4-29.0	23.6	16.6-30.56	16.1	8.3-23.9	1.05	0.36	0.50
Alpha	24.9	17.4-32.4	21.7	14.5-28.91	17.6	9.7-25.6	0.89	0.42	0.51
Low beta	33.2	25.2-41.2	20.0	12.4-27.68	19.7	11.2-28.2	3.71	0.030	0.075
High beta	20.6	13.2-28.1	15.8	8.7-22.94	18.9	11.0-26.9	0.45	0.64	0.67
Gamma	15.5	10.0-21.0	11.8	6.6-17.05	19.9	14.0-25.7	2.09	0.13	0.22
EMG power (log)									
Theta	9.9	9.7-10.1	9.5	9.4-9.72	9.4	9.1-9.6	**8.22**	**0.001**	**0.013**
Alpha	9.1	8.9-9.2	8.7	8.6-8.9	8.6	8.4-8.8	**5.86**	**0.005**	**0.021**
Low beta	8.8	8.6-9.0	8.4	8.3-8.61	8.3	8.1-8.5	**7.45**	**0.002**	**0.013**
High beta	8.4	8.2-8.6	8.1	7.9-8.26	7.9	7.8-8.1	**6.77**	**0.002**	**0.013**
Gamma	8.0	7.9-8.2	7.8	7.7-7.98	7.6	7.4-7.8	**6.16**	**0.004**	**0.020**
ITC EEG (z-score)									
Theta	12.5	11.1-13.8	13.0	11.7-14.29	12.3	10.8-13.8	0.28	0.76	0.76
Alpha	10.2	8.9-11.6	10.1	8.8-11.41	9.2	7.7-10.7	0.64	0.53	0.58
Low beta	11.1	9.7-12.6	9.1	7.7-10.49	8.1	6.6-9.7	4.20	0.019	0.060
High beta	8.7	7.2-10.1	7.6	6.2-8.97	7.2	5.6-8.7	1.10	0.34	0.50
Gamma	6.1	5.0-7.3	5.2	4.1-6.35	5.9	4.6-7.1	0.66	0.52	0.58
ITC EMG (z-score)									
Theta	24.6	22.8-26.4	23.0	21.3-24.8	20.3	18.4-22.2	**5.36**	**0.007**	**0.025**
Alpha	15.9	14.5-17.4	14.5	13.0-15.88	13.0	11.5-14.6	3.60	0.033	0.075
Low beta	11.7	10.5-12.8	9.7	8.6-10.82	10.3	9.1-11.5	2.99	0.057	0.11
High beta	6.9	5.8-8.0	5.4	4.3-6.45	5.5	4.4-6.7	2.29	0.11	0.20
Gamma	3.6	2.9-4.4	3.0	2.3-3.68	3.1	2.3-3.9	0.89	0.42	0.51
CMC (z-score)									
Theta	8.7	7.7-9.8	8.4	7.4-9.45	7.44	6.3-8.6	1.53	0.23	0.36
Alpha	5.1	4.4-5.9	4.4	3.7-5.16	3.75	2.9-4.6	3.02	0.056	0.11
Low beta	4.1	3.5-4.7	2.7	2.1-3.22	2.72	2.1-3.3	**7.82**	**0.001**	**0.013**
High beta	2.0	1.5-2.4	1.2	0.8-1.6	1.30	0.8-1.8	3.89	0.026	0.072
Gamma	0.9	0.7-1.1	0.7	0.5-0.9	0.74	0.5-1.0	0.87	0.43	0.51

CI, confidence interval; ITC, inter-trial coherence; CMC, corticomuscular coherence.

Post-hoc tests of significant group main effects revealed significant differences in EMG power and low-beta corticomuscular coherence between the young group and the old and PD groups but not between the old and PD groups (see Figure 3 and Table S3). In contrast, inter-trial coherence in the theta band was different between young and PD, as well as old and PD, but not between the young and old groups. Effect sizes (Cohen's d_s) of pairwise group comparisons are shown in Table S4.

Figure 3. Spectral measures that reveal significant main effect of group. Spectral measures were averaged over double support in different frequency bands for each group (young, old, PD). EMG power at low beta frequencies (EMG pow, first column), EMG inter-trial coherence at theta frequencies (ITC EMG, second column), corticomuscular coherence at low beta frequencies (CMC, third column) during overground (top row) and treadmill (bottom row) walking. Data derived from bipolar EEG signals of the right sensorimotor cortex (C3-F3) and EMG from the left TA. Coloured dots show individual data of each participant, black horizontal lines show the group mean, grey boxes show the SEM.

EMG envelopes

To further explore the group differences observed in spectral measures of EMG, the event-related EMG envelopes were analysed in the time domain. EMG envelopes revealed two bursts of activity during early swing and around heel strike (**Figure 4**). The second increase in EMG activity is marked by a double peak (at heel strike (t = 0) when the foot is fully dorsiflexed and at 60 ms after heel strike). Notably, the EMG amplitude was larger in older people and people with PD during early swing, and the second peak during the double support phase appeared to be reduced in the PD group. To statistically test this, we ran a LMM on the average EMG amplitude between -0.4 to -0.25 s (foot lift) and between 0.04 to 0.08 s (foot drop) relative to heel strike. EMG amplitude at foot lift was significantly different between groups ($F_{2, 63}$ = 17.81, p < 0.0001), but not at foot drop ($F_{2, 63}$ = 2.12, p = 0.13). Healthy older people had a significantly larger EMG amplitude during foot lift (67.3% [95%CI 62.6, 72.0]) than healthy young people (47.6% [95%CI 42.8, 52.5], p < 0.0001), and so did

people with PD (61.9% [95%CI 56.7%, 67.0], p = 0.0001). There was no significant difference in EMG amplitude at foot lift between healthy older people and people with PD (p = 0.12). While no significant group effect was found during foot drop, we noticed considerable variability between participants in each group (Figure 5). For example, in the PD group EMG amplitude during foot drop varied almost between 5-90% relative to the maximum EMG amplitude within the gait cycle. The results of the main effect of condition are shown in Table S5, showing that the EMG amplitude during foot drop is lower during treadmill compared to overground walking.

Figure 4. Grand-average EMG envelopes. EMG envelopes of the left and right tibialis anterior (TA) during overground and treadmill walking in the young, old and PD groups. The x-axis shows time relative to heel strike (t=0) and the y-axis the amplitude relative to the maximum within the gait cycle. Dashed lines show the kinematic events (lhs, left heel strike; lto, left toe-off; rhs, right heel strike; rto, right toe-off).

The EMG amplitude during both time intervals was correlated with corticomuscular coherence in the lower beta band during the double support phase within each of the groups (young, old and PD) and conditions (treadmill and overground). None of the correlations were significant when testing each group separately (p > 0.05). EMG amplitude during foot lift appears to be negatively correlated with corticomuscular coherence (**Figure**

5, left panels), but this is mainly due to the group effects in which the young group shows lower EMG amplitude during foot lift and higher corticomuscular coherence during double support.

Figure 5. Relationship between EMG amplitude and corticomuscular coherence. EMG amplitude was averaged in two time intervals (foot lift: -0.4 to -0.25 s; foot drop: 0.04 to 0.08 s for each group (young, old, PD) and condition (overground and treadmill). The bar graphs show the summary statistics in each group and the scatter plots the relationship with corticomuscular coherence (CMC) in the lower beta band. Coloured dots show individual data of each participant, black horizontal lines show the group mean, grey boxes show the SEM.

Discussion

We performed time-frequency analysis of electrophysiological data recorded during overground and treadmill walking in healthy young, healthy older and people with PD to investigate changes in cortical and corticospinal processes during walking. PD participants were in early stages of the disease with mild to moderate symptom severity, without freezing of gait or frequent falls and were optimally medicated for all assessments. All three groups showed significant corticomuscular coherence at theta, alpha, beta and gamma frequencies (4-45 Hz) between the sensorimotor cortex and the contralateral TA muscle during the double support phase that was largely absent during the swing phase. Similarly, power and inter-trial coherence also increased during double support. Older participants

and people with PD showed significantly reduced corticomuscular coherence at low beta frequencies (13-21 Hz) compared to young individuals, but there was no difference between healthy older and people with PD. EMG power was significantly decreased in all frequency bands in healthy older and PD participants compared to the healthy young group. Interestingly, walking speed, stride time and its variability, and the duration of the double support phase was similar across all groups. However, people with PD and healthy older people spent significantly shorter time in single support and swing phases of gait than healthy young people. The amplitude of the TA EMG envelope during early swing was significantly larger in older people and people with PD compared with healthy young participants. Hence, the current findings reveal age-related changes in the corticomuscular control of walking. They further indicate that corticomuscular control in people with early-stage PD and low to moderate disease severity while under optimal medication is similar to that of older people. Task-dependent differences in neural control of locomotion are also suggested by temporal gait and frequency-dependent differences between overground and treadmill walking.

Changes in corticomuscular control with aging and Parkinson's disease

We observed several differences in electrophysiological and gait measures between young and old participants, as well as young and PD participants, but not between the old and PD groups. A primary outcome was reduced corticomuscular coherence at low beta frequencies during double support for older and PD participants compared to young participants. This indicates that reduced functional connectivity in corticospinal pathways involved in gait control is primarily affected by age. Several previous studies also found reduced corticomuscular coherence in older compared to younger adults. Spedden et al. (2019) reported attenuated corticomuscular coherence during walking in older compared to young participants. Yoshida and colleagues (2017, 2018) found decreased corticomuscular coherence during rhythmic ankle movements in older people compared to young adults but not between older and PD participants, and Ozdemir et al. (2018) found reduced corticomuscular coherence for the TA muscle during perturbed standing in older compared to young people. Studies on upper limb muscles during sustained contractions also found

reduced corticomuscular coherence in older people (Graziadio et al. 2010, Bayram et al. 2015). However, other studies on forearm and finger contractions found increased corticomuscular coherence in older adults (Johnson & Shinohara 2012, Kamp et al. 2013), which may reflect task-specific requirements (visual feedback, dual-tasking). In people with PD, corticomuscular coherence during tonic forearm contractions was also not found to be different compared to healthy age-matched controls (Salenius et al. 2002, Pollok et al. 2012), and may (Salenius et al. 2002) or may not (Pollok et al. 2012, Hirschmann et al. 2013) be modulated by levodopa medication. As PD participants in this study had a low to moderate disease severity, similar levels of cognitive function, tactile sensitivity and gait as their healthy age-matched counterparts, and they completed the experiments on medication, this may explain why we did not observe a difference in corticomuscular coherence between PD and age-matched controls.

We did not find a significant group effect for EEG power and EEG inter-trial coherence, at least not after controlling the false discovery rate (see Table 4). However, the direction of the observed differences between groups was still similar to the changes in corticomuscular coherence, especially at low beta frequencies (see Figure 2). This may imply that a group difference was present but that the effect size was too small to detect it with the current sample size. Possibly, measures based on EEG alone are less sensitive (higher inter-subject variability) than measures based on EEG and EMG (i.e. corticomuscular coherence). Previous studies have documented changes in cortical oscillatory activity with age and PD mainly during fine motor tasks of the upper limbs and at rest (e.g. Sailer et al. 2000, Vallesi et al. 2010, Sebastián & Ballesteros 2012, Brittain & Brown 2014, Quandt et al. 2016, Schmiedt-Fehr et al. 2016). During walking, EEG gamma power over frontal cortices was enhanced in older people during dual-task walking compared to young controls (Marcar et al. 2014). During upright standing, cortical activity was altered in older people (Papegaaij et al. 2014, Chu & Wong 2018, Ozdemir et al. 2018). In people with PD, increased theta and low-gamma cortical power was associated with freezing of gait during turning compared to normal fluent turning (Shine et al. 2014, Handojoseno et al. 2015). However, whether cortical oscillations during walking are truly affected by age or PD remains to be shown in larger future studies.

In addition to the changes in the corticomuscular coherence results, we also found reduced EMG power during double support in older and PD participants compared to young participants. Again, no significant differences were observed between the older and PD groups. Previous studies reported a number of age-related changes in EMG activity during walking indicating that recruitment of ankle muscles changes with age. For example, EMG magnitude of the TA at mid swing was shown to decrease with age (Bailey et al. 2018), and the onset of TA activity within the gait cycle was earlier and offset was later in older compared to young adults (Hortobagyi et al. 2009, Franz & Kram 2013). In PD, EMG activity of distal leg muscles during gait was found to be reduced and poorly modulated (Dietz et al. 1995, Dietz & Colombo 1998, Mitoma et al. 2000, Caliandro et al. 2011). As motor units undergo several morphological and physiological adaptations with ageing, this also affects their discharge behaviour (Russ et al. 2012). For instance, motor unit firing rates decrease with age (Kamen et al. 1995, McNeil et al. 2005, Klass et al. 2008) and show greater variability (Tracy et al. 2005). We also found a significant difference in inter-trial coherence of the EMG signal at theta frequencies between groups, but unlike the other outcome measures it was reduced in people with PD compared to young and old controls (Table S3). This suggests reduced phase-locking of the EMG envelopes with respect to heel strike, at least at theta frequencies, and may indicate more variable muscle activation patterns across gait cycles in people with PD.

Although EMG power was reduced during the double-support phase, we did not find a concomitant reduction in EMG amplitude in the time domain (see Figure 4). This may be partly due to the way EMG amplitude was normalised (Burden 2010). However, EMG envelope amplitude was significantly increased during early swing in older and PD compared to young people. Although the temporal profiles of the EMG envelopes in the present study are consistent with previous reports (Dietz & Colombo 1998, Halliday et al. 2003, Campanini et al. 2007, Kang & Dingwell 2009, Schmitz et al. 2009, Van Criekinge et al. 2018), the direction of reported changes in EMG amplitude with age are only partially consistent. For example, some studies found increased EMG amplitude in older people during treadmill (Kang & Dingwell 2009) and overground walking (Schmitz et al. 2009) at different speeds (in agreement with our study), while other studies reported a reduction in EMG amplitude with

age during overground walking (Van Criekinge et al. 2018). Likewise, age-related changes in EMG amplitude have been found in different parts of the gait cycle, e.g. at mid swing (Van Criekinge et al. 2018), mid stance (Schmitz et al. 2009), and terminal stance (Van Criekinge et al. 2018). This may be due to differences in experimental designs (e.g. number and duration of walking trials and speeds), and due to data processing techniques (e.g. how EMG signals were normalised).

Older and PD participants spent shorter time in swing and single support than young individuals indicating age-related changes in motor performance. This is consistent with previous reports of kinematic and kinetic changes of gait in older people (Brach et al. 2008, Boyer et al. 2017). Previous studies have also documented differences in gait between people with PD and healthy age-matched controls (Blin et al. 1990, Hausdorff 2005, Hausdorff 2009, Giladi et al. 2013, Moon et al. 2016, Peterson & Horak 2016), which we did not observe in the current study. However, previous studies mainly detected PD-related gait differences by kinetic, kinematic and spatial gait parameters but less consistently in temporal gait parameters, which were assessed in the current study.

In summary, there were several age-related changes in physiological and temporal gait variables during overground and treadmill walking, but little or no differences between the older and PD groups. It is possible that the lack of group differences between older and PD participants in our study is due to characteristics of our particular PD sample (low to moderate disease severity, similar levels of cognitive function and tactile sensitivity as healthy controls, optimally medicated) or due to low statistical power of this study. The effect size estimates for the old-PD comparisons were mostly negative and on average |0.24|. It is hence possible that small differences exist between people with PD and age-matched controls which cannot be detected with the current sample size. The effect sizes for the comparison of the young and old groups were also mostly negative and many were larger than |-0.5|; the young-PD comparison effect sizes showed a similar trend with even larger values and a number of them reaching approximately |-1|. While these are rather large effect sizes, this should be interpreted with caution as effect sizes are often

overestimated in small samples (Button et al. 2013). Indeed, the confidence intervals we report indicate that the true population effect sizes may be much smaller.

Physiology of low beta oscillations

During the double support phase, the frequency spectra showed a gradual reduction in power and coherence at higher frequencies with a superimposed peak in the lower beta range (see Figure 2). The peak in the beta band was more pronounced in the EEG measures (EEG power and inter-trial coherence) than in the EMG measures. In this frequency band we also found a significant group effect for corticomuscular coherence and EMG power. The other outcome measures show a similar pattern in the low beta band (highest in young, decreased in older and PD participants; Figure 2), although these did not reach statistical significance in the group comparison. Notably, the effect size estimates in the low beta band were consistently negative across all outcome measures and largest for corticomuscular coherence and EMG power.

Oscillatory activity at beta frequencies has been identified as an important feature in motor control. Many studies have shown that beta band activity is enhanced during tonic contractions and diminished during dynamic voluntary movement (Sanes & Donoghue 1993, Baker et al. 1997, Kilner et al. 1999, Riddle & Baker 2006, Baker 2007, Klostermann et al. 2007, Chakarov et al. 2009), and can be observed at different levels of the central nervous system including motor cortex, basal ganglia and motor units in the periphery (Baker 2007, Brown 2007). Recently it was shown that movement-related modulations of beta oscillatory activity occur in brief bursts rather than as an ongoing rhythm (Feingold et al. 2015, Torrecillos et al. 2018). Beta band activity is thought to reflect a mechanism involved in maintaining the current sensorimotor state (Baker 2007, Engel & Fries 2010, van Wijk et al. 2012). During walking, beta oscillations have been found to be task-dependent and a number of interpretations have been put forward. For instance, cortical beta oscillations may be related to controlling gait stability (Sipp et al. 2013, Bruijn et al. 2015), control of step lengthening and shortening adaptations (Cevallos et al. 2015, Wagner et al. 2016), visuomotor integration (Wagner et al. 2014, Oliveira et al. 2017, Malcolm et al. 2018), speed

control (Bulea et al. 2015, Lisi & Morimoto 2015), forward propulsion driven by ankle plantar flexors (Jensen et al. 2019), erroneous anticipatory postural adjustments during gait initiation (Delval et al. 2018), and responding to sensorimotor conflict during perturbations while walking (Peterson & Ferris 2018b). An interesting hypothesis is that activity in the beta band may underpin the temporal coordination of sensorimotor processes (Arnal 2012, Meijer et al. 2016, Morillon & Baillet 2017), and hence be involved in the temporal coordination of the heel strike during walking.

In PD, it is well established that beta activity in the basal ganglia is enhanced at rest in the dopamine-depleted state and can be restored to normal levels with levodopa medication (Jenkinson & Brown 2011, Brittain et al. 2014, Brittain & Brown 2014, Singh 2018). This exaggerated pathophysiological beta activity in the basal ganglia has been found associated with bradykinesia and impaired motor function (Brown 2007, Jenkinson & Brown 2011, Oswal et al. 2013, Brittain & Brown 2014, Little & Brown 2014). Interestingly, walking and cycling has been found to modulate/normalise beta activity in the subthalamic nucleus (STN) in people with PD (Storzer et al. 2017, Fischer et al. 2018, Hell et al. 2018). Walking and cycling was found to decrease STN beta power in people with PD (Storzer et al. 2017). Stepping movements were found to modulate STN beta power relative to the movement cycle, and these modulations were associated with stepping performance (Fischer et al. 2018). Patterns of STN beta oscillations (i.e. duration of beta bursts) were also found to be linked to freezing of gait (Anidi et al. 2018). A number of studies that investigated cortical oscillatory activity during upper limb movements reported beta frequencies to be affected by PD (Pollok et al. 2012, Heinrichs-Graham et al. 2013, Hirschmann et al. 2013, Pollok et al. 2013, Stegemoller et al. 2016). For instance, cortical beta power during static forearm contractions was increased in people with PD compared with healthy controls (Pollok et al. 2012), although others reported it to be decreased (Heinrichs-Graham et al. 2013, Stegemoller et al. 2016).

We only observed a reduction in low-beta corticomuscular coherence during the double support phase. The duration of the double support phase is about 125 ms and fits about 2 cycles of beta oscillations. Hence, these results likely reflect reduced bursts of beta

oscillations rather than changes in ongoing beta oscillations. These beta bursts may represent brief activity reflecting the retrospective evaluation of task performance and updating subsequent task performance (Feingold et al. 2015). In the present context, error-related activity may reflect the temporal parameters of step cycles. We found a parallel increase in power and inter-trial coherence, which suggests that transient beta activity likely represents an evoked response rather than true synchronisation of two interacting oscillators (Roeder et al. 2018). As we observe coherent beta activity at the cortical and spinal level, it is possible that these beta bursts are transmitted via the corticospinal tract or that subcortical or spinal networks simultaneously evoke time-locked responses at the cortical and spinal level. As such, the decreased low beta corticomuscular coherence in older and PD groups found in this study may reflect an attenuated evoked response at cortical and/or spinal levels. At the spinal level, differences in evoked TA responses may reflect reduced activity of dorsal horn spinal neurons (Manjarrez et al. 2002a, Manjarrez et al. 2002b), which receive input from Ia afferents that are known to degenerate with age. During upright standing, for example, age-related degeneration of Ia afferents has been shown to affect leg muscle activity and to contribute to impaired postural control performance (Baudry 2016).

Limitations

The current study is exploratory in nature involving five different outcome measures in five frequency bands (resulting in 25 statistical comparisons). With a limited samples size (about 20 per group) the statistical power is fairly low and results should be interpreted cautiously. We did control the false discovery rate using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure (see adjusted p-values in Table 4). Still, future studies (that are ideally pre-registered, Nosek & Lakens 2014) will need to confirm these findings by specifically testing in the lower beta band, which will increase statistical power. To facilitate appropriate power calculations for future studies we have reported effect sizes.

Another limitation is that PD participants completed all experiments in an optimally medicated state and we therefore cannot address potential medication effects on gait and

cortical/corticospinal oscillatory activity in people with PD. Past studies reported inconsistent and contradictory findings with respect to medication effects on cortical and corticospinal oscillations at rest and during movement in people with PD. For instance, Salenius et al. (2002) reported a levodopa effect on beta corticomuscular coherence during isometric forearm contractions, while Pollok (2012) and Hirschmann (2013) did not. Notably, Yoshida et al. (2017, 2018) found decreased corticomuscular coherence in older people compared to young adults during rhythmic ankle movements but not between older and PD participants (similar to our results) when tested their PD participants in an 'off-medication state' (after overnight withdrawal). This creates uncertainty with regards to potential medication effects on cortical and corticospinal oscillatory activity during walking in people with PD, and it is possible that we did not find differences between the PD and age-matched control group because the PD group was assessed in an on-medication state. Another potential explanation may be the characteristics of our particular PD sample (low disease severity, cognitive function, tactile sensitivity, 'agility'), indicating that our PD sample may not have been very different from the healthy older cohort. Moreover, the experimental paradigm itself was not very challenging (simple steady-state walking) and may therefore not be able to distinguish minor deficiencies in corticomuscular control in this relatively agile sample. More challenging paradigms including dual-task walking or perturbations that trigger deliberate stepping adaptations (for example similar to Malcolm et al. 2015) may be better suited to detect changes in cortical and corticospinal oscillatory activity during the early stages of PD.

Finally, mobile EEG is susceptible to movement artefacts and caution should hence be exerted when interpreting phasic changes in EEG activity (Gwin et al. 2010, Castermans et al. 2014, Kline et al. 2015, Snyder et al. 2015, Costa et al. 2016, Nathan & Contreras-Vidal 2016, Oliveira et al. 2016, Peterson & Ferris 2018a). In this study, we applied multiple strategies to minimize the effects of motion artefacts according to standards currently established in this research field. We excluded gait cycles with excessive artefacts, we performed ICA, and we calculated a bipolar derivative EEG signal (Roeder et al. 2018). We also pre-processed the EMG recordings by high-pass filtering, rectifying, and demodulating the signals in order to remove low-frequency artefacts and periodic amplitude modulations that could distort the

coherence estimates (Boonstra et al. 2009, De Marchis et al. 2015). Notably, the time-frequency profiles observed in this study are similar to those in multiple previous ambulatory EEG studies (Gwin et al. 2011, Cheron et al. 2012, Severens et al. 2012, Bruijn et al. 2015, Bulea et al. 2015, Knaepen et al. 2015, Bradford et al. 2016, Artoni et al. 2017, Roeder et al. 2018). Importantly, in the current study we found a significant group effect for corticomuscular coherence specifically at lower beta frequencies, but not over a broadband frequency range. It seems unlikely that these frequency-specific changes are caused by non-physiologic artefacts.

Conclusion

This study shows coherent corticomuscular activity during the double support phase of the gait cycle during steady-state overground and treadmill walking in healthy young and older adults, as well as people with PD. We found that low beta corticomuscular coherence and EMG power was decreased in older and PD participants compared to young people, and that it was not different between older and PD participants, suggesting that these alterations in corticomuscular control of gait were mainly age-related. Furthermore, task-dependent differences in neural control of locomotion were also suggested by frequency-dependent differences between overground and treadmill walking. Transient and concomitant increases in power and inter-trial coherence suggest evoked bursts of beta activity at spinal and cortical populations rather than a modulation of ongoing corticospinal beta synchronization. The reduction of transient beta activity may reflect changes in the temporal coordination of motor events within the gait cycle such as the timing of foot drop. Observed group differences in several electrophysiological and temporal gait measures indicate inter-related control mechanisms at the peripheral, spinal and cortical levels. However, as we did not observe any correlations between corticomuscular coherence and EMG amplitude (see Figure 5), this suggests that ageing- and PD-related changes are multi-factorial, i.e. affect different systems, including the cortex, spine and periphery.

Acknowledgements

We thank Bridie O'Connell and Kathryn McIntosh for support with data collection.

References

Allali G, van der Meulen M, Beauchet O, Rieger SW, Vuilleumier P & Assal F. 2014. The neural basis of age-related changes in motor imagery of gait: An fMRI study. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 69: 1389-98.

Anidi C, O'Day JJ, Anderson RW, Afzal MF, Syrkin-Nikolau J, et al. 2018. Neuromodulation targets pathological not physiological beta bursts during gait in Parkinson's disease. *Neurobiology of Disease* 120: 107-17.

Arnal LH. 2012. Predicting "When" Using the Motor System's Beta-Band Oscillations. *Front Hum Neurosci* 6: 225.

Artoni F, Fanciullacci C, Bertolucci F, Panarese A, Makeig S, et al. 2017. Unidirectional brain to muscle connectivity reveals motor cortex control of leg muscles during stereotyped walking. *Neuroimage* 159: 403-16.

Asano M, Miller WC & Eng JJ. 2007. Development and psychometric properties of the ambulatory self-confidence questionnaire. *Gerontology* 53: 373-81.

Bailey CA, Corona F, Pilloni G, Porta M, Fastame MC, et al. 2018. Sex-dependent and sex-independent muscle activation patterns in adult gait as a function of age. *Experimental Gerontology* 110: 1-8.

Bailey IL & Lovie-Kitchin JE. 2013. Visual acuity testing. From the laboratory to the clinic. *Vision Res* 90: 2-9.

Baker SN. 2007. Oscillatory interactions between sensorimotor cortex and the periphery. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 17: 649-55.

Baker SN, Olivier E & Lemon RN. 1997. Coherent oscillations in monkey motor cortex and hand muscle EMG show task-dependent modulation. *J Physiol* 501: 225-41.

Baudry S. 2016. Aging Changes the Contribution of Spinal and Corticospinal Pathways to Control Balance. *Exerc Sport Sci Rev* 44: 104-9.

Bayram MB, Siemionow V & Yue GH. 2015. Weakening of Corticomuscular Signal Coupling During Voluntary Motor Action in Aging. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 70: 1037-43.

Benjamini Y & Hochberg Y. 1995. Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological)* 57: 289-300.

Beurskens R, Helmich I, Rein R & Bock O. 2014. Age-related changes in prefrontal activity during walking in dual-task situations: A fNIRS study. *Int J Psychophysiol* 92: 122-28.

Blin O, Ferrandez AM & Serratrice G. 1990. Quantitative analysis of gait in Parkinson patients: Increased variability of stride length. *J Neurol Sci* 98: 91-7.

Bohnen NI & Jahn K. 2013. Imaging: What can it tell us about Parkinsonian gait? *Mov Disord* 28: 1492-500.

Boonstra T. 2019. Matlab code for estimating time-frequency coherence (v1.0). Zenodo. http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.2611375.

Boonstra TW & Breakspear M. 2012. Neural mechanisms of intermuscular coherence: Implications for the rectification of surface electromyography. *J Neurophysiol* 107: 796-807.

Boonstra TW, Daffertshofer A, Breakspear M & Beek PJ. 2007. Multivariate time-frequency analysis of electromagnetic brain activity during bimanual motor learning. *Neuroimage* 36: 370-7.

Boonstra TW, Daffertshofer A, Roerdink M, Flipse I, Groenewoud K & Beek PJ. 2009. Bilateral motor unit synchronization of leg muscles during a simple dynamic balance task. *Eur J Neurosci* 29: 613-22.

Boyer KA, Johnson RT, Banks JJ, Jewell C & Hafer JF. 2017. Systematic review and meta-analysis of gait mechanics in young and older adults. *Exp Gerontol* 95: 63-70.

Brach JS, Studenski S, Perera S, VanSwearingen JM & Newman AB. 2008. Stance time and step width variability have unique contributing impairments in older persons. *Gait Posture* 27: 431-9.

Bradford JC, Lukos JR & Ferris DP. 2016. Electrocortical activity distinguishes between uphill and level walking in humans. *J Neurophysiol* 115: 958-66.

Brittain J-S, Sharott A & Brown P. 2014. The highs and lows of beta activity in cortico-basal ganglia loops. *Eur J Neurosci* 39: 1951-9.

Brittain JS & Brown P. 2014. Oscillations and the basal ganglia: Motor control and beyond. *Neuroimage* 85: 637-47.

Brown P. 2007. Abnormal oscillatory synchronisation in the motor system leads to impaired movement. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 17: 656-64.

Bruijn SM, Van Dieen JH & Daffertshofer A. 2015. Beta activity in the premotor cortex is increased during stabilized as compared to normal walking. *Front Hum Neurosci* 9: 593.

Bulea TC, Kim J, Damiano DL, Stanley CJ & Park HS. 2015. Prefrontal, posterior parietal and sensorimotor network activity underlying speed control during walking. *Front Hum Neurosci* 9: 247.

Burden A. 2010. How should we normalize electromyograms obtained from healthy participants? What we have learned from over 25 years of research. *J Electromyogr Kinesiol* 20: 1023-35.

Button KS, Ioannidis JPA, Mokrysz C, Nosek BA, Flint J, et al. 2013. Power failure: why small sample size undermines the reliability of neuroscience. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 14: 365-76.

Cagnan H, Duff EP & Brown P. 2015. The relative phases of basal ganglia activities dynamically shape effective connectivity in Parkinson's disease. *Brain* 138: 1667-78.

Caliandro P, Ferrarin M, Cioni M, Bentivoglio AR, Minciotti I, et al. 2011. Levodopa effect on electromyographic activation patterns of tibialis anterior muscle during walking in Parkinson's disease. *Gait Posture* 33: 436-41.

Campanini I, Merlo A, Degola P, Merletti R, Vezzosi G & Farina D. 2007. Effect of electrode location on EMG signal envelope in leg muscles during gait. *J Electromyogr Kinesiol* 17: 515-26.

Castermans T, Duvinage M, Cheron G & Dutoit T. 2014. About the cortical origin of the low-delta and high-gamma rhythms observed in EEG signals during treadmill walking. *Neurosci Lett* 561: 166-70.

Cevallos C, Zarka D, Hoellinger T, Leroy A, Dan B & Cheron G. 2015. Oscillations in the human brain during walking execution, imagination and observation. *Neuropsychologia* 79: 223-32.

Chakarov V, Naranjo JR, Schulte-Monting J, Omlor W, Huethe F & Kristeva R. 2009. Beta-range EEG-EMG coherence with isometric compensation for increasing modulated low-level forces. *J Neurophysiol* 102: 1115-20.

Cheron G, Duvinage M, De Saedeleer C, Castermans T, Bengoetxea A, et al. 2012. From spinal central pattern generators to cortical network: Integrated BCI for walking rehabilitation. *Neural Plast* 2012: 375148.

Chu CKH & Wong TWL. 2018. Conscious Postural Control During Standing on Compliant Surface by Older Adults. *J Mot Behav* 51: 342-50.

Cole MH, Silburn PA, Wood JM & Kerr GK. 2011. Falls in Parkinson's disease: Evidence for altered stepping strategies on compliant surfaces. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord* 17: 610-6.

Cole MH, Silburn PA, Wood JM, Worringham CJ & Kerr GK. 2010. Falls in Parkinson's disease: Kinematic evidence for impaired head and trunk control. *Mov Disord* 25: 2369-78.

Conway BA, Halliday DM, Farmer SF, Shahani U, Maas P, et al. 1995. Synchronization between motor cortex and spinal motoneuronal pool during the performance of a maintained motor task in man. *J Physiol* 489: 917-24.

Costa A, Salazar-Varas R, Ubeda A & Azorin JM. 2016. Characterization of artifacts produced by gel displacement on non-invasive brain-machine interfaces during ambulation. *Front Neurosci* 10: 60.

Crémers J, D'Ostilio K, Stamatakis J, Delvaux V & Garraux G. 2012. Brain activation pattern related to gait disturbances in Parkinson's disease. *Mov Disord* 27: 1498-505.

Cumming G. 2016. ESCI (Exploratory Software for Confidence Intervals) intro chapters 3-8. https://thenewstatistics.com/itns/esci/.

de Hemptinne C, Swann NC, Ostrem JL, Ryapolova-Webb ES, San Luciano M, et al. 2015. Therapeutic deep brain stimulation reduces cortical phase-amplitude coupling in Parkinson's disease. *Nat Neurosci* 18: 779-86.

De Marchis C, Severini G, Castronovo AM, Schmid M & Conforto S. 2015. Intermuscular coherence contributions in synergistic muscles during pedaling. *Exp Brain Res* 233: 1907-19.

Delorme A & Makeig S. 2004. EEGLAB: an open source toolbox for analysis of single-trial EEG dynamics including independent component analysis. *J Neurosci Methods* 134: 9-21.

Delval A, Braquet A, Dirhoussi N, Bayot M, Derambure P, et al. 2018. Motor Preparation of Step Initiation: Error-related Cortical Oscillations. *Neuroscience* 393: 12-23.

DeVita P & Hortobagyi T. 2000. Age causes a redistribution of joint torques and powers during gait. *J Appl Physiol* 88: 1804-11.

Dietz V & Colombo G. 1998. Influence of body load on the gait pattern in Parkinson's disease. *Mov Disord* 13: 255-61.

Dietz V, Zijlstra W, Prokop T & Berger W. 1995. Leg muscle activation during gait in Parkinson's disease: adaptation and interlimb coordination. *Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol* 97: 408-15.

Ehgoetz Martens KA, Hall JM, Georgiades MJ, Gilat M, Walton CC, et al. 2018. The functional network signature of heterogeneity in freezing of gait. *Brain* 141: 1145-60.

Elble RJ, Thomas SS, Higgins C & Colliver J. 1991. Stride-dependent changes in gait of older people. *J Neurol* 238: 1-5.

Elliott DB, Sanderson K & Conkey A. 1990. The reliability of the Pelli-Robson contrast sensitivity chart. *Ophthalmic Physiol Opt* 10: 21-4.

Engel AK & Fries P. 2010. Beta-band oscillations - signalling the status quo? *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 20: 156-65.

Feingold J, Gibson DJ, DePasquale B & Graybiel AM. 2015. Bursts of beta oscillation differentiate postperformance activity in the striatum and motor cortex of monkeys performing movement tasks. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 112: 13687-92.

Fischer P, Chen CC, Chang YJ, Yeh CH, Pogosyan A, et al. 2018. Alternating modulation of subthalamic nucleus beta oscillations during stepping. *J Neurosci* 38: 5111-21.

Franz JR & Kram R. 2013. How does age affect leg muscle activity/coactivity during uphill and downhill walking? *Gait & Posture* 37: 378-84.

Giladi N, Horak FB & Hausdorff JM. 2013. Classification of gait disturbances: Distinguishing between continuous and episodic changes. *Mov Disord* 28: 1469-73.

Giladi N, Shabtai H, Simon E, Biran S, Tal J & Korczyn A. 2000. Construction of freezing of gait questionnaire for patients with Parkinsonism. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord* 6: 165 - 70.

Goetz CG, Tilley BC, Shaftman SR, Stebbins GT, Fahn S, et al. 2008. Movement Disorder Society-sponsored revision of the Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale (MDS-UPDRS): Scale presentation and clinimetric testing results. *Mov Disord* 23: 2129-70.

Graziadio S, Basu A, Tomasevic L, Zappasodi F, Tecchio F & Eyre JA. 2010. Developmental tuning and decay in senescence of oscillations linking the corticospinal system. *J Neurosci* 30: 3663-74.

Groppe D. 2015. Benjamini & Hochberg/Yekutieli false discovery rate control procedure for a set of statistical tests. https://au.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange/27418-fdr_bh.

Gwin JT, Gramann K, Makeig S & Ferris DP. 2010. Removal of movement artifact from high-density EEG recorded during walking and running. *J Neurophysiol* 103: 3526-34.

Gwin JT, Gramann K, Makeig S & Ferris DP. 2011. Electrocortical activity is coupled to gait cycle phase during treadmill walking. *Neuroimage* 54: 1289-96.

Halliday DM, Conway BA, Christensen LOD, Hansen NL, Petersen NP & Nielsen JB. 2003. Functional coupling of motor units is modulated during walking in human subjects. *J Neurophysiol* 89: 960-8.

Halliday DM, Rosenberg JR, Amjad AM, Breeze P, Conway BA & Farmer SF. 1995. A framework for the analysis of mixed time series/point process data—Theory and application to the study of physiological tremor, single motor unit discharges and electromyograms. *Prog Biophys Mol Biol* 64: 237-78.

Hamacher D, Herold F, Wiegel P, Hamacher D & Schega L. 2015. Brain activity during walking: A systematic review. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 57: 310-27.

Hanakawa T, Katsumi Y, Fukuyama H, Honda M, Hayashi T, et al. 1999. Mechanisms underlying gait disturbance in Parkinson's disease: A single photon emission computed tomography study. *Brain* 122: 1271-82.

Handojoseno AM, Gilat M, Quynh Tran L, Chamtie H, Shine JM, et al. 2015. An EEG study of turning freeze in Parkinson's disease patients: The alteration of brain dynamic on the motor and visual cortex. *Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc* 2015: 6618-21.

Harada T, Miyai I, Suzuki M & Kubota K. 2009. Gait capacity affects cortical activation patterns related to speed control in the elderly. *Exp Brain Res* 193: 445-54.

Hausdorff JM. 2005. Gait variability: Methods, modeling and meaning. *J Neuroeng Rehabil* 2: 19.

Hausdorff JM. 2009. Gait dynamics in Parkinson's disease: Common and distinct behavior among stride length, gait variability, and fractal-like scaling. *Chaos* 19: 026113.

Haymes SA & Chen J. 2004. Reliability and validity of the Melbourne Edge Test and High/Low Contrast Visual Acuity chart. *Optom Vis Sci* 81: 308-16.

Heinrichs-Graham E, Wilson TW, Santamaria PM, Heithoff SK, Torres-Russotto D, et al. 2013. Neuromagnetic evidence of abnormal movement-related beta desynchronization in Parkinson's disease. *Cereb Cortex* 24: 2669-78.

Hell F, Plate A, Mehrkens JH & Botzel K. 2018. Subthalamic oscillatory activity and connectivity during gait in Parkinson's disease. *Neuroimage Clin* 19: 396-405.

Herman T, Giladi N & Hausdorff JM. 2013. Neuroimaging as a window into gait disturbances and freezing of gait in patients with Parkinson's disease. *Curr Neurol Neurosci Rep* 13: 411.

Himann JE, Cunningham DA, Rechnitzer PA & Paterson DH. 1988. Age-related changes in speed of walking. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 20: 161-6.

Hirschmann J, Ozkurt TE, Butz M, Homburger M, Elben S, et al. 2013. Differential modulation of STN-cortical and cortico-muscular coherence by movement and levodopa in Parkinson's disease. *Neuroimage* 68: 203-13.

Hoehn MM & Yahr MD. 1967. Parkinsonism: Onset, progression and mortality. *Neurology* 17: 427-42.

Hortobagyi T, Solnik S, Gruber A, Rider P, Steinweg K, et al. 2009. Interaction between age and gait velocity in the amplitude and timing of antagonist muscle coactivation. *Gait Posture* 29: 558-64.

Jenkinson N & Brown P. 2011. New insights into the relationship between dopamine, beta oscillations and motor function. *Trends Neurosci* 34: 611-8.

Jensen P, Frisk R, Spedden ME, Geertsen SS, Bouyer LJ, et al. 2019. Using Corticomuscular and Intermuscular Coherence to Assess Cortical Contribution to Ankle Plantar Flexor Activity During Gait. *J Mot Behav*: 1-13.

Johnson AN & Shinohara M. 2012. Corticomuscular coherence with and without additional task in the elderly. *J Appl Physiol* 112: 970-81.

Kalia LV & Lang AE. 2015. Parkinson's disease. *Lancet* 386: 896-912.

Kamen G, Sison SV, Du CC & Patten C. 1995. Motor unit discharge behavior in older adults during maximal-effort contractions. *J Appl Physiol (1985)* 79: 1908-13.

Kamp D, Krause V, Butz M, Schnitzler A & Pollok B. 2013. Changes of cortico-muscular coherence: An early marker of healthy aging? *Age (Dordr)* 35: 49-58.

Kang HG & Dingwell JB. 2009. Dynamics and stability of muscle activations during walking in healthy young and older adults. *J Biomech* 42: 2231-7.

Kilner JM, Baker SN, Salenius S, Jousmaki V, Hari R & Lemon RN. 1999. Task-dependent modulation of 15-30 Hz coherence between rectified EMGs from human hand and forearm muscles. *J Physiol* 516: 559-70.

Klass M, Baudry S & Duchateau J. 2008. Age-related decline in rate of torque development is accompanied by lower maximal motor unit discharge frequency during fast contractions. *J Appl Physiol (1985)* 104: 739-46.

Kline JE, Huang HJ, Snyder KL & Ferris DP. 2015. Isolating gait-related movement artifacts in electroencephalography during human walking. *J Neural Eng* 12: 046022.

Klostermann F, Nikulin VV, Kuhn AA, Marzinzik F, Wahl M, et al. 2007. Task-related differential dynamics of EEG alpha- and beta-band synchronization in cortico-basal motor structures. *Eur J Neurosci* 25: 1604-15.

Knaepen K, Mierau A, Swinnen E, Tellez HF, Michielsen M, et al. 2015. Human-robot interaction: Does robotic guidance force affect gait-related brain dynamics during robot-assisted treadmill walking? *PLoS One* 10: e0140626.

Kurani AS, Seidler RD, Burciu RG, Comella CL, Corcos DM, et al. 2015. Subthalamic nucleus-sensorimotor cortex functional connectivity in de novo and moderate Parkinson's disease. *Neurobiol Aging* 36: 462-9.

Lee HJ, Chang WH, Hwang SH, Choi BO, Ryu GH & Kim YH. 2017. Age-Related Locomotion Characteristics in Association with Balance Function in Young, Middle-Aged, and Older Adults. *J Aging Phys Act* 25: 247-53.

Lewis SJ. 2015. Neurological update: Emerging issues in gait disorders. *J Neurol* 262: 1590-5.

Lisi G & Morimoto J. 2015. EEG single-trial detection of gait speed changes during treadmill walk. *PLoS One* 10: e0125479.

Little S & Brown P. 2014. The functional role of beta oscillations in Parkinson's disease. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord* 20 Suppl 1: S44-8.

Litvak V, Jha A, Eusebio A, Oostenveld R, Foltynie T, et al. 2011. Resting oscillatory cortico-subthalamic connectivity in patients with Parkinson's disease. *Brain* 134: 359-74.

Long J, Tazoe T, Soteropoulos DS & Perez MA. 2015. Interhemispheric connectivity during bimanual isometric force generation. *J Neurophysiol* 115: 1196-207.

Lord S, Menz H & Tiedermann A. 2003. A physiological profile approach to falls risk assessment and prevention. *Physical therapy* 83: 237 - 52.

Maidan I, Bernad-Elazari H, Gazit E, Giladi N, Hausdorff JM & Mirelman A. 2015. Changes in oxygenated hemoglobin link freezing of gait to frontal activation in patients with Parkinson disease: An fNIRS study of transient motor-cognitive failures. *J Neurol* 262: 899-908.

Maidan I, Bernad-Elazari H, Giladi N, Hausdorff JM & Mirelman A. 2017. When is Higher Level Cognitive Control Needed for Locomotor Tasks Among Patients with Parkinson's Disease? *Brain Topogr* 30: 531-38.

Maidan I, Nieuwhof F, Bernad-Elazari H, Reelick MF, Bloem BR, et al. 2016. The role of the frontal lobe in complex walking among patients with Parkinson's disease and healthy older adults: An fNIRS study. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair* 30: 963-71.

Maillet A, Pollak P & Debu B. 2012. Imaging gait disorders in parkinsonism: A review. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 83: 986-93.

Maki BE. 1997. Gait changes in older adults: predictors of falls or indicators of fear. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 45: 313-20.

Malcolm BR, Foxe JJ, Butler JS & De Sanctis P. 2015. The aging brain shows less flexible reallocation of cognitive resources during dual-task walking: A mobile brain/body imaging (MoBI) study. *Neuroimage* 117: 230-42.

Malcolm BR, Foxe JJ, Butler JS, Molholm S & De Sanctis P. 2018. Cognitive load reduces the effects of optic flow on gait and electrocortical dynamics during treadmill walking. *J Neurophysiol* 120: 2246-59.

Manjarrez E, Rojas-Piloni G, Martinez L, Vazquez D, Velez D, et al. 2002a. Amplitude of somatosensory cortical evoked potentials is correlated with spontaneous activity of spinal neurones in the cat. *Neurosci Lett* 323: 187-90.

Manjarrez E, Rojas-Piloni G, Vazquez D & Flores A. 2002b. Cortical neuronal ensembles driven by dorsal horn spinal neurones with spontaneous activity in the cat. *Neurosci Lett* 318: 145-8.

Marcar VL, Bridenbaugh SA, Kool J, Niedermann K & Kressig RW. 2014. A simple procedure to synchronize concurrent measurements of gait and brain electrical activity and preliminary results from a pilot measurement involving motor-cognitive dual-tasking in healthy older and young volunteers. *J Neurosci Methods* 228: 46-9.

McNeil CJ, Doherty TJ, Stashuk DW & Rice CL. 2005. Motor unit number estimates in the tibialis anterior muscle of young, old, and very old men. *Muscle Nerve* 31: 461-7.

Meijer D, te Woerd E & Praamstra P. 2016. Timing of beta oscillatory synchronization and temporal prediction of upcoming stimuli. *Neuroimage* 138: 233-41.

Mima T & Hallett M. 1999. Corticomuscular coherence: A review. *J Clin Neurophysiol* 16: 501-11.

Mioshi E, Dawson K, Mitchell J, Arnold R & Hodges JR. 2006. The Addenbrooke's Cognitive Examination Revised (ACE-R): A brief cognitive test battery for dementia screening. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 21: 1078-85.

Mitoma H, Hayashi R, Yanagisawa N & Tsukagoshi H. 2000. Characteristics of parkinsonian and ataxic gaits: a study using surface electromyograms, angular displacements and floor reaction forces. *J Neurol Sci* 174: 22-39.

Moon Y, Sung J, An R, Hernandez ME & Sosnoff JJ. 2016. Gait variability in people with neurological disorders: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Hum Mov Sci* 47: 197-208.

Morillon B & Baillet S. 2017. Motor origin of temporal predictions in auditory attention. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 114: E8913-e21.

Nathan K & Contreras-Vidal JL. 2016. Negligible motion artifacts in scalp electroencephalography (EEG) during treadmill walking. *Front Hum Neurosci* 9: 708.

Nieuwhof F, Reelick MF, Maidan I, Mirelman A, Hausdorff JM, et al. 2016. Measuring prefrontal cortical activity during dual task walking in patients with Parkinson's disease: feasibility of using a new portable fNIRS device. *Pilot Feasibility Stud* 2: 59.

Nonnekes J, Snijders AH, Nutt JG, Deuschl G, Giladi N & Bloem BR. 2015. Freezing of gait: A practical approach to management. *Lancet Neurol* 14: 768-78.

Nosek BA & Lakens D. 2014. Registered Reports: A Method to Increase the Credibility of Published Results. *Social Psychology* 45: 137-41.

Oldfield RC. 1971. The assessment and analysis of handedness: The Edinburgh inventory. *Neuropsychologia* 9: 97-113.

Oliveira AS, Schlink BR, Hairston WD, Konig P & Ferris DP. 2016. Induction and separation of motion artifacts in EEG data using a mobile phantom head device. *J Neural Eng* 13: 036014.

Oliveira AS, Schlink BR, Hairston WD, Konig P & Ferris DP. 2017. Restricted vision increases sensorimotor cortex involvement in human walking. *J Neurophysiol* 118: 1943-51.

Oswal A, Brown P & Litvak V. 2013. Synchronized neural oscillations and the pathophysiology of Parkinson's disease. *Curr Opin Neurol* 26: 662-70.

Ozdemir RA, Contreras-Vidal JL & Paloski WH. 2018. Cortical control of upright stance in elderly. *Mech Ageing Dev* 169: 19-31.

Papegaaij S, Taube W, Baudry S, Otten E & Hortobágyi T. 2014. Aging causes a reorganization of cortical and spinal control of posture. *Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience* 6: 28.

Perera S, Patel KV, Rosano C, Rubin SM, Satterfield S, et al. 2016. Gait Speed Predicts Incident Disability: A Pooled Analysis. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 71: 63-71.

Petersen TH, Willerslev-Olsen M, Conway BA & Nielsen JB. 2012. The motor cortex drives the muscles during walking in human subjects. *J Physiol* 590: 2443-52.

Peterson DS & Horak FB. 2016. Neural Control of Walking in People with Parkinsonism. *Physiology* 31: 95-107.

Peterson DS, Pickett KA, Duncan RP, Perlmutter JS & Earhart GM. 2014. Brain activity during complex imagined gait tasks in Parkinson disease. *Clin Neurophysiol* 125: 995-1005.

Peterson SM & Ferris DP. 2018a. Combined head phantom and neural mass model validation of effective connectivity measures. *J Neural Eng* 16: 026010.

Peterson SM & Ferris DP. 2018b. Differentiation in Theta and Beta Electrocortical Activity between Visual and Physical Perturbations to Walking and Standing Balance. *Eneuro* 5: 20.

Pollok B, Kamp D, Butz M, Wojtecki L, Timmermann L, et al. 2013. Increased SMA-M1 coherence in Parkinson's disease - Pathophysiology or compensation? *Exp Neurol* 247: 178-81.

Pollok B, Krause V, Martsch W, Wach C, Schnitzler A & Sudmeyer M. 2012. Motor-cortical oscillations in early stages of Parkinson's disease. *J Physiol* 590: 3203-12.

Powell LE & Myers AM. 1995. The Activities-specific Balance Confidence (ABC) Scale. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 50A: M28-34.

Quandt F, Bonstrup M, Schulz R, Timmermann JE, Zimerman M, et al. 2016. Spectral Variability in the Aged Brain during Fine Motor Control. *Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience* 8: 9.

Quinn EJ, Blumenfeld Z, Velisar A, Koop MM, Shreve LA, et al. 2015. Beta oscillations in freely moving Parkinson's subjects are attenuated during deep brain stimulation. *Mov Disord* 30: 1750-8.

Reyes MA, Perez-Lloret S, Roldan Gerschcovich E, Martin ME, Leiguarda R & Merello M. 2009. Addenbrooke's Cognitive Examination validation in Parkinson's disease. *Eur J Neurol* 16: 142-7.

Riddle CN & Baker SN. 2006. Digit displacement, not object compliance, underlies task dependent modulations in human corticomuscular coherence. *Neuroimage* 33: 618-27.

Roeder L, Boonstra TW, Smith SS & Kerr GK. 2018. Dynamics of corticospinal motor control during overground and treadmill walking in humans. *J Neurophysiol* 120: 1017-31.

Russ DW, Gregg-Cornell K, Conaway MJ & Clark BC. 2012. Evolving concepts on the age-related changes in "muscle quality". *Journal of cachexia, sarcopenia and muscle* 3: 95-109.

Sailer A, Dichgans J & Gerloff C. 2000. The influence of normal aging on the cortical processing of a simple motor task. *Neurology* 55: 979-85.

Salenius S, Avikainen S, Kaakkola S, Hari R & Brown P. 2002. Defective cortical drive to muscle in Parkinson's disease and its improvement with levodopa. *Brain* 125: 491-500.

Sanes JN & Donoghue JP. 1993. Oscillations in local field potentials of the primate motor cortex during voluntary movement. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 90: 4470-4.

Schmiedt-Fehr C, Mathes B, Kedilaya S, Krauss J & Basar-Eroglu C. 2016. Aging differentially affects alpha and beta sensorimotor rhythms in a go/nogo task. *Clin Neurophysiol* 127: 3234-42.

Schmitz A, Silder A, Heiderscheit B, Mahoney J & Thelen DG. 2009. Differences in lower-extremity muscular activation during walking between healthy older and young adults. *J Electromyogr Kinesiol* 19: 1085-91.

Sebastián M & Ballesteros S. 2012. Effects of normal aging on event-related potentials and oscillatory brain activity during a haptic repetition priming task. *Neuroimage* 60: 7-20.

Seeber M, Scherer R, Wagner J, Solis-Escalante T & Müller-Putz GR. 2015. High and low gamma EEG oscillations in central sensorimotor areas are conversely modulated during the human gait cycle. *Neuroimage* 112: 318-26.

Severens M, Nienhuis B, Desain P & Duysens J. 2012. Feasibility of measuring event related desynchronization with electroencephalography during walking. *Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc* 2012: 2764-7.

Shine JM, Handojoseno AM, Nguyen TN, Tran Y, Naismith SL, et al. 2014. Abnormal patterns of theta frequency oscillations during the temporal evolution of freezing of gait in Parkinson's disease. *Clin Neurophysiol* 125: 569-76.

Shine JM, Matar E, Ward PB, Frank MJ, Moustafa AA, et al. 2013. Freezing of gait in Parkinson's disease is associated with functional decoupling between the cognitive control network and the basal ganglia. *Brain* 136: 3671-81.

Shoushtarian M, Murphy A & Iansek R. 2011. Examination of central gait control mechanisms in Parkinson's disease using movement-related potentials. *Mov Disord* 26: 2347-53.

Singh A. 2018. Oscillatory activity in the cortico-basal ganglia-thalamic neural circuits in Parkinson's disease. *Eur J Neurosci* 48: 2869-78.

Sipp AR, Gwin JT, Makeig S & Ferris DP. 2013. Loss of balance during balance beam walking elicits a multifocal theta band electrocortical response. *J Neurophysiol* 110: 2050-60.

Snijders AH, Leunissen I, Bakker M, Overeem S, Helmich RC, et al. 2011. Gait-related cerebral alterations in patients with Parkinson's disease with freezing of gait. *Brain* 134: 59-72.

Snyder KL, Kline JE, Huang HJ & Ferris DP. 2015. Independent Component Analysis of gait-related movement artifact recorded using EEG electrodes during treadmill walking. *Front Hum Neurosci* 9: 639.

Spedden ME, Choi JT, Nielsen JB & Geertsen SS. 2019. Corticospinal control of normal and visually guided gait in healthy older and younger adults. *Neurobiol Aging* 78: 29-41.

Stegemoller EL, Allen DP, Simuni T & MacKinnon CD. 2016. Motor cortical oscillations are abnormally suppressed during repetitive movement in patients with Parkinson's disease. *Clin Neurophysiol* 127: 664-74.

Storzer L, Butz M, Hirschmann J, Abbasi O, Gratkowski M, et al. 2017. Bicycling suppresses abnormal beta synchrony in the Parkinsonian basal ganglia. *Ann Neurol* 82: 592-601.

Stuart S, Vitorio R, Morris R, Martini DN, Fino PC & Mancini M. 2018. Cortical activity during walking and balance tasks in older adults and in people with Parkinson's disease: A structured review. *Maturitas* 113: 53-72.

Torrecillos F, Tinkhauser G, Fischer P, Green AL, Aziz TZ, et al. 2018. Modulation of Beta Bursts in the Subthalamic Nucleus Predicts Motor Performance. *J Neurosci* 38: 8905-17.

Tracy BL, Maluf KS, Stephenson JL, Hunter SK & Enoka RM. 2005. Variability of motor unit discharge and force fluctuations across a range of muscle forces in older adults. *Muscle Nerve* 32: 533-40.

Vallesi A, McIntosh AR, Kovacevic N, Chan SC & Stuss DT. 2010. Age effects on the asymmetry of the motor system: evidence from cortical oscillatory activity. *Biol Psychol* 85: 213-8.

Van Criekinge T, Saeys W, Hallemans A, Van de Walle P, Vereeck L, et al. 2018. Age-related differences in muscle activity patterns during walking in healthy individuals. *J Electromyogr Kinesiol* 41: 124-31.

van Wijk BCM, Beek PJ & Daffertshofer A. 2012. Neural synchrony within the motor system: What have we learned so far? *Front Hum Neurosci* 6: 252.

Verghese J, Wang C, Ayers E, Izzetoglu M & Holtzer R. 2017. Brain activation in high-functioning older adults and falls: Prospective cohort study. *Neurology* 88: 191-97.

Wagner J, Makeig S, Gola M, Neuper C & Muller-Putz G. 2016. Distinct beta band oscillatory networks subserving motor and cognitive control during gait adaptation. *J Neurosci* 36: 2212-26.

Wagner J, Solis-Escalante T, Scherer R, Neuper C & Muller-Putz G. 2014. It's how you get there: Walking down a virtual alley activates premotor and parietal areas. *Front Hum Neurosci* 8: 93.

Wai YY, Wang JJ, Weng YH, Lin WY, Ma HK, et al. 2012. Cortical involvement in a gait-related imagery task: Comparison between Parkinson's disease and normal aging. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord* 18: 537-42.

Weiss D, Breit S, Hoppe J, Hauser AK, Freudenstein D, et al. 2012. Subthalamic nucleus stimulation restores the efferent cortical drive to muscle in parallel to functional motor improvement. *Eur J Neurosci* 35: 896-908.

Wilson CJ. 2015. Oscillators and oscillations in the basal ganglia. *Neuroscientist* 21: 530-9.

Wilson J, Allcock L, Mc Ardle R, Taylor JP & Rochester L. 2018. The neural correlates of discrete gait characteristics in ageing: A Structured Review. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 100: 344-69.

Winter DA & Eng P. 1995. Kinetics: Our window into the goals and strategies of the central nervous system. *Behav Brain Res* 67: 111-20.

Yang JF & Winter DA. 1984. Electromyographic amplitude normalization methods: improving their sensitivity as diagnostic tools in gait analysis. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 65: 517-21.

Yoshida T, Masani K, Zabjek K, Chen R & Popovic MR. 2017. Dynamic cortical participation during bilateral, cyclical ankle movements: effects of aging. *Sci Rep* 7: 44658.

Yoshida T, Masani K, Zabjek K, Popovic MR & Chen R. 2018. Dynamic cortical participation during bilateral, cyclical ankle movements: Effects of Parkinson's disease. *PLoS One* 13: e0196177.

Zwergal A, Linn J, Xiong G, Brandt T, Strupp M & Jahn K. 2012. Aging of human supraspinal locomotor and postural control in fMRI. *Neurobiol Aging* 33: 1073-84.

Supplementary Material

Table S1 Main effect of condition and mean estimates of temporal gait parameters

Gait parameter	Overground		Treadmill		Condition effect	
	mean	SEM	mean	SEM	F	P
Walking speed (km/h)	4.05	0.065	4.04	0.065	1.03	0.31
Stride time (s)	**1.104**	**0.01**	**1.067**	**0.01**	**30.84**	**<0.001**
Stride time variability (s)	0.021	0.001	0.019	0.001	1.93	0.17
Step time (s)	**0.545**	**0.005**	**0.529**	**0.005**	**22.93**	**<0.001**
Step time variability (s)	0.011	0.001	0.011	0.001	0.002	0.96
Stance phase (s)	**0.697**	**0.008**	**0.676**	**0.008**	**15.84**	**<0.001**
Swing phase/ Single support (s)	**0.395**	**0.004**	**0.384**	**0.004**	**6.93**	**0.01**
Double support (s)	0.150	0.007	0.158	0.007	0.61	0.44

Table S2 Main effect of condition for spectral measures and mean estimates with 95% confidence intervals

Spectral measure	Overground		Treadmill		Condition effect		
	mean	95% CI	mean	95% CI	F	P	$P_{adjusted}$
EEG power (%)							
Theta	24.5	19.1-29.8	16.4	11.0-21.9	**5.53**	**0.020**	**0.042**
Alpha	21.8	16.8-26.8	21.1	16.0-26.2	0.07	0.79	0.82
Low beta	27.1	21.6-32.6	21.5	16.0-27.1	3.38	0.068	0.13
High beta	22.9	17.9-27.9	14.0	8.9-19.1	**11.1**	**0.001**	**0.004**
Gamma	18.7	14.8-22.5	12.8	8.9-16.7	**6.81**	**0.010**	**0.025**
EMG power (log)							
Theta	9.7	9.6-9.8	9.5	9.4-9.6	**11.5**	**0.001**	**0.004**
Alpha	8.9	8.8-9.0	8.7	8.6-8.9	**11.5**	**0.001**	**0.004**
Low beta	8.6	8.5-8.7	8.4	8.3-8.6	**13.8**	**<0.0005**	**0.004**
High beta	8.2	8.1-8.3	8.1	8.0-8.2	**19.8**	**<0.0005**	**0.004**
Gamma	7.9	7.8-8.0	7.7	7.6-7.8	**17.7**	**<0.0005**	**0.004**
ITC EEG (z-score)							
Theta	12.4	11.4-13.4	12.7	11.7-13.8	0.25	0.62	0.70
Alpha	9.4	8.4-10.3	10.3	9.4-11.3	2.99	0.085	0.15
Low beta	9.6	8.6-10.7	9.3	8.2-10.3	0.36	0.55	0.65
High beta	8.2	7.2-9.1	7.4	6.5-8.4	2.53	0.11	0.18
Gamma	6.0	5.3-6.8	5.4	4.6-6.2	2.30	0.13	0.20
ITC EMG (z-score)							
Theta	22.9	21.8-24.1	22.4	21.2-23.5	1.55	0.22	0.31
Alpha	14.6	13.7-15.6	14.3	13.4-15.3	0.43	0.51	0.64
Low beta	10.3	9.5-11.0	10.8	10.1-11.6	2.18	0.14	0.21
High beta	6.3	5.6-7.0	5.6	4.9-6.3	**7.02**	**0.009**	**0.025**
Gamma	3.5	3.1-4.0	2.9	2.4-3.4	**10.5**	**0.001**	**0.004**
CMC (z-score)							
Theta	8.2	7.4-8.9	8.2	7.5-9.0	0.02	0.89	0.89
Alpha	4.3	3.8-4.9	4.6	4.0-5.1	0.64	0.42	0.55
Low beta	3.2	2.8-3.6	3.1	2.7-3.5	0.18	0.67	0.73
High beta	1.7	1.4-1.9	1.3	1.0-1.6	**6.15**	**0.014**	**0.032**
Gamma	0.9	0.7-1.0	0.7	0.5-0.8	**6.92**	**0.009**	**0.025**

CI, confidence interval; ITC, inter-trial coherence; CMC, corticomuscular coherence.

Table S3 Post-hoc comparisons of significant group effects

Spectral measure	Healthy young		Healthy old		PD		P-value pairwise comparisons		
	mean	95% CI	mean	95% CI	mean	95% CI	Young-old	Young-PD	Old-PD
EMG power (log)									
Theta	9.9	9.7-10.1	9.5	9.3-9.7	9.3	9.1-9.5	**0.007**	**0.0002**	0.18
Alpha	9.1	8.9-9.2	8.7	8.5-8.9	8.6	8.4-8.8	**0.01**	**0.002**	0.5
Low beta	8.8	8.6-9.0	8.4	8.3-8.6	8.3	8.1-8.5	**0.004**	**0.001**	0.46
High beta	8.4	8.2-8.5	8.1	7.9-8.3	7.9	7.8-8.1	**0.02**	**0.001**	0.19
Gamma	8.0	7.9-8.2	7.8	7.7-8.0	7.6	7.4-7.8	0.07	**0.001**	0.08
ITC EMG (z-score)									
Theta	24.6	22.8-26.4	23.0	21.3-24.8	20.3	18.4-22.2	0.2	**0.002**	**0.04**
CMC (z-score)									
Low beta	4.1	3.5-4.7	2.7	2.1-3.2	2.7	2.1-3.3	**0.001**	**0.002**	0.9

CI, confidence interval; ITC, inter-trial coherence; CMC, corticomuscular coherence.

Table S4 Effect sizes (Cohen's d_s) of pairwise group comparisons

Spectral measure	Young-Old		Young-PD		Old-PD	
	d_s	95% CI	d_s	95% CI	d_s	95% CI
EEG power (%)						
Theta	0.11	-0.47, 0.69	-0.33	-0.95, 0.3	-0.44	-1.06, 0.18
Alpha	-0.18	-0.76, 0.40	-0.42	-1.05, 0.21	-0.24	-0.85, 0.38
Low beta	-0.70	-1.30, -0.10	-0.74	-1.37, -0.09	-0.02	-0.63, 0.59
High beta	-0.28	-0.90, 0.31	-0.10	-0.72, 0.53	0.18	-0.43, 0.79
Gamma	-0.29	-0.90, 0.30	0.34	-0.27, 0.97	0.64	0.00, 1.26
EMG power (log)						
Theta	-0.82	-1.42, -0.21,	-1.22	-1.89, -0.53	-0.41	-1.02, 0.21
Alpha	-0.79	-1.38, -0.18	-0.99	-1.60, -0.32	-0.20	-0.81, 0.41
Low beta	-0.89	-1.49, -0.28	-1.11	-1.78, -0.43	-0.22	-0.84, 0.39
High beta	-0.71	-1.31, -0.11	-1.12	-1.78, -0.44	-0.40	-1.02, 0.22
Gamma	-0.54	-1.13, 0.05	-1.09	-1.75, -0.41	-0.55	-1.17, 0.08
ITC EEG (z-score)						
Theta	0.16	-0.42, 0.74	-0.05	-0.68, 0.57	-0.22	-0.83, 0.40
Alpha	-0.05	-0.626, 0.53	-0.33	-0.96, 0.30	-0.29	-0.90, 0.33
Low beta	-0.60	-1.19, 0.00	-0.89	-1.54, -0.23	-0.28	-0.89, 0.33
High beta	-0.32	-0.90, 0.26	-0.45	-1.08, 0.19	-0.12	-0.73, 0.49
Gamma	-0.33	-0.91, 0.26	-0.10	-0.72, 0.53	0.24	-0.38, 0.85
ITC EMG (z-score)						
Theta	-0.37	-0.95, 0.22	-1.00	-1.66, -0.34	-0.64	-1.26, -0.01
Alpha	-0.42	-1.01, 0.17	-0.85	-1.50, -0.19	-0.42	-1.03, 0.20
Low beta	-0.71	-1.30, -0.11	-0.50	-1.13, 0.14	0.22	-0.39, 0.83
High beta	-0.58	-1.17, 0.01	-0.54	-1.17, 0.10	0.06	-0.55, 0.67
Gamma	-0.38	-0.96, 0.21	-0.31	-0.94, 0.32	0.07	-0.54, 0.68
CMC (z-score)						
Theta	-0.11	-0.69, 0.47	-0.53	-1.16, 0.11	-0.41	-1.03, 0.21
Alpha	-0.40	-0.98, 0.19	-0.78	-1.42, -0.13	-0.37	-0.99, 0.25
Low beta	-1.05	-1.67, -0.43	-1.01	-1.66, -0.34	-0.04	-0.65, 0.57
High beta	-0.78	-1.37, -0.17	-0.67	-1.30, -0.02	0.13	-0.49, 0.74
Gamma	-0.38	-0.96, 0.21	-0.28	-0.90, 0.35	0.11	-0.50, 0.72

CI, confidence interval; ITC, inter-trial coherence; CMC, corticomuscular coherence.

Table S5 Main effect of condition for EMG envelopes and mean estimates with 95% confidence intervals

EMG envelope amplitude (%) at gait cycle phase	Overground		Treadmill		Condition effect	
	mean	95% CI	mean	95% CI	F	P
Foot drop	57.1	52.7, 61.4	51.9	47.6, 56.3	9.26	0.003
Foot lift	58.1	55.1, 61.1	59.8	56.8, 62.9	2.42	0.12

CI, confidence interval.