# Disentangling neuronal inhibition and inhibitory pathways in the lateral habenula

Jack F. Webster[1], Rozan Vroman[1], Shuzo Sakata[1] and Christian Wozny[1,*]

[1] Strathclyde Institute of Pharmacy and Biomedical Sciences

University of Strathclyde

161 Cathedral Street

Glasgow

G4 0RE, UK

* Corresponding author:     christian.wozny@strath.ac.uk

Phone:                      +44 (0)141 548 2122

## Abstract

The lateral habenula (LHb) is hyperactive in depression, and thus inhibition of this structure makes an interesting target for future antidepressant therapies. However, the circuit mechanisms mediating inhibitory signalling within the LHb are not well-known. We addressed this issue by studying LHb neurons expressing either parvalbumin (PV) or Neuron-derived neurotrophic factor (Ndnf), two markers of particular sub-classes of neocortical inhibitory neurons. In the LHb, we report that Ndnf is not representative of any particular neuronal sub-population. In contrast, we find that PV is expressed by multiple physiologically diverse sub-classes of LHb neurons. Furthermore, we identify two previously unknown distinct sources of inhibitory input arising from PV-positive neurons both locally, and from the medial dorsal thalamic nucleus. These findings hence provide new insight into inhibitory control within the LHb, and highlight that this structure is more neuronally diverse than previously thought.

## Acknowledgement

We are grateful to Hongkui Zeng from the Allen Brain Institute, Seattle, for kindly sharing the Ai9 reporter mice with us, and we thank Csaba Földy, Brain Research Institute, University of Zurich, Switzerland, for generously providing us with NPY-hrGFP mice.

This work was supported by a Royal Society Research Grant, RG160549 (C.W.), a Wellcome Trust Seed Award, 205917/z/17/Z (C.W.), Small Research Grant from Tenovus Scotland, Project S16/19 (C.W.), and BBSRC (BB/M00905X/1 to S.S.).

J.F.W. holds a studentship funded by the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC).

We further thank the Wozny lab for helpful discussions. The authors have no financial conflicts of interest.

## Contributions

J.F.W. performed the experiments. R.V. contributed to experiments. J.F.W. analysed the data. C.W. designed and supervised the study and helped J.F.W writing the manuscript. R.V. and S.S. contributed to the manuscript and discussions.

## Introduction

The lateral habenula (LHb) is an epithalamic brain structure, which acts as an inhibitory modulator of the midbrain reward circuitry, including the ventral tegmental area (VTA)[1–3] and the raphe nuclei[4]. Recently, the LHb has drawn renewed attention[5] due to the revelation that it becomes pathologically hyperactive in major depressive disorder (MDD)[6–12], thus providing excessive inhibition to these reward centres and silencing the associated positive emotions. Concurrently, this makes the LHb an intriguing target for novel antidepressant therapies[6,7,9,11–14]. Notably, multiple studies have shown that potentiation of inhibition within the LHb yields an antidepressant effect[9,10,13,15], likely by disinhibition of the reward circuitry. Despite this, however, the neural circuitry which mediates inhibitory signalling within the LHb is not well understood. To date, very few populations of local inhibitory neurons have been identified within the LHb[16–18], and external inhibitory input appears to arise primarily from GABA / glutamate co-releasing fibres[8,19–21]. Yet the LHb does receive a large inhibitory input[9,17], and recently some exclusively GABAergic fibres have been reported to project to it[15,22,23]. It is hence likely that other as-of-yet undefined circuitry components mediate inhibitory signalling within the LHb, and studying these may yield further insights into the underlying mechanisms by which it is implicated in the pathogenesis of MDD.

We therefore sought to address this issue of inhibitory control within the LHb. Parvalbumin (PV) has long been accepted as a neuronal marker associated with particular sub-populations of inhibitory interneurons within many structures throughout the brain including the neocortex[24], hippocampus[25] and striatum[26]. Neurogliaform cells, or at least neurons with almost identical characteristics to those in the neocortex,

have reportedly been identified within the LHb[16,17], however their function remains elusive. Neuron-derived neurotrophic factor (Ndnf) has recently been proposed to be expressed selectively by neocortical neurogliaform cells[27–29], while neuropeptide Y (NPY) is also an accepted, although not entirely selective, marker of these neurons[30].

Referring to the question of inhibitory control within the LHb, we therefore asked if these markers also represented distinct sub-populations of inhibitory neurons within this structure, and aimed to characterise the circuitry formed by neurons expressing them. In contrast to other brain regions, we find that Ndnf and NPY are not confined to any particular sub-population of LHb neuron. Strikingly, however, we report distinct sources of inhibitory input to the LHb from PV-positive neurons, arising from both locally-targeting LHb neurons, and from neurons in the medial dorsal thalamic nucleus.

## Results

**Excitatory and inhibitory transmission within the lateral habenula**

GABAergic signalling has previously been reported in the LHb[9,20]. To assess the balance of excitation and inhibition within the LHb, we simultaneously recorded spontaneous excitatory and inhibitory currents in LHb neurons using a low chloride intracellular recording solution (Fig. 1a; n = 10 neurons; N = 5 mice). For comparison, we recorded spontaneous events in L2/3 pyramidal neurons in the somatosensory cortex (n = 9; N = 4 mice). While the ratio of excitatory to inhibitory events was far larger in LHb neurons than in cortical neurons (Fig. 1b; 22.9 vs 2.3, respectively), we could clearly observe inhibitory currents with comparable frequency (Fig. 1c; 0.1 ± 0.0

Hz vs 0.5 ± 0.3 Hz, respectively; *p* = 0.23; two-tailed unpaired t-test), amplitude (Fig.

1c; 13.8 ± 1.3 pA vs 12.6 ± 1.1 pA, respectively; *p* = 0.49; two-tailed unpaired t-test)

and kinetics (Fig. 1d; rise time 1.3 ± 0.2 ms vs 1.0 ± 0.1 ms, respectively; *p* = 0.11;

half-width 2.1 ± 0.3 ms vs 1.7 ± 0.4 ms, respectively; *p* = 0.45; decay 16.1 ± 3.4 ms vs

13.4 ± 4.1 ms, respectively; *p* = 0.64; two-tailed unpaired t-test) to those in cortical

neurons. Hence we asked whether these events could be mediated by similar

inhibitory neurons as those in the cortex.

**Figure 1: Spontaneous inhibitory currents within the lateral habenula and somatosensory cortex. (a)** Example traces of spontaneous currents in an LHb neuron recorded in voltage clamp configuration. AMPA and NMDA-mediated excitatory currents and GABA$_A$-mediated inward currents could be observed simultaneously using a low-chloride intracellular solution. **(b)** Relative frequency of excitatory vs inhibitory currents in both LHb neurons (n = 10 neurons from 5 mice) and

somatosensory cortex L2/3 pyramidal neurons (n = 9 neurons from 4 mice). **(c)** Comparison of frequency (left) and amplitude (right) between inhibitory currents in LHb neurons and L2/3 pyramidal neurons. Data are mean ± SEM. **(d)** Comparison of kinetics (rise time, half-width and decay) between inhibitory currents in LHb neurons and L2/3 pyramidal neurons. Note for 4 LHb neurons, and 1 cortical neuron, no inhibitory currents were observed. For these neurons, frequency value was 0 Hz. However, as there were no measurable currents, these neurons have been excluded from analyses of current amplitude and kinetics.

**Ndnf and NPY do not act as selective markers for inhibitory neurons within the LHb**

Similar to reports in the neocortex[27–30], we first asked if Ndnf or NPY could act as selective markers for particular sub-populations of inhibitory neurons within the LHb. To test this we first made use of NPY-hrGFP mice, where GFP is expressed in NPY-positive neurons. Brains from these mice (N = 2) were sectioned in the coronal plane and imaged on a confocal microscope. In slices from NPY-hrGFP mice, while we did observe some sparse fibres in the LHb, we did not observe any NPY-positive somata (Fig. S1). We next crossed Ndnf-IRES-Cre mice to Ai9 reporter mice, so as to generate Ndnf-IRES-Cre::Ai9 offspring (N = 2), which expressed TdTomato in Ndnf-positive neurons (Fig. 2a). We did observe Ndnf-positive somata within the LHb in slices from Ndnf-IRES-Cre::Ai9 mice. However, only a small sub-population of these (14.3 %) co-localised with GABA (Fig. 2b and c). For comparison, we also imaged Ndnf expression in the somatosensory cortex. While Ndnf expression was largely confined to neocortical layer 1 (L1) in these slices, we also observed some expression in deeper

cortical layers (Fig. S2a). Additionally, most (75.8 %) but not all Ndnf-positive somata

co-localised with GABA (Fig. S2b), consistent with recent reports that Ndnf expression

is not entirely confined to L1 neurogliaform cells in the neocortex[28,29].

To gain a better insight into the characteristics of Ndnf-positive LHb neurons, we

also characterised their physiological properties in acute slices from Ndnf-IRES-

Cre::Ai9 mice (n = 29; N = 5 mice). In two of these cells, action potential discharge

could not be elicited upon current injection and hence we assumed these to be glial

cells and excluded from further analysis. We assessed passive physiological

properties in the remainder (n = 27) and observed no difference in resting membrane

potential in comparison to recordings from the general population of neurons in wild-

type C57/BL6 mice (Fig. 2d; n = 28 from 5 mice; -54.6 ± 1.3 vs -55.2 ± 1.4; $p$ = 0.78;

two-tailed unpaired t-test). We did, however, observe a significant difference in input

resistance (Fig. 2d; 775.9 ± 76.5 vs 544.0 ± 53.3; $p$ = 0.03; two-tailed unpaired t-test).

This was likely due to the fact that many recorded Ndnf-positive neurons were located

on the boundary with the medial habenula (Fig. 2e; n = 9), where neurons are known

to have very high input resistances[31]. This finding also supports the notion of the

recently identified intermediary region between the lateral and medial habenula

consisting of intermingled neurons from both regions[32]. Otherwise, physiological

properties of Ndnf-positive LHb neurons were largely consistent with previously

described LHb neuronal physiologies[16,33,34] in that almost all (n = 22 from 24 neurons

tested) displayed rebound action potential discharge upon hyperpolarizing current

injection, and a combination of tonic and bursting action potential discharge upon

depolarizing current injection (Fig. 2fi). We also reconstructed a small subset of these

neurons (n = 5) and observed that all neurons reconstructed exhibited 4-6 primary

dendrites, and a long unbranching axon (Fig. 2fii), therefore lacking the characteristic

axonal arbour that is a hallmark of neocortical neurogliaform cells[30].

To examine whether Ndnf-positive axonal fibres can elicit inhibitory transmission in

the LHb, we next crossed Ndnf-IRES-Cre mice to Ai32[35] reporter mice, to generate

offspring that express ChR2 and eYFP in Ndnf-positive neurons (Fig. 2g). We created

acute slices from these mice (N = 6) and recorded postsynaptic potentials in LHb

neurons (Fig. 2h; n = 21) in response to photostimulation. In the LHb, most responsive

neurons (n = 5 of 6) displayed a solely excitatory postsynaptic potential (EPSP), while

one neuron displayed a postsynaptic potential with both an NBQX-sensitive excitatory

component and a GABAzine-sensitive inhibitory component (Fig. 2i and j). These

responses were spread fairly evenly throughout the LHb (Fig. 2k), consistent with

confocal imaging of serial coronal sections from Ndnf-IRES-Cre::Ai32 mice (N = 2), in

that both Ndnf-positive fibres and somata were dispersed evenly throughout the LHb

(Fig. S3).

As a control, we also recorded photostimulation-induced postsynaptic potentials in

L2/3 pyramidal neurons (Fig. S2c) in acute slices from Ndnf-IRES-Cre::Ai32 (Fig. S2d-

f; n = 7; N = 6). Neurogliaform cells are known to mediate slow inhibition, with IPSPs

mediated by these neurons having larger $GABA_B$-components than other interneuron

sub-classes[36–39]. Therefore, we also expressed ChR2 in PV-positive neurons (termed

PV-IRES-Cre::Ai32 mice) and compared L2/3 pyramidal neuron IPSPs in Ndnf-IRES-

Cre::Ai32 slices to those in PV-IRES-Cre::Ai32 slices (Fig. S2d; n = 7; N = 6) upon

photostimulation. Consistently, we observed large IPSPs with comparable amplitude

in both lines, but with slower kinetics in the Ndnf-IRES-Cre::Ai32 slices (Fig. S2d)

indicative of a large $GABA_B$-component. Interestingly, in two neurons from Ndnf-IRES-

Cre::Ai32 slices, GABA$_A$ and GABA$_B$-blockade unmasked a small AMPA-mediated excitatory component (Fig. S2e and f). As with the Ndnf-IRES-Cre::Ai9 line, post-hoc confocal imaging of these slices also revealed some Ndnf-positive somata outside of L1 (Fig. S2g), hence confirming findings from recent reports[27–29] that Ndnf is largely, but not exclusively, confined to neocortical L1 inhibitory neurons.

Together, these results hence suggest that neither NPY nor Ndnf are selectively expressed by locally-targeting inhibitory neurons within the LHb. Instead, we find that no LHb neurons are NPY-positive, and that Ndnf is not expressed selectively by any sub-class of LHb neuron.

**Figure 2: Ndnf neurons are primarily non-inhibitory within the LHb. (a)** Schematic illustrating breeding scheme for generating Ndnf-IRES-Cre::Ai9 mice. **(b)** Confocal micrograph from an Ndnf-IRES-Cre::Ai9 mouse depicting Ndnf-positive neurons within the LHb (arrowheads). **(ci)** Bar chart quantifying total number of GABA-immunoreactive, and Ndnf-positive neurons counted and the number which co-expressed both (N = 2 mice). **(cii)** Fraction of neurons expressing both markers as a

percentage of GABA-immunoreactive neurons, and as a percentage of Ndnf-positive neurons. **(d)** Comparison of passive properties of Ndnf-positive LHb neurons (n = 27; N = 5 mice) and from the general population of LHb neurons recorded in C57 mice (n = 28; N = 5 mice). Data are mean ± SEM. **(e)** Schematic illustrating location of patched neurons throughout the habenular complex. In this case, all neurons recorded have been compressed onto one schematic to allow clear visualization of neurons recorded near the border with the medial habenula vs throughout the rest of the LHb. **(fi)** Example traces from an Ndnf-positive neuron in response to current injection. Current steps: -50 pA and 50 pA; 1 s duration. (**fii**) Example morphological reconstruction from an Ndnf-positive neuron. All reconstructed neurons (n = 5) displayed 4-6 primary dendrites and a single unbranching axon. **(g)** Schematic illustrating breeding scheme for generating Ndnf-IRES-Cre::Ai32 mice. **(h)** Schematic of recording scheme. LHb neurons were patched and blue light was used to stimulate both local and long-distance Ndnf-positive neurons. **(i)** Pie chart quantifying fraction of neurons responsive to photostimulation, and the nature of those responses. **(j)** Example traces from two separate neurons in which photostimulation elicited a response with both an NBQX-sensitive excitatory component, and a GABAzine-sensitive inhibitory component (top) and from a neuron in which photostimulation elicited an excitatory response (bottom). **(k)** Schematic illustrating location of patched neurons within the habenular complex, projected rostrally (top left) through caudally (bottom right). Non-responsive neurons are indicated by − while responsive neurons are indicated by: + EPSP only; + dual response. Sub-nuclear boundaries as defined by Andres et al., (1999) are indicated by dashed lines. Approximate rostral-caudal distances from Bregma (in mm) are indicated.

**PV-positive lateral habenular neurons form multiple physiologically distinct sub-classes**

PV-positive neurons are a well-defined sub-class of locally-targeting inhibitory neurons in several major brain structures such as the neocortex[24] and hippocampus[25]. Considering that we observed inhibitory currents in the LHb comparable to those in the neocortex (Fig. 1), we therefore asked if PV-positive neurons could be mediating inhibition within the LHb.

To address this question, we first implemented a histological approach and asked if PV-positive neurons in the LHb are GABAergic (Figs. 3 & S4). Within the LHb, neurons co-labelled with PV and GABA antibodies were clearly visible and appeared to be confined to the lateral portion of the LHb (Fig. 3a and b). However, these only represented a small sub-population (8.8 %; N = 3 mice) of total PV-positive LHb neurons (Fig. 3c). The remainder were non-GABAergic (Fig. 3a and c) and appeared to be mostly localized within two distinct clusters; one within the medial LHb and one within the lateral LHb along the rostral-caudal axis (Fig. 3b). As a control, we also quantified co-labelling in the somatosensory cortex where all PV-positive neurons are known to be inhibitory[24]. Within layers 2/3 of the somatosensory cortex, nearly all (98.1 %; N = 3 mice) PV-immunoreactive neurons were also GABA-immunoreactive (Fig. S4). While these results do indicate the existence of a small sub-population of GABAergic PV-positive LHb neurons, we find that the majority of PV-positive LHb neurons are not GABAergic.

**Figure 3: PV-immunoreactive neurons within the lateral habenula are primarily non-GABAergic. (ai)** 20x representative confocal micrographs displaying PV-immunoreactivity (left), GABA-immunoreactivity (middle) and merge of both (right) within the LHb. **(aii)** Zoom of boxed region in (ai) depicting PV-immunoreactive neurons which did not co-localise with GABA. **(aiii)** Zoom of boxed region in (ai) depicting a PV-immunoreactive neuron which did co-localise with GABA. **(b)** Schematic illustrating location of PV-immunoreactive only, or PV / GABA co-labelled neurons throughout the LHb in the rostral-caudal plane from one mouse, in which every second 60 μm section was analysed. Sub-nuclear boundaries as defined by Andres et al., (1999) are indicated by dashed lines. Approximate rostral-caudal distances from Bregma (in mm) are indicated. **(c)** Bar graphs showing total number of GABA-immunoreactive, PV-immunoreactive and GABA / PV co-localising (Co-L) LHb

neurons (left) and fractions of co-localising neurons as a percentage of total PV-immunoreactive and of GABA-immunoreactive neurons (right; N = 3 mice).

We sought to further characterise these neurons by assessing their physiological properties. We crossed PV-IRES-Cre mice with a Cre-dependent TdTomato reporter line (Ai9)[40] to generate PV-IRES-Cre::Ai9 transgenic offspring (Fig. 4a) and used fluorescence-assisted patch-clamp recordings to record from PV-positive LHb neurons (n = 19; N = 8 mice). Consistent with our histological data, most PV-positive neurons were clustered in either the medial or lateral LHb (Fig. 4b and c). When we compared the whole population of PV-positive neurons to the general population of LHb neurons recorded in wild-type C57/BL6 mice (n = 28 from 5 mice), we observed PV-positive neurons to have a slightly lower mean input resistance (366.2 ± 39.1 vs 544.0 ± 53.3; *p* = 0.015; two-tailed unpaired t-test) and similar resting membrane potential (-59.4 ± 2.6 vs -55.2 ± 1.4; *p* = 0.13; two-tailed unpaired t-test; Fig. 4di). However, the PV-positive neurons in the medial and lateral LHb could clearly be differentiated based on their physiological profile (Fig. 4dii and e). PV-positive neurons in the medial LHb frequently exhibited sub-threshold voltage oscillations (6 of 8 medial LHb neurons) while their counterparts in the lateral LHb did so very rarely (1 of 11 lateral LHb neurons, *p* = 0.006; Fishers exact test; Fig. 4e). Furthermore, a second distinctive population of PV-positive neurons appeared in the lateral LHb, identifiable by their hyperpolarized resting membrane potential (5 of 11 lateral LHb neurons; -77.0 ± 1.3 vs -59.4 ± 2.6 for all 19 PV-positive neurons; *p* = 0.001; two-tailed unpaired t-test) and lack of rebound action potential discharge (Fig. 4dii and e), a hallmark phenotype of LHb neurons[16,33,34].

We also performed morphological reconstruction of these neurons (Fig. 4f). Ten

neurons were sufficiently reconstructed to visualize a prolonged section of the axon.

In nine of these, this was an unbranching axon, possibly indicative of a projection

neuron (Fig. 4f). However, as the focus of this study was on local inhibitory control

within the LHb, we did not further test possible downstream projection targets of these

neurons. In the one remaining neuron, we did observe the axon to branch locally and

extensively, suggesting this to be a locally-targeting neuron. To study the local

connectivity of PV-positive LHb neurons, we also performed dual electrophysiological

recordings of PV-positive neurons and other non PV-expressing neurons within the

LHb (n = 7 connections tested) and only observed one postsynaptic IPSC from these

recordings, upon electrical stimulation of this neuron with a 2 ms depolarizing current

injection (Fig. 4g).

Taken together, these results indicate that PV-positive LHb neurons can be further

divided into multiple distinct sub-populations. While we do find that a small proportion

of these are GABAergic, the majority are non-GABAergic neurons which we assume

to be projection neurons. Furthermore, these non-GABAergic neurons can be

classified into distinct sub-populations based on physiological profile and location

within the LHb.

**Figure 4: PV-positive LHb neurons comprise multiple physiologically diverse populations. (a)** Schematic illustrating breeding scheme for generating PV-IRES-Cre::Ai9 mice. **(b)** Confocal micrograph depicting localization of PV-positive neurons within the LHb. The central and oval sub-nucleus approximate boundaries are indicated by dashed white lines. **(c)** Schematic illustrating location of patched neurons throughout the habenular complex. In this case, all neurons recorded have been compressed onto one schematic to allow clear visualization of neurons recorded in the lateral vs medial LHb. **(di)** Comparison of passive properties of PV-positive LHb neurons (n = 19; N = 8 mice) and from the general population of LHb neurons recorded in C57 mice (n = 28; N = 5 mice), and **(dii)** comparison of the same properties between PV positive neurons in the lateral LHb (n = 11) and medial LHb (n = 8). Data are mean

± SEM. **(e)** Example traces from PV-positive neurons in response to current injection.

Left: traces from a neuron in the lateral LHb. Right: traces from a neuron in the medial

LHb Asterisk indicates a section from the recording displaying characteristic sub-

threshold voltage oscillations. Current steps: -50 pA and 50 pA; 1 s duration. **(f)**

Example reconstructions from two PV-positive neurons. Left: a neuron with an

extensively-branching axon (observed in 1 of 10 reconstructions).   Right: a

representative reconstruction of neuron which displays an unbranching axon

(observed in 9 of 10 reconstructions). **(g)** Example traces from a presynaptic PV-

positive neuron electrically stimulated to fire an action potential (top; note this is the

same neuron as is reconstructed in E; right), and the corresponding IPSC in the

simultaneously recorded postsynaptic neuron.

**Input to the lateral habenula from PV-positive neurons is both excitatory and**

**inhibitory**

Previous studies have reported excitatory PV-positive neurons which project to the

LHb[21,41]. Considering this information, and also having observed a population of

inhibitory PV-positive LHb neurons (Fig. 3), we next asked whether PV-positive

neurons mediate primarily excitatory or inhibitory transmission within the LHb. We

crossed PV-IRES-Cre mice with Ai32[35] reporter mice to generate offspring where both

ChR2 and eYFP are expressed under the control of the PV promoter (Fig 5a). In these

transgenic mice, eYFP is expressed uniformly across the whole neuron. Thus,

sectioning and imaging of PV-IRES-Cre::Ai32 brains (N = 2) allowed us to visualize

both PV-positive somata and fibres within the LHb (Figs. 5b and S5). As with the

staining data (Fig. 3), and data from the PV-IRES-Cre::Ai9 line (Fig. 4a), PV-positive

somata were mostly confined to two clusters in either the medial or lateral LHb (Figs. 5b and S5). These clusters also appeared densely enriched with fibres (Figs. 5b and S5), and appeared to roughly correlate to the previously described central sub-nucleus of the medial LHb, or oval sub-nucleus of the lateral LHb[42]. While this dense enrichment of fibres could be processes from local PV-positive neurons, we speculated that they may also be from upstream PV-positive projection neurons, as these are known to specifically target the oval sub-nucleus of the LHb[21].

We made acute slices from these offspring, and optogenetically stimulated PV-positive neurons while recording from the general population of LHb neurons in whole-cell configuration (Fig. 5c, N = 19 animals). Photostimulation-induced postsynaptic potentials were observed in 29 of 76 (38.2 %) neurons recorded (Fig. 5d-f). The majority of these (18 of 29; 62.1 %) displayed a solely excitatory response (Fig. 5d, f and g). However, solely inhibitory responses were also observed with relative frequency (6 of 29 responsive cells; 20.7%) while on two occasions, we recorded postsynaptic potentials consisting of both an excitatory and inhibitory component (Fig. 5d, f and g). Furthermore, inhibitory postsynaptic potentials (IPSPs) were comparably larger than excitatory postsynaptic potentials (EPSPs) (mean 5.6 ± 1.3 vs 2.3 ± 0.6 mV, respectively; $p$ = 0.013; unpaired t-test; Fig. 5g). In three of these neurons, the induced IPSP was sufficiently large to momentarily silence spontaneous action potential discharge of the recorded neuron (Fig. 5g & h) in a manner which could be blocked by application of GABAzine. For comparison we also recorded from neurons in L2/3 of the somatosensory cortex (data not shown). Here, photostimulation could reliably induce action potential discharge in fast-spiking PV-positive neurons (data not shown). We also recorded from L2/3 pyramidal neurons (n = 7). In these neurons, photostimulation induced a large IPSP, which interestingly consisted of both a GABA$_A$

and a GABA<sub>B</sub>-mediated component (data not shown, n = 3 neurons tested). Hence, PV expression is confined to inhibitory neurons which target local pyramidal neurons in L2/3 of the somatosensory cortex. In contrast, we report that input PV-positive neurons mediate both excitatory and inhibitory input to the LHb.

**Figure 5: PV-positive neurons mediate both excitatory and inhibitory neurotransmission within the lateral habenula. (a)** Schematic illustrating breeding scheme for generating PV-IRES-Cre::Ai32 mice. **(b)** Representative confocal

micrograph depicting location of both PV-positive somata and fibres within the LHb. Arrowheads indicate PV-positive somata. **(c)** Schematic of recording scheme. LHb neurons were patched and blue light was used to stimulate both local and long-distance PV-positive neurons. **(d)** Example traces from two separate neurons in which light stimulation elicited an EPSP (top) and one in which it elicited an IPSP (bottom). Traces are averages of multiple traces superimposed over the traces from which the average was derived. Blue square denotes 2 ms light pulse. **(e)** Schematic illustrating location of patched neurons within the habenular complex, projected rostrally (top left) through caudally (bottom right). Non-responsive neurons are indicated by — while responsive neurons are indicated by: **+** EPSP only; **+** IPSP only; **+** dual response consisting of both an excitatory and inhibitory component and; **+** ChR2-expressing neuron in which an action potential not sensitive to NBQX was triggered upon photostimulation. Sub-nuclear boundaries as defined by Andres et al., (1999) are indicated by dashed lines. Approximate rostral-caudal distances from Bregma (in mm) are indicated. **(f)** Pie-chart summarizing (d). Pie-of-pie sub-categorizes neurons based on response to light-stimulation. **(g)** Before-after plot showing PSP size for neurons responsive to light which exhibited EPSPs (n = 16; note 2 neurons did not display a measurable EPSP at -50 mV) and IPSPs (n = 6) at -50 mV and with application of either GABAzine (2 µM) or NBQX (10 µM) to abolish the PSP. Two neurons which displayed both excitatory and inhibitory components are highlighted in red. One of these neurons was tested pharmacologically, where both GABAzine and NBQX were applied to fully abolish the PSP (red line). **(hi)** Example traces overlaid from a neuron in which light-stimulation was sufficient to inhibit spontaneous action potential firing (top), and which was completely blocked in the presence of GABAzine (bottom). **(hii)**

397  Raster plot of (Gi). Grey area denotes presence of GABAzine. Blue bar denotes light

398  2 ms light stimulation.

**400  Distinct intrinsic and extrinsic inhibitory inputs to the lateral habenula arise**

**401  from PV-positive neurons**

The excitatory input from PV-positive neurons to the LHb that we observed is

consistent with previous excitatory projections reported in the rodent entopeduncular

nucleus[21] and the ventral pallidum[41]. However, the inhibitory responses remain

unaccounted for. Referring to our histological data (Fig. 3) and dual recordings in PV-

IRES-Cre::Ai9 slices (Fig. 4f), we show that these GABA-immunoreactive PV neurons

are likely mediating local inhibition. To further test this, we intracranially injected PV-

IRES-Cre mice (N = 6) with a Cre-dependent AAV (Fig. 6a and methods) driving

expression of ChR2 and eYFP in Cre-expressing neurons into the LHb, so as to

express ChR2 selectively in PV-positive LHb neurons.

Two or more weeks following surgery, we observed robust eYFP expression within

the LHb (Fig. 6b and f). Recording from LHb neurons (n = 36) we occasionally

observed inhibitory responses upon photostimulation (n = 3), but observed no

excitatory responses (Fig. 6c-e). Together with our histological (Fig. 3) and

electrophysiological (Fig. 4f) data, these results indicate the existence of a small sub-

population of locally-targeting inhibitory PV-positive neuron.

However, while our vector clearly drove transgene expression in the LHb, we found

that the viral spread could occasionally not be exclusively confined to this structure,

likely due to the high neuronal tropism of AAV of serotype 9[43]. Thus, we observed

some infection in nearby thalamic neurons (Fig. 6g). In particular we noted that the medial-dorsal thalamic nucleus (MDT) directly ventral to the habenular complex appeared to exhibit a high number of infected neurons (Fig. 6f).

A recent study has identified that the lateral geniculate nucleus of the thalamus projects to the LHb[15] and we therefore speculated upon another connection between the MDT and the LHb. By targeting injections to the ventral MDT, we could confine injections to this region without infecting the LHb (N = 6 mice, Fig. 6g and hi). Upon confocal imaging, we could visualize fibres which appeared to be projecting dorsally from neuronal somata located in the MDT to the LHb (Fig. 6hii). Consistently, we recorded from LHb neurons (n = 47) while photostimulating MDT PV-positive neurons and observed inhibitory events in seven neurons (Fig. 6i and j; note in three these were only visible when the neuron was strongly depolarized). Strikingly, and consistent with our observation of fibre enrichment (Fig. S5), these fibres appeared to be exclusively targeting the lateral LHb; particularly the oval sub-nucleus[42] where all responsive neurons were recorded (Fig. 6k). Taken together, these results provide evidence for two distinct populations of inhibitory PV-positive neurons which provide input to the LHb; one local, and a second population of inhibitory projection neurons in the MDT.

**Figure 6: Distinct inhibitory inputs to the LHb arise from local PV-positive neurons, and from neurons in the medial dorsal thalamic nucleus. (ai)** Schematic illustrating stereotaxic injection protocol into the LHb. **(aii)** Schematic illustrating electrophysiology recording protocol for LHb neurons following stereotaxic viral

injection. Transduced PV-positive neurons are photostimulated while recording from nearby LHb neurons. **(b)** Confocal micrograph depicting a neuron which displayed an IPSP upon photostimulation and surrounding fibres from PV-positive neurons. **(c)** Pie chart quantifying fraction of neurons responsive to photostimulation. **(d)** Example traces from one neuron in which an IPSP could be elicited following photostimulation in voltage clamp (top) and current clamp (bottom). Blue bar denotes 2 ms photostimulation. **(e)** Before-after plot of the IPSP amplitude from 3 different neurons at different holding potentials and after application of GABAzine (2 neurons). **(f)** Confocal micrograph depicting neurons transduced to express eYFP following viral injection. Note that while expression is clearly observed within the LHb, neurons in the dorsal thalamus are also transduced. **(gi)** and **(ii)** As for (ai and ii), but with MDT injection protocol. **(hi)** Confocal micrograph depicting eYFP expression within the MDT following stereotaxic viral injection. **(hii)** Zoom of the outlined region in (Hi). Note the presence of fibres originating from neurons in the MDT which penetrate the LHb. **(i)** and **(j)** As for (c) and (d), but with MDT injection protocol. **(k)** Schematic illustrating location of patched neurons throughout the habenular complex. All neurons responsive to photostimulation were located in the oval sub-nucleus of the lateral habenula. Sub-nuclear boundaries as defined by Andres et al., (1999) are indicated by dashed lines. Approximate rostral-caudal distances from Bregma (in mm) are indicated.

## Discussion

In this study we sought to address the issue of inhibitory control within the LHb. We implemented the use of two markers known to represent distinct sub-populations of inhibitory neurons within the neocortex[24,27,28], hippocampus[25] and striatum[26]. We provide evidence for two distinct sources of inhibitory input to the LHb mediated by populations of PV-positive neurons. Additionally, our work serves to challenge the association of these markers with inhibitory identity outside of the defined regions in that both of these markers are largely associated with excitatory transmission in the LHb, and that many PV-positive LHb neurons are non-GABAergic.

### PV neurons provide input to the LHb from a variety of sources

The subject of locally-targeting inhibitory neurons within the LHb has classically been a topic of debate[16,44]. In this work we show that at least two distinct populations of PV-positive neurons provide inhibitory input to the LHb; one locally-targeting and one located ventrally in the MDT. Strikingly, these neurons appear to selectively target neurons located within the lateral LHb; particularly the oval sub-nucleus (Figs. 6d and k). While the efferent targets of the LHb have long been known[45], dissecting the output pathways with respect to distinct habenular sub-regions is a relatively novel idea[46]. Indeed it is now known that topographical organisation of LHb outputs exist and it is believed that neurons in the lateral LHb specifically project to the rostromedial tegmental nucleus (RMTg)[46], the primary inhibitory modulator of the VTA[47,48]. Thus it is interesting to speculate whether these two distinct sources of inhibition converge specifically on RMTg-projecting neurons in the lateral LHb. If this were to be the case, theoretically these neurons would be well poised to reduce excitatory output to the RMTg and reduce the 'reward aversion' signalling from the LHb[3,7]. Further work

employing *in vivo* optogenetic and chemogenetic manipulations in combination with

behavioural testing could serve to answer these questions.

In addition to these two populations of PV-positive inhibitory neurons, our results

also imply the existence of several physiologically diverse sub-populations of PV-

positive neurons within the LHb (Fig. 4), which we assume to be projection neurons.

These neurons possess clearly distinct physiological properties from the generic LHb

neuron physiology[16,33,34,] and also appear to be roughly obey sub-nuclear

boundaries[42]. Thus we also speculate that these neurons may have differing projection

targets and consequently differing functions. Further studies employing the use of Cre-

dependent viral tracers are necessary to delineate the circuitry that these projection

neurons comprise.

**An absence of markers for studying the role of neurogliaform cells in the LHb**

Selective markers for studying the role of inhibitory neurogliaform cells in cortical

circuits have been sparse[30] and we thus sought to validate the use of Ndnf as such a

marker in the somatosensory cortex[27]. Our results are consistent with other recent

reports[28,29] in that we find Ndnf to be mostly, but not exclusively, confined to inhibitory

neurons which mediate inhibitory transmission through both $GABA_A$ and $GABA_B$

receptors (Fig. S2). In contrast we find no such evidence in the LHb (Fig. 2).

Consistently, we observed no neuronal somata positive for NPY (Fig. S1). Therefore,

our results do not support the notion of the existence of neurogliaform cells within the

LHb[16,17]; or at least not those with similar molecular marker expression to those

described in the neocortex[27–29]. However, these previous works were carried out in rat,

hence we cannot exclude that this discrepancy is simply a species difference. We did

observe GABA-immunoreactive Ndnf-positive LHb neurons. However, these only

represent a small fraction of Ndnf-positive neurons (Fig. 2b).  Hence, while it is therefore possible that neurogliaform cells are present within the mouse LHb, we conclude that neither Ndnf nor NPY can be used as a marker to study them.

**A need for sub-classifying LHb neurons based on physiology properties and molecular marker expression**

Much invaluable information regarding the LHb has come from histological[44,42,49] and transcriptomic studies[17,32,50]. These works have provided great insight into the organization of the LHb neuronal circuitry with reference to particular protein and gene expression patterns. However, these approaches do not permit these findings to be correlated with neuronal physiology at the single cell level. Indeed, recent reports have highlighted that individual LHb neurons which exhibit 'bursting' physiological phenotypes are associated with depression[11,12], and thus a greater understanding of LHb neuronal physiology, and how this physiology links to molecular protein expression is of great value.

Within this work, we have approached this problem by investigating the physiological properties of neurons expressing defined molecular markers. In the case of PV-positive LHb neurons alone, we show that these can be sub-classified into inhibitory neurons, and at least two distinct classes of non-inhibitory neurons. Thus, implementing the use of further molecular markers will allow further sub-classification of LHb neurons with respect to these markers and physiological properties, which can also provide a platform to link novel circuitry to behavioural outcome.

**The role of inhibitory signalling within the LHb**

What could be the role of inhibitory signalling within the LHb? It is well established that the LHb is hyperactive in MDD[6–12], and that reducing LHb hyperexcitability by altering the balance of excitatory and inhibitory signalling has an antidepressant effect[7–9,20,21]. A striking feature of many neurons innervating the LHb is that they co-release GABA and glutamate and hence much work has focussed on the role that these neurons play in modulating LHb excitability[8,19–21,51]. Yet, both local[18] and projection[15,23] GABAergic neurons are also known to innervate the LHb.

How these GABAergic neurons modulate the LHb in MDD remains to be fully established. Indeed, one could speculate that if excitatory inputs to the LHb are potentiated in MDD[7], then GABAergic tone may be enhanced. If this were to be the case, then one may also speculate that increasing GABAergic tone should also have an antidepressant effect. For this reason, we believe GABAergic innervation of the LHb to be an important topic of study. Interestingly, our results seem to point to a target selectivity of inhibitory PV-positive neurons at the sub-regional, possibly even single cellular level (Figs. 6d and 4k). We also show that these inhibitory neurons are capable of silencing the spontaneous activity of LHb neurons (Fig. 5h). Therefore, it is reasonable to assume that the role played by these inhibitory neurons in modulating LHb neuronal excitability is not negligible, and hence a greater understanding of this inhibitory control is an exciting concept.

**Conclusions**

We have investigated the mechanisms of inhibitory control within the LHb and have defined two distinct sources of inhibitory input from both locally-targeting PV-positive

LHb neurons, and from those in the MDT. We also report multiple physiologically

diverse populations of non-GABAergic PV-positive LHb neurons, and provide further

evidence[21,41] for excitatory input to the LHb from PV-positive projection neurons (Fig.

5). These results, combined with the lack of specificity of Ndnf for neurogliaform cells

within the LHb, also indicate that these markers represent broadly diverse populations

of neurons on a region-to-region basis and therefore these populations must be

validated in each case.

## Materials and Methods

### Animals

All procedures were approved by the Ethics committee of the University of Strathclyde,

Glasgow in accordance with the relevant UK legislation (the Animals (Scientific

Procedures) Act, 1986). Male and female mice from each strain were used in this work.

All animals were maintained on a C57BL/6 background, and kept on a 12:12 light/dark

cycle under standard group housing conditions with unlimited access to water and

normal mouse chow. New-born pups were housed with parents until weaning at P21.

To generate transgenic reporter-bearing offspring, transgenic mice of either the PV-

IRES-Cre (Jax. ID 017320)[52] or Ndnf-IRES-Cre (Jax. ID 025836)[27] driver lines were

crossed with either Ai32 (Jax. ID 025109)[35] or Ai9 (Jax. ID 007909)[40] reporter mice

driving expression of Channelrhodospin-2 (ChR2) and enhanced yellow fluorescent

protein (eYFP), or the enhanced red fluorescent protein variant tdTomato in a Cre-

dependent manner, respectively. The resulting offspring strains are hence referred to

as: PV-IRES-Cre::Ai32, Ndnf-IRES-Cre::Ai32, PV-IRES-Cre::Ai9, and Ndnf-IRES-Cre::Ai9. NPY-hrGFP mice[53] were also used in this study.

**Stereotaxic viral injections**

PV-IRES-Cre mice (P31-48) were deeply anaesthetized via inhaled isoflurane (5 % for induction; 1-2 % for maintenance), transferred to a stereotaxic frame (Narishige, Tokyo, Japan) and were subcutaneously injected with the analgesics carprofen (5 mg/kg) in the nape and lidocaine (4 mg/kg) under the scalp. Intracranial injections were made using a glass micropipette pulled using a PC-100 vertical puller (Narishige, Tokyo, Japan). Under aseptic conditions, the skull was exposed and a small burr hole was drilled above the habenula within the left hemisphere. The injection capillary was then advanced, and ApAAV-EF1α-Switch:NLSmRuby2/ChR2(H134R)-EYFP41 HGHpA[54] (titre: $2 \times 10^{13}$ vector genomes / mL) was injected into either the LHb or medial dorsal thalamic nucleus (MDT) at a rate of 25 nL / min using a pressure microinjector (Narishige, Tokyo, Japan). ApAAV-EF1α-Switch:NLSmRuby2/ChR2(H134R)-EYFP41 HGHpA is a Cre-dependent adeno-associated virus of serotype 9 which drives expression of ChR2 and eYFP in Cre-expressing cells, or the red fluorescent protein variant mRuby2 in the absence of Cre. Parameters for LHb-targeted injections were: coordinates (from Bregma, in mm) AP -1.5, ML -0.4, depth 3; volume 50 nL; N = 6 mice. Parameters for MDT-targeted injections were: AP -1.355, ML -0.75 depth 3.5; volume 200 nL; N = 2 mice or AP -1.155, ML -0.45 depth 3.7; volume 200 nL; N = 4 mice. Note that for the MDT-targeted injection coordinates were adjusted following data acquisition from the first round of experiments. Following injection, the needle was left for 10 minutes to allow the virus

to diffuse before being slowly withdrawn. Animals were allowed to recover from anaesthesia on a heat pad. Following completion of surgery, animals were given at least two weeks to allow expression of the virus before acute slice preparation for electrophysiology. Upon completion of electrophysiology, viral spread was assessed by imaging on a Leica SP5 or SP8 confocal microscope.

**Acute brain slice preparation**

C57 BL/6 (N = 5; P21-28), PV-IRES-Cre::Ai32 (N = 19; P23-40), PV-IRES-Cre::Ai9 (N = 8; P23-33), Ndnf-IRES-Cre::Ai32 (N = 6; P21-28), Ndnf-IRES-Cre::Ai9 (N = 5; P21-36) or surgically injected PV-IRES-Cre mice (described above) were humanely euthanized by cervical dislocation and immediately decapitated, and brains were rapidly removed and transferred to ice-cold oxygenated (95% $O_2$; 5% $CO_2$) sucrose-based artificial cerebro-spinal fluid (ACSF) solution containing (in mM): sucrose 50, NaCl 87, $NaHCO_3$ 25, KCl 3, $NaH_2PO_4$ 1.25, $CaCl_2$ 0.5, $MgCl_2$ 3, sodium pyruvate 3 and glucose 10. Brains sections containing the habenula were then cut in the coronal plane at 250-300 µm on a Leica VT1200S vibratome (Leica Biosystems, Newcastle-upon-Tyne, UK). In order to ensure slices contained the habenula, the hippocampus was used as a visual guidance due to the easily identifiable structure and immediate proximity to the habenula. Following sectioning, slices were incubated in oxygenated sucrose-based ACSF at 35 ºC for 30 minutes, and then incubated for a further 30 minutes at room temperature in ACSF containing (in mM) NaCl 115, $NaHCO_3$ 25, KCl 3, $NaH_2PO_4$ 1.25, $CaCl_2$ 2, $MgCl_2$ 1, sodium pyruvate 3 and glucose 10. Following the incubation period, slices were stored at room temperature in oxygenated ACSF.

**Electrophysiological recordings**

Individual slices were transferred to a recording chamber and continually perfused with oxygenated ACSF at a flow rate of 2-3 mL / min, and visualized with a Luigs and Neumann LN-Scope System (Luigs and Neumann, Ratingen, Germany). The habenula is easily identifiable under differential interference contrast microscopy even at low magnification and hence a 4X objective was used to locate the lateral habenular nucleus. A 60X objective was then used to identify suitable cells for whole-cell recordings. In the case of transgenic PV-IRES-Cre::Ai9 or Ndnf-IRES-Cre::Ai9 slices, TdTomato-expressing cells could be selectively visualized with an Olympus XM10 fluorescent camera (Olympus, Southend-on-Sea, UK) upon photostimulation with a blue LED (pE-300$^{ultra}$, Cool LED, Andover, UK). Recordings were made with a Multiclamp 700B Amplifier (Molecular Devices, California, USA). Glass micropipettes were filled with a solution containing (in mM) potassium gluconate 125, HEPES 10, KCl 6, EGTA 0.2, $MgCl_2$ 2, Na-ATP 2, Na-GTP 0.5, sodium phosphocreatine 5, and with 0.2 % biocytin. pH was adjusted to 7.2 with KOH. For spontaneous current measurement experiments, a reduced chloride intracellular solution was used consisting of (in mM) potassium gluconate 140, potassium chloride 2, EGTA 0.2, Hepes 10, NaATP 2, NaGTP 0.5 and sodium phosphocreatine 5.

Once in whole-cell patch mode, the intrinsic properties of LHb neurons were assessed in current-clamp configuration using a stepping protocol consisting of 1 s long injections of increasing current (range: -250-250 pA; step size: 10-50 pA for LHb neurons and -500-1000 pA; step size 100 pA for cortical neurons). Action potential firing pattern was assessed in response to depolarizing current injection, while hyperpolarizing current injection allowed the characterisation of rebound action

potential firing of neurons. Resting membrane potential (RMP) was assessed by recording the spontaneous activity of each neuron with no current injection for at least 30 seconds, while membrane input resistance was monitored by injecting a small hyperpolarizing pulse (100 ms; -10 to -100 pA) and measuring the voltage change. Spontaneous currents were observed in voltage clamp at a holding potential of -60 mV. Series resistance was monitored throughout. All neuronal voltage and current signals were low pass-filtered at 10 kHz and acquired at 25 kHz using an ITC-18 digitizer interface (HEKA, Pfalz, Germany). The data acquisition software used was Axograph X.

**Multi-cell recordings**

To assess local connectivity between PV-positive LHb neurons and other LHb neurons, whole-cell patch configuration was achieved in two neurons simultaneously as described above and potential presynaptic neurons were held at -60 mV in current clamp configuration while potential postsynaptic neurons were held in voltage clamp and depolarized to -20 mV. Characterisation of synaptic connections between simultaneously patched lateral habenular neurons was achieved by repeatedly stimulating one neuron at with a 2 ms depolarizing current injections sufficient to reliably generate a single action potential per pulse individually, or as a train of eight 10-20 Hz pulses, and measuring current change where a connection was identified in the postsynaptic neuron.

**Optogenetic experiments and pharmacology**

For optogenetic experiments, acute brain slices were prepared from transgenic PV-IRES-Cre::Ai32 and Ndnf-IRES-Cre::Ai32 offspring as above in darkness. Whole-cell patch configuration was achieved and neuronal recordings were obtained at varying holding potentials as slices were illuminated with a blue LED pulse (a single pulse of 2 to 200 ms, or a train of 2 ms pulses at 10 to 100 Hz, power 11.5 mW) to elicit postsynaptic events. Where required, SR-95531 (2 µM; henceforth referred to as GABAzine), NBQX (10 µM) or CGP-52432 (10 µM) (all from Tocris, Bristol, UK) were washed into the perfusion bath via the perfusion pump.

**Immunohistochemistry and neuronal recovery**

Following electrophysiological recordings, slices containing neurons which had been patched and filled with biocytin were processed as previously described[39]. Briefly, slices were fixed overnight in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) dissolved in 0.1 M sodium-based phosphate buffered saline (PBS). After fixation, slices were washed 3 x 5 minutes in 0.1 M PBS, and then incubated for 1 hour in a blocking solution consisting of 5% normal goat serum (NGS) and 1% Triton X-100. Slices were then allowed to incubate on a shaker at room temperature overnight in a primary antibody mixture containing 2.5% NGS and 1% Triton in PBS along with the required primary antibodies. Primary antibodies and dilutions used in this study were: mouse anti-PV (1/4000; Swant, Marly, Switzerland) and rabbit anti-GABA (1/200; Sigma-Aldrich, Dorset, UK). Upon completion of the primary incubation step, slices were washed 2 x 5 minutes in 0.1 M PBS and incubated for 2-3 hours in a secondary antibody cocktail

containing the relevant secondary antibodies along with streptavidin (conjugated to Alex Fluor 488 or 647; 1/500 dilution; Life Technologies, Paisley, UK), in order to recover neurons which had been patched and filled with biocytin. The secondary antibodies used in this study were: donkey anti-mouse conjugated to Alexa Fluor 488 (1/500 dilution; Life Technologies, Paisley, UK), goat anti-rabbit conjugated to Alexa Fluor 555, 633 or 647 (1/500 dilution; Life Technologies, Paisley, UK) and supplemented with 1% Triton in PBS. Fluorophores excitable at differing wavelengths were implicated depending on whether the slice expressed YFP (Ai32 animals) or TdTomato (Ai9 animals) to minimize crosstalk. Where only neuronal recovery was required, slices were blocked as above and incubated in a solution containing streptavidin supplemented with 1% Triton in PBS. After secondary antibody incubation, slices were washed for 3 x 5 minutes in 0.1 M PBS and mounted on glass slides using Vectashield medium (containing DAPI as required, Vector Labs, Peterborough, UK) and cover-slipped.

**Intracardial perfusion and serial sectioning**

To prepare tissue for serial sectioning, PV-IRES-Cre::Ai32 (N = 2; P31-35), Ndnf-IRES-Cre::Ai32 (N = 2; P25), Ndnf-IRES-Cre::Ai9 (N = 2; P21), NPY-hrGFP (N = 2; P23) or C57 BL/6 (N = 3; P21-22) mice were terminally anaesthetized by subcutaneous injection with an overdose cocktail of 50% lidocaine and 50% euthatal. Once anaesthetized sufficiently to be non-responsive to noxious tail and toe pinch stimuli, mice were perfused through the left ventricle with 0.1 M PBS followed by perfusion with 4% PFA dissolved in PBS. Brains were then removed and fixed

overnight in 4% PFA in PBS, after which they were cryoprotected in a solution containing 30% (w/v) sucrose in PBS for storage until required for serial sectioning.

For these experiments, brains were embedded in OCT compound (VWR, Leicestershire, UK) and sectioned on a Leica SM2010 R microtome (Leica Biosystems, Newcastle-upon-Tyne, UK) at 60-80 µm. Upon completion of sectioning, slices were washed 3 x 5 min in 0.1 M PBS. Where further staining was required, this was carried out as above (see immunohistochemistry and neuronal recovery), however, 0.3% Triton X-100 was used in place of 1% and slices were incubated overnight in primary antibody cocktails to minimize tissue damage. Slices were then mounted using Vectashield medium (Vector Labs, Peterborough, UK) and cover-slipped.

**Confocal Microscopy and neuronal reconstructions**

Mounted sections were scanned on either a Leica SP5 or SP8 confocal microscope, imaging z-stacks of each slice at 2-4 µm steps. Confocal laser excitation wavelengths (in nm) were 405, 488, 514, 552 and 503. Objectives used were 10X (dry), 20X (oil immersion), 40X (oil immersion) and 63X (oil immersion) for Leica SP5, or 10X (dry), 20X (dry) and 63X (oil immersion) for SP8. A zoom of up to 2X was applied as required to occasionally visualize soma in enhanced detail. Sections were scanned to ensure that all visible streptavidin-stained cells and their neurites were included in the z-stack. 3D reconstructions of neurons were carried out using NeuTube 3D reconstruction software[55].

**Data analysis**

Analysis of electrophysiological recordings was carried out using Axograph X. Passive intrinsic properties were calculated as described above, while active intrinsic properties (action potential initial frequency, amplitude, rise-time and half-width) were calculated by subtracting the baseline and then using the event detection feature to analysis the first action potential elicited in response to a 50 pA depolarizing pulse. Neuronal spontaneous activity was classified as either bursting (a clearly distinctive behaviour[16]), tonic or silent (where spontaneous action potential frequency was < 1 Hz). For optogenetically evoked events, peak size was measured at various holding potentials. For spontaneous current measurements, representative example traces of postsynaptic currents were first generated and currents were detected and measured using the event detection feature.

Image analysis was carried out using ImageJ. Confocal z-stacks were compressed into a single image and brightness and contrast were adjusted to enhance cellular visualization. Total numbers of cells (using DAPI as a proxy for neuronal identity) and immunoreactive neurons were counted using the cell-counter plugin. For these experiments, serial sections from the whole brain were imaged and analysed from one animal for each strain, and for remaining animals every second or third section was imaged and analysed to allow quantification of markers with fair representation of the habenular sub-nuclei. Images were then transferred to PowerPoint (Microsoft), where cells of interest were marked.

Graphs were generated and statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 5 (California, USA). Statistical tests used were either two-tailed unpaired t-test, or Fishers' exact test. Once graphs were generated, they transferred to PowerPoint

765     2013 for formatting and assembly into figures. Statistical significance thresholds were:

766     * $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; and *** $p < 0.001$.

## References

1.  Christoph GR, Leonzio RJ, Wilcox KS. Stimulation of the lateral habenula inhibits dopamine-containing neurons in the substantia nigra and ventral tegmental area of the rat. J Neurosci. 1986;6(3):613–9.

2.  Ji H, Shepard PD. Lateral habenula stimulation inhibits rat midbrain dopamine neurons through a GABA(A) receptor-mediated mechanism. J Neurosci. 2007;27(26):6923–30.

3.  Matsumoto M, Hikosaka O. Lateral habenula as a source of negative reward signals in dopamine neurons. Nature. 2007;447(7148):1111–5.

4.  Wang RY, Aghajanian GK. Physiological evidence for habenula as major link between forebrain and midbrain raphe. Science. 1977;197(4298):89–91.

5.  Geisler S, Trimble M. The lateral habenula: No longer neglected. CNS Spectr. 2008;13(6):484–9.

6.  Sartorius A, Kiening KL, Kirsch P, von Gall CC, Haberkorn U, Unterberg AW, et al. Remission of Major Depression Under Deep Brain Stimulation of the Lateral Habenula in a Therapy-Refractory Patient. Biol Psychiatry. 2010;67(2):9–11.

7.  Li B, Piriz J, Mirrione M, Chung C, Proulx CD, Schulz D, et al. Synaptic potentiation onto habenula neurons in the learned helplessness model of depression. Nature. 2011;470(7335):535–9.

8.  Shabel SJ, Proulx CD, Piriz J, Manilow R. Mood regulation. GABA/glutamate co-release controls habenula output and is modified by antidepressant treatment. Science. 2014;345(6203):1494–8.

9.    Lecca S, Pelosi A, Tchenio A, Moutkine I, Lujan R, Hervé D, et al. Rescue of

GABAB and GIRK function in the lateral habenula by protein phosphatase 2A

inhibition ameliorates depression-like phenotypes in mice. Nat Med.

2016;22(3):254–61.

10.   Tchenio A, Lecca S, Valentinova K, Mameli M. Limiting habenular hyperactivity

ameliorates maternal separation-driven depressive-like symptoms. Nat

Commun. 2017;8(1):1135.

11.   Cui Y, Yang Y, Ni Z, Dong Y, Cai G, Foncelle A, et al. Astroglial Kir4.1 in the

lateral habenula drives neuronal bursts in depression. Nature.

2018;554(7692):323–7.

12.   Yang Y, Cui Y, Sang K, Dong Y, Ni Z, Ma S, et al. Ketamine blocks bursting in

the lateral habenula to rapidly relieve depression. Nature.

2018;554(7692):317–22.

13.   Winter C, Vollmayr B, Djodari-Irani A, Klein J, Sartorius A. Pharmacological

inhibition of the lateral habenula improves depressive-like behavior in an

animal model of treatment resistant depression. Behav Brain Res.

2011;216(1):463–5.

14.   Schneider TM, Beynon C, Sartorius A, Unterberg AW, Kiening KL. Deep brain

stimulation of the lateral habenular complex in treatment-resistant depression:

Traps and pitfalls of trajectory choice. Neurosurgery. 2013;72:184–93.

15.   Huang L, Xi Y, Peng Y, Yang Y, Huang X, Fu Y, et al. A Visual Circuit Related

to Habenula Underlies the Antidepressive Effects of Light Therapy. Neuron.

2019;102(1):128–42.

16.   Weiss T, Veh RW. Morphological and electrophysiological characteristics of

neurons within identified subnuclei of the lateral habenula in rat brain slices. Neuroscience. 2011;172:74–93.

17. Wagner F, Bernard R, Derst C, French L, Veh RW. Microarray analysis of transcripts with elevated expressions in the rat medial or lateral habenula suggest fast GABAergic excitation in the medial habenula and habenular involvement in the regulation of feeding and energy balance. Brain Struct Funct. 2016;221(9):4463–89.

18. Zhang L, Hernández VS, Swinny JD, Verma AK, Giesecke T, Emery AC, et al. A GABAergic cell type in the lateral habenula links hypothalamic homeostatic and midbrain motivation circuits with sex steroid signaling. Transl Psychiatry. 2018;8(1):50.

19. Root DH, Mejias-Aponte CA, Zhang S, Wang HL, Hoffman AF, Lupica CR, et al. Single rodent mesohabenular axons release glutamate and GABA. Nat Neurosci. 2014;17(11):1543–51.

20. Meye FJ, Soiza-Reilly M, Smit T, Diana MA, Schwarz MK, Mameli M. Shifted pallidal co-release of GABA and glutamate in habenula drives cocaine withdrawal and relapse. Nat Neurosci. 2016;19(8):1019–24.

21. Wallace ML, Saunders A, Huang KW, Philson AC, Goldman M, Macosko EZ, et al. Genetically Distinct Parallel Pathways in the Entopeduncular Nucleus for Limbic and Sensorimotor Output of the Basal Ganglia. Neuron. 2017;94(1):138–52.

22. Barker DJ, Miranda-Barrientos J, Zhang S, Root DH, Wang H-L, Liu B, et al. Lateral Preoptic Control of the Lateral Habenula through Convergent Glutamate and GABA Transmission. Cell Rep. 2017;21(7):1757–69.

838    23.    Faget L, Zell V, Souter E, McPherson A, Ressler R, Gutierrez-reed N, et al.
839           Opponent control of behavioral reinforcement by inhibitory and excitatory
840           projections from the ventral pallidum. Nat Commun. 2018;9(1):1–14.

841    24.    Tremblay R, Lee S, Rudy B. GABAergic Interneurons in the Neocortex: From
842           Cellular Properties to Circuits. Neuron. 2016;91(2):260–92.

843    25.    Klausberger T, Somogyi P. Neuronal diversity and temporal dynamics: the
844           unity of hippocampal circuit operations. Science. 2008;321(5885):53–7.

845    26.    Tepper JM, Tecuapetla F, Koós T, Ibáñez-Sandoval O. Heterogeneity and
846           Diversity of Striatal GABAergic Interneurons. Front Neuroanat. 2011;4(150).

847    27.    Tasic B, Menon V, Nguyen TNT, Kim TTK, Jarsky T, Yao Z, et al. Adult mouse
848           cortical cell taxonomy revealed by single cell transcriptomics. Nat Neurosci.
849           2016;19(2):335–46.

850    28.    Abs E, Poorthuis RB, Apelblat D, Muhammad K, Pardi MB, Enke L, et al.
851           Learning-Related Plasticity in Dendrite-Targeting Layer 1 Interneurons.
852           Neuron. 2018;100(3):684–99.

853    29.    Tasic B, Yao Z, Graybuck LT, Smith KA, Nguyen TN, Bertagnolli D, et al.
854           Shared and distinct transcriptomic cell types across neocortical areas. Nature.
855           2018;563(7729):72–8.

856    30.    Overstreet-Wadiche L, McBain CJ. Neurogliaform cells in cortical circuits. Nat
857           Rev Neurosci. 2015;16(8):458–68.

858    31.    Choi K, Lee Y, Lee C, Hong S, Lee S, Kang SJ, et al. Optogenetic activation of
859           septal GABAergic afferents entrains neuronal firing in the medial habenula. Sci
860           Rep. 2016;6(34800).

32.    Wagner F, French L, Veh RW. Transcriptomic-anatomic analysis of the mouse

habenula uncovers a high molecular heterogeneity among neurons in the

lateral complex, while gene expression in the medial complex largely obeys

subnuclear boundaries. Brain Struct Funct. 2016;221(1):39–58.

33.  Chang S, Kim U. Ionic Mechanism of Long-Lasting Discharges of Action

Potentials Triggered by Membrane Hyperpolarization in the Medial Lateral

Habenula. J Neurosci. 2004;24(9):2172–81.

34.  Kim U, Chang SY. Dendritic morphology, local circuitry, and intrinsic

electrophysiology of neurons in the rat medial and lateral habenular nuclei of

the epithalamus. J Comp Neurol. 2005;483(2):236–50.

35.  Madisen L, Mao T, Koch H, Zhuo JM, Berenyi A, Fujisawa S, et al. A toolbox of

Cre-dependent optogenetic transgenic mice for light-induced activation and

silencing. Nat Neurosci. 2012;15(5):793–802.

36.  Tamás G, Lorincz A, Simon A, Szabadics J. Identified sources and targets of

slow inhibition in the neocortex. Science. 2003;299(5614):1902–5.

37.  Price CJ, Cauli B, Kovacs ER, Kulik A, Lambolez B, Shigemoto R, et al.

Neurogliaform Neurons Form a Novel Inhibitory Network in the Hippocampal

CA1 Area. J Neurosci. 2005;25(29):6775–86.

38.  Price CJ, Scott R, Rusakov DA, Capogna M. GABA(B) receptor modulation of

feedforward inhibition through hippocampal neurogliaform cells. J Neurosci.

2008;28(27):6974–82.

39.  Wozny C, Williams SR. Specificity of synaptic connectivity between layer 1

inhibitory interneurons and layer 2/3 pyramidal neurons in the rat neocortex.

Cereb Cortex. 2011;21(8):1818–26.

40.  Madisen L, Zwingman TA, Sunkin SM, Oh SW, Zariwala HA, Gu H, et al. A

robust and high-throughput Cre reporting and characterization system for the

whole mouse brain. Nat Neurosci. 2010;13(1):133–40.

41.    Knowland D, Lilascharoen V, Pham Pacia C, Shin S, Hou-Jen Wang E, Kook

Lim B, et al. Distinct Ventral Pallidal Neural Populations Mediate Separate

Symptoms of Depression. Cell. 2017;170(2):284–97.

42.    Andres KH, Von Düring M, Veh RW. Subnuclear organization of the rat

habenular complexes. J Comp Neurol. 1999;407(1):130–50.

43.    Aschauer DF, Kreuz S, Rumpel S. Analysis of Transduction Efficiency,

Tropism and Axonal Transport of AAV Serotypes 1, 2, 5, 6, 8 and 9 in the

Mouse Brain. PLoS One. 2013;8(9).

44.    Brinschwitz K, Dittgen A, Madai VI, Lommel R, Geisler S, Veh RW.

Glutamatergic axons from the lateral habenula mainly terminate on GABAergic

neurons of the ventral midbrain. Neuroscience. 2010;168(2):463–76.

45.    Herkenham M, Nauta WJH. Efferent connections of the habenular nuclei in the

rat. J Comp Neurol. 1979;187(1):19–47.

46.    Quina LA, Tempest L, Ng L, Harris JA, Ferguson S, Jhou TC, et al. Efferent

pathways of the mouse lateral habenula. J Comp Neurol. 2015;523(1):32–60.

47.    Jhou TC, Fields HL, Baxter MG, Saper CB, Holland PC. The Rostromedial

Tegmental Nucleus (RMTg), a major GABAergic afferent to midbrain

dopamine neurons, encodes aversive stimuli and inhibits motor responses.

Neuron. 2009;61(5):786–800.

48.    Jhou TC, Geisler S, Marinelli M, Degarmo BA, Zahm DS. The mesopontine

rostromedial tegmental nucleus: A structure targeted by the lateral habenula

that projects to the ventral tegmental area of Tsai and substantia nigra

910 compacta. J Comp Neurol. 2009;513(6):566–96.

49. Geisler S, Andres KH, Veh RW. Morphologic and cytochemical criteria for the identification and delineation of individual subnuclei within the lateral habenular complex of the rat. J Comp Neurol. 2003;458(1):78–97.

50. Aizawa H, Kobayashi M, Tanaka S, Fukai T, Okamoto H. Molecular characterization of the subnuclei in rat habenula. J Comp Neurol. 2012;520(18):4051–66.

51. Lazaridis I, Tzortzi O, Weglage M, Märtin A, Xuan Y, Parent M, et al. A hypothalamus-habenula circuit controls aversion. Mol Psychiatry. 2019 [Epub ahead of print]

52. Hippenmeyer S, Vrieseling E, Sigrist M, Portmann T, Laengle C, Ladle DR, et al. A developmental switch in the response of DRG neurons to ETS transcription factor signaling. PLoS Biol. 2005;3(5):0878–90.

53. van den Pol AN, Yao Y, Fu L-Y, Foo K, Huang H, Coppari R, et al. Neuromedin B and Gastrin-Releasing Peptide Excite Arcuate Nucleus Neuropeptide Y Neurons in a Novel Transgenic Mouse Expressing Strong Renilla Green Fluorescent Protein in NPY Neurons. J Neurosci. 2009;29(14):4622–39.

54. Wozny C, Beed P, Nitzan N, Pössnecker Y, Rost BR, Schmitz D. VGLUT2 functions as a differential marker for hippocampal output neurons. Front Cell Neurosci. 2018;12(337).

55. Feng L, Zhao T, Kim J. neuTube 1.0: A New Design for Efficient Neuron Reconstruction Software Based on the SWC Format. eNeuro. 2015;2(1).

**Supplementary Figure 1: Absence of NPY-positive neuronal somata within in the**

**LHb.** Confocal micrographs of habenular sections from NPY-hrGFP mice (N = 2)

depicting NPY-expression throughout the LHb in the rostral-caudal plane. Images are

maximum intensity projections of 50 µm tissue. Note that no NPY-positive somata are

located within the LHb.

**Supplementary Figure 2: Ndnf is expressed selectively but not exclusively by**

**L1 neurons in the somatosensory cortex. (a)** Confocal micrograph from Ndnf-IRES-

Cre::Ai9 slices depicting Ndnf-positive neurons in L1 of the somatosensory cortex, but

also an Ndnf-positive neuron in the deeper somatosensory cortex which was not

immunoreactive for GABA. **(bi)** Bar chart quantifying total number of GABA-

immunoreactive, and Ndnf-positive neurons counted and the number which co-

expressed both (N = 2 mice). **(bii)** Fraction of neurons expressing both markers as a

percentage of GABA-immunoreactive neurons, and as a percentage of Ndnf-positive

neurons. **(c)** Schematic of recording scheme. L2/3 pyramidal neurons were patched and blue light was used to stimulate both local and long-distance Ndnf-positive neurons. **(d)** Scatter plots comparing amplitude (-6.3 ± 0.9 mV vs -5.1 ± 0.5 mV; $p$ = 0.29; two-tailed unpaired t-test) and kinetics (rise time 23.5 ± 2.7 ms vs 11.1 ± 1.3 ms; $p$ = 0.001; half-width 414.6 ± 39.1 ms vs 146.0 ± 27.6 ms; $p$ = 0.0001; decay 787.4 ± 51.9 ms vs 361.3 ± 32.8 ms; $p < 0.0001$; two-tailed unpaired t-test) of photostimulation-induced IPSPs in Ndnf-IRES-Cre::Ai32 slices vs PV-IRES-Cre::Ai32 slices. **(e)** Example traces from a neuron displaying an IPSP in response to photostimulation (top) and from one neuron in which an NBQX-sensitive EPSP was unmasked upon application of GABAzine (bottom). **(f)** Pie chart quantifying nature of postsynaptic potentials elicited in response to photostimulation. n = 7 neurons. **(gi)** Confocal micrograph depicting localization of Ndnf-positive neurons in the somatosensory cortex. **(gii)** Zoom-in of the same image in (gi) showing Ndnf-positive neurons not located within neocortical L1 (arrowheads).

**Rostral** ⟶

Caudal ⟶

**Supplementary Figure 3**: **Localization of Ndnf-positive neuronal somata and processes throughout the LHb.** Confocal micrographs of habenular sections from Ndnf-IRES-Cre::Ai32 mice (N = 2) depicting Ndnf-expression throughout the LHb in the rostral-caudal plane. Images are maximum intensity projections of the most superficial 30 µm of tissue from 60 µm slices. Arrowheads indicate Ndnf-positive neurons.

**Supplementary Figure 4: PV-immunoreactive neurons within the somatosensory cortex.** **(a)** Representative confocal micrographs displaying PV-immunoreactivity (left), GABA-immunoreactivity (middle) and merge of both (right) within the somatosensory cortex. (**b**) Bar graphs showing total number of GABA-immunoreactive, PV-immunoreactive and GABA / PV co-localising (Co-L) cortical neurons (left) and fractions of co-localising neurons as a percentage of total PV-immunoreactive and of GABA-immunoreactive neurons (right; N = 3 mice).

**Supplementary Figure 5: Localization of PV-positive neuronal somata and processes throughout the LHb.** Left: Confocal micrographs of 30 µm thick maximum intensity projections of habenular sections from PV-IRES-Cre::Ai32 mice (N = 2) depicting localization of PV-positive neuronal somata and neuronal processes throughout the LHb in the rostral-caudal plane. 60 µm thick spacing between images. Arrowheads indicate PV-positive neurons. Right: graphical illustrations of habenular sections indicating the oval and central lateral habenular sub-regions, where PV-expression was most prominent. Images each represent one third of the habenula in the rostral-caudal plane.