1

PilT and PilU are homohexameric ATPases that coordinate to retract type IVa pili

Jennifer L. Chlebek[1], Hannah Q. Hughes[1], Aleksandra S. Ratkiewicz[2], Rasman Rayyan[2],

Joseph Che-Yen Wang[3], Brittany E. Herrin[1], Triana N. Dalia[1], Nicolas Biais[2], and Ankur B.

Dalia[1,*]

[1]Department of Biology, Indiana University, 1001 E. 3rd Street, Bloomington, IN 47405.

[2]Biology Department, CUNY Brooklyn College, 2900 Bedford Avenue, Brooklyn, NY 11210 and
Graduate Center of CUNY, 365 5th Avenue, NY 10016.

[3]Electron Microscopy Center, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405.

*Correspondence to: ankdalia@indiana.edu.

Short Title: PilU is a PilT-dependent type IVa pilus retraction ATPase

Abstract

Bacterial type IV pili are critical for diverse biological processes including horizontal gene transfer, surface sensing, biofilm formation, adherence, motility, and virulence. These dynamic appendages extend and retract from the cell surface. In many type IVa pilus systems, extension occurs through the action of an extension ATPase, often called PilB, while optimal retraction requires the action of a retraction ATPase, PilT. Many type IVa systems also encode a homolog of PilT called PilU. However, the function of this protein has remained unclear because *pilU* mutants exhibit inconsistent phenotypes among type IV pilus systems and because it is relatively understudied compared to PilT. Here, we study the type IVa competence pilus of *Vibrio cholerae* as a model system to define the role of PilU. We show that the ATPase activity of PilU is critical for pilus retraction in PilT Walker A and/or Walker B mutants. PilU does not, however, contribute to pilus retraction in Δ*pilT* strains. Thus, these data suggest that PilU is a *bona fide* retraction ATPase that supports pilus retraction in a PilT-dependent manner. We also found that a Δ*pilU* mutant exhibited a reduction in the force of retraction suggesting that PilU is important for generating maximal retraction forces. Additional *in vitro* and *in vivo* data show that PilT and PilU act as independent homo-hexamers that may form a complex to facilitate pilus retraction. Finally, we demonstrate that the role of PilU as a PilT-dependent retraction ATPase is conserved in *Acinetobacter baylyi*, suggesting that the role of PilU described here may be broadly applicable to other type IVa pilus systems.

Author Summary

Almost all bacterial species use thin surface appendages called pili to interact with their environments. These structures are critical for the virulence of many pathogens and represent one major way that bacteria share DNA with one another, which contributes to the spread of antibiotic resistance. To carry out their function, pili dynamically extend and retract from the bacterial surface. Here, we show that retraction of pili in some systems is determined by the combined activity of two motor ATPase proteins.

Introduction

Type IV pili are ubiquitous surface appendages in Gram-negative bacteria that promote diverse

activities including attachment, virulence, biofilm formation, horizontal gene transfer, and

twitching motility [1-5]. These structures can dynamically extend and retract from the cell

surface, which is often critical for their function. A detailed mechanistic understanding of pilus

dynamic activity, however, remains lacking.

Type IV pili are composed almost exclusively of a single protein called the major pilin, which

forms a helical fiber that extends from the cell surface [6]. Extension and retraction of the pilus is

hypothesized to occur through the interaction of cytoplasmic hexameric ATPases with the inner

membrane platform of the pilus machine, whereby ATP hydrolysis likely facilitates turning of the

platform in order to incorporate or remove major pilin subunits from the pilus fiber [7-9]. All type

IV pilus systems encode a predicted ATPase, often called PilB, that facilitates pilus extension [7,

10-12]. Many type IVa pilus systems also contain a retraction ATPase, called PilT, which

depolymerizes the pilus fiber and recycles pilin subunits into the inner membrane. Many type

IVa pilus systems also encode another putative ATPase called PilU. PilU is a homolog of the

retraction ATPase PilT, however, the function of this protein has remained unclear because PilU

is relatively understudied compared to PilT and because of phenotypic inconsistency for *pilU*

mutants in different organisms. For example, a *pilU* mutant of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*,

exhibits reduced twitching motility [13], but in other type IV pilus systems, including the *V.*

cholerae competence pilus, loss of *pilU* does not reveal any overt phenotypes.

The facultative bacterial pathogen *Vibrio cholerae* uses a type IV competence pilus for DNA

uptake during natural transformation. We have recently demonstrated that the type IV

competence pili of *V. cholerae* can be fluorescently labeled by using a technique [14-16] in

which an amino acid of the major pilin subunit PilA is replaced with a cysteine (PilA^{S56C} based

on the mature pilin sequence, which was previously misannotated PilA^{S67C} [17] and PilA^{S81C}

[18]), which allows for subsequent labeling with a fluorescently-labeled thiol-reactive maleimide

dye (AF488-mal). This labeling approach does not impede dynamic pilus activity and allows for

the direct observation and measurement of pilus extension and retraction by time-lapse

epifluorescence microscopy. Using this labeling technique, we have recently demonstrated that

the retraction of DNA-bound type IV competence pili is required to initiate the process of DNA

uptake during natural transformation [18].

Here, we employ our pilus labeling approach and complementary molecular methods to address

the role that PilU plays in type IV competence pilus retraction, and we extend our findings to the

type IVa competence pilus of *Acinetobacter baylyi*. Our results indicate that PilT and PilU form

independent homo-hexamers and that the ATPase activity of PilU promotes type IV pilus

retraction in a PilT-dependent manner.

Results

PilU promotes type IV competence pilus retraction in a PilT-dependent manner

In order to study the function and role of ATPases in the retraction of type IV competence pili in

V. cholerae, we utilize two main methods: (1) our labeling approach to directly measure pilus

retraction via epifluorescence time-lapse microscopy and (2) assessing natural transformation,

which is dependent on competence pilus retraction [18, 19]. Also, as for other pili [20-22],

competence pili can mediate cell to cell interactions [17], which causes hyperpiliated strains to

aggregate and pellet to the bottom of culture tubes. Consistent with prior work [19, 23-28],

mutant strains that lack the retraction ATPase PilT are poorly transformable, exhibit markedly

reduced rates of pilus retraction, aggregate, and are hyperpiliated (≥3 pili per cell) compared to

the parent strain (**Fig 1A, 1B, 1D** and **1E**). Also, the number of cells that exhibit dynamic pilus

activity is reduced in the Δ*pilT* strain as observed by time-lapse epifluorescence microscopy,

which is consistent with the hyperpiliated phenotype of this mutant (**Fig 1C**). Because the

frequency of retraction was reduced by ~2 logs in the Δ*pilT* strain (**Fig 1C**), we could not obtain

enough events to make rigorous statistical comparisons. In the rare events observed, however,

the retraction rate is reduced, albeit not significantly, ~50-fold in the Δ*pilT* background.

The residual retraction in a Δ*pilT* strain is not due to PilU because a Δ*pilT* Δ*pilU* double mutant

strain displays the same phenotype as a Δ*pilT* strain (**Fig 1A-E**). By contrast, Δ*pilU* mutants are

highly transformable, do not aggregate, exhibit normal numbers of pili and are largely

indistinguishable from the parent strain (**Fig 1A** and **1C-E**) aside from a significant 1.3-fold

reduction in retraction rates compared to the parent strain (**Fig 1B**). This effect of PilU on

retraction rate is much smaller compared to the ~50-fold reduction in retraction rate observed in

the Δ*pilT* and Δ*pilT* Δ*pilU* mutants (**Fig 1B**). This suggests that PilT can still mediate retraction

in a Δ*pilU* strain (because retraction frequency and rates for Δ*pilU* are closer to the parent than

the Δ*pilT* Δ*pilU* strain), while PilU cannot mediate retraction in a Δ*pilT* strain (since the Δ*pilT*

strain resembles the Δ*pilT* Δ*pilU* strain). Thus, these data support a model where PilT plays the

dominant role in pilus retraction, which is consistent with prior reports [18, 19, 26].

PilT is a predicted ATPase and its ATPase activity has been shown to be important in the function of other type IV pilus systems [12, 29]. Therefore, we hypothesized that the ATPase activity of PilT would be required for retraction of the competence pilus. In order to answer this question, we putatively ablated the ATPase activity of PilT by introducing a mutation in either an invariantly conserved lysine in the Walker A motif required for ATP binding ($pilT^{K136A}$), a mutation in a conserved glutamic acid in the Walker B motif required for ATP hydrolysis ($pilT^{E204A}$), or by making a double mutant containing both mutations ($pilT^{E204A/K136A}$). Though these Walker A and Walker B mutations have not been well studied in *V. cholerae* PilT-like ATPases, the effect of these mutations has been well characterized in other systems and we assume that they ablate the ATPase activity of this protein in a similar manner [30]. Interestingly, we found that strains harboring mutations in PilT that putatively ablate the ATPase activity of this protein ($pilT^{K136A}$, $pilT^{E204A}$, $pilT^{E204A/K136A}$) all transform similarly to the parent strain and retract competence pili at rates that are at most ~4-fold lower than the parent (**Fig 1A-B**). This is in stark contrast to a Δ*pilT* strain where the transformation frequency is reduced ~4-logs and retraction is >50-fold slower compared to the parent strain (**Fig 1A-B**). These results were quite surprising and suggested that either (1) the ATPase activity of the retraction machinery is not critical for promoting pilus retraction, (2) that the mutations to the Walker motifs do not ablate PilT ATPase activity, or (3) that another retraction ATPase may promote retraction in the absence of PilT ATPase activity.

We tested the third model and specifically assessed whether PilU could promote retraction in predicted PilT ATPase-deficient mutants. Indeed, we found that $pilT^{K136A}$Δ*pilU*, $pilT^{E204A}$Δ*pilU* and $pilT^{E204A/K136A}$Δ*pilU* strains all display reduced transformation, are hyperpiliated, aggregate, exhibit markedly reduced retraction frequency, and reduced retraction rates similar to a Δ*pilT* Δ*pilU* strain (**Fig 1A-E**). This is consistent with PilU promoting retraction in the $pilT^{K136A}$, $pilT^{E204A}$ and $pilT^{E204A/K136A}$ backgrounds. Importantly, the $pilT^{K136A}$Δ*pilU*, $pilT^{E204A}$Δ*pilU* and $pilT^{E204A/K136A}$Δ*pilU* strains could be complemented via ectopic expression of *pilT* or *pilU* (**S1 Fig**). Since loss of *pilT* alone (i.e. Δ*pilT*) results in a phenotype comparable to a Δ*pilT* Δ*pilU* mutant, this result indicates that PilU is not sufficient to drive pilus retraction in the absence of PilT (**Fig 1A-E**). Consistent with this, ectopic expression of *pilU* does not rescue the transformation deficit of a Δ*pilT* Δ*pilU* mutant (**S1 Fig**). Together, these data suggest that PilU supports pilus retraction in a PilT-dependent manner.

Interestingly, whereas a $pilT^{K136A}$ Walker A mutant displays normal piliation, the $pilT^{E204A}$Walker B mutant is hyperpiliated (**Fig 1D-E**). The frequency of cells that exhibit pilus retraction in the

pilT^{E204A} mutant is much lower than the parent and comparable to the Δ*pilT* Δ*pilU* strain (**Fig**

1C), which may explain this hyperpiliated phenotype; however, when pilus retraction is

observed, the rate of retraction is closer to that of the parent than the Δ*pilT* Δ*pilU* mutant (**Fig**

1B). Considering the canonical functions of the Walker A and B motifs in ATP-binding and

hydrolysis, respectively, the *pilT*^{E204A} Walker B mutant should be able to bind to ATP but not

hydrolyze it. Because the putative ATP-binding deficient *pilT*^{K136A} Walker A mutant exhibited

parental levels of piliation (**Fig 1D-E**), we hypothesized that the hyperpiliation observed in

pilT^{E204A} may be due to the fact that this protein may be locked in an ATP-bound state. A recent

preprint shows that different ATP-bound states of PilT exhibit different conformations [31]. This

may suggest that the conformation adopted by PilT^{E204A} reduces the ability of PilU to facilitate

retraction. Because ATP-binding must precede hydrolysis, we hypothesized that a Walker A and

B double mutant (*pilT*$^{E204A/K136A}$) should restore the ability of PilU to mediate normal piliation

because this would prevent the formation of the ATP-bound conformation of PilT. Consistent

with this, we observed that the phenotype of the putative ATP-binding deficient Walker A

mutation (*pilT*^{K136A}) is dominant over the putative ATP-hydrolysis deficient *pilT*^{E204A} Walker B

mutation (**Fig 1D-E**). Both the Walker A and Walker B mutations should result in loss of ATPase

activity. Therefore, to avoid phenotypic consequences associated with the ATP-bound state of

the *pilT*^{E204A} mutant, we utilized the *pilT*^{K136A} mutant as a putative ATPase-defective allele of PilT

moving forward.

PilU is required for forceful retraction

The data thus far suggest that PilU is capable of supporting pilus retraction in putative PilT

ATPase-deficient mutants, but that PilU is not necessary to mediate pilus retraction (since Δ*pilU*

mutants transform at frequencies that are similar to the parent). PilU, however, is present in

many type IVa pilus systems, so the question remains: Why is PilU so widely conserved if it is

not necessary for pilus retraction? Recent work in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* indicates that PilU

may be required for forceful retraction [32]. Our data thus far indicate that the Δ*pilU* mutant only

causes a modest reduction in the retraction rate (**Fig 1A**), which does not have a phenotypic

consequence on pilus-dependent DNA uptake (**Fig 1C**); however, these measurements are

based on free pili that are not retracting under load. We hypothesized that PilU might be

required for forceful retraction, such as when pili are attached to a surface. To test this, we

assessed pilus retraction using a micropillar assay in which binding of pili to micropillars and

subsequent retraction results in measurable bending and displacement of the micropillars.

These data can then be used to calculate the rates and force of pilus retraction [33]. In

agreement with our cell biological approach (i.e. pilus labeling), the micropillar assay showed

that retraction rates were reduced in both Δ*pilU* and Δ*pilT* strains; with PilT having a larger effect

on this rate (2-fold reduction for Δ*pilU* vs 14-fold reduction for Δ*pilT*) (**Fig 1B** and **1F**).

Importantly, the micropillar assay is more sensitive at detecting rare pilus retraction, which

allowed us to collect enough events to show that Δ*pilT* mutants are statistically significantly

reduced for retraction rates compared to the parent strain, which is consistent with previous

work [18]. In contrast, the Δ*pilU* and Δ*pilT* strains exhibited a similar reduction in the retraction

force (~2-fold for both) compared to the parent strain (**Fig 1G**). As other groups have also

reported, data collected on pilus retraction forces tend to be highly variable [18, 32, 34], which

we also observed here (**Fig 1G-H**). Despite this variability, a histogram reveals that PilT and

PilU promote retraction forces >12 pN because retraction events exceeding this force are

relatively rare in the Δ*pilT* and Δ*pilU* strains (**Fig 1H**). Thus far, we have shown that Δ*pilU* and

Δ*pilT* mutants display distinct phenotypes for transformation frequency (**Fig 1A**), retraction rates

(**Fig 1B** and **1F**) and piliation state (**Fig 1D-E**). Interestingly, the micropillar data reveal that

Δ*pilU* and Δ*pilT* mutants have a similar effect on retraction force (**Fig 1G-H**). This suggests that

PilU and PilT are both necessary for generating maximal retraction forces in the *V. cholerae*

competence pilus.

The ATPase activities of PilT and PilU define the rate of type IV competence pilus
retraction

Just like PilT, PilU is a PilT-like ATPase with a conserved lysine in its Walker A motif. Because

we observed that PilU supports pilus retraction in the *pilT^{K136A}* mutant (**Fig 1B**), we hypothesized

that the ATPase activity of PilU may be important for supporting pilus retraction. To test the

relative roles of each of these ATPases in pilus retraction, we mutated the conserved lysine in

the Walker A motifs of PilT and/or PilU. The *pilU^{K134A}* strain retracts ~1.5-fold slower than the

parent strain, similar to the Δ*pilU* mutant (**Fig 2A**). There may be a limited effect of the *pilU^{K134A}*

mutation on pilus retraction because this strain still has a functional PilT ATPase. However, we

hypothesized that PilU ATPase activity may be critical for retraction in a background where PilT

ATPase activity is also inactivated. Consistent with this, we found that a *pilT^{K136A} pilU^{K134A}* strain

is indistinguishable from a *pilT^{K136A}* Δ*pilU* strain for pilus retraction, piliation, aggregation, and

transformation (**Fig 2A-C**). Together, these data suggest that PilU ATPase activity promotes

type IV competence pilus retraction.

The data above suggest that the ATPase activities of PilT and PilU are critical for pilus

retraction. These ATPases are predicted to be motor proteins that facilitate the depolymerization

of pilus fibers. Thus, if we alter the ATPase rates of these motors, we hypothesized that this

should alter the rates of pilus retraction. To test this hypothesis, we mutated a leucine in the

Walker B domain of PilT and PilU to a cysteine, which was previously shown to reduce the rate

of ATP hydrolysis of *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* PilT ~2-fold [26]. When these Walker B mutations

were tested in isolation, they did not alter the rate of pilus retraction (comparing the parent strain

to $pilT^{L201C}$ and $pilU^{L199C}$) (**Fig 2A**). This may be due to the fact that these cells still have one fully

functional retraction ATPase (i.e. $pilT^{L201C}$ still has a fully functional PilU and $pilU^{L199C}$ still has a

fully functional PilT). We hypothesized that these putatively Walker B "slow" ATPase mutations,

however, may have an impact on pilus retraction if they represented the sole functional

retraction ATPase in the cell. To that end, we generated both a $pilT^{L201C}$ Δ$pilU$ strain and a

$pilT^{K136A}$ $pilU^{L199C}$ strain. The $pilT^{L201C}$ Δ$pilU$ mutant showed a slight (1.3 fold), but significant,

reduction in retraction rate compared to the Δ$pilU$ strain (**Fig 2A**), which provides genetic

evidence to suggest that the ATPase rate of PilT may be linked to the retraction rate of the type

IV competence pilus and further supports the idea that PilT promotes pilus retraction through its

ATPase activity. The $pilT^{K136A}$ $pilU^{L199C}$ mutant also showed a slight (1.5-fold) reduction in

retraction rate compared to $pilT^{K136A}$, although this difference was not statistically significant (**Fig

2A**). Because this difference was not statistically significant, it may suggest that the ATPase

rate of PilU does not dictate the retraction rate of competence pili even when it is the sole

retraction ATPase; however, because this mutant trended towards a decreased retraction rate,

this result may still suggest that the ATPase rate of PilU is linked to retraction rates.

PilT and PilU directly interact with one another

All of these data presented above indicate that PilT and PilU can both support pilus retraction.

Therefore, we hypothesized that PilT and PilU may interact to form a complex that supports

pilus retraction. To address this, we utilized a Bacterial Adenylate Cyclase Two-Hybrid (BACTH)

assay [35, 36]. As expected for predicted hexameric proteins like PilT and PilU, the BACTH

analysis showed PilT-PilT and PilU-PilU self-interactions (**Fig 3** and **S2 Fig**). Additionally, the

BACTH assay showed that PilT and PilU interact with one another, which is consistent with prior

work in other systems [35, 37], and further demonstrated that Walker A mutations in either

protein (PilT^{K136A} and PilU^{K134A}) did not disrupt this interaction (**Fig 3** and **S2 Fig**). Thus, our

BACTH results suggest that PilT and PilU may form a complex. These results do not, however,

indicate whether PilU and PilT form heteromeric complexes or homomeric complexes to

facilitate pilus retraction. We test this further below.

247 **PilT and PilU form independent homohexameric rings *in vitro* and *in vivo***

PilT in other systems has been shown to form a hexameric complex [38], and by homology we

hypothesized that PilU also forms a hexamer. Based on transformation frequency assays, N-

terminally tagged ^{6X}His-PilT and ^{6X}His-PilU were fully functional (**S3A Fig**). Therefore, we used

our purified ^{6X}His-PilT and ^{6X}His-PilU to characterize these proteins *in vitro*. Negative stain

transmission electron microscopy revealed that both ^{6X}His-PilT and ^{6X}His-PilU formed hexameric

ring complexes (**Fig 4A**). These results indicate that these proteins are capable of forming

independent homohexameric complexes *in vitro*. PilT and PilU have both sequence (~65%

similarity) and structural similarity to one another (**Fig 4A**), thus it is also possible that these

proteins can be incorporated into one ring and form heterohexameric complexes that facilitate

pilus retraction *in vivo*.

In order to test if PilT and PilU form heterohexamers or independent homohexamers to facilitate

pilus retraction *in vivo*, we hypothesized that overexpression of putative ATPase-inactive

mutants (i.e. PilT^{K136A} and PilU^{K134A}) in backgrounds that have native PilT and PilU would allow

us to distinguish between these two models. For example, if these proteins form heteromeric

complexes, then we would predict that overexpression of either putative ATPase-inactive

protein (PilT^{K136A} or PilU^{K134A}) should result in complexes that are mostly composed of ATPase-

inactive proteins and result in inhibition of pilus retraction (yielding a hyperpiliated phenotype)

and reduce rates of natural transformation (**Fig 4B**). If these proteins solely form homomeric

complexes, however, then we would predict that overexpression of only one ATPase-inactive

protein (PilT^{K136A} or PilU^{K134A}) should only inhibit the activity of one motor complex (PilT or PilU,

respectively) and should not have a dramatic effect on pilus retraction or natural transformation

because the other retraction motor would still be intact (**Fig 4B**).

In order for us to successfully test this question, we needed to: (1) be able to ectopically

overexpress ATPase deficient alleles of PilT or PilU, (2) demonstrate that ATPase deficient

alleles can interact with their ATPase sufficient counterparts and form proper hexamers, and (3)

show that ectopic expression of ATPase deficient alleles of PilT or PilU can inhibit the activity of

their respective natively expressed ATPase. We test each of these in turn below. First, we

confirmed that we could ectopically overexpress PilT and PilU. Using functional 3xFLAG-tagged

versions of PilT and PilU (**S3A-B Fig**), we show that our chromosomal P_{tac} regulated constructs

resulted in dramatic overexpression of these proteins (**S3C Fig**). Importantly, overexpression of

WT PilT and PilU did not affect pilus activity or natural transformation (**S1, S3B and S3D Figs**).

Next, we purified 6xHis-PilT^{K136A} and 6xHis-PilU^{K134A} and demonstrated that they formed ring

structures *in vitro* (**S3E Fig**), indicating that these proteins should form complexes with ATPase

sufficient alleles. This is also supported by our BACTH data (**Fig 3**). Finally, we wanted to

confirm that overexpressing the ATPase-inactive PilT^{K136A} or PilU^{K134A} could effectively impede

the function of the natively expressed ATPase-active PilT or PilU. To test this, we genetically

isolated either PilT or PilU as the sole functional retraction ATPase (i.e. PilT activity is isolated in

a Δ*pilU* background, while PilU activity is isolated in a *pilT^{K136A}* background) and then

overexpressed the cognate ATPase-inactive allele (P_{tac}-*pilT^{K136A}* or P_{tac}-*pilU^{K134A}*, respectively).

We assessed piliation, aggregation, and natural transformation as indicators of pilus retraction.

We found that, as expected, overexpression of ATPase-inactive alleles was sufficient to disrupt

the activity of the natively expressed ATPase (**Fig 4C-D** - compare Δ*pilU* to Δ*pilU* P_{tac}-*pilT^{K136A}*

and *pilT^{K136A}* to *pilT^{K136A}* P_{tac}-*pilU^{K134A}*). Also, as expected, overexpression of both ATPase-

deficient alleles together (P_{tac}-*pilT^{K136A}* and P_{tac}-*pilU^{K134A}*) in a background that has natively

expressed PilT and PilU, results in hyperpiliation, aggregation and reduced natural

transformation (**Fig 4C-D**), which further demonstrates that overexpression of these ATPase-

deficient proteins inhibits the activity of their natively expressed ATPase–sufficient versions.

The experiments above indicated that it would be feasible to test whether PilT and PilU formed

homomeric or heteromeric complexes *in vivo* as described in our model (**Fig 4B**). When we

overexpressed only one ATPase-deficient protein (P_{tac}-*pilT^{K136A}* or P_{tac}-*pilU^{K134A}*) in a background

that has natively expressed PilT and PilU, we found that piliation and transformation were

indistinguishable from the parent (**Fig 4C-D**). Thus, these data are consistent with PilT and PilU

forming independent homohexamers to facilitate pilus retraction.

Using our purified PilT and PilU, we also attempted to directly measure the ATPase activity of

these proteins. Unfortunately, the ATPase activity of these purified proteins was

indistinguishable from contaminating ATPases and/or below our detection limit (~1 nmol

pi/min/mg protein). Poor ATPase activity in purified retraction ATPases is not unique to our

system [12, 29, 39]. Alternatively, these results may suggest that the ATPase activities of these

proteins are stimulated *in vivo* via interaction with the proteins that compose the pilus machine,

as previously suggested [12]. Regardless, our previous *in vivo* data (**Fig 1A-D**) are consistent

with the *pilT^{K136A}* mutant being ATPase deficient.

The presence of PilT is not required for PilU to interact with the pilus platform PilC.

Our data thus far indicate that PilU requires the presence of PilT (whether it is a functional

ATPase or not) to support optimal pilus retraction. The extension and retraction ATPases are

hypothesized to interact with the platform protein PilC to mechanically facilitate polymerization

and depolymerization of the pilus fiber [7, 37, 40]. Because PilU is dependent on the presence

of PilT to mediate retraction, we hypothesized that PilT can interact with PilC, but that PilU

cannot. To test this, we utilized the BACTH assay to test the interactions of PilT and PilU with

PilC. This assay showed that PilT and PilU could both interact with PilC, and that the Walker A

mutations of these ATPases (PilT^{K136A} and PilU^{K134A}) did not affect these interactions (**Fig 3**). It

is necessary to point out, however, that the BACTH assay cannot address relative affinities of

protein interactions due to non-native expression levels, but instead indicates the potential

presence or absence of an interaction. The biological relevance of these interactions will

depend on the relative affinities and concentrations of these proteins in their natural context.

The interaction between PilC and PilT supports the canonical model that retraction ATPases

interact with PilC to facilitate depolymerization of the pilus fiber. Interestingly, our data suggest

that PilC and PilU interact independently of PilT. This result is also supported by prior BACTH

analysis of *P. aeruginosa* pilus components [37]. Thus, the simple model of PilU requiring PilT

for interaction with the platform PilC appears to be incorrect.

The function of PilU as a PilT-dependent retraction ATPase is conserved in the *Acinetobacter baylyi* competence pilus

As mentioned earlier, many type IVa pilus systems encode PilU in addition to the canonical

retraction ATPase PilT. Therefore, we sought to assess whether PilU could facilitate PilT-

dependent retraction in other type IV pilus systems. To test this, we studied the type IVa

competence pili of a distinct gammaproteobacterium *Acinetobacter baylyi*. *A. baylyi* competence

pili also mediate DNA-uptake and the genetic organization of *pilT* and *pilU* is similar to that

observed in *V. cholerae*.

The competence pili of *A. baylyi* likely facilitate DNA uptake in a retraction-dependent manner,

similar to the competence pili of *V. cholerae*. Thus, we employed natural transformation assays

to dissect the role that PilT and PilU play in *A. baylyi* competence pilus retraction. These assays

showed that the PilT and PilU of *A. baylyi* behave largely like the PilT and PilU of *V. cholerae*.

The *pilU* mutant of *A. baylyi* displays a significant (~13-fold) reduction in natural transformation

(**Fig 5**), unlike what is observed in *V. cholerae* (**Fig 1A**). This may be because DNA uptake in *A.*

baylyi requires more forceful retraction or because PilU plays a more important role in pilus

retraction in this species. Most importantly, however, we found that PilU facilitates natural

transformation (and therefore pilus retraction) in backgrounds where the ATPase activity of PilT

is putatively inactivated (by mutation of the invariantly conserved lysine in the Walker A

domain), but not in backgrounds where *pilT* is absent (**Fig 5** – compare *pilT*^{K136A} to *pilT*^{K136A}

Δ*pilU* and Δ*pilT*). Also, a strain where the ATPase activity of both PilT and PilU were putatively

inactivated (*pilT*^{K136A} *pilU*^{K137A}) resulted in the loss of transformation equivalent to a retraction

deficient background (e.g. Δ*pilTU*) or a strain lacking competence pili (Δ*comP*). Importantly,

strains lacking *pilT* (i.e. Δ*pilT* and Δ*pilTU*) could only be complemented via ectopic expression of

pilT but not *pilU*, while strains that contained an ATPase defective allele of *pilT* (i.e. *pilT*^{K136A}

Δ*pilU* or *pilT*^{K136A} *pilU*^{K137A}) could be complemented by ectopic expression of either *pilT* or *pilU*

(**S4 Fig**). These data are consistent with what was observed for *V. cholerae* competence pili

(**Fig 1A** and **S1 Fig**). Additionally, it was very recently shown that PilU from *Pseudomonas*

aeruginosa can also promote retraction in a PilT-dependent manner [41]. Together, these

results demonstrate that PilU ATPase activity facilitates pilus retraction in a PilT-dependent

manner in at least three gammaproteobacteria (*V. cholerae*, *A. baylyi* and *P. aeruginosa*) and

suggests that this phenomenon may also extend to other type IVa pilus systems.

Discussion

This study sheds light on the function of PilU as a retraction ATPase of type IVa competence pili

in *V. cholerae*. Specifically, our data indicate that PilU can mediate pilus retraction in a PilT-

dependent manner likely through its ATPase activity. Our results also show that PilT and PilU

form independent homohexamers and that these proteins likely interact with each other and the

platform protein PilC. Furthermore, we demonstrate that the role of PilU in supporting pilus

retraction is conserved in at least one other type IVa pilus system.

Interestingly, previous work in other species has shown that ATPase mutations in PilT have a

greater effect on pilus function compared to the results we present here for both *A. baylyi* and *V.*

cholerae competence pili [12, 42]. It is possible that PilT ATPase mutations have a greater

effect on retraction depending on the pilus activity in question (for example twitching motility vs

DNA uptake). It is also possible that previous reports may have overlooked subtle effects of

mutations within the *pilT* gene on the expression of *pilU*, which is generally found downstream in

the same operon. Finally, it is also possible that PilU may not be able to compensate for the loss

of PilT ATPase activity in some pilus systems.

Our results indicate that PilU can only facilitate pilus retraction in the presence of PilT,

regardless of whether that PilT is a functional ATPase. These results are also supported by a

recent report from the Blokesch lab, which was published while our manuscript was in revision

[41]. We initially tested the hypothesis that this was due to a requirement for PilT to bridge PilU

with the platform protein PilC. Our BACTH results, however, indicated that PilU may directly

interact with PilC in the absence of PilT (**Fig 3** and **S2 Fig**). While they may interact, it is

possible that PilU does not bind and engage the PilC platform in a conformation that would

facilitate retraction. Alternatively, it is possible that PilU does bind PilC in a conformation that

can facilitate retraction but additional interactions with PilT are required to stimulate PilU

ATPase activity. Another possibility is that PilT interacts with other components of the pilus

machine that are required for stimulating retraction, and that PilU lacks these interactions. Yet

another possibility is that PilU enhances the processivity of the PilT ATPase. Future efforts

focused on the interaction network of the retraction ATPases with the pilus machine should

shed light on these various hypotheses.

Previous reports have shown that PilU is necessary for twitching motility in *P. aeruginosa* [13],

an activity that likely requires forceful retraction [32]. Consistent with this, our results indicate

that PilU is necessary for maximal retraction force for the competence pilus in *V. cholerae* (**Fig**

1G-H). Interestingly, because the Δ*pilU* mutant transforms similarly to the parent strain (**Figs 1A**

and 2B), the forceful retraction mediated by PilU does not seem to be necessary for the function

of the *V. cholerae* competence pilus in DNA uptake under the conditions tested. However,

forceful PilU-dependent retraction may be necessary for DNA uptake when tDNA is absorbed

onto surfaces and/or in complex environments like biofilms.

Beyond altering the force of retraction, many mutants in PilT and PilU also reduced the speed of

pilus retraction relative to the parent strain (i.e. Δ*pilU* = ~1.3-fold, *pilT*^{K136A} = ~4.3-fold, *pilT*^{K136A}

pilU^{L199C} = ~6.5-fold, *pilU*^{K134A} = ~1.5-fold, *pilT*^{L201C} Δ*pilU* = ~1.7-fold) (**Figs 1B** and **2A**). This

revealed that altering the rates of these motor ATPases results in a corresponding reduction in

the rate of pilus retraction [43]. Additionally, despite this reduction in the speed of pilus

retraction, these mutants still transformed at levels that were comparable to the parent strain

(**Figs 1A** and **2B**). Thus, these results suggest that the absolute speed of competence pilus

retraction is not critical for DNA uptake during natural transformation. On the other hand, all

mutants that lack a functional retraction ATPase ($\Delta pilT$, $\Delta pilT\Delta pilU$, $pilT^{K136A}$ $\Delta pilU$, $pilT^{E204A}$

$\Delta pilU$, $pilT^{K136A/E204A}$ $\Delta pilU$) showed a ~2-log reduction in the frequency of pilus retraction (**Fig**

1C). This revealed that functional retraction ATPases are crucial for generating high numbers of

retraction events, which may increase the likelihood of retracting a DNA-bound pilus. Further

experiments will focus on defining the parameters that are essential for DNA uptake.

Mutants that completely inactivated the retraction machinery (e.g. $\Delta pilT$, $\Delta pilT$ $\Delta pilU$, $pilT^{K136A}$

$\Delta pilU$, $pilT^{K136A}$ $pilU^{K134A}$) resulted in a hyperpiliated phenotype, lower transformation frequency

and a marked reduction in the frequency of pilus retraction (**Figs 1A, 1C-E**, **2A** and **2C**). These

strains, however, did display rare pilus retraction, which occurred at rates that were markedly

slower than the parent (>40-fold), and which was independent of PilT and PilU (**Figs 1B** and

2A). Recent work in *Neisseria* indicates that PilTU-independent retraction is not unique to the *V.*

cholerae type IVa competence pilus [34]. The mechanism underlying PilTU-independent

retraction remains unclear. One possibility is that an extension ATPase for another type IV pilus

system promotes this retraction. We have tested this in *V. cholerae* by mutating the extension

ATPases of the two other type IV pilus systems (MSHA and TCP) and found that PilTU-

independent retraction of the competence pilus still occurs in this background (**S5 Fig**). Thus,

suggesting that these other ATPases likely do not contribute to PilTU-independent retraction. It

remains possible that the competence pilus extension ATPase PilB supports PilTU-independent

retraction as suggested for the type IVc tad pili of *Caulobacter crescentus* where the same

ATPase bifunctionally promotes both pilus extension and retraction [43]. It is also possible that

PilTU-independent pilus retraction is due to an inherent instability of the pilus fiber. Uncovering

the mechanism underlying PilTU-independent retraction of the type IVa competence pilus [5] will

be the focus of future work.

Materials and Methods

Bacterial strains and culture conditions

Vibrio cholerae and *A. baylyi* strains were routinely grown in LB Miller broth and on LB Miller

agar supplemented with erythromycin (10 µg/mL), kanamycin (50 µg/mL), and/or carbenicillin

(50 µg/mL) when appropriate.

Construction of mutant strains

All *V. cholerae* strains used throughout this study are derivatives of the El Tor isolate E7946. All *A. baylyi* strains used were derivatives of strain ADP1 [44]. All mutant strains were constructed via MuGENT and natural transformation using transforming DNA products made by splicing-by-overlap extension (SOE) PCR exactly as previously described [45-48]. For a detailed list of all mutant strains used throughout this study see **Table S1**. For a detailed list of all primers used to construct mutant strains see **Table S2**.

Natural transformation assays

In order to induce competence in *V. cholerae* strains, the master competence regulator TfoX was overexpressed using an IPTG-inducible or constitutive P_{tac} promoter and the cells were genetically locked in a state of high cell density via deletion of *luxO* [46, 49-53]. Chitin-independent transformation assays were performed exactly as previously described [47]. Briefly, strains were grown overnight rolling at 30 $^{\circ}$C with 100 μM IPTG then ~10^8 colony forming units (CFU) were subcultured into 3mL of LB + 100 μM IPTG + 20 mM $MgCl_2$ + 10 mM $CaCl_2$ and grown to late log. Next, ~10^8 CFU of this culture was diluted into instant ocean medium (7 g/L; Aquarium Systems) supplemented with 100 μM IPTG and 500ng of transforming DNA was added to each reaction and incubated statically at 30 $^{\circ}$C overnight. The tDNA targeted VC1807, a frame-shifted transposase, for deletion as previously described [45]. Negative control reactions where no tDNA was added were also performed for each strain. After incubation with tDNA, reactions were outgrown by adding 1mL of LB to each reaction and shaking (250 rpm) at 37 $^{\circ}$C for ~2 hours. Reactions were then plated for quantitative culture onto medium selecting for transformants (LB + 10 μg/mL Erythromycin) or onto plain LB for total viable counts. The transformation frequency is defined as the number of transformants divided by the total viable counts. For reactions where no transformants were obtained, a limit of detection was calculated and plotted.

In order to sensitize natural transformation assays to differences in the efficiency of DNA uptake, reactions were incubated with transforming DNA for shorter periods of time. These assays were prepared exactly as described above, except that 10 units of DNase I (NEB) was

added to reactions 7 minutes after incubation with 500ng of transforming DNA. Reactions were

then incubated statically at 30°C overnight, outgrown, and plated exactly as described above.

For *A. baylyi*, transformations were performed exactly as previously described [54]. Briefly,

strains were grown overnight in LB medium. Then, ~10^8 cells were diluted into fresh LB

medium, and tDNA was added (~100 ng). Reactions were incubated at 30°C with agitation for 5

h and then plated for quantitative culture as described above to determine the transformation

frequency.

Pilin labelling, imaging and quantification

In order to label pili for observation with epifluorescence microscopy, strains were grown to the

late-log phase exactly as described above for natural transformation assays. Then, ~10^8 CFU

were spun down at 18,000 x g for 1 min and resuspended in instant ocean medium. Cells were

then incubated with 25 µg/mL AF488-mal for 15 minutes statically at room temperature in the

dark. Cells were washed twice using 100µL of instant ocean medium and resuspended to a final

concentration of ~10^7 CFU. Next, 2µL of these labeled cells were placed under an 0.2% gelzan

pad (made in instant ocean medium) on a coverslip and imaged on an inverted Nikon Ti-2

microscope with a Plan Apo ×60 objective, a green fluorescent protein filter cube, a Hamamatsu

ORCAFlash 4.0 camera and Nikon NIS Elements imaging software. In order to measure

retraction events, labelled cells were imaged by time-lapse microscopy in which a phase-

contrast (to image cell bodies) and fluorescent (to image labeled pili) image were taken every

second for 1-2 minutes or every 10 seconds for 10-15 minutes. Rates were calculated manually

using measurement tools in the NIS Elements analysis software. Only pili that were longer than

0.3 µm and completed retracting within the time-lapse window were analyzed.

In order to calculate the number of pili per cell, static images of cell bodies and labeled pili were

captured using phase-contrast and epifluorescence microscopy, respectively. Images from 3

independent biological replicates were sectioned into areas containing ~100-200 cells and the

total number of cells and the number of pili displayed on each cell was manually determined.

Representative images of the piliation state of strains were gathered and the lookup tables for

each phase or fluorescent image were adjusted to the same range.

In order to calculate the retraction frequency, time-lapse videos of cell bodies and labeled pili

were captured using phase-contrast and epifluorescence microscopy, respectively. Time-lapses

from 3 independent biological replicates were sectioned into areas containing ~300-1000 cells.

The number of cells that exhibited a pilus retraction event and the total number of cells in the

field was manually counted. This analysis was constrained to measuring pili that are greater

than 0.1 µM because shorter pili cannot be resolved by our pilus labeling approach.

To assess aggregation and pelleting of cultures, strains were grown to late log under the

conditions described above. Cultures were then allowed to sit statically at room temperature for

30-60 mins. Images of cultures were then taken against a white background.

Protein expression and purification

His tagged (6xHis) versions of PilT, PilT^{K136A}, PilU and PilU^{K134A} were cloned into a pHis

expression vector and verified by DNA sequencing (Eurofins). Vectors were transformed into *E.*

coli BL21 DE3 for expression and purification.

For purification of His-PilU and His-PilU^{K134A}, cells were grown in a 1L flask at 37°C with aeration

to an OD$_{600}$ = 0.6. The culture was then induced by adding IPTG (1 mM) and grown to a final

OD$_{600}$ = 4.0 at 30°C with aeration. Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 8,000 x g for 15 min

at room temperature and then resuspended in Buffer A [100 mM Tris pH 8.5, 300 mM KCl, 10%

glycerol, 20 mM Imidazole] and stored at -80°C. Pellets were thawed on ice and then lysozyme

(1 mg/mL) and DNase I (2 mg/L) were added before lysing via sonication with a probe tip

sonicator. The soluble fraction was clarified by centrifugation at 12,000 x g for 1 hour at 4°C.

The supernatant was loaded onto a nickel-charged HisTrap HP column (1mL; GE) using an

ÄKTA FPLC at room temperature. The column was then washed with Buffer A and the protein

was eluted with a gradient of Buffer B [100 mM Tris pH 8.5, 300 mM KCl, 10% glycerol, 500 mM

imidazole] and fractions were collected on ice. Elution fractions were separated on 15% SDS-

PAGE gels and stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue R-250 (BioRad). Peak fractions were

pooled and dialyzed (Fisher, MWCO 12 kDa) into 500 mL Buffer C [100 mM Tris pH 8.5, 300

mM KCl, 10% glycerol] overnight, on a stir plate at 4°C. Then a second round of dialysis was

performed using 500 mL Buffer C for 4-6 hours, on a stir plate at 4°C. Following dialysis, the

protein concentration was determined using a Bradford Assay and aliquots were stored at -80C.

For purification of His-PilT and His-PilT^{K136A}, cells were grown in a 1L flask at 37°C with aeration

to an OD$_{600}$ = 0.6. The culture was then induced by adding IPTG (1 mM) and grown overnight at

22°C with aeration. Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 8,000 x g for 15min at room

temperature and then resuspended in Buffer A [25 mM Tris pH 8.5, 300 mM KCl, 10% glycerol,
1 mM EDTA, 1 mM MgCl$_2$, 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 20 mM Imidazole] and stored at -80°C.
Pellets were thawed on ice and lysozyme (1 mg/mL), DNase I (2 mg/L) and PMSF (1 mM) were
added before lysing via sonication with a probe tip sonicator. The soluble fraction was clarified
by centrifugation at 12,000 x g for 1 hour at 4°C. The supernatant was loaded onto a nickel-
charged HisTrap HP column (1 mL; GE) using an ÄKTA FPLC at room temperature. The
column was then washed with Buffer A and the protein was eluted with a gradient of Buffer B
[25 mM Tris pH 8.5, 300 mM KCl, 10% glycerol, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM MgCl$_2$, 5 mM β-
mercaptoethanol, 500 mM Imidazole] and fractions were collected on ice. Elution fractions were
separated on 15% SDS-PAGE gels and stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue R-250 (BioRad).
Peak fractions were pooled and dialyzed (Fisher, MWCO 12 kDa) into 500 mL Buffer C [25 mM
Tris pH 8.5, 50 mM KCl, 10% glycerol, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM MgCl$_2$, 0.5mM TCEP] overnight, on a
stir plate at 4°C. The next day, the eluate was concentrated with an Amicon conical filter
(MWCO 30 kDa) at 4,000 x g for 15 min at 4°C and resuspended to the original volume in Buffer
C twice. The protein concentration was determined using a Bradford Assay and then aliquots of
protein were snap-frozen with liquid nitrogen and stored at -80C.

Bacterial Adenylate Cyclase Two-hybrid (BACTH) Assays

The Bacterial Adenylate Cyclase Two-Hybrid (BACTH) system was employed to study protein
interactions. Gene inserts were amplified by PCR and cloned into pUT18C (Carb[R]) and pKT25
(Kan[R]) vectors to generate N-terminal fusions to the T18 and T25 fragments of adenylate
cyclase, respectively. Miniprepped vectors (Qiagen plasmid miniprep kit) were then
cotransformed into *E. coli* BTH101 and outgrown with 500 µL LB for 1 hour at 37°C with
shaking. Transformations were isolation streak plated on LB plates containing kanamycin 50
µg/mL and carbenicillin 100 µg/mL to select for transformants that had received both plasmids.
Strains were then grown statically at 30°C from frozen stocks overnight in LB supplemented with
kanamycin 50 µg/mL and carbenicillin 100 µg/mL and 3.5µL of each strain was then spotted
onto LB plates containing kanamycin 50 µg/mL, carbenicillin 100µg/mL, 0.5 mM IPTG and 40
µg/mL X-gal and incubated at 30°C for 48 hours.

BACTH Miller Assays

For BACTH Miller assays strains were prepared by growing the BTH101 strains with the

indicated pUT18C and pKT25 vectors statically overnight at 30^oC in LB supplemented with

kanamycin 50 µg/mL and carbenicillin 100 µg/mL. Then 5 µL of this overnight culture was

subcultured into 3mL of LB supplemented with kanamycin 50 µg/mL, carbenicillin 100µg/mL and

0.5 mM IPTG and grown rolling at 30^oC overnight. Cultures were then pelleted and resuspended

in 1 mL of Z buffer [60 mM Na_2HPO_4-$7H_2O$, 40 mM NaH_2PO_4-H_2O, 10 mM KCl, 2 mM $MgSO_4$-

$7H_2O$] to an OD_{600} = 0.5. 50µL of 1% SDS was added to each reaction and vortexed. 100µL of

chloroform was added to each reaction, vortexed again and then centrifuged for 1 min at 18,000

x g. The aqueous fraction was then mixed with ONPG (2.5 mg/mL) in a 96-well plate and the

OD_{420} and OD_{550} were measured every 5 minutes for 10 hours. Miller units were then calculated

at the time (in mins) when the A_{420} reached 0.3 or at 10 hours using the formula: [1000*(A_{420}-

(1.75*A_{550}))/ (mins*0.2 mL*A_{600})].

Western Blotting

Strains were grown to mid-log phase in LB with 100 µM IPTG if applicable and then

resuspended to an OD_{600} = 100 in TBS containing 1 mM PMSF. Cells were then lysed using a

FastPrep-24 (MP Bio). Lysates were centrifuged at 16,000 x g for 10 min at 4^oC, and the

supernatant was then mixed 1:1 with 2X SDS sample buffer [220 mM Tris pH 6.8, 25% glycerol,

1.8% SDS, 0.02% Bromophenol Blue, 5% β-mercaptoethanol] and then 2uL of each sample

was separated on a 15% SDS PAGE gel. Proteins were transferred to a PVDF membrane and

incubated with one of the following primary antibodies: α-FLAG polyclonal rabbit (Sigma) or α-

RpoA monoclonal mouse (Biolegend). Then, blots were washed and incubated with a α-rabbit

or α-mouse secondary antibodies conjugated to IRdye 800CW. Blots were then imaged using

an Odyssey classic LI-COR system.

Negative-stain electron microscopy

Negative stain specimens were prepared by applying 4 µL of protein solution (0.1 mg/mL + 1

mM AMP-PnP) onto a glow-discharged 300-mesh copper grid coated with continuous carbon

film (EMS). After 30 s the protein solution was blotted with a piece of filter paper. The grid was

washed with 4 µL of milli-Q water and stained with 4 µL of stain solution (1% (w/v) uranyl

acetate, 0.5% (w/v) trehalose). The excess stain solution was removed by filter paper and the

grid was left to air dry. Due to the availability of the instrument, we used two different

transmission electron microscopes for data collection. For PilU, the data were collected on a

300-kV JEM-3200FS TEM equipped with FEG source and 8k x 8k Direct Electron DE-64

camera. A total of 32 micrograph images were taken at a nominal magnification of 60,000x,

which is equivalent to 1.56 Å per pixel. For PilT, the data were collected on a 120-kV JEM-

1400plus TEM equipped with LaB_6 source and a Gatan Oneview camera. A total of 59 images

were taken at a nominal magnification of 60,000x and the pixel size is 1.94 Å. To avoid potential

beam induced damage, minimum dose system was employed during data collection. Note that

PilU data were collected on a direct electron detector; therefore, each image contains 50 frames

and the frame alignment was performed using unblur program with exposure filter applied.

Particle picking, defocus estimation and phase flipped particles were all done using EMAN2

software package [55]. These particles were then imported into RELION (v3.0.7) for 2D

classification, Initial model building, 3D refinement, and 3D classification [56]. From 2D

classification results, we observed clear hexameric density organization [7, 57]. No symmetry

was applied for subsequent 3D refinement and 3D classification. The final 3D reconstructions

were computed from 45204 particles to 16.6 Å for PilU and 17472 particles to 20.8 Å for PilT.

The resolution estimation was calculated using gold-standard method at Fourier shell correlation

of 0.143 as implemented in RELION. The 3D reconstructions were rendered using UCSF

Chimera where the handedness of PilU was chosen to be consistent with PilT [58]. The

negative stain 3D reconstruction of PilU and PilT have been deposited into EMDB (ID: EMD-

20757, EMD-20758 respectively).

Micropillar retraction assay

To ensure that all measurements recorded were due to type IV competence pili, all the

experiments were performed with *V. cholerae* strains lacking all external appendages other than

type IV competence pili as well as exopolysaccharide production (i.e. mutants lacking flagella,

MSHA pili, TCP pili and *Vibrio* polysaccharide (VPS) production). Strains were grown overnight

in LB either directly from frozen stocks or from a single colony from an LB agar plate. Of the

overnight liquid culture, 50 µl was subcultured into 3 ml LB + 100 µM IPTG + 20 mM $MgCl_2$ + 10

mM $CaCl_2$ and allowed to grow at 30°C for 4h. Then, 100 µl of this culture was centrifuged for 5

min at 20,000 x *g* and the bacteria were resuspended in instant ocean medium. At different

times, 23 µl of the resuspension were added to micropillars in an observation chamber as

previously reported [15]. Briefly, silica molds were inverted on activated coverslips with

polyacrylamide gels in between. The result is an array of flexible micropillars in a hexagonal

array of 3 μm × 3 μm.Once the bacteria were in contact with the micropillars, 10-Hz movies of
the top of the pillars were recorded. The motion of the tips of the pillars was tracked using a
cross-correlation algorithm in ImageJ. The amplitude and speeds of the pillars' motions were
then analyzed using MATLAB. Finally, we calibrated the pillars' stiffness constant using optical
tweezers as previously described [33]. The pillars used in this study have a stiffness constant of
17±4pNμm−1.

Statistics

633 Statistical differences were assessed by one-way ANOVA tests followed by a multiple
634 comparisons post test (either Tukey's or Dunnett's) using GraphPad Prism software. For all
635 transformation frequency experiments and frequency of pilus retraction experiments, statistical
636 analyses were performed on log transformed data. All means and statistical comparisons can
637 be found in **S3** and **S4 Tables**.

Acknowledgements

640 We would like to thank CK Ellison for helpful discussions. We would like to acknowledge JD
641 Newman and CE Dann III for their advice during protein purification. We thank JC van Kessel
642 for providing strains associated with BACTH assays. We would like to thank L Khosla and V
643 Deopersaud for technical assistance with micropillar experiments. We also thank JA Lorah for
644 assistance with statistical analyses.

References

1.   Burrows LL. Pseudomonas aeruginosa twitching motility: type IV pili in action. Annu Rev
Microbiol. 2012;66:493-520. Epub 2012/07/04. doi: 10.1146/annurev-micro-092611-150055. PubMed
PMID: 22746331.
2.   Maier B, Wong GCL. How Bacteria Use Type IV Pili Machinery on Surfaces. Trends Microbiol.
2015;23(12):775-88. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2015.09.002. PubMed PMID: 26497940.

3.   Hospenthal MK, Costa TRD, Waksman G. A comprehensive guide to pilus biogenesis in Gram-

negative bacteria. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2017;15(6):365-79. Epub 2017/05/13. doi:

10.1038/nrmicro.2017.40. PubMed PMID: 28496159.

4.   Proft T, Baker EN. Pili in Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria - structure, assembly and

their role in disease. Cell Mol Life Sci. 2009;66(4):613-35. Epub 2008/10/28. doi: 10.1007/s00018-008-

8477-4. PubMed PMID: 18953686.

5.   Craig L, Forest KT, Maier B. Type IV pili: dynamics, biophysics and functional consequences. Nat

Rev Microbiol. 2019. Epub 2019/04/17. doi: 10.1038/s41579-019-0195-4. PubMed PMID: 30988511.

6.   Craig L, Pique ME, Tainer JA. Type IV pilus structure and bacterial pathogenicity. Nat Rev

Microbiol. 2004;2(5):363-78. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro885. PubMed PMID: 15100690.

7.   McCallum M, Tammam S, Khan A, Burrows LL, Howell PL. The molecular mechanism of the type

IVa pilus motors. Nat Commun. 2017;8:15091. doi: 10.1038/ncomms15091. PubMed PMID: 28474682;

PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5424180.

8.   Bischof LF, Friedrich C, Harms A, Sogaard-Andersen L, van der Does C. The Type IV Pilus

Assembly ATPase PilB of Myxococcus xanthus Interacts with the Inner Membrane Platform Protein PilC

and the Nucleotide-binding Protein PilM. J Biol Chem. 2016;291(13):6946-57. doi:

10.1074/jbc.M115.701284. PubMed PMID: 26851283; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4807279.

9.   Chang YW, Rettberg LA, Treuner-Lange A, Iwasa J, Sogaard-Andersen L, Jensen GJ. Architecture

of the type IVa pilus machine. Science. 2016;351(6278):aad2001. doi: 10.1126/science.aad2001.

PubMed PMID: 26965631; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5929464.

10.   Chiang P, Habash M, Burrows LL. Disparate subcellular localization patterns of Pseudomonas

aeruginosa Type IV pilus ATPases involved in twitching motility. J Bacteriol. 2005;187(3):829-39. doi:

10.1128/JB.187.3.829-839.2005. PubMed PMID: 15659660; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC545728.

11.   Craig L, Volkmann N, Arvai AS, Pique ME, Yeager M, Egelman EH, et al. Type IV pilus structure by

cryo-electron microscopy and crystallography: implications for pilus assembly and functions. Mol Cell.

2006;23(5):651-62. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2006.07.004. PubMed PMID: 16949362.

12.   Jakovljevic V, Leonardy S, Hoppert M, Sogaard-Andersen L. PilB and PilT are ATPases acting

antagonistically in type IV pilus function in Myxococcus xanthus. J Bacteriol. 2008;190(7):2411-21. doi:

10.1128/JB.01793-07. PubMed PMID: 18223089; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2293208.

13.   Whitchurch CB, Mattick JS. Characterization of a gene, pilU, required for twitching motility but

not phage sensitivity in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Mol Microbiol. 1994;13(6):1079-91. Epub

1994/09/01. PubMed PMID: 7854122.

14.   Blair KM, Turner L, Winkelman JT, Berg HC, Kearns DB. A molecular clutch disables flagella in the

Bacillus subtilis biofilm. Science. 2008;320(5883):1636-8. Epub 2008/06/21. doi:

10.1126/science.1157877. PubMed PMID: 18566286.

15.   Ellison CK, Kan J, Dillard RS, Kysela DT, Ducret A, Berne C, et al. Obstruction of pilus retraction

stimulates bacterial surface sensing. Science. 2017;358(6362):535-8. Epub 2017/10/28. doi:

10.1126/science.aan5706. PubMed PMID: 29074778; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5805138.

16.   Ellison CK, Dalia TN, Dalia AB, Brun YV. Real-time microscopy and physical perturbation of

bacterial pili using maleimide-conjugated molecules. Nat Protoc. 2019. doi: 10.1038/s41596-019-0162-6.

PubMed PMID: 31028374.

17.   Adams DW, Stutzmann S, Stoudmann C, Blokesch M. DNA-uptake pili of Vibrio cholerae are

required for chitin colonization and capable of kin recognition via sequence-specific self-interaction. Nat

Microbiol. 2019. doi: 10.1038/s41564-019-0479-5. PubMed PMID: 31182799.

18.   Ellison CK, Dalia TN, Vidal Ceballos A, Wang JC, Biais N, Brun YV, et al. Retraction of DNA-bound

type IV competence pili initiates DNA uptake during natural transformation in Vibrio cholerae. Nat

Microbiol. 2018;3(7):773-80. Epub 2018/06/13. doi: 10.1038/s41564-018-0174-y. PubMed PMID:

29891864.

19.   Seitz P, Blokesch M. DNA-uptake machinery of naturally competent Vibrio cholerae. Proc Natl

Acad Sci U S A. 2013;110(44):17987-92. Epub 2013/10/16. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1315647110. PubMed

PMID: 24127573; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3816411.

20.   Bieber D, Ramer SW, Wu CY, Murray WJ, Tobe T, Fernandez R, et al. Type IV pili, transient

bacterial aggregates, and virulence of enteropathogenic Escherichia coli. Science. 1998;280(5372):2114-

8. PubMed PMID: 9641917.

21.   Chamot-Rooke J, Mikaty G, Malosse C, Soyer M, Dumont A, Gault J, et al. Posttranslational

modification of pili upon cell contact triggers N. meningitidis dissemination. Science.

2011;331(6018):778-82. doi: 10.1126/science.1200729. PubMed PMID: 21311024.

22.   Helaine S, Carbonnelle E, Prouvensier L, Beretti JL, Nassif X, Pelicic V. PilX, a pilus-associated

protein essential for bacterial aggregation, is a key to pilus-facilitated attachment of Neisseria

meningitidis to human cells. Mol Microbiol. 2005;55(1):65-77. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2004.04372.x.

PubMed PMID: 15612917.

23.   Dietrich M, Mollenkopf H, So M, Friedrich A. Pilin regulation in the pilT mutant of Neisseria

gonorrhoeae strain MS11. FEMS Microbiol Lett. 2009;296(2):248-56. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-

6968.2009.01647.x. PubMed PMID: 19486161; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4428587.

24.   Merz AJ, So M, Sheetz MP. Pilus retraction powers bacterial twitching motility. Nature.

2000;407(6800):98-102. doi: 10.1038/35024105. PubMed PMID: 10993081.

25.   Pujol C, Eugene E, Marceau M, Nassif X. The meningococcal PilT protein is required for induction

of intimate attachment to epithelial cells following pilus-mediated adhesion. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.

1999;96(7):4017-22. PubMed PMID: 10097155; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC22412.

26.   Hockenberry AM, Hutchens DM, Agellon A, So M. Attenuation of the Type IV Pilus Retraction

Motor Influences Neisseria gonorrhoeae Social and Infection Behavior. MBio. 2016;7(6). doi:

10.1128/mBio.01994-16. PubMed PMID: 27923924; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5142622.

27.   Wolfgang M, Lauer P, Park HS, Brossay L, Hebert J, Koomey M. PilT mutations lead to

simultaneous defects in competence for natural transformation and twitching motility in piliated

Neisseria gonorrhoeae. Mol Microbiol. 1998;29(1):321-30. PubMed PMID: 9701824.

28.   Leighton TL, Dayalani N, Sampaleanu LM, Howell PL, Burrows LL. Novel Role for PilNO in Type IV

Pilus Retraction Revealed by Alignment Subcomplex Mutations. J Bacteriol. 2015;197(13):2229-38. doi:

10.1128/JB.00220-15. PubMed PMID: 25917913; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4455263.

29.   Aukema KG, Kron EM, Herdendorf TJ, Forest KT. Functional dissection of a conserved motif

within the pilus retraction protein PilT. J Bacteriol. 2005;187(2):611-8. doi: 10.1128/JB.187.2.611-

618.2005. PubMed PMID: 15629932; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC543540.

30.   Hanson PI, Whiteheart SW. AAA+ proteins: have engine, will work. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.

2005;6(7):519-29. doi: 10.1038/nrm1684. PubMed PMID: 16072036.

31.   McCallum M, Benlekbir S, Nguyen S, Tammam S, Rubinstein JL, Burrows LL, et al. Multiple

conformations facilitate PilT function in the type IV pilus. bioRxiv. 2019. doi:

https://doi.org/10.1101/672212.

32.   Tala L, Fineberg A, Kukura P, Persat A. Pseudomonas aeruginosa orchestrates twitching motility

by sequential control of type IV pili movements. Nat Microbiol. 2019. Epub 2019/02/26. doi:

10.1038/s41564-019-0378-9. PubMed PMID: 30804544.

33.   Biais N, Higashi D, So M, Ladoux B. Techniques to measure pilus retraction forces. Methods Mol

Biol. 2012;799:197-216. doi: 10.1007/978-1-61779-346-2_13. PubMed PMID: 21993648; PubMed

Central PMCID: PMCPMC5160128.

34.   Zollner R, Cronenberg T, Maier B. Motor properties of PilT-independent type 4 pilus retraction in

gonococci. J Bacteriol. 2019. doi: 10.1128/JB.00778-18. PubMed PMID: 30692169.

35.   Georgiadou M, Castagnini M, Karimova G, Ladant D, Pelicic V. Large-scale study of the

interactions between proteins involved in type IV pilus biology in Neisseria meningitidis: characterization

of a subcomplex involved in pilus assembly. Mol Microbiol. 2012;84(5):857-73. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-

2958.2012.08062.x. PubMed PMID: 22486968.

36.   Karimova G, Pidoux J, Ullmann A, Ladant D. A bacterial two-hybrid system based on a

reconstituted signal transduction pathway. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1998;95(10):5752-6. Epub

1998/05/20. PubMed PMID: 9576956; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC20451.

37.   McCallum M, Tammam S, Little DJ, Robinson H, Koo J, Shah M, et al. PilN Binding Modulates the

Structure and Binding Partners of the Pseudomonas aeruginosa Type IVa Pilus Protein PilM. J Biol Chem.

2016;291(21):11003-15. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M116.718353. PubMed PMID: 27022027; PubMed Central

PMCID: PMCPMC4900251.

38.   Forest KT, Satyshur KA, Worzalla GA, Hansen JK, Herdendorf TJ. The pilus-retraction protein PilT:

ultrastructure of the biological assembly. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr. 2004;60(Pt 5):978-82. Epub

2004/04/23. doi: 10.1107/S0907444904006055. PubMed PMID: 15103158.

39.   Jones CJ, Utada A, Davis KR, Thongsomboon W, Zamorano Sanchez D, Banakar V, et al. C-di-GMP

Regulates Motile to Sessile Transition by Modulating MshA Pili Biogenesis and Near-Surface Motility

Behavior in Vibrio cholerae. PLoS Pathog. 2015;11(10):e1005068. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1005068.

PubMed PMID: 26505896; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4624765.

40.   Takhar HK, Kemp K, Kim M, Howell PL, Burrows LL. The platform protein is essential for type IV

pilus biogenesis. J Biol Chem. 2013;288(14):9721-8. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M113.453506. PubMed PMID:

23413032; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3617274.

41.   Adams DW, Pereira JM, Stoudmann C, Stutzmann S, Blokesch M. The type IV pilus protein PilU

functions as a PilT-dependent retraction ATPase. PLoS Genet. 2019;15(9):e1008393. doi:

10.1371/journal.pgen.1008393. PubMed PMID: 31525185.

42.   Chiang P, Sampaleanu LM, Ayers M, Pahuta M, Howell PL, Burrows LL. Functional role of

conserved residues in the characteristic secretion NTPase motifs of the Pseudomonas aeruginosa type IV

pilus motor proteins PilB, PilT and PilU. Microbiology. 2008;154(Pt 1):114-26. doi:

10.1099/mic.0.2007/011320-0. PubMed PMID: 18174131.

43.   Ellison CK, Kan J, Chlebek JL, Hummels KR, Panis G, Viollier PH, et al. A bifunctional ATPase drives

tad pilus extension and retraction. bioRxiv. 2019:616128. doi: 10.1101/616128.

44.   Juni E, Janik A. Transformation of Acinetobacter calco-aceticus (Bacterium anitratum). J

Bacteriol. 1969;98(1):281-8. PubMed PMID: 5781579; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC249934.

45.   Dalia AB. Natural Cotransformation and Multiplex Genome Editing by Natural Transformation

(MuGENT) of Vibrio cholerae. Methods Mol Biol. 2018;1839:53-64. Epub 2018/07/27. doi: 10.1007/978-

1-4939-8685-9_6. PubMed PMID: 30047054.

46.   Dalia AB, McDonough E, Camilli A. Multiplex genome editing by natural transformation. Proc

Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2014;111(24):8937-42. Epub 2014/06/04. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1406478111. PubMed

PMID: 24889608; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4066482.

47.   Dalia TN, Yoon SH, Galli E, Barre FX, Waters CM, Dalia AB. Enhancing multiplex genome editing

by natural transformation (MuGENT) via inactivation of ssDNA exonucleases. Nucleic Acids Res.

2017;45(12):7527-37. Epub 2017/06/03. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkx496. PubMed PMID: 28575400; PubMed

Central PMCID: PMCPMC5499599.

48.   Dalia AB, Lazinski DW, Camilli A. Characterization of undermethylated sites in Vibrio cholerae. J

Bacteriol. 2013;195(10):2389-99. Epub 2013/03/19. doi: 10.1128/JB.02112-12. PubMed PMID:

23504020; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3650525.

49.   Zhu J, Miller MB, Vance RE, Dziejman M, Bassler BL, Mekalanos JJ. Quorum-sensing regulators

control virulence gene expression in Vibrio cholerae. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2002;99(5):3129-34. doi:

10.1073/pnas.052694299. PubMed PMID: 11854465; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC122484.

50.   Lo Scrudato M, Blokesch M. The regulatory network of natural competence and transformation

of Vibrio cholerae. PLoS Genet. 2012;8(6):e1002778. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1002778. PubMed

PMID: 22737089; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3380833.

51.   Lo Scrudato M, Blokesch M. A transcriptional regulator linking quorum sensing and chitin

induction to render Vibrio cholerae naturally transformable. Nucleic Acids Res. 2013;41(6):3644-58. doi:

10.1093/nar/gkt041. PubMed PMID: 23382174; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3616704.

52.   Meibom KL, Blokesch M, Dolganov NA, Wu CY, Schoolnik GK. Chitin induces natural competence

in Vibrio cholerae. Science. 2005;310(5755):1824-7. doi: 10.1126/science.1120096. PubMed PMID:

16357262.

53.   Dalia AB, Lazinski DW, Camilli A. Identification of a membrane-bound transcriptional regulator

that links chitin and natural competence in Vibrio cholerae. MBio. 2014;5(1):e01028-13. Epub

2014/01/30. doi: 10.1128/mBio.01028-13. PubMed PMID: 24473132; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC3903286.

54.   Nero TM, Dalia TN, Wang JC, Kysela DT, Bochman ML, Dalia AB. ComM is a hexameric helicase

that promotes branch migration during natural transformation in diverse Gram-negative species. Nucleic

Acids Res. 2018;46(12):6099-111. Epub 2018/05/04. doi: 10.1093/nar/gky343. PubMed PMID:

29722872; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6158740.

55.   Ludtke SJ. Single-Particle Refinement and Variability Analysis in EMAN2.1. Methods Enzymol.

2016;579:159-89. Epub 2016/08/31. doi: 10.1016/bs.mie.2016.05.001. PubMed PMID: 27572727;

PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5101015.

56.   Scheres SH. Semi-automated selection of cryo-EM particles in RELION-1.3. J Struct Biol.

2015;189(2):114-22. Epub 2014/12/09. doi: 10.1016/j.jsb.2014.11.010. PubMed PMID: 25486611;

PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4318617.

57.   Satyshur KA, Worzalla GA, Meyer LS, Heiniger EK, Aukema KG, Misic AM, et al. Crystal structures

of the pilus retraction motor PilT suggest large domain movements and subunit cooperation drive

motility. Structure. 2007;15(3):363-76. doi: 10.1016/j.str.2007.01.018. PubMed PMID: 17355871;

PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC1978094.

58.   Pettersen EF, Goddard TD, Huang CC, Couch GS, Greenblatt DM, Meng EC, et al. UCSF Chimera--

a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. J Comput Chem. 2004;25(13):1605-12. Epub

2004/07/21. doi: 10.1002/jcc.20084. PubMed PMID: 15264254.

Fig 1. PilU mediates type IVa competence pilus retraction in a PilT-dependent manner in *V. cholerae*. (A) Natural transformation assays of the indicated strains. Reactions were incubated with 500 ng of transforming DNA overnight. Transformation frequency is reported as the fraction of cells that were transformed in each reaction (i.e. the number of transformants / total viable counts). Parent, *n* = 11, all other strains, *n* = 4. **(B)** Retraction rates of the indicated strains measured by epifluorescence time-lapse microscopy of AF488-mal labeled cells. Data are from three independent biological replicates; Parent, *n* = 68. Δ*pilU*, *n* = 56. Δ*pilT*, *n* = 9. Δ*pilT*Δ*pilU*, *n* = 18. *pilT*^{K136A}, *n* = 66. *pilT*^{K136A}Δ*pilU*, *n* = 9. *pilT*^{E204A}, *n* = 5. *pilT*^{E204A/K136A}, *n* = 50. *pilT*^{E204A} Δ*pilU*, *n* = 17. *pilT*^{E204A/K136A} Δ*pilU*, *n* = 6. **(C)** Frequency of retraction of the indicated strains measured by counting number of cells that exhibit a retraction event over a given time-lapse. Data are from three independent biological replicates; Parent, *n* = 1141. Δ*pilU*, *n* = 824. Δ*pilT*, *n* = 6681. Δ*pilT*Δ*pilU*, *n* = 3806. *pilT*^{K136A}, *n* = 1048. *pilT*^{K136A}Δ*pilU*, *n* = 997. *pilT*^{E204A}, *n* = 4311. *pilT*^{E204A/K136A}, *n* = 866. *pilT*^{E204A} Δ*pilU*, *n* = 7243. *pilT*^{E204A/K136A} Δ*pilU*, *n* = 6216. **(D)** Representative images of surface piliation (top) and aggregation phenotypes (bottom) for the indicated strains. Scale bar, 1 µm. **(E)** The percentage of cells with 0, <3 or ≥3 surface pili was determined from a static image. Data are from three independent biological replicates; Parent, *n* = 453. Δ*pilU*, *n* = 413. Δ*pilT*, *n* = 381. Δ*pilT*Δ*pilU*, *n* = 425. *pilT*^{K136A}, *n* = 417. *pilT*^{K136A} Δ*pilU*, *n* = 413. *pilT*^{E204A}, *n* = 579. *pilT*^{E204A/K136A}, *n* = 713. *pilT*^{E204A} Δ*pilU*, *n* = 806. *pilT*^{E204A/K136A} Δ*pilU*, *n* = 705. **(F)** Retraction rate (Parent, *n* = 95. Δ*pilU*, *n* = 83. Δ*pilT*, *n* = 324. Δ*pilT* Δ*pilU*, *n* = 125) and the **(G)** Box plot and **(H)** Histogram of the retraction force (Parent, *n* = 101. Δ*pilU*, *n* = 90. Δ*pilT*, *n* = 372. Δ*pilT* Δ*pilU*, *n* = 43) was measured via micropillar assays. All bar graphs are shown as the mean ± SD. All Box plots represent the median and the upper and lower quartile, while the whiskers demarcate the range. Asterisk(s) directly above bars denote comparisons to the parent strain. All comparisons were made by one-way ANOVA with Tukey's post test. LOD, limit of detection; NS, not significant; ** = *P* < 0.01, *** = *P* < 0.001.

Fig 2. The ATPase activity of PilT and PilU mediate pilus retraction. (A) Retraction rates of the indicated strains measured by epifluorescence time-lapse microscopy of AF488-mal labeled cells. Box plots represent the median and the upper and lower quartile, while the whiskers demarcate the range. Data are from three independent biological replicates; $pilU^{K134A}$, n = 70. $pilT^{K136A}$ $pilU^{K134A}$, n = 8. $pilT^{K136A}$ $pilU^{L199C}$, n = 46. $pilU^{L199C}$, n = 49. $pilT^{L201C}$, n = 54. $pilT^{L201C}$ $\Delta pilU$, n = 54. $\Delta pilT$ $pilU^{L199C}$, n = 11. Data for the retraction rates of the parent, $\Delta pilU$, and $pilT^{K136A}$ strains are identical to those shown in **Fig 1B** and plotted again here to facilitate comparisons to the mutant strains indicated. **(B)** Natural transformation assays of the indicated strains incubated with 500 ng of transforming DNA overnight. Data are shown as the mean ± SD. Parent, n = 6. All other strains, n = 4. **(C)** Representative images of surface piliation (top) and aggregation phenotypes (bottom) for the indicated strains. Scale bar, 1 µm. Asterisk(s) directly above bars denote comparisons to the parent strain. All comparisons were made by one-way ANOVA with Tukey's post test. NS, not significant; * = P < 0.05, *** = P < 0.001.

Fig 3. PilT and PilU interact with each other and the pilus platform protein PilC. Bacterial Adenylate Cyclase Two-Hybrid (BACTH) assays were performed to test pair-wise interactions between full length PilT, PilU, PilT^{K136A}, PilU^{K134A}, and PilC that were N-terminally fused to the T18- and T25- fragments of adenylate cyclase. Each construct was also tested for interactions with empty T18 and T25 vectors as a negative control. Leucine zipper fusions to T18 and T25 were used as the positive control (+) and empty T18 and T25 vectors served as an additional negative control (-). **(A)** Representative image of the BACTH assay plated on X-gal-containing media. **(B)** BACTH miller assays of the indicated pairwise interactions. The dotted line represents the β-galactosidase activity of the empty vector negative control. Data are shown as the mean ± SD and are from three independent experiments. Statistical analysis of these data can be found in **S2 Fig**.

Fig 4. PilT and PilU form independent homomeric complexes *in vitro* and *in vivo* to facilitate pilus retraction. (A) Representative negative stain transmission electron micrographs of purified [6X]His-PilT and [6X]His-PilU (left; scale bar, 50 nm). 2D class averages revealing hexameric rings (middle; scale bar, 10 nm) and 3D reconstructions (right) of the ring complex. **(B)** Schematic of the experimental approach and expected outcomes for testing whether PilT and PilU form homomeric or heteromeric complexes to facilitate pilus retraction *in vivo*. **(C)** Natural transformation assays of indicated strains incubated with 500 ng of tDNA and after 7 minutes, DNaseI was added to prevent additional DNA uptake. The genotype of native *pilT* and *pilU* alleles are written below each bar graph and the presence or absence of a chromosomally-integrated IPTG-inducible ectopic allele of *pilT^K136A* or *pilU^K134A* is indicated by a + or -. Strains were grown with 100 μM IPTG if they contained an ectopic expression construct. Data are shown as the mean ± SD and are from four independent biological replicates. **(D)** Representative images of surface piliation (top) and aggregation phenotypes (bottom) for the indicated strains. Scale bar, 1 μm. Asterisk(s) directly above bars denote comparisons to the parent strain. All comparisons were made by one-way ANOVA with Tukey's post test. NS, not significant; *** = *P* < 0.001.

Fig 5. PilU supports pilus retraction in a PilT-dependent manner in *A. baylyi.*
Natural transformation assays of the indicated strains incubated with 500ng of transforming DNA for 5 hours. Data are shown as the mean ± SD. Parent, *n* = 5. Δ*comP*, *n* = 6. All other strains, *n* = 4. Asterisk(s) directly above bars denote comparisons to parent strain. All comparisons were made by one-way ANOVA with Tukey's post test. LOD, limit of detection; NS = not significant; *** = *P* < 0.001.

Supporting Information for

PilT and PilU are homohexameric ATPases that coordinate to retract type IVa pili

Jennifer L. Chlebek[1], Hannah Q. Hughes[1], Aleksandra S. Ratkiewicz[2], Rasman Rayyan[2],

Joseph Che-Yen Wang[3], Brittany E. Herrin[1], Triana N. Dalia[1], Nicolas Biais[2], and Ankur B.

Dalia[1,*]

[1]Department of Biology, Indiana University, 1001 E. 3rd Street, Bloomington, IN 47405.

[2]Biology Department, CUNY Brooklyn College, 2900 Bedford Avenue, Brooklyn, NY 11210 and Graduate Center of CUNY, 365 5th Avenue, NY 10016.

[3]Electron Microscopy Center, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405.

*Correspondence to: ankdalia@indiana.edu.

This PDF file includes:

S1 Fig. Complementation analysis of *V. cholerae* mutant strains via ectopic expression of *pilT* or *pilU*. Natural transformation assays of the indicated strains. All strains contain either a chromosomally integrated IPTG-inducible P_{tac}-*pilT* (white bars) or P_{tac}-*pilU* (gray bars) construct as indicated. Data show that ectopic overexpression of PilT and PilU does not affect the transformation frequency of the parent strain. Ectopic overexpression of PilT rescued the transformation of all strains that showed a substantial reduction in natural transformation in **Fig 1A** and **Fig 2B** (i.e. Δ*pilT*, Δ*pilT* Δ*pilU*, *pilT*^{K136A} Δ*pilU*, *pilT*^{E204A} Δ*pilU*, *pilT*$^{E204A/K136A}$ Δ*pilU*, and *pilT*^{K136A} *pilU*^{K134A}). Ectopic overexpression of PilU rescued all strains except for strains that lacked a copy of *pilT* (i.e. Δ*pilT*, Δ*pilT* Δ*pilU*). All strains were grown with 100 µM IPTG to ectopically induce PilT or PilU. Data are shown as the mean ± SD. Parent, $n = 8$. All other strains, $n = 4$. Asterisk(s) directly above bars denote comparisons to the appropriate parent strain. Comparisons were made by grouping data into the two families indicated by the white and grey bars. A one-way ANOVA was performed for each family with a Dunnet's post to compare each experimental sample to the parent strain. Comparisons were not statistically significantly different unless otherwise noted. *** = $P < 0.001$.

S2 Fig. PilT and PilU interact with each other and the pilus platform protein PilC. BACTH Miller assays showing all data from **Fig 3B** with additional negative controls for every T25- or T18-fusion. Data are shown as the mean ± SD from three independent experiments. The dotted line represents the β-galactosidase activity of the empty vector negative control (T18-empty x T25-empty). Comparisons were made by grouping data into appropriate families indicated by the black lines above the bar graph. A one-way ANOVA was performed on each family with a Dunnet's post test to compare each experimental group to the appropriate negative control (indicated by the longer black line). A single set of asterisks directly above the group line denotes the statistical results for all comparisons in that family unless otherwise noted. NS, not significant; * = $P < 0.05$; *** = $P < 0.001$.

S3 Fig. PilT and PilU form homomeric complexes to facilitate pilus retraction. **(A)** Natural transformation assays showing that 6xHis and 3xFLAG N-terminal fusions to PilT and PilU are functional. The indicated strains were incubated with 500 ng of transforming DNA overnight. Parent, n = 8. All others, n = 4. **(B)** Natural transformation assays showing that overexpression of 3xFLAG tagged PilT/PilU/PilT^{K136A}/PilU^{K134A} behave the same as non-tagged proteins when ectopically overexpressed in the indicated backgrounds. Strains were incubated with 500 ng of transforming DNA and after 7 minutes, DNAse I was added to prevent additional DNA uptake. Parent, n = 5. *pilT^{K136A}*, n = 5. All others, n = 4. **(C)** Western blot of the indicated strains to detect FLAG tagged-proteins and RpoA as a loading control. Strains containing an IPTG regulated P$_{tac}$ constuct were grown in the presence of 100 µM IPTG. This blot indicates that ectopic induction of 3xFLAG tagged PilT/PilU/PilT^{K136A}/PilU^{K134A} results in robust overexpression of proteins above native levels. Data is representative of three independent experiments. **(D)** Natural transformation assays where the indicated strains were incubated with 500 ng of transforming DNA for 7 minutes prior to the addition of DNAse I to prevent additional DNA uptake. These data indicate that ectopic overexpression of PilT or PilU can rescue strains with a substantial reduction in transformation frequency. All strains with P$_{tac}$ constructs were grown with 100 µM IPTG to overexpress PilT or PilU. All bar graphs are shown as the mean ± SD. Asterisk(s) directly above bars denote comparisons to parent strain. All comparisons were made by one-way ANOVA followed with Tukey's post test. LOD, limit of detection; *** = P < 0.001. **(E)** Representative negative stain transmission electron micrographs showing that purified ^{6X}His-PilT^{K136A} and ^{6X}His-PilU^{K134A} form hexamers *in vitro.* Scale bar, 100 nm.

S4 Fig. Complementation analysis of *A. baylyi* mutant strains via ectopic expression of *pilT* or *pilU*. Natural transformation assays of the indicated *A. baylyi* strains. Strains harbored pMMB-*pilT* (white bars), pMMB-*pilU* (gray bars), or no vector (black bar). Data indicate that ectopic overexpression of PilT and PilU do not affect the transformation frequency of the parent strain. Ectopic overexpression of PilT rescued the transformation of all strains that showed a significant reduction in natural transformation in **Fig 5** (i.e. Δ*pilT*, Δ*pilT* Δ*pilU*, *pilT^{K136A}* Δ*pilU*, and *pilT^{K136A} pilU^{K137A}*). Ectopic overexpression of PilU rescued all strains except for strains that lacked a copy of pilT (i.e. Δ*pilT* and Δ*pilT* Δ*pilU*). All strains were grown with 100 μM IPTG to induce expression of *pilT* or *pilU*. Data are shown as the mean ± SD and are from three independent experiments. Asterisk(s) directly above bars denote comparisons to the appropriate parent strain. Comparisons were made by grouping data into the two families indicated by the white and grey bars. A one-way ANOVA was performed for each family followed by Dunnet's post test to compare each experimental group to the parent strain. Comparisons were not statistically significantly different from the relevant parent unless otherwise noted. *** = $P < 0.001$.

S5 Fig. The components of the *V. cholerae* MSHA or TCP type IV pilus systems do not contribute to PilTU-independent retraction of the competence pilus. Natural transformation assays of the indicated strains. Reactions were incubated with 500 ng of transforming DNA overnight. ΔMSHA and ΔTCP represent deletions of the entire locus for both pilus systems, which includes the extension ATPase associated with each. Data are shown as the mean ± SD and are from four independent biological replicates. All comparisons were made by one-way ANOVA with Tukey's post test. NS, not significant.

S1 Table. Strains used in this study

Strain name in manuscript	Genotype and antibiotic resistances	Figure	Strain #
Parent	E7946 SmR, ΔlacZ::lacIq, P_{tac}-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::ZeoR, pilA S56C	Fig 1, Fig 2	TND0905 (SAD2468)
ΔpilU	E7946 SmR, ΔlacZ::lacIq, P_{tac}-tfoX, ΔluxO::SpecR, ΔVC1807::CmR, pilA S56C, comEA-mCherry, ΔpilU::TmR *	Fig 1, Fig 2	SAD2135
ΔpilT	E7946 SmR, ΔlacZ::lacIq, P_{tac}-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::ZeoR, pilA S56C, ΔpilT::TmR	Fig 1, Supp Fig 3	TND1035 (SAD2469)
ΔpilTU	E7946 SmR, ΔlacZ::lacIq, P_{tac}-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::ZeoR, pilA S56C, ΔpilTU::TmR	Fig 1	JLC227 (SAD2470)
pilT^{K136A}	E7946 SmR, ΔlacZ::lacIq, P_{tac}-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::KanR, pilA S56C, pilT ^{K136A}	Fig 1, Fig 2	TND1077 (SAD2471)
pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU	E7946 SmR, ΔlacZ::lacIq, P_{tac}-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::ZeoR, pilA S56C, pilT ^{K136A}, ΔpilU::TmR	Fig 1	JLC444 (SAD2472)
pilT^{E204A}	E7946 SmR, ΔlacZ::lacIq, P_{tac}-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::CmR, pilA S56C, pilT $_{E204A}$	Fig 1	TND1203 (SAD2473)
pilT$^{E204A/K136A}$	E7946 SmR, ΔlacZ::lacIq, P_{tac}-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::CmR, pilA S56C, pilT $_{E204A/}$^{K136A}	Fig 1	JLC324 (SAD2474)
pilT$^{E204A/K136A}$ ΔpilU	E7946 SmR, ΔlacZ::lacIq, P_{tac}-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::CmR, pilA S56C, pilT $_{E204A/}$^{K136A}, ΔpilU::TmR	Fig 1	JLC530 (SAD2475)
pilT^{E204A} ΔpilU	E7946 SmR, ΔlacZ::lacIq, P_{tac}-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::CmR, pilA S56C, pilT ^{E204A}, ΔpilU::TmR	Fig 1	JLC531 (SAD2476)
ΔpilA	E7946 SmR, ΔlacZ::lacIq, P_{tac}-tfoX, ΔluxO::SpecR, ΔVC1807::KanR, ΔpilA::miniFRT	Fig 1	TND0855 (SAD2477)
Parent (micropillar assays)	E7946 SmR, ΔlacZ::lacIq, P_{tac}-tfoX, ΔluxO::SpecR, ΔVC1807::CmR, pilA S56C, ΔflaA::CarbR, ΔmshA::miniFRT, Δvps-rbmA::ZeoR, ΔtcpA::KanR	Fig 1	TND0752 (SAD2478)
ΔpilT (micropillar assays)	E7946 SmR, ΔlacZ::lacIq, P_{tac}-tfoX, ΔluxO::SpecR, ΔVC1807::CmR, pilA S56C, ΔflaA::CarbR, ΔmshA::miniFRT, Δvps-rbmA::ZeoR, ΔtcpA::KanR, ΔpilT::TmR	Fig 1	TND0767 (SAD2479)
ΔpilU (micropillar assays)	E7946 SmR, ΔlacZ::lacIq, P_{tac}-tfoX, ΔluxO::SpecR, ΔVC1807::CmR, pilA S56C, ΔflaA::CarbR, ΔmshA::miniFRT, Δvps-rbmA::ZeoR, ΔtcpA::KanR, ΔpilU::TmR	Fig 1	TND1168 (SAD2480)
ΔpilT ΔpilU (micropillar assays)	E7946 SmR, ΔlacZ::lacIq, P_{tac}-tfoX, ΔluxO::SpecR, ΔVC1807::CmR, pilA S56C,	Fig 1	TND1174 (SAD2635)

	ΔflaA::CarbR, ΔmshA::miniFRT, Δvps-rbmA::ZeoR, ΔtcpA::KanR, ΔpilTU::TmR		
P_{tac}-PilT	E7946 SmR, P_{tac}-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::ZeoR, pilA S56C, ΔlacZ::P_{tac}-PilT SpecR	Supp Fig 1	JLC426 (SAD2481)
P_{tac}-PilU	E7946 SmR, P_{tac}-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::ZeoR, pilA S56C, ΔlacZ::P_{tac}-PilU SpecR	Supp Fig 1	JLC427 (SAD2482)
P_{tac}-PilT ΔpilTU	E7946 SmR, P_{tac}-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::ZeoR, pilA S56C, ΔlacZ::P_{tac}-PilT SpecR, ΔpilTU::TmR	Supp Fig 1	JLC420 (SAD2483)
P_{tac}-PilU ΔpilTU	E7946 SmR, P_{tac}-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::ZeoR, pilA S56C, ΔlacZ::P_{tac}-PilU SpecR, ΔpilTU::TmR	Supp Fig 1	JLC421 (SAD2484)
P_{tac}-PilT pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU	E7946 SmR, P_{tac}-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::KanR, pilA S56C, ΔlacZ::P_{tac}-PilT SpecR, pilT ^{K136A}, ΔpilU::TmR	Supp Fig 1	JLC450 (SAD2485)
P_{tac} -PilU pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU	E7946 SmR, P_{tac}-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::KanR, pilA S56C, ΔlacZ::P_{tac}-PilU SpecR, pilT ^{K136A}, ΔpilU::TmR	Supp Fig 1	JLC451 (SAD2486)
P_{tac} -PilT pilT^{K136A} pilU^{K134A}	E7946 SmR, P_{tac}-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::KanR, pilA S56C, ΔlacZ::P_{tac}-PilT SpecR, pilT ^{K136A} pilU ^{K134A}	Supp Fig 1	JLC424 (SAD2487)
P_{tac}-PilU pilT^{K136A} pilU^{K134A}	E7946 SmR, P_{tac}-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::KanR, pilA S56C, ΔlacZ::P_{tac}-PilU SpecR, pilT ^{K136A} pilU ^{K134A}	Supp Fig 1	JLC425 (SAD2488)
P_{tac}-PilT ΔpilTU	E7946 SmR, P_{tac}-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::ZeoR, pilA S56C, ΔlacZ::P_{tac}-PilT SpecR, ΔpilTU::TmR	Supp Fig 1	JLC438 (SAD2489)
P_{tac}-PilU ΔpilTU	E7946 SmR, P_{tac}-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::ZeoR, pilA S56C, ΔlacZ::P_{tac}-PilU SpecR, ΔpilTU::TmR	Supp Fig 1	JLC439 (SAD2490)
P_{tac}-PilT pilT$^{E204A/K136A}$ ΔpilU	E7946 SmR, P_{tac}-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::CmR, pilA S56C, pilT $^{E204A/K136A}$, ΔpilU::TmR, ΔlacZ::P_{tac}-PilT SpecR	Supp Fig 1	JLC540 (SAD2491)
P_{tac}-PilU pilT$^{E204A/K136A}$ ΔpilU	E7946 SmR, P_{tac}-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::CmR, pilA S56C, pilT $^{E204A/K136A}$, ΔpilU::TmR, ΔlacZ::P_{tac}-PilU SpecR	Supp Fig 1	JLC541 (SAD2492)
P_{tac}-PilT pilT^{E204A} ΔpilU	E7946 SmR, P_{tac}-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::CmR, pilA S56C, pilT ^{E204A}, ΔpilU::TmR, ΔlacZ::P_{tac}-PilT SpecR	Supp Fig 1	JLC542 (SAD2493)
P_{tac}-PilU pilT^{E204A} ΔpilU	E7946 SmR, P_{tac}-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::CmR, pilA S56C, pilT ^{E204A}, ΔpilU::TmR, , ΔlacZ::P_{tac}-PilU SpecR	Supp Fig 1	JLC543 (SAD2494)
PilU^{L199C}	E7946 SmR, ΔlacZ::lacIq, P_{tac}-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::CmR, pilA S56C, pilU ^{L199C}	Fig 2	JLC409 (SAD2495)
ΔpilT PilU^{L199C}	E7946 SmR, ΔlacZ::lacIq, P_{tac}-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::ZeoR, pilA S56C, ΔpilT::TmR, pilU ^{L199C}	Fig 2	JLC411 (SAD2496)

$pilT^{K136A}$ $pilU^{L199C}$	E7946 SmR, ΔlacZ::lacIq, P_{tac}-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::CmR, pilA S56C, pilU ^{L199C}, pilT ^{K136A}	Fig 2	JLC414 (SAD2497)
$pilT^{L201C}$	E7946 SmR, ΔlacZ::lacIq, P_{tac}-tfoX, ΔluxO::SpecR, ΔVC1807::CmR, pilA S56C, comEA-mCherry, pilT ^{L201C} *	Fig 2	TND0989 (SAD2498)
$pilT^{L201C}$ ΔpilU	E7946 SmR, ΔlacZ::lacIq, P_{tac}-tfoX, ΔluxO::SpecR, ΔVC1807::CmR, pilA S56C, comEA-mCherry, pilT ^{L201C}, ΔpilU::TmR *	Fig 2	TND1140 (SAD2499)
$pilU^{K134A}$	E7946 SmR, ΔlacZ::lacIq, P_{tac}-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::KanR, pilA S56C, pilU ^{K134A}	Fig 2	TND1092 (SAD2500)
$pilT^{K136A}$ $pilU^{K134A}$	E7946 SmR, ΔlacZ::lacIq, P_{tac}-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::KanR, pilA S56C, pilT ^{K136A}, pilU ^{K134A}	Fig 2	TND1094 (SAD2501)
^{6X}His-pilU, $pilT^{K136A}$	E7946 SmR, ΔlacZ::lacIq, P_{tac}-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::CmR, pilA S56C, ^{6X}His-pilU, pilT ^{K136A}	Supp Fig 3	JLC298 (SAD2502)
^{6X}His-pilT	E7946 SmR, ΔlacZ::lacIq, P_{tac}-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::CmR, pilA S56C, ^{6X}His-pilT	Supp Fig 3	HKQ046 (SAD2503)
^{6X}His-pilU	E7946 SmR, ΔlacZ::lacIq, P_{tac}-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::CmR, pilA S56C, ^{6X}His-pilU	Supp Fig 3	JLC398 (SAD2504)
$pilT^{K136A}$ 3xFLAG-pilU	E7946 SmR, ΔlacZ::lacIq, P_{tac}-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::CmR, pilA S56C, pilT ^{K136A}, 3xFLAG-pilU	Supp Fig 3	JLC396 (SAD2505)
3xFLAG-pilU	E7946 SmR, ΔlacZ::lacIq, P_{tac}-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::CmR, pilA S56C, 3xFLAG-pilU	Supp Fig 3	JLC326 (SAD2506)
3xFLAG-$pilU^{K134A}$	E7946 SmR, ΔlacZ::lacIq, P_{tac}-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::CmR, pilA S56C, 3xFLAG-pilU^{K134A}	Supp Fig 3	JLC316 (SAD2507)
3xFLAG-pilT	E7946 SmR, ΔlacZ::lacIq, P_{tac}-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::CmR, pilA S56C, 3xFLAG-pilT	Supp Fig 3	JLC310 (SAD2508)
3xFLAG-$pilT^{K136A}$	E7946 SmR, ΔlacZ::lacIq, P_{tac}-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::CmR, pilA S56C, 3xFLAG-pilT ^{K136A}	Supp Fig 3	JLC349 (SAD2509)
^{6X}His-pilU (purification)	BL21 DE3 pHisTev-6xHis-pilU	Fig 4	HKQ051 (SAD2510)
^{6X}His-$pilU^{K134A}$ (purification)	BL21 DE3 pHisTev-6xHis-pilU ^{K134A}	Supp Fig 3	SAD2316
^{6X}His-pilT (purification)	BL21 DE3 pHisTev-6xHis-pilT	Fig 4	TND1277 (SAD2511)
^{6X}His-$pilT^{K136A}$ (purification)	BL21 DE3 pHisTev-6xHis-pilT ^{K136A}	Supp Fig 3	TND1281 (SAD2512)
PilT x PilT	BTH101 pUT18C-PilT (CarbR), pKT25-PilT (KanR)	Fig 3, Supp Fig 2	JLC465

PilU x PilT	BTH101 pUT18C-PilU (CarbR), pKT25-PilT (KanR)	Fig 3, Supp Fig 2	JLC466
PilT^{K136A} x PilT	BTH101 pUT18C-PilT ^{K136A} (CarbR), pKT25-PilT (KanR)	Fig 3, Supp Fig 2	JLC467
PilU^{K134A} x PilT	BTH101 pUT18C-PilU ^{K134A} (CarbR), pKT25-PilT (KanR)	Fig 3, Supp Fig 2	JLC468
PilC x PilT	BTH101 pUT18C-PilC (CarbR), pKT25-PilT (KanR)	Fig 3, Supp Fig 2	JLC469
vector x PilT	BTH101 pUT18C-vector (CarbR), pKT25-PilT (KanR)	Fig 3, Supp Fig 2	JLC470
PilT x PilU	BTH101 pUT18C-PilT (CarbR), pKT25-PilU (KanR)	Fig 3, Supp Fig 2	JLC471
PilU x PilU	BTH101 pUT18C-PilU (CarbR), pKT25-PilU (KanR)	Fig 3, Supp Fig 2	JLC472
PilT^{K136A} x PilU	BTH101 pUT18C-PilT ^{K136A} (CarbR), pKT25-PilU (KanR)	Fig 3, Supp Fig 2	JLC473
PilU^{K134A} x PilU	BTH101 pUT18C-PilU ^{K134A} (CarbR), pKT25-PilU (KanR)	Fig 3, Supp Fig 2	JLC474
PilC x PilU	BTH101 pUT18C-PilC (CarbR), pKT25-PilU (KanR)	Fig 3, Supp Fig 2	JLC475
vector x PilU	BTH101 pUT18C-vector (CarbR), pKT25-PilU (KanR)	Fig 3, Supp Fig 2	JLC476
PilT x PilT^{K136A}	BTH101 pUT18C-PilT (CarbR), pKT25-PilT ^{K136A} (KanR)	Fig 3, Supp Fig 2	JLC477
PilU x PilT^{K136A}	BTH101 pUT18C-PilU (CarbR), pKT25-PilT ^{K136A} (KanR)	Fig 3, Supp Fig 2	JLC478
PilT^{K136A} x PilT^{K136A}	BTH101 pUT18C-PilT ^{K136A} (CarbR), pKT25-PilT ^{K136A} (KanR)	Fig 3, Supp Fig 2	JLC479
PilU^{K134A} x PilT^{K136A}	BTH101 pUT18C-PilU ^{K134A} (CarbR), pKT25-PilT ^{K136A} (KanR)	Fig 3, Supp Fig 2	JLC480
PilC x PilT^{K136A}	BTH101 pUT18C-PilC (CarbR), pKT25-PilT ^{K136A} (KanR)	Fig 3, Supp Fig 2	JLC481

vector x PilT^{K136A}	BTH101 pUT18C-vector (CarbR), pKT25-PilT ^{K136A} (KanR)	Fig 3, Supp Fig 2	JLC482
PilT x PilU^{K134A}	BTH101 pUT18C-PilT (CarbR), pKT25-PilU ^{K134A} (KanR)	Fig 3, Supp Fig 2	JLC483
PilU x PilU^{K134A}	BTH101 pUT18C-PilU (CarbR), pKT25-PilU ^{K134A} (KanR)	Fig 3, Supp Fig 2	JLC484
PilT^{K136A} x PilU^{K134A}	BTH101 pUT18C-PilT ^{K136A} (CarbR), pKT25-PilU ^{K134A} (KanR)	Fig 3, Supp Fig 2	JLC485
PilU^{K134A} x PilU^{K134A}	BTH101 pUT18C-PilU ^{K134A} (CarbR), pKT25-PilU ^{K134A} (KanR)	Fig 3, Supp Fig 2	JLC486
PilC x PilU^{K134A}	BTH101 pUT18C-PilC (CarbR), pKT25-PilU ^{K134A} (KanR)	Fig 3, Supp Fig 2	JLC487
vector x PilU^{K134A}	BTH101 pUT18C-vector (CarbR), pKT25-PilU ^{K134A} (KanR)	Fig 3, Supp Fig 2	JLC488
PilT x PilC	BTH101 pUT18C-PilT (CarbR), pKT25-PilC (KanR)	Fig 3, Supp Fig 2	JLC489
PilU x PilC	BTH101 pUT18C-PilU (CarbR), pKT25-PilC (KanR)	Fig 3, Supp Fig 2	JLC490
PilT^{K136A} x PilC	BTH101 pUT18C-PilT ^{K136A} (CarbR), pKT25-PilC (KanR)	Fig 3, Supp Fig 2	JLC491
PilU^{K134A} x PilC	BTH101 pUT18C-PilU ^{K134A} (CarbR), pKT25-PilC (KanR)	Fig 3, Supp Fig 2	JLC492
PilC x PilC	BTH101 pUT18C-PilC (CarbR), pKT25-PilC (KanR)	Fig 3, Supp Fig 2	JLC493
vector x PilC	BTH101 pUT18C-vector (CarbR), pKT25-PilC (KanR)	Fig 3, Supp Fig 2	JLC494
PilT x vector	BTH101 pUT18C-PilT (CarbR), pKT25-vector (KanR)	Fig 3, Supp Fig 2	JLC495
PilU x vector	BTH101 pUT18C-PilU (CarbR), pKT25-vector (KanR)	Fig 3, Supp Fig 2	JLC496
PilT^{K136A} x vector	BTH101 pUT18C-PilT ^{K136A} (CarbR), pKT25-vector (KanR)	Fig 3, Supp Fig 2	JLC497

PilU^{K134A} x vector	BTH101 pUT18C-PilU ^{K134A} (CarbR), pKT25-vector (KanR)	Fig 3, Supp Fig 2	JLC498
PilC x vector	BTH101 pUT18C-PilC (CarbR), pKT25-vector (KanR)	Fig 3, Supp Fig 2	JLC499
vector x vector	BTH101 pUT18C-vector (CarbR), pKT25-vector (KanR)	Fig 3, Supp Fig 2	JLC500
Zip x Zip	BTH101 pUT18C-Leucine Zipper (CarbR), pKT25-Leucine Zipper (KanR)	Fig 3, Supp Fig 2	JLC501
Parent (P$_{const}$-tfoX)	E7946 SmR, ΔlacZ::lacIq, P$_{const}$-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::KanR, pilA S56C	Fig 4, Supp Fig 3	TND1076 (SAD2513)
ΔpilU	E7946 SmR, ΔlacZ::lacIq, P$_{const}$-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::KanR, pilA S56C, ΔpilU::TmR	Fig 4, Supp Fig 3	JLC251 (SAD2514)
ΔpilT	E7946 SmR, ΔlacZ::lacIq, P$_{const}$-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::KanR, pilA S56C, ΔpilT::TmR	Fig 4, Supp Fig 3	JLC250 (SAD2515)
pilT^{K136A}	E7946 SmR, ΔlacZ::lacIq, P$_{const}$-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::CmR, pilA S56C, pilT ^{K136A}	Fig 4	JLC299 (SAD2516)
P$_{tac}$-pilT^{K136A}	E7946 SmR, P$_{const}$-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::KanR, pilA S56C, ΔlacZ::P$_{tac}$ -pilT ^{K136A} SpecR	Fig 4	JLC277 (SAD2517)
ΔpilU P$_{tac}$-pilT^{K136A}	E7946 SmR, P$_{const}$-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::KanR, pilA S56C, ΔpilU::TmR, ΔlacZ::Ptac-pilT ^{K136A} SpecR	Fig 4	SAD2243
P$_{tac}$-pilU^{K134A}	E7946 SmR, P$_{const}$-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::KanR, pilA S56C, ΔlacZ::P$_{tac}$-pilU ^{K134A} SpecR	Fig 4	JLC282 (SAD2518)
pilT^{K136A} P$_{tac}$-pilU^{K134A}	E7946 SmR, P$_{const}$-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::CmR, pilA S56C, ΔlacZ::P$_{tac}$-pilU ^{K134A} SpecR, pilT ^{K136A}	Fig 4	JLC300 (SAD2519)
P$_{tac}$-pilT^{K136A} P$_{tac}$-pilU^{K134A}	E7946 SmR, P$_{const}$-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, pilA S56C, ΔlacZ::P$_{tac}$-pilU ^{K134A} SpecR, ΔVC1807::Ptac-pilT ^{K136A} CmR	Fig 4	SAD2242
P$_{tac}$-3xFLAG-PilU	E7946 SmR, P$_{const}$-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::KanR, pilA S56C, ΔlacZ::P$_{tac}$-3xFLAG-pilU SpecR	Supp Fig 3	JLC333 (SAD2520)
P$_{tac}$-3x FLAG-PilU ^{K134A}	E7946 SmR, P$_{const}$-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::KanR, pilA S56C, ΔlacZ::P$_{tac}$-3xFLAG-pilU ^{K134A} SpecR	Supp Fig 3	JLC336 (SAD2521)
P$_{tac}$-3x FLAG-PilT	E7946 SmR, ΔlacZ::lacIq, P$_{const}$-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::KanR, pilA S56C, ΔlacZ::P$_{tac}$-3xFLAG-pilT SpecR	Supp Fig 3	JLC337 (SAD2522)

P_{tac}-3x FLAG-PilT^{K136A}	E7946 SmR, P_{const}-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::KanR, pilA S56C, ΔlacZ::P_{tac}-3xFLAG-pilT ^{K136A} SpecR	Supp Fig 3	JLC353 (SAD2523)
ΔpilA	E7946 SmR, ΔlacZ::lacIq, P_{const}-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::TmR, ΔpilA::miniFRT	Supp Fig 3	TND1357 (SAD2524)
ΔpilTU	E7946 SmR, ΔlacZ::lacIq, P_{const}-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::KanR, pilA S56C, ΔpilTU::TmR	Supp Fig 3	JLC252 (SAD2525)
pilU^{K134A}	E7946 SmR, ΔlacZ::lacIq, P_{const}-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::CmR, pilA S56C, pilU ^{K134A}	Supp Fig 3	JLC308 (SAD2526)
pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU	E7946 SmR, ΔlacZ::lacIq, P_{const}-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::KanR, pilA S56C, pilT ^{K136A}, ΔpilU::TmR	Supp Fig 3	JLC447 (SAD2527)
pilT^{K136A} pilU^{K134A}	E7946 SmR, ΔlacZ::lacIq, P_{const}-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::KanR, pilA S56C, pilT ^{K136A}, pilU ^{K134A}	Supp Fig 3	JLC307 (SAD2528)
P_{tac}-pilT	E7946 SmR, P_{const}-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::KanR, pilA S56C, ΔlacZ::P_{tac}-pilT SpecR	Supp Fig 3	JLC279 (SAD2529)
ΔpilT P_{tac}-pilT	E7946 SmR, P_{const}-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::KanR, pilA S56C, ΔlacZ::P_{tac}-pilT SpecR, ΔpilT::TmR	Supp Fig 3	JLC280 (SAD2530)
P_{tac}-pilU	E7946 SmR, P_{const}-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::KanR, pilA S56C, ΔlacZ::P_{tac}-pilU SpecR	Supp Fig 3	JLC283 (SAD2531)
pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU P_{tac}-pilU	E7946 SmR, P_{const}-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::KanR, pilA S56C, ΔlacZ::P_{tac}-pilU SpecR, pilT ^{K136A}, ΔpilU::TmR	Supp Fig 3	JLC449 (SAD2532)
ΔpilT P_{tac}-3xFLAG-pilT	E7946 SmR, P_{const}-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::KanR, pilA S56C, ΔlacZ::P_{tac}-3x-FLAG-pilT SpecR, ΔpilT::TmR	Supp Fig 3	JLC373 (SAD2533)
pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU P_{tac}-3x FLAG-pilU	E7946 SmR, P_{const}-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::KanR, pilA S56C, ΔlacZ::P_{tac}-3x-FLAG-pilU SpecR, pilT ^{K136A}, ΔpilU::TmR	Supp Fig 3	JLC448 (SAD2534)
ΔpilU P_{tac}-3x FLAG-PilT^{K136A}	E7946 SmR, P_{const}-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::KanR, pilA S56C, ΔlacZ::P_{tac}-3xFLAG-pilT ^{K136A} SpecR, ΔpilU::TmR	Supp Fig 3	JLC379 (SAD2535)
pilT^{K136A} P_{tac}-3x FLAG-pilU^{K134A}	E7946 SmR, P_{const}-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::KanR, pilA S56C, ΔlacZ::P_{tac}-3x-FLAG-pilU ^{K134A} SpecR, pilT ^{K136A}	Supp Fig 3	JLC380 (SAD2536)
Parent (*A. baylyi*)	ADP1, comP T129C	Fig 5	TND0428 (SAD2537)
PilT^{K136A} ΔpilU	ADP1, comP T129C, PilT ^{K136A} ΔpilU::ZeoR	Fig 5	TND1135 (SAD2538)
PilT^{K136A} PilU^{K137A}	ADP1, , comP T129C, PilT ^{K136A} PilU ^{K137A}	Fig 5	TND1142 (SAD2539)
PilT^{K136A}	ADP1, comP T129C, PilT ^{K136A}	Fig 5	TND1122 (SAD2540)

Strain	Genotype	Figure	Strain ID
PilU^{K137A}	ADP1, comP T129C, PilU ^{K137A}	Fig 5	TND1111 (SAD2541)
ΔpilT	ADP1, comP T129C, ΔpilT::SpecR	Fig 5	TND0779 (SAD2542)
ΔpilU	ADP1, comP T129C, ΔpilU::ZeoR	Fig 5	TND0798 (SAD2543)
ΔpilTU	ADP1, comP T129C, ΔpilTU::ZeoR	Fig 5	TND0799 (SAD2544)
ΔcomP	ADP1, ΔcomP::SpecR	Fig 5	TND0115 (SAD2545)
P$_{tac}$-pilT	ADP1, comP T129C, pMMB67EH- P$_{tac}$-pilT	Supp Fig 4	TND1703 (SAD2546)
P$_{tac}$-pilT ΔpilTU	ADP1, comP T129C, pMMB67EH- P$_{tac}$-pilT, ΔpilTU::ZeoR	Supp Fig 4	TND1704 (SAD2547)
P$_{tac}$-pilT ΔpilT	ADP1, comP T129C, pMMB67EH- P$_{tac}$-pilT, ΔpilT::SpecR	Supp Fig 4	TND1705 (SAD2548)
P$_{tac}$-pilT ΔpilU	ADP1, comP T129C, pMMB67EH- P$_{tac}$-pilT, ΔpilU::ZeoR	Supp Fig 4	TND1706 (SAD2549)
P$_{tac}$-pilT pilT^{K136A}	ADP1, comP T129C, pMMB67EH- P$_{tac}$-pilT, pilT ^{K136A}	Supp Fig 4	TND1707 (SAD2550)
P$_{tac}$-pilT pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU	ADP1, comP T129C, pMMB67EH- P$_{tac}$-pilT, pilT ^{K136A}, ΔpilU::ZeoR	Supp Fig 4	TND1708 (SAD2551)
P$_{tac}$-pilT pilU^{K137A}	ADP1, comP T129C, pMMB67EH- P$_{tac}$-pilT, pilU ^{K137A}	Supp Fig 4	TND1709 (SAD2552)
P$_{tac}$-pilT pilT^{K136A} pilU^{K137A}	ADP1, comP T129C, pMMB67EH- P$_{tac}$-pilT, pilT ^{K136A}, pilU ^{K137A}	Supp Fig 4	TND1710 (SAD2553)
P$_{tac}$-pilU	ADP1, comP T129C, pMMB67EH- P$_{tac}$-pilU	Supp Fig 4	TND1711 (SAD2554)
P$_{tac}$-pilU ΔpilTU	ADP1, comP T129C, pMMB67EH- P$_{tac}$-pilU, ΔpilTU::ZeoR	Supp Fig 4	TND1712 (SAD2555)
P$_{tac}$-pilU ΔpilT	ADP1, comP T129C, pMMB67EH- P$_{tac}$-pilU, ΔpilT::SpecR	Supp Fig 4	TND1713 (SAD2556)
P$_{tac}$-pilU ΔpilU	ADP1, comP T129C, pMMB67EH- P$_{tac}$-pilU, ΔpilU::ZeoR	Supp Fig 4	TND1714 (SAD2557)
P$_{tac}$-pilU pilT^{K136A}	ADP1, comP T129C, pMMB67EH- P$_{tac}$-pilU, pilT ^{K136A}	Supp Fig 4	TND1715 (SAD2558)
P$_{tac}$-pilU pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU	ADP1, comP T129C, pMMB67EH- P$_{tac}$-pilU, pilT ^{K136A}, ΔpilU::ZeoR	Supp Fig 4	TND1716 (SAD2559)
P$_{tac}$-pilU pilU^{K137A}	ADP1, comP T129C, pMMB67EH- P$_{tac}$-pilU, pilU ^{K137A}	Supp Fig 4	TND1717 (SAD2560)
P$_{tac}$-pilU pilT^{K136A} pilU^{K137A}	ADP1, comP T129C, pMMB67EH- P$_{tac}$-pilU, pilT ^{K136A}, pilU ^{K137A}	Supp Fig 4	TND1718 (SAD2561)
Parent	E7946 SmR, ΔlacZ::lacIq, P$_{tac}$-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::CmR, pilA S56C, comEA-mCherry	Supp Fig 5	TND0904 (SAD2636)
ΔMSHA ΔTCP	E7946 SmR, ΔlacZ::lacIq, P$_{tac}$-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::CmR, pilA S56C, comEA-mCherry, ΔMSHA::CarbR, ΔTCP::ZeoR	Supp Fig 5	SAD2087 (SAD2637)

ΔpilTU	E7946 SmR, ΔlacZ::lacIq, P_{tac}-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::CmR, pilA S56C, comEA-mCherry, ΔpilTU::TmR	Supp Fig 5	JLC765 (SAD2638)
ΔpilTU ΔMSHA ΔTCP	E7946 SmR, ΔlacZ::lacIq, P_{tac}-tfoX, ΔluxO::miniFRT, ΔVC1807::CmR, pilA S56C, comEA-mCherry, ΔpilTU::TmR, ΔMSHA::CarbR, ΔTCP::ZeoR	Supp Fig 5	JLC832 (SAD2639)

* comEA-mCherry does not affect natural transformation or retraction rates [17].

S2 Table. Primers used in this study

Primer name	Sequence (5' –> 3')	Description
BBC374	TGGCAAAAAGCGAGAGAAGAAG	ΔluxO F1
BBC375	gtcgacggatccccggaatCATGAGGACATATTTTGTTTTCTGC	ΔluxO R1
BBC376	gaagcagctccagcctacaTAAGCGATGAGAGAATGGATCAAC	ΔluxO F2
BBC377	TCACACCCGAATTTCCATCATGC	ΔluxO R2
ABD344	GATTAGCAACGATTCTAGCGCAGGAG	ΔVC1807 F1
ABD340	gtcgacggatccccggaatACGTTTCATTAGTCACCTCTATTGT TAACTTGTTC	ΔVC1807 R1
ABD341	gaagcagctccagcctacaTAGTCGAAAATAAAAAAAGAGG CTCGCCTC	ΔVC1807 F2
ABD345	CTTGCTAACCGTTGGTGTTACCAGC	ΔVC1807 R2
pilA S81C F	AAAACTAATATTGAAGATTATATTGCGACAGAAGGC TgTTTTCCTGCAACAACTGCAGG	pilA S56C F
pilA R2	CATTAATCGCGGTTTCAAAGTGCA	pilA S56C R2
DOG0400	ACTTCTGGCTGAAGGTCAATTTTC	ΔpilT/U F1
DOG0401	gtcgacggatccccggaatCATTTAAATTCCTTAATAAAGTCTG GC	ΔpilT R1
DOG0402	gaagcagctccagcctacaTAGGTAGGTAAAGACAGATGGAG	ΔpilT F2
DOG0403	TCACGTGTTCGGCCAAAATC	ΔpilT/U R2
HQP0001	GGGCTCGGGTgctTCGACGACCTTGGCG	PilT K136A R1
HQP0002	CGCCAAGGTCGTCGAagcACCCGAGCCC	PilT K136A F2
BBC2508	GTTTCTTGGTCACGCAGagCACCGACGAGGATCAC	PilT E204A R1
BBC2509	GTGATCCTCGTCGGTGctCTGCGTGACCAAGAAAC	PilT E204A F2
BBC401	ACCAGCAAAGCTAATAAAATCGAG	ΔpilA F1
BBC402	gtcgacggatccccggaatGAGCATATGCCTTGCTACACAAG	ΔpilA R1
BBC403	gaagcagctccagcctacaACTGCAGGTGCAACAATTAACTAA	ΔpilA F2
BBC404	CGCCATACTAACCCAATACACTC	ΔpilA R2
BBC1752	CTGAATGATTTCCATGAGACG	ΔflaA F1
BBC1753	gtcgacggatccccggaatCATAGTTTGCTCTCCTATCGAG	ΔflaA R1
BBC1754	gaagcagctccagcctacaTTGCAGTAGTTCACGGTACCTTC	ΔflaA F2
BBC1755	TTATACGCTCTTTTGCGTGATGG	ΔflaA R2
BBC1450	CACTCAACGAGCTCAATACG	ΔmshA F1
ABD653	gtcgacggatccccggaatCATCTCTCTTTCATGTGAATACGCA GC	ΔmshA R1
ABD654	gaagcagctccagcctacaGCGCAATAATTTAAATATGGCTCG TGC	ΔmshA F2

BBC1453	ATAGCCTTGCTGTTCATTTTGG	ΔmshA R2
BBC2022	TGCCGATCTCGTTTATGGACG	Δvps-rbmA locus F1
BBC2023	gtcgacggatccccggaatTGCCATTTTGATTGCCTCTGG	Δvps-rbmA locus R1
BBC2024	gaagcagctccagcctacaCAAAGAGAGCCTTATTAGGCTCTC	Δvps-rbmA locus F2
BBC2025	TGAAAGAGGTTGCTCTAGAACTCG	Δvps-rbmA locus R2
BBC1457	TTTCAAGACTTTGGGCAATAG	ΔtcpA F1
BBC1968	gtcgacggatccccggaatCTGTTTTAATAATTGCATATTTATA TAACTCCACC	ΔtcpA R1
BBC1969	gaagcagctccagcctacaTTTGGTAACAGTTAATCTACACCAT TATCTTG	ΔtcpA F2
BBC1463	TTGAATTCGTCCATCATCTAAG	ΔtcpA R2
BBC1833	GATATCCATGTCCATGTCCCAG	comEA-mCherry F1
BBC1834	cttgctcactccaccacttccacctgcTAACAAGATCCTTGCGGCATTG	comEA-mCherry R1
BBC1837	acgagctgtacaagtaaGCTGCAATCATGTGTCTCG	comEA-mCherry F2
BBC1838	GTCTTTCTATCAGTTCGGACTTAGTC	comEA-mCherry R2
BBC1835	gcaggtggaagtggtggaGTGAGCAAGGGCGAGGAGG	mCherry (for comEAmCherry) F
BBC1836	gattgcagcTTACTTGTACAGCTCGTCC	mCherry (for comEAmCherry) R
DOG0405	gtcgacggatccccggaatCATCTGTCTTTACCTACCTAAAATGC	ΔpilU R1
DOG0406	gaagcagctccagcctacaTAGCGATGGTTACTTTGTGCG	ΔpilU F2
JCP048	CAAGCCCCCGATATGATTTgcATTGGTGAAATCCGCAGC	PilU L199C F
JCP049	GCTGCGGATTTCACCAATgcAAATCATATCGGGGGCTTG	PilU L199C R
BBC2287	CATTGCGCTCGGCATTGCGTGAAGACCCAGATGTGATCtgtGTCGGTGAGCTGCGTGAC	PilT L201C F2
BBC2288	CGCAATGCCGAGCGCAATG	PilT L201C R1
BBC2369	GGCTGCCATAGTGGTCGAggcACCCGAACCGGTTGCGC	PilU K134A R1
BBC2347	GCGCAACCGGTTCGGGTgccTCGACCACTATGGCAGCC	PilU K134A F2
BBC2313	gcaggtggaagtggtggaCATCATCATCATCATCACgcaggtggagcaggtgga	6xHis (for 6xHis-PilU/T) F
BBC2314	tccacctgctccacctgcGTGATGATGATGATGATGtccaccacttccacctgc	6xHis (for 6xHis-PilU/T) R
BBC2272	gcaggtggaagtggtggagattataaagaccatgatggtgactacaaggatcacgacattgattataaggatgacgatgacaaagcaggtggagcaggtgga	3xFLAG (for 3xFLAG-PilU/T) F
BBC2273	tccacctgctccacctgctttgtcatcgtcatccttataatcaatgtcgtgatccttgtagtcaccatcatggtctttataatctccaccacttccacctgc	3xFLAG (for 3xFLAG-PilU/T) R
JCP028	tccaccacttccacctgcCATCTGTCTTTACCTACCTAAAATGC	X-PilU (N-term tags) R1

JCP029	gcaggtggagcaggtggaGAGTTGAATCAATATCTGGATGGC	X-PilU (N-term tags OR BACTH TXX-pilU) F2
JCP026	tccaccacttccacctgcCATTTAAATTCCTTAATAAAGTCTGGCTATG	X-PilT (N-term tags) R1
JCP027	gcaggtggagcaggtggaGATATCGCTGAGTTACTGGAATTTAG	X-PilT (N-term tags or BACTH TXX-pilT) F2
ABD332	GGCTGAACGTGGTTGTCGAAAATGAC	P_{tac}-PilT/U @lacZ F1
BBC244	CCCGGGATCCTGTGTGAAATTGTTATCCGC	P_{tac}-PilT/U @lacZ or @VC1807 R1
ABD255	TTAAGCTACTAAAGCGTAGTTTTCG	P_{tac}-PilT/U @lacZ F2
ABD256	CAATTTCACACAGGATCCCGGGAGGAGGTAACGTAATGGTGAGCAAAGGCGAAG	P_{tac}-PilT/U @lacZ R2
BBC1723	caatttcacacaggatcccgggAGGAGGTATTTAAATGGATATCGCTGAGTTAC	Amplify pilT (for P_{tac}-pilT) F
BBC1724	tgtaggctggagctgcttcCTAAAATGCTTTTAAATCCAACTCG	Amplify pilT (for P_{tac}-pilT OR BACTH TXX-pilT) R
BBC1725	caatttcacacaggatcccgggAGGAGGTAGACAGATGGAGTTGAATCAATATC	Amplify pilU (for P_{tac}-pilU) F
BBC1726	tgtaggctggagctgcttcCTACTCCATATCAATCTTCACATTC	Amplify pilU (for P_{tac}-pilU OR BACTH TXX-pilU) R
ABD342	ATTTTTCAGTTGGCCTACAATGCTTTCC	P_{tac}-PilT/U @VC1807 F1
BBC719	CACCATACCCACGCCGAAACAAGGATTTTGAATTAAACGTTTCATTAGTC	P_{tac}-PilT/U @VC1807 R1
BBC243	TTGTTTCGGCGTGGGTATGGTG	P_{tac}-PilT/U @VC1807 F2
BBC2667	tccaccacttccacctgcCATTACGTTACCTCCTCCCG	P_{tac}-X-PilT/U (X is 3xFLAG or 6xHis) R
BBC2513	gaagcagctccagcctacaGATCCCCGGGTACCTAAGTAAC	BACTH pKT25-X F
BBC2512	tccacctgctccacctgcCTCTAGAGTCGACCCTGCAG	BACTH pKT25-X R
BBC2515	gaagcagctccagcctacaCCGAGCTCGAATTCATCGATATAAC	BACTH pUT18C-X F
BBC2514	tccacctgctccacctgcCTCTAGAGTCGACCTGCAG	BACTH pUT18C-X R
BBC2518	gcaggtggagcaggtggaAAAGCGACCCAAACCTTACC	BACTH TXX-PilC F
BBC2524	tgtaggctggagctgcttcTTAACCCAATACACTCATTAAGTTAAAG	BACTH TXX-PilC R
BBC2358	GGTATATCTCCTTCTTAAAGTTAAACAAAATTATTTCTAGAGGGGAATTGTTATCC	pHisTev-X F
BBC2360	cggatccGGCTGCTAACAAAGCCCGAAAGGAAGCTGAGTTGGCTGCTGC	pHisTev-X R
BBC2478	TTTTGTTTAACTTTAAGAAGGAGATATACCATGgcaggtggaagtggtgga	Amplify 6xHis-pilT or 6xHis-PilU to clone into pHistev F
BBC2479	CCTTTCGGGCTTTGTTAGCAGCCggatccgCTAAAATGCTTTTAAATCCAACTCG	Amplify 6xHis-pilT to clone into pHistev R
BBC2480	CCTTTCGGGCTTTGTTAGCAGCCggatccgCTACTCCATATCAATCTTCACATTCTG	Amplify 6xHis-pilU to clone into pHistev R
BBC706	CCACACATTATACGAGCCGATG	P_{const}-tfoX R1
BBC2226	catcggctcgtataatgtgtggaGGGGAACGTGATTAAAGGA	P_{const}-tfoX F2

ABD332	GGCTGAACGTGGTTGTCGAAAATGAC	ΔlacZ::lacIq F1
ABD331	GAACATGGGGTGTACGGCAGTGCtATTTAACGATGTGCGGGTTTTGCCAATCTTG	ΔlacZ::lacIq R1
ABD255	gaagcagctccagcctacaCCACAATAAGCCAGAGAGCCTTAAG	ΔlacZ::lacIq F2
ABD256	CCCAAATACGGCAACTTGGCG	ΔlacZ::lacIq R2
ABD841	GGCACTGCCGTACACCCCATGTTCCGGTACCCGACACCATCGAATG	Amplify lacIq F
ABD842	GGCTCTCTGGCTTATTGTGGGGGGGAAACCTGTCGTGCCAGCTGC	Amplify lacIq R
DOG0175	AAACTATCCAGATAAGGGAAAGC	comP (*A. baylyi*) F1
CE87	GAAGTTGTCGTACATTTCCAacaTACAGCGCCACTAGCATATG	comP T129C (*A. baylyi*) R1
CE88	CATATGCTAGTGGCGCTGTATGTTGGAAATGTACGACAACTTC	comP T129C (*A. baylyi*) F2
DOG0178	AGGATCTGTAATGACGGGTTGAG	comP (*A. baylyi*) R2
DOG0176	gtcgacggatccccggaatCATAAAATTTCTCCACCAATGTTG	ΔcomP (*A. baylyi*) R1
DOG0177	gaagcagctccagcctacaTGATAGTAGTACTATATGGCTTTAAAAG	ΔcomP (*A. baylyi*) F2
BBC1934	GTTACAAAGTCAGGGACGTAAAG	pilT or pilU (*A. baylyi*) F1
BBC1935	gtcgacggatccccggaatATCCATATTTTCCCCGAAGATCG	ΔpilT (*A. baylyi*) R1
BBC1936	gaagcagctccagcctacaTAAGAATAACGCTACTCGATCTG	ΔpilT (*A. baylyi*) F2
BBC1939	GGTATTCAGATTGATCGTCAGTTAG	pilT or pilU (*A. baylyi*) R2
BBC1937	gtcgacggatccccggaatCATCGTATTGTTTTCCTCTCAGATC	ΔpilU (*A. baylyi*) R1
BBC1938	gaagcagctccagcctacaCGCCGTAATCTCAAATAAAAGAG	ΔpilU (*A. baylyi*) F2
BBC2403	GCTGCAAGGGTGGTTGAagcACCGGAACCAGTGG	pilT^{K136A} (*A. baylyi*) R1
BBC2404	CCACTGGTTCCGGTgctTCAACCACCCTTGCAGC	pilT^{K136A} (*A. baylyi*) F2
BBC2408	GGATGCCAAAGACGTGGAagcTCCTGTACCAGTTGC	pilU^{K137A} (*A. baylyi*) R1
BBC2409	GCAACTGGTACAGGAgctTCCACGTCTTTGGCATCC	pilU^{K137A} (*A. baylyi*) F2
BBC2807	caatttcacacaggatcccgggAGGAGGTGAAAATATGGATATAACAGAATTACTCG	pilT amplify for insertion into P$_{tac}$ construct (*A. baylyi*) F
BBC2808	tgtaggctggagctgcttcTTAGAACGACTCTGGAGATTTTG	pilT amplify for insertion into P$_{tac}$ construct or pMMB67EH (*A. baylyi*) R
BBC2809	caatttcacacaggatcccgggAGGAGGTAATACGATGGACTTTAATGATTTACTC	pilU amplify for insertion into P$_{tac}$ construct (*A. baylyi*) F
BBC2810	tgtaggctggagctgcttcTTATTTGAGATTACGGCGTTGAC	pilU amplify for insertion into P$_{tac}$ construct or pMMB67EH (*A. baylyi*) R

BBC2822	aatttcacacaggaaacagaattcgagctcTTGTTTCGGCGTGGGTATGGTG	Clone P_{tac}-pilT or P_{tac}-PilU into pMMB67EH (*A. baylyi*) F
BBC2824	gagctcgaattctgtttcctgtgtgaaattTTTGCCAGAACCGTTATGATGTC	Amplify pMMB67EH to clone P_{tac}-pilT or P_{tac}-pilU (*A. baylyi*) F
BBC2357	GGTACCCGGGGATCCTCTAGAGTCGACCTGCAGGCATGCAAGCTTGGC	Amplify pMMB67EH to clone P_{tac}-pilT or P_{tac}-pilU (*A. baylyi*) R
DOG0436	AAGCGTTCTGGTTCAATCACC	ΔMSHA locus (VC0399-VC0414) F1
DOG0434	gtcgacggatccccggaatCATTCTCTACCACTGCTATTTGGTTC	ΔMSHA locus (VC0399-VC0414) R1
BBC2129	gaagcagctccagcctacaTAATGATTAAGCACTCAATGGATCCAG	ΔMSHA locus (VC0399-VC0414) F2
BBC2130	TTTTGCATCAGCAAAATCACGC	ΔMSHA locus (VC0399-VC0414) R2
BBC2123	TGATGGTAACTACTATAGGGTCG	ΔTCP locus (VC0825-VC0838) F1
BBC2124	gtcgacggatccccggaatTAAATTAGGCTAGTGCCAGTCAG	ΔTCP locus (VC0825-VC0838) R1
BBC2125	gaagcagctccagcctacaACAGGAGTTGCAGAAAAATAATGG	ΔTCP locus (VC0825-VC0838) F2
BBC2126	ACTAAGATAATTGCTTCACGCATG	ΔTCP locus (VC0825-VC0838) R2

S3 Table. Mean values for each data set

Figure	Strain Name	Mean	Figure	Strain Name	Mean
Fig 1A	Parent	1.08E-01	S2 Fig	PilT x PilT	1.67E+02
Fig 1A	ΔpilA	1.22E-08	S2 Fig	PilU x PilT	6.10E+01
Fig 1A	ΔpilU	5.78E-02	S2 Fig	PilT^{K136A} x PilT	1.57E+02
Fig 1A	ΔpilT	3.29E-06	S2 Fig	PilU^{K134A} x PilT	8.23E+01
Fig 1A	ΔpilTΔpilU	3.91E-06	S2 Fig	PilC x PilT	1.15E+02
Fig 1A	pilT^{K136A}	6.22E-02	S2 Fig	vector x PilT	1.13E+00
Fig 1A	pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU	3.89E-06	S2 Fig	PilT x PilU	1.10E+02
Fig 1A	pilT^{E204A}	2.55E-02	S2 Fig	PilU x PilU	1.81E+02
Fig 1A	pilT$^{K136A/E204A}$	3.53E-02	S2 Fig	PilT^{K136A} x PilU	7.37E+01
Fig 1A	pilT^{E204A} ΔpilU	9.36E-06	S2 Fig	PilU^{K134A} x PilU	2.11E+02
Fig 1A	pilT$^{K136A/E204A}$ ΔpilU	4.66E-06	S2 Fig	PilC x PilU	9.71E+01
Fig 1B	Parent	2.12E-01	S2 Fig	vector x PilU	1.04E+00
Fig 1B	ΔpilU	1.57E-01	S2 Fig	PilT x PilT^{K136A}	1.56E+02
Fig 1B	ΔpilT	3.07E-03	S2 Fig	PilU x PilT^{K136A}	7.99E+00
Fig 1B	ΔpilTΔpilU	3.85E-03	S2 Fig	PilT^{K136A} x PilT^{K136A}	8.06E+01
Fig 1B	pilT^{K136A}	4.26E-02	S2 Fig	PilU^{K134A} x PilT^{K136A}	1.04E+01
Fig 1B	pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU	2.06E-03	S2 Fig	PilC x PilT^{K136A}	1.08E+02
Fig 1B	pilT^{E204A}	4.82E-02	S2 Fig	vector x PilT^{K136A}	7.32E-01
Fig 1B	pilT$^{E204A/K136A}$	6.12E-02	S2 Fig	PilT x PilU^{K134A}	7.20E+01
Fig 1B	pilT^{E204A} ΔpilU	3.58E-03	S2 Fig	PilU x PilU^{K134A}	1.72E+02
Fig 1B	pilT$^{E204A/K136A}$ ΔpilU	4.09E-03	S2 Fig	PilT^{K136A} x PilU^{K134A}	4.69E+01
Fig 1C	Parent	1.73E+01	S2 Fig	PilU^{K134A} x PilU^{K134A}	1.34E+02
Fig 1C	ΔpilU	3.16E+01	S2 Fig	PilC x PilU^{K134A}	1.10E+02
Fig 1C	ΔpilT	2.93E-02	S2 Fig	vector x PilU^{K134A}	7.14E-01
Fig 1C	ΔpilTΔpilU	1.33E-01	S2 Fig	PilT x PilC	8.69E+01
Fig 1C	pilT^{K136A}	3.43E+01	S2 Fig	PilU x PilC	5.20E+01
Fig 1C	pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU	8.75E-02	S2 Fig	PilT^{K136A} x PilC	7.43E+01
Fig 1C	pilT^{E204A}	1.41E-01	S2 Fig	PilU^{K134A} x PilC	7.25E+01
Fig 1C	pilT$^{E204A/K136A}$	1.77E+01	S2 Fig	PilC x PilC	2.55E+02
Fig 1C	pilT^{E204A}ΔpilU	5.65E-02	S2 Fig	vector x PilC	8.15E-01
Fig 1C	pilT$^{E204A/K136A}$ΔpilU	3.86E-02	S2 Fig	PilT x vector	6.59E-01
Fig 1F	Parent	1.05E+01	S2 Fig	PilU x vector	7.85E-01
Fig 1F	ΔpilT	4.93E+00	S2 Fig	PilT^{K136A} x vector	6.81E-01
Fig 1F	ΔpilU	5.08E+00	S2 Fig	PilU^{K134A} x vector	7.14E-01
Fig 1F	ΔpilTΔpilU	6.08E+00	S2 Fig	PilC x vector	7.68E-01
Fig 1H	Parent	1.93E-01	S2 Fig	vector x vector	7.22E-01
Fig 1H	ΔpilT	1.37E-02	S3-A Fig	Parent	1.46E-01

Fig	Strain	Value		Fig	Strain	Value
Fig 1H	ΔpilU	7.23E-02		S3-A Fig	ΔpilT	6.94E-06
Fig 1H	ΔpilTΔpilU	8.19E-03		S3-A Fig	pilT^{K136A}, 6xHis-pilU	1.53E-01
Fig 2A	Parent	1.37E-01		S3-A Fig	6xHis-pilT	1.14E-01
Fig 2A	pilU^{L199C}	1.51E-01		S3-A Fig	6xHis-pilU	1.11E-01
Fig 2A	pilT^{K136A}pilU^{L199C}	9.81E-02		S3-A Fig	pilT^{K136A}, 3xFLAG-pilU	1.14E-01
Fig 2A	ΔpilT pilU^{L199C}	6.79E-06		S3-A Fig	3xFLAG-pilT	1.45E-01
Fig 2A	pilT^{L201C}	2.04E-01		S3-A Fig	3xFLAG-pilU	1.23E-01
Fig 2A	pilT^{L201C} ΔpilU	6.47E-02		S3-A Fig	pilT^{K136A}	1.20E-01
Fig 2A	pilT^{K136A}	1.17E-01		S3-B Fig	Parent	3.75E-03
Fig 2A	pilU^{K134A}	6.33E-02		S3-B Fig	ΔpilT	4.46E-07
Fig 2A	pilT^{K136A}pilU^{K134A}	6.07E-07		S3-B Fig	Ptac-3xFLAG-pilT	4.60E-03
Fig 2A	ΔpilU	8.80E-02		S3-B Fig	Ptac-3xFLAG-pilT ΔpilT	4.94E-03
Fig 2B	Parent	2.12E-01		S3-B Fig	Ptac-3xFLAG-pilU	2.78E-03
Fig 2B	ΔpilU	1.57E-01		S3-B Fig	Ptac-3xFLAG-pilU, pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU	1.66E-03
Fig 2B	pilT^{K136A}	4.26E-02		S3-B Fig	Ptac-3xFLAG-pilT^{K136A}	5.66E-03
Fig 2B	pilU^{K134A}	1.37E-01		S3-B Fig	Ptac-3xFLAG-pilU^{K134A}	4.71E-03
Fig 2B	pilT^{K136A}pilU^{K134A}	4.77E-03		S3-B Fig	Ptac-3xFLAG-pilT^{K136A}, ΔpilU	1.25E-06
Fig 2B	pilT^{K136A} pilU^{L199C}	3.26E-02		S3-B Fig	P$_{tac}$-3xFLAG-pilU^{K134A}, pilT^{K136A}	2.00E-06
Fig 2B	pilU^{L199C}	1.96E-01		S3-B Fig	ΔpilU	2.48E-03
Fig 2B	pilT^{L201C}	2.11E-01		S3-B Fig	pilT^{K136A}	2.24E-03
Fig 2B	pilT^{L201C}ΔpilU	1.28E-01		S3-D Fig	Parent	1.97E-03
Fig 2B	ΔpilT pilU^{L199C}	3.91E-03		S3-D Fig	ΔpilT ΔpilU	2.15E-07
Fig 4C	Parent	2.02E-03		S3-D Fig	pilU^{K134A}	3.90E-03
Fig 4C	ΔpilU	2.57E-03		S3-D Fig	pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU	3.62E-08
Fig 4C	ΔpilT	1.57E-06		S3-D Fig	pilT^{K136A} pilU^{K134A}	2.12E-07
Fig 4C	pilT^{K136A}	3.08E-03		S3-D Fig	P$_{tac}$-pilT	4.18E-03
Fig 4C	Ptac-pilT^{K136A}	5.94E-03		S3-D Fig	ΔpilT Ptac-pilT	4.08E-03

Fig 4C	Ptac-pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU	2.41E-06
Fig 4C	Ptac-pilU^{K134A}	5.55E-03
Fig 4C	Ptac-pilU^{K134A} pilT^{K136A}	2.46E-05
Fig 4C	Ptac-pilT^{K136A} Ptac-pilU^{K134A}	4.82E-06
Fig 5	pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU	8.95E-09
Fig 5	pilT^{K136A} pilU^{K137A}	7.00E-09
Fig 5	pilT^{K136A}	1.17E-03
Fig 5	pilU^{K137A}	2.34E-03
Fig 5	Parent	4.10E-02
Fig 5	ΔpilT	8.52E-09
Fig 5	ΔpilU	3.17E-03
Fig 5	ΔpilTU	8.48E-09
Fig 5	ΔcomP	6.53E-09
S1 Fig	ΔpilT ΔpilU Ptac-PilT	7.05E-02
S1 Fig	ΔpilT ΔpilU Ptac-PilU	7.26E-06
S1 Fig	pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU Ptac-PilT	6.53E-02
S1 Fig	pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU Ptac-PilU	6.27E-02
S1 Fig	pilT^{K136A} pilU^{K134A} Ptac-PilT	4.21E-02
S1 Fig	pilT^{K136A} pilU^{K134A} Ptac-PilU	4.65E-02
S1 Fig	Parent Ptac-PilT	6.74E-02
S1 Fig	Parent Ptac-PilU	8.85E-02
S1 Fig	ΔpilT Ptac-PilT	6.55E-02
S1 Fig	ΔpilT Ptac-PilU	3.13E-06
S1 Fig	pilT$^{K136A/E204A}$ ΔpilU Ptac-PilT	6.32E-02
S1 Fig	pilT$^{K136A/E204A}$ ΔpilU Ptac-PilU	4.05E-02
S1 Fig	pilT^{E204A} ΔpilU Ptac-PilT	6.02E-02
S1 Fig	pilT^{E204A} ΔpilU Ptac-PilU	7.81E-02

S3-D Fig	P$_{tac}$-pilU	4.07E-03
S3-D Fig	pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU Ptac-pilU	1.39E-03
S3-D Fig	ΔpilA	6.49E-09
S3-D Fig	pilT^{K136A}	1.75E-03
S4 Fig	Parent pmmB-pilT	2.23E-02
S4 Fig	ΔpilTU pmmB-pilT	2.05E-03
S4 Fig	ΔpilT pmmB-pilT	1.80E-02
S4 Fig	ΔpilU pmmB-pilT	3.24E-03
S4 Fig	pilT^{K136A} pmmB-pilT	1.68E-02
S4 Fig	pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU pmmB-pilT	2.30E-03
S4 Fig	pilU^{K137A} pmmB-pilT	1.63E-03
S4 Fig	pilT^{K136A} pilU^{K137A} pmmB-pilT	2.19E-03
S4 Fig	Parent pmmB-pilU	8.67E-02
S4 Fig	ΔpilTU pmmB-pilU	2.04E-07
S4 Fig	ΔpilT pmmB-pilU	1.55E-07
S4 Fig	ΔpilU pmmB-pilU	2.48E-02
S4 Fig	pilT^{K136A} pmmB-pilU	7.66E-04
S4 Fig	pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU pmmB-pilU	8.35E-04
S4 Fig	pilU^{K137A} pmmB-pilU	1.07E-02
S4 Fig	pilT^{K136A} pilU^{K137A} pmmB-pilU	9.52E-04
S4 Fig	Parent pmmB-pilU	2.66E-02
S5 Fig	Parent	3.12E-01
S5 Fig	ΔMSHA ΔTCP	3.73E-01
S5 Fig	ΔpilTU	1.59E-05
S5 Fig	ΔpilTU ΔMSHA ΔTCP	3.34E-05

S4 Table. Statistical Comparisons.

Comparison	P value[#]		Information
Figure 1A - Tukey's multiple comparison test			
Parent vs. ΔpilA	****	<0.0001	# of families = 1
Parent vs. ΔpilU	ns	0.6432	# of comparisons per family = 55
Parent vs. ΔpilT	****	<0.0001	Alpha = 0.05
Parent vs. ΔpilTΔpilU	****	<0.0001	
Parent vs. pilT^{K136A}	ns	0.804	
Parent vs. pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU	****	<0.0001	
Parent vs. pilT^{E204A}	****	<0.0001	
Parent vs. pilT$^{K136A/E204A}$	*	0.0135	
Parent vs. pilT^{E204A} ΔpilU	****	<0.0001	
Parent vs. pilT$^{K136A/E204A}$ ΔpilU	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilA vs. ΔpilU	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilA vs. ΔpilT	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilA vs. ΔpilTΔpilU	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilA vs. pilT^{K136A}	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilA vs. pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilA vs. pilT^{E204A}	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilA vs. pilT$^{K136A/E204A}$	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilA vs. pilT^{E204A} ΔpilU	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilA vs. pilT$^{K136A/E204A}$ ΔpilU	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilU vs. ΔpilT	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilU vs. ΔpilTΔpilU	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilU vs. pilT^{K136A}	ns	>0.9999	
ΔpilU vs. pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilU vs. pilT^{E204A}	ns	0.0523	
ΔpilU vs. pilT$^{K136A/E204A}$	ns	0.8854	
ΔpilU vs. pilT^{E204A} ΔpilU	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilU vs. pilT$^{K136A/E204A}$ ΔpilU	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilT vs. ΔpilTΔpilU	ns	>0.9999	
ΔpilT vs. pilT^{K136A}	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilT vs. pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU	ns	>0.9999	
ΔpilT vs. pilT^{E204A}	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilT vs. pilT$^{K136A/E204A}$	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilT vs. pilT^{E204A} ΔpilU	ns	0.1222	
ΔpilT vs. pilT$^{K136A/E204A}$ ΔpilU	ns	0.994	
ΔpilTΔpilU vs. pilT^{K136A}	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilTΔpilU vs. pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU	ns	>0.9999	
ΔpilTΔpilU vs. pilT^{E204A}	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilTΔpilU vs. pilT$^{K136A/E204A}$	****	<0.0001	

ΔpilTΔpilU vs. pilT^{E204A} ΔpilU	ns	0.1655	
ΔpilTΔpilU vs. pilT$^{K136A/E204A}$ ΔpilU	ns	0.9983	
pilT^{K136A} vs. pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU	****	<0.0001	
pilT^{K136A} vs. pilT^{E204A}	*	0.03	
pilT^{K136A} vs. pilT$^{K136A/E204A}$	ns	0.7787	
pilT^{K136A} vs. pilT^{E204A} ΔpilU	****	<0.0001	
pilT^{K136A} vs. pilT$^{K136A/E204A}$ ΔpilU	****	<0.0001	
pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU vs. pilT^{E204A}	****	<0.0001	
pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU vs. pilT$^{K136A/E204A}$	****	<0.0001	
pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU vs. pilT^{E204A} ΔpilU	ns	0.1909	
pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU vs. pilT$^{K136A/E204A}$ ΔpilU	ns	0.9992	
pilT^{E204A} vs. pilT$^{K136A/E204A}$	ns	0.7599	
pilT^{E204A} vs. pilT^{E204A} ΔpilU	****	<0.0001	
pilT^{E204A} vs. pilT$^{K136A/E204A}$ ΔpilU	****	<0.0001	
pilT$^{K136A/E204A}$ vs. pilT^{E204A} ΔpilU	****	<0.0001	
pilT$^{K136A/E204A}$ vs. pilT$^{K136A/E204A}$ ΔpilU	****	<0.0001	
pilT^{E204A} ΔpilU vs. pilT$^{K136A/E204A}$ ΔpilU	ns	0.6405	
Figure 1B - Tukey's multiple comparison test			
Parent vs. ΔpilU	****	<0.0001	# of families = 1
Parent vs. ΔpilT	****	<0.0001	# of comparisons per family = 45
Parent vs. ΔpilTΔpilU	****	<0.0001	Alpha = 0.05
Parent vs. pilT^{K136A}	****	<0.0001	
Parent vs. pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU	****	<0.0001	
Parent vs. pilT^{E204A}	****	<0.0001	
Parent vs. pilT$^{E204A/K136A}$	****	<0.0001	
Parent vs. pilT^{E204A} ΔpilU	****	<0.0001	
Parent vs. pilT$^{E204A/K136A}$ ΔpilU	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilU vs. ΔpilT	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilU vs. ΔpilTΔpilU	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilU vs. pilT^{K136A}	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilU vs. pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilU vs. pilT^{E204A}	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilU vs. pilT$^{E204A/K136A}$	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilU vs. pilT^{E204A} ΔpilU	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilU vs. pilT$^{E204A/K136A}$ ΔpilU	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilT vs. ΔpilTΔpilU	ns	>0.9999	
ΔpilT vs. pilT^{K136A}	ns	0.0674	
ΔpilT vs. pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU	ns	>0.9999	
ΔpilT vs. pilT^{E204A}	ns	0.4312	
ΔpilT vs. pilT$^{E204A/K136A}$	***	0.0005	
ΔpilT vs. pilT^{E204A} ΔpilU	ns	>0.9999	
ΔpilT vs. pilT$^{E204A/K136A}$ ΔpilU	ns	>0.9999	

Comparison	Sig	p-value	Notes
ΔpilTΔpilU vs. pilT^{K136A}	**	0.0027	
ΔpilTΔpilU vs. pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU	ns	>0.9999	
ΔpilTΔpilU vs. pilT^{E204A}	ns	0.3118	
ΔpilTΔpilU vs. pilT$^{E204A/K136A}$	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilTΔpilU vs. pilT^{E204A} ΔpilU	ns	>0.9999	
ΔpilTΔpilU vs. pilT$^{E204A/K136A}$ ΔpilU	ns	>0.9999	
pilT^{K136A} vs. pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU	ns	0.0538	
pilT^{K136A} vs. pilT^{E204A}	ns	>0.9999	
pilT^{K136A} vs. pilT$^{E204A/K136A}$	ns	0.1608	
pilT^{K136A} vs. pilT^{E204A} ΔpilU	**	0.0034	
pilT^{K136A} vs. pilT$^{E204A/K136A}$ ΔpilU	ns	0.2706	
pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU vs. pilT^{E204A}	ns	0.3977	
pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU vs. pilT$^{E204A/K136A}$	***	0.0004	
pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU vs. pilT^{E204A} ΔpilU	ns	>0.9999	
pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU vs. pilT$^{E204A/K136A}$ ΔpilU	ns	>0.9999	
pilT^{E204A} vs. pilT$^{E204A/K136A}$	ns	0.9989	
pilT^{E204A} vs. pilT^{E204A} ΔpilU	ns	0.3122	
pilT^{E204A} vs. pilT$^{E204A/K136A}$ ΔpilU	ns	0.5871	
pilT$^{E204A/K136A}$ vs. pilT^{E204A} ΔpilU	****	<0.0001	
pilT$^{E204A/K136A}$ vs. pilT$^{E204A/K136A}$ ΔpilU	*	0.0109	
pilT^{E204A} ΔpilU vs. pilT$^{E204A/K136A}$ ΔpilU	ns	>0.9999	
Figure 1C - Tukey's multiple comparison test			
Parent vs. ΔpilU	ns	>0.9999	# of families = 1
Parent vs. ΔpilT	****	<0.0001	# of comparisons per family = 45
Parent vs. ΔpilTΔpilU	****	<0.0001	Alpha = 0.05
Parent vs. pilT^{K136A}	ns	0.9963	
Parent vs. pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU	***	0.0002	
Parent vs. pilT^{E204A}	***	0.0006	
Parent vs. pilT$^{E204A/K136A}$	ns	>0.9999	
Parent vs. pilT^{E204A} ΔpilU	****	<0.0001	
Parent vs. pilT$^{E204A/K136A}$ ΔpilU	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilU vs. ΔpilT	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilU vs. ΔpilTΔpilU	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilU vs. pilT^{K136A}	ns	>0.9999	
ΔpilU vs. pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilU vs. pilT^{E204A}	***	0.0002	
ΔpilU vs. pilT$^{E204A/K136A}$	ns	>0.9999	
ΔpilU vs. pilT^{E204A} ΔpilU	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilU vs. pilT$^{E204A/K136A}$ ΔpilU	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilT vs. ΔpilTΔpilU	ns	0.9878	
ΔpilT vs. pilT^{K136A}	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilT vs. pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU	ns	0.9085	

ΔpilT vs. pilT^{E204A}	ns	0.6143	
ΔpilT vs. pilT^{E204A}/^{K136A}	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilT vs. pilT^{E204A} ΔpilU	ns	>0.9999	
ΔpilT vs. pilT^{E204A}/^{K136A} ΔpilU	ns	>0.9999	
ΔpilTΔpilU vs. pilT^{K136A}	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilTΔpilU vs. pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU	ns	>0.9999	
ΔpilTΔpilU vs. pilT^{E204A}	ns	0.9904	
ΔpilTΔpilU vs. pilT^{E204A}/^{K136A}	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilTΔpilU vs. pilT^{E204A} ΔpilU	ns	>0.9999	
ΔpilTΔpilU vs. pilT^{E204A}/^{K136A} ΔpilU	ns	0.9718	
pilT^{K136A} vs. pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU	****	<0.0001	
pilT^{K136A} vs. pilT^{E204A}	****	<0.0001	
pilT^{K136A} vs. pilT$^{E204A/K136A}$	ns	0.9992	
pilT^{K136A} vs. pilT^{E204A} ΔpilU	****	<0.0001	
pilT^{K136A} vs. pilT^{E204A}/^{K136A} ΔpilU	****	<0.0001	
pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU vs. pilT^{E204A}	ns	0.9998	
pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU vs. pilT$^{E204A/K136A}$	***	0.0001	
pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU vs. pilT^{E204A} ΔpilU	ns	0.9936	
pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU vs. pilT^{E204A}/^{K136A} ΔpilU	ns	0.8538	
pilT^{E204A} vs. pilT$^{E204A/K136A}$	***	0.0004	
pilT^{E204A} vs. pilT^{E204A} ΔpilU	ns	0.8779	
pilT^{E204A} vs. pilT^{E204A}/^{K136A} ΔpilU	ns	0.5286	
pilT^{E204A}/^{K136A} vs. pilT^{E204A} ΔpilU	****	<0.0001	
pilT^{E204A}/^{K136A} vs. pilT^{E204A}/^{K136A} ΔpilU	****	<0.0001	
pilT^{E204A} ΔpilU vs. pilT^{E204A}/^{K136A} ΔpilU	ns	0.9996	
Figure 1F - Tukey's multiple comparison test			
Parent vs. ΔpilT	****	<0.0001	# of families = 1
Parent vs. ΔpilU	****	<0.0001	# of comparisons per family = 6
Parent vs. ΔpilTΔpilU	****	<0.0001	Alpha = 0.05
ΔpilT vs. ΔpilU	ns	0.9956	
ΔpilT vs. ΔpilTΔpilU	ns	0.5137	
ΔpilU vs. ΔpilTΔpilU	ns	0.738	
Figure 1H - Tukey's multiple comparison test			
Parent vs. ΔpilT	****	<0.0001	# of families = 1
Parent vs. ΔpilU	****	<0.0001	# of comparisons per family = 6
Parent vs. ΔpilTΔpilU	****	<0.0001	Alpha = 0.05
ΔpilT vs. ΔpilU	**	0.0028	
ΔpilT vs. ΔpilTΔpilU	ns	0.9806	
ΔpilU vs. ΔpilTΔpilU	**	0.0051	
Figure 2A - Tukey's multiple comparison test			
Parent vs. pilU^{L199C}	ns	>0.9999	# of families = 1
Parent vs. pilT^{K136A} pilU^{L199C}	ns	0.9978	# of comparisons per family = 45
Parent vs. ΔpilT pilU^{L199C}	****	<0.0001	Alpha = 0.05

Comparison	Significance	p-value
Parent vs. pilT^{L201C}	ns	>0.9999
Parent vs. pilT^{L201C} ΔpilU	ns	0.4971
Parent vs. pilT^{K136A}	ns	>0.9999
Parent vs. pilU^{K134A}	ns	0.4696
Parent vs. pilT^{K136A} pilU^{K134A}	****	<0.0001
Parent vs. ΔpilU	ns	0.9608
pilU^{L199C} vs. pilT^{K136A} pilU^{L199C}	ns	0.9864
pilU^{L199C} vs. ΔpilT pilU^{L199C}	****	<0.0001
pilU^{L199C} vs. pilT^{L201C}	ns	0.9999
pilU^{L199C} vs. pilT^{L201C} ΔpilU	ns	0.4266
pilU^{L199C} vs. pilT^{K136A}	ns	>0.9999
pilU^{L199C} vs. pilU^{K134A}	ns	0.403
pilU^{L199C} vs. pilT^{K136A} pilU^{K134A}	****	<0.0001
pilU^{L199C} vs. ΔpilU	ns	0.9085
pilT^{K136A} pilU^{L199C} vs. ΔpilT pilU^{L199C}	****	<0.0001
pilT^{K136A} pilU^{L199C} vs. pilT^{L201C}	ns	>0.9999
pilT^{K136A} pilU^{L199C} vs. pilT^{L201C} ΔpilU	ns	0.9608
pilT^{K136A} pilU^{L199C} vs. pilT^{K136A}	ns	>0.9999
pilT^{K136A} pilU^{L199C} vs. pilU^{K134A}	ns	0.9525
pilT^{K136A} pilU^{L199C} vs. pilT^{K136A} pilU^{K134A}	****	<0.0001
pilT^{K136A} pilU^{L199C} vs. ΔpilU	ns	>0.9999
ΔpilT pilU^{L199C} vs. pilT^{L201C}	****	<0.0001
ΔpilT pilU^{L199C} vs. pilT^{L201C} ΔpilU	****	<0.0001
ΔpilT pilU^{L199C} vs. pilT^{K136A}	****	<0.0001
ΔpilT pilU^{L199C} vs. pilU^{K134A}	****	<0.0001
ΔpilT pilU^{L199C} vs. pilT^{K136A} pilU^{K134A}	****	<0.0001
ΔpilT pilU^{L199C} vs. ΔpilU	****	<0.0001
pilT^{L201C} vs. pilT^{L201C} ΔpilU	ns	0.7827
pilT^{L201C} vs. pilT^{K136A}	ns	>0.9999
pilT^{L201C} vs. pilU^{K134A}	ns	0.7604
pilT^{L201C} vs. pilT^{K136A} pilU^{K134A}	****	<0.0001
pilT^{L201C} vs. ΔpilU	ns	0.9968
pilT^{L201C} ΔpilU vs. pilT^{K136A}	ns	0.7609
pilT^{L201C} ΔpilU vs. pilU^{K134A}	ns	>0.9999
pilT^{L201C} ΔpilU vs. pilT^{K136A} pilU^{K134A}	****	<0.0001
pilT^{L201C} ΔpilU vs. ΔpilU	ns	0.9969
pilT^{K136A} vs. pilU^{K134A}	ns	0.7378
pilT^{K136A} vs. pilT^{K136A} pilU^{K134A}	****	<0.0001
pilT^{K136A} vs. ΔpilU	ns	0.9956
pilU^{K134A} vs. pilT^{K136A} pilU^{K134A}	****	<0.0001
pilU^{K134A} vs. ΔpilU	ns	0.9957
pilT^{K136A} pilU^{K134A} vs. ΔpilU	****	<0.0001

Figure 2B - Tukey's multiple comparison test			
Parent vs. ΔpilU	****	<0.0001	# of families = 1
Parent vs. pilT^{K136A}	****	<0.0001	# of comparisons per family = 45
Parent vs. pilU^{K134A}	****	<0.0001	Alpha = 0.05
Parent vs. pilT^{K136A} pilU^{K134A}	****	<0.0001	
Parent vs. pilT^{K136A} pilU^{L199C}	****	<0.0001	
Parent vs. pilU^{L199C}	ns	0.6117	
Parent vs. pilT^{L201C}	ns	>0.9999	
Parent vs. pilT^{L201C} ΔpilU	****	<0.0001	
Parent vs. ΔpilT pilU^{L199C}	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilU vs. pilT^{K136A}	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilU vs. pilU^{K134A}	ns	0.1821	
ΔpilU vs. pilT^{K136A} pilU^{K134A}	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilU vs. pilT^{K136A} pilU^{L199C}	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilU vs. pilU^{L199C}	***	0.0003	
ΔpilU vs. pilT^{L201C}	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilU vs. pilT^{L201C} ΔpilU	*	0.014	
ΔpilU vs. ΔpilT pilU^{L199C}	****	<0.0001	
pilT^{K136A} vs. pilU^{K134A}	****	<0.0001	
pilT^{K136A} vs. pilT^{K136A} pilU^{K134A}	ns	0.3556	
pilT^{K136A} vs. pilT^{K136A} pilU^{L199C}	ns	0.9694	
pilT^{K136A} vs. pilU^{L199C}	****	<0.0001	
pilT^{K136A} vs. pilT^{L201C}	****	<0.0001	
pilT^{K136A} vs. pilT^{L201C} ΔpilU	****	<0.0001	
pilT^{K136A} vs. ΔpilT pilU^{L199C}	ns	0.1504	
pilU^{K134A} vs. pilT^{K136A} pilU^{K134A}	****	<0.0001	
pilU^{K134A} vs. pilT^{K136A} pilU^{L199C}	****	<0.0001	
pilU^{K134A} vs. pilU^{L199C}	****	<0.0001	
pilU^{K134A} vs. pilT^{L201C}	****	<0.0001	
pilU^{K134A} vs. pilT^{L201C} ΔpilU	ns	0.9841	
pilU^{K134A} vs. ΔpilT pilU^{L199C}	****	<0.0001	
pilT^{K136A} pilU^{K134A} vs. pilT^{K136A} pilU^{L199C}	ns	0.8001	
pilT^{K136A} pilU^{K134A} vs. pilU^{L199C}	****	<0.0001	
pilT^{K136A} pilU^{K134A} vs. pilT^{L201C}	****	<0.0001	
pilT^{K136A} pilU^{K134A} vs. pilT^{L201C} ΔpilU	****	<0.0001	
pilT^{K136A} pilU^{K134A} vs. ΔpilT pilU^{L199C}	ns	>0.9999	
pilT^{K136A} pilU^{L199C} vs. pilU^{L199C}	****	<0.0001	
pilT^{K136A} pilU^{L199C} vs. pilT^{L201C}	****	<0.0001	
pilT^{K136A} pilU^{L199C} vs. pilT^{L201C} ΔpilU	****	<0.0001	
pilT^{K136A} pilU^{L199C} vs. ΔpilT pilU^{L199C}	ns	0.6067	
pilU^{L199C} vs. pilT^{L201C}	ns	0.7303	

pilU^{L199C} vs. pilT^{L201C} ΔpilU	****	<0.0001	
pilU^{L199C} vs. ΔpilT pilU^{L199C}	****	<0.0001	
pilT^{L201C} vs. pilT^{L201C} ΔpilU	****	<0.0001	
pilT^{L201C} vs. ΔpilT pilU^{L199C}	****	<0.0001	
pilT^{L201C} ΔpilU vs. ΔpilT pilU^{L199C}	****	<0.0001	
Figure 4C - Tukey's multiple comparison test			
Parent vs. ΔpilU	ns	>0.9999	# of families = 1
Parent vs. ΔpilT	****	<0.0001	# of comparisons per family = 36
Parent vs. pilT^{K136A}	ns	0.9998	Alpha = 0.05
Parent vs. Ptac-pilT^{K136A}	ns	0.9537	
Parent vs. Ptac-pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU	****	<0.0001	
Parent vs. Ptac-pilU^{K134A}	ns	0.9996	
Parent vs. Ptac-pilU^{K134A} pilT^{K136A}	****	<0.0001	
Parent vs. Ptac-pilT^{K136A} Ptac-pilU^{K134A}	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilU vs. ΔpilT	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilU vs. pilT^{K136A}	ns	>0.9999	
ΔpilU vs. Ptac-pilT^{K136A}	ns	0.9844	
ΔpilU vs. Ptac-pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilU vs. Ptac-pilU^{K134A}	ns	>0.9999	
ΔpilU vs. Ptac-pilU^{K134A} pilT^{K136A}	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilU vs. Ptac-pilT^{K136A} Ptac-pilU^{K134A}	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilT vs. pilT^{K136A}	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilT vs. Ptac-pilT^{K136A}	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilT vs. Ptac-pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU	ns	0.9408	
ΔpilT vs. Ptac-pilU^{K134A}	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilT vs. Ptac-pilU^{K134A} pilT^{K136A}	ns	0.3939	
ΔpilT vs. Ptac-pilT^{K136A} Ptac-pilU^{K134A}	ns	0.542	
pilT^{K136A} vs. Ptac-pilT^{K136A}	ns	0.9988	
pilT^{K136A} vs. Ptac-pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU	****	<0.0001	
pilT^{K136A} vs. Ptac-pilU^{K134A}	ns	>0.9999	
pilT^{K136A} vs. Ptac-pilU^{K134A} pilT^{K136A}	****	<0.0001	
pilT^{K136A} vs. Ptac-pilT^{K136A} Ptac-pilU^{K134A}	****	<0.0001	
Ptac-pilT^{K136A} vs. Ptac-pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU	****	<0.0001	
Ptac-pilT^{K136A} vs. Ptac-pilU^{K134A}	ns	0.9994	
Ptac-pilT^{K136A} vs. Ptac-pilU^{K134A} pilT^{K136A}	****	<0.0001	
Ptac-pilT^{K136A} vs. Ptac-pilT^{K136A} Ptac-pilU^{K134A}	****	<0.0001	
Ptac-pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU vs. Ptac-pilU^{K134A}	****	<0.0001	
Ptac-pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU vs. Ptac-pilU^{K134A} pilT^{K136A}	ns	0.9789	
Ptac-pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU vs. Ptac-pilT^{K136A} Ptac-pilU^{K134A}	ns	0.9964	
Ptac-pilU^{K134A} vs. Ptac-pilU^{K134A} pilT^{K136A}	****	<0.0001	
Ptac-pilU^{K134A} vs. Ptac-pilT^{K136A} Ptac-pilU^{K134A}	****	<0.0001	

Ptac-pilU^{K134A} pilT^{K136A} vs. Ptac-pilT^{K136A} Ptac-pilU^{K134A}	ns	>0.9999	
Figure 5 - Tukey's multiple comparison test			
pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU vs. pilT^{K136A} pilU^{K137A}	ns	0.9546	# of families = 1
pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU vs. pilT^{K136A}	****	<0.0001	# of comparisons per family = 36
pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU vs. pilU^{K137A}	****	<0.0001	Alpha = 0.05
pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU vs. Parent	****	<0.0001	
pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU vs. ΔpilT	ns	>0.9999	
pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU vs. ΔpilU	****	<0.0001	
pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU vs. ΔpilTU	ns	>0.9999	
pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU vs. ΔcomP	ns	0.7185	
pilT^{K136A} pilU^{K137A} vs. pilT^{K136A}	****	<0.0001	
pilT^{K136A} pilU^{K137A} vs. pilU^{K137A}	****	<0.0001	
pilT^{K136A} pilU^{K137A} vs. Parent	****	<0.0001	
pilT^{K136A} pilU^{K137A} vs. ΔpilT	ns	0.9681	
pilT^{K136A} pilU^{K137A} vs. ΔpilU	****	<0.0001	
pilT^{K136A} pilU^{K137A} vs. ΔpilTU	ns	0.9694	
pilT^{K136A} pilU^{K137A} vs. ΔcomP	ns	>0.9999	
pilT^{K136A} vs. pilU^{K137A}	**	0.0082	
pilT^{K136A} vs. Parent	****	<0.0001	
pilT^{K136A} vs. ΔpilT	****	<0.0001	
pilT^{K136A} vs. ΔpilU	***	0.0003	
pilT^{K136A} vs. ΔpilTU	****	<0.0001	
pilT^{K136A} vs. ΔcomP	****	<0.0001	
pilU^{K137A} vs. Parent	****	<0.0001	
pilU^{K137A} vs. ΔpilT	****	<0.0001	
pilU^{K137A} vs. ΔpilU	ns	0.9315	
pilU^{K137A} vs. ΔpilTU	****	<0.0001	
pilU^{K137A} vs. ΔcomP	****	<0.0001	
Parent vs. ΔpilT	****	<0.0001	
Parent vs. ΔpilU	****	<0.0001	
Parent vs. ΔpilTU	****	<0.0001	
Parent vs. ΔcomP	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilT vs. ΔpilU	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilT vs. ΔpilTU	ns	>0.9999	
ΔpilT vs. ΔcomP	ns	0.7633	
ΔpilU vs. ΔpilTU	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilU vs. ΔcomP	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilTU vs. ΔcomP	ns	0.7681	
Supplemental Figure 1 - Dunnett's multiple comparison test			
Parent Ptac-PilT vs. ΔpilT ΔpilU Ptac-PilT	ns	0.9999	# of families = 2
Parent Ptac-PilT vs. pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU Ptac-PilT	ns	0.9999	# of comparisons per family = 6

Comparison			
Parent Ptac-PilT vs. pilT^{K136A} pilU^{K134A} Ptac-PilT	ns	0.37	Alpha = 0.05
Parent Ptac-PilT vs. ΔpilT Ptac-PilT	ns	0.9999	
Parent Ptac-PilT vs. pilT$^{K136A/E204A}$ ΔpilU Ptac-PilT	ns	0.9996	
Parent Ptac-PilT vs. pilT^{E204A} ΔpilU Ptac-PilT	ns	0.9779	
Parent Ptac-PilU vs. ΔpilT ΔpilU Ptac-PilU	****	0.0001	
Parent Ptac-PilU vs. pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU Ptac-PilU	ns	0.8282	
Parent Ptac-PilU vs. pilT^{K136A} pilU^{K134A} Ptac-PilU	ns	0.3199	
Parent Ptac-PilU vs. ΔpilT Ptac-PilU	****	0.0001	
Parent Ptac-PilU vs. pilT$^{K136A/E204A}$ ΔpilU Ptac-PilU	ns	0.0732	
Parent Ptac-PilU vs. pilT^{E204A} ΔpilU Ptac-PilU	ns	0.9999	
Supplemental Figure 2 (T18 x T25) - Dunnett's multiple comparison test			
vector x PilT vs. PilT x PilT	****	<0.0001	# of families = 6
vector x PilT vs. PilU x PilT	*	0.0343	# of comparisons per family = 6
vector x PilT vs. PilT^{K136A} x PilT	****	<0.0001	Alpha = 0.05
vector x PilT vs. PilU^{K134A} x PilT	**	0.0043	
vector x PilT vs. PilC x PilT	***	0.0002	
vector x PilU vs. PilT x PilU	*	0.0464	
vector x PilU vs. PilU x PilU	**	0.0016	
vector x PilU vs. PilT^{K136A} x PilU	ns	0.2389	
vector x PilU vs. PilU^{K134A} x PilU	***	0.0005	
vector x PilU vs. PilC x PilU	ns	0.0849	
vector x PilT^{K136A} vs. PilT x PilT^{K136A}	**	0.0031	
vector x PilT^{K136A} vs. PilU x PilT^{K136A}	ns	0.9997	
vector x PilT^{K136A} vs. PilT^{K136A} x PilT^{K136A}	ns	0.1368	
vector x PilT^{K136A} vs. PilU^{K134A} x PilT^{K136A}	ns	0.9984	
vector x PilT^{K136A} vs. PilC x PilT^{K136A}	*	0.0349	
vector x PilU^{K134A} vs. PilT x PilU^{K134A}	ns	0.1185	
vector x PilU^{K134A} vs. PilU x PilU^{K134A}	***	0.0004	
vector x PilU^{K134A} vs. PilT^{K136A} x PilU^{K134A}	ns	0.4273	
vector x PilU^{K134A} vs. PilU^{K134A} x PilU^{K134A}	**	0.003	
vector x PilU^{K134A} vs. PilC x PilU^{K134A}	*	0.013	
vector x PilC vs. PilT x PilC	ns	0.0724	
vector x PilC vs. PilU x PilC	ns	0.4038	
vector x PilC vs. PilT^{K136A} x PilC	ns	0.1408	
vector x PilC vs. PilU^{K134A} x PilC	ns	0.1544	
vector x PilC vs. PilC x PilC	****	0.0001	
vector x vector vs. PilT x vector	ns	0.9999	
vector x vector vs. PilU x vector	ns	0.9999	
vector x vector vs. PilT^{K136A} x vector	ns	0.9999	
vector x vector vs. PilU^{K134A} x vector	ns	0.9999	
vector x vector vs. PilC x vector	ns	0.9999	

Supplemental Figure 3A - Tukey's multiple comparison test			
Parent vs. ΔpilT	***	0.0002	# of families = 1
Parent vs. pilT^{K136A}, 6xHis-pilU	ns	>0.9999	# of comparisons per family = 36
Parent vs. 6xHis-pilT	ns	0.9508	Alpha = 0.05
Parent vs. 6xHis-pilU	ns	0.9235	
Parent vs. pilT^{K136A}, 3xFLAG-pilU	ns	0.9553	
Parent vs. 3xFLAG-pilT	ns	>0.9999	
Parent vs. 3xFLAG-pilU	ns	0.9949	
Parent vs. pilT^{K136A}	ns	0.9865	
ΔpilT vs. pilT^{K136A}, 6xHis-pilU	***	0.0007	
ΔpilT vs. 6xHis-pilT	*	0.0209	
ΔpilT vs. 6xHis-pilU	*	0.0261	
ΔpilT vs. pilT^{K136A}, 3xFLAG-pilU	*	0.0201	
ΔpilT vs. 3xFLAG-pilT	**	0.0014	
ΔpilT vs. 3xFLAG-pilU	**	0.0093	
ΔpilT vs. pilT^{K136A}	*	0.0126	
pilT^{K136A}, 6xHis-pilU vs. 6xHis-pilT	ns	0.9279	
pilT^{K136A}, 6xHis-pilU vs. 6xHis-pilU	ns	0.8986	
pilT^{K136A}, 6xHis-pilU vs. pilT^{K136A}, 3xFLAG-pilU	ns	0.9329	
pilT^{K136A}, 6xHis-pilU vs. 3xFLAG-pilT	ns	>0.9999	
pilT^{K136A}, 6xHis-pilU vs. 3xFLAG-pilU	ns	0.9862	
pilT^{K136A}, 6xHis-pilU vs. pilT^{K136A}	ns	0.9724	
6xHis-pilT vs. 6xHis-pilU	ns	>0.9999	
6xHis-pilT vs. pilT^{K136A}, 3xFLAG-pilU	ns	>0.9999	
6xHis-pilT vs. 3xFLAG-pilT	ns	0.98	
6xHis-pilT vs. 3xFLAG-pilU	ns	>0.9999	
6xHis-pilT vs. pilT^{K136A}	ns	>0.9999	
6xHis-pilU vs. pilT^{K136A}, 3xFLAG-pilU	ns	>0.9999	
6xHis-pilU vs. 3xFLAG-pilT	ns	0.9671	
6xHis-pilU vs. 3xFLAG-pilU	ns	>0.9999	
6xHis-pilU vs. pilT^{K136A}	ns	>0.9999	
pilT^{K136A}, 3xFLAG-pilU vs. 3xFLAG-pilT	ns	0.982	
pilT^{K136A}, 3xFLAG-pilU vs. 3xFLAG-pilU	ns	>0.9999	
pilT^{K136A}, 3xFLAG-pilU vs. pilT^{K136A}	ns	>0.9999	
3xFLAG-pilT vs. 3xFLAG-pilU	ns	0.9983	
3xFLAG-pilT vs. pilT^{K136A}	ns	0.9951	
3xFLAG-pilU vs. pilT^{K136A}	ns	>0.9999	
Supplemental Figure 3B - Tukey's multiple comparison test			
Parent vs. ΔpilT	ns	0.2194	# of families = 1
Parent vs. Ptac-3xFLAG-pilT	ns	>0.9999	# of comparisons per family = 66
Parent vs. Ptac-3xFLAG-pilT ΔpilT	ns	0.9989	Alpha = 0.05
Parent vs. Ptac-3xFLAG-pilU	ns	0.9995	
Parent vs. Ptac-3xFLAG-pilU, pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU	ns	0.9127	

Parent vs. Ptac-3xFLAG-pilT^{K136A}	ns	0.9505
Parent vs. Ptac-3xFLAG-pilU^{K134A}	ns	0.9999
Parent vs. Ptac-3xFLAG-pilT^{K136A}, ΔpilU	ns	0.2197
Parent vs. Ptac-3xFLAG-pilU^{K134A}, pilT^{K136A}	ns	0.2199
Parent vs. ΔpilU	ns	0.998
Parent vs. pilT^{K136A}	ns	0.9912
ΔpilT vs. Ptac-3xFLAG-pilT	ns	0.0832
ΔpilT vs. Ptac-3xFLAG-pilT ΔpilT	*	0.0472
ΔpilT vs. Ptac-3xFLAG-pilU	ns	0.5898
ΔpilT vs. Ptac-3xFLAG-pilU, pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU	ns	0.9879
ΔpilT vs. Ptac-3xFLAG-pilT^{K136A}	*	0.0123
ΔpilT vs. Ptac-3xFLAG-pilU^{K134A}	ns	0.0697
ΔpilT vs. Ptac-3xFLAG-pilT^{K136A}, ΔpilU	ns	>0.9999
ΔpilT vs. Ptac-3xFLAG-pilU^{K134A}, pilT^{K136A}	ns	>0.9999
ΔpilT vs. ΔpilU	ns	0.8312
ΔpilT vs. pilT^{K136A}	ns	0.9042
Ptac-3xFLAG-pilT vs. Ptac-3xFLAG-pilT ΔpilT	ns	>0.9999
Ptac-3xFLAG-pilT vs. Ptac-3xFLAG-pilU	ns	0.9531
Ptac-3xFLAG-pilT vs. Ptac-3xFLAG-pilU, pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU	ns	0.6364
Ptac-3xFLAG-pilT vs. Ptac-3xFLAG-pilT^{K136A}	ns	0.9998
Ptac-3xFLAG-pilT vs. Ptac-3xFLAG-pilU^{K134A}	ns	>0.9999
Ptac-3xFLAG-pilT vs. Ptac-3xFLAG-pilT^{K136A}, ΔpilU	ns	0.0833
Ptac-3xFLAG-pilT vs. Ptac-3xFLAG-pilU^{K134A}, pilT^{K136A}	ns	0.0834
Ptac-3xFLAG-pilT vs. ΔpilU	ns	0.9305
Ptac-3xFLAG-pilT vs. pilT^{K136A}	ns	0.8688
Ptac-3xFLAG-pilT ΔpilT vs. Ptac-3xFLAG-pilU	ns	0.8682
Ptac-3xFLAG-pilT ΔpilT vs. Ptac-3xFLAG-pilU, pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU	ns	0.4801
Ptac-3xFLAG-pilT ΔpilT vs. Ptac-3xFLAG-pilT^{K136A}	ns	>0.9999
Ptac-3xFLAG-pilT ΔpilT vs. Ptac-3xFLAG-pilU^{K134A}	ns	>0.9999
Ptac-3xFLAG-pilT ΔpilT vs. Ptac-3xFLAG-pilT^{K136A}, ΔpilU	*	0.0473
Ptac-3xFLAG-pilT ΔpilT vs. Ptac-3xFLAG-pilU^{K134A}, pilT^{K136A}	*	0.0473
Ptac-3xFLAG-pilT ΔpilT vs. ΔpilU	ns	0.8381
Ptac-3xFLAG-pilT ΔpilT vs. pilT^{K136A}	ns	0.7454
Ptac-3xFLAG-pilU vs. Ptac-3xFLAG-pilU, pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU	ns	0.9991
Ptac-3xFLAG-pilU vs. Ptac-3xFLAG-pilT^{K136A}	ns	0.5332
Ptac-3xFLAG-pilU vs. Ptac-3xFLAG-pilU^{K134A}	ns	0.9319
Ptac-3xFLAG-pilU vs. Ptac-3xFLAG-pilT^{K136A}, ΔpilU	ns	0.5902

Ptac-3xFLAG-pilU vs. Ptac-3xFLAG-pilU^{K134A}, pilT^{K136A}	ns	0.5906	
Ptac-3xFLAG-pilU vs. ΔpilU	ns	>0.9999	
Ptac-3xFLAG-pilU vs. pilT^{K136A}	ns	>0.9999	
Ptac-3xFLAG-pilU, pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU vs. Ptac-3xFLAG-pilT^{K136A}	ns	0.2052	
Ptac-3xFLAG-pilU, pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU vs. Ptac-3xFLAG-pilU^{K134A}	ns	0.5864	
Ptac-3xFLAG-pilU, pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU vs. Ptac-3xFLAG-pilT^{K136A}, ΔpilU	ns	0.988	
Ptac-3xFLAG-pilU, pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU vs. Ptac-3xFLAG-pilU^{K134A}, pilT^{K136A}	ns	0.988	
Ptac-3xFLAG-pilU, pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU vs. ΔpilU	ns	>0.9999	
Ptac-3xFLAG-pilU, pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU vs. pilT^{K136A}	ns	>0.9999	
Ptac-3xFLAG-pilT^{K136A} vs. Ptac-3xFLAG-pilU^{K134A}	ns	>0.9999	
Ptac-3xFLAG-pilT^{K136A} vs. Ptac-3xFLAG-pilT^{K136A}, ΔpilU	*	0.0123	
Ptac-3xFLAG-pilT^{K136A} vs. Ptac-3xFLAG-pilU^{K134A}, pilT^{K136A}	*	0.0123	
Ptac-3xFLAG-pilT^{K136A} vs. ΔpilU	ns	0.5225	
Ptac-3xFLAG-pilT^{K136A} vs. pilT^{K136A}	ns	0.4139	
Ptac-3xFLAG-pilU^{K134A} vs. Ptac-3xFLAG-pilT^{K136A}, ΔpilU	ns	0.0698	
Ptac-3xFLAG-pilU^{K134A} vs. Ptac-3xFLAG-pilU^{K134A}, pilT^{K136A}	ns	0.0699	
Ptac-3xFLAG-pilU^{K134A} vs. ΔpilU	ns	0.906	
Ptac-3xFLAG-pilU^{K134A} vs. pilT^{K136A}	ns	0.8338	
Ptac-3xFLAG-pilT^{K136A}, ΔpilU vs. Ptac-3xFLAG-pilU^{K134A}, pilT^{K136A}	ns	>0.9999	
Ptac-3xFLAG-pilT^{K136A}, ΔpilU vs. ΔpilU	ns	0.8315	
Ptac-3xFLAG-pilT^{K136A}, ΔpilU vs. pilT^{K136A}	ns	0.9044	
Ptac-3xFLAG-pilU^{K134A}, pilT^{K136A} vs. ΔpilU	ns	0.8318	
Ptac-3xFLAG-pilU^{K134A}, pilT^{K136A} vs. pilT^{K136A}	ns	0.9046	
ΔpilU vs. pilT^{K136A}	ns	>0.9999	
Supplemental Figure 3D - Tukey's multiple comparison test			
Parent vs. ΔpilT ΔpilU	****	<0.0001	# of families = 1
Parent vs. pilU^{K134A}	ns	0.9994	# of comparisons per family = 55
Parent vs. pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU	****	<0.0001	Alpha = 0.05
Parent vs. pilT^{K136A} pilU^{K134A}	****	<0.0001	
Parent vs. P$_{tac}$-pilT	ns	0.999	
Parent vs. ΔpilT Ptac-pilT	ns	>0.9999	
Parent vs. P$_{tac}$-pilU	ns	0.999	
Parent vs. pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU Ptac-pilU	ns	0.9481	
Parent vs. ΔpilA	****	<0.0001	

Parent vs. pilT^{K136A}	ns	>0.9999	
ΔpilT ΔpilU vs. pilU^{K134A}	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilT ΔpilU vs. pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU	ns	0.4878	
ΔpilT ΔpilU vs. pilT^{K136A} pilU^{K134A}	ns	>0.9999	
ΔpilT ΔpilU vs. Ptac-pilT	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilT ΔpilU vs. ΔpilT Ptac-pilT	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilT ΔpilU vs. Ptac-pilU	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilT ΔpilU vs. pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU Ptac-pilU	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilT ΔpilU vs. ΔpilA	**	0.0028	
ΔpilT ΔpilU vs. pilT^{K136A}	****	<0.0001	
pilU^{K134A} vs. pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU	****	<0.0001	
pilU^{K134A} vs. pilT^{K136A} pilU^{K134A}	****	<0.0001	
pilU^{K134A} vs. P$_{tac}$-pilT	ns	>0.9999	
pilU^{K134A} vs. ΔpilT Ptac-pilT	ns	>0.9999	
pilU^{K134A} vs. P$_{tac}$-pilU	ns	>0.9999	
pilU^{K134A} vs. pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU Ptac-pilU	ns	0.6391	
pilU^{K134A} vs. ΔpilA	****	<0.0001	
pilU^{K134A} vs. pilT^{K136A}	ns	0.9972	
pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU vs. pilT^{K136A} pilU^{K134A}	ns	0.6518	
pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU vs. Ptac-pilT	****	<0.0001	
pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU vs. ΔpilT Ptac-pilT	****	<0.0001	
pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU vs. Ptac-pilU	****	<0.0001	
pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU vs. pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU Ptac-pilU	****	<0.0001	
pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU vs. ΔpilA	ns	0.4692	
pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU vs. pilT^{K136A}	****	<0.0001	
pilT^{K136A} pilU^{K134A} vs. P$_{tac}$-pilT	****	<0.0001	
pilT^{K136A} pilU^{K134A} vs. ΔpilT Ptac-pilT	****	<0.0001	
pilT^{K136A} pilU^{K134A} vs. P$_{tac}$-pilU	****	<0.0001	
pilT^{K136A} pilU^{K134A} vs. pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU Ptac-pilU	****	<0.0001	
pilT^{K136A} pilU^{K134A} vs. ΔpilA	**	0.0057	
pilT^{K136A} pilU^{K134A} vs. pilT^{K136A}	****	<0.0001	
Ptac-pilT vs. ΔpilT Ptac-pilT	ns	>0.9999	
P$_{tac}$-pilT vs. P$_{tac}$-pilU	ns	>0.9999	
Ptac-pilT vs. pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU Ptac-pilU	ns	0.6089	
Ptac-pilT vs. ΔpilA	****	<0.0001	
P$_{tac}$-pilT vs. pilT^{K136A}	ns	0.9957	
ΔpilT Ptac-pilT vs. Ptac-pilU	ns	>0.9999	
ΔpilT Ptac-pilT vs. pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU Ptac-pilU	ns	0.7364	
ΔpilT Ptac-pilT vs. ΔpilA	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilT Ptac-pilT vs. pilT^{K136A}	ns	0.9995	
Ptac-pilU vs. pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU Ptac-pilU	ns	0.6068	
Ptac-pilU vs. ΔpilA	****	<0.0001	

P$_{tac}$-pilU vs. pilT^{K136A}	ns	0.9956	
pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU Ptac-pilU vs. ΔpilA	****	<0.0001	
pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU Ptac-pilU vs. pilT^{K136A}	ns	0.9772	
ΔpilA vs. pilT^{K136A}	****	<0.0001	
Supplemental Figure 4 - Dunnett's multiple comparison test			
Parent pmmB-pilT vs. ΔpilTU pmmB-pilT	****	0.0001	# of families = 2
Parent pmmB-pilT vs. ΔpilT pmmB-pilT	ns	0.8827	# of comparisons per family = 8
Parent pmmB-pilT vs. ΔpilU pmmB-pilT	****	0.0001	Alpha = 0.05
Parent pmmB-pilT vs. pilT^{K136A} pmmB-pilT	ns	0.8666	
Parent pmmB-pilT vs. pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU pmmB-pilT	****	0.0001	
Parent pmmB-pilT vs. pilU^{K137A} pmmB-pilT	****	0.0001	
Parent pmmB-pilT vs. pilT^{K136A} pilU^{K137A} pmmB-pilT	****	0.0001	
Parent pmmB-pilU vs. ΔpilTU pmmB-pilU	Yes	****	
Parent pmmB-pilU vs. ΔpilT pmmB-pilU	Yes	****	
Parent pmmB-pilU vs. ΔpilU pmmB-pilU	No	ns	
Parent pmmB-pilU vs. pilT^{K136A} pmmB-pilU	Yes	****	
Parent pmmB-pilU vs. pilT^{K136A} ΔpilU pmmB-pilU	Yes	****	
Parent pmmB-pilU vs. pilU^{K137A} pmmB-pilU	Yes	*	
Parent pmmB-pilU vs. pilT^{K136A} pilU^{K137A} pmmB-pilU	Yes	****	
Parent pmmB-pilU vs. Parent pmmB-pilU	No	ns	
Supplemental Figure 5 - Tukey's multiple comparison test			
Parent vs. ΔMSHA ΔTCP	ns	0.8726	# of families = 1
Parent vs. ΔpilTU	****	<0.0001	# of comparisons per family = 6
Parent vs. ΔpilTU ΔMSHA ΔTCP	****	<0.0001	Alpha = 0.05
ΔMSHA ΔTCP vs. ΔpilTU	****	<0.0001	
ΔMSHA ΔTCP vs. ΔpilTU ΔMSHA ΔTCP	****	<0.0001	
ΔpilTU vs. ΔpilTU ΔMSHA ΔTCP	ns	0.0927	

[#]Statistical differences were assessed by one-way ANOVA tests followed by a multiple comparisons post test (either Tukey's or Dunnett's) using GraphPad Prism software. For all transformation frequency experiments and frequency of pilus retraction experiments, statistical analyses were performed on the log transformed data (i.e. **Figs 1A, 1C, 2B, 4C** and **S1, S3A, S3B, S3D, S4, S5 Figs**).