Compromised function of an ESCRT complex promotes endolysosomal escape of tau seeds

and propagation of tau aggregation

Authors:

John J. Chen[1], Diane L. Nathaniel[1], Preethi Raghavan[2], Maxine Nelson[1,3], Ruilin Tian[1,4], Eric

Tse[1], Jason Y. Hong[1], Stephanie K. See[1,5], Sue-Ann Mok[1,§], Daniel R. Southworth[1,6], Lea T.

Grinberg[6], Jason E. Gestwicki[1,8], Manuel D. Leonetti[2], Martin Kampmann[1,2,6,*]

Affiliations:

[1]Institute for Neurodegenerative Diseases, University of California, San Francisco, CA 94158,

USA

[2]Chan Zuckerberg Biohub, San Francisco, CA 94158, USA

[3]Biomedical Sciences Graduate Program, University of California, San Francisco, CA 94158,

USA

[4]Biophysics Graduate Program, University of California, San Francisco, CA 94158, USA

[5]Graduate Program in Chemistry and Chemical Biology, University of California, San Francisco,

CA 94158, USA

[6]Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, University of California, San Francisco, CA

94158, USA

[7]Department of Neurology, University of California, San Francisco, CA 94158, USA

[8]Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, University of California, San Francisco, CA 94158,

USA

[§] Current affiliation: Department of Biochemistry, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB,

Canada, T6G 2H7

*Correspondence to: martin.kampmann@ucsf.edu

ABSTRACT

Intercellular propagation of protein aggregation is emerging as a key mechanism in the progression of several neurodegenerative diseases, including Alzheimer's Disease and frontotemporal dementia. However, we lack a systematic understanding of the cellular pathways controlling prion-like propagation. To uncover such pathways, we performed CRISPR interference (CRISPRi) screens in a human cell-based model of propagation of tau aggregation. Our screens uncovered that knockdown of components of the ESCRT-III machinery, namely CHMP6, or CHMP2A in combination with CHMP2B (a gene linked to familial frontotemporal dementia), promote propagation of tau aggregation. We found that knockdown of these genes caused damage to endolysosomal membranes, consistent with a role for the ESCRT pathway in endolysosomal membrane repair. Leakiness of the endolysosomal compartment significantly enhanced prion-like propagation of tau aggregation, likely by making tau seeds more available to pools of cytoplasmic tau. Together, these findings suggest that endolysosomal escape is a critical step in tau propagation.

INTRODUCTION

Neurodegenerative diseases are one of the most pressing challenges facing humanity. A formidable roadblock to the development of effective therapies is our incomplete understanding of the underlying molecular and cellular mechanisms. A major breakthrough was the discovery that scrapie, an infectious neurodegenerative disease, is caused by the cell-to-cell propagation of protein aggregates via "prion" forms of the protein (Prusiner, 1982). In this process, a prion seed converts healthy, native proteins to adopt an aggregated, prion conformer. More recently, findings from numerous, independent studies support the hypothesis that prion-like propagation also underlies common, non-infectious neurodegenerative diseases, such as Alzheimer's Disease (AD) (recently reviewed in (Vaquer-Alicea & Diamond, 2019)). However, the mechanisms that control aggregate uptake and propagation remain to be fully elucidated, especially in those diseases that involve cytoplasmic proteins. A systematic understanding of these mechanisms is important, both for the development of therapeutics and for furthering our understanding of why specific neuronal subtypes and brain regions are especially susceptible to specific diseases.

Of particular interest to us are the mechanisms controlling propagation of aggregated

forms of the protein tau. Tau aggregation is one of the hallmarks of AD and the levels of

aggregated tau correlate with cognitive deficits and neuronal loss (Gomez-Isla et al., 1997; Berg

et al., 1998; Giannakopoulos et al., 2003; Bejanin et al., 2017). Beyond AD, tau aggregation also

defines a number of other neurodegenerative diseases, collectively termed tauopathies, some of

which are caused by familial point mutations in tau (Wang & Mandelkow, 2016).

Propagation of tau aggregation can be modeled in cultured HEK293 cells that express

fluorescently tagged versions of tau, as first established by the Diamond lab (Frost et al., 2009).

In this system, addition of aggregated tau seeds to the culture media causes the fluorescently

tagged tau in the cells to convert from a diffuse, soluble form to aggregated puncta. This cell-

based model has enabled the characterization of tau species with seeding activity from patient

brains (Mirbaha et al., 2015), and the creation of a minimal synthetic tau that retains seeding

capability (Stöhr et al., 2017). Furthermore, cell-based models can also be used as a biosensor to

detect and propagate distinct prion strains of tau from different tauopathies (Sanders et al., 2014;

Kaufman et al., 2016; Woerman et al., 2016). Importantly, seeding of tau aggregation in the cell-

based model is predictive of *in vivo* seeding in a mouse model (Holmes et al., 2014).

In addition to their utility as "biosensors" for tau aggregates with prion properties, cell-

based models can also be used to elucidate cellular pathways that control propagation of tau

aggregation. Previous work from others and us leveraged cell-based models to uncover

mechanisms that mediate tau uptake into cells (Frost et al., 2009; Holmes et al., 2013; Rauch et

al., 2018; Stopschinski et al., 2018). In those studies, binding of tau to specific cell-surface

heparan sulfate proteoglycans was found to mediate cellular uptake. These results were validated

in human iPSC-derived neurons and mouse brain slices (Rauch et al., 2018), supporting the

physiological relevance of the cell-based model.

While these studies established the mechanism for tau uptake, the downstream cellular

pathways controlling propagation of tau aggregation have not been systematically characterized.

We hypothesized that tau aggregation in the cytosol would be influenced by multiple cellular

pathways, including those controlling trafficking of tau seeds through the endolysosomal

pathway, localization of tau seeds to the cytosol, templated aggregation of soluble tau, and

clearance of tau aggregates (**Fig. 1A**).

To uncover relevant cellular pathways downstream of tau uptake, we here combine our

CRISPR interference-based genetic screening approach (Gilbert et al., 2014; Kampmann, 2018)

with a cell-based model of tau aggregation using fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET).

Using this approach, we uncover endolysosomal escape of tau seeds as a critical step in the

propagation of tau aggregation. Defects in the ESCRT machinery compromise endolysosomal

integrity, thereby promoting the escape of tau seeds from endolysosomal compartments and

accelerating subsequent templating of tau aggregation in the cytosol. These findings provide

insight into the mechanisms of tau trafficking and suggest a source for new potential therapeutic

targets.

RESULTS

Cell-based model of prion-like propagation of tau aggregation

We established a cell-based model to monitor the prion-like propagation of tau aggregation in

HEK293T cells. Such a model had previously been pioneered by the Diamond lab (Frost et al.,

2009) and adapted for flow cytometry using a FRET-based strategy to monitor tau aggregation

(Kfoury et al., 2012). In this FRET-based strategy, two versions of the tau repeat domain (RD)

containing disease-associated P301L and V337M mutations are expressed as fusions with either

the FRET donor CFP or the FRET acceptor YFP. When exposed to tau fibrils from recombinant

or cell/brain-derived lysate sources, the CFP and YFP tags are brought into close proximity,

enabling FRET. We generated a reporter line following a similar strategy (**Fig. 1B**). Instead of

the CFP-YFP FRET pair, we used Clover2 and mRuby2, since proteins of this type had been

shown to have a very high dynamic range for FRET, with a high Förster radius (Lam et al.,

2012). We selected a monoclonal line for optimal expression and dynamic range of the FRET

signal.

 Figure 1 – legend overleaf

Figure 1 – Tau seeds induce tau aggregation in a FRET-based reporter cell line
(**A**) Overview of cellular processes that may control the prion-like tau propagation and aggregation. Question marks represent unknown cellular mechanisms. (**B**) Schematic representation of the FRET-based reporter assay to monitor tau aggregation in HEK293T cells. In the absence of tau seeds, fluorescently labeled tau.K18(LM) is monomeric. Exposure to non-fluorescent tau seeds induces aggregation of the reporter, which can be measured by the formation of tau aggregates by fluorescence microscopy or an increase in FRET intensity by flow cytometry. (**C**) Induction of fluorescent tau aggregates in FRET reporter cell line. Representative images of cells treated with PBS (top row), Alzheimer's patient brain extracts after 5 days (*second row*), or fibrils of recombinant human 0N4R tau after 2 days (*third row*). For each tau seed, each condition is complexed with (*right column*) or without (*left column*) lipofectamine. Nuclei were counter-stained with Hoechst 33342. Scale bar = 50 μm (**D**) Comparison of intracellular fluorescent tau aggregates from images in **Fig. 1C**. Integrated density quantification of fluorescent tau aggregates seeded with various tau seeds complexed with *(blue)* or without (*orange*) lipofectamine were quantified and divided by total nuclei per image. n=3 technical replicates (with at least 50 nuclei per image), error bars represent standard deviation, * P<0.05, ** P<0.01 (two-tailed Student's t test for comparison to PBS (no lipofectamine) control for each tau seeding condition). (**E**) Representative flow cytometry plot of FRET reporter cells after 2 day treatment with PBS (*left*) or tau fibrils (*right*). (**F**) Incubation of recombinant 0N4R tau with heparin and constant agitation at 37° C induces fibrillization. Fibrillization is monitored using an increase in Thioflavin T fluorescence (ex: 440 nm, em: 485 nm), which occurs in the presence (blue) of heparin (10 μg/mL), but not in the absence (orange) of heparin. Error bars represent standard deviation from n=3 technical replicates. (**G**) Representative negative stain electron micrograph of tau fibrils. Scale bar = 100 nm (**H**) Lysates from FRET reporter cells treated with PBS or tau fibrils for 2 days were fractionated at 1000xg into soluble (S) or pellet (P) fractions, and subjected to SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting using antibodies against tau and β-actin. (**I**) Quantification of % FRET positive cells using flow cytometry across concentration ranges of tau fibrils (*left*) or human Alzheimer's patient brain extracts (*right*). Error bars represent standard deviation for n=3 technical replicates.

In the absence of seeding, these cells showed diffuse intracellular fluorescence without visible aggregates when monitored by fluorescence microscopy (**Fig. 1C,D**) and they appeared as a single population when FRET levels are monitored by flow cytometry (**Fig. 1E**). In contrast, exposure of these cells to extracts from AD patient brains caused the tau reporter constructs to aggregate, as reflected by formation of fluorescent puncta (**Fig. 1C,D**). However, seeded aggregation with brain-derived tau required co-incubation with lipofectamine 2000 (here referred to as lipofectamine) to achieve modest aggregation (**Fig. 1C,D**), consistent with reports from other groups (Holmes et al., 2014; Sanders et al., 2014; Woerman et al., 2016).

Our goal was to eliminate the use of lipofectamine, because the use of a lipocationic carrier may bypass physiologically relevant uptake or trafficking pathways. Accordingly, we purified monomeric 6xHis-tagged 0N4R human tau from *E. coli* and induced fibrillization with heparin, which we monitored by an increase in thioflavin T fluorescence (**Fig. 1F**) and by negative stain electron microscopy (**Fig. 1G**). We found that treatment of our FRET reporter cells with these tau fibrils caused robust formation of aggregates, even in the absence of

lipofectamine. This activity was confirmed using multiple criteria, including formation of puncta

by fluorescence microscopy (**Fig. 1C,D**), appearance of a FRET-positive population by flow

cytometry (**Fig. 1E**), and biochemical characterization of tau in the insoluble fraction (**Fig. 1H**).

Finally, we tested the effects of increasing concentrations of tau fibrils on our FRET-based

reporter. We found that 6xHis-tagged fibrils robustly triggered tau aggregation in a dose-

dependent manner across nearly 2 orders of magnitude in concentration in the absence of

lipofectamine, as quantified by the percentage of FRET positive cells (**Fig. 1I**). Brain lysates also

produced an increase in FRET-positive cells, although the magnitude was more modest.

Together, these features make our FRET-based model suitable for use in a genetic screen to

identify cellular factors that control prion-like propagation of tau aggregation.

Genetic screen to identify cellular factors that control prion-like propagation of tau

aggregation

In order to identify cellular factors that control propagation of tau aggregation (**Fig. 1A**), we

conducted a CRISPR-interference (CRISPRi)-based genetic screen (**Fig. 2A**). First, we

transduced the reporter cell line described above with a lentiviral expression construct for a

catalytically inactive Cas9-BFP-KRAB (dCas9-BFP-KRAB) fusion protein. dCas9-BFP-KRAB

can be directed by small guide RNAs (sgRNAs) to silence a gene of interest (Gilbert et al.,

2013), enabling massively parallel genetic screens in mammalian cells (Gilbert et al., 2014). We

then transduced the cells with pooled sgRNA libraries that target protein homeostasis factors,

which we designed specifically for this study based on the rationale that protein homeostasis

factors were likely to control or modulate tau aggregation and clearance. These libraries target

2,949 genes encoding genes that function in autophagy, protein folding, or the ubiquitin-

proteasome system with at least five independent sgRNAs for each gene, plus 750 non-targeting

control sgRNAs. Cells transduced with these libraries were exposed to recombinant tau fibrils at

concentrations that would yield FRET positive cells at 50% of the maximum percentage of

FRET positive cells (**Fig. 1I**), thereby maximizing the dynamic range for detecting cellular

factors that either increase or decrease tau aggregation. FRET negative and FRET positive cell

populations were separated by FACS, collecting sufficient numbers of cells from each

population for an average 1000x representation (cells per sgRNA elements in the library).

Genomic DNA was isolated and the locus encoding the sgRNAs was PCR-amplified.

Frequencies for each sgRNA in each population were determined by next generation sequencing.

We evaluated genes for the effect their knockdown had on the formation of tau aggregates

(**Table S1**) using our previously described bioinformatics pipeline (Kampmann et al., 2013;

Gilbert et al., 2014; Kampmann et al., 2014; Tian et al., 2019).

Figure 2 – CRISPRi screen for cellular factors controlling tau aggregation
(**A**) Strategy for pooled FRET-based CRISPRi screen. FRET reporter cells stably expressing the CRISPRi
machinery (dCas9-BFP-KRAB) were transduced with pooled lentiviral expression libraries of sgRNAs
targeting proteostasis genes. Following transduction and selection, cells were treated with tau fibrils and
incubated for 2 days. Cells were detached and sorted into FRET negative and positive populations by
Fluorescence-Activated Cell Sorting (FACS). sgRNA-encoding cassettes were amplified from genomic
DNA of the cell populations and their frequencies were quantified using next generation sequencing to
identify genes that control tau aggregation. (**B**) Volcano plot summarizing phenotypes and statistical
significance (by our MAGeCK-iNC pipeline, see Materials and Methods) of the genes targeted by the
sgRNA libraries. Non-targeting sgRNAs were randomly grouped into negative control "quasi-genes"
(grey dots) to derive an empirical false-discovery rate (FDR). Hit genes that passed an FDR < 0.05
threshold are shown in blue (knockdown decreases aggregation) or red (knockdown increases
aggregation), other genes are shown in orange. Two hit genes of interest are shown in green and labeled.
(**C-E**) Validation of hit genes CHMP6 and VPS13D. FRET reporter cells transduced with individual
sgRNAs targeting two hit genes or a non-targeting control sgRNA, and 5 days after transduction treated
for 2 days with tau fibrils. (C) % of FRET positive cells was quantified by flow cytometry. Error bars
represent standard deviation of n=3 technical replicates. *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001 (two-tailed
Student's t test for comparison to the non-targeting control sgRNA). (D) Representative immunoblot for
the tau-fluorescent protein construct in the soluble and insoluble fractions as in Fig. 1H. (E)
Quantification of insoluble/soluble tau ratios from immunoblots in Fig 2D. Error bars represent standard
deviation for n=3 biological replicates. P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001 (two-tailed Student's t test for
comparison to the non-targeting control sgRNA).

Two genes stood out for the strong enhancement of tau aggregation by their knockdown: CHMP6 and VPS13D (**Fig. 2B**). We decided to prioritize these two genes for further characterization, since both are related to genes implicated in neurodegenerative diseases.

CHMP6 is part of the Endosomal Sorting Complex Required for Transport (ESCRT)-III complex, which is required for numerous cellular processes involving membrane remodeling (Hurley, 2015). Mutations in the ESCRT-III component CHMP2B cause familial frontotemporal lobar dementia (FTD) and have been shown to cause endolysosomal defects (Urwin et al., 2010; Clayton et al., 2015).

The *VPS13* protein family is comprised of four closely-related proteins, *VPS13A-D* (Velayos-Baeza et al., 2004). VPS13 family proteins are localized at various inter-organelle membrane contact sites and facilitates non-vesicular lipid transport (Kumar et al., 2018; Yeshaw et al., 2019). Interestingly, mutations *VPS13D* are associated with recessive ataxia (Seong et al., 2018). Previously, *VPS13A* and *VPS13C* mutations have been associated with a Huntington's-like syndrome (Chorea-Acanthocytosis) (Rampoldi et al., 2001; Ueno et al., 2001) and Parkinson's disease (Lesage et al., 2016), respectively.

To confirm these screening hits, we cloned 2 individual sgRNAs each targeting CHMP6 and VPS13D, and confirmed target knockdown by qPCR (**Table S2**). Using these sgRNAs, we validated the effect of CHMP6 and VPS13D knockdown on tau aggregation by flow cytometry (**Fig. 2C**) and biochemical solubility assay (**Fig. 2D, E**).

CHMP6 knockdown accelerates tau aggregation following tau seed uptake

We next investigated the mechanism by which knockdown of CHMP6 and VPS13D might affect tau aggregation. First, we excluded the possibility that knockdown of these genes alters the levels of our tau reporter (**Fig. 3A**). Since we previously identified factors controlling cellular uptake of tau (Rauch et al., 2018), we tested whether knockdown of CHMP6 and VPS13D impacted the uptake of tau fibrils. However, we found that knockdown of the genes did not impact tau fibril uptake (**Fig. 3B**), suggesting that their impact on tau aggregation is mediated downstream of seed uptake.

Figure 3 – CHMP6 knockdown accelerates the prion-like propagation of tau aggregation
FRET reporter cells or CRISPRi-HEK293T cells were transduced with individual sgRNAs targeting CHMP6 or VPS13D, or a non-targeting control sgRNA, and characterized for different phenotypes 5 days after transduction (**A**) Knockdown of CHMP6 and VPS13D does not impact steady state levels of the tau-Clover2 construct in FRET reporter cells, as quantified by flow cytometry. (**B**) Individual gene knockdown does not impact uptake of tau fibrils. CRISPRi HEK293T cells were incubated with AF555-labeled tau fibrils for 1 hour at 37° C, stringently washed and red fluorescence representing internalized tau fibrils was quantified by flow cytometry. Bar graph shows normalized fluorescence intensities and standard deviation of n=3 technical replicates. (**C**) CHMP6 knockdown accelerates prion-like propagation of tau aggregation. Representative fluorescence micrographs of the Tau.K18(LM)Clover2 reporter in cells 1 and 2 days after fibril addition. Nuclei were counter-stained with Hoechst 33342. Scale bar = 50 μm (**D**) Quantification of **Fig. 3C**. Tau aggregates were quantified by integrated density across the entire image and divided by total nuclei per image. Error bars represent standard deviation where n=3 images per condition (with at least 50 nuclei per image). *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001 (two-tailed Student's t test for comparison to the values for non-targeting control sgRNA of the same day).

We next sought to evaluate whether knockdown of CHMP6 and VPS13D increased the rate of tau aggregation, or decreased the rate of tau aggregate clearance. To this end, we utilized high-content imaging analysis to track the fibril-induced aggregation of tau over time. As expected from the results in our primary screen, we observed increased levels of aggregates 48 hours post seeding with fibrils when either CHMP6 or VPS13D were knocked down (**Fig. 3C,D**). Intriguingly, the timeline of tau aggregate formation was differentially affected by the two gene knockdowns. While VPS13D knockdown did not cause a statistically significant increase in aggregates 24 hours after treatment with tau fibrils compared to a non-targeting

control sgRNA, CHMP6 knockdown promoted early aggregation 24 hours post seeding (**Fig.**

3C,D). Interestingly, aggregate formation from 24 to 48 hours post-seeding did not change

substantially with CHMP6 knockdown, suggesting that the majority of soluble tau rapidly

aggregates following treatment with tau fibrils in that background. Given the intriguing

acceleration of tau aggregation by CHMP6, and the comparatively weaker phenotype of

VPS13D, we decided to focus our mechanistic studies on CHMP6.

Endolysosomal escape of tau seeds is rate limiting for propagation of tau aggregation

To investigate the mechanism by which CHMP6 knockdown accelerates seeded tau aggregation,

we monitored fibril entry and aggregate formation simultaneously by longitudinal imaging in

cells with CHMP6 knockdown compared to cells expressing a non-targeting control sgRNA.

(**Fig. 4A**, **Supplementary Movie 1** and **2**). In CHMP6 knockdown cells, large tau aggregates

rapidly formed soon after tau fibrils localized to cells, within 12 hours post seeding. In control

cells, by contrast, tau fibrils localized to control cells long before aggregates form. We confirmed

that these fibril puncta partially colocalize with the late-endosome/lysosome markers RAB7A

and LAMP1, and frequently localize to the lumen of RAB7A/LAMP1 positive compartments

(**Fig 4B, Supplementary Movie 3** and **4).** These results suggest that fibrils normally accumulate

in endolysosomal compartments, where they do not encounter cytosolic tau. However, CHMP6

knockdown seems to accelerate exit of fibrils from the endolysosomal pathway into the cytosol,

where it can then seed aggregation of cytosolic tau.

A mechanism underlying this CHMP6 phenotype is suggested by the recently reported

role of the ESCRT machinery in the repair of endolysosomal membrane damage (Skowyra et al.,

2018). We hypothesized that knocking down CHMP6 may compromise ESCRT-mediated

membrane repair and facilitate tau fibril escape from damaged endolysosomes. We tested this

hypothesis by monitoring the formation endolysosomal damage using a cytosolic GFP fusion of

galectin 3 (GAL3), a lectin that binds β-galactosides and forms puncta when these sugars are

exposed on damaged endolysosomes (Aits et al., 2015). Knocking down CHMP6 indeed caused

GAL3-GFP puncta, revealing endolysosomal damage (**Fig. 4C,D**). This demonstrates that

CHMP6 plays a critical role in the maintenance of endolysosomal integrity.

Figure 4 – CHMP6 knockdown compromises endolysosomal membrane integrity
(**A**) Time-lapse microscopy of cell entry of tau-AF555 fibrils and resulting aggregation of the cytosolic tau-Clover2 construct. Representative images of CRISPRi-HEK293T cells expressing tau.K18(LM)-clover2 transduced with either non-targeting control (top) or *CHMP6*-targeting sgRNA (bottom) are shown. Scale bar = 50 μm. Corresponding movies are provided as Supplementary Movies 1 (control sgRNA) and 2 (CHMP6 sgRNA). (**B**) Representative fluorescence microscopy images of HEK293T cells with RAB7A or LAMP1 markers endogenously labeled with the split-mNeonGreen system treated with AF555-tau fibrils for 22 hours. AF555-tau fibrils form puncta that partially co-localize with RAB7A and LAMP1-marked endocytic compartments, and in some cases localize to the inner lumen of RAB7A and LAMP1-positive compartments (white arrowheads). Scale bar = 50 μm. Corresponding movies are provided as Supplementary Movies 3 (mNG₁₁-RAB7A) or 4 (LAMP1-mNG₁₁) (**C**) CHMP6 knockdown causes endolysosomal vesicle damage. Representative fluorescence microscopy images of CRISPRi-HEK293T cells expressing an EGFP-Galectin3 (EGFP-Gal3) reporter transduced with either control (*left*)

or CHMP6 (*right*) sgRNA. Nuclei were counter-stained with Hoechst 33342. (**D**) Quantification of
EGFP-Gal3 puncta divided by number of nuclei in fluorescence microscopy images shown in **Fig 4C**.
Error bars represent standard deviation for n=3 technical replicates (with at least 50 nuclei per image).
***P<0.001 (two-tailed Student's t test for comparison to the non-targeting control sgRNA). (**E**)
Simultaneous, but not individual, knockdown of CHMP2A and CHMP2B results in endolysosomal
damage, monitored as in Fig. 4C. Nuclei were counter-stained with Hoechst 33342. Scale bar = 50 μm (**F**)
Quantification of EGFP-Gal3 puncta divided by nuclei in fluorescence microscopy images shown in **Fig**
4E. Error bars represent standard deviation for n=3 technical replicates (with at least 50 nuclei per
image). ***P<0.001 (two-tailed Student's t test for comparison to the non-targeting control sgRNA). (**G**)
Simultaneous, but not individual, knockdown of CHMP2A and CHMP2B increases prion-like tau
aggregation. % FRET positive reporter cells transduced with sgRNAs as indicated 2 days after tau fibril
treatment. Error bars represent standard deviation where n=3 technical replicates. *P<0.05 (two-tailed
Student's t test for comparison to the non-targeting control sgRNA).

CHMP6 was the only ESCRT protein that was a strong hit in our primary CRISPRi

screen. However, other ESCRT proteins have several paralogues in the human genome, so we

hypothesized that these paralogues may have been false-negatives in the CRISPRi screen

because they have partially redundant functions. To test this hypothesis, we targeted CHMP2B, a

gene with disease-associated mutations involved in familial frontotemporal lobar dementia

(FTD). We hypothesized that its phenotype might have been masked by its close homolog,

CHMP2A, which could partially compensate for a loss in CHMP2B function. Indeed, we found

that simultaneous, but not individual, knockdown of CHMP2A and CHMP2B generated GAL3

puncta indicative of endolysosomal damage (**Fig. 4E,F**) and likewise promoted prion-like

propagation of tau aggregation (**Fig. 4G**). This finding supports our hypothesis that maintenance

of endolysosomal membrane integrity by the broader ESCRT-machinery counteracts

endolysosomal escape of tau seeds.

A key implication of this model is that endolysosomal damage may promote the prion-

like propagation of tau aggregation. To test this concept, we treated cells with Leucyl-Leucyl-o-

Methyl-ester (LLOME), a lysosomotropic compound that accumulates in acidified organelles

and rapidly forms membranolytic polymers after cleavage by cathepsin C (Thiele & Lipsky,

1990; Maejima et al., 2013; Skowyra et al., 2018). We confirmed that LLOME damages

endolysosomal membranes in our cell line using the GAL3-GFP reporter (**Fig. 5A,B**).

Interestingly, LLOME phenocopied CHMP6 knockdown in its acceleration of seeded tau

aggregation only at concentrations where we observe endolysosomal membrane damage (**Fig.**

5C).

Figure 5 – Small molecules damage endolysosomal compartments and phenocopy the acceleration of the prion-like propagation of tau aggregation following CHMP6 knockdown

(**A**) Treatment with the lysosomotropic drug leucyl-leucyl-O-methyl-ester (LLOME) damages endolysosomal vesicles. Representative fluorescence microscopy images of CRISPRi-HEK293T cells expressing the EGFP-Gal3 reporter treated with DMSO (left) or 500 µM LLOME (right) for 24 h. Scale bar = 50 µm (**B**) Quantification of EGFP-Gal3 puncta divided by number of nuclei in fluorescence microscopy images shown in **Fig 5A**. Error bars represent standard deviation for n=3 technical replicates (with at least 50 nuclei per image). ***P<0.001 (two-tailed Student's t test for comparison to DMSO control). (**C**) LLOME treatment accelerates the prion-like propagation of tau aggregation. % FRET positive cells transduced with control (*blue*) or CHMP6 sgRNA (*orange*) were analyzed 24 (*dark line*) or 48 (*light line)* hours following co-treatment with DMSO or increasing concentrations of LLOME and tau fibrils. Error bars represent standard deviation for n=3 technical replicates. *P<0.05, **P<0.01 (two-tailed Student's t test for comparison to the values for non-targeting control sgRNA of the same day) (**D**) Lipofectamine treatment damages endolysosomal vesicles. Representative fluorescence microscopy images of CRISPRi-HEK293T cells expressing the EGFP-Gal3 reporter treated with DMSO (left) or 1.25 % v/v Lipofectamine 2000 (right) for 6 h. Scale bar = 50 µm (**E**) Quantification of EGFP-Gal3 puncta divided by number of nuclei in fluorescence microscopy images shown in **Fig 5D**. Error bars represent

standard deviation for n=3 technical replicates. ***$P<0.001$ (two-tailed Student's t test for comparison to
no lipofectamine treatment). (**F**) Lipofectamine treatment accelerates the prion-like propagation of tau
aggregation. % FRET positive cells transduced with control (*blue*) or CHMP6 sgRNA (*orange*) were
analyzed 24 (*dark line*) or 48 (*light line*) hours following co-treatment with PBS or increasing
concentrations of lipofectamine 2000 and tau fibrils. Error bars represent standard deviation where n=3
technical replicates. *$P<0.05$, **$P<0.01$, ***$P<0.001$ (two-tailed Student's t test for comparison to the
values for non-targeting control sgRNA of the same day) (**G-I**) CHMP6 knockdown increases the prion-
like propagation tau aggregation when seeding with Alzheimer's patient brain extracts. (G)
Representative images of FRET reporter cells transduced with control (*left*) or CHMP6 sgRNA (*right*)
and treated with Alzheimer's patient brain extract after 5 days (H) Quantification of images in **Fig. 5G**.
Tau aggregates were quantified by integrated density across the entire image and divided by total nuclei
per image. Error bars represent standard deviation for n=3 images per condition (with at least 50 nuclei
per image). **$P<0.01$ (two-tailed Student's t test for comparison to non-targeting control sgRNA) (I) %
FRET positive cells 5 days following treatment with Alzheimer's patient brain extract. Error bars
represent standard deviation where n=3 technical replicates. **$P<0.01$ (two-tailed Student's t test for
comparison to non-targeting control sgRNA). (**J**) Model.

As mentioned above, lipocationic reagents, such as Lipofectamine, are frequently used to

deliver tau aggregates to cells for in vitro studies of prion-like propagation (Nonaka et al., 2010;

Holmes et al., 2014; Woerman et al., 2016). Interestingly, these agents have previously been

demonstrated to induce endolysosomal damage (Zhou & Huang, 1994). Thus, lipocationic agents

might facilitate cargo delivery and escape, in part, by causing endolysosomal membrane damage.

Indeed, we found that GAL3 puncta indicative of endolysosomal damage are visible 24 hours

after treating cells with Lipofectamine (**Fig. 5D,E)**. Moreover, pre-treatment with lipofectamine

6 hours prior to seeding cells with tau fibrils significantly increased the formation of tau

aggregates in a concentration-dependent manner, including at concentrations lower than the

threshold required to induce a Gal3 reporter response (**Fig. 5F**). This suggests that lipofectamine

may assist in the prion-like spread of tau aggregates both by acting as a delivery vehicle and

damaging endolysosomal membranes.

When we combined CHMP6 knockdown with either LLOME or lipofectamine treatment,

we found that the relative impact of CHMP6 knockdown on tau aggregate seeding was

diminished in the presence of LLOME (**Fig. 5C**) or lipofectamine (**Fig. 5F**), supporting the idea

that CHMP6 knockdown and LLOME/lipofectamine treatment promote propagation of tau

aggregation at least partially via the same mechanism.

Finally, we wanted to validate the CHMP6 phenotype using tau seeds derived from

Alzheimer's patient brain-derived extracts. Indeed, we found that CHMP6 knockdown increased

the rate of tau aggregation in our reporter line seeded with patient brain-derived tau by both

microscopy (**Fig. 5G,H**) and flow cytometry (**Fig. 5I**). Taken together, our results support

endolysosomal escape of tau seeds as a rate-limiting step in our cell-based model of prion-like

tau propagation. Propagation can be accelerated by compromising endolysosomal integrity either

by directly damaging endolysosomes or by interfering with their repair through the ESCRT

machinery (**Fig. 5J**).

DISCUSSION

Using our CRISPRi-based genetic screening platform in a cell-based model of prion-like

propagation of tau aggregation, we found that defects in the ESCRT machinery compromise the

integrity of the endolysosomal pathway and thereby promote endolysosomal escape of tau seeds

and accelerated propagation of tau aggregation. While our observations were made in a cell-

based model, it is intriguing to speculate that they are relevant for propagation of tau aggregation

in the context of neurodegenerative diseases. Indeed, endolysosomal changes are among the first

cellular symptoms in Alzheimer's Disease (Cataldo et al., 2000), and have been postulated to be

a central driver of pathogenesis in many neurodegenerative diseases (Hu et al., 2015; Schreij et

al., 2016; Small et al., 2017). Furthermore, several risk genes for neurodegenerative diseases are

thought to function in the endolysosomal pathway, including CHMP2B (Skibinski et al., 2005).

While the ESCRT-III subunit CHMP6 was a top hit in our genetic screen, CHMP2B

knockdown by itself did not have a major impact on endolysosomal integrity and the propagation

of tau aggregation. This was likely the case because human cells express CHMP2A, a paralogue

of CHMP2B which can mostly compensate for CHMP2B in our cell-based model – combined

knockdown of CHMP2A and CHMP2B phenocopied CHMP6 knockdown. CHMP6 does not

have a paralogue in mammalian cells and is an essential gene, whereas CHMP2B is non-

essential, based on the Cancer dependency map, depmap.org (Tsherniak et al., 2017) , and

knockout mouse phenotypes (Bult et al., 2019). This provides a rationale for CHMP6 deficiency

not being associated with neurodegenerative diseases – it may not be compatible with life.

CHMP2B deficiency can be expected to cause a milder phenotype that is unmasked only later in

life.

Many mechanisms have been proposed to explain the toxicity of tau aggregates.

Intriguingly, tau aggregates can damage membranes in vitro (Ait-Bouziad et al., 2017), and may

damage the endolysomal pathway in patient neurons. In combination with our findings, such a

mechanism of toxicity would predict a "vicious circle" or positive-feedback loop, in which tau

aggregates would damage the endolysosomal pathway, thereby promoting their own propagation.

They could also promote spreading of other aggregates, compatible with the co-occurrence of

different protein pathologies, such as tau and a-synuclein, in many cases of neurodegenerative

disease (Yan et al., 2018).

In summary, our results further support the concept that therapeutic strategies aimed at

maintaining or restoring the function of the endolysosomal pathway or promoting its repair may

be promising in neurodegenerative diseases. Future studies will be aimed at understanding

mechanisms underlying the VPS13D phenotype, which seems distinct from the endolysosomal

escape pathway controlled by CHMP6.

While our genetic screen with libraries targeting protein homeostasis factors

unexpectedly uncovered the ESCRT machinery in counter-acting endolysosomal escape of tau,

we had expected to find molecular chaperones or co-chaperones controlling tau aggregation

among the top hits. Results obtained *in vitro* (Voss et al., 2012; Baughman et al., 2018; Kundel et

al., 2018; Mok et al., 2018) and *in vivo* (Abisambra et al., 2010) suggest that specific chaperones

and co-chaperones can strongly modulate tau aggregation, and are potential therapeutic targets

for tauopathies (Young et al., 2018). The fact that knockdown of individual chaperones did not

have a major impact on tau aggregation could be due to redundancy in the chaperone network of

cells. Future CRISPR activation (CRISPRa) screens have the potential to yield complementary

results by over-expressing endogenous genes (Gilbert et al., 2014; Kampmann, 2018) and may

reveal relevant chaperones in the cellular context.

Finally, future screens in iPSC-derived neurons using our recently developed platform

(Tian et al., 2019) may reveal additional, neuron-specific pathways, and also uncover factors that

underlie selective vulnerability of specific neuronal subtypes (Kampmann, 2017).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Preparation of extracts from Alzheimer's Disease patient brains

The Alzheimer's Disease brain sample was received from the Neurodegenerative Brain Bank of
the UCSF Memory and Aging Center (UCSF/MAC) . All research participants at UCSF/MAC
undergo longitudinal clinical and imaging assessment. Upon death, the fresh brain was slabbed
into 8- to 10-mm thick coronal slabs upon procurement. These slabs were alternately fixed, in 10%
neutral buffered formalin for 72 hours, or snap frozen. Twenty-six tissue blocks covering
dementia-related regions of interest were dissected from the fixed slabs, and hematoxylin and
eosin and immunohistochemical stains were applied following standard diagnostic procedures
developed for patients with dementia (Hyman & Trojanowski, 1997; Tartaglia et al., 2010).
Immunohistochemistry was performed using antibodies against TDP-43 (rabbit, 1:2000,
Proteintech Group, Chicago, IL, USA), hyperphosphorylated tau (CP-13, S202/T205, mouse,
1:250, courtesy of P. Davies), beta-amyloid (1-16, mouse, clone DE2, 1:500, Millipore, Billerica,
MA, USA), alpha-synuclein (LB509, mouse, 1:5000, courtesy of J. Trojanowski and V. Lee). All
immunohistochemical runs included positive control sections to exclude technical factors as a
cause of absent immunoreactivity. Neuropathological diagnosis followed currently accepted
guidelines (Hauw et al., 1994; Dickson et al., 2002; McKeith et al., 2005; Cairns et al., 2007;
Montine et al., 2012). For this study, a region from the parietal cortex containing high amount of
AD-tau pathology was sampled from a snap frozen block. A brain extract was prepared and
phosphotungstate-insoluble fractions were purified as previously described (Woerman et al.,
2016). The extract was diluted in PBS 1:40 in DPBS and flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and
stored at -80 ºC.

Purification, characterization, labeling, and fibrillization of recombinant tau

Human WT 0N4R-6xHis tau protein was expressed in Rosetta[TM] 2(DE3) competent cells
(MilliporeSigma #71400-3) essentially as previously described (Mok et al., 2018). Briefly,
protein expression was induced with 200 µM IPTG for 3.5 hours at 30 ºC. Cells were lysed via a
microfluidizer (Microfluidics Cat# M-100EH) followed by boiling of the lysate for 20 min. The
clarified supernatant was subsequently dialyzed overnight into Buffer A (20 mM MES pH 6.8,
50 mM NaCl, 1mM EGTA, 1 mM MgCl2, 2 mM DTT, 0.1 mM PMSF) and purified by cation

exchange using a HiTrap Capto SP ImpRes column (GE Cat# 17546851) with elution buffer

(Buffer A with 1 M NaCl). Fractions containing tau as determined by Coomassie-stained SDS-

PAGE were dialyzed into PBS, concentrated with an Amicon Ultra-15 centrifugal 3 kDa MWCO

filter (Millipore Cat# UFC900324), endotoxin purified using a Pierce high capacity endotoxin

removal spin column (ThermoFisher Cat# 88274), filter sterilized using a Millex-GV syringe

filter unit (Millipore Cat# SLGV033RB), and snap frozen in PBS at -80 ºC. Aggregation was

induced by incubating 10 μM tau (0.43 mg/mL) with .022 mg/mL heparin (Fisher Cat# 9041-08-

1, lot# 177772) and shaken at 37 °C overnight in a shaker at 1200 rpm (VWR Cat#12620-942).

To generate fluorescently labeled tau fibrils, 0.6 μl 10 mg/mL Alexa Fluor-555

(ThermoFisher Cat# A37571), 180 μl of 0.43 mg/mL tau fibrils, and 19.4 μl 1M sodium

bicarbonate were mixed at room temperature in the dark for 1h. Labeled tau fibrils were

subsequently purified from unlabeled dye with using a Zeba 7k MWCO spin desalting column

(ThermoFisher Cat# 89882).

Tau fibrils were negatively stained with 0.75% uranyl formate (pH 5.5-6.0) on thin

amorphous carbon layered 400-mesh copper grids (Pelco Cat# 1GC400). Five μL of sample was

applied to the grid for 20s before taking the droplet off with Whatman paper, followed by two

washes with 5 μL ddH2O and three applications of 5 μL uranyl formate removed by vacuum.

Grids were imaged at room temperature using a Fei Tecnai 12 microscope operating at 120kV.

Images were acquired on a US 4000 CCD camera at 66873x resulting in a sampling of 2.21

Angstrom/pixel.

Plasmid and library design and construction

Plasmids for the FRET-based aggregation reporter were constructed by cloning a fusion of the

K18 repeat domain of tau containing the P301L/V337M mutation (Kfoury et al., 2012) in frame

with C-terminal Clover2 (Addgene #54711) or mRuby2 (Addgene #54768, (Lam et al., 2012),

gifts from Michael Davidson, into the lentiviral expression vector pMK1200 (Kampmann et al.,

2013), Addgene #84219) under the control of the constitutive EF1A promoter, to obtain

pMK1253 or pMK1254, respectively.

Pooled CRISPRi sgRNA libraries targeting human protein homeostasis genes were

designed using our next-generation algorithm (Horlbeck et al., 2016). SgRNA protospacers for

these libraries are listed in Table S2. Oligonucleotide pools encoding the library were

synthesized by Agilent, PCR amplified and cloned into our optimized lentiviral sgRNA

expression vector as previously described (Gilbert et al., 2014).

For generation of individual sgRNAs, pairs of oligonucleotides (IDT) were annealed and

ligated into our optimized lentiviral sgRNA expression vector. For double sgRNA expression

constructs, CHMP2B and CHMP2A targeting oligos were annealed and ligated into pMJ114 and

pMJ179, and a double-sgRNA vector was generated from these as previously described

(Adamson et al., 2016).

The fluorescent Gal3 reporter was PCR amplified from pEGFP-hGal3 (Addgene

#73080), a gift from Tamotsu Yoshimori) and Gibson cloned into pJC41, which uses the

pMK1200 backbone (described above) and replaces the EF1a promoter with a CAG promoter.

Cell culture, cell line generation, and treatment conditions

All cells were maintained in a tissue culture incubator (37 ℃, 5% CO_2) and checked regularly for

mycoplasma contamination. HEK293T cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10%

fetal bovine serum (Seradigm Cat# 97068-085, Lot# 076B16), Pen/Strep (Life Cat# 15140122),

and L-glutamine (Life Cat# 25030081).

To generate the FRET reporter line, HEK293T cells were infected with lentivirus from

plasmids pMK1253 and pMK1254 and cells with the highest dynamic FRET signal 2 days after

seeding with tau fibrils were selected. To introduce CRISPRi functionality, the cells were

lentivirally transduced with pHR-SFFV-dCas9-BFP-KRAB (Addgene # 46911, a gift from

Stanley Qi & Jonathan Weissman (Gilbert et al., 2013)), mono-clonal cell lines were selected

and CRISPRi activity was validated as previously described (Tian et al., 2019).

Cellular markers were endogenously labeled using the split-mNeonGreen2 system (Feng

et al., 2017), following conditions described in (Leonetti et al., 2016). Briefly, synthetic guide

RNAs (IDT, Alt-R reagents) were first complexed in vitro with purified *S. Pyogenes* Cas9

protein (UC Berkeley Macrolab). Cas9/RNA complexes were then mixed with single-stranded

DNA oligo donors (IDT, Ultramer reagents) and nucleofected (Lonza Cat#AAF-1002B, Amaxa

program CM-130) into HEK cells stably expressing SFFV-mNeonGreen2$_{1\text{-}10}$. Fluorescent cells

were selected by flow cytometry (SONY biotechnology Cat# SH800S). Sequences for CRISPR

RNA and donors used are listed as follows:

Target	crRNA sequence	Donor oligonucleotide sequence
LAMP1 (C-term mNG11)	5'-GTGCACCAGGCTAGATAGTC -3'	5'-CCCAGAGAAAGGAACAGAGGCCCCTGCAGCTGCTGTGCCTGCGTGCACCAGGCTACATCATATCGGTAAAGGCCTTTTGCCACTCCTTGAAGTTGAGCTCGGTACCACTTCCTGGACCTTGAAACAAAACTTCCAATCCGCCACCGATAGTCTGGTAGCCTGCGTGACTCCTCTTCCTGCCGACGAGGTAGGCGATGAGG -3'
RAB7A (N-term mNG11)	5'-TAGTTTGAAGGATGACCTCT -3'	5'-TGTTTCCATCACACTCACAGTGATTTCTCCTTTTCCCCCTTTAGTTTGAAGGATGACCGAGCTCAACTTCAAGGAGTGGCAAAAGGCCTTTACCGATATGATGGGTGGCGGATTGGAAGTTTTGTTTCAAGGTCCAGGAAGTGGTACCTCTAGGAAGAAAGTGTTGCTGAAGGTTATCATCCTGGGAGATTCTGGGTAAG -3'

To generate CRISPRi-HEK293T cells that monitor EGFP-Gal3 damage or only generate

tau.K18(LM)-Clover2 aggregates, CRISPRi-HEK293T cells were lentivirally transduced with

pMK1253 and pJC41, and a polyclonal population was sorted by FACS.

Primary CRISPRi screen

For pooled screening of libraries, 7.5 million HEK293T cells were seeded into a 15 cm^2 plate

with complete DMEM on day 0. On day 1, 5 μg of lentiviral plasmid packaging mix (Kampmann

et al., 2014) and 5 μg of pooled sgRNA library plasmid was transfected using lipofectamine

2000 (ThermoFisher Cat# 11668019) and incubated for 2 days. On day 3, conditioned media was

removed and filter sterilized using a Millex-GV syringe filter unit (Millipore Cat#

SLGV033RB). Lentivirus was precipitated (Alstem Cat# VC100) according to manufacturer

protocols and resuspended in complete DMEM. 20 million FRET reporter cells were added to

lentivirus-containing media and seeded into a T175 flask. On day 4, media from the T175 was

replaced with DMEM complete with 2.5 μg/mL puromycin. On day 8, Cells infected with pooled

sgRNA libraries were trypsinized and replated into at 100 μl per well (25,000 cells/well) of

several 96-well plate. In addition, 0.3 μl of 0.43 μg/μL of tau fibrils were added to each well. 48

hours later, cells were trypsinized and sorted using an Aria II FACS cytometer into FRET

negative and FRET positive populations. Genomic DNA was isolated using a Macherey-Nagel

Blood L kit (Machery-Nagel Cat# 740954.20) and followed according to manufacturer protocols.

SgRNA-encoding regions were then amplified and sequenced as previously published (Gilbert et

al., 2014). Phenotypes and P values for each gene were calculated using our most recent

bioinformatics pipeline (https://kampmannlab.ucsf.edu/mageck-inc (Tian et al., 2019)). For

genes targeted by more than one sgRNA library, values for the more significant phenotype were

selected. Full results are listed in Table S1.

Secondary assays based on microscopy and flow cytometry

To monitor tau aggregation, FRET reporter cells were seeded (25,000 cells/well) into 100 μL per

well in a 96 well black bottom plates (Greiner Bio-One #655097) with 0.3 μL 0.43 mg/mL Tau

fibrils on day 1 and analyzed 24 or 48 hours after seeding. For Alzheimer's patient brain extracts,

1.5 μL extract, 0.375% total v/v Lipofectamine 2000 (ThermoFisher Cat# 11668019), and 7.85

μL OptiMEM (Thermo Cat# 31985062) were mixed and incubated at room temperature for 2

hours. Lipofectamine-brain extract complexes were then added to cells previously plated in 100

μL (10,000 cells/well) for 6 hours. Cells were analyzed 5 days after seeding. For analysis, cells

were stained with Hoechst 33342 (ThermoFisher Cat# 5553141) at 1 μg/mL and analyzed by

flow cytometry using a BD FACSCelesta or by fluorescence microscopy using an InCell 6000

(GE Cat# 28-9938-51). Digital images were analyzed using CellProfiler by counting the

integrated density of identified aggregates/nuclei and averaged between 3 images. Cells with

sgRNA knockdown were similarly analyzed using a comparable protocol 5 days after

transduction with individual sgRNA-encoding lentivirus.

For experiments measuring tau aggregation in the presence of inducers of endolysosmal

damage, FRET reporter cells were seeded (25,000 cells/well) into 100 μL per well in a 96 well

black bottom plate and treated with LLOME (Sigma Cat# L7393-500MG) at varying

concentrations with 0.3 μL 0.43 μg/μL Tau fibrils. For treatment with lipofectamine 2000, FRET

reporter cells were seeded (25,000 cells/well) into 100 μL per well in a 96 well black bottom

plate and treated with Lipofectamine 2000 at varying concentrations. Cells were then treated 0.3

μL 0.43 μg/μL Tau fibrils 6 hours later. 24 or 48 hours later after seeding, cells were stained with

Hoechst 33342 (1 μg/mL) and analyzed by flow cytometry using a BD FACSCelesta or by

fluorescence microscopy using an InCell 6000 GE (Cat# 28-9938-51). Digital images were

collected and analyzed using CellProfiler by quantifying the integrated density of identified

aggregates and Hoechst-stained nuclei. In cases where CellProfiler was unable to identify nuclei,

nuclei were counted interactively using ImageJ. Cells with sgRNA knockdown were similarly

analyzed using a comparable protocol 5 days after transduction with individual sgRNA-encoding

lentivirus.

To monitor tau fibril uptake, on day 0, CRISPRi-HEK293T cells previously transduced

for 5 days with lentivirus expressing single sgRNAs were seeded (25,000 cells/well) into 100 μL

per well in a 96-well plate. On day 1, cells were treated with 1 μL 0.39 μg/μL AF555-tau fibril

for 1 hr at 37 ºC and collected for analysis by flow cytometry. Median mRuby2 values were

calculated in FlowJo and averaged between 3 technical replicates.

To monitor tau.K18(LM)-Clover2 steady-state levels, on day 0, FRET reporter cells

previously transduced for 5 days with lentivirus expressing single sgRNAs were seeded (25,000

cells/well) into 100 μL per well in a 96-well plate. On day 1, cells collected for analysis by flow

cytometry. Median Clover2 values were calculated in FlowJo and averaged between 3 technical

replicates.

To monitor localization of AF555-labeled tau fibrils, HEK293T cells expressing

Tau.K18(LM)-Clover2 were seeded (12,500 cells/well) into 100 μL per well in a 96-well black

bottom plate (Greiner Bio-One #655097) on day 0 in complete DMEM. On day 1, 0.3 μL 0.39

μg/μL AF555-tau fibrils were added to cell culture media and placed into an InCell 6000 (GE

Cat# 28-9938-51) incubator. Images were taken by at 20 minute intervals between incubations.

To monitor co-localization of tau fibrils with RAB7A and LAMP1, fluorescently labeled

HEK293T cells were seeded in glass-bottom 96-well plates (Cellvis #P96-1.5P) pre-coated with

fibronectin (Roche Cat# 11051407001) at 25,000 cells cells/well in 150 μL complete DMEM

media (including 10% FBS). After incubation for 3 hours to allow for cell adhesion, cells were

treated with 0.11 μg of AF555-tau PFFs per well. 22 hours post-treatment, cells were counter-

stained with Hoechst 33342 (0.5 µg/mL, 30 min at 37 ºC) and imaged in complete DMEM

without phenol-red. Live-cell imaging was performed on a Dragonfly spinning-disk instrument

(Andor) at 37 ºC in 5% CO2 atmosphere equipped with a 63x/1.47 NA objective (Leica) and an

iXon Ultra 888 EMCCD camera (Andor), acquiring time-lapse datasets at 0.4Hz.

To monitor Gal3-EGFP puncta formation, CRISPRi-HEK293T cells expressing EGFP-

Gal3 were seeded into 100 µL per well (25,000 cells/well) in a 96 well black bottom plates and

treated with LLOME or Lipofectamine at varying concentrations. 24 hours after seeding, cells

were stained with Hoechst 33342 (1 µg/mL) and digital images were collected and analyzed by

an InCell 6000 by counting EGFP-Gal3 puncta/nuclei and averaged between 3 images. Cells

with sgRNA knockdown were similarly analyzed using a comparable protocol 5 days after

transduction with individual sgRNA-encoding lentivirus and puromycin selection of transduced

cells.

Cell fractionation and immunoblot

Cells were seeded into 3 mL at 250,000 cells/well in a 6-well dishes with 4.8 µl 0.43 mg/mL tau

fibrils, and harvested after 48 hours by washing with PBS and releasing with 0.25% trypsin.

Cells were resuspended with DMEM pre-warmed to 37 ºC, spun down and washed again with

PBS. Cells were resuspended in 20 µl PBS and lysed by flash freezing on dry ice and rapidly

thawed at 42 C. This step was repeated twice. The resulting lysate was spun at 1000xg and the

resulting supernatant was transferred to a new tube and respun to remove any carry-over

insoluble material. The pellet was rinsed 3x with PBS and resuspended to the corresponding

volume of supernatant and briefly sonicated with a tip sonicator (Sonopuls 2070) for a brief 1

second pulse at 10% maximum intensity. Equivalent fractions of total volume for 100 ng

supernatant and resuspended pellet were boiled with SDS loading buffer (50 mM Tris-Cl

(pH6.8), 2% (2 w/v) SDS, 0.1% (w/v) bromophenol blue) and 10 mM DTT, subjected to SDS-

PAGE on 4-12% Bis-Tris polyacrylamide gels (ThermoFisher Cat# NP0322BOX) and

transferred to nitrocellulose membranes. Primary antibodies against human tau (DAKO Cat#

A0024) and β-actin (Cell Signaling Cat# 3700) were used to detect proteins. Blots were then

incubated with secondary antibodies (Li-Cor Cat# 926-32213 and 926-68072) and imaged on the

Odyssey Fc Imaging system (Li-Cor Cat# 2800). Digital images were processed and analyzed

using Licor Image Studio^{TM} software.

qRT-PCR

CRISPRi-HEK293T cells expressing a constitutive non-targeting or targeting sgRNA were collected by centrifugation at 1000xg for 10 min, washed twice with ice cold PBS and processed for qPCR using a RNA purification kit (Zymo Cat# D7011). 500 ng total RNA from each sample were reverse transcribed using Superscript^{TM} III reverse transcriptase using an oligo(dT) primer (Invitrogen Cat# 18080044). The resulting cDNA was diluted 5-fold using 10 mM Tris pH 8.0 and 0.67 μL of this dilution was used for each quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) reaction. qPCR reactions were set up using SensiMix 2x Mastermix (Bioline Cat# QT615-20) and oligonucleotides targeting genes of interest (IDT) in triplicate and run on QuantStudio 6 Flex (Applied Biosystems Cat# 4485694) using protocols according to the mastermix manufacturer's specifications. All reactions were normalized to an internal loading control (GAPDH) and the sgRNA activity is expressed as knockdown efficiency. The qPCR primer sequences are listed in Table S2.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conception and design: J.C.C., M.K.

Acquisition of data: J.C.C., D.T.N., P.R., M.N., E.T.

Analysis and interpretation of data: J.C.C., D.L.N., M.N., R.T., E.T., P.R., M.L., D.S., M.K.

Drafting or revising the article: J.C.C., M.K.

Contributing unpublished essential data or reagents: J.Y.H., S.K.S., S.M., L.T.G., J.E.G.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Kathleen Keough and Nia Teerikorpi for contributing to preliminary studies. We thank all co-authors, Adam Frost, Bryce Mendelsohn, Jay Debnath, Amanda Woerman, Kartika Widjaja, Avi Samelson, Nina Dräger, Emmy Li, Poornima Ramkumar and other members of the Kampmann Lab for discussions and feedback on the manuscript. We thank Eric Chow and Derek Bogdanoff (UCSF Center for Advanced Technology) for support with next-generation sequencing and Sarah Elmes (UCSF Laboratory for Cell Analysis, supported by NIH grant P30

CA082103) for support with FACS. For human tissue samples, we thank Lea Grinberg, William

Seeley and the Neurodegenerative Disease Brain Bank at the University of California, San

Francisco, which receives funding support from NIH grants P01AG019724 and P50AG023501,

the Consortium for Frontotemporal Dementia Research, and the Tau Consortium.This work was

supported by NIH grants: New Innovator Award DP2 GM119139 (M.K.), R01 AG062359

(M.K.), R56 AG057528 (M.K. and L.T.G.), U54 NS100717 (M.K.), S10 OD021741 (supporting

electron microscopy), R01 NS059690 (J.E.G.), a Paul G. Allen Distinguished Investigator

Award (M.K.), a Chan Zuckerberg Biohub Investigator Award (M.K.), a Tau Consortium

Investigator Award (M.K. and J.E.G.), a QB3/Calico Longevity Postdoctoral Fellowship (J.J.C.),

an Alzheimer's Association Postdoctoral Fellowship (J.J.C.), and a National Defense Science &

Engineering Graduate Fellowship (S.K.S.).

REFERENCES

Abisambra, J. F., Blair, L. J., Hill, S. E., Jones, J. R., Kraft, C., Rogers, J., Koren, J., 3rd, Jinwal,
U. K., Lawson, L., Johnson, A. G., Wilcock, D., O'Leary, J. C., Jansen-West, K.,
Muschol, M., Golde, T. E., Weeber, E. J., Banko, J., & Dickey, C. A. (2010).
Phosphorylation dynamics regulate Hsp27-mediated rescue of neuronal plasticity deficits
in tau transgenic mice. *J Neurosci, 30*(46), 15374-15382.
doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3155-10.2010

Adamson, B., Norman, T. M., Jost, M., Cho, M. Y., Nunez, J. K., Chen, Y., Villalta, J. E.,
Gilbert, L. A., Horlbeck, M. A., Hein, M. Y., Pak, R. A., Gray, A. N., Gross, C. A., Dixit,
A., Parnas, O., Regev, A., & Weissman, J. S. (2016). A Multiplexed Single-Cell CRISPR
Screening Platform Enables Systematic Dissection of the Unfolded Protein Response.
Cell, 167(7), 1867-1882 e1821. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2016.11.048

Ait-Bouziad, N., Lv, G., Mahul-Mellier, A. L., Xiao, S., Zorludemir, G., Eliezer, D., Walz, T., &
Lashuel, H. A. (2017). Discovery and characterization of stable and toxic
Tau/phospholipid oligomeric complexes. *Nat Commun, 8*(1), 1678. doi:10.1038/s41467-
017-01575-4

Aits, S., Kricker, J., Liu, B., Ellegaard, A. M., Hamalisto, S., Tvingsholm, S., Corcelle-Termeau,
E., Hogh, S., Farkas, T., Holm Jonassen, A., Gromova, I., Mortensen, M., & Jaattela, M.
(2015). Sensitive detection of lysosomal membrane permeabilization by lysosomal
galectin puncta assay. *Autophagy, 11*(8), 1408-1424.
doi:10.1080/15548627.2015.1063871

Baughman, H. E. R., Clouser, A. F., Klevit, R. E., & Nath, A. (2018). HspB1 and Hsc70
chaperones engage distinct tau species and have different inhibitory effects on amyloid
formation. *J Biol Chem, 293*(8), 2687-2700. doi:10.1074/jbc.M117.803411

Bejanin, A., Schonhaut, D. R., La Joie, R., Kramer, J. H., Baker, S. L., Sosa, N., Ayakta, N.,
Cantwell, A., Janabi, M., Lauriola, M., O'Neil, J. P., Gorno-Tempini, M. L., Miller, Z. A.,
Rosen, H. J., Miller, B. L., Jagust, W. J., & Rabinovici, G. D. (2017). Tau pathology and
neurodegeneration contribute to cognitive impairment in Alzheimer's disease. *Brain,
140*(12), 3286-3300. doi:10.1093/brain/awx243

Berg, L., McKeel, D. W., Jr., Miller, J. P., Storandt, M., Rubin, E. H., Morris, J. C., Baty, J.,
Coats, M., Norton, J., Goate, A. M., Price, J. L., Gearing, M., Mirra, S. S., & Saunders,
A. M. (1998). Clinicopathologic studies in cognitively healthy aging and Alzheimer's
disease: relation of histologic markers to dementia severity, age, sex, and apolipoprotein
E genotype. *Arch Neurol, 55*(3), 326-335.

Bult, C. J., Blake, J. A., Smith, C. L., Kadin, J. A., Richardson, J. E., & Mouse Genome
Database, G. (2019). Mouse Genome Database (MGD) 2019. *Nucleic Acids Res, 47*(D1),
D801-D806. doi:10.1093/nar/gky1056

Cairns, N. J., Bigio, E. H., Mackenzie, I. R., Neumann, M., Lee, V. M., Hatanpaa, K. J., White,
C. L., 3rd, Schneider, J. A., Grinberg, L. T., Halliday, G., Duyckaerts, C., Lowe, J. S.,
Holm, I. E., Tolnay, M., Okamoto, K., Yokoo, H., Murayama, S., Woulfe, J., Munoz, D.
G., Dickson, D. W., Ince, P. G., Trojanowski, J. Q., Mann, D. M., & Consortium for
Frontotemporal Lobar, D. (2007). Neuropathologic diagnostic and nosologic criteria for
frontotemporal lobar degeneration: consensus of the Consortium for Frontotemporal
Lobar Degeneration. *Acta Neuropathol, 114*(1), 5-22. doi:10.1007/s00401-007-0237-2

Cataldo, A. M., Peterhoff, C. M., Troncoso, J. C., Gomez-Isla, T., Hyman, B. T., & Nixon, R. A.
(2000). Endocytic pathway abnormalities precede amyloid beta deposition in sporadic
Alzheimer's disease and Down syndrome: differential effects of APOE genotype and
presenilin mutations. *Am J Pathol, 157*(1), 277-286.

Clayton, E. L., Mizielinska, S., Edgar, J. R., Nielsen, T. T., Marshall, S., Norona, F. E., Robbins,
M., Damirji, H., Holm, I. E., Johannsen, P., Nielsen, J. E., Asante, E. A., Collinge, J.,
consortium, F. R., & Isaacs, A. M. (2015). Frontotemporal dementia caused by CHMP2B
mutation is characterised by neuronal lysosomal storage pathology. *Acta Neuropathol,
130*(4), 511-523. doi:10.1007/s00401-015-1475-3

Dickson, D. W., Bergeron, C., Chin, S. S., Duyckaerts, C., Horoupian, D., Ikeda, K., Jellinger,
K., Lantos, P. L., Lippa, C. F., Mirra, S. S., Tabaton, M., Vonsattel, J. P., Wakabayashi,
K., Litvan, I., & Office of Rare Diseases of the National Institutes of, H. (2002). Office of
Rare Diseases neuropathologic criteria for corticobasal degeneration. *J Neuropathol Exp
Neurol, 61*(11), 935-946.

Feng, S., Sekine, S., Pessino, V., Li, H., Leonetti, M. D., & Huang, B. (2017). Improved split
fluorescent proteins for endogenous protein labeling. *Nat Commun, 8*(1), 370.
doi:10.1038/s41467-017-00494-8

Frost, B., Jacks, R. L., & Diamond, M. I. (2009). Propagation of tau misfolding from the outside
to the inside of a cell. *J Biol Chem, 284*(19), 12845-12852. doi:10.1074/jbc.M808759200

Giannakopoulos, P., Herrmann, F. R., Bussiere, T., Bouras, C., Kovari, E., Perl, D. P., Morrison,
J. H., Gold, G., & Hof, P. R. (2003). Tangle and neuron numbers, but not amyloid load,
predict cognitive status in Alzheimer's disease. *Neurology, 60*(9), 1495-1500.

Gilbert, L. A., Horlbeck, M. A., Adamson, B., Villalta, J. E., Chen, Y., Whitehead, E. H.,
Guimaraes, C., Panning, B., Ploegh, H. L., Bassik, M. C., Qi, L. S., Kampmann, M., &
Weissman, J. S. (2014). Genome-Scale CRISPR-Mediated Control of Gene Repression
and Activation. *Cell, 159*(3), 647-661. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2014.09.029

Gilbert, L. A., Larson, M. H., Morsut, L., Liu, Z., Brar, G. A., Torres, S. E., Stern-Ginossar, N.,
Brandman, O., Whitehead, E. H., Doudna, J. A., Lim, W. A., Weissman, J. S., & Qi, L. S.
(2013). CRISPR-mediated modular RNA-guided regulation of transcription in
eukaryotes. *Cell, 154*(2), 442-451. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2013.06.044

Gomez-Isla, T., Hollister, R., West, H., Mui, S., Growdon, J. H., Petersen, R. C., Parisi, J. E., &
Hyman, B. T. (1997). Neuronal loss correlates with but exceeds neurofibrillary tangles in
Alzheimer's disease. *Ann Neurol, 41*(1), 17-24. doi:10.1002/ana.410410106

Hauw, J. J., Daniel, S. E., Dickson, D., Horoupian, D. S., Jellinger, K., Lantos, P. L., McKee, A.,
Tabaton, M., & Litvan, I. (1994). Preliminary NINDS neuropathologic criteria for Steele-
Richardson-Olszewski syndrome (progressive supranuclear palsy). *Neurology, 44*(11),
2015-2019.

Holmes, B. B., DeVos, S. L., Kfoury, N., Li, M., Jacks, R., Yanamandra, K., Ouidja, M. O.,
Brodsky, F. M., Marasa, J., Bagchi, D. P., Kotzbauer, P. T., Miller, T. M., Papy-Garcia,
D., & Diamond, M. I. (2013). Heparan sulfate proteoglycans mediate internalization and
propagation of specific proteopathic seeds. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 110*(33), E3138-
3147. doi:10.1073/pnas.1301440110

Holmes, B. B., Furman, J. L., Mahan, T. E., Yamasaki, T. R., Mirbaha, H., Eades, W. C.,
Belaygorod, L., Cairns, N. J., Holtzman, D. M., & Diamond, M. I. (2014). Proteopathic
tau seeding predicts tauopathy in vivo. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 111*(41), E4376-4385.
doi:10.1073/pnas.1411649111

Horlbeck, M. A., Gilbert, L. A., Villalta, J. E., Adamson, B., Pak, R. A., Chen, Y., Fields, A. P.,
Park, C. Y., Corn, J. E., Kampmann, M., & Weissman, J. S. (2016). Compact and highly
active next-generation libraries for CRISPR-mediated gene repression and activation.
Elife, 5. doi:10.7554/eLife.19760

Hu, Y. B., Dammer, E. B., Ren, R. J., & Wang, G. (2015). The endosomal-lysosomal system:
from acidification and cargo sorting to neurodegeneration. *Transl Neurodegener, 4*, 18.
doi:10.1186/s40035-015-0041-1

Hurley, J. H. (2015). ESCRTs are everywhere. *EMBO J, 34*(19), 2398-2407.
doi:10.15252/embj.201592484

Hyman, B. T., & Trojanowski, J. Q. (1997). Consensus recommendations for the postmortem
diagnosis of Alzheimer disease from the National Institute on Aging and the Reagan
Institute Working Group on diagnostic criteria for the neuropathological assessment of
Alzheimer disease. *J Neuropathol Exp Neurol, 56*(10), 1095-1097.

Kampmann, M. (2017). A CRISPR Approach to Neurodegenerative Diseases. *Trends Mol Med,*
23(6), 483-485. doi:10.1016/j.molmed.2017.04.003

Kampmann, M. (2018). CRISPRi and CRISPRa Screens in Mammalian Cells for Precision
Biology and Medicine. *ACS Chem Biol, 13*(2), 406-416.
doi:10.1021/acschembio.7b00657

Kampmann, M., Bassik, M. C., & Weissman, J. S. (2013). Integrated platform for genome-wide
screening and construction of high-density genetic interaction maps in mammalian cells.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 110(25), E2317-2326. doi:10.1073/pnas.1307002110

Kampmann, M., Bassik, M. C., & Weissman, J. S. (2014). Functional genomics platform for
pooled screening and generation of mammalian genetic interaction maps. *Nat Protoc,*
9(8), 1825-1847. doi:10.1038/nprot.2014.103

Kaufman, S. K., Sanders, D. W., Thomas, T. L., Ruchinskas, A. J., Vaquer-Alicea, J., Sharma,
A. M., Miller, T. M., & Diamond, M. I. (2016). Tau Prion Strains Dictate Patterns of Cell
Pathology, Progression Rate, and Regional Vulnerability In Vivo. *Neuron, 92*(4), 796-
812. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2016.09.055

Kfoury, N., Holmes, B. B., Jiang, H., Holtzman, D. M., & Diamond, M. I. (2012). Trans-cellular
propagation of Tau aggregation by fibrillar species. *J Biol Chem, 287*(23), 19440-19451.
doi:10.1074/jbc.M112.346072

Kumar, N., Leonzino, M., Hancock-Cerutti, W., Horenkamp, F. A., Li, P., Lees, J. A., Wheeler,
H., Reinisch, K. M., & De Camilli, P. (2018). VPS13A and VPS13C are lipid transport
proteins differentially localized at ER contact sites. *J Cell Biol, 217*(10), 3625-3639.
doi:10.1083/jcb.201807019

Kundel, F., De, S., Flagmeier, P., Horrocks, M. H., Kjaergaard, M., Shammas, S. L., Jackson, S.
E., Dobson, C. M., & Klenerman, D. (2018). Hsp70 Inhibits the Nucleation and
Elongation of Tau and Sequesters Tau Aggregates with High Affinity. *ACS Chem Biol,*
13(3), 636-646. doi:10.1021/acschembio.7b01039

Lam, A. J., St-Pierre, F., Gong, Y., Marshall, J. D., Cranfill, P. J., Baird, M. A., McKeown, M.
R., Wiedenmann, J., Davidson, M. W., Schnitzer, M. J., Tsien, R. Y., & Lin, M. Z.
(2012). Improving FRET dynamic range with bright green and red fluorescent proteins.
Nat Methods, 9(10), 1005-1012. doi:10.1038/nmeth.2171

Leonetti, M. D., Sekine, S., Kamiyama, D., Weissman, J. S., & Huang, B. (2016). A scalable
strategy for high-throughput GFP tagging of endogenous human proteins. *Proc Natl Acad*
Sci U S A, 113(25), E3501-3508. doi:10.1073/pnas.1606731113

Lesage, S., Drouet, V., Majounie, E., Deramecourt, V., Jacoupy, M., Nicolas, A., Cormier-
Dequaire, F., Hassoun, S. M., Pujol, C., Ciura, S., Erpapazoglou, Z., Usenko, T.,
Maurage, C. A., Sahbatou, M., Liebau, S., Ding, J., Bilgic, B., Emre, M., Erginel-
Unaltuna, N., Guven, G., Tison, F., Tranchant, C., Vidailhet, M., Corvol, J. C., Krack, P.,
Leutenegger, A. L., Nalls, M. A., Hernandez, D. G., Heutink, P., Gibbs, J. R., Hardy, J.,
Wood, N. W., Gasser, T., Durr, A., Deleuze, J. F., Tazir, M., Destee, A., Lohmann, E.,
Kabashi, E., Singleton, A., Corti, O., Brice, A., French Parkinson's Disease Genetics, S.,
& International Parkinson's Disease Genomics, C. (2016). Loss of VPS13C Function in
Autosomal-Recessive Parkinsonism Causes Mitochondrial Dysfunction and Increases
PINK1/Parkin-Dependent Mitophagy. *Am J Hum Genet, 98*(3), 500-513.
doi:10.1016/j.ajhg.2016.01.014

Maejima, I., Takahashi, A., Omori, H., Kimura, T., Takabatake, Y., Saitoh, T., Yamamoto, A.,
Hamasaki, M., Noda, T., Isaka, Y., & Yoshimori, T. (2013). Autophagy sequesters
damaged lysosomes to control lysosomal biogenesis and kidney injury. *EMBO J, 32*(17),
2336-2347. doi:10.1038/emboj.2013.171

McKeith, I. G., Dickson, D. W., Lowe, J., Emre, M., O'Brien, J. T., Feldman, H., Cummings, J.,
Duda, J. E., Lippa, C., Perry, E. K., Aarsland, D., Arai, H., Ballard, C. G., Boeve, B.,
Burn, D. J., Costa, D., Del Ser, T., Dubois, B., Galasko, D., Gauthier, S., Goetz, C. G.,
Gomez-Tortosa, E., Halliday, G., Hansen, L. A., Hardy, J., Iwatsubo, T., Kalaria, R. N.,
Kaufer, D., Kenny, R. A., Korczyn, A., Kosaka, K., Lee, V. M., Lees, A., Litvan, I.,
Londos, E., Lopez, O. L., Minoshima, S., Mizuno, Y., Molina, J. A., Mukaetova-
Ladinska, E. B., Pasquier, F., Perry, R. H., Schulz, J. B., Trojanowski, J. Q., Yamada, M.,
& Consortium on, D. L. B. (2005). Diagnosis and management of dementia with Lewy
bodies: third report of the DLB Consortium. *Neurology, 65*(12), 1863-1872.
doi:10.1212/01.wnl.0000187889.17253.b1

Mirbaha, H., Holmes, B. B., Sanders, D. W., Bieschke, J., & Diamond, M. I. (2015). Tau
Trimers Are the Minimal Propagation Unit Spontaneously Internalized to Seed
Intracellular Aggregation. *J Biol Chem, 290*(24), 14893-14903.
doi:10.1074/jbc.M115.652693

Mok, S. A., Condello, C., Freilich, R., Gillies, A., Arhar, T., Oroz, J., Kadavath, H., Julien, O.,
Assimon, V. A., Rauch, J. N., Dunyak, B. M., Lee, J., Tsai, F. T. F., Wilson, M. R.,
Zweckstetter, M., Dickey, C. A., & Gestwicki, J. E. (2018). Mapping interactions with
the chaperone network reveals factors that protect against tau aggregation. *Nat Struct Mol*
Biol, 25(5), 384-393. doi:10.1038/s41594-018-0057-1

Montine, T. J., Phelps, C. H., Beach, T. G., Bigio, E. H., Cairns, N. J., Dickson, D. W.,
Duyckaerts, C., Frosch, M. P., Masliah, E., Mirra, S. S., Nelson, P. T., Schneider, J. A.,
Thal, D. R., Trojanowski, J. Q., Vinters, H. V., Hyman, B. T., National Institute on, A., &
Alzheimer's, A. (2012). National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association guidelines
for the neuropathologic assessment of Alzheimer's disease: a practical approach. *Acta*
Neuropathol, 123(1), 1-11. doi:10.1007/s00401-011-0910-3

Nonaka, T., Watanabe, S. T., Iwatsubo, T., & Hasegawa, M. (2010). Seeded aggregation and
toxicity of {alpha}-synuclein and tau: cellular models of neurodegenerative diseases. *J*
Biol Chem, 285(45), 34885-34898. doi:10.1074/jbc.M110.148460

Prusiner, S. B. (1982). Novel proteinaceous infectious particles cause scrapie. *Science,*
216(4542), 136-144.

Rampoldi, L., Dobson-Stone, C., Rubio, J. P., Danek, A., Chalmers, R. M., Wood, N. W.,
Verellen, C., Ferrer, X., Malandrini, A., Fabrizi, G. M., Brown, R., Vance, J., Pericak-
Vance, M., Rudolf, G., Carre, S., Alonso, E., Manfredi, M., Nemeth, A. H., & Monaco,
A. P. (2001). A conserved sorting-associated protein is mutant in chorea-acanthocytosis.
Nat Genet, 28(2), 119-120. doi:10.1038/88821

Rauch, J. N., Chen, J. J., Sorum, A. W., Miller, G. M., Sharf, T., See, S. K., Hsieh-Wilson, L. C.,
Kampmann, M., & Kosik, K. S. (2018). Tau Internalization is Regulated by 6-O Sulfation
on Heparan Sulfate Proteoglycans (HSPGs). *Sci Rep, 8*(1), 6382. doi:10.1038/s41598-
018-24904-z

Sanders, D. W., Kaufman, S. K., DeVos, S. L., Sharma, A. M., Mirbaha, H., Li, A., Barker, S. J.,
Foley, A. C., Thorpe, J. R., Serpell, L. C., Miller, T. M., Grinberg, L. T., Seeley, W. W.,
& Diamond, M. I. (2014). Distinct tau prion strains propagate in cells and mice and
define different tauopathies. *Neuron, 82*(6), 1271-1288.
doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2014.04.047

Schreij, A. M., Fon, E. A., & McPherson, P. S. (2016). Endocytic membrane trafficking and
neurodegenerative disease. *Cell Mol Life Sci, 73*(8), 1529-1545. doi:10.1007/s00018-015-
2105-x

Seong, E., Insolera, R., Dulovic, M., Kamsteeg, E. J., Trinh, J., Bruggemann, N., Sandford, E.,
Li, S., Ozel, A. B., Li, J. Z., Jewett, T., Kievit, A. J. A., Munchau, A., Shakkottai, V.,
Klein, C., Collins, C. A., Lohmann, K., van de Warrenburg, B. P., & Burmeister, M.
(2018). Mutations in VPS13D lead to a new recessive ataxia with spasticity and
mitochondrial defects. *Ann Neurol, 83*(6), 1075-1088. doi:10.1002/ana.25220

Skibinski, G., Parkinson, N. J., Brown, J. M., Chakrabarti, L., Lloyd, S. L., Hummerich, H.,
Nielsen, J. E., Hodges, J. R., Spillantini, M. G., Thusgaard, T., Brandner, S., Brun, A.,
Rossor, M. N., Gade, A., Johannsen, P., Sorensen, S. A., Gydesen, S., Fisher, E. M., &
Collinge, J. (2005). Mutations in the endosomal ESCRTIII-complex subunit CHMP2B in
frontotemporal dementia. *Nat Genet, 37*(8), 806-808. doi:10.1038/ng1609

Skowyra, M. L., Schlesinger, P. H., Naismith, T. V., & Hanson, P. I. (2018). Triggered
recruitment of ESCRT machinery promotes endolysosomal repair. *Science, 360*(6384).
doi:10.1126/science.aar5078

Small, S. A., Simoes-Spassov, S., Mayeux, R., & Petsko, G. A. (2017). Endosomal Traffic Jams
Represent a Pathogenic Hub and Therapeutic Target in Alzheimer's Disease. *Trends*
Neurosci, 40(10), 592-602. doi:10.1016/j.tins.2017.08.003

Stöhr, J., Wu, H., Nick, M., Wu, Y., Bhate, M., Condello, C., Johnson, N., Rodgers, J., Lemmin,
T., Acharya, S., Becker, J., Robinson, K., Kelly, M. J. S., Gai, F., Stubbs, G., Prusiner, S.
B., & DeGrado, W. F. (2017). A 31-residue peptide induces aggregation of tau's
microtubule-binding region in cells. *Nat Chem, 9*(9), 874-881. doi:10.1038/nchem.2754

Stopschinski, B. E., Holmes, B. B., Miller, G. M., Manon, V. A., Vaquer-Alicea, J., Prueitt, W.
L., Hsieh-Wilson, L. C., & Diamond, M. I. (2018). Specific glycosaminoglycan chain
length and sulfation patterns are required for cell uptake of tau versus alpha-synuclein
and beta-amyloid aggregates. *J Biol Chem, 293*(27), 10826-10840.
doi:10.1074/jbc.RA117.000378

Tartaglia, M. C., Sidhu, M., Laluz, V., Racine, C., Rabinovici, G. D., Creighton, K., Karydas, A.,
Rademakers, R., Huang, E. J., Miller, B. L., DeArmond, S. J., & Seeley, W. W. (2010).
Sporadic corticobasal syndrome due to FTLD-TDP. *Acta Neuropathol, 119*(3), 365-374.
doi:10.1007/s00401-009-0605-1

Thiele, D. L., & Lipsky, P. E. (1990). Mechanism of L-leucyl-L-leucine methyl ester-mediated
killing of cytotoxic lymphocytes: dependence on a lysosomal thiol protease, dipeptidyl
peptidase I, that is enriched in these cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 87*(1), 83-87.

Tian, R., Gachechiladze, M. A., Ludwig, C. H., Laurie, M. T., Hong, J. Y., Nathaniel, D.,
Prabhu, A. V., Fernandopulle, M. S., Patel, R., Ward, M. E., & Kampmann, M. (2019).
CRISPR-based platform for multimodal genetic screens in human iPSC-derived neurons.
bioRxiv, 513309; doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/513309.

Tsherniak, A., Vazquez, F., Montgomery, P. G., Weir, B. A., Kryukov, G., Cowley, G. S., Gill,
S., Harrington, W. F., Pantel, S., Krill-Burger, J. M., Meyers, R. M., Ali, L., Goodale, A.,
Lee, Y., Jiang, G., Hsiao, J., Gerath, W. F. J., Howell, S., Merkel, E., Ghandi, M.,
Garraway, L. A., Root, D. E., Golub, T. R., Boehm, J. S., & Hahn, W. C. (2017).
Defining a Cancer Dependency Map. *Cell, 170*(3), 564-576 e516.
doi:10.1016/j.cell.2017.06.010

Ueno, S., Maruki, Y., Nakamura, M., Tomemori, Y., Kamae, K., Tanabe, H., Yamashita, Y.,
Matsuda, S., Kaneko, S., & Sano, A. (2001). The gene encoding a newly discovered
protein, chorein, is mutated in chorea-acanthocytosis. *Nat Genet, 28*(2), 121-122.
doi:10.1038/88825

Urwin, H., Authier, A., Nielsen, J. E., Metcalf, D., Powell, C., Froud, K., Malcolm, D. S., Holm,
I., Johannsen, P., Brown, J., Fisher, E. M., van der Zee, J., Bruyland, M., Consortium, F.
R., Van Broeckhoven, C., Collinge, J., Brandner, S., Futter, C., & Isaacs, A. M. (2010).
Disruption of endocytic trafficking in frontotemporal dementia with CHMP2B mutations.
Hum Mol Genet, 19(11), 2228-2238. doi:10.1093/hmg/ddq100

Vaquer-Alicea, J., & Diamond, M. I. (2019). Propagation of Protein Aggregation in
Neurodegenerative Diseases. *Annu Rev Biochem.* doi:10.1146/annurev-biochem-061516-
045049

Velayos-Baeza, A., Vettori, A., Copley, R. R., Dobson-Stone, C., & Monaco, A. P. (2004).
Analysis of the human VPS13 gene family. *Genomics, 84*(3), 536-549.
doi:10.1016/j.ygeno.2004.04.012

Voss, K., Combs, B., Patterson, K. R., Binder, L. I., & Gamblin, T. C. (2012). Hsp70 alters tau
function and aggregation in an isoform specific manner. *Biochemistry, 51*(4), 888-898.
doi:10.1021/bi2018078

Wang, Y., & Mandelkow, E. (2016). Tau in physiology and pathology. *Nat Rev Neurosci, 17*(1),
5-21. doi:10.1038/nrn.2015.1

Woerman, A. L., Aoyagi, A., Patel, S., Kazmi, S. A., Lobach, I., Grinberg, L. T., McKee, A. C.,
Seeley, W. W., Olson, S. H., & Prusiner, S. B. (2016). Tau prions from Alzheimer's
disease and chronic traumatic encephalopathy patients propagate in cultured cells. *Proc*
Natl Acad Sci U S A, 113(50), E8187-E8196. doi:10.1073/pnas.1616344113

Yan, X., Uronen, R. L., & Huttunen, H. J. (2018). The interaction of alpha-synuclein and Tau: A
molecular conspiracy in neurodegeneration? *Semin Cell Dev Biol*.
doi:10.1016/j.semcdb.2018.05.005

Yeshaw, W. M., van der Zwaag, M., Pinto, F., Lahaye, L. L., Faber, A. I., Gomez-Sanchez, R.,
Dolga, A. M., Poland, C., Monaco, A. P., van, I. S. C., Grzeschik, N. A., Velayos-Baeza,
A., & Sibon, O. C. (2019). Human VPS13A is associated with multiple organelles and
influences mitochondrial morphology and lipid droplet motility. *Elife, 8*.
doi:10.7554/eLife.43561

Young, Z. T., Mok, S. A., & Gestwicki, J. E. (2018). Therapeutic Strategies for Restoring Tau
Homeostasis. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med, 8*(1). doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a024612

Zhou, X., & Huang, L. (1994). DNA transfection mediated by cationic liposomes containing
lipopolylysine: characterization and mechanism of action. *Biochim Biophys Acta,*
1189(2), 195-203.
986

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE AND MOVIE LEGENDS

Table S1 – Gene epsilon and p values for CRISPRi screens

Excel spreadsheet containing the combined analyzed results for the CRISPRi screens performed with the Proteostasis-focused sgRNA libraries. The counts for each library screen were analyzed using our MaGecK-iNC pipeline (see materials and methods). (**Tab 1**) The columns list the gene index, epsilon (phenotype, where negative valudes indicate less aggregation, positive values indicate more aggregation, p-value, product (epsilon*–log10 p-value), and gene name. NTC indicate "quasi-genes" composed of random sets of 5 non-targeting negative control sgRNAs.

Table S2 – sgRNA sequences and validation

(**Tabs 1-3**) List of sgRNA targets and accompanying protospacers used for CRISPRi screens. The columns list the target and protospacer. (Tab 1) Endolysosome/Autophagy (Tab 2) Chaperones/Co-chaperonse (Tab 3) Ubiquitin Proteasome System. (**Tab 1,2**) Validation of target gene knockdown by CRISPRi. (Tab 4) Columns list sgRNA activity, which is expressed as percent knockdown, standard error from n=3 technical replicates, and sgRNA oligos used in experiments for single sgRNA analysis. The columns list the sgRNA, % knockdown efficiency, standard error from n=3 technical replicates, forward oligo, and reverse oligos (used for generating lentiviral sgRNA expression plasmids. (Tab 4) Oligonucleotides used for RT-qPCR analysis. The columns list the gene target, forward, and reverse primer.

Supplemental Movie 1 – Time-lapse of tau aggregate formation in HEK293T cells expressing tau.K18(LM)-Clover2 and transduced with non-targeting control sgRNA

Time-lapse microscopy of cell entry of AF555-tau fibrils and resulting aggregation of the cytosolic tau-clover2 construct. CRISPRi-HEK293T cells expressing tau.K18(LM)-clover2 transduced with a non-targeting control. Each frame represents 20 minute intervals and is played at 5 frames per second; movie starts with the addition of fibrils.

Supplemental Movie 2 - Time-lapse of tau aggregate formation in HEK293T cells expressing tau.K18(LM)-Clover2 and transduced with CHMP6 sgRNA

Time-lapse microscopy of cell entry of AF555-tau fibrils and resulting aggregation of the cytosolic tau-clover2 construct. CRISPRi-HEK293T cells expressing tau.K18(LM)-clover2 transduced with a CHMP6 sgRNA. Each frame represents 20 minute intervals and is played at 5 frames per second; movie starts with the addition of fibrils.

Supplemental Movie 3 – Time-lapse of AF555-tau fibrils in cells expressing tagged mNG$_{11}$-RAB7A

Time-lapse microscopy of partial co-localization of AF555-tau fibrils with RAB7A tagged vesicles. HEK293T cells with tagged mNG$_{11}$-RAB7A were treated with AF555-tau fibrils for 22 hours before movies were acquired. Each frame represents 2.4 second intervals and is played at 5 frames per second.

Supplemental Movie 4 – Time-lapse of AF555-tau fibrils in cells expressing tagged mNG$_{11}$-LAMP1

Time-lapse microscopy of partial co-localization of AF555-tau fibrils with RAB7A tagged vesicles. HEK293T cells with tagged mNG$_{11}$-LAMP1 were treated with AF555-tau fibrils for 22 hours before movies were acquired. Each frame represents 2.4 second intervals and is played at 5 frames per second.