

Characterization of a regulatory T cells meta-signature identifies the pro-enkephalin gene as a novel marker in mice

Nicolas AUBERT, Benoit SALOMON and Gilles MARODON

Sorbonne University, INSERM, CNRS, Centre d'Immunologie et de Maladies Infectieuses (CIMI-PARIS), Paris France

correspondence to gilles.marodon@inserm.fr, Sorbonne University, CIMI-PARIS, 91 Bd de l'Hôpital, 75013 PARIS

Funding: no extramural financial support was obtained for this work

Abbreviations: Treg, Regulatory T cells; Tconv, Conventional T cells; TNFRSF, Tumor Necrosis Factor Receptor Super Family; TMS, Treg Meta-Signature; TF, Transcription Factors; Penk, pro-enkephalin; MENK, Met-Enkephalin; PPI, Protein-Protein Interactions; FDR, False Discovery Rate; EAE, Experimental Autoimmune Encephalitis

Keywords: Mice, Treg, signature, TNFRSF, proenkephalin

Abstract

Regulatory T cells (Treg) are crucial in the proper balance of the immune system. A better characterization of Treg-specific genes should extend our knowledge on their complex biology. However, to date there is no consensual Treg signature in the literature. Here, we extracted a Treg meta-signature relative to CD4⁺ conventional T cell from 8 different but comparable publicly available datasets. We confirmed the validity of our result using the much larger Immuno-Navigator database in which total CD4⁺ T cells and Treg were compared. However, many genes of the Treg meta-signature were also expressed at the protein level by other immune cell subsets, as assessed by mass cytometry, with the noticeable exceptions of *Il2ra*, *Ctla4*, and *Tnfrsf9*. Surprisingly, the proenkephalin (*Penk*) gene was a prominent member of this restricted Treg meta-signature. Further analysis of public datasets and of our own RNA sequencing experiments confirms that *Penk* was over expressed by Treg in various murine tissues, including thymic Treg. Interestingly, *Penk* expression was increased in intra tumoral Treg whereas it was down modulated in the central nervous system of mice suffering from EAE. Altogether, our results provide the first Treg meta-signature in mice that identifies *Penk* as a novel and unexpected Treg marker in mice.

Introduction

Proper number and function of regulatory T cells (Treg) are essential for a well-balanced immune system: too few of these cells leads to autoimmunity and too much prevents an efficient immune response, with harmful consequences for anti-tumor immunity, for instance. Treg are a subset of CD4⁺ T cells that express the transcription factor (TF) Foxp3 and the alpha chain of the interleukin-2 receptor CD25, both indispensable for suppressive functions and proper homeostasis. Probably the best example illustrating the crucial role for Foxp3-expressing cells in the homeostasis of the immune system is given by the lethal auto immune syndrome seen in patients bearing mutations in the *FOXP3* gene, the IPEX syndrome (1). Like most CD4⁺ T cells, Treg are generated in the thymus upon MHC-driven selection based on affinity of the T cell receptor for self antigens (2). A sizable proportion of those cells are also induced in the periphery (pTreg) from CD4⁺ T cells precursors, but those pTreg are reported not to express Helios or Neuropilin-1, contrary to thymic-derived Treg (tTreg) that are positive for those markers (3). Known pTreg inducers in the periphery can be byproducts of bacterial metabolism (4,5) but it is likely that inflammation *per se* is a main driver for pTreg differentiation (6). Thus, finding ways of manipulating Treg for therapeutic purposes in the auto immunity field has become a major endeavor for immunologists worldwide. Moreover, recent results linking the presence of Treg to a bad prognostic in some cancers extended their potential clinical applications from autoimmunity to cancer immunotherapy (7). In the case of cancer, one would want to get rid of Treg to wake up a dim immune response to tumors. An example of this powerful approach has been recently illustrated by Treg-depleting CTLA-4 or CD25-specific mAb (8,9). However, this weak response to tumors is part of a natural tolerance process, preventing the immune system to attack self-tissues. Thus, breaking immune tolerance by removing Treg is not without consequences on the integrity of healthy tissues. This is illustrated by studies showing that Ipilimumab (anti-CTLA-4), a powerful anti-cancer drug affecting Treg, indeed help the immune system to fight tumors at the expense of a generalized auto immunity in treated patients (10). A better knowledge of Treg biology will be crucial for preserving therapeutic efficacy without severe adverse events.

This knowledge has been mostly collected from mice due to their ease of use, their versatility and the thousands of genetic models available to answer mechanistic questions. To that end, hundreds of investigators have pursued the quest for specific Treg markers, revealing molecules and pathways that can be targeted by monoclonal antibodies or pharmacological compounds. However, there are still confusions about these specific markers, since the comparisons are often made across unrelated studies employing different technologies. Moreover, a characteristic of Treg is their ability to adapt to the cellular environment in which they are present. This mechanism, referred as to effector class control few years ago (11), deeply affect cell surface phenotype and thus presumably, gene expression patterns. In addition, there are evidences that pTreg, contrary to tTreg, might be relatively unstable (12), meaning that pTreg may acquire some pro-inflammatory effector functions. One can immediately realize that providing a common definition of Treg across this diversity of phenotype and function represents a difficult challenge. Furthermore, Treg specific markers should ideally mark Treg only or should be minimally expressed by other cells of the immune system or other non-immune cells. This has been an overlooked issue since most of the so-called “Treg signatures” are established relative to CD4⁺ T conventional cells (Tconv), and not looking outside of Tconv (not mentioning major phenotypic differences used to define Treg and Tconv). Safety and efficacy of Treg-based therapies will surely benefit from targeting molecules and/or pathways truly specific to Treg.

In an attempt to resolve some of these issues, we reasoned that digging out a Treg meta-signature (TMS) from available datasets comparing well-defined Treg and Tconv should lead to a more robust signature than studies taken separately. As a starting point, we decided to focus our investigation in *Mus Musculus*, because many databases and tools are available in mice. We also focused our analysis on resting Treg freshly isolated *ex vivo*, taken from non inflammatory lymphoid organs, to avoid bias due to T cell activation and/or tissue localization. This naive approach led to the first description of a “universal” Treg signature, which includes several known Treg specific markers but also new ones, such as the pro-enkephalin gene, indicating a function for the endogenous opioid pathway in immunoregulation.

Methods

Extraction of Treg meta-signatures

The datasets used were selected based on a “Treg* AND (Tconv* OR Teff*) AND Mus Musculus” search in the GEO dataset web site (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gds>). GEO datasets were manually inspected for inclusion of studies comparing fresh Treg with fresh Tconv from lymphoid organs. Only GSE17580 (13), GSE24210 (14), GSE37532 (15,16), GSE40685 (17), GSE42021 (18), GSE7852 (19), GSE50096 (20), and GSE15907 (ImmGen Project (21)) were selected and a list of genes significantly up regulated in Treg compared to Tconv was determined for each dataset using GEO2R with an adjusted p.value cutoff of 0.05 (False Discovery Rate). The commonality within gene sets was determined using the online tool from the Bioinformatics Evolutionary Genomics department from the Ghent University (<http://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/webtools/Venn/>). The Treg signature from Immuno Navigator (<https://genomics.virus.kyoto-u.ac.jp/immuno-navigator/?>) was generated by extracting 634 CD4 T cell samples and 240 Treg samples from the database. Differential gene expression was determined using Qlucore v3.4 with optimal variance set at 0.5 and False Discovery Rate <0.05. All but one dataset (GSE37532) where the Affymetrix Mouse Gene 1.0 ST Array was used, were generated using the Affymetrix Mouse Genome 430 2.0 Array.

Analysis of the TMS

All network analysis and visual representations were performed with Cytoscape v3.7 (22) (<https://cytoscape.org>). For enrichment analysis, we used ClueGO v2.5.5 and CluePedia 1.5.5 that integrates several ontology and pathway databases and creates a functionally organized network of GO/pathway terms (23,24). To represent PPI networks, we used the GeneMania (25) or STRING (26) Cytoscape applications, with embedded enrichment analysis. Expression of *Penk* in various tissues is represented by the graphical tool embedded in GEO2R.

Mice

All mice were on a C57Bl/6J background. Mice were housed under specific pathogen-free conditions. All experimental protocols were approved by the local ethics committee and are in compliance with European Union guidelines.

Induction of EAE

To induce EAE, C57BL/6J mice were injected subcutaneously in the flanks with 100 µg of MOG35-55 peptide (Polypeptide) emulsified in 100 µl of CFA (Sigma-Aldrich) supplemented with 50 µg of heat-killed *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* H37Ra (BD Biosciences). Animals were additionally injected intravenously with 200 ng of *Bordetella pertussis* toxin (Enzo) at day 0 and 2 of EAE induction.

Tumor models

For CyTOF, mycoplasma-free MC38 (colon carcinoma) cells were grown in RPMI media supplemented with 10% FCS, L-glutamine and antibiotics (Penicillin/Streptomycin). 13 weeks-old C57/BL6J mice were injected subcutaneously with $5 \cdot 10^5$ tumor cells or PBS 1X. At day 26, mice were sacrificed and spleen, axillary, brachial and inguinal lymph nodes and tumors were harvested. For RNA-Seq experiments, a similar protocol was used except that the MCA fibrosarcoma cell line was used.

Tissue preparation and cell sorting

For CNS analysis, the spinal cord and the brain were harvested from mice. CNS samples were digested in type IV collagenase (1 mg/ml) and DNase I (100 µg/ml) for 30 min at 37°C. Tumors and peripheral lymphoid organs were digested with 0.84mg/mL of collagenase IV and 10µg/mL DNase I (Sigma Aldrich) for 40min at 37°C with an intermediate flushing of the tissue. To eliminate dead cells and debris, cell suspensions were isolated on a Percoll gradient (40/80). Rings were collected, washed, and cell pellets were resuspended in PBS 3% SVF before counting. Tregs were purified after enrichment of CD25+ cells using biotinylated anti-CD25 mAb (7D4) and anti-biotin microbeads (Miltenyi Biotec), followed by CD4 staining (RM4.5) and cell sorting using the BD FACSAria II. TconvS were purified after enrichment of CD25- cells using biotinylated anti-CD25 mAb (7D4) or of CD8- CD19- CD11b- cells using biotinylated anti-CD8 (53-6.7), CD19 (1D3) and CD11b (M1/70) mAbs and anti-biotin microbeads (Miltenyi Biotec), followed by CD4 staining (RM4.5) and cell sorting using the BD FACSAria II.

Mass Cytometry

For labelling of antibodies, Maxpar Antibody Labeling Kit (Fluidigm) was used according to the manufacturer instructions. Briefly, lanthanide was loaded with metal and antibody was reduced, in parallel, using TCEP solution (Thermo Scientific). Then, loaded lanthanide was added to reduced antibody before incubation for 90min at 37°C, Finally, the metal-conjugated antibody was diluted in 100uL in Antibody Stabilizer (CANDOR® Bioscience). Up to 5.10^6 live cells were stained with Cell-ID Cisplatin (Fluidigm) for 10min at RT. Fc receptor were blocked with anti-CD16/32 (clone 2.4G2) for 10min before staining with extracellular antibodies in PBS 1X Ca- Mg- for 25min at 4°C. Before intracellular staining, cells were fixed using 2% para-formaldehyde for 15min at 4°C. Then, True Nuclear Transcription Factor Buffer set (Biolegend) was used and cells were incubated with antibodies for intracellular staining during 40min at 4°C. Finally, cells were incubated with Cell-ID Intercalator-Ir (Fluidigm) in 2% PFA for 16 hours before freezing at -80°C until acquisition on Helios cytometer and CyTOF software version 6.0.626 (Fluidigm) at the Cytometry Pitié-Salpêtrière core (CyPS). Dual count calibration, noise reduction, cell length threshold between 10 and 150 pushes, and a lower convolution threshold equal to 10 were applied during acquisition. Data files were normalized with the MatLab Compiler software normalizer using the signal from the 4-Element EQ beads (Fluidigm) as recommended by the software developers. To normalize the variability between mice for supervised (i.e 2D plots) and unsupervised (i.e tSNE) analysis, cell samples from 3 mice were pooled before stainings.

Generation of tSNE plots

tSNE plots were generated with FlowJo v10.6.1 using 1000 iterations, a perplexity of 30 and an eta of 665 for the periphery and 881 for the tumor. The clustering was done in manually gated CD45+ cells with CD3, CD4, CD8a, Foxp3, B220, IA/IE, TCRb, CD11b, CD11c, Ly6G, Ly6C and NK1.1. Immune subsets were defined as follow: B cells, B220+IA/IE+CD11b-CD3-; CD4 T cells, CD3+CD4+TCRb+; CD8 T cells, CD3+CD8+TCRb+; gamma-delta T cells, CD3+NK1.1-TCRb-; Monocytes/Macrophages, CD11b+Ly6G-NK1.1-; Neutrophils, CD11b+Ly6G+; NK cells, NK1.1+CD3-; NKT cells, NK1.1+CD3+

RNA-Seq analysis

RNA was extracted from sorted cells using the NucleoSpin RNA XS kit from Macherey-Nagel, quantified using a ND-1000 NanoDrop spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies) and

purity/integrity was assessed using disposable RNA chips (Agilent High Sensitivity RNA ScreenTape) and an Agilent 2200 TapeStation (Agilent Technologies, Waldbrunn, Germany). mRNA library preparation was performed following manufacturer's recommendations (SMART-Seq v4 Ultra Low Input RNA Kit, TAKARA Bio). Final samples pooled library prep was sequenced on Nextseq 500 ILLUMINA with HighOutPut cartridge (2x400Millions of 75 bases reads), corresponding to 2 times 23×10^6 reads per sample after demultiplexing. Poor quality sequences were trimmed or removed with Trimmomatic software (27) to retain only good quality paired reads. Star v2.5.3a were used to align reads on reference genome mm10 using standard options. Quantification of gene and isoform abundances were done with RSEM 1.2.28, prior to normalization on library size with DESeq2 bioconductor package. Absolute counts per million reads (CPM) were retrieved to quantify *Penk* transcript abundance in our experimental datasets.

Results

Characterization of a Treg meta-signature from GEO datasets

As a starting point, we searched for a common Treg signature (that is a list of genes differentially expressed in Treg compared to conventional T cells (Tconv)) across publicly available datasets retrieved from the GEO web site. We manually selected 8 datasets based on subjective criteria explicated below, and availability of the GEO2R analytical tool for the dataset. We deliberately limited the search to cells isolated from peripheral lymphoid tissues at steady state. Among the 8 datasets, 4 had been generated from lymph node cells, 3 from spleens and 1 from the bone marrow, 7 had been generated in C57BL/6 and one in BALB/c mice. Various ages and sexes were present in the datasets (range: 6-36 weeks-old). Various Treg sorting strategies were also used, from classical CD25⁺ sorting to isolation of GFP⁺ cells from Foxp3-GFP transgenic mice. So we believe that the 8 chosen datasets were representative of typical Treg profiles found in many studies. For each dataset, we generated a list of differentially expressed genes with a cutoff based on a false discovery rate inferior to 0.05 and a log2 fold change superior to 1. We then established a list of differentially expressed genes common to the 8 datasets: 58 genes were found to be common to all 8 datasets. To gain biological insights from this list of genes, we generated a network of putative protein-protein interactions (PPI), protein-DNA and genetic interactions, pathways, reactions, gene and protein expression data, protein domains and phenotypic screening profiles from the Treg meta-signature (TMS) using the Genemania Cytoscape application, linking nodes of the network based on a score aggregating experimental and literature-based interactions (25) (Figure 1A). We represent the mean fold-change as a color code and the numbers of neighbors connected to each node (degree) as the size of the node to highlight some noticeable facts: *Foxp3*, *Ii2ra*, and *Ctla4* were the most differentially expressed genes and were also among the most connected genes to other members of the network. Only *Tnfrsf4* (OX40) was more connected to the network but had a slightly lower fold change, much like *Tnfrsf9* (4-1BB) and *Tnfrsf1b* (TNFR2). Genes with high fold-change but lower degree were *Itgae* (CD103), *Rgs1*, *Klrg1*, *Ikzf2* (Helios), *Nrp1* and surprisingly *Penk*, a gene coding for the proenkephalin, precursor of Met-enkephalin, an important mediator of peripheral nociception (pain perception). This *in silico* confirmation of many published results confirms the validity of

our approach and suggest that novel markers isolated by this method, such as *Penk*, could be considered as reliable Treg “markers”.

To gain further biological insights from the TMS, we performed an enrichment analysis using the ClueGO plugin in Cytoscape which interrogates several ontology/pathways databases simultaneously and produce a network of ontologies/pathways terms according to the statistical significance of the enrichment (24) (Figure 1B). As expected, most of the significant GO/pathway terms revolved around T cell proliferation and its regulation. Among the TMS, only few genes belong to several pathways at the same time and *Foxp3* was the most prominent one. Surprisingly, *Slamf1* (CD150) and *Prnp* (CD230) were also connected to several related ontologies. Of note is that TNF-related ontologies were enriched given the presence of 3 members of the TNF Receptor Superfamily in the TMS.

Characterization of a Treg meta-signature from the Immuno-Navigator database

To confirm and extend the findings, we then turned to the Immuno-Navigator database, a batch-corrected collection of RNA quantification across numerous studies and many samples and cell types in mice and humans (28). We compare 634 samples of CD4 T cells, that include many types of CD4+ T cell subsets with 240 samples of purified Treg, also defined in various ways and from various origins, including gene-deficient animals. Once variables with low variances were filtered out, a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) showed that the CD4 and Treg samples segregated well apart, with PC1 recapitulating 72% of the variance (Figure 2A), showing that the remaining variables in the datasets could discriminate the two subsets with high accuracy. This was also visible in the clustered heatmap, where Treg clearly segregated from CD4 T cells (Figure 2B). A list of 56 up regulated genes in Treg filtered on the FDR and the fold change also establish a dense network of putative PPI, although many members were not connected (Figure supplemental 1). The topology of the network was very similar to the one of the TMS (Figure 1), with *Foxp3*, *Il2ra* and *Ctla4* being both highly differentially expressed and most connected to other members of the network. Of note is that *Cpe*, coding for Carboxypeptidase E, responsible with other convertases for processing proenkephalin into biologically active MENK peptides (29), was present in this signature. To go further, we next investigated the overlap between the Immuno-Navigator signature and the TMS. There was a 50% overlap in genes differentially expressed in CD4

and Treg from the Immuno-Navigator database relative to our more stringent TMS (Figure 2C). A list of 31 common genes was then projected into a network of PPI to search for enrichment of some biological functions (Figure 2D). Most members of this list were expressed at the plasma membrane and were involved in signal transduction. Interestingly, the list contains well-known markers of Treg (*Foxp3*, *Il2ra*, *Ctla4*, etc) but also some unexpected genes, including *Penk*, *Cst7*, *Klrg1* or *CD83*. Thus, we propose that this condensed network constitute a “core” set of genes that defines Treg, and that *Penk* is a reliable Treg marker.

The “core” Treg meta-signature at the cellular level

We next sought to determine whether this “core” set of genes was really restricted to Treg at the protein level relative to other immune cell subsets beyond Tconv. For that, we established the expression profile of some markers of the TMS in various immune cell subsets with a 38-parameters mass cytometry panel in peripheral lymphoid organs and in a syngeneic tumor model (Table supplemental 1). We manually defined the main immune subsets (CD4 and CD8 T cells, B cells, $\gamma\delta$ T cells, NK and NKT cells and various subsets of myeloid cells) in gated CD45⁺ cells according to expression of lineages markers on a tSNE plot for the periphery (Figure 3A) and from the tumor (Figure 3B). In the periphery, a discrete cluster of Foxp3⁺CD25⁺CTLA-4⁺Helios⁺CCR6⁺4-1BB⁺CD103⁺ cells was visible in CD4⁺ T cells, presumably representing Treg and confirming that this set of markers truly define Treg over Tconv (Foxp3⁻). But in contrast to the first 4 molecules, CCR6 was also highly expressed by B cells, 4-1BB by a subset of NK cells and CD103 by a subset of CD8⁺ T cells, showing that these were not reliable Treg markers in the periphery. In tumor-infiltrating cells, a discrete cluster of Foxp3⁺CD25⁺CTLA-4⁺4-1BB⁺CD103⁺ could be observed. In contrast, Helios and CCR6 were expressed by other subsets, neutrophils (CD11b⁺Ly6G⁺) and B cells and macrophages, respectively. Of note is that Treg were positive for both Ki67 and pRb, signing active proliferation in the periphery and the tumor (Figure Supplemental 2). Also, we observed that TNFR2, a member of the TMS, poorly defined Treg at the cellular level beyond the comparison with Tconv. Finally, other proteins known to mark Treg but not present in the TMS, such as PD-1 or ICOS, were also over expressed by Treg in the periphery. However, PD-1 was not a reliable Treg marker in the tumor whereas ICOS was highly expressed by Treg in the tumor. Likewise, GITR efficiently marked Treg in the periphery and in the tumor (Figure

Supplemental 2) despite being absent from the TMS. Thus, among the proteins of the TMS that were investigated, only Foxp3, CD25, and CTLA-4 truly defined Treg across various immune subsets in the periphery and the tumor. Moreover, some proteins that were not in the TMS, such as GITR or ICOS, were restricted to Treg in the periphery and the tumor.

Penk expression by Treg in various tissues and inflammatory conditions

Following on the surprising observation that *Penk* was a highly specific Treg genetic marker, we wanted to confirm this observation at the protein level. Deceptively, *Penk* was not listed in 2 different databases reporting the proteomes of murine Treg and Tconv, preventing any differential expression analysis to be performed (30,31). Furthermore, a monoclonal antibody to murine *Penk* is currently not available, preventing direct detection by cytometry. Then, we asked whether *Penk* mRNA over expression could be limited to peripheral lymphoid organs, since most of the data analyzed so far were generated in spleens or lymph nodes. A rapid survey of studies examining gene expression in Tconv and Treg in various tissues showed that *Penk* was found to be over expressed by Treg in the thymus (19) and in several tissues, including fat (15,16), and muscle (20) (Figure 4A). We also independently verified over expression of *Penk* in Treg relative to Tconv in our own set of RNA-Seq data from lymph nodes of normal mice (Figure 4B). Finally, we investigated whether *Penk* mRNA could be modulated in Treg in various inflammatory conditions (Figure 4C). In the CNS of mice undergoing Experimental Autoimmune Encephalitis (EAE), we observed that *Penk* was down modulated compared to non-inflamed lymph nodes. In contrast, we observed massive up regulation of *Penk* mRNA in Treg of the tumor relative to the draining LN.

Discussion

To our knowledge, the present report is the first attempt to define a “universal” Treg signature in mice by a meta analysis of published and available datasets. Our TMS provide a more solid appreciation of Treg-specific genes relative to the Immuno-navigator database which includes many types of heterogeneous samples. Our unbiased approach confirms that the genes belonging to the interleukin-2 signaling pathway (*Il2ra*, *Il2rb*) and of the TNF receptor super-family (*Tnfrsf4*, *Tnfrsf9*) are central to their identity. Our gene ontology enrichment analysis of the TMS also confirms that the “core” set of genes belongs to biological processes important for Treg function; regulation of T cell proliferation and cytokine signaling pathway. Finally, the preferred cellular localization of the TMS gene products at the cell surface highlights the dependency of Treg to external stimulus for their function and to preserve their identity. This is a view supported by functional plasticity of Treg (effector class control), and possible acquisition of effector functions in some inflammatory conditions. One point of caution for the interpretation of PPI networks is that mRNA expression may or may not be correlated with protein expression, and thus with biological functions. For instance, a recent study has found strong discrepancies between mRNA and protein levels in human Treg (32). This low correlation between mRNA and protein levels was also observed in human Treg induced in vitro (33) but surprisingly not in murine “natural” Treg (30). Whether this is a true specie-specific difference or whether it is related to technical issues remains to be investigated. This is an important matter since it conditions the relevance of inferring biological insights from a list of differentially expressed genes in PPI networks. Collecting a proteomic Treg meta-signature from all available proteomic studies in mice, properly normalized and corrected for batch effect, will bring essential informations in that matter.

A few genes stand out to be highly enriched in Treg relative to other immune subsets at the protein level and some significant differences were noted when the periphery and the tumor were compared. Everything combined, we established that, relative to Foxp3⁺ cells, CD25, CTLA-4, 4-1BB, GITR and ICOS were the most reliable Treg markers in our panel. It is interesting to note that some of those were not present in the TMS, indicating that they may have been filtered out during differential expression analysis or that their mRNA expression

does not faithfully reflect their expression at the protein level, as described above. Nevertheless, these molecules and their ligands should probably concentrate the efforts for therapeutic targeting of Treg. The former is already in the clinics (Ipilimumab) with great efficacy to affect Treg but with possible severe adverse events whereas 4-1BB agonists are currently being tested in clinical trials (34). The TF Foxp3 is to our understanding not “druggable”, given the possible catastrophic consequences that this might have on immune tolerance (35). Finally, IL-2 has been a long standing history for cancer immunotherapy but its propensity to enhance Treg function makes it a difficult candidate to use as is. Based on our observations, we suggest that combination therapy targeting CD25, GITR, ICOS and/or 4-1BB might represent the most effective mean to affect Treg preferentially over Tconv while leaving other immune cells untouched. Indeed, intra-tumoral Treg depletion by monoclonal antibodies to CD25 (9), GITR (36), 4-1BB (37) or ICOS (38) was shown to be an efficient strategy for cancer immunotherapy.

A surprising and serendipitous finding in the quest for a “universal” Treg signature was the presence of the *Penk* gene in the “core” set of genes defining Treg. Enriched *Penk* expression by Treg has been reported before in Treg clones derived from TCR-transgenic mice (39) and in brain Treg of mice recovering from stroke (40). Our analysis significantly extends these observations by showing that *Penk* expression by Treg is consubstantial of their generation in the thymus, independent of their localization, and can be modulated in the tissues during inflammation, positively in the tumor and negatively during autoimmunity. Interestingly, *Penk* was down modulated in Treg in the EAE model, where Treg are defective, whereas it was up regulated in Treg of the tumor, where Treg are suppressors, suggesting a immunosuppressive role for *Penk*. This ought to be confirmed in further experiments.

The impact of opioid peptides produced by innate and adaptive immune cells on immune suppression and pain relief is of little doubts in mice (41). Moreover, recent studies using *Penk* knock-out mice have unambiguously identified opioid peptides produced by CD4⁺ T cells as key players in a model of pain control *in vivo* (42). An effect of MENK peptides on Treg and DC biology, with possible impact on tumor growth, has been reported by the group of F. Shan (43,44). However, the impact of MENK on Treg was rather dull, in contrast to the effects of DC that were more pronounced. Based on enriched *Penk* expression in Treg, we would like to speculate that peripheral nociception (the neuronal pain sensing pathway) might

be intermingled with immune regulation at the Treg level, a novel hypothesis testable in wet lab experiments. It will be particularly crucial to analyze mice specifically deficient for *Penk* in Treg to determine the positive or negative impact of *Penk* on the immune response and how that relates to peripheral nociception.

Acknowledgements

The author wish to thank Dr J Divoux for performing the MCA experiments for RNA-Seq analysis, Dr Maryam Khosravi for performing the EAE experiments for RNA-Seq experiments, Dr Armanda Casrouge and Mr Claude Baillou for cell sorting, Dr Aurélien Corneau for running the CyTOF, all members of the ITAC team and all the members of the CIMI-PARIS research center for their encouragements and criticisms, and the curators of the different databases used in this study.

References

1. Bennett CL, Christie J, Ramsdell F, Brunkow ME, Ferguson PJ, Whitesell L, Kelly TE, Saulsbury FT, Chance PF, Ochs HD. The immune dysregulation, polyendocrinopathy, enteropathy, X-linked syndrome (IPEX) is caused by mutations of FOXP3. *Nat Genet* (2001) **27**:20–1. doi:10.1038/83713
2. Hsieh C-S, Lee H-M, Lio C-WJ. Selection of regulatory T cells in the thymus. *Nat Rev Immunol* (2012) **12**:157–167. doi:10.1038/nri3155
3. Yadav M, Louvet C, Davini D, Gardner JM, Martinez-Llordella M, Bailey-Bucktrout S, Anthony BA, Sverdrup FM, Head R, Kuster DJ, et al. Neuropilin-1 distinguishes natural and inducible regulatory T cells among regulatory T cell subsets in vivo. *J Exp Med* (2012) **209**:1713–1722. doi:10.1084/jem.20120822
4. Atarashi K, Tanoue T, Oshima K, Suda W, Nagano Y, Nishikawa H, Fukuda S, Saito T, Narushima S, Hase K, et al. Treg induction by a rationally selected mixture of Clostridia strains from the human microbiota. *Nature* (2013) **500**:232–236. doi:10.1038/nature12331
5. Smith PM, Howitt MR, Panikov N, Michaud M, Gallini CA, Bohlooly-Y M, Glickman JN, Garrett WS. The microbial metabolites, short-chain fatty acids, regulate colonic Treg cell homeostasis. *Science* (2013) **341**:569–73. doi:10.1126/science.1241165
6. Gagliani N, Amezcua Vesely MC, Iseppon A, Brockmann L, Xu H, Palm NW, De Zoete MR, Licona-Limón P, Paiva RS, Ching T, et al. TH17 cells transdifferentiate into regulatory T cells during resolution of inflammation. *Nature* (2015) doi:10.1038/nature14452
7. Tanaka A, Sakaguchi S. Regulatory T cells in cancer immunotherapy. *Cell Res* (2017) **27**:109–118. doi:10.1038/cr.2016.151
8. Simpson TR, Li F, Montalvo-Ortiz W, Sepulveda MA, Bergerhoff K, Arce F, Roddie C, Henry JY, Yagita H, Wolchok JD, et al. Fc-dependent depletion of tumor-infiltrating regulatory T cells co-defines the efficacy of anti-CTLA-4 therapy against melanoma. *J Exp Med* (2013) **210**:1695–1710. doi:10.1084/jem.20130579
9. Arce Vargas F, Furness AJS, Solomon I, Joshi K, Mekkaoui L, Lesko MH, Miranda Rota E, Dahan R, Georgiou A, Sledzinska A, et al. Fc-Optimized Anti-CD25 Depletes Tumor-Infiltrating Regulatory T Cells and Synergizes with PD-1 Blockade to Eradicate Established Tumors. *Immunity* (2017) **46**:577–586. doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2017.03.013

10. Friedman CF, Proverbs-Singh TA, Postow MA. Treatment of the Immune-Related Adverse Effects of Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors. *JAMA Oncol* (2016) **2**:1346. doi:10.1001/jamaoncol.2016.1051
11. Chaudhry A, Rudra D, Treuting P, Samstein RM, Liang Y, Kas A, Rudensky AY. CD4+ regulatory T cells control TH17 responses in a Stat3-dependent manner. *Science* (2009) **326**:986–91. doi:10.1126/science.1172702
12. Hori S. Regulatory T cell plasticity: beyond the controversies. *Trends Immunol* (2011) **32**:295–300. doi:S1471-4906(11)00059-7 [pii]10.1016/j.it.2011.04.004
13. Layland LE, Mages J, Loddenkemper C, Hoerauf A, Wagner H, Lang R, Prazeres da Costa CU. Pronounced Phenotype in Activated Regulatory T Cells during a Chronic Helminth Infection. *J Immunol* (2010) **184**:713–724. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.0901435
14. Collison LW, Chaturvedi V, Henderson AL, Giacomini PR, Guy C, Bankoti J, Finkelstein D, Forbes K, Workman CJ, Brown SA, et al. IL-35-mediated induction of a potent regulatory T cell population. *Nat Immunol* (2010) **11**:1093–1101. doi:ni.1952 [pii]10.1038/ni.1952
15. Cipolletta D, Feuerer M, Li A, Kamei N, Lee J, Shoelson SE, Benoist C, Mathis D. PPAR- γ is a major driver of the accumulation and phenotype of adipose tissue Treg cells. *Nature* (2012) **486**:549–53. doi:10.1038/nature11132
16. Cipolletta D, Cohen P, Spiegelman BM, Benoist C, Mathis D. Appearance and disappearance of the mRNA signature characteristic of T reg cells in visceral adipose tissue: Age, diet, and PPAR γ effects. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* (2015) **112**:482–487. doi:10.1073/pnas.1423486112
17. Samstein RM, Arvey A, Josefowicz SZ, Peng X, Reynolds A, Sandstrom R, Neph S, Sabo P, Kim JM, Liao W, et al. Foxp3 exploits a pre-existent enhancer landscape for regulatory T cell lineage specification. *Cell* (2012) **151**:153–166. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2012.06.053
18. Toker A, Engelbert D, Garg G, Polansky JK, Floess S, Miyao T, Baron U, D^vber S, Geffers R, Giehr P, et al. Active Demethylation of the Foxp3 Locus Leads to the Generation of Stable Regulatory T Cells within the Thymus. *J Immunol* (2013) **190**:3180–3188. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1203473
19. Feuerer M, Herrero L, Cipolletta D, Naaz A, Wong J, Nayer A, Lee J, Goldfine AB, Benoist C, Shoelson S, et al. Lean, but not obese, fat is enriched for a unique population of regulatory T cells that affect metabolic parameters. *Nat Med* (2009) **15**:930–939. doi:10.1038/nm.2002

20. Burzyn D, Kuswanto W, Kolodin D, Shadrach JL, Cerletti M, Jang Y, Sefik E, Tan TG, Wagers AJ, Benoist C, et al. A special population of regulatory T cells potentiates muscle repair. *Cell* (2013) **155**:1282–95. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2013.10.054
21. Heng TSP, Painter MW, Immunological Genome Project Consortium. The Immunological Genome Project: networks of gene expression in immune cells. *Nat Immunol* (2008) **9**:1091–4. doi:10.1038/ni1008-1091
22. Shannon P, Markiel A, Ozier O, Baliga NS, Wang JT, Ramage D, Amin N, Schwikowski B, Ideker T. Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of biomolecular interaction networks. *Genome Res* (2003) **13**:2498–504. doi:10.1101/gr.1239303
23. Bindea G, Galon J, Mlecnik B. CluePedia Cytoscape plugin: pathway insights using integrated experimental and in silico data. *Bioinformatics* (2013) **29**:661–663. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btt019
24. Bindea G, Mlecnik B, Hackl H, Charoentong P, Tosolini M, Kirilovsky A, Fridman W-H, Pagès F, Trajanoski Z, Galon J. ClueGO: a Cytoscape plug-in to decipher functionally grouped gene ontology and pathway annotation networks. *Bioinformatics* (2009) **25**:1091–1093. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp101
25. Montojo J, Zuberi K, Rodriguez H, Kazi F, Wright G, Donaldson SL, Morris Q, Bader GD. GeneMANIA Cytoscape plugin: fast gene function predictions on the desktop. *Bioinformatics* (2010) **26**:2927–2928. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btq562
26. Doncheva NT, Morris JH, Gorodkin J, Jensen LJ. Cytoscape StringApp: Network Analysis and Visualization of Proteomics Data. *J Proteome Res* (2019) **18**:623–632. doi:10.1021/acs.jproteome.8b00702
27. Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. Trimmomatic: A flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics* (2014) **30**:2114–2120. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170
28. Vandenberg A, Dinh VH, Mikami N, Kitagawa Y, Teraguchi S, Ohkura N, Sakaguchi S. Immuno-Navigator, a batch-corrected coexpression database, reveals cell type-specific gene networks in the immune system. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* (2016) **113**:E2393–E2402. doi:10.1073/pnas.1604351113
29. Hook VYH, Eiden LE, Brownstein MJ. A carboxypeptidase processing enzyme for enkephalin precursors. *Nature* (1982) **295**:341–342. doi:10.1038/295341a0
30. Barra MM, Richards DM, Hansson J, Hofer A-C, Delacher M, Hettlinger J, Krijgsveld J, Feuerer M. Transcription Factor 7 Limits Regulatory T Cell Generation in the Thymus. *J Immunol* (2015) **195**:3058–3070. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1500821

31. Duguet F, Locard-Paulet M, Marcellin M, Chaoui K, Bernard I, Andreoletti O, Lesourne R, Burret-Schiltz O, Gonzalez de Peredo A, Saoudi A. Proteomic Analysis of Regulatory T Cells Reveals the Importance of Themis1 in the Control of Their Suppressive Function. *Mol Cell Proteomics* (2017) **16**:1416–1432. doi:10.1074/mcp.M116.062745
32. Cuadrado E, van den Biggelaar M, de Kivit S, Chen Y-Y, Slot M, Doubal I, Meijer A, van Lier RAW, Borst J, Amsen D. Proteomic Analyses of Human Regulatory T Cells Reveal Adaptations in Signaling Pathways that Protect Cellular Identity. *Immunity* (2018) **48**:1046-1059.e6. doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2018.04.008
33. Schmidt A, Marabita F, Kiani NA, Gross CC, Johansson HJ, Éliás S, Rautio S, Eriksson M, Fernandes SJ, Silberberg G, et al. Time-resolved transcriptome and proteome landscape of human regulatory T cell (Treg) differentiation reveals novel regulators of FOXP3. *BMC Biol* (2018) **16**:1–35. doi:10.1186/s12915-018-0518-3
34. Bartkowiak T, Curran MA. 4-1BB Agonists: Multi-Potent Potentiators of Tumor Immunity. *Front Oncol* (2015) **5**:117. doi:10.3389/fonc.2015.00117
35. Kim JM, Rasmussen JP, Rudensky AY. Regulatory T cells prevent catastrophic autoimmunity throughout the lifespan of mice. *Nat Immunol* (2007) **8**:191–7. doi:10.1038/ni1428
36. Mahne A, Mauze S, Joyce-Shaikh B, Xia J, Bowman E, Beebe A, Cua D, Jain R. Dual roles for regulatory T cell depletion and co-stimulatory signaling in agonistic GITR targeting for tumor immunotherapy. *Cancer Res* (2016) **77**:1108–1118. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-16-0797
37. Buchan SL, Dou L, Remer M, Booth SG, Dunn SN, Lai C, Semmrich M, Teige I, Mårtensson L, Penfold CA, et al. Antibodies to Costimulatory Receptor 4-1BB Enhance Anti-tumor Immunity via T Regulatory Cell Depletion and Promotion of CD8 T Cell Effector Function. *Immunity* (2018) **49**:958-970.e7. doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2018.09.014
38. Burlion A, Ramos RN, KC P, Sendeyo K, Corneau A, Ménétrier-Caux C, Piaggio E, Olive D, Caux C, Marodon G. A novel combination of chemotherapy and immunotherapy controls tumor growth in mice with a human immune system. *Oncoimmunology* (2019) **8**:e1596005. doi:10.1080/2162402X.2019.1596005
39. Zelenika D, Adams E, Humm S, Graca L, Thompson S, Cobbold SP, Waldmann H. Regulatory T cells overexpress a subset of Th2 gene transcripts. *J Immunol* (2002) **168**:1069–79. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.168.3.1069
40. Ito M, Komai K, Mise-Omata S, Iizuka-Koga M, Noguchi Y, Kondo T, Sakai R, Matsuo K, Nakayama T, Yoshie O, et al. Brain regulatory T cells suppress astrogliosis and

- potentiate neurological recovery. *Nature* (2019) **565**:246–250. doi:10.1038/s41586-018-0824-5
41. Plein LM, Rittner HL. Opioids and the immune system – friend or foe. *Br J Pharmacol* (2018) **175**:2717–2725. doi:10.1111/bph.13750
 42. Basso L, Boué J, Mahiddine K, Blanpied C, Robiou-du-Pont S, Vergnolle N, Deraison C, Dietrich G. Endogenous analgesia mediated by CD4(+) T lymphocytes is dependent on enkephalins in mice. *J Neuroinflammation* (2016) **13**:132. doi:10.1186/s12974-016-0591-x
 43. Li X, Meng Y, Plotnikoff NP, Youkilis G, Griffin N, Wang E, Lu C, Shan F. Methionine Enkephalin (MENK) inhibits tumor growth through regulating CD4+Foxp3+ regulatory T cells (Tregs) in mice. *Cancer Biol Ther* (2015) **16**:450–459. doi:10.1080/15384047.2014.1003006
 44. Gao X, Chen W, Plotnikoff NP, Griffin N, Zhang G, Shan F, Meng Y. Methionine enkephalin (MENK) mounts antitumor effect via regulating dendritic cells (DCs). *Int Immunopharmacol* (2017) **44**:61–71. doi:10.1016/j.intimp.2017.01.004

Figure legends

Figure 1: Analysis of the GEO Treg meta-signature. **(A)** The size of the node is proportional to the degree (number of connections to other nodes) of each node. The color indicate the mean fold change between Tconv and Treg across the 8 datasets. The edge (link between nodes) color indicate the nature of the interaction, as detailed in the legend. Interaction network of the Treg-meta-signature (TMS) was generated with Genemania in Cytoscape. **(B)** Listed are the genes of the TMS common to different pathways and their associated ontology. The size of each node is proportionnal to the number of genes enriched in that node and the color indicate the statistical significance of the enrichment (Benjamini-Hochberg correction), as detailed in the legend. Enrichment analysis of the TMS was performed with ClueGO/Cluepedia in Cytoscape.

Figure 2: Analysis of the Immuno-Navigator Treg signature. **(A)** Principal Component Analysis of CD4+ (yellow balls) and Treg (red balls) associated variables (mRNA transcript quantification) after filtration on the variance (0.5), the FDR (<0.05) and the fold change (>2). For more details on the structure of the Immuno-navigator dataset, please refer to (28). **(B)** Heatmap representing the z-score of differentially expressed genes in Treg relative to CD4+ cells with the same filters than in (A). Variables were sorted on the y-axis based on fold changes whereas samples were sorted by hierarchical clustering. Analysis in (A) and (B) were performed with Qlucore v3.4. **(C)** Venn diagram representing the intersection of the TMS with the Immuno-navigator Treg signature. **(D)** Putative Protein-Protein-Interaction network of the 31 common genes extracted from (C). The GO terms associated to each node is represented by a donut (color coded in the legend with the associated FalseDiscoveryRate). The PPI network was generated with STRING in Cytoscape.

Figure 3: Mass cytometry analysis of the TMS. (A) Immune cell subsets identification on a tSNE plot gated on murine CD45⁺ cells in peripheral lymphoid organs and the projected expression of the indicated molecules. (B) Same as in (A) for the tumor tissue.

Figure 4: **(A)** *Penk* expression in Treg relative to Tconv in the thymus, Visceral Adipose Tissue (VAT), muscle in the indicated GSE datasets. Treg are highlighted in light blue, Tconv in light red. **(B)** RNA Seq analysis of *Penk* mRNA expression in Tconv and Treg from lymphoid

organs of normal mice. **(C)** RNA Seq analysis of *Penk* mRNA expression in Treg in the indicated conditions (CNS, central nervous system of EAE mice; LN, draining LN in EAE and tumor bearing mice, Tumor, Tumor-infiltrating Treg in the MCA model). Each dot is a mouse. EAE and tumor mice were different and their RNA-Seq was performed in different runs. No statistical analysis was performed given the large differences in the means between the groups.







