cRacle: R Tools for Estimating Climate from Vegetation[1]

Robert S. Harbert[2,3,4], Alex A. Baryiames[2]

[2]Department of Biology, Stonehill College, 320 Washington St., North Easton, MA
02357

[3]American Museum of Natural History, 79th St & Central Park West, New York, NY
10024

Number of words: 4752

[4]Author for correspondence: rharbert@stonehill.edu

Acknowledgements –

The authors would like to thank Stonehill College for their support of undergraduate
research that made this study possible.

ABSTRACT

Premise of the study – The Climate Reconstruction Analysis using Coexistence

Likelihood Estimation (CRACLE) method for the estimation of climate from vegetation is

a robust set of modeling tools for estimating climate and paleoclimate that makes use of

large repositories of biodiversity data and open-access R software.

Methods — Here we implement a new R library for the estimation of climate from

vegetation.The 'cRacle' library implements functions for data access, aggregation, and

models to estimate climate from plant community composition. 'cRacle' is modular and

features many best-practice features.

Results – Performance tests using modern vegetation survey data from North and

South America shows that CRACLE outperforms alternative methods. CRACLE

estimates of mean annual temperature (MAT) are usually within 1°C of the actual when

optimal model parameters are used. Generalized Boosted Regression (GBR) model

correction is also shown here to improve on CRACLE models by reducing bias.

Discussion – CRACLE provides accurate estimates of climate from modern plant

communities. Non-parametric CRACLE modeling coupled to GBR model correction

produces the most accurate results to date. The 'cRacle' R library streamlines the

estimation of climate from plant community data, and will make this modeling more

accessible to a wider range of users.

Key words: CRACLE, paleoclimate, R, ENM, GBIF, fossil, climate.

INTRODUCTION

'cRacle' is an open-source R software package that performs the Climate Reconstruction Analysis using Coexistence Likelihood Estimation (CRACLE) model for the estimation of climate from biological community data (Harbert & Nixon, 2015). CRACLE performs an empirical likelihood estimation of climatic parameters given a set of taxa (species, genera, or families) and their modern distributions via point-locality data from the Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF) or similar primary biodiversity databases (e.g., iNaturalist, iDigBio, BIEN).

The primary application of CRACLE and related methods is in the reconstruction of paleoclimate from fossil floras. All current applications of CRACLE make assumptions about climatic niche stability through time because the rely on the Nearest Living Relative (NLR) approach to infer elements of fossil taxon niche dimensions by observing the niche space occupied by a closely related extant relative (Mosbrugger and Utescher, 1997; Utescher, 2014; Harris *et al*. 2014). The NLR assumptions will be most valid when the fossil taxa can be placed as members of extant species and least accurate when fossils are members of extinct lineages. For this reason, NLR and CRACLE will produce the most reliable reconstructions of relatively recent (e.g., Pleistocene and Pliocene) paleoclimate, but may produce reasonable results for much older fossil assemblages where the plant fossil taxa can be placed reliably in extant groups with well sampled modern distributions (e.g., Harris *et al.* 2014).

CRACLE modeling was recently applied to the estimation of paleoclimate using Late Quaternary (<50ka – *kilo anuum*) plant macrofossils from packrat (*Neotoma* spp.) middens in Western North America (Harbert, Nixon 2018). Analysis of the packrat midden paleoclimate estimates revealed a history of rapid climate change during and just after the Late Pleistocene deglaciation, followed by Holocene warm and dry periods of 1-2°C above the modern (1950-2000) averages (Harbert and Nixon, 2018)

Community assemblages from excavated permafrost at five Pliocene sites in the Canadian Arctic Archipelago analyzed with CRACLE (Fletcher *et al*., 2017). This work revealed that the Canadian arctic was up to 22°C warmer than today during the Early Pliocene (~3.6Ma – *mega anuum*) and supported many cool-temperate plant taxa. However, Fletcher *et al.* (2017) carefully compared results derived from CRACLE

estimates using species only identifications vs. generic level identifications and suggest

that care must be taken when interpreting CRACLE results when generic level

identifications are used to avoid being misled by model imprecision.

Modified bioclimatic envelope method that are closely related to CRACLE were

recently used to reconstruct the Paleocene-Eocene Thermal Maximum (~55Ma) and the

Eocene Thermal Maximum (53.5Ma) by way of plant macrofossils from the Green River

Basin in southwestern Wyoming and plant microfossils found in Arctic marine sediment

cores (Hyland et al., 2018; Willard et al., 2019).

CRACLE models have been applied to non-plant communities as well. Pliocene

Arctic climate estimates based on fossil beetle communities using CRACLE, revealed

notable biases in beetle based climate reconstructions relative to plant proxy and stable

isotope methods (Fletcher *et al*., 2018).

Despite CRACLE existing for the first four years as only a single R script

published as a supplement to the original paper (Harbert and Nixon, 2015) the method

has proven to be a useful tool to the community (presently 16 Google Scholar citations),

yielding informative results for several fossil systems. Here, we present the 'cRacle' R

package. 'cRacle' is designed to streamline the process of CRACLE modeling, data

acquisition and pre-processing of primary biodiversity data (from GBIF and other related

databases), and CRACLE result visualization. The 'cRacle' R package is built from

modular functions that perform segments of the modeling protocol that allow for easy to

read R scripts as well as future modular developments of related methods.

For comparison with the CRACLE methods, we have also implemented the

related Thompson's Mutual Climatic Range (MCR; Thompson et al., 2012). We include

both the weighted and unweighted MCR methods as representatives of the many

available MCR or Coexistence Approach (CA) range-intersect methods (Mosbrugger

and Utescher, 1997; Sinka and Atkinson, 1999; Utescher et al., 2014) for the estimation

of climate from vegetation (ECV) as part of the 'cRacle' R package. The 'cRacle'

package is distributed under the open MIT License and all code is available on GitHub

(https://www.github.com/rsh249/cRacle).

METHODS

Full documentation of 'cRacle' R code is available with the package code (https://github.com/rsh249/cRacle). Below is an outline of the major functionality of the 'cRacle' package and explanation of many of the core functions available.

'cRacle' Package Functions – Data Acquisition – Downloading primary biodiversity data from GBIF (www.gbif.org), iNaturalist (www.inaturalist.org), and BISON (www.bison.usgs.gov) are supported in 'cRacle' through the functions *gbif_get(), get_bison()*, and *inat()*. Each of these functions accepts arguments for a single taxon (genus, species, or family) to query and a maximum number of records to return. Data pre-processing, cleaning for outliers, spatial bias reduction, and climate data extraction for a set of georeferenced occurrence data is done through the *extraction()* function. Data downloading for multiple taxa and preprocessing functionality can be done in one step using the *getextr()* function, which is implemented with parallel computing capability to reduce user wait time.

Likelihood Modeling – The primary modeling that CRACLE requires is the calculation of probability density functions using both parametric (Gaussian Normal) and non-parametric (Kernel Density) estimates. These calculations can be performed with 'cRacle' directly from the output of *extraction()* using the function(s) *dens_obj()* and *densform()* for multiple and single taxa respectively. Note that *dens_obj()* is simply a wrapper function for *densform()* designed to make multi-species likelihood model building simpler. Both parametric and non-parametric likelihood functions are always calculated and stored in the object produced by *densform()* and *dens_obj()*. This way all calculations are done in tandem for a dataset and results for both methods can be examined at the end of the CRACLE process.

The likelihood modeling carried out in the *densform()* function includes several options that can be manipulated by the user. The Kernel Density Estimation (KDE) employed by CRACLE can now be implemented with several kernel estimators including the standard Gaussian, Epanechnikov, cosine, optcosine, biweight, rectangular, and triangular kernels based on the R 'stats' package function *density()* (R Core Team, 2018). The kernel bandwidth can be optimized using standard criteria via

the argument 'bw' in the *densform()* and *dens_obj()* functions. Likelihoods can be

calculated based on a standard or conditional probability via the 'manip' argument

where conditional probabilities take into account the background distribution of each

climate parameter using a set of randomly sampled points from within a normalized

distance from each primary occurrence location or from a user defined set of climate

data. Finally, the resulting likelihood distributions can be trimmed to empirical ranges or

confidence intervals to avoid unintended extrapolation using the 'clip' argument.

CRACLE Joint Likelihood – After likelihood functions are estimated the next key

CRACLE step is to calculate first the joint likelihood and then the maximum of the joint

likelihood distribution. 'cRacle' implements the function *and_fun()* for calculating the joint

likelihood and *or_fun()* for creating unions between taxa for merging likelihood features

between groups (i.e., between species in a clade). The output of *and_fun()* is a set of

joint likelihood features for all parameters, and this can be summarized by the function

get_optim() which finds the optimal climate values for each parameter. The output of

get_optim() provides the user with CRACLE results.

Example Pseudocode – The general CRACLE modeling flow will use the

following functions in order: *getextr() -> dens_obj()-> and_fun()-> get_optim();* to

produce climate estimates from a list of taxa. This code would: download data and

extract climate data, estimate likelihood distributions, calculate the joint likelihood, and

find the optimum of the joint likelihood for each climate variable analyzed.

Visualizing Likelihood and Joint Likelihood Functions – 'cRacle' provides a set of

functions for visualizing the likelihood functions as standard probability density

distributions. These functions are *densplot()* for plotting single distribution objects (i.e.,

for one taxon/climate parameter pair), *addplot()* for adding to an existing *densplot()*

figure, and *multiplot()* for plotting distributions for multiple taxa given a single climate

parameter. For example, a user may plot the distributions for all taxa in their study for

Mean Annual Temperature using *multiplot()* and the output from *dens_obj()*, and then

add the joint likelihood function using *addplot()* and the model object output from

and_fun().

Issue Tracking and Versioning – The repository at

https://github.com/rsh249/cRacle.git will maintain the current development version of

'cRacle'. Future major releases will be submitted to CRAN. Issues with running 'cRacle'

code are accepted on the Git repository's 'Issues' feature at

https://github.com/rsh249/cRacle/issues or by email with the corresponding author of

this publication.

Data access – Primary biodiversity data, occurrence coordinates for your target

taxa, and climate data are required for CRACLE modeling. We recommend primary

biodiversity data downloaded from the Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF)

and support data access through 'cRacle' functions. Climate data must be in the form of

a raster object readable by the R 'raster' package (Hijmans, 2018). Climate data from

the WorldClim project (Hijmans et al., 2005; Fick and Hijmans, 2017) are

recommended, but users may also use raster data downloaded from other sources such

as CHELSA (Karger et al., 2017), and ENVIREM (Title and Bemmels, 2018).

Estimation Climate from Vegetation – (ECV) – As originally described CRACLE

consists of two methods for likelihood estimation: parametric and non-parametric

probability functions. 'cRacle' implements these two approaches in tandem for each

step of the likelihood analysis, and has implemented options about whether to calculate

the likelihoods from standard probability or conditional probability. For comparison we

have implemented calculations for the Mutual Climatic Range (MCR) method for ECV

described by Thompson *et al.* (2012), though the unweighted MCR described is

analogous to the Coexistence Approach (CA) described elsewhere (Mosbrugger and

Utescher, 1997)

CRACLE (Harbert and Nixon, 2015) defines both a parametric and non-

parametric probability density function for each taxon – climate parameter pair.

CRACLE estimates likelihood for a set of taxa ($t_{1:i}$). The CRACLE Parametric –Normal –

probabilities are estimated as:

$$f(x|t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\sigma^2\pi}} e^{\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

191   Whereas the CRACLE Non-Parametric – Kernel Density Estimation (KDE) –

192   probabilities are estimated as:

$$f(x|t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{n=1}^{i} K_h(\bar{x} - x_i)$$

Where K is a Kernel function of properties XXXX, and 'h' is the kernel bandwidth, a

smoothing parameter with value > 0. We recommend using either the "optcosine" or

"gaussian" kernels and a bandwidth calculated using Silverman's Rule of Thumb

(Silverman, 1986). 'cRacle' allows for the calculation of probability density functions

conditioned by the probability density of a random background sample for each climate

parameter defined as:

$$f(c) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{n=1}^{i} K_h(\bar{c} - c_i)$$

199   Where 'c' is the set of background climate given by a sample of points from within the

200   study area. The conditional probability for a taxon (t) is defined as:

$$f(t|x) = \frac{f(x|t)}{f(c)}$$

202   For the estimation of climate from vegetation CRACLE modeling calculates the joint

203   likelihood for multiple taxon probability functions as:

$$L(x|t_{1:i}) = \sum_{n=1}^{i} \ln[f(x|t_n)]$$

204   Where the maximum value of L(x| $t_{1:i}$)corresponds to the value of the climate parameter

205   'x' that is most likely to lead to the coexistence of that set of taxa ($t_{1:i}$).

207 Note that 'cRacle' also implements the calculation of a weighted mean by variance that

208 can be substituted for the parametric CRACLE functions. Weighted means ($\bar{x}$) is

209 calculated given a set of taxon means ($x_{1:i}$) and standard deviations ($\sigma_{1:i}$):

$$\bar{x} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_i\,\sigma_i^{-2})}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sigma_i^{-2}}$$

Modern Validation – To test the performance of CRACLE that can be expected

for paleoclimate modeling we set two experimental analyses to test aspects of the

CRACLE modeling process.

First, we sample iNaturalist data (www.inaturalist.org) by a grid search of North

America for plant species coexisting in 0.1x0.1 degree bounded search areas using the

'rinat' R package (Barve and Hart, 2017). Then we build CRACLE estimates for Mean

Annual Temperature using the WorldClim 2.0 dataset at 2.5 arcminutes resolution (Fick

and Hijmans, 2017) for parametric and non-parametric CRACLE as well as MCR and

MCR un (unweighted) methods. Primary biodiversity data were obtained from GBIF

(www.gbif.org) using the 'cRacle' *get_dist_all()* function and the GBIF RESTful JSON

based API. Model overfitting for the iNaturalist dataset was tested by spatially thinning

the raw GBIF data using the 'cRacle' *extraction()* function for factors of 2,4,6,8, and 10

times the dimensions of the 2.5 arcminute climate raster cells. The 'cRacle' thinning

procedure is an imperfect, but efficient, spatial thinning method that is consistent with

best-practices in Ecological Niche Modeling literature (Aiello-Lammens *et al.* 2015).

CRACLE and MCR model output for each thinning level were summarized and reported

for general guidance. The R code for the iNaturalist grid search test is available at

https://github.com/rsh249/cracle_examples/inat_grid_search.

Second, the Botanical Information and Ecology Network (BIEN 4.0) database

was queried for published vegetation plot surveys from North and South America using

the 'rbien' R package (Maitner *et al.* 2017). For plot surveys with >5 plant taxa with

distribution data available from GBIF we estimated climate parameters for all 19

bioclimatic variables from the WorldClim 2.0 data (Fick and Hijmans, 2017) using

parametric CRACLE methods and spatial thinning to a factor of 2 times the 2.5

arcminute climate grid. Error in CRACLE are non-random (Harbert and Nixon, 2015),

therefore non-linear regression modeling may be able to account for and correct

common CRACLE errors. CRACLE results for 70,391 plot surveys were then partitioned

50:50 into training and testing sets by random sampling with replacement and

Generalized Boosted Regression (GBR) models were fit to the training data for each of

the 19 climate parameters using the R 'gbm' package (Greenwell *et al.* 2019). The GBR

models made adjusted climate estimates using the CRACLE results in the test set to

determine whether error patterns in CRACLE can be predicted by GBR and therefore

may be corrected for in independent analyses. The R code for the iNaturalist grid

search is available: https://github.com/rsh249/cracle_examples/cracle_bien.

246   **RESULTS**

Modern Validation – iNaturalist - The grid search of iNaturalist in North America

yielded climate estimations by CRACLE (both parametric and non-parametric) and the

Mutual Climatic Range (MCR) unweighted and weighted approaches for 285 sites at

spatial thinning factors of 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10 times the 2.5 arcminute climate raster. A

total of 1,204,925 unique records were accessed from GBIF for this analysis for a total

of 1541 species. The sampled localities ranged in mean annual temperature (MAT) from

0.4-26.1°C. The top performing (lowest error rates) estimates were the CRACLE non-

parametric ('kde' in Figure 1) and the MCR weighted ('mcr.w' in Figure 1) methods.

Mean errors for CRACLE were ~1°C and median errors were generally less than the

means. Spatial thinning did not change mean or median error rates for any of the tested

methods (Fig. 1B,C).

BIEN – Non-parametric CRACLE estimates of all 19 WorldClim Bioclim variables

(www.worldclim.org/bioclim) from the WorldClim 2.0 dataset (Fick and Hijmans, 2017)

were generated for 70,391 vegetation plot surveys accessed through the BIEN 4.0

database using a total of 2,560,261 georeferenced specimen records from GBIF.

Mean absolute anomaly rates for temperature parameters were between 1-2°C

with Pearson's Correlation coefficients greater than 0.9 in the best scenarios (Table 1),

but up to 5°C and correlation coefficients <0.7 for estimates of mean temperature during

the wettest and driest quarters of the year (BIO8 and BIO9). The mean absolute

anomaly rates for mean annual precipitation (MAP – BIO12) was 179mm with a

correlation of 0.79 (Table 1).

Generalized Boosted Regression (GBR) models were used to correct CRACLE

estimates using independent random samples without replacement of 50% of the data

for training and testing datasets. GBR correction improved CRACLE performance in the

test set by 20-50% (Table 1, 2; Figure 2). CRACLE+GBM corrected anomalies are

smaller and more symmetrically distributed around '0' than the non-corrected CRACLE

results (Figure 2D), and CRACLE+GBM estimates are more strongly correlated with the

WorldClim data than the raw CRACLE results (Table 1, 2).

276   **DISCUSSION**

The modern validation analyses conducted here should provide a good baseline

for expected CRACLE performance going forward. More thorough testing in future

studies is certainly welcome, but most modeling choices are should be made by the

user to reflect any unique properties of their study system. The results we provide here

indicate that the best estimates that are generated from the 'cRacle' software are the

CRACLE non-parametric estimates with spatially thinned data, these yielding mean

errors of approximately 0.5°C less than the Mutual Climatic Range (MCR) method, an

analogous method to the widely used Coexistence Approach (Mosbrugger and

Utescher, 1997). Furthermore, CRACLE results presented here compare favorably,

though indirectly, to recent applications of the Weighted Average – Partial Least

Squares (WA-PLS) modeling that is common for analysis of fossil pollen samples that

uses modern analog communities to build proxy models (Montade *et al.*, 2019). A direct

comparison of CRACLE and WA-PLS methods is necessary future work.

Through our analysis of BIEN hosted vegetation plot surveys we show that
CRACLE can produce accurate climate estimates for a variety of both temperature and
precipitation parameters (Figure 2), though some parameters are better predicted than
others (Table 1). Notably, BIO8 (Mean Temperature of the Warmest Quarter), BIO9
(Mean Temperature of the Coldest Quarter), BIO13 (Precipitation of the Wettest Month),
and BIO15 (Precipitation Seasonality – Coeff. Var.) are relatively poorly predicted by
CRACLE, likely due to less direct impact of those variables on plant distributions.
Whereas estimates of BIO1 (MAT), BIO5 (Max Temperature), BIO6 (Min Temperature),
and BIO18 (Precipitation of the Driest Quarter) yield the highest correlation with known
values (Table 1). We also show that CRACLE model correction via Generalized
Boosted Regression (GBR) can lower error rates from the CRACLE baseline (Figure 2;
Table 2). We expect that further regression model fitting on expanded datasets may
yield further refinement, however, the GBR model correction is implemented in 'cRacle'
get_optim() as an option for users to correct non-parameteric CRACLE estimates for the
WorldClim 2.0 Bioclim variables only.

Resources and Tutorials – We are actively developing resources and tutorials in
support of the 'cRacle' R package. Demonstration code and short projects will be
maintained on a continuing basis at https://github.com/rsh249/cracle_examples. We aim
to provide a series of web tutorials for various CRACLE modeling tasks here to guide
beginner users through the process. Tutorials, and issue tracking are distributed
through the main 'cRacle' repository: https://github.com/rsh249/cRacle.git.

Conclusion – 'cRacle' is a new and actively maintained resource for climate
estimation from biological community composition. These estimates are particularly
relevant to the study of fossil systems where often the best indication of past climate is
the community of plant and animal fossils. We show that users of 'cRacle' should expect
estimates that are accurate (e.g., within 1°C for MAT) when applying best-practices.

317   **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

318   AAB conceived of and implemented the iNaturalist grid search and CRACLE analysis

319   and provided valuable testing, benchmarking, and feedback data on the 'cRacle' R

320   library. RSH designed the BIEN vegetation plots CRACLE study and implemented the

321   Generalized Boosted Regression model correction analysis. RSH designed and wrote

322   the 'cRacle' R package code.

LITERATURE CITED

Aiello☐Lammens, M.E., R.A. Boria, A. Radosavljevic, B. Vilela, and R.P. Anderson. 2015. spThin: an R package for spatial thinning of species occurrence records for use in ecological niche models. *Ecography*, *38*(5): 541-545.

Barve, V., and E. Hart. 2017. 'rinat': Access iNaturalist Data Through APIs. R package version 0.1.5. https://cran.r-project.org/package=rinat

Fick, S.E. and R.J. Hijmans. 2017. WorldClim 2: new 1☐km spatial resolution climate surfaces for global land areas. *International journal of climatology* 37(12):4302-4315.

Fletcher, T., R. Feng, A.M. Telka, J.V. Matthews Jr, and A. Ballantyne. 2017. Floral Dissimilarity and the Influence of Climate in the Pliocene High Arctic: Biotic and Abiotic Influences on Five Sites on the Canadian Arctic Archipelago. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution* 5:19.

Fletcher, T.L., A.Z. Csank, and A.P. Ballantyne. 2018. Identifying bias in cold season temperature reconstructions by beetle mutual climatic range methods in the Pliocene Canadian High Arctic. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 514: 672-676.

Greenwell, B., B. Boehmke, J. Cunningham, and GBM Developers. 2019. 'gbm': Generalized Boosted Regression Models. R package version 2.1.5 https://cran.r-project.org/package=gbm

Harbert, R.S. and K.C. Nixon. 2015. Climate reconstruction analysis using coexistence likelihood estimation (CRACLE): A method for the estimation of climate using vegetation. *American Journal of Botany* 102(8): 1277-1289.

HARBERT, R.S. and NIXON, K.C., 2018. Quantitative Late Quaternary Climate

Reconstruction from Plant Macrofossil Communities in Western North America. *Open*

Quaternary 4(1):8.

Harris, A.J., Papeş, M., GAO, Y.D. and Watson, L., 2014. Estimating

paleoenvironments using ecological niche models of nearest living relatives: A case

study of Eocene Aesculus L. *Journal of Systematics and Evolution*, *52*(1): 16-34.

Hijmans, R.J., S.E. Cameron, J.L. Parra, P.G. Jones, A. Jarvis. 2005. Very high

resolution interpolated climate surfaces for global land areas. *International Journal of*

Climatology: A Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society 25(15):1965-1978.

Hijmans, R.J. (2018). raster: Geographic Data Analysis and Modeling. R package

version 2.8-4. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=raster

Hyland, E.G., K.W. Huntington, N.D. Sheldon, and T. Reichgelt. 2018. Temperature

seasonality in the North American continental interior during the Early Eocene Climatic

Optimum. *Climate of the Past* 14(10): 1391-1404.

Karger, D.N., O. Conrad, J. Böhner, T. Kawohl, H. Kreft, R.W. Soria-Auza, N.E.

Zimmermann, H.P. Linder, and M. Kessler. 2017. Climatologies at high resolution for

the earth's land surface areas. *Scientific Data* 4:170122.

Maitner, B.S., B. Boyle, N. Casler, R. Condit, J. Donoghue, S.M. Durán, D.

Guaderrama, C.E. Hinchliff, P.M. Jørgensen, N.J. Kraft, and B. McGill. 2018. The bien r

package: A tool to access the Botanical Information and Ecology Network (BIEN)

database. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution 9*(2):373-379

Montade, V., O. Peyron, C. Favier, J.P Francois, and S.G. Haberle. 2019. A pollen–

climate calibration from western Patagonia for palaeoclimatic reconstructions. *Journal of*

Quaternary Science 34(1): 76-86.

Mosbrugger, V. and T. Utescher. 1997. The coexistence approach—a method for

quantitative reconstructions of Tertiary terrestrial palaeoclimate data using plant fossils.

Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 134(1-4):61-86.

R Core Team (2018). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R

Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL https://www.R-project.org/.

Silverman, BW. 1986. Density estimation for statistics and data analysis. Routledge.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1201/9781315140919

Thompson, R.S., K.H. Anderson, R.T. Pelltier, L.E. Strickland, P.J. Bartlein, and S.L.

Shafer. 2012. Quantitative estimation of climatic parameters from vegetation data in

North America by the mutual climatic range technique. *Quaternary Science Reviews*

51:18-39.

Title, P.O. and J.B. Bemmels. 2018. ENVIREM: an expanded set of bioclimatic and

topographic variables increases flexibility and improves performance of ecological niche

modeling. *Ecography* 41(2):291-307.

Utescher, T., A.A. Bruch, B. Erdei, L. François, D. Ivanov, F.M.B. Jacques, A.K. Kern,

V. Mosbrugger, and R.A. Spicer. 2014. The Coexistence Approach—theoretical

background and practical considerations of using plant fossils for climate quantification.

Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, 410: 58-73.

Willard, D.A., T.H. Donders, T. Reichgelt, D.R. Greenwood, F. Sangiorgi, F. Peterse,

K.G. Nierop, j. Frieling, S. Schouten, and A. Sluijs. 2019. Arctic vegetation,

temperature, and hydrology during Early Eocene transient global warming events.

Global and Planetary Change 178:139-152.

Zaborac-Reed, S.J. and E.B. Leopold. 2016. Determining the paleoclimate and
elevation of the late Eocene Florissant flora: support from the coexistence approach.
Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences 53(6): 565-573.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. iNaturalist Grid Search Climate Estimation Results. A) Geographic search
area (shading) with successful climate estimation locations marked (black squares). B)
Mean Anomaly Rates, and C) Median Anomaly Rates for CRACLE ('kde' non-
parametric, and 'means' parametric) and MCR ('uw' unweighted and 'w' weighted)
results for factor levels of 0 ('raw'), 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10 times the 2.5 arcminute climate
raster.

Figure 2. CRACLE estimates and GBR error correction demonstration for BIEN
vegetation plot surveys and representative climate parameters. BIEN vegetation
plot surveys from 70,391 unique localities (A) were analyzed with CRACLE (B) to
estimate the 19 Bioclim variables (showing BIO1, 5, 6, 12, 17, and 18 here as top
performing examples) from the WorldClim2.0 dataset (Fick and Hijmans, 2017).
Generalized Boosted Regression error correction modeling was trained and tested (C)
on independent subsets of plot data. GBR correction yielded overall reduced error rates
and less biased estimates in many cases (D).

435
436
437

TABLES

Table 1: CRACLE performance statistics for 19 Bioclim parameters estimated for BIEN vegetation plot data (n=35,195 plots)

Climate_Parameter	Mean Anomaly	Mean Absolute Anomaly	Median Absolute Anomaly	RMSE	NRMSE	Pearson's *r*	Spearman's *r*	Units
wc2.0_bio_2.5m_01	0.34	1.40	1.09	1.86	0.05	0.95	0.96	°C
wc2.0_bio_2.5m_02	-0.31	1.01	0.74	1.39	0.07	0.82	0.79	°C
wc2.0_bio_2.5m_03	-0.25	2.51	1.80	3.44	0.04	0.87	0.87	°C
wc2.0_bio_2.5m_04	-23.93	64.64	42.35	92.68	0.06	0.84	0.85	°C
wc2.0_bio_2.5m_05	-0.19	1.71	1.19	2.32	0.07	0.92	0.95	°C
wc2.0_bio_2.5m_06	0.64	2.13	1.57	2.93	0.05	0.91	0.92	°C
wc2.0_bio_2.5m_07	-0.94	2.42	1.97	3.19	0.07	0.79	0.83	°C
wc2.0_bio_2.5m_08	1.00	5.60	3.27	7.81	0.18	0.69	0.63	°C
wc2.0_bio_2.5m_09	-1.00	5.64	2.43	8.80	0.16	0.60	0.63	°C
wc2.0_bio_2.5m_10	0.03	1.30	0.97	1.74	0.06	0.94	0.96	°C
wc2.0_bio_2.5m_11	0.31	1.89	1.36	2.66	0.05	0.92	0.93	°C
wc2.0_bio_2.5m_12	26.20	179.17	111.69	275.09	0.06	0.79	0.83	mm/year
wc2.0_bio_2.5m_13	7.32	25.04	11.31	47.92	0.07	0.70	0.75	mm/month
wc2.0_bio_2.5m_14	9.89	15.49	9.72	21.79	0.10	0.77	0.76	mm/month
wc2.0_bio_2.5m_15	-7.11	11.66	8.30	16.12	0.15	0.69	0.71	--
wc2.0_bio_2.5m_16	21.84	68.96	31.48	135.63	0.08	0.69	0.76	mm/3*month
wc2.0_bio_2.5m_17	26.80	45.61	27.49	64.92	0.08	0.80	0.76	mm/3*month
wc2.0_bio_2.5m_18	-6.20	34.76	23.16	52.69	0.05	0.90	0.91	mm/3*month
wc2.0_bio_2.5m_19	34.97	99.35	73.22	142.96	0.10	0.57	0.69	mm/3*month

Table 2: GBR Corrected CRACLE performance statistics for 19 Bioclim parameters estimated for BIEN vegetation plot data (n=35,195 plots)

Climate_Parameter	Mean Anomaly	Mean Absolute Anomaly	Median Absolute Anomaly	RMSE	NRMSE	Pearson's *r*	Spearman's *r*	Units
wc2.0_bio_2.5m_01	-0.06	1.11	0.85	1.51	0.04	0.96	0.96	°C
wc2.0_bio_2.5m_02	0.00	0.84	0.60	1.18	0.06	0.86	0.81	°C
wc2.0_bio_2.5m_03	-0.01	2.08	1.48	2.89	0.04	0.91	0.89	°C
wc2.0_bio_2.5m_04	1.03	51.65	36.94	72.73	0.05	0.90	0.87	°C*100
wc2.0_bio_2.5m_05	-0.11	1.18	0.83	1.64	0.05	0.96	0.95	°C
wc2.0_bio_2.5m_06	-0.16	1.75	1.18	2.49	0.04	0.93	0.93	°C
wc2.0_bio_2.5m_07	-0.03	1.85	1.33	2.57	0.06	0.85	0.86	°C
wc2.0_bio_2.5m_08	-0.38	4.43	3.00	6.17	0.15	0.74	0.70	°C
wc2.0_bio_2.5m_09	0.41	4.86	2.38	7.66	0.14	0.71	0.69	°C
wc2.0_bio_2.5m_10	-0.06	0.97	0.73	1.32	0.05	0.97	0.96	°C
wc2.0_bio_2.5m_11	-0.15	1.61	1.14	2.32	0.04	0.94	0.94	°C
wc2.0_bio_2.5m_12	12.84	147.83	95.59	225.75	0.05	0.86	0.86	mm/year
wc2.0_bio_2.5m_13	1.52	19.52	10.52	35.44	0.05	0.78	0.82	mm/month

wc2.0_bio_2.5m_14	0.04	10.83	7.50	15.50	0.08	0.85	0.86	mm/month
wc2.0_bio_2.5m_15	2.11	7.56	4.77	11.17	0.11	0.75	0.73	--
wc2.0_bio_2.5m_16	3.25	51.70	28.72	97.37	0.06	0.79	0.83	mm/3*month
wc2.0_bio_2.5m_17	-0.80	32.85	22.45	46.69	0.07	0.87	0.87	mm/3*month
wc2.0_bio_2.5m_18	2.48	28.98	21.01	42.83	0.04	0.93	0.92	mm/3*month
wc2.0_bio_2.5m_19	11.46	70.76	46.68	114.25	0.08	0.71	0.76	mm/3*month