FGTpartitioner: A rapid method for parsimonious delimitation of ancestry

breakpoints in large genome-wide SNP datasets

Tyler K. Chafin

Department of Biological Sciences, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, AR 72701

*Keywords***:** Recombination, Ancestry blocks, SNP analysis, phylogenomics

*Type***:** Applications

*Word count***:** 1464

Number of figures and tables: 0

Corresponding author and person to whom reprint requests should be addressed:

Tyler K. Chafin

Department of Biological Sciences

University of Arkansas

Fayetteville, AR 72701

Voice: +1-970-631-2100

e-mail: tkchafin@uark.edu

Abstract

1. Partitioning large (e.g. chromosomal) alignments into ancestry blocks is a common step in

phylogenomic analyses. However, current solutions require complicated analytical assumptions,

or are difficult to implement due to excessive runtimes and unintuitive documentation.

Additionally, most methods require haplotype phasing, which is often intractable for non-model

studies.

2. Here, I present an efficient and rapid solution for partitioning large genome alignments into

ancestry blocks, which better accommodates non-model diploid organisms in that phasing

information is not required *a priori*.

3. FGTpartitioner processes a full-chromosome alignment orders of magnitude faster than

alternative solutions, while recovering identical results, both via algorithmic improvements and

the use of native parallelization.

4. FGTpartitioner provides a means for simple and rapid block delimitation in genome-wide

datasets as a pretext for phylogenomic analysis. It thus widens the potential uses for researchers

studying phylogenetic processes across large, non-model genomes. Complete code and

documentation for FGTpartitioner are available as an open-source repository on GitHub:

https://github.com/tkchafin/FGTpartitioner

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank vonHoldt *et al.* (2016) and Kukekova *et al.* (2018) for making their

raw datasets available via the NCBI SRA, and the Arkansas High Performance Computing

Center and my Ph. D. advisors Drs. Michael and Marlis Douglas for access to computational

resources which I used for developing and benchmarking this program.

Introduction

Inferring genome-wide variation in localized ancestry is a critical step in reconstructing

species trees (Springer & Gatesy, 2018), and as such has become a major goal in phylogenomic

studies utilizing whole-genome datasets. Analyzing this variation is necessary to understand

processes such as horizontal transfer and hybridization, and has led to insights into adaptive

introgression (Fontaine et al., 2015), genome-wide selection (Sabeti et al., 2002), disease

susceptibility (Seldin, Pasaniuc, & Price, 2011), and ancestral human demographics

(Sankararaman et al., 2014). However, partitioning such alignments is a non-trivial task,

particularly for researchers utilizing non-model organisms for which limited genomic reference

data exists.

Multiple approaches have been proposed for delimiting ancestry blocks in genomes (i.e.

establishing recombination breakpoints), which generally fall into one of two categories: those

which require dense or phased genotypic data (Liu et al., 2013); and those with complex

analytical assumptions which require the definition of informative prior probability distributions

and are computationally intensive (Dutheil et al., 2009). Both conditions are problematic for

genome-scale studies of non-model diploid organisms, where large-scale resequencing and

phased reference data are unavailable, and genomes are often sequenced at low coverage.

I here describe a solution, FGTpartitioner, which is specifically designed for use with

non-model genomic data without the need for high-quality phased reference data or dense

population-scale sampling. FGTpartitioner delimits chromosome scale alignments using a fast

interval-tree approach which detects pairwise variants which violate the four-gametes

assumption (Hudson & Kaplan, 1985), and rapidly resolves a most parsimonious set of

recombination events to yield non-overlapping intervals which are both unambiguously defined

and consistent regardless of processing order. These sub-alignments are then suitable for separate

phylogenetic analysis, or as a 'first pass' which may facilitate parallel application of finer-

resolution (yet more computationally intensive) methods.

Program Description

For ease of application, inputs are required to follow the widely used VCF format

(Danecek et al., 2011). Users may provide parameter settings as arguments in the command-line

interface which can restrict block delimitation to a certain chromosome (<-c> flag), with the

option to additionally target a region via start (<-s>) and end (<-e>) coordinates. Parallel

computation is also possible (<-t>) for particularly large alignments. After parsing user-inputs,

the workflow of FGTpartitioner is as follows:

(1) For each SNP, perform four-gamete tests sequentially for rightward neighboring records,

82   up to a maximal physical distance (if defined; <-d>) and stopping when a conflict

83   (='interval') is found. Intervals are stored in a self-balancing tree. When using

84   multiprocessing (<-t>), daughter processes are each provided an offset which guarantees

85   a unique pairwise SNP comparison for each iteration

86   (2) Merge interval trees of daughter processes (if <-t 2 or greater>)

87   (3) Assign rank k per-interval, defined as the number of SNP records (indexed by position)

88   spanned by each interval

(4) Order intervals by k; starting at min(k), resolve conflicts as follows: For each candidate

recombination site (defined as the mid-point between SNPs), compute the depth d of

spanning intervals. The most parsimonious breakpoint is that which maximizes d

These algorithm choices have several implications: indexing SNPs by physical position

guarantees that the same recombination sites will be chosen given any arbitrary ordering of

SNPs; and defining breakpoints as physical centerpoints between nodes means that

monomorphic sites will be evenly divided on either side of a recombination event. Because

monomorphic sites by definition lack phylogenetic information, they cannot be unambiguously

assigned to any particular ancestry block, thus my solution is to evenly divide them.

Heterozygous sites in diploid genomes are dealt with in multiple ways. By default,

FGTpartitioner will randomly resolve haplotypes. The user can select an alternate resolution

strategy (<-r>) which will either treat a SNP pair as failing if any resolution meets the four-

gamete condition, or as passing if any possible resolution passes (i.e. the 'pessimistic' and

'optimistic' strategies of Wang *et al.*, 2010).

Benchmarking and comparison to other software

Performance benchmarking was conducted FGTpartitioner and similar methods using

publicly available data from the NCBI SRA. I ran tests using a 4-taxon alignment of *Canis lupus*

chromosome 1 (~120 Mb), generated from raw reads (*C. lupus*: SRR7107787; *C. rufus*:

SRR7107783; *C. latrans*: SRR1518489; *Vulpes vulpes*: SRR5328101-115). Reads were first

aligned with bowtie2 (Langmead & Salzberg, 2012) and subsequently genotyped using the

HaplotypeCaller and best practices from GATK (McKenna et al., 2010). Tests were all

conducted within a 64-bit Linux environment, on machines equipped with dual 8-core Intel Xeon

E5-4627 3.30GHz processors and 265GB RAM.

Memory usage for processing a full alignment with FGTpartitioner on 16 cores peaked at

18GB (with less memory required when using fewer cores). Total runtime scales linearly with

the maximum allowable physical distance between SNPs to check for four-gamete conditions,

with <-d 250000> taking ~50 minutes and <-d 100000> taking 36 minutes to process an

alignment comprising >2 million variants. Increasing number of cored offer diminishing returns,

with 1, 2, 4, 8, and 16 cores taking 39, 20, 12 , 10, and 9 minutes, respectively (to process a 1

million base subset of the 4-taxon chromosome 1 alignment). Runtimes also scale linearly with

dataset size (in total variants). Haplotype resolution strategy (random, pessimistic, or optimistic)

was not found to impact runtimes.

BA3-SNPS-autotune was used to find optimal mixing parameters for each run, with

exploratory analyses employing 10,000 MCMC generations in length. A maximum of 10

exploratory analyses were conducted for each data file. The number of repetitions required to

find optimal mixing parameters was recorded for each, and mixing parameters verified to

produce adequate MCMC acceptance rates (i.e., $0.2 <$ acceptance rate < 0.6). All tests were

performed on a computer equipped with dual Intel Xeon E5-4627 3.30GHz processors, 265GB

RAM, and with a 64-bit Linux environment. Since neither program is multithreaded, a single

processor core was used per analysis.

For comparison, I attempted to delimit recombinatorial genes using an alternate method

based on the four-gamete test, RminCutter.pl (https://github.com/RILAB/rmin_cut). Because

RminCutter does not handle diploid data, I created pseudohaplotypes by randomly resolving

heterozygous sites (pseudoHaploidize.py; https://github.com/tkchafin/scripts). I also ran the

MDL approach (Ané, 2011), which uses a parsimony criterion to assign breakpoints separating

phylogenetically homogenous loci, while penalizing for the number of breakpoints. Neither

MDL nor RminCutter could complete an analysis on the full chromosome 1 dataset in a

reasonable time span (capped at 10 days), so I first divided the alignment into blocks of ten-

thousand parsimony-informative sites each (as these determine runtime, not total length). This

produced 21 sub-alignments. MDL took 24 hours across 48 cores to complete a single 5 Mb

segment, thus was not further explored. RminCutter.pl took an average of 22 hours per segment

(single-threaded). Of note, RminCutter and FGTpartitioner yielded identical results when using

comparable settings restricted to a pseudo-haploid dataset. FGTpartitioner thus offers an efficient

and methodologically simple solution for ancestry delimitation in non-model diploid organisms

with large genomes.

Conclusion

FGTpartitioner has several advantages over similar methods: 1) algorithmic and

performance enhancements allow it to perform orders of magnitude faster, thus extending

application to larger genomes; and 2) the flexibility of diploid resolution strategies precludes the

need for haplotype phasing *a priori*. Validation using empirical data indicated the suitability of

FGTpartitioner for highly distributed work on high-performance computing clusters, with

parallelization easily facilitated by built-in options in the command-line interface. Additionally,

runtime and memory profiling indicate its applicability on modern desktop workstations as well,

when applied to moderately sized datasets. Thus, it provides an efficient and under-friendly

solution to alignment pre-processing for phylogenomic studies, or as a method of breaking up

large alignments in order to efficiently distribute computation for more rigorous recombination

tests.

References
161
Ané, C. (2011). Detecting phylogenetic breakpoints and discordance from genome-wide

alignments for species tree reconstruction. *Genome Biology and Evolution*, *3*(1), 246–258.

doi:10.1093/gbe/evr013

Danecek, P., Auton, A., Abecasis, G., Albers, C. A., Banks, E., DePristo, M. A., … Durbin, R.

(2011). The variant call format and VCFtools. *Bioinformatics*.

doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btr330

Dutheil, J. Y., Ganapathy, G., Hobolth, A., Mailund, T., Uyenoyama, M. K., & Schierup, M. H.

(2009). Ancestral population genomics: The coalescent hidden Markov model approach.

Genetics, *183*(1), 259–274. doi:10.1534/genetics.109.103010

Fontaine, M. C., Pease, J. B., Steele, A., Waterhouse, R. M., Neafsey, D. E., Sharakhov, I. V., …

Besansky, N. J. (2015). Extensive introgression in a malaria vector species complex

revealed by phylogenomics. *Science*, *347*(6217), 1258524. doi:10.1126/science.1258522

Hudson, R. R., & Kaplan, N. L. (1985). Statistical properties of the number of recombination

events in the history of a sample of DNA sequences. *Genetics*, *111*(1), 147–164.

Kukekova, A. V, Johnson, J. L., Xiang, X., Feng, S., Liu, S., Rando, H. M., … Graphodatsky, A.

S. (2018). Red fox genome assembly identifies genomic regions associated with tame and

aggressive behaviours. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, *2*(September). doi:10.1038/s41559-

018-0611-6

Langmead, B., & Salzberg, S. L. (2012). Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nature*

Methods. doi:10.1038/nmeth.1923

Liu, Y., Nyunoya, T., Leng, S., Belinsky, S. A., Tesfaigzi, Y., & Bruse, S. (2013). Softwares and

methods for estimating genetic ancestry in human populations. *Human Genomics*, *7*(1), 1–7.

doi:10.1186/1479-7364-7-1

McKenna, A., Hanna, M., Banks, E., Sivachenko, A., Cibulskis, K., Kernytsky, A., … DePristo,

M. A. (2010). The Genome Analysis Toolkit: a MapReduce framework for analyzing next-

generation DNA sequencing data. *Genome Research*. doi:10.1101/gr.107524.110

Sabeti, P. C., , David E. Reich*, J. M. H., Haninah Z. P. Levine*, Daniel J. Richter*, S. F. S.,

Stacey B. Gabriel*, Jill V. Platko*, Nick J. Patterson*, G. J. M., Hans C. Ackerman‡, Sarah

J. Campbell‡, D. A., Richard Cooperk, Dominic Kwiatkowski‡, R. W. & E. S. L., & Eisner,

T. (2002). Detecting recent positive selection in the human genome from haplotype

structure. *Nature*, *419*(October). doi:10.1038/nature01027

Sankararaman, S., Mallick, S., Dannemann, M., Prufer, K., Kelso, J., Paabo, S., … Reich, D.

(2014). The Genomic Landscape of Denisovan and Neanderthal Ancestry in Present-Day

Humans. *Nature*, *507*, 354–357. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2016.03.037

Seldin, M. F., Pasaniuc, B., & Price, A. L. (2011). New approaches to disease mapping in

admixed populations. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, *12*(8), 523–528. doi:10.1038/nrg3002

Springer, M. S., & Gatesy, J. (2018). Delimiting Coalescence Genes (C-Genes) in

Phylogenomic Data Sets, 1–19. doi:10.3390/genes9030123

vonHoldt, B. M., Cahill, J. A., Fan, Z., Gronau, I., Robinson, J., Pollinger, J. P., … Wayne, R. K.

(2016). Whole-genome sequence analysis shows that two endemic species of North

American wolf are admixtures of the coyote and gray wolf. *Science Advances*, *2*(7),

e1501714–e1501714. doi:10.1126/sciadv.1501714

Wang, J., Moore, K. J., Zhang, Q., de Villena, F. P.-M., Wang, W., & McMillan, L. (2010).

Genome-wide compatible SNP intervals and their properties. *Proceedings of the First ACM*

International Conference on Bioinformatics and Computational Biology, 43–52.

doi:10.1145/1854776.1854788