Next generation sequencing to investigate genomic diversity in Caryophyllales

Boas Pucker[1,2*], Tao Feng[1,3], Samuel F. Brockington[1,2]

1 Evolution and Diversity, Plant Sciences, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom

2 Genetics and Genomics of Plants, CeBiTec & Faculty of Biology, Bielefeld University, Bielefeld,
Germany

3 Wuhan Botanical Garden, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Wuhan, China

* corresponding author: Boas Pucker, bpucker@cebitec.uni-bielefeld.de

BP: bpucker@cebitec.uni-bielefeld.de, ORCID: 0000-0002-3321-7471

TF: fengtao@wbgcas.cn, ORCID: 0000-0002-0489-2021

SFB: sb771@cam.ac.uk, ORCID: 0000-0003-1216-219X

Abstract

Caryophyllales are a highly diverse and large order of plants with a global distribution. While some
species are important crops like *Beta vulgaris*, many others can survive under extreme conditions.
This order is well known for the complex pigment evolution, because the pigments anthocyanins and
betalains occur with mutual exclusion in species of the Caryophyllales. Here we report about genome
assemblies of *Kewa caespitosa* (Kewaceae), *Macarthuria australis* (Macarthuriaceae), and
Pharnaceum exiguum (Molluginaceae) which are representing different taxonomic groups in the
Caryophyllales. The availability of these assemblies enhances molecular investigation of these species
e.g. with respect to certain genes of interest.

Introduction

Caryophyllales form one of the largest flowering plant order and are recognized for their outstanding
ability to colonise extreme environments. Examples are the evolution of Cactaceae in deserts,
extremely fast radiation [1–3] e.g. in arid-adapted Aizoaceae and in carnivorous species in nitrogen-
poor conditions. Caryophyllales harbor the greatest concentration of halophytic plant species and
display repeated shifts to alpine and arctic habitats in Caryophyllaceae and Montiaceae. Due to these
extreme environments, species exhibit many adaptations [2–4] such as specialized betalain pigments
to protect photosystems in high salt and high light conditions [5]. There are several examples for
repeated evolution in the Caryophyllales e.g. leaf and stem succulence for water storage, various
mechanisms for salt tolerance, arid-adapted C_4 and CAM photosynthesis [4], and insect trapping
mechanisms to acquire nitrogen [6].

In addition, to their fascinating trait evolution, the Caryophyllales are well known for important crops
and horticultural species like sugar beet, quinoa and spinach. Most prominent is the genome
sequence of *Beta vulgaris* [7] which was often used as a reference for studies within Caryophyllales
[7–10]. In addition, genomes of *Spinacia oleracea* [7,11], *Dianthus caryophyllus* [12], *Amaranthus*
hypochondriacus [13], and *Chenopodium quinoa* [14] were sequenced. Besides *Carnegiea gigantea*
and several other cacti [15], recent genome sequencing projects were focused on crops due to their
economical relevance. However, genome sequences of other species within the Caryophyllales, are
needed to provide insights into unusual patterns of trait evolution.

The evolution of pigmentation is known to be complex within the Caryophyllales [8] with a single
origin of betalain and at least three reversals to anthocyanin pigmentation. The biosynthetic
pathways for betalain and anthocyanin pigmentation are both well characterized. While previous
studies have demonstrated that the genes essential for anthocyanin synthesis persists in betalain
pigmented taxa [16,17], the fate of the betalain pathway in the multiple reversals to anthocyanin
pigmentations is unknown. Here, we sequenced three species from different families to contribute to
the genomic knowledge about Caryophyllales: *Kewa caespitosa* (Kewaceae), *Macarthuria australis*
(Macarthuriaceae), and *Pharnaceum exiguum* (Molluginaceae) were selected as representatives of
anthocyanic lineages within the predominantly betalain pigmented Caryophyllales. *K. caespitosa* and
P. exiguum are examples of putative reversals from betalain pigmentation to anthocyanic
pigmentation, while *Macarthuria* is a lineage that diverged before the inferred origin of betalain
pigmentation [8].

Several transcript sequences of the three plants investigated here were assembled as part of the 1KP
project [18]. Since the sampling for this transcriptome project was restricted to leaf tissue, available
sequences are limited to genes expressed there. Here we report three draft genome sequences to

complement the available gene set and to enable analysis of untranscribed sequences like
promoters, regulatory elements, pseudogenes, and transposable elements.

Material & Methods

Plant material

The seeds of *Kewa caespitosa* (Friedrich) Christenh., *Marcarthuria australis* Hügel ex Endl., and
Pharnaceum exiguum Adamson were obtained from Millennium Seed Bank (London, UK) and were
germinated at the Cambridge University Botanic Garden. The plants were grown in controlled
glasshouse under conditions: long-day (16 h light and 8 h dark), 20 °C, 60% humidity. About 100 mg
fresh young shoots were collected and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. Tissue was ground in
liquid nitrogen using a mortar and pestle. DNA was extracted using the QIAGEN DNeasy Plant Mini Kit
(Hilden, Germany) and RNA was removed by the QIAGEN DNase-Free RNase Set. DNA quantity and
quality were assessed by Nanodrop (Thermofisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and agarose gel
electrophoresis. DNA samples were sent to BGI Technology (Hongkong) for library construction and
Illumina sequencing.

Sequencing

Libraries of *K. caespitosa*, *M. australis*, and *P. exiguum* were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq X-Ten
generating 2x150nt reads (AdditionalFile 1). Trimmomatic v0.36 [19] was applied for adapter removal
and quality trimming as described previously [20]. Due to remaining adapter sequences, the last 10
bases of each read were clipped. FastQC [21] was applied to check the quality of the reads.

Genome size estimation

The size of all three investigated genomes was estimated based on k-mer frequencies in the
sequencing reads. Jellyfish v2 [22] was applied for the construction of a k-mer table with parameters
described by [23]. The derived histogram was further analyzed by GenomeScope [23] to predict a
genome size. This process was repeated for all odd k-mer sizes between 17 and 25 (AdditionalFile 2).
Finally, an average value was selected from all successful analyses.

Genome assembly

The performance of different assemblers on the data sets was tested (AdditionalFile 3, AdditionalFile 4, AdditionalFile 5). While CLC Genomics Workbench performed best for the *M. australis* assembly, SOAPdenovo2 [24] showed the best results for *K. caespitosa* and *P. exiguum* and was therefore selected for the final assemblies. To optimize the assemblies, different k-mer sizes were tested as this parameter can best be adjusted empirically [25]. First, k-mer sizes from 67 to 127 in steps of 10 were evaluated, while most parameters remained on default values (AdditionalFile 6). Second, assemblies with k-mer sizes around the best value of the first round were tested. In addition, different insert sizes were evaluated without substantial effect on the assembly quality. In accordance with good practice, assembled sequences shorter than 500 bp were discarded prior to downstream analyses. Custom Python scripts [20,26] were deployed for assembly evaluation based on simple statistics (e.g. N50, N90, assembly size, number of contigs), number of genes predicted by AUGUSTUS v3.2 [27] *ab initio*, average size of predicted genes, and number of complete BUSCOs [28]. Scripts are available on github: https://github.com/bpucker/GenomeAssemblies2018.

BWA-MEM v0.7 [29] was used with the –m flag to map all sequencing reads back against the assembly. REAPR v1.0.18 [30] was applied on the selected assemblies to identify putative assembly errors through inspection of paired-end mappings and to break sequences at those points.

The resulting assemblies were further polished by removal of non-plant sequences. First, all assembled sequences were subjected to a BLASTn [31] against the sugar beet reference genome sequence RefBeet v1.5 [7,32] and the genome sequences of *Chenopodium quinoa* [14], Carnegiea gigantea [15], *Amaranthus hypochondriacus* [13], and *Dianthus caryophyllus* [12]. Hits below the e-value threshold of 10^{-10} were considered to be of plant origin. Second, all sequences without hits in this first round were subjected to a BLASTn search against the non-redundant nucleotide database nt. Sequences with strong hits against bacterial and fungal sequences were removed as previously described [20,26]. BLASTn against the *B. vulgaris* plastome (KR230391.1, [33]) and chondrome (BA000009.3, [34]) sequences was performed to identify and remove sequences from these organelle subgenomes.

Assembly quality assessment

Mapping of sequencing reads against the assembly and processing with REAPR [30] was the first quality control step. RNA-Seq reads (AdditionalFile 7) were mapped against the assemblies to assess completeness of the gene space and to validate the assembly with an independent data set. STAR v2.5.1b [35] was used for the RNA-Seq read mapping as previously described [26].

Genome annotation

RepeatMasker [36] was applied using crossmatch [37] to identify and mask repetitive regions prior to
gene prediction. Masking was performed in sensitive mode (-s) without screening for bacterial IS
elements (-no_is) and skipping interspersed repeats (-noint). Repeat sequences of the Caryophyllales
(-species caryophyllales) were used and the GC content was calculated per sequence (-gccalc).
Protein coding sequences of transcriptome assemblies (AdditionalFile 7) were mapped to the
respective genome assembly via BLAT [38] to generate hints for the gene prediction process as
previously described [39]. BUSCO v3 [28] was deployed to optimize species-specific parameter sets
for all three species based on the sugar beet parameter set [40]. AUGUSTUS v.3.2.2 [27] was applied
to incorporate all available hints with previously described parameter settings to optimize the
prediction of non-canonical splice sites [39]. Different combinations of hints and parameters were
evaluated to achieve an optimal annotation of all three assemblies. A customized Python script was
deployed to remove all genes with premature termination codons in their CDS or spanning positions
with ambiguous bases. Representative transcripts and peptides per locus were identified based on
maximization of the encoded peptide length. INFERNAL (cmscan) [41] was used for the prediction of
non-coding RNAs based on models from Rfam13 [42].

Functional annotation was transferred from *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Araport11) [43] via reciprocal best
BLAST hits as previously described [26]. In addition, GO terms were assigned to protein coding genes
through an InterProScan5 [44]-based pipeline [26].

Comparison between transcriptome and genome assembly

The assembled genome sequences were compared against previously published transcriptome
assemblies (AdditionalFile 7) in a reciprocal way to assess completeness and differences. BLAT [38]
was used to align protein coding sequences against each other. This comparison was limited to the
protein coding sequences to avoid biases due to UTR sequences, which are in general less reliably
predicted or assembled, respectively [39]. The initial alignments were filtered via filterPSL.pl [45]
based on recommended criteria for gene prediction hint generation to remove spurious hits and to
reduce the set to the best hit per locus e.g. caused by multiple splice variants.

Results

Genome size estimation and genome sequence assembly

Prior to the *de novo* genome assembly, the genome sizes of *Kewa caespitosa, Macarthuria australis,*
and *Pharnaceum exiguum* were estimated from the sequencing reads (Table 1, AdditionalFile 1). The
estimated genome sizes range from 265 Mbp (*P. exiguum*) to 623 Mbp (*M. caespitosa*). Based on
these genome sizes, the sequencing coverage ranges from 111x (*K. caespitosa*) to 251x (*M. australis*).

Different assembly tools and parameters were evaluated to optimize the assembly process
(AdditionalFile 3, AdditionalFile 4, AdditionalFile 5). Sizes of the final assemblies ranged from
254.5 Mbp (*P. exiguum*) to 531 Mbp (*K. caespitosa*) (Table 1, AdditionalFile 8). The best continuity
was achieved for *P. exiguum* with an N50 of 57 kbp.

Table 1: Genome size estimation and *de novo* assembly statistics.

	Kewa caespitose	*Macarthuria australis*	*Pharnaceum exiguum*
Accession	GCA_900322205	GCA_900322265	GCA_900322385
Estimated genome size [Mbp]	623	497.5	265
Sequencing coverage	111x	251x	206x
Assembly size (-N)	531,205,354	525,292,167	254,526,612
Number of sequence	55,159	271,872	16,641
N50	28,527	2,804	56,812
Max. sequence length	340,297	211,626	514,701
GC content	38.1%	36.6%	37.4%
Complete BUSCOs	83.6%	44.4%	84.3%
Assembler	SOAPdenovo2	CLC Genomics Workbench v9	SOAPdenovo2
k-mer size	79	Automatic	117

Assembly validation

The mapping of sequencing reads against the assembled sequences resulted in mating rates of 99.5%
(*K. caespitosa*), 98% (*M. australis*), and 94.8% (*P. exiguum*). REAPR identified between 1390 (*P.*
exiguum) and 16181 (*M. australis*) FCD errors which were corrected by breaking assembled
sequences. The mapping of RNA-Seq reads to the polished assembly resulted in mapping rates of

53.9% (*K. caespitosa*) and 43.1% (*M. australis*), respectively, when only considering uniquely mapped

reads. Quality assessment via BUSCO revealed 83.6% (*K. caespitosa*), 44.4% (*M. australis*), and 84.3%

(*P. exiguum*) complete benchmarking universal single copy ortholog genes (n=1440). In addition,

6.5% (*K. caespitosa*), 21.7% (*M. australis*), and 4.0% (*P. exiguum*) fragmented BUSCOs as well as 9.9%

(*K. caespitosa*), 33.9% (*M. australis*), and 11.7% (*P. exiguum*) missing BUSCOs were identified. The

proportion of duplicated BUSCOs ranges from 1.5% (*K. caespitosa*) to 2.1% (*P. exiguum*). The number

of duplicated BUSCOs was high in *M. australis* (11.8%) compared to both other genome assemblies

(1.5% and 2.1%, respectively).

Genome annotation

After intensive optimization (AdditionalFile 9), the polished structural annotation contains between

26,155 (*P. exiguum*) and 80,236 (*M. australis*) protein encoding genes per genome (Table 2). The

average number of exons per genes ranged from 2.9 (*M. australis*) to 6.6 (*K. caespitosa*). Predicted

peptide sequence lengths vary between 241 (*M. australis*) and 447 (*K. caespitosa*) amino acids. High

numbers of recovered BUSCO genes support the assembly quality (Fig. 1). Functional annotations

were assigned to between 50% (*K. caespitosa*) and 70% (*P. exiguum*) of the predicted genes per

species. These assemblies revealed between 598 (P. exiguum) and 1604 (M. australis) putative rRNA,

821 (*K. caespitosa*) to 1492 (*M. australis*) tRNA genes, and additional non-protein-coding RNA genes

(Table 2).

Fig. 1. Assembly completeness.

Assembly completeness was assessed based on the proportion of complete, fragmented, and missing BUSCOs.

Table 2: Assembly annotation statistics.

	Kewa caespitosa	Macarthuria australis	Pharnaceum exiguum
Final gene number	50661	80236	26,155
Functional annotation assigned	25,058 (49.46%)	50,536 (62.98%)	18,372 (70.24%)
Average gene lengths [bp]	5494	1936	5090
Average mRNA length [bp]	2143	1018	2154
Average peptide length [aa]	447	241	435
RBHs vs. BeetSet2	9,968	10,568	10,045
Average number of exons per	6.6	2.9	6

gene			
Number of predicted tRNAs	821	1491	1260
Number of predicted rRNAs	720	1604	598
Link to data set	https://docs.cebitec.uni-bielefeld.de/s/pZ4kGpPEDtTPgjW (TEMPORARY LINK FOR PEER-REVIEW)		

Comparison between transcriptome and genome assemblies

Previously released transcriptome assemblies were compared to the genome assemblies to assess completeness and to identify differences. In total 44,169 of 65,062 (67.9%) coding sequences of *the K. caespitose* transcriptome assembly were recovered in the corresponding genome assembly. This recovery rate is lower for both *M. australis* assemblies, where only 27,894 of 58,953 (47.3%) coding sequences were detected in the genome assembly. The highest rate was observed for *P. exiguum*, where 37,318 of 42,850 (87.1%) coding sequences were found in the genome assembly. When screening the transcriptome assemblies for transcript sequences predicted based on the genome sequences, the recovery rate was lower (Fig. 2). The number of predicted representative coding sequences with best hits against the transcriptome assembly ranged from 16.3% in *K. caespitosa* to 29.7% in *P. exiguum* thus leaving most predicted coding sequences without a good full length hit in the transcriptome assemblies.

Fig. 2. Recovery of sequences between transcriptome and genome assemblies.

The figure displays the percentage of sequences present in one assembly that are recovered or missing in the other assembly type.

Discussion

An almost perfect match between the predicted genome size and the final assembly size was observed for *P. exiguum*. When taking gaps within scaffolds into account the *K. caespitosa* assembly size reached the estimated genome size. High heterozygosity could be one explanation for the assembly size exceeding the estimated haploid genome size of *M. australis*. The two independent genome size estimations for *M. australis* based on different read data sets indicate almost perfect reproducibility of this method. Although centromeric regions and other low complexity regions were

probably underestimated in the genome size estimation as well as in the assembly process, this

agreement between estimated genome size and final assembly size indicates a high assembly quality.

The continuity of the *P. exiguum* assembly is comparable to the assembly continuity of *Dianthus*

caryophyllus [12] with a scaffold N50 of 60.7 kb. Additional quality indicators are the high proportion

of detected BUSCOs in the final assemblies as well as the high mapping rate of reads against the

assemblies. The percentage of complete BUSCOs is in the same range as the value of the

D. caryophyllales genome assembly which revealed 88.9% complete BUSCOs based on our BUSCO

settings. We demonstrate a cost-effective generation of draft genome assemblies of three different

plant species. Investing into more paired-end sequencing based on Illumina technology would not

substantially increase the continuity of the presented assemblies. This was revealed by initial

assemblies for *M. australis* performed with less than half of all generated sequencing reads. Although

the total assembly size increased when doubling the amount of incorporated sequencing reads, the

continuity is still relatively low. No direct correlation between the sequencing depth and the

assembly quality was observed in this study. Genome properties seem to be more influential than

the amount of sequencing data. Even very deep sequencing with short reads in previous studies

[12,20] was unable to compete with the potential of long reads in genome assembly projects [13,14].

No major breakthroughs were achieved in the development of publicly available short read

assemblers during the last years partly due to the availability of long reads which made it less

interesting.

The number of predicted genes in *P. exiguum* is in the range expected for most plants [46,47]. While

the predicted gene numbers for *K. caespitosa* and *M. australis* are much higher than that for

P. exiguum, they are only slightly exceeding the number of genes predicted for other plants [46,47].

Nevertheless, the assembly continuity and the heterozygosity of *M. australis* are probably the most

important factors for the artificially high number of predicted genes. The high percentage of

duplicated BUSCOs (11.8%) indicates the presence of both alleles for several genes. As the average

gene length in *M. australis* is shorter than in both other assemblies, some gene model predictions

might be too short. This gene prediction could be improved by an increase in assembly continuity.

There is a substantial difference between the transcriptome sequences and the predicted transcripts

of the genome assembly. The presence of alternative transcripts and fragmented transcripts in the

transcriptome assemblies are one explanation why not all transcripts were assigned to a genomic

locus. Another explanation is the intraspecific variation, as the genome and transcriptome

assemblies were generated using different individuals. Some transcripts probably represent genes

which are not properly resolved in the genome assemblies. This is especially the case for *M. australis*.

The high percentage of complete BUSCOs of the *K. caespitosa* and *P. exiguum* genome assemblies

indicate that missing sequences in the genome assemblies account only for a minority of the

differences. The complete BUSCO percentage of the *P. exiguum* genome assembly even exceeds the
value assigned to the corresponding transcriptome assembly. Although BUSCOs are selected in a
robust way, it is likely that some of these genes are not present in the genomes investigated here,
since *B. vulgaris* is the closest relative with an almost completely sequenced genome [7]. Our
genome assemblies provide additional sequences of genes which are not expressed in the tissues
sampled for the generation of the transcriptome assembly. In addition, coding sequences might be
complete in the genome assemblies, while low expression caused a fragmented assembly based on
RNA-Seq reads. This explains why only a small fraction of the predicted coding sequences of the
genome assemblies was mapped to the coding sequences derived from the corresponding
transcriptome assembly.

The availability of assembled sequences as well as large sequencing read data sets enables the
investigation of repeats e.g. transposable elements across a large phylogenetic distance within the
Caryophyllales. It also allows the extension of genome-wide analysis, such as gene family
investigations from *B. vulgaris* to more representatives across Caryophyllales. As all three species
produce anthocyanins, we provide the basis to study the underlying biosynthetic genes. Due to the
huge evolutionary distance to other anthocyanin producing species, the availability of these
sequences could facilitate the identification of common and unique features of the involved
enzymes.

Author contribution

TF isolated DNA. BP and TF performed data processing, assembly, and annotation. BP, TF, and SFB
interpreted the results. BP wrote the initial draft. All authors read and approved the final version of
this manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We thank the CeBiTec Bioinformatic Resource Facility team for great technical support.

References

1.   Brockington SF, Walker RH, Glover BJ, Soltis PS, Soltis DE. Complex pigment evolution in the
Caryophyllales. New Phytol. 2011;190: 854–864. doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2011.03687.x

2.   Yang Y, Moore MJ, Brockington SF, Soltis DE, Wong GK-S, Carpenter EJ, et al. Dissecting
Molecular Evolution in the Highly Diverse Plant Clade Caryophyllales Using Transcriptome
Sequencing. Mol Biol Evol. 2015;32: 2001–2014. doi:10.1093/molbev/msv081

3.   Smith SA, Brown JW, Yang Y, Bruenn R, Drummond CP, Brockington SF, et al. Disparity,
diversity, and duplications in the Caryophyllales. New Phytol. 2018;217: 836–854.
doi:10.1111/nph.14772

4.   Kadereit G, Ackerly D, Pirie MD. A broader model for C4 photosynthesis evolution in plants
inferred from the goosefoot family (Chenopodiaceae s.s.). Proc R Soc B Biol Sci. 2012;279:
3304–3311. doi:10.1098/rspb.2012.0440

5.   Jain G, Schwinn KE, Gould KS. Betalain induction by l-DOPA application confers photoprotection
to saline-exposed leaves of Disphyma australe. New Phytol. 2015;207: 1075–1083.
doi:10.1111/nph.13409

6.   Thorogood CJ, Bauer U, Hiscock SJ. Convergent and divergent evolution in carnivorous pitcher
plant traps. New Phytol. 2018;217: 1035–1041. doi:10.1111/nph.14879

7.   Dohm JC, Minoche AE, Holtgräwe D, Capella-Gutiérrez S, Zakrzewski F, Tafer H, et al. The
genome of the recently domesticated crop plant sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris*). Nature. 2014;505:
546–549. doi:10.1038/nature12817

8.   Brockington SF, Yang Y, Gandia-Herrero F, Covshoff S, Hibberd JM, Sage RF, et al. Lineage-
specific gene radiations underlie the evolution of novel betalain pigmentation in Caryophyllales.
New Phytol. 2015;207: 1170–1180. doi:10.1111/nph.13441

9.   Stevanato P, Trebbi D, Saccomani M. Single nucleotide polymorphism markers linked to root
elongation rate in sugar beet. Biol Plant. 2017;61: 48–54. doi:10.1007/s10535-016-0643-1

10.   Kong W, Yang S, Wang Y, Bendahmane M, Fu X. Genome-wide identification and
characterization of aquaporin gene family in Beta vulgaris. PeerJ. 2017;5.
doi:10.7717/peerj.3747

11.   Xu C, Jiao C, Sun H, Cai X, Wang X, Ge C, et al. Draft genome of spinach and transcriptome
diversity of 120 Spinacia accessions. Nat Commun. 2017;8. doi:10.1038/ncomms15275

12.   Yagi M, Kosugi S, Hirakawa H, Ohmiya A, Tanase K, Harada T, et al. Sequence Analysis of the
Genome of Carnation (Dianthus caryophyllus L.). DNA Res Int J Rapid Publ Rep Genes Genomes.
2014;21: 231–241. doi:10.1093/dnares/dst053

13.   Lightfoot DJ, Jarvis DE, Ramaraj T, Lee R, Jellen EN, Maughan PJ. Single-molecule sequencing
and Hi-C-based proximity-guided assembly of amaranth (Amaranthus hypochondriacus)
chromosomes provide insights into genome evolution. BMC Biol. 2017;15: 74.
doi:10.1186/s12915-017-0412-4

14.   Jarvis DE, Ho YS, Lightfoot DJ, Schmöckel SM, Li B, Borm TJA, et al. The genome of *Chenopodium*
quinoa. Nature. 2017;542: 307–312. doi:10.1038/nature21370

15.   Copetti D, Búrquez A, Bustamante E, Charboneau JLM, Childs KL, Eguiarte LE, et al. Extensive
gene tree discordance and hemiplasy shaped the genomes of North American columnar cacti.
Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2017;114: 12003–12008. doi:10.1073/pnas.1706367114

16.   Shimada S, Takahashi K, Sato Y, Sakuta M. Dihydroflavonol 4-reductasecDNA from non-
331   Anthocyanin-Producing Species in the Caryophyllales. Plant Cell Physiol. 2004;45: 1290–1298.
332   doi:10.1093/pcp/pch156

333   17.   Shimada S, Inoue YT, Sakuta M. Anthocyanidin synthase in non-anthocyanin-producing
334   Caryophyllales species. Plant J. 2005;44: 950–959. doi:10.1111/j.1365-313X.2005.02574.x

335   18.   Wickett NJ, Mirarab S, Nguyen N, Warnow T, Carpenter E, Matasci N, et al. Phylotranscriptomic
336   analysis of the origin and early diversification of land plants. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2014;111:
337   E4859–E4868. doi:10.1073/pnas.1323926111

338   19.   Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data.
339   Bioinforma Oxf Engl. 2014;30: 2114–2120. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170

340   20.   Pucker B, Holtgräwe D, Sörensen TR, Stracke R, Viehöver P, Weisshaar B. A *De Novo* Genome
341   Sequence Assembly of the *Arabidopsis thaliana* Accession Niederzenz-1 Displays
342   Presence/Absence Variation and Strong Synteny. PLOS ONE. 2016;11: e0164321.
343   doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0164321

344   21.   Andrews S. FastQC A Quality Control tool for High Throughput Sequence Data [Internet]. 2010
345   [cited 14 Dec 2017]. Available: https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/

346   22.   Marçais G, Kingsford C. A fast, lock-free approach for efficient parallel counting of occurrences
347   of k-mers. Bioinformatics. 2011;27: 764–770. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btr011

348   23.   Vurture GW, Sedlazeck FJ, Nattestad M, Underwood CJ, Fang H, Gurtowski J, et al.
349   GenomeScope: fast reference-free genome profiling from short reads. Bioinformatics. 2017;33:
350   2202–2204. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btx153

351   24.   Luo R, Liu B, Xie Y, Li Z, Huang W, Yuan J, et al. SOAPdenovo2: an empirically improved memory-
352   efficient short-read *de novo* assembler. GigaScience. 2012;1: 18. doi:10.1186/2047-217X-1-18

353   25.   Cha S, Bird DM. Optimizing k-mer size using a variant grid search to enhance de novo genome
354   assembly. Bioinformation. 2016;12: 36–40. doi:10.6026/97320630012036

355   26.   Haak M, Vinke S, Keller W, Droste J, Rückert C, Kalinowski J, et al. High Quality *de Novo*
356   Transcriptome Assembly of *Croton tiglium*. Front Mol Biosci. 2018;5.
357   doi:https://doi.org/10.3389/fmolb.2018.00062

358   27.   Keller O, Kollmar M, Stanke M, Waack S. A novel hybrid gene prediction method employing
359   protein multiple sequence alignments. Bioinforma Oxf Engl. 2011;27: 757–763.
360   doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btr010

361   28.   Simão FA, Waterhouse RM, Ioannidis P, Kriventseva EV, Zdobnov EM. BUSCO: assessing
362   genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy orthologs. Bioinforma Oxf
363   Engl. 2015;31: 3210–3212. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btv351

364   29.   Li H. Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-MEM.
365   ArXiv13033997 Q-Bio. 2013; Available: http://arxiv.org/abs/1303.3997

366   30.   Hunt M, Kikuchi T, Sanders M, Newbold C, Berriman M, Otto TD. REAPR: a universal tool for
367   genome assembly evaluation. Genome Biol. 2013;14: R47. doi:10.1186/gb-2013-14-5-r47

31.   Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. Basic local alignment search tool. J Mol
Biol. 1990;215: 403–410. doi:10.1016/S0022-2836(05)80360-2

32.   Holtgräwe D, Rosleff Sörensen T, Parol-Kryger R, Pucker B, Kleinbölting N, Viehöver P, et al. Low
coverage re-sequencing in sugar beet for anchoring assembly sequences to genomic positions
[Internet]. 2017. Available: https://jbrowse.cebitec.uni-bielefeld.de/RefBeet1.5/

33.   Stadermann KB, Weisshaar B, Holtgräwe D. SMRT sequencing only de novo assembly of the
sugar beet (Beta vulgaris) chloroplast genome. BMC Bioinformatics. 2015;16.
doi:10.1186/s12859-015-0726-6

34.   Kubo T, Nishizawa S, Sugawara A, Itchoda N, Estiati A, Mikami T. The complete nucleotide
sequence of the mitochondrial genome of sugar beet (Beta vulgaris L.) reveals a novel gene for
tRNACys(GCA). Nucleic Acids Res. 2000;28: 2571–2576.

35.   Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, et al. STAR: ultrafast universal
RNA-seq aligner. Bioinforma Oxf Engl. 2013;29: 15–21. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bts635

36.   Smit A, Hubley R, Green P. RepeatMasker Frequently Open-4.0 [Internet]. 2015. Available:
http://www.repeatmasker.org/

37.   Green P. Consed--A Finishing Package [Internet]. [cited 11 Feb 2019]. Available:
http://www.phrap.org/consed/consed.html#howToGet

38.   Kent WJ. BLAT—The BLAST-Like Alignment Tool. Genome Res. 2002;12: 656–664.
doi:10.1101/gr.229202

39.   Pucker B, Holtgräwe D, Weisshaar B. Consideration of non-canonical splice sites improves gene
prediction on the *Arabidopsis thaliana* Niederzenz-1 genome sequence. BMC Res Notes.
2017;10. doi:https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-017-2985-y

40.   Minoche AE, Dohm JC, Schneider J, Holtgräwe D, Viehöver P, Montfort M, et al. Exploiting
single-molecule transcript sequencing for eukaryotic gene prediction. Genome Biol. 2015;16:
184. doi:10.1186/s13059-015-0729-7

41.   Nawrocki EP, Eddy SR. Infernal 1.1: 100-fold faster RNA homology searches. Bioinformatics.
2013;29: 2933–2935. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btt509

42.   Kalvari I, Argasinska J, Quinones-Olvera N, Nawrocki EP, Rivas E, Eddy SR, et al. Rfam 13.0:
shifting to a genome-centric resource for non-coding RNA families. Nucleic Acids Res. 2018;46:
D335–D342. doi:10.1093/nar/gkx1038

43.   Cheng C-Y, Krishnakumar V, Chan AP, Thibaud-Nissen F, Schobel S, Town CD. Araport11: a
complete reannotation of the *Arabidopsis thaliana* reference genome. Plant J. 2017;89: 789–
804. doi:10.1111/tpj.13415

44.   Jones P, Binns D, Chang H-Y, Fraser M, Li W, McAnulla C, et al. InterProScan 5: genome-scale
protein function classification. Bioinformatics. 2014;30: 1236–1240.
doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu031

45.   Stanke M, Keller O, Gunduz I, Hayes A, Waack S, Morgenstern B. AUGUSTUS: *ab initio*
prediction of alternative transcripts. Nucleic Acids Res. 2006;34: W435–W439.
doi:10.1093/nar/gkl200

46.   Sterck L, Rombauts S, Vandepoele K, Rouzé P, Van de Peer Y. How many genes are there in
plants (... and why are they there)? Curr Opin Plant Biol. 2007;10: 199–203.
doi:10.1016/j.pbi.2007.01.004

47.   Pucker B, Brockington SF. Genome-wide analyses supported by RNA-Seq reveal non-canonical
splice sites in plant genomes. BMC Genomics. 2018;19: 980. doi:10.1186/s12864-018-5360-z

Supporting Information

AdditionalFile 1. Sequencing result overview.

AdditionalFile 2. Genome size estimation results. Genome size estimations with GenomeScope [23]

are listed for various k-mer sizes. Two different read sets of *M. australis* were used for the genome

size estimation (1=ERR2401802, 2=ERR2401614) to check the reproducibility.

AdditionalFile 3. Evaluation of assembly attempts of *K. caespitosa*.

AdditionalFile 4. Evaluation of assembly attempts of *M. australis*.

AdditionalFile 5. Evaluation of assembly attempts of *P. exiguum*.

AdditionalFile 6. Detailed list of assembly parameters.

AdditionalFile 7. Gene prediction hint sources. These RNA-Seq read data sets and transcriptome

assemblies were incorporated in the gene annotation process as hints.

AdditionalFile 8. Assembly attempt evaluation results. Statistics of raw assemblies were calculated

to identify the best parameter settings. Since k-mer size was previously reported as the most

important parameter, extensive optimization was performed. In addition, different settings for insert

sizes were evaluated for *P. exiguum* (phe001-phe006). Parameter optimization for *M. australis* was

performed on a subset of all reads due to availability.

AdditionalFile 9. Gene prediction statistics. Different gene prediction approaches were performed

during the optimization process. Results of these predictions include *ab initio* gene prediction and

hint-based approaches. RNA-Seq reads and coding sequences derived from previous transcriptome

assemblies are two incorporated hint types. In addition, we assessed the impact of repeat masking

prior to gene prediction.