Comparative Tn-Seq reveals common daptomycin resistance determinants in

Staphylococcus aureus despite strain-dependent differences in essentiality of shared

cell envelope genes

Kathryn A. Coe[1][¶], Wonsik Lee[1,2][¶], Gloria Komazin-Meredith[3], Timothy C. Meredith[1,3][*],

Yonatan H. Grad[4,5][*], Suzanne Walker[1,6][*]

[1] Department of Microbiology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, United
States
12
13 [2] School of Pharmacy, Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Republic of Korea
14
15 [3] Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Pennsylvania State University,
16 University Park, Pennsylvania, USA
17
18 [4] Department of Immunology and Infectious Diseases, Harvard T.H. Chan School of
19 Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts, USA
20
21 [5] Division of Infectious Diseases, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical
22 School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA
23
24 [6] Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge,
25 Massachusetts, United States
26

28 * Corresponding author
29
30 Email: suzanne_walker@hms.harvard.edu (SW), txm50@psu.edu (TCM),
31 ygrad@hsph.harvard.edu (YHG)
32

33
34 ¶These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract

Antibiotic-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* remains a leading cause of antibiotic resistance-associated mortality in the United States. Given the reality of multi-drug resistant infections, it is imperative that we establish and maintain a pipeline of new compounds to replace or supplement our current antibiotics. A first step towards this goal is to prioritize targets by identifying the genes most consistently required for survival across the *S. aureus* phylogeny. Here we report the first direct comparison of gene essentiality across multiple strains of *S. aureus* via transposon sequencing. We show that mutant fitness varies by strain in key pathways, underscoring the importance of using more than one strain to differentiate between core and strain-dependent essential genes. Despite baseline differences in gene importance, several pathways, including the lipoteichoic acid pathway, become consistently essential under daptomycin exposure, suggesting core vulnerabilities that can be exploited to resensitize daptomycin-nonsusceptible isolates. We also demonstrate the merit of using transposons with outward-facing promoters capable of overexpressing nearby genes for identifying clinically-relevant gain-of-function resistance mechanisms. Together, the daptomycin vulnerabilities and resistance mechanisms support a mode of action with wide-ranging effects on the cell envelope and cell division. This work adds to a growing body of literature demonstrating the nuanced insights gained by comparing Tn-Seq results across multiple bacterial strains.

Author summary

Antibiotic-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* kills thousands of people every year in the United States alone. To stay ahead of the looming threat of multidrug-resistant infections, we must continue to develop new antibiotics and find ways of making our current repertoire of antibiotics more effective, including by finding pairs of compounds that perform best when administered together. In the age of next-generation sequencing, we can now use transposon sequencing to find potential targets for new antibiotics on a genome-wide scale, identified as either essential genes or genes that become essential in the presence of an antibiotic. In this work, we created a compendium of genes that are essential across a range of *S. aureus* strains, as well as those that are essential in the presence of the antibiotic daptomycin. The results will be a resource for researchers working to develop the next generation of antibiotic therapies.

Introduction

Every year in the United States over two million people acquire an antibiotic-resistant infection, and over 23,000 people die from those infections [1]. More people die of infections caused by antibiotic-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* than any other resistant pathogen [2]. The primary antibiotic class used to treat *S. aureus* infections is the β-lactams, but over 50% of *S. aureus* infections in the United States are resistant to methicillin and other β-lactamase-impervious β-lactams [3, 4]. These β-lactam-resistant

strains are termed methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA). Antibiotics such as

vancomycin, linezolid, and daptomycin are used to treat MRSA infections but are

ineffective in an increasing number of cases [5-7]. We need a pipeline of new

compounds that can either kill *S. aureus* outright or resensitize antibiotic-resistant

isolates, regardless of genetic background. Thousands of *S. aureus* isolates have been

sequenced, revealing substantial differences in gene content [8, 9], but the functional

variability across the phylogeny has yet to be systematically investigated. Transposon

sequencing (Tn-Seq) can be used to attribute phenotypes to genes on a genome-wide

scale, enabling researchers to characterize the full essential genome of a bacterial

strain in a single experiment [10, 11]. Here we describe the first multi-strain Tn-Seq

study in *S. aureus*, using comparisons of results from favorable growth conditions to

define baseline variation in genetic dependencies and then exposing the libraries to

daptomycin to probe the factors that modulate daptomycin susceptibility.

Several transposon mutagenesis studies have formerly analyzed the essential

genes in individual *S. aureus* strains [12-17]. Aside from one study conducted in a

livestock-associated strain, all the studies involved one clonal complex, CC8, and most

emphasized laboratory-adapted strains. Only two methicillin-resistant strains have been

examined. Moreover, the conditions for library creation and analysis differed

substantially. With these limitations, it is difficult to assess the congruence of gene

essentiality across *S. aureus* strains. A recent comparative Tn-Seq analysis of

Mycobacterium tuberculosis showed strain-to-strain differences in gene essentiality,

with implications for antibiotic targets and acquisition of resistance; this work highlighted

the importance of carrying out functional genomics studies of important pathogens in

multiple strains using the same methodology [18].

Here we have used our previously reported platform for creating transposon

libraries in *S. aureus* to make high-density transposon libraries in five strains [17].

These libraries comprise six sub-libraries, each made using a different transposon

construct. Several constructs contain outward-facing promoters, which minimize polar

effects within polycistronic operons. In addition, under some conditions, insertions of

outward-facing promoter constructs can upregulate nearby genes to provide a distinct

fitness advantage that is mechanistically informative [19]. The five *S. aureus* strains we

chose represent three clonal complexes (Fig 1B), including two MRSA/methicillin-

sensitive (MSSA) pairs from the same sequence type. MW2, from sequence type 1

(ST1), was the first lineage of community-acquired MRSA infections reported in the

United States [20-22]. MSSA476, a representative of a United Kingdom community-

acquired MSSA lineage, is likewise from ST1 [23]. We have chosen USA300-TCH1516

to represent the hypervirulent USA300 lineage from ST8, which has become the most

common community-acquired MRSA lineage in the United States [21, 24, 25]. The

commonly used MSSA lab strain HG003 is also ST8. To capture a larger scope of the

S. aureus phylogeny, we also included MRSA252, an ST36 hospital-acquired MRSA

strain predominantly found in Europe that has attracted attention for its unusually large

accessory genome [23].

We found 200 genes that are essential in all five strains and thus represent the

core essential genome. We also identified genes that were uniquely essential in a given

strain, as well as genes and pathways present in all five strains but essential in a

subset. These cases imply that strain-specific distal genetic determinants, whether

allelic in nature or horizontally acquired as with β-lactam resistance cassettes, can

profoundly reshape the role of even core pathways. The lipoteichoic acid (LTA) pathway

is one example of a conserved pathway that is differentially important across *S. aureus*

strains.

We probed to what extent the variable genetic dependencies evident in the

essential gene analysis shaped mutant fitness upon exposure to daptomycin, an

antibiotic used for *S. aureus* infections. Patients who cannot tolerate β-lactam or

vancomycin treatment, or who have resistant infections, are commonly treated with

daptomycin. Although still rare, daptomycin nonsusceptibility is on the rise [6]. It might

be possible to overcome infections that are resistant to daptomycin by co-administering

a compound that targets an intrinsic resistance factor found to be universally important

in withstanding daptomycin stress. A recent study comparing two *Streptococcus*

pneumoniae strains found major differences in factors that influenced daptomycin

susceptibility, raising questions about the prevalence of universally conserved

daptomycin intrinsic resistance factors in *S. aureus* [26]. Nevertheless, we identified

daptomycin intrinsic resistance factors conserved in all strains and demonstrated that

even pathways that appear variably essential among strains under normal growth

conditions can become essential in all upon exposure to daptomycin, illuminating a path

forward for combatting daptomycin resistance in *S. aureus*.

Results

Creation of high-density *S. aureus* transposon libraries with both positive and

negative modulation of gene expression

To characterize the functional diversity of *S. aureus*, we generated transposon

libraries with similar coverage in five strains (HG003, USA300-TCH1516, MSSA476,

MW2, and MRSA252). For each strain, we used our phage-based transposition method

to make six sub-libraries using different transposon constructs (Fig 1A) [17]. One

transposon construct contained an erythromycin resistance gene driven by its own

promoter (P_{erm}) and followed by a transcriptional terminator; another lacked the

transcriptional terminator to allow readthrough from the P_{erm} promoter; three constructs

contained the transcriptional terminator, but included an additional, outward-facing

promoter that varied in strength; and the sixth construct lacked the transcriptional

terminator and had an added outward-facing promoter, allowing for bidirectional

transcription. The sub-libraries were made separately and then pooled, with unique

barcodes in each transposon construct to enable disambiguation. These high-density

composite libraries often contained transposon insertions from multiple constructs at a

given TA insertion site. The constructs containing outward-facing promoters minimized

polar effects by allowing expression of downstream genes when an operon was

disrupted. Under some conditions, they also provided supplementary fitness

information. For example, under antibiotic exposure, an upregulated gene conferring a

growth advantage presented in the data as a strand-biased enrichment of promoter-

containing transposon insertions upstream of the gene. These "upregulation signatures"

can identify molecular targets or mechanisms of resistance (Fig 1A, yellow gene;

Methods).

Tn-Seq analysis showed that pooling the six transposon sub-libraries afforded

libraries with high coverage in every genome (Fig 1B). Although there was a three-fold

difference in unique insertions, counting insertions from each sub-library as distinct,

between the library with the fewest insertions (MW2) and the library with the most

insertions (USA300-TCH1516), approximately 90% of the genes in each genome had

high coverage of TA dinucleotide insertion sites. This gave us confidence that we could

obtain a reliable estimate of gene essentiality differences across the strains.

Essential gene analysis reveals unexpected differences between strains

We performed Tn-Seq analysis on the five transposon libraries to identify

essential genes in each strain. For the purposes of this study, we defined an essential

gene as one whose loss precluded survival in competition with a heterogeneous

bacterial population. We categorized genes as essential, non-essential, or

indeterminate based on TA insertion site coverage and sequencing reads (S1 Table).

Most essential genes were essential in all five strains. These 200 genes represent the

core essential genome of *S. aureus* (S1 Fig, S2 Table), with an additional 11 that were

required for at least the three MRSA strains (Fig 2A). Gene ontology overrepresentation

analysis showed that the ubiquitously essential genes were enriched in DNA, RNA, and

protein metabolic processes (S3 Table). Phospholipid and monosaccharide synthesis

were also enriched pathways, reflecting the importance of fatty acids and other cell

envelope precursors. Hypothetical genes were significantly underrepresented among

the ubiquitously essential genes but dominated the group of essential genes that were

only present in a subset of the genomes. Only two such genes were annotated: the

antitioxin gene *pezA* found only in MW2 and a phage repressor found in HG003, MW2,

and MSSA476.

Among annotated genes present in all five strains, there were notable

differences in essentiality. Strains of the same sequence type displayed as much

variability in gene essentiality as strains from different sequence types (S1 Fig),

suggesting it may not be possible to draw general conclusions about a clonal complex

from a functional genomics analysis of one member. Variably essential genes were

found in multiple pathways. For example, the uniquely essential genes in MRSA252

included *sbcD,* which is homologous to an *E. coli* gene encoding a DNA hairpin

cleavage enzyme [27, 28]; *sagB*, which encodes a β-N-acetylglucosaminidase [29]*;* and

gdpP, which hydrolyzes the bacterial second messenger cyclic-di-AMP [30]. It is

important to emphasize that essentiality in a Tn-Seq analysis does not imply that

individual knockouts are not viable; however, it does suggest that there are substantial

differences in the fitness of mutants across strains and that it is worth considering the

broader genomic context in evaluating the cellular roles of genes.

We expected pathways involved in constructing the cell envelope to be equally

essential for all strains, given the importance of the cell envelope in protecting the cell

from outside stressors. We were therefore surprised to find essentiality differences

within the LTA biosynthesis pathway. LTAs are long glycerol or ribitol phosphate

polymers anchored in the membrane of Gram-positive bacteria. In *S. aureus*, LTAs are

important in cell division, autolysin regulation, and virulence, among other processes

[31]. The LTA pathway consists of five genes: *pgcA, gtaB, ugtP, ltaA,* and *ltaS* (Fig 2B).

The first three genes encode proteins involved in the biosynthesis of diglucosyl-

diacylglycerol (Glc$_2$DAG), the membrane anchor on which LTAs are assembled.

Glc$_2$DAG is made inside the cell and flipped to the cell surface by LtaA. The LTA

synthase, LtaS, then assembles the polymer by sequential transfer of phosphoglycerol

units from phosphatidylglycerol to Glc$_2$DAG. LTAs can then be further modified on the

extracellular surface by D-alanine substitutions and N-acetyl glucosamine residues [32,

33]. If Glc$_2$DAG is not available due to deletion of an upstream gene, LtaS can

synthesize LTAs on the alternative membrane anchor phosphatidylglycerol. The four

genes upstream of *ltaS* were previously found to be nonessential for *S. aureus* viability

[34-36], but *ltaS* mutants were reported to be nonviable except at low temperature and

under osmotically-stabilizing conditions unless suppressors were acquired [37-40]. Our

Tn-Seq analysis showed, however, that mutant fitness within the LTA pathway varied

considerably by strain (Fig 2C). The *ltaA* gene was expendable in all strains, but the

three genes responsible for synthesis of the Glc$_2$DAG anchor, *pgcA, gtaB,* and *ugtP*

(*ypfP*), were uniquely essential by Tn-Seq analysis for USA300-TCH1516 (Fig 3A, S2

Fig). Conversely, *ltaS* was unexpectedly dispensable in MW2 and MSSA476 (Fig 3C,

S2 Fig).

We tested individual deletion mutants in the LTA pathway to confirm the Tn-Seq

results. We were able to delete *ugtP* from USA300-TCH1516, consistent with results in

other USA300 strain backgrounds, but a spot dilution assay showed that the mutant

was less fit than the corresponding mutant in MW2 (Fig 3B). We also found that *ltaS* is

dispensable in MW2, but essential in other strains (Fig 4C). Although the MW2Δ*ltaS*

strain was more temperature-sensitive than MW2 wildtype, it grew on plates even at

37°C (S3 Fig). Because lethality due to *ltaS* deletion can be suppressed by deletion of

gdpP, *clpX*, and *sgtB* [37-39], we sequenced the transposon library parent strain but

found no nonsynonymous SNPs in *sgtB* or *gdpP* and only one nonsynonymous SNP in

clpX. The amino acid difference in ClpX is unlikely to explain the observed phenotype,

as it is located in an unstructured region of the protein and the amino acid is not strictly

conserved in the *S. aureus* phylogeny. Moreover, we did not find any evidence for a

duplication of *ltaS* in MW2 and confirmed that the MW2Δ*ltaS* mutant does not produce

LTAs (Fig 3D). The explanation for why LtaS is nonessential in MW2 must lie elsewhere

in the genome and will need to be investigated further.

S. aureus strains share key daptomycin resistance factors

While antibiotics targeting essential genes are invaluable, it may also be

advantageous to have compounds that resensitize bacteria to existing antibiotics,

though they may not be antimicrobial *in vitro* on their own. To find targets for such

compounds, we chose daptomycin as a case study. Daptomycin is a calcium-dependent

lipopeptide antibiotic frequently used to treat *S. aureus* infections. Although its

mechanism of action is not completely clear, it has been shown to insert into Gram-

positive bacterial cell membranes and change membrane curvature, mislocalize cell

division and cell wall synthesis proteins, depolarize the membrane, and ultimately kill

the cell [41-46]. The majority of *S. aureus* infections remain susceptible to daptomycin,

but there have been steady reports of daptomycin nonsusceptibility, making it

imperative to identify intrinsic resistance factors that can be targeted to restore

susceptibility [47].

We grew the five *S. aureus* transposon libraries in the presence and absence of

daptomycin in both cation-adjusted Mueller Hinton broth (MHBII) and Roswell Park

Memorial Institute supplemented with 10% lysogeny broth (RPMI+LB), as we wanted to

ensure the results were not dependent on a particular medium. We found substantial

overlap in the significantly depleted and upregulated genes in the two media, so the

results were considered as a union of the two conditions. For every strain, there were

more hits shared with at least one other strain than there were hits unique to that strain

(Fig 4A, S4 and S5 Table). Genes that were substantially depleted (>10-fold) in three or

more strains are shown in Fig 4B. Several vulnerabilities were above or very close to

the 10-fold cutoff in all five strains.

Many of the shared vulnerabilities to daptomycin are in genes related to the cell

envelope. These include *graRS/vraFG*, encoding the multi-component signaling system

that regulates cell envelope processes [48-50]; *arlR*, encoding a regulator of virulence

genes [51, 52]*; murA1*, encoding an enzyme that catalyzes the first step in

peptidoglycan biosynthesis [53, 54]; *alr1*, one of two genes encoding an alanine

racemase that supplies D-alanine for cell wall synthesis [55]; *mprF*, encoding a

phosphatidylglycerol lysyltransferase that modifies membrane charge [56]; and *ltaA*,

encoding the Glc_2DAG flippase gene in the LTA pathway [34]. The earlier LTA pathway

genes, *gtaB, pgcA,* and *ugtP,* were also significantly depleted in MW2, with the latter

two also depleted in HG003 (Fig 5A). In addition, we identified three depleted cell

division regulators, *gpsB*, *ezrA*, and *noc* (Figs 4B and 4C) [57-59]. A number of these

depleted genes have been previously associated with daptomycin susceptibility,

including *mprF* [60, 61], *graRS*/*vraFG* [49, 62], and *ezrA* [63], but some that were

substantially depleted in all five strains have not been connected to daptomycin,

including *gpsB* and *ltaA*. We confirmed that deletion of *ltaA* sensitizes HG003, MW2,

and USA300-TCH1516 to daptomycin and that the sensitivity can be reversed by *ltaA*

complementation (Fig 5B, S4 Fig). Therefore, Glc_2DAG-LTA in the cell membrane

contributes to *S. aureus* survival in the presence of daptomycin, and it may be possible

to exploit this observation to overcome daptomycin nonsusceptibility or limit its

development.

Just as there were more shared than unique depleted genes for each strain,

there were more shared than unique upregulated genes (Fig 4A). The outward-facing

promoters in several of our transposon constructs can upregulate downstream genes,

allowing us to identify genes that confer a fitness advantage when upregulated. They

are detected by strand-biased read enrichments in promoter-containing constructs, and

we have previously confirmed that these strand-biased read enrichments, or

upregulation signatures, do reflect increased expression of proximal genes [19]. Often

the genes identified this way are the targets of the antibiotic itself or reveal gain-of-

function resistance mechanisms. Standard transposon libraries cannot identify gain-of-

function resistance mechanisms, although loss-of-function resistance mechanisms can

be identified through intragenic read enrichments. Here we found that the genes

enriched in reads upon daptomycin exposure belonged to a wide assortment of cellular

processes and we could not discern a pattern amongst them (S6 Table).

Many of the genes for which we identified upregulation signatures in multiple

strains were previously implicated in daptomycin resistance in *S. aureus* (Table 1).

Daptomycin nonsusceptibility normally develops through the acquisition of multiple

independent mutations that result in changes to the cell envelope [47], and many of the

mutations are thought to be gain-of-function mutations that result in increased activity.

For example, mutations in *mprF* have been selected *in vitro* and in the clinic and are

thought to increase lysyl phosphatidylglycerol in the outer leaflet of the membrane [64].

Other gain-of-function resistance mechanisms identified in our Tn-Seq libraries include

cls2, one of two cardiolipin synthases in *S. aureus* [65]; the staphyloxanthin

biosynthesis gene *crtM* [66]; and two signaling systems, *graRS* and *walKR* (*yycFG*) [62,

67]. Some of these resistance mechanisms have been reported for daptomycin

resistance in other bacteria as well [68]. These hits affirm the utility of upregulation

signatures for identifying clinically-relevant mechanisms of resistance. The gene *murA2,*

whose function was identified as important for withstanding daptomycin exposure in the

depletion analysis, also had upregulation signatures in several strains. The importance

of MurA activity identified in this study – both through the depletion analysis and the

upregulation analysis – is consistent with recent studies showing that a combination of

daptomycin and fosfomycin is significantly more effective at curing MRSA bacteremia

than daptomycin alone, leading to an ongoing phase III clinical trial [69-71]. One caveat

of the upregulating transposons is that they may have distal effects, upregulating both

the most proximal gene and other downstream genes, especially within operons.

Individual mutants need to be tested to confirm that the proximal gene is most

responsible for the phenotype. For example, we identified an upregulation signature for

 ugtP in USA300-TCH1516 and in MSSA476. The *ugtP* gene is upstream of *ltaA* in an

operon, so it is unclear whether upregulating *ugtP* on its own would recapitulate the

transposon-induced fitness advantage or whether *ltaA* upregulation is also necessary.

Regardless of whether upregulation of one or both genes in the operon drives the

fitness advantage, the result is consistent with findings from the depletion analysis that

Glc_2DAG-LTA is important for withstanding daptomycin stress.

Table 1. Upregulation signatures identify genes previously linked to reduced susceptibility to daptomycin.

Gene	Function	HG003	USA300	MSSA476	MW2	MRSA252
cls2	Cardolipin synthesis	■	□	■	■	■
crtM	Staphyloxanthin synthesis	■	■	■	□	□
graRS	Cell wall stress response	■	■	■	■	□
mprF	Lysyl phosphatidylglycerol synthesis	■	■	■	□	□
murA1	Rate-limiting step in peptidoglycan	□	□	■	■	□
murA2	precursor synthesis	■	■	■	■	□
walKR	Cell wall stress response	■	■	□	■	■
00969	Unknown	■	■	■	■	■
02149	Unknown	■	■	■	■	■

Only showing genes with upregulation signatures in at least two strains. Strains sharing

upregulation signature indicated with blue shading. Upregulation and/or suspected gain-

of-function SNPs in all of these genes were previously found to confer a survival

advantage in the presence of daptomycin. Hypothetical genes are listed according to

their NCTC 8325 locus tag numbers.

Upregulation signatures were found upstream of the genes *SAOUHSC_02149*

and *SAOUHSC_00969* in all five strains. These genes each encode a small protein of

unknown function with a single transmembrane helix. We previously identified both as

daptomycin resistance factors in a Tn-Seq study of a single *S. aureus* strain and

confirmed that upregulation confers resistance while inactivation increases susceptibility

to daptomycin [19]. The observation that these genes are important for daptomycin

resistance in all five *S. aureus* strains suggests some effort should be devoted to

evaluating the physiological roles of their encoded products.

Discussion

Declining sequencing costs and efficient transposon mutagenesis methodologies

enable investigation of the genetic basis of phenotypes on a large scale. Tn-Seq

experiments are typically conducted in a single strain that is considered representative,

and it is assumed that results from that strain can be extrapolated to other members of

the species. Recent studies have challenged this notion, demonstrating clear strain-

dependent gene requirements in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis, Streptococcus*

pneumoniae, and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* [18, 26, 72].

In the present study, we reveal how complicated the concept of gene essentiality

can be. We found that the LTA pathway, which has always been presumed to be

essential for all *S. aureus* strains, was variably essential under normal growth

conditions, ranging from required in USA300-TCH1516 to expendable in MW2. We

suspect that intracellular Glc_2DAG has an unidentified function that USA300-TCH1516

depends upon for survival because the Glc$_2$DAG biosynthesis genes (*pgcA, gtaB,* and

ugtP) contained almost no transposon reads, whereas *ltaA,* which encodes the flippase

responsible for translocating Glc$_2$DAG to the cell surface, contained many insertions.

The central role of Glc$_2$DAG in the viability of USA300-TCH1516 may provide a tool to

interrogate what other roles the molecule has in the cell. Likewise, having a strain like

MW2 that can ostensibly survive without LTAs provides new opportunities for

characterizing the functions of LTAs, as future investigations can interrogate what

wildtype MW2 can do that an *ltaS* knockout cannot. Whether strains that can survive

without LTA *in vitro* – due to the presence of known suppressors or to other

uncharacterized genetic background differences – can survive in the more challenging

environment of an animal infection needs to be assessed. LTAs are associated with

virulence and it has been shown in the *S. aureus* Newman strain that *ltaA* is required for

fitness in a mouse abscess model [34]. The results here also show that the LTA

pathway becomes universally required in the presence of daptomycin; therefore, LTA

pathway inhibitors may resensitize nonsusceptible isolates to daptomycin even if they

cannot kill the cells on their own.

We have also demonstrated an improved method for identifying gain-of-function

resistance mechanisms via Tn-Seq. The upregulation signatures that we found under

daptomycin exposure using our transposons with outward-facing promoters largely

echoed the depletion hits. In other words, if removing a gene sensitizes a bacterium to

an antibiotic, upregulating that gene is often protective. For daptomycin, these intrinsic

resistance factors were predominantly cell envelope, cell division, and stress response

system genes. Although a standard Tn-Seq library can identify intrinsic resistance

factors through sensitization of knockouts, sensitization readouts are limited to only

looking at nonessential genes where a decline in insertions can be detected. The

upregulation signatures, on the other hand, are indifferent to the essentiality of the

gene. For example, *ugtP* was upregulated under daptomycin stress in USA300-

TCH1516 even though it was found to be essential in that strain, and *walR,* an essential

multicomponent signaling system gene, was upregulated in multiple strains. As

validation for the approach, most of the genes that had upregulation signatures have

been previously reported as suspected gain-of-function resistance mechanisms for

daptomycin.

In summary, our comparison of Tn-Seq data across multiple strains provided a

more nuanced illustration of *S. aureus* genetic dependencies than we could have

attained using a single strain. The comparative data provide the most comprehensive

list of core essential genes in *S. aureus* to date and have identified myriad strain-

dependent essential genes. The strain-to-strain gene essentiality differences under

normal growth conditions provide a basis to more deeply interrogate *S. aureus* biology.

Meanwhile, those factors that were universally essential may be useful targets for future

antibiotic development. Under daptomycin stress the commonalities between the strains

likewise proved valuable, as they give us a means to prioritize targets for the

development of synergistic compounds. Moreover, Tn-Seq results can be used to

complement findings from other systems biology approaches [9, 73]. As sequencing

continues to become more affordable, we envision performing Tn-Seq in multiple strains

will become routine and will lead to a more comprehensive understanding of the scope

of bacterial phenotypic diversity.

Materials and methods

Materials, bacterial strains, plasmids, and oligonucleotides

All reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich unless otherwise indicated. Bacterial culture media were purchased from BD Sciences. Restriction enzymes and enzymes for Tn-seq preparation were purchased from New England Biolabs. Oligonucleotides and primers were purchased from Integrated DNA Technologies. DNA concentrations were measured using a NanoDrop One Microvolume UV-Vis Spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific). DNA sequencing was performed by Eton Bioscience unless otherwise noted. KOD Hotstart DNA polymerase (Novagen) was used for PCR amplification. *E. coli* strains were grown with shaking at 37°C in lysogeny broth (LB) or on LB plates. *S. aureus* strains were grown at 30°C in tryptic soy broth (TSB) shaking or on TSB agar plates unless otherwise noted. For *S. aureus* strains, compounds for selection or gene induction were used at the following concentrations: 5 µg/mL chloramphenicol and erythromycin; 50 µg/mL kanamycin and neomycin; or 2.5 µg/mL tetracycline. For *E. coli* strains, 100 µg/mL carbenicillin was used for selection. The bacterial strains, plasmids, and oligonucleotide primers used in this study are summarized in S7 Table.

Transposon library construction

Construction of the transposon library in the laboratory *S. aureus* strain HG003

has been described [17]. A similar strategy was used to make libraries in other *S.*

aureus genetic backgrounds after deleting non-compatible DNA restriction systems and

endogenous antibiotic resistance genes that would interfere with transposon library

construction. In the community acquired *S. aureus* MW2 and MSSA476 strains, the

clonal complex CC1 *hsdR* Type I restriction system in each background was first

deleted using the temperature sensitive shuttle vector pKFC with ~1 kb DNA homology

flanking arms. A second restriction system unique to MSSA476 was deleted in a

subsequent round using pKFC to generate the transposon library host. Libraries were

constructed in the *S. aureus* community acquired strain USA300-TCH1516 by first

curing the endogenous plasmid pUSA300HOUMR through destabilizing replication via

integration of pTM283. Cointegrated pUSA300HOUMR-pTM283 was passaged at 30°C

for two rounds of outgrowth in 10 mL of TSB media before streaking to single colonies.

Colonies exhibiting kanamycin and erythromycin sensitivity (encoded on

pUSA300HOUMR) were further checked by PCR to confirm loss of plasmid. The

resulting strain, TM283, was used as host for transposon library construction. A

transposon library of the hospital-acquired, methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* MSRA252

strain was made by first converting the *hsdR* (SAR0196) Type I restriction gene deletion

shuttle vector pGKM305 into a high frequency transduction vector by adding a φ11 DNA

homology region (primers GKM422-423) into the SfoI site to make pGKM306. The

plasmid was electroporated into RN4220 and transduced into wildtype MRSA252 using

φ11-FRT with temperature permissive selection at 30°C [17]. The SAR0196 gene was

then deleted as described above. Both copies of the endogenous duplicated

erythromycin resistance gene (SAR0050 and SAR1735) were likewise deleted in two

rounds using pKFC_SAR0050, except plasmids were directly electroporated into the

restriction negative parent strain GKM361. Due to endogenous aminoglycoside

resistance, the transposase expressing plasmid pORF5 Tnp+ was converted into

chloramphenicol resistant plasmids to enable selection in strain TXM369 and library

construction.

Transposon sequencing

To identify the essential genes in each of the *S. aureus* strains (HG003, USA300-

TCH1516, MSSA476, MW2, and MRSA252), library aliquots were thawed and diluted to

an OD_{600} between 0.2 and 0.3 in 10 mL of MHBII in duplicate. The cultures were then

incubated shaking at 30°C until they reached an OD_{600} of approximately 0.4, roughly

1.5-1.75 hours. The cells were pelleted, and the DNA was extracted and prepared for

Tn-Seq as previously described [17]. Samples were then submitted to either the

Harvard Biopolymers Facility or the Tufts University Core Facility for sequencing on a

HiSeq 2500 instrument.

To identify genes affected by daptomycin exposure, transposon library aliquots

for the six strains were inoculated in either MHBII or RPMI+LB. The cultures were

incubated for 1.5 hours at 30°C in a shaking incubator as described above. The cultures

were diluted to OD_{600} 0.005 in either 2 mL MHBII or RPMI+LB containing a series of

daptomycin concentrations (μg/mL): 0, 0.12, 0.25, 0.5, and 1. The cultures were then

incubated at 37°C in a shaking incubator and their ODs were monitored using a

Genesys 20 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific). The 2 mL cultures were harvested

by centrifugation when the OD_{600} reached 1.5. The cell pellets were stored at -80°C until

processing for Tn-Seq. The genomic DNA was extracted and prepared as described

previously [17]. Samples were submitted to the Tufts University Core Facility for

sequencing on a HiSeq 2500 instrument.

Transposon sequencing data analysis

Transposon sequencing data was split by transposon and sample, trimmed,

filtered, and mapped using the Galaxy software suite as previously described [17, 19,

74, 75]. A workflow for the processing is provided on GitHub. The resulting SAM files

were converted into tab-delimited hop count files using Tufts Galaxy Tn-Seq software

(http://galaxy.med.tufts.edu/) or custom python scripts, likewise provided on GitHub, and

then converted further into IGV-formatted files, as previously described [17, 19].

Chromosome nucleotide FASTA files for NCTC 8325 (NC_007795.1 - HG003

parent strain, as the HG003 genome is not closed), USA300-TCH1516 (NC_010079.1),

MSSA476 (NC_002953.3), MW2 (NC_003929.1), and MRSA252 (NC_002952.2) were

downloaded from the NCBI genomes database. The genomes were reannotated via

Prokka and the pangenome was aligned with Roary, splitting by homolog and using a

90% ID cutoff [76, 77]. Roary group names were then adjusted based on common *S.*

aureus pangenome gene names found on AureoWiki [78].

Genes in each strain were labeled as essential, non-essential, or uncertain using

the TRANSIT software Gumbel method [79]. Only the transposon constructs with

transcriptional terminators were included (four of six transposon constructs). For each

TRANSIT Gumbel run, data files for each transposon construct in both replicates (for a

total of eight files) were submitted and the mean replicates parameter was chosen.

Permutation tests with 20,000 permutations were then conducted between each pair of

strains for each gene to determine whether differences in essentiality were significant,

using the sum of the same data sets used in the Gumbel analysis. Data were

normalized by average reads per TA site with reads (non-zero means normalization)

and the p-values from each file pair were corrected for multiple hypothesis testing using

the Benjamini-Hochberg method. A gene was considered significantly different between

two strains if the q-value was less than 0.05. The list of genes essential for all five

strains was then analyzed using the online gene ontology tool PANTHER version 13.1,

comparing the NCTC 8325 locus tags for the essential genes to the *S. aureus* reference

gene list and using default settings for the overrepresentation test [80].

Genes depleted or enriched under daptomycin stress were identified by

normalizing the treated sample data by non-zero means and performing a Mann-

Whitney U test for each gene. The p-values were then corrected for multiple hypothesis

testing by the Benjamini-Hochberg method. A depleted gene was considered to be a hit

if there were at least 100 reads in the control file, the q-value was less than 0.05, and

the treated:control read ratio was less than 0.1. An enriched gene was considered to be

a hit if there were at least 100 normalized reads in the treated file, the q-value was less

than 0.05, and the read ratio was greater than ten. We then selected one representative

daptomycin concentration for each strain in each medium, chosen to have a similar

selective pressure (Table 2). Specifically, the highest concentration file with twenty-five

or fewer 10-fold depleted genes with a significant q-value was chosen.

Table 2. Daptomycin samples included in the multi-strain comparison of depleted

and upregulated genes.

Strain	Medium	Concentration (µg/mL)	Depleted Genes
USA300-TCH1516	RPMI+LB	0.12	8
USA300-TCH1516	MHBII	0.5	12
MW2	RPMI+LB	0.25	18
MW2	MHBII	0.5	8
MSSA476	RPMI+LB	0.25	7
MSSA476	MHBII	0.5	8
HG003	RPMI+LB	0.25	9
HG003	MHBII	1	25
MRSA252	RPMI+LB	0.5	19
MRSA252	MHBII	1	18

Genes upregulated under daptomycin stress were identified by a bootstrapping

approach. We defined the upstream region (UR) of a gene to be the 500 bp ahead of a

gene, based on the 99th percentile of 5' untranslated regions of transcripts in *E. coli* [81].

Only TA sites located in a UR that had at least one read in either the control or the

treated data were included in the analysis. Read differences for each site were then

calculated by subtracting the control data reads from the treated data reads. For each

strand and for each gene, a distribution for the expected difference in UR reads

between the treated sample and the control was created by counting the number of

qualifying TA sites in the UR (N) and generating 200,000 summed random samples of N

read differences from across the genome. A one-sided p-value for each strand was

calculated as the proportion of the null distribution values that was greater than the

actual value for that gene, and a q-value was obtained using the Benjamini-Hochberg

method. We defined an upregulation signature as any UR in which the q-value for the

DNA strand matching the gene direction was less than 0.05, there was more than one

TA site in the UR, and the opposing strand had a read difference less than the 90th

percentile for all URs. Again, only those samples shown in Table 2 were included.

Whole genome sequence comparisons

To obtain the genomic sequences of the transposon library parent strains, the

strains were cultured in MHBII at 37°C shaking overnight. The DNA was harvested

using a Promega Wizard Genomic DNA purification kit and cleaned using a Zymo DNA

Clean Up Kit. DNA was tagmented using the Illumina Nextera DNA Library Prep kit, but

using 1/20th of the volume recommended by the manufacturer and starting DNA

concentrations of 0.5, 0.75, 1, and 2 ng/µL. The tagged DNA fragments were then

amplified via PCR. The PCR samples contained 11.2 µL of KAPA polymerase mix

(Illumina), 4.4 µL each of the 5 µM column and row indexing primers, and 2.5 µL of

tagmented DNA. The thermocycler settings were as follows: preincubation (3 min, 72

°C), polymerase activation (5 min, 98 °C), 13 amplification cycles (denaturation at 98 °C

for 10 sec, annealing at 62°C for 30 sec, and extension at 72°C for 30 sec), and

termination (5 min, 72 °C).

To determine which starting DNA concentrations yielded the best fragment size,

3.75 µL of each amplified sample was mixed with 4 µL of 6x loading dye and run on a

1.5% agarose gel at 110 V. The sample with an average length closest to 500 bp was

chosen for each strain. Those samples were then cleaned as recommended by Illumina,

except that we started with 15 uL of amplified tagmented DNA and 12 µL of AMPure XP

beads. The concentrations of the resulting DNA samples were estimated via Qubit

Fluorometric Quantification (Thermo Fisher Scientific) following the manufacturer's

instructions. The DNA was then diluted to 1 ng/µL and pooled, and a portion of it was

submitted to the Harvard Biopolymer's Facility for TapeStation and qPCR quality control

analysis. Upon passing the quality control step, the DNA was prepared and loaded into

the sequencing cartridge as directed by the MiSeq Reagent Kit v3 with 150 cycles

(Illumina), including a 1% PhiX control spike-in prepared according to manufacturer's

instructions (PhiX Control v3, Illumina), and paired-end sequenced using a MiSeq

instrument.

Genomic data was then analyzed to find SNPs, insertions, and deletions.

MetaPhlAn2 was used to verify that the DNA was not contaminated. Sequences were

then aligned to the appropriate NCBI reference genome using the Burrow-Wheels

Aligner (BWA-MEM, v7.12) with default settings [82]. Note that NCTC 8325 was used

for HG003, as the HG003 genome on NCBI is not closed. Duplicate reads were marked

with Picard, and Pilon (v1.16) was used for variant calling, with a minimum depth of

1/10th of the average read depth and a minimum mapping quality of 30, unless the

average read depth was less than 100, in which case the minimum mapping quality was

set to 15 [83, 84]. The resulting VCF files were then evaluated for mutations in relevant

areas of the genome (*i.e.* the LTA pathway or known *ltaS* suppressors). We compared

the predicted protein sequences for LTA pathway members and *ltaS* suppressors in the

five strains using the online Clustal Omega tool from EMBL-EBI [85].

Gene deletion and complementation

To make an anhydrotetracycline (Atet) inducible construct of *ugtP*, a fragment

containing the *ugtP* and *ltaA* operon (SAOUHSC_00953-00952) and its ribosomal

binding site was amplified from HG003 genomic DNA using *ugtP*-F and *ugtP*-R. The

fragment was then cloned into pTP63 using KpnI and EcoRI to generate pTP63-*ugtP*,

and the pTP63-*ugtP* was transformed into a wildtype RN4220 strain containing pTP44

[86]. Next, the integrated inducible *ugtP* operon was transduced into wildtype HG003,

MW2, and USA300-TCH1516 using ϕ11 phage to generate HG003-P$_{Atet}$-*ugtP*, MW2-

P$_{Atet}$-*ugtP*, and USA300-TCH1516-P$_{Atet}$-*ugtP*. To generate inducible *ugtP* strains, the

wildtype P$_{Atet}$-*ugtP* strains were grown in TSB containing 0.3 μM Atet for 6 hours at

30°C, after which they were transduced with a transposon-inactivated *ugtP* marked with

an erythromycin resistance gene [13]. The desired mutants were selected on TSB agar

containing 5 μg/mL erythromycin and 0.3 μM Atet and confirmed by PCR using the

primers *ugtP*-CA and *ugtP*-CB.

To construct an Atet-inducible *ltaA* construct, the *ltaA* gene (*SAOUHSC_00952*)

and the *ugtP-ltaA* operon ribosome binding site were amplified from HG003 genomic

DNA using primers *ltaA*-F and *ugtP*-R and cloned into pTP63 to generate pTP63-*ltaA*.

As described above, the pTP63-*ltaA* was transduced into wildtype HG003 and MW2,

and the resulting strains were then transduced with a Δ*ltaA* construct marked with a

kanamycin resistance gene to generate the inducible *ltaA* strains [87].

To construct an Atet-inducible *ltaS* construct, the *ltaS* gene and its ribosome

binding site were PCR amplified using the primers *ltaS*-F and *ltaS*-R and cloned into

pTP63 to make pTP63-*ltaS*. To make an inducible *ltaS* strain, pTP63-*ltaS* and then a

Δ*ltaS* construct marked with an erythromycin resistance gene were transduced into

wildtype HG003, MW2, and USA300-TCH1516 as described above [37]. The *ltaS*

mutants were confirmed by PCR using the primers *ltaS*-CA and *ltaS*-CB.

Spot dilution assays

Overnight cultures of the relevant strains were grown in TSB at 30°C until mid-log

phase and diluted to an OD_{600} of 0.1. Five 10-fold dilutions of the resulting cultures were

prepared, and 5 μL of each dilution was spotted on TSB plates with or without 0.3 μM

Atet and, where indicated, 2.5 µg/mL daptomycin. Plates were imaged after

approximately 16 hours of incubation. For the *ltaS* experiments, plates were incubated

at 30°C, 37°C, and 42°C. For the *ltaA* experiments, plates were incubated at 37°C.

LTA western blot

LTAs from MW2, MW2-P$_{Atet}$-*ltaS,* and 4S5, a strain derived from RN4220 known

to not produce LTAs [37], were isolated and detected via western blot using a procedure

similar to that previously described [88]. Overnight cultures of each strain were grown

shaking at 30°C in TSB supplemented with 7.5% salt, with the MW2-P_{Atet}-*ltaS* grown

both in the presence and absence of 0.4 µM Atet. Cultures were then diluted 1:50 in the

same medium and incubated shaking at 30°C until the OD_{600} was between 0.6 and 0.75.

The equivalent of 1 mL of OD_{600} 0.8 was then harvested from each sample by

centrifuging at 8000 x *g* for three minutes. The cell pellets were resuspended in 50 µL of

a buffer composed of 50 mM Tris pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, and 200 µg/mL lysostaphin.

Samples were incubated at 37°C for ten minutes. Then, 50 µL of 4x SDS-PAGE loading

buffer was added and the samples were boiled for thirty minutes. After returning the

samples to room temperature, 100 µL water and 0.5 µL of proteinase K (New England

Biolabs) was added to each sample, and samples were then incubated at 50°C for two

hours. After cooling samples to room temperature, 2 µL of AEBSF was added to each

sample to quench the proteinase K. Ten microliters of each sample and 5 µL of

Precision Plus Protein Dual Xtra standard (BioRad) were loaded onto a 4-20% TGX

precast gel (BioRad) and the gel was run for 30 minutes at 200 V using a running buffer

that was 0.5% Tris, 1.5% glycine, and 0.1% SDS. Proteins were transferred to a

methanol-activated PVDF membrane using a TransBlot Turbo (BioRad) via the pre-

installed mixed molecular weight setting. The membrane was rinsed with TBST (0.05%

Tween-20) and incubated rocking overnight at 4°C in 5% milk in TBST to block. After

washing the membrane with TBST three times for five minutes each, the LTAs were

bound with a 1:750 solution of a mouse anti-LTA antibody (Hycult Biotech) in TBST for

45 min. The membrane was then washed an additional three times for five minutes

each before incubating in a 1:2000 dilution of anti-mouse horseradish peroxidase

conjugated antibody (Cell Signaling Technologies) in TBST. The membrane was

washed a final five times for five minutes each and then exposed to ECL western

blotting substrate (Pierce). The membrane was imaged on a FluoroChem R gel doc

(ProteinSimple) with a 2 min 40 second exposure for luminescence to detect the LTAs

and automatic exposure for red and green fluorescence channels to detect the protein

standard.

Acknowledgements

We thank David Hooper (Massachusetts General Hospital) for providing the

MW2 strain, Samir Moussa (Harvard Medical School) for creating the pWV01-C9

plasmid with chloramphenicol resistance, Mohamad Sater (Harvard T.H. Chan School

of Public Health) for guidance in aligning and annotating whole genome sequences, and

Scott Olesen (Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health) for productive conversations

regarding the statistical analysis of transposon sequencing data.

References

1.   Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Antibiotic / antimicrobial
resistance (AR / AMR) 2018 [updated September 10, 2018; cited 2018 December 21].
Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/drugresistance/about.html.

2.   Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Antibiotic resistance threats
in the United States, 2013. 2013.

3.   World Health Organization. Prioritization of pathogens to guide discovery,
research and development of new antibiotics for drug-resistant bacterial infections,
including tuberculosis. Geneva: 2017.

4.   Monegro AF, Regunath H. Hospital acquired infections. StatPearls [Internet]:
StatPearls Publishing; 2017.

5.   Spagnolo AM, Orlando P, Panatto D, Amicizia D, Perdelli F, Cristina ML.
Staphylococcus aureus with reduced susceptibility to vancomycin in healthcare settings.
Journal of Preventive Medicine and Hygiene. 2014;55(4):137.

6.   Stefani S, Campanile F, Santagati M, Mezzatesta ML, Cafiso V, Pacini G.
Insights and clinical perspectives of daptomycin resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus*: a
review of the available evidence. International journal of antimicrobial agents.
2015;46(3):278-89.

7.   Decousser J-W, Desroches M, Bourgeois-Nicolaos N, Potier J, Jehl F, Lina G, et
al. Susceptibility trends including emergence of linezolid resistance among coagulase-
negative staphylococci and meticillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* from invasive
infections. International journal of antimicrobial agents. 2015;46(6):622-30.

8.   Planet PJ, Narechania A, Chen L, Mathema B, Boundy S, Archer G, et al.
Architecture of a species: phylogenomics of *Staphylococcus aureus*. Trends in
Microbiology. 2017;25(2):153-66.

9.   Bosi E, Monk JM, Aziz RK, Fondi M, Nizet V, Palsson BO. Comparative genome-
scale modelling of *Staphylococcus aureus* strains identifies strain-specific metabolic
capabilities linked to pathogenicity. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences.
2016;113(26):E3801-9. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1523199113. PubMed PMID: 27286824;
PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4932939.

10.   van Opijnen T, Bodi KL, Camilli A. Tn-seq: high-throughput parallel sequencing
for fitness and genetic interaction studies in microorganisms. Nature Methods.
2009;6(10):767.

11.   Goodman AL, McNulty NP, Zhao Y, Leip D, Mitra RD, Lozupone CA, et al.
Identifying genetic determinants needed to establish a human gut symbiont in its
habitat. Cell Host & Microbe. 2009;6(3):279-89.

12.   Chaudhuri RR, Allen AG, Owen PJ, Shalom G, Stone K, Harrison M, et al.
Comprehensive identification of essential *Staphylococcus aureus* genes using
Transposon-Mediated Differential Hybridisation (TMDH). BMC Genomics.
2009;10(1):291.

13.   Fey PD, Endres JL, Yajjala VK, Widhelm TJ, Boissy RJ, Bose JL, et al. A genetic
resource for rapid and comprehensive phenotype screening of nonessential
Staphylococcus aureus genes. MBio. 2013;4(1):e00537-12.

14.   Bae T, Banger AK, Wallace A, Glass EM, Aslund F, Schneewind O, et al.
Staphylococcus aureus virulence genes identified by *bursa aurealis* mutagenesis and
nematode killing. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences.

2004;101(33):12312-7. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0404728101. PubMed PMID: 15304642;
PubMed Central PMCID: PMC514475.

15.   Christiansen MT, Kaas RS, Chaudhuri RR, Holmes MA, Hasman H, Aarestrup
FM. Genome-wide high-throughput screening to investigate essential genes involved in
methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* sequence type 398 survival. PLOS ONE.
2014;9(2):e89018.

16.   Valentino MD, Foulston L, Sadaka A, Kos VN, Villet RA, Santa Maria J, et al.
Genes contributing to *Staphylococcus aureus* fitness in abscess- and infection-related
ecologies. mBio. 2014;5(5):e01729-14.

17.   Santiago M, Matano LM, Moussa SH, Gilmore MS, Walker S, Meredith TC. A
new platform for ultra-high density *Staphylococcus aureus* transposon libraries. BMC
Genomics. 2015;16:252. doi: 10.1186/s12864-015-1361-3. PubMed PMID: 25888466;
PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4389836.

18.   Carey AF, Rock JM, Krieger IV, Chase MR, Fernandez-Suarez M, Gagneux S, et
al. TnSeq of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* clinical isolates reveals strain-specific
antibiotic liabilities. PLOS Pathogens. 2018;14(3):e1006939.

19.   Santiago M, Lee W, Fayad AA, Coe KA, Rajagopal M, Do T, et al. Genome-wide
mutant profiling predicts the mechanism of a Lipid II binding antibiotic. Nature Chemical
Biology. 2018;14(6):601-8. doi: 10.1038/s41589-018-0041-4. PubMed PMID: 29662210;
PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5964011.

20.   Baba T, Takeuchi F, Kuroda M, Yuzawa H, Aoki K-i, Oguchi A, et al. Genome
and virulence determinants of high virulence community-acquired MRSA. The Lancet.
2002;359(9320):1819-27.

21.   Chambers HF, DeLeo FR. Waves of resistance: *Staphylococcus aureus* in the
antibiotic era. Nature Reviews Microbiology. 2009;7(9):629.

22.   Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Four pediatric deaths from
community-acquired methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*—Minnesota and North
Dakota, 1997-1999. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report. 1999;48(32):707.

23.   Holden MT, Feil EJ, Lindsay JA, Peacock SJ, Day NP, Enright MC, et al.
Complete genomes of two clinical *Staphylococcus aureus* strains: evidence for the rapid
evolution of virulence and drug resistance. Proceedings of the National Academy of
Sciences. 2004;101(26):9786-91.

24.   Highlander SK, Hultén KG, Qin X, Jiang H, Yerrapragada S, Mason EO, et al.
Subtle genetic changes enhance virulence of methicillin resistant and sensitive
Staphylococcus aureus. BMC Microbiology. 2007;7(1):1.

25.   Kennedy AD, Otto M, Braughton KR, Whitney AR, Chen L, Mathema B, et al.
Epidemic community-associated methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: recent

clonal expansion and diversification. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences.
2008;105(4):1327-32.

26. van Opijnen T, Dedrick S, Bento J. Strain dependent genetic networks for
antibiotic-sensitivity in a bacterial pathogen with a large pan-genome. PLOS Pathogens.
2016;12(9):e1005869. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1005869. PubMed PMID: 27607357;
PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5015961.

27. Connelly JC, De Leau ES, Leach DR. DNA cleavage and degradation by the
SbcCD protein complex from *Escherichia coli*. Nucleic Acids Research.
1999;27(4):1039-46.

28. Connelly JC, Kirkham LA, Leach DR. The SbcCD nuclease of *Escherichia coli* is
a structural maintenance of chromosomes (SMC) family protein that cleaves hairpin
DNA. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 1998;95(14):7969-74.

29. Wheeler R, Turner RD, Bailey RG, Salamaga B, Mesnage S, Mohamad SA, et al.
Bacterial cell enlargement requires control of cell wall stiffness mediated by
peptidoglycan hydrolases. mBio. 2015;6(4):e00660-15.

30. Holland LM, O'donnell ST, Ryjenkov DA, Gomelsky L, Slater SR, Fey PD, et al. A
staphylococcal GGDEF domain protein regulates biofilm formation independently of
cyclic dimeric GMP. Journal of Bacteriology. 2008;190(15):5178-89.

31. Pasquina LW, Santa Maria JP, Walker S. Teichoic acid biosynthesis as an
antibiotic target. Current Opinion in Microbiology. 2013;16(5):531-7.

32. Kho K, Meredith TC. Salt-induced stress stimulates a lipoteichoic acid-specific
three-component glycosylation system in *Staphylococcus aureus*. Journal of
Bacteriology. 2018;200(12):e00017-18.

33. Neuhaus FC, Baddiley J. A continuum of anionic charge: structures and functions
of D-alanyl-teichoic acids in Gram-positive bacteria. Microbiology Molecular Biology
Reviews. 2003;67(4):686-723.

34. Gründling A, Schneewind O. Genes required for glycolipid synthesis and
lipoteichoic acid anchoring in *Staphylococcus aureus*. Journal of Bacteriology.
2007;189(6):2521-30.

35. Gründling A, Schneewind O. Synthesis of glycerol phosphate lipoteichoic acid in
Staphylococcus aureus. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences.
2007;104(20):8478-83.

36. Kiriukhin MY, Debabov DV, Shinabarger DL, Neuhaus FC. Biosynthesis of the
glycolipid anchor in lipoteichoic acid of *Staphylococcus aureus* RN4220: role of YpfP,
the diglucosyldiacylglycerol synthase. Journal of Bacteriology. 2001;183(11):3506-14.

37. Corrigan RM, Abbott JC, Burhenne H, Kaever V, Gründling A. c-di-AMP is a new
second messenger in *Staphylococcus aureus* with a role in controlling cell size and
envelope stress. PLOS Pathogens. 2011;7(9):e1002217.

38. Bæk KT, Bowman L, Millership C, Søgaard MD, Kaever V, Siljamäki P, et al. The
cell wall polymer lipoteichoic acid becomes nonessential in *Staphylococcus aureus* cells
lacking the ClpX chaperone. MBio. 2016;7(4):e01228-16.

39. Karinou E, Schuster CF, Pazos M, Vollmer W, Gründling A. Inactivation of the
monofunctional peptidoglycan glycosyltransferase SgtB allows *Staphylococcus aureus*
to survive in the absence of lipoteichoic acid. Journal of Bacteriology. 2018. doi:
10.1128/jb.00574-18.

40. Oku Y, Kurokawa K, Matsuo M, Yamada S, Lee B-L, Sekimizu K. Pleiotropic
roles of polyglycerolphosphate synthase of lipoteichoic acid in growth of *Staphylococcus*
aureus cells. Journal of Bacteriology. 2009;191(1):141-51.

41. Eliopoulos GM, Thauvin C, Gerson B, Moellering R. *In vitro* activity and
mechanism of action of A21978C1, a novel cyclic lipopeptide antibiotic. Antimicrobial
Agents and Chemotherapy. 1985;27(3):357-62.

42. Pogliano J, Pogliano N, Silverman JA. Daptomycin-mediated reorganization of
membrane architecture causes mislocalization of essential cell division proteins. Journal
of Bacteriology. 2012;194(17):4494-504.

43. Alborn W, Allen N, Preston D. Daptomycin disrupts membrane potential in
growing *Staphylococcus aureus*. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy.
1991;35(11):2282-7.

44. Lakey JH, Ptak M. Fluorescence indicates a calcium-dependent interaction
between the lipopeptide antibiotic LY 146032 and phospholipid membranes.
Biochemistry. 1988;27(13):4639-45.

45. Silverman JA, Perlmutter NG, Shapiro HM. Correlation of daptomycin bactericidal
activity and membrane depolarization in *Staphylococcus aureus*. Antimicrobial Agents
and Chemotherapy. 2003;47(8):2538-44.

46. Müller A, Wenzel M, Strahl H, Grein F, Saaki TN, Kohl B, et al. Daptomycin
inhibits cell envelope synthesis by interfering with fluid membrane microdomains.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2016;113(45):E7077-E86.

47. Heidary M, Khosravi AD, Khoshnood S, Nasiri MJ, Soleimani S, Goudarzi M.
Daptomycin. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy. 2018;73(1):1-11. doi:
10.1093/jac/dkx349.

48. Falord M, Karimova G, Hiron A, Msadek T. GraXSR proteins interact with the
VraFG ABC transporter to form a five-component system required for cationic

antimicrobial peptide sensing and resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus*. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy. 2012;56(2):1047-58.

49.   Meehl M, Herbert S, Gotz F, Cheung A. Interaction of the GraRS two-component system with the VraFG ABC transporter to support vancomycin-intermediate resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus*. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy. 2007;51(8):2679-89. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00209-07. PubMed PMID: 17502406; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC1932546.

50.   Cui L, Lian J-Q, Neoh H-m, Reyes E, Hiramatsu K. DNA microarray-based identification of genes associated with glycopeptide resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus*. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy. 2005;49(8):3404-13.

51.   Fournier B, Hooper DC. A new two-component regulatory system involved in adhesion, autolysis, and extracellular proteolytic activity of *Staphylococcus aureus*. Journal of Bacteriology. 2000;182(14):3955-64.

52.   Fournier B, Klier A, Rapoport G. The two-component system ArlS–ArlR is a regulator of virulence gene expression in *Staphylococcus aureus*. Molecular Microbiology. 2001;41(1):247-61.

53.   Bugg T, Walsh C. Intracellular steps of bacterial cell wall peptidoglycan biosynthesis: enzymology, antibiotics, and antibiotic resistance. Natural Product Reports. 1992;9(3):199-215.

54.   Blake KL, O'Neill AJ, Mengin-Lecreulx D, Henderson PJ, Bostock JM, Dunsmore CJ, et al. The nature of *Staphylococcus aureus* MurA and MurZ and approaches for detection of peptidoglycan biosynthesis inhibitors. Molecular Microbiology. 2009;72(2):335-43.

55.   Kullik I, Jenni R, Berger-Bächi B. Sequence of the putative alanine racemase operon in *Staphylococcus aureus*: insertional interruption of this operon reduces D-alanine substitution of lipoteichoic acid and autolysis. Gene. 1998;219(1-2):9-17.

56.   Peschel A, Jack RW, Otto M, Collins LV, Staubitz P, Nicholson G, et al. *Staphylococcus aureus* resistance to human defensins and evasion of neutrophil killing via the novel virulence factor MprF is based on modification of membrane lipids with L-lysine. Journal of Experimental Medicine. 2001;193(9):1067-76.

57.   Eswara PJ, Brzozowski RS, Viola MG, Graham G, Spanoudis C, Trebino C, et al. An essential *Staphylococcus aureus* cell division protein directly regulates FtsZ dynamics. eLife. 2018;7:e38856.

58.   Steele VR, Bottomley AL, Garcia-Lara J, Kasturiarachchi J, Foster SJ. Multiple essential roles for EzrA in cell division of *Staphylococcus aureus*. Molecular Microbiology. 2011;80(2):542-55.

59.   Pang T, Wang X, Lim HC, Bernhardt TG, Rudner DZ. The nucleoid occlusion
factor Noc controls DNA replication initiation in *Staphylococcus aureus*. PLOS Genetics.
2017;13(7):e1006908.

60.   Bayer AS, Mishra NN, Cheung AL, Rubio A, Yang S-J. Dysregulation of *mprF*
and *dltABCD* expression among daptomycin-non-susceptible MRSA clinical isolates.
Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy. 2016;71(8):2100-4.

61.   Yang S-J, Mishra NN, Rubio A, Bayer AS. Causal role of single nucleotide
polymorphisms (SNPs) within the *mprF* gene of *Staphylococcus aureus* in daptomycin
resistance. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy. 2013:AAC. 01184-13.

62.   Neoh HM, Cui L, Yuzawa H, Takeuchi F, Matsuo M, Hiramatsu K. Mutated
response regulator *graR* is responsible for phenotypic conversion of *Staphylococcus*
aureus from heterogeneous vancomycin-intermediate resistance to vancomycin-
intermediate resistance. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy. 2008;52(1):45-53.
doi: 10.1128/AAC.00534-07. PubMed PMID: 17954695; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMC2223914.

63.   Blake KL, O'Neill AJ. Transposon library screening for identification of genetic
loci participating in intrinsic susceptibility and acquired resistance to antistaphylococcal
agents. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy. 2013;68(1):12-6. doi:
10.1093/jac/dks373. PubMed PMID: 23045225.

64.   Humphries RM, Pollett S, Sakoulas G. A current perspective on daptomycin for
the clinical microbiologist. Clinical Microbiology Reviews. 2013;26(4):759-80.

65.   Cafiso V, Bertuccio T, Purrello S, Campanile F, Mammina C, Sartor A, et al. *dltA*
overexpression: A strain-independent keystone of daptomycin resistance in methicillin-
resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. International journal of antimicrobial agents.
2014;43(1):26-31. doi: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2013.10.001. PubMed PMID: 24183798.

66.   Mishra NN, Liu GY, Yeaman MR, Nast CC, Proctor RA, McKinnell J, et al.
Carotenoid-related alteration of cell membrane fluidity impacts *Staphylococcus aureus*
susceptibility to host defense peptides. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy.
2011;55(2):526-31. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00680-10. PubMed PMID: 21115796; PubMed
Central PMCID: PMC3028772.

67.   Friedman L, Alder JD, Silverman JA. Genetic changes that correlate with
reduced susceptibility to daptomycin in *Staphylococcus aureus*. Antimicrobial Agents
and Chemotherapy. 2006;50(6):2137-45. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00039-06. PubMed PMID:
PMC1479123.

68.   Tran TT, Munita JM, Arias CA. Mechanisms of drug resistance: daptomycin
resistance. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences. 2015;1354(1):32-53.

69.   Dhand A, Sakoulas G. Daptomycin in combination with other antibiotics for the
treatment of complicated methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteremia.
Clinical Therapeutics. 2014;36(10):1303-16.

70.   Miró JM, Entenza JM, Del Río A, Velasco M, Castañeda X, Garcia de la Mària C,
et al. High-dose daptomycin plus fosfomycin is safe and effective in treating methicillin-
susceptible and methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* endocarditis. Antimicrobial
Agents and Chemotherapy. 2012;56(8):4511-5. doi: 10.1128/AAC.06449-11. PubMed
PMID: 22644033; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3421550.

71.   Shaw Perujo E, Miró Meda JM, Puig-Asensio M, Pigrau C, Barcenilla F, Murillas
J, et al. Daptomycin plus fosfomycin versus daptomycin monotherapy in treating MRSA:
protocol of a multicentre, randomised, phase III trial. BMJ Open. 2015;5(3).

72.   Poulsen BE, Yang R, Clatworthy AE, White T, Osmulski SJ, Li L, et al. Defining
the core essential genome of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Proceedings of the National
Academy of Sciences. 2019:201900570. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1900570116.

73.   Choe D, Szubin R, Dahesh S, Cho S, Nizet V, Palsson B, et al. Genome-scale
analysis of Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus USA300 reveals a tradeoff
between pathogenesis and drug resistance. Science Reports. 2018;8(1):2215. doi:
10.1038/s41598-018-20661-1. PubMed PMID: 29396540; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC5797083.

74.   Goecks J, Nekrutenko A, Taylor J, Galaxy T. Galaxy: a comprehensive approach
for supporting accessible, reproducible, and transparent computational research in the
life sciences. Genome Biology. 2010;11(8):R86. doi: 10.1186/gb-2010-11-8-r86.
PubMed PMID: 20738864; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2945788.

75.   Afgan E, Baker D, Batut B, van den Beek M, Bouvier D, Cech M, et al. The
Galaxy platform for accessible, reproducible and collaborative biomedical analyses:
2018 update. Nucleic Acids Research. 2018;46(W1):W537-W44. doi:
10.1093/nar/gky379. PubMed PMID: 29790989; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMC6030816.

76.   Seemann T. Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. Bioinformatics.
2014;30(14):2068-9. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu153. PubMed PMID: 24642063.

77.   Page AJ, Cummins CA, Hunt M, Wong VK, Reuter S, Holden MT, et al. Roary:
rapid large-scale prokaryote pan genome analysis. Bioinformatics. 2015;31(22):3691-3.
doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btv421. PubMed PMID: 26198102; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMC4817141.

78.   Bernhardt J, Fuchs S, Mäder U, Mehlan H, Michalik S, Otto A, et al. AureoWiki
2018. Available from: http://aureowiki.med.uni-greifswald.de/Main_Page.

79.   DeJesus MA, Ambadipudi C, Baker R, Sassetti C, Ioerger TR. TRANSIT - a
software tool for Himar1 TnSeq analysis. PLOS Computational Biology.

2015;11(10):e1004401. doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1004401. PubMed PMID: 26447887; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4598096.

80.   Mi H, Huang X, Muruganujan A, Tang H, Mills C, Kang D, et al. PANTHER version 11: expanded annotation data from Gene Ontology and Reactome pathways, and data analysis tool enhancements. Nucleic Acids Research. 2016;45(D1):D183-D9.

81.   Gama-Castro S, Salgado H, Santos-Zavaleta A, Ledezma-Tejeida D, Muniz-Rascado L, Garcia-Sotelo JS, et al. RegulonDB version 9.0: high-level integration of gene regulation, coexpression, motif clustering and beyond. Nucleic Acids Research. 2016;44(D1):D133-43. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkv1156. PubMed PMID: 26527724; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4702833.

82.   Li H, Durbin R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows–Wheeler transform. Bioinformatics. 2009;25(14):1754-60.

83.   The Broad Institute. Picard Tools [cited 2018]. Available from: http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard.

84.   Walker BJ, Abeel T, Shea T, Priest M, Abouelliel A, Sakthikumar S, et al. Pilon: an integrated tool for comprehensive microbial variant detection and genome assembly improvement. PLOS ONE. 2014;9(11):e112963.

85.   Chojnacki S, Cowley A, Lee J, Foix A, Lopez R. Programmatic access to bioinformatics tools from EMBL-EBI update: 2017. Nucleic Acids Research. 2017;45(W1):W550-W3.

86.   Pasquina L, Santa Maria JP, Jr., McKay Wood B, Moussa SH, Matano LM, Santiago M, et al. A synthetic lethal approach for compound and target identification in *Staphylococcus aureus*. Nature Chemical Biology. 2016;12(1):40-5. doi: 10.1038/nchembio.1967. PubMed PMID: 26619249; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4684722.

87.   Lee W, Do T, Zhang G, Kahne D, Meredith TC, Walker S. Antibiotic combinations that enable one-step, targeted mutagenesis of chromosomal genes. ACS Infectious Diseases. 2018;4(6):1007-18.

88.   Vickery CR, Wood BM, Morris HG, Losick R, Walker S. Reconstitution of *Staphylococcus aureus* lipoteichoic acid synthase activity identifies Congo red as a selective inhibitor. Journal of the American Chemical Society. 2018;140(3):876-9.

Figure captions

Fig 1. We created complex transposon libraries of similar coverage in five diverse

S. aureus **strains.**

(A) Six barcoded transposon constructs were used to make sub-libraries that were

combined into a single transposon library. Construct 1 can drive expression only of

erm^R (gene within the transposon constructs). Constructs 2-6 can drive expression of

other genes, either by readthrough of *erm^R* in constructs lacking terminators or because

they contain an additional outward-facing promoter (P_{cap}, P_{pen}, or P_{tuf}). The libraries can

be sequenced to find essential genes that lack transposon insertions (red gene) or

treated with compound and then sequenced to find conditionally essential genes (yellow

gene), upregulation signatures (upstream of yellow gene), and genes with conditional

fitness costs (green gene). (B) High-density transposon libraries were made in five *S.*

aureus strains. MSSA = methicillin-sensitive *S. aureus;* MRSA = methicillin-resistant *S.*

aureus; ST = sequence type. Sites with insertions refers to the number of TA

dinucleotide sites having insertions of at least one transposon construct. The "unique

insertions" column sums the TA insertion counts from each transposon construct.

Coverage per gene is the average percent of TA sites within a gene with insertions, ±

standard deviation. Low-coverage genes were defined as those that had less than half

of the average gene coverage.

Fig 2. The fitness of lipoteichoic acid pathway mutants varies by strain.

(A) The number of essential genes per strain (horizontal bars) and the number of genes

found to be essential for all three, two, or one of the MRSA strains (vertical bars, with

black dots denoting strains for which the genes are essential). Only MRSA strains were

included for simplicity, but a similar plot with the MSSA strains is included in the

supporting information. Note that gene essentiality is functionally defined as a lack of

transposon insertions in a gene, but it may be possible to make knockouts of some of

these genes under favorable conditions. (B) A schematic of the lipoteichoic acid

pathway in *S. aureus*. (C) The number of reads in each LTA pathway gene for each

transposon library, expressed as a percentage of the average number of reads per TA

site in the coding regions of the libraries. There are strain-dependent differences in

transposon insertions in LTA pathway genes, with USA300-TCH1516 appearing reliant

on most genes in the pathway while MW2 is insensitive even to inactivation of *ltaS*.

1005

Fig 3. The lipoteichoic acid pathway is crucial for fitness of USA300-TCH1516 but

is dispensable in MW2.

(A) Tn-Seq data for the LTA pathway genes, with sequencing reads from insertions in

the plus strand in purple and minus strand in teal. The reads were calculated by

summing two replicate Tn-Seq experiments, only including data from transposon

constructs that contained a transcriptional terminator. The data were normalized to each

other by non-zero means normalization prior to plotting. The x-axis is expressed in

kilobases. The y-axis is expressed on a $\log_{10}$ scale and is truncated to 500 reads. (B)

Growth on agar plates for wildtype (WT) and Δ*ugtP* strains confirms that USA300-

TCH1516 is more sensitive to *ugtP* deletion than MW2 is. (C) Growth on agar plates of

wildtype and Δ*ltaS* strains confirms that *ltaS* is dispensable in MW2. (D) Western blot of

LTAs produced by 4S5 (known to not produce LTAs), wildtype MW2, and MW2-P$_{Atet}$-

ltaS confirms that MW2-P_{Atet}-*ltaS* does not produce LTAs unless expression of the

exogenous copy of *ltaS* is induced.

1020

Fig 4. Transposon sequencing supports previously reported vulnerabilities in *S.*

***aureus* and reveals new ones.**

(A) A comparison of the Tn-Seq hits under daptomycin exposure in five strains of *S.*

aureus. All hits needed to have a q-value less than 0.05. Depleted genes had 10-fold

fewer reads in the daptomycin-exposed sample compared to the control sample after

normalization. Upregulated genes had a strand-biased enrichment of reads in the region

upstream of the gene. The upper graph shows how many strains each hit was found in

while the lower graph shows how many hits found in each strain were shared with at

least one other strain and how many were unique to that strain. (B) Genes that were

depleted of reads under daptomycin exposure in at least three of the transposon

libraries, using the cutoffs described above. Hypothetical genes are listed according to

their NCTC 8325 locus tag numbers. Genes meeting all cutoffs in a strain are indicated

by dark pink. Those that have a significant q-value but are only depleted 5- to 10-fold

are indicated in light pink. (C) Normalized Tn-Seq reads from daptomycin-exposed

MSSA476 in RPMI+LB plotted against the reads from the control condition. Genes from

above that were shared by at least 4 strains are highlighted.

1037

Fig 5. LTA loss sensitizes *S. aureus* to daptomycin.

(A) Normalized Tn-Seq reads from daptomycin-exposed HG003 in RPMI+LB plotted

against the reads from untreated HG003 in the same growth medium. Genes in the LTA

pathway that were significantly depleted are highlighted. (B) Growth on agar plates of

HG003 wildtype, Δ*ltaA,* and inducible *ltaA* complementation strains in the presence or

absence of daptomycin and the inducer confirms that *ltaA* is required for *S. aureus*

growth under daptomycin exposure*.*

1045

Supporting information

1046

1047

1048 **S1 Fig. Gene essentiality varies by strain.** The number of essential genes per strain

1049 (horizontal bars) and the number of genes found to be essential for all five or a subset

1050 of the *S. aureus* strains (vertical bars, with black dots denoting strains for which the

1051 gene is essential).

1052

S2 Fig. Tn-Seq data reveals variable dependence on the lipoteichoic acid pathway

among *S. aureus* strains. Each column of graphs represents a gene in the LTA

pathway, with rows representing strains. Reads in the Tn-Seq data, expressed on a

$\log_{10}$ scale, are plotted against the position in the genome indicated on the x-axis with a

depiction of the gene context underneath each plot. The y-axis is truncated to 500

reads. Purple lines indicate plus-strand reads. Teal lines indicate minus-strand reads.

1059

1060 **S3 Fig. MW2Δ*ltaS* mutants are temperature sensitive.** Growth on agar plates of

1061 wildtype and *ltaS* complementation strains for HG003, MW2, and USA300-TCH1516

1062 with and without inducer at 30°C, 37°C, and 42°C.

1063

S4 Fig. *ltaA* **is conditionally essential in USA300-TCH1516 and MW2 in the**

presence of daptomycin. Growth on agar plates of wildtype, Δ*ltaA*, and inducible *ltaA*

complementation strains for MW2 and USA300-TCH1516 in the presence or absence of

daptomycin and the complementation inducer confirms that *ltaA* is required for *S.*

aureus growth under daptomycin exposure.

S1 Table. Comparison of essential genes in *S. aureus* **strains.** A table of all genes

that are essential for at least one strain, with essentiality designations for each gene in

each strain for the TRANSIT Gumbel analysis and the subsequent permutation test

which was used to determine whether differences between strains seen in the Gumbel

results were significant. If differences were not significant, essential (E) and

nonessential (NE) designations were converted to uncertain (U).

S2 Table. Core essential genes in *S. aureus.* A list of the genes that were determined

to be essential in all five *S. aureus* strains.

S3 Table. Gene ontology analysis reveals central dogma pathways to be

universally essential in *S. aureus***.** Red text highlights those processes mentioned in

the main text. DNA, RNA, and protein metabolic processes are significantly over-

represented among the genes essential for all five strains of *S. aureus* studied.

Phospholipid and monosaccharide synthesis were likewise over-represented, while

genes of unknown function were under-represented.

1087 **S4 Table. Genes depleted under daptomycin exposure.** A table of the genes that

1088 were 10-fold depleted of reads in the normalized daptomycin file compared to the

1089 control file with q-values less than 0.05 and at least 100 reads in the control file.

1090

1091 **S5 Table. Genes upregulated under daptomycin exposure.** A table of the genes that

1092 had upregulation signatures under daptomycin exposure. See methods for details of

1093 analysis.

1094

1095 **S6 Table. Genes enriched under daptomycin exposure.** A table of the genes that

1096 were 10-fold enriched in reads in the normalized daptomycin file compared to the

1097 control file with q-values less than 0.05 and at least 100 normalized reads in the

1098 daptomycin file.

1099

1100 **S7 Table. Primers, plasmids, and strains used in this study.**

1101

Fig 2

Fig 3

Fig 4

Fig 5

Fig 1