bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/653089; this version posted May 30, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

1 Genome sequence of the model rice variety KitaakeX 2 Rashmi Jain<sup>1,2</sup>, Jerry Jenkins<sup>3,4</sup>, Shenggiang Shu<sup>3</sup>, Mawsheng Chern<sup>1,2</sup>, Joel A. Martin<sup>3</sup>, Dario Copetti<sup>7,8,9</sup>, 3 Phat Q. Duong<sup>1,2</sup>, Nikki T. Pham<sup>1</sup>, David A. Kudrna<sup>7,8</sup>, Jayson Talag<sup>7,8</sup>, Wendy S. Schackwitz<sup>3</sup>, Anna M. 4 Lipzen<sup>3</sup>, David Dilworth<sup>3</sup>, Diane Bauer<sup>3</sup>, Jane Grimwood<sup>3,4</sup>, Catherine R. Nelson<sup>1</sup>, Feng Xing<sup>6</sup>, Weibo Xie<sup>6</sup>, Kerrie W. Barry<sup>3</sup>, Rod A. Wing<sup>7,8,9</sup>, Jeremy Schmutz<sup>3,4</sup>, Guotian Li<sup>1,2,5\*</sup>, Pamela C. Ronald<sup>1,2\*</sup> 5 6 <sup>1</sup>Department of Plant Pathology and the Genome Center, University of California, Davis, CA 95616, USA 7 <sup>2</sup>Feedstocks Division, Joint BioEnergy Institute, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 8 94720, USA 9 <sup>3</sup>U.S. Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute, Walnut Creek, CA 94598, USA 10 <sup>4</sup>HudsonAlpha Institute for Biotechnology, Huntsville, AL 35806, USA 11 <sup>5</sup>State Key Laboratory of Agricultural Microbiology and College of Plant Science and Technology, 12 Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan 430070, Hubei, China 13 <sup>6</sup>National Key Laboratory of Crop Genetic Improvement, National Center of Plant Gene Research 14 (Wuhan), Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan 430070, China 15 <sup>7</sup>Arizona Genomics Institute, School of Plant Sciences, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721, USA 16 <sup>8</sup>BIO5 Institute, School of Plant Sciences, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721, USA 17 <sup>9</sup>International Rice Research Institute, Genetic Resource Center, Los Baños, Laguna, Philippines 18 \* co-corresponding authors 19 Address correspondence to pcronald@ucdavis.edu and li4@mail.hzau.edu.cn 20 Prof Damela C Donald Corresponding authors:

20	Corresponding authors:	Prol. Pamela C. Rohald
21		Department of Plant Pathology, and the Genome Center, University of
22		California, Davis
23		One Shields Avenue, Davis, CA 95616, USA
24		E-mail: pcronald@ucdavis.edu
25		Tel: 1-530-752-1654
26		Fax: 1-530-752-6088

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/653089; this version posted May 30, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.



# 34 Abstract

35 Here, we report the *de novo* genome sequencing and analysis of Oryza sativa ssp. japonica variety 36 KitaakeX, a Kitaake plant carrying the rice XA21 immune receptor. Our KitaakeX sequence assembly 37 contains 377.6 Mb, consisting of 33 scaffolds (476 contigs) with a contig N50 of 1.4 Mb. Complementing 38 the assembly are detailed gene annotations of 35,594 protein coding genes. We identified 331,335 genomic 39 variations between KitaakeX and Nipponbare (ssp. japonica), and 2,785,991 variations between KitaakeX 40 and Zhenshan97 (ssp. indica). We also compared Kitaake resequencing reads to the KitaakeX assembly 41 and identified 219 small variations. The high-quality genome of the model rice plant KitaakeX will accelerate 42 rice functional genomics.

Keywords: Rice, Kitaake, KitaakeX, XA21 immune receptor, Whole genome sequence, *De novo* genome
assembly, Nipponbare, Zhenshan97

# 45 Background

46 Rice (*Oryza sativa*) provides food for more than half of the world's population [1] and also serves 47 as a model for studies of other monocotyledonous species. Cultivated rice contains two major types of *O*. 48 *sativa*, the *O. sativa indica/Xian* group and the *O. sativa japonica/Geng* group. Using genomic markers, two 49 additional minor types have been recognized, the circum-Aus group and the circum-Basmati group [2].

50 The Kitaake cultivar (ssp. japonica), which originated at the northern limit of rice cultivation in 51 Hokkaido, Japan [3], has emerged as a model for rice research [4] because it is extremely early flowering, 52 easy to propagate, and short in stature [5]. Kitaake has been used to establish multiple mutant 53 populations, including an RNAi mutant collection [6], T-DNA insertion collections [4], [7], and a whole-54 genome sequenced mutant population of KitaakeX, a Kitaake variety carrying the Xa21 immune receptor 55 gene (formerly called X.Kitaake) [8, 9]. Kitaake has been used to explore diverse aspects of rice biology, 56 including flowering time [10], disease resistance [11], [12], [13], small RNA biology [14], and the CRISPR-57 Cas9 and TALEN technologies [15], [16]. 58 The unavailability of the Kitaake genome sequence has posed an obstacle to the use of Kitaake 59 in rice research. For example, analysis of a fast-neutron (FN) induced mutant population in KitaakeX [8], 60 required the use of Nipponbare (ssp. japonica) as the reference. Additionally, CRISPR/Cas9 guide RNAs 61 cannot be accurately designed for Kitaake without a complete sequence. To address these issues, we

62 assembled a high-quality genome sequence of KitaakeX, compared its genome to the genomes of rice

63 varieties Nipponbare and Zhenshan97 (ssp. *indica*), and identified genomic variations.

### 64 **Results**

Kitaake has long been recognized as a rapid life-cycle variety [17], but it has yet to be systematically compared to other rice varieties. We compared the flowering time of KitaakeX with other sequenced rice varieties under long-day conditions (14 h light/10 h dark). Consistent with other studies, we found that KitaakeX flowers much earlier than other varieties (Fig. 1a, 1b), heading at 54 days after germination. Other rice varieties Nipponbare, 93-11 (ssp. *indica*), IR64 (ssp. *indica*), Zhenshan 97, Minghui 63 (ssp. *indica*), and Kasalath (aus rice cultivar) start heading at 134, 99, 107, 79, 125, and 84 days after germination, respectively (Fig. 1b).

We assessed how KitaakeX is related to other rice varieties using a phylogenetic approach based on the rice population structure and diversity published for 3,010 varieties [2]. The 3010 sequenced accessions were classified into nine subpopulations, most of which could be connected to geographical origins. The phylogenetic tree reveals that KitaakeX and Nipponbare are within the same subpopulation closely related (Fig 1c).

77 To obtain a high-quality, de novo genome assembly, we sequenced the KitaakeX genome using a 78 strategy that combines short-read and long-read sequencing. Sequencing reads were collected using 79 Illumina, 10x Genomics, PACBIO, and Sanger platforms at the Joint Genome Institute (JGI) and the 80 HudsonAlpha Institute. The current release is version 3.0, which is a combination of a MECAT (Mapping, 81 Error Correction and de novo Assembly Tools) PACBIO based assembly and an Illumina sequenced 10x 82 genomics SuperNova assembly. The assembled sequence contains 377.6 Mb, consisting of 33 scaffolds 83 (476 contigs) with a contig N50 of 1.4 Mb, covering a total of 99.67% of assembled bases in chromosomes 84 (Table 1).

85 We assessed the quality of the KitaakeX assembly for sequence completeness and accuracy. 86 Completeness of the assembly was assessed by aligning the 34,651 annotated genes from the v7.0 87 Nipponbare to the KitaakeX assembly using BLAT [18]. The alignments indicate that 98.94% (34,285 of 88 genes) genes completely aligned to the KitaakeX assembly, 0.75% (259 genes) partially aligned, and 0.31% 89 (107 genes) were not detected. A bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) library was constructed and a set 90 of 346 BAC clones (9.2x clone coverage) was sequenced using PACBIO sequencing. A range of variants 91 was detected by comparing the BAC clones to the assembly. Alignments were of high quality (<0.1% of 92 error) in 271 clones (Additional file 1: Figure S13). Sixty BACs indicate a higher error rate (0.45% of error) 93 due mainly to their placement in repetitive regions (Additional file 1: figure S14). Fifteen BAC clones indicate 94 a rearrangement (10 clones) or a putative overlap on adjacent contigs (5 clones) (Additional file 1: figure 95 S15). The overall error rate in the BAC clones is 0.09%, indicating the high quality of this assembly (for 96 detailed information, see Additional file 1).

We predicted 35,594 protein-coding genes in the KitaakeX genome (Table 1), representing 31.5% genic space of the assembled genome size (Table 1). There is some transcriptome support for 89.5% (31,854/35,594) of the KitaakeX genes, and 81.6% (29,039/35,594) genes are fully supported by the transcriptome (Additional file 2 Table: S11). The predicted protein-coding genes are distributed unevenly across each chromosome; gene density tends to be higher toward chromosome ends (Fig. 1i). The average GC content of the genome is 43.7% (Fig. 1h, Table 1).

103 To assess the quality of the annotation of Kitaake genes, we compared the KitaakeX annotation to 104 those of other completed rice genomes using the BUSCO v2 method, which is based on a set of 1,440

105 conserved plant genes. The results confirm 99.0% completeness of the KitaakeX genome annotation 106 (Table1, Additional file 2: Table S7). To further evaluate the quality of the annotation, we studied the extent 107 of conservation of functional genes in KitaakeX. We selected 291 genes (Additional file 3) from three 108 pathways associated with stress resistance, flowering time and response to light [19], and then searched 109 for orthologous genes in the KitaakeX genome. We found that 275 of 291 (94.5%) of the selected KitaakeX 110 genes show greater than 90% identity with the corresponding Nipponbare genes at the protein level. 111 Twenty-three out of the 291 show 100% identity on the genome level but not on the protein level. Of these 112 23 genes, the KitaakeX gene model for 16 genes has better transcriptomic evidence than the Nipponbare 113 gene model. One of the 291 KitaakeX genes is slightly shorter than its Nipponbare ortholog due to an 114 alternative transcript (Additional file 3). These results indicate the high guality of the annotation, and 115 conservation between the KitaakeX and Nipponbare japonica rice varieties.

Using SynMap, we identified 2,469 pairs of colinear genes (88 blocks) in the KitaakeX genome (Fig. 1j). These results correlate with already published findings [20]. We used RepeatMaker and Blaster to identify transposable elements (TEs) in the KitaakeX genome, and identified 122.2 Mb of sequence corresponding to TEs (32.0% of the genome). DNA transposons account for ~33 Mb; retrotransposons account for ~90 Mb. The TEs belong mostly to the Gypsy and Copia retroelement families, and account for 23% of the genome (Additional file 2: Table S8), as is true in the Nipponbare and Zhenshan97 genomes [21].

123 Table 1 Summary of the KitaakeX genome assembly and annotation

	Estimated genome size	409.5 Mb
	Assembled contigs	377.6 Mb
	Contig N50	1.4 Mb
Genome assembly	Longest contig	8.6 Mb
	Assembled scaffolds	381.6 Mb
	Scaffold N50	30.3 Mb
	Longest scaffold	44.3 Mb
	GC content	43.7%

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/653089; this version posted May 30, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

	Retrotransposons	89.6 Mb
Transposable elements	DNA transposons	32.6 Mb
	Total	122.2 Mb
	Protein-coding genes	35,594
	Complete BUSCOs	99.0%
Genome annotation	Average transcript length	1,874 bp
	Average coding sequence length	1,222 bp
	Functionally annotated	33,226

124 We compared the genome of KitaakeX to the Nipponbare and Zhenshan97 genomes to detect 125 genomic variations, including single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), insertions and deletions under 30 126 bp (InDels), presence/absence variations (PAVs), and inversions using MUMmer (Kurtz, Phillippy et al. 127 2004). We found 331,335 variations between KitaakeX and Nipponbare (Additional file 4), and nearly 10 128 times as many (2,785,991) variations between KitaakeX and Zhenshan97 (Additional file 5). There are 129 253,295 SNPs and 75,183 InDels between KitaakeX and Nipponbare, and 2,328,319 SNPs and 442,962 130 InDels between KitaakeX and Zhenshan97 (Additional files 6 and Additional file 2: Table S3). With respect 131 to SNPs in both intersubspecies (japonica vs. indica) as well as intrasubspecies (japonica vs. japonica) 132 comparisons, transitions (Tss) (G ->A and C ->T) are about twice as abundant as transversions (Tvs) (G -133 >C and C ->G) (Additional file 2: Table S10). Genomic variations between KitaakeX and Nipponbare are 134 highly concentrated in some genomic regions (Fig. 1e), but variations between KitaakeX and Zhenshan97 135 are spread evenly through the genome (Fig. 1f). Intersubspecies genomic variations, then, are much more 136 extensive than intrasubspecies variations. We also detected multiple genomic inversions using comparative 137 genomics (Additional files 4 and 5).

For variations occurring in the genic regions, we found that single-base and 3 bp (without frame shift) InDels are much more abundant than others (Additional file 7: Figure S16a), suggesting that these genetic variations have been functionally selected. We carried out detailed analysis of gene structure alterations that exist as a consequence of SNPs and InDels between KitaakeX and Nipponbare and Kitaake and Zhenshan97. Between KitaakeX and Nipponbare, we identified 2,092 frameshifts, 78 changes affecting splice-site acceptors, 71 changes affecting splice-site donors, 19 lost start codons, 161 gained stop codons, and 15 lost stop codons. In the comparison of KitaakeX to Zhenshan97, 6,809 unique genes in KitaakeX are affected by 8,640 frameshifts (Additional file 7: Figure S16b), 531 changes affecting splice-site acceptors, 530 changes affecting splice-site donors, 185 lost start codons, 902 gained stop codons and 269 lost stop codons (Additional file 7: Figure S16b).

148 Based on PAV analysis, we identified 456 loci that are specific to KitaakeX (Additional file 4) 149 compared with Nipponbare. Pfam analysis of KitaakeX-specific regions revealed 275 proteins. Out of these 150 275 genes, 148 genes are from 19 different gene families with more than 2 genes in those regions. These 151 gene families include protein kinases, leucine-rich repeat proteins, NB-ARC domain-containing proteins, F-152 box domain containing proteins, protein tyrosine kinases, Myb/SANt-like DNA binding domain proteins, 153 transferase family proteins, xylanase inhibitor C-terminal protein, and plant proteins of unknown function 154 (Additional file 7: Figure S16c). We identified 4589 loci specific to KitaakeX compared with Zhenshan97 155 (Additional file 5).

We also compared our *de novo* assembly of KitaakeX genome with Kitaake resequencing reads using an established pipeline [22]. This analysis revealed 219 small variations (200 SNPs and 19 INDELs) between the two genomes (Additional file 8). These variations affect 9 genes in KitaakeX besides the Ubi-*Xa21* transgene, including the selectable marker encoding a hygromycin B phosphotransferase on chromosome 6 (Additional file 8, Additional file 9: Figure S17).

### 161 **Discussion**

In 2005 the Nipponbare genome was sequenced and annotated to a high-quality level (International Rice Genome Sequencing and Sasaki 2005). Since that time, it has served as a reference genome for many rice genomic studies [23]. Despite its use, the long life cycle of Nipponbare makes it time-consuming for most genetic analyses. Here we report the *de novo* assembly and annotation of KitaakeX, an early-flowering rice variety with a rapid life cycle that is easy to propagate under greenhouse conditions. We predict that KitaakeX contains 35,594 protein-coding genes, comparable to the published genomes (39,045 for Nipponbare and 34,610 for Zhenshan97) (Additional file 4 and Additional file 5). The availability of a high-

quality genome and annotation for KitaakeX will be useful for associating traits of interest with genetic
 variations, and for identifying the genes controlling those traits.

We identified 219 SNPs and InDels between the KitaakeX and Kitaake genomes. These variations may have resulted from somatic mutations that arose during tissue culture and regeneration, or they may be spontaneous mutations [24]. For rice, 150 mutations are typically induced during tissue culture and 41 mutations occur spontaneously per three generations [24]. These numbers are consistent with the independent propagation of KitaakeX and Kitaake over approximately 10 generations in the greenhouse.

176 The KitaakeX genome will be useful for variety of studies. For example, we recently published the 177 whole genome sequences of 1,504 FN-mutated KitaakeX rice lines [22]. Mutations were identified by 178 aligning reads of the KitaakeX mutants to the Nipponbare reference genome [8]. On average, 97% of the 179 Nipponbare genome is covered by the KitaakeX reads. However, in some regions, the KitaakeX genome 180 diverges from Nipponbare to such an extent that no variants can be confidently identified. These appear 181 either as gaps in coverage or as regions containing a concentration of natural variations between KitaakeX 182 and Nipponbare. We can now use the KitaakeX sequence as the direct reference genome and detect 183 mutations in highly variable regions. This approach will simplify analysis and increase confidence in the 184 identification of FN-induced mutations.

### 185 **Conclusions:**

186 The *de novo* assembly of the KitaakeX genome serves as a useful reference genome for the model rice 187 variety Kitaake and will facilitate investigations into the genetic basis of diverse traits critical for rice biology 188 and genetic improvement.

### 189 Methods

## 190 Plant Growth conditions

191 Rice seeds were germinated on 1/2x MS (Murashige and Skoog) medium. Seedlings were transferred to 192 a greenhouse and planted 3 plants/pot during the springtime (Mar. 2, 2017) in Davis, California. The light 193 intensity was set at approximately 250 µmol m- 2 s- 1. The day/night period was set to 14/10 h, and the 194 temperature was set between 28 and 30 °C [25]. Rice plants were grown in sandy soil supplemented with

- 195 nutrient water. The day when the first panicle of the plant emerged was recorded as the heading date for
- 196 that plant. Kasalath seeds were received later, and the heading date was recorded in the same way. The
- 197 experiment was repeated in winter.

### 198 **Construction of a phylogenetic tree**

- 199 We obtained 178,496 evenly distributed SNPs by dividing the genome into 3.8 kb bins and selecting one
- 200 or two SNPs per bin randomly according to the SNP density of the bin. Genotypes of all the rice
- 201 accessions, including 3,010 accessions of the 3K Rice Genomes Project and additional noted
- 202 accessions, were fetched from the SNP database RiceVarMap v2.0 [26] and related genomic data [27]
- 203 and used to calculate an IBS distance matrix which was then applied to construct a phylogenetic tree by
- the unweighted neighbor-joining method, implemented in the R package APE [28]. Branches of the
- 205 phylogenetic tree were colored according to the classification of the 3,010 rice accessions [2].

## 206 Genome Sequencing and Assembly

- 207 High molecular weight DNA from young leaves of KitaakeX was isolated and used in sequencing.
- 208 See (Additional file 1) for further details.

# 209 Annotation of Protein-Coding Genes

- 210 To obtain high-quality annotations, we performed high throughput RNA-seq analysis of libraries from
- 211 diverse rice tissues (leaf, stem, panicle, and root). Approximately 683 million pairs of 2x151 paired-end
- 212 RNA-seq reads were obtained and assembled using a comprehensive pipeline PERTRAN (Shu,
- 213 unpublished). Gene models were predicted by combining *ab initio* gene prediction, protein-based
- 214 homology searches, experimentally cloned cDNAs/expressed-sequence tags (ESTs) and assembled
- 215 transcripts from the RNA-seq data. Gene functions were further annotated according to the best-matched
- 216 proteins from the SwissProt and TrEMBL databases [29] using BLASTP (E value < 10<sup>-5</sup>) (Additional file
- 217 11). Genes without hits in these databases were annotated as "hypothetical proteins". Gene Ontology
- 218 (GO) [30] term assignments and protein domains and motifs were extracted with InterPro [31]. Pathway

- 219 analysis was derived from the best-match eukaryotic protein in the Kyoto encyclopedia of genes and
- 220 genomes (KEGG) database [32] using BLASTP (E value<1.0e<sup>-10</sup>).

## **Genome Synteny**

- 222 We used SynMap (CoGe, www.genomevolution.org) to identify collinearity blocks using homologous CDS
- 223 pairs with parameters according to Daccord et al [33] and visualized collinearity blocks using Circos [34].

## 224 **Repeat Annotation**

225 The fraction of transposable elements and repeated sequences in the assembly was obtained merging 226 the output of RepeatMasker (http://www.repeatmasker.org/, v. 3.3.0) and Blaster (a component of the 227 REPET package) [35]. The two programs were run using nucleotide libraries (PReDa and 228 RepeatExplorer) from RiTE-db [36] and an in-house curated collection of transposable element (TE) 229 proteins, respectively. Reconciliation of masked repeats was carried out using custom Perl scripts and 230 formatted in gff3 files. Infernal [37] was adopted to identify non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs) using the Rfam 231 library Rfam.cm.12.2 [38]. Results with scores lower than the family-specific gathering threshold were 232 removed; when loci on both strands were predicted, only the hit with the highest score was kept. Transfer 233 RNAs were also predicted using tRNAscan-SE [39] at default parameters. Repeat density was calculated 234 from the file that contains the reconciled annotation (Additional file 10).

## 235 Analysis of Genomic Variations

Analysis of SNPs and InDels: We used MUMmer (version 3.23) [40] to align the Nipponbare and Zhenshan97 genomes to the KitaakeX genome using parameters -maxmatch -c 90 -I 40. To filter the alignment results, we used the delta -filter -1 parameter with the one-to-one alignment block option. To identify SNPs and InDels we used show-snp option with parameter (-Clr TH). We used snpEff [41] to annotate the effects of SNPs and InDels. Distribution of SNPs and InDels along the KitaakeX genome was visualized using Circos [34].

Analysis of PAVs and inversions: We used the show-coords option of MUMmer (version 3.23) with parameters -TrHcl to identify gap regions and PAVs above >86 bp in size from the alignment blocks.

- 244 We used the inverted alignment blocks with  $\geq$ 98% identity from the show-coords output file to identify
- inversions.
- 246 To identify genomic variations between Kitaake and KitaakeX we sequenced and compared the
- 247 sequences using the established pipeline [22].
- 248 BAC library construction
- Arrayed BAC libraries were constructed using established protocols [42]. Please see Additional
- file 1 for further details.
- 251
- 252

### 253 Additional files:

- Additional file 1: Supplemental method: Tables S1-S6, Figures S1-S15
- Additional file 2: Comparison of the KitaakeX genome with other rice genomes and KitaakeX annotation;
- 256 Tables S7-S11
- Additional file 3: Genes used in annotation quality control
- 258 Additional file 4: Comparative genomics between KitaakeX and Nipponbare
- Additional file 5: Comparative genomics between KitaakeX and Zhenshan97
- 260 Additional file 6: SNPs between KitaakeX and Zhenshan97
- Additional file 7: Figure S16. Genomic variations showing gene variations between KitaakeX and
- 262 Nipponbare and Zhenshan97
- 263 Additional file 8. Genomic variations between KitaakeX and Kitaake
- Additional file 9: Figure S17. Position of the XA21 locus in the KitaakeX genome
- Additional file 10: Repeat annotation
- Additional file 11: Gene functional annotation

### 267 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

- 268 We thank Rick A. Rios, Maria E. Hernandez, and Natasha Brown for assistance in genomic DNA isolation
- and submission and seed organization. We thank Dr. Thomas W. Okita at Washington State University

270 for providing the Kitaake seeds to PCR in 1995. These seeds were provided to Dr. Okita by Dr. Hiroyuki

271 Ito, Akita National College of Technology, Japan. We thank Dr. Jan E. Leah at Colorado State University

- for seeds of Zhenshan 97, Minghui 63, IR64 and 93-11, and the USDA Dale Bumpers National Rice
- 273 Research Center, Stuttgart, Arkansas for seeds of Kasalath.

# 274 Funding:

- 275 This work was supported by NIH (GM59962) NIH (GM122968) and NSF (IOS-1237975) grants to PCR. It
- was also supported in part by the U. S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Biological and
- 277 Environmental Research, through contract DE-AC02-05CH11231 between Lawrence Berkeley National
- 278 Laboratory and the U. S. Department of Energy. The work conducted by the US Department of Energy
- 279 Joint Genome Institute (JGI) was supported by the Office of Science of the US Department of Energy
- under Contract no. DE-AC02-05CH11231.

## 281 Availability of data and material:

282 The genome sequencing reads and assembly have been deposited under GenBank under accession

- number PRJNA234782 and PRJNA448171 respectively. The assembly and annotation of the Kitaake
- genome are available at Phytozome (https://phytozome.jgi.doe.gov/pz/portal.html). The RNA-Seq reads
- 285 of KitaakeX leaf, panicle, stem and root have been deposited under GenBank accession numbers
- 286 SRP182736, SRP182738, SRP182741, and SRP182737 respectively. Genome sequencing reads for
- 287 Kitaake have been deposited under GenBank under accession number SRP193308.

### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

- 289 RJ, G.L, M.C.R. and P.C.R conceived and initiated the study. R.J. and G.L carried out sequencing,
- assembly and annotation in collaboration with J.J., S.S., D.A.K., J.T., D.D., D.B., J.G., D.C., K.W.B.,
- 291 R.A.W., N.T.P, and J.S. R.J. and G.L conducted comparative genomics. F.X and W.X contributed to
- 292 phylogenetic tree. R.J., P.C.R, M.S C, G.L and C.R.N. wrote the manuscript. All authors read and
- approved the final manuscript.

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/653089; this version posted May 30, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

# 294 Ethics approval and consent to participate

295 Not applicable

#### 296 Consent to Publication

297 Not applicable

## 298 Competing interests:

299 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

# 300 Fig. 1 The early flowering rice variety KitaakeX.

301 a KitaakeX and selected sequenced rice varieties under long-day conditions. Scale bar = 10 cm; b 302 Flowering time of KitaakeX and selected rice varieties under long-day conditions. DAG, days after 303 germination. Asterisks indicate significant differences using the unpaired Student's t-test (P < 0.0001); c 304 KitaakeX in the unweighted neighbor-joining tree comprising 3,010 accessions of the 3k rice genomes 305 project and indicated varieties. It includes four XI clusters (XI-1A from East Asia, XI-1B of modern varieties 306 of diverse origins, XI-2 from South Asia and XI-3 from Southeast Asia); three GJ clusters [primarily East 307 Asian temperate (named GJ-tmp), Southeast Asian subtropical (named GJ-sbtrp) and Southeast Asian 308 Tropical (named GJ-trp)]; and two groups for the mostly South Asian cA (circum-Aus) and cB (circum-309 Basmati) accessions, 1 group Admix (accessions that fall between major groups were classified as 310 admixed) Branch length indicates the genetic distance between two haplotypes; d Circles indicate the 12 311 KitaakeX chromosomes represented on a Mb scale; e,f SNPs and InDels between KitaakeX and 312 Nipponbare (e) and Kitaake and Zhenshan97 (f); g Repeat density; h GC content; i Gene density; j 313 Homologous genes in the KitaakeX genome. Window size used in the circles is 500 kb.

- 314
- 315
- 316
- 317 References
- 318

References for Section A

210	1	
319	1.	Gross BL, Zhao Z: Archaeological and genetic insights into the origins of domestion to the original 2014, 111 (2006) (2007)
320	n	domesticated rice. 2014, 111:6190-6197. Wang W. Mayleon P. Hu Z. Chabataray D. Tai S. Wu Z. Li M. Zhang T. Eventes P.P.
321 322	2.	Wang W, Mauleon R, Hu Z, Chebotarov D, Tai S, Wu Z, Li M, Zheng T, Fuentes RR, Zhang EIN: Conomic variation in 2 010 diverse accessions of Asian autivated vise
		Zhang FJN: Genomic variation in 3,010 diverse accessions of Asian cultivated rice.
323 324	3.	2018, <b>557:</b> 43. lchitani K, Okumoto Y, Tanisaka T: <b>Photoperiod sensitivity gene of se-1 locus found in</b>
324	5.	photoperiod insensitive rice cultivars of the northern limit region of rice cultivation.
323 326		Breeding science 1997, 47:8.
320	4.	Kim SL, Choi M, Jung KH, An G: Analysis of the early-flowering mechanisms and
328	4.	generation of T-DNA tagging lines in Kitaake, a model rice cultivar. <i>J Exp Bot</i> 2013,
329		<b>64:</b> 4169-4182.
330	5.	Kunihiro Y, Ebe Y, Wada S, Shinbashi N, Honma A, Sasaki T, Sasaki K, Numao Y,
331	5.	Morimura K, Tan No H: <b>The new rice variety Kita-ake.</b> <i>Bulletin of Hokkaido</i>
332		prefectural agricultural experiment stations 1989, <b>59:</b> 4.
333	6.	Wang L, Zheng J, Luo Y, Xu T, Zhang Q, Zhang L, Xu M, Wan J, Wang MB, Zhang
334	0.	CJPbj: Construction of a genomewide RNA i mutant library in rice. 2013, 11:997-
335		1005.
336	7.	Gao H, Zheng XM, Fei G, Chen J, Jin M, Ren Y, Wu W, Zhou K, Sheng P, Zhou F, et al:
337	/.	Ehd4 encodes a novel and Oryza-genus-specific regulator of photoperiodic
338		flowering in rice. <i>PLoS Genet</i> 2013, <b>9:</b> e1003281.
339	8.	Li G, Jain R, Chern M, Pham NT, Martin JA, Wei T, Schackwitz WS, Lipzen AM,
340	0.	Duong PQ, Jones KC, et al: The Sequences of 1504 Mutants in the Model Rice
341		Variety Kitaake Facilitate Rapid Functional Genomic Studies. <i>Plant Cell</i> 2017,
342		<b>29:</b> 1218-1231.
343	9.	Song WY, Wang GL, Chen LL, Kim HS, Pi LY, Holsten T, Gardner J, Wang B, Zhai
344		WX, Zhu LH, et al: A receptor kinase-like protein encoded by the rice disease
345		resistance gene, Xa21. Science 1995, 270:1804-1806.
346	10.	Gao H, Jin M, Zheng X-M, Chen J, Yuan D, Xin Y, Wang M, Huang D, Zhang Z, Zhou
347		KJPotNAoS: Days to heading 7, a major quantitative locus determining photoperiod
348		sensitivity and regional adaptation in rice. 2014, 111:16337-16342.
349	11.	Ronald PC, Beutler B: Plant and animal sensors of conserved microbial signatures.
350		Science 2010, <b>330:</b> 1061-1064.
351	12.	Liu Y, Wu H, Chen H, Liu Y, He J, Kang H, Sun Z, Pan G, Wang Q, Hu JJNb: A gene
352		cluster encoding lectin receptor kinases confers broad-spectrum and durable insect
353		resistance in rice. 2015, 33:301.
354	13.	Zhou X, Liao H, Chern M, Yin J, Chen Y, Wang J, Zhu X, Chen Z, Yuan C, Zhao
355		WJPotNAoS: Loss of function of a rice TPR-domain RNA-binding protein confers
356		broad-spectrum disease resistance. 2018:201705927.
357	14.	Rodrigues JA, Ruan R, Nishimura T, Sharma MK, Sharma R, Ronald PC, Fischer RL,
358		Zilberman D: Imprinted expression of genes and small RNA is associated with
359		localized hypomethylation of the maternal genome in rice endosperm. Proc Natl
360		<i>Acad Sci US A</i> 2013, <b>110:</b> 7934-7939.
361	15.	Li T, Liu B, Spalding MH, Weeks DP, Yang B: High-efficiency TALEN-based gene
362		editing produces disease-resistant rice. Nat Biotechnol 2012, 30:390-392.

363	16.	Xie K, Minkenberg B, Yang Y: Boosting CRISPR/Cas9 multiplex editing capability
364		with the endogenous tRNA-processing system. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2015,
365		112:3570-3575.
366	17.	Jung K-H, An G, Ronald PC: Towards a better bowl of rice: assigning function to tens
367		of thousands of rice genes. Nature Reviews Genetics 2008, 9:91.
368	18.	Kent WJ: BLATthe BLAST-like alignment tool. Genome Res 2002, 12:656-664.
369	19.	Wang M, Yu Y, Haberer G, Marri PR, Fan C, Goicoechea JL, Zuccolo A, Song X,
370	17.	Kudrna D, Ammiraju JS, et al: The genome sequence of African rice (Oryza
371		glaberrima) and evidence for independent domestication. Nat Genet 2014, 46:982-
372		988.
373	20.	Guyot R, Keller BJG: Ancestral genome duplication in rice. 2004, 47:610-614.
374	20. 21.	
	21.	Zhang J, Chen L-L, Xing F, Kudrna DA, Yao W, Copetti D, Mu T, Li W, Song J-M, Xie
375		W, et al: Extensive sequence divergence between the reference genomes of two elite
376		<em>indica</em> rice varieties Zhenshan 97 and Minghui 63. 2016, 113:E5163-
377	22	E5171.
378	22.	Li G, Jain R, Chern M, Pham NT, Martin JA, Wei T, Schackwitz WS, Lipzen AM,
379		Duong PQ, Jones KCJTPC: The sequences of 1,504 mutants in the model rice variety
380	22	Kitaake facilitate rapid functional genomic studies. 2017:tpc. 00154.02017.
381	23.	Matsumoto T, Wu J, Itoh T, Numa H, Antonio B, Sasaki T: The Nipponbare genome
382		and the next-generation of rice genomics research in Japan. <i>Rice (New York, NY)</i>
383		2016, <b>9:</b> 33-33.
384	24.	Tang X, Liu G, Zhou J, Ren Q, You Q, Tian L, Xin X, Zhong Z, Liu B, Zheng XJGb: A
385		large-scale whole-genome sequencing analysis reveals highly specific genome editing
386		by both Cas9 and Cpf1 (Cas12a) nucleases in rice. 2018, 19:84.
387	25.	Schwessinger B, Bahar O, Thomas N, Holton N, Nekrasov V, Ruan D, Canlas PE, Daudi
388		A, Petzold CJ, Singan VR, et al: Transgenic expression of the dicotyledonous pattern
389		recognition receptor EFR in rice leads to ligand-dependent activation of defense
390		responses. <i>PLoS Pathog</i> 2015, <b>11:</b> e1004809.
391	26.	Zhao H, Yao W, Ouyang Y, Yang W, Wang G, Lian X, Xing Y, Chen L, Xie W:
392		<b>RiceVarMap: a comprehensive database of rice genomic variations.</b> <i>Nucleic Acids</i>
393		<i>Res</i> 2015, <b>43:</b> D1018-1022.
394	27.	Li G, Chern M, Jain R, Martin JA, Schackwitz WS, Jiang L, Vega-Sanchez ME, Lipzen
395		AM, Barry KW, Schmutz J, Ronald PC: Genome-Wide Sequencing of 41 Rice (Oryza
396		sativa L.) Mutated Lines Reveals Diverse Mutations Induced by Fast-Neutron
397		Irradiation. Mol Plant 2016, 9:1078-1081.
398	28.	Paradis E, Claude J, Strimmer K: APE: Analyses of Phylogenetics and Evolution in R
399		language. Bioinformatics 2004, 20:289-290.
400	29.	Bairoch A, Apweiler R: The SWISS-PROT protein sequence database and its
401		supplement TrEMBL in 2000. Nucleic Acids Res 2000, 28:45-48.
402	30.	Dutkowski J, Kramer M, Surma MA, Balakrishnan R, Cherry JM, Krogan NJ, Ideker T:
403		A gene ontology inferred from molecular networks. Nat Biotechnol 2013, 31:38-45.
404	31.	Finn RD, Attwood TK, Babbitt PC, Bateman A, Bork P, Bridge AJ, Chang HY,
405		Dosztanyi Z, El-Gebali S, Fraser M, et al: InterPro in 2017-beyond protein family and
406		domain annotations. Nucleic Acids Res 2017, 45:D190-D199.
407	32.	Kanehisa M, Furumichi M, Tanabe M, Sato Y, Morishima K: KEGG: new perspectives
408		on genomes, pathways, diseases and drugs. <i>Nucleic Acids Res</i> 2017, <b>45</b> :D353-D361.

409	33.	Daccord N, Celton JM, Linsmith G, Becker C, Choisne N, Schijlen E, van de Geest H,
410		Bianco L, Micheletti D, Velasco R, et al: High-quality de novo assembly of the apple
411		genome and methylome dynamics of early fruit development. Nat Genet 2017,
412		<b>49:</b> 1099-1106.
413	34.	Krzywinski M, Schein J, Birol I, Connors J, Gascoyne R, Horsman D, Jones SJ, Marra
414		MA: Circos: an information aesthetic for comparative genomics. Genome Res 2009,
415		<b>19:</b> 1639-1645.
416	35.	Flutre T, Duprat E, Feuillet C, Quesneville H: Considering transposable element
417		diversification in de novo annotation approaches. PLoS One 2011, 6:e16526.
418	36.	Copetti D, Zhang J, El Baidouri M, Gao D, Wang J, Barghini E, Cossu RM, Angelova A,
419		Maldonado LC, Roffler S, et al: RiTE database: a resource database for genus-wide
420		rice genomics and evolutionary biology. BMC Genomics 2015, 16:538.
421	37.	Nawrocki EP, Eddy SR: Infernal 1.1: 100-fold faster RNA homology searches.
422		Bioinformatics 2013, 29:2933-2935.
423	38.	Nawrocki EP, Burge SW, Bateman A, Daub J, Eberhardt RY, Eddy SR, Floden EW,
424		Gardner PP, Jones TA, Tate J, Finn RD: Rfam 12.0: updates to the RNA families
425		database. Nucleic Acids Res 2015, 43:D130-137.
426	39.	Schattner P, Brooks AN, Lowe TM: The tRNAscan-SE, snoscan and snoGPS web
427		servers for the detection of tRNAs and snoRNAs. Nucleic Acids Res 2005, 33:W686-
428		689.
429	40.	Kurtz S, Phillippy A, Delcher AL, Smoot M, Shumway M, Antonescu C, Salzberg
430		SLJGb: Versatile and open software for comparing large genomes. 2004, 5:R12.
431	41.	Cingolani P, Platts A, Wang le L, Coon M, Nguyen T, Wang L, Land SJ, Lu X, Ruden
432		DM: A program for annotating and predicting the effects of single nucleotide
433		polymorphisms, SnpEff: SNPs in the genome of Drosophila melanogaster strain
434		w1118; iso-2; iso-3. Fly (Austin) 2012, 6:80-92.
435	42.	Luo M, Wing RA: An Improved Method for Plant BAC Library Construction. In
436		Plant Functional Genomics. Edited by Grotewold E. Totowa, NJ: Humana Press; 2003:
437		3-19
438		

