

1 **Frequency and Distribution of Corneal Astigmatism and Keratometry**

2 **Features: Methodology and Findings of the UK Biobank Study**

3

4 Nikolas Pontikos, PhD^{1,2}

5 Sharon Chua, PhD^{1,2}

6 Paul J Foster, PhD FRCS(Ed)^{1,2}

7 Stephen J Tuft, MD FRCOphth^{1,2}

8 Alexander C Day, PhD FRCOphth^{1,2} *

9 UK Biobank Eye and Vision Consortium ‡

10

11 ¹UCL Institute of Ophthalmology, 11-43 Bath Street, London EC1V 9EL, UK

12 ²NIHR Biomedical Research Centre, Moorfields Eye Hospital, London EC1V 2PD, UK.

13 * **Corresponding author:** alex.day@ucl.ac.uk

14

15 ‡ **Collaborators on behalf of the UK Biobank listed at the end.**

16

17 **Funding:** This work was funded in part by the NIHR Biomedical Research Centre at Moorfields Eye Hospital NHS
18 Foundation Trust and UCL Institute of Ophthalmology. The UK Biobank Eye and Vision Consortium was supported
19 by a grant from The Special Trustees of Moorfields Eye Hospital (now Moorfields Eye Charity). The funders had no
20 role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. The views
21 expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health.

22

23 **Disclaimer:** The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the
24 Department of Health.

25

26 **Competing Interests:** The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

27

28

29

30 **Abstract**

31 **Purpose:**

32 To describe corneal astigmatism in the UK Biobank population, to look for associations with other
33 biometric variables and socio-demographic factors, and to report the proportion with abnormal
34 keratometry and irregular astigmatism suggestive of pathological corneal ectasias such as keratoconus.

35 **Methods:**

36 Cross-sectional data were obtained from UK Biobank (www.ukbiobank.ac.uk/). A subsample of 107,452
37 participants from UK communities had undergone an enhanced ophthalmic examination including
38 autorefractor keratometry (Tomey RC 5000, Tomey Corp., Nagoya, Japan). After quality control and
39 applying relevant exclusions, data on corneal astigmatism on 83,751 participants was available for
40 analysis. Potential associations were tested through univariable regression and significant parameters
41 carried forward for multivariable analysis.

42 **Results:**

43 In a univariable analysis, the characteristics significantly protective against corneal astigmatism were
44 gender (male), older age, darker skin colour and increased alcohol intake (all $p < 0.001$). The parameters
45 significantly associated with increased corneal astigmatism were older age at completion of full time
46 education, use of UV protection and lower corrected visual acuity. After inclusion in the multivariable
47 analysis, age, gender, age at completion of full time education, corrected visual acuity and skin colour
48 remained significant (all $p < 0.001$). Increased corneal astigmatism was also found to be significantly
49 associated with amblyopia or strabismus. No individuals with abnormal keratometry or irregular
50 astigmatism were reported.

51 **Conclusions:**

52 This analysis of associations with astigmatism in a large cohort of volunteers confirms previous
53 associations including adverse associations with younger age and female gender, and identified novel
54 associations including darker skin colour and frequency of alcohol intake. The highest risk group for
55 corneal astigmatism were younger females of lighter skin colour, having completed full time education

56 later, with higher logMAR corrected visual acuity. We also confirmed that corneal astigmatism is a high
57 risk factor for amblyopia and strabismus. Finally since no cases of keratoconus were identified, this
58 would suggest that simple keratometry indices may not be sufficient for population screening of
59 keratoconus.

60 Introduction

61 Uncorrected refractive error is the leading cause of moderate to severe visual impairment in all age
62 groups globally (101.2 million individuals in 2010), and the second commonest cause of avoidable
63 blindness in children after cataract (6.8 million individuals in 2010) [1][2]. Refractive error (ametropia), is
64 a significant public health burden, frequently associated with worse visual acuity and higher risk of
65 developing amblyopia (lazy-eye). Corneal astigmatism is a leading cause of refractive error in children
66 and a significant increase in myopia as well as astigmatism has been reported in the Singaporean
67 population[3] over the last 12 years. In a previous study, Cumberland et al [4] found that 54% of
68 participants in the UK Biobank (UKBB) had a refractive error.

69 The two major components of refractive error in the eye are astigmatism, which is linked to the
70 refractive properties of the cornea and the lens, and the spherical refractive error, which is additionally
71 related to axial length of the eye. Spherical refractive error (myopia or hyperopia) can be corrected by a
72 spherical spectacle lens. Astigmatism is caused by a corneal component and lenticular component and
73 can in many cases be corrected by a cylindrical spectacle lens. Corneal astigmatism occurs when there
74 are differences in the radius of curvature of the cornea in different meridians such that there is a
75 different focal point for each meridian, with an area of intermediate focus between the two termed the
76 conoid of Sturm[5]. In regular astigmatism the strong and weak meridians are normally at 90 degrees to
77 each other. Regular astigmatism is usually defined according to the orientation (meridian) of the
78 steepest radius of curvature of the cornea in relation to the horizontal axis of the cornea (0 to 180
79 degrees). The axis of regular astigmatism is normally at 90 degrees to the steep meridian, and this angle
80 also corresponds to the minimum radius of curvature of the cornea. Its axis defines the orientation of

81 the negative power cylindrical spectacle lens required to cancel the effect of the astigmatism. Irregular
82 astigmatism occurs when the radius of curve of the central cornea in any one meridian varies, and the
83 angle between the strong and weak meridians may not be 90 degrees. In irregular astigmatism, full
84 correction with a spectacle lens is usually impossible, but a point focus can be achieved with a rigid
85 contact lens. Irregular astigmatism can be indicative of higher-order aberrations such as keratoconus or
86 corneal ectasia.

87 The magnitude of corneal astigmatism has been reported to increase with age[6,7] and there is a shift
88 from the steepest corneal meridian from the vertical (with-the-rule) to the horizontal meridian (against-
89 the-rule)[8–11]. Data on the prevalence and severity of corneal astigmatism is typically obtained from
90 case series of patients undergoing cataract surgery[11–16], with limited data from population based
91 cross-sectional studies or large cohort studies[17].

92 The UKBB study[18–20] recruited over 500,000 men and women aged 40 to 69 years between 2006-
93 2010 from the general population. In 2009 the study protocol was updated to include measurement of
94 ocular data including corneal keratometry, on a subset of these[20]. The aim of our analysis is to
95 describe corneal astigmatism and derived variables in the UKBB population, to look for associations with
96 other biometric variables, socio-demographic factors, and eye conditions.

97

98 Methodology

99 UKBB Participants

100 The UK Biobank participants have previously been described in detail[20]. In brief, all adults aged
101 between 49 and 69 years old who were registered with the UK National Health Service and living within
102 25 miles of one of the 22 participating study sites were invited to participate. From a total of 9.2 million
103 postal invitations, 503,325 participants were recruited between 2006 and 2010 (response rate of 5.5%)
104 and after accounting for withdrawals; data on 502,642 participants were available for analysis. All those
105 recruited completed detailed questionnaires on their lifestyle, socioeconomic status, environment and

106 health, and had a number of physiological measures from urine, saliva and blood samples. Further
107 information can be found on the UK Biobank online data showcase
108 (<http://biobank.ctsu.ox.ac.uk/crystal/label.cgi>).

109

110 **Ethics**

111 All UK Biobank participants gave written, informed consent. The UK Biobank study was conducted under
112 approval from the NHS National Research Ethics Service (Ref. 11/NW/ 0382), and anonymised data were
113 provided from UK Biobank under application reference 10536.

114

115 **Eye measurements**

116 Six of the recruiting centres performed an ophthalmic assessment^[4] that included LogMAR visual
117 acuity, autorefractometry and keratometry (Tomey RC 5000 auto-ref-keratometer Tomey Corp., Nagoya,
118 Japan), intraocular pressure (IOP) (Goldmann-correlated and Corneal-compensated) and corneal
119 biomechanics (both Ocular Response Analyzer, Reichert, Depew, NY, USA). In total 117,279 (23.3%) of
120 those enrolled had an ophthalmic assessment.

121 The Tomey RC 5000 examination produced 38 autorefractometry and keratometric measurements for each
122 eye (category: <http://biobank.ctsu.ox.ac.uk/crystal/label.cgi?id=100014>). This category includes data on
123 whether the measurement was made, and the test result for refractometry (sphere, cylinder, axis, pupil
124 diameter) and keratometry (corneal refraction and astigmatism). Corneal astigmatism was defined as
125 the 3mm strong meridian minus the 3mm weak meridian. The average of these two values was defined
126 as the mean corneal power. Similarly to^[4], we defined spherical equivalent as the spherical power plus
127 half of the refractive cylindrical power.

128 The Reichert Ocular Response Analyser (Reichert Corp., Philadelphia, PA), which measures the
129 biomechanical distortion of the cornea produced by a puff of air, yielded a further 9 types of
130 measurements for each eye (category: <http://biobank.ctsu.ox.ac.uk/crystal/label.cgi?id=100015>). These
131 included eye appplanation, corneal hysteresis, corneal resistance factor, corneal-compensated

132 intraocular pressure, and an IOP graph for each eye. Participants who had eye surgery within the
133 previous 4 weeks or those with possible eye infections did not have IOP measured.

134

135 **Self-reported eye conditions**

136 The UKBB touchscreen questionnaire allowed participants to report if they wore glasses or contact
137 lenses, and if they had an eye disorders or eye diseases, any injury or trauma, which eye was affected
138 and when it was diagnosed. Refractive eye conditions included astigmatism, myopia, hyperopia,
139 presbyopia, strabismus and amblyopia. Eye diseases include diabetic retinopathy, glaucoma, cataract or
140 age-related macular degeneration.

141

142 **Socio-economic status and ethnicity**

143 The Townsend deprivation index was determined using the participant's postcodes at recruitment. The
144 Townsend deprivation index has a UK mean of zero, with negative being less deprived and positive being
145 more deprived. Ethnicity choices included white (English/Irish or other white), Asian or British Asian
146 (Indian/Pakistani/Bangladeshi or other Asian), black or black British (Caribbean, African, or other black),
147 Chinese, mixed (white and black Caribbean or African, white and Asian, or other mixed ethnicity), or
148 other ethnic group (not defined).

149

150 **Lifestyle and environment**

151 The UKBB touchscreen questionnaire also offered questions to participants about their lifestyle, health
152 and environment. In particular, smoking status, alcohol intake frequency, use of sun/UV protection, skin
153 colour without tanning, presence of diabetes. The possible questions/answers and their encoding are
154 explained in more detail in the Table S1.

155

156 **Physical measures and disease**

157 Blood pressure and heart rate were measured using the HEM-70151T digital blood pressure monitor
158 (Omron, Hoofddorp, The Netherlands). Weight was measured with the BV-418 MA body composition
159 analyzer (Tanita, Arlington Heights, IL). Height was measured using a Seca 202 height measure (Seca,
160 Birmingham, UK). Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight in kg divided by height in m². Waist
161 circumference at the level of the umbilicus was measured using a Wessex non-stretchable tape
162 measure. The UKBB includes diagnoses extracted from records across all their episodes in hospital
163 coded using the International Classification of Diseases version-10 (ICD10).

164

165 **Participant selection**

166 Of the 502642 participants in UK Biobank, 109,935 had 3mm strong or weak corneal meridian
167 measurement values available for both eyes from which corneal astigmatism measurements could be
168 derived. Participants were excluded if they had any of the following: previous laser refractive eye
169 surgery (n=7440), previous eye surgery (for cataract, glaucoma or corneal graft) (n=8051), unreliable
170 3mm asymmetry index (n=12,910) or an unreliable keratometry result (n=6916). This left a total of
171 83,751 individuals for further analysis.

172

173 **Mean corneal power and axis of astigmatism**

174 We tested the association of mean corneal power and axis of astigmatism with age and gender for both
175 eyes. The axis of astigmatism was defined as the angle of the strong meridian minus the angle of the
176 weak meridian. The axis of astigmatism was either 90 or -90 degrees for all individuals; this implies that
177 no irregular astigmatism was detected among our participants.

178

179 **Corneal astigmatism, irregular corneal astigmatism and keratoconus**

180 We explored the distribution of astigmatism and compared this to previously published studies. We
181 then looked for patients with features suggestive of keratoconus such as mean corneal power exceeding
182 48, 49 or 50 dioptres[[21,22](#)]. The Tomey RC 5000 auto-ref-keratometer also provided an index irregular

183 corneal astigmatism (normal, doubtful, high possibility of abnormality) and we therefore further refined
184 our search for keratoconus using a steep corneal meridian of 48D or more, in the presence a “doubtful”
185 or “high possibility of abnormality” 3mm index of irregular astigmatism.

186 **Statistical associations with corneal astigmatism, mean corneal power**

187 Univariable linear regression and multivariable regression analysis models were applied to investigate
188 predictors of corneal astigmatism. Variables were re-coded according to Table S1. To account for
189 multiple testing, a Bonferroni corrected P value threshold of < 0.001 was applied to avoid false-positives
190 due to the large number of tests carried out. Only parameters that showed significant association in the
191 univariable analysis were included in the multivariable analysis. Since we found that corneal astigmatism
192 measurements were asymmetric, with left eye having on average higher corneal astigmatism than right
193 eye (Figure S1.a), we repeated statistical analysis in both eyes and only reported parameters which were
194 consistently significantly associated in both eyes. We also repeated the statistical associations with a
195 log-scaled corneal astigmatism variable since the p-value calculation in a linear regression assumes a
196 normally distributed response variable (Figure S2.a.b). All analyses were performed using R statistical
197 software version 3.2.3. The code is available at <https://github.com/pontikos/UKBB/>.

198 **Results**

199 **Participant selection and distribution of corneal astigmatism**

200 Of the 502,642 UKBB participants who had keratometry measures, after exclusions, 83,751 participants
201 were selected for the purpose of this study. Of these, 36,490 (44%) were male. Ethnicity was 90% white,
202 3.44% Asian, 3.01% black, 0.89% mixed and 0.41% Chinese (Table 1). In the right eye, 69%, 46%, 29%,
203 11% and 5% had corneal astigmatism greater than or equal to 0.5, 0.75, 1.0, 1.5 and 2.0 dioptres
204 respectively, and in the left eye, 69%, 46%, 30%, 11% and 5% (Figure S2.c). Anisometropia of less than 1
205 dioptre was found in 95% of individuals and a difference of more than 2 dioptres was found in the 0.83%
206 of eyes. After stratification of participants by age group (decade) and gender, corneal astigmatism was

207 found to decrease with age and to be on average higher in females than in males across age groups
208 (Table 2).

209

210 **Association of mean corneal power, axis of astigmatism and anisometropia with age and** 211 **gender**

212 Older age was significantly associated with increased mean corneal power in both eyes, with an average
213 increase of 0.015 (0.014 to 0.016) dioptres per year (Figure S3.b). Axis of astigmatism changed with
214 older age from with-the-rule (90 degrees) to against-the-rule (-90 degrees) (Figure S3.c). Anisometropia
215 was slightly more prevalent in males than in females (0.98% vs 0.71%).

216

217 **Irregular corneal astigmatism and keratoconus**

218 The number of individuals with a strong 3mm corneal meridian greater than 48, 49 and 50 dioptres, in
219 the presence of “doubtful” or “high possibility of abnormality” index of irregular corneal astigmatism in
220 both eyes, were 132, 46 and 5 respectively (less than 0.16% of the cohort). However, none of these
221 individuals had a keratoconus diagnosis (H18.6) in the UKBB. Of the 502,642 in the UKBB, only 9 had a
222 keratoconus diagnosis, none of which were in our filtered list of 83,751 participants. Four of these
223 individuals were filtered out because they previously had surgery, the other five were not included
224 because they had no keratometry values.

225

226 **Univariable and multivariable analysis of corneal astigmatism**

227 By order of magnitude, the univariable analysis found that - decreased corrected visual acuity, Asian and
228 black ethnicity, male gender, darker skin colour, decreased use of UV protection, increased alcohol
229 intake, increased corneal corrected IOP, older age and younger age completed full-time education –
230 were significantly associated with decreased corneal astigmatism (Table 3.1 and Table S2.1). After
231 including these variables in the multivariable analysis (Table 3.2 and Table S2.2), the following
232 parameters remained significantly associated with decreased corneal astigmatism: decreased corrected

233 visual acuity, male gender, darker skin colour, increased alcohol intake, older age and younger age
234 completed full-time education.

235 Discussion

236 **Distribution of corneal astigmatism in the UKBB compared to other cohorts**

237 The distribution of astigmatism in the large population reported in this study supports evidence from
238 previous smaller studies, both in the UK and worldwide, in pre-operative patients [X1] and from large
239 consortiums such as CREAM (n=55,177)[17]. We found that 69%, 29%, 11% and 5% had corneal
240 astigmatism ≥ 0.5 , 1.0, 1.5 and 2.0 dioptres respectively. These are slightly lower than values reported
241 from a recent series of 110,468 cataract pre-operative eyes [23] where 78%, 42%, 21% and 11% having
242 corneal astigmatism ≥ 0.5 , 1.0, 1.5 and 2.0 dioptres respectively. A study of 1,230 eyes undergoing
243 cataract surgery in Wales found corneal astigmatism of $>0.5D$ in 75% in Wales[15] (N=1,230 eyes).
244 Corneal astigmatism $\geq 1.0D$ was found in 36% of eyes with cataract in Germany[24] (N=15,448 eyes),
245 47% in China (N=12,449)[25] and 35% in South Korea[26] (N=2,847 eyes). Recently, Curragh et al[27]
246 reported that 41% of eyes undergoing cataract surgery (N=2,080) in Northern Ireland had $>1.0D$ of
247 corneal astigmatism. However cataract surgery is usually performed in an older age group than those of
248 the participants in the UK Biobank and these pre-operative clinical groups are not necessarily
249 comparable to UKBB participants. A recent CREAM study[28] reported the median corneal astigmatism
250 and median age across 22 studies (8 Asian and 14 European). The median corneal astigmatism was
251 reported in each study and this ranged from 0.539D in the Rotterdam-II European study (N=3964, mean
252 age=64.8), to 1.21D in the Asian Singapore Cohort Study of the Risk Factors for Myopia (SCORM) study
253 (N=1894, mean age=10.8). Comparable studies to the UKBB in terms of age and gender demographics
254 of the participants are the Rotterdam-III Study (N=5850 eyes, mean age=57)[29], the Singapore Chinese
255 Eye Study (SCES-610K) (N=1106 eyes, mean age=57.6)[30], the Gutenberg Health Study (GHS-1) study
256 (N=4796 eyes, mean age=55.9)[31] which reported a median corneal astigmatism of 0.618D, 0.703D and
257 0.65D respectively. This is comparable to the UKBB median corneal astigmatism of 0.71D.

258

259 **Modelling of corneal astigmatism**

260 In the multivariable analysis, parameters known to be strongly associated with gender, such as height
261 and weight (Table 3.2), were no longer significantly associated with corneal astigmatism. The adjusted
262 R-squared of the multivariable regression was remarkably low at 0.015 which highlights that there are
263 many other unobserved variables which influence corneal astigmatism.

264

265 **Corneal astigmatism, amblyopia and strabismus**

266 The number of eyes affected by amblyopia and strabismus in the UKBB are 2483 and 1052 respectively.
267 Corneal astigmatism is highest in eyes affected by amblyopia and strabismus (Figure S4.a). This confirms
268 that, as previously reported by [32,33], uncorrected high corneal astigmatism is a significant risk factor
269 for amblyopia (OR=1.98 (1.87 to 2.09), $P<0.001$) and strabismus (OR=1.73 (1.59 to 1.88), $P<0.001$)
270 (Figure S4.b).

271

272 **Corneal astigmatism and IOP measurements**

273 Of interest, corneal hysteresis, which measures the cornea's ability to absorb and dissipate energy, was
274 not found to be associated with corneal astigmatism in the univariable or multivariable
275 analysis. However when corneal astigmatism was log-transformed, a small but positive significant
276 association was detected for both eyes in the univariable analysis (Table S2.1) but not in the
277 multivariable analysis (Table S2.2). These results suggest that the ability of the cornea to absorb and
278 dissipate energy (corneal hysteresis) was not strongly significantly associated with corneal astigmatism.
279 However, corneal hysteresis is significantly positively associated with mean corneal power in both eyes.
280 In the univariable analysis (Table 3.1), we found a small but significant negative association between
281 corneal corrected intraocular pressure and corneal astigmatism in both eyes, however in the
282 multivariable analysis the association was no longer significant in both eyes (Table 3.2).

283

284 **Corneal astigmatism and gender**

285 Our study confirms as previously reported by[25], that corneal astigmatism is higher in females than in
286 males even after adjusting for weight and height (Table 3.2). Males have on average 0.06D less corneal
287 astigmatism in right eye than females and 0.08D less in left eye.

288

289 **Corneal astigmatism and age**

290 Corneal astigmatism decreases significantly with age by an average 0.06D in both eyes per decade even
291 after controlling for weight and height (Table 3.2). This is contrary to what is reported by[34] namely
292 that the level of corneal astigmatism is relatively constant across age. As reported by Shah et al in a
293 previous UKBB analysis[34], we did confirm the stronger association between age and refractive
294 (cylindrical) astigmatism in right eye ($B=0.011$ (0.010 to 0.011), $P<.001$) and left eye ($B=0.010$ (0.09 to
295 0.010), $P<0.001$) (Figure S3.a). The fact that refractive astigmatism increases with age but that corneal
296 astigmatism decreases with age suggest that lenticular astigmatism may be driving the increase in
297 refractive astigmatism, possibly due to the onset of presbyopia. We also found that age is significantly
298 positively associated with corneal power both in right ($B=0.015$ (0.014 to 0.016), $P<0.001$) and left eye
299 ($B=0.015$ (0.014 to 0.016), $P<0.001$) (Figure S3.b). We also observed that the axis of astigmatism changes
300 with age from with to against the rule (Figure S3.c) significantly in the right eye but not in the left eye.

301

302 **Corneal astigmatism and age completed full-time education**

303 We discovered a significant positive association between age at which full-time education was
304 completed and corneal astigmatism ($B=0.006$ (0.004 to 0.008)). This result was consistent with
305 participants with self-reported astigmatism finishing full-time education later than other participants
306 (Figure S4.c). Interestingly, this relationship was not observed in individuals with myopia (Figure S4.c). In
307 fact, recent evidence suggests that myopia is perhaps not linked as much to poor lighting conditions and
308 near work[35], but rather to earlier life exposures [36].

309

310 **Corneal astigmatism, ethnicity and skin colour**

311 Asian and black ethnicities appear to be significantly protective for corneal astigmatism in both eyes
312 according to the univariable analysis (Table 3.1 and S3.1), but are no longer significant in the
313 multivariable analysis (Table 3.2 and S3.2) for log transformed corneal astigmatism. However skin colour
314 remains significantly associated with darker skin being protective ($B=-0.032$) (Table 3.2 and S3.2). This
315 relationship can also be clearly seen in Figure S5. The link between corneal astigmatism and deficiency in
316 melanin production has been previously reported for albinism[37] but we have now also reported this
317 association in a healthy population via darkness of skin showing that darker skin and hence increased
318 melanin production appears protective for corneal astigmatism.

319

320 **Corneal astigmatism and alcohol intake**

321 Alcohol intake is significantly protective for corneal astigmatism according to the univariable and
322 multivariable analysis. In particular, the group that drink nearly every day has the lowest corneal
323 astigmatism. This is surprising due to the negative consequences of alcohol abuse on eye
324 conditions. However on closer inspection it appears that the group that drinks nearly every day in the
325 UKBB consists primarily of men in the 65+ age group; 55% of men drink every day in this study vs 44% of
326 women. It then follows that alcohol intake effect is difficult to decouple from gender due to the high
327 correlation. In fact, the three-way interaction between alcohol-intake, age and gender is illustrated in
328 Figure S6, with “never-drinkers” and “daily drinkers” showing a clear interaction.

329

330 **Corneal astigmatism and keratoconus**

331 We looked for participants with features suggestive of keratoconus such as mean corneal power
332 exceeding 48, 49 or 50 dioptres[21,22] and also explored the Tomey RC 5000 auto-ref-keratometer
333 specific parameter of irregular corneal astigmatism as a proxy for a keratoconus diagnosis. However
334 none of the 83,751 participants had a prior diagnosis of keratoconus (H18.6). In the entire UKBB, only 9
335 participants had a keratoconus diagnosis, none of these were in our included list of 83,751 participants

336 due to previous exclusion as detailed earlier. This number (1:10,000) is a factor of 10 less than
337 population-based estimates from North Europe [38]. Based on these findings, identification of
338 keratoconus in cross-sectional or population studies would appear to require corneal topography or
339 corneal tomography map review rather than evaluation of simple keratometry indices.

340

341 **Strengths and limitations of our study**

342 The strength of this study is the large sample size of 83,751 participants and that participants were not
343 pre-operative patients hence more representative of the general population. However due to the
344 limited age range of the participants, between 40 and 69 years, and the voluntary nature of study
345 participation, the age distribution is not representative of the UK and participants are likely to be a
346 healthier more educated sample of the UK population. Regardless, a range of exposures and
347 characteristics are likely to have been captured due to the sample size and so the results can still be
348 applicable to other populations.

349

350 **Conclusion**

351 In conclusion, this analysis of associations with astigmatism in a large cohort confirms previous
352 associations including age and gender, and identified novel associations including age completed full
353 time education, skin colour and alcohol intake. The highest risk group for corneal astigmatism were
354 younger females of lighter skin colour, having completed full time education later, with higher corrected
355 visual acuity. It was also confirmed that uncorrected corneal astigmatism is a high-risk factor for
356 amblyopia and strabismus.

357

358 **Acknowledgments:** This research has been conducted using the UK Biobank Resource under Application
359 Number 10536. Collaborators on the application are Nikolas Pontikos, Alexander Day, Parul Desai, Paul
360 Foster and Stephen Tuft. The PI is Alexander Day. The collection of eye & vision data in UK Biobank was
361 supported in part by a grant from the NIHR Biomedical Research Centre at Moorfields Eye Hospital and
362 UCL Institute of Ophthalmology. The UK Biobank Eye and Vision Consortium is supported by a grant
363 from the Special Trustees of Moorfields Eye Hospital (now Moorfields Eye Charity). The main contact for

364 this consortium is Prof Paul Foster (p.foster@ucl.ac.uk) and list of members is available from the
365 consortium website (<http://www.ukbiobankeyconsortium.org.uk/people>).

366

367 **Author Contributions:** Conceived and designed the experiments: ACD, NP and SJT. Analysed the data:
368 NP. Wrote the paper: NP, ACD, SJT and PJF. Review and critique of the manuscript: ACD, SC, PJF and SJT.

369

370 **Collaborators on behalf of the UK Biobank:** UK Biobank Eye & Vision Consortium: The UK Biobank Eye &
371 Vision Consortium members are Tariq Aslam, PhD, Manchester University, Sarah A. Barman, PhD,
372 Kingston University, Jenny H. Barrett, PhD, University of Leeds, Paul Bishop, PhD, Manchester University,
373 Peter Blows, BSc, NIHR Biomedical Research Centre, Catey Bunce, DSc, King's College London, Roxana O.
374 Carare, PhD, University of Southampton, Usha Chakravarthy, FRCOphth, Queens University Belfast,
375 Michelle Chan, FRCOphth, NIHR Biomedical Research Centre, Sharon Y.L. Chua, PhD, NIHR Biomedical
376 Research Centre, David P. Crabb, PhD, UCL, Philippa M. Cumberland, MSc, UCL Great Ormond Street
377 Institute of Child Health, Alexander Day, PhD, NIHR Biomedical Research Centre, Parul Desai, PhD, NIHR
378 Biomedical Research Centre, Bal Dhillon, FRC Ophth, University of Edinburgh, Andrew D. Dick, FRC
379 Ophth, University of Bristol, Cathy Egan, FRC Ophth, NIHR Biomedical Research Centre, Sarah Ennis, PhD,
380 University of Southampton, Paul Foster, PhD, NIHR Biomedical Research Centre, Marcus Fruttiger, PhD,
381 NIHR Biomedical Research Centre, John E.J. Gallacher, PhD, University of Oxford, David F. Garway-Heath
382 MD FRCOphth - NIHR Biomedical Research Centre, Jane Gibson, PhD, University of Southampton, Dan
383 Gore, FRCOphth, NIHR Biomedical Research Centre, Jeremy A. Guggenheim, PhD, Cardiff University,
384 Chris J. Hammond, FRCOphth, King's College London, Alison Hardcastle, PhD, NIHR Biomedical Research
385 Centre, Simon P. Harding, MD, University of Liverpool, Ruth E. Hogg, PhD, Queens University Belfast,
386 Pirro Hysi, PhD, King's College London, Pearse A. Keane, MD, NIHR, Biomedical Research Centre, Sir Peng
387 T. Khaw, PhD, NIHR Biomedical Research Centre, Anthony P. Khawaja, DPhil, NIHR Biomedical Research
388 Centre, Gerassimos Lascaratos, PhD, NIHR Biomedical Research Centre, Andrew J. Lotery, MD, University
389 of Southampton, Tom Mac Gillivray, PhD, University of Edinburgh, Sarah Mackie, PhD, University of
390 Leeds, Keith Martin, FRCOphth, University of Cambridge, Michelle Mc Gaughey, Queen's University
391 Belfast, Bernadette McGuinness, PhD, Queen's University Belfast, Gareth J. McKay, PhD, Queen's
392 University Belfast, Martin McKibbin, FRC Ophth, Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust, Danny Mitry, PhD,
393 NIHR Biomedical Research Centre, Tony Moore, FRCOphth, NIHR Biomedical Research Centre, James E.
394 Morgan, DPhil, Cardiff University, Zaynah A. Muthy, BSc, NIHR Biomedical Research Centre, Eoin
395 O'Sullivan, MD, King's College Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, Chris G. Owen, PhD, University of London,
396 Praveen Patel, FRCOphth, NIHR Biomedical Research Centre, Euan Paterson, BSc, Queens University
397 Belfast, Tunde Peto, PhD, Queen's University Belfast, Axel Petzold, PhD, UCL, Jugnoo S. Rahi, PhD, UCL
398 Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, Alicja R. Rudnikca, PhD, University of London, Jay Self,

399 PhD, University of Southampton, Sobha Sivaprasad, FRC Ophth, NIHR Biomedical Research Centre, David
400 Steel, FRCOphth, Newcastle University, Irene Stratton, MSc, Gloucestershire Hospitals NHS Foundation
401 Trust, Nicholas Strouthidis, PhD, NIHR Biomedical Research Centre, Cathie Sudlow, DPhil, University of
402 Edinburgh, Dhanes Thomas, FRC Ophth, NIHR Biomedical Research Centre, Emanuele Trucco, PhD,
403 University of Dundee, Adnan Tufail, FRCOphth, NIHR Biomedical Research Centre, Veronique Vitart, PhD,
404 University of Edinburgh, Stephen A. Vernon, DM, Nottingham University Hospitals NHS Trust, Ananth C.
405 Viswanathan, FRCOphth, NIHR Biomedical Research Centre, Cathy Williams, PhD, University of Bristol,
406 Katie Williams, PhD, King's College London, Jayne V. Woodside, MRC Ophth, PhD, Queen's University
407 Belfast, Max M. Yates, PhD, University of East Anglia, Jennifer Yip, PhD, University of Cambridge, and
408 Yalin Zheng, PhD, University of Liverpool.

Table 1: Distribution of participants in the UKBB across the different variables. Mean/sd or percentage of the 83,751 study participants in the UKBB by sex.

variable	total	males	females	P value
Age, years	57.1 (8.1)	57.3 (8.2)	56.9 (8.0)	<.001
Ethnicity white	92.1	92.2	92	<.001
Ethnicity asian	3.5	4	3.1	
Ethnicity black	3.1	2.8	3.3	
Ethnicity mixed	0.9	0.7	1	
Ethnicity chinese	0.4	0.3	0.5	
Age completed full time education	16.9 (2.5)	16.9 (2.7)	16.8 (2.3)	<.001
Skin colour *	2.3 (0.9)	2.4 (0.9)	2.3 (0.9)	<.001
Use of UV protection	2.7 (0.9)	2.5 (0.9)	2.9 (0.9)	<.001
Alcohol intake **	3.0 (1.6)	3.3 (1.5)	2.8 (1.6)	<.001
Season of assessment spring	34.6	34.7	34.6	
Season of assessment autumn	23.7	23.5	23.8	
Season of assessment winter	22.1	22.4	21.9	
Season of assessment summer	19.6	19.5	19.7	
Corneal corrected IOP, mmHg	16.1 (4.2)	16.4 (4.2)	15.9 (4.3)	<.001
Corrected Visual acuity, logMAR	0.0 (0.2)	0.0 (0.2)	0.0 (0.2)	<.001
Corneal resistance factor	10.7 (2.3)	10.6 (2.3)	10.9 (2.4)	<.001
Corneal hysteresis	10.6 (2.3)	10.4 (2.2)	10.8 (2.3)	<.001
Height, m	168.3 (9.2)	175.7 (6.8)	162.7 (6.3)	<.001
Weight, 10 kg	77.4 (15.8)	85.5 (14.2)	71.3 (14.0)	<.001
BMI, kg/m ²	27.3 (4.8)	27.7 (4.2)	27.0 (5.1)	<.001
SBP, mmHg	139.7 (19.5)	142.3 (18.3)	137.6 (20.2)	<.001
DBP, mmHg	81.9 (10.6)	83.6 (10.4)	80.5 (10.5)	<.001
Townsend deprivation index	-1.0 (3.0)	-1.0 (3.0)	-1.0 (2.9)	
Smoker: true	90.1	87.9	91.8	<.001
Smoker: false	9.9	12.1	8.2	
Age Asthma Diagnosed, self reported	30.5 (18.5)	27.3 (19.1)	32.7 (17.7)	<.001
Diabetes, doctor diagnosed: true	94.8	93.1	96.1	<.001
Diabetes, doctor diagnosed: false	5.2	6.9	3.9	

D = dioptres; * Skin colour is coded as: very fair=1, fair=2, light olive=3, dark olive=4, brown=5, black=6; ** Alcohol intake is coded as: never=0, special occasions=1, one to three times a month=2, once twice a week=3, three four times a week=4, daily=5.

Table 2: Mean, standard deviation, 25th and 75th percentile of right and left corneal astigmatism by age and gender of the 83,751 study participants in the UK BioBank. Corneal astigmatism decreases slightly with age and is slightly higher in females than in males. Left corneal astigmatism tends to be consistently higher than right corneal astigmatism (as illustrated in Figure S1.a).

cohorts	right eye 3mm corneal astigmatism	left eye 3mm corneal astigmatism
Men 40-49	0.861 (0.683, 0.430-1.090)	0.864 (0.674, 0.440-1.100)
Men 50-59	0.810 (0.640, 0.400-1.030)	0.818 (0.646, 0.400-1.050)
Men 60-69	0.788 (0.619, 0.390-1.000)	0.792 (0.627, 0.400-1.000)
Women 40-49	0.923 (0.625, 0.510-1.190)	0.942 (0.638, 0.520-1.200)
Women 50-59	0.890 (0.611, 0.470-1.140)	0.915 (0.645, 0.490-1.170)
Women 60-69	0.850 (0.619, 0.440-1.090)	0.855 (0.619, 0.440-1.090)
Total 40-49	0.896 (0.652, 0.470-1.150)	0.908 (0.655, 0.480-1.160)
Total 50-59	0.857 (0.624, 0.440-1.100)	0.875 (0.647, 0.450-1.120)
Total 60-69	0.822 (0.620, 0.420-1.050)	0.827 (0.623, 0.420-1.050)
All	0.848 (0.627, 0.430-1.090)	0.857 (0.636, 0.440-1.100)
Difference (left-right)	-0.009 (-0.026 0.01), P=0.340730	

Table 3.1. Results of univariable regression in 83,751 study participant in the UKBB for right and left eye corneal astigmatism. Significant associations are highlighted in bold.

Description	Right eye univariate beta (95% CI)	P value	Left eye univariate beta (95% CI)	P value
Age, years	-0.004 (-0.004 to -0.003)	<0.001	-0.004 (-0.005 to -0.004)	<0.001
Sex (Ref = F)	-0.070 (-0.079 to -0.061)	<0.001	-0.080 (-0.089 to -0.071)	<0.001
Ethnicity (Ref=white)				
asian	-0.081 (-0.104 to -0.058)	<0.001	-0.102 (-0.126 to -0.079)	<0.001
black	-0.061 (-0.086 to -0.036)	<0.001	-0.068 (-0.093 to -0.043)	<0.001
mixed	-0.017 (-0.063 to 0.028)	0.45	-0.018 (-0.064 to 0.028)	0.447
chinese	-0.022 (-0.089 to 0.044)	0.515	-0.040 (-0.108 to 0.027)	0.243
Age completed full time education	0.004 (0.002 to 0.006)	0.001	0.005 (0.003 to 0.007)	<0.001
Skin colour, lighter to darker*	-0.029 (-0.034 to -0.024)	<0.001	-0.030 (-0.035 to -0.026)	<0.001
Use of UV protection	0.017 (0.013 to 0.022)	<0.001	0.016 (0.011 to 0.020)	<0.001
Alcohol intake, never to daily**	-0.009 (-0.012 to -0.006)	<0.001	-0.009 (-0.012 to -0.007)	<0.001
Season of assessment (baseline=spring)				
autumn	-0.005 (-0.016 to 0.006)	0.387	-0.011 (-0.023 to 0.000)	0.055
winter	-0.007 (-0.019 to 0.004)	0.212	-0.006 (-0.018 to 0.006)	0.324
summer	0.017 (0.005 to 0.029)	0.005	0.014 (0.002 to 0.026)	0.026
Corneal corrected IOP, mmHg	-0.006 (-0.007 to -0.005)	<0.001	-0.007 (-0.008 to -0.006)	<0.001
Corrected Visual acuity, logMAR	0.384 (0.362 to 0.405)	<0.001	0.421 (0.400 to 0.442)	<0.001
Corneal resistance factor	-0.003 (-0.005 to -0.002)	<0.001	-0.001 (-0.003 to 0.001)	0.35
Corneal hysteresis	0.003 (0.001 to 0.005)	0.004	0.006 (0.004 to 0.007)	<0.001
Height, m	-0.002 (-0.002 to -0.002)	<0.001	-0.002 (-0.002 to -0.001)	<0.001
Weight, 10 kg	-0.000 (-0.001 to -0.000)	0.012	-0.000 (-0.001 to -0.000)	0.044
BMI, kg/m2	0.001 (0.000 to 0.002)	0.021	0.001 (0.000 to 0.002)	0.011
SBP, mmHg	-0.001 (-0.001 to -0.001)	<0.001	-0.001 (-0.001 to -0.001)	<0.001
DBP, mmHg	-0.001 (-0.001 to -0.000)	0.001	-0.001 (-0.001 to -0.000)	<0.001
Townsend deprivation index	0.003 (0.001 to 0.004)	<0.001	0.003 (0.002 to 0.005)	<0.001
Smoker (baseline = false)	-0.011 (-0.025 to 0.004)	0.144	-0.012 (-0.026 to 0.003)	0.112

Age Asthma Diagnosed, self reported	-0.001 (-0.002 to -0.000)	0.014	-0.001 (-0.002 to -0.000)	0.014
Diabetes, doctor diagnosed (baseline = false)	-0.023 (-0.042 to -0.004)	0.018	-0.006 (-0.025 to 0.014)	0.561

D = dioptres; * Skin colour is coded as: very fair=1, fair=2, light olive=3, dark olive=4, brown=5, black=6;
** Alcohol intake is coded as: never=0, special occasions=1, one to three times a month=2, once twice a week=3, three four times a week=4, daily=5.

Table 3.2. Results of multivariable regression in 83751 study participant in the UKBB for right and left eye corneal astigmatism. Only parameters that were significant in the univariable regression were included in the multivariable regression. Significant associations are highlighted in bold.

Description	Right eye multivariate beta (95% CI)	P value	Left eye multivariate beta (95% CI)	P value
Age, years	-0.006 (-0.006 to -0.005)	<0.001	-0.006 (-0.006 to -0.005)	<0.001
Sex (Ref = F)	-0.058 (-0.075 to -0.042)	<0.001	-0.082 (-0.099 to -0.066)	<0.001
Ethnicity (Ref=white)				
asian	-0.043 (-0.083 to -0.003)	0.034	-0.083 (-0.124 to -0.043)	<0.001
black	0.003 (-0.043 to 0.049)	0.896	-0.033 (-0.079 to 0.014)	0.165
mixed	-0.071 (-0.133 to -0.009)	0.025	-0.030 (-0.092 to 0.033)	0.356
chinese	-0.100 (-0.201 to 0.002)	0.054	-0.085 (-0.186 to 0.017)	0.102
Age completed full time education	0.006 (0.004 to 0.008)	<0.001	0.007 (0.005 to 0.009)	<0.001
Skin colour, lighter to darker*	-0.032 (-0.041 to -0.023)	<0.001	-0.027 (-0.036 to -0.018)	<0.001
Use of UV protection	0.010 (0.003 to 0.016)	0.003	0.003 (-0.004 to 0.009)	0.379
Alcohol intake, never to daily**	-0.007 (-0.010 to -0.003)	<0.001	-0.007 (-0.011 to -0.003)	<0.001
Corneal corrected IOP, mmHg	-0.004 (-0.007 to -0.001)	0.009	-0.006 (-0.007 to -0.004)	<0.001
Corrected Visual acuity, logMAR	0.441 (0.413 to 0.469)	<0.001	0.476 (0.449 to 0.504)	<0.001
Corneal resistance factor	-0.009 (-0.019 to 0.002)	0.096		
Corneal hysteresis	0.003 (-0.009 to 0.015)	0.621	-0.003 (-0.006 to -0.001)	0.019
Height, m	-0.000 (-0.001 to 0.001)	0.65	0.001 (-0.000 to 0.001)	0.203
SBP, mmHg	-0.000 (-0.000 to 0.000)	0.773	-0.000 (-0.001 to 0.000)	0.12
DBP, mmHg	0.001 (0.000 to 0.002)	0.022	0.001 (0.000 to 0.002)	0.024
Townsend deprivation index	0.002 (0.000 to 0.004)	0.018	0.002 (0.000 to 0.004)	0.02

D = dioptres; * Skin colour is coded as: very fair=1, fair=2, light olive=3, dark olive=4, brown=5, black=6;

** Alcohol intake is coded as: never=0, special occasions=1, one to three times a month=2, once twice a week=3, three four times a week=4, daily=5.

References

1. Bourne RRA, Stevens GA, White RA, Smith JL, Flaxman SR, Price H, et al. Causes of vision loss worldwide, 1990-2010: a systematic analysis. *Lancet Glob Health*. 2013;1: e339–49.
2. Hashemi H, Fotouhi A, Yekta A, Pakzad R, Ostadimoghaddam H, Khabazkhoob M. Global and regional estimates of prevalence of refractive errors: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Curr Ophthalmol*. 2018;30: 3–22.
3. Pan C-W, Zheng Y-F, Anuar AR, Chew M, Gazzard G, Aung T, et al. Prevalence of refractive errors in a multiethnic Asian population: the Singapore epidemiology of eye disease study. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2013;54: 2590–2598.
4. Cumberland PM, Bao Y, Hysi PG, Foster PJ, Hammond CJ, Rahi JS, et al. Frequency and Distribution of Refractive Error in Adult Life: Methodology and Findings of the UK Biobank Study. *PLoS One*. 2015;10: e0139780.
5. Morlet N, Minassian D, Dart J. Astigmatism and the analysis of its surgical correction. *Br J Ophthalmol*. 2001;85: 1127–1138.
6. Hirsch MJ. Changes in astigmatism after the age of forty. *Am J Optom Arch Am Acad Optom*. 1959;36: 395–405.
7. Attebo K, Ivers RQ, Mitchell P. Refractive errors in an older population: the Blue Mountains Eye Study. *Ophthalmology*. 1999;106: 1066–1072.
8. Hayashi K, Hayashi H, Hayashi F. Topographic analysis of the changes in corneal shape due to aging. *Cornea*. 1995;14: 527–532.
9. Riley AF, Grupcheva CN, Malik TY, Craig JP, McGhee CN. The Auckland Cataract Study: demographic, corneal topographic and ocular biometric parameters. *Clin Experiment Ophthalmol*. 2001;29: 381–386.
10. Ho J-D, Liou S-W, Tsai RJ-F, Tsai C-Y. Effects of aging on anterior and posterior corneal astigmatism. *Cornea*. 2010;29: 632–637.
11. Chen W, Zuo C, Chen C, Su J, Luo L, Congdon N, et al. Prevalence of corneal astigmatism before cataract surgery in Chinese patients. *J Cataract Refract Surg*. 2013;39: 188–192.
12. Hoffer KJ. Biometry of 7,500 cataractous eyes. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 1980;90: 360–368.
13. Ferrer-Blasco T, Montés-Micó R, Peixoto-de-Matos SC, González-Méijome JM, Cerviño A. Prevalence of corneal astigmatism before cataract surgery. *J Cataract Refract Surg*. 2009;35: 70–75.
14. Hoffmann PC, Hütz WW. Analysis of biometry and prevalence data for corneal astigmatism in 23,239 eyes. *J Cataract Refract Surg*. 2010;36: 1479–1485.

15. Khan MI, Muhtaseb M. Prevalence of corneal astigmatism in patients having routine cataract surgery at a teaching hospital in the United Kingdom. *J Cataract Refract Surg*. 2011;37: 1751–1755.
16. Collier Wakefield O, Annoh R, Nanavaty MA. Relationship between age, corneal astigmatism, and ocular dimensions with reference to astigmatism in eyes undergoing routine cataract surgery. *Eye*. 2016;30: 562–569.
17. Verhoeven VJM, Hysi PG, Saw S-M, Vitart V, Mirshahi A, Guggenheim JA, et al. Large scale international replication and meta-analysis study confirms association of the 15q14 locus with myopia. The CREAM consortium. *Hum Genet*. 2012;131: 1467–1480.
18. Ollier W, Sprosen T, Peakman T. UK Biobank: from concept to reality. *Pharmacogenomics*. 2005;6: 639–646.
19. Palmer LJ. UK Biobank: bank on it. *Lancet*. 2007;369: 1980–1982.
20. Allen N, Sudlow C, Downey P, Peakman T, Danesh J, Elliott P, et al. UK Biobank: Current status and what it means for epidemiology. *Health Policy and Technology*. 2012;1: 123–126.
21. Jonas JB, Nangia V, Matin A, Kulkarni M, Bhojwani K. Prevalence and associations of keratoconus in rural maharashtra in central India: the central India eye and medical study. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 2009;148: 760–765.
22. Xu L, Wang YX, Guo Y, You QS, Jonas JB, Beijing Eye Study Group. Prevalence and associations of steep cornea/keratoconus in Greater Beijing. *The Beijing Eye Study*. *PLoS One*. 2012;7: e39313.
23. Day AC, Dhariwal M, Keith MS, Ender F, Perez Vives C, Miglio C, et al. Distribution of preoperative and postoperative astigmatism in a large population of patients undergoing cataract surgery in the UK. *Br J Ophthalmol*. 2018; doi:10.1136/bjophthalmol-2018-312025
24. Schuster AK-G, Pfeiffer N, Schulz A, Hoehn R, Ponto KA, Wild PS, et al. Refractive, corneal and ocular residual astigmatism: distribution in a German population and age-dependency - the Gutenberg health study. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol*. 2017;255: 2493–2501.
25. Yuan X, Song H, Peng G, Hua X, Tang X. Prevalence of Corneal Astigmatism in Patients before Cataract Surgery in Northern China. *J Ophthalmol*. 2014;2014: 536412.
26. Oh E-H, Kim H, Lee HS, Hwang K-Y, Joo C-K. Analysis of anterior corneal astigmatism before cataract surgery using power vector analysis in eyes of Korean patients. *J Cataract Refract Surg*. 2015;41: 1256–1263.
27. Curragh DS, Hassett P. Prevalence of Corneal Astigmatism in an NHS Cataract Surgery Practice in Northern Ireland. *Ulster Med J*. 2017;86: 25–27.
28. Shah RL, Li Q, Zhao W, Tedja MS, Tideman JWL, Khawaja AP, et al. A genome-wide association study of corneal astigmatism: The CREAM Consortium. *Mol Vis*. 2018;24: 127–142.

29. Hofman A, Brusselle GGO, Darwish Murad S, van Duijn CM, Franco OH, Goedegebure A, et al. The Rotterdam Study: 2016 objectives and design update. *Eur J Epidemiol*. 2015;30: 661–708.
30. Lavanya R, Jeganathan VSE, Zheng Y, Raju P, Cheung N, Tai ES, et al. Methodology of the Singapore Indian Chinese Cohort (SICC) eye study: quantifying ethnic variations in the epidemiology of eye diseases in Asians. *Ophthalmic Epidemiol*. 2009;16: 325–336.
31. Höhn R, Kottler U, Peto T, Blettner M, Münzel T, Blankenberg S, et al. The ophthalmic branch of the Gutenberg Health Study: study design, cohort profile and self-reported diseases. *PLoS One*. 2015;10: e0120476.
32. Jonas JB, Kling F, Gründler AE. Optic disc shape, corneal astigmatism, and amblyopia. *Ophthalmology*. 1997;104: 1934–1937.
33. Harvey EM. Development and treatment of astigmatism-related amblyopia. *Optom Vis Sci*. 2009;86: 634–639.
34. Shah RL, Guggenheim JA, UK Biobank Eye and Vision Consortium. Genome-wide association studies for corneal and refractive astigmatism in UK Biobank demonstrate a shared role for myopia susceptibility loci. *Hum Genet*. 2018; doi:10.1007/s00439-018-1942-8
35. Cooper J, Tkatchenko AV. A Review of Current Concepts of the Etiology and Treatment of Myopia. *Eye Contact Lens*. 2018;44: 231–247.
36. Tedja MS, Wojciechowski R, Hysi PG, Eriksson N, Furlotte NA, Verhoeven VJM, et al. Genome-wide association meta-analysis highlights light-induced signaling as a driver for refractive error. *Nat Genet*. 2018;50: 834–848.
37. Schulze Schwering M, Kumar N, Bohrmann D, Msukwa G, Kalua K, Kayange P, et al. Refractive errors, visual impairment, and the use of low-vision devices in albinism in Malawi. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol*. 2015;253: 655–661.
38. Godefrooij DA, de Wit GA, Uiterwaal CS, Imhof SM, Wisse RPL. Age-specific Incidence and Prevalence of Keratoconus: A Nationwide Registration Study. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 2017;175: 169–172.