Pharmacological enrichment of polygenic risk for precision medicine in complex

disorders

William R. Reay[1,2], Joshua R. Atkins[1,2], Vaughan J. Carr[3,4,5], Melissa J. Green[3,4], Murray J.

Cairns[1,2] *

[1]School of Biomedical Sciences and Pharmacy, The University of Newcastle, Callaghan,

NSW, Australia

[2]Centre for Brain and Mental Health Research, Hunter Medical Research Institute,

Newcastle, NSW, Australia

[3]School of Psychiatry, University of New South Wales, Randwick, NSW, Australia

[4]Neuroscience Research Australia, Sydney, NSW, Australia

[5]Department of Psychiatry, Monash University, Melbourne, VIC, Australia

To whom correspondence should be addressed:

Professor Murray J. Cairns

School of Biomedical Science and Pharmacy, The University of Newcastle, University Drive,

Callaghan, NSW 2308, Australia, Email: Murray.cairns@newcastle.edu.au, Phone: +61 02

4921 8670, Fax: +61 02 4921 7903

ABSTRACT

Individuals with complex disorders typically have a heritable burden of common variation

that can be expressed as a polygenic risk score (PRS). While PRS has some predictive utility,

it lacks the molecular specificity to be directly informative for clinical interventions. We

therefore sought to develop a framework to quantify an individual's common variant

enrichment in clinically actionable systems responsive to existing drugs. This was achieved

with a metric designated the *pharmagenic enrichment score* (PES), which we demonstrate for

individual SNP profiles in a cohort of cases with schizophrenia. A large proportion of these

had elevated PES in one or more of eight clinically actionable gene-sets enriched with

schizophrenia associated common variation. Notable candidates targeting these pathways

included vitamins, insulin modulating agents, and protein kinase inhibitors with putative

neuroprotective properties. Interestingly, elevated PES was also observed in individuals with

otherwise low common variant burden. The biological saliency of PES profiles were

observed directly through their impact on gene expression in a subset of the cohort with

matched transcriptomic data, supporting our assertion that this framework can integrate an

individual's common variant risk to inform personalised interventions, including drug

repositioning, for complex disorders such as schizophrenia.

INTRODUCTION

A significant burden of disease is caused by complex traits including psychiatric and neurobehavioural disorders, inflammatory and autoimmune disorders, metabolic and cardiovascular disease, and cancer. Until relatively recently it was difficult to identify the heritable components of these traits, however, the emergence of well powered genome-wide association studies (GWAS) using large cohorts assembled by collaborative consortia are revealing important insights into their common variant architecture [1]. While collectively this information has been vital to map genes and pathways that are likely to be etiological factors, the small effect size of each variant, and their heterogeneity in the population make their relevance to individuals with the disorder highly variable, relatively specific, and fairly minor with respect to the total variant burden. They also present as relatively small targets for therapeutic intervention and may not attract the investment needed for pharmaceutical development. We therefore need mechanisms for using this vast amount of diverse genetic information to maximise its utility for therapeutic advances. This requires a personalised approach that can capture variant burden in affected individuals with respect to biological components that align with existing medications, and/or pathways of relevance to key pathophysiological processes, to provide sufficient support for the development of new interventions.

While approaches that summate the genomic risk burden in individuals, such as polygenic risk scoring (PRS), have demonstrated some predictive utility for complex traits (such as neuropsychiatric disorders [2; 3], diabetes [4; 5], cardiovascular disease [6; 7], and inflammatory disorders [8; 9]) their composition of heterogeneous risk factors lack the biological salience needed to design a precision treatment strategy. We, however, hypothesized that the biologically supervised enrichment of trait-associated common variants in clinically

actionable pathways would provide a means of pharmacologically annotating PRS in

individuals. To test this proposal, we devised a statistical framework for scoring polygenic

risk (at the multivariable level) in pathways relevant as therapeutic targets for complex traits.

This quantitative approach, designated the *pharmagenic enrichment score* (PES), was

developed to provide an indication of an individual's exposure to risk variants that are

potentially treatable by existing pharmacological agents, including many that have never

been considered or tested previously for the condition/disorder they are experiencing. By

focusing on biological pathways with known drug targets, we endeavour to enhance the

clinical utility of polygenic risk approaches by providing novel and specific opportunities to

identify treatment targets and/or repurpose existing drugs. This application of genome-wide

common variant genotyping should have particular relevance for the precision treatment of

individuals that are resistant to currently indicated medications. In this study we outlined the

PES approach and sought to exemplify its utility in individuals with the complex psychiatric

condition, schizophrenia.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Pipeline for the derivation of *pharmagenic enrichment scores*

The methodology developed for constructing *pharmagenic enrichment scores* (PES) is

outlined in a schematic presented in Supplementary Figure 1. We exploit the results of gene-

set association analysis to aggregate variants from GWAS into gene-sets which may be

candidates for pharmacological intervention. These gene-sets were high quality canonical and

hallmark pathways sourced from the molecular signatures database (MSigDB) [10]. Pathways

were designated as clinically relevant if they contained at least one gene annotated to interact

with an approved pharmacological agent in the DrugCentral database as classified by the

Target Central Resource Database (TCRD, genes annotated as T_{Clin}) [11].

Firstly, this process tests the combined effect of variants at the gene level. We utilised an

omnibus *P* value test to achieve this, whereby a linear combination of variant-wise *P* values

in a gene is compared to a null distribution to derive a combined *P* value for that gene. This

was performed using the MAGMA package to account for linkage disequilibrium between

variants in the approximation of the null χ^2 distribution [12]. We mapped SNPs to protein

coding genes (hg19, NCBI) with the genic boundaries encompassing 5kb upstream and 1.5

kb downstream to capture variation in regulatory regions. Genes within the major

histocompatibility complex (MHC) on chromosome 6 were excluded from this study due to

the complexity of haplotypes in that region. Traditionally, the full breadth of variants

available in the summary statistics are utilised in these models. This, however, may miss

important aspects of the biological interpretation of the genomic signal. PRS derived from

large GWAS cohorts are tested at different P value thresholds (P_T) to exploit a model which

explains the most variance between the case and control groups (in a dichotomous construct).

For instance, a $P_T < 0.05$ means that only *P* values in the GWAS with a stronger association

(*P* value) than this threshold are included. A range of P_T have been suggested to be optimal

for PRS in several disorders depending on their genomic architecture [2; 7; 13]. Aggregating the

combined effects of variants in genes at different P_T may therefore capture the biological

complexity of the signal at varying degrees of polygenicity.

Once variants are aggregated in genes at varying significance thresholds (P_T), we conduct

gene-set association of the pre-defined druggable gene-sets with the trait of interest. This was

a competitive association test, which tests the null hypothesis of genes in the set being no

more strongly associated than all other genes. This model was implemented with the

MAGMA package. Enriched pathways with a known drug target uncovered from this

pipeline then form the basis of calculating the *pharmagenic enrichment score* (PES). To

profile individuals for a PES, genes which compromise the candidate pathway are extracted

and cumulative genomic risk calculated in an analogous fashion to PRS, assuming an

additive model [2]. Each individual profiled thus has a genomic risk score within each

clinically actionable pathway.

Application of *pharmagenic enrichment score* to identify drug repurposing candidates

for schizophrenia

To identify clinically actionable gene-sets and construct PES, we processed the 2014

psychiatric genomics consortium GWAS for the complex neuropsychiatric disorder

schizophrenia with the pipeline described above [3]. Variants were selected based on their

significance (*P* value) for inclusion in the at four different thresholds – all SNPs, $P < 0.5$, $P <$

0.05, and $P < 0.005$. Geneset association was conducted on 1012 MSigDB pathways with at

least one druggable (T_{Clin}) gene. To capture a wide variety of pathways for a complex

phenotype like schizophrenia, we used a nominal significance threshold of $P < 0.001$ to select

candidate pathways for PES.

We annotated each of these candidate pathways for their drug interactions, tissue specificity,

and phenotypic associations. Using WebGestalt, drugs which target a statistically significant

number of genes in the PES gene-sets were identified after the application of multiple testing

correction (FDR < 0.05) [14]. A minimum overlap of at least three targets overlapping the

geneset for each pharmaceutical agent was also implemented. Drugs were also mapped to

gene-sets using DGidb v3.02, with the top FDA approved drug per pathway was selected

based on the DGidb score of interaction confidence between a T_{Clin} gene and drug [15]. Further,

the tissue specificity of expression for all genes from the eight pathways was investigated

using GENE2FUNC application of FUMA [16]. Transcript expression in each of the 53 tissue

types in the GTEx v7 dataset for the input pathway genes was tested for upregulation,

downregulation and two-sided differential expression in comparison to the entire protein-

coding genome. Enrichment of input genes for associated traits in GWAS catalogues was

also tested in the FUMA framework.

Individual profiling of *pharmagenic enrichment scores* in a genotyped schizophrenia

cohort

We sought to generate PES for the schizophrenia candidate pathways in a cohort of

diagnosed schizophrenia cases and non-neuropsychiatric controls sourced from the Australian

Schizophrenia Research Bank (ASRB) [17; 18]. Detailed descriptions of consent procedures

along with inclusion and exclusion criteria for the ASRB have been extensively described

elsewhere [17]. The Illumina Infinitium Human 610K (610-Quad) BeadChip platform was used

to genotype genomic DNA extracted from peripheral blood mononucleocytes as per standard

manufacturer protocols. Variant and individual level quality control, along with imputation

using the 1000 genomes phase 3 European reference panel, has been outlined in detail for this

cohort previously [18]. High quality autosomal sites with low missigness ($< 2\%$) and an

imputation score greater than 0.8 ($R^2 > 0.8$) were retained for analysis in this study. After the

removal of individuals in the post-genotyping quality control, 425 schizophrenia cases and

251 controls were analysed in this study; cases were 67% male, whilst males comprised 44%

of the control cohort (Supplementary Table 5). The use of these data was approved by the

University of Newcastle Human Ethics Research Committee (HREC).

PES is calculated from SNPs mapped to genes which form the candidate pharmacologically

actionable geneset. This comprises the following model (1) which sums the statistical effect

size of each variant in the geneset multiplied the allele count (dosage) for said variant– for

individual i, let $\widehat{\beta}_J$ denote the statistical effect size from the GWAS for each variant j in the

candidate gene-set, multiplied by the dosage (G) of j in i .

179
$$PES_i = \sum_{j}^{M} (\widehat{\beta}_J \times G_{ij}) \quad (1)$$

This was calculated for the individuals using the PRSice2 package [19]. For each PES, the P_T

used to derive the score was selected based on which P_T the corresponding geneset was

derived from the GWAS, when a geneset was associated at multiple P_T, the most significant

was chosen. A genome-wide PRS (PRS_{Total}) was also constructed for this cohort, with the P_T

which explained the most variance between cases and controls selected using Nagelkerke's

R^2. Association for each of the scores with cases was conducted using binomial logistic

regression, adjusted for sex and the first three principal components using R 3.4.4. P values

were derived using the Wald test with and without the total PRS score at the optimum

threshold as a covariate in the model.

Each PES was ranked for individuals within the ASRB cohort, with three metrics used to

define a person with an 'elevated PES' score: the top percentile, decile, and quartile of the

study population. The number of pathways which pass these thresholds were totalled for each

individual and the association between these totals and schizophrenia assessed using the same

model as for univariate PRS as described above. To investigate the relationship between

PRS_{Total} and PES, genome wide PRS_{Total} and the count of PES in the top decile per individual

were clustered using finite Gaussian mixture modelling (GMM) with the mclust package

version 5.4 [20]. The optimal number of clusters was selected based on parametrisation of the

covariance matrix utilising the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC), with the highest BIC

value used for selection of the number of clusters. Clusters were ellipsoidal, with the volume

of the ellipsoid, shape of the density contours, and orientation of the corresponding ellipsoid

also determined by the covariance matrix. We tested whether schizophrenia cases in each of

the four GMM derived clusters were overrepresented for carriers of a top percentile PES

using multinomial logistic regression with the nnet package (https://cran.r-

project.org/web/packages/nnet/index.html). The largest cluster, *Cluster 2*, was used as the

reference for the other clusters, with the model covaried for sex and prinicpal components as

above. After dividing the regression coefficients by their standard error to derive *z*, *P* values

were calculated using the Wald Test.

Investigation of the effect of PES profiles on gene expression

We sought to investigate the relationship between PES profiles and the expression of genes

which comprise their pathways in individuals with schizophrenia. A subset of schizophrenia

cases in this cohort (N = 75) had mRNA expression data available from a previous study [21].

These participants had a mean age of 42.21 (s.d. = 10.47), whilst the majority of the

subcohort was male (N_{Male} = 45, N_{Female} = 30). RNA extracted from peripheral blood

mononuclear cells was profiled using Illumina HT-12_V3 BeadChips and normalised as

described in Gardiner *et al.* [21]. Genes which comprise each PES pathway were extracted if

they were available on the array with normalised expression values which survived quality

control. The relationship between PES and the expression of each gene in that pathway as the

outcome was assessed using a linear model covaried for age, sex, and PRS_{Total} for

schizophrenia. These models were constructed in R version 3.4.4 using the *lm* function.

Multiple testing correction was applied to each PES model individually to account for the

number of genes tested in each pathway using Benjamini-Hochberg method via the *p.adjust*

function in R.

RESULTS

Clinically actionable pathways enriched with common variant risk in schizophrenia

Schizophrenia is a typical complex trait disorder with a prevalence around 0.7% and

heritability in the region of 80% [22; 23]. A substantial proportion of this heritability was

accounted for in the 2014 psychiatric genomics consortium (PGC) mega GWAS, which

identified over 100 common variant loci at rigorous genome-wide significance level [3],

making the disorder a suitable candidate to test the implementation of the PES framework.

Using the complete summary statistics, we identified eight clinically actionable gene-sets (at

the different P_T) containing known drug targets (Table 1). The most significantly associated

of these was the *HIF-2 pathway* ($P = 3.12 \times 10^{-5}$, $\beta = 0.435$, $SE = 0.109$, $P_T < 0.005$), which

is comprised of genes in the hypoxia inducible factor 2 (HIF-2) alpha transcription factor

network. *One carbon pool by folate* was the second most significant pathway with a putative

drug interaction ($P = 1.4 \times 10^{-4}$, $\beta = 0.433$, $SE = 0.119$, $P_T < 0.05$). Two gene-sets were

related to the function of the neurotransmitters GABA and Acetylcholine, whilst other

signalling pathways represented were *NOS1* (Nitric Oxide Synthase I), *Hedgehog* signalling

and the semaphorin related *CRMP (Collapsin Response Mediator) proteins in Sema3A*

signalling pathway. In addition, the geneset *Regulation of Insulin Secretion* passed the

threshold for inclusion.

The genes which constitute these eight pathways had upregulated expression in the brain

relative to the rest of the protein coding genome, with the anterior cingulate cortex the most

highly enriched region after multiple testing correction, $P_{Adj} = 6.45 \times 10^{-13}$. Conversely, they

were downregulated ($P_{Adj} < 0.05$) in several peripheral tissues including the stomach and skin

(Supplementary Fig. 2). These genes were also overrepresented in the GWAS catalogue for

traits relevant to psychiatry including schizophrenia, post-traumatic stress disorder, nicotine

dependence and cognitive performance ($P_{Adj} < 0.05$, Supplementary Table 1).

Pathway	P threshold (P_T)	P
NOS1 pathway	All SNPs	6.3×10^{-4}
Regulation of insulin secretion	$P < 0.5$	3.9×10^{-4}
CRMPs in Sema3A signalling	$P < 0.5$	9.4×10^{-4}
GABA synthesis, release, reuptake and degradation	$P < 0.5$	5.8×10^{-4}
One carbon pool by folate	$P < 0.05$	1.4×10^{-4}
Hedgehog signalling	$P < 0.05$	1.9×10^{-4}
HIF-2 pathway	$P < 0.005$	3.1×10^{-5}
Acetylcholine binding and downstream events	$P < 0.005$	3.8×10^{-4}

Table 1. Pathways enriched with common variation associated with schizophrenia with putative

clinical actionability. Pathways with putative clinical actionability by virtue of having targets for

existing drugs with potential for repurposing. Enrichment P values refer gene-set association aggregated

SNPs associated with schizophrenia in the PGC GWAS.

The eight gene-sets prioritised by our pipeline are indicative of a diverse range of drug

classes. We sought to investigate a selection of candidate pharmacological agents which may

be utilised for each PES input pathway. Firstly, we extracted the genes classified in the

TCRD as T_{Clin} from each of the gene-sets and matched them to their known drug-interactions

using the drug gene interaction database (DGidb v3.02, Supplementary Table 2). The top

FDA approved drug per pathway was selected based on the DGidb score of interaction

confidence between a T_{Clin} gene and drug. After annotation via the anatomical chemical

(ATC) classification system two candidate drugs were anti-neoplastic and

immunomodulating agents (ATC = L), two were classified as nervous system (ATC = N),

whilst the remaining encompassed one of the following: blood and blood forming organs

(ATC = B), musculoskeletal system (ATC = M), sensory organs (ATC = S) and alimentary

tract and metabolism (ATC = A). Clinical trials for schizophrenia, either completed or in the

recruiting phase, were registered for three of these compounds – glycine, varenicline and

exenatide.

Drugs which target a statistically significant number of genes in each pathway were derived

using over-representation analysis in WebGestalt [14]. Of the eight gene-sets tested, six had a

significant drug enrichment with a minimum overlap of three genes after multiple testing

correction (Table 3, Supplementary Table 3). Nervous system drugs were the most common

ATC category (level 1) across all the input pathways. Some interesting repurposing

candidates with previous clinical trials in the disorder included the psychostimulant

Atomoxiene [24], the α4β2 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor subtype partial agonist Varenicline

[25], acetylcysteine (*N*-acetylcysteine) - a precursor to the antioxidant glutathione [26-28], ascorbic

acid (Vitamin C) [29; 30], vitamin E[30], and memantine [31; 32]. Whilst the results of these trials

were mixed, targeting such interventions to specific individuals based on genomic risk is yet

to be investigated.

Pathway	Top Drug*	Overlap#	ATC Code
NOS1	Milnacipran	5	Other antidepressants
GABA	Temazepam	14	Benzodiazepine derivatives
Insulin	Clodronic acid	3	Bisphosphonates
HIF-2	Ascorbic acid (Vitamin C)	3	Ascorbic acid (Vitamin C)
Acetylcholine	Nicotine	11	Drugs used in nicotine dependence
Folate	Tetrahydrofolic acid	11	Folic acid and derivatives

Table 2. The top enriched drug target for each *pharmagenic enrichment score* pathway with at least three interacting genes after multiple testing correction. Most significantly associated drug after multiple testing correction was selected, when corrected P values were equal, the drug with the highest geneset overlap was selected. Overlap refers to the number of genes targeted by the drug in the candidate pathway.

Individual profiling of pharmagenic enrichment scores in a schizophrenia cohort

We profiled PES in a cohort of schizophrenia patients and screened healthy controls [17] and identified members of the cohort with relatively high PES in clinically actionable gene-sets. Firstly, we examined individuals in the top percentile of the ASRB cohort for each PES, to explore the phenotypic characteristics of an elevated risk score with high confidence. There were 55 individuals with a top percentile PES, as one schizophrenia case had elevated PES in both the *One Carbon Pool by Folate* and the *GABA synthesis, release, reuptake and degradation* pathways. From this subset, the majority were schizophrenia patients (N=38), however, there was no significant association between top percentile status and diagnosis ($z = 0.975$, $P = 0.33$). We investigated clinical characteristics obtained for ASRB participants to prioritise top percentile PES carriers who may benefit most from a personalised treatment regime. Three variables were selected as a proxy of a more clinically challenging presentation of the disorder: clozapine prescription (as a surrogate for treatment resistance), a

global assessment of functioning (GAF) score < 50, and an adolescent onset of the disorder

before the age of 18 [33; 34]. Interestingly, of the 38 schizophrenia cases with an elevated PES,

71% of this subset meet at least one of these criteria (N =27): clozapine prescription (N = 9),

GAF < 50 (N = 12), onset age < 18 (N = 9).

In addition, two less stringent partitions of elevated PES were implemented, specifically, a

decile and quartile cut-off for PES in the entire cohort was used to triage patients at elevated

risk of dysfunction in that pathway. The highest number of PES in the top decile or quartile

respectively for an individual was six (Supplementary Fig. 3). An increasing number of PES

in both the top quartile (OR = 1.1493 [95% CI: 1.016 – 1.303], P = 0.0287) and decile (OR =

1.207 [95% CI: 1.013 – 1.447], P = 0.0384) was associated with schizophrenia. However,

this signal was not significant after adjustment for PRS_{Total}. As visualised with kernel density

estimation in figure 1b-c, there is evidence of skew towards high PRS_{Total} for those

individuals with at least four top quartile or decile PES categories. Whilst the aim of this

study was not to find association with cases for this cohort, two PES were nominally

associated with schizophrenia in the ASRB - *Regulation of Insulin Secretion* (z = 2.262, P =

0.0237) and the *Acetylcholine Binding and Downstream Events* pathways (z = 2.167, P =

0.0303). However, significance was diminished when covaried for total schizophrenia PRS

(PRS_{Total}, P > 0.05).

Fig 1. Relationship between genome wide schizophrenia PRS and *pharmagenic*

enrichment scores **in the ASRB cohort.** (**a**) Pairwise univariate correlation between each of

the PES and total PRS. Scale represents strength of relationship in the positive or negative

direction. Kernel density estimation of distribution of total PRS amongst individuals with

multiple PES in the top quartile (**b**) or decile (**c**). Scale refers to the number of PES over the

threshold in an individual, that is, a score of six represents an individual with six PES

categories in the top quartile or decile of the ASRB cohort. (**d**) Distribution of total PRS

between ASRB participants with at least one PES in the top percentile of the cohort (grey) or

without (orange). Black dashed line represents the mean PRS_{Total} for the cohort with a top

percentile PES (right) and without (left).

Relationship between pathway-based annotation and genome wide polygenic risk for

schizophrenia

We sought to define the relationship between PRS_{Total} and PES in further detail. Pairwise

correlation between each of the scores demonstrated no significant univariate relationship

between any PES or with PRS_{Total} (Fig. 1a). In addition, top percentile PES individuals were

not enriched with PRS_{Total} in comparison to the rest of the cohort: $z = 0.819$, $P = 0.413$ (Fig.

1d). This presented a clinically significant subset of schizophrenia cases with a less polygenic

phenotype, that is, low PRS_{Total} relative to the schizophrenia cohort but high heritable risk in

one or more pathways. Analysis of the bottom quartile of PRS_{Total} in the ASRB schizophrenia

cohort revealed cases (N=10) with top percentile PES but depleted PRS_{Total}. The pathways

encompassed in these individuals were: *Acetylcholine* (N=3), *Hedgehog signalling* (N=2),

CRMPs in Sema3A (N=2), *GABA* (N=1), *HIF-2* (N=1) and *Insulin secretion* (N=1). This

information may be of great clinical value as these cases have less marked common variant

burden genome-wide, but localised risk in a geneset. Furthermore, three of these patients

were prescribed clozapine (surrogate for treatment resistance), a further three had low global

functioning (GAF < 50), along with two adolescent onset cases – potentially highlighting a

heightened need for precision intervention in these individuals.

To investigate the relationship between low polygenic load and elevated PES, genome wide

PRS and the PES category count in the top decile per individual were clustered using finite

Gaussian mixture modelling (GMM). The optimal number of clusters was selected based on

parametrisation of the covariance matrix utilising the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC),

with the highest BIC value used for selection of the number of clusters (Fig. 2a). Four

clusters were derived from the data (BIC = 13871.21, VEV: variable volume, equal shape,

variable orientation) (Fig. 2b). Clusters were ellipsoidal, with the volume of the ellipsoid,

shape of the density contours, and orientation of the corresponding ellipsoid also determined

by the covariance matrix. The first two clusters were comprised of schizophrenia patients

with at least one PES in the top decile of the ASRB cohort, with *Cluster 1* having low

PRS_{Total} relative to *Cluster 2*. The third and fourth clusters had no elevated PES but *Cluster 3*

represents patients with greater polygenic load, that is PRS_{Total}, than the *Cluster 4*. The

distribution of PRS_{Total} in *Cluster 1* reinforces the concept that a subset of schizophrenia

patients with lower polygenic risk may have concentrated elevation in one or more specific

biological systems. Analogous to its univariate relationship with PRS_{Total} individuals with

extreme PES in the top percentile of the ARSB cohort were not enriched in any of the GMM

clusters relative to the largest cluster, *Cluster 2* (*Cluster 2* vs *Cluster 1*: P = 0.668; *Cluster 2*

vs *Cluster 3*: P = 0.492; *Cluster 2* vs *Cluster 4*: P = 0.977).

Fig 2. Clustering of genome wide PRS and the individual count of elevated *pharmagenic enrichment score* using finite Gaussian mixture modelling. (**a**) Selection of the number of clusters using the Bayesian information criterion (BIC). The scale represents the fourteen different Gaussian models (see Supplementary Table 5 for definitions) tested for parametrisation of the within-group covariance matrix. (**b**) Derived clusters of genome wide schizophrenia PRS (Total PRS) and the number of PES in the top decile of the ASRB cohort

per individual. Red boxes = *Cluster 1*, blue circles = *Cluster 2*, green triangles = *Cluster* 3,

purple crosses = *Cluster 4*.

PES profiles impact the expression of genes within the candidate pathways

Each PES profile was tested for association with the peripheral blood expression of genes

within their respective pathways for a subset of schizophrenia cases with expression data

available (Fig. 3, Supplementary Table 6). After covariation for sex, age, and PRS_{Total}, the

NOS1 PES was associated with downregulated expression of the calcineurin subunit gene

PPP3CC ($t = -3.08$, $P = 2.9 \times 10^{-3}$, $q = 0.05$). This was followed by the *regulation of insulin*

secretion PES, which was associated with decreased expression of the syntaxin gene *STX1A*

($t = -3.5$, $P = 8.1 \times 10^{-4}$, $q = 0.055$); and the *One carbon pool by folate gene* PES, which was

associated with downregulation of serine hydroxymethyltransferase 2 (*SHMT2*; $t = -2.94$, $P =$

4.5×10^{-3}, $q = 0.072$). Excluding these three genes ($q < 0.1$), there were eleven others with a

nominally significant (Raw $P < 0.05$) relationship with a PES, with all PES profiles except

CRMPs in Sema3A signalling having at least one such nominally significant model.

Figure 3. The relationship between PES profiles and the expression of pathway genes in

peripheral blood mononuclear cells. Plot for each PES of the results of a model which

investigated the association of PES with the expression of genes which compromise the PES

pathway. The *t* values on the x-axes were derived from the regression model for each PES-

gene pair ($t = \widehat{\beta} / \widehat{SE}$), with the -log$_{10}$ *P* value of association on the y-axes. The dotted line is

indicative of uncorrected *P* < 0.05, genes highlighted blue were significant after correcting

for the number of genes in the set with a liberal false discovery rate cut-off (*q* < 0.1).

DISCUSSION

The drug development pipeline continues to be prohibitively expensive and time consuming

in the translation of novel compounds for clinical practice [35; 36]. Repositioning of previously

approved drugs for other human health conditions can be a more readily achievable action,

particularly for rare disorders where a causal factor can be identified. However, in complex

disorders, such as schizophrenia, this approach is hindered by the complexity of the

pathophysiology and heterogeneity of genomic risk, along with inter-individual variability in

illness onset and clinical course [37; 38]. Annotation of the individually-relevant (personalised)

genetic components associated with complex syndromes, for the purpose of delineating

clinically meaningful biological systems, will both better target existing treatments and reveal

new opportunities for drug repurposing (Fig. 4a). In this study, we developed a novel method

for capturing common variant risk in biological networks with known drug interactions –

pharmagenic enrichment scores (PES) – to facilitate precision treatment design relevant to

individuals with a particular set of risk variants. A distinct advantage of our PES approach is

that it can capture latent enrichment of polygenic signal in pathways relevant to

pharmaceutical actions, among individuals whose overall trait PRS is low relative to others

with a shared phenotype. Even in cases where polygenic burden is high, genome-wide PRS

(as a biologically unannotated instrument) does not necessarily provide insight into pathways

suitable for pharmacological intervention in individuals. Our approach for selection of

putative drug targets exemplified in schizophrenia GWAS has revealed potential targets for

drug repurposing with substantial clinical utility.

Aggregation of common variation from schizophrenia GWAS into biological pathways with

known drug interactions revealed a diverse array of systems relevant to eight distinct PES

categories. These candidate pathways displayed common variant enrichment at a range of P_T,

indicative of the degree of polygenicity, ranging from using all SNPs as input, to a

significance threshold below 0.005 ($P_\mathrm{T} < 0.005$). While two of these pathways included

GABAergic and cholinergic neurotransmission, both of which are intuitive candidates that

have been extensively implicated in schizophrenia with associated drugs already in common

practice for neuropsychiatric disorders [39; 40], many others were more surprising. The most

significantly associated gene-set pertained to the HIF-2 transcription factor network, an

important mediator in response to decreases in available cellular oxygen. This has clear

significance for biological mechanisms involved in psychiatric disorders, for example in

dopaminergic signalling [41]. Enrichment of ascorbic acid (vitamin C) targets in this pathway is

notable from a therapeutic perspective because of its antioxidant capabilities, along with

preliminary evidence for its efficacy as an adjuvant in the treatment of the disorder [29; 30]. The

interaction between HIF-2 signalling and NOS1 signalling, another candidate pathway with

pharmagenic enrichment in schizophrenia, is supported by previous evidence of redox

dysfunction in the disorder [42; 43]. The activity of glutamate receptors in the NOS1 system

suggests that psycholeptics and psychoanaleptics are likely to modulate this pathway. We

also observed common variant enrichment in two developmental pathways that can be

pharmacologically modulated: CRMPs in semaphorin 3a signalling and Hedgehog signalling.

The former is able to interact with the tyrosine kinase inhibitor Dasatinib, which is postulated

to have neuroprotective properties [44]. Enrichment in these actionable pathways is consistent

with longstanding hypotheses of deficits in neurodevelopment contributing to the aetiology of

schizophrenia [45]. There is also evidence for aetiological overlap between schizophrenia and

diabetes beyond what is attributable to metabolic effects of antipsychotic treatment, which

supports our identification of an insulin related pathway as a candidate PES [46-48].

The breadth of drugs which target these pathways used to construct PES suggests that

individual level treatment formulation can become highly specific depending on which

systems genomic risk is localised. This would include the stratification of individuals for

precision treatment with compounds previously tested on undifferentiated schizophrenia

cohorts, including, N-acetylcysteine, vitamin C, Atomoxiene, and Varenicline which were

identified using PES in this study [24-26; 29]. Repurposing drugs for individuals informed by

their genetic liability may assist in the reduction of response heterogeneity, which hinders the

implementation of novel treatments in very complex phenotypes like schizophrenia. We

suggest that the individuals with PES in the top percentile of any pathway, particularly those

with low genome wide PRS, present as the most tractable candidates for this approach;

whereas the clinical significance of particular sets of common variant burden would be

missed by an unannotated genome wide association indexed by total PRS alone.

In order to better understand how PES profiles could be leveraged for treatment, the effect of

sequence variation which comprises the PES needs to be investigated. We outlined an

example of this approach in this study by testing the effect of PES on the expression of genes

which comprise each pathway in schizophrenia. Several associations between PES and

mRNA expression were observed after correcting for the number of genes within the tested

set. These effects may arise due to direct *cis*-acting loci within the PES and/or the

downstream biologic effects of variation which effect genes with interrelated functionality.

This was exemplified by the *Regulation of insulin secretion* PES, which was negatively

correlated with *STX1A* expression, a syntaxin postulated to play a role in insulin homeostasis.

Interestingly, *STX1A* has been shown to be positively correlated with glucose stimulated

insulin secretion, suggesting downregulation conferred by the PES may have an important

effect through this biological pathway[49; 50]. Similarly, downregulation of the calcineurin

subunit gene *PPP3CC* was associated with the *NOS1 pathway* PES. Previous research

suggests there is a bidirectional relationship between nitric oxide signalling and calcineurin,

where the calcineurin subunit is both regulated by redox products and able to induce nitric

oxide synthesis [51; 52]. Several other genes had suggestive association with PES profiles which

may be established with a larger cohort. We suspect that this approach to validation would be

particularly informative for schizophrenia in genotyped expression cohorts from brain tissue.

While we expect that in most circumstances the aggregate of variation constituting high PES

represent pathology of the target pathways, a current limitation of this methodology is that it

does not integrate the direction of effect. While this may be possible as more functional

annotations become available, this would present an immensely complex paradigm to predict

in silico due to the vast array of factors which influence the penetrance of genomic risk. We

believe that an analysis of the effect of PES profiles on gene expression in larger cohorts will

be an important future direction of this work. The impact of candidate molecules could also

be modelled in patient derived cell lines to, firstly, establish the extent of dysregulation

conferred by elevated PES and, secondly, investigate the interaction with the compounds

implicated. The current analysis also used very stringent criteria for drug pathway gene

inclusion and there are likely to be many more genes and pathways that may be implicated in

future ontologies and other investigator curated gene-sets. In a recent example of this we

observed an enrichment of retinoid gene variation in schizophrenia [18].

New GWAS summary statistics are emerging daily on ever larger samples and these too will

further enrich the substrate for PES determination and increase its clinical utility. Despite the

aforementioned challenges, we believe that this methodology provides a useful framework to

better utilise the breadth of available GWAS data for personalised treatment formulations.

Particularly, as there remains a largely unmet need to translate polygenic risk for complex

disorders into tractable treatment outcomes for affected individuals. Whilst we have

demonstrated here the potential utility in schizophrenia, there is clearly scope to adapt this

analytical approach to other complex disorders with summary statistics from well-powered

GWAS. This methodology may also be applicable to prophylactic intervention for

individuals at high risk for a complex phenotype (Fig. 4b). This could be implemented

conservatively with lifestyle or dietary measures implicated by clusters of enrichment

captured within the PES framework. For example, in schizophrenia we identified multiple

actionable pathways quantified by PES that are modulated by vitamins, which represent a

relatively uncomplicated intervention for individuals at high genetic risk for this disorder.

Fig. 4. Implementation of *pharmagenic enrichment score* (PES) in precision treatment and prophylaxis of complex disorders. (a) Using the PES framework individuals with a complex disorder provide DNA for common variant SNP genotyping, which is used to ascertain individuals with a high PES. The PES groups (heatmap rows 1-9, with enrichment denoted by darker colour) intrinsically identify precision treatment options tailored to the individual's biological enrichment (of pathways with known drug targets) for polygenic risk in that pathway. **(b)** A more advanced implementation of PES could be achieved for prophylactic intervention for individuals in the population at very high polygenic risk for a variety of complex traits with clinical actionability (heatmap rows A-I, with high PRS denoted by darker colour). Conservative prophylactic measures in this context may account for environmental risk exposure and focus on lifestyle interventions, such as diet and exercise, rather than pharmaceutical treatments that may not be justified without symptom presentation because of their side effect and/or cost.

SUPPLEMENTAL DATA

Supplementary Fig 1. Methodology for identifying pharmacologically-relevant pathways enriched with GWAS risk variants.

Supplementary Fig 2. Tissue specific expression of genes contained within candidate PES pathways derived from schizophrenia GWAS

Supplementary Fig. 3. Distribution of schizophrenia and healthy control patients with multiple elevated *pharmagenic enrichment scores*.

Supplementary Table 1. Overrepresentation of genes within candidate PES pathways in the GWAS catalogue traits with relevance to psychiatry after multiple testing correction.

Supplementary Table 2. Highest confidence drug interaction between of a member of each pathway enriched with common polygenic risk for schizophrenia.

Supplementary Table 3. Enriched drug targets for each *pharmagenic enrichment score* with

at least three interacting genes after multiple testing correction (FDR < 0.05).

Supplementary Table 4. Geometric characteristics of the Gaussian models used for

parameterisations of the within-group covariance matrix.

Supplementary Table 5. Characteristics of the ASRB cohort analysed using the PES

methodology.

Supplementary Table 6. Effect of PES on gene expression for genes which comprise each

of the candidate pathways.

DECLERATIONS OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no conflicting financial interests

DATA AVAILABILITY

Schizophrenia GWAS data is available from the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium

(https://www.med.unc.edu/pgc/results-and-downloads). SNP array data used in this study is

available upon application to the Australian Schizophrenia Research Bank, URL:

https://www.neura.edu.au/discovery-portal/asrb/. Command line arguments for the

bioinformatics tools in this study are available upon reasonable request to the authors.

REFERENCES

1. Visscher, P.M., Wray, N.R., Zhang, Q., Sklar, P., McCarthy, M.I., Brown, M.A., and
623   Yang, J. (2017). 10 Years of GWAS Discovery: Biology, Function, and Translation.
624   American journal of human genetics 101, 5-22.
625   2. Purcell, S.M., Wray, N.R., Stone, J.L., Visscher, P.M., O'Donovan, M.C., Sullivan, P.F.,
626   and Sklar, P. (2009). Common polygenic variation contributes to risk of
627   schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. Nature 460, 748-752.
628   3. Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics, C., Ripke, S., Neale, B.M.,
629   Corvin, A., Walters, J.T.R., Farh, K.-H., Holmans, P.A., Lee, P., Bulik-Sullivan, B.,
630   Collier, D.A., et al. (2014). Biological Insights From 108 Schizophrenia-Associated
631   Genetic Loci. Nature 511, 421-427.
632   4. Xue, A., Wu, Y., Zhu, Z., Zhang, F., Kemper, K.E., Zheng, Z., Yengo, L., Lloyd-Jones,
633   L.R., Sidorenko, J., Wu, Y., et al. (2018). Genome-wide association analyses identify

143 risk variants and putative regulatory mechanisms for type 2 diabetes. Nature
Communications 9, 2941.
5. Patel, K.A., Oram, R.A., Flanagan, S.E., De Franco, E., Colclough, K., Shepherd, M.,
Ellard, S., Weedon, M.N., and Hattersley, A.T. (2016). Type 1 Diabetes Genetic Risk
Score: A Novel Tool to Discriminate Monogenic and Type 1 Diabetes. Diabetes 65,
2094-2099.
6. Khera, A.V., Chaffin, M., Aragam, K.G., Haas, M.E., Roselli, C., Choi, S.H., Natarajan,
P., Lander, E.S., Lubitz, S.A., Ellinor, P.T., et al. (2018). Genome-wide polygenic
scores for common diseases identify individuals with risk equivalent to monogenic
mutations. Nature genetics 50, 1219-1224.
7. Abraham, G., Havulinna, A.S., Bhalala, O.G., Byars, S.G., De Livera, A.M., Yetukuri, L.,
Tikkanen, E., Perola, M., Schunkert, H., Sijbrands, E.J., et al. (2016). Genomic
prediction of coronary heart disease. European Heart Journal 37, 3267-3278.
8. Belsky, D.W., Sears, M.R., Hancox, R.J., Harrington, H., Houts, R., Moffitt, T.E., Sugden,
K., Williams, B., Poulton, R., and Caspi, A. (2013). Polygenic risk and the
development and course of asthma: an analysis of data from a four-decade
longitudinal study. The Lancet Respiratory medicine 1, 453-461.
9. Cleynen, I., Boucher, G., Jostins, L., Schumm, L.P., Zeissig, S., Ahmad, T., Andersen, V.,
Andrews, J.M., Annese, V., Brand, S., et al. (2016). Inherited determinants of Crohn's
disease and ulcerative colitis phenotypes: a genetic association study. The Lancet 387,
156-167.
10. Liberzon, A., Birger, C., Thorvaldsdóttir, H., Ghandi, M., Mesirov, Jill P., and Tamayo,
P. (2015). The Molecular Signatures Database Hallmark Gene Set Collection. Cell
Systems 1, 417-425.
11. Oprea, T.I., Bologa, C.G., Brunak, S., Campbell, A., Gan, G.N., Gaulton, A., Gomez,
S.M., Guha, R., Hersey, A., Holmes, J., et al. (2018). Unexplored therapeutic
opportunities in the human genome. Nature reviews Drug discovery 17, 317-332.
12. de Leeuw, C.A., Mooij, J.M., Heskes, T., and Posthuma, D. (2015). MAGMA:
Generalized Gene-Set Analysis of GWAS Data. PLOS Computational Biology 11,
e1004219.
13. The International Multiple Sclerosis Genetics, C. (2010). Evidence for Polygenic
Susceptibility to Multiple Sclerosis—The Shape of Things to Come. American
Journal of Human Genetics 86, 621-625.
14. Wang, J., Vasaikar, S., Shi, Z., Greer, M., and Zhang, B. (2017). WebGestalt 2017: a
more comprehensive, powerful, flexible and interactive gene set enrichment analysis
toolkit. Nucleic Acids Research 45, W130-W137.
15. Cotto, K.C., Wagner, A.H., Feng, Y.-Y., Kiwala, S., Coffman, A.C., Spies, G., Wollam,
A., Spies, N.C., Griffith, O.L., and Griffith, M. (2018). DGIdb 3.0: a redesign and
expansion of the drug–gene interaction database. Nucleic Acids Research 46, D1068-
D1073.
16. Watanabe, K., Taskesen, E., van Bochoven, A., and Posthuma, D. (2017). Functional
mapping and annotation of genetic associations with FUMA. Nature Communications
8, 1826.
17. Loughland, C., Draganic, D., McCabe, K., Richards, J., Nasir, A., Allen, J., Catts, S.,
Jablensky, A., Henskens, F., Michie, P., et al. (2010). Australian Schizophrenia
Research Bank: a database of comprehensive clinical, endophenotypic and genetic
data for aetiological studies of schizophrenia. The Australian and New Zealand
journal of psychiatry 44, 1029-1035.

18. Reay, W.R., Atkins, J.R., Quidé, Y., Carr, V.J., Green, M.J., and Cairns, M.J. (2018).
Polygenic disruption of retinoid signalling in schizophrenia and a severe cognitive
deficit subtype. Molecular Psychiatry.

19. Euesden, J., Lewis, C.M., and O'Reilly, P.F. (2015). PRSice: Polygenic Risk Score
software. Bioinformatics (Oxford, England) 31, 1466-1468.

20. Scrucca, L., Fop, M., Murphy, T.B., and Raftery, A.E. (2016). mclust 5: Clustering,
Classification and Density Estimation Using Gaussian Finite Mixture Models. The R
journal 8, 289-317.

21. Gardiner, E.J., Cairns, M.J., Liu, B., Beveridge, N.J., Carr, V., Kelly, B., Scott, R.J., and
Tooney, P.A. (2013). Gene expression analysis reveals schizophrenia-associated
dysregulation of immune pathways in peripheral blood mononuclear cells. Journal of
Psychiatric Research 47, 425-437.

22. Hilker, R., Helenius, D., Fagerlund, B., Skytthe, A., Christensen, K., Werge, T.M.,
Nordentoft, M., and Glenthøj, B. (2018). Heritability of Schizophrenia and
Schizophrenia Spectrum Based on the Nationwide Danish Twin Register. Biological
Psychiatry 83, 492-498.

23. Sullivan, P.F., Kendler, K.S., and Neale, M.C. (2003). Schizophrenia as a complex trait:
Evidence from a meta-analysis of twin studies. Archives of General Psychiatry 60,
1187-1192.

24. Kelly, D.L., Buchanan, R.W., Boggs, D.L., McMahon, R.P., Dickinson, D., Nelson, M.,
Gold, J.M., Ball, M.P., Feldman, S., Liu, F., et al. (2009). A randomized double-blind
trial of atomoxetine for cognitive impairments in 32 people with schizophrenia. The
Journal of clinical psychiatry 70, 518-525.

25. Smith, R.C., Amiaz, R., Si, T.-M., Maayan, L., Jin, H., Boules, S., Sershen, H., Li, C.,
Ren, J., Liu, Y., et al. (2016). Varenicline Effects on Smoking, Cognition, and
Psychiatric Symptoms in Schizophrenia: A Double-Blind Randomized Trial. PloS one
11, e0143490-e0143490.

26. Berk, M., Copolov, D., Dean, O., Lu, K., Jeavons, S., Schapkaitz, I., Anderson-Hunt, M.,
Judd, F., Katz, F., Katz, P., et al. (2008). N-Acetyl Cysteine as a Glutathione
Precursor for Schizophrenia—A Double-Blind, Randomized, Placebo-Controlled
Trial. Biological Psychiatry 64, 361-368.

27. Breier, A., Liffick, E., Hummer, T.A., Vohs, J.L., Yang, Z., Mehdiyoun, N.F., Visco,
A.C., Metzler, E., Zhang, Y., and Francis, M.M. (2018). Effects of 12-month, double-
blind N-acetyl cysteine on symptoms, cognition and brain morphology in early phase
schizophrenia spectrum disorders. Schizophrenia Research 199, 395-402.

28. Rapado-Castro, M., Dodd, S., Bush, A.I., Malhi, G.S., Skvarc, D.R., On, Z.X., Berk, M.,
and Dean, O.M. (2017). Cognitive effects of adjunctive N-acetyl cysteine in
psychosis. Psychological medicine 47, 866-876.

29. Dakhale, G.N., Khanzode, S.D., Khanzode, S.S., and Saoji, A. (2005). Supplementation
of vitamin C with atypical antipsychotics reduces oxidative stress and improves the
outcome of schizophrenia. Psychopharmacology (Berl) 182, 494-498.

30. Bentsen, H., Osnes, K., Refsum, H., Solberg, D.K., and Bohmer, T. (2013). A
randomized placebo-controlled trial of an omega-3 fatty acid and vitamins E+C in
schizophrenia. Transl Psychiatry 3, e335.

31. Veerman, S.R., Schulte, P.F., Smith, J.D., and de Haan, L. (2016). Memantine
augmentation in clozapine-refractory schizophrenia: a randomized, double-blind,
placebo-controlled crossover study. Psychological medicine 46, 1909-1921.

32. Lieberman, J.A., Papadakis, K., Csernansky, J., Litman, R., Volavka, J., Jia, X.D., and
Gage, A. (2009). A randomized, placebo-controlled study of memantine as adjunctive

treatment in patients with schizophrenia. Neuropsychopharmacology : official
publication of the American College of Neuropsychopharmacology 34, 1322-1329.

33. Samara, M.T., Dold, M., Gianatsi, M., and et al. (2016). Efficacy, acceptability, and
tolerability of antipsychotics in treatment-resistant schizophrenia: A network meta-
analysis. JAMA Psychiatry 73, 199-210.

34. Clemmensen, L., Vernal, D.L., and Steinhausen, H.-C. (2012). A systematic review of the
long-term outcome of early onset schizophrenia. BMC psychiatry 12, 150-150.

35. Smietana, K., Siatkowski, M., and Møller, M. (2016). Trends in clinical success rates.
Nature Reviews Drug Discovery 15, 379.

36. McNamee, L.M., Walsh, M.J., and Ledley, F.D. (2017). Timelines of translational
science: From technology initiation to FDA approval. PLOS ONE 12, e0177371.

37. McGrath, J. (2008). Dissecting the Heterogeneity of Schizophrenia Outcomes.
Schizophrenia Bulletin 34, 247-248.

38. Deng, C., and Dean, B. (2013). Mapping the pathophysiology of schizophrenia:
interactions between multiple cellular pathways. Frontiers in Cellular Neuroscience 7.

39. de Jonge, J.C., Vinkers, C.H., Hulshoff Pol, H.E., and Marsman, A. (2017). GABAergic
Mechanisms in Schizophrenia: Linking Postmortem and In Vivo Studies. Frontiers in
Psychiatry 8, 118.

40. Scarr, E., Gibbons, A., Neo, J., Udawela, M., and Dean, B. (2013). Cholinergic
connectivity: it's implications for psychiatric disorders. Frontiers in Cellular
Neuroscience 7.

41. Smeyne, M., Sladen, P., Jiao, Y., Dragatsis, I., and Smeyne, R.J. (2015). HIF1α is
Necessary for Exercise-Induced Neuroprotection while HIF2α is Needed for
Dopaminergic Neuron Survival in the Substantia Nigra pars compacta. Neuroscience
295, 23-38.

42. Schmidt-Kastner, R., van Os, J., Esquivel, G., Steinbusch, H.W.M., and Rutten, B.P.F.
(2012). An environmental analysis of genes associated with schizophrenia: hypoxia
and vascular factors as interacting elements in the neurodevelopmental model.
Molecular Psychiatry 17, 1194.

43. Olson, N., and van der Vliet, A. (2011). Interactions between Nitric Oxide and Hypoxia-
Inducible Factor Signaling Pathways in Inflammatory Disease. Nitric oxide : biology
and chemistry / official journal of the Nitric Oxide Society 25, 125-137.

44. Wrasidlo, W., Crews, L.A., Tsigelny, I.F., Stocking, E., Kouznetsova, V.L., Price, D.,
Paulino, A., Gonzales, T., Overk, C.R., Patrick, C., et al. (2014). Neuroprotective
effects of the anti-cancer drug sunitinib in models of HIV neurotoxicity suggests
potential for the treatment of neurodegenerative disorders. British Journal of
Pharmacology 171, 5757-5773.

45. Rapoport, J.L., Giedd, J.N., and Gogtay, N. (2012). Neurodevelopmental model of
schizophrenia: update 2012. Molecular psychiatry 17, 1228-1238.

46. Venkatasubramanian, G., Chittiprol, S., Neelakantachar, N., Naveen, M.N., Thirthall, J.,
Gangadhar, B.N., and Shetty, K.T. (2007). Insulin and insulin-like growth factor-1
abnormalities in antipsychotic-naive schizophrenia. The American journal of
psychiatry 164, 1557-1560.

47. Guest, P.C., Wang, L., Harris, L.W., Burling, K., Levin, Y., Ernst, A., Wayland, M.T.,
Umrania, Y., Herberth, M., Koethe, D., et al. (2010). Increased levels of circulating
insulin-related peptides in first-onset, antipsychotic naïve schizophrenia patients.
Molecular Psychiatry 15, 118.

48. Hackinger, S., Prins, B., Mamakou, V., Zengini, E., Marouli, E., Brčić, L., Serafetinidis,
I., Lamnissou, K., Kontaxakis, V., Dedoussis, G., et al. (2018). Evidence for genetic

contribution to the increased risk of type 2 diabetes in schizophrenia. Translational
Psychiatry 8, 252.
49. Andersson, S.A., Olsson, A.H., Esguerra, J.L.S., Heimann, E., Ladenvall, C., Edlund, A.,
Salehi, A., Taneera, J., Degerman, E., Groop, L., et al. (2012). Reduced insulin
secretion correlates with decreased expression of exocytotic genes in pancreatic islets
from patients with type 2 diabetes. Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology 364, 36-45.
50. Liang, T., Qin, T., Xie, L., Dolai, S., Zhu, D., Prentice, K.J., Wheeler, M., Kang, Y.,
Osborne, L., and Gaisano, H.Y. (2017). New Roles of Syntaxin-1A in Insulin Granule
Exocytosis and Replenishment. The Journal of biological chemistry 292, 2203-2216.
51. Namgaladze, D., Hofer, H.W., and Ullrich, V. (2002). Redox control of calcineurin by
targeting the binuclear Fe(2+)-Zn(2+) center at the enzyme active site. J Biol Chem
277, 5962-5969.
52. Obasanjo-Blackshire, K., Mesquita, R., Jabr, R.I., Molkentin, J.D., Hart, S.L., Marber,
M.S., Xia, Y., and Heads, R.J. (2006). Calcineurin regulates NFAT-dependent iNOS
expression and protection of cardiomyocytes: co-operation with Src tyrosine kinase.
Cardiovascular research 71, 672-683.
796